Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

1998-06

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 1998-06-01 through 1998-06-30

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 4,392

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: fs 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060123120100.TAA12616@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158808
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>
Subject: Re: fs 2042
>From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
>Date: Mon, Jun 1, 1998 17:40 EDT
>Message-id: <6kv746$3rl$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
>
>In article <3572061E.38F8@prodigy.net>,
> HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net wrote:
>>
>> ACiesynski wrote:
>> >
>> > radio shack 2042 1000 channel base unit.have box,manual, antenna. 5
>months
>old.
>> > $325 best offer.
>> What a bargain when the latest price at RS is $269.
>
>Where do you live? I've been thinking about getting one, but the Radio
>Shacks
>in my area are still selling it for $399.
>
>
>-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

Have them look in the computer. RS appears to be driving away the better
employees at a frightening rate & the clearance prices aren't always posted on
time. Or at all in some places.
Neutrodyne
Master Baiter
Radio Doctor
Digressionary Missionary
High Councellor of the New Usenet Order
Dr. Laura's own Nattering Nabob of Negativeism since 1997
```

2. Plymouth, Mi. Fire Dept. Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hazmat910@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060123161700.TAA09176@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158809

Does anyone know which freq or TRS the Plymouth, Mi. Fire Dept. is using?
Also, does anyone know the citation for the Michagan Scanner Law? Or where I
can get a copy?

John

3. Re: Plymouth, Mi. Fire Dept. Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <35733752.B90F6591@iname.com>
Source record: 158810

They're still using the Western Wayne County freq of 154.37
Hmmmm...it's been so long I forget what "Base" they are on that one.
I know Northville is 20, I keep want to think maybe they're 17.
Oh well...I will be corrected I'm sure.
As far as scanners in autos in mi, don't do it without a ham ticket, or
other prior approval.
Or, just don't get caught :-)

HazMat910 wrote:
>
> Does anyone know which freq or TRS the Plymouth, Mi. Fire Dept. is using?
> Also, does anyone know the citation for the Michagan Scanner Law? Or where I
> can get a copy?
>
> John

4. Re: Scanner Headphones for the race track

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: foresterNOSPAM@usit.net
Message-ID: <35733779.156540140@news.usit.net>
Source record: 158811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 03:36:31 GMT, kplotkin@access2.digex.net (Kenneth Plotkin) wrote:

>In article <35709287.2E2F5D39@ameritech.net>,
>Scott Vieth <svieth@ameritech.net.nospam> wrote:
>
>>I'm looking for recommendations for headphones that folks use
>>with their scanners at the race track. I was at the Miller Lite
>[snip]
>
>I see you've gotten a couple of replies. I'd keep away from the $20 Radio
>Shack phones; they have no soundproofing qualities.
>

I strongly agree! I have a pair of $20 headphones, and the only way to make them serviceable is to use earplugs UNDER the headphones. On the other hand, my "Solution 2" headphones from Frequency Fan are great. They work well even at the very noisy Bristol track.

Jack

5. Re: fs 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060123375100.TAA12490@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158812
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>
Subject: Re: fs 2042
>From: neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne)
>Date: Mon, Jun 1, 1998 19:12 EDT
>Message-id: <1998060123120100.TAA12616@ladder01.news.aol.com>
>
>>Subject: Re: fs 2042
>>From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
>>Date: Mon, Jun 1, 1998 17:40 EDT
>>Message-id: <6kv746$3rl$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
>>
>>In article <3572061E.38F8@prodigy.net>,
>> HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net wrote:
>>>
>>> ACiesynski wrote:
>>> >
>>> > radio shack 2042 1000 channel base unit.have box,manual, antenna. 5
>>months
>>old.
>>> > $325 best offer.
>>> What a bargain when the latest price at RS is $269.
>>
>>Where do you live? I've been thinking about getting one, but the Radio
>>Shacks
>>in my area are still selling it for $399.
>>
>>
>>-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>>http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>>
>
>Have them look in the computer. RS appears to be driving away the better
>employees at a frightening rate & the clearance prices aren't always posted
>on
>time. Or at all in some places.
>Neutrodyne
>Master Baiter
>Radio Doctor
>Digressionary Missionary
>High Councellor of the New Usenet Order
>Dr. Laura's own Nattering Nabob of Negativeism since 1997
>
```

Please excuse the signature on that last post, I keep trying to kill it but AOL's news reader has different ideas.

6. Re: Weatheradio with SAME technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjmierrn@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060123433600.TAA13386@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158813

Its in the JC Penny spring catolog

7. Re: BC860XLT Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <ken@kenselectronics.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8db7$88e236a0$263389d0@default>
Source record: 158814

Think you are looking for a mod where to receive "no-no" signals by
switching the band control lines?
Information is shown how to do that and many other models is shown in the
Orange Book of Scanner Repair which is available at
http://www.kenselectronics.com/books/orangebook.html
Caution: such modifications may be done only if you live outside the USA.

The shadow <ke4tzq@ipass.net> wrote in article
<356f7e56.166785392@news.ipass.net>...
> I have a BC860XLT Desktop Scanner dose anybody have a mod for it?
>
> Also my website has a couple of great links for Freqs check it out at:
> http://www.ipass.net/~ke4tzq/index.html
>
>

8. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nutron <tn93317@navix.net>
Message-ID: <357349DA.D0D@navix.net>
Source record: 158815

While hearing a couple have "phone sex" with each other I started to record (I know wrong) because my buddies with scanners said that they had never ever heard anything like that. Well, when they were very, very close to "done", it turned out that she had a husband and he just walked into the room. As you can geuss that sort of ruined it for him, but after her husband left she continued. Can you belive that? We laughed for hours and hours.....
--
DECEPTICONS FOREVER!!!!!!

Tom E. Neutzman

Lord give me patience, and give it to me now.
(stolen sig)

He must be a king.
Why is that.
He isn't covered in $#!^.
Monty Python ... Holy Grail

Empathy was yesterday,
Today your wasting my mother!*(#`^@ time.
Al Pacino -Heat-

A guy once told me, "Do not have any
attachments, do not have anything in
your life you are not willing to walk
out on in 30 seconds flat if you see
the heat around the corner.
Rober DiNiro -Heat-

9. Re: FS-AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard O. Kinkead" <richard-kinkead@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6kvi9c$ebo@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158816

```
Paul Savastano wrote in message <01bd8da0$00a2e080$dd4406d1@savy>...
>Is this a joke?, Your off the target by about $500
>
>
>Bn117ls <bn117ls@aol.com> wrote in article
><1998060106584200.CAA26236@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>> I have a slightly used AR8000. <1year old. The radio has 1000 channels
>and is a
>> handheld. Computer adaptable. It is the cadillac of radio
>scanners.E-mail me
>> if interested. Price is $950.00
>>

Probably meant $590
```

10. Re: VA.SCANNER CLUB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: watashiwa@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6kvgckoui1@newsd-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158817

Darrell,
I am, from lexington & am interested in any frequencies that I can
us from around that part of the state. Always concerned that te Va State
Police are going digital etc...
Thanks
John

11. Re: SOFTWARE FROM HELL: * COREL,INC. *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "friedhelm.mehnert" <fm@M1.whitespace.de>
Message-ID: <EtvBM0.6v9@whitespace.de>
Source record: 158818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com> wrote:
: As far as I am concerned, this posting is no different than SPAM.
: Brian

[Complete quote of the spam deleted]

Then why do you respond to SPAM?

And why in the world did you quote the whole mess?

You should have just killfiled it.

And yes, I'm as bad. :)

Regards,
Friedhelm

--
Microsoft is NOT the answer. Microsoft is the Question.
The answer is: "NO!"

Friedhelm Mehnert, Berliner Allee 42, 22850 Norderstedt, Germany
phone + fax: +49-40-5236562 email: friedhelm.mehnert@gmx.net
---

12. Re: Direction Finding Antenna???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "friedhelm.mehnert" <fm@M1.whitespace.de>
Message-ID: <EtvC05.6yq@whitespace.de>
Source record: 158819

Clark Martin <clarkm@pacbell.net> wrote:
: I've recently bought a scanner and thought it might be interesting to do
: direction finding using it. I expect such an antenna would be fairly
: narrow band, but that's okay. Does anyone know how to build such a thing
: or know where to find plans to do so?

For a cheap start, you can use a TV antenna.
Even, if not tuned for the band, it will give you a direction
if the signal you're after is strong enough to be received
with it.

Otherwise nearly any book on HAM antennas will do.

Regards,
Friedhelm

--
Microsoft is NOT the answer. Microsoft is the Question.
The answer is: "NO!"

Friedhelm Mehnert, Berliner Allee 42, 22850 Norderstedt, Germany
phone + fax: +49-40-5236562 email: friedhelm.mehnert@gmx.net
---

13. Re: How strong are aircraft radio transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "friedhelm.mehnert" <fm@M1.whitespace.de>
Message-ID: <EtvCE9.704@whitespace.de>
Source record: 158820

```
paul and jacki <jackid@gwis.com> wrote:
: Hi,
: I live about 50 miles from the nearest airport. I am wondering how far
: away I could receive aircraft transmissions with just a basic rubber
: duck antenna (I live in an apartment). Right now, my scanner does not
: recive aircraft but I am thinking about getting one that does. Also, are
: military aircraft radios stronger/travel further?
: Thanks

In addition to what the other posters have sugusted, as a personal tip:
You should considder investing a few $'s into a telescope antenna,
like those sold by RatShack (the one with the little coil in the middle).
You have to "adjust" it to the propper lenght.
I found it to be a big improvement over the rubber duck, while not
taking away all your money.

Regards,
Friedhelm

--
Microsoft is NOT the answer. Microsoft is the Question.
The answer is: "NO!"
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Friedhelm Mehnert, Berliner Allee 42, 22850 Norderstedt, Germany
phone + fax: +49-40-5236562 email: friedhelm.mehnert@gmx.net
---------------------------------------------------------------------
```

14. Re: Lincoln Park Zoo (Chicago)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ramjet5 <ramjet5@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ue8OmSbj9GA.184@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 158821

I don't have the Lincoln Park Zoo Freqs. but I do have the Brookfield Zoo Freqs.

463.6875 Zoo Police
464.6875 Zoo Keepers and related staff
Just a note:
Squads are numbers as follows:
181 Primary response and general patrol
182 Back-up and general patrol
183 Sgt.'s and general patrol
184 General patrol and night shift use. A Ford Bronco.

Signals:
Signal 13 Emergency
Signal 15 Minor First-Aid Calls
Signal 21 A Weapons call
Signal 27 Squad leaving park grounds on a detail.
Signal 69 A funny money call

Hope you can use these....

Chris wrote in message <357321AD.F4C26F05@hotmail.com>...
>I was just wondering if someone could post Lincoln Park Zoo
>Frequencies...
>
>Thanks for your time on this issue..
>
>

15. Re: HELP: PRO-51 Test mode 1 not working right?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bro <cipher@mcn.org>
Message-ID: <3573624C.635116F5@mcn.org>
Source record: 158822

On mine, 8-3-L/O is the same as 9-2-L/O

16. Re: FS-AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bro <cipher@mcn.org>
Message-ID: <35736295.37DFB6A6@mcn.org>
Source record: 158823

Richard O. Kinkead wrote:

> Probably meant $590

Still too high...

17. Re: Regency Scanners available again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cris Hanna <crishanna@aol.com>
Message-ID: <357365f0.0@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 158824

Cathy

Current receivers are double conversion. Spoke with Technical Support Manager at RELM today...he does not indicate a plan for Triple Conversion receivers but will continue to suggest it to them and let you know if they come up with one.

Cris

Cathy De Viney wrote in message <357162db.3838007@news2.ipa.net>...
>Let us know when they switch to triple conversion...will consider
>making a purchase at that time.
>
>CATHY
>
>

18. AR1000XLT Repair Q:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: CJG2 <Cjg2@cris.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.3.96.980601225839.2240A-100000@viking.cris.com>
Source record: 158825

Can someone recommend a reliable service for AOR repair? A friend's 1000XLT won't search, scan, or save memory. Thanks very much. -Chris, cjg2@concentric.net

19. Lynchburg Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darrell <drayf@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <35736695.76229AE2@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 158826

I`m going to lynchburg va. at liberty university this saturday and
would like some good freq. to listen to including the university
if possible. THANK`S, Darrell---Roanoke, Va.

20. NEXTEL Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: BLACKJJACK@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6kvmf3$g4a$1@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158827

I live in Mich and a business I enjoyed listening to I think has gone to the Nextel communications. Are they like a cellular type communication or are they digital? Also is there a way to listen to Nextel on a scanner in the 800mhz range?
Sorry for the stupidity but I do miss this business. E~MAIL with replies!
THANK YOU

21. plse send my any PRO-24 tips if any

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Riddler <rickshaw@thegolfcourse.com>
Message-ID: <35736FD4.122A7A59@thegolfcourse.com>
Source record: 158828
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
--
Dont worry Be Happy
@@@@
@@ @@
@@ ^ ^ @@
@ ( @
-----
-
```

22. Dallas / Fort Woth Area Scanner Mailing List Started!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lblant1@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <6kvria$l14@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158829

Hello everyone,

Due to lots of requests for a mailing list for the Dallas Ft. Worth area regarding trunked radio communications and scanner listening, I have created a mailing list for folks in the DFW area.

This list will be for discussing DFW's communications systems in detail. If you are interested in coorisponding with folks in the DFW area, now is your chance!

Point your browsers to:
http://www.onelist.com/isregistered.cgi?listname=dfwscan

...and follow the instructions to subscribe to the list.

Thanks!
-Lb
Lindsay C. Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1

23. pro-2050 "help"i need info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: big--boy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6kvqu1dqi1@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158830

hello.i am going to buy a scanner tommorow and was wondering if anyone
could tell me if the radio shack pro-2050 scanner is any good..thanks
for everyones time...
chet rogers

24. FS: iCOM R-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Longacre <Bryan.Longacre@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6l01ac$39i@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158831

I have a new iCOM R-10 for sale. This radio has no gaps. Only had it a little over 2 months now. My other hobbies need attention.

ICOM R-10 with box and manual

$400 shipping included

25. WHO MAKES THE BEST PORTABLE RADIO SCANNR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cyrus the Vyrus <topgunx5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6l024d$e2k$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 158832

Hi,
Im new at this, I was wondering which company makes the best portable radio scanners. So far I know only two brands, Kenwood and Uniden. What should I buy, I personally like the Uniden Bearcat 3000XLT. I could use some help.

Thanx,
Cyrus

26. FS 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060100261800.UAA17599@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158873

radio shack 2035 1000 channel base scanner.comes with manual,antenna.excellent condition 285.

27. fs 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060100272300.UAA17730@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158874

```
radio shack 2042 1000 channel base unit.have box,manual, antenna. 5 months old.
$325 best offer.
```

28. Re: Who are "Bill" and "John"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3571FA45.41FA@parg.org>
Source record: 158875

Barry O'Grady wrote:
> :I have been an Accreditated VE thru the ARRL for 8 years, and am
>
> How much do you charge to pass someone, and don't say it doesn't
> happen.

There is no charge to pass, but there is a fee to take the test
which is $6.35.

29. Re: Programming the 64/2041 w/ Excel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kplotkin@access4.digex.net
Message-ID: <6ksu1p$amq@access4.digex.net>
Source record: 158876

In article <3571ba8d.26291370@news2.ipa.net>,
Cathy De Viney <cdeviney@ipa.net> wrote:

>scanners. His LOAD and LOAD64 are written in Fortran but run on DOS,
^^^

Whaddya mean "but"? ;-) Fortran will run on anything. :-)

My programs will run under DOS and also in a Win 95 DOS prompt.

>and Robert Miller's WLOAD64 runs on Win95.

And if you prefer working from the Win 95 desktop, Bob's programs are
really nice.

Ken Plotkin

30. Re: *****Alpha pages in poc32 garbled******

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdc@cci.com
Message-ID: <6kstba$g3e@bmerhc5e.bnr.ca>
Source record: 158877

It seems as though the page "type" isn't quite right. Instead of
letting the program pick the page type (alpha vs. numeric) try
forcing it to one or the other.

73..Jim

In article <FPjVhAAJ0dc1Ewds@miz-73.demon.co.uk>,
Anthony Mizon <anthony@miz-73.demon.co.uk> wrote:
>In article <1998053022393700.SAA11851@ladder01.news.aol.com>, Babeesoft
><babeesoft@aol.com> writes
>>Everything on this program works great except the alpha pages are nothing but
>>messed up letters such as <BEL>)a<CR>,6<LF> AND x`X#0f
>>AND T0CL Thoes are 3 seperate pages. Does anyone know how to fix
>>this..
>>Number of recieved messages 4542
>>incorrect messages 1286
>>success rate 72%
>>overruns 32
>>
>>I have already messed with the input volume and if its too high to wont
>>and if its too low it wont decode so i know i have it in the right spot.
>>
>>I did the dis tap so the sound is not trrough the output from the scanner ie
>>ext spk or headphone jack.. Connected straight into the line in to the
>>soundcard...
>>im stumped...
>>
>>Also does anyone know of another program like this one that will decode pages
>>with out needed the comm connection just the straight soundcard connection..
>>thanks in advance
>>
>>reinaga@hotmail.com
>>
--
James D. Cronin, Sr. Software Engineer
Directory and Operator Services, Northern Telecom
jdc@cci.com
jdcronin@nt.com

31. Re: HELP: PRO-51 Test mode 1 not working right?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bmn <bmn@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <3571F610.A4254381@iglou.com>
Source record: 158878

> In article <356E1DD3.337D54E1@iglou.com>,
> bmn@dump.iglou.com wrote:
> >
> > I recently cleared the test modes that have been on my scanner for
> > years. I tried holding 2, 9, L/OUT while turning it on and it isn't
> > filling the test freqs. I think I had this problem way back then and
> > someone told me another way. Can one of you tell me how to get the 1-25
> > channel test freqs? Thanks in advance....barry

scanist@acmenet.net wrote:
>
> What is the date code on the back of the radio ??
> Anything up to and sometimes including 8A4 you may be in luck
> If you need more info I will send the entire test modes.
> Eddie Kosloski
> scanist@acmenet.net

It is a 7 A 4. I remember having a bit of a problem a few years ago and
like an idiot, thought that I'd clear everything out and start over.
Now I can't get it to take again. Maybe it is the length of time. Can
anyone give detail other than holding "2" "9" and "L/OUT" while turning
on the unit?

--
Please remove the word "dump" from my reply-to address above in order to
send a reply. I'm hoping it foils at least a couple of junk mailers.

32. pro51 squelch mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: willmcd96@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060101175300.VAA27712@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158879

I did the pro-51 squelch trail mod, works great!

I did want to say that if you put a piece of black tape UNDER the resistor, it will protect it from shorting to the other parts of the board.

anyone else have any pro-51 mods??? (I didn't think my hands would hold still long enough to do the soldering...jesus that is small stuff!)

Will

33. Best Portable Trunktracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8rxx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060101245800.VAA28551@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158880

Looking for opinions from people familiar with these scanners: PRO 90, PRO 91 and BC-235XLT. I'm going to buy one and would like to make an informed decision.

Thanks for the help!
Rafe Barber
N8RXX

34. Re: Scanner Headphones for the race track

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mervins@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060101372300.VAA26068@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158881

I noticed that Radio Shack currently has scanner headphones on sale for less tahn $20. Scotty

>I'm looking for recommendations for headphones that folks use
>with their scanners at the race track.

35. Help.....scan ma east

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Giampietro <prieye@four.net>
Message-ID: <01bd8d01$68fc70a0$322946c6@prieye.FOUR.net>
Source record: 158882

Can someone get me to the Scan Ma East news group please.
Also....need appropriate ID info to install Ma SP 8oo mhz freqs into a new
235xlt. thanks

36. Re: World of Outlaws Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlayton@NOSPAMsd.cybernex.net
Message-ID: <6kt31vohm1@news.cybernex.net>
Source record: 158883

doomguru@aol.com (DoomGuru) wrote:

>I need them , please!!

Last time I checked, WoO officials were licenced for 464.5 and 464.55.
I also have heard rumors that they are using 151.625.

Hope this helps!
==========
To reply, remove "NOSPAM" from the email address.
Jason Layton
jlayton@NOSPAMsd.cybernex.net
S. Dak. Scanning Page: http://ww2.sd.cybernex.net/~jlayton/sdscan.html

37. Re: Ottawa police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6kt4c4$hub@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 158884
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"P Vachon" (vachonp@sprint.ca) writes:
> Anyone know where the Ottawa police band went? I can't hear them any more.

The Ottawa Police Force (Ottawa, Canada) doesn't exist anymore, the Ottawa Nepean and Gloucester Police Forces were merged into the Ottawa-Carleton Regional Police Services in 1995. They have switched to a new 800 Mhz trunked radio system Ericsson EDACS system

Newsgroups: ncf.sigs.radio-scanner
Subject: Re: Ottawa Carleton Police New Frequencies
>
> Here are the 10 channels that make up the new system.
> 866.2125
> 866.3375
> 866.4625
> 866.7125
> 866.8375
> 866.9625
> 867.2125
> 867.3375
> 867.4625
> 867.7125
>
> For more info on Ottawa Area frequencies check out my web site.
> http://www.achilles.net/~dhoisak/freq/index.html
>

38. bc 235 xlt is the only way for this future

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-BnSzgwdNdx9W@slip-32-100-175-28.tx.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 158885

Theo & Tia Maria,

> does anyone know how to make the sound louder, can barely hear it in the
> car compared to other scanners. Thanks.

I have a earphone headset that I wear. The kind that allows you to
hear, too.

I tried the cassette plug setup but wasn't happy. FYI.

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

39. PRO-2042 down to $269

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-Dnl9gctVgZ7s@slip-32-100-175-28.tx.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 158886

HOUNDDOG,

> ACiesynski wrote:
> >
> > radio shack 2035 1000 channel base scanner.comes with manual,antenna.excellent
> > condition 285.
> What a bargain when you can get the PRO-2042 at RS for $269.

When did RS lower that from $299? I think it happened Wednesday /
Thursday here in Houston but I'm not sure.

Thanks!

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

40. BC 200 XLT Help Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoomr@skypoint.com
Message-ID: <35721962.1762158@news.skypoint.com>
Source record: 158887

On my BC200XLT the display and keypad are working, but the audio, RF, and dial light on/off button don't function. I can tell that it is not receiving because turning the squelch to any position will not stop it from scanning, even when there is a strong signal on the frequency.

The oddest thing is the dial light not working - it's as though the digital circuits are working, but anything that is analog doesn't work. Not even a click out of the audio when I turn it on. I tried resetting with 2,9, manual but that didn't help. I measured that battery pack voltage and it is a little high at 7.5 Volts, but there is no battery indicator flashing.

Any ideas about what might fix it would be greatly appreciated!

41. Re: Scanner Headphones for the race track

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kplotkin@access2.digex.net
Message-ID: <6kt7n3$9a9@access2.digex.net>
Source record: 158888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <35709287.2E2F5D39@ameritech.net>,
Scott Vieth <svieth@ameritech.net.nospam> wrote:

>I'm looking for recommendations for headphones that folks use
>with their scanners at the race track. I was at the Miller Lite
[snip]

I see you've gotten a couple of replies. I'd keep away from the $20 Radio Shack phones; they have no soundproofing qualities.

The kind you're looking for begin at about $60. You can make something for a lot less. Get a good set of cup-type hearing protectors (NRR of at least 25) at a hardware store or gun shop. Those will run $15 to $20. Then get some "ear bud" lightweight headphones - the kind that stick in your ears with no headband. Put those on, then the hearing protectors on top.

Ken Plotkin

42. Re: Can someone PLEASE make a FAQ for this group?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8d10$26050be0$971a37a6@default>
Source record: 158889

Sorry ....
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

MAlexder <malexder@aol.com> wrote in article
<1998053009575500.FAA10234@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> >From: "Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
> >Date: Sat, May 30, 1998 3:25 AM
> >Message-id: <01bd8b9c$174ca560$581937a6@default>
>
> >#5 Wher can I buy Radio Shack scanners??? I think that's a no brainer
..
> >how about Radio Shack ... Sounds logical to me ...
>
> We're all going to have to get up a lot earlier if we're going to fool
you, eh?
> Jeepers Mike, this was one of those "Who's buried in Grant's tomb" kind
of
> questions! It was a j-o-k-e.
>
>
>

43. Re: DISPLAY DIMMING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: birt <birt@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <35722B30.7E24829D@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 158890

I second that Bill, I have replaced a few and that is what we ordered.
However, don't count on getting any more life out of the new either.
They are fairly easy to change out as well but just don't last.
Good luck.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> n2ozo@bellatlantic.net wrote:
>
> > Does anyone know where I can locate a new display for my Pro-2006
> > scanner?
>
> I doubt that you want a new "display".
> More likely, the backlight called an
> Electroluminescent Panel. It's available
> from: (800) 442-2425
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---

44. Re: FS 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6kta2u$7gb@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158891

On 01 Jun 1998 00:26:18 GMT, aciesynski@aol.com (ACiesynski) wrote:

>radio shack 2035 1000 channel base scanner.comes with manual,antenna.excellent
>condition 285.

He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He Ha
Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee heeeeeee
Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!You have got to be kidding!!!!

45. F.S.PRO-26 SCANNER 25-1300MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russell Smith <rusty@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <35722FDE.A0E99F1@direct.ca>
Source record: 158892

```
PRO-26 SCANNER FOR SALE,
200 CHANNELS,HANDHELD,HYPERSCAN,
TRIPLE CONVERSION,GREAT LITTLE SCANNER
SOLD FOR $449 NEW
$200 US I WILL SHIP 2 DAY FED EX COD
RUSS
```

46. Re: Using An Outdoor Antenna On A Portable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35724209.12604945@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158893

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"James Tonsager" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Owning one is NOT illegal (I've looked into it - I own one) - it is only
> illegal when you use it to intentionally listen to cellular or cordless
> phone frequencies.

Yeah, well owning a CB linear amplifier isn't illegal
either. But if one is found in your possession, the
FCC will presume you to have used it, leaving you to
suck rocks and whistle "Dixie".

BTW, if you are not a lawyer, you would be well advised
to not tell people what is legal. They can act on your
advise and if they get shat upon, they can come after
you for giving them erroneous legal advise.

Oddly, you can tell people something is illegal all day
long and suffer no liability.

Caution is advised when dispensing legal advice.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

47. Re: What Pro-26 Scanner Modifications are out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3573434a.12925385@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158894

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

scorpio@usit.net wrote:

> On Mon, 25 May 1998 02:55:49 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
> wrote:
> >Sure. But you can't get blood out of a turnip.
> >And you ain't gonna get the US cellular bands
> >with 30 kHz steps, come hell or high water.
> >
> >Take that to the bank.

> All you do is change the steps to 5 khz and you shouldn't have a
> problem. Since you can change the steps on the pro-26.

Well, that's not very bright. Running in 5 kHz steps
takes six times longer to step through a band.

Ungood!

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

48. Re: Pro64 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3574449d.13265000@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158895

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Bill Faulk" <wfaulk@nponline.net> wrote:

> Are there any mods for the PRO 64,

Dozens. Lots. See my recent post called
SCANNER MODIFICATIONS FAQ for details.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

49. June CARMA Meeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa9yhx@aol.com
Message-ID: <6kthji$r1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The next meeting of the Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) will be Saturday, June 6, 1998 @ Phillies Pizza Restaurant and Bar, Illinois Rt. 83 and 63rd Street in Willowbrook, Il. Lunch begins at 11:30 am and the meeting starts promptly at 1:00 pm. You must purchase a meal because we get the room for free. If you have any printed material please make 60+ copies for the participants. Items for sale may be brought as long as they are radio related. If you need further info, contact the undersigned via email.

Will Sperling, BBS Sysop & Associate Director, Ex-RCMA (Il-116)
Email: WA9YHX@aol.com
CARMA WebSite: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm
CARMA BBS: 630-852-1292

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

50. Pro-2042, help with discrim tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: pnw100 <pnw100@spiritone.com>
Message-ID: <896683594.211051@ridge.spiritone.com>
Source record: 158897
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello all,
I'm having trouble tapping my discriminator output pin (IC 2, TP2) as outlined in Bill Cheeks file. I get a lot of static with the signal (too much I fear) and disconnecting my ground lead has no effect. Should the baseband audio be relatively quiet, or is a certain amount of static normal? Volume and squelch have no effect on this signal. Do I need a ground tap off of the circuit? If so, where is a good tap point? What about capacitors, Bill recommends a tantalum but I substituted an electrolytic, no problem there, right? I connected the + leg to TP2, right again? Any of you who have successfully tapped a 2035/2042 your input would be most appreciated. Thanks

51. FS-AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bn117ls@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060106584200.CAA26236@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158898

I have a slightly used AR8000. <1year old. The radio has 1000 channels and is a handheld. Computer adaptable. It is the cadillac of radio scanners.E-mail me if interested. Price is $950.00

52. FS: Pro-2006 w/OS456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad178@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6kti6q$ncr@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 158899

$400.00 Plus S/H
Good condition.

--
Life's too short to worry... :)

53. FCC License Data Sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill@tiktok.cse.tek.com
Message-ID: <6ktji8$ng1@tiktok.cse.tek.com>
Source record: 158900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

[last changed 09-Oct-97]

The latest version of this file can be accessed via my web page at:
http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc

FCC License Data Sources
Bill McFadden
billmc@agora.rdrop.com

Changes preceeded by "|". Please e-mail corrections and additions to me.

INTRODUCTION

This is a list of FCC license data sources available to scanner hobbyists. The FCC master contains a wealth of data about every non-government license in the United States (over three million records). Most of the sources listed contain a subset of this data, although a few contain all or nearly all of it. Government licenses are not administered by the FCC, and the data is not available to the public.

Also available are subscrition services from companies like ISI and CET. Since they charge by the minute, they are not really practical for the hobbyist and hence are not included in this list.

Prices are rounded to the nearest dollar and include shipping, if known.

C.E.T.

CET sells records of all stations inside a 30-mile radius for $80. (Major cities cost extra.) A 70-mile search costs $178. The data is provided on floppy disks and is available in ASCII columnar and comma-delimited formats.

CET does not provide data for licenses that do not contain specific geographic coordinates (i.e., mobile-only systems) or specific operating frequencies (e.g., amateur radio).

To do the search, CET requires the latitude and longitude of the center of the 30-mile radius. I calculated mine from a USGS topographic map I obtained at a local nautical supply store. Alternatively, you can obtain the coordinates for your town from the University of Michigan's geographic name server. Run "telnet 141.212.99.9 3000" and enter your zip code. For my area, the server returned the coordinates of the main post office.

CET can be reached at 800-445-0297.

CSP TECHNOLOGIES

CSP Technologies sells FCC data on CD-ROM. ScannerBase covers the entire US and comes with a database search program.

ScannerBase costs $34. CSP Technologies can be reached at 888-857-4240 (voice) or http://www.csp-tech.com/.

FCC

The database is also available from the FCC for free. It covers most services but not all. Sections can be downloaded, processed with a supplied Visual BASIC program, and viewed with Microsoft Access. The entire database is approximately 3 GB in size. See:

http://www.fcc.gov/oet/info/database/raeddf.html

GROVE

Grove sells FCC data on CD-ROM and floppy. The CD-ROM covers the entire US and is available with or without mapping support. The mapping version brings up a map with the requested station plotted on it. The regular version is $104, and the mapping version is $174.

Floppies are available by state for $44 per state (add $10 for CA, FL, TX). The disk comes with a database search program. Grove Enterprises can be reached at 800-438-8155 (voice) or http://www.grove.net/.

MICROFICHE

The Bearcat Radio Club sells the FCC master by state for $15. Bearcat Radio Club can be reached at P.O. Box 291918, Kettering OH, 45429.

PERCON

PerCon sells FCC data on CD-ROM. The Spectrum CD covers the entire US and comes with a simple database search program. Regional CDs cover subsets of the US but come with a more powerful database manager and provide more detail about each license. Also available is a Ham callsign and repeater database CD.

Spectrum costs $37, and the Ham and Repeater Database is $22. Regional CDs are $137 for the first CD, $102 each additional CD ($560 for the entire 6 CD set). Data is updated twice a year. PerCon can be reached at 716-386-6015 (voice), 716-386-6013 (fax), support@perconcorp.com, or http://www.perconcorp.com. The Spectrum database can be searched free of charge via Percon's WWW page.

POLICE CALL

This is probably the most cost-effective source of public service license information. The detail is limited, but the information that is there is often more useful than the FCC master. For example, an entry in Police Call that reads, "Portland Police, Net 3, North Precinct," reads, "Portland, City of" in the master. Police Call has been merged with its sister publication, Beyond Police Call, and now includes a limited

selection of business listings.

Police call is available in 9 volumes covering different regions of the US. It can be purchased at Radio Shack stores for $13 per volume.

| PRO DATA

| Pro Data is a database manager program for license data. It does not come
| with its own data but can import data from APF, Percon, ScanWare, and
| UFDBF database files.

| Pro Data costs $60. For more information, write to prodata1@gte.net, or
| visit http://home1.gte.net/prodata1/index.htm.

RADIOMAP SERVICE

Developed by long-time Usenet contributor Bob Parnass, this service provides a custom report of frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names for a specified area in any of the 50 states. Coverage areas of 4 to 256 square miles will be chosen by Bob depending on transmitter site density. Standard and deluxe reports are available. Both reports include a color plot with transmitter sites marked. The deluxe report adds a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area.

To create the report, Bob requires the latitude and longitude (or nearest street intersection) of the center location. Standard reports are $29.95, and deluxe reports are $34.95. Write to Bob Parnass at 2350 Douglas Rd, Oswego, IL, 60543, or call him at 630-554-3839 between 6-10 PM central time.

SCANWARE ASSOCIATES

ScanWare Associates has developed a PC-based database program called the FCC Frequency Retrieval System. FCC data for all states is available, covering all services and all frequencies. Current data is for first quarter, 1996.

Scanware has chosen to discontinue developing this software, but the good news is that the software and data are now FREE! It is being hosted by the West Virginia Educational Network. See:
http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html

APPENDIX

Here is table of data fields in the FCC master. Fields provided by various products are indicated by "#" or the field length, if known.

PERCON PERCON POLICE SCAN
DESCRIPTION SIZE CET GROVE SPECTRUM REGION CALL WARE CSP
Frequency (or Lower Limit) 16 11 12 16 16 # 11 #
Upper Frequency Limit 16 16 11
Callsign 10 8 10 10 10 # 10 #

```
Service Code 2 2 2 2 2 # 2 #
Licensee DBA Name 40 32 40 30 40 # 40 #
Licensee State 2 2 2 2 #
Licensee Zip 9 5 9 9 #
Licensee Address 35 30 35
Licensee City 20 15 12 20 20 #
Licensee Name 200 100
Applicant Phone 10 10
Transmitter Latitude 6 6 6 6 6 6 #
Transmitter Longitude 7 7 7 7 7 7 #
Transmitter Elevation 5 5 5 5 #
Transmitter Height 5 5 5 5
Transmitter HAAT 5 5 5
Transmitter Effective Height 5 5 5
TX Antenna Structure Height 5 5 5
Transmitter Address 80 80
Transmitter City 20 10 20 20 # 20 #
Transmitter County 30 10 20 20 30 30 #
Transmitter State 2 2 2 2 2 # 2 #
Class of Station 4 4 4 4 # 4 #
Type of Message Service 1 1
Area of Operation 1 1
Radius of Operation 4 4 4
Antenna Type 10 10
Antenna Elevation Angle 6 6
Antenna Azimuth 6 6
Antenna Polarization 3 3 3
Antenna Gain 4 4
Antenna Path Length 6 6
Antenna Beam Width 8 8
ERP Out 8 4 8 8
ERP Units 2 1 2
ERP Indicator 1 1
Power Out 8 4 8 8
Power Out Units 2 1 2
Power Out Indicator 1 1
Emissions 15 15 15
License Issue Date 6 6
License Expiration Date 6 4 6 #
Operation Begin Time 4 4
Operation End Time 4 4
Number of Vehicle Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4 #
Number of Portable Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Aircraft Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Marine Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Pager Mobiles 5 4 5 5 5
Originating Database ID 1 1
Authorization Type 1 1
CP Authorization Number 2 2
Area of Operation Descript. 100 100
```

Paint & Lighting Specs 33 33
Special Conditions 100 100
TX Latitude Direction 1 1
TX Longitude Direction 1 1
Type of Last Contact 1 1
Date of Last Contact 6 6
Number of Units 4 4
Reverse Azimuth 6
Path Connection Latitude 6
Path Connection Longitude 7

CP = Construction Permit, ERP = Effective Radiated Power,
HAAT = Height Above Average Terrain

--
Bill McFadden Tektronix, Inc. P.O. Box 500 MS 50-350 Beaverton, OR 97077
bill.mcfadden@tek.com http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc
CAUTION: Don't look into laser beam with remaining eye.
Any opinions expressed above are not necessarily those of Tektronix, Inc.

54. Re: What's a Hwy Patrol Mobile Extender?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd Remington <xusmc@psln.com>
Message-ID: <35727143.741A@psln.com>
Source record: 158901

Tork wrote:
>
> The CHP mobile extender is 154.905, is there anyother freq. that they use?
> Anyone know about Arizona or Nevada?
> --
> David Torkelson
> KF6DGN
> 33.205 N 117.353 W
> Grid Square DM13
> Oceanside, Ca. United States
>
>
>
In Plumas county CHP uses 155.445 mhz for their xtndr's...NHP in Reno is
using 154.92 mhz...Does that help?...Todd...

55. Re: THEY WON'T BAN SCANNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Tracey_Levin.." <tracey12@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <357276B1.7BAE@swbell.net>
Source record: 158902

PJ wrote:
>
> PakRat wrote in message <355f3a7e.12826272@news.altopia.com>...
>
> >Technically.....
> >It is NOT illegal to own a hand gun in Chicago, however it IS illegal
> >to possess an unregistered gun. Since Chicago will NOT register a
> >handgun unless it is required for a bonafide job (ei. police officer,
> >bank guard, security guard, etc.) , being your caught with a handgun
> >you will be charged with "Possession of an Unregistered Firearm".
> >
> >This law makes it impossible for the common Chicago citizen to own a
> >handgun legally since he can't register it. The city does register
> >rilfles and shot guns. The city did this to basically outlaw handguns
> >while avoiding the Bill of Rights thing since they don't technically
> >take away your right to bear arms.

This is what you get when you elect liberal idiots who seek to disarm
the citizens. Just remember this: NEVER ELECT A DEMOCRAT TO OFFICE.
And, NEVER ELECT A LIBERAL REPUBLICAN!
The goofy liberal in Louisana who has pushed the anti-scanner bills
is a prime example of the kind of person you must dump before your
rights are harmed. But, liberals use welfare to buy votes with, so they
always have a bunch of people addicted to welfare who will vote for them
so that the check will keep a coming in da mail box.
NEVER ELECT A LIBERAL!

56. Re: MDT or POCSAG decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Tracey_Levin.." <tracey12@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3572782F.7F50@swbell.net>
Source record: 158903

Jonathan Abate wrote:
>
> I've built the circuit, got the software, got the discrimnator output of
> my scanner, But it doesn't work. If it matters I use a 12v ext source
> for power. I've built the ciruit 5 times and I still can't get it to
> work.
>
> Can somebody tell me what I am doing wrong?
> Thanks,
>
> Jon

Are you certain that your on the right leg of the chip? Is there a
soilder joint problem? Are you on the right chip for certain? Buy a
new LM741 and pitch the one youve got. There are lots of possiblities.
--
Checkout these sites:

http://www.drudgereport.com/

http://www.junkscience.com

http://www.reagan.com

http://www.hamblin.com

http://www.instanet.com/~vct/index.html

http://www.otherside.net/index.html

http://www.conxxx.com/image2.html

http://www.insightmag.com/

http://www.extremezone.com/tondaleo/

"It is nonsense to admit that Christians are under an obligation to obey
human
government, and still have nothing to do with the choice of those who
shall govern."
Charles Finney

57. marine radio usage rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Tracey_Levin.." <tracey12@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <357278DA.4BCB@swbell.net>
Source record: 158904

What are the rules pertaining to the usage of a marine radio.
The general rule I have heard is that you must be within 15 miles of
water to use them.

Does anyone know the if this is true?

58. Re: Help.....scan ma east

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060111280800.HAA08071@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158905

From: "Dick Giampietro" <prieye@four.net>
>Can someone get me to the Scan Ma East news group please.
>Also....need appropriate ID info to install Ma SP 8oo mhz freqs into a new
235xlt. thanks

I lost track of the Scan East mailing list (it's not a newsgroup) but you
should be able to find it at one of the sites listing mail lists such as
www.litzt.com or www.mailist.com.

The following URLs all have good TT info--esp. Massachusetts:

http://www.capecod.net/~tcaldwel/fleetids.htm
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm
http://www.bearcat1.com/index.html

59. Re: Forgot to answer IC-Q7 questions!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: roger.wilco@lumanet.org
Message-ID: <6ku7h2ouk1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158906
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

```
In article <6irer4$ard$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
eric_fre@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
> > > Greg Ottria <Gottria@Inreach.com> wrote in article
> > > <B5921.1351$x6.840921@news.inreach.com>...
> > > > Icoms new HT. Anyone have more info than the HRO catalog? Scan banks,
> > > scan
> > > > edges, external power??? I have some specs and a small picture. I can
> > > > e-mail if interested.
>
> It has a variety of scan functions. These include all scan, band scan, edge
> scan, 0-99 memory scan, 100-199 memory scan, all memory scan. Memory
channels
> can be programmed to be skipped in all memory modes and VFO scan modes if
> noisy, noisy channels can be auto programmed in vfo scan modes. there are 10
> edge scan banks which use any of the 200 channels or call channels, the edge
> bank simply has the channel number s in it which you wish to be the upper
and
> lower frequency limits! There are also three priority scan modes. While in
> VFO check sellected memory channel every 5 sec, or increment to next memory
> channel each time(skips channels can be programmed) or check call channel
> every 5 sec. Radio has no external power input, I have been using alkaline
> batteries for the past 2days almost non stop still getting over 450mW on
TX!!
> Have got 4 AA size 1.1AHr NiHi batteries so I can swap but have had no need
> to. Having disasemled the radio I have found that there is enough room for a
> DC connector near the top opposite the PTT and will be putting one in when I
> obtain a spare back! My second option is to obtain a new battery cover and
> use this to make a NiHi battery pack with built in charger cct and a plug!
> this would probably be more favorable however with the right bits I can make
> both look original. If people are interested I can make and sell these. My
> only complaint so far is the really stupid belt clip the one on the IC-T8 is
> much better and I have already modified one to suit.(cut the width of the
> tang down! it still makes the radio quite fat though so I might make a S/S
> one. The original one is very curved and hard to place onto the belt with
one
> hand it is the same as on the IC-M1 and I have know of two radios being lost
> on SAS operations because of the poor belt clip design. Thats about my only
> gripe I think. I would have to guess that if VX-1's only cost US$250 now in
> the states with a little shopping around then $175 would probably be a
> suitable figure for a new one of these over there! I payed AUS$350 which is
> US$220 curently but our ham gear is considerably more expensive hear. I
```

would
> have to say that it is definately one of the smallest scanners available.
>
> cheers
> ERIC.
> P.S. I'd be interested in any more info on it please email me on
> eric_fre@hotmail.com
>
> >
> > I got one of these two days ago according to ICOM first one bought in
> > Australia!!! Its great and I like it even more than a VX-1 because its not
> > yaesu!!!!! what can I say its a 30-1309MHz scanner AM,FM,WFM and it
transmits
> > aswell! Has better sound than my IC-T8 and it was $AUS350 which is about
2/3
> > of a VX-1!
> >
> > Its a great radio e-mail me if you want more info.
> > cheers
> > ERIC.
> >

Can someone post the receive specs on this radio?
-Jeff

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

60. Re: Need Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ywd@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060112122300.IAA09855@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158907

Or better yet, try G & G Communications : website:

http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/

Good company, dealt with personally

Do a DejaNews search on G & G Comm and you'll see all kinds of good comments

61. Orlando Florida Trunktracking Page New Location

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <Orlscan***@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <hhxc1.11$ir1.37152@news3.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 158958
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello All,

SWL.NET has Been Kind enough to Provide Web Space on swl.net for The Orlando Florida Trunktracking Page.

This Page Covers the Following areas of Central Florida:
Orange County
Orlando
Winter Park
Maitland
Ocoee
Winter Garden
Walt Disney's 800 Motorola system
Osceola County
Seminole County
and Many other Municipalities in these areas

The new site is faster and does not have the annoying ad from tripod
go to http://ns4.swl.net/orlandotrunking/

Thank you

Jason

****
Jason Webb EMT/EMD
The Orlando Trunktracking Page
http://ns4.swl.net/orlandotrunking/
ICQ # 8074034
****

62. What is programmable CTCSS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Philip J. Smith" <psmith@appliedsystems.com>
Message-ID: <6ku8pb$88i$1@nntp3.interaccess.com>
Source record: 158959

Suzanne,

CTCSS stands for Continuous Tone Control Squelch System. Many (if not most) public safety and commercial 2-way comms are accompanied by a continuous sub-audible tone from 67 to 250 Hz. Receivers will not open the squelch unless this tone, of proper frequency, is detected. You should be able to hear these low-frequency tones with a good pair of headphones. Most public safety licensees in the 150's and 400's use CTCSS.

This option quickly became a necessity for me. On a frequency for the village I live in, there is also digital pager signal originating 40 miles away that causes CTCSS-less scanners to stop scanning. With the village's correct CTCSS tone programmed, the scanner skips over the pager signal without a sound.

CTCSS is called PL (Private Line) by some hobbyists, which is a trademarked name.

Philip Smith

Suzanne wrote in message ...
> What is a programmable CTCSS tones? I am used to the basic police
>conversations...times have-a-changed.

63. New PHSSCANNER Email List Formed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <3572a4b5.4136966@news.tju.edu>
Source record: 158960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Hi Everyone,

Thanks so much for your cooperation over the last several years with scanner related information! Last week I created a new email List forum for discussing radio scanner information in the Philadelphia Area called the PHLSCANNER List. This list would be a convenient way to share frequencies and other scanner related information for the Philly area. Specifically, the scope of the list is scanner related communications generally above 30 mhz and covering southeastern PA, southern NJ, and northern Delaware. Conventional systems as well as trunked
systems (including Trunk Tracker info); analog and digital modulation techniques will also be within the scope of this list. I am the list owner of the phlscanner email list.

I really hope that this list would allow us to discuss the above scanner related topics in a timely manner. This list will augment (not replace) the phlscanner news group. However there are several advantages to the list forum. First it is usually quicker than usenet news groups. Also I will not tolerate SPAM of any kind nor off topic posts (which always plagued the phlscanner news group). Also,
we can discuss topics a bit more freely than on the news group since this list is a controlled environment - I know who is subscribed at any given time and can terminate a user from participation if warranted.

Please take a moment to subscribe (free of course) to the phlscanner mailing list by simply clicking on the following link:

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/phlscanner

Prior to subscribing, you would first need to register with onelist by entering your email address, a password (to authenticate yourself), and answering a few demographic questions. When you subscribe, you would need to choose whether you want the emails single/normal version (each time someone posts to the list you will get the email sent to you) or in a daily digest version (all posts are accumulated daily and sent to you bundled in a digest). I prefer using the later digest version.

Once you are subscribed, you post to the phlscanner list by sending an email message to the following address:

phlscanner@onelist.com

For their first post, I would request that everyone introduce themselves to the list. Please mention if you work for a Public Safety agency and what scanner equipment you use and any other notable specialty. Thanks!

You can also easily view archives of the phlscanner list. The URL for that is:

http://www.onelist.com/archives.cgi/phlscanner

The list server is maintained free by onelist. There are several good FAQs within their Help Center on their site. http://www.onelist.com

Thanks again for reading this and I hope you all take a few minutes to subscribe to the phlscanner list. I promise to do my best to keep the forum interesting, timely, and on track.

If you have any questions about how to subscribe or post or any general questions, you can either check the FAQ on the ONELIST site or email me.

thanks,

Joe Cardani

64. Re: New PHSSCANNER Email List Formed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <3572a5ff.4466528@news.tju.edu>
Source record: 158961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 12:57:51 GMT, jcardani@erols.com (Joseph Cardani)
wrote:
Topic should read PHLSCANNER!

Sorry

65. PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Putnam <putnam@ainop.com>
Message-ID: <3572A99C.8DA18663@ainop.com>
Source record: 158962

I am looking to get cell phones with my 2026. Can anyone help me out?

66. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail.please
Message-ID: <35ab9b96.4469771@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158963

Just this morning on my way in to the office. I hear the MA state police (C4 on the old lowband) calling to any cruiser on 495S in the Hopkington area.

Dispatch calls to the answering cruiser:

"I have a TT [tractor trailer] operator on the line with me now and he says there's a black SAAB pulling up next to him and the other truckers. (slight pause) And the operator of the SAAB is naked."

As luck would have it, a cruiser was less than a mile away and they stopped the car within 2 minutes. Turns out this was pretty lucky, because it turns out this guy was from Hopkington and probably would have made it home otherwise as he was within a mile of his exit.

No funny comments from the trooper that made the stop, but they ran a Board of Parole check on this guy and he had a 1982 Indecent Exposure charge..... Gee, I wonder what took him so long to get caught again ?!

67. FS: Yaesu Dual Band/Dual Receive Uncut

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Tirschwell <tirsch@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <6ku854$i36@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 158964

For Sale:

Mint Condition FT-51R UK UNCUT version. Receives 70-999 Mhz.
Includes Radio, stock antenna, case (csc-67), AA pack (fba 14), Display Mic (MH29), 1 hour
quick charger (nc50), and manuals.

This is Yaesu's finest HT with dual receivers, and extended receive.

$550 firm (includes overnight COD shipping in the US)

US orders only!

Reply via mail tirsch@msn.com

68. Descrambling variable split band frequency inversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060113581400.JAA16186@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158965

A 1496 balanced modulator / de-modulator circuit can
descramble variable frequency inversion.
With a lack of filtering in our DS49 it can decode some
of the split band as well.

<A HREF="http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html">
CTP Descramblers</A>

69. MO Clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: musicman@showme.net
Message-ID: <3572B33D.4B35@showme.net>
Source record: 158966

I was just wondering if there were any scanner clubs established that included South East Missouri in their coverage? Can anyone point me in the direction of a list of frequencies for Missouri??

Thanks

DW

70. Re: HELP: PRO-51 Test mode 1 not working right?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Arnold <printplus@zippy.intcom.net>
Message-ID: <3572B768.32E9@zippy.intcom.net>
Source record: 158967

bmn wrote:
>
> I recently cleared the test modes that have been on my scanner for
> years. I tried holding 2, 9, L/OUT while turning it on and it isn't
> filling the test freqs. I think I had this problem way back then and
> someone told me another way. Can one of you tell me how to get the 1-25
> channel test freqs? Thanks in advance....barry
> --
> Please remove the word "dump" from my reply-to address above in order to
> send a reply. I'm hoping it foils at least a couple of junk mailers.

Perhaps this will help.
From the archives of kc5kto:

Date: Tue, 15 Apr 1997 01:20:57 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <970415012056_-735085110@emout20.mail.aol.com>
To: kc5kto@zianet.com
Subject: Pro 51
X-Status:
Status: RO

If the test freq's (2-9-L/O) will not load, try putting the radio into
display test first, then while the display is cycling, push 2-9-L/O and
hold until the test freq's appear. My radio was coded 12A3 and I had to
do it this way.

.....

TEST MODE 3. Display Test.

Press 2, 9, BAND or 2, 9, MONI.

This put the display in test mode. Every annunciator and
every digit element is turned on in a scan from the left side
of the display to the right. To keep this test mode
continuous, release the buttons before the first scan
finishes. This mode does not affect stored memory. Press any
key to exit this display mode.

Ed Arnold KF4UTO
edarnold@one.net

71. Re: BCT-7 MODS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: maverick@camcomp.com
Message-ID: <3572bbea.143668342@news.camcomp.com>
Source record: 158968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 29 May 1998 13:17:46 GMT, parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com (Bob
Parnass, AJ9S) wrote:
>In article <1998052912234000.IAA14726@ladder03.news.aol.com>,
>KA8TOK1 <ka8tok1@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >>I'm wandering if there are any mods for the Uniden BCT-7 and/or any web
> >
> >Currently there are no Mods for this scanner....
> >Brad Kellison KA8TOK
>
>See May 1997 Monitoring Times for Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual
>downconversion mod.
>--

Where can I get a copy of this ?????

72. Re: cordless freqs other than 46 and 902-928mgz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <35721890.1123313@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 158969
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ddoty@iconnect.net wrote:

:
:
:In regards to other cordless freques, the earliest cordless phones used am.
:They operated just below the am broadcast band (U.S.A.).
:I don't know if any of these antiques are still arround, but you could
:listen to them with the old am radios bytuning just below the last station
:on the dial.

I think you will find that they operate above the broadcast band around
1.7 MHz. I have never heard of a cordless phone operating around
500 KHz.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

73. Re: Blocking cordless freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <3572189e.1137225@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 158970

randycz@aol.com (RandyCz) wrote:

:I have a 12 year old son very interested in monitoring. I have an old Radio
:Shack PRO-34 20 channel scanner I was going to give to him.

The PRO-34 has 200 channels.

:I am not too crazy
:about the idea of his listening in on cordless phone calls. (Sometimes kids
:are not very discreet.) Is there a mod or a filter available to block the 40-50
:Mhz range?

There is. By adding (or removing) a diode the 30-50 MHz band will be
changed to 66-88 MHz which it will not receive on without further
mods.

The downside is that the diode is not easy to get to.

:Thanks in advance.
:
:Randy

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

74. Re: FS: UBC3000xlt scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <3572166f.578374@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 158971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

read.the@reply.to (Home Slice) wrote:

:FS: European version of the Uniden BC3000xlt handheld scanner
:
:$300 + shipping
:
:400 channel
:25 - 1300mhz with NO GAPS

Do you know what mod was done to add the 550 to 760 MHz range?

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

75. Re: Direction Finding Antenna???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3575c147.45189138@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158972

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

clarkm@pacbell.net (Clark Martin) wrote:

> I've recently bought a scanner and thought it might be interesting to do
> direction finding using it. I expect such an antenna would be fairly
> narrow band, but that's okay. Does anyone know how to build such a thing
> or know where to find plans to do so?

That's a lot like asking where to find plans for
an ultralight aircraft and another set for a
Boeing 747.

A directional antenna for 1 GHz could almost fit
in the palm of your hand. For 30 MHz, it might take
up two rooms in your house.

What frequencies for direction-finding interests you?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

76. FS: Yupiteru MVT-9000 - $450

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: see.reply-to@address.com
Message-ID: <7949EDC4C2329610.672B6ED0BBAF7DF7.6F1606443E210529@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 158973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Saving up for a new Kenwood ts-570s, so ...

For sale: MINT condition Yupiteru MVT-9000
Non-smoker, radio has never left the house.
I have many references from other radio sales over the Net.

$450 plus shipping

Here's info on the scanner:

Range: 0.5000 - 2036 MHz (no gaps)
Channels: 1000
Banks: 20
Modes: AM, NAM, FM, WFM, USB, LSB, CW
Priority: 10 channels
Conversion: Triple
Scan: 30 channels/second
Search: 30 steps/second
Power : 4 AAs 100V AC 12V DC
Size: 2.6"(W) x 6.1"(H) x 1.6"(D)
Weight: 410g
Features:
2 VFOs, band scope w/marker, auto-store,
alpha-tagging, S-meter search-skip, attenuator

Comes with: Antenna, belt-clip, hand-strap, manual (no box)

More info at these pages:
http://www.javiation.co.uk/mvt9000.html
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/REVM9000.HTM
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/MVT-9000.JPG

77. Weatheradio with SAME technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <3572c1d8.11596996@news.tju.edu>
Source record: 158974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,

Does anyone know who manufacturers weather alert radios with the new
SAME technology, other then Radio Shack?

thanks,

Joe

78. Re: marine radio usage rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <6kuh40$du4$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 158975

Tracey_Levin.. (tracey12@swbell.net) wrote:
: What are the rules pertaining to the usage of a marine radio.
: The general rule I have heard is that you must be within 15 miles of
: water to use them.

: Does anyone know the if this is true?

My recollection from reading a pamphlet on marine radio use is that you must be on the water while using one. That's obvious for a radio mounted on board. The same is mostly true with hand-helds. I don't think you'd be frowned on calling the harbor master etc. while standing on the dock area, but you aren't allowed to use a marine radio at an inland picnic grove etc. for personal communications.

You might try contacting the Coast Guard and asking for more definitive info.

Here's a link that's somewhat useful, but doesn't anwswer the main question:

Linkname: Nautical Know How - Marine Radio Information for Boaters
Filename: http://www.boatsafe.com/nauticalknowhow/radio.htm

--
* *

Uncle Bob.............unclebob@ripco.com

79. Re: What Pro-26 Scanner Modifications are out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <6kumnc$ae9$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158976

The Pro-26 is plenty fast enough where it is safe to say it could
plow through the band at 5khz steps in no time.
Eddie K

In article <3573434a.12925385@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> scorpio@usit.net wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 25 May 1998 02:55:49 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
> > wrote:
> > >Sure. But you can't get blood out of a turnip.
> > >And you ain't gonna get the US cellular bands
> > >with 30 kHz steps, come hell or high water.
> > >
> > >Take that to the bank.
>
> > All you do is change the steps to 5 khz and you shouldn't have a
> > problem. Since you can change the steps on the pro-26.
>
> Well, that's not very bright. Running in 5 kHz steps
> takes six times longer to step through a band.
>
> Ungood!
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

80. Re: Pro-2042, help with discrim tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3576c35a.45719930@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158977

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"pnw100" <pnw100@spiritone.com> wrote:

> Hello all,
> I'm having trouble tapping my discriminator output pin (IC 2, TP2) as
> outlined in Bill Cheeks file. I get a lot of static with the signal (too
> much I fear) and disconnecting my ground lead has no effect. Should the
> baseband audio be relatively quiet, or is a certain amount of static normal?

Static where? What are you using this tap for?
It should not increase the static out of your
speaker and headphones.

> Volume and squelch have no effect on this signal.

That is understandable. The tap is BEFORE the volume and
squelch controls.

> Do I need a ground tap off of the circuit?
> If so, where is a good tap point?

Yes, you need a ground. Any ground is fine.
Chassis ground is good. So is PCB ground.

> What about capacitors, Bill recommends a tantalum but I
> substituted an electrolytic, no problem there, right?

So why did you substitute?

There *can* be problems.....the kind that are hard to
diagnose and ferret out. I recommend tantalum so that
some of these problems never surface.

> I connected the + leg to TP2, right again?

Yes.

> Any of you who have successfully tapped a 2035/2042
> your input would be most appreciated. Thanks

I have.

Now tell me how you know there is all that static? I can't
imagine using the baseband audio for any purpose that involves

LISTENING. The purpose of that tap is to source an unaltered
signal for decoding data from it, etc. You would not ordinarily
listen to that signal.

So what is the problem?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

81. CHP Extenders on 155.445?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmslists@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060115425900.LAA23666@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158978

To whoever posted the reply about this freq used in Plumas County....I lost your address and message. Please email me with more info...never heard about this as a extender freq, though, of course the portables are multi freq with Clemars, Nalemars, Calcord, etc..

Today's FCC list DOES show 21 different licenses (callsigns) to State of Calif on that freq, but I haven't plowed thru them yet.
Harry Marnell, N6URU
HMsLists@aol.com
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

82. military aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpswar@geocities.com
Message-ID: <3572CA5E.670CF048@geocities.com>
Source record: 158979

I am thinking about purchasing a new scanner that can scan all frequencies from 25 MHZ to 1.4 GHZ(except celluar band). This scanner is capable of switching to AM, FM-narrow, and FM-wide. To pick up military aircraft, do i need to get a scanner that can also switch to Shortwave?

Thanks,
gpswar

83. More on FCC License Data Sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmslists@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060115535700.LAA24514@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158980

The FCC has a FREE "beta test" site with up-to date freq info in all services...takes a little navigation, but you get ALL the info on each record. Free for now, but they say they plan to charge $2.90 a minute or something via a 900-line once the bugs are worked out...VERY thorough and worthwhile at:

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

Harry Marnell, N6URU
HMsLists@aol.com
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

84. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Jones <jjones@lunasoft.com>
Message-ID: <3572DE63.5F8@lunasoft.com>
Source record: 158981
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.hardware,comp.hardware,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Mike#Kilo_Alpha_Tango_Zulu wrote:
>
> 2 - 8MB 72pin EDO Simms, each, $22.50, both for $40.00 plus 3.00
> SHIPPING

If I paid $25 for a single 16 MB EDO SIMM, I'd feel cheated.

- James

85. Re: BCT-7 MODS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6kuml6$8l6@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 158982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3572bbea.143668342@news.camcomp.com>,
<maverick@camcomp.com> wrote:

>On 29 May 1998 13:17:46 GMT, parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com (Bob
>Parnass, AJ9S) wrote:
>>
>>See May 1997 Monitoring Times for Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual
>>downconversion mod.
>>--
>
>Where can I get a copy of this [Monitoring Times] ?????

Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available
for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises, P.O. Box 98, Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (704)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

Note: I don't get any part of the $3.00.
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

86. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <6kumvujcg1@ecuador.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158983

I once was listening to LA Fire (860 mhz) and heard an image of a cell phone call where an individual was bragging about shooting some rival gang members in the Arleta section of Los Angeles. My mouth dropped open when the next day I read about it in the papers, one dead, one critical....

Karl
karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com
http://vistagroupusa.com/burbank.htm

87. Re: military aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <3572E900.AC87FA4D@netset.com>
Source record: 158984

Shortwave is not a mode, it is a frequency range. Form just above MW (AM broadcast band) to below VHF low (SW= Frequency range apx 1.6 Mhc to 30 Mhc)
Mil air is on shortwave(USB mode usually) , but if you want to get SW MIL air use a shortwave Receiver. The scanners that do cover SW do not do it very well, even when compared to an inexpensive SW Receiver.
Mil AIR (in VHF, UHF) freq's is typ. 200 to 400 Mhc
Enjoy,
Charlie

gpswar@geocities.com wrote:

> I am thinking about purchasing a new scanner that can scan all
> frequencies from 25 MHZ to 1.4 GHZ(except celluar band). This scanner
> is capable of switching to AM, FM-narrow, and FM-wide. To pick up
> military aircraft, do i need to get a scanner that can also switch to
> Shortwave?
>
> Thanks,
> gpswar

--
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG

88. Re: CTCSS/DCS/DTMF software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6kupvp$1qac$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 158985

William S. White wrote in message <3571A65B.6EDA@_NOSPAM_wycol.com>...
>D Stark wrote:
>>
>> Now that I've learned for myself that Windows 95 can't do realtime
>> serial data analysis on a 486, I'm looking for DOS software
>> (preferably shareware) that can detect/identify/decode subaudible
and
>> audible tones such as CTCSS (aka PL), DCS, and DTMF "Touch Tones".
>>
>> 73 de Dave, NF2G
>
>Try here
>http://members.xoom.com/ontscan/main.html
>follow the link to FILE ARCHIVES.
>I have not had time to check them out.
>Let me know how they work.
>Bill

Thanks Bill (and the others who replied via e-mail). I've found some programs that appear to have good potential, but require my soundcard to have a base address of A220. My soundcard insists on being at A330, although the docs say it is possible to set the other address.

Help from anyone who actually uses the Ensoniq Wavetable card to emulate a SoundBlaster would be greatly appreciated.

73 de Dave, NF2G

89. Re: Scanner Antenna Comparison???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R. Marcus Fancher" <fancherr@bms.com>
Message-ID: <3572EDAB.1AC99FDC@bms.com>
Source record: 158986

Hi,
Rich Wells has a hand-held antenna comparison
(http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/REV_HANT.HTM). I don't know if this is what
you're looking for.

Marc

Brian Rueger wrote:
>
> Can someone please point me to that great antenna comparison narrative that
> someone put on the net a few years ago? I need it not and can't find it
> again.
>
> Thanks
>
> Brian
> ---
> Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
> Lieutenant/Paramedic | EMS-3 (Station-10) | Hampton, Va.
> B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
> Check out my home page: http://members.visi.net/~brueger
> "When once you have tasted flight you will always walk with your eyes
> turned skyward, for there you have been and there you will always be."
> ---

90. Re: military aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6kuscp$mqi@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 158987

(gpswar@geocities.com) writes:
> I am thinking about purchasing a new scanner that can scan all
> frequencies from 25 MHZ to 1.4 GHZ(except celluar band). This scanner
> is capable of switching to AM, FM-narrow, and FM-wide. To pick up
> military aircraft, do i need to get a scanner that can also switch to
> Shortwave?
>
Mil a/c are AM Mode between 200-400 Mhz, so if the scanner can switch
to AM in that range you'll be ok. SW (aka HF) is used mainly on overseas
flights, you'll hear mostly airlines talking to Gander or Shannon as
they cross the Atlantic (sorry I don't recall the Pacific stations!)
I live in Ottawa, Canada and I regularly hear F-16 from Burlington, VT
having training dogfights, AWACS and tankers. Can't hear the ground
station, but because of the altitude of the a/c they will carry a
bit further, in my case 120+ air miles for UHF AM

91. Re: Ottawa police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: VA2SMF <va2smf@rac.nospam.ca>
Message-ID: <3573047F.1D6C9164@rac.nospam.ca>
Source record: 158988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cutie Boy wrote:
>
> the world of scanners and ham radios are ending !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

The world of scanners is most certainly NOT ending. You obviously have absolutely no evidence to support that claim.

As for ham radio, had you been participating in any if the amateur radio activities (of which there were many with many hams attending), you would see that amateur radio is far from over.

92. Re: ICOM R10 -- computer capabilities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: stuyvenberg@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <357269be.602903@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 158989

Hi Craig,

>I've consulted dejanews, but I'm still not quite clear on the
>computer capabilities of this scanner.

See my page (and links to others) on:

http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven/r10

>I assume this scanner is computer controllable to at least the
>extent it is manually controllable? Moreso? Less so?

You can connect a computer via the OPC-478 cable (schematics on one of
the linked pages) to :
1) program the IC-R10 'off-line' and copy all data to memory
2) control the VFO

>Is programming information available? I'd want to write my own
>software. (I run FreeBSD.)

I'm currently working on a Windows 3.11/Windows 95 program. Still
difficult since Icom does *not* supply any information (although a bit
of the CI-V protocol is explained in the manual). If it works I'll be
happy to pass you (and others) all information I gathered (via my
homepage).

Regards,

Joost van Stuyvenberg
Amsterdam, The Netherlands
stuyvenberg@bigfoot.com

=== THE TOUGHEST MAIL FILTER EVER - MY DELETE BUTTON ===

93. Re: Weatheradio with SAME technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmslists@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060119524200.PAA11872@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158990

Midland 74-109. You can see it at http://www.ccrane.com/sevrwhtr.htm

94. Re: Scanner Headphones for the race track

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman McBride <nmcbride@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <35730781.5C13D6AA@prodigy.net>
Source record: 158991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You'd be suprised by the demographics of the typical race fan. Consider what is spent on tickets and food and the price of a scanner might not be to unreasonable.

I was at the Phoenix Indy Car race about four years ago and one of the vendor was selling a ton of scanners.

My 200XLT died while I was there and they lent me one for free while they repaired mine. Never even tried to get me to buy a new one and didn't charge me a fortune to fix the thing!

Scott Vieth wrote:
>
> Hi:
>
> I'm looking for recommendations for headphones that folks use
> with their scanners at the race track. I was at the Miller Lite
> 200 in Milwaukee today and noticed a guy using
> "RaceTRAC" brand headphones with his SC150B.
> Are there any other brands on the market?
> Where do we buy such things?
>
> Thanks.
>
> -Scott
>
> P.S. One other wierd thing I noticed was that the
> vendors at the race were selling SC150s cheaper
> than I can buy them in area stores. Very odd....
> I don't know how many people drop that kind of
> money on a whim at the track but it *was* a very
> good price.

95. Re: No restrictions at all in CT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdgordon@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060120080800.QAA13470@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158992

troop H has changed to 42.64 due to interference approx 6 months ago
cdgordon@aol.com

96. KENWOOD RZ1 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcam <dcam@ricochet.net>
Message-ID: <35730A80.EC5D7D9E@ricochet.net>
Source record: 158993

FOR KW RZ1 MOBILE SCANNER,COVER 500K-905MHZ,CELL NO BLOCK
BOX,MANUAL,PW-CORE,$265.USD.THANK YOU.
DOUG

97. freqs for race radio at bicycle races?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kenneth R. Overly" <overlyk@NOSPAMstockton.edu>
Message-ID: <6kv1t7$7m6$1@newsreader.jvnc.net>
Source record: 158994

Does anyone know how to find the frequencies of the race radios that will be used during the Christiana Care Cup (Wed. Jun. 3, Wilmington, DE) and the First Union USPRO Championship (Sun. Jun. 7, Philadelphia, PA)?
--
Kenneth R. Overly
overlyk@NOSPAMstockton.edu
(Remove the NOSPAM to reply.)

98. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrankodxxx@usa.net
Message-ID: <abrankodxxx-0106981620580001@207-172-192-95.s32.as2.nyd.erols.com>
Source record: 158995

In article <35ab9b96.4469771@ladder01.news.aol.com>, no@mail.please (Paul)
wrote:

>Just this morning on my way in to the office. I hear the MA state police
(C4 on
>the old lowband) calling to any cruiser on 495S in the Hopkington area.
>
>Dispatch calls to the answering cruiser:
>
>"I have a TT [tractor trailer] operator on the line with me now and he says
>there's a black SAAB pulling up next to him and the other truckers. (slight
>pause) And the operator of the SAAB is naked."
>
>As luck would have it, a cruiser was less than a mile away and they stopped the
>car within 2 minutes. Turns out this was pretty lucky, because it turns out
>this guy was from Hopkington and probably would have made it home otherwise as
>he was within a mile of his exit.
>
>No funny comments from the trooper that made the stop, but they ran a Board of
>Parole check on this guy and he had a 1982 Indecent Exposure charge......
Gee, I
>wonder what took him so long to get caught again ?!

dammit...
I think that you should be allowed to do whatever you want in your own car...
I mean whats wrong with driving naked?

-Daniel

--
Remove xxx from my address to reply.

99. Re: How strong are aircraft radio transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <35730aef.4998108@news.erols.com>
Source record: 158996

On Sun, 31 May 1998 12:28:41 -0400, paul and jacki <jackid@gwis.com>
wrote:

>I live about 50 miles from the nearest airport. I am wondering how far
>away I could receive aircraft transmissions with just a basic rubber
>duck antenna (I live in an apartment). Right now, my scanner does not
>recive aircraft but I am thinking about getting one that does. Also, are
>military aircraft radios stronger/travel further?

You'll hear the aircraft pretty well. The ground stations are another
matter. You probably won't hear them at all.

Frankly, I think you'll be disappointed because you'll only hear the
pilots reading back the controller's instructions, not the whole
conversation. I don't know about you, but I'd get bored pretty
quickly with that situation.

The military aircraft band is not really all that different from the
civilian aircraft band. The signal levels and modulation would be
quite similar from your perspective.

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ MD24
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

100. Re: cell or cordless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6kv6nv$3ea$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158997

In article <35373f42.12061288@news.fastlink.com.au>,
barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au wrote:
>
> panthers@i-plus.net wrote:
>
> :What exactly are the 900MHz. phone calls? Are they cell or cordless? I
> :thought that the cordless freqs were in the 46-47 MHz. range and the
> :cell freqs were in the blocked out 800 MHz. range...?

You are correct. Cellular calls are only in the (now blocked) 824-849
(handset) and 869-894 (base) ranges. "900 MHz" cordless phones may operate
anywhere in the 902-928 MHz range. Most of them use spread spectrum or other
digital modes and can't be heard on a scanner, but some may be analog FM. By
the way, many older doble conversion scanners recieve "images" of the cellular
band 21MHz higher in the 900MHz frequencies.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

101. Re: fs 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hurst_m@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6kv746$3rl$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158998

In article <3572061E.38F8@prodigy.net>,
HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net wrote:
>
> ACiesynski wrote:
> >
> > radio shack 2042 1000 channel base unit.have box,manual, antenna. 5 months
old.
> > $325 best offer.
> What a bargain when the latest price at RS is $269.

Where do you live? I've been thinking about getting one, but the Radio Shacks
in my area are still selling it for $399.

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

102. Re: FS-AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Savastano <savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8da0$00a2e080$dd4406d1@savy>
Source record: 158999

Is this a joke?, Your off the target by about $500

Bn117ls <bn117ls@aol.com> wrote in article
<1998060106584200.CAA26236@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
> I have a slightly used AR8000. <1year old. The radio has 1000 channels
and is a
> handheld. Computer adaptable. It is the cadillac of radio
scanners.E-mail me
> if interested. Price is $950.00
>

103. Auction - Pro-67 Scanner Currently $92!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: user@primenet.com
Message-ID: <6kv4is$79s@nntp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 159000

```
goto http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=15342133

Hurry! Auction ends Tomorrow!
```

104. pro-2035 police scanner sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: beguiled@channel1.com
Message-ID: <357418c9.12232655@199.1.13.10>
Source record: 159001

The pro 2035 scanner was sold to Allen C. in Columbia, mn.
Thanks again

105. Re: Best Portable Trunktracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <35732039.654504CF@home.com>
Source record: 159002

Rafe:

You pretty much summed up your choices, and for the most part, the PRO-90, and the BC235XLT, are one of the same, on a performance level. The Uniden BC235XLT comes with two rechargeable batteries, and a charging cradle. So it comes down to a cosmetic choice, possibly?

The PRO-91 is less than the PRO-90 in many respects, buy considering Radio Shacks current sale price, if money is a problem, it might be your answer!

My choice would be the BC235XLT.

Bill Crocker

N8rxx wrote:
>
> Looking for opinions from people familiar with these scanners: PRO 90, PRO 91
> and BC-235XLT. I'm going to buy one and would like to make an informed
> decision.
>
> Thanks for the help!
> Rafe Barber
> N8RXX

106. Lincoln Park Zoo (Chicago)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chicago@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <357321AD.F4C26F05@hotmail.com>
Source record: 159003

I was just wondering if someone could post Lincoln Park Zoo
Frequencies...

Thanks for your time on this issue..

107. Opinions on Opto Scount & Cub wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: deforger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060121552700.RAA01011@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 159004

I'm considering purchasing a frequency capturing device.I was looking at either the Scount or the Cub. There is a $200 dollar difference in the prices.From what i see the Cub has a greater frequency coverage but it will only do one frequency at a time.The Scout can hold up to 400 frequencies.It can also reaction tune a supported radio(i don't have a radio that is supported at this time,Maybe in the future) I would appreciate any and all opinions,bad or good.

E-mail-- Deforger@aol.com
Thanks in advance
Robert

108. Re: PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: slavjazz@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060122073800.SAA02779@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 159005

Locate the small circuit board at the lower right-hand corner and
find jumper L201.
Cut it.

Radio now has continuous 806-956 MHz coverage
and 30KHz search increments in the cellular band.

109. batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: BrianFlues <bflues@erols.com>
Message-ID: <6kv91s$r81$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 159006

ran into a really nice store for Nicads and if they are in your area you might wish to try them. Batteries Plus this is a franchise operation (about $25,00 for a geographic region yeah, I'm lookin at it) the web address is http://www.batteriesplus.com

$18.00 for a AOR 1500 battery I couldn't find anywhere else.

110. Anyone have a Mdt decoder program for windows95 or dos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: babeesoft@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060122503400.SAA09294@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 159007

Does anyone?? And also that will work with the soundcard not the printer port
thanks

Reply to reinaga@hotmail.com

111. PRO 2050 Questions/Jackson, MS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Mullenix <wmullenix@earthlink.not>
Message-ID: <3574601E.F9CB041F@earthlink.not>
Source record: 158708

Just got the new 2050 TrunkTracker...

Police Call lists over 25 810.xxxx trunked frequencies for the City of Jackson, MS; however the 2050 will not let me enter anything below 850. There are also 25 860.xxxx trunked frequencies listed below the others. The 2050 will let me enter these, and I can listen to (broken) communcations on normal scan mode, but when I switch to TrunkTracker, I hear nothing. It acts as if it can't find the data channel. I can listen to other municipalities trunked systems, however.

My question is...

Is the Data channel in the 810 range? If so, is there a mod to let me enter those frequencies into the trunk tracker?

Does anyone know what type of trunked system / frequencies Jackson, MS uses?

Any help would be appreciated,

Chris Mullenix
==========
Change 'not' to 'net' in e-mail address to reply
==========

112. For Sale Pro 2005 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6l1okm$g08@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158709

selling a Mint Cond pro 2005 Base 400ch scanner has cell mod looks and
works Great!! selling for $300.00 plus shipping email me ...
comes with Ant...

113. Re: Where to get scanner parts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35746731.153220272@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158710

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

djudd@shore.net (dan) wrote:

> I need a volume control for a 200xlt. Where can i locate a vender?

Uniden Customer Service in Indianapolis, IN.

Call 1-800-555-1212 and get their number.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

114. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <35746867.FB6D10AB@pacbell.net>
Source record: 158711

You forgot:

8) Rouge Cancels
9) Posting Off Topic

MAlexder wrote:

> >John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
>
> >
> >Yes, it is sad when people do what you have mentioned.
>
> Yes, Quack Mac, you should know. You posted three messages today, all with the
> intent to incite trouble.
>
> He should have mentioned your favorite activities:
> 1) Electronic stalking
> 2) Forging posts
> 3) Impersonations
> 4) Flaming
> 5) Lying
> 6) Censorship
> 7) Trouble making
>
> Let me know if I omitted anything Quackie.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

115. Re: PRO 2050 Questions/Jackson, MS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@popd.Accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <35746EF7.16E0@popd.Accesscom.com>
Source record: 158712

Chris Mullenix wrote:
>
> Just got the new 2050 TrunkTracker...
>
> Police Call lists over 25 810.xxxx trunked frequencies for the City of
> Jackson, MS; however the 2050 will not let me enter anything below 850.
> There are also 25 860.xxxx trunked frequencies listed below the others.
> The 2050 will let me enter these, and I can listen to (broken)
> communcations on normal scan mode, but when I switch to TrunkTracker, I
> hear nothing. It acts as if it can't find the data channel. I can listen
> to other municipalities trunked systems, however.
>
> My question is...
>
> Is the Data channel in the 810 range? If so, is there a mod to let me
> enter those frequencies into the trunk tracker?
>
> Does anyone know what type of trunked system / frequencies Jackson, MS
> uses?
>
> Any help would be appreciated,
>
> Chris Mullenix
> ==============================
> Change 'not' to 'net' in e-mail address to reply
> ==============================

Chris;

Unfortunatly Jackson, MS is an Ericsson EDACS system. The Trunktracker
will only work with Motorola systems.

Regards

Ed

116. Re: FS-AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@carroll.com
Message-ID: <35745b25.6239150@news>
Source record: 158713

On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 19:25:25 -0700, Bro <cipher@mcn.org> wrote:

>
>
>Richard O. Kinkead wrote:
>
>> Probably meant $590
>
> Still too high...
>

The soon-to -be-released AOR 8200s will sell for around $585.00 US

117. Re: seattle trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6l1s3l$cm3@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 158714

Check these sites: http://www.trunktracker.com/states/wa.html
http://www.bearcat1.com/fleetwa.htm
http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/wa.html
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm (this one has info links, too)

They don't all agree with each other, so you may have to add em up and
divide by 4

Harry Marnell, N6URU
marnells@snowcrest.net
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

Keith wrote in message <357333e6.50786865@news.earthlink.net>...
>Help. I want to listen to the trunked fire police in tacoma and
>seattle with my new rs pro 91 150 channel. Any help would be greatly
>appreciated. Keith

118. TRADE: Pro 2050 TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Mullenix <wmullenix@earthlink.not>
Message-ID: <35747872.569B4369@earthlink.not>
Source record: 158715

Considering that Jackson, MS is on a Ericsson EDACS system, I don't need this brand new Pro 2050 TrunkTracker. I like the 300mhz aircraft band anyway. I would like to trade for a Pro 2005/6. (Cellular mod NOT necessary). I used to have the 2005 and I don't think they come any better than this.

I know Radio Shack has the 2050 on sale this week, so I will entertain any offer (and make up the difference in cash). Please email me if you're interested.

Thank you,
Chris Mullenix
==========
Change 'not' to 'net' in email address to reply
==========

119. FS ICOM R-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: firecomm@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060222222400.SAA18735@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158716

Hi

I have a ICOM R-1 handheld communications receiver for sale.
Full open 100KHz to 1300 MHz.
With 2 - 7.2 volt batts and charger.
Taking best offer.

Thanks

120. Tapping Pro-43 discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: weisen-jmREMOVE@ai.mit.edu
Message-ID: <6l1uv4$2mr$1@entertainment-tonight.ai.mit.edu>
Source record: 158717
Cross-posted to: tnn.comm.pager,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Can anyone who has tapped the baseband audio (before the FM discriminator) in a Pro-43 tell me which of the three levels of the circuit board the relevant chip is on? Also, is it clear which one is pin 9? I have hazy directions and I'd rather desolder as little as possible.

Thanks,
Neil

p.s. -- Remove the word REMOVE in my address about to respond, or just post to the group. Sorry for the inconvenience, but until SPAM gets under control...

--

Neil Weisenfeld | http://www.mit.edu/~weisen | mailto:weisen@mit.edu
---
MIT AI Lab / Harvard-MIT Div of Health Sci Tech | +1 (617) 253-8827

121. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: matrix5@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6l21cs$4su$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158718

In article <35743FCA.7E34@parg.org>,
ka0ssf@parg.org wrote:
>
> tjh wrote:
> > hmm looks like someones is just a little jelous if you knew i think you
> > would post them on here i think mr cheeks has alot of good advice not
> > many people do any more besides i think you are the LOSER cause you
> > screwed up you scanner and blaming him well not all can do mods TJ
>
> The poor grammar & demented opinions of this individual only serve
> to prove that there really is a fool born every minute.
>

Agreed. Is it that hard to use punctuation and form sentences? Maybe we
should all just run our sentences together i dont think i could do this too
long tho the temptation to hit the . key is too great =p

MaTriX

MaTriX

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

122. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: matrix5@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6l21g1$54e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158719

In article <35743F59.648B@parg.org>,
ka0ssf@parg.org wrote:
>
> Jack Close wrote:
> > John, get off your fat ass and stop looking in mirrors when you post.
> > Everything from you in this newgroup is a reflection of an idiot.
>
> ONE MORE POST LIKE THIS FROM YOU & I WILL REPORT YOU TO THE SYSOP OF
> YOUR WARPGATE SYSTEM. We don't need you mindless cursing & swearing
> & attempting to cause trouble on this NG.
>

Would the sys admin really care? I would think he has better things to do
than enforce no swearing rules on usenet. Just a thot.

MaTriX

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

123. Icom PCR1000 (more bandscope ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JM BRM <cmb@mail.telepac.pt>
Message-ID: <01bd8f37$364affe0$64e741c2@host.telepac.pt>
Source record: 158720

Can i vew more than 400Khz of band scope ? The original software only is possible

a spectrum analysis of 400Khz.

If you can help, tanks. JM Nunes

124. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35748333.D474919@ibm.net>
Source record: 158721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the radio newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
*Radio Discussion Lists
*Radio Modifications
*Radio Shack On-line Manuals
*Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
*Used Scanner Price Guide
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Newly added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM

Happy reading!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells
N2MCA
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/

125. Scanner info. at Major Web

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major <major@peop.tdsnet.com>
Message-ID: <6l21b2$cji@news2.tds.net>
Source record: 158722

```
If you want some information about scanners, then go to "Major Web"
You'll find ten codes, frequency info., etc.
It's a great site.
```

126. F.S.PRO-26 SCANNER 25-1300MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russell Smith <rusty@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <3574887C.5F4ABC0D@direct.ca>
Source record: 158723

```
PRO-26 HAND HELD SCANNER
200 CHANNELS,25-1300MHZ
HYPERSCAN,SOLD FOR $449 NEW
$200 US
I WILL SHIP COD FED EX,
THANKS RUSS,
```

127. Re: Regency Scanners available again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jackid@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <35748922.6761@gwis.com>
Source record: 158724

Cathy De Viney wrote:
>
> I have read many comments/reviews that say they are very good scanners
> (with lots of great features), but the negative comments indicate the
> need to switch to triple conversion.
>
> CATHY
>
> On Mon, 1 Jun 1998 21:41:46 -0500, "Cris Hanna" <crishanna@aol.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Cathy
> >
> >Current receivers are double conversion. Spoke with Technical Support
> >Manager at RELM today...he does not indicate a plan for Triple Conversion
> >receivers but will continue to suggest it to them and let you know if they
> >come up with one.
> >
> >Cris
> >
> >
> >Cathy De Viney wrote in message <357162db.3838007@news2.ipa.net>...
> >>Let us know when they switch to triple conversion...will consider
> >>making a purchase at that time.
> >>
> >>CATHY
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
I just installed a Relm MS-200 in my van. Great audio, great
sensitivity, but WAY too much interference. Especially, because I live
in a rural area. I really would not recommend this radio to anyone.

128. WTB KENWOOD RZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: BJ <bp1993@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <35748B9C.A5454B8C@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 158725

BOB

129. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <357480c3.4419979@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158726

On Tue, 02 Jun 1998 06:50:47 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> It does appear that the Mackey family tree doesn't have
>> enough forks in it.
>
>George-
>
>Perhaps you should take one of the forks & stick it!

That sounds like an obscene suggestion to me.
Hey, it's not my fault if your family tree is more
linear than geometric.

130. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <35749A30.19899DF3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 158727

Daniel Abranko wrote:

> In article <35ab9b96.4469771@ladder01.news.aol.com>, no@mail.please (Paul)
> wrote:
>
> >Just this morning on my way in to the office. I hear the MA state police
> (C4 on
> >the old lowband) calling to any cruiser on 495S in the Hopkington area.
> >
> >Dispatch calls to the answering cruiser:
> >
> >"I have a TT [tractor trailer] operator on the line with me now and he says
> >there's a black SAAB pulling up next to him and the other truckers. (slight
> >pause) And the operator of the SAAB is naked."
> >
> >As luck would have it, a cruiser was less than a mile away and they stopped the
> >car within 2 minutes. Turns out this was pretty lucky, because it turns out
> >this guy was from Hopkington and probably would have made it home otherwise as
> >he was within a mile of his exit.
> >
> >No funny comments from the trooper that made the stop, but they ran a Board of
> >Parole check on this guy and he had a 1982 Indecent Exposure charge......
> Gee, I
> >wonder what took him so long to get caught again ?!
>
> dammit...
> I think that you should be allowed to do whatever you want in your own car...
> I mean whats wrong with driving naked?
>
> -Daniel
>

I don't see anything wrong with it either but... it seems like it is always
some dumpy guy and never some beautiful chic... Well I guess the chic's never get
reported do they?? :-).. Mum's the word baby!! Best wishes.. Mike

> --
> Remove xxx from my address to reply.

131. FS: Bearcat BC895XLT New In Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Fuelling <74562.3020@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#j80Mcoj9GA.262@nih2naac.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 158728

For Sale: New In Box Bearcat BC895XLT Trunk Tracking Scanner

Never Used. I bought it to set up for police radio in my area
and never had time to do it. Decided to get rid of it.

$200 including shipping/COD charges anywhere in continental US.

E-mail if interested.

--
Scott Fuelling
74562.3020@compuserve.com

132. FS: RS DX-394 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Fuelling <74562.3020@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <uKFR6coj9GA.292@nih2naac.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 158729

For Sale: Radio Shack DX-394 Receiver

Received as a gift from a relative. Never used. New in box.

$150.00 including shipping/COD charges anywhere in continental
US.

E-mail if interested.

--
Scott Fuelling
74562.3020@compuserve.com

133. Re: PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3574A2B7.5798@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158730

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> Andrew Putnam <putnam@ainop.com> wrote:
>
> > I am looking to get cell phones with my 2026. Can anyone help me out?
>
> Maybe. Depends on when that radio was
> brought into the USA. If before March, 1994,
> then clip L-201.
>
> So if yours has L-201, cool. If not, well ????
>

If not then you can take advantage of the 2026's normally annoying dual conversion circuitry and pick up cell (not all of course, but enough to have some fun) by searching 896.1125 to 910-920MHz. It will pick up pagers, trunked control channels, data channels and just about every other source of interference imaginable, BUT it will also get cell phones. Just keep jabbing at the search buttons until you get one.

This also works on most Rat Shack and Uniden scanners as well. Don't tell Washington.... Shhhhhh.... ;-)

-Phil

134. Radio Shack Pro 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: STEVEN W NEUMANN <SNEUMANN1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6l28ba$38n8$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 158731
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,dc.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Excellent Condition pro 2026. 100 Channel,
l/h/uhf/air/marine/wx/800, Bracket, Power Cord, Bracket No Manual

$75.00 Shipped
or What Have You

Steve

135. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3574A6DF.E6EA1138@netwit.com>
Source record: 158732

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Bro wrote:
> > But just to keep on topic, how about that AR8200?!!?
>
> Excellent point you ahv brought up, thansk for your
> support!
>
> How sad it is, I brought up an issue holding someone responsible
> for being off topic & causing trouble. Then about 20 posts
> are made from irresponsible individuals which were totally
> off topic.

You friggin' loon: "Excellent point you have brought up, thanks for your support?"

Hey loopy; his "excellent point" is an assertion that your family lineage is comprised of continuous and marrying of brother and sister for generation after generation. The butt of the joke (*YOU* Mackey), is that you're a product of strict inbreeding.

How 'bout I repost the message again? (Maybe this time you'll understand it.)

Although I agree it's an excellent post, It's at your expense.

You friggin' loon.

136. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3574A8B5.5D221BB0@netwit.com>
Source record: 158733

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Jack Close wrote:
> > John, get off your fat ass and stop looking in mirrors when you post.
> > Everything from you in this newgroup is a reflection of an idiot.
>
> ONE MORE POST LIKE THIS FROM YOU & I WILL REPORT YOU TO THE SYSOP OF
> YOUR WARPGATE SYSTEM. We don't need you mindless cursing & swearing
> & attempting to cause trouble on this NG.

We???? What's this "we" stuff? Get this: You don't control anything anyone does on this NG! Did you get that Loon? You're reputation is dirt. You're a little mental case running around with an internet account. I'd give anything to see ya toiling away at whatever menial job you do for a living. I'm thinking maintenance. Some kind of custodial work. Some type of job that requires you to toil far away from the public.

You friggin' loon.

137. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3574A402.7673DA57@earthlink.net>
Source record: 158734

Daniel Abranko wrote:

> In article <35ab9b96.4469771@ladder01.news.aol.com>, no@mail.please (Paul)
> wrote:
>
> >Just this morning on my way in to the office. I hear the MA state police
> (C4 on
> >the old lowband) calling to any cruiser on 495S in the Hopkington area.
> >
> >Dispatch calls to the answering cruiser:
> >
> >"I have a TT [tractor trailer] operator on the line with me now and he says
> >there's a black SAAB pulling up next to him and the other truckers. (slight
> >pause) And the operator of the SAAB is naked."
> >
> >As luck would have it, a cruiser was less than a mile away and they stopped the
> >car within 2 minutes. Turns out this was pretty lucky, because it turns out
> >this guy was from Hopkington and probably would have made it home otherwise as
> >he was within a mile of his exit.
> >
> >No funny comments from the trooper that made the stop, but they ran a Board of
> >Parole check on this guy and he had a 1982 Indecent Exposure charge......
> Gee, I
> >wonder what took him so long to get caught again ?!
>
> dammit...
> I think that you should be allowed to do whatever you want in your own car...
> I mean whats wrong with driving naked?
>
> -Daniel
>
> --
> Remove xxx from my address to reply.

138. John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3574AC0D.5B10@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158735

I have been in this NG for a long time and I have never in all my life seen anyone with such a big mouth use so many words to say so little.

John, who are you trying to impress? What are you trying to prove? Are you going for the Guiness World Record for most enemies gathered in one lifetime?

I don't get it John. You sputter on incessantly about "let's make the newsgroup a friendly place" and "Bill Cheek is calling me names again..." and on and on and on. Then when this NG actually IS a nice place and Bill ISN'T calling you names again, you come out of your hole and start snapping at everyones heels again. Make up your mind, genius. You either want to start trouble or you don't. Pick a stance and stay with it instead of being a wishy washy little insect.

And speaking of newsgroups, this one has something that you may or may not have realized it has - A TOPIC!!! Care to guess at what it is? No, it's not "piss off Bill Cheek". No it's not "call everyone a fool". No, it isn't "post the same driveling horsecrap 250 times a day" either. It's about something which your total personal knowledge of wouldn't fill half of a page - scanners.

I have seen people try it all on you. You have been insulted, banned, kicked, laughed at, teased and ignored. Nothing works. Still you are here.

So now I have decided to give what you seem to want SO BADLY - a fight. Go ahead. Post away. I will make you eat every single word. You will be so completely humiliated, so absolutely overwhelmed with feelings of worthlessness. I will make you feel as hopeless and dejected as you did when you were stood up or dumped by every woman within 3 states. I will make you feel worse than when those kids would come beat you up for your lunch money. (Say, wasn't that...yesterday?) I will make you feel worse than when you got fired from McDonalds for incompetence. I will even make you feel worse than you did when Bill punted you from Fido-net.

Go on, try me, you worthless little worm. I will make you cry for your mommy. You'll be calling the suicide hotline so many times they'll finally say "Oh just go do it and get it over with John". And I will do it all without saying ONE foul word. No bans, no sysop involvement, nothing. Just you and me, tough guy - I will not rest until you shut up.

Do yourself a favor. Go back to posting in alt.fetish.hamster, and save yourself from the verbal beating of a lifetime.

-Phil

139. Re: TRADE: Pro 2050 TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHANEKLUG <SHANEKLUG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3574AE17.7FCC@prodigy.net>
Source record: 158736

Chris Mullenix wrote:
>
> Considering that Jackson, MS is on a Ericsson EDACS system, I don't need
> this brand new Pro 2050 TrunkTracker. I like the 300mhz aircraft band
> anyway. I would like to trade for a Pro 2005/6. (Cellular mod NOT
> necessary). I used to have the 2005 and I don't think they come any
> better than this.
>
> I know Radio Shack has the 2050 on sale this week, so I will entertain
> any offer (and make up the difference in cash). Please email me if
> you're interested.
>
> Thank you,
> Chris Mullenix
> ==========
> Change 'not' to 'net' in email address to reply
> ==========
dont be so quick to unload the 2050... although i dont own one.. from
what i hear, it is basiclly a base version of the pro90/bc235... which
make excellent analog scanners as well as trunk scanners... and with 300
chnls.. its a good radio... i use my bc235 for both trunk tracking and
fire scanning... works great...

Shane

140. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3574AD88.620F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158737

John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit wrote:

> The poor grammar & demented opinions of this individual only serve
> to prove that there really is a fool born every minute.

That's right John! A fool is born every minute. Millions every year.
Completely worthless, aren't they?

Judging by your own poor grammar and demented opinions I'd say you're
worth your weight in fools.

Just shut up already.
-Phil

141. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3574AE50.1C0E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158738

America's Funniest Home Videos winner John Mackey wrote:

> This is an issue that YOU should attempt to NOT be a part of.

Ahh yes.. More of the pot and kettle. Allow us further into your domain
of ignorant bliss with more of your meaningless dreck, John.
-Phil

142. FS or T AX-400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: BJ <bp1993@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <3574BA2D.4DC532EE@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 158739

SELL MY STANDARD AX-400 WIDE BAND RECEIVER OR TRADE FOR RADIO ????? FULL COVERAGE 500KHZ TO 1300MHZ.

SAME RADIO AS THE WELZ WS1000e

SELL FOR 350

Bob

143. Official AR8200 page:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bro <cipher@mcn.org>
Message-ID: <3574BD07.FBCB2F76@mcn.org>
Source record: 158740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.aorja.com/ar8200e.html
Has detailed Spec report in Acrobat format.

144. Radio Cybertwit/Mackey's Pissed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3574C5B5.36574501@netwit.com>
Source record: 158741

Phil Smalley wrote:

> I have been in this NG for a long time and I have never in all my life
> seen anyone with such a big mouth use so many words to say so little.
>
> John, who are you trying to impress? What are you trying to prove? Are
> you going for the Guiness World Record for most enemies gathered in one
> lifetime?
>
> I don't get it John. You sputter on incessantly about "let's make the
> newsgroup a friendly place" and "Bill Cheek is calling me names
> again..." and on and on and on. Then when this NG actually IS a nice
> place and Bill ISN'T calling you names again, you come out of your hole
> and start snapping at everyones heels again. Make up your mind, genius.
> You either want to start trouble or you don't. Pick a stance and stay
> with it instead of being a wishy washy little insect.
>
> And speaking of newsgroups, this one has something that you may or may
> not have realized it has - A TOPIC!!! Care to guess at what it is? No,
> it's not "piss off Bill Cheek". No it's not "call everyone a fool". No,
> it isn't "post the same driveling horsecrap 250 times a day" either.
> It's about something which your total personal knowledge of wouldn't
> fill half of a page - scanners.
>
> I have seen people try it all on you. You have been insulted, banned,
> kicked, laughed at, teased and ignored. Nothing works. Still you are
> here.
>
> So now I have decided to give what you seem to want SO BADLY - a fight.
> Go ahead. Post away. I will make you eat every single word. You will be
> so completely humiliated, so absolutely overwhelmed with feelings of
> worthlessness. I will make you feel as hopeless and dejected as you did
> when you were stood up or dumped by every woman within 3 states. I will
> make you feel worse than when those kids would come beat you up for your
> lunch money. (Say, wasn't that...yesterday?) I will make you feel worse
> than when you got fired from McDonalds for incompetence. I will even
> make you feel worse than you did when Bill punted you from Fido-net.
>
> Go on, try me, you worthless little worm. I will make you cry for your
> mommy. You'll be calling the suicide hotline so many times they'll
> finally say "Oh just go do it and get it over with John". And I will do
> it all without saying ONE foul word. No bans, no sysop involvement,
> nothing. Just you and me, tough guy - I will not rest until you shut up.
>

> Do yourself a favor. Go back to posting in alt.fetish.hamster, and save
> yourself from the verbal beating of a lifetime.
>
> -Phil

Whoo-hooooooo! Don't have much of a comment. But I quoted it *all* out of admiration of the effort. Phil's obviously a normally benign lurker provoked out of silence. And Phil writes wickedly!

Whaddya think John? Here's a person of style and intelligence who finds you as appealing as warm cat puke. Your lack of charm spans a fairly wide demographic (ie - everyone hates you).

Tell me, John: Have you learned how to fire that shotgun in your closet with your big toe yet?

145. Is a BC200XLT a better scanner then today's ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Smith <global@theisp.net>
Message-ID: <3574c413.0@news.theisp.net>
Source record: 158742

Is the Uniden Bearcat BC200XLT scanner with 800mhz better then any handheld scanner today at radio shack? Am I gonna be limited on a new scanner?

146. PRO 2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: orion <orion@terraworld.net>
Message-ID: <6l2gf9$88s$1@opal.southwind.net>
Source record: 158743

Are there any modifications for the PRO 2046?

Thank you

147. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3574EA22.E795B03D@swbell.net>
Source record: 158744

I see John Mackey is back to his same garbage , here is the place to report him security@mci.net include any email or replies to your post when reporting him.

Now to get on topic, Is radio shack coming out with a newer model trunk tracker to replace the current models on sale?
Which is better unidens or radio shacks model?

--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not constitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above. Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied. Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

148. Modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: abboud <ahbood@beepme.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8ea6$7fd7b400$233d61ce@Ahbood.pageme.beepme.com>
Source record: 158745

```
Is there any modifications that i can do to the bearcat BC2500xlt to make
it recieve all the bands.
--
http://www.beepme.com
```

149. Re: seattle trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Klotz <not@available.net>
Message-ID: <6l2k0j$e9d$1@argentina.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158746

Try this site:

http://www.wolfenet.com/~daydream/html/freq.html
--

Dale

Keith wrote in message <357333e6.50786865@news.earthlink.net>...
>Help. I want to listen to the trunked fire police in tacoma and
>seattle with my new rs pro 91 150 channel. Any help would be greatly
>appreciated. Keith

150. AR3000A SERVICE MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: doug <cam@larscom.com>
Message-ID: <3574DA20.7472@larscom.com>
Source record: 158747

I'M LOOKING FOR AR3000A SCANNER SERVICE MANUAL,THANK YOU.
CAM

151. Re: FS: RS DX-394 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: revRecluse@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6l2nsa$i5o$1@newsd-121.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158748

hey scott- i`d love to take that off your hands if it`s still available.
kelly mcconkey

152. Re: FS: Bearcat BC895XLT New In Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: revRecluse@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6l2nv5$i62$1@newsd-121.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158749

scott- i`d love to take that off your hands too if that`s cool. $325 for both? kelly mcconkey

153. Monterey County, CA Freqs Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas S. Calannio" <tom@spgc.com>
Message-ID: <3574EE49.1DAA962E@spgc.com>
Source record: 158750
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: vcard.vcf

Does anyone have a list of frequency's for Monterey County, CA?

Thanks,
Tom

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

154. Re: Official AR8200 page:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ka3jjz@erols.com" <ka3jjz@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3574A4AB.3BB3@erols.com>
Source record: 158751
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bro wrote:
>
> http://www.aorja.com/ar8200e.html
> Has detailed Spec report in Acrobat format.
Unfortunatly this page has several paging faults- does anyone have a
URL for this that works? 73s Mike ka3jjz@erols.com

155. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <9c8_9806022322@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158752

On 01 Jun 98 23:50:47, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

JM> George Vetterle wrote:
> It does appear that the Mackey family tree doesn't have
> enough forks in it.

JM> George-
JM>
JM> Perhaps you should take one of the forks & stick it!

Perhaps you'd enjoy it if he stuck up it your fat ass.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mike Allen 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

156. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <9c7_9806022322@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158753

On 01 Jun 98 23:54:32, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

JM> The TWO of you (pja & allsafe) only seem to be making every attempt
JM> to cause MORE problems, AGAIN! PLEASE prove this to be wrong & redeem

Funny, that's the impression EVERYONE else in this newsgroup has about you.

And you just try to prove that to be wrong.

... Mackey is a perfect example of evolution in reverse.
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mike Allen 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

157. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <9cc_9806022322@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158754

On 02 Jun 98 11:14:23, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

JM> Hang in there, the attention seekers will leave eventually.

I hope your departure is very, very soon, you freaking jackass.

... Mackey, you are depriving a village somewhere of an idiot.
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mike Allen 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

158. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <9ca_9806022322@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158755

On 02 Jun 98 11:07:56, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

JM> The poor grammar & demented opinions of this individual only serve
JM> to prove that there really is a fool born every minute.

The last fool born on this earth was when your mother dropped you on
the pavement, many, many years ago.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mike Allen 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

159. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <9cb_9806022322@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158756

On 02 Jun 98 11:03:22, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

JM> How sad it is, I brought up an issue holding someone responsible
JM> for being off topic & causing trouble. Then about 20 posts
JM> are made from irresponsible individuals which were totally
JM> off topic.

And the 500 more off-topic posts from a jackass named John Mackey complaining
about the off-topic posts.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mike Allen 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

160. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <9c9_9806022322@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158757

On 02 Jun 98 11:06:03, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

JM> ONE MORE POST LIKE THIS FROM YOU & I WILL REPORT YOU TO THE SYSOP OF
JM> YOUR WARPGATE SYSTEM. We don't need you mindless cursing & swearing
JM> & attempting to cause trouble on this NG.

They why do idiot brains like you quote it back. Your shoe size must be an indication of your IQ. Perhaps you'll appreciate it when we notify your ISP that you are quoting cursing and swearing in your messages, shit face.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mike Allen 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

161. Re: speech inverters... your comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: istar123@istar.ca
Message-ID: <pONc1.3$HQ6.23861@NewsRead.Toronto.iSTAR.net>
Source record: 158758
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thats all they do....ie if ur on SSB and its LSB,all others have to do
is go to the USB and tune in,and there u are and vice versa...the best
place to use it is on FM...cya Eric

"D.B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net> wrote:

>I just ordered a speech inverter *unscrambler*....Looking for comments
>and sugestions on any and all.....whats your opinion and which do you
>think is the best?
>When I get mine, I will post my findings here:
>http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/scramble.htm
>Please send me your findings.
>
>--
>Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
>Or just click on the address below!
>mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net
>Web site at:
>http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp

162. Re: Encoded Signal:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: istar123@istar.ca
Message-ID: <DXNc1.5$HQ6.23861@NewsRead.Toronto.iSTAR.net>
Source record: 158759
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hmmmm this is probably when they send data to the cars computer,ie a file of the incident,and esp if they don't want to waste all the time talking..so the data just comes into the cars pc,and the guys see it there and know where or what to do next..it could be ax25 data,ie packet at 2400 baud..I never investigated it too much...

Bro <cipher@mcn.org> wrote:

>This is either from a Police or CHP channel. The officer will say
>something or other. Then the station will notify him of an encoded
>transmission I guess. Then you here static, but it's some encoded
>message. It's like you detuned your channel, but it stops, and goes.
>What is it, and is there any software for it?

163. Re: Opinion on Opto Scout & Cub wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D.B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3573A7DA.2A1C@qsl.net>
Source record: 158760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Deforger wrote:
>
> I'm considering purchasing a frequency capturing device.I was looking at either
> the Scount or the Cub. There is a $200 dollar difference in the prices.From
> what i see the Cub has a greater frequency coverage but it will only do one
> frequency at a time.The Scout can hold up to 400 frequencies.It can also
> reaction tune a supported radio(i don't have a radio that is supported at this
> time,Maybe in the future) I would appreciate any and all opinions,bad or good.
>
> E-mail-- Deforger@aol.com
> Thanks in advance
> Robert

Robert....I will have my Cub page back up soon....What I can tell you is
most likly the same stuff you know.
The Cub of course is cheaper and does not have several of the function
the Scout has.
No memory storage
No viberate

The Cub has more coverage.

The Scout is one hell of a freq counter but if you don't have the money
or don't plan on using the reaction tuning its a waste of money....this
counter was made to be used for that reason. If you plan on buying one
of the expensive scanners to go with it, then definatly get the
Scout.....if not then don't waste your money....the Cub is great for the
lower buget, not so rich scanner nut!

The Cub is very sensitive and can grab freq's from distances you won't
believe....if you live near a ridge where paging towers are and FM radio
transmitters you will be pissed! They are very strong signals and will
give readings when you don't want them to.

For the Price of the Scount you can have *Two* Cubs and a bunch of
eccessories!

Remember------Just My Opinion!
Oh yea.....stay away from Startek and Radio Shacks counters. I have had
them all and the Opto's are the best!

--
Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
Or just click on the address below!
mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net

Web site at:
http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp

164. Canadian Scanner buffs,Fed Gov freq site is running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: istar123@istar.ca
Message-ID: <rMNc1.2$HQ6.23861@NewsRead.Toronto.iSTAR.net>
Source record: 158761

Folks...if you want to search for a local freq in your area,or
download a entire file,go to this site.From now on,you do not have to
worry about some small run of the mill thing going on,on the web
here,our Fed Gov now has the site going very smoothly and you will
enjoy it very much...make good use of it,and don't abuse it,as we
don't want to loose it..here is the main site and if you want to visit
a good search site for getting ur town,ur area of interest,then thats
the second one...have a good day..
Gov site.....http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/tafl/tafl-e/tafledat.html

private search link.....http://neon.ingenia.ca/~darkwing/tafl/

cya now...

165. Re: 10 repeater freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: istar123@istar.ca
Message-ID: <%UNc1.4$HQ6.23861@NewsRead.Toronto.iSTAR.net>
Source record: 158762

29.660 ,29.650 and others maybe...

chuckm@zocalo.com (Chuck Meyer) wrote:

>In article <19980526182921OO.OAA29701@ladder03.news.aol.com>,
>chfbutnoka@aol.com (ChfButNoka) wrote:

>> looking for 10 meter repeater frequencies.any info can be e-mailed to me.
>>
>> tanx!!
>> Brass Monkey

>Browse the following:
>http://www.ac6v.com/index.html

>-- Chuck

166. Re: PD Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: istar123@istar.ca
Message-ID: <sYNc1.6$HQ6.23861@NewsRead.Toronto.iSTAR.net>
Source record: 158763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check your FCC database and if its like the Canadian model,it'll let
you search for a area of interest...

chuckiex@ibm.net (Charles McCallister) wrote:

>Brenham, Texas has a nice lake nearby called Somerville that I go to
>on the weekends.

>Does anyone have any of the freqs for this area, Sheriff, Constable,
>State Trooper, or local PD?

>Thanks!

>Charles

>To reply, remove the "x" in my address

167. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3573b156.106652909@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158764

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: alt.comp.hardware,comp.hardware,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Mike#Kilo_Alpha_Tango_Zulu <mcguyver@bv.net> wrote:

> 2 - 8MB 72pin EDO Simms, each, $22.50, both for $40.00 plus 3.00
> SHIPPING

You're kidding?

You can buy a 32-MB EDO SIMM for around $35 now.

168. Re: Winradio Etc..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3574b220.106854842@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158765

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John" <mcdermon@MCI2000.com> wrote:

> Dave,
>
> I was aware of the Winradio for some time, but it never interested me as I
> just thought a radio built on the ISA bus, INSIDE a PC was not a basic good
> design.

The design doesn't have much to do with its
fit into an ISA slot. Physical, maybe. But
in terms of electronics, the matter is moot.

I'll tell you what.....INSIDE a PC, especially a modern one,
is a lot quieter of a place than outside one, especially
near a monitor and some other devices I can think of.

> Times are a'changin, and the ISA bus will
> soon be a relic of computer evolution (replaced by the PCI and AGP bus) just
> like so much other PC hardware has evolved over the past 15 or so years.

There is too much legacy equipment out there for
the public (and business) to tolerate a sudden
shift. True, the ISA bus is going....going....
going....going....but it will be a while before
it is gone. Meanwhile, talk to people who ARE
using WiNRADiO's ISA version. It works. And
it works fine.

> I happened upon an ad for the PCR-1000 and immediately one in January
> simply because I figured Icom was a solid company

That much is true.......

> and the radio sounded so intriguing.

That it does......

> I am a computer programmer,

And Icom refuses to support programmers, so?????

> so it sounded like an ideal way to
> merge two hobbies. Initially it all went well and I've enjoyed the radio a
> lot. I had sold an AR8000 and since I rarely used it as a portable anyway,

> the PCR-1000 has met my needs well.

Even being slower than a drunk slug?

> and obviously the radio internal settings can be easily
> corrupted by experimenting.

Someone else suggested that....but the problem does
not seem widespread, so that's not one of the Icom's
glaring faults.

> Anyway, one of my biggest frustrations with
> the radio is that Icom has been so tight-lipped about the command protocols.

Seems a LOT of companies haven't learned the IBM-PC/compatible
and Open Architecture Lesson yet,

WiNRADiO did, though. They support programmers.
And....they respond in a timely fashion to e-mail
and other communications. Does Icom?

> Good luck with your choice. Personally, if Winradio is coming out with an
> external box, I'd wait to see that before investing...

They are. But the best buy...the most bang for the
buck will probably be with the ISA version since it
is tried and true, debugged, and stable as a rock.

And it works.......

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

169. Re: Orange Book of Scanner Repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3575b4b8.107519267@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158766

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Ken" <ken@kenselectronics.com> wrote:

> If you want to learn more about fixing or modifying police scanners you can
> learn a lot from the Orange Book ....

Hey ya, Ken!

Howzzit happening, dude? Life treating ya
okay? I see you got caught up with the times
and got yourself on the 'Net! Good for you!
I was beginning to think Kalama was only a zoo!

heh heh heh!

Welcome to the newsgroup!

Are you still carrying parts for old, defunct
CB radios and such? As I recollect, you used
to be the sole source for Robyn, Demco, and
some other old CB radio repair parts.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

170. Re: speech inverters... your comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3577b7d0.108310762@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158767

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"D.B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net> wrote:

> I just ordered a speech inverter *unscrambler*....Looking for comments
> and sugestions on any and all.....whats your opinion and which do you
> think is the best?
> When I get mine, I will post my findings here:
> http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/scramble.htm
> Please send me your findings.

Worthless as mammary glands on a boar hog and
hips on a snake and hair on a fish.

No agencies except maybe a few hicks in the sticks
use speech inversion anymore.

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

171. Re: PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3576b5e6.107821147@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158768

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Andrew Putnam <putnam@ainop.com> wrote:

> I am looking to get cell phones with my 2026. Can anyone help me out?

Maybe. Depends on when that radio was
brought into the USA. If before March, 1994,
then clip L-201.

If after March 1994, it will have empty pads
for L-201....meaning it's gone and you're S.O.L.
An Act of CongressCritters forbade scanners
with cellular or capable of cell mods after
March 1994. So in midstream, they popped in
a new CPU that didn't have cell capability
at all.

So if yours has L-201, cool. If not, well ????

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

172. Re: FS-AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will McArthur <investigator_@technologist.com>
Message-ID: <6l0fs2fad1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 158769

Bn117ls wrote in message
<1998060106584200.CAA26236@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>I have a slightly used AR8000. <1year old. The radio has 1000 channels and
is a
>handheld. Computer adaptable. It is the cadillac of radio scanners.E-mail
me
>if interested. Price is $950.00

I've been saving my $ to buy one or ... The point is they are $500 new just
about anywhere! The dealer I'm talking to is also going to include the
cable and wares.

173. U.S. MARSHALLS T.G's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: efjoseph@asacomp.com
Message-ID: <6l0k6v$acm@news.asacomp.com>
Source record: 158770

Doe's anyone have the tg codes for the col's,Oh
office of the us marshalls I understand that they have four talkgroups
on the col's 800 trunk system.

Eugene.

174. Re: Where's the best place to buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <4yTFJJByQ9c1EwYr@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 158771

In article <6kvo8gtgj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, wplanck@netusa1.net writes

Hi Bill,

>Where's the best place to buy a new Bearcat 9000XLT? I emailed Jonathan
>at Javiation a week ago but received no reply.

I have your e-mail with regard to the PRO-2042 (24 May) but nothing I'm
afraid regarding the 9000XLT.

Copies sent by E-mail also.

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

175. Re: NEXTEL Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <3573DE12.264596D8@iname.com>
Source record: 158772

BLACKJJACK@webtv.net wrote:
>
> I live in Mich and a business I enjoyed listening to I think has gone to
> the Nextel communications. Are they like a cellular type communication
> or are they digital? Also is there a way to listen to Nextel on a
> scanner in the 800mhz range?
> Sorry for the stupidity but I do miss this business. E~MAIL with
> replies!
> THANK YOU
>

Nextel Radios are 800mhz range, digital radios/cellphones.
You won't be listening to them with anything currently on the market...
Good Luck

176. Re: WHO MAKES THE BEST PORTABLE RADIO SCANNR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <3573E108.96C2366A@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 158773

Cyrus the Vyrus wrote:
> Im new at this

Sounds like you could make use of my New Users FAQ listed below
in my signature. And perhaps my Getting Started web page as well :

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/STARTING.HTM

> I was wondering which company makes the best

'best' is highly subjective, so you'll be better off to
find out what's out there, what you want in a radio, how
much you're willing to pay and find a model that seems
to fit your needs.

> portable radio scanners. So far I know only two brands, Kenwood
> and Uniden.

Kenwood is not really in the 'scanner' market. They make a wide
range of amateur radio gear though. As for scanners, there are 12
manufacturers making models to vie for your business : Alinco, AOR,
iCOM, Radio Shack, RCA, RELM, Sony, Trident, Uniden, Welz, WiNRADiO
and Yupiteru.

For a more detailed breakdown, see my Manufacturers page :

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/MANUFACT.HTM

Since you're looking for a handheld, that includes them all
except WiNRADiO. Prices will range from about $100 to $600.

For more help on features and what to look for, see my Picking
A Scanner/Receiver page :

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/PICKRECV.HTM

> I personally like the Uniden Bearcat 3000XLT

The 3000 is a nice radio and has many fans. Since this is around
the $350 mark, you might also want to check into the new Sony
models, one of which comes with a PC control interface. If you
have trunked radio systems (which you read about in my FAQ) you'd
like to monitor efficiently, you should look into the BC235XLT
and PRO-90.

Best of luck with your decision and feel free to e-mail me
with any questions!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

177. Re: Plymouth, Mi. Fire Dept. Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <3573E15C.53E5B68A@home.com>
Source record: 158774

John:

In PerCon's listing, they show 154.295, in addition to 154.370. Not sure if it's active.

Bill Crocker

HazMat910 wrote:
>
> Does anyone know which freq or TRS the Plymouth, Mi. Fire Dept. is using?
> Also, does anyone know the citation for the Michagan Scanner Law? Or where I
> can get a copy?
>
> John

178. Re: NEXTEL Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <3573E1FE.3F219E16@home.com>
Source record: 158775

Nextel's phones,(radios), are digital. I'm not aware of any consumer
grade scanner that would be capable of monitoring them.

Bill Crocker

BLACKJJACK@webtv.net wrote:
>
> I live in Mich and a business I enjoyed listening to I think has gone to
> the Nextel communications. Are they like a cellular type communication
> or are they digital? Also is there a way to listen to Nextel on a
> scanner in the 800mhz range?
> Sorry for the stupidity but I do miss this business. E~MAIL with
> replies!
> THANK YOU
>
> ----------
> [Image][Image][Image]<IMG
> SRC="http://members.tripod.com/~autoposter/pA.gif"HEIGHT="35"><IMG
> SRC="http://members.tripod.com/~autoposter/p.gif"HEIGHT="35">

179. seattle trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: pzman@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <357333e6.50786865@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158776

Help. I want to listen to the trunked fire police in tacoma and seattle with my new rs pro 91 150 channel. Any help would be greatly appreciated. Keith

180. Re: Weatheradio with SAME technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Carroll <jcarroll@nol.net>
Message-ID: <3573CB64.FCE7CD3E@nol.net>
Source record: 158777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Joseph Cardani wrote:

> Hi,
>
> Does anyone know who manufacturers weather alert radios with the new
> SAME technology, other then Radio Shack?
>
> thanks,
>
> Joe

www.nws.noaa.gov/nwr

All info concerning Noaa Weather Radio.

181. Re: marine radio usage rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ywd@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060213043600.JAA22225@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158778

In article <357278DA.4BCB@swbell.net>, "Tracey_Levin.." <tracey12@swbell.net> writes:

>The general rule I have heard is that you must be within 15 miles of
>water to use them.

You must use marine radios for ship to ship, or ship to shore comms only. You may be able to use your radio 15 miles in, but only if you are conversing with a boat / ship / canoe on the water. You cannot use them like CB or ham radio on land.

For more info, contact www.fcc.gov

182. Re: Regency Scanners available again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdeviney@ipa.net
Message-ID: <3573f933.1797378@news2.ipa.net>
Source record: 158779

I have read many comments/reviews that say they are very good scanners
(with lots of great features), but the negative comments indicate the
need to switch to triple conversion.

CATHY

On Mon, 1 Jun 1998 21:41:46 -0500, "Cris Hanna" <crishanna@aol.com>
wrote:

>Cathy
>
>Current receivers are double conversion. Spoke with Technical Support
>Manager at RELM today...he does not indicate a plan for Triple Conversion
>receivers but will continue to suggest it to them and let you know if they
>come up with one.
>
>Cris
>
>
>Cathy De Viney wrote in message <357162db.3838007@news2.ipa.net>...
>>Let us know when they switch to triple conversion...will consider
>>making a purchase at that time.
>>
>>CATHY
>>
>>
>
>

183. Re: pro-2050 "help"i need info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6l0tnp$t1f@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 158780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <6kvqu1dqi1@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>,
chester rogers <big--boy@webtv.net> wrote:

>hello.i am going to buy a scanner tommorow and was wondering if anyone
>could tell me if the radio shack pro-2050 scanner is any good..thanks
>for everyones time...
> chet rogers

From my FAQ article SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS:

[...]

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system
tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent
performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch
and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find.
IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM
modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in
10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a
per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate
memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec.,
skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to
50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A
single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search.
No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory
preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency,
commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine.
See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes
quantitative test results.

[...]
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

184. FS: AOR AR-880 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: foralje@leonplastics.com
Message-ID: <6l131fjgj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space

AOR AR 880
coverage:
30~49.995MHz ,
138~174MHz ,
436~512MHz,
830~950MHz. (including cell 868-896)
$150 shipped
Email directly to:
foralje@leonplastics.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

185. 2026?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: noshoot@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6l14tclur1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158782

are there any mods for the pro2026, like memory,speed, etc.
just got one the other day- a used one.

bob

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

186. re:wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Liam Byrne <lpbyrne@indigo.ie>
Message-ID: <01bd8e37$9b7dcba0$e7947dc2@default>
Source record: 158783

A few years ago before digital cellular phones became the norm, I was listening on 935Mzh to analogue mobile phone calls..when I picked up a young foreign "escort" agency girl talking to a client.
Unusually the girl was very naive and gave much detail about herself to the punter, including the fact that she was from Sri Lanka.
Finally she gave the actual address of her apartment, ..which stuck in my mind, because it was so unusual for them to give this information over a mobile phone .
The following day the newspapers and tv news bulletins were full of photos and details of this girl who had been murdered the previous evening.
Of course I contacted the police who visited , and I gave them full details, but unfortunately nobody was ever charged with her murder!

Very Sad..but I knew at the time that this girl was pretty new to the game and was bringing trouble upon herself.

187. Re: Pro-4 & Pro-? --- Value?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6l15ru$28g@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 158784
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

$5 - $10 (US) each, if they are in good physical and electronic
condition.

In article <3574091D.33EC@sprintmail.con>,
Jeff Schneider <js@sprintmail.com> wrote:

>1. I have a Realistic Pro-4, this is a 4ch. vhf hi band
>ss#428091 scanner, excelent condition.
>
>2. Also a Uhf Pro-?,(purched new in 1974+-) this is a uhf
>4ch 450-485mhz the bord in side the scanner is marked:
>These are 20+ years old does any one know the
>value on eather of these?
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

188. Military Air Freqs for Washington State

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Winther <winther@u.washington.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.3.95b.980602081437.129312A-100000@homer27.u.washington.edu>
Source record: 158785

Does anyone know some military air freqs for the seattle/tacoma areas. I am looking for either McCord AFB or Whibey Island NAS. Any help would be appreciated. Thanks.

Happy Scanning
Brian Winther
Everett, WA

189. Re: 2026?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357425b8.136456596@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158786

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

noshoot@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> are there any mods for the pro2026, like memory,

No memory mods, sorry.

> speed, etc.

Speed's possible by replacing the ceramic clock
resonator with a quartz microprocessors crystal
at a frequency a bit higher than the resonator.
But speed kills.....and it can kill the scanner.

> just got one the other day- a used one.

Depend on if it was brought into the USA before
or after March, 1994, you can clip L-201 to
restore cellular. If L-201 isn't present, then
cellular isn't possible.

Lots of other mods are feasible. See my recent
posting under the subject: SCANNER MODIFICATIONS FAQ.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

190. FS Hamtronics 800 Mhz converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wold <bobwold@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <35743102.823DAF76@inreach.com>
Source record: 158787

```
--

For sale/trade (1) Hamtronics converter # CVR-806 which conv 800 Mhz
down to UHF freqs. Asking $22 + 3 shipping. Will trade for 440 Mhz
converter.
+
|
|
Bob Wold..K6ZLY..Amateur 'bent |-o builder/rider TE & Econobent

-!-\_^~\*
-(+)---o(+)
```

191. Re: PD Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6l1atn$8f7$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Brenham PD frequency is 155.835. Somerville PD might be 154.830. Washington Co. might be 453.825. You can probably hear activity on 155.370 and 154.950. All the country bumpkin police like to use those channels like a CB radio. You can probably pick up Bryan, College Station from Somerville Lake. You can probably get some other good frequency lists from http://personalwebs.myriad.net/kg5ai/freqs/freqs.html . By the way, I lost a prop way out in the middle of the lake. It is just too shallow. If you find it stuck in a tree stump let me know.

Charles McCallister wrote in message ...
>Brenham, Texas has a nice lake nearby called Somerville that I go to
>on the weekends.
>Does anyone have any of the freqs for this area, Sheriff, Constable,
>State Trooper, or local PD?

192. Re: PD Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6l1b8h$8gb$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Nope, Washington Co. is on 155.625 and Grimes Co. is on 154.800.
John Habbinga wrote in message <6l1atn$8f7$1@news4.wt.net>...
Washington Co. might be 453.825.

193. Re: PD Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6l1bbs$8nb$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I found more from the list at
http://personalwebs.myriad.net/kg5ai/freqs/bcs_freq.html They are not PD,
but might be worth listening to.

141.3 150.675 Army Corps of Engineers-Lake Somerville
131.8 163.4375/ Army Corps of Engineers
131.8 165.7125 Army Corps of Engineers-Lake Somerville

194. Re: What's a Hwy Patrol Mobile Extender?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6l1bkm$8th@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 158791

Try 173.8

>I'm assuming you guys are talking about CHP. If so, what is the PL
>tone of the frequency?
>
>Sam

195. Re: Canadian Scanner buffs,Fed Gov freq site is running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ronkatz2@home.com
Message-ID: <35753644.112589781@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 158792

Nothing new, its been around for some time. They removed all the
police, national security anything of real interest.

The search that they have is NOT for the TAFL but
http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/tafl/tafl-e/tafledat.html is a good and very
fast working search.

On Tue, 02 Jun 1998 07:24:07 GMT, istar123@istar.ca (You know me don't
ya...) wrote:

> Folks...if you want to search for a local freq in your area,or
>download a entire file,go to this site.From now on,you do not have to
>worry about some small run of the mill thing going on,on the web
>here,our Fed Gov now has the site going very smoothly and you will
>enjoy it very much...make good use of it,and don't abuse it,as we
>don't want to loose it..here is the main site and if you want to visit
>a good search site for getting ur town,ur area of interest,then thats
>the second one...have a good day..
>Gov site.....http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/tafl/tafl-e/tafledat.html
>
>private search link.....http://neon.ingenia.ca/~darkwing/tafl/
>
>cya now...

Ron Katz

ONTSCAN Ontario Emergency Services Radio Frequency Directory.
http://www.members.home.com/ontscan
ontscan@home.com ONTSCAN Mail
ronkatz2@home.com Personal Mail

or to reply remove "XXX" from email address

196. Re: Canadian scanner Import web sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ronkatz2@home.com
Message-ID: <35763708.112785480@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 158793

Try my web pages bulliten board.
ONTSCAN http://www.members.home.com/ontscan
Not too many posts but it get the most hits from those just reading it
on a regular basis then all the other pages combined. Maybe someone
local here In Ontario or Toronto will be interested in it.

On Sun, 31 May 1998 21:38:21 -0400, Aaron Crealdi <ceraldi@albany.net>
wrote:

>Is there and places on the net located in canada that sell the MVT-7100
>or 7200
>Id rather give my money to canada than the UK..... (no offence intended
>but it would be faster since im located in upstate NY)
>thanks in advance.

Ron Katz

ONTSCAN Ontario Emergency Services Radio Frequency Directory.
http://www.members.home.com/ontscan
ontscan@home.com ONTSCAN Mail
ronkatz2@home.com Personal Mail

or to reply remove "XXX" from email address

197. Re: FREQ. FOR MYRTLE BEACH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ronkatz2@home.com
Message-ID: <357737ef.113017012@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 158794

Thats in Ontario is it not? I forgot where. Look up by region on
my main page you should find police, fire and ambulance.

http://www.members.home.com/ontscan

On Sat, 30 May 1998 21:27:43 -0400, Darrell <drayf@roanoke.infi.net>
wrote:

>Getting ready for that trip to myrtle beach. Any scanner freq.
>appreciated including military..... Thank`s, Darrell

Ron Katz

ONTSCAN Ontario Emergency Services Radio Frequency Directory.
http://www.members.home.com/ontscan
ontscan@home.com ONTSCAN Mail
ronkatz2@home.com Personal Mail

or to reply remove "XXX" from email address

198. FS PRO-2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstringy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060217472100.NAA14334@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158795

100 channel less than 6 mo old. Includes 800 Mhz. Complete with mounting bracket, ac//dc cords. $100 plus shipping.

199. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35743EB6.FD2@parg.org>
Source record: 158796

Bro wrote:
> But just to keep on topic, how about that AR8200?!!?

Excellent point you ahv brought up, thansk for your
support!

How sad it is, I brought up an issue holding someone responsible
for being off topic & causing trouble. Then about 20 posts
are made from irresponsible individuals which were totally
off topic.

200. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35743F59.648B@parg.org>
Source record: 158797

Jack Close wrote:
> John, get off your fat ass and stop looking in mirrors when you post.
> Everything from you in this newgroup is a reflection of an idiot.

ONE MORE POST LIKE THIS FROM YOU & I WILL REPORT YOU TO THE SYSOP OF
YOUR WARPGATE SYSTEM. We don't need you mindless cursing & swearing
& attempting to cause trouble on this NG.

201. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35743FCA.7E34@parg.org>
Source record: 158798

tjh wrote:
> hmm looks like someones is just a little jelous if you knew i think you
> would post them on here i think mr cheeks has alot of good advice not
> many people do any more besides i think you are the LOSER cause you
> screwed up you scanner and blaming him well not all can do mods TJ

The poor grammar & demented opinions of this individual only serve
to prove that there really is a fool born every minute.

202. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35744022.70AC@parg.org>
Source record: 158799

MAlexder wrote:
> Well since you are Deranged, you should know.

This is an issue that YOU should attempt to NOT be a part of.

203. Re: seattle trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6l1cfs$92t$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158800

Here is a link to trunkscanner.com's listings.
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/wa.html

Keith wrote in message <357333e6.50786865@news.earthlink.net>...
>Help. I want to listen to the trunked fire police in tacoma and
>seattle with my new rs pro 91 150 channel. Any help would be greatly
>appreciated. Keith

204. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3574414A.61F@parg.org>
Source record: 158801

Mike Derryberry wrote:
> It's really sad when people can't get along and help each other and
> everyone else. As my parents taught me many years ago ... If you can't say
> something nice about someone, don't say anything at all. In other words,
> quit bitchin' and shut-up.

Mike-

Yes, it is sad when people do what you have mentioned. Thanks for
your support. Hang in there, the attention seekers will leave
eventually.

205. FS/BC220XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3574440F.8C2@flash.net>
Source record: 158802

HAVE A REAL CLEAN 200CH.HH BEARCAT SCANNER WILL SHIP FOR $115.00
TNX JOHN IN DALLAS

206. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060219171200.PAA26625@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158803

>John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>
>Yes, it is sad when people do what you have mentioned.

Yes, Quack Mac, you should know. You posted three messages today, all with the intent to incite trouble.

He should have mentioned your favorite activities:
1) Electronic stalking
2) Forging posts
3) Impersonations
4) Flaming
5) Lying
6) Censorship
7) Trouble making

Let me know if I omitted anything Quackie.

207. Re: Lynchburg Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6l1ca6$93i@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 158804

According to today's FCC Database, Liberty University has:

463.550 KKJ985
464.375 "
464.400 "

461.150 WPDW995

Harry Marnell, N6URU
marnells@snowcrest.net
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

Darrell wrote in message <35736695.76229AE2@roanoke.infi.net>...
>I`m going to lynchburg va. at liberty university this saturday and
>would like some good freq. to listen to including the university
>if possible. THANK`S, Darrell---Roanoke, Va.
>

208. Where to get scanner parts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: djudd@shore.net
Message-ID: <35747435.116151984@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 158805

I need a volume control for a 200xlt. Where can i locate a vender?

209. Trade: BC200XLT w/cell for PRO-2026/760XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tony@bright.net
Message-ID: <6l1n2m$2gv$1@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 158806
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Greetings!

I have a Bearcat BC200XLT scanner, with full 800 MHz coverage, that I wish to trade for a PRO-2026 or BC-760XLT mobile scanner with full 800 MHz coverage. My handheld is in excellent condition, and I would like to trade for a radio in similar condition. I have the original charger, manual, and box for the 200XLT.

Please reply via e-mail. Thanks!

Tony Schroeder N8SNC

210. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EtxwLq.zq@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158807

Swearing and cursing?? I don't see it. And how about just ONE on-topic post from YOU, John?? You are the ONLY person in the newsgroup causing any trouble. It sure was nice while you were gone. Why didn't you stay gone - can't stand not being the center of attention??
On Tue, 2 Jun 1998,
John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Jack Close wrote:
> > John, get off your fat ass and stop looking in mirrors when you post.
> > Everything from you in this newgroup is a reflection of an idiot.
>
> ONE MORE POST LIKE THIS FROM YOU & I WILL REPORT YOU TO THE SYSOP OF
> YOUR WARPGATE SYSTEM. We don't need you mindless cursing & swearing
> & attempting to cause trouble on this NG.
>
>

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

211. FS: RS PRO-2035 & PRO-2006 (BOTH NEW IN THE BOX)***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: hartbeat@greenepa.net
Message-ID: <6kvhdv$kf2$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158833

RS Pro 2035, "Brand New In the Box", never used. Comes with the box, manual and antenna. $250.00 + shipping.

RS Pro 2006, "Brand New In the Box", never used. It too, comes with the box, manual and antenna. $375.00 + shipping.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

212. Re: bc 235 xlt is the only way for this future

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <ken@kenselectronics.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8db8$bdcd7720$263389d0@default>
Source record: 158834

You should get an amplified extension speaker. Workman has one in the prototype stage; when they are in regular production you will be able to get them from http://www.kenselectronics.com/

Charles McCallister <chuckiex@ibm.net> wrote in article <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-BnSzgwdNdx9W@slip-32-100-175-28.tx.us.ibm.net>...
> Theo & Tia Maria,
>
> • does anyone know how to make the sound louder, can barely hear it in the
> • car compared to other scanners. Thanks.
>
> I have a earphone headset that I wear. The kind that allows you to
> hear, too.
>
> I tried the cassette plug setup but wasn't happy. FYI.
>
> Charles
>
> To reply, remove the "x" in my address
>
>

213. Orange Book of Scanner Repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <ken@kenselectronics.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8db9$dd469ae0$263389d0@default>
Source record: 158835

If you want to learn more about fixing or modifying police scanners you can learn a lot from the Orange Book which you can get from http://www.kenselectronics.com/ Of course: the information about some modifications is strictly for educational purposes if you live in the USA, however customers living in other areas can make full use of the information therein.

214. NEW Western PA Scanner Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: drickch19d@sgi.net
Message-ID: <3574433b.431842921@news.sgi.net>
Source record: 158836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Now available: The Western Pennsylvania Scanner Mailing List

This list is for the discussion of radio scanners in the Western Pennsylvania area. This area includes the PA counties of Allegheny, Armstrong, Beaver, Butler, Fayette, Greene, Indiana, Lawrence, Somerset, Washington & Westmoreland, also coverage and discussion from Mongalia, Brooke, Hancock, Marshall, & Ohio counties in WV and Belmont, Jefferson & Columbiana counties in OH is welcome. Sharing of information on local public safety and any other users of 2 way radios from frequencies to channel plans and more. Need help with getting information or have information to share? Please join the list to make monitoring in the area the best experience it can be! (Note: Discussions on monitoring of cordless & cellular phones is NOT WELCOME!)

For more information and to join the list:

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/wpascanl.htm

I am Disallusioned Spice, Ginger Spice leaves the Spice Girls 5/31/98.

** Remove d's in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Western PA Scanner Mailing List -
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/wpascanl.htm
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/spice.htm
---
"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

215. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: willmcd96@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060200211700.UAA23270@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158837

I payed 90.00 for 64 megs. the source was Microsuply,inc.

will

216. Re: CHP Extenders on 155.445?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: frog207lillypads@juno.com
Message-ID: <6kvhcm$ia5$1@news.ncal.verio.com>
Source record: 158838

>To whoever posted the reply about this freq used in Plumas County....I lost
>your address and message. Please email me with more info...never heard about
>this as a extender freq, though, of course the portables are multi freq with
>Clemars, Nalemars, Calcord, etc..

I'm not the one who posted that, (you can find it if you reload old
articles in this newsgroup.) But, yes, the freq is valid. CHP changed
extenders in the general area last year due to an interferance problem with
another user.

Ed

REPLY INFO:
==
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "lillypads" from my return address.
==

217. bc700a memory mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: noshoot@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6kvj72$mi1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158839

is there a memory modification for the bc700a? I would like to have more than fifty channels. thanks

bob

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

218. Re: Scanner Headphones for the race track

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: famwiley@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060200431600.UAA22695@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158840
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>>>I'm looking for recommendations for headphones that folks use

>>>with their scanners at the race track. I was at the Miller Lite

>>[snip]

>>

i made mine from a mono walkman type headset, broke off the speakers from the headband, pulled the foam from a set of shooting muffs , put the speakers inside, replace the foam.

works great

kevin

219. FS:SILENT KEY ESTATE SALE ICOM HF AZDEN VHF MORE....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ronny Julian <vwtruck@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <35735C3B.6322B472@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 158841
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio

List of items for sale from XYL of silent key K4IR.
She would like cash for these items. Please E-MAIL me at
kd4ixr@juno.com
Item : Model No. : Manual : Price

MFJ Versa Tuner MFJ989C YES
$200.00
Azden 2M FM Mobile Xcvr PCS-5000 YES
$150.00
Kenwood Power supply PS-6 YES $70.00

Shure Desk Microphone 444 NO $50.00

CDE Xmit/Rcv Direction control YES $50.00

Azden 2M FM Mobile Xcvr PCS-700H YES
$150.00
Icom HF Xcvr IC-751A YES
$700.00
Icom Power supply IC-PS15 YES
$100.00

Terms are Cashiers check or Money order and buyer pays
shipping/insurance costs. If within north Georgia I can deliver. This
is excellent equipment and very well maintained.

Please direct all replys to KD4IXR@juno.com as I cannot check into
rec.radio.swap all the time. Thanks!

220. Re: Winradio Etc..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <mcdermon@MCI2000.com>
Message-ID: <usJc1.603$E82.811682@news.internetmci.com>
Source record: 158842

Dave,

I was aware of the Winradio for some time, but it never interested me as I just thought a radio built on the ISA bus, INSIDE a PC was not a basic good design. It meant I would always and forever have to maintain an ISA bus computer just to run the radio. Times are a'changin, and the ISA bus will soon be a relic of computer evolution (replaced by the PCI and AGP bus) just like so much other PC hardware has evolved over the past 15 or so years.

I happened upon an ad for the PCR-1000 and immediately one in January simply because I figured Icom was a solid company and the radio sounded so intriguing. I am a computer programmer, so it sounded like an ideal way to merge two hobbies. Initially it all went well and I've enjoyed the radio a lot. I had sold an AR8000 and since I rarely used it as a portable anyway, the PCR-1000 has met my needs well.

But here lately, I've managed to partially corrupt the innards of it (EEPROM I think) - probably by experimenting with too many downloaded radio software packages. The radio still works okay, but something has thrown the AFC off-center and for unknown reasons my bandscope display is attenuated (no, it is not the ATT button!).

If you're interested in writing your own software to control the radio, the Icom is NOT a good choice (IMHO) as there is NO official documentation of the control codes, and obviously the radio internal settings can be easily corrupted by experimenting. Anyway, one of my biggest frustrations with the radio is that Icom has been so tight-lipped about the command protocols. This has meant that software developers and tinkerers like myself have been left to try to figure things out for ourselves. Turns out that the 'corruption' I have seen has been experienced by at least three other PCR-1000 owners on the pcr-1000@qty.net maillist. The problem has shown up in a machine in Spain, the UK and a couple of us in the USA. So whatever it is, apparently it is a problem that is fairly easy to trip over. So far the only successful repair has been to return the radio to Icom - and wait for a replacement. Since I've done a little hardware mod on mine, I worry that Icom will not warranty it now. Maybe I should not prejudge them about that though... If I can find no solution on my own, I will soon contact Icom and see about returning it for repair. BUT, since the problem was induced by software tinkering, I feel there ought to be a software solution offered by Icom to allow us to fix such things... And even if I get it fixed, the fact that it is succeptible to this simple EEPROM corruption makes it less than ideal for my experimental nature!

Good luck with your choice. Personally, if Winradio is coming out with an external box, I'd wait to see that before investing...

221. BC 150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: klorenzo@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <357369f2.84587612@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 158843

Some time ago, someone had posted some of the tricks for the BC150,
for when you turn the Scanner on, i had a list of them here somewhere,
biut i cannot find them any where..

Can someone Please repost them here?

222. Re: Direction Finding Antenna???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2zem@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060203260500.XAA22325@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158844
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

There is a RF DF on the market , you can buy a cheap 1 at a HAMFEST in your area , tune into your local Amature radio Freq, there are a few commercial vendors selling them from $20 to $ 1000 you can also build 1 if your handy building electronic gear and the parts cost approx $100 for the car, all of these units use the Doppler Shift ,I built mine to look for jammers in our local area on the HAM bands and have been very succsessful in finding them, the only problem is it uses 4 antennaes in a 18 inch box on top of my car for looking in the 144 to 148 Mhz band ,,,look around and im sure you can find a cheap 1, good luck ,Ray Larsen
.................N2ZEM@AOL.COM>
Subject: Direction Finding Antenna???
>From: clarkm@pacbell.net (Clark Martin)
>Date: Sun, May 31, 1998 03:23 EDT
>Message-id:
>
>I've recently bought a scanner and thought it might be interesting to do
>direction finding using it. I expect such an antenna would be fairly
>narrow band, but that's okay. Does anyone know how to build such a thing
>or know where to find plans to do so?

223. Where's the best place to buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wplanck@netusa1.net
Message-ID: <6kvo8gtgj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158845

Where's the best place to buy a new Bearcat 9000XLT? I emailed Jonathan
at Javiation a week ago but received no reply.

Bill K9KZ
wplanck@netusa1.net

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

224. Help with rs pro 91 trunk scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: pzman@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <35736b78.59183770@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158846

Can anyone please help me with programming the pro 91 to follow the seattle pd and fd trunked system. I cannot seem to get the radio to recognize the frequencies after they have been entered and the radio is put into trunk mode. Thanks Keith

225. Re: Any voice comms on 72-76 Mhz utility band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Stanton <mstanton@centuryinter.net>
Message-ID: <01bd8dd5$69c4d660$6ca28ed1@centac01.cte.net>
Source record: 158847

If I am not mistaken, there is also R/C airplane allotment in there somewhere

226. Re: What's a Hwy Patrol Mobile Extender?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8de3$9b2aadc0$a41637a6@default>
Source record: 158848

I have heard 154.920 also used in the Las Vegas area. I do not think Arizona uses extenders. I think they just use hand held radios on their 460 system. Good Luck ...

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Todd Remington <xusmc@psln.com> wrote in article <35727143.741A@psln.com>...
> Tork wrote:
> >
> > The CHP mobile extender is 154.905, is there anyother freq. that they use?
> > Anyone know about Arizona or Nevada?
> > --
> > David Torkelson
> > KF6DGN
> > 33.205 N 117.353 W
> > Grid Square DM13
> > Oceanside, Ca. United States
> >
> >
> >
> In Plumas county CHP uses 155.445 mhz for their xtndr's...NHP in Reno is
> using 154.92 mhz...Does that help?...Todd...
>

227. Web Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry.adair@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6l028m$qvi@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158849

My PRO-2041 page has just been revised, more info.
http://members.tripod.com/~B_Adair

228. FS: Icom IC-R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrmtjm@atlantic.net
Message-ID: <35738035.23176781@news.atlantic.net>
Source record: 158850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale
Icom IC-R9000 Receiver in excellent condition. Full details on Ebay at
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=15960704
Thank You
Michael

229. Re: To: Lee Pluard ref: Ill. State Police Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060205281700.BAA02831@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158851

ISP District Chicago uses a 20 channel, multi site EDACS trunked system. Freqs are as listed in Police Call or ScannerMaster.

All Low band operations by ISP in Cook County have ended. The only VHF use is the occasional use of 154.935 for car to car and 155.460 for Aircraft ops.

Of course the various mutual aid and intercity freqs are still active. The 453 freqs are for the various state colleges and facilities.
Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@aol.com when on the road, n9jig@theramp at home)
Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html

230. Re: CHP Extenders on 155.445?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8de7$ec474f20$a41637a6@default>
Source record: 158852

I have never heard that frequency used in CHP extenders but that doesn't mean they don't have the capability. I units in this area that patrol the mountains also have Forrest Service freqs in their hand helds.

I guess anything is possible...

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

HMs Lists <hmslists@aol.com> wrote in article
<1998060115425900.LAA23666@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> To whoever posted the reply about this freq used in Plumas County....I lost
> your address and message. Please email me with more info...never heard about
> this as a extender freq, though, of course the portables are multi freq with
> Clemars, Nalemars, Calcord, etc..
>
> Today's FCC list DOES show 21 different licenses (callsigns) to State of Calif
> on that freq, but I haven't plowed thru them yet.
> Harry Marnell, N6URU
> HMsLists@aol.com
> http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm
>

231. Key beep disable mod for RS Pro-39--Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mtbikerno@spamnojps.net
Message-ID: <357395c5.464424@news.jps.net>
Source record: 158853
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 31 May 1998 15:43:04 GMT, parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com (Bob
Parnass, AJ9S) wrote:

>
>The PRO-39's CPU (IC1) pin 9 is the key confirmation tone output.
>It is wired directly to pin 8 of CN3, the connector which you cited.
>
>Good luck.
>
>In article <35721243.4918715@news.jps.net>,
>Pursuit10851 <mtbikerno@spamnojps.net> wrote:
>
>> I posted a message about two weeks ago asking if anyone knew how
>>to disable the beep on a Radio Shack Pro-39 and 2040. Somebody posted
>>a reply telling me how to do it for most scanners (check the pins
>>coming off the CPU with a DVM and find the one going to the audio amp
>>that shows an increase in voltage when a key is pressed). I was able
>>to complete the beep disable mods for my 2040, 2022, and 2024 this
>>way. But when I took my Pro-39 apart I wasn't able to check the pins
>>on its CPU because when the second of the 3 circuit boards is removed,
>>two connectors (CN3, 11 pins, and one other with 2 pins) are separated
> .....

I desoldered and completely removed pin 8 on CN3 (I don't plan on ever
restoring the beep) and it works great! No more plugging a
stereo-to-mono adapter in the headphone jack to quiet the beep when
I'm programming. Thanks for the advice. Now all my scanners are quiet
(except the TrunkTrackers and the Pro-2036, which don't have obnoxious
beeps).

232. speech inverters... your comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D.B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3573A1C2.109D@qsl.net>
Source record: 158854
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just ordered a speech inverter *unscrambler*....Looking for comments
and sugestions on any and all.....whats your opinion and which do you
think is the best?
When I get mine, I will post my findings here:
http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/scramble.htm
Please send me your findings.

--
Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
Or just click on the address below!
mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net
Web site at:
http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp

233. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3573A111.6FB@parg.org>
Source record: 158855

George Vetterle wrote:
> It does appear that the Mackey family tree doesn't have
> enough forks in it.

George-

Perhaps you should take one of the forks & stick it!

234. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3573A1F2.4D34@parg.org>
Source record: 158856

Hardly!

The TWO of you (pja & allsafe) only seem to be making every attempt
to cause MORE problems, AGAIN! PLEASE prove this to be wrong & redeem
yourself to the group & change your behavior.

pja wrote:
>
> Excellent and accurate summary of the situation allsafe.
>
> allsafe wrote:

235. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3573A2B6.32FC@parg.org>
Source record: 158857

What facts do you have to support your accusation towards
the PARG organization?

MAlexder wrote:
SNIP
> 3) Why PARG thugs who are pathological liars, electronic stalkers, forgers,
> self-aggrandized never-beens, and renegade censors should never have Internet
> accounts.

236. Re: Pro 91 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6l555k$4f6$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158558

There is an article on the Pro-91 at
http://www.radioscanner.com/Reviews/reviews.html

237. Re: RS 150CH tunk trkr sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <simmont@mailhost.extremezone.com>
Message-ID: <3575BC80.3332@mailhost.extremezone.com>
Source record: 158559

BBQKing wrote:
>
> Is the 150 channel Radio Shack Trunk Tracker worth 179.00$? You own
> one? Your opinion!
> Thanks

Well I don't own a Trunk Tracker, but I do know you can get the BC235xlt
for about $200 from CEI http://www.usascan.com/ .....

--
CHARLES SIMMONT ICP509/DISPATCHER
INCIDENT COMMAND PAGE OF ARIZONA
ARIZONA'S PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENT NOTIFICATION SYSTEM
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html

OTHER NOTIFICATION SYSTEMS
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp/other.html

THE VIEWS EXPRESSED HERE ARE MY OWN AND NOT THAT OF ICP UNLESS OTHERWISE
SPECIFIED.

238. Re: Modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: abboud <ahbood@beepme.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8f74$5b627780$233d61ce@Ahbood.pageme.beepme.com>
Source record: 158560

Well i have the xxxd model so i am not going to have fun with it.
Thanks for all your help guys.

abboud <ahbood@beepme.com> wrote in article
<01bd8ea6$7fd7b400$233d61ce@Ahbood.pageme.beepme.com>...
> Is there any modifications that i can do to the bearcat BC2500xlt to make
> it recieve all the bands.
> --
> http://www.beepme.com
>

239. Re: RS 150CH tunk trkr sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: abboud <ahbood@beepme.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8f74$cc4cb780$233d61ce@Ahbood.pageme.beepme.com>
Source record: 158561

Is this the charles that i repair pagers for. Roys friend

Charles Simmont <simmont@mailhost.extremezone.com> wrote in article
<3575BC80.3332@mailhost.extremezone.com>...
> BBQKing wrote:
> >
> > Is the 150 channel Radio Shack Trunk Tracker worth 179.00$? You own
> > one? Your opinion!
> > Thanks
>
> Well I don't own a Trunk Tracker, but I do know you can get the BC235xlt
> for about $200 from CEI http://www.usascan.com/ .....
>
> --
> CHARLES SIMMONT ICP509/DISPATCHER
> INCIDENT COMMAND PAGE OF ARIZONA
> ARIZONA'S PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENT NOTIFICATION SYSTEM
> http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html
>
> OTHER NOTIFICATION SYSTEMS
> http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp/other.html
>
> THE VIEWS EXPRESSED HERE ARE MY OWN AND NOT THAT OF ICP UNLESS OTHERWISE
> SPECIFIED.
>

240. Scanner Frequences - California Theme Parks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bttfops@geocities.com
Message-ID: <3575836a.15907023@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158562

Hi,

I'm trying to get the most updated list of Frequences & Radio Codes for Theme Parks in California. Especially, Universal Studios Hollywood & Disneyland. Please send any and all information to my email address. Thank you

241. US Secret Service Frequencies for Prov, RI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn P Caron <gpcaronxgi@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6la85a$dib@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158563

These are USSS freq's out of Prov, RI office

Channel Freq
Baker 165.7850 Simplex
Charlie 165.3750 Simplex
Mike 165.2150
Tango 164.6500
Oscar 164.8850
Papa 164.4000
N Zone 154.9350 Rptr RX
S Zone 154.9050 Rptr RX
Prov Rptr RX 164.4000

These freqs may seem pretty quiet as most USSS transmissions are encrypted.

242. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkfel@primenet.com
Message-ID: <3575893a.59750299@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 158608

On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 12:16:29 GMT, no@mail.please (Paul) wrote:

Actually I once heard a dispatch for the Phoenix PD to a city park
where an angry Javelina was chasing joggers.

I also heard a Glendale PD officer put in an autopatch to his
girlfriend and he explained to her that everyone with a scanner could
hear them. Both of them then said hello to all the scanner
enthusiasts listening in... :)

73,

Mark
KC7BXS

243. Re: CT and NY State Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OpEd@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6l3unn$88g$1@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158609

New York:
I-84: 453.425; 453.525;
NYSP Troop K: 155.505(b); 154.920(m)
DC Sheriff: 151.340; 155.640; 156.210
NYSP common: 155.370; 154.695
Note: The freq's for I-84 belong to the Thruway
Authority, and you will hear traffic concerning
all thruway jurisdiction from NYC line to New Paltz on I-87, as well as
I-84, I-287. There is no seperate freq for Troop T cars on I-84. As such
it is very busy on the air. It is very useful , though in bad weather,
as you will hear about the yuppie who wrecked his BMW on Stormville
Mountain before you even pass the Danbury weigh station.

Ct:
Ct SP is in the midst of converting to 800mhz
trunking. For the old freq's which are still in use
search 42.200-42.680. Danbury is already on 800mhz. Try also 154.875 for
Ct DMV patrols.
This is also used by city of Newburgh in NY.

Hope this helps

244. Re: Indoor antenna suggestions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OpEd@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6l41ap$8av$1@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158610

Mounting any antenna outside will improve reception. Even if you run
coax to a whip and place it on the patio. If you can place a discone
or scantenna outside and hide it with some trendy decoration that won't
offend the condo board, this will help, Or if you can afford to purchase
another scanner try the uniden BCT-7
or one of the new RELM scanners. These are quite sensitive. I use a
BCT-7 with the back of set whip and pick up UHF signals from 60 miles
away(yes that's true). One caveat with a sensitive scanner. Beware of
intermod.

245. Re: Need NEW YORK FREQ. #'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OpEd@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6l3vih$893$1@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158611

NYC:
470.8375; 470.8625; 470.8125; 476.7625; 477.2625; 470.6625 These are all citywide freq's. For precinct freq's search 476-478.
Fire; 154.370; 154.400
NC: Try searching 471-472 and 477-479
all NC freq's are here. I am certain of Glen Cove pd on 153.860 as I used to receive intermod on this freq even 60 miles away.

I wish I could be of more help but I am doing this from memory as all of my refrence books as well as radios have been destroyed.

246. DUAL BAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: STACEY@DIGISCAPE.COM
Message-ID: <6l43if$1i8$1@osh2.datasync.com>
Source record: 158612

I HAVE SOME MOTOROLA UHF MOBILES AND PORTABLES THAT I WOULD LIKE TO TRADE FOR A DUALBAND RADIO THAT IS PROGRAMABLE FROM THE KEYPAD AND WILL ENCODE AND DECODE TPL AND DPL

247. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357597C9.66C2@parg.org>
Source record: 158613

stuart upton wrote:
snip

Do you feel like you are in a match of wits with an unarmed
person? (You're not alone, many feel that when talking to Cheek!)

248. Re: External fender mount antenna for mobile scanner suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OpEd@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6l41r7$8bc$1@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158614

I have had good success with the Radio Shack mag mount antenna. If you don't need the magnet you can remove it and run a stud thru your fender to the base.

249. Re: ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OpEd@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6l426o$8bi$1@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158615

Try popular Communications online. good links.

250. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35759A10.4AA@parg.org>
Source record: 158616

Phil Smalley wrote:

> I have been in this NG for a long time and I have never in all my life
> seen anyone with such a big mouth use so many words to say so little.

Yes, I agree! That is WHY we are trying to get Bill Cheek to SHUT UP
with his trouble making!

> I don't get it John. You sputter on incessantly about "let's make the
> newsgroup a friendly place" and "Bill Cheek is calling me names
> again..." and on and on and on. Then when this NG actually IS a nice
> place and Bill ISN'T calling you names again, you come out of your

For every topic you came at me with, I can prove you wrong & there are
about 5 people who will dis-agree for every one you can find that will
agree. Fact it, the general public is NOT that stupid & Bill Cheek will
be your worse liability. See below for example!

You state "Bill ISN'T calling you names", then how do you explain the
statements below.

Bill Cheek wrote:
> > He's an imbecilic hermaphrodite.
> Pity. He's such a sick reptile.....

251. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357599FF.CA6@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158617

Mark Fellhauer wrote:
>
> On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 12:16:29 GMT, no@mail.please (Paul) wrote:
>
> Actually I once heard a dispatch for the Phoenix PD to a city park
> where an angry Javelina was chasing joggers.
>
> I also heard a Glendale PD officer put in an autopatch to his
> girlfriend and he explained to her that everyone with a scanner could
> hear them. Both of them then said hello to all the scanner
> enthusiasts listening in... :)
>
> 73,
>
> Mark
> KC7BXS

I used to live in Glendale AZ, and I once heard an officer and his girlfriend breaking up OVER THE PHONE PATCH!! The conversation lasted for nearly 2 hours as they sobbed and yelled at each other. It got to the point where you couldn't even make out the words from all the screaming and snuffling going on. I called a friend of mine up at work and he put it on the speakerphone for all to hear. At least 2 dozen people were listening in as the drama unfolded from my scanner. I thought for sure the dispatcher would come on and pull the plug, but the call went on and on.

It was probably after that incident that the officers were told that they were being monitored by countless scanner enthusiasts in the area, not to mention every news agency in Phoenix. No doubt every scanner listener in the valley heard the whole embarrassing thing, and probably called up a friend like I did, to share the moment. Imagine being the dispatcher that day, receiving call after call from the news agencies: "What's going on?","Who is that?", "Is this a common occurance?",etc. I can just imagine the look on the officers face as it dawned on him that probably 5000 or more people heard that whole call....

Yeah, I'd say that's about the WEIRDEST thing I ever heard on the scanner to date... but, now that I am living in California again, I should be able to top that in no time....

-Phil

252. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35759C4F.3D42@parg.org>
Source record: 158618

Mike Derryberry wrote:
> Thanks Bill & Phil ... Just got home froom work after a long and crappy day
> and I just laughed so hard it made my day. I don't want to take sides, but
> you guys did make me laugh ....

Mike-

Hey you have jsut brought up a service that Cheek does provide!
His mindless antics are somewhat amusing!

253. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35759C8F.30D@parg.org>
Source record: 158619

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Forgive me. You're right. My left
> hand took over from the right hand at
> that instant on the keyboard.

Were you grabbing one of your little boys again Bill?

254. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35759BF8.25DB@parg.org>
Source record: 158620

> In <3575cd0d.15138021@news2.cts.com> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
> writes:
> >Up at State Prison a few years ago, when he
> >was voted Most Popular Bitch of Cellblock 19,

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> Mackey was the favorite bitch of Cell-Block 69,
> not 19. plus following as stated.

Why is it that Bill Cheek & Bill Conduit know so much about the
homosexuality of the "favorite bitch of cell-block"? Do they
have personal experience? That certainly would explain
the acusation of Bill Cheek of San Diego molesting young boys
in his car!

255. Pro-2041 channel stepping HELP needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <35752C40.79F5@blarg.net>
Source record: 158621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> Does anyone have any succes in entering a freq of 912.062...I enter
> that Freq in..and get 912.050....My cheapie Pro 66 lets me enter that
> freq in ok...

I have a Pro-2041 and I'm having the same problem. The whole
800/900mhz band is in 25khz steps and I need for it to do 12.5khz steps.
The book says how, but I tried what it said and that didn't help. I also
tried re-initializing the scanner, no help either. GRE wouldn't tell me
either when I called, they didn't even know what I was talking about. :(
All of my other scanners do 12.5khz steps up in the 800/900mhz bands, it
dosen't make any sence for a scanner to come out that dosen't.
Any help on this would be greatly appreciated.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

256. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35769d79.2077879@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 158622

>I also heard a Glendale PD officer put in an autopatch to his
>girlfriend and he explained to her that everyone with a scanner could
>hear them. Both of them then said hello to all the scanner
>enthusiasts listening in... :)

Back before listening to cellular phones was illegal, I was listening to a guy talking to his girlfriend, and he was complaining that his cellular phone was causing his scanner to lock on their conversation and he couldn't monitor other conversations. He said hi to everyone who was listening to him. I used to know who the guy was, but have forgotten. He was in Kansas City and used to hang around the BBS groups.

257. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EtzpHK.Ftt@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158623

On Wed, 3 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> stuart upton wrote:
> snip
>
> Do you feel like you are in a match of wits with an unarmed
> person? (You're not alone, many feel that when talking to Cheek!)

Most of us feel that way reading all of your assinine, off-topic posts.
Please just go away and let the flame wars die!!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

258. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EtzpKK.FvJ@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158624

On Wed, 3 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Forgive me. You're right. My left
> > hand took over from the right hand at
> > that instant on the keyboard.
>
> Were you grabbing one of your little boys again Bill?

I'm beginning to understand. Mack-hole proposes a truce (which no one accepts) because he's going to be away from the computer, allegedly at Dayton. As soon as he returns home the "truce" is over.

Someone else says it best: You're a total loonie, Mack-hole...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

259. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l48de$978@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158625

In <35765796.50608856@news2.cts.com> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
writes:
>
>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>
>> >was voted Most Popular Bitch of Cellblock 19,
>
>> Mackey was the favorite bitch of Cell-Block 69,
>> not 19. plus following as stated.
>
>Forgive me. You're right. My left
>hand took over from the right hand at
>that instant on the keyboard.
>
><gloom>
>
was it good for you?

bill

260. Re: Modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <6l4bdtafa1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158626

If the date code is xxxC or earlier, YES your in luck.
Eddie Kosloski
scanist@acmenet.net

In article <35785ac8.51426471@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> "abboud" <ahbood@beepme.com> wrote:
>
> > Is there any modifications that i can do to the bearcat BC2500xlt to make
> > it recieve all the bands.
>
> No.
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---
>
>

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

261. Re: FS-AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: brian_parry@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6l4d8s$d8g$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158627

In article <19980601065842000.CAA26236@ladder03.news.aol.com>,
bn117ls@aol.com (Bn117ls) wrote:
>
> I have a slightly used AR8000. <1year old. The radio has 1000 channels and is a
> handheld. Computer adaptable. It is the cadillac of radio scanners.E-mail me
> if interested. Price is $950.00
>

Oh please. These can be gotten for under $650

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

262. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l48qh$ns5@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158628

In <1998060315122300.LAA05052@ladder03.news.aol.com> malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) writes:

>
>>From: supton@paranet.com (stuart upton)
>
>>Cheek, your personal repugnance is matched only by your defective grasp of
>English. The word is -gild-, genius...
>
>Cheek can defend himself, but I can't overlook the delicious irony that
>Shakespeare here displays.
>
>Look "genius," when you get a couple of bucks go buy a dictionary and find out
>why you just mangled the English language with "personal repugnance." Like the
>Dylan says, "Don't criticize what you don't understand...for the winners now
>will later be last..."
>

or "conduct your victory as your funeral"....

you have to hummm the Dylan-esque wordings
and if you don't get it rasp-right it loses
something on the bedpost overnight...

so I guess I too flounder according to your
anal afflictions: i used "rasp-right" imagery

one gets stuck in one's mind-loss when one
cannot open up to the fact that some of us
have a mission in life: fuck all rules.

The Cheek Guy has such a mission. he takes
chances, as I do, and makes his own words to
say what he feels like saying and don't give
a good devil-god what you or others think...
if you think about it?

the operative idea is : If you think

now, back to our mission

bill conduit
with an attitude

etc.

263. Re: BC 150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: teenham@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060319443700.PAA29693@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158629

I found an undocumented Uniden Sportcat 150 feature (Great scanner by the way). Before you turn it on press and hold the WX and L/O keys. Turn it on and it shows the voltage left in the battery. When you are in this mode pressing the number keys brings up numbers you can adjust. Press the DC/Bank button does things too. If anyone knows what this is please Email me at afowler@juno.com. I tried Uniden customer support. It is always busy.

With the SportCat 150 the battery icon appears after holding the L\O and WX keys and turning the scanner on. If I remember correctly, if the scanner is plugged in it should read 1.72. If you do not have it plugged in it should read 1.66 if it is fully charged. If your scanner reads 1.45 or lower, your battery is running extremly low and needs recharging.

Power on with...

WX and L/O -View voltage left in battery.
2, 9, MAN -Clears all memories.
2, 9, L/O -Illumination Diagnostic.
2, 9, PRI - Puts test freqs in channels 1-21.
2, 9, SCAN - Puts in more test freqs.

264. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l492o$h8p@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158630

In <35759BF8.25DB@parg.org> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>> In <3575cd0d.15138021@news2.cts.com> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
>> writes:
>> >Up at State Prison a few years ago, when he
>> >was voted Most Popular Bitch of Cellblock 19,
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>>
>> Mackey was the favorite bitch of Cell-Block 69,
>> not 19. plus following as stated.
>
>Why is it that Bill Cheek & Bill Conduit know so much about the
>homosexuality of the "favorite bitch of cell-block"? Do they
>have personal experience? That certainly would explain
>the acusation of Bill Cheek of San Diego molesting young boys
>in his car!

get ahold of your lawyer the Cheek guy

he's calling you a child molestor:
damaging your reputation
making you a target of the
official watchdog of
"insinuations"

u may get rich still

bill conduit
who is bill cheek

265. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l496l$94c@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158631

In <35759C8F.30D@parg.org> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>Bill Cheek wrote:
>> Forgive me. You're right. My left
>> hand took over from the right hand at
>> that instant on the keyboard.
>
>Were you grabbing one of your little boys again Bill?

getting close here bill...he's almost
there...

do you have his current address?
do you know the name of the police chief
in his town?

time to boogie

bill conduit
etc.

266. Re: Icom PCR1000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: roy <roy.d@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8f29$7e14b7c0$c201410a@whfn00c0>
Source record: 158632

I was afraid of that but hoped that I was just missing something - the manual stinks. I can't believe that it doesn't - even the bargain basement scanners do.

267. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060320132700.QAA02879@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158633

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>Yes, I agree! That is WHY we are trying to get Bill Cheek to SHUT UP with his trouble making!

Wackie Quackie, you're as deluded as they get. You went off somewhere (jail perhaps) and evidently did not have access to a computer. Ergo, you declared a "truce" and made a big show about wanting to stop flaming, electronic stalking and all your other nefarious activities. Now that you've served you sentence, you're back to cause trouble all over again. You attacked Cheek without provocation and you haven't stopped. You posted six flames so far today. In only four days or so, you've run your count up to more than a dozen. At that rate, you're running at about 50 percent of your 300-flames-per-month pace.

>For every topic you came at me with, I can prove you wrong & there are about 5 people who will dis-agree for every one you can find that will agree.

Will five people please step forward and agree with Quackie? Thank you.

>You state "Bill ISN'T calling you names", then how do you explain the statements below.

Wah, you called him names and he called you names back. Quackie, don't be such a crybaby.....

268. Shuttle/Mir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: comint430@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060321151200.RAA09820@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158634

For those of u who have tracking software and would like to keep track of the shuttle and Mir here is the NASA site to get the orbital elements for both spacecraft:

http:// shuttle.nasa.gov/current/orbiter/orbiter/sightings/shuttle.html

There is also a check box to get tracking software but the site must have been down for some reasn when i tried it.

This will definitely help those of u who want to hear either of both of the crafat.

73 and good listening,
Tony
KA3OUT
comint430@aol.com

269. WTB nonworking BC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc4art@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6l4jrbnph1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158635
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am in need of a keypad/top cover for a Bearcat 150. Keypad must work. Also nonworking sets considered. Please respond via email.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

270. Re: Using An Outdoor Antenna On A Portable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6l4fpu$nao@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158636
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Good points - my family is basically one big lawyer <g>.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> "James Tonsager" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> > Owning one is NOT illegal (I've looked into it - I own one) - it is only
> > illegal when you use it to intentionally listen to cellular or cordless
> > phone frequencies.
>
> Yeah, well owning a CB linear amplifier isn't illegal
> either. But if one is found in your possession, the
> FCC will presume you to have used it, leaving you to
> suck rocks and whistle "Dixie".
>
> BTW, if you are not a lawyer, you would be well advised
> to not tell people what is legal. They can act on your
> advise and if they get shat upon, they can come after
> you for giving them erroneous legal advise.
>
> Oddly, you can tell people something is illegal all day
> long and suffer no liability.
>
> Caution is advised when dispensing legal advice.
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

271. Barry Goldwater Eulogy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <6l4eqe$e95$1@bolivia.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158637

good talk of Ham Radio.....

according to his boyhood friend....."that dot dash stuff....300,000 msgs relayed from Vietnam"

272. Re: Barry Goldwater Eulogy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <6l4fhmeuc1@bolivia.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158638

oops, that was his brother....was watching on my laptop...so I couldnt read
the graphic...!

273. FS: pro 2005 Scanner Full 800 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6l4fvn$i5b@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158639

Selling a pro 2005 scanner looks just like a pro 2006 has cell mod
works and looks great 400 ch base scanner one of the Best scanners
ever made gets it all!!! selling this Gem for $275.00 plus shipping
email me I am in so cal.... 714 960-2835 Mike

274. Re: cordless freqs other than 46 and 902-928mgz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J.M." <wnjl@gbsias.com>
Message-ID: <3575CB4E.6B9@gbsias.com>
Source record: 158640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Philip J. Smith wrote:
>
> >I think you will find that they operate above the broadcast band around
> >1.7 MHz. I have never heard of a cordless phone operating around
> >500 KHz.
>
> Panasonic will soon put a 2.3 GHz cordless phone on the market. I don't know
> of any scanners, at any price, that receive above 2 GHz.
>
> Phil

The only scanner I know of that receives that band is the AR-5000 base scanner that I believe goes up to 2500 MhZ. The price on that scanner is sposte to be 1,500-2,000 bucks.

J.M.-The hot shot

275. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <3575C809.B2BD4E9@iname.com>
Source record: 158641

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Forgive me. You're right. My left
> > hand took over from the right hand at
> > that instant on the keyboard.
>
> Were you grabbing one of your little boys again Bill?

Boy this is on topic and polite all right MACKEY.
Be aware, that unlike you, the rest of us are not pedophiles.
I challange you to take the challange I defeated you at once already,
and shut the hell up for 2 weeks.
I know you're not up to it...
And you know I can...
So what do you say MACKEY?

276. Re: Best Portable Trunktracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <01bd8f3c$280a1100$d1632581@default>
Source record: 158642

Get the 235xlt. Check the prices at CEI in Ann Arbor, MI their web site is http://www.usascan.com I bought a 895xlt from them.

277. Re: 900 megahertz phone freq.??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <357564ED.7AA3DC89@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 158643

Nope some are spread spectrum and some are Analog. I checked my $59
V-Tech 900 MHz cordless and the freq and range you mention is valid for
mine. I can go about 300 feet radius from the base unit before the
handset starts to noise up.

Mike

278. Re: Tapping Pro-43 discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <01bd8f3a$b53eaa60$d1632581@default>
Source record: 158644
Cross-posted to: tnn.comm.pager,rec.radio.scanner

It is on the middle board. The pin with the dot next to it is #1 just count down 8 pins and go straight across to the other side of the IC and that is pin #9. Just did the mod this weekend and the only tough part is finding a place to mount the jack. I used the top cover behind the earphone jack. Good luck!

279. Re: cordless freqs other than 46 and 902-928mgz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <35756626.A04C5B77@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 158645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out the URL below for more info on what is available in various CT systems.I just found this one today, I think you can also find a link to what frequencies besides 46/49 and 902-928 are available. For instance you may find some info on the newer channels in the 44 to 46 range.

http://design-net.sps.mot.com/solutions/wireless/cordless/cordless-apps.html#900

Mike

280. Re: speech inverters... your comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J.M." <wnjl@gbsias.com>
Message-ID: <3575CD4D.470F@gbsias.com>
Source record: 158646
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

D.B. wrote:
>
> I just ordered a speech inverter *unscrambler*....Looking for comments
> and sugestions on any and all.....whats your opinion and which do you
> think is the best?
> When I get mine, I will post my findings here:
> http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/scramble.htm
> Please send me your findings.
>
> --
> Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
> Or just click on the address below!
> mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net
> Web site at:
> http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp

The only real thing a speech descrambler can do around here is
descramble cordless telephone calls, that

281. California Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: scaning <scaning@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8f40$4324b540$10aaa0d1@oemcomputer>
Source record: 158647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

The following site includes the following California Frequencies:

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298

It includes the following:

- El Dorado County
- Placer County
- Sacramento County
- San Joaquin County
- Solano County
- Stanislaus County
- Sutter County
- Yolo County
- Yuba County
- Fire & Law Mutual Aid Frequencies
- AMR & Life Flight Frequencies
- California Department of Forestry (Statewide)
- California Highway Patrol (Statewide)
- Office of Emergency Services
- State of Nevada (Western Side)
- Tahoe Area Frequency Guide
- US Forest Service (Statewide, Region 5)
- Placer and NYP CDF Engine & Station Assignments

Thanks for visiting,
Scaning

--
Scanning Central & Northern California Frequencies
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298
scaning@geocities.com

282. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: clayfyre61@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060322361100.SAA19744@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158648

I think the weirdest thing I ever heard on the airwaves was in 1993 when a South Bend (IN) officer was being dispatched to a home where an elderly couple lived... the male party demanded an officer be sent to the residence because he wanted a divorce, and his wife retaliated by turning up the heat in the house (this was happening on a 90 degree day)! The man then wanted to know why it wasn't illegal to "cook" your spouse out of the house!!

Support search and rescue - get lost!

283. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3576ae2d.6701262@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 158649

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

>x-no-archive: yes In article <3575563d.50263776@news2.cts.com>,
>bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
>> > bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
>> > > His stance was picked....like a booger....a long
>> > > time ago. He just guilds it in lilies and
>> > > veneers it with spew and sputter.......
>
>
>> > Cheek, your personal repugnance is matched only by your defective grasp of
>> > English. The word is -gild-, genius...
>
>> Yes, Upton, for you, it is. I chose a
>> different connotation. Perhaps it sailed
>> over your head?
>
>Perhaps. But if you meant to use the word 'guild' as some sort of
>"different connotation", will you explain? Fact is, you can't......you
>made a mistake and are not man enough to admit it. Par......

Bill knows his audience, and writes to their level.

It's funny to watch him slobber over his sycophants, however. The sad
thing is that all of them put together couldn't flame their way out of
a WebTV newsgroup.

Excuse me now, I gotta go add some more pathetic profane poofters to
my killfile.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

284. Re: scanner antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.fdf7bf0cdfc51bd98968f@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158650

In article <1998060315131400.LAA09223@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
hot34rod@aol.com says...
> Does anyone have an openion on which scanner antenna has the best performance
> over the 25 to 1300mhz band. Thank you!
>
Believe it or not, after spending hundreds of dollars on the most exotic
ones out there. I have come to the distinct opinion that the 10 dollar
Radio Shack collapsible antenna with a center loaded coil to be the very
best across the entire band (and especially great in the 46 to 50mhz
range. Forget the Diamond's and the Ferret's, this can beat them all,
seriously. This is of course for hand held use only. For the roof, I have
never found anything to outperform a high up mounted Channel Master
"monitenna" and to think it was only 50 buck as opposed to the several
hundred I spent on a couple of the highly touted one's. Good luck.

285. Re: Icom PCR1000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <uGkd1.1389$gY.914625@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 158651

roy wrote in message <01bd8f29$7e14b7c0$c201410a@whfn00c0>...
>
>I was afraid of that but hoped that I was just missing something - the
>manual stinks. I can't believe that it doesn't - even the bargain basement
>scanners do.
>
Its the software not the radio itself. The radio can do just about anything
with the right software except:

1. Monitor the bandscope when in CW or SSB mode and provide audio at the
same time. Audio is muted if the bandscope is on while in these modes.

2. Supposedly cannot provide any information on the CTCSS tones it is seeing
as the logic is the opposite, the audio is only turned on when the CTCSS
tone is received as programmed by the software.

There might be others but those are most noticeable from a user point of
view. ScanCat, Radio Max are two programs that eliminate that restriction.

Steve

286. Aurora, IL PD Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjlinden@mcs.net
Message-ID: <6l4lp4$8j0$2@Nntp1.mcs.net>
Source record: 158652

I'm trying to find the frequency(s) for the Aurora, Illinois police department. The information I have so far shows the frequencies as...

155.6100 Aurora PD Dispatch
151.3850 Aurora PD TAC
156.1500 Aurora PD car-to-car

However, Aurora is a fairly big town and I've heard nothing on these frequencies. A friend of a friend said that he thought Aurora went to a trunked system. However, all of my sources (Scanner Master, local WEB sites, etc.) show the above VHF frequencies and say nothing about trunking. Anyone in the area have any information on the subject?

Thanks... Mike, mjlinden@mcs.net

287. Re: Tapping Pro-43 discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: weisen-jmREMOVE@ai.mit.edu
Message-ID: <6l4mri$9jp$1@entertainment-tonight.ai.mit.edu>
Source record: 158653
Cross-posted to: tnn.comm.pager,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Cancel that last request... I found the chip IC-301 on the second
board in a fit of impatience.

Thanks to everyone who made this info so available on the net.

Thanks,
Neil

288. User Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert S. Bartel" <bobb@ulster.net>
Message-ID: <35755858.8D4@ulster.net>
Source record: 158654

Are the user manuals posted at http://support.tandy.com/support the same as the manual you would receive with a scanner purchased from Radio Shack?

289. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35761399.320B@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158655

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> Why is it that Bill Cheek & Bill Conduit know so much about the
> homosexuality of the "favorite bitch of cell-block"? Do they
> have personal experience? That certainly would explain
> the acusation of Bill Cheek of San Diego molesting young boys
> in his car!

Oh REALLY John! How about the accusation that you wouldn't know how to change the batteries in a flashlight without a pop-up book? How about the accusation that you couldn't post an on topic post if your miserable life depended on it? How about the accusation that you can't even SPELL "accusation" without a dictionary? How about that, huh Cybertwit?!?!

Shut the hell up John. Leave with what little dignity you have left.
-Phil

290. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357613E1.25C3@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158656

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> Hey you have jsut brought up a service that Cheek does provide!
> His mindless antics are somewhat amusing!

Unlike your mindless antics which are just plain stupid....
-Phil

291. The Smartest Thing John Mackey Ever Said:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35761606.6871@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158657

And I Quote:

""

Enough said.
-Phil

292. Re: NY State police Helicopter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bst@rs6a.wln.com
Message-ID: <35749b74.0@news.wln.com>
Source record: 158658

DoomGuru (doomguru@aol.com) wrote:
: What frq is used for the chopper??

This would depend on whom they are conversing with. Are you
thinking air-air, air-tower (and which?), to NYSP, etc?

ray-
rdong@spl.org < if responding to me <<
47°34'N 122°18'W

293. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: j@j.j
Message-ID: <3574be19.1994714@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 158659

Phil, you suck. Go away. Who cares.

294. Broward and Palm Beach County Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: complex592@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060303413100.XAA27906@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158660

I recently relocated from NJ. I have a Bear Cat scanner but no 800 freq. I did not need them in NJ for my needs. What is a good scanner that would cover amateur scanning in this area. Are there any frequencies that are particularly interesting to listen to in this area.?

295. IC - 1st Pin = # 0 or # 1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-FP5YVhUBkWo4@slip-32-100-186-66.tx.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 158661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill,

I need a questions answered again. <g>

I'm trying to figure out whether the very first pin on my IC is number
0 or # 1? Would you know?

Thanks!
Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

296. PD Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-BtMeR7KdxELJ@slip-32-100-186-66.tx.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 158662
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John,

&#65533; Brenham PD frequency is 155.835. Somerville PD might be 154.830. Washington
&#65533; Co. might be 453.825. You can probably hear activity on 155.370 and 154.950.

Once again, you're a saving grace.

&#65533; By the way, I lost a
&#65533; prop way out in the middle of the lake. It is just too shallow. If you find
&#65533; it stuck in a tree stump let me know.

I think I do remember seeing it. I'll get it next time for you. <G>

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

297. PD Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-3sdnUFibd8ts@slip-32-100-186-66.tx.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 158663
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John,

I found more from the list at
http://personalwebs.myriad.net/kg5ai/freqs/bcs_freq.html They are not PD,
but might be worth listening to.

141.3 150.675 Army Corps of Engineers-Lake Somerville
131.8 163.4375/ Army Corps of Engineers
131.8 165.7125 Army Corps of Engineers-Lake Somerville

OK, thanks.

BTW, how do you read the freqs above?

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

298. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3575cd0d.15138021@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158664

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> I have been in this NG for a long time and I have never in all my life
> seen anyone with such a big mouth use so many words to say so little.

He's an imbecilic hermaphrodite. Whaddya expect?

> John, who are you trying to impress? What are you trying to prove? Are
> you going for the Guiness World Record for most enemies gathered in one
> lifetime?

He *thinks* he has friends

> I don't get it John. You sputter on incessantly about "let's make the
> newsgroup a friendly place" and "Bill Cheek is calling me names
> again..." and on and on and on. Then when this NG actually IS a nice
> place and Bill ISN'T calling you names again, you come out of your hole
> and start snapping at everyones heels again.

It's always been that way....ever since I popped
'im in the poopy over on FidoNet and gave 'im his
sailing orders and sent 'im flying through the air
with the greatest of ease like the man on the
flying trapeze......

> Make up your mind, genius.
> You either want to start trouble or you don't. Pick a stance and stay
> with it instead of being a wishy washy little insect.

His stance was picked....like a booger....a long
time ago. He just guilds it in lilies and
veneers it with spew and sputter.......

> And speaking of newsgroups, this one has something that you may or may
> not have realized it has - A TOPIC!!! Care to guess at what it is?

Imbecilic hermaphrodites don't guess too well....

> No,it's not "piss off Bill Cheek".

He would like to.....because he likes it when I
call 'im names and frag 'im. He's into leather
and chains and bondage and stuff like that.

> It's about something which your total personal knowledge of wouldn't
> fill half of a page - scanners.

He doesn't know a scanner from a fanny.......

> I have seen people try it all on you. You have been insulted, banned,
> kicked, laughed at, teased and ignored. Nothing works. Still you are
> here.

He's into pain and other masochistic kinds of
things...... He's especially fond of it when
people beat the tar out of him in public. He
thrives on being abused, and when no one
volunteers it, then he goes looking for it.

Up at State Prison a few years ago, when he
was voted Most Popular Bitch of Cellblock 19,
he was the ONLY inmate who stood with his
gut to the wall in the showers. Everyone else
walked around in the showers, backs to the wall.

Not HIM.

> So now I have decided to give what you seem to want SO BADLY - a fight.
> Go ahead. Post away. I will make you eat every single word.

Careful. You're making him quiver in orgasmic
ecstasy.

> You will be so completely humiliated, so absolutely
> overwhelmed with feelings of worthlessness.

That is exactly what he wants,

> I will make you feel as hopeless and dejected as you did
> when you were stood up or dumped by every woman within 3 states.

Well, that was just for show. He doesn't like
women, period. He feels they are "competition".

> I will even
> make you feel worse than you did when Bill punted you from Fido-net.

Now THAT will be hard to beat, even if I say
so myself. Me and him had to go to the hospital
on three legs to get one of mine removed from his
fat patooty, it was in so deep. THAT wasn't the
sad thing. though. The real pits is that he giggled
and tittered all the way to the hospital.

> Go on, try me, you worthless little worm. I will make you cry for your
> mommy.

Her name is Lizzy, for short. Thunder Lizard is
the full English name.

> Do yourself a favor. Go back to posting in alt.fetish.hamster, and save
> yourself from the verbal beating of a lifetime.

He's licking his chops, Phil. He can't wait for
you to put the hurts to him. His favorite expression
is, "Ooooohhhhh, THAT hurts sooooo gooooood!".

Now you know.....

Pity. He's such a sick reptile.....

299. Re: Is a BC200XLT a better scanner then today's ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3576d139.16206130@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158665

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John Smith" <global@theisp.net> wrote:

> Is the Uniden Bearcat BC200XLT scanner with 800mhz better then any handheld
> scanner today at radio shack?

No. But it is still one of the best ever
of Uniden's dribble-ware.

> Am I gonna be limited on a new scanner?

Sure. You're going to be limited with anything
that costs less than $10,000 or so.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

300. Re: IC - 1st Pin = # 0 or # 1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3577d1e8.16380910@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158666

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

chuckiex@ibm.net (Charles McCallister) wrote:

> I need a questions answered again. <g>
>
> I'm trying to figure out whether the very first pin on my IC is number
> # 0 or # 1? Would you know?

IC chips never have a "pin 0". They always
start with 1, and go in a CCW direction, as viewed
from the top, to the max, whatever it is.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

301. Re: NEXTEL Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060306342200.CAA11467@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158667

My business uses the Nextel system (www.nextel.com/index.shtml) and yes they are digital. Go to the web page for more info on their products. They are for the most part in the 800 mhz range. They just started a 900 mhz range (I believe it is for Japan only). Good luck on scanning the digitaly encrypted transmissions.

302. Pro-2041 HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6l2sf8$9sr$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have any succes in entering a freq of 912.062...I enter that Freq in..and get 912.050....My cheapie Pro 66 lets me enter that freq in ok...

Any ideas ?

Pls Email me

powervoice98@geocities.com

http://members.xoom.com/ontscan/

' Home of Ctcss , Dtmf , Mdt Decoders '

Thanx for any help!!

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

303. Mail order

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R. Brush" <broom1@greenville.infi.net>
Message-ID: <35751EDE.D09CCD85@greenville.infi.net>
Source record: 158669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey, does anybody now a good mail order company? I'm looking at buying
a BC235XLT.
I have seen prices from $230 to $300 want a good price from a good
dealer. Also have seen
where some companies modify the volume through the head set for races,
is this true, is it easily done, is it necessary? thanks.

304. Regency HX-850 problem...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: seb <seb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6l2u8r$5b6$1@sibyl.sunrise.ch>
Source record: 158670

Hi all,

I have a problem with a Regency HX-850E Scanner : the first resistor in serial with the CHG jack is broken, and I can't find the value.

Can you help me ?? where can I find Regency adress for shematic ???

Thanks in advance.

HB9ICC Sebastien

305. Re: NY State police Helicopter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: billbored@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6l2t65$6tq$1@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158671

Try these for air to sp ground units.
155.370
154.695
155.475
155.625
Also try county and 911 freq's.

306. Re: 900 megahertz phone freq.??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: billbored@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6l2v7u$6ve$1@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158672

I have had a similar logging in that area. It turned out to be a
transmitter link for an adult Pay per view service.
I am assuming that you hear a single unbroken carrier with a
conversation in the background.
I doubt it is cordless. I believe all are digital in this range.

307. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l31da$edc@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158673

In <3575cd0d.15138021@news2.cts.com> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
writes:
>
>
>
>>
>
>
>
>
>Up at State Prison a few years ago, when he
>was voted Most Popular Bitch of Cellblock 19,
>he was the ONLY inmate who stood with his
>gut to the wall in the showers. Everyone else
>walked around in the showers, backs to the wall.
>
>Not HIM.
>

q You are forgetting a
well-known fact in the
stories going around at
that prison on their WWW
site:

Mackey was the favorite bitch of Cell-Block 69,
not 19. plus following as stated.

bill conduit
etc.

308. Re: What's a Hwy Patrol Mobile Extender?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8eca$ec84b520$c51637a6@default>
Source record: 158674

The PL tone for channel 1 on their extenders (car-to-station) is 173.8.
Don't know what channel 2 is (car-to-car). Channel 3 (direct
extender-to-extender) uses no tone. Hope this helps.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in article
<6l1bkm$8th@news.snowcrest.net>...
> Try 173.8
>
> >I'm assuming you guys are talking about CHP. If so, what is the PL
> >tone of the frequency?
> >
> >Sam
>
>
>

309. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8ecc$1b9ba660$c51637a6@default>
Source record: 158675

I am not supporting any single person. I think you and Bill Cheek have valuable information to share on the newsgroup. I respect anyone withh worthwhile input,but get really tired of the fighting. I read Bill Cheek's posts all the time and know he has good information to share. If you have worthwhile info to share (which I assume you do) lets help eachother and quit fighting.

There ... I've said my piece ... Now I'll shut up and try to help someone ..

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote in article <3574414A.61F@parg.org>...
> Mike Derryberry wrote:
> > It's really sad when people can't get along and help each other and
> > everyone else. As my parents taught me many years ago ... If you can't say
> > something nice about someone, don't say anything at all. In other words,
> > quit bitchin' and shut-up.
>
> Mike-
>
> Yes, it is sad when people do what you have mentioned. Thanks for
> your support. Hang in there, the attention seekers will leave
> eventually.
>

310. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8ecf$13b8e220$c51637a6@default>
Source record: 158676

Thanks Bill & Phil ... Just got home froom work after a long and crappy day
and I just laughed so hard it made my day. I don't want to take sides, but
you guys did make me laugh ....

Thanks,
Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<3575cd0d.15138021@news2.cts.com>...
> Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > I have been in this NG for a long time and I have never in all my life
> > seen anyone with such a big mouth use so many words to say so little.
>
> He's an imbecilic hermaphrodite. Whaddya expect?
>
> > John, who are you trying to impress? What are you trying to prove? Are
> > you going for the Guiness World Record for most enemies gathered in one
> > lifetime?
>
> He *thinks* he has friends
>
> > I don't get it John. You sputter on incessantly about "let's make the
> > newsgroup a friendly place" and "Bill Cheek is calling me names
> > again..." and on and on and on. Then when this NG actually IS a nice
> > place and Bill ISN'T calling you names again, you come out of your hole
> > and start snapping at everyones heels again.
>
> It's always been that way....ever since I popped
> 'im in the poopy over on FidoNet and gave 'im his
> sailing orders and sent 'im flying through the air
> with the greatest of ease like the man on the
> flying trapeze......
>
> > Make up your mind, genius.
> > You either want to start trouble or you don't. Pick a stance and stay
> > with it instead of being a wishy washy little insect.
>
> His stance was picked....like a booger....a long
> time ago. He just guilds it in lilies and

> veneers it with spew and sputter.......
>
> > And speaking of newsgroups, this one has something that you may or may
> > not have realized it has - A TOPIC!!! Care to guess at what it is?
>
> Imbecilic hermaphrodites don't guess too well....
>
> > No,it's not "piss off Bill Cheek".
>
> He would like to.....because he likes it when I
> call 'im names and frag 'im. He's into leather
> and chains and bondage and stuff like that.
>
> > It's about something which your total personal knowledge of wouldn't
> > fill half of a page - scanners.
>
> He doesn't know a scanner from a fanny.......
>
> > I have seen people try it all on you. You have been insulted, banned,
> > kicked, laughed at, teased and ignored. Nothing works. Still you are
> > here.
>
> He's into pain and other masochistic kinds of
> things...... He's especially fond of it when
> people beat the tar out of him in public. He
> thrives on being abused, and when no one
> volunteers it, then he goes looking for it.
>
> Up at State Prison a few years ago, when he
> was voted Most Popular Bitch of Cellblock 19,
> he was the ONLY inmate who stood with his
> gut to the wall in the showers. Everyone else
> walked around in the showers, backs to the wall.
>
> Not HIM.
>
> > So now I have decided to give what you seem to want SO BADLY - a fight.
> > Go ahead. Post away. I will make you eat every single word.
>
> Careful. You're making him quiver in orgasmic
> ecstasy.
>
> > You will be so completely humiliated, so absolutely
> > overwhelmed with feelings of worthlessness.
>
> That is exactly what he wants,
>
> > I will make you feel as hopeless and dejected as you did
> > when you were stood up or dumped by every woman within 3 states.
>

> Well, that was just for show. He doesn't like
> women, period. He feels they are "competition".
>
> > I will even
> > make you feel worse than you did when Bill punted you from Fido-net.
>
> Now THAT will be hard to beat, even if I say
> so myself. Me and him had to go to the hospital
> on three legs to get one of mine removed from his
> fat patooty, it was in so deep. THAT wasn't the
> sad thing. though. The real pits is that he giggled
> and tittered all the way to the hospital.
>
> > Go on, try me, you worthless little worm. I will make you cry for your
> > mommy.
>
> Her name is Lizzy, for short. Thunder Lizard is
> the full English name.
>
> > Do yourself a favor. Go back to posting in alt.fetish.hamster, and save
> > yourself from the verbal beating of a lifetime.
>
> He's licking his chops, Phil. He can't wait for
> you to put the hurts to him. His favorite expression
> is, "Ooooohhhhh, THAT hurts sooooo gooooood!".
>
> Now you know.....
>
> Pity. He's such a sick reptile.....
>
>

311. Re: Atlantic ATC freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <mgRYVUARsRd1EwSb@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 158677
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6kptif$d54$1@news.akorn.net>, Dave Pascoe <km3t@akorn.net>
writes

Hi Dave,

>Anyone know the air traffic control frequencies between 5 and 7 MHz,
>the ones where the tower is up in the Canadian Maritimes? Sorry for
>being so vague, but I've only heard them once and can't seem to find
>tham again. These are the frequencies used to talk to aircraft
>crossing the Atlantic on the way to Europe.

There are quite a few web sites wih NA track and frequency information.

Try the following two for starters:

http://www.iol.ie/~markzee/atl.htm

http://dave83.simplenet.com/747/html/natracks.html

Hope that helps.

Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 216/510, Icom IC-R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

312. Re: WTB KENWOOD RZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <fCA2NUBXFTd1EwXL@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 158678

In article <35748B9C.A5454B8C@sprintmail.com>, BJ
<bp1993@sprintmail.com> writes

Hi Bob,

The following was posted here earlier this week, if you can "wind your
newsreader" back you might find the original (or use Dejanews)

>From: dcam <dcam@ricochet.net>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Subject: KENWOOD RZ1 SCANNER
>Date: Mon, 01 Jun 1998 13:09:37 -0700
>Organization: All USENET -- http://www.Supernews.com
>Message-ID: <35730A80.EC5D7D9E@ricochet.net>
>Reply-To: CAM@LARSCOM.COM
>
>FOR KW RZ1 MOBILE SCANNER,COVER 500K-905MHZ,CELL NO BLOCK
>BOX,MANUAL,PW-CORE,$265.USD.THANK YOU.
>DOUG

Hope that helps.

Kind Regards
Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 216/510, Icom IC-R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

313. Re: Mail order

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: foresterNOSPAM@usit.net
Message-ID: <3575388e.32816209@news.usit.net>
Source record: 158679
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 03 Jun 1998 03:01:03 -0700, "R. Brush"
<broom1@greenville.infi.net> wrote:

>Also have seen
>where some companies modify the volume through the head set for races,
>is this true, is it easily done, is it necessary? thanks.

Most of the racing scanner vendors claim to do it, but I don't think
it is necessary. As a veteran of many NASCAR races, I can tell you
that with good headphones, volume modification is not needed. For
that matter, most scanners will drive at least two headphones with
sufficient volume. Stay away from the cheapest headphones - they have
very little noise reduction.

Jack

314. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ywd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980603121212200.IAA26340@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158680

(Daniel Abranko) writes:

>dammit...
>I think that you should be allowed to do whatever you want in your own car...
>I mean whats wrong with driving naked?

Nothing, 'cept for those nasty seat belt burns when you are involved in an MVA,
as a result of people staring at your nakedness.....

315. Re: cordless freqs other than 46 and 902-928mgz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Philip J. Smith" <psmith@appliedsystems.com>
Message-ID: <6l3hkhcep1@nntp3.interaccess.com>
Source record: 158681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I think you will find that they operate above the broadcast band around
>1.7 MHz. I have never heard of a cordless phone operating around
>500 KHz.

Panasonic will soon put a 2.3 GHz cordless phone on the market. I don't know of any scanners, at any price, that receive above 2 GHz.

Phil

316. Re: cordless freqs other than 46 and 902-928mgz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Philip J. Smith" <psmith@appliedsystems.com>
Message-ID: <6l3hnt$cg1$1@nntp3.interaccess.com>
Source record: 158682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I think you will find that they operate above the broadcast band around
>1.7 MHz. I have never heard of a cordless phone operating around
>500 KHz.

Panasonic will soon put a 2.3 GHz cordless phone on the market. I don't know of any scanners, at any price, that receive above 2 GHz.

Phil

317. Re: Monterey County, CA Freqs Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6l3h4m$8d6@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 158683

"STRETCH" does, at http://members.aol.com/stretttch/freqs.htm

Harry Marnell, N6URU
marnells@snowcrest.net
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

Thomas S. Calannio wrote in message <3574EE49.1DAA962E@spgc.com>...
>Does anyone have a list of frequency's for Monterey County, CA?
>
>Thanks,
>Tom
>

318. Icom PCR1000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: roy <roy.d@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8ef2$0ee79610$bd01410a@whfn0290>
Source record: 158684

I like the pcr1000 so far but can't figure out how to scan more than one memory bank at one time. Any suggestions?

TNX.....Roy, KD3LZ

319. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cewelborne@adpc.purdue.edu
Message-ID: <35774fa5.2939296@news.cc.purdue.edu>
Source record: 158685

On Tue, 02 Jun 1998 20:34:56 -0400, Mike Burch
<libertybell7@earthlink.net> wrote:

> I don't see anything wrong with it either but... it seems like it is always
>some dumpy guy and never some beautiful chic... Well I guess the chic's never get
>reported do they?? :-).. Mum's the word baby!! Best wishes.. Mike
>
You don't ever listen to trucks on CB, do you? I just have to assume
that from your comments, since that's one of the top three
conversations...

Chuck Welborne
Management Information
Purdue University
****
My statements, not theirs!

320. Re: Charlotte Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: regulator@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <35757c62.10936191@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 158686

C Char-Meck Uses a Trunked Motorola System. You can still Get basic
M Medic(County Ambulance) Dispatch @ 462.95
County Vol. Fire is 156.165

G Good Luck Getting CMPD. They're really trying to keep us from
hearing anything.

Peace!

Chris <mather@sucks.com> wrote:

>I am planning on taking a vacation to Charlotte, North Carolina. I'm
>going to be staying in the south (near South Park mall), and was just
>wondering if anyone had any frequencies. I'm looking for anything:
>Police
>Mall Security
>Stores
>ect...
>
>Thanks for your time.
>

321. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3575563d.50263776@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158687

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes In article <3575cd0d.15138021@news2.cts.com>,
> bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> > His stance was picked....like a booger....a long
> > time ago. He just guilds it in lilies and
> > veneers it with spew and sputter.......
>
> Cheek, your personal repugnance is matched only by your defective grasp of
> English. The word is -gild-, genius...

Yes, Upton, for you, it is. I chose a
different connotation. Perhaps it sailed
over your head? Were you in full possession
of your head ALL day yesterday?

Sorry you don't like my personal repugnance.
But if you're just jealous, I can give you some.

If it's just a matter of like or don't like,
well, heck, that's a personal problem. Talk to
your shrink about it. A number of solutions are
available to you.

322. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35765796.50608856@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158688

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

> >was voted Most Popular Bitch of Cellblock 19,

> Mackey was the favorite bitch of Cell-Block 69,
> not 19. plus following as stated.

Forgive me. You're right. My left
hand took over from the right hand at
that instant on the keyboard.

<gloom>
```

323. F.S. Icom R-7000 Rec.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kcoin@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060314190300.KAA00838@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158689

Icom R-7000 Receiver No marks or scratches excell. cond.
with RC-12 remote control / Manual /Full Rec.
$950. + Shp.
This Equipment is Guarantee as Described or your Money Back Minus Shipping
Ken (WN9N) 815-478-5109 kcoin@aol.com

324. Yupi MVT-7100 Antenna ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Snelgrove <steelgtr@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6l3mst$d3q@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,
Why does the Yupi have a telescopic antenna? Do all wide band hand helds need this? Could you use a ducky type for non- shortwave stuff?

Thanks

Bob

325. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357757f2.50700626@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158691

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> Thanks Bill & Phil ... Just got home froom work after a long and crappy day
> and I just laughed so hard it made my day. I don't want to take sides, but
> you guys did make me laugh ....

That's the way I look at it, Mike. Geez, this
crap ain't ever going away and Mackey ain't
ever gonna honor any deals, so we may as well
laugh our sweet patooties off AT him and find
some mirth and good mood from it.

What the heck? And hey, it's good therapy, too.
Instead of coming home and beating the wife,
kids, and dog, just find Mackey on a newsgroup
somewhere and whack the hell outa him. You get
the day's frustrations out of your system....

He likes it. It's funny. And the family will
appreciate the elevated consciousness. And the
dog won't whimper and the kids and wife won't
hide in the closest when ya come home.

There's only one bad side effect. Mackey chaps
the hides of lot of shrinks and analysts who claim
he practices without a license, as a therapeutic
object, and takes away business from them.

:-))

326. Re: Modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35785ac8.51426471@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158692

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"abboud" <ahbood@beepme.com> wrote:

> Is there any modifications that i can do to the bearcat BC2500xlt to make
> it recieve all the bands.

No.

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

327. Re: AR3000A SERVICE MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35795ae6.51456815@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158693

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

doug <cam@larscom.com> wrote:

> I'M LOOKING FOR AR3000A SCANNER SERVICE MANUAL,THANK YOU.
> CAM

When you find one, you will still be looking for one.

(It's an extremely sick and demented service manual.)
About as worthwhile as shoulders on a snake......

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

328. Re: PD Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6l3okpdni1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The first numbers are PL tones. The second numbers are the actual frequencies.

Charles McCallister wrote in message ...
>John,
>
>&#65533; I found more from the list at
>&#65533; http://personalwebs.myriad.net/kg5ai/freqs/bcs_freq.html They are not PD,
>&#65533; but might be worth listening to.
>&#65533;
>&#65533; 141.3 150.675 Army Corps of Engineers-Lake Somerville
>&#65533; 131.8 163.4375/ Army Corps of Engineers
>&#65533; 131.8 165.7125 Army Corps of Engineers-Lake Somerville
>
>OK, thanks.
>
>BTW, how do you read the freqs above?
>
>Charles
>
>To reply, remove the "x" in my address
>

329. Wanted: Bearcat 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: complex592@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060315051400.LAA08568@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158695

If you have one for sale at a reasonible price please e-mail me. Thanks.

330. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060315122300.LAA05052@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158696

>From: supton@paranet.com (stuart upton)

>Cheek, your personal repugnance is matched only by your defective grasp of English. The word is -gild-, genius...

Cheek can defend himself, but I can't overlook the delicious irony that Shakespeare here displays.

Look "genius," when you get a couple of bucks go buy a dictionary and find out why you just mangled the English language with "personal repugnance." Like the Dylan says, "Don't criticize what you don't understand...for the winners now will later be last..."

331. scanner antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hot34rod@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060315131400.LAA09223@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158697

Does anyone have an openion on which scanner antenna has the best performance over the 25 to 1300mhz band. Thank you!

332. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: klo@spam.bigfoot.spam.com
Message-ID: <84CDAA7E9246D274.026B0FCEAECAA844.4F19C1F77D46E668@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 158698

In article <35743EB6.FD2@parg.org>, ka0ssf@parg.org says...
> Bro wrote:
> > But just to keep on topic, how about that AR8200?!!?
>
>
> Excellent point you ahv brought up, thansk for your
> support!
>
> How sad it is, I brought up an issue holding someone responsible
> for being off topic & causing trouble. Then about 20 posts
> are made from irresponsible individuals which were totally
> off topic.
>

Hey hey hey, no fair changing your email address on me. Now I can see
your messages!

Plonk

--

___ _____
(___| Kevin Lo |___AKA Radnor, LS_____Callsign: KF4JXF___)
"You are in a twisty little maze of URL's, all different."

333. Mail order

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-5Nhq1hqQDlqi@slip-32-100-186-201.tx.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 158699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 3 Jun 1998 10:01:03, "R. Brush" <broom1@greenville.infi.net>
wrote:

> Hey, does anybody now a good mail order company? I'm looking at buying
> a BC235XLT.
> I have seen prices from $230 to $300 want a good price from a good
> dealer. Also have seen

http://www.usascan.com/

> where some companies modify the volume through the head set for races,
> is this true, is it easily done, is it necessary? thanks.

Freq Fan Club
BC235XLT - they do the audio mods on earjack before shipping ($269)
BC895XLT - ($309)
800-722-6637 (SCANNER)
800-722-3326 (RACEFAN)
Dave Raley

Prices at Freq Fan Club have probably come down, too.

Good luck!

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

334. Re: Icom PCR1000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <357577AD.D667761A@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 158700

roy wrote:
> I like the pcr1000 so far but can't figure out how to scan more than one
> memory bank at one time.

Probably because you can't. ;-)

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

335. experience with AR8000 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: brian@SPAMMENOT.turbont.net
Message-ID: <35757c70.9860468@news.turbont.net>
Source record: 158701
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have an AR8000, and I would like to start using my computer to control it. I've collected 8 software programs that purport to do this. Before I clutter up my computer by installing and evaluating 8 different programs, I would like to ask the group for information on your experiences and opinions with any of these; programs/features you've liked and programs/features you've disliked and why. These are the programs I have:

AOR PC Manager
ARC
ScannerWear
RadioMax
ScanCat Gold
ScanStar (this one bombs during install anyway)
Radio Manager
Virtual AR8000

Thanks for your input.
Brian

Brian Meyette
Cornish, NH
to reply, remove the SPAMMENOT from the header
www.turbont.net/brian

336. Re: Modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <lms4EnBlzXd1EwTH@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 158702

In article <01bd8ea6$7fd7b400$233d61ce@Ahbood.pageme.beepme.com>, abboud
<ahbood@beepme.com> writes

Hi,

>Is there any modifications that i can do to the bearcat BC2500xlt to make
>it recieve all the bands.

I assume when you say "all bands" you mean 800MHz ?

The BC-25000XLT had/has 25-550MHz and 760-1300MHz coverage. It is not
possible to modify it for for full 25-1300Mhz coverage as the CPU won't
allow it or extend coverage beond those above but depending upon when
the BC-25000XLT you have was made it maybe possible to "open" the
800MHz. Later versions of the BC-25000XLT could not be modified for
full 800MHz.

Take a look at the following web page for further details:-

See http://www.designeq.com/BC2500xltMod.html

You will need to be happy taking your radio apart and working with
surface mount components.

Hope this helps.

Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 216/510, Icom IC-R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

337. Re: Yupi MVT-7100 Antenna ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <35757DF4.96031583@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 158703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Robert Snelgrove wrote:
> Why does the Yupi have a telescopic antenna?

Let's just say it's a great antenna for a great radio.

> Do all wide band hand helds need this?

Need? No. Benefit from it? Yes.

> Could you use a ducky type for non- shortwave stuff?

You could use a paper clip if you wanted to. Just don't expect it to be too efficient. A single length antenna is a compromise usually and the typical duckie is made for portability and not receivability.

The ability to receive a signal is dependent on the signal's power and the antenna's length compared to the signal's wavelength. Since there are a wide range of freqs covered by a scanner (and therefore a large number of wavelengths involved) you can begin to see how a constant length antenna isn't going to cut it.

If a signal is very strong, doesn't matter how efficient the antenna is at conveying the signal to the receiver circuitry (at home I can pick up many signals with no antenna at all). But as the signal gets weaker, you want a "better" antenna. If you are using an inefficeint antenna for the signal being received it will weaken it. Weakening an already weak signal may take it to the point where you won't be able to receive it.

Some antennas are tuned to pick up signals better in various bands. But what they do to increase reception in one place is simply taken from another (so it might receive better in Band X but Band Y is now terrible).

A good compromise is to have an antenna whose length can be adjusted to match the wavelength of the freq being received (at least when not scanning or when listening to a bunch of signals in the same band). So for lower frequencies, you lengthen the antenna and as the frequency goes higher, you shorten it. Most of today's duckies are pretty good for UHF freqs but don't expect to pull in the weak VHF stuff.

Hopefully that gives some indication of the antenna supplied with the 7100. I was rather miffed to see them replace it with a ducky when the MVT-7200 came out. And it's probably the same lackluster one they supply on the MVT-3300 and MVT-9000 as well.

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

338. Re: Charlotte Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <3575821b.7260056@news.tju.edu>
Source record: 158704

On Wed, 03 Jun 1998 16:43:28 GMT, regulator@mindspring.com (Clifton H.Garland) wrote:

>C Char-Meck Uses a Trunked Motorola System. You can still Get basic
>M Medic(County Ambulance) Dispatch @ 462.95
> County Vol. Fire is 156.165
>
>G Good Luck Getting CMPD. They're really trying to keep us from
> hearing anything.
>
> Peace!
>

Actually, all you need is a Uniden or Radio Shack Trunk Tracker or trunk tracking software such as TrunkTrac used in conjunction with a computer-controlled radio. I believe the talkgroup IDs are documented in www.trunktracker.com.

Joe

>
>Chris <mather@sucks.com> wrote:
>
>>I am planning on taking a vacation to Charlotte, North Carolina. I'm
>>going to be staying in the south (near South Park mall), and was just
>>wondering if anyone had any frequencies. I'm looking for anything:
>>Police
>>Mall Security
>>Stores
>>ect...
>>
>>Thanks for your time.
>>
>

339. RS vs Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Moody <jerry@southconn.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8f13$b8dec640$3663bec7@southconn.com.southconn.com>
Source record: 158705

How does the 895 compare with RS base trunk tracker model? Is the 895 as
good as the 2006 minus the trunk tracking?
What is the 2006 worth. I am thinking of selling mine.
--
Jerry

340. Re: Charlotte Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <357585b7.8183868@news.tju.edu>
Source record: 158706

On Wed, 03 Jun 1998 17:07:46 GMT, jcardani@erols.com (Joseph Cardani) wrote:

>Actually, all you need is a Uniden or Radio Shack Trunk Tracker or
>trunk tracking software such as TrunkTrac used in conjunction with a
>computer-controlled radio. I believe the talkgroup IDs are documented
>in www.trunktracker.com.
>
>Joe

Look here for talkgroup IDs for CMPD and others:

http://www.bearcat1.com/fleetnc.htm

341. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkfel@primenet.com
Message-ID: <3575888f.59579373@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 158707

On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 16:20:57 -0500, abrankodxxx@usa.net (Daniel
Abranko) wrote:

>dammit...
>I think that you should be allowed to do whatever you want in your own car...
>I mean whats wrong with driving naked?
>

Most states require that you at least have shoes in the car, while
driving. I once got pulled over in Ohio by a state trooper and was
chastised for not having shoes in the car...

73,

Mark

342. 1st Straw Poll: rec.radio.amateur.* reorg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeremy Billones <voting@clark.net>
Message-ID: <6l6jci$8q7$1@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 158509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

FIRST CALL FOR VOTES (of 2)

Votes must be received by 23:59:59 UTC, 22 Jun 1998.

This vote is being conducted by a neutral third party. Questions
about the straw poll should be directed to the rec.radio.amateur.*
Working Group at <rra-wg@theporch.com>

Votetaker: Jeremy Billones <billones@primenet.com>

STRAW POLL Q & A

Q: Who is doing this?
Q: How may I join the rra-wg?
Q: Why are we doing this?
Q: What are we doing?
Q: More to the point, why are we "kicking over this hornet's nest?"
Q: Why do you want to change the newsgroups again?
Q: Who are you to tell us what to do with "our" newsgroup?
Q: How long ago were each of the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups created?
Q: Why are the non-rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups rec.radio.info and
rec.radio.swap included in this opinion poll?
Q: Where can I obtain a copy of the original newsgroup charters?
Q: Where can I find out more information about the Usenet RFD/CFV
process?
Q: I don't want any of the newsgroups to change. What should I do?
Q: I want to go back to one single newsgroup for amateur radio on
Usenet, like we had back in the 1980's. What should I do?
Q: I support some of the newsgroups for amateur radio, but not others.
What should I do?
Q: I support the existence of a newsgroup, but disagree with its
charter. How should I vote?
Q: I would like new newsgroups to be created, what should I do?
Q: I have no opinion, what should I do?

Q: Who is doing this?

The rec.radio.amateur.* Working Group (rra-wg@theporch.com, which
initiated the last major reorganization in 1993) is the point-of-contact
for questions about this opinion poll. Principal members are Paul
W. Schleck, K3FU, and Jeffrey Herman, KH2PZ. Though not an rra-wg
member, Gary Coffman, KE4ZV, also endorses this opinion poll. this
opinion poll will be conducted by an unbiased third party from the
Usenet Volunteer Votetakers (UVV), Jeremy Billones
(billones@primenet.com).

Q: How may I join the rra-wg?

Anyone who volunteers may join the rra-wg. The rra-wg has always solicited volunteers from when it was created in 1993 (originally named rra-reorg) to draft an RFD to reorganize the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups (and continues to serve as an advisory group for FAQ maintainers and new newsgroup proponents). You may join the rra-wg by subscribing to its mailing list.

The automated list manager address is

listproc@theporch.com

Use this for subscribing, unsubscribing, getting archived info, getting the FAQ message, changing your address, etc.

To subscribe, send a message to this address with "subscribe rra-wg <your name>" Similarly, to unsubscribe, replace "subscribe" with "unsubscribe"

The list owner address is

listown@jackatak.theporch.com

Use this address only when there is a problem which really truly can't be resolved otherwise.

Q: Why are we doing this?

Discussion on the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups shows that there is a difference of opinion about the current newsgroups and their charters. Assertions on one side of the argument that the charters were voted upon by the readers have been countered with assertions on the other side that the votes took place years ago, by a very small minority of the current readership. There is also the strongly-held opinion among some that we have "too many newsgroups." The following is a sample posting from the discussion thread on rec.radio.amateur.misc that led to this opinion poll (the entire thread may be viewed at DejaNews, http://www.dejanews.com/):

>Subject: Re: Need Duplexer
>From: ke4zv@bellsouth.net (Gary Coffman)
>Date: 1998/02/21
>Message-ID: <34eeed4c.83429610@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
>References: <895_9802170900@warpgate.com>
><34fc13cb.12465836@news.cyberhighway.net> <6cl9nl$h1g@news.Hawaii.Edu>
><34ee4298.5958267@news.visi.net> <6clkai$nmi@news.Hawaii.Edu>
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Feb 1998 10:03:55 EST
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
>
>On 21 Feb 1998 04:14:10 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>>In article <34ee4298.5958267@news.visi.net>, <somebody@nn.visi.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Others seem to love the title "newsgroup moderator" or "keeper of the

>>>faq" or "charter boy".
>>
>>>What we really don't need are self appointed nitwits attempting to
>>>harass people. Period.
>>
>>Well hello, John! What you need to remember is that:
>>The charter was written by the newsgroup users; the charter was
>>voted upon by the newsgroup users, and the charter was (overwhelmingly)
>>approved by the newsgroup users.
>
>As I recall, it passed by about 150 votes. And that was a long time ago.
>Few of those who voted for it are still around. Some of us who voted
>against balkanizing rec.ham-radio are still here to keep you honest
>though.
>
>Gary
>Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
>534 Shannon Way | We break it |
>Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

Q: What are we doing?

As a preliminary (and perhaps even diverting) step to an RFD (which would be very laborious and time-consuming, and perhaps unnecessary), we will conduct a Straw Poll, or "Vote of Confidence" (similar to the vote of confidence for a Prime Minister made by British Parliament, or a non-binding resolution of the U.S. Congress). We do it Usenet-vote style (utilizing a neutral vote-taker from the UVV). During the poll, a vote is taken where a "Vote of Confidence" yeah or nay for each newsgroup, as currently constituted and chartered, is given by each voter (YES/NO/CANCEL/ABSTAIN votes are valid).

What votes mean:

YES: I support the existence of this newsgroup and its charter

NO: I disagree with this newsgroup's charter or I believe that this newsgroup's existence should be reconsidered in a future RFD/CFV

CANCEL: Delete any previous votes I made concerning this newsgroup

ABSTAIN: I have no opinion concerning this newsgroup

Each newsgroup that does not pass a vote of confidence by Usenet voting standards (2/3 and 100 vote majority of YES versus NO votes) may be referred to the Usenet newsgroup creation process where their deletion or modification (based on a rough consensus of the preceding 30 days of discussion and how much they lost in the vote of confidence) is proposed. Each newsgroup that does pass a vote of confidence will have its charter, and justification for existence, reinforced to the readership (as the current readership voted on it).

Q: More to the point, why are we "kicking over this hornet's nest?"

Sometimes hornet's nests can get big enough that they wind up falling down anyway. As shown in the thread above, there are significant undercurrents of disagreement over the current newsgroups, their charters, and even the suggested guidelines and rules of net-etiquette that many on the newsgroups advocate. If this opinion poll shows strong agreement with current practices, then that would help reduce (though not eliminate) newsgroup arguments on the subject. If, however, this opinion poll shows strong disagreement, then it's probably better that we know that right away, and take steps to fix the current situation before it degrades any further.

Q: Why do you want to change the newsgroups again?

Only some of the proponents of this opinion poll wish to change the newsgroups. Some wish to simply have the current newsgroups and their charters reinforced. All of the proponents agree that an up-to-date opinion poll of the readership is desirable at this time. Whatever future actions will be taken will be determined by the results of this opinion poll.

Q: Who are you to tell us what to do with "our" newsgroup?

One answer is that on Usenet, no one "owns" an unmoderated newsgroup. Newsgroups are voted into existence by a democratic process to determine consensus (and lack of significant objection) for using shared network resources to support them. All of the existing rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups passed such a vote at some time in the past, and should pass such a vote again if there is strong support for their continued existence.

Another answer is that this is just a non-binding opinion poll of the readership to determine a consensus, if any, for making changes. It would still be necessary to undertake a formal RFD/CFV process to change any Usenet newsgroup (and that was always possible, anyway).

It should also be emphasized here that no individual rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroup is being singled out. Rather, a consensus of the readership concerning ALL rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups is being sought.

Q: How long ago were each of the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups created?

1972 (or possibly earlier, this date is when UCSD joined the ARPANet):

Info-Hams (original ARPANet mailing list at Simtel-20, continues today at UCSD as a gateway of rec.radio.amateur.misc)

1979:

Creation of Usenet News at Duke and UNC

early 1980's:

fa.info-hams (fa = "From ARPANet") *
net.ham-radio (pre-Kent Paul Dolan "Great Renaming") *

mid 1980's-1991:

rec.ham-radio *
rec.ham-radio.packet *
rec.ham-radio.swap *

1991 Reorganization:

rec.radio.amateur.misc
rec.radio.amateur.packet *
rec.radio.amateur.policy
rec.radio.cb
rec.radio.swap

1992/3 Addition:

rec.radio.info

1993 Reorganization:

rec.radio.amateur.antenna
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
rec.radio.amateur.equipment
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
rec.radio.amateur.misc
rec.radio.amateur.policy
rec.radio.amateur.space

1996 Additions:

rec.radio.amateur.dx
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

* = obsolete

Current newsgroups covered by this opinion poll, and the years
in which they were created:

rec.radio.amateur.antenna (1993)
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (1996)
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (1993)
rec.radio.amateur.dx (1996)
rec.radio.amateur.equipment (1993)
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (1993)
rec.radio.amateur.misc (1991)
rec.radio.amateur.policy (1991)
rec.radio.amateur.space (1993)
rec.radio.info (1992/3)
rec.radio.swap (1991)

Q: Why are the non-rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups rec.radio.info and
rec.radio.swap included in this opinion poll?

Though one level up in the rec.* hierarchy, these newsgroups are
principally used by readers of rec.radio.amateur.*, and their fates
would substantially be decided by any significant reorganization of the
rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups. Though created by a rec.radio.amateur.*

reorganization, rec.radio.cb is not included in this opinion poll.

This announcement has been cross-posted to all rec.radio.* newsgroups
(except for rec.radio.broadcasting and rec.radio.info, which are
moderated). In addition, the following individuals were consulted prior
to this announcement (note that consultation does not necessarily
imply endorsement):

Richard Eckman, KO4MR, Proponent of rec.radio.amateur.dx
Brian Kantor, WB6CYT, Administrator of Info-Hams/Ham-* mailing list
gateways of rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups at UCSD
Ian Kluft, KO6YQ, Proponent of 1993 rec.radio.amateur.* reorganization,
former rec.radio.amateur.* FAQ Maintainer
Jay Maynard, K5ZC, Proponent of 1991 rec.radio.amateur.* reorganization
Brian Short, K7ON, Moderator of rec.radio.info
Rick Stealey, K2XT, Proponent of rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Q: Where can I obtain a copy of the original newsgroup charters?

ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.info
ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur-reorg
ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur.dx
ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Q: Where can I find out more information about the Usenet RFD/CFV
process?

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/How_to_Create_a_New_Usenet_Newsgroup
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/How_to_Format_and_Submit_a_New_Group
_Proposal
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.groups/Guidelines_on_Usenet_Newsgroup_Names

Q: I don't want any of the newsgroups to change. What should I do?

Vote "YES" for all of the existing rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups.

Q: I want to go back to one single newsgroup for amateur radio on
Usenet, like we had back in the 1980's. What should I do?

Vote "NO" for all (or all but one) of the newsgroups.

Q: I support some of the newsgroups for amateur radio, but not others.
What should I do?

Vote "YES" for any newsgroups that you wish to remain unchanged, and
vote "NO" for any newsgroup you wish to have reconsidered in a future
RFD/CFV.

Q: I support the existence of a newsgroup, but disagree with its
charter. How should I vote?

Since this opinion poll is for both the existence of given newsgroups
AND their current charters, you should vote "NO" for that newsgroup.
Again, this is a non-binding opinion poll simply to determine if current
newsgroups should be considered for modification or deletion, not a vote

to actually modify or delete these newsgroups.

Q: I would like new newsgroups to be created, what should I do?

Creation of new newsgroups is not being considered by opinion poll. A future RFD/CFV would have to be initiated to do this. You are, of course, welcome to express your opinions concerning new newsgroups by posting them to rec.radio.amateur.misc (*NOT* by E-mail to the vote-taker).

Q: I have no opinion, what should I do?

You may wish to read the preceding 30 days of public discussion on rec.radio.amateur.misc about this opinion poll to develop your own opinions (go to http://www.dejanews.com/ and search for "STRAW POLL"). If, after reading the public discussion, you still remain undecided, you may abstain from this opinion poll. You may do so informally by not participating in it at all, or formally by explicitly voting "ABSTAIN" for all of the newsgroups.

IMPORTANT VOTING PROCEDURE NOTES:

Standard Guidelines for voting apply. One vote per person, one account per voter. Votes must be mailed directly from the voter to the votetaker. Anonymous, forwarded or proxy votes are not valid. Votes mailed by WWW/HTML/CGI forms are considered to be anonymous votes.

Vote counting is automated. Failure to follow these directions may mean that your vote does not get counted. If you do not receive an acknowledgment of your vote within three days contact the votetaker about the problem. It's your responsibility to make sure your vote is registered correctly. Duplicate votes are resolved in favor of the most recent valid vote. Addresses and votes of all voters will be published in the final voting results post.

HOW TO VOTE:

Extract the ballot from the CFV by deleting everything before and after the "BEGINNING OF BALLOT" and "END OF BALLOT" lines. Don't worry about the spacing of the columns or any quote characters (">") that your reply inserts. Please, DO NOT send the entire CFV back to me!

Fill in the ballot as shown below. Please provide your REAL NAME and indicate your desired vote in the appropriate locations inside the ballot.

When finished, MAIL the ballot to: < voting@clark.net >
Just "replying" to this message should work, but check the "To:" line.

Examples of how to properly indicate your vote:

[YES] example.yes.vote
[NO] example.no.vote
[ABSTAIN] example.abstention
[CANCEL] example.cancellation

DO NOT modify, alter or delete any information in this ballot!
If you do, the voting software will probably reject your ballot.

If these instructions are unclear, please consult the Introduction to
Usenet Voting or the Usenet Voting FAQ at http://www.iki.fi/~jpatokal/uvv.

======== BEGINNING OF BALLOT: Delete everything before this line =======
.---
| 1ST STRAW POLL: rec.radio.amateur.* reorg
| Official Usenet Voting Ballot <RRSP-0001> (Do not remove this line!)
|---
| Please provide your real name, or your vote may be rejected. Place
| ONLY your name (ie. do NOT include your e-mail address or any other
| information; ONLY your name) after the colon on the following line:

Voter name:

| Insert YES, NO, ABSTAIN, or CANCEL inside the brackets for each
| newsgroup listed below (do not delete the newsgroup name):

Your Vote Newsgroup
--------- --
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.antenna
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.dx
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.equipment
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.misc
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.policy
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.space
[ ] rec.radio.info
[ ] rec.radio.swap

======== END OF BALLOT: Delete everything after this line ==============

343. F.S.PRO-26 SCANNER 25-1300MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.Smith" <rusty@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <3576D13F.B9E6DDC@direct.ca>
Source record: 158510

```
PRO-26 HAND HELD SCANNER
200 CHANNELS,TRIPLE CONVERSION,
HYPERSCAN,SOLD FOR $449 NEW
ASKING $200 US
WAS TOP OF THE LINE MODEL LAST YEAR!
```

344. Re: OPP (Ontario)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric <ec222@iscweb.com>
Message-ID: <3576D667.9FD3DD5E@iscweb.com>
Source record: 158511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Let's hope the radio works better than the Adobe Acrobat file!

powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:

> Comms were heard on the 43 MHz Band , this was a MNR Freq....seems tthe OPP
> can show up anywhere .
>
> They were doing 10 -28 , 10- 29 on parties...
>
> Maybe a Fishing Sting OP ?...Ill get tuned in...also Comms on 143.160 no PL
> tone...may not be OPP , however was Police of some sort.
>
> Point of post...SEARCH...then SEARCH more....
>
> Ya really never know what may turn up....!
>
> I was surprsed the O.P.P had Comms on the 43 MHz band, Using an MNR
> repeater, with ID's via the MNR Phone patch.
>
> No Ctcss tone on the MNR Freq that I could decode...was SIMPLEX.
>
> - Happy Signals -
>
> HTTP://MEMBERS.XOOM.COM/ONTSCAN/
>
> ' Home Of the SoundBlasters Decoders , ctcss , dtmf ,mdt's , Pocsag..and More'
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

345. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <not@home.here>
Message-ID: <3576D33D.4CF6@home.here>
Source record: 158512

Last night one of my local PD cruisers reported he was code 18 (coming
to the station) with a candidate for the Jerry Springer Show.

ClayFyre61 wrote:
> I think the weirdest thing I ever heard on the airwaves was in 1993 when a
> South Bend (IN) officer was being dispatched to a home where an elderly couple
> lived... the male party demanded an officer be sent to the residence because he
> wanted a divorce, and his wife retaliated by turning up the heat in the house
> (this was happening on a 90 degree day)! The man then wanted to know why it
> wasn't illegal to "cook" your spouse out of the house!!
>
> Support search and rescue - get lost!

346. Re: Idiot of Mackeral.....Radio Psycho-twat: the mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <3576DB49.50E98C84@pacifier.com>
Source record: 158513

Right on Bill.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> j@j.j (J) wrote:
>
> > You're as bad as the rest of them. Nice job, you loser. Go away.
>
> No he's not. He's one of the good guys.
> Leave 'im alone and concentrate on Mackey.

347. Was MACKEY the caller????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <3576DC17.2B293319@pacifier.com>
Source record: 158514

Was this call John Mackey???

> I once heard of a guy who received a cell call in his car. Apparently, his
> cell phone number had been published in a gay magazine (yes there IS something
> wrong with that) and a fag had called him on his car phone. The guy in the
> car had no clue what was going on. He finally clued in and got VERY irate at
> having to pay for airtime to listen to some fag ask what he was wearing. The
> call abruptly ended at that point.
>

348. MACKEY: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <3576DCF9.1F991D53@pacifier.com>
Source record: 158515

Why did you start all of this up again Mackey. Especially
after you promised not to.
Everyone on this NG knows how nice and tolerant Bill is.
He is very well-liked and none of us will put up with you
abusing him in any way.

349. NEW FREQUENCY COUNTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jparker@fix.net
Message-ID: <6l6md7$5dr$7@zinger.callamer.com>
Source record: 158516

Small Wonder Labs NEW 'Freq-Mite" Frequency Counter is posted to
there website complete with a picture and information!

This is a neat item, check it out at:

http://www.fix.net/~jparker/sml.html

Enjoy,,,72,,,Jerry...WA6OWR...K

350. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ~Buck <buckyball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6l6njigku1@hirame.wwa.com>
Source record: 158517

Hi,
I usually refrain from actually posting to newsgroups, and although this is my first time in this group, I feel compelled to express how silly everyone sounds. I realize that I will probably end up getting flamed as well, however I would be quite interested in knowing how old some of you are (ex. Mackey and Bill), and if your daddy's know that you are using their computers. I haven't seen such childish behavior since... well, NEVER! I was going through messages in this group for 10 minutes before I remembered that I was supposed to be reading about scanners... not personal arguments. Why don't you two just end it. Stop posting unless you have something to say pertaining to scanners. Stop trying to come back with that perfect response, and stop trying to have the last word! The sad thing is that in the 15 minutes that I've been in this group, not only have I not seen anything about scanners yet, but I have also been so disgusted that I don't think that I'll be returning for a while. Any group that can get ME, of all people, to flame someone else is not a group that I want to be in.

Don't bother flaming me.... I won't be returning...
~Buck

351. Re: Mail order

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "james.elmore" <james.elmore@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <fXBd1.460$SU3.522558@news.internetmci.com>
Source record: 158518
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I used Lentini Corp out of connetticut. They have a web page lentini.com if I remember correctly. The price was 254.95 post paid. I called the 800 number after reviewing the page and had the radio in two days. This was 50 dollars cheaper than I could find locally in the cleveland area.

352. Re: Harris County, TX trunk system has me baffled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dlc@Starbase.NeoSoft.COM
Message-ID: <6l6pch$gd9$1@Starbase.NeoSoft.COM>
Source record: 158519
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <1998053010454501.GAA07400@ladder03.news.aol.com>,
SchneidC wrote:
>Randycz wrote:
>Constable but I have found I can't listen to both at the same time. I bought a
>second TT and found that if I leave 859.2375 out of one and 859.7125 out of the
>other I can listen to both>
>
>I use one 895 to listen to both Harris County Pct 4 and Dispatch 1--no
>sweat...don't understand the problem...
>
>charley

Harris county is in the middle of switching the system over to smartnet.
They are using two control freqs right now. One is for the smartnet
system and one is for the old system. It takes a long time to reprogram
several thousand radios so they are doing it by department. That is, part
of radios are hearing the old control and some new. That is why it looks
like there are two contrl freqs.....there are right now.

Give it a little time and the confusion will take care of it's self.... I
hope!!

Dane

353. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3578ebd4.2489379@news.erols.com>
Source record: 158520

On 4 Jun 1998 10:59:30 GMT, tyler@nospam.com (tyler) wrote:

>A month or so ago, I heard a plane radio in that it had lost ALL instruments
>due to an intermittent electrical failure. (this was in the middle of the
>night) The tower asked if he wanted to declare an in flight emergency. He
>said no, I think I'll be ok..... FREAK. I wish I had the name of that
>pilot so I could find out if he was flying my plane.

I tend to agree, that bozo should have declared an emergency. I would
have.

Pilots like to recount "heard on the air" silliness. The
rec.aviation.* news groups often carry that kind of stuff.

Warning to casual scanner listeners: Aviation radio traffic is about
as regimented and regulated as it gets. It's meant to be no-nonsense,
no-chatter, and all business. Even police radio traffic can sound
disorganized by comparison. As a result, even small flubs can sound
quite hilarious after a long day of flying. If you don't understand
the humor, don't worry.

After all, I don't think many folks would appreciate the sound of
laughter coming from the cockpit of their commercial airliner.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

354. WTB crappy cheap used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: caj@urth.autarch.com
Message-ID: <6l6qj0$8ms@urth.autarch.com>
Source record: 158521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I need something that takes alkalines, at most 4. It should have
10 channels, and cover VHF lo and high. Oh yeah, a light would be
good.

Oh, if possible it shouldn't be too huge or weighty. (Handheld.)

If you have such a beast you don't want any never thought anyone
else would either, I'll give you a few bucks for it.

Thanks,
Craig.

355. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: caj@urth.autarch.com
Message-ID: <6l6qsu$8o7@urth.autarch.com>
Source record: 158522

In article <35761212.33EC@yahoo.com>,
Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
Somebody I snipped replied to Mackey with:
>John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>> For every topic you came at me with, I can prove you wrong & there are
>> about 5 people who will dis-agree for every one you can find that will
>> agree.
>
>Ignorant myopic imbecilic idiot savant! I bet you can't even remember

Umm, whence the "savant?" That'd imply a talent. Try just plain idiot.

Mackey, do yourself a favor and shut up. Nobody cares about this
petty dick-size bickering, you have nothing to say, and you just plain
look like an infantile buffoon. Have some pride, man. Seriously.
It's embarassing to merely _watch_ you.

356. FS: Pro 51, BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacLeod <macleod@bitstream.net>
Message-ID: <6l6quv$249$1@maryj.bitstream.net>
Source record: 158523

Pro 51 in excellent shape, in original box w/ manual. Keypad modifiable to receive cellular.
$105.00

BCT-7 in excellent shape, in original box w/manual, all original accessories (mounting bracket, home and auto power supplies, base antenna) except suction cup antenna.
$115.00

Email if interested. Will accept Postal Money Order only.

MacLeod

357. Hand Held Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Wagoner <lewis68@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3576F045.4EE8B41F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158524

I'm looking to buy a hand held scanner.

I'm looking at the BC235XLT, but I see that RS has a trunking scanner for a

$100 cheeper. What, if any is the difference between the two?

Are there any other scanners I should be looking at?

Thanks

Randall

358. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard - Suicide (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060419284500.PAA02706@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158525

I would have to say that the weirdest thing that I have ever heard is a suicide.

This happened about 4 years ago, during the winter....

Cleveland Fire dispatched a box alarm to Burke Lakefront Airport for an aircraft alert. It was reported that an aircraft was going to ditch in Lake Erie. I started monitoring aircraft frequencies and came across a pilot talking to air traffic control about wanting to ditch in the water. The pilot did not sound very stable and kept saying things like he did not have a good day today. He was flying in a single engine plane at about 13000'. ATC seemed to think that he was suffering from oxygen deficiency, and they just wanted him to land. Eventually he turned his aircraft to the east, and Cleveland Fire returned all units. A Coast Guard Helo was enroute from Detroit, but when he started flying over the land, they were also returned. ATC got him to switch to a more discreet channel, and at this time, he was out of fuel and decending, he made a joke about in flight refueling. His comms started to break up at this point. During this whole time I was on the phone with one of my friends who is also a scanner buff. I hung up with him, and called my parents. They live in Lordstown, 60 miles east of here. I had given my dad a 20 channel scanner as he is a volunteer fireman there. My mom was home and I told her how to program the scanner and told her to turn the squelch way down. I maintained contact with my friend via two way radio while listening over the phone with my mom. It was learned that the pilot had been flying for over 5 1/2 hours, after taking off from Akron Fulton Airport, and he was still circling, decending, and now wanting vectors to a lake, again, so he could ditch his aircraft. ATC did an excellent job in giving him vectors and getting him to want to land, but the pilot did not listen to them. The pilot eventually got low enough and he told ATC he found a lake, keep in mind that this is winter and the lake was frozen over, the pilot knew it was a lake because he said there were no lights there. As he decended, his last transmission was "Thanks for all your help." and that was it. It was not known by ATC exactly where he went down, so the Civil Air Patrol was dispatched. I listened to them for a couple of hours, while they mobilized, but they did not find the plane till morning. The aircraft was found in the Shenango Reservoir on the Ohio/Pennsylvania border. The pilot was dead.

All in all it was an interesting night, but as stange as it sounds, the story did not end there.

About 5 nights before this happened there was a fatal hit and run near Akron. A man, who was drunk, was walking in the road, at night, when he was struck and thrown into a snow bank. It was later found out he died because of suffocation, and not from injuries sustained in the hit and run. The only clue was a side mirror. Police checked out some shops and found out that someone did indeed get a side mirror replaced. The police in fact later revealed that they were not

going to press charges for murder or whatever, because the man was in the road, and visibility was poor, they really said they just wanted to talk to the driver to get their story. But before they could, the driver of that car took off in his plane from Akron Fulton Airport for one last flight....

This is also the only thing that my mom has really listened to on the scanner, and she is still a bit shaken up over it to this day.

Jeff C.

Visit LEGO Fire Tech at http://members.aol.com/regult/index.html

"There's some nationalism left in the world, but mostly its giant corporations who walk the earth as if it were their own."

359. Re: 900 megahertz phone freq.??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Perry <bperry@net-link.net>
Message-ID: <357703F7.46A86BB0@net-link.net>
Source record: 158526

Gee my Panasonic 900mhz analog works well some 1500 feet away, haven't tried any further.

Bill

Michael May wrote:

> Nope some are spread spectrum and some are Analog. I checked my $59
> V-Tech 900 MHz cordless and the freq and range you mention is valid for
> mine. I can go about 300 feet radius from the base unit before the
> handset starts to noise up.
>
> Mike

360. Motorola Trunking: How to track?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay O'Brien <w6go@xxxnospam.com>
Message-ID: <35770346.A66F4475@quiknet.com>
Source record: 158527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Radio Shack PRO-90 TrunkTracker. I have found many shortcomings with this unit. Is there anything else out there, especially something that will utilize an external computer, that will overcome some or all of the following items?

Note that the Trunking system I am monitoring uses 27 radio transmit frequencies (not including the receive frequencies) and has over 300 active talk groups.

1. When scanning talk groups, if special functions are used such as patching between groups, the talk group ID is offset by +1 to +15. To hear all of these possibilities, each must be entered as if it were a separate talk group. Obviously the user radios ignore this offset. The scanner should be able to ignore (and perhaps display) these offsets if desired.

2. When scanning for active groups, only a total of 100 groups may be locked out, over all banks. I would like to see at least 300 lockout possibilities for each scanned bank.

3. When "Monitoring IDs", I would like a sorted list of active IDs, perhaps with the number of transmissions.

4. I would like to identify exactly which frequency is used for each transmission on a talk group. This will help in determining if there is a problem with an individual transmitter.

5. I would like to identify the talkgroup usage over a period of time by number of transmissions, total transmission time, transmitted frequency, and perhaps relative signal strength. The talkgroups quantified could either be those specifically entered or ANY talkgroup, perhaps with some channels "locked out".

6. I would like to be able to have an ASCII readout for each channel. for instance, I would like "S.O. North" to display with talk group ID 8208 (plus the offsets when used).

7. I would like to be able to prepare the complete memory data for the scanner on my computer and upload it to the scanner, thus avoiding pushing the buttons on the scanner itself.

Any leads? I have DOS and Win95 computers available and two IC-R7000's, if that is any help.

Jay O'Brien
w6go

@
quiknet.com

361. Re: VA.SCANNER CLUB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darrell <drayf@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <3577147F.A5E3A64@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 158528

If you responded to this and i didn`t respond back,
I got an e-mail from someone in roanoke that had
R@mailhost.infi.net..... I couldn`t send e-mail
back to that address. the server would`nt let me.
send me a phone no. Thank`s, Darrell

Darrell wrote:

> Anyone interested in the s.w. va scanner club located in Roanoke,
> E-Mail me --- drayf@roanoke.infi.net Thank`s

362. OHIO: Mahoning County Frequency Updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Puskar <spuskar@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9003$902e58a0$2b4eadce@crc3.concentric.net>
Source record: 158529

Can anyone help me out with any new Mahoning Co. City and townships Police and Fire Freqs. Anything new over the last few years would be appreciated.
Thanks
Steve from Boardman, Ohio
spuskar@concentric.net

363. For Sale: Pro-64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Steeby <steeby@wmis.net>
Message-ID: <3577199D.65E412CE@wmis.net>
Source record: 158530

Radio Shack Pro-64 Handheld; 400 Channel; Direct Entry; AM/FM;
Programmable Scanner. Less than 3 months old. $150.00.
steeby@wmis.net

364. Need Computer > PRO 2042 info please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: James <JamesK@networksplus.net>
Message-ID: <3577221B.9D815EE6@networksplus.net>
Source record: 158531

I would like to add a computer interface to my new 2042.

What options do I have?

Software I should consider?

Hardware I should consider?

Thanks for your help. :)

JamesK@networksplus.net

365. SPEED MOD PRO-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <357728FA.1D1D@flash.net>
Source record: 158532

ANY MOD TO SPEED UP PRO-2022?
TNX JOHN

366. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35771f49.18083304@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158533

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"~Buck" <buckyball@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Hi,

Hi, yourself!

> I usually refrain from actually posting to newsgroups,

How's come you changed, then?

> and although this is my first time in this group,

Ahhhhh.....a green weenie! Cool. I can just feel
it in my bones how you're fixing to puff up your
chest and breathe some sanctimonious words of salvation
upon us......

> I feel compelled

By whom or what? The devil or a deity?

> to express how silly everyone sounds.

Does this asinine statement include you, pray tell? Or
are you excluded as one of these "do as I say, not as
I do" types of wannabe messiahs? Reason I ask is that
we get a wandering messiah in here about every 2-3 weeks,
and we were just about due for one again. I think this
makes you the 96th "Coming", give or take..... Ferget
the Second Coming....that'n's long behind us here.

But do continue.....please.

> I realize that I will probably end up getting flamed

Why would you expect that to happen? We don't flame
asinine, nescient newbies until they've been "broken in"
a little. You know....greased real good and warmed up.

> however I would be quite interested in knowing how old some of you are
> (ex. Mackey and Bill),

Smacksie is an imbecile who defies mere age. And me, well,
I figure that's kind of like asking my race and religion.
What size belt do you use, 54? What size hat, 2 ?

> and if your daddy's know that you are using their computers.

Sorry, it's MY computer and my Pop's been dead and gone
for going on five years, I guess. R.I.P.

> I haven't seen such childish behavior since... well, NEVER!

Hmmmmm. Well, I see messianic behavior here every 2-3 weeks.

> I was going through messages in this group for 10 minutes
> before I remembered that I was supposed to be reading about
> scanners... not personal arguments.

Hmmmm. You must be a very stupid messiah, then. Most of the
threads in this newsgroup are about scanners. You'd almost
have to be looking for shit to have found this thread. Of course,
most messiahs do come looking for trouble.......

> Why don't you two just end it.

We did. About two or three weeks ago. But Smacksie got
bored and started in on me again, so after a while, I had
to give 'im what he wanted. He'll shut up again after I
hose 'im down real good some more. He's "that way", you know.

> Stop posting unless you have something to say pertaining to scanners.

Sorry, I don't obey messiahs all that well. In fact, Smacksie
is a saint compared to the likes of you wannabe Mohammads and
Buddhas and Jones's and Swaggerts' and such..... I'll take
Smacksie any day. He's m'boy, you know.

> Stop trying to come back with that perfect response, and
> stop trying to have the last word!

Well.....if you think about it, that would not be possible
if YOU didn't take the FIRST word..... Of course, I don't
expect you to be able to do much in the way of clear thinking,
not without hiring someone to do your thinking FOR you, but
you get my drift, right?

> The sad thing is that in the 15 minutes that I've been in
> this group, not only have I not seen anything about scanners yet,

That's odd. Anyone with so much as a sphincter for an
eye socket can see most of the goings-on here are about
scanners. It was about 99% scanners until Smacksie came
back for another hosing.....but so what? It's still 96% scanners....

So the only legit conclusions are that you came here
looking for some shit....and found it; OR you're just
stupid. Or both......

> but I have also been so disgusted that I don't
> think that I'll be returning for a while.

Puh-leeeeze don't let the door hit you in the arse
on the way out.

> Any group that can get ME, of all people, to flame someone
> else is not a group that I want to be in.

It would certainly appear that we drew you outa the
flames of Hades without even trying. I wasn't aware that
we had sounded your call anyway. And what with you being
Messiah #96 or so, about all I got to offer you is an
especially crusty pair of shorts to eat. Do you prefer
Fruits of the Loom or BVD's? Know what I mean?

> Don't bother flaming me.... I won't be returning... ~Buck

Awwww, don't run so fast, Buckminster Fuller! You might trip
over your "buckyballs" and hurt yourself. But definitely
scoot smart-like and tuit suite so's the door doesn't smack
and bruise your tender arse on the way out. There'll be
some other hardcases here who'll want some of that tender
patooty of yours, so nourish and cultivate it, y'hear?

Be along with you now. Shoo fly.

367. Re: MACKEY: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3578291b.20597310@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158534

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

pja <pja@pacifier.com> wrote:

> Why did you start all of this up again Mackey. Especially
> after you promised not to.
> Everyone on this NG knows how nice and tolerant Bill is.
> He is very well-liked and none of us will put up with you
> abusing him in any way.

That's okay....Smacksie isn't very abusive, but
he just loves to be abused. He's "that way",
you know. Poor fellow......

368. Cordless Phones: Which one cannot be heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Foisy <rfoisy@inforamp.net>
Message-ID: <6l7c9e$451@news.inforamp.net>
Source record: 158535

I'm looking for a new Cordless Phone. Are there any that cannot be picked up on a scanner? What about Digital Spread Spectrum?

369. Re: cell or cordless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <3576D6C9.DC71E564@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 158536

The newer spread spectrum (CDMA) and Analog phones are operating in the
902-928 ISM (Industrial Scientific and Medical)band along with some ham
users, check out the url below for more info and links to frequencies
used by various countries for cordless phones.

http://design-net.sps.mot.com/solutions/wireless/cordless/cordless-apps.html#900

Mike
WB8VLC
Phoenix

370. Re: Any voice comms on 72-76 Mhz utility band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <3576D593.4AD9D446@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 158537

When the band is open, which is rare these days, but soon with the rising solar cycle F layer propogation should be coming in on the VHF low and possibly VHF Mid bands, you can hear coms in the 78-79 MHz range, the only country at this time that I know of using this range with any amount of power is Thailand which use the VHF-Mid band. Maybe some other listeners have more info on other users of the VHF mid band, aside from infrequent military users which seem to be scarce these days.

Mike
WB8VLC
Phoenix

371. KB9HGI'S Ham Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Baker <pacman@bcl.net>
Message-ID: <35773E34.15F6AE26@bcl.net>
Source record: 158538

Come Visit KB9HGI's Ham Shack!!
Ham Radio Stuff, Scanner Stuff and Buy Sell & Swap Stuff.
http://www.geocities.com/Baja/4990/

372. Alaska Railroad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Woodburn <havalark@jps.net>
Message-ID: <35773E6B.C518D5C5@jps.net>
Source record: 158539

Anyone got some frequencies for these guys?

Thank!

373. Re: Scanner clubs in SF Bay Area/SouthBay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jimbo <jbollit@popd.ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <35771A31.46FA@popd.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158540

****

There is the BASE (Bay Area Scanning Enthusiasts) that used to meet in
Milpitas. If interested in further info let me know and I will dig up
some old news letters.

Jim
****

Suzanne wrote:
>
> Are there any clubs in the mid peninsula/south SF Bay area?
>
> Suzi
>
>
>
>
>
>

374. Myrtle Beach Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles K. Fogle" <cfogle@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <35776E2C.F1F50AF2@sccoast.net>
Source record: 158541

```
155.295 Countywide EMS
155.400 Countywide EMS talk around
155.340 Countywide EMS Unit to Hospital
155.400 County Fire
42.340 State Troopers
42.060 State Troopers Unit to Unit
154.725 local security services
145.110 Ham repeater
147.285 Ham repeater
146.745 Ham repeater (Can be heard from Fayettville,NC to Beach)
855.2125 City and county Pd's
855.4375 "
856.2125 "
856.4375 "
857.2125 "
857.4375 "
858.2125 "
858.4375 "
859.2125 "
859.4375 "
156.695 State Law enforcement
151.430 State Wildlife
154.6,154.625,151.625,154.57, et al itinerate Freqs, very busy.
Hope this helps
Charlie
```

375. Re: Pro-2041 channel stepping HELP needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kplotkin@access2.digex.net
Message-ID: <6l6rg9$p6c@access2.digex.net>
Source record: 158542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <35835169.30131161@news2.cts.com>,
Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote:

>Of course you'd have THAT problem. Nothing would
>ever be assigned THAT frequency. To solve the matter,
>try entering: 912.0625 .... all four decimal
>places for the correct assignment. Works fine.
[snip]

The manual for my PRO-64 (handheld version of 2041) says that if you put
in an off-step frequency it will round it down to the next lower good one.

I wonder if the original problem and your solution are somehow related to
that documented behaviour. :-)

Ken Plotkin

376. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3577520F.710F8095@netwit.com>
Source record: 158543

~Buck wrote:

> Hi,
> I usually refrain from actually posting to newsgroups, and although this
> is my first time in this group, I feel compelled to express how silly
> everyone sounds. I realize that I will probably end up getting flamed as
> well, however I would be quite interested in knowing how old some of you are
> (ex. Mackey and Bill), and if your daddy's know that you are using their
> computers. I haven't seen such childish behavior since... well, NEVER! I
> was going through messages in this group for 10 minutes before I remembered
> that I was supposed to be reading about scanners... not personal arguments.
> Why don't you two just end it. Stop posting unless you have something to
> say pertaining to scanners. Stop trying to come back with that perfect
> response, and stop trying to have the last word! The sad thing is that in
> the 15 minutes that I've been in this group, not only have I not seen
> anything about scanners yet, but I have also been so disgusted that I don't
> think that I'll be returning for a while. Any group that can get ME, of all
> people, to flame someone else is not a group that I want to be in.
>
> Don't bother flaming me.... I won't be returning...
> ~Buck

I mean this in the nicest possible way:

Your fifteen minutes is up so get the buck out of here. Buck You!

377. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ~Buck <buckyball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6l7kct$s16$1@hirame.wwa.com>
Source record: 158544

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <6l7e7n$3jt@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>...
>In <6l6njigku1@hirame.wwa.com> "~Buck" <buckyball@hotmail.com>
>writes:

>
> Buck....lighten up. Smoke some good
> weed and enjoy the whooooooossssssh.
>
> allow the light to be lit.

You're absolutely right, Bill Conduit... I guess I just wasn't in a mood to see bickering posts earlier... It kinda pushed me over the edge, ya' know? But now, I've returned (against my better judgement) and this time I entered the group knowing exactly what to expect... and when you take a step back and relax, it's quite entertaining. (In a "Jerry Springer" sorta way)...

I apologize to Bill Cheek... The one thing I gathered from reading all of the previous BS is that you are the good guy in the battle between Cheek and Mackey... Although in my opinion (which I'm sure you don't want to hear but I'm going to give anyway) you're both being immature... My previous post was supposed to be directed more towards Mackey because he is obviously unable to defend himself at any intelligent level and his babbling had gotten on my nerves (as well as everyone elses as I had noticed). I guess I should've known better. What made me think that *I* would be the one who would say those "magic" words that got everyone to stop complaining. Silly me... If people want to argue, they're going to argue.

By the way, Cheek... You were right about the scanner info... after I closed that LONG-ASS thread, I saw all kinds of scanner info, and I actually found some useful information that I had been looking for for quite some time now.... I apologize for snapping at everyone earlier... I'll crawl back into my hole now... :)

> bill conduit

(Thanks bill conduit, for reminding me to lighten up)...

~Buck

378. Re: Cordless Phones: Which one cannot be heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6l7lqv$a72$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158545

Digital and digital spread spectrum cannot be picked up on conventional scanners. Yet. ;-)

Robert Foisy wrote in message
>I'm looking for a new Cordless Phone. Are there any that cannot be
>picked up on a scanner? What about Digital Spread Spectrum?

379. Re: Alaska Railroad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6l7ljc$9vd$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158546

This is a national listing:
http://www.radioscanner.com/Frequencies/Nationwide/Railroad/Railroad_Nationa
l_Listing/railroad_national_listing.html
Tim Woodburn wrote in message <35773E6B.C518D5C5@jps.net>...
>Anyone got some frequencies for these guys?
>
>Thank!
>

380. Re: Weatheradio with SAME technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Iquest News <rdavis@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <6l7o90$i28$1@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 158547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I believe Radio Shack helped develop SAME and got some sort of exclusive right to manufacture for about a year.

This Fall you might see other radios hit the market and I would expect the price to drop.

You might also research QST, an amateur radio magazine, for additional details on SAME. I think they published something lat quarter of 97 or first quarter 98. Maybe somebody else will reply with details.

Roger

Joshua Carroll wrote in message <3573CB64.FCE7CD3E@nol.net>...
>
>
>Joseph Cardani wrote:
>
>> Hi,
>>
>> Does anyone know who manufacturers weather alert radios with the new
>> SAME technology, other then Radio Shack?
>>
>> thanks,
>>
>> Joe
>
>www.nws.noaa.gov/nwr
>
>All info concerning Noaa Weather Radio.
>
>
>

381. FT: Icom R 7000 and TV 7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenne19@mail.idt.net>
Message-ID: <35777DE0.3495@mail.idt.net>
Source record: 158548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have a near flawless Icom R7000 uncut receiver with the TV 7000 adapter.

All manuals and boxes included

Works and looks like new

I would like to trade for an Icom PCR 1000 and some kind of desktop shortwave radio eg. Icom R 70, Kenwood R 1000, Yaesu FRG 8800 or the like.

Email me
Greg Renner

382. Re: Pro 51, BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scrappy <petesmithAT@mindlessDOT.com>
Message-ID: <6l7t95ahk1@blackice.winternet.com>
Source record: 158549

For sale? Whoa ho! That is weird!

--
-Pete
-Area 50 Public Information Specialist
-Trying damn hard to catch up to Area 51, and we might do it! (if we're
lucky)...Maybe!

I don't use Windows because I like Bill Gates. I just like a challenge!
http://www.winternet.com/~yomammy

MacLeod wrote in message <6l6quv$249$1@maryj.bitstream.net>...
>Pro 51 in excellent shape, in original box w/ manual. Keypad modifiable to
>receive cellular.
>$105.00
>
>BCT-7 in excellent shape, in original box w/manual, all original
accessories
>(mounting bracket, home and auto power supplies, base antenna) except
>suction cup antenna.
>$115.00
>
>Email if interested. Will accept Postal Money Order only.
>
>MacLeod
>
>
>

383. Wabted: The new Honolulu PD Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <bport@pixi.com>
Message-ID: <3576e4c0.0@bonaparte.pixi.com>
Source record: 158550

Honolulu has gone to a trunked 800 system. Does anyone know what
frequencies they are now using??? Thanks, Bill

384. Freeway Camera freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: zxzx777@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060400064500.UAA01630@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158564

On some of the Detroit freeways, and possibly in other cities as well, there are cameras mounted to monitor traffic conditions. These cameras have a yagi antenna installed on them. Is this antenna used to receive signals to tell it to move in certain ways, or is it used to transit video back to a central location? Can the video be intercepted? Anybody know what frequencies may be in use? Could a amateur radio type SSTV interface be used to capture these images? Seems like a sorta-neat way to spend some time if interception is possible. TIA.

385. (:> My Virtual Hamfest-Sale or Trade Many Items <:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jleikhim@nettally.com
Message-ID: <6l4obegoi1@server.cntfl.com>
Source record: 158565

Still a few items left.

For sale or trade:

Prices as marked are ASKING prices, all cash offers or trades
considered.

Wanted:
Motorola, ICOM, Kenwood, FRS type radios
PCMIA Modem with Flash RAM for HP200LX

Sorry, not interested in trades for computer gear or "antiques".

Shipping is extra for all items.

New condition Proxim 900 MHz Spread Spectrum modems
19,2 Kb/s RS232 interface.
Complete pair with antenna's and AC power cubes.
$450 obo plus shipping

Two brand new/in box Radio Shack 21-257 Digital-
Peak reading- Remote VSWR wattmeters.
This 3-30 MHz wattmeter was featured in QST this
summer. No longer available from RS.
$50 each plus shipping

Technics SL1500MKII Quartz locked turntable
with 2 cartridges.
$550 plus shipping

Pair of UHF Motorola HT100's presently on 451 MHz. Batteries are NG.
These are in pretty good condition suitable for the Motorola
collector. Asking $450 for the pair plus shipping

ASP/Motorola Transit Dome style antenna, New 450 MHz. $20 OBO

Motorola tune up adaptors for HT220 VHF, HT220 UHF and HT100 UHF. $10
each

Joe Leikhim K4SAT (EX KE4TZH)
Jleikhim@nettally.com

"tv dinner by the pool,
i'm so glad i finished school" -F.Zappa 1967

"The Revolution will NOT be televised" -Gil Scott Heron

386. Idiot of Mackeral.....Radio Psycho-twat: the mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l4qcs$e8p@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158566

always running off out the lips...

bill conduit
etc.

387. RS 150CH tunk trkr sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAM_bbqking@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <3578ec7d.45963044@news.glasscity.net>
Source record: 158567

Is the 150 channel Radio Shack Trunk Tracker worth 179.00$? You own
one? Your opinion!
Thanks

Stop by and say "HI" on the SuperHiWay!
- -
Deputy Dog aka.BBQKING
- -
http://www.glasscity.net/users/mharmon
---

388. F.S (or Trade) R.S. HTX-202 Hand Held 2Mtr Transciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@localnet.com
Message-ID: <6l4tfc$5c5$1@news7.ispnews.com>
Source record: 158568
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have one extra now of the above unit. Last week I had none.
I advertised for one and I grabbed two of the most promising ones
offered. I have no use for two, sometimes I get carried away.
Anyhow Here's the details on this one:
Model#19-1120. Shows some signs of use (not any of abuse). In good
physical and great operating condition.

From the mnual: True FM Modulation;16 Memories; Individual repeater
offset; CTCSS;Touchtone Page; 16 Didgit DTMF Output;Dual Power
Transmit;Programmable power saver;5 DTMF dequences; Multifunctioning
scanning; Programmable frequency steps; Can be powered by Nicad pack
(included); "AA" battery pack Included, but no batts);or for car
operation, they make an optional cigarette lighter plugin adaptor.
Comes with manual, nicad pack & charger; "AA" pack, rubber duckie
antenna; belt clip. I am asking $135 firm and I pay the freight UPS
ground anywhere in the continenbtal USA. Pyment in the form of a money
order or chck only please.

Trades.....If you have something in mind in the hobby of either
hanm or scanning or shortwave, a trade may be possible.

If interested, please write to me.
Ray Miller N2NJK

389. Re: Idiot of Mackeral.....Radio Psycho-twat: the mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: j@j.j
Message-ID: <35760f36.10133240@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 158569

You're as bad as the rest of them. Nice job, you loser. Go away.

390. Pro 91 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jaronson@lasercom.net
Message-ID: <3575ed33.2438812@news.lasercom.net>
Source record: 158570

Does any body have any info on these ???

391. Mods wanted for PRO 2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Thompson <xctx@onr.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8f6d$efd71760$bf5a01c7@ct>
Source record: 158571

Are there any mods for the Radioshack PRO 2037??
Thanks in advance,

-Charlie
--
>>>Remove the X's from my email address before using<<<

XctX@onr.com

392. Test only

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060404463100.AAA11662@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158572

```
This is a test
The price of freedom is eternal vigilance
```

393. AR-3000A Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: thomasgla@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060405143400.BAA10085@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158573

What is the best software available for the AR-3000A?

Is there any good public domain or shareware available for this scanner?

Thanks,

Tom WA6UPP

394. R-7000 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: sbc <sbc@connectnet.com>
Message-ID: <35763DDF.21A1@connectnet.com>
Source record: 158574

Hi,

Icom R-7000 full coverage receiver for sale. Covers 25-2000 MHz, no gaps. Recently aligned at factory. Cosmetically in very good/excellent condition. Comes with original box, manual, power cord etc. $750. QTH San Diego. E-mail for more info.

395. GMRS radio repeaters (Bay Area)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rlp209209@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6l5fbivga1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158575

Does anyone have any information about any repeaters in the San Francisco East bay area(Pleasanton, Livermore, altimont pass, and the Tracy area. I am a new user to GMRS and before registering with the FCC with a frequency, I would like to know what is out there before I dedicate myself to one channel. Please let me know.

Thanks

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

396. OPP (Ontario)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6l5du2tja1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158576
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Comms were heard on the 43 MHz Band , this was a MNR Freq....seems tthe OPP can show up anywhere .

They were doing 10 -28 , 10- 29 on parties...

Maybe a Fishing Sting OP ?...Ill get tuned in...also Comms on 143.160 no PL tone...may not be OPP , however was Police of some sort.

Point of post...SEARCH...then SEARCH more....

Ya really never know what may turn up....!

I was surprsed the O.P.P had Comms on the 43 MHz band, Using an MNR repeater, with ID's via the MNR Phone patch.

No Ctcss tone on the MNR Freq that I could decode...was SIMPLEX.

- Happy Signals -

HTTP://MEMBERS.XOOM.COM/ONTSCAN/

' Home Of the SoundBlasters Decoders , ctcss , dtmf ,mdt's , Pocsag..and More'

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

397. Re: scanner antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6l5eggubq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158577

Boy did I luck out , Radio Shack made a good Outdoor Amtenna about 5 yrs ago , the one with 3 Vertical Elements , and 3 Horz ones.

It was a BEAST to assemble , however it was the best I ever used...

Well I asked a friend who is a Shack National Rep , he said : I come accross em once in a while " he sent one this week....

Up on a 15 Ft mast ...with nice Coax , man WHAT A DIFF....Iloved that Antenna, glad I found it gain...for 29.95 this time..use to be 49,95...was sure worth every penny...See if you can get one , I think it was made by Archer ....Ugly thing , but man is it a HOT one for 25 - 1300 MHz...

I wont sell this one EVER...

Just a thought.. ;)

In article <MPG.fdf7bf0cdfc51bd98968f@news.earthlink.net>,
dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) wrote:
>
> In article <1998060315131400.LAA09223@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
> hot34rod@aol.com says...
> > Does anyone have an openion on which scanner antenna has the best performance
> > over the 25 to 1300mhz band. Thank you!
> >
> Believe it or not, after spending hundreds of dollars on the most exotic
> ones out there. I have come to the distinct opinion that the 10 dollar
> Radio Shack collapsible antenna with a center loaded coil to be the very
> best across the entire band (and especially great in the 46 to 50mhz
> range. Forget the Diamond's and the Ferret's, this can beat them all,
> seriously. This is of course for hand held use only. For the roof, I have
> never found anything to outperform a high up mounted Channel Master
> "monitenna" and to think it was only 50 buck as opposed to the several
> hundred I spent on a couple of the highly touted one's. Good luck.
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

398. f.s. startek 1350 pocket freq counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J.P." <ishyguy@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01bd8f76$4acffee0$dce4a1d1@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 158578

--
ishyguy@idirect.com

for sale startek pocket frequency counter, range up to 30FT depending
on strength of signal.

comes with rechargeable battery and charger, and antenna.

I will pay for the shipping. 75.00US or 85.00 canadian

thanks for your interest.

399. Re: Modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35784731.27514721@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158579

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

scanist@acmenet.net wrote:

> If the date code is xxxC or earlier, YES your in luck.

Nay, not so! He asked "to make it recieve all the bands."
It ain't gonna happen....

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

400. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3579481a.27746932@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158580

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

> > Yes, Upton, for you, it is. I chose a
> > different connotation. Perhaps it sailed
> > over your head?

> Perhaps. But if you meant to use the word 'guild' as some sort of
> "different connotation", will you explain?

As in...."associate"....and related connotations.

> Fact is, you can't......you
> made a mistake and are not man enough to admit it. Par......

There is nothing to "admit", twit.

401. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357a48df.27944857@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158581

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

> one gets stuck in one's mind-loss when one
> cannot open up to the fact that some of us
> have a mission in life: fuck all rules.
>
> The Cheek Guy has such a mission. he takes
> chances, as I do, and makes his own words to
> say what he feels like saying and don't give
> a good devil-god what you or others think...
> if you think about it?

Shooooooey, I didn't think anyone could relate if
I were to tell it that way....like it is. But you're
right on the money on all counts. And those who
don't like it......well, they can eat our shorts, eh?
I've a mind to send our good buddy Upton a real
crusty pair of Fruits of the Loom......

Though there is one thing you can take to the bank....
that those who don't like it CAN'T think about it.
If they COULD think, then they'd conclude that "like"
just isn't all that important in the Great Scheme of
things, past, present, and future.

> the operative idea is : If you think
> now, back to our mission

Just my point....the pricks don't think. They lay in
wait "out there", all hunkered down and ready to
spring....so when they get a half a chance, they leap
without looking.....and land in a steaming pile of doo-doo.

...and then get mad..... and project.... and snivel....

And rules....oh yes, da rulz!!! Rules are a lot like
titles....which are for people who don't know what they're
supposed to be doing. So someone decides FOR them and
hangs a title on 'em. Well, "rules" are for those who'd
never have a chance of being "right" otherwise". Stop
at all stopsigns, and you're a model citizen, right?

Ri--ght.

> bill conduit
> with an attitude
> etc.

Might that be a "bad" attitude, perchance? :-))

402. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357b4bee.28727926@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158582

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

> In <35765796.50608856@news2.cts.com> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
> writes:
> >
> >myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
> >
> >> >was voted Most Popular Bitch of Cellblock 19,
> >
> >> Mackey was the favorite bitch of Cell-Block 69,
> >> not 19. plus following as stated.
> >
> >Forgive me. You're right. My left
> >hand took over from the right hand at
> >that instant on the keyboard.
> >
> ><gloom>
> >
> was it good for you?

No. My left hand is almost as useful as feathers
on a lizard.... I'm lucky it stays attached to
that wrist, actually.

403. Re: Help !!! Pro 2045 discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357c4c91.28890171@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158583

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Steven Peck" <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca> wrote:

> I looked at the FAQ but the Pro-2045 is not mentioned in it. Is it listed
> under a similar unit, I too am interested in tapping the discriminator
> output on my Pro-2045.

With over 900 scanners ever made, that FAQ
obviously has only a fraction. But it also
contains hints and techniques for "discovery"
in those scanners not listed.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

404. Re: Mods wanted for PRO 2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357d4d29.29042187@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158584

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Charlie Thompson" <xctx@onr.com> wrote:

> Are there any mods for the Radioshack PRO 2037??

Dozens.

See my recent post, "Scanner Modifications FAQ".

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

405. Re: The Smartest Thing John Mackey Ever Said:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357e4d60.29097962@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158585

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> And I Quote:
>
> ""
>
> Enough said.
> -Phil

Well, you could have added that the minuscule space
betwixt the quote marks "" was representative of the
space betwixt the nitwit's ears.......

More's the pity because the idiot has space for rent...

406. Re: Idiot of Mackeral.....Radio Psycho-twat: the mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357f4ddb.29220177@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158586

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

> always running off out the lips...

More's the pity.....becuz he has CHAPPED lips.

By the by, you know what a goooooood buddy is, right?
Well, do you know what a "rascal" is?

ANS: A goooooood buddy with CHAPPED lips.

407. Re: Idiot of Mackeral.....Radio Psycho-twat: the mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35804e5c.29349841@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158587

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

j@j.j (J) wrote:

> You're as bad as the rest of them. Nice job, you loser. Go away.

No he's not. He's one of the good guys.
Leave 'im alone and concentrate on Mackey.

408. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35814f1d.29542466@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158588

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

> get ahold of your lawyer the Cheek guy
>
> he's calling you a child molestor:
> damaging your reputation
> making you a target of the
> official watchdog of
> "insinuations"
>
> u may get rich still

Off Mackey? Ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha! Mackey Idiot hasn't got a pot to tinkle in. About all I could get off him would be the pustules and zits on his face.....which happens to resemble that of a bastard rat with syphiletic lesions and chankers.

If I had a dog that looked like him, I'd shave his ass and teach 'im to walk backwards when folks were around.

409. Re: Pro-2041 channel stepping HELP needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35835169.30131161@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158589

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net> wrote:

> > Does anyone have any succes in entering a freq of 912.062...I enter
> > that Freq in..and get 912.050....My cheapie Pro 66 lets me enter that
> > freq in ok...
>
> I have a Pro-2041 and I'm having the same problem.

Of course you'd have THAT problem. Nothing would
ever be assigned THAT frequency. To solve the matter,
try entering: 912.0625 .... all four decimal
places for the correct assignment. Works fine.
Do a SEARCH in that band....it steps in 12.5 hKz
increments.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

410. Re: speech inverters... your comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkfel@primenet.com
Message-ID: <357651bb.20351458@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 158590
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 02 Jun 1998 02:54:59 -0400, "D.B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net> wrote:

>I just ordered a speech inverter *unscrambler*....Looking for comments
>and sugestions on any and all.....whats your opinion and which do you
>think is the best?
>

I have an AR-2700 scanner with the optional descrambling (internal)
card. I think I got one of the last two that EDCO had.

It's very cool when you run into an audio inversion scrambled
conversation. BTW, I've heard a number of COMMERCIAL stations in
Phoenix using audio inversion scrambling. Most are cab companies. It
seems the gypsy (is that a PC term?) cab companies were using scanners
to swipe fares. Ditto on tow tucks. Plus it works well descrambling
my own Panasonic cordless phone (as I would never listen in on other's
phone calls...) :)

73,

Mark
KC7BXS

411. Re: Idiot of Mackeral.....Radio Psycho-twat: the mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l5mpp$kqo@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158591

In <35760f36.10133240@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com> j@j.j (J) writes:
>
>You're as bad as the rest of them. Nice job, you loser. Go away.

You see
It's proven
I changed a letter
He's un-movin'

He gets in a huff
over I for an A
What is his angst
He really cannot say

What a joy
to see his anger
Over a change in a letter
he's reading as a danger

The rules
he lives by
Are cut and dried

The rules
he will die by
Are all he has, no one cried.

what a schmerck-off. What a lampoon-inwardly-given.

to get off on what was just changing an
I over to an "A" and he gets upset...
must be in bed with his moma

lullaby and good night

bill conduit
etc.

412. Re: Mail order

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jetskifast@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <6l5p8n$14o$1@camel18.mindspring.com>
Source record: 158592
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Go to Javiation.com get a unblocked scanner

"R. Brush" <broom1@greenville.infi.net> wrote:

>Hey, does anybody now a good mail order company? I'm looking at buying
>a BC235XLT.
>I have seen prices from $230 to $300 want a good price from a good
>dealer. Also have seen
>where some companies modify the volume through the head set for races,
>is this true, is it easily done, is it necessary? thanks.

413. Re: scanner antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: fake@faker.net
Message-ID: <fake-0406980147080001@oak8-27-237.thegrid.net>
Source record: 158593

In article <6l5eggubq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:

> Boy did I luck out , Radio Shack made a good Outdoor Amtenna about 5 yrs ago ,
> the one with 3 Vertical Elements , and 3 Horz ones.
>
> It was a BEAST to assemble , however it was the best I ever used...
>
> Well I asked a friend who is a Shack National Rep , he said : I come accross
> em once in a while " he sent one this week....
>
> Up on a 15 Ft mast ...with nice Coax , man WHAT A DIFF....Iloved that
> Antenna, glad I found it gain...for 29.95 this time..use to be 49,95...was
> sure worth every penny...See if you can get one , I think it was made by
> Archer ....Ugly thing , but man is it a HOT one for 25 - 1300 MHz...
>
> I wont sell this one EVER...
>
> Just a thought.. ;)

I agree also that the Archer All-Band Monitor Antenna (#20-014) is a good
antenna. I have had one on my roof for the past couple of years. I have
been very impressed with its performance using RG-8/U.

414. Re: Help !!! Pro 2045 discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@nospam.com
Message-ID: <6l5tmm$6h4$1@news3.microserve.net>
Source record: 158594

In article <6ksjg7pa1@news.innet.com>, rpuckett@innet.com says...
>
>How can I find the discriminator tap on a Pro 2045, would like to use for
>decoding. Any help would be GREAT
>
>

I heard someone mention you could get the descriminator out from one of the pin sockets that the CTCSS board plugs into. I don't know which pin off hand because someone is borrowing my service manual right now. email me in a week and I'll see if I can find it for you.

Tyler

tyler@cyberia.com

The PRO2045's are a real steal right now! I just bought one NEW for $99!!!!! (my second one by the way) YES, Bill, I'm going to listen to cellular calls by the way because I've mapped out the local cellular structure with hints you've given me. I got 10% off because I used my Rat Shack card too!

415. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@nospam.com
Message-ID: <6l5u7o$6h4$2@news3.microserve.net>
Source record: 158595

>dammit...
>I think that you should be allowed to do whatever you want in your own car...
>I mean whats wrong with driving naked?
>

It depends Daniel.... Are the seats leather or cloth?

The problem with it is you are distracting other drivers and could couse an accident.

Tyler

416. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@nospam.com
Message-ID: <6l5umi$6h4$3@news3.microserve.net>
Source record: 158596

I once heard of a guy who received a cell call in his car. Apparently, his cell phone number had been published in a gay magazine (yes there IS something wrong with that) and a fag had called him on his car phone. The guy in the car had no clue what was going on. He finally clued in and got VERY irate at having to pay for airtime to listen to some fag ask what he was wearing. The call abruptly ended at that point.

A month or so ago, I heard a plane radio in that it had lost ALL instruments due to an intermittent electrical failure. (this was in the middle of the night) The tower asked if he wanted to declare an in flight emergency. He said no, I think I'll be ok..... FREAK. I wish I had the name of that pilot so I could find out if he was flying my plane.

Tyler

417. Re: Barry Goldwater Eulogy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060412543700.IAA25636@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158597

>Subject: Re: Barry Goldwater Eulogy
>From: "Karl Dahlquist" <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
>Date: Wed, Jun 3, 1998 17:35 EDT
>Message-id: <6l4fhmeuc1@bolivia.it.earthlink.net>
>
>oops, that was his brother....was watching on my laptop...so I couldnt read
>the graphic...!
>
>

What are you talking about? This and your previous post make absolutely no
sense.

418. Re: John Mackey - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060412580500.IAA29962@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158598

>From: glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom)

>It's funny to watch him slobber over his sycophants, however. The sad
>thing is that all of them put together couldn't flame their way out of
>a WebTV newsgroup.

Naw, what's really funny is watching you ride in own your high horse, throw off a few pontifications designed to only for your self-importance. If you want to shock someone, why not try posting something about scanning for a change. Of course, then you would actually have to know something, wouldn't you?
>
>Excuse me now, I gotta go add some more pathetic profane poofters to
>my killfile.

It's funny that you think you have to tell people that you are adding names to your killfile--why don't you just do it and shut the hell up? Naw, you'd rather show us what a bright guy you think you are.

419. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu12xB.3B0@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158599

On 4 Jun 1998, tyler wrote:

>
> >dammit...
> >I think that you should be allowed to do whatever you want in your own car...
> >I mean whats wrong with driving naked?
> >
>
> It depends Daniel.... Are the seats leather or cloth?

Good question...

> The problem with it is you are distracting other drivers and could couse an
> accident.

The other drivers *should* be concentrating on their driving - NOT on the
other drivers!!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

420. FS: Yupiteru MVT-9000 - $429

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: see.reply-to@address.com
Message-ID: <6CDAD4BB81E46C79.9E0DBF154D2CC1F1.12FE6ED7D1E0C797@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 158600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Saving up for a new Kenwood ts-570s, so ...

For sale: MINT condition Yupiteru MVT-9000
Non-smoker, radio has never left the house.
I have many references from other radio sales over the Net.

$429 plus shipping

Here's info on the scanner:

Range: 0.5000 - 2036 MHz (no gaps)
Channels: 1000
Banks: 20
Modes: AM, NAM, FM, WFM, USB, LSB, CW
Priority: 10 channels
Conversion: Triple
Scan: 30 channels/second
Search: 30 steps/second
Power : 4 AAs 100V AC 12V DC
Size: 2.6"(W) x 6.1"(H) x 1.6"(D)
Weight: 410g
Features:
2 VFOs, band scope w/marker, auto-store,
alpha-tagging, S-meter search-skip, attenuator

Comes with: Antenna, belt-clip, hand-strap, manual (no box)

More info at these pages:
http://www.javiation.co.uk/mvt9000.html
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/REVM9000.HTM
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/MVT-9000.JPG

421. Re: NY State police Helicopter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: DieselBear@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6l6474aah1@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158601

The NYSP helicopters utilize programmable
Wolfsburg radios. Most likely communications
between air and ground for routine patrol will be on the tower
frequency of its domicile airport. Communications to ground law
enforcement will usually be on the statewide and emegency channels
(155.370, 154.695) or the freq of the agency requestng its services.
Usually if there is a search operation one channel will be used to
coordinate all agencies. This would be a freq that all agencies in the
area have access to.

422. Re: Pro 91 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <35767E0B.57ED1570@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 158602

Jamie Aronson wrote:
> Does any body have any info on these ???

Stop by my Radios page and read my first impressions on it.
Unfortunately, it is the poorest performing TrunkTracker
thus far

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIOS.HTM

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

423. Re: Icom PCR1000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <35768173.BFF777C2@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 158603

roy wrote:
> I was afraid of that but hoped that I was just missing something

Unfortunately, iCOM likes to design them this way. Even their
"normal" radios are like this.

> the manual stinks.

Suppossedly, the newer units are shipping with an actual "manual"
to supplement the on-line windows info. If so, then perhaps one
of these could be purchased from iCOM in the near future.

> I can't believe that it doesn't - even the bargain basement
> scanners do.

You might look into third party programs that support the PCR
which includes Scancat and Radiomax, and hopefully Radio Manager
soon.

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

424. Re: Aurora, IL PD Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Warren Whitby III <wwhitby@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6l6dj6$gr7@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158604

Michael J. Linden (N9BDF) wrote:
>A friend of a friend said that he thought Aurora went to a
> trunked system. However, all of my sources (Scanner Master, local WEB sites,
> etc.) show the above VHF frequencies and say nothing about trunking. Anyone in
> the area have any information on the subject?

Aurora did go to a Motorola trunked system. See the Illinois page of my
web page (the URL is in my .sig file) for detailed informaiton.

--
Warren Whitby
Trunked Radio Systems Users Page: members.aol.com/wwhitby2/trs.html
Trunked Radio Communications columnist - Scanning USA Magazine
Communications Officer - Maxwell Composite Squadron CAP
wwhitby@worldnet.att.net
<><

425. Info Needed for Merced County, CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Warren Whitby III <wwhitby@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6l6dmk$gr7@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158605

Does anyone have any information on the trunked system used by Merced
County, California?

Warren Whitby
Trunked Radio Systems Users Page: members.aol.com/wwhitby2/trs.html
Trunked Radio Communications columnist - Scanning USA Magazine
wwhitby@worldnet.att.net
<><

426. Re: Icom PCR1000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3576ca0e.61026212@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158606

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com> wrote:

> > I can't believe that it doesn't - even the bargain basement
> > scanners do.
>
> You might look into third party programs that support the PCR
> which includes Scancat and Radiomax, and hopefully Radio Manager
> soon.

....a relevant and undeniable FACT of which is the
addition of substantial COST to the "total" package.

In a word, he has to PAY out a lot more money in order
to get the radio to do some basic things.

Which....by the way.....is perfectly fine *if* it's
spelled out that way, right up front. People don't
object to paying X dollars for a radio, and Y dollars
more for the case and knobs.

That's how it is anyway....it just isn't *normal* to
sell a radio without the case and knobs.

Nowadays, people don't object to paying X dollars
for a computer and Y dollars more for the software.
Times were when, however, that distinction was not
so clear. People bought computers thinking that a
push of a button would turn on the bathroom light
or flush the commode..... And those were very
seedy and shady times in the computer scene.

Shady and seedy times for radio never seem to completely
go away, however. And one only wonders why... Ri--ght.

But people who buy the Icom based on your glowing,
gooshey, loving reviews soon learn that the advertised
price really isn't what they end up paying. Again,
that's fine for Icom-groupies who know and understand
the product and the company.

But you can't legitimately suck people in on the glamor
and glow without telling the WHOLE truth.

So if you are going to pit one radio against another, at least be EXACT, ACCURATE, and totally TRUTHFUL in the *big picture*, and take into account all the "fine print" and "after-the-fact" discoveries with which they're going to have to reckon.

TELL the guy what he can expect to pay for the Icom, and how much MORE he will have to pay to make the radio do the basic thing he was given to expect in the first place. If he doesn't mind, after knowing all the facts, then he might well make a wise decision.

But THAT is not for us to determine. Ours is to tell the truth....ALL the truth, not just a part of it.

Though, I am sure you don't agree.......

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

427. Re: Info Needed for Merced County, CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmslists@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060416490300.MAA13329@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158607

Looks like it's NOT a Motorola system. This is from
http://www.bearcat1.com/fleetca.htm

System: Merced County, CaliforniaCounty: MercedType: Unknown
Use: UnknownFrequencies:
856.2375 857.2375 858.2375 859.2375 860.2375
Harry Marnell, N6URU
HMsLists@aol.com
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

428. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357877E0.181A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158360

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> Sorry, I have a plane ticket & motel bill to prove my whereabouts. Then
> IMMEDIATELY after the DAYTON HAMVENTION I had to go to Sioux City
> for a family death! Truth hurts, huh! (Even have witnesses!)

What he meant was:
Goldfish died. Had to flush fish. Toilet was broken. Went to "Sioux City Inn" to borrow theirs. Security guard saw.

Then, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> No, Cheek broke the truce, & I stayed out for several days. Then
> I sent him a warning on this NG & he continued. AFTER that, I then
> took action.

but really meant:
I hate Bill Cheek. But he hadn't flamed me that day. So I just fabricated another one of his posts so I could post. I love to post...

John Mackey - KA0SSF went on to say:
> Would you like to see a few of his posts to refresh your memory?

but meant: I can make one up in a jiffy. That'll show you!

And then, John Mackey - KA0SSF concluded his tyrade with:
> I have no vendetta against Cheek & I resent your accusation of such.

which means: I hate Bill Cheek. No reason. Just because. And I hate you too. So there!

Hee hee.....
-Phil

"Ain't I a stinker?" - Bugs Bunny

429. Re: Modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <35784e36.5904621@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 158361

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>if a feller can't say what he means nor mean
>what he says, then he leaves Pandora's Box wide
>open for all kinds of trouble. Exactness and
>precision are pretty important in this hobby.

Hahahahaha!

There goes the ol' Irony Meter again!
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

430. Speech Inversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpswar@geocities.com
Message-ID: <35787498.4D2D4C42@geocities.com>
Source record: 158362

I recently downloaded a speech inversion program from www.lpcom.com. I then attached an audio cable from my scanner to my sound card on the computer. When i try to use the program, there is a lot of static coming in. Can anyone help me? Is there a different cable that i should be using?

gpswar

431. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: clayfyre61@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060523074800.TAA28841@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158363
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>Your car should be like your mobile house..do whatever you want as

>long as you don't endanger anyone else, like drinking beer..i hate that.

I would definitely agree, but of course any beaurocrat will tell you that you can be more easily seen in a car than in a house... oh well...

432. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35787972.522F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158364

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> Another case of Bill Cheek's JEALOUSY towards ME.
Ha Ha Ha Ha...Now that's FUNNY!!!!

> BILL CHEEK doesn't even know that BY FCC RULES "Volunteer Examineers"
> ARE NOT ALLOWED to accept payment for their services. In order
> to be a VE, you must have a specific FCC license. Since (according to
> the FCC) Bill Cheek has NEVER had ANY type of FCC license, of course
> he would NOT qualify to be a VE or know about such matters!

And an FCC license is required when operating and servicing a
scanner....when?
>
> Truth hurts, huh Bill?
Oh yeah I bet he's cowering under his keyboard this time loser. Oh yeah,
he's shakin'....

>
> Why don't you try telling us more stories like there is no
> UV effect on coax or tell us it is OK to use a mettalic
> tuning tool on an RF oscillator!

Whats the matter John? Did you read one of Bills books (you can read?!)
and mess up your PRO-10 because you wouldn't know a soldering iron from
a 9 iron? All together now everyone: "Awwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww...."

Why don't you shut up John. It's not Bill's fault that your idea of an
engineer is "the guy who drives the choo-choo".

-Phil

433. Re: Lancaster Co., PA Freqs. ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marty(Smiley) Schuylkill Co. Pa." <martyrip@ptdprolog.net>
Message-ID: <35786E20.3680@ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 158365

```
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
\___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Lancaster County, Pennsylvania Fire Radio (KGC-755)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dispatch 33.90 KGC-755
Zone 1 33.56
Zone 2 33.72
Zone 3 33.76
Fireground 33.64
Siren Activation/EMS 33.60
Zone 1 highband 153.770
Lancaster City FD 33.82
Manheim Township Companies 33.68
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
LANCASTER COUNTY FIRE NETWORK
RADIO UNIT NUMBERING SYSTEM
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
UNIT FIRE DEPARTMENT UNIT FIRE DEPARTMENT
ZONE 1 ZONE 2
1-1 Adamstown Fire Company
1-2 Akron Fire Company 2-1 Brickerville Fire Company
1-3 Denver Fire Company 2-2 Brunnerville Fire Company
1-4 Durlach/Mt. Airy Fire Company 2-3 East Petersburg Fire Company
1-5 Ephrata Fire Company 2-4 Eden Fire Company
1-6 Lincoln Fire Company 2-5 Lititz Fire Company
1-7 Reamstown Fire Company 2-6 Manheim Fire Company
1-8 Reinholds Fire Company 2-7 Neffsville Fire Company
1-9 Schoeneck Fire Company 2-8 Penryn Fire Company
1-10 Stevens Fire Company 2-9 Manheim Twp. Emerg. Management
1-11 Smokestown Fire Company 2-10 Rothsville Fire Company
1-12 ephrata Ambulance 2-11 Southern Manheim Twp. Fire Co.
1-15 Ephrata Rescue Service 2-12 Mastersonville Fire Company

ZONE 3 ZONE 4
3-1 Bareville Fire Company 4-1 Bird-In-Hand Fire Company
3-2 Blue Ball Fire Company 4-2 Gap Fire Company
3-3 Gordonville Fire Company 4-3 Gordonville Fire company
3-4 Churchtown Fire Company 4-4 Intercourse Fire Company
3-5 Farmersville Fire Company 4-5 Kinzer Fire Company
3-6 Goodville Fire Company 4-7 Paradise Fire Company
3-7 Leacock Fire Company 4-8 Ronks Fire Company
3-8 Leola Fire Company 4-9 White Horse Fire Company
```

3-9 New Holland Fire Company 4-10 Witmer Fire Company
3-10 Martindale Fire Company 4-11 Glick's Tanker
3-11 Upper Leacock fire Company
3-12 Terre Hill Fire Company
3-13 West Earl Fire Company
3-14 Fivepointville Fire Company

ZONE 5 ZONE 6
5-1 Bart Township Fire Company
5-2 Christiana Fire Company 6-1 Armstrong Haz-Mat Unit
5-3 Conestoga Fire Company 6-2 Highville Fire Company
5-4 Lampeter Fire Company 6-3 Lafeyette Fire Company
5-5 New Danville Fire Company 6-4 Lancaster City Fire Dept.
5-6 Pequea Fire Company 6-5 Millersville Fire Company
5-7 Quarryville Fire Company 6-6 Lancaster Township Fire Company
5-8 Rawlinsville Fire Company 6-7 Rohrerstown Fire Company
5-9 Refton Fire Company 6-8 West Lancaster Fire Company
5-10 Strasburg Fire Company 6-10 Lancaster Forest Fire Crew
5-11 West Willow Fire Company 6-11 Conestoga View Home Ambulance
5-12 Willow Street Fire Company 6-13 Lancaster City Ambulance
5-13 Robert Fulton Fire Company 6-14 Millersville Amb. West End
5-13a Wakfield Ambulane

ZONE 7
7-1 Bainbridge Fire Company 7-10 Marietta Fire Company
7-2 Columbia Fire Chief 7-11 Rheems Fire Company
7-3 Florin Fire Company 7-12 Salunga Fire Company
7-4 Elizabethtown Fire Company 7-13 Silver Springs Fire Company
7-5 Mount Joy Fire Company 7-14 Mount Joy Forest Fire Crew
7-6 Ironville Fire Company 7-15 Mountville Fire Company
7-7 Landisville Fire Company
7-7a Landisville Ambulance Hempfield
7-8 Washington Boro Fire Company
7-9 Maytown Fire Company

COLUMBIA BOROUGH FIRE COMPANIES
ZONE 8
UNIT FIRE DEPARTMENT
8-1 Columbia Fire Company
8-2 Vigilant Fire Company
8-3 Shawnee Fire Company
8-4 Susquehanna Fire Company
8-5 Keystone Fire Company

~~~~~~
LANCASTER COUNTY AMBULANCE NETWORK
RADIO UNIT NUMBERING SYSTEM
~~~~~~
UNIT AMBULANCE UNIT AMBULANCE
1-7 Reamstown Ambulance 6-3 East Lampeter Ambulance
1-8 Reinholds Ambulance 6-11 Conestoga View

1-12 Ephrata Ambulance 6-14 West End Ambulance
2-1 Brickerville Ambulance
2-5 Warwick Ambulance 7-1 Bainbridge Ambulance
2-6 Manheim Ambulance 7-2 Columbia Fire Police Ambulance
2-10 Rothsville Ambulance 7-4 Elizabethtown Ambulance
2-13 Manheim Township Ambulance 7-5 Mount Joy Ambulance
7-7 Hempfield Ambulance
3-8 Leola Ambulance 7-8 Washington Boro Ambulance
3-9 New Holland Ambulance 7-9 Maytown Ambulance
3-14 Fivepointville Ambulance 7-10 Marietta Ambulance
7-11 Rheems Ambulance
4-3 Gordonville Ambulance 7-16 Columbia Community Ambulance
4-9 White Horse Ambulance
5-2 Christiana Ambulance MEDIC HOSPITAL OR DEPARTMENT
5-3 Conestoga Ambulance 1 Saint Joseph's Hospital
5-7 Quarryville Ambulance 2 Lancaster General Hospital
5-9 Providence 3 Lancaster Community Hospital
5-10 Strasburg Ambulance 4 Ephrata Hospital
5-12 Willow Street Ambulance 5 NorLanCo Medical Center
5-13 Wakefield Ambulance 6 Quarryville
7 LEMSA
9 Ephrata base in New Holland
10 LEMSA
11 LEMSA
SPECIAL UNITS C-1 Lancaster Airport ARFF

~~~~~~
LANCASTER COUNTY POLICE NETWORK
RADIO UNIT NUMBERING SYSTEM
~~~~~~
UNIT POLICE DEPARTMENT UNIT POLICE DEPARTMENT
1 Communications Director 38 Conestoga Township Police
2 Deputy Director 39 West Hempfield Township Police
3 Chief Dispatcher 41 Sadsbury Township Police
4 Deputy Chief Dispatcher 42 Lancaster City Police
5 Emergency Management Vehicle 43 Christiana Borough Police
6 Training Offer 48 East Lampeter Township Police
12 Sheriff's Department 51 Manheim Township Police
14 County Park Officers 62 Columbia Borough Police
15 County Detective 64 Barnes Hall (Juvenile Fac.)
15-3Chief Co. Detective 71 Adamstown Borough Polic
15-40 Co. Detective 72 Akron Borough Police
15-41 Co. Detective 73 Brecknock Township Police
15-42 Co. Detective 74 Caernarvon Township Police
15-61 Co. Coroner 75 Clay Township Police
15-62 Co. Coroner (Chief Dep.) 76 Denver Borough Police
15-71 Special Counrt Officer 77 Earl Township Police
15-72 Special Counrt Officer 78 East Cocalico Township Police
15-81 Thru 15-90 Adult Probation 79 East Earl Township Police
16 Manor Townhip Police 82 Ephrata Borough Police

17 Millersville Borough Police 83 Ephrata Township Police
18 Bart & Colerain Townhip Police 84 New Holland Borough Police
19 East Hempfield Township Police 85 Terre Hill Borough Police
21 Strasburg Borough Police 86 Warwick Township Police
22 Mount Joy Township Police 87 West Cocalico Township Police
23 Pequea Township Police 88 West Earl Township Police
24 Elizabethtown Borough Police 92 Drug Task Force (County)
25 Lititz Borough Police 93 Millersville University Police
26 West Lampeter Township Police 94 Crime Commission
27 Marietta Borough Police 95 Attorney General Office
28 Quarryville Borough Police 96 Game Commission
29 East Donegal Township Police 99 PA Drug Enforcement
32 Conoy Township Police 100 Federal Bureau Of Investigation
33 Mount Joy Borough Police 101 PA Fish Commission
34 Manheim Borough Police 102 PA Fish Commission
35 West Donegal Township Police
36 Penn Township Police
37 Bart Twp. Police
~~~~~~
10 Codes
~~~~~~
10-1 SIGNAL WEAK 10-31 PICK UP _____
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD 10-32 ____UNITS NEEDED (SPECIFY)
10-3 STOP TRANSMITTING 10-33 HELP QUICK - ASSIST OFFICER
10-4 AFFIRMATIVE (OK) 10-34 TIME CHECK
10-5 RELAY TO _____ 10-37 PERSON UNDER THE INFLUENCE
10-6 BUSY 10-40 ADVISE IF____ON STATION
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE 10-42 DOES NOT CONFORM TO RULES
10-8 IN SERVICE 10-43 ADVISE ROAD CONDITIONS
10-9 REPEAT MESSAGE 10-44 STATIONS INVOLVED ACK.
10-10 NEGATIVE 10-45 VEHICLE ACCIDENT
10-11 _______ON DUTY 10-46 HOLDING SUSPECK-RUST REPLY
10-12 STAND BY (STOP) 10-47 SEND AMBULANCE
10-14 MESSAGE/INFORMATION 10-61 ON DUTY
10-15 MESSAGE/DELIVERED 10-62 OFF DUTY
10-16 RELAY TO MESSAGE 10-63 OFF DUTY - PSP ON CALL
10-17 ENROUTE 10-66 DISABLE REPEATER
10-18 URGENT 10-70 PRISONER IN CUSTODY
10-19 (IN) CONTACT 10-71 MENTAL PATIENT
10-20 LOCATION 10-72 BOMB THREAT
10-21 CALL_______ BY PHONE 10-73 SECURITY CHECK / ESCORT
10-22 DISREGARD 10-74 RETURN TO STATION
10-23 ARRIVED AT SCENE 10-75 SEXUAL OFFENSE
10-24 ASSIGNMENT COMPLETED 10-76 SUICIDE (ACTUAL/ATTEMPTED)
10-25 REPORT OT MEET _____ 10-82 REPEAT MODE W/(SPECIFY)
10-26 EST. TIME ARRIVED (ETA) 10-88 PACKAGE FOR_____
10-27 LICENSE PERMIT INFORMATION 10-89 ____IS CALLING YOU
10-28 OWERSHIP REGISTRATION CHECK 10-97 HOW IS RECEPTION
10-29 RECORDS - WANTED CHECK 10-99 MAINTAIN FULL STATUS
10-30 DANGER - CAUTION

In addition, The following codes will be utilized:

Code 1 Police Car Check

Code 2 Urgent, Respond Immediately, Obeying All Traffic Laws

Code 3 Emergency Response, Use Lights & Siren

SIGNAL 13 OFFICER/DEPARTMENT NEEDS HELP (Specify Location)
ALL UNITS RESPOND
Station Stop Numbers for Lancaster Co. Facilities

Station 101 County Radio Station 106 County Detectives Office
Station 102 Court House Station 107 Sheriff's Office
Station 103 County Prison Station 108 Conestoga View
Station 104 Barnes Hall Station 109 Probation & Parole
Station 105 District Atty's Office

FROM GEORGE W. FELLER, (george@ptd.net)

Send addition or changes
To martyrip@ptd.net
---

434. Re: Lancaster Co., PA Freqs. ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marty(Smiley) Schuylkill Co. Pa." <martyrip@ptdprolog.net>
Message-ID: <35786E59.7BEC@ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 158366

```
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
\___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

Frequency PL City Se Dba Callsign
00.0000 BLUE BALL TR CHESTER COUNTY BROADCASTING WHZ857
27.4500 LANCASTER IB COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KNAX890
30.7600 GORDONVILLE IB GROFF, MELVIN L KNGB801
30.9600 LITITZ IB MARTIN, HOWARD W KNCG883
31.0000 LITTLE BRITAIN IB LITTLE BRITAIN AGRI SUPPLY KNAJ858
31.0400 LITITZ IB WARWICK COMMUNITY AMBULANCE WNSS816
31.1600 EPHRATA IB JOHN WEIDMAN & SONS INC KIP300
31.4800 MANHEIM IS SHELLY, ROBERT L WNUN627
31.5600 MANHEIM IS COPE, BENJAMIN W KYV409
33.0400 MILLERSVILLE PS WEST END AMBULANCE ASSOC WNPC811
33.0800 LANCASTER PS MANHEIM TOWNSHIP AMBULANCE WNAS701
33.0800 LANCASTER PS MANHEIM TWP EMERGENCY MGT. WNAN829
33.1000 LANCASTER PS LAKE CLARKE RIVER RESCUE KNAH338
33.1600 LANCASTER IB MANHEIM TOWNSHIP SCHOOL KSC468
33.4200 MANHEIM PF HOPE FIRE ENGINE & HOSE CO WNRU362
33.4400 AKRON PF AKRON VOLUNTEER FIRE CO WNRK670
33.4400 LANCASTER PF ROHRERSTOWN COMMUNITY FIRE WNVA589
33.4400 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.4400 LANCASTER PF WEST LANCASTER FIRE COMPANY KNIX216
33.4600 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO FIRE POLICE KD38109
33.4600 MILLERSVILLE PF MILLERSVILLE FIRE COMPANY KB93508
33.4600 NEW HOLLAND PF LIBERTY FIRE COMPANY KGC753
33.4800 EAST PETERSBURG PF EAST PETERSBURG FIRE WNJB312
33.4800 LANCASTER PF EDEN FIRE CO WQG807
33.4800 LANCASTER PF MANHEIM, TOWNSHIP OF WNIJ362
33.4800 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.5000 SMOKETOWN PF LANCASTER COUNTY FIRE KD47971
33.5200 LANCASTER PF EDEN FIRE CO WQG807
33.5600 EPHRATA PF LANCASTER, CO. NORTHEAST KUG740
33.5600 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KUG740
33.5600 NEW HOLLAND PF LIBERTY FIRE COMPANY KGC753
33.5600 PENN TOWNSHIP PF LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KUG740
33.6000 CORNWALL PF LANCASTER, CO EMS F-3 KGC755
33.6000 EPHRATA PF LANCASTER CO EMS CH & SIREN KUG740
33.6000 IRONVILLE PF LANCASTER, CO EMS F-3 KGC755
33.6000 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CITY OF F-3 KGH277
33.6000 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO EMS F-3 KGC755
33.6000 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO EMS KUG740
33.6000 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
```

33.6000 NEW HOLLAND PF LIBERTY FIRE COMPANY KGC753
33.6000 TRUCE PF LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KGC755
33.6200 MANHEIM PF HOPE FIRE ENGINE & HOSE CO WNRU362
33.6400 CORNWALL PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KGC755
33.6400 EPHRATA PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KUG740
33.6400 IRONVILLE PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KGC755
33.6400 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER CITY F-4 KGH277
33.6400 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KGC755
33.6400 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KUG740
33.6400 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.6400 NEW HOLLAND PF LIBERTY FIRE COMPANY KGC753
33.6400 TRUCE PF LANCASTER, CO NORTHWEST KGC755
33.6600 SILVER SPRING PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO
33.6800 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER CO SUBURBAN KGC755
33.6800 LANCASTER PF EDEN FIRE CO WQG807
33.6800 LANCASTER PF NEFFSVILLE FIRE CO WQH322
33.6800 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.6800 LANCASTER PF SOUTHERN MANHEIM TOWNSHIP WQG806
33.7000 PF MAYTOWN/FLORIN/BAINBRIDGE
33.7200 CORNWALL PF LANCASTER CO SOUTH KGC755
33.7200 EPHRATA PF LANCASTER, CO SOUTH KUG740
33.7200 IRONVILLE PF LANCASTER, CO SOUTH KGC755
33.7200 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO SOUTH KGC755

33.7200 TRUCE PF LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KGC755
33.7400 PENN TOWNSHIP PF LEBANON, COUNTY OF KGH770
33.7600 CORNWALL PF LANCASTER, CO OVERFLOW CH. KGC755
33.7600 EPHRATA PF LANCASTER, CO OVERFLOW CH. KUG740
33.7600 IRONVILLE PF LANCASTER, CO OVERFLOW CH. KGC755
33.7600 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO OVERFLOW CH. KGC755
33.7600 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.7600 TRUCE PF LANCASTER, CO OVERFLOW CH. KGC755
33.7800 PENN TOWNSHIP PF LEBANON, COUNTY OF KGH770
33.8000 LITITZ PF LITITZ FIRE CO NO 1 WPAY355
33.8200 CORNWALL PF LANCASTER, CO CITY KGC755
33.8200 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER CITY DISPATCH F-2 KGH277
33.8200 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO CITY KGC755
33.8200 TRUCE PF LANCASTER, CO CITY KGC755
33.8400 EPHRATA PF EPHRATA PIONEER FIRE CO WNCR672
33.9000 C. SQ CORNWALL PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH FD KGC755
33.9000 C. SQ EPHRATA PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH KUG740
33.9000 C.SQ IRONVILLE PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH KGC755
33.9000 LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CITY OF KGH277
33.9000 C. SQ LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH KGC755
33.9000 C.SQ LANCASTER PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH KUG740
33.9000 LANCASTER PF SILVER SPRING FIRE CO WNLF431
33.9000 NEW HOLLAND PF LIBERTY FIRE COMPANY KGC753
33.9000 PENN TOWNSHIP PF LEBANON, COUNTY OF KGH770
33.9000 C. SQ TRUCE PF LANCASTER, CO DISPATCH KGC755
33.9200 BIRD IN HAND PF EAST LAMPETER, TOWNSHIP OF WNKC982

33.9200 EPHRATA PF EPHRATA PIONEER FIRE CO WNCR672
33.9400 PENN TOWNSHIP PF LEBANON, COUNTY OF KGH770
33.9600 LITITZ PF LITITZ FIRE CO NO 1 WPAY355
33.9800 ELIZABETHTOWN PF ELIZABETHTOWN, BORO OF WNHK603
35.0200 MILLERSVILLE IB LANCASTER COVENANT CHURCH KB76906
35.0600 MAYTOWN IB TOWN SQUARE LAWN WNPK287
35.0800 EPHRATA IB HAGY AUTO PARTS INC KQO650
35.0800 LANCASTER IB WILLIAM MURRY AND SON INC KGG282
35.0800 QUARRYVILLE IB LEON C LANDIS INC KGG483
35.1000 DENVER IB STUBER, ROBERT B WNFG311
35.1000 SCHNOENECK IB M E GERHART INC KYH263
35.1000 TERRE HILL IB VALLEY PROTEIN INC WNGA262
35.1400 BIRD IN HAND IB SMUCKER, JERALD L WNQR211
35.1400 EPHRATA IB HURST, EARL:HURST, WPDS818
35.2000 COLUMBIA CD TRI-STATE RADIO CORPORATION KOR260
35.2000 LANCASTER CD TRI-STATE RADIO CORPORATION KOR260
35.2400 LANCASTER CD ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNKD490
35.2400 NEW HOLLAND CD ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNKD490
35.2400 RAWLINSVILLE CD ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNKD490
35.2800 MANHEIM IS HAMILTON EXCAVATING INC WNCR943
35.3200 LANDISVILLE IS HUMMER, J ROBERT KXJ557
35.3400 LANCASTER CD LVMT ACQUISITION KNKO225
35.3400 PENN TOWNSHIP CD LVMT ACQUISITION KNKO266
35.6000 LANCASTER CD D'GINTO, JOHN KPB219
35.7000 LITITZ IB FEEDMOBILE INC WNFT478
35.7000 LITITZ IB MYER, CARL G:MYER, JOHN G WNSI216
35.7200 BIRD IN HAND IB BIRD IN HAND MOTOR INN INC KKU674
35.7400 WASHINGTON BORO IS FUNK BROS INC KGE573
35.7600 SALISBURY TWP IS CARLOS R LEFFLER INC KRI825
35.8000 LANCASTER IS CARL W FUSS CO KUO756
35.8600 EAST PETERSBURG IS B R KREIDER & SON INC KDH283
35.8800 LANCASTER IB MYER TAXI INC KNAU280
35.9000 NARVON IB HOSTETTER, DAN WPCI670
35.9200 EPHRATA IB HOOVER, IVAN W WNUB964
35.9200 PEACH BOTTOM IB BRINTON, G VICTOR WSC788
35.9400 BIRD IN HAND IB BIRD IN HAND RESTAURANT INC KNBX281

35.9800 71.9 EPHRATA IB ZIMMERMAN, RAY W WPED213
37.1600 PENN TOWNSHIP PP LEBANON, COUNTY OF KBK833
37.2600 PENN TOWNSHIP PP LEBANON, COUNTY OF KBK833
37.4600 COLUMBIA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNLQ954
37.4600 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KGA924
37.4600 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KTJ652
37.4600 EPHRATA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KXD499
37.4600 KINDERHOOK IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNZD681
37.4600 MARTIC TOWNSHIP IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGD832
37.4600 QUARRYVILLE IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KYD768
37.6000 HOLTWOOD IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WRB635
37.6200 COLUMBIA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNLQ954
37.6200 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KTJ652

37.6200 EPHRATA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KXD499
37.6200 MARTIC TOWNSHIP IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGD832
37.6200 QUARRYVILLE IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KYD768
37.7400 COLUMBIA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNLQ954
37.7400 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KGA924
37.7400 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGE748
37.7400 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KTJ652
37.7400 MARTIC TOWNSHIP IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGD832
37.7600 COLUMBIA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNLQ954
37.7600 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGE748
37.7600 EAST PETERSBURG IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KTJ652
37.7600 MARTIC TOWNSHIP IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KGD832
37.8600 EPHRATA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KXD499
37.8600 QUARRYVILLE IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KYD768
42.9600 LANCASTER IB LAPP ELECTRICAL SERVICE INC KTO967
42.9800 LANCASTER IB BULOVA TECHNOLOGIES INC WNXB729
43.0000 EPHRATA IB CHESTER B BURKHOLDER INC WNAD245
43.0000 LANCASTER IB EDWARD ARMSTRONG & SONS INC KFU836
43.0200 ELIZABETHTOWN IS E-TOWN ASPHALT PAVING INC KNCN562
43.0600 EAST PETERSBURG IS MC MINNS ASPHALT CO INC KDL523
43.0600 LANCASTER IS MC MINNS ASPHALT PRODUCTS KNCT929
43.0600 PARADISE IS MC MINNS ASPHALT PRODUCTS KNCT929
43.1400 ELIZABETHTOWN IS NEWCOMER OIL CORP KGF652
43.2200 COLUMBIA CD THE UNITED TELEPHONE KUO634
43.3600 LANCASTER IS HAAS, RICHARD A KWV373
43.4400 FULTON TOWNSHIP IS ZIMMERMAN, RAYMOND M KKA999
43.5800 EPHRATA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA KGI782
43.5800 LANCASTER CD DENVER AND EPHRATA KGI782
44.7400 FULTON PP MARYLAND, STATE OF KNDK864
44.8400 EPHRATA PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WQN227
44.8800 EPHRATA PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WQN227
44.9000 FULTON PP MARYLAND, STATE OF KNDK864
44.9600 MARTIC TOWNSHIP PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNBV865
45.0400 MARIETTA PO MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP FOREST KTV671
45.0400 MOUNT JOY PO MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP FOREST KTV671
45.0800 DENVER PL BRECKNOCK, TOWNSHIP OF KWX483
45.1000 PENN TOWNSHIP PP LEBANON, COUNTY OF KBK833
45.1200 BROWNSTOWN PL WEST EARL, TOWNSHIP OF WNLA442
45.2000 CHURCHTOWN PL CAERNARVON, TOWNSHIP OF KNEZ872
45.2000 TERRE HILL PL TERRE HILL, BOROUGH OF WNPH589
45.2400 NEW HOLLAND PL EARL, TOWNSHIP OF KNEZ873
45.2800 BLUE BALL PL EAST EARL, TOWNSHIP OF KZQ794
45.2800 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, BOROUGH OF KGC558
45.2800 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, BOROUGH OF KNGV246
45.2800 NEW HOLLAND PL NEW HOLLAND, BOROUGH OF KYD814
45.5200 NEW HOLLAND PL NEW HOLLAND, BOROUGH OF KYD814
45.5600 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA BOROUGH KGC558

45.5600 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, BOROUGH OF KGC558
45.6400 AKRON PL AKRON, BOROUGH OF KNIE525

45.6400 LANCASTER PL MANHEIM TWP WYY980
45.7000 BLUE BALL PP EAST EARL, TWP POLICE KZQ578
45.7000 NEW HOLLAND PP NEW HOLLAND BOROUGH POLICE KZO364
45.7000 BROWNSTOWN PP WEST EARL, TWP POLICE WNLA442
46.5800 DENVER PL WEST COCALICO, TOWNSHIP OF KNGG794
46.5800 REINHOLDS PL WEST COCALICO, TOWNSHIP OF KNGG794
47.2800 CORNWALL PH PENN DOT KGB572
47.3000 CORNWALL PH PENN DOT KGB572
47.3800 CORNWALL PH PENN DOT KGB572
47.4200 LANCASTER PS AMERICAN NATIONAL RED CROSS KTK780
47.4200 W HEMPFIELD TWPPS AMERICAN NATIONAL RED CROSS WSX470
47.4400 NEW HOLLAND IS NEW ENTERPRISE STONE & LIME KTO652
47.4600 W HEMPFIELD TW PS AMERICAN RED CROSS WNKC824
47.4600 CONESTOGA IS SAFE HARBOR WATER & POWER KGA924
47.4800 LANCASTER IS HAHN CONTRACTING CO KCL681
47.5000 CHRISTIANA PS GAP VETERINARY ASSOCIATES KGE612
47.5000 GAP PS GAP VETERINARY ASSOCIATES KGE612
47.5200 EPHRATA IS LLOYD H ZIMMERMAN INC KFT952
47.6000 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KGA924
47.6000 EPHRATA IS EASTERN INDUSTRIES INC WNMP781
47.6200 LANCASTER PS AMERICAN RED CROSS WNUG880
47.6200 W HEMPFIELD TW PS AMERICAN RED CROSS WNUG880
47.6600 EPHRATA PS TRUMBAUER, WALTER K KJF801
47.8200 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KGA924
48.4200 LANCASTER IW UGI CORPORATION KGC213
48.4600 LANCASTER IW UGI CORPORATION KGC213
49.1000 146.2 NEW HOLLAND IP MOBIL PIPE LINE COMPANY WXA787
72.1400 CORNWALL CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
72.1400 LANCASTER CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
72.2600 DENVER IS A G KURTZ & SONS INC KQR684
72.4000 LANCASTER PS MEDICAL BUREAU OF LANCASTER KTI564
72.7200 INTERCOURSE IS CARLOS R LEFFLER INC KRI825
72.9400 NEW HOLLAND CD ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNKD490
75.4600 PENN TOWNSHIP IS A G KURTZ & SONS INC KQR684
75.7200 SALISBURY TWP IS CARLOS R LEFFLER INC KRI825
75.7400 CORNWALL PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNWX249
120.9000 LANCASTER AF LANCASTER TOWER LNS
121.8000 LANCASTER AF LANCASTER GROUND CONTROL LNS
122.1000 LANCASTER AF LNS RADIO FLIGHT SERVICE STA LNS
122.8000 MARIETTA AF DONEGAL SPRINGS AIRPARK INC KEH5
122.8750 LITITZ AF ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KZC3
122.90000 COLUMBIA AF MCGINESS UNICOM 8N7
122.9000 QUARRYVILLE AF TANGLEWOOD UNICOM 63N
122.9500 LITITZ AF LANCASTER AVIATION INC WYT4
122.9500 NEFFSVILLE AF LANCASTER AIRPORT AUTHORITY KHW4
123.0500 SMOKETOWN AF GLICK AVIATION LTD WGA6
123.0500 LANCASTER AF SMOKETOWN UNICOM KQP2
130.6000 LANCASTER AF AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC KXP7
130.8500 LANCASTER AF AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC WAW9
145.390R/144.79I 118.8 CORNWALL HA KA3NKB

145.450R/144.850I C.SQ EPHRATA HA K3RZF
146.610R/146.010I LANCASTER HA PUBLIC SERVER COMM. WA3NOF
146.715R/146.115I COLUMBIA HA K3FHC
147.015R/147.615I 118.8 LANCASTER HA KA3CNT
147.105R/147.705I 118.8 LANCASTER HA KC3DR
147.255R/147.855I COLUMBIA HA W3GES
147.315R/147.915I HA
150.7750 ELIZABETHTOWN PS NORTHWEST ADVANCED LIFE WNRV643
150.7900 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
150.8600 LANCASTER LA HUBERT, RICHARD H WNJP791
150.9950 MOUNTVILLE PH MOUNTVILLE, BORO OF WNXP264
151.0850 LAMPETER PH WEST LAMPETER, TOWNSHIP OF KWN440
151.3400 NEW HOLLAND PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KNIV544
151.3850 EAST EARL TWP PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KLU444
151.3850 PENN TOWNSHIP PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KRJ667
151.4900 WASHINGTON BOR IS ESHBACH, B EARL:HEISEY, WYH351
151.5200 LITITZ IS MYER, J MARLIN:MYER, RALPH WXH726
151.5200 NARVON IS HAINES & KIBBLEHOUSE INC KCJ468
151.5200 TERRE HILL IS TERRE HILL CONCRETE KNJU653
151.5350 CEDAR HILL IS D M STOLTZFUS & SON INC WNBE609
151.5350 TALMAGE IS D M STOLTZFUS & SON KGC675
151.5500 MANHEIM IS RUHL, OSCAR WNPO720
151.5500 MOUNT JOY IS HEISEY FARM SERVICE WQC898
151.5650 PEACH BOTTOM IS NOLT, MARVIN S KNDH909
151.5650 PEACH BOTTOM IS NOLT, NELSON W WNSQ716
151.5650 SALISBURY TWP IS MARTIN LIMESTONE INC KGS781
151.5800 LANCASTER IS KREIDER, CLYDE H WNHE538
151.5800 MANHEIM IS HERNLEY, DAVID KNJD836
151.5950 EPHRATA IS BURKHOLDER, ALLEN G KNCV701
151.5950 QUARRYVILLE IS HOWARD E GROFF CO INC KBX393

151.6250 WILLOW STREET IB DILLER PLANK INC KD51659
151.6550 ELIZABETHTOWN IB FLEETWOOD HOMES OF WPAN818
151.6550 EPHRATA IB MARTIN, CURVIN S:MARTIN, WNJZ914
151.6550 EPHRATA IB SENSENIG, MARLIN W WNIJ311
151.6550 LANCASTER IB B W C INNS INC WNCH956
151.6550 LANCASTER IB DILLER HERSHEY REALTORS INC KGQ565
151.6550 LANCASTER IB GLICKS COMMERCIAL SOUND INC WNLR479
151.6550 LANCASTER IB OUTDOOR WORLD CORP KNCJ589
151.6550 LITITZ IB LINDEN HALL SCHOOL KB75954
151.6550 LITITZ IB MARTIN, CURVIN S:MARTIN, WNJZ914
151.6550 MANHEIM IB FRANK L HALDEMAN & SONS INC KBT890
151.6550 NEW HOLLAND IB HOOVER, CLARENCE M WPBD236
151.6550 NEW HOLLAND IB SENSENIG, MARLIN W WNIJ311
151.6550 PARADISE IB AMOS B WITMER & SON WNKN525
151.6550 RIVERSIDE IB FLEETWOOD ENTERPRISES INC WPBS701
151.6550 RONKS IB STAUFFER, HENRY WPAP517
151.6850 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER MENNONITE HIGH WPDN420
151.6850 LANCASTER IB R R DONNELLEY & SONS KNEZ745
151.6850 LANCASTER IB SERVICE AMERICAN CORP KFG329

151.6850 MANHEIM IB MUSSER, LEON WNPZ863
151.7150 COLUMBIA IB TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT KVC708
151.7150 EPHRATA IB ARC OF PENNSYLVANIA KD26329
151.7150 LANCASTER IB CARL REALTY INC KGR708
151.7150 LANCASTER IB MARTIN WATER CONDITIONING WNBV444
151.7150 LEOLA IB HOOVER, ROY E WPCS332
151.7150 LITITZ IB WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY KNDJ567
151.7150 QUARRYVILLE IB MARTIN, KERMIT WNWJ961
151.7150 RIVERSIDE IB FLEETWOOD ENTERPRISES INC WPBS701
151.7150 TERRE HILL IB MARTZALL, LEON W WNUJ790
151.7450 BOWMANSVILLE IB CREST TRUCK EQUIPMENT WPFI345
151.7450 LANCASTER IB BACHMANN REALTY CORP WPBJ524
151.7450 LANCASTER IB BURNHAM CORPORATION WPBP415
151.7450 LANCASTER IB CARL REALTY INC KGR708
151.7450 LANCASTER IB EDWARD HAND JUNIOR HIGH WPDT341
151.7450 LANCASTER IB SHOW EASE STALL COMPANY WNUK742
151.7450 LITITZ IB WARWICK HIGH SCHOOL WPAX967
151.7450 MILLERSVILLE IB FUNK, FREDERICK A KNGL818
151.7450 PARADISE IB CLARK ELECTRIC INC WNBZ740
151.7450 PEACH BOTTOM IB C & G EXCAVATING INC WNRC698
151.7450 RIVERSIDE IB FLEETWOOD ENTERPRISES INC WPBS701
151.7750 COLUMBIA IB SLAYMAKER, JOHN WNJI954
151.7750 CORNWALL IB MAZZA VINEYARDS INC KB90819
151.7750 DENVER IB A G KURTZ & SONS INC WNCU621
151.7750 ELIZABETHTOWN IB FLEETWOOD HOMES OF WPAN818
151.7750 EPHRATA IB MARTIN, DAVID L:MARTIN, KB82399
151.7750 INTERCOURSE IB CLARK ASSOCIATES INC KTA618
151.7750 LANCASTER IB MC MICHAELS CUSTOM LAWN KNBI247
151.7750 LANCASTER IB WATER STREET RESCUE MISSION WNRI585
151.7750 LITITZ IB THOMAS H ERB & SONS INC WNFT561
151.7750 QUARRYVILLE IB THOMAS SPRINKLERS INC KNBE808
151.8050 LANCASTER IB DUSCO PROPERTY MANAGEMENT WRP654
151.8050 LANCASTER IB PARK CITY MALL SECURITY WRP654
151.8050 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER MENNONITE HIGH WPDN420
151.8050 STEVENS IB KURTZ, CLAUDE KNEF818
151.8350 COLUMBIA IB VIGILANT FIRE CO WPAM945
151.8350 CONESTOGA IB TURKEY HILL DAIRY INC KFV421
151.8350 LANCASTER IB FREEMAN, BRIAN W KNGH350
151.8350 LANCASTER IB R R DONNELLEY & SONS KNEZ745
151.8350 LANCASTER IB R R DONNELLEY & SONS KWG905
151.8350 LEOLA IB MAST ELECTRIC INC KZA460
151.8350 MOUNT JOY IB MARTIN, GALEN R WNBK446
151.8650 GAP IB STOLTZFOOS, SAM ALLEN KNBU761
151.8650 LANCASTER IB GROFF, JAMES R WNFF951
151.8650 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZR371
151.8650 LANCASTER IB MILL PRODUCTS INC WXH462
151.8650 LEOLA IB STOLTZFOOS, NORMAN L WNCT919
151.8650 LITITZ IB LANDIS HOMES RETIREMENT KNBY270
151.8650 LITITZ IB MARTIN, MARK L WNWS850

151.8650 ROHRERSTOWN IB E H GOCHNAUER & SONS INC KNDQ855
151.8950 BAINBRIDGE IB KAUFFMANS SEPTIC SERVICE WNJQ770
151.8950 BOWMANSVILLE IB CREST TRUCK EQUIPMENT WPFI345
151.8950 EPHRATA IB AGRI INC KBO950
151.8950 KINZER IB KURTZ, FRANK L KUN799
151.8950 LANCASTER IB BECHTOLDS PLUMBING & KGR828
151.8950 LANCASTER IB ITT ENGINEERED VALVES WPEF652
151.8950 LANCASTER IB LAN COMM INC KB74548
151.8950 LANCASTER IB LANDIS, DAVID L WNJZ315
151.8950 LANCASTER IB SEARS ROEBUCK AND COMPANY KNFF911
151.8950 LITITZ IB HOOVER, HAROLD H WNKG688
151.8950 MANHEIM IB JOSEPH G GRAYBILL INC WNSV929
151.8950 MILLERSVILLE IB NATIONAL HOUSING ASSOCIATES KIM460
151.8950 NEW HOLLAND IB SENSENIO, JAMES WPDE660
151.8950 QUARRYVILLE IB RHOADS, JEROME E WNIE707
151.8950 SCHOENECK IB CROUSE, GALEN W KVC749
151.9250 AKRON IB R & W PRE BUILT HOMES INC KNEB801
151.9250 BOWMANSVILLE IB OAK CREEK ENTERPRISES INC KB83116
151.9250 ELIZABETHTOWN IB COMMITTEE ON MASONIC HOMES KWP853
151.9250 ELIZABETHTOWN IB FLEETWOOD HOMES OF WPAN818
151.9250 EPHRATA IB BURKHOLDER, PAUL G KNIC465
151.9250 LANCASTER IB H W NAUMAN AND SON INC WNSI620
151.9250 PEACH BOTTOM IB NOLT BROTHERS WNVX705
151.9550 GAP IB LAPP, JACOB E KNHJ312
151.9550 GORDONVILLE IB STOLTZFUS, SAMUEL WNRH604
151.9550 LANCASTER IB TELCO WNIW484
151.9550 LEOLA IB LIBERTY HOMES INC WPDS819
151.9550 LITITZ IB BRICKERVILLE ELECTRIC WNHU638
151.9550 PARADISE IB SHARPE, GEORGE M WNAP846
151.9850 PENN TOWNSHIP IT BELL ATLANTIC PENNSYLVANIA KNHZ977
152.0007 LANCASTER PS SAINT JOSEPH HOSPITAL KIU534
152.0075 EPHRATA PS MEDICAL BUREAU OF LANCASTER KTI564
152.0075 LANCASTER PS MEDICAL BUREAU OF LANCASTER KTI564
152.0075 MOUNTVILLE PS MEDICAL BUREAU OF LANCASTER KTI564
152.0075 STATE GAME LANDS PS MEDICAL BUREAU LANCASTER KTI564
152.0075 STRASBURG PS MEDICAL BUREAU OF LANCASTER KTI564
152.2400 LANCASTER CD METROCALL, INC. KDS696
152.2700 LANCASTER LX MODEL MANAGEMENT SERVICES KGA263
152.300B/157.560M MOUNT JOY LX LEHIGH VALLEY TAXI CO KUY573
152.390B/157.650M LANCASTER LX QUICK SERVICE CAB KGA406
152.4500 COLUMBIA LX HAKE, DONALD:HAKE, JERRY WNPH772
152.4800 COLUMBIA IB ITT GRINNELL CORP KSH342
152.4800 LANCASTER IB GOUGHNOUR, ALAN W WNHF846
152.4800 LANCASTER IB MESSAGE CENTER BEEPERS INC WNYG702
152.4800 SALISBURY TWP IB DONLEY, DOUGLAS N WNIE372
152.4800 TRUCE IB DONLEY, DOUGLAS N WNUR547
152.6600 EPHRATA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA TEL. AND KGH859
152.7200 COLUMBIA CD CONESTOGA MOBILE SYSTEMS, KNKO368
152.8400 CONCORDIA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA KGI782
152.8400 EPHRATA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA KGI782

152.8400 LANCASTER CD DENVER AND EPHRATA KGI782
152.8700 LANDISVILLE IS BEAR CREEK CONSTRUCTION KGD351
152.8850 PENN TOWNSHIP IS A G KURTZ & SONS INC KQR684
152.9150 DENVER IS ZIMMERMAN, WALTER Z WNSU549
152.9150 DURLACH IS KURTZ, MERLE S:KURTZ, EDWIN KNFH764
152.9150 EPHRATA IS KURTZ, MERLE S:KURTZ, EDWIN KNFH764
152.9150 RHEEMS IS UNION QUARRIES INC KUQ363

152.9300 EPHRATA IS KURTZ JR, EDWIN N WNWA356
152.9300 MILLERSVILLE IS BARLEY JR, HAROLD M KNAH916
152.9450 CHRISTIANA IS WHITELOCK & WOERTH INC KMF764
152.9450 EPHRATA IS HOOVER, AARON M WNZP643
152.9450 MANHEIM IS FAHNESTOCK, PAUL M WPAK796
152.9600 LANCASTER IS KREIDER, FRANKLIN H KSF783
152.9600 PEQUEA TWP IS HESS, KARL M WYG712
152.9750 EPHRATA IS HOOVER, ERVIN S WPDA209
152.9900 PENN TOWNSHIP IS FERRELLGAS INC KBO425
152.9900 SALUNGA IS MYERS BROS DRILLING KDI217
153.0050 LEOLA IS MASTER FARMER FERTILIZER KTJ806
153.0200 CONESTOGA IS FREY DAIRY FARMS WNJC206
153.0200 GAP IS MARTIN LIMESTONE INC KGS781
153.0200 SALISBURY TWP IS MARTIN LIMESTONE INC KGS781
153.0350 MANHEIM IS GROFF, DAVID N WNAX206
153.0350 REINHOLDS IS HOOVER, ELVIN H WPDD530
153.0500 LANCASTER IX ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS KNBJ243
153.0500 LANCASTER IX JOHNSON & JOHNSON MERCK INC WPBA556
153.0500 PARADISE IX DENLINGER INC WYX432
153.0650 COLUMBIA IX GRINNELL CORP KNAJ694
153.0650 EPHRATA IX REDMAN HOMES INC KB48611
153.0650 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WQY885
153.0800 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WNSC972
153.0800 MARIETTA IX WYETH AYERST LABORATORIES KNGY737
153.0950 LEOLA IX DART CONTAINER CORP OF KB94553
153.1100 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WNWD304
153.1100 MARIETTA IX WYETH AYERST LABORATORIES WNLW229
153.1250 LITITZ IX FEDERAL MOGUL CORP WPDE829
153.1400 EPHRATA IX SKYLINE INC WPAU936
153.1400 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KXU947
153.1550 DENVER IX HIGH CONCRETE STRUCTURES WPCW363
153.1700 LANCASTER IX JOHNSON & JOHNSON MERCK INC WPBA556
153.1850 LANCASTER IX JOHNSON & JOHNSON MERCK INC WPBA556
153.1850 MARIETTA IX WYETH AYERST LABORATORIES WPFV287
153.2000 LANCASTER IX JOHNSON & JOHNSON MERCK INC WPBA556
153.2000 MANHEIM IX RAYMARK INDUSTRIES INC WNGN259
153.2150 EPHRATA IX SKYLINE INC WPAU936
153.2300 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KXU947
153.2450 MANHEIM IX RAYMARK CORP KB82684
153.2600 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WNPG310
153.2750 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KXU947
153.2900 EPHRATA IX REDMAN HOMES INC KB48611

153.2900 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KXU947
153.3050 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WNWD304
153.3200 LANCASTER IX HEIL COMPANY WNHW285
153.3200 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WNSC972
153.3350 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS KNFN725
153.3500 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WNSC972
153.3650 COLUMBIA IX ITT GRINNELL CORP KSE286
153.3800 LANCASTER IX ALUMAX ALUMINUM CORPORATION WNJB232
153.3950 LANCASTER IX ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KOK939
153.5450 COLUMBIA IW COLUMBIA WATER CO KZX402
153.6800 LITTLE BRITAIN IW CHESTER WATER AUTHORITY KDS754
153.7700 REINHOLDS PF LANCASTER COUNTY ZONE 1 KD25175
153.8150 SALISBURY TWP PL EAST EARL, TOWNSHIP OF WNZX817
153.8150 SALISBURY TWP PL SALISBURY, TOWNSHIP OF KVN640
153.8600 LANCASTER PL MANHEIM, TOWNSHIP OF WNJZ206
153.9050 QUARRYVILLE PL BART, TOWNSHIP OF WQK333
153.9050 QUARRYVILLE PL COLERAIN, TOWNSHIP OF WNWK593
153.9050 QUARRYVILLE PL SADSBURY, TOWNSHIP OF KNFL986
153.9200 RONKS PL LEACOCK, TOWNSHIP OF KQR534
153.9800 ELIZABETHTOWN PL ELIZABETHTOWN, BOROUGH OF KXJ232

154.0250 CONESTOGA PL CONESTOGA, TOWNSHIP OF WPAD566
154.0250 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, TOWNSHIP OF WXK779
154.0400 E. DRUMORE TWP PL EAST DRUMORE, TOWNSHIP OF KSJ283
154.055R/158.925 71.9 PENN TOWNSHIP PL LANCASTER, CO CONSTABLES KNEJ770
154.0550 LANCASTER PL PENNA STATE CONSTABLES KRB479
154.1000 LANCASTER PL WEST HEMPFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF WNMY443
154.4900 LITITZ IS ROHRERS QUARRY INC KJJ226
154.5150 ELIZABETHTOWN IB M & M MARS INC KB78145
154.5150 GOODVILLE IB WEAVER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY KNHR609
154.5150 LANDISVILLE IB J MILLER ESHLEMAN & SON INC KUY877
154.5150 LITITZ IB GREEN ACRES LAWN & GARDEN WNIS968
154.5400 DENVER IB STOLTZFUS, MYRON KD52028
154.5400 ELIZABETHTOWN IB M & M MARS INC KB78746
154.5400 LANCASTER IB FREEMAN, BRIAN W KNGH350
154.5400 LANCASTER IB FRY, EARL KNIN630
154.5400 LANCASTER IB WIZARD LOCK SHOP INC KUA959
154.5400 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION KD24177
154.5400 MARIETTA IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNEB893
154.5700 BIRD IN HAND IB GEO K GROFF INC KD43882
154.5700 COLUMBIA IB COLUMBIA HIGH SCHOOL KD22758
154.5700 CONESTOGA IB MARTIN, RODNEY WPBS939
154.5700 DENVER IB STOLTZFUS, MYRON KD52028
154.5700 EAST EARL IB ESH, JOHN M KD25356
154.5700 EAST EARL IB H R EWELL INC WPBQ694
154.5700 EAST PETERSBURG IB BINKLEY & OBER INC KD28368
154.5700 ELIZABETHTOWN IB ELIZABETHTOWN AREA SCHOOL WPDF890
154.5700 EPHRATA IB FAIRMOUNT HOMES WNUE517
154.5700 EPHRATA IB FUEHRER ASSOCIATES WNUI968
154.5700 EPHRATA IB GREEN DRAGON INC KB60434

154.5700 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNVE591
154.5700 LANCASTER IB COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KA66547
154.5700 LANCASTER IB DEN TAL EZ INC WPBR687
154.5700 LANCASTER IB FREY LUTZ CORPORATION WNSD906
154.5700 LANCASTER IB KRAFT MGMT CO WPEB725
154.5700 LANCASTER IB MILLER & HARTMAN INC KB66440
154.5700 LANCASTER IB SUAREZ ENTERPRISES INC KD26282
154.5700 LEOLA IB CONESTOGA VALLEY SCHOOL KD34398
154.5700 LEOLA IB DART CONTAINER CORP OF PA WPEC233
154.5700 LEOLA IB ROSS ENGINEERING COMPANY WPEG706
154.5700 LITITZ IB MORAVIAN MANOR KB64085
154.5700 LITITZ IB TRIARC ENTERPRISES KD25238
154.5700 LITITZ IB WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY KB61538
154.5700 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION KD24177
154.5700 NEW HOLLAND IB FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNRV758
154.5700 NEW HOLLAND IB SHUMATE, BILL WNYN751
154.5700 PARADISE IB CARLEE, OLIVER W WPES863
154.5700 LANCASTER PL PENNA STATE CONSTABLES KRB479
154.5700 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PENNFIELD CORPORATION WNBP899
154.5700 SMOKETOWN IB SMUCKER, MERVIN L:SMUCKER, WNSE726
154.6000 CONESTOGA IB MARTIN, RODNEY WPBS939
154.6000 DENVER IB MILLMAN, JAY WNUE636
154.6000 DENVER IB STOLTZFUS, MYRON KD52028
154.6000 INTERCOURSE IB MARTIN AUCTIONEERS INC KD45093
154.6000 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNVE591
154.6000 LANCASTER IB J H BRUBAKER INC KD22496
154.6000 LANCASTER IB KRAFT MGMT CO WPEB725
154.6000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER BIBLE COLLEGE KD21748
154.6000 LANCASTER IB MEDIA HEIGHTS GOLF COURSE KB72797
154.6000 LANCASTER IB PETTERS, OSCAR R KD34060
154.6000 LANCASTER IB S S FISHER STEEL KB64253
154.6000 LANCASTER IB SUAREZ ENTERPRISES INC KD26282
154.6000 LEOLA IB CONESTOGA VALLEY SCHOOL KD34398

154.6000 LEOLA IB DART CONTAINER CORP OF PA WPEC233
154.6000 LITITZ IB CLAIR BROS AUDIO KB82398
154.6000 LITITZ IB TRIARC ENTERPRISES KD25238
154.6000 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION KD24177
154.6000 MANHEIM IB TTGC INC WNUG730
154.6000 MARIETTA IB AFFILIATED POULTRY SERVICES KB60918
154.6000 MARIETTA IB CARGILL INC WNRN562
154.6000 NEW HOLLAND IB MUSSELMAN LUMBER INC KB82559
154.6000 NEW HOLLAND IB SHUMATE, BILL WNYN751
154.6000 REINHOLDS IB WEAVER, MELVIN W WPBM248
154.6000 RONKS IB LAPP, KENNETH:GLICK, NORMAN WPAE633
154.6000 SALUNGA IB EASTERN MENNONITE BOARD OF KB94181
154.6000 SMOKETOWN IB SMUCKER, MERVIN L:SMUCKER, WNSE726
154.6000 SOUDERSBURG IB SOUDERSBURG MOTEL WNXM402
154.6000 TERRE HILL IB VALLEY PROTEIN INC WNGA262
154.6000 WILLOW STREET IB HESS PLUMBING WPBS564

154.6250 ELIZABETHTOWN IS KEENER, RONALD S WNWQ405
154.6250 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION WPBG464
154.7100 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KNGX660
154.7550 186.2 EPHRATA PP PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE KXH316
154.7550 186.2 PARKSBURG PP PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE KXH317
154.800R/158.970 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER CITY CH.2 KQY818
154.8450 EPHRATA PP EPHRATA BORO. POLICE
154.860R/159.090 71.9 NEW PROVIDENCE PP LANCASTER, CO CH.C SOUTH WZN243
154.875R/159.030 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER CO CH.E CITY KEM639
154.875R/159.030 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, CO CH.E CITY KGA583
154.9650 LANCASTER PL MANHEIM, TOWNSHIP OF KDL906
154.9800 CHURCHTOWN PL CAERNARVON, TOWNSHIP OF KNEZ872
154.9950 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER AREA SEWER AUT. KXQ915
154.9950 WASHINGTON BORO PL LANCASTER AREA SEWER KXQ915
155.0250 COLUMBIA PL COLUMBIA, BOROUGH OF KNEZ479
155.0250 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KNHS669
155.0550 LANCASTER PP WEST HEMPFIELD, TWP POLICE KNFW802
155.0550 MARIETTA PL EAST DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF WNMQ767
155.0850 LANCASTER PL EAST LAMPETER, TOWNSHIP OF KFZ919
155.0850 MANHEIM PL RAPHO, TOWNSHIP OF KVI620
155.1000 SALISBURY TWP PL EAST EARL, TOWNSHIP OF WNZX817
155.1000 SALISBURY TWP PL SALISBURY, TOWNSHIP OF KVN640
155.1150 LEOLA PL UPPER LEACOCK, TOWNSHIP OF KOL954
155.1150 PEQUEA PL MARTIC, TOWNSHIP OF WPDM628
155.1300 COLUMBIA PP COLUMBIA, BOROUGH OF KGA443
155.1450 DENVER PL EAST COCALICO, TOWNSHIP OF KNGY916
155.1450 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER, TWP OF KNAS413
155.1450 MOUNT JOY PL MOUNT JOY, BOROUGH OF KWF838
155.1600 LANCASTER PS KIRCHNER, G GARY KFX410
155.1750 LITITZ PS GROFF, RAYMOND E KXJ312
155.2050 MANHEIM PS RUFUS K GEIB INC WYP835
155.2050 STRASBURG PS MERSKY, EDWARD KCU223
155.2200 COLUMBIA PS COLUMBIA BOROUGH SCHOOL WNDQ660
155.2200 PARADISE PS ZILLHARDT, CURTIS A KLR236
155.2350 ROTHSVILLE PS SHULTZ TRANSPORTATION WRG636
155.2350 WILLOW STREET PS SHULTZ TRANSPORTATION WRG636
155.2500 PEQUEA TWP PP PEQUEA TWP POLICE
155.2800 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNFC381
155.2800 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER CITY DISPATCH
155.2800 QUARRYVILLE PS QUARRYVILLE PRESBYTERIAN WNCF461
155.3400 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KWJ350
155.430R/154.710 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, CO CH.F METRRO KNGX660
155.4450 PENN TOWNSHIP PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGC266
155.4750 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER CO. POLICE KNGX660
155.4750 EPHRATA PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH316
155.4750 PARKSBURG PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH317
155.5200 ELIZABETHTOWN PP ELIZABETHTOWN, CITY OF WNEB858
155.535R/159.150 71.9 EPHRATA PP LANCASTER CO CH.D NORTHEAST KNFQ506
155.580B/154.95M 186.2 EPHRATA PP PA. STATE POLICE CH.A KXH316
155.580B/154.95M 186.2 PARKSBURG PP PA. STATE POLICE CH.A KXH317

155.5950 LANCASTER PP EAST LAMPETER TWP POLICE WNCY310
155.5950 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER CO POLICE CH.F
155.640R/158.730 71.9 COLUMBIA PP LANCASTER CO CH.B NORTHWEST KSZ233
155.6550 LANCASTER PP MANHEIM, TWP POLICE WSL565
155.6700 EPHRATA PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH316
155.6700 PARKSBURG PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH317
155.685R/158.790 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, CO CH.A CO WIDE KSZ232
155.7150 MILLERSVILLE PL MILLERSVILLE, BOROUGH OF KKL546
155.7450 NEFFSVILLE PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF WRB577
155.7750 BRICKVILLE PL ELIZABETH, TOWNSHIP OF WNCZ411
155.7750 WARWICK TWP PL WARWICK TWP KNBB766
155.8050 MARIETTA PL EAST DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF WNMQ767
155.8650 STRASBURG PL STRASBURG, TOWNSHIP OF KNID206
155.8800 LANCASTER PL MANOR, TOWNSHIP OF KVI435
155.8800 LANDISVILLE PP EAST HEMPFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF KWX521
155.8950 DRUMORE PL DRUMORE, TOWNSHIP OF KNAF989
155.8950 FALMOUTH PL CONOY, TOWNSHIP OF KNBL853
155.8950 71.9 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, CO CH.G SIMPLEX KGQ428
155.8950 LITTLE BRITAIN PL LITTLE BRITAIN, TOWNSHIP OF KNAT823
155.8950 TRUCE PL LANCASTER, CO EMERGENCY MGT KGQ428
155.8950 WAKEFIELD PL FULTON, TWP ROAD DEPT KNAH383
155.9250 LITITZ PP WARWICK, TOWNSHIP OF KNGZ248
155.9250 NEW HOLLAND PL NEW HOLLAND, BOROUGH OF WNAV331
155.9400 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER, CITY OF KBE835
155.9550 QUARRYVILLE PL BART, TOWNSHIP OF WQK333
155.9550 QUARRYVILLE PL COLERAIN, TOWNSHIP OF WNWK593
155.9550 QUARRYVILLE PL SADSBURY, TOWNSHIP OF KNFL986
156.0000 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, TOWNSHIP OF WXK779
156.0150 MANHEIM PL PENN, TOWNSHIP OF WYG415
156.0300 LANCASTER PP LANCASTER, CO PRISON WQF228
156.1200 DENVER PH DENVER, BOROUGH OF WNCG582
156.1200 LANCASTER PH PEQUEA, TOWNSHIP OF KVA314
156.1200 REINHOLDS PH WEST COCALICO, TOWNSHIP OF KNJT962
156.1500 MARIETTA PP MARIETTA, BOROUGH OF WNVM943
156.1800 NEW PROVIDENCE PH PROVIDENCE, TOWNSHIP OF WQD399
156.1950 MOUNT HOPE PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KFX322
156.1950 REAMSTOWN PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KFX334
156.2400 DRUMORE PH DRUMORE, TOWNSHIP OF KNAL298
156.2400 WAKEFIELD PH FULTON, TOWNSHIP OF KNAK735
156.2400 LITTLE BRITAIN PH LITTLE BRITAIN TWP KNAK735
157.4700 LANCASTER LA GALEBACH, WILLIAM L WNIW846
157.5300 LANCASTER LX MODEL MANAGEMENT SERVICES KGA263
157.650M/152390B LANCASTER LX LANCASTER YELLOW CAB & KGA406
157.7100 COLUMBIA LX HAKE, DONALD:HAKE, JERRY WNPH772
157.7250 DENVER IS BURKHOLDER, JACOB S KNCX570
157.7250 ELIZABETHTOWN IS ESPENSHADE JR, WALTER WYG766
157.7400 LANCASTER IB GOUGHNOUR, ALAN W WNSQ580
157.7400 SALISBURY TWP IB AMERICAN DIGITAL WNRJ876
157.7400 TRUCE IB AMERICAN DIGITAL WNUY980
158.2950 NEW HOLLAND IX TYSON FOODS INC WNWE931

158.3100 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WNSC972
158.3250 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WQY885
158.3250 LANDISVILLE IX PENNSYLVANIA CASTINGS CORP KD50795
158.3250 SPRINGDALE IX TYSON FOODS INC KB61621
158.3400 PENN TOWNSHIP IT BELL ATLANTIC PENNSYLVANIA KNHZ977
158.3850 LANCASTER IS READY MIXED CONCRETE CO INC KWX252
158.3850 QUARRYVILLE IS READY MIXED CONCRETE CO INC KWX252
158.4300 LANCASTER IX KERR GLASS MANUFACTURING KNFA930
158.4600 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KNAY535
158.4600 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION WPBG464
158.4600 MANHEIM IS NOLT, IRWIN M WNJD558
158.7000 LANCASTER CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, WRW223
158.7000 PEACH BOTTOM CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KWU290
158.7450 MOUNT JOY PP MOUNT JOY TWP.
158.8050 DENVER PL EAST COCALICO, TOWNSHIP OF KYL664
158.8050 ELIZABETHTOWN PL WEST DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF KCS306
158.8950 MANHEIM PL MANHIEM TWP KDL906
158.9550 MANHEIM PP MANHEIM BORO POLICE

158.8950 LANCASTER PL MANHEIM, TOWNSHIP OF KDL906
159.1350 NEW PROVIDENCE PH PROVIDENCE, TOWNSHIP OF WQD399
159.1500 EAST EARL PP EAST EARL, TOWNSHIP OF KNEN812
159.1950 PARADISE PH PARADISE, TOWNSHIP OF KNFK669
159.2250 LANCASTER PO LANCASTER, CO. PARKS DEPT KNCV395
159.3900 HARRISBURG PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KNIV544
159.6750 PENN TOWNSHIP LV PRESTON TRUCKING CO INC WNLV624
159.7800 SALISBURY TWP LJ WEAVER, TITUS N:WEAVER, KSI734
159.9300 PEACH BOTTOM LJ NOLT, CLAIR WNSM347
160.0800 LANCASTER LJ ROADWAY EXPRESS INC KNJY204
160.1100 LANCASTER LV CENTRAL TRANSPORT INC WNDV395
160.4850 LANDISVILLE LR LANDISVILLE RAILROAD KNED733
160.5150 GAP LR NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER KNCR753
160.6350 LANCASTER LR AMTRAK CORK TOWER KNGR618
160.7100 LANCASTER LR CONRAIL KNFM590
160.8000 LANCASTER LR AMTRAK CORK TOWER KGU627
160.8600 LANCASTER LR CONRAIL KGF569
160.9200 ELIZABETHTOWN LR NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER WNPF388
160.9200 GAP LR NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER WNPF388
160.9200 LANCASTER LR AMTRAK CORK TOWER WNPF392
160.9800 LANCASTER LR CONRAIL DILLERVILLE YARD
161.0700 LANCASTER LR CONRAIL KBC449
161.1300 LANCASTER LR CONRAIL KNFM590
161.2350 STRASBURG LR STRASBURG RAIL ROAD CO KEX465
161.2950 GAP LR AMTRAK POLICE KNCR753
161.2950 LANCASTER LR AMTRAK POLICE KNCX548
161.6400 ELIZABETHTOWN BA PENN BROADCASTING KPG537
161.7000 MANHEIM BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS INC KEL535
161.7600 ELIZABETHTOWN BA PENN BROADCASTING KPG537
161.7600 MANHEIM BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS INC KEL535
169.4450 LANCASTER IB GREEK ORTHODOX CHURCH OF WPEC509

170.2450 LANCASTER IB KRAFT MGMT CO WPEB725
170.2450 LANCASTER IB SUAREZ ENTERPRISES INC KD26282
170.2450 LITITZ IB TRIARC ENTERPRISES KD25238
171.1050 LANCASTER IB KRAFT MGMT CO WPEB725
171.1050 LANCASTER IB SUAREZ ENTERPRISES INC KD26282
171.1050 LITITZ IB TRIARC ENTERPRISES KD25238
173.2375 PEQUEA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WGM954
173.2750 LANCASTER IY LANCASTER NEWSPAPERS INC KLQ705
173.3500 COLUMBIA IW LANCASTER CITY WATER AUT KFV525
173.3500 LANCASTER IW LANCASTER CITY WATER AUT KFV525
220.0725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
220.2225 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
220.3725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
220.5225 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
220.6725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
220.8875 COLUMBIA QO UPS GENERAL SERVICES CO WPCR202
220.9275 COLUMBIA QD UPS GENERAL SERVICES CO WPCM876
221.0725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
221.2225 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
221.3725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
221.5225 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999
221.6725 LANCASTER QT PCK SYSTEMS WPCV999

221.8875 COLUMBIA QO UPS GENERAL SERVICES CO WPCR202
221.9275 COLUMBIA QD UPS GENERAL SERVICES CO WPCM876
224.300R/222.700I LANCASTER HA WB3DFN
224.320R/222.720I LANCASTER HA N3RZ N3RZ
224.440R/222.840I C.SQ LITITZ HA KA3CNT
224.560R/222.960I COLUMBIA HA
224.900R/223.300I CORNWALL HA N3AY
444.650R/449.650I DENVER HA WA3GYG
444.850R/449.850I EPHRATA HA K3RZF
449.975R/444.975I COLUMBIA HA
450.4500 EPHRATA BA READING RADIO INC KGF678
450.4500 EVANSVILLE BA READING RADIO INC KGF678
450.9800 LANCASTER BA WITF INCORPORATED KPH309
450.9900 LANCASTER BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KPH448
451.0500 SALISBURY TWP IW UGI CORPOTATION KLJ364
451.1375 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KGA924
451.1500 SALISBURY IW UGI CORPORTATION KGB804
451.2000 HOLTWOOD IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNMB335
451.2000 QUARRYVILLE IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KUO820
451.3000 ELIZABETHTOWN IT ATLANTIC STATES MICROWAVE WNNA691
451.3000 SALISBURY TWP IT ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNFQ209
451.3250 CORNWALL IT AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND WZA688
451.3500 SALISBURY TWP IT ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNFQ209
451.4000 COLUMBIA IT UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WNJU278
451.4500 EPHRATA IT DENVER & EPHRATA TELEPHONE KJC722
451.5000 RAWLINSVILLE IT COMMONWEALTH TELEPHONE CO KNCC221

451.51250000 EPHRATA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KXD499
00000451.60000000 PARADISE IF LAPP LUMBER CO KUO767
00000451.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS J D ECKMAN INC KGH713
00000451.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS LANDIS, BEN KNAX580
00000451.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, LEROY R WNGC921
00000451.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS WEAVER, FRANK N KNGP406
00000451.77500000 HONEY BROOK IS HONEY BROOK FARM CENTER INC KNIM362
00000451.78750000 MANHEIM IS SWARR, JERE E WNWY637
00000451.81250000 EPHRATA IS HURST, WALTER M WNWC861
00000451.81250000 LITITZ IS HURSH, AMOS M WNWC829
00000451.83750000 QUARRYVILLE IS SENSENIG, NOAH N WPCF535
00000451.85000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS SHENK, E EUGENE WYH238
00000451.85000000 PENNTOWNSHIP IS VOGT, R K WFL527
00000451.88750000 MOUNT JOY IS GINDER, BENJAMIN S:GINDER, KD26587
00000451.90000000 PENN IS MARTIN, GLENN R WNZY649
00000451.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KRALL, GLEN R WPDA787
00000451.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, ELMER M WPCH620
00000451.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIFF, GLENN S WPDA795
00000451.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIFF, WILMER S WPCH538
00000451.91250000 LITITZ IS SENSENIG, DAVID L WNWU206
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS GARBER, JAMES M:GARBER, KNHP919
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KRALL, TIM E WPAE299
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KREIDER, NOAH:KREIDER SR, KNCT927
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, JOHN Z KNIV348
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, LLOYD Z KNBG458
00000451.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS RIDGE VIEW FARMS INC KNFJ863
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS BECKER, DAVID D WNLB570
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS BURKHOLDER, WNZY960
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HORNING, MELVIN H WPAD813
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HURSH, GERALD M WPCP342
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HURSH, PAUL H WNGE885
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MC CLELLAN, JAMES L WNPQ936
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, DALE M WNZS709
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, PAUL Z WNJZ956
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIST, HENRY E KNJL425
00000451.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS SENSENIG, LUKE G WNFK861
00000452.07500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN PAVING INC KNEC440
452.52500000 LANCASTER LA AAA LANCASTER COUNTY INC WNPW488
452.57500000 LANCASTER LA AAA LANCASTER COUNTY INC WNPW488
452.57500000 LANCASTER LA LANCASTER AUTOMOBILE CLUB WNQS623
00000452.70000000 CORNWALL LI LEBANON TRANSIT AUTHORITY KNEU801
00000452.71250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP LJ WEAVER, TITUS N:WEAVER, KSI734
00000453.01250000 ELIZABETHTOWN PS NORTHWEST ADVANCED LIFE KB84060
00000453.01250000 WEST HEMPFIELD TWP PS AMERICAN RED CROSS WNKC824
00000453.41250000 HARRISBURG PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGB572
00000453.43750000 LANCASTER PL MANOR, TOWNSHIP OF KVI435
00000453.48750000 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, BOROUGH OF KGC558
00000453.52500000 TRUCE PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNLY702
00000453.72500000 STRASBURG PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KOM417
453.8500 136.5 ELIZABETHTOWN PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KNBH711
00000453.87500000 MARIETTA PL EAST DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF KGC797

00000453.87500000 MILLERSVILLE PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KUO582
00000453.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP PL LEBANON, CITY OF WQA885
453.9750R/458.975 94.8 SALISBURY TWP PL RED ROSE TRANSIT AUTHORITY KJJ964
00000454.15000000 LANCASTER CD TRI-STATE RADIO CORPORATION KGC222
00000454.20000000 LANCASTER CD LEHIGH COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KNKJ290
00000454.32500000 LANCASTER CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
00000454.35000000 LANCASTER CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
00000454.42500000 EPHRATA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA TEL. AND KGH859
00000454.47500000 EPHRATA CD DENVER AND EPHRATA TEL. AND KGH859
00000454.55000000 LANCASTER CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
00000454.55000000 LEBANON CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461

454.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE CD SCHUYLKILL MOBILE FONE, KNKC668
00000455.21250000 EPHRATA BA JOEL MICHAELS MEDIA, INC KB97364
00000455.45000000 EPHRATA BA READING RADIO INC KGF678
00000455.45000000 EVANSVILLE BA READING RADIO INC KGF678
00000455.48750000 EPHRATA BA JOEL MICHAELS MEDIA, INC KB97364
00000455.75000000 LANCASTER BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KPK813
00000455.98000000 LANCASTER BA WITF INCORPORATED KPH309
00000456.03750000 CONESTOGA IW SAFE HARBOR WATER POWER KD45427
00000456.20000000 HOLTWOOD IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT WNMB335
00000456.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IT ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNFQ209
00000456.35000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IT ENTERPRISE TELEPHONE KNFQ209
00000456.45000000 EPHRATA IT DENVER & EPHRATA TELEPHONE KJC722
00000456.50000000 RAWLINSVILLE IT COMMONWEALTH TELEPHONE CO KNCC221
00000456.51250000 EPHRATA IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KXD499
00000456.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS J D ECKMAN INC KGH713
00000456.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS LANDIS, BEN KNAX580
00000456.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, LEROY R WNGC921
00000456.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IS WEAVER, FRANK N KNGP406
00000456.77500000 HONEY BROOK IS HONEY BROOK FARM CENTER INC KNIM362
00000456.78750000 MANHEIM IS SWARR, JERE E WNWY637
00000456.81250000 EPHRATA IS HURST, WALTER M WNWC861
00000456.81250000 LITITZ IS HURSH, AMOS M WNWC829
00000456.83750000 QUARRYVILLE IS SENSENIG, NOAH N WPCF535
00000456.85000000 MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP IS SHENK, E EUGENE WFE499
00000456.85000000 PENNTOWNSHIP IS VOGT, R K WFL527
00000456.88750000 MOUNT JOY IS GINDER, BENJAMIN S:GINDER, KD26587
00000456.90000000 PENN IS MARTIN, GLENN R WNZY649
00000456.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KRALL, GLEN R WPDA787
00000456.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, ELMER M WPCH620
00000456.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIFF, GLENN S WPDA795
00000456.90000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIFF, WILMER S WPCH538
00000456.91250000 LITITZ IS SENSENIG, DAVID L WNWU206
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS GARBER, JAMES M:GARBER, KNHP919
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KRALL, TIM E WPAE299
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS KREIDER, NOAH:KREIDER SR, KNCT927
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN, JOHN Z KNIV348
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, LLOYD Z KNBG458
00000456.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS RIDGE VIEW FARMS INC KNFJ863

00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS BECKER, DAVID D WNLB570
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS BURKHOLDER, WNZY960
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HORNING, MELVIN H WPAD813
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HURSH, GERALD M WPCP342
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS HURSH, PAUL H WNGE885
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MC CLELLAN, JAMES L WNPQ936
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, DALE M WNZS709
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS NOLT, PAUL Z WNJZ956
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS REIST, HENRY E KNJL425
00000456.97500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS SENSENIG, LUKE G WNFK861
00000457.07500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IS MARTIN PAVING INC KNEC440
00000457.52500000 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION KD24177
00000457.53750000 LITITZ IB WOODSTREAM CORPORATION WPEZ580
00000457.58750000 LANCASTER IB HAMILTON BANK KB79888
00000457.71250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP LJ WEAVER, TITUS N:WEAVER, KSI734
00000458.01250000 COLUMBIA PM COLUMBIA COMMUNITY WPCM837
00000458.01250000 ELIZABETHTOWN PS NORTHWEST ADVANCED LIFE KB84060
00000458.01250000 WEST HEMPFIELD TWP PS AMERICAN RED CROSS WNKC824
00000458.02500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000458.12500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000458.17500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000458.21250000 HARRISBURG PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WPCR929
00000458.41250000 HARRISBURG PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGB572
00000458.43750000 LANCASTER PL MANOR, TOWNSHIP OF KVI435

458.48750000 EPHRATA PL EPHRATA, BOROUGH OF KGC558
00000458.52500000 LANCASTER PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KNGE698
00000458.85000000 ELIZABETHTOWN PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF KNBH711
00000458.97500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP PL RED ROSE TRANSIT AUTHORITY KJJ964
00000459.55000000 CORNWALL CD LANCASTER RADIO PAGING, KWU461
00000460.20000000 TERRE HILL PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KNFS530
00000460.47500000 ELM PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNXP568
00000460.47500000 LANCASTER PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNYL743
00000460.66250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.68750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.71250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.73750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.76250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.78750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.81250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.83750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000460.86250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
461.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB COMMONWEALTH SECURITY KXI539
00000461.02500000 EPHRATA IB BLUE RIDGE NEWBERRY CATV KTC588
00000461.03750000 LANDISVILLE IB HERR & SACCO INC WNLL915
00000461.03750000 LEOLA IB STAUFFERS OF KISSEL HILL KB60624
00000461.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LEFEVER BUILDERS INC WNIE554
00000461.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PWI INC WGG275
00000461.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB R W KREIDER GARAGE WNHI692
00000461.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB STAMAN, WILLIAM T KNHA942

00000461.05000000 PENN TWP IB C M HIGH COMPANY KNAI541
00000461.06250000 LANCASTER IB COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF WNUX711
00000461.06250000 PHILADELPHIA IB DAY & ZIMMERMAN INC KD53704
00000461.08750000 LANCASTER IB HOMESTEAD VILLAGE INC WNRR258
00000461.08750000 LITITZ IB E K MARTIN & SONS INC WNVS993
00000461.10000000 BLUE BALL IB C B HOOBER & SON INC WSU274
00000461.11250000 EPHRATA IB HOOVER, ERVIN S WPDZ677
00000461.11250000 EPHRATA IB JOHN F MARTIN COUNTRY MEATS KD34408
00000461.11250000 NEW HOLLAND IB SPRING GULCH RESORT WNSK341
461.12500000 BLUE BALL IB SCHAAD DETECTIVE AGENCY INC KNGZ947
00000461.13750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY KD46162
00000461.13750000 LITITZ IB LANCASTER AVIATION INC KB93609
00000461.15000000 BLUE BALL IB GOOD, DONALD R:GOOD, GARY R KNAG680
00000461.15000000 BLUE BALL IB NISSLEY, J M WNEA347
00000461.15000000 BLUE BALL IB S & A CUSTOM BUILT HOMES WNJH772
00000461.16250000 LANCASTER IB KEMPS FOODS INC WPCE632
00000461.18750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY WNSL667
00000461.18750000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000461.20000000 LANCASTER IB HAMILTON BANK WNMG963
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB APPRAISAL ASSOCIATES INC KNEZ266
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB C & C TECHNOLOGY INC KNIM983
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D & E CONSTRUCTION COMPANY KNJB709
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HAHNLEN, DAVID WPAD849
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LEBANON SCHOOL DISTRICT WPEA798
00000461.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, JOHN R KGF783
00000461.22500000 STRASBURG IB STRASBURG PALLET COMPANY WPFG944
00000461.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB AUMENTS MARKETS INC WPFS356
00000461.23750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY KD46162
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB CAPP, BILL WNLZ669
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB DAVID PFLUMM PAVING & KNJF362
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB G A HENRY RADIO WXM344
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB JACK GARNER & SONS WNHK426
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB JONES & ZINK INC WNWV663
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB OBERS WATERCONDITIONING & WNHL742
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB ROBERT GRAHAM TV WNHP460
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB STAR ROCK FARMS WNCK356
00000461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB WINTERS DISPOSAL WNBX934

461.25000000 COLUMBIA IB WOLF JACK TREE SERVICE WPBK376
00000461.26250000 LANCASTER IB EGGHEAD SOFTWARE WPEC485
00000461.28750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB RIDGE VIEW FARMS INC KD34404
00000461.28750000 EPHRATA IB LINE SPECIALTIES INC WPCC514
00000461.28750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER MASONRY INC WPDI582
00000461.28750000 PARADISE IB CLARK ELECTRIC INC WPBJ575
00000461.32500000 EPHRATA IB EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL KNHJ722
00000461.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB BOMBERGER JR, JOHN H WNGU414
00000461.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB MARTINS HATCHERY POULTRY WNLE390
00000461.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB RUSSELL, H ROBERT WNHL692
00000461.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB SERVICE FEED AND SUPPLY INC WNFV362
00000461.37500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB H M STAUFFER & SONS INC KAV692

00000461.41250000 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KB71447
00000461.41250000 QUARRYVILLE IB GROFF, JAMES C WPDS298
00000461.43750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER FAMILY YMCA WNWS776
00000461.43750000 NEW HOLLAND IB A B C GROFF INC WNWK824
00000461.46250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB MASONIC HOMES INC WNUE650
00000461.48750000 LANDISVILLE IB A H HOFFMAN INC WPDK456
00000461.50000000 LANCASTER IB FISHER MANAGEMENT CO WPBG959
00000461.50000000 MOUNTVILLE IB ROSENBERGERS COLD STORAGE WPES403
00000461.51250000 LITITZ IB LANCASTER AVIATION INC KB93609
00000461.53750000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000461.53750000 LITITZ IB WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WPCN787
00000461.53750000 PARADISE IB CLARK ELECTRIC INC WPBJ575
00000461.56250000 STRASBURG IB STRASBURG PALLET COMPANY WPFG944
00000461.57500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BRUBACHER EXCAVATING INC KKQ288
00000461.58750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB AUMENTS MARKETS INC WPFS356
00000461.58750000 LANCASTER IB EMTROL INC WNYX580
00000461.58750000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000461.61250000 DALLAS IB J C PENNEY CO INC 1226 KD37795
00000461.61250000 LANCASTER IB THOMSON CONSUMER WPEH853
00000461.61250000 NEW HOLLAND IB YODERS COUNTRY MARKET KD35023
00000461.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D & E CONSTRUCTION COMPANY KNJB709
00000461.66250000 LANCASTER IB EMTROL INC WNYX580
00000461.68750000 LANCASTER IB R R DONNELLEY AND SONS KD28047
00000461.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BROWN, LARRY A:BROWN, MARK KNAY387
00000461.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB HERR, KENNETH H:HERR, KNHL378
00000461.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KELLOGG COMPANY KNBL363
00000461.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB MYLIN, J DONALD WNCS824
00000461.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB PYLE, THEODORE B WYX765
00000461.70000000 WILLOW STREET IB FREY, JEFFERY R WPBC272
00000461.71250000 LANCASTER IB QVC NETWORK INC WPBM450
00000461.71250000 LITITZ IB WARNER LAMBERT COMPANY WPCN787
00000461.73750000 DUBUQUE IB FLEXSTEEL INDUSTRIES INC WPCB774
00000461.73750000 QUARRYVILLE IB MUSSERS INC WPBG894
00000461.75000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ENGLE, I GLEN KNDR445
00000461.76250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000461.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB BARLEY, HENRY WNHM938
00000461.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB BLEACHER FARMS WNSL359
00000461.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB FRANKS GARAGE WNHS710
00000461.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB G A HENRY RADIO ELECTRONICS WNHI699
00000461.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB KREIDER, CLYDE E WNPO530
00000461.85000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB HENRY, GLENN A WYB968
00000461.86250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000461.87500000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000461.88750000 EAST EARL IB SHADY MAPLE FARM MARKET INC KD53450
00000461.91250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000461.93750000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000462.00000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB G A HENRY RADIO ELECTRONICS KNIQ521
00000462.00000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STUMPF JR, JOHN P KNJY349
00000462.02500000 SALISBURG TOWNSHIP IB FISHER, DANIEL WQC579
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB JOHNS MOBILE HOME SERVICE KQF286

462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KURTZ, TITUS S WNXW225
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB MC TERNAN, DOUGLAS WPAB670
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB PALS SERVICE CENTER WPDS380
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB QUALITY ROOFING SUPPLY KKT703
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB REUBEN M STOLTZFUS INC KQE965
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB RIGGS, JIM WNRZ866
00000462.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SUPERIOR HOMES WPCG370
00000462.05000000 LANCASTER IB BRETHREN VILLAGE WNFQ475
00000462.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DUTCHMAN CONSTRUCTION CO KZH446
00000462.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB FUNK, DONALD H KNGC766
00000462.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB NOLT & NOLT WQR418
00000462.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ULRICH INC KNJX501
00000462.05000000 PENN TWP IB BOLLINGER, DARRYL L KNEZ276
00000462.08750000 LANCASTER IB WORSHIP CENTER INC WPCN583
00000462.08750000 LITITZ IB KEENS SERVICE INC WPCC513
00000462.13750000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ALL AMERICAN POOL & SPA INC WNUU313
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BINKLEY & HURST BROS INC WPDT398
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DIENER, AMOS K WPBM369
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DOMBACH, LARRY C WNGU363
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HERSHEY, BRENT L KNHX495
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HOOVER, ERVIN S KNGP507
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KING, JOHN:KING, ELMER KNIN463
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MILLER POOL SERVICE INC WNGB327
00000462.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB WIEGAND, ROBERT L WNGL477
00000462.16250000 LANCASTER IB HAMILTON BANK KB79888
00000462.18750000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WQY885
00000462.20000000 COLUMBIA IX COLONIAL METALS CO WPAW435
00000462.20000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.22500000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS CO WNRL305
00000462.25000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.27500000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS CO WNRL305
00000462.30000000 LANCASTER IX BURNHAM CORP WNIY317
00000462.32500000 LANCASTER IX AIR PRODUCTS & CHEMICALS KNEM787
00000462.32500000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS CO WPAF945
00000462.35000000 LANCASTER IX BURNHAM CORP WNIY317
00000462.37500000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.37500000 MOUNTVILLE IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNNJ523
00000462.37500000 NEW HOLLAND IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNNJ522
00000462.40000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.42500000 LANCASTER IX BURNHAM CORP WNIY317
00000462.45000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.50000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000462.50000000 NEW HOLLAND IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC KD49521
00000462.55000000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.55000000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.56250000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.56250000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.56250000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.56250000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378

00000462.56250000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.56250000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.56250000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.56250000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.57500000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.58750000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.58750000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.58750000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.58750000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.58750000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.58750000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077

462.58750000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.58750000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.58750000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.60000000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.60000000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.60000000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.60000000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.60000000 LANCASTER ZA REACT SERVICE KAB6823
00000462.60000000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.61250000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.61250000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.61250000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.61250000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.61250000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.61250000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.61250000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.61250000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.62500000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.62500000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.63750000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.63750000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.63750000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.63750000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.63750000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.63750000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.63750000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.63750000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.63750000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.66250000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.66250000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.66250000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.66250000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.66250000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.66250000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.66250000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.66250000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.66250000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949

00000462.67500000 EAST EARL TWP ZA DISANTE, JOHN E KAD7442
00000462.67500000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.67500000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA LANCASTER COUNTY REACT INC KAD5928
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.67500000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.67500000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.67500000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.68750000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.68750000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.68750000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620
00000462.68750000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.68750000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.68750000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.68750000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.68750000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.68750000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.70000000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.71250000 ELIZABETHTOWN ZA SWOPE, DONALD R KAE6006
00000462.71250000 EPHRATA ZA RAVEGUM, ROBERTA E KAE7928
00000462.71250000 LANCASTER ZA ANGELINI, RENALDO KAE7620

462.71250000 LANCASTER ZA BORTZFIELD, DAVID H KAE7577
00000462.71250000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.71250000 LANCASTER ZA MUTZEL, RAYMOND C KAD9077
00000462.71250000 LANCASTER ZA NEU, ROBERT T KAE6297
00000462.71250000 LANDISVILLE ZA MUSSER, JEFFREY R KAF1546
00000462.71250000 REINHOLDS ZA WINTER, JOHN F KAF3949
00000462.72500000 LANCASTER ZA ERB, JAMES R KAF2378
00000462.72500000 LANCASTER ZA LANCASTER RECREATION KAA8072
00000462.75000000 LANCASTER IB BEEP WNUF209
00000462.77500000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR NORTH WPDK984
00000462.83750000 LITITZ IB WOODSTREAM CORPORATION WPEZ580
00000462.85000000 LANCASTER IB BEEP WNUF209
00000462.85000000 LANCASTER IB BURLE INDUSTRIES INC WNDS248
00000462.87500000 MARIETTA IB WYETH AYERST LABORATORIES WNSK254
00000462.88750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB AUMENTS MARKETS INC WPFS356
00000462.90000000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR NORTH WPCY996
00000462.95000000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
00000462.95000000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000462.95000000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
00000462.97500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000462.97500000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
00000462.97500000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.00000000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.00000000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.00000000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893

463.00000000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.00000000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.02500000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.02500000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.02500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.02500000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.02500000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.02500000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.05000000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.05000000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.05000000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.05000000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.05000000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.07500000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.07500000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.07500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.07500000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.07500000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.07500000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.10000000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.10000000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.10000000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.10000000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.10000000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.10000000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.12500000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.12500000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.12500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.12500000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
463.12500000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.12500000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.15000000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
463.15000000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
463.15000000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
463.15000000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963

463.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
463.15000000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
00000463.17500000 CORNWALL PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
00000463.17500000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WYD955
00000463.17500000 LANCASTER PS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF KB42893
00000463.17500000 LANCASTER PS LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL KNJT963
00000463.17500000 PENN TOWNSHIP PS LEBANON, COUNTY OF KAN520
00000463.17500000 TRUCE PS LANCASTER COUNTY WRA469
00000463.20000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DONLEY, DOUGLAS N WPBN561
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY IB EARL KING INC KNHD705
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY IB GLICK, DANIEL D KNIQ516
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY IB HURST EXCAVATING INC KNGV581

00000463.22500000 SALISBURY IB HURST, LOUIS WNFC838
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY IB LEOLA TIRE SERVICE INC KNHL413
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KING, A LESLIE WNVB775
00000463.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, MAHLON J WNXA738
00000463.23750000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000463.25000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB B&J DISPOSAL WNJG330
00000463.25000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB CHARLES JR, KEN L KNJJ678
00000463.25000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB FISHER, M S WYN350
00000463.25000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WALTER & JACKSON INC WZJ780
00000463.31250000 LITITZ IB FEDERAL MOGUL CORP KB90325
00000463.32500000 HONEY BROOK IB BLOSENSKI, CHARLEY WPBH749
00000463.35000000 LANCASTER IB WOODCREST VILLA WPEE401
00000463.36250000 EPHRATA IB STONER, MARVIN WPCC761
00000463.41250000 LITITZ IB FEDERAL MOGUL CORP KB90325
00000463.42500000 LANDISVILLE IB HERR & SACCO INC WNLL915
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY IB FORRYS AG SERVICE INC KNBB949
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY IB KLEINFELTER, GLEN WNAU304
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY IB STAUFFER, WILLIS KQW732
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB FOX, RAY WPFA716
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KING, JOHN:KING, ELMER KNIN463
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB LICHTY BROTHERS INC WXQ691
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SMUCKERS SALES & SERVICE KNHH202
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS BROTHERS INC WXE412
00000463.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, REUBEN WNXF963
00000463.46250000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000463.47500000 CORNWALL IB BOMBERGER, DAVID WNMO387
00000463.47500000 CORNWALL IB D R HACKMAN INC WNKH784
00000463.47500000 CORNWALL IB EBERLY, WILLIAM:GERHART, WXQ885
00000463.47500000 CORNWALL IB MILLER, GILLES R WNJM561
00000463.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CHEMGRO FERTILIZER CO INC WXM640
00000463.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ENGLE BUSINESS EQUIPMENT WNSR425
00000463.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HERSHEY EQUIPMENT INC KNJC843
00000463.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MILLER, RANDY D:MILLER, KNAF602
00000463.47500000 PENN TWP IB HALDEMAN, H:HALDEMAN, A WNCD539
00000463.50000000 CORNWALL IB GREENAWALT, RONALD R KNJR534
00000463.50000000 CORNWALL IB MARTIN, CLARENCE K WNJK628
00000463.50000000 CORNWALL IB SENSENIG, LLOYD M WNSY643
00000463.50000000 CORNWALL IB WEBBER ELECTRIC INC WNKM784
00000463.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DISSINGER, BOB KNFS240
00000463.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MC GGROUP INC WNST294
00000463.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MYER, HAROLD KBA696
00000463.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ROOTS NURSERY KNCN727
00000463.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB WHEARY, JACQUELINE S KNER398
00000463.50000000 PENN TWP IB GOOD, HARRY KNGC767
00000463.52500000 DENVER IB COCALICO SCHOOL DISTRICT WPAF458
00000463.55000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KRANCH COMMUNICATIONS & WPDN437
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB EBERSOLE, JAY D WNQS569
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB GLICK, MELVIN S WNMI713
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KEREK AIR FREIGHT WNDL852

463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KEREK AIR FREIGHT WNFN338
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LANDIS, CARL L WNWL307
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LONG, H GREGORY WNPR883
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB MILL BRIDGE VILLAGE & WNQZ565
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STOLTZFOOS, WNDH646
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STOLTZFUS, MELVIN J WNQQ517
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB SUSQUEHANNA VALLEY TREE WPEV849
00000463.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB THOMAS TRUCKING INC WNNA753
00000463.58750000 LITITZ IB WOODSTREAM CORPORATION WPEZ580
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB EDGER M HERR INC KNBP642
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB GRAHAM, KENNETH L: GRAHAM, KNBF297
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KLINE, MARSHALL KNBC242
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KREIDER, THOMAS G:KREIDER, KNGU824
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LESTER M PRANGE INC WNMR643
00000463.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB SCHROEDER GARDENS INC KNAI780
00000463.62500000 CORNWALL IB BURKHOLDER, J LUKE WNGC267
00000463.62500000 CORNWALL IB GREEN ACRES NURSERY INC KNJJ939
00000463.62500000 CORNWALL IB ROHRER, H DALE WNBP642
00000463.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ADAMSTOWN EQUIPMENT INC KQH811
00000463.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ARNOLD COAL & SUPPLY CO KVD627
00000463.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB EBERSOLE EXCAVATING INC WFS544
00000463.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB M&R GRAINS INC KQE949
00000463.62500000 RAPHO TOWNSHIP IB M&R GRAINS INC KQE949
00000463.65000000 LANCASTER IB WOODCREST VILLA WPEE401
00000463.66250000 DUBUQUE IB FLEXSTEEL INDUSTRIES INC WPCB774
00000463.68750000 COLUMBIA IB PROTECH MECHANICAL WPBM788
00000463.72500000 CORNWALL IB GARMAN, CLAIR S WNKV707
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB GOODHART SONS INC WQF795
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB GOODWILL INDUSTRIES WSD218
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LONGENECKERS HATCHERY INC WYH783
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, HARLAN O WNCY410
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB NOLT, LAMAR WNIW946
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB S & A CUSTOM BUILT HOMES WNAF698
00000463.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB WORLEY & OBETZ INC WSA288
00000463.72500000 PENN TWP IB CAPITAL LUBRICANTS CO INC KNBG778
00000463.75000000 STRASBURG IB BEAR BUILDING & REMODELING WNUI647
00000463.75000000 STRASBURG IB HOSTETTER SECURITY WNWE738
00000463.75000000 STRASBURG IB LAN COMM INC KNJJ938
00000463.75000000 STRASBURG IB SPINELLO DISPOSAL CO INC WNVI491
00000463.75000000 STRASBURG IB WEST END AMBULANCE KNHE882
00000463.75000000 STRAUSBURG IB JONES GARAGE WNQF452
00000463.76250000 LANCASTER IB EGGHEAD SOFTWARE WPEC485
00000463.76250000 MANHEIM IB PENNSYLVANIA SERVICES WNWB673
00000463.78750000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BINKLEY & HURST BROS INC WPDT398
00000463.81250000 LANCASTER IB E G STOLTZFUS INC WPBI996
00000463.81250000 QUARRYVILLE IB PAUL RISK ASSOCIATES INC KB54229
00000463.86250000 DUBUQUE IB FLEXSTEEL INDUSTRIES INC WPCB774
00000463.87500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB JAMES E MAHONEY INC WYP459
00000463.88750000 LITITZ IB WOODSTREAM CORPORATION WPEZ580
00000463.90000000 PENN TWP IB WENGER FEED MILL INC KKY674
00000463.95000000 LANCASTER IB GEBHART, J B WPBR547

00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BALMER, R BRYAN WNZY242
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CALDER COMPANY WYW518
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CERTIFIED CARPET SERVICE WRI747
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB M M WEAVER & SONS INC WZP751
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB SENSENIG, MARK G WNWF269
00000463.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ZIMMERMAN LIME & FERTILIZER WNMI712
00000463.97500000 MILLERSVILLE IB BRENEMAN, STEVE WNPN255
00000464.00000000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER FAMILY YMCA WNWS776
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BURKHART, JOHN H WXR445
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB COMPASS QUARRIES INC KDH358

464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB J C HALE CONSTRUCTION INC WXX780
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LANCASTER COUNTY SWISS KNCE278
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARIANI, ANTHONY J WNFK416
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, WALTER K WSC319
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MORRIS, GERALD A WPDY237
00000464.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PENWAY ENTERPRISE INC WXP863
00000464.01250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.02500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB RUDYS LOCKSMITH INC WRF385
00000464.03750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.05000000 CORNWALL IB HENRY, GLENN A KNIP396
00000464.05000000 CORNWALL IB LANDIS, JOHN KNJS978
00000464.05000000 CORNWALL IB LEAMAN, JAMES:LEAMAN, WNCF474
00000464.05000000 CORNWALL IB PETE KINGSLEY BUILDER INC KNIN422
00000464.06250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.06250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000464.08750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.08750000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969
00000464.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.12500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KRANCH COMMUNICATIONS & WPDN438
00000464.13750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.13750000 LITITZ IB BOBS MARKET WNVV293
00000464.16250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.16250000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969
00000464.17500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, WILMER F WNSB977
00000464.18750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.18750000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969
00000464.21250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.21250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000464.21250000 MOLINE IB BOWATER COMMUNICATION WNVM712
00000464.22500000 LANCASTER IB SAVICKYS LANDSCAPE & TURF WNYJ808
00000464.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SWEIGARTS BUS SERVICE KEI264
00000464.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.26250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.28750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.30000000 EAST EARL TOWNSHIP IB DOMMELS REAL ESTATE INC KDJ842
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY IB DOMMELS HOTEL INC KAY393
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY IB HESS FARM SUPPLY INC KKC351
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BALMER BROTHERS CONCRETE WNDT920

00000464.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DANIEL LEROY BURKHOLDER INC WNFF821
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DIAMOND TEX INC KKN992
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB FISHER, JOSEPH R WPAJ329
00000464.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB J B ZIMMERMAN & SONS INC KKP457
00000464.31250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.32500000 DENVER IB COCALICO SCHOOL DISTRICT WPDC827
00000464.32500000 HOLTWOOD IB DAVID ESCHBACH JR INC KNBV735
00000464.32500000 MILLERSVILLE IB MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF WNVT763
00000464.32500000 STRASBURG IB HISTORIC STRASBURG INN WNHX957
00000464.33750000 DENVER IB PETE SHIRK INC KB90335
00000464.33750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.33750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TYSON CO WNXJ782
00000464.33750000 LANCASTER IB PSC ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS KB64095
00000464.36250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.37500000 CONESTOGA IB GAULT NURSERY CORPORATION WNYB454
00000464.37500000 LANCASTER IB MANHEIM TOWNSHIP HIGH WPDY951
00000464.37500000 LANCASTER IB SHERR, R KEARNEY WNXP556
00000464.37500000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR KNHV837
00000464.38750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000464.38750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000464.40000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB WILLOW VALLEY ASSOCIATES WNJK417
00000464.41250000 MANHEIM IB TIMAL AUTOMOTIVE SERVICES WPBB546
00000464.42500000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER HOST RESORT KNCV920
00000464.42500000 MILLERSVILLE IB MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF WNVT763

464.47500000 LANCASTER IB CITY LIMITS REALTOR WNJW842
00000464.47500000 NEW HOLLAND IB EASTERN LANCASTER COUNTY WNMJ879
00000464.47500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB B J BALDWIN ELECTRIC INC WXH613
00000464.52500000 DENVER IB PEPPERIDGE FARMS WNXC206
00000464.52500000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000464.52500000 LANCASTER IB HOMESTEAD VILLAGE INC WNPW509
00000464.57500000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB ELIZABETHTOWN COLLEGE KST561
00000464.57500000 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNRV598
00000464.57500000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000464.57500000 NARVON IB B J BALDWIN ELECTRIC INC WNAZ203
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY IB CONESTOGA COPIERS INC KNCU452
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY IB J G AGRI SERVICE INC KNDD226
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB E R FELTY INC WNLZ841
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KURTZ, LEON M WNXA640
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, JON B WNPU298
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WEAVER, SCOTT WRZ903
00000464.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ZIEGENFUS MARTIN, JANE L WPAB327
00000464.61250000 LANCASTER IB WARFEL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY WNSY644
00000464.65000000 LANCASTER IB K & Y SALES COMPANY INC WNHX568
00000464.65000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D B FISHER SCHOOL STUDENT WXW361
00000464.67500000 LANCASTER IB BRETHREN VILLAGE WNUF740
00000464.67500000 LANCASTER IB LUTZ, WALTER WNWF403
00000464.67500000 LANCASTER IB WITMERS GREENHOUSES INC KNBP990
00000464.67500000 MOUNT JOY IB NOLT, JACK WNCA508
00000464.67500000 QUARRYVILLE IB SOLANCO SCHOOL DISTRICT WNYH598

00000464.68750000 PHILADELPHIA IB CLOVER KD51722
00000464.71250000 LANCASTER IB EGGHEAD SOFTWARE WPEC485
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB A B C GROFF WSF839
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB BEILER, ALVIN Z KNIK805
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB CLAIR W DENLINGER INC WFB356
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB GOODHART SONS INC WQF795
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB HOOVER, FRANK KNGY211
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB I K STOLTZFUS SERVICE CORP KNAY634
00000464.72500000 BLUE BALL IB LAPP, JOHN WNCR705
00000464.73750000 EAST EARL IB GOODS STORE INC WPCX728
464.7500R/469.750 203.5 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PENNFIELD CORPORATION WNBP899
00000464.76250000 COLUMBIA IB COLONIAL METALS CO WPFK809
00000464.76250000 READING IB REDNERS MARKETS INC WNXK648
00000464.77500000 LANCASTER IB BRUNSWICK MOTOR INN WNJL597
00000464.77500000 LANCASTER IB HERSHEY FARM CO WPDX630
00000464.77500000 LANCASTER IB SAINT JOSEPH HOSPITAL WNVT287
00000464.77500000 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION WNWN241
00000464.77500000 MILLERSVILLE IB MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF WNVT763
00000464.77500000 NEW HOLLAND IB LOEWEN, STEPHEN W WPAS237
00000464.82500000 KINZERS IB PEQUEA VALLEY SCHOOL WNUS327
00000464.82500000 LANCASTER IB SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WNVX613
00000464.82500000 MILLERSVILLE IB MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF WNRX916
00000464.82500000 NEW HOLLAND IB NEW HOLLAND SUPPLY CO WNQR233
00000464.83750000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000464.86250000 QUARRYVILLE IB MUSSERS INC WPBG894
00000464.87500000 EPHRATA IB DELONG ELECTRIC INC WRQ317
00000464.87500000 LANCASTER IB COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF WNUX711
00000464.88750000 MOUNT JOY IB ESBENSHADE FARMS WNWY753
00000464.88750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000464.91250000 STRASBURG IB ESHELMAN STUDIO WNZR796
00000464.92500000 EPHRATA IB STEWART, JOHN F WSS520
00000464.92500000 LANCASTER IB QVC WAREHOUSE WNQR926
00000464.92500000 WILLOW STREET IB WILLOW VALLEY LAKES MANOR WNGI686
00000464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB A J HERR WELDING KNBS991
00000464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB ANDERSON, ARNOLD D WNDS245
00000464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BEILER, STEVE WNUJ641
00000464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BRUBAKER, CHARLES WXB520

464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB COATES, CLARK R WNSV416
00000464.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB PAPA JOES INC WPCA966
00000464.96250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER LABORATORIES INC KB66044
00000464.96250000 STRASBURG IB ESHELMAN STUDIO WNZR796
00000464.97500000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY WNYT902
00000464.97500000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER CATHOLIC HIGH WNGG370
00000464.97500000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR NORTH WPCY996
00000464.97500000 MARIETTA IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNWY948
00000464.97500000 NEW HOLLAND IB FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNMV574
00000465.00000000 AKRON IB TAPSCO INC WNVS987
00000465.00000000 LANCASTER IB INTERIORS 2000 WPFE379
00000465.66250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940

00000465.68750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.71250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.73750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.76250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.78750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.81250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.83750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000465.86250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000466.01250000 ATLANTA IB UNITED PARCEL SERVICE WPBR829
00000466.02500000 EPHRATA IB BLUE RIDGE NEWBERRY CATV KTC588
00000466.03750000 LEOLA IB STAUFFERS OF KISSEL HILL KB60624
00000466.03750000 QUARRYVILLE IB SENSENIG, NOAH H WPCD559
00000466.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LEFEVER BUILDERS INC WNIE554
00000466.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PWI INC WGG275
00000466.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB R W KREIDER GARAGE WNHI692
00000466.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB STAMAN, WILLIAM T KNHA942
00000466.05000000 PENN TWP IB C M HIGH COMPANY KNAI541
00000466.08750000 QUARRYVILLE IB SENSENIG, NOAH H WPCD559
00000466.11250000 EPHRATA IB HOOVER, ERVIN S WPDZ677
00000466.11250000 NEW HOLLAND IB SPRING GULCH RESORT WNSK341
00000466.12500000 BLUE BALL IB SCHAAD DETECTIVE AGENCY INC KNGZ947
00000466.13750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY KD46162
00000466.15000000 BLUE BALL IB GOOD, DONALD R:GOOD, GARY R KNAG680
00000466.15000000 BLUE BALL IB S & A CUSTOM BUILT HOMES WNJH772
00000466.18750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY WNSL667
00000466.20000000 LANCASTER IB HAMILTON BANK WNMG963
00000466.21250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000466.21250000 QUARRYVILLE IB SENSENIG, NOAH H WPCD559
00000466.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB C & C TECHNOLOGY INC KNIM983
00000466.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D & E CONSTRUCTION COMPANY KNJB709
00000466.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HAHNLEN, DAVID WPAD849
00000466.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB LEBANON SCHOOL DISTRICT WPEA798
00000466.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, JOHN R KGF783
00000466.23750000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY KD46162
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB CAPP, BILL WNLZ669
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB DAVID PFLUMM PAVING & KNJF362
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB JACK GARNER & SONS WNHK426
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB JONES & ZINK INC WNWV663
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB OBERS WATERCONDITIONING & WNHL742
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB ROBERT GRAHAM TV WNHP460
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB STAR ROCK FARMS WNCK356
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB WINTERS DISPOSAL WNBX934
00000466.25000000 COLUMBIA IB WOLF JACK TREE SERVICE WPBK376
00000466.26250000 ATLANTA IB UNITED PARCEL SERVICE WPBR829
00000466.26250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000466.28750000 LANCASTER IB KEMPS FOODS INC WPCE632
00000466.28750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000466.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB BOMBERGER JR, JOHN H WNGU414
00000466.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB MARTINS HATCHERY POULTRY WNLE390
00000466.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB RUSSELL, H ROBERT WNHL692

466.32500000 QUARRYVILLE IB SERVICE FEED AND SUPPLY INC WNFV362
00000466.33750000 ATLANTA IB UNITED PARCEL SERVICE WPBR829
00000466.33750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000466.37500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB H M STAUFFER & SONS INC KAV692
00000466.41250000 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES KB71447
00000466.53750000 ATLANTA IB UNITED PARCEL SERVICE WPBR829
00000466.53750000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000466.57500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BRUBACHER EXCAVATING INC KKQ288
00000466.58750000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000466.62500000 CORNWALL IB LEAMAN, JAMES:LEAMAN, WNCF474
00000466.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D & E CONSTRUCTION COMPANY KNJB709
00000466.68750000 LANCASTER IB R R DONNELLEY AND SONS KD28047
00000466.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BROWN, LARRY A:BROWN, MARK KNAY387
00000466.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB HERR, KENNETH H:HERR, KNHL378
00000466.70000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB PYLE, THEODORE B WYX765
00000466.71250000 LANCASTER IB QVC NETWORK INC WPBM450
00000466.75000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ENGLE, I GLEN KNDR445
00000466.76250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000466.76250000 LITITZ IB STAUFFERS OF KISSEL HILL WPDE758
00000466.83750000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000466.83750000 READING IB REDNERS MARKETS INC WNXK648
00000466.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB BARLEY, HENRY WNHM938
00000466.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB BLEACHER FARMS WNSL359
00000466.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB FRANKS GARAGE WNHS710
00000466.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB G A HENRY RADIO ELECTRONICS WNHI699
00000466.85000000 NEW PROVIDENCE IB KREIDER, CLYDE E WNPO530
00000466.86250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000466.86250000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000466.87500000 STRASBURG IB MC LAUGHLIN, SCOTT J WNSC811
00000466.88750000 EAST EARL IB SHADY MAPLE FARM MARKET INC KD53450
00000466.91250000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000466.93750000 COLUMBIA IB I T T GRINNELL CORPORATION KB79188
00000467.00000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STUMPF JR, JOHN P KNJY349
00000467.00000000 WASHINGTON BOROUGH IB G A HENRY RADIO ELECTRONICS KNIQ521
00000467.02500000 SALISBURG TOWNSHIP IB FISHER, DANIEL WQC579
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB JOHNS MOBILE HOME SERVICE KQF286
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KURTZ, TITUS S WNXW225
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB MC TERNAN, DOUGLAS WPAB670
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB PALS SERVICE CENTER WPDS380
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB QUALITY ROOFING SUPPLY KKT703
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB REUBEN M STOLTZFUS INC KQE965
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB RIGGS, JIM WNRZ866
00000467.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SUPERIOR HOMES WPCG370
00000467.05000000 LANCASTER IB BRETHREN VILLAGE WNFQ475
00000467.05000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ULRICH INC KNJX501
00000467.08750000 EL CAJON IB WOMANS WORLD SHOPS INC WNXZ611
00000467.08750000 LANCASTER IB WORSHIP CENTER INC WPCN583
00000467.13750000 EL CAJON IB WOMANS WORLD SHOPS INC WNXZ611
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ALL AMERICAN POOL & SPA INC WNUU313
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BINKLEY & HURST BROS INC WPDT398
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DIENER, AMOS K WPBM369

00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DOMBACH, LARRY C WNGU363
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HERSHEY, BRENT L KNHX495
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB HOOVER, ERVIN S KNGP507
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KING, JOHN:KING, ELMER KNIN463
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MILLER POOL SERVICE INC WNGB327
00000467.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB WIEGAND, ROBERT L WNGL477
00000467.18750000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS WQY885
00000467.20000000 COLUMBIA IX COLONIAL METALS CO WPAW435
00000467.20000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000467.22500000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS CO WNRL305
00000467.25000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340

467.31250000 MOUNT JOY IX LANCASTER LEVEL FLO INC WPCR321
00000467.32500000 LANCASTER IX R R DONNELLEY & SONS CO WPAF945
00000467.37500000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000467.37500000 MOUNTVILLE IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNNJ523
00000467.37500000 NEW HOLLAND IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNNJ522
00000467.42500000 LANCASTER IX BURNHAM CORP WNIY317
00000467.45000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000467.50000000 LITITZ IX WARNER LAMBERT WNYA340
00000467.50000000 NEW HOLLAND IX FORD NEW HOLLAND INC KD49521
00000467.77500000 EPHRATA IB ZINNS MARKET INC WPDN935
00000467.78750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000467.81250000 DARIEN IB BRINKS INCORPORATED WPBC888
00000467.81250000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000467.83750000 DENVER IB HIGH CONCRETE STRUCTURES KB75638
00000467.83750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000467.85000000 EPHRATA IB ZINNS MARKET INC WPDN935
00000467.86250000 DENVER IB HIGH CONCRETE STRUCTURES KB75638
00000467.86250000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000468.20000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DONLEY, DOUGLAS N WPBN561
00000468.21250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX HOME PRODUCTS WPES768
00000468.22500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY IB EARL KING INC KNHD705
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY IB GLICK, DANIEL D KNIQ516
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY IB HURST EXCAVATING INC KNGV581
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY IB HURST, LOUIS WNFC838
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY IB LEOLA TIRE SERVICE INC KNHL413
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KING, A LESLIE WNVB775
00000468.22500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, MAHLON J WNXA738

468.25000000 LANCASTER IB CHARLES JR, KEN L KNJJ678
00000468.25000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB B&J DISPOSAL WNJG330
00000468.28750000 LITITZ IB STAUFFERS OF KISSEL HILL WPDE758
00000468.31250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.31250000 LITITZ IB FEDERAL MOGUL CORP KB90325
00000468.32500000 HONEY BROOK IB BLOSENSKI, CHARLEY WPBH749
00000468.35000000 LANCASTER IB WOODCREST VILLA WPEE401
00000468.36250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.38750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940

00000468.41250000 LITITZ IB FEDERAL MOGUL CORP KB90325
00000468.43750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.45000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KING, JOHN:KING, ELMER KNIN463
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY IB FORRYS AG SERVICE INC KNBB949
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY IB KLEINFELTER, GLEN WNAU304
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY IB STAUFFER, WILLIS KQW732
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB FOX, RAY WPFA716
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB LICHTY BROTHERS INC WXQ691
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SMUCKERS SALES & SERVICE KNHH202
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS BROTHERS INC WXE412
00000468.45000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, REUBEN WNXF963
00000468.47500000 CORNWALL IB BOMBERGER, DAVID WNMO387
00000468.47500000 CORNWALL IB D R HACKMAN INC WNKH784
00000468.47500000 CORNWALL IB EBERLY, WILLIAM:GERHART, WXQ885
00000468.47500000 CORNWALL IB MILLER, GILLES R WNJM561
00000468.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CHEMGRO FERTILIZER CO INC WXM640
00000468.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ENGLE BUSINESS EQUIPMENT WNSR425
00000468.47500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MILLER, RANDY D:MILLER, KNAF602
00000468.50000000 CORNWALL IB GREENAWALT, RONALD R KNJR534
00000468.50000000 CORNWALL IB MARTIN, CLARENCE K WNJK628
00000468.50000000 CORNWALL IB WEBBER ELECTRIC INC WNKM784
00000468.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB DISSINGER, BOB KNFS240
00000468.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MC GGROUP INC WNST294
00000468.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MYER, HAROLD KBA696
00000468.50000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ROOTS NURSERY KNCN727
00000468.50000000 PENN TWP IB GOOD, HARRY KNGC767
00000468.52500000 DENVER IB COCALICO SCHOOL DISTRICT WPAF458
00000468.55000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KRANCH COMMUNICATIONS & WPDN437
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB EBERSOLE, JAY D WNQS569
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB GLICK, MELVIN S WNMI713
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KEREK AIR FREIGHT WNDL852
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KEREK AIR FREIGHT WNFN338
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LANDIS, CARL L WNWL307
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LONG, H GREGORY WNPR883
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB MILL BRIDGE VILLAGE & WNQZ565
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STOLTZFOOS, WNDH646
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB STOLTZFUS, MELVIN J WNQQ517
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB SUSQUEHANNA VALLEY TREE WPEV849
00000468.57500000 RAWLINSVILLE IB THOMAS TRUCKING INC WNNA753
00000468.60000000 MILLERSVILLE IB SCHROEDER GARDENS INC KNAI780
00000468.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB GRAHAM, KENNETH L: GRAHAM, KNBF297
00000468.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KLINE, MARSHALL KNBC242
00000468.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB KREIDER, THOMAS G:KREIDER, KNGU824
00000468.60000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB LESTER M PRANGE INC WNMR643
00000468.62500000 CORNWALL IB BURKHOLDER, J LUKE WNGC267
00000468.62500000 CORNWALL IB GREEN ACRES NURSERY INC KNJJ939
00000468.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ADAMSTOWN EQUIPMENT INC KQH811
00000468.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB EBERSOLE EXCAVATING INC WFS544
00000468.62500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB M&R GRAINS INC KQE949
00000468.65000000 LANCASTER IB WOODCREST VILLA WPEE401

00000468.72500000 CORNWALL IB GARMAN, CLAIR S WNKV707
00000468.72500000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB LONGENECKERS HATCHERY INC WYH783
00000468.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB GOODHART SONS INC WQF795

468.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB IRA R STICKLER & SON INC KNCV229
00000468.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, HARLAN O WNCY410
00000468.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB NOLT, LAMAR WNIW946
00000468.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB S & A CUSTOM BUILT HOMES WNAF698
00000468.72500000 PENN TWP IB CAPITAL LUBRICANTS CO INC KNBG778
00000468.75000000 STRASBURG IB BEAR BUILDING & REMODELING WNUI647
00000468.75000000 STRASBURG IB HOSTETTER SECURITY WNWE738
00000468.75000000 STRASBURG IB LAN COMM INC KNJJ938
00000468.75000000 STRASBURG IB SPINELLO DISPOSAL CO INC WNVI491
00000468.75000000 STRASBURG IB WEST END AMBULANCE KNHE882
00000468.75000000 STRAUSBURG IB JONES GARAGE WNQF452
00000468.76250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.78750000 BAINBRIDGE IB OGDEN MARTIN SYSTEMS OF WNWD243
00000468.81250000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.83750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.88750000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER GENERAL HOSPITAL WNZX940
00000468.95000000 LANCASTER IB GEBHART, J B WPBR547
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BALMER, R BRYAN WNZY242
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CALDER COMPANY WYW518
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB CERTIFIED CARPET SERVICE WRI747
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB M M WEAVER & SONS INC WZP751
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB SENSENIG, MARK G WNWF269
00000468.95000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB ZIMMERMAN LIME & FERTILIZER WNMI712
00000468.97500000 MILLERSVILLE IB BRENEMAN, STEVE WNPN255
00000469.00000000 LANCASTER IB LANCASTER FAMILY YMCA WNWS776
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB BURKHART, JOHN H WXR445
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB COMPASS QUARRIES INC KDH358
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB J C HALE CONSTRUCTION INC WXX780
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARIANI, ANTHONY J WNFK416
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MARTIN, WALTER K WSC319
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB MORRIS, GERALD A WPDY237
00000469.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PENWAY ENTERPRISE INC WXP863
00000469.01250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.02500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB RUDYS LOCKSMITH INC WRF385
00000469.02500000 PENN TWP IB CAPITAL LUBRICANTS CO INC KNBG778
00000469.03750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.03750000 MOLINE IB BOWATER COMMUNICATION WNVM712
00000469.05000000 CORNWALL IB HENRY, GLENN A KNIP396
00000469.05000000 CORNWALL IB LANDIS, JOHN KNJS978
00000469.05000000 CORNWALL IB LEAMAN, JAMES:LEAMAN, WNCF474
00000469.05000000 STRASBURG IB PETE KINGSLEY BUILDER INC KNIN422
00000469.06250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.06250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000469.08750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.08750000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969

00000469.08750000 LANCASTER IB MILLSTREAM FACTORY SHOPS WPCE633
00000469.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.12500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB COMPASS QUARRIES INC KDH358
00000469.12500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB KRANCH COMMUNICATIONS & WPDN438
00000469.12500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB M M WEAVER & SONS INC WZP751
00000469.13750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.15000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB AMES CONSTRUCTION INC WZC225
00000469.16250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.16250000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969
00000469.17500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, WILMER F WNSB977
00000469.18750000 LANCASTER IB BENT CREEK COUNTRY CLUB WPAF969
00000469.21250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.21250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX HOME PRODUCTS WPES768
00000469.21250000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000469.22500000 LANCASTER IB SAVICKYS LANDSCAPE & TURF WNYJ808
00000469.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728

469.26250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.28750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.30000000 EAST EARL TOWNSHIP IB DOMMELS REAL ESTATE INC KDJ842
00000469.30000000 LANCASTER IB DOMMELS REAL ESTATE INC KDJ842
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY IB DOMMELS HOTEL INC KAY393
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY IB HESS FARM SUPPLY INC KKC351
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BALMER BROTHERS CONCRETE WNDT920
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DANIEL LEROY BURKHOLDER INC WNFF821
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DIAMOND TEX INC KKN992
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB FISHER, JOSEPH R WPAJ329
00000469.30000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB J B ZIMMERMAN & SONS INC KKP457
00000469.31250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.32500000 HOLTWOOD IB DAVID ESCHBACH JR INC KNBV735
00000469.33750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.33750000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TYSON CO WNXJ782
00000469.36250000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB TDA ENTERPRISE INC WPCR728
00000469.37500000 CONESTOGA IB GAULT NURSERY CORPORATION WNYB454
00000469.37500000 LANCASTER IB MANHEIM TOWNSHIP HIGH WPDY951
00000469.37500000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR KNHV837
00000469.38750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000469.40000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB WILLOW VALLEY ASSOCIATES WNJK417
00000469.42500000 MIDDLETOWN IB DAYS INN AIRPORT HARRISBURG WPFS625
00000469.46250000 LITITZ IB STAUFFERS OF KISSEL HILL WPDE758
00000469.47500000 LANCASTER IB CITY LIMITS REALTOR WNJW842
00000469.47500000 NEW HOLLAND IB EASTERN LANCASTER COUNTY WNMJ879
00000469.47500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB B J BALDWIN ELECTRIC INC WXH613
00000469.51250000 NEW HOLLAND IB HORST CONSTRUCTION KB69886
00000469.52500000 DENVER IB PEPPERIDGE FARMS WNXC206
00000469.52500000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000469.53750000 NEW HOLLAND IB HORST CONSTRUCTION KB69886
00000469.56250000 NEW HOLLAND IB HORST CONSTRUCTION KB69886
00000469.57500000 ELIZABETHTOWN IB ELIZABETHTOWN COLLEGE KST561
00000469.57500000 LANCASTER IB ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNRV598

00000469.57500000 LANCASTER IB FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL KQZ893
00000469.58750000 NEW HOLLAND IB HORST CONSTRUCTION KB69886
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY IB CONESTOGA COPIERS INC KNCU452
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY IB J G AGRI SERVICE INC KNDD226
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB E R FELTY INC WNLZ841
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB KURTZ, LEON M WNXA640
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STOLTZFUS, JON B WNPU298
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WEAVER, SCOTT WRZ903
00000469.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ZIEGENFUS MARTIN, JANE L WPAB327
00000469.63750000 MOLINE IB BOWATER COMMUNICATION WNVM712
00000469.65000000 LANCASTER IB K & Y SALES COMPANY INC WNHX568
00000469.65000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB D B FISHER SCHOOL STUDENT WXW361
00000469.67500000 LANCASTER IB BRETHREN VILLAGE WNUF740
00000469.67500000 QUARRYVILLE IB SOLANCO SCHOOL DISTRICT WNYH598
00000469.71250000 STRASBURG IB SIGHT AND SOUND INC WNZK597
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB A B C GROFF WSF839
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB BEILER, ALVIN Z KNIK805
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB GOODHART SONS INC WQF795
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB HOOVER, FRANK KNGY211
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB I K STOLTZFUS SERVICE CORP KNAY634
00000469.72500000 BLUE BALL IB LAPP, JOHN WNCR705
00000469.72500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB GOODHART SONS INC WQF795
00000469.73750000 STRASBURG IB SIGHT AND SOUND INC WNZK597
00000469.75000000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB PENNFIELD CORPORATION WNBP899
00000469.76250000 STRASBURG IB SIGHT AND SOUND INC WNZK597
00000469.77500000 LANCASTER IB SAINT JOSEPH HOSPITAL WNVT287
00000469.77500000 MANHEIM IB MANHEIM AUTO AUCTION WNWN241
00000469.77500000 MILLERSVILLE IB MILLERSVILLE UNIVERSITY OF WNVT763
00000469.77500000 NEW HOLLAND IB LOEWEN, STEPHEN W WPAS237

469.78750000 STRASBURG IB SIGHT AND SOUND INC WNZK597
00000469.81250000 LANCASTER IB LANDIS VALLEY FARM MUSEUM KD48374
00000469.82500000 KINZERS IB PEQUEA VALLEY SCHOOL WNUS327
00000469.82500000 LANCASTER IB SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WNVX613
00000469.82500000 NEW HOLLAND IB NEW HOLLAND SUPPLY CO WNQR233
00000469.83750000 LANCASTER IB ALUMAX MILL PRODUCTS INC KD38220
00000469.87500000 EPHRATA IB DELONG ELECTRIC INC WRQ317
00000469.87500000 LANCASTER IB COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF WNUX711
00000469.88750000 MOUNT JOY IB ESBENSHADE FARMS WNWY753
00000469.88750000 OVERLAND PARK IB YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM INC KB61648
00000469.91250000 STRASBURG IB ESHELMAN STUDIO WNZR796
00000469.92500000 EPHRATA IB STEWART, JOHN F WSS520
00000469.92500000 LANCASTER IB QVC WAREHOUSE WNQR926
00000469.92500000 WILLOW STREET IB WILLOW VALLEY LAKES MANOR WNGI686
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB A J HERR WELDING KNBS991
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB ANDERSON, ARNOLD D WNDS245
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BEILER, STEVE WNUJ641
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB BRUBAKER, CHARLES WXB520
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB COATES, CLARK R WNSV416
00000469.95000000 RAWLINSVILLE IB PAPA JOES INC WPCA966

00000469.96250000 STRASBURG IB ESHELMAN STUDIO WNZR796
00000469.97500000 LANCASTER IB KELLOGG COMPANY WNYT902
00000469.97500000 LANCASTER IB WILLOW VALLEY MANOR NORTH WPCY996
00000469.97500000 MIDDLETOWN IB DAYS INN AIRPORT HARRISBURG WPFS625
00000469.97500000 NEW HOLLAND IB FORD NEW HOLLAND INC WNMV574
00000501.61250000 LANCASTER IB LAPP ELECTRICAL SERVICE INC WII689
00000501.61250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BURNS, RAGEN V WIJ907
00000501.61250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STEUDLER, FRED WIJ469
00000501.83750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ROHRER, NELSON L WIF582
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY IB STOLTZFUS, BEN S WIL397
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB CLARK ASSOCIATES INC WII508
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB GOOD, MARTIN H WII536
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB IRVIN Z MARTIN AUTO SALES WII385
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB NEUSTADTER, JOE:ZIMMERMAN, WII937
00000501.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SINDALL TRANSPORT INC WII533
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEILER, PAUL S WIE656
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEILER, VERNON L WIE655
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEITZEL SPRAYING KNS271
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DENLINGER INC KKY429
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB G EARL MARTIN INC WIH732
00000502.96250000 SALISBURY TWP IB RICHARD L SENSENIG CO WIF300
00000504.51250000 WILLOW STREET IB STEUDLER, FRED WIJ469
00000504.61250000 LANCASTER IB LAPP ELECTRICAL SERVICE INC WII689
00000504.61250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BURNS, RAGEN V WIJ907
00000504.61250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB STEUDLER, FRED WIJ469
00000504.83750000 LITITZ IB ROHRER, NELSON L WIF582
00000504.83750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB ROHRER, NELSON L WIF582
00000504.91250000 INTERCOURSE IB CLARK ASSOCIATES INC WII508
00000504.91250000 NEW HOLLAND IB SINDALL TRANSPORT INC WII533
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY IB STOLTZFUS, BEN S WIL397
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB CLARK ASSOCIATES INC WII508
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB GOOD, MARTIN H WII536
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB IRVIN Z MARTIN AUTO SALES WII385
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB NEUSTADTER, JOE:ZIMMERMAN, WII937
00000504.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB SINDALL TRANSPORT INC WII533
00000505.96250000 EPHRATA IB RICHARD L SENSENIG CO WIF300
00000505.96250000 GAP IB BEILER, PAUL S WIE656
00000505.96250000 GAP IB BEILER, VERNON L WIE655
00000505.96250000 PARADISE IB DENLINGER INC KKY429
00000505.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEILER, PAUL S WIE656
00000505.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEILER, VERNON L WIE655
00000505.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB BEITZEL SPRAYING KNS271

505.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB DENLINGER INC KKY429
00000505.96250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB G EARL MARTIN INC WIH732
00000505.96250000 SALISBURY TWP IB RICHARD L SENSENIG CO WIF300
00000505.96250000 TERRE HILL IB G EARL MARTIN INC WIH732
00000505.96250000 WITMER IB BEITZEL SPRAYING KNS271
00000806.00000000 AKRON YB EPPINETTE, KENNETH WNVQ690
00000806.00000000 AKRON YB R S MARTIN INC WNZI885

00000806.00000000 ATGLEN YB CLEAN WATER INC WNUE757
00000806.00000000 BIRD IN HAND YB FISHERS CONSTRUCTION INC WNIB574
00000806.00000000 BIRD IN HAND YB M F NEWSWANGER INC WNIB571
00000806.00000000 BIRD IN HAND YB STOLTZFUS, EPHRAIM WPAF536
00000806.00000000 BLUE BALL YB STOLTZFUS, BEN WNUB467
00000806.00000000 BLUE BALL YB WEAVER & WITWER INC WNUR720
00000806.00000000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583
00000806.00000000 BOWMANSVILLE YB WARRENS EXCAVATING & WNJF858
00000806.00000000 BROWNSTOWN YB T & L EXCAVATING INC WMNP869
00000806.00000000 CHRISTIANA YB GRAHAM, DALE:GRAHAM, WNYA499
00000806.00000000 CONESTOGA YB GRASSEL, THOMAS D WNSJ345
00000806.00000000 DENVER YB AUKER, W JAY:AUKER, LOWELL WNQD239
00000806.00000000 DENVER YB COOLEY, WILLIAM R WNLT929
00000806.00000000 DENVER YB DUTCHMANS TRUCK SERVICES KA89712
00000806.00000000 DENVER YB SENSENIG, FLOYD:WEAVER, RON WNAU722
00000806.00000000 DOWNINGTOWN YB BRANDYWINE PAVING & WNPL410
00000806.00000000 EAST EARL YB MARTIN, EZRA B:OBERHOLTZER, WNBS853
00000806.00000000 EAST EARL YB WEAVER COMPANIES INC WNQZ737
00000806.00000000 EAST PETERSBURG YB SIDERIO CONSTRUCTION WNPW908
00000806.00000000 ELIZABETHTOWN YB BISHOP, C LAMAR WNXZ840
00000806.00000000 ELIZABETHTOWN YB BOYER, TIM WNXZ966
00000806.00000000 ELIZABETHTOWN YB LONGENECKER, WNSE610
00000806.00000000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB CONESTOGA ANIMAL HOSPITAL KNJW863
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB GARMAN BUILDERS INC WNCU202
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB GLENS PLUMBING & HEATING WNIS384
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB GROFF, A EUGENE:GROFF, WNBS852
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB MARTIN, BRYAN L WNXV439
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB MARTIN, ELMER Z WNNN262
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB ROSE HILL CONSTRUCTION INC WNMX942
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB WEAVER, ELVIN W WNNR289
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB WEAVER, MARK:HOOVER, WNIV891
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB WEAVER, MELVIN W WNYU805
00000806.00000000 EPHRATA YB ZOOK, A DANIEL:ZOOK, WNZV499
00000806.00000000 GAP YB DIENNER, CHRIST R:DIENNER, WNQP262
00000806.00000000 GAP YB LANCHESTER AUTO SUPPLY INC WNVY691
00000806.00000000 GAP YB SMOKER JR, ABNER D WNKG971
00000806.00000000 GAP YB SMUCKERS PLUMBING & HEATING WNPS835
00000806.00000000 GAP YP SALISBURY, TOWNSHIP OF WNXB815
00000806.00000000 GORDONVILLE YB DIENNER POULTRY INC KNJV471
00000806.00000000 GORDONVILLE YB ELAM S FISHER & SONS INC WNID466
00000806.00000000 HONEY BROOK YB STOLTZFUS, NATHAN J WNZI871
00000806.00000000 HONEY BROOK YB STOLTZFUS, VERNON J WNUP619
00000806.00000000 JONESTOWN YB HUTTER INC KJB801
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB AGWAY ENERGY PRODUCTS WNQD238
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB ALLISON DOOR SALES INC WNKL837
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB BUCKWALTER, ENOS WNZU892
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB FILMET COLOR LABORATORIES WNQO985
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB HOMEDCO WNFK738
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB KEENER INSULATING & SUPPLY WNKH202

00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB LANDIS BROTHERS INC WNVS566
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB LAPP ELECTRICAL SERVICE WNZH329
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB TED CARSONS DISPOSAL WNWG335
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YB YOUNGS REFRIGERATION INC WNZG239
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YP LANCASTER, TOWNSHIP OF WNYV403

806.00000000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000806.00000000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000806.00000000 LANDISVILLE YB GROFF, DE VON E WPAE398
00000806.00000000 LEBANON YB BRIGHTBILL TRANSPORTATION WPAW423
00000806.00000000 LEBANON YB WEAVER, EARL G WNIT310
00000806.00000000 LEOLA YB GROFFDALE CONCRETE WALLS KNJZ319
00000806.00000000 LITITZ YB GARDEN SPOT ELECTRIC INC WNXP978
00000806.00000000 LITITZ YB HURST, LEON WNVU236
00000806.00000000 LITITZ YB KEYSTONE LAWN COMPANY WNUX750
00000806.00000000 LITITZ YB MUSSER, WILMER S:MUSSER, WNJQ921
00000806.00000000 LITITZ YB RAYMOND E GROFF INC KB52204
00000806.00000000 MANHEIM YB BAUMAN, STEPHEN J WPAZ913
00000806.00000000 MANHEIM YB HALDEMAN, JOHN WNZA942
00000806.00000000 MANHEIM YB RONALD H ROHRER INC WNWB289
00000806.00000000 MORGANTOWN YB OBERHOLTZER, JERRY WNUF527
00000806.00000000 MORGANTOWN YB SKE CONSTRUCTION INC WNJR783
00000806.00000000 MYERSTOWN YB ZIMMERMAN, AMOS:MARTIN, WNZI948
00000806.00000000 NARVON YB OBERHOLTZER, STEVEN L WNXV902
00000806.00000000 NARVON YB SMUCKER, ELI K WNIM752
00000806.00000000 NARVON YB ZOOK, SAMUEL S WNRH595
00000806.00000000 NEW HOLLAND YB CARLOS R LEFFLER INC WNZJ205
00000806.00000000 NEW HOLLAND YB JOHN J NOLT CO WNUS831
00000806.00000000 NEW HOLLAND YB SHOPF, BRUCE F:TEMPLETON, WNQD582
00000806.00000000 NOTTINGHAM YB PINE VIEW TRUCKING INC WNSJ347
00000806.00000000 PARADISE YB DOUTRICH HOMES INC WPAL723
00000806.00000000 PARADISE YB PARADISE CUSTOM KITCHENS WNJV204
00000806.00000000 QUARRYVILLE YB PEIFFER, SCOTT E WNUB466
00000806.00000000 RAWLINSVILLE GX G & G COMMUNICATIONS INC WNUX585
00000806.00000000 RAWLINSVILLE GX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCJ327
00000806.00000000 RONKS YB KING, REUBEN S WNNJ247
00000806.00000000 SALISBURY GX LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPBP273
00000806.00000000 SILVER SPRINGS YB HIGH, ELVIN L WNPN948
00000806.00000000 STEVENS YB HOOVER, WARREN WNZZ656
00000806.00000000 STRASBURG YB H L WIKER & SONS INC WNME972
00000806.00000000 STRASBURG YB LANTZ, PAUL L WNIG394
00000806.00000000 STRASBURG YB STRASBURG MASONRY SUPPLY WNSJ346
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB EPLER, CARL R WPDD414
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB FREECOM INC WPBE516
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF WPDD469
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB J W MELHORN SALES SERVICE & WPDF735
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB SALVATION ARMY WPDC587
00000807.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB ZIEGLER, BRYAN A WPDB581
00000807.62150000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB REAL ESTATE ENVIRONMENTAL WPDB589
00000808.13750000 LANCASTER GB LANDIS BROTHERS INC WNWE622

00000808.48750000 EPHRATA GB BURKHOLDER, LEON WPDC585
00000808.93750000 LANCASTER GB JEROME H RHOADS INC WNWG278
00000808.93750000 LANCASTER GB LANDIS BROTHERS INC WNWE622
00000809.28750000 LITITZ GP LITITZ, BOROUGH OF WNYK379
00000809.78750000 STRASBURG GB SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WNAY658
00000810.01250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS WNNR475
00000810.01250000 PENN TWP GB PENN PADDOCK EQUINE CENTER WNPN952
00000814.86250000 STRASBURG GB FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION KNEH662
00000825.03000000 COLUMBIA CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 DONERVILLE CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 EPHRATA CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 LANCASTER CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 MANHEIM CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 MORGANTOWN CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 MT. JOY CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 NEWVILLER CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 TRUCE CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000825.03000000 WEAVERTOWN CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766

835.02000000 CORNWALL MOUNTAIN CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000835.02000000 ELIZABETHTOWN CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000835.02000000 EPHRATA CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000835.02000000 LANCASTER CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000835.02000000 MANHEIM CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000835.02000000 PARADISE CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000851.31250000 PENN TOWNSHIP YX INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS INC WNKM979
00000851.33750000 RAWLINSVILLE GX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCJ327
00000851.51250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000851.51250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000851.58750000 PENN TOWNSHIP YX INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS INC WNKM979
00000851.71250000 BLUE BALL GB COCALICO LEASING COMPANY WZS381
00000851.81250000 RAWLINSVILLE GX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCJ327
00000852.26250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000852.26250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000852.28750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000852.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000852.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000852.58750000 PENN TOWNSHIP YX INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS INC WNKM979
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB EPLER, CARL R WPDD414
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB FREECOM INC WPBE516
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF WPDD469
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB J W MELHORN SALES SERVICE & WPDF735
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB REAL ESTATE ENVIRONMENTAL WPDB589
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB SALVATION ARMY WPDC587
00000852.61250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB ZIEGLER, BRYAN A WPDB581
00000853.31250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000853.38750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000853.38750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000853.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000853.66250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711

00000853.66250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000853.71250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000853.71250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000853.93750000 RAWLINSVILLE GX G & G COMMUNICATIONS INC WNUX585
00000854.28750000 LITITZ GP LITITZ, BOROUGH OF WNYK379
00000854.43750000 SALISBURY GX LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPBP273
00000854.51250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000854.51250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000854.73750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000854.73750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000854.78750000 STRASBURG GB SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WNAY658
00000854.81250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000854.81250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000855.01250000 PENN TOWNSHIP GB COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS WNNR475
00000855.01250000 PENN TWP GB PENN PADDOCK EQUINE CENTER WNPN952
00000855.06250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000855.06250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000855.83750000 LANCASTER GB ARDIS COMPANY KNDN377
00000856.03750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000856.08750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000856.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000856.16250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000856.53750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000856.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000856.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000856.58750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000856.91250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000857.03750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000857.08750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000857.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000857.16250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713

857.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000857.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000857.58750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000857.91250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000857.91250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000858.03750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000858.08750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000858.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000858.16250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000858.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000858.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000858.58750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000859.03750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000859.06250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000859.08750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000859.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000859.16250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000859.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711

00000859.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000859.58750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000859.86250000 STRASBURG GB FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION KNEH662
00000860.03750000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000860.06250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000860.08750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000860.11250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000860.16250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000860.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000860.56250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000860.58750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000861.01250000 TRUCE YX AMERICAN DIGITAL WPFC791
00000861.13750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000861.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000861.31250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000861.33750000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583
00000861.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000861.56250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000861.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000861.61250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000861.73750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000861.88750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000861.91250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000862.01250000 TRUCE YX AMERICAN DIGITAL WPFC791
00000862.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000862.33750000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583
00000862.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000862.56250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000862.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000862.61250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000862.73750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000862.88750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000863.01250000 TRUCE YX AMERICAN DIGITAL WPFC791
00000863.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000863.33750000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583
00000863.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000863.56250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000863.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000863.61250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000863.73750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000863.88750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000864.01250000 TRUCE YX AMERICAN DIGITAL WPFC791
00000864.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000864.33750000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583

864.43750000 PENN TOWNSHIP YX INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS INC WNKM979
00000864.48750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000864.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000864.56250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000864.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711

00000864.61250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000864.73750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000865.01250000 TRUCE YX AMERICAN DIGITAL WPFC791
00000865.23750000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000865.33750000 BLUE BALL YX METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS WNAU583
00000865.43750000 PENN TOWNSHIP YX INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS INC WNKM979
00000865.48750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000865.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YX TRIANGLE COMMUNICATIONS INC WZV250
00000865.56250000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000865.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPDQ711
00000865.61250000 LANCASTER YX AIR LINK COMMUNICATIONS INC WPCX860
00000865.73750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000865.78750000 LANCASTER YX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBD713
00000866.43750000 PARKSBURG GF PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNYR438
00000867.62500000 PARKSBURG GF PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNYR438
00000870.03000000 COLUMBIA CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 DONERVILLE CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 EPHRATA CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 LANCASTER CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 MANHEIM CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 MORGANTOWN CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 MT. JOY CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 NEWVILLER CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 TRUCE CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000870.03000000 WEAVERTOWN CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA766
00000880.02000000 CORNWALL MOUNTAIN CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000880.02000000 ELIZABETHTOWN CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000880.02000000 EPHRATA CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000880.02000000 LANCASTER CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000880.02000000 MANHEIM CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000880.02000000 PARADISE CL SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR KNKA396
00000900.00000000 LANCASTER BA WGAL TELEVISION INC KGI53
00000908.00000000 COLUMBIA RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WNRF400
00000908.00000000 LANCASTER RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WNRF400
00000908.00000000 LANCASTER RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000908.00000000 MANHEIM RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000908.00000000 PENN TOWNSHIP RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000915.00000000 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNNV611
00000915.00000000 LANCASTER IX THOMSON CONSUMER WNQA294
00000925.01500000 COLUMBIA RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WNRF400
00000925.01500000 LANCASTER RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WNRF400
00000925.01500000 LANCASTER RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000925.01500000 MANHEIM RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000925.01500000 PENN TOWNSHIP RS NORTH AMERICAN TELETRAC & WPCP361
00000928.44375000 COLUMBIA IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM521
00000928.49375000 MOUNT JOY IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM431
00000928.63125000 EPHRATA IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM406
00000929.01250000 ELIZABETHTOWN GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPFI634
00000929.01250000 STRASBURG GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDS567
00000929.26250000 COLUMBIA GS ROM, CHRISTOPHER WPFQ514

00000929.26250000 DONERVILLE GS LINDENMEYR, HENRY WPFH992
00000929.26250000 EPHRATA GS LINDENMEYR, HENRY WPFH992
00000929.26250000 LANCASTER GS LINDENMEYR, HENRY WPFH992
00000929.26250000 LANCASTER GS ROM, CHRISTOPHER WPFQ514
00000929.26250000 NEW PROVIDENCE GS LINDENMEYR, HENRY WPFH992
00000929.28750000 STRASBURG GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDH465
00000929.56250000 ELIZABETHTOWN GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPFI633

929.56250000 STRASBURG GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDA984
00000929.61250000 ELIZABETHTOWN GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPFI633
00000929.61250000 STRASBURG GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDB922
00000929.66250000 LANCASTER GS PAGEMART II INC WPBG791
00000929.66250000 LANCASTER GS PAGEMART INC WNYF492
00000929.71250000 LANCASTER GS PAGEMART II INC WPBG792
00000929.71250000 LANCASTER GS PAGEMART INC WNYF490
00000929.96250000 STRASBURG GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDH500
00000929.98750000 EPHRATA GS MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS WPFM696
00000929.98750000 LANCASTER GS MOBILEMEDIA PAGING INC WPBY699
00000929.98750000 NEW PROVIDENCE GS MOBILEMEDIA PAGING INC WPFM616
00000929.98750000 PENN TOWNSHIP GS METROMEDIA PAGING INC WPEE609
00000929.98750000 PENN TOWNSHIP GS MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS WPFM696
00000931.36250000 COMPASS CD FIRSTPAGE USA OF VIRGINIA, KNKM928
00000931.38750000 STRASBURG CD PAGING NETWORK OF KNKE392
00000931.41250000 LANCASTER CD METROCALL, INC. KNKF246
00000931.43750000 LANCASTER CD SKYTEL CORPORATION KNKK978
00000931.43750000 LANCASTER CD SKYTEL CORPORATION KNKL571
00000931.68750000 ELIZABETHTOWN CD PAGING NETWORK OF KNKE392
00000931.68750000 STRASBURG CD PAGING NETWORK OF KNKE392
00000931.81250000 LANCASTER CD MOBILECOMM OF THE KNKK744
00000931.83750000 LANCASTER CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.83750000 PEACH BOTTOM CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.83750000 PENN TOWNSHIP CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.86250000 EPHRATA CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.86250000 LANCASTER CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.86250000 NEW PROVIDENCE CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.86250000 PEACH BOTTOM CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.86250000 PENN TOWNSHIP CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KNKD379
00000931.93750000 LANCASTER CD SKYTEL CORPORATION KNKL783
00000931.93750000 LANCASTER CD SKYTEL CORPORATION KNKS221
00000931.98750000 LANCASTER CD MOBILECOMM OF THE KNKJ470
00000935.01250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.01250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.02500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.02500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.03750000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.03750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.05000000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.05000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.06250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.06250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207

00000935.07500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.07500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.08750000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.08750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.10000000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.10000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.11250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.11250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.12500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBC680
00000935.12500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WNVP207
00000935.88750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000935.88750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495
00000935.93750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000935.93750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495
00000935.98750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000935.98750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495
00000936.88750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000936.88750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495
00000936.93750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000936.93750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495

936.98750000 CONESTOGA GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY604
00000936.98750000 QUARRYVILLE GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKW495
00000938.51250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.51250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.52500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.52500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.53750000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.53750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.55000000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.55000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.56250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.56250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.57500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.57500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.58750000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.58750000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.60000000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.60000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.61250000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.61250000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000938.62500000 LANCASTER YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBJ836
00000938.62500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP YS RAM MOBILE DATA USA LP WPBP570
00000941.92500000 PENN TOWNSHIP IB COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS WNTV474
00000945.00000000 LANCASTER BA PEOPLES BROADCASTING WLL680
00000946.37500000 LANCASTER BA SPANISH AMERICAN CIVIC WLF737
00000946.62500000 LANCASTER BA SPANISH AMERICAN CIVIC WLF737
00000947.87500000 EPHRATA BA JOEL MICHAELS MEDIA INC WLG913
00000948.12500000 EPHRATA BA JOEL MICHAELS MEDIA INC WLG913
00000949.00000000 MANHEIM BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KGN59

00000950.50000000 MANHEIM BA HALL COMMUNICATIONS INC WLO251
00000951.00000000 LANCASTER BA REX COUNTY RADIO INC WQZ50
00000952.44375000 COLUMBIA IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM521
00000952.49375000 MOUNT JOY IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM431
00000952.63125000 EPHRATA IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM406
00000954.60000000 EPHRATA PS EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL WGY763
00002112.00000000 LANCASTER CF SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR WLM494
00002118.40000000 LANCASTER CF SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR WLM494
00002133.20000000 BOWMANSVILLE PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGI89
00002136.40000000 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF WIA874
00002137.20000000 PARKSBURG PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WAS305
00002138.80000000 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF WIA874
00002139.60000000 PENN TWP PL LEBANON, COUNTY OF WBM340
00002142.80000000 PARKSBURG PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WAS305
00002148.40000000 LANCASTER PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF WIA874
00002162.00000000 PARADISE CF SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR WLM498
00002165.20000000 ELIZABETHTOWN CF SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR WLM496
00002168.40000000 EPHRATA CF SUSQUEHANNA CELLULAR WLM495
00002183.20000000 CORNWALL PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGC62
00002186.40000000 EPHRATA PL LANCASTER, COUNTY OF WNER656
00002187.20000000 LANCASTER PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WAS306
00002196.00000000 LANCASTER PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WAS306
00002548.00000000 LANCASTER BA WITF, INC WHR477
00002560.00000000 LANCASTER BA WITF, INC WHR477
00002572.00000000 LANCASTER BA WITF, INC WHR477
00002584.00000000 LANCASTER BA WITF, INC WHR477
00002665.00000000 LANCASTER IB ROBBY GRAHAM WNTJ747
00003700.00000000 EPHRATA CX BLUE RIDGE CATV, INC. E3111
00003700.00000000 LANCASTER CX AMERICAN TELEPHONE & E3061
00003700.00000000 LANCASTER CX CABLE ASSOCIATES, INC. WX51
00003700.00000000 LANCASTER CX R.R. DONNELLEY & SONS E860674
00003700.00000000 LANCASTER CX SPANISH AMERICAN CIVIC E890104
00003700.00000000 LANCASTER CX THE ASSOCIATED PRESS E6713

3700.00000000 LANCASTER CX VIDEO VISTA E4972
00003700.00000000 LANCASTER CX WGAL-TV, INC. E3100
00003700.00000000 QUARRYVILLE CX SUBURBAN CABLE TV CO. INC. E8742
00003700.00000000 W. HEMPFIELD TWNSHP CX H.C. OSTERTAG CABLE E2761
00003700.00000000 WEST HEMPFIELD CX SUBURBAN CABLE TV CO. INC. E4690
00003710.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00003730.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00003730.00000000 NEW HOLLAND CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGA35
00003790.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00003790.00000000 NEW HOLLAND CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGA35
00003810.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00003810.00000000 NEW HOLLAND CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGA35
00003870.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00003890.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00003890.00000000 NEW HOLLAND CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGA35
00003950.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75

00003970.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00003970.00000000 NEW HOLLAND CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGA35
00004030.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00004030.00000000 NEW HOLLAND CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGA35
00004050.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00004050.00000000 NEW HOLLAND CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGA35
00004110.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00004110.00000000 NEW HOLLAND CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGA35
00004130.00000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00004130.00000000 NEW HOLLAND CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGA35
00005925.00000000 LANCASTER CX AMERICAN TELEPHONE & E3061
00005925.00000000 LANCASTER CX R.R. DONNELLEY & SONS E860674
00005925.00000000 LANCASTER CX THE ASSOCIATED PRESS E6713
00005945.20000000 GAP CF ATLANTIC STATES MICROWAVE WLB750
00005945.20000000 PROVIDENCE CF EASTERN MICROWAVE, INC. WAU265
00005969.90000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900927
00005974.80000000 PROVIDENCE CF EASTERN MICROWAVE, INC. WAU265
00005985.10000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900927
00005989.90000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900927
00005989.90000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900929
00005994.90000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900929
00006004.50000000 GAP CF ATLANTIC STATES MICROWAVE WLB750
00006004.50000000 PROVIDENCE CF EASTERN MICROWAVE, INC. WAU265
00006009.90000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900746
00006009.90000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900927
00006010.10000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900746
00006010.10000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900927
00006010.10000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900929
00006015.10000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900746
00006015.10000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900929
00006018.50000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900746
00006018.50000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900927
00006018.50000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900929
00006023.90000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900746
00006023.90000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900927
00006023.90000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900929
00006029.90000000 LANCASTER CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATIONS E900746
00006034.20000000 PROVIDENCE CF EASTERN MICROWAVE, INC. WAU265
00006063.80000000 GAP CF ATLANTIC STATES MICROWAVE WLB750
00006063.80000000 PROVIDENCE CF EASTERN MICROWAVE, INC. WAU265
00006093.50000000 PROVIDENCE CF EASTERN MICROWAVE, INC. WAU265
00006123.10000000 GAP CF ATLANTIC STATES MICROWAVE WLB750
00006123.10000000 PROVIDENCE CF EASTERN MICROWAVE, INC. WAU265
00006152.80000000 PROVIDENCE CF EASTERN MICROWAVE, INC. WAU265
00006177.50000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00006197.20000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75

6197.20000000 ELIZABETHTOWN CF ATLANTIC STATES MICROWAVE WLB749
00006226.90000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00006241.70000000 CONCORDIA CHURCH CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE64

00006256.50000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00006256.50000000 ELIZABETHTOWN CF ATLANTIC STATES MICROWAVE WLB749
00006286.20000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00006301.10000000 CONCORDIA CHURCH CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE64
00006315.80000000 ELIZABETHTOWN CF ATLANTIC STATES MICROWAVE WLB749
00006315.90000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00006345.50000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00006375.10000000 ELIZABETHTOWN CF ATLANTIC STATES MICROWAVE WLB749
00006375.20000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00006404.80000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00006424.50000000 CORNWALL CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGO75
00006745.00000000 DRUMORE TOWNSHIP IW PECO ENERGY COMPANY KJI70
00006765.00000000 CORNWALL PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGC62
00006775.00000000 MARIETTA IP TEXAS EASTERN WIA264
00006795.00000000 QUARRYVILLE PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNTP385
00006805.00000000 DRUMORE TOWNSHIP IW PECO ENERGY COMPANY KJI70
00006825.00000000 CORNWALL PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGC62
00006875.00000000 LANCASTER BA WGAL-TV, INC. KGI48
00006900.00000000 LANCASTER BA WGAL TV INC KGJ86
00006925.00000000 LANCASTER BA WGAL-TV, INC. WLO219
00006975.00000000 LANCASTER BA GATEWAY COMM INC KGK41
00010755.00000000 LANCASTER CF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WHR223
00010755.00000000 LANCASTER CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE65
00010795.00000000 LANCASTER CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE65
00010835.00000000 LANCASTER CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE65
00010875.00000000 LANCASTER CF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WHR223
00010875.00000000 LANCASTER CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE65
00010915.00000000 LANCASTER CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE65
00010955.00000000 LANCASTER CF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WHR223
00010955.00000000 LANCASTER CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE65
00010995.00000000 LANCASTER CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE65
00011035.00000000 LANCASTER CF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WHR223
00011345.00000000 CONCORDIA CHURCH CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE64
00011345.00000000 LANCASTER CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE64
00011405.00000000 GROFFDALE CF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WDU432
00011445.00000000 GROFFDALE CF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WDU432
00011485.00000000 GROFFDALE CF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WDU432
00011525.00000000 GROFFDALE CF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WDU432
00011565.00000000 CONCORDIA CHURCH CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE64
00011565.00000000 GROFFDALE CF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WDU432
00011585.00000000 CONCORDIA CHURCH CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE64
00011585.00000000 LANCASTER CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE64
00011605.00000000 GROFFDALE CF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WDU432
00011665.00000000 CONCORDIA CHURCH CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE64
00011665.00000000 LANCASTER CF BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WDE64
00011700.00000000 LANCASTER CX COMSAT GENERAL CORPORATION E7126
00014000.00000000 LANCASTER CX COMSAT GENERAL CORPORATION E7126
00018865.00000000 LANCASTER IB MOTOROLA INC WNTE892
00019205.00000000 LANCASTER IB MOTOROLA INC WNTE892
00021825.00000000 LANCASTER IB BURLE INDUSTRIES INC WNTF615

00021825.00000000 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNTP205
00021875.00000000 LANCASTER IB BURLE INDUSTRIES INC WNTF614
00021875.00000000 MANHEIM IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNTP204
00023025.00000000 MANHEIM IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNTP204
00023075.00000000 LANCASTER IX ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES WNTP205

Send addition or changes
To N3PTU@WB3FYL.EPA.PA.USA.NA
OR
martyrip@prolog.net

435. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l9unh$7r7@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158367

police get a call...

"a man is rummaging through the
recyclables and I want an officer
to stop him" she says....

get that: throw-aways are now
criminalized if you take them
and then profit by them.....

what next?

bill conduit
etc.

436. Re: Cordless Phones: Which one cannot be heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060523364100.TAA02239@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158368

>From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>

>There will be a Panasonic cordless coming out within one or two months
>that operates on 2.8 GHz. Otherwise, any capable scanner can receive
>cordless phone conversations, digital, SST (spread spectrum), or of
>course, analog.
>
>

What are you saying? The scanner can pick up the signals but listening to spoken words is entirely something else. You don't mean to say that a scanner listener can understand a digital conversation do you? I hope not. You'd be terribly wrong if that is so.

437. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060523381400.TAA02412@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158369

>From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>

>Take the hint and find a new hobby - like
>bungee-jumping without the bungee.

Or he could jump with the cord around his neck. Same thing.

438. California Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jacy Krogh <tiny@c-zone.net>
Message-ID: <3578568C.7392@c-zone.net>
Source record: 158370

A new California Scanner Site is up at:

http://www.geocities.com/Eureka/Enterprises/4077/index.html

it has many northern California frequencies and is being updated all
the time.

439. Re: WTB crappy cheap used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mervins@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060523574600.TAA04643@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158371

My local WalMart is selling the Uniden 55XLT new for only $25. Why buy used.?

>I need something that takes alkalines, at most 4. It should have
>10 channels, and cover VHF lo and high. Oh yeah, a light would >begood.

440. Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bart <bobb@ulster.net>
Message-ID: <35789C7D.3FB1@ulster.net>
Source record: 158372

I went to Radio Shack to order a service manual for a PRO-2006. Their price is $27.45 + shipping + tax. I think this is a bit steep. Is there another source for service manuals?

441. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ROBERT J FORLINI <FORLINI@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6la6ri$44pq$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 158373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Rich,
thanks for providing that very informative site for us new guys. I do
have a question about the legality of being able to hear cellular phone
calls. In short, I just bought a Uniden BC80XLT. When it is searching the
800MHZ band, I hear cell phone conversation. According to your site, is this
scanner illegal or is the monitoring illegal? Or both?

Bob
Rich Wells wrote in message <35748333.D474919@ibm.net>...
>To All New Scanner Users,
> If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
>a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
>asked on the radio newsgroups.

442. AR8000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dstegner <dstegner@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <6laa2i$el0$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 158374
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Brand new, never used (still packed in original box) with scout, birdie mods, and descrambler chip installed. Full coverage. $500 includes UPS ground shipping within USA only. Email if interested.

dstegner@bigfoot.com

443. For The Newbies--> All Hail King Mackey The Great Liar !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3578A80C.102A8ABB@pacbell.net>
Source record: 158375

Reposted without permission ---> Kudos to Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com> who is the author of the original version of this story. It was first posted on October 30, 1996 in alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.scanner.

"All Hail King Mackey The Great Liar"

Behold brothers and sisters, I bring you the profound story of how King Mackey the Great became so. It is an exciting tale filled with wonder, awe, and heroic deeds. Come closer, as I relate this grand history.

In the land of Scannerdom, the county of Power Supply was plagued with a terrible injustice. The evil mercenary force from Capacitor held the people of Smoke prisoner. Repeater, the ruler in exile of Power Supply, offered a rare and unused Radio Shack battery card to anyone who could free the people of Smoke from their Capacitor prison. A young and fearless warrior stepped forward to take up the gauntlet. Repeater told him of the dangers but the young man was undaunted and resolutely set off to free them.

When he arrived at the gate, Mackey was challenged by the fearsome guardians, Positive and Negative. So terrible were these mighty sentinels that even the renowned guardian Cerebus trembled when faced with Positive and Negative. Armed with his trusty screwdriver he didst battle with them. In the hands of a true warrior the screwdriver is no common weapon. Our hero, battle tested and proven in the labs and urinals of Technical School, was much more than a professional. He was a Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade.

The guards fired spinning hex nuts and sliced with the dreaded razor sharp quartertwenty threads. Again and again, using every feint, lunge, and parry the guardians had learned in centuries of defending the cabinet, but to no avail. Mackey maneuvered himself and with one swift strike, he ended it. He had touched both guardians in the only way to kill them. He bridged the gap between them in a move only a Master Bladesman could execute, the Short.

The Capacitor force exploded from the cataclysm releasing the Smoke from their electrolytic bondage. Afterward, the Smoke rewarded Mackey with their highest honor, the Diddler of the Spark.

Mackey, hoisted by his own petard, began the journey home. In the land of Recdotradiodotscanner, he was attacked by the Legions of Ennui. These were no ordinary warriors, but elite shock troops. Armed with the latest in Apathy, Disdain, and Contempt, they lay seige to our hero.

For months, a battle of attrition was waged. One after another, mighty warriors all, they fell. Even I, your humble scribe was a soldier in the Legion of Ennui. Alas, I too, fell before the great Mackey. No one could understand how a single man could withstand such an onslaught as we heaped upon him. Then we learned the source of his great strength. He was the most favored disciple of the malevolent gods, Fraud and Liar. They had taught him the secret fighting languages of Turpitude, Innuendo, and the most deadly of them all, Obscenity. Under their smutty tutelage, he repeatedly repeled the attacks with adroit displays of oratorical onanism.

There was a point in the war when we thought we were going to prevail. We had Mackey surrounded, he was in everybodys kill file, and his ISPs were terminating his accounts as fast as he could login. He had been beaten back to where he stood alone, armed only with a 300 baud modem and a Commodore 64.

Sadly, we all were victim to his great strategy and now he sprang his most devastating weapon. Surrounding him with our keyboards poised for the final death blow, he hoisted his hands above him. Like Moses bestowing the Ten Commandments to the chosen people, in his hands his mail-order diploma proclaming him Master of Communication Technology smote us down.

Cowered by the supreme Divinity before us, a hush fell upon the surrounding army. The only sound was a muffled cough from one of the younger soldiers. We lay prostrate on the ground before him, fearful of his wrath. Who were we to show the temerity to challenge his divine turgescence. We waited. Slowly, he walked through the defeated masses, and went home.

Upon returning to Scannerdom, he found word of his great deeds had preceded him. He was declared Supreme Grand Master Fool of the Universe and the people clamored for his ordination as King. In response, the Church of Scanner Mods proclaimed him King and the great sage and visionary Pope Bcheek presided over the coronation. As he placed the Discone Crown upon his head, he spoke the sacred papal blessing, "This boy reeks."

So there you have it, brothers and sisters. Behold the saga of his fetidness, King Mackey the Great, Ruler of Torpor, Supreme Grand Master Fool of the Universe, Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade, holder of the Diddler of the Spark, Divine Master of Communication Technology, High Priest of the sect of Fraud and Liar, and Orational Master of Turpitude, Innuendo, and Obscenity.

All hail King Mackey the Great Liar.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

444. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3578CF63.D841FC46@swbell.net>
Source record: 158376

Thats not nice, you shouldn't compare Mackey to a sewer rat, after all a sewer rat is alot smarter then Mackey will ever be .

445. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3578D2DB.C75917C9@swbell.net>
Source record: 158377

Johhny boy your the liar, the flamer, the trouble maker on here.
Fact: You lied when you said you had evidence that Bill was a child molestor, you have never shown any proof yet you have accused him again.

Fact: The newsgroup was peaceful and on topic for a change.
Fact: You started all the off topic posts and attacks on Bill , this proves you are the trouble maker of the newsgroup.

446. ICOM R-10 club?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Douglas Hinton <101723.2004@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <O#xBjOJk9GA.256@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 158409

Hi, I saw some time ago a listing asking IC R-10 owners if they would like to join a owners group. Can anyone point the way for me with an e-mail address, etc.? regards, Douglas-- e-mail reply please

--

447. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35791f5f.43782383@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158410

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu> wrote:

> On Fri, 5 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> > John T. Arthur wrote:
> > > Swearing and cursing?? I don't see it.
> > >
> > Look again below, I edited it for you so you can't miss it.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > Jack Close wrote:
> > > > > John, get off your fat ass and
>
> That is not swearing and cursing - an ass is a donkey; a fat ass is a
> well-fed donkey, you nitwit!

And.....Smacksie is an adam-henry - personified.

Anyway, what you wrote, true as it is, will go
over Smacksie's head. He has a very small head.
With space for rent, no less.

Somebody forgot to turn on the lights when the
imbecile was born. There is no hope.....

448. Re: New RS Trunktracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <357822F5.938DFC82@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 158411

Joe Giraudo wrote:
> Anyone have any info on the new RS trunktracker? I saw it advertised
> for about $160 in a flyer that showed up in the mail yesterday. How
> does it compare to the others in the field??

Joe,
The PRO-91 was released about 5 weeks ago. I posted my First
Impressions of it about 4 weeks ago.
If interested, stop by my PRO-91 page :

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/PRO-91.HTM

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

449. Re: FS: Pro 2005 Base Scanner Full 800MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357a2049.44015424@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158412

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

orbitone@worldnet.att.net wrote:

> For Sale pro 2005 scanner from Radio Shack top of the line looks
> justlike a 2006 has 400 ch mint cond comes with ant.. great scanner
> selling this Gem for 275.00 plus shipping email me thanks..
> Or call me at 714 960-2835... Mike
> This has the Cell Mod already
> No Gap's

No gaps? What about betwixt 520-760 MHz?

450. FS Icom IC-R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kgilpin@concentric.net
Message-ID: <357825aa.46076694@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 158413

```
Icom IC-R10
Standard Cell gap
Origional packing
Used very little
$325.00

Antenna
Ni-cads
Ac Adapter/charger
Manual
Belt clip

Please respond via email
kgilpin@concentric.net
```

451. Outdoor Antenna (but not thru the roof)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Zukowski <mfzukows@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <6l98p7$2d5$1@news9.ispnews.com>
Source record: 158414

Can anyone give me the an idea of how to construct an inexpensive scanning antenna that I can stick out in the yard? I don't want to cut holes in the roof, but I already have an opening into the basement whre I can route the wire to the main floor of the house. Reply here or to mfzukows@iserv.net .

Thanks!

452. trade for dualband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: STACEY@DIGISCAPE.COM
Message-ID: <6l98h2$3jq$1@osh2.datasync.com>
Source record: 158415

I HAVE SEVERAL UHF RADIOS THAT I WANT TO TRADE FOR A DUALBAND PORTABLE THAT IS PROGRAMABLE FROM THE KEY PAD WITH REPEATER SPLITS AND THAT WILL ENCODE AND DECODE TPL AND DPL.

453. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu384v.J7r@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158416

On Fri, 5 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> ~Buckyball wrote:
> > supposed to be directed more towards Mackey because he is obviously unable
> > to defend himself at any intelligent level
>
> Hey Buckyball-
>
> As soon as I am approached on an intelligent level, I will defend
> myself at that level!

Well, we can rest assurred that will NEVER HAPPEN! You could never
approach an intelligent level of anything, with the possible exception of
a sewer rat.

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

454. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu382w.J68@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158417

On Fri, 5 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> pja wrote:
> > Why did you start all of this up again Mackey. Especially
> > after you promised not to.
>
> Because Bill Cheek started causing trouble on this NG again.
> If you remember, I suggested he & I STOP all posts of
> any type of flaming & such. He complied with this agreement
> for a couple weeks. Then, when he thought I was off line
> at the DAYTON HAMVENTION, he started again. Much to Bill's
> surprise, I use a nation-wide ISP, so my travel status made
> no difference.

LIAR! You proposed a truce which NOONE else agreed to. Then with NO provocation you returned and started the same, tired attention-getting tricks. He (Bill) did NOT start while he thought you were off-line. YOU started it again (as if we really thought you wouldn't) with absolutely no reason. You are sooooo lame. PLEASE use that shotgun in your closet and end it for all of us!!!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

455. Re: Alaska Railroad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmslists@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060517504800.NAA22006@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158418

"Massaged" from FCC online database:

ALASKA RR
Mobiles (all licensed freqs, 40w ERP):
160.305 161.385 161.355 161.415 161.445 161.475 161.505 161.535
161.565

SYSTEMWIDE
160.305 161.385 161.355 161.445 161.535
plus freqs as indicated at following TX sites:

MP 263.0 Gold Creek 161.475
MP 281.5 Hurricane 161.505
Reindeer Hill-Cantwell 161.535
MP 335.5 .75 mi N of Garner 161.565
1 MI N NENANA 161.475
.5 MI SE OBSERVATORY ESTER DOME FAIRBANKS 161.475
.3 MI S RR BRIDGE 20 MI RIVER PORTAGE 161.565
AK RR DOCK WHITTIER 161.475
MP 92 1 MI SE RAINBOW 161.505
AK RR WATER TOWER PHILLIPS FIELD RD FAIRBANKS 161.475
BOGARD RD 4 MI ENE WASILLA 161.505
HATCHER PASS RD 1 MI N WILLOW 161.475
7.75 MI NW TALKEETNA 161.565
1.5 MI SW ANCHORAGE INT AIRPORT ANCHORAGE 161.535
HARVARD AVE GVT HILL WATER TOWER ANCHORAGE 161.565
12 MI ENE ANCHORAGE SITE SUMMIT ANCHORAGE 161.535
.1 MI N AK RR DOCK SEWARD 161.475
4.25 MI SSE SHEEP MOUNTAIN LAWING 161.505
2 MI S RR BRIDGE UPPER TRAIL LAKE MOOSE PASS 161.535

Harry Marnell, N6URU
HMsLists@aol.com
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

456. Re: ICOM R-10 club?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: stuyvenberg@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <35783143.5991272@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 158419

Hi Douglas,

>Hi, I saw some time ago a listing asking IC R-10 owners if they
>would like to join a owners group. Can anyone point the way for
>me with an e-mail address, etc.? regards, Douglas-- e-mail reply
>please

I am interested as well! In the mean time you may send me the serial number of your IC-R10 which I will add to my "Serial Numbers" page. You may consider this a user-group too :-) The URL for IC-R10 information:

http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven/r10

Regards,

Joost van Stuyvenberg
Amsterdam, The Netherlands
stuyvenberg@bigfoot.com

=== THE TOUGHEST MAIL FILTER EVER - MY DELETE BUTTON ===

457. Re: How to get a Digital Scanner/Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: johng@comm.mot.com
Message-ID: <johng-ya02408000R0506981241530001@news.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 158420

> The handhelds ordered for the fire department are not waterproof,
> they must be bagged and carried under turnouts, with only the speaker mike
> exposed.

It sounds like the FD should be ordering the Astro Saber-R which is
submersible and is designed for this type of use.

--
John Gilbert
johng@comm.mot.com

458. Request for MOA frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David L. Lapoff" <David.Lapoff@trw.com>
Message-ID: <35782A06.50CFEE9B@trw.com>
Source record: 158421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have any frequencies for the following MOAs and restricted areas:

Hood MOA
Brady MOA
Brownwood MOA
R-6302

Also,
Does any one know of any Miltary training routes (VR, IR, SR) or Air Refueling routes that support these areas? Any frequencies related to those would
be appreciated as well.

Thanks.

-Dave-

459. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kplotkin@access5.digex.net
Message-ID: <6l9df8$gf5@access5.digex.net>
Source record: 158422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

In article <3577467e.27334911@news2.cts.com>,
Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote:

[snip]
> AutoProgramming PRO-64/PRO-2041
>
>....only grazed the subject of the automation of making
>frequency files or databases. The intent of that post
>was to offer some clues and tools for making the kind
>of files that are required by the PRO-64 and PRO-2041
>for their "AutoProgramming" feature. In a word, those
>scanners require plain ASCII text files in the below format:
[snip]

You left out one resource for this topic: the authors of the programs. :-)

You're talking about the file formats that the load programs want to see. The 64/2041 require a specific data stream that includes the channel, the frequency, and various codes that only GRE's programmers (and maybe their mothers) care about. The load programs just have to get the channel and frequency from the user's file.

LOAD/LOAD64 already take two formats, selected by a command line switch. Bob Miller's WLOAD is automatically flexible about the channel numbers.

Dealing with a file like

>Bess Eaton Donut 457.5375 467.7625 Rhode Island
>Big Boy 30.8400 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area
> 457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN OH area
>Burger King 30.840 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area
> 31.0000 170.3050 UNKNOWN GA area
> 457.5500 467.7750 Baltimore, MD area
[snip]

is definitely a chore for something like APFTOOLS. But simple alternates that include an extra comma, or maybe a comment between the channel and frequency, are easy enough to make the LOAD program handle directly. Dirt simple to implement if the user is willing to specify the format via a command line switch. Probably not too hard to have the program recognize stuff automatically, within reason. After all, there are only two data items per line: the channel number and the frequency. And one of those has a decimal point, while the other doesn't.

I encountered another frequency management issue a couple of weeks ago. I use my scanner at car races. On the same weekend I was going to the

Indianapolis 500, the CART race in Illinois, and some short track races. I had a CART frequency file by car number, an IRL file by car number, and a short track file. Barely enough time to eat and sleep between races, let alone fire up the laptop.

So I wrote a little utility that would merge the files, adding an offset (I kept IRL as-is, added 200 to CART frequencies, and put Short Track in Bank 10), and also clear all unassigned channels.

If I can get feedback on what file formats people would like to use, I'll be happy to update LOAD to accomodate as much as I can. I'll also clean up my "Multiple Race Series" file merger for general use.

Ken Plotkin

460. FS: STANDARD AX-700 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDS <skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eaOI2ZLk9GA.238@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 158423

This scanner has a built in bandscope, very well built in metal case.
Excellent condition, comes with a HF converter, antenna and copy of manual
and a/c adapter
This radio covers (unblocked) from 50MHz to 904.995.
Need a quick sale to raise cash
Offered for $425 plus shipping
Thanks

461. Re: RS vs Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Houck <firefighter18@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <357843D6.E030E2A1@geocities.com>
Source record: 158424

Alot of the RS scanners use a uniden board from what I've seen. Tom

Jerry Moody wrote:

> How does the 895 compare with RS base trunk tracker model? Is the 895 as
> good as the 2006 minus the trunk tracking?
> What is the 2006 worth. I am thinking of selling mine.
> --
> Jerry

--
Visit my webpage! http://www.geocities.com/CollegePark/Quad/1474

462. Re: Cordless Phones: Which one cannot be heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Houck <firefighter18@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <3578466B.6C5FF1EF@geocities.com>
Source record: 158425

There are cordless phones that have a scramble feature similar to that used by law enforcement, etc. They are more expensive, but the added cost is worth it if you value your privacy while on the phone. Before you give out credit card information or your address on a cordless phone, ask yourself...."Who is listening in on the conversation today?"

Robert Foisy wrote:

> I'm looking for a new Cordless Phone. Are there any that cannot be
> picked up on a scanner? What about Digital Spread Spectrum?

--
Visit my webpage! http://www.geocities.com/CollegePark/Quad/1474

463. Re: MACKEY the VICTOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357849FF.234E@parg.org>
Source record: 158426

pja wrote:

> MACKEY your responses are sounding weaker as time goes
> on. Are you losing sleep or something?

No, it is because the attacks are so weak! Lame
would be another good word to describe the attacks.

> Allsafe has really been tearing you a new asshole. Its so

Yea right, he has been tearing with all the power of a 80 yr
old woman's fart in a tornado storm.

464. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35784CBE.3B60@parg.org>
Source record: 158427

Bill Cheek wrote:

> radio from play-dee-oh. Calls himself a "Volunteer
> Examiner". You know why. When they were hiring
> Examiners, he applied and got laughed outa the
> building.

Another case of Bill Cheek's JEALOUSY towards ME.

BILL CHEEK doesn't even know that BY FCC RULES "Volunteer Examineers"
ARE NOT ALLOWED to accept payment for their services. In order
to be a VE, you must have a specific FCC license. Since (according to
the FCC) Bill Cheek has NEVER had ANY type of FCC license, of course
he would NOT qualify to be a VE or know about such matters!

Truth hurts, huh Bill?

Why don't you try telling us more stories like there is no
UV effect on coax or tell us it is OK to use a mettalic
tuning tool on an RF oscillator!

465. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35784BB7.5CFC@parg.org>
Source record: 158428

MAlexder wrote:

> Naw, you are as filthy liar, as everyone knows. All was quiet when you were

Hardly!! YOU are the one lying!

> unable to post here while off doing who knows what (I still suspect that you
> were in jail).

Sorry, I have a plane ticket & motel bill to prove my whereabouts. Then
IMMEDIATELY after the DAYTON HAMVENTION I had to go to Sioux City
for a family death! Truth hurts, huh! (Even have witnesses!)

> Then you broke the supposed "truce" that you now claim to have
> engineered and have been posting one flame after another. Let's see,

No, Cheek broke the truce, & I stayed out for several days. Then
I sent him a warning on this NG & he continued. AFTER that, I then
took action.

Would you like to see a few of his posts to refresh your memory?

> You've already resumed your vendetta against Cheek;

I have no vendetta against Cheek & I resent your accusation of such.

466. Re: What is the best Trunk Tracking scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l9i83$78k@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158429

In <3577D553.805B3718@nol.net> Joshua Carroll <jcarroll@nol.net>
writes:
>
>What is the best, resonable cost Trunk Tracker scanner?
>
>Also, how does this work? I'm kind of familliar with Trunking, but
how
>does the scanner know what frequencies to look for?
>
>Confused (and new to scanning)
>Josh Carroll
>
>
go to: search engines and
search for :

trunktracker

you will find much to educate
you with...or over...or under

stay away from the mackeral...
he thinks trunktrackers are
found in zoos on a mission

bill conduit
etc.

467. Re: MACKEY the VICTOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l9ii1$lhc@sjx-ixn2.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158430

>
What is really strange is is that
this stuporific horror of
the mind-less is going to
use all these postings as

his resume'

what could he be applying
for?

bill conduit
etc.

468. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35784DDC.481D@parg.org>
Source record: 158431

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Mackey and a few others have no love lost for Bill Conduit. In

Bill, please don't try to speak for me. You can't even do a decent
job of speaking for yourself. I have no dislike for Bill Conduit
or anyone else on this NG.

> Smacksie has no intelligence. He ranks on a par
> with a turd floating in the Punch bowl of Life....

Here you go again Bill. The Smacksie user of this NG
probably would not like you trying to flame him.
THIS is a typical example of the problems of YOUR
behavior on this NG.

> Capiche?

Capiche Bill?

469. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35783cc4.5773079@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158432

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

kplotkin@access5.digex.net (Kenneth Plotkin) wrote:

In a word, those
> >scanners require plain ASCII text files in the below format:
> [snip]

> You left out one resource for this topic: the authors of the programs. :-)

Ahhhhhh, yessss! The BEST resources on the
planet, too, I might add! The exception there is
that most don't know data and databases...or seem
to shy away from it, if they do.

> You're talking about the file formats that the load programs want to see.
> The 64/2041 require a specific data stream that includes the channel, the
> frequency, and various codes that only GRE's programmers (and maybe their
> mothers) care about. The load programs just have to get the channel and
> frequency from the user's file.
>
> LOAD/LOAD64 already take two formats, selected by a command line switch.
> Bob Miller's WLOAD is automatically flexible about the channel numbers.

Ahhhhhhh, and I was testing with WLOAD, too. No wonder it doesn't
need channel numbers. So I take it you're saying that the
scanner itself DOES need a channel number and will not assume
one, if it doesn't come across in the data stream? And that
Miller's WLOAD does that part on its own?

> Dealing with a file like
>
> >Bess Eaton Donut 457.5375 467.7625 Rhode Island
> >Big Boy 30.8400 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area
> > 457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN OH area
> >Burger King 30.840 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area
> > 31.0000 170.3050 UNKNOWN GA area
> > 457.5500 467.7750 Baltimore, MD area
> [snip]
>
> is definitely a chore for something like APFTOOLS. But simple alternates
> that include an extra comma, or maybe a comment between the channel and
> frequency, are easy enough to make the LOAD program handle directly. Dirt
> simple to implement if the user is willing to specify the format via a

> command line switch. Probably not too hard to have the program recognize
> stuff automatically, within reason. After all, there are only two data
> items per line: the channel number and the frequency. And one of those
> has a decimal point, while the other doesn't.

Well, that's what a user needs with respect to his own
unique requirements for AutoProgramming....automation for
preparing his frequency databases. And all the better if
he can use the database directly without having to manipulate
it to meet the needs of the specific scanner or interface.

That's the nice thing about the CE-232 Scanner/Computer
Interface and APFTools. Say somebody posts a haphazard list
of frequencies on the newsgroup - all the CE-232 user
has to do is copy the entire message into a text file and
run APFTools on it.....and out comes a perfectly prepared
and organized APF file, ready to go into the scanner.

So apparently, there is a sideline benefit to Miller's
WLOAD for the PRO-64 and PRO-2041 in the sense that his
program is smart enough to know when to stop accepting
superfluous data.....like the ID information that falls
in right after the FREQUENCY data. The scanner and interface
don't need it.....but the user sure does. And it's a pain
in the arse if he has to create separate files, one for
each purpose. It's that kind of thing that diminishes
the fun of scanning........

> If I can get feedback on what file formats people would like to use, I'll
> be happy to update LOAD to accomodate as much as I can. I'll also clean
> up my "Multiple Race Series" file merger for general use.

Well, IMHO, anything that allows the user to have a
master data file for his own personal purposes and
still can be downloaded into the scanner, is....in
my books....pretty hot stuff.

Now since there is no such thing as a universal standard
for frequency files in form and format, it's not up to
you to create one.....but anything you can do to permit
a detailed info file to be casually dropped into the
PRO-2041/PRO-64 in the blink of an eye would be pretty slick.

Seems it might not be a terrible problem so long as the
channel number and frequency got the appropriate emphasis
at the beginning of each line. Then LOAD could ignore
everything thereafter, right? And since APFTools already
exists and can feast on random, chaotic text files and
output something mighty close to the needs of the PRO-2041
and PRO-64 as it sits, maybe you could incorporate an
APF-file cruncher into LOAD and let it output the requisite
data for the scanners.

APF files are consistent; they are useful as is; and they
can readily be imported by any database manager and spreadsheet.
So basically, the framework is already there, no?

Or, am I missing something? If not, here is the format
for APF files for you to look over:

========

An APF file must be a text file with data in the format shown below.
The CE-232 AutoProgramer requires the delimiters (commas) to be
present. The field sizes given are maximum values. Blank fields
can be indicated by adjacent delimiters (eg: ,,125.35,,,,). Each
line is limited to a maximum length of 255-chars, including spaces
and commas.

Field Reference Labels and format: A,B,C,D,E,F,G=optional fields

A - User Filter field consists of zero to twelve alphameric
characters. The AutoProgramming function can be specified
to program or not, based on any legal filter. For example,
if "government" is a filter, then the AutoProgrammer can
select or reject all data lines with "government" in the
filter field.

B - Channel Number field consists of zero to three numerals.
Leading spaces and zeroes are acceptable, e.g:

,32,
, 32,
,032,

NOTE: The CE-232 has the option to DISREGARD channel numbers.
This is useful because there are times when the listed
channel numbers might not be desired. When the user selects
this option, channels are AutoProgrammed sequentially.

C - Frequency field; consists of four numerals, decimal, and
four numerals. Leading spaces and zeroes are acceptable, e.g:

,1234.6755,
, 243.0,
,0243.0000,
, 26.915,

D - Mode Field; 0, 2, or 3 chars for wfm, nfm, am NOTE: This
field is case sensitive to the CE-232; must be in lower case.
We consider this a "bug", and is the only case sensitivity of
which we are aware.

E - Delay Set/Unset Field: 0 or 1 char;
blank = delay off; D = delay on

F - LockOut Set/Unset Field: 0 or 1 char;
blank = lockout off; L = lockout on

G - Optional Fields G-Z are not recognized or used by the CE-232,
but may be present at the user's discretion.

Examples:

```
government,001,0162.4000,nfm,d,l,NOAA Weather
police,082,158.9700,nfm,,L,San Diego North Dispatch
,,26.915,,,,
,14,97.3,wfm,,,KSON-FM
,002,243.0, am,D,L,mil-air ELT/emergency
```

All five lines are legit APF data lines. The third line is the
minimum acceptable to constitute a valid APF line.

For clarity, the above 5-line APF file is shown again below,
space delimited to make all the data line up:

```
A B C D E F G
========== ==== ========= ==== = = ========================
FILTER CHAN FREQ MODE D L OPTIONAL
========== ==== ========= ==== = = ========================
government 001 0162.4000 nfm d l NOAA Weather
police 082 158.9700 nfm L San Diego North Dispatch
26.915
14 97.3 wfm KSON-FM
002 243.0 am D L mil-air ELT/emergency
```

```
=====================
```

Pretty straightforward, actually. Seems made in the shade
for LOAD and the PRO-2041/PRO-64 by ignoring the 1st, 4th
and subsequent fields.


```
---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

470. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3579526a.11315500@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158433

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu> wrote:

> On Fri, 5 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> > ~Buckyball wrote:
> > > supposed to be directed more towards Mackey because he is obviously unable
> > > to defend himself at any intelligent level
> >
> > Hey Buckyball-
> >
> > As soon as I am approached on an intelligent level, I will defend
> > myself at that level!
>
> Well, we can rest assurred that will NEVER HAPPEN! You could never
> approach an intelligent level of anything, with the possible exception of
> a sewer rat.

Well perhaps a *dead* one. Otherwise, you just lowered
the stature of all the world's sewer rats by lumping
Smacksie in their class.

For shame!

471. Re: MACKEY the VICTOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357a5352.11548055@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158434

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

> What is really strange is is that
> this stuporific horror of
> the mind-less is going to
> use all these postings as
>
> his resume'
>
> what could he be applying
> for?

Volunteer Examiner of hemorrhoids?

472. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35785628.140A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158435

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> As soon as I am approached on an intelligent level, I will defend
> myself at that level!

WAAAAAAA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Ho ho, very funny.... Ha Ha, it is to laugh....

I seem to recall approaching you on an intelligent level.... only to be ignored. Hmm.....too funny.

-Phil

473. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35785805.51D7@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158436

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>

I have no dislike for Bill Conduit or anyone else on this NG.

<Cough>

> THIS is a typical example of the problems of YOUR
> behavior on this NG.

BILL'S PROBLEMS?!?!?! Talk about the pot and the friggin kettle!!!

I know how you can make the flames stop. It's really simple, actually.
Are you listening? (Sit down, this may shock you.)

----------> Shut up. <----------

That's all there is to it, John. Try it - you might learn to like it,
and you might actually learn something useful in this NG.....

Then again, maybe not. That would require a brain.....
-Phil

474. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3578591B.6C2E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158437

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> John T. Arthur wrote:
> > Swearing and cursing?? I don't see it.
>
> Look again below, I edited it for you so you can't miss it.
>
> > > Jack Close wrote:
> > > > John, get off your fat ass and
>
> SNIP

OH NO!!!!! LET'S LOCK HIM UP AND THROW AWAY THE KEY!!!!!! EGADS, WHAT A HEATHEN!!!

Christ John, what are you , some kind of puritan for God's sakes?? Like you never used questionable language in this NG. Get off of your high horse and try to talk about scanners for a change, dipstick. I bet you don't even own one....

-Phil

475. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35785A3A.72CA@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158438

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> Why is it that YOU seem so experienced on these matters of incest?

Because you make it obvious for all to see. You should be the poster child for trailer trash.

So typical of an inbreed - dozens of posts and not ONE of them is about scanners - get lost Mackeroon!!

-Phil

476. *** FFT analyzer for free ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas <113451.2635@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <uX3KeAMk9GA.337@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 158439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,relcom.radio.ham

Analyzer2000 ist an indispensable tool for every radio amateur and other people, working with signals in the audio frequency range.
It's running on Windows95/NT and needs no additional hardware, only a sound card!

download at
http://members.aol.com/btf1

features/benefits:
+superfast spectrum analyzer with full control over span, dynamic range and resolution bandwidth
-> switch your radio to SSB and you will have a professional IF-panorama for free!
+time analysis with oscilloscope and envelope display
-> use Analyzer2000 as a digital scope with trigger, grid, single shot and more!
+superhighspeed waterfall display with several coloring schemes
-> use this sonagram to see time/frequency agile signals with a resolution of less than 50us!
+several tools like level riding markers with delta function, averaging, peakhold...
-> measure fsk-shift, harmonic distortion or intermodulation with only a few mouse clicks!
+fft-based morse decoder
-> decode several CW signals within one band simultaneously with automatic speed and threshold control!
+realtime processing thanks to the multithreading architecture
-> do not lose any sample even on slow machines
+20 seconds post trigger memory
-> analyze the signal several times with different settings
+Snapshot and recording feature
-> save your signal to a wave file (last 20 seconds or all new)
+Analyzing of standard wavefiles
-> analyze signals from former sessions or other stations

Available or coming options:
+Low IF input on 11.025 kHz +/- 5 kHz for real IF analyzing capability
+TCP/IP server for remote controlled operation (via LAN/WAN) with multi client capability
+Baseband analysis with constellation diagram, phase diagram, frequency diagram for signal classification
+Demodulation of FSK/PSK signals with bit analysis

dowmload a full funcional shareware copy at
http://members.aol.com/btf1

477. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35785C44.796F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158440

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
> > Judging by your own poor grammar and demented opinions I'd say you're
>
> OK Phil, where are my "poor grammar and demented opinions"?

You are seriously demented, John. You sit here every day blasting people for not posting scanner related issues, for cursing, and for abusing their ISP's when you are more guilty of all of the above then anyone else. A dejanews search on you reveals that you have posted literally thousands of flames to this NG alone in less than 3 years. And then you wonder why and cry and snivel when people give you what you so richly deserve - an ass whupping from here to kingdom come.

Just about every post you put up shows what a hypocritical idiot moron you really are John. If you need me to point them out for you, then you're worse off than I thought. (Believe me, that's bad...)

Even Emilio Goldsteins ridiculous posts have more redeeming value than ANYTHING you have ever said. Take the hint and find a new hobby - like bungee-jumping without the bungee.

-Phil

478. Re: MACKEY the VICTOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <357f5b90.5281182@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 158441

> What is really strange is is that
> this stuporific horror of
> the mind-less is going to
> use all these postings as
>
> his resume'
>
> what could he be applying
> for?

Perhaps his own set of newsgroups?

alt.dork.moron.john.mackey
alt.dork.moron.idiot.john.mackey
alt.dork.moron.insufferable.dense.john.mackey
alt.full.of.his.own.self.importance.dork.moron.john.mackey

Those are just a few who spring to mind.

479. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35785CE6.4B21@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158442

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> You would lose that wager! Bill Cheek himself beats that with
> the lies, flames, and trouble - making he does. Do you want a
> few examples?

Yeah Mackeroon, you have lots of examples I'm sure. I know something you can't provide - a post by you related to scanning since January 1 1998. Or even 1997 for that matter.

-Phil

"What a maroon!" -Bugs Bunny

480. Re: RS vs Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Brian J. Cathcart" <NOSPAMScannerDude@juno.com>
Message-ID: <01bd90c6$44784920$8d8ed6ce@scanner-dude>
Source record: 158443

Jerry Moody <jerry@southconn.com> wrote in article
<01bd8f13$b8dec640$3663bec7@southconn.com.southconn.com>...
> How does the 895 compare with RS base trunk tracker model? Is the 895 as
> good as the 2006 minus the trunk tracking?

No, and actually you can't do a direct comparison between the two models. The 895xlt is made by Uniden and is basically the 890xlt with 100 more channels, military air band, and CTCSS included (instead of optional). The PRO-2006 was made by GRE of Japan and sold by Radio Shack. The two are totally different internally. Any GRE-made product is a very good product, but you can't always say that about Uniden products. The 895 is not as good as the 2006 in frequency coverage and quality, but the 2006 can't trunk-track.

> What is the 2006 worth. I am thinking of selling mine.

There's a demand for them. Try $300 at least.

--

The Scanner Dude
Brian J. Cathcart - KE4PMJ
Palm Beach County FL Frequency Directory - Now with TT info!
South Florida Trunking Guide - NEW! 2nd Edition!
NOTE! Remove the "NOSPAM" from my e-mail address to send me mail

481. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3578560e.5233858@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158444

On Fri, 05 Jun 1998 06:48:33 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>~Buckyball wrote:
>> supposed to be directed more towards Mackey because he is obviously unable
>> to defend himself at any intelligent level
>
>Hey Buckyball-
>
>As soon as I am approached on an intelligent level, I will defend
>myself at that level!

Only if you can reach reach that level which hasn't happened
yet!

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

482. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3578538d.4593377@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158445

On Fri, 05 Jun 1998 06:24:13 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>John T. Arthur wrote:
>> Swearing and cursing?? I don't see it.
>
>Look again below, I edited it for you so you can't miss it.
>
>
>
>> > Jack Close wrote:
>> > > John, get off your fat ass and
>
>SNIP

I don't think "fat ass" could be really considred swearing
and in your case it is merely an appropriate description.

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

483. Lancaster Co., PA Freqs. ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060521512800.RAA20427@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158446

Going to be the Lancaster, Pa. area for a few days. Does anyone know the freqs.
for police, fire or ambulance ?

TIA

Bill

484. Best current price of handheld Trunktracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: JC Woodard <j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <35786A1B.228935CD@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158447

Hi,

I have recently gotten the BC895XLT and I love it, so much that I now 'need' a handheld!! I've never really been into handheld scanners, so I need some info - in short, what is the best price for a hand-held trunktracker, doesn't really matter to me which model/brand.

As always, thanks for any help!

JC

485. Re: Cordless Phones: Which one cannot be heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6l9qfb$bhm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158448

There will be a Panasonic cordless coming out within one or two months that operates on 2.8 GHz. Otherwise, any capable scanner can receive cordless phone conversations, digital, SST (spread spectrum), or of course, analog.

Not many scanners today available for purchase can receive 2.8 GHz, and if they were able to be purchased, they would virtually be thousands of dollars.

Robert Foisy wrote:

> I'm looking for a new Cordless Phone. Are there any that cannot be
> picked up on a scanner? What about Digital Spread Spectrum?

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

486. Here we go again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <Dm_d1.94$E3.2075975@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 158449
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/98/980605/#scanner

"The FCC plans to further tighten its rules on scanning
receivers to prevent reception on cellular telephone
frequencies."

Briefly, they want much higher filtering of images (not a bad thing)
and "completely inaccessible" RF and control sections (a bad thing)
which "will likely render the equipment inoperable" if modified.

I guess "receiver alignment" is a phrase that will fall out of usage...

The next question is, what about all that certified equipment on
the market? Will it have to be recertified? (FT-50, VX-1R, etc.)

The devil is in the details and there are a lot of details. I've not
decided if, overall, it is a good thing or not but the 33cm ham band
can be written off.

The FCC has a 30 day comment window. Comments?

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

487. Re: Best current price of handheld Trunktracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6l9r0r$bhm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158450

I've seen the HH version of the 895 on sale at RS for about $160.

JC Woodard wrote:

> Hi,
>
> I have recently gotten the BC895XLT and I love it, so much that I now
> 'need' a handheld!! I've never really been into handheld scanners, so I
> need some info - in short, what is the best price for a hand-held
> trunktracker, doesn't really matter to me which model/brand.
>
> As always, thanks for any help!
>
> JC

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

488. Re: MACKEY beaten down... ha ha ha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6l9r8k$bhm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158451

No need to hire. I think your insecurities have gone too far; and get your crap out of this newsgroup. You are such a rude little bag of water, I cringe even thinking about you. *Your* posts are sounding weaker as time goes on, however time for you has stopped - you're caught in your young and ill-experienced two-year old period and years of your life's world, and acting like it very well. I believe you will have to find yourself some compassion before thinking about others' well-being.

Put more simply, get some help.

pja wrote:

> MACKEY your responses are sounding weaker as time goes
> on. Are you losing sleep or something? Aren't enough hours
> in the day to reply to all the people that HATE you? I sure
> wish I could conjure up some compassion for you... but
> of course you aint worth it.
> Allsafe has really been tearing you a new asshole. Its so
> funny how his replies are SO MUCH MORE sophisticated
> than yours. POOR little MACKEY. You better go hire
> someone to write some good replies for you.

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

489. Re: MACKEY beaten down... ha ha ha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6l9rif$bhm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158452

Beware I was not defending Mackey in my first reply (I think he is questionable himself), nor anyone else. The level in which you've dealt with your own problems is incredibly low. Quasar stupid. So stupid, in fact, that stupid collapsed on itself.

Bill Cheek is actually intelligent. I realize he may be sometimes rude, but everyone has a right to be at sometime. It is an "intelligent humor" kind of thing - a unique and good thing to have. Now, being rude as you are, is not something that will get you anywhere above the depth at which you are now.

pja wrote:

> MACKEY your responses are sounding weaker as time goes
> on. Are you losing sleep or something? Aren't enough hours
> in the day to reply to all the people that HATE you? I sure
> wish I could conjure up some compassion for you... but
> of course you aint worth it.
> Allsafe has really been tearing you a new asshole. Its so
> funny how his replies are SO MUCH MORE sophisticated
> than yours. POOR little MACKEY. You better go hire
> someone to write some good replies for you.

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

490. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6l9rm3$bhm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158453

You know what? Never mind my last message. I just realized Bill Cheek is actually intelligent. As far as I've seen Mackey, he is vulgar. I apologize for my blow at Bill, and I take it back. We need more people like him! (If this sounds sarcastic, it is *not*).

Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:

> I didn't know how much people didn't really like Bill - from all of the
> messages, I am going to here come out and say, "I'm sick of Bill's
> attitude!". He may be knowledgeable about radios (?), and I may be 15 y/o,
> but he has some things to learn. Gee... Bill's problem sounds pretty bad
> when a *15* year old complains about it!
>
> He sent me three rather obtrusive, vulgar, and rude messages. Didn't think
> much of it until now.
>
> Get a GRIP, Bill Cheek... he he, "Cheek"!
>
> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> > Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> > > radio from play-dee-oh. Calls himself a "Volunteer
> > > Examiner". You know why. When they were hiring
> > > Examiners, he applied and got laughed outa the
> > > building.
> >
> > Another case of Bill Cheek's JEALOUSY towards ME.
> >
> > BILL CHEEK doesn't even know that BY FCC RULES "Volunteer Examineers"
> > ARE NOT ALLOWED to accept payment for their services. In order
> > to be a VE, you must have a specific FCC license. Since (according to
> > the FCC) Bill Cheek has NEVER had ANY type of FCC license, of course
> > he would NOT qualify to be a VE or know about such matters!
> >
> > Truth hurts, huh Bill?
> >
> > Why don't you try telling us more stories like there is no
> > UV effect on coax or tell us it is OK to use a mettalic
> > tuning tool on an RF oscillator!
>
> --
> Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
> RySoft Corporation
>

> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

491. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <b30_9806051500@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158454

On 04 Jun 98 23:27:40, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

> Would the sys admin really care? I would think he has better things to do
> than enforce no swearing rules on usenet. Just a thot.

JM> True, but there seems to be a regular problem with users of the
JM> warpgate system causing trouble here, usually cursing. :(

And all the trouble would disappear if John Mackey stayed out of the newsgroup

You dumb ass.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mark Reynolds 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

492. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <b31_9806051500@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158455

On 04 Jun 98 23:34:22, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

allsafe wrote:
> You're reputation is dirt. You're a little mental case
> running around with an internet account.

JM> Looking in the mirror again allsafe?

He probably was looking at the photo you sent him as a gift last XMAS.

JM> To bad you are such a COWARD that you have to hide behind that
JM> screen name. You sure are a big man behind that keyboard!

HO HO HO HO, listen to asshole Mackey talk about anyone being a big man.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mark Reynolds 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

493. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <b32_9806051500@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158456

On 04 Jun 98 23:41:02, Baycitvidgrp said the following to All:

B> Mackeral is infirm and infantile and infected
B> with infectious vermanized stupiditis....very
B> anal kind of BVD smeller....

Well you know, that's what happen when imbeciles within the same family mate
and have children. Mackey's the perfect example of that and why we have
laws in every state prohibiting incest.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mark Reynolds 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

494. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <b33_9806051500@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158457

On 04 Jun 98 23:26:03, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

allsafe wrote:
> ..... is an assertion that your family
> lineage is comprised of continuous and marrying of brother and sister
> for generation after generation.......

JM> Why is it that YOU seem so experienced on these matters of incest?

I'd wager a guess he's writing from classroom learning watching you.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mark Reynolds 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

495. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <b34_9806051500@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158458

On 04 Jun 98 23:45:53, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

pja wrote:
> Why did you start all of this up again Mackey. Especially
> after you promised not to.

JM> Because Bill Cheek started causing trouble on this NG again.

You fucking liar. We heard no trouble in this newsgroup until you showed up.

You're a fucking warmonger, Mackey fag.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Mark Reynolds 52:1000/211
|Internet: Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

496. Re: How to get a Digital Scanner/Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3oyq@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6l7teq$j8r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158459

You dont by any chance live in cleveland do you?
regult@aol.com (Regult) wrote:
>
> Auto <Auto@automan.com> wrote:
>
> >regult@aol.com (Regult) wrote:
> >>Here is how several people I know have gotten Digital Scanners/Radios:
>
> <snipped>
>
> >Wait a minute, let me get this straight, the people in charge of commo
> >in this fictional city, allowed NEW, presumably Motorola Astro(tm) radio
> >equipment to be classified as 'surplus' and then given away for next to
> >nothing ?
> >
> >Unless these units were piece of shit EDACS radios, being replaced by
> >Astro equipment, I find this story very hard to believe. Please cite
> >the city in question. Has I'm sure some heads would roll if the powers
> >that be discovered this situation.
> >
> >Most police agency brass take great care when accepting new radio
> >equipment, and are very twitchy about making sure all new incoming
> >inventory is accounted for. Particularly when a subscriber unit could
> >conceivably cost them in excess of $2 grand a piece.
> >
> >Your story must be a troll. or I want to sell a bridge to the moron
> >who gave away a brand new Astro Saber III or XTS-3000 for $25....
> >Talk about 'civil servants' !
>
> First off, I assure you, this is not a troll. I am not comfortable in naming
> the city either, simply for the fact that heads might very well roll.
>
> The new radios were not "officially" labeled as surplus, they were just
taken
> out and sold out the back door. The entire city went over to the new $40
> million + digital system over a years time, beginning with the water
> department, and ending with the police department switching over. Many
radios
> were lost in the shops during this time. (Note: I do not work in the shops
of
> this city, but I know people who have obtained radios from people that they
> know who do work at the shops)
>
> Just to give another example of how many of these radios just end up lying

> around: The handhelds ordered for the fire department are not waterproof,
they
> must be bagged and carried under turnouts, with only the speaker mike
exposed.
> If you go into the fire departments alarm office, there are usually over 20
or
> so new handhelds just laying around drying out, some need to be worked on,
but
> when examined, most work. I know of a couple of people who knew some of the
> firemen at the alarm office, who were given radios by the firemen there.
>
> All my post is saying is that if you really, really want to monitor your
city
> after they go digital, there is a way, it might not be easy, but I assure
you,
> these methods did indeed work in this instance.
>
> Jeff C.
>
> Visit LEGO Fire Tech at http://members.aol.com/regult/index.html
>
> "There's some nationalism left in the world, but mostly its giant
corporations
> who walk the earth as if it were their own."
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

497. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l7u01$h65@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158460

I
>> bill conduit
>
>
>(Thanks bill conduit, for reminding me to lighten up)...
>
>~Buck
>
The credit is all yours.

take a bow

u saw the light is now lit

what else is there?

bill conduit
etc.

498. Re: Any voice comms on 72-76 Mhz utility band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dyemiola@infoave.net
Message-ID: <6l80db$bo3$1@news1.infoave.net>
Source record: 158461

In article <3576D593.4AD9D446@email.sps.mot.com>,
Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com> wrote:
>
>Subject: Re: Any voice comms on 72-76 Mhz utility band?
>
>Mike
>WB8VLC
>Phoenix
In North Carolina The State Highway Patrol uses 6 or 7 frequency
pairs in this frequency band for Studio-Transmitter voice links
between Dispatch Centers (Which are usually in Towns that are in
the lowlands) and mountain-top Transmitter sites.

For example in my area 72.90 is an STL from E Troop Dispatch
(Salisbury) to the Xmtr site on Buck Mountain in the Uwharrie Nat'l
Forest.

499. Re: Any voice comms on 72-76 Mhz utility band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: No_Mail@No_Site.ca
Message-ID: <43Md1.1788$gY.1896846@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 158462

On 1998-06-04 r39031@email.sps.mot.com (Michael May, WB8VLC) said:
!References: <1998051023370500.TAA10111@ladder01.news.aol.com>
<356502d6.3592957@news.fastlink.com.au>
!Date: Thu, 04 Jun 1998 18:12:51 +0100
!NNTP-Posting-Host: 222.104.52.182
!Message-ID: <3576D593.4AD9D446@email.sps.mot.com>
!Organization: Motorola Semiconductor Products Sector
!X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.03 [en]C-MOTSPS4.03 (WinNT; I)

> When the band is open, which is rare these days, but soon with the
> rising solar cycle F layer propogation should be coming in on the
> VHF low and possibly VHF Mid bands, you can hear coms in the 78-79
> MHz range,

There's also a good possibility of picking up signals in that band via "sporadic E" propagation and weather-related phenomena. Both seem to peak around this time of year, so it would be a good time to start experimenting on the band. This past Monday June 1st about 5-6 AM, I was repairing a B&W portable tv in my basement (below ground) shop. With just the whip antenna I was picking up WCCO Minneapolis on channel 4, and CKY Winnipeg, MB on channel 5. I couldn't identify the station on channel 3, and channel 2 is out of the question because of a local station. It didn't occur to me to tune around with the scanner, but I'll bet both the 30-54 and 72-76 MHz bands would have produced some interesting catches for the Minnesota, Manitoba and NW Ontario regions. One summer, conditions held in for about 3 days. I was able to trip a Ham Radio repeater over 70 miles away with just my handheld, and I logged many of the low-power UHF-TV translators that are on the air in northern Minnesota.

> the only country at this time that I know of using this
> range with any amount of power is Thailand which use the VHF-Mid
> band. Maybe some other listeners have more info on other users of
> the VHF mid band, aside from infrequent military users which seem
> to be scarce these days.

I've always wanted to find an accurate, reliable list of North and Central American low and mid-band frequencies that wasn't limited to a specific region. This might be a good idea for someone with a scanner website who wants to provide something useful and different - instead of listing frequencies useful only in a small geographical region, and regurgitating the same old, tired links to other sites. I've always made a point of copying any low-band listings I've come across when cruising the Web. I'll bet a pretty good, accurate list could be assembled by accessing the bandplans posted at the FCC and Industry Canada homepages. There used to be some point-to-point stuff on 72-76 MHz used for linking the highway

department's towers here in Ontario, but I haven't checked for some time to see if they're still active. Getting CURRENT activity lists is the problem. A lot of sevices are abandoning their low-band comm channels and mid-band linking channels. I sure miss some of those state police and highway patrol channels!

I have a few favorite 30-50 Mhz channels I keep in my scanner to let me know when the band is open, and once I notice things are happening I usually start searching the public safety frequencies. Those small-town sherriff departments in the 37 MHz segment can be quite amusing. I don't have much in the way of listings for 72-76 MHz, though, and most of that stuff is low-power industrial comms that wouldn't propagate very well.

Best Wishes, Alan - VE3NNM

---
posted with Net-Tamer V 1.11 - Test Drive | The TRUTH about Micro$oft:
http://www.nettamer.net/tamer.htm | http://www.vcnet.com/bms/
---

500. Re: SPEED MOD PRO-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35778d4f.6378426@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158463

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net> wrote:

> ANY MOD TO SPEED UP PRO-2022?

Sure. Replace CX-1 with a 3.58 MHz "Color Burst"
quartz crystal.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

501. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35778F56.42ED@parg.org>
Source record: 158464

John T. Arthur wrote:
> Swearing and cursing?? I don't see it.

Look again below, I edited it for you so you can't miss it.

> > Jack Close wrote:
> > > John, get off your fat ass and

SNIP

502. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35778FC9.5218@parg.org>
Source record: 158465

allsafe wrote:
> ..... is an assertion that your family
> lineage is comprised of continuous and marrying of brother and sister
> for generation after generation.......

Why is it that YOU seem so experienced on these matters of incest?

503. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35779029.7471@parg.org>
Source record: 158466

matrix5@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> Would the sys admin really care? I would think he has better things to do
> than enforce no swearing rules on usenet. Just a thot.

True, but there seems to be a regular problem with users of the
warpgate system causing trouble here, usually cursing. :(

504. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357791B8.56C9@parg.org>
Source record: 158467

allsafe wrote:
> You're reputation is
> dirt. You're a little mental case running around with an internet
> account.

Looking in the mirror again allsafe?

To bad you are such a COWARD that you have to hide behind that
screen name. You sure are a big man behind that keyboard!

505. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35779235.68C6@parg.org>
Source record: 158468

Phil Smalley wrote:
> Judging by your own poor grammar and demented opinions I'd say you're

OK Phil, where are my "poor grammar and demented opinions"?

506. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357792A8.831@parg.org>
Source record: 158469

These seem to be all the things that YOU & CHEEK like to do!

MAlexder wrote:
> 1) Electronic stalking
> 2) Forging posts
> 3) Impersonations
> 4) Flaming
> 5) Lying
> 6) Censorship
> 7) Trouble making

507. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35779388.687F@parg.org>
Source record: 158470

Tom Jones wrote:

> Gad- I thought,(by virtue of the absence of blank lines in my newsreader
> due to axed posts), that you, Mr. Mackey, had finally slinked out of here,

Yea, I thought YOU had left since we hadn't seen any of your trouble
making attempts lately!

> Your constant harangues directed at Bill Cheek have wasted more bandwith
> than any other thread in any other Newsgroup, I would wager. I doubt if

You would lose that wager! Bill Cheek himself beats that with
the lies, flames, and trouble - making he does. Do you want a
few examples?

508. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l83tu$kkk@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158471

In <35778F56.42ED@parg.org> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>John T. Arthur wrote:
>> Swearing and cursing?? I don't see it.
>
>Look again below, I edited it for you so you can't miss it.
>
>
>
>> > Jack Close wrote:
>> > > John, get off your fat ass and
>
>SNIP

oh

oh john

oh martha

ooooooo eeeeeeee yazoo....

Mackeral is infirm and infantile and infected
with infectious vermanized stupiditis....very
anal kind of BVD smeller....

OH...now according to the heightened acumen
of the Mackeral...an ASS is Swearing and Cussing
topic. Or an Ass is he.

An ass...nice sight.
An ass...hers glows in mood-light
An ass...what a joy
Her ass...nothing like tinker-toy

An Ass...fine and true
an ass...don't remind me of you
An Ass...sloped to please
an ass...bumps pop up in cold breeze

An Ass...not cuss or swear
An Ass...hers so soft when toilet paper...does tear
An Ass..how does this end up in a MAckeral view
An Ass...he considers it a sin ... do you?

The Mackeral has a main-brain, lost
accusations so stuporific and at what cost
he alludes to a religiousness in what other's say
he don't like it...obey him or rue the day.

bill conduit
etc.

scanning is not spelled scaning. this is
to keep it topic-oriented.

509. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35779470.FD5@parg.org>
Source record: 158472

pja wrote:
> Why did you start all of this up again Mackey. Especially
> after you promised not to.

Because Bill Cheek started causing trouble on this NG again.
If you remember, I suggested he & I STOP all posts of
any type of flaming & such. He complied with this agreement
for a couple weeks. Then, when he thought I was off line
at the DAYTON HAMVENTION, he started again. Much to Bill's
surprise, I use a nation-wide ISP, so my travel status made
no difference.

> Everyone on this NG knows how nice and tolerant Bill is.
hehehehehehehehehehehehe
I didn't know what a comedy type you were!

> He is very well-liked

heheehheehehehhehe
See above comment!

510. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l84a3$rfa@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158473

In <35778FC9.5218@parg.org> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>allsafe wrote:
>> ..... is an assertion that your family
>> lineage is comprised of continuous and marrying of brother and
sister
>> for generation after generation.......
>
>Why is it that YOU seem so experienced on these matters of incest?

Well, I guess he got you there the Cheek Guy

I guess you will have to hide now seeing as how
his majesty of tragic nuances seems to have found
you out....what will you do now that he's thinking
he's won one over on you?

How will you face your ASS when you look in the
over-head mirror each morning?

decisions, decisions, decisions...with a scanner
in hand listening for the "saving saviours voice"

are yee damned the Check Guy?

curse you mackeral ?

i guess the Cheek Guy will fade away now
that the Mackeral has made his secret pubic
knowing?

it dont' look good for the check guy....

Has the mackeral made his final move? Does he
think he's got the CheeK Guy on the ground
hovelling at his command to "obey?"

stay tuned next week for "when will the
Cheek Guy recover? Will he recover?"

we have news crews on the way and will report
back as soon as the sun don't shine yesterday.

bill conduit
etc.

511. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3577950F.7544@parg.org>
Source record: 158474

~Buckyball wrote:
> supposed to be directed more towards Mackey because he is obviously unable
> to defend himself at any intelligent level

Hey Buckyball-

As soon as I am approached on an intelligent level, I will defend
myself at that level!

512. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l84lf$s3t@sjx-ixn11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158475

In <35779235.68C6@parg.org> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>Phil Smalley wrote:
>> Judging by your own poor grammar and demented opinions I'd say
you're
>
>OK Phil, where are my "poor grammar and demented opinions"?

stand in front of a mirror naked....

ouch

exclamation mark (wiped in vaseline)

bill conduit
etc.

513. FS/FT: Bearcat 200XLT (full coverage)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjheller-nospam@west.net
Message-ID: <pjheller-nospam-0506980005120001@pm6-48.sba1.avtel.net>
Source record: 158476

Bearcat 200XLT for sale. Full coverage, including cellular. In excellent condition. With case, charger, antenna and manual. Interested in selling outright or as partial trade for BC3000XLT or UBC3000XLT.

Reply to pjheller@west.net (or remove -nospam if auto replying).

Thanks.

514. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357a991a.9397641@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158477

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> > Don't bother flaming me.... I won't be returning...
> > ~Buck
>
> I mean this in the nicest possible way:
>
> Your fifteen minutes is up so get the buck out of here. Buck You!

You mean off the horse he rode in on? If so,
that means he's without transportation and will
have to stay.

515. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35788dbc.6487390@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158478

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"~Buck" <buckyball@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <6l7e7n$3jt@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>...
> >In <6l6njigku1@hirame.wwa.com> "~Buck" <buckyball@hotmail.com>
> >writes:
>
> >
> > Buck....lighten up. Smoke some good
> > weed and enjoy the whooooooossssssh.
> >
> > allow the light to be lit.
>
>
> You're absolutely right, Bill Conduit... I guess I just wasn't in a mood to
> see bickering posts earlier...

There is a sure cure for that. DON'T READ THEM.
No one is twisting your arm. You are master of
your own computer, are you not?

> It kinda pushed me over the edge, ya' know?

Lack of self-discipline and control?

> But now, I've returned (against my better judgement) and this time I entered
> the group knowing exactly what to expect... and when you take a step back
> and relax, it's quite entertaining. (In a "Jerry Springer" sorta way)...

Or.....the parts that aren't entertaining can be ignored.
Liken unto those hundreds of folks who may not have been
entertained by you....sure didn't unload on you.

> I apologize to Bill Cheek...

THAT isn't necessary. The score was evened up....

> The one thing I gathered from reading all of the previous BS is
> that you are the good guy in the battle between Cheek and Mackey...

Mackey and a few others have no love lost for Bill Conduit. In
fact, they may loathe him more than me.....because they don't
understand him at all. He tells them lots of things that go
over their heads. They understand me. I tell 'em to eat my

shorts. No prose and rhyme from me. But Conduit loves all
over 'em....and they can't stand that.

> Although in my opinion (which I'm sure you don't want to hear but
> I'm going to give anyway) you're both being immature...

Let me assure you that I can render judgments every bit
as harsh as you.....plus one.....even to infinity plus one,
if need be.

The easy solution? Judge not, lest ye be judged. Every wannabe
messiah knows that one.

> My previous post was supposed to be directed more towards Mackey

You didn't say that. Nor even hint at it.

> because he is obviously unable to defend himself at any intelligent
> level

Smacksie has no intelligence. He ranks on a par
with a turd floating in the Punch bowl of Life....
a pustule on the face of humanity.....a wart on the
tip of your favorite booger-picker....a canquer
sore on your soup coolers. Smacksie resembles a
case of the Herpes....he just keeps coming back...
and coming back.... You get used to him after
a while.

> and his babbling had gotten on my nerves

Short fuse?

> (as well as everyone elses as I had noticed).

First thing you have got to learn here is that you don't
speak for anyone else but yourself. Regardless of what
you see or think you see. If a guy pops the ace of spades
out of a fresh deck of cards, and it squirts apple cider
in your eye, you just act like it's normal.

> I guess I should've known better.

Indeed....

> What made me think that *I* would be the one who would say
> those "magic" words that got everyone to stop complaining.

Like I said....we get a messiah in here every couple of
weeks or so. You were a little overdue, actually.

> Silly me... If people want to argue, they're going to argue.

Speaking for myself, yes.....if I want to, I will.

> By the way, Cheek... You were right

Wuz there ever any doubt?

>.............about the scanner info... after I closed
> that LONG-ASS thread, I saw all kinds of scanner info, and I actually found
> some useful information that I had been looking for for quite some time
> now.... I apologize for snapping at everyone earlier...

That LONG ASS thread is actually rather short compared
to some that went well over a hundred posts.....

>I'll crawl back into my hole now... :)

Which one?

> (Thanks bill conduit, for reminding me to lighten up)...

That's the "etc. guy". He's kind of like the spiritual
leader of this newsgroup. That's why we're leery of
messiahs. He holds his own and doesn't pretend to have
a lot of answers. He meditates and spreads ooommmmmmm's
and other mantras over the Group. And there's just no
room for more than one messiah at a time around here.

Reminds me of a couple of preachers I knew back in my
Navy days. We stopped off for a night of R&R in Chu Lai
in about '66, I think it was....and both Deacon Dan and
Bible Bob went to town with us.

Right at 7 days later, they were both standing in the
clappe line down in Sick Bay right along with the rest
of the crowd. Legend had it they caught it off a
toilet seat. At least, each claimed that's how HE caught
it, and at the same time, they accused each other of
lechery and debauchery.

Years later, traipsing along come the good Reverends
James Jones, Swaggert, and Bakker.

Now do you understand? A feller does real well around
here to just take care of his own mess and not fingerpaint
in someone else's..... You stick to that, and you'll always
be able to pick your nose and never, ever have any doubt
about what is and ain't under your fingernails.

Capiche?

516. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357998c7.9314812@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158479

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

> >(Thanks bill conduit, for reminding me to lighten up)...

> The credit is all yours.
> take a bow
> u saw the light is now lit
> what else is there?

Spread the light? Taker the torch and run with it?

<g>

517. Re: Pro-2041 channel stepping HELP needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357b9978.9491977@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158480

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

kplotkin@access2.digex.net (Kenneth Plotkin) wrote:

> In article <35835169.30131161@news2.cts.com>,
> Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >Of course you'd have THAT problem. Nothing would
> >ever be assigned THAT frequency. To solve the matter,
> >try entering: 912.0625 .... all four decimal
> >places for the correct assignment. Works fine.
> [snip]
>
> The manual for my PRO-64 (handheld version of 2041) says that if you put
> in an off-step frequency it will round it down to the next lower good one.
>
> I wonder if the original problem and your solution are somehow related to
> that documented behaviour. :-)

It is odd.....because if you ENTER 912.072, it rounds
down to ....guess what..... 912.0625. Doesn't appear
to be any rounding up in these scanners.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

518. Re: Modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357c9bc5.10081192@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158481

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

scanist@acmenet.net wrote:

> > It ain't gonna happen....

> I must have read his post wrong.........
> A thousand pardons.......

Well, we both know he meant "cellular". But
if a feller can't say what he means nor mean
what he says, then he leaves Pandora's Box wide
open for all kinds of trouble. Exactness and
precision are pretty important in this hobby.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

519. Re: RS PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357d9cd9.10356932@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158482

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

agreer1022@aol.com (AGreer1022) wrote:

> I have an old 34 and have contemplated having it
> modified, has any one done it?

Yes.

> If so, was it worth it?

Yes.

> Also, there are 3 or 4 screws that look like they are
> for fine tuning(?)once back cover is off. Anybody with
> suggestions.................

Yeah. If you know what's good for you, leave
'em the hell alone. Seriously!

520. Re: Wabted: The new Honolulu PD Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l88vu$ois@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158483

In <3576e4c0.0@bonaparte.pixi.com> Bill <bport@pixi.com> writes:
>
>Honolulu has gone to a trunked 800 system. Does anyone know what
>frequencies they are now using??? Thanks, Bill

i may be
i may be not
but if i am
help me untie dis knot

say brah
dis kine u seek
i tink it GE Erickson system
of which no scanner can tweak

bill conduit
shakah brah

521. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060508141000.EAA18605@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158484

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>Because Bill Cheek started causing trouble on this NG again.
>If you remember, I suggested he & I STOP all posts of
>any type of flaming & such. He complied with this agreement
>for a couple weeks.

Naw, you are as filthy liar, as everyone knows. All was quiet when you were unable to post here while off doing who knows what (I still suspect that you were in jail). Then you broke the supposed "truce" that you now claim to have engineered and have been posting one flame after another. Let's see, this is what day 4 or 5 of your return and you've posted more than 30 flames. I'll guess that today alone you have posted more than a dozen.

You've already resumed your vendetta against Cheek; you've been flaming with abandon; and you are busily engaged in electronic stalking. Soon, the forgeries, the impersonations, and all of your other nefarious activities will recommence. All the while you will be claiming hypocritically that you are making the newsgroup a better place.

30 flames and not one on topic post yet... PARG (who you claim to represent) must be really proud of your accomplishments.

522. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd905d$301ff180$b91637a6@default>
Source record: 158485

Hey Mackey ... You complain about on topic posting and constantly complain about Bill Cheek but as I read the posts Bill is posting on topic and actually helpinng people. I have gotten good information from several of his posts. I have not seen one of your posts be on topic about scanners lately. They are all bitchin' about Bill Cheek and Bill Condiut.

I speak only for myself, but I suspect most people will agree with me when I say ... If you post stay on topic and quit bitchin' at everyone. I told myself I was not going to take sides in this but I'm tired of this. When was the last time you posted something that actually helped somebody. If you can't be nice to people (and I mean everyone) then SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Enough said ... Good Night ...

Mike

To the rest of the group ... sorry for losing my temper but this getting old ... thanks for your patience ...
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote in article <35779470.FD5@parg.org>...
> pja wrote:
> > Why did you start all of this up again Mackey. Especially
> > after you promised not to.
>
> Because Bill Cheek started causing trouble on this NG again.
> If you remember, I suggested he & I STOP all posts of
> any type of flaming & such. He complied with this agreement
> for a couple weeks. Then, when he thought I was off line
> at the DAYTON HAMVENTION, he started again. Much to Bill's
> surprise, I use a nation-wide ISP, so my travel status made
> no difference.
>
>
>
> > Everyone on this NG knows how nice and tolerant Bill is.
> hehehehehehehehehehehehe
> I didn't know what a comedy type you were!
>
>
>
> > He is very well-liked

>
> heheehheehehehhehe
> See above comment!
>

523. Re: Weatheradio with SAME technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkfel@primenet.com
Message-ID: <3577b79f.112008095@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 158486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 15:01:53 GMT, jcardani@erols.com (Joseph Cardani) wrote:

>Hi,
>
>Does anyone know who manufacturers weather alert radios with the new
>SAME technology, other then Radio Shack?
>

Oregon Scientific is producing a SAME receiver for about half the cost of the RS version.

I saw them at Fry's Electronics.

73,

Mark
KC7BXS

524. Re: Barry Goldwater Eulogy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkfel@primenet.com
Message-ID: <3577b854.112188241@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 158487

On 04 Jun 1998 12:54:37 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Barry Goldwater Eulogy
>>From: "Karl Dahlquist" <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
>>Date: Wed, Jun 3, 1998 17:35 EDT
>>Message-id: <6l4fhmeuc1@bolivia.it.earthlink.net>
>>
>>oops, that was his brother....was watching on my laptop...so I couldnt read
>>the graphic...!
>>
>>
>
>What are you talking about? This and your previous post make absolutely no
>sense.

It makes perfect sense to anyone over the age of 30. Barry Goldwater (K7UGA) and several diehard amateur radio operators ran phone patches from troops in the Viet Nam war connecting them to family and loved ones at home.

This was done out of Barry's hamshack in his Metro-Phoenix home. Several Phoenix hams, including many people in my ham radio club spent thousands of hours in this endevor. A little known fact: Sen. Barry Goldwater also took a complete slow scan TV setup (a newfangled invention then) to Viet Nam, so troops could also send and receive pictures!

Remember, this was a time when their were no communications satellites, no public internet, and very few land lines on the Asian landmass. Our own military was stuck using HF or MOONBOUNCE to relay over-the horizon voice and data at the time.

Barry Goldwater's contributions to this country are numerous, he was a pioneer in aviation, radio, photography, and government.

Another little known fact: Both the Pentagon and the Senate Office Building have hamshacks.

73,

Mark Fellhauer, KC7BXS
V.P. and packet coordinator, ThunderBird Amateur Radio Club (formerly Honeywell ARC)

525. South African frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregmcc@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6l8igm$r9e$1@hermes.is.co.za>
Source record: 158488

Anyone got a list of scanner frequencies for SA - in particular Cape Town,

Thanks

526. Re: Winradio Etc..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John McDermon <mcdermon@usa.net>
Message-ID: <rjQd1.65$ri4.208034@news.internetmci.com>
Source record: 158489

>> I am a computer programmer,
>
>And Icom refuses to support programmers, so?????

Your point is well taken.

>
>> and obviously the radio internal settings can be easily
>> corrupted by experimenting.
>
>Someone else suggested that....but the problem does
>not seem widespread, so that's not one of the Icom's
>glaring faults.

Well... IT IS a glaring fault if you encounter it! :)

And to tell the truth, I've done relatively little experimenting with the radio software. I suspect there are a number of people who have encountered this problem and just don't realize it yet. The symptoms don't disable the radio - just diminish its bandscope display sensitivitiy, and cause the AFC to malfunction. I know of 4 radios on the pcr-1000@qth.net mail list that have encountered identical problems.

>
>> Anyway, one of my biggest frustrations with
>> the radio is that Icom has been so tight-lipped about the command protocols.
>
>Seems a LOT of companies haven't learned the IBM-PC/compatible
>and Open Architecture Lesson yet,

In total agreement with ya on this one!

Whats the scoop on the rumors of a new Winradio 1000e and/or 1500e (e for external?) models? Are they available? Or will they soon be? I'd jump ship from my Icom in a heartbeat if so.

Anyone want a deal on a slightly used and slightly confused PCR-1000? :)

John McDermon

527. Scanner Groups in Houston/Galveston area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Carroll <jcarroll@nol.net>
Message-ID: <3577D4DB.CB1DEECE@nol.net>
Source record: 158490

Are there any scanner/amater radio ground in the Houston/Galveston area?
If so let me know. Are there any news groups or web sites for
Houston/Galveston area scanning?

Thanks for Any input?

528. What is the best Trunk Tracking scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Carroll <jcarroll@nol.net>
Message-ID: <3577D553.805B3718@nol.net>
Source record: 158491

What is the best, resonable cost Trunk Tracker scanner?

Also, how does this work? I'm kind of familliar with Trunking, but how does the scanner know what frequencies to look for?

Confused (and new to scanning)
Josh Carroll

529. Not up to the Challange Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <3577D54F.81AAE804@iname.com>
Source record: 158492

I sent the following email to Mackey, and the ng.
Why haven't you replied to my Challange Mackey?
We both know I can do it....and we both know you can't, so maybe this is why you haven't replied.
Waddaya say MACKEY?

Subject:
Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit
Date:
Wed, 03 Jun 1998 18:02:49 -0400
From:
The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Organization:
Freebanders of America
To:
ka0ssf@parg.org
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.scanner
References:
1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Forgive me. You're right. My left
> > hand took over from the right hand at
> > that instant on the keyboard.
>
> Were you grabbing one of your little boys again Bill?

Boy this is on topic and polite all right MACKEY.
Be aware, that unlike you, the rest of us are not pedophiles.
I challange you to take the challange I defeated you at once already, and shut the hell up for 2 weeks.
I know you're not up to it...
And you know I can...
So what do you say MACKEY?

530. AOR 5000 for`sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tazdvls@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060511263000.HAA24086@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158493

has descrambler,CTCSS,2-EEPROMS, Cell, excellent condition,$1800 shipped

please email direct to Tazdvls@aol.com

531. Re: Motorola Trunking: How to track?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <3577E534.1252881C@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 158494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jay O'Brien wrote:
> I have a Radio Shack PRO-90 TrunkTracker. I have found many
> shortcomings with this unit. Is there anything else out there,
> especially something that will utilize an external computer, that will
> overcome some or all of the following items?
>
> Note that the Trunking system I am monitoring uses 27 radio transmit
> frequencies (not including the receive frequencies) and has over 300
> active talk groups.
[snip]
> Any leads? I have DOS and Win95 computers available and two IC-R7000's,
> if that is any help.

Jay,
I think most of your problems, if not all, could be solved
by purchasing the BC895XLT and using third party software such
as Scancat.

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

532. Looking for Uniden part

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka1txk@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060512445600.IAA28162@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158495

looking for a volume control part for bearcat 855. Uniden part # BPHY0119AAZ
volume control # on circut board is PH-119AC if anyone has infomation on this
part contact KA1TXK@aol.com.
thank you Bill

533. Re: What is the best Trunk Tracking scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Lanning <kevin.lanning@eastgroup.com>
Message-ID: <01bd907f$9ac6ad40$5746dacd@commserv>
Source record: 158496

You really don't have many choices when it comes to self contained trunking scanners. The only choices that I know of are the Uniden BC-235XLT (handheld, 300 channels), the Uniden BC-895XLT (base, 300 channels), the Radio Shack Pro-90 (handheld, same as BC-235XLT), the RS Pro-91 (handheld, 150 channels), and the RS Pro-2050(?) (base/mobile). I have been using a BC-235XLT for about 6 months now and don't really have any complaints about it. It really just depends on whether you need a handheld or a base model, and which one is on sale right now.

Joshua Carroll <jcarroll@nol.net> wrote in article
<3577D553.805B3718@nol.net>...
> What is the best, resonable cost Trunk Tracker scanner?
>
> Also, how does this work? I'm kind of familliar with Trunking, but how
> does the scanner know what frequencies to look for?
>
> Confused (and new to scanning)
> Josh Carroll
>
>
>

534. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Carroll <jcarroll@nol.net>
Message-ID: <3577D2FE.E0DB82C2@nol.net>
Source record: 158497

tyler wrote:

> >dammit...
> >I think that you should be allowed to do whatever you want in your own car...
> >I mean whats wrong with driving naked?
> >
>
> It depends Daniel.... Are the seats leather or cloth?
>
> The problem with it is you are distracting other drivers and could couse an
> accident.
>
> Tyler

Distracting other drivers? When I drive, I don't care if the person in front/side of me is naked or jamming to a song, I just care if they are swerving in my lane and what not. Your car should be like your mobile house..do whatever you want as long as you don't endanger anyone else, like drinking beer..i hate that. My girlfriend was killed by a drunk four years ago.

535. FS AOR-8000 WB RX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4gj <k4gj@citrus.infi.net>
Message-ID: <6l8tat$294$1@nw001t.infi.net>
Source record: 158498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

AOR 8000 FS, the ultimate handheld rx. Rec all modes from 500khz to 1900MHZ.
AM,NFM,WFM,USB,LSB, and CW. With book and wall xfmr. Has one small crack
aroiund base of antenna, otherwise looks as new.
This is not a cellular blocked unit. $400 and shipping.
k4gk@citrus.infi.net

536. New RS Trunktracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Giraudo <giraudo@sierra.net>
Message-ID: <3577F994.693BDFD9@sierra.net>
Source record: 158499

Anyone have any info on the new RS trunktracker? I saw it advertised for about $160 in a flyer that showed up in the mail yesterday. How does it compare to the others in the field??

tks 73 Joe N7JEH
Nevada Section Emergency Coordinator

537. FS: Yaesu FT-51R Dual Band/Dual Recieve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Tirschwell <tirsch@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <6l8vup$mg@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 158500

For Sale:

Mint Condition FT-51R UK UNCUT version. Receives 70-999 Mhz.
Includes Radio, stock antenna, case (csc-67), AA pack (fba 14), Display Mic (MH29), 1 hour
quick charger (nc50), and manuals.

This is Yaesu's finest HT with dual receivers, and extended receive.

$550 firm (includes overnight COD shipping in the US)

US orders only!

Reply via mail tirsch@msn.com

538. Re: Wabted: The new Honolulu PD Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@popd.Accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <35780FCC.5282@popd.Accesscom.com>
Source record: 158501

Bill wrote:
>
> Honolulu has gone to a trunked 800 system. Does anyone know what
> frequencies they are now using??? Thanks, Bill

Bill;

Here are the frequencies from the FCC database. Unless you are running the EDACS trunk program on your computer you will not hear much because it is a Ericsson system.

From the looks of the licenses it's probably a multiple site system so you should be hearing several data channels at one time.

Let us know what you hear.

The frequencies are (in MHz)

Mobile Repeater

821.0375 866.0375
821.0625 866.0625
821.0875 866.0875
821.1125 866.1125
821.1625 866.1625
821.2500 866.2500
821.2875 866.2875
821.3125 866.3125
821.3375 866.3375
821.3625 866.3625
821.4125 866.4125
821.5375 866.5375
821.5625 866.5625
821.5875 866.5875
821.6125 866.6125
821.6625 866.6625
821.7500 866.7500
821.7875 866.7875
821.8125 866.8125
821.8375 866.8375
821.8625 866.8625
821.9125 866.9125
822.0375 867.0375
822.0625 867.0625
822.0875 867.0875
822.1125 867.1125

822.1625 867.1625
822.2500 867.2500
822.2875 867.2875
822.3125 867.3125
822.3625 867.3625
822.4125 867.4125
822.5375 867.5375
822.5625 867.5625
822.6125 867.6125
822.6625 867.6625
822.7500 867.7500
822.7875 867.7875
822.8125 867.8125
822.8625 867.8625
822.9125 867.9125
823.0375 868.0375
823.0625 868.0625
823.1125 868.1125
823.1375 868.1375
823.1625 868.1625
823.2500 868.2500
823.2875 868.2875
823.3125 868.3125
823.3625 868.3625
823.3875 868.3875
823.4125 868.4125
823.5000 868.5000
823.5375 868.5375
823.5625 868.5625
823.6125 868.6125
823.6375 868.6375
823.6625 868.6625
823.7500 868.7500
823.7875 868.7875
823.8125 868.8125
823.8625 868.8625
823.8875 868.8875
823.9125 868.9125

Regards

Ed

539. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu343v.IAE@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158502

On Fri, 5 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> John T. Arthur wrote:
> > Swearing and cursing?? I don't see it.
>
> Look again below, I edited it for you so you can't miss it.
>
>
>
> > > Jack Close wrote:
> > > > John, get off your fat ass and

That is not swearing and cursing - an ass is a donkey; a fat ass is a well-fed donkey, you nitwit!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

540. need help with Pro 2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: blugil@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <blugil-0506981145230001@ip88.bay-city.mi.pub-ip.psi.net>
Source record: 158503

after recent storms I noticed all programed frequency's where gone,everything says zero,when I try an enter a new one message pops up saying error and won't allow me to program,does anyone remember how to program these or is it "fried" from storm??? Please somebody?

541. FS: Pro 2005 Base Scanner Full 800MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6l93u7$f5a@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158504

For Sale pro 2005 scanner from Radio Shack top of the line looks
justlike a 2006 has 400 ch mint cond comes with ant.. great scanner
selling this Gem for 275.00 plus shipping email me thanks..
Or call me at 714 960-2835... Mike
This has the Cell Mod already
No Gap's

542. MACKEY beaten down... ha ha ha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <35781369.2027FF97@pacifier.com>
Source record: 158505

MACKEY your responses are sounding weaker as time goes
on. Are you losing sleep or something? Aren't enough hours
in the day to reply to all the people that HATE you? I sure
wish I could conjure up some compassion for you... but
of course you aint worth it.
Allsafe has really been tearing you a new asshole. Its so
funny how his replies are SO MUCH MORE sophisticated
than yours. POOR little MACKEY. You better go hire
someone to write some good replies for you.

543. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35780fd1.39799011@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158506

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> Hey Mackey ... .
> ....When was the last time you posted something that
> actually helped somebody.

There never was a first time, so there can't be
a "last" time. He can't help anyone with anything.
The poor wingnut can't even help himself. He is
the epitome of "helpless".

...and stupid beyond belief. He doesn't know a
radio from play-dee-oh. Calls himself a "Volunteer
Examiner". You know why. When they were hiring
Examiners, he applied and got laughed outa the
building.

So the only way he can examine hemorrhoids now
is to VOLUNTEER.... the poor sap!

544. Re: Icom PCR1000 (more bandscope ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miguel Puchol <mpuchol@eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <357816A3.3CC3F2AF@eurohubner.com>
Source record: 158507

No.

JM BRM wrote:

> Can i vew more than 400Khz of band scope ? The original software only
> is
> possible
>
> a spectrum analysis of 400Khz.
>
> If you can help, tanks. JM Nunes

545. Re: need help with Pro 2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35781e55.43515734@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158508

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

blugil@pipeline.com wrote:

> after recent storms I noticed all programed frequency's where
> gone,everything says zero,when I try an enter a new one message pops up
> saying error and won't allow me to program,does anyone remember how to
> program these or is it "fried" from storm??? Please somebody?

Look for a RESET or RESTART button somewhere behind
a hole on the back panel. A keyboard reset button is
NOT what we're looking for here. We need a system
RESTART...serves the same purpose as the one on your
PC....Turn the scanner on and press that button and
hold it for a couple of seconds.

Hopefully that will do it. If not, then the radio
is a candidate for repair by the Radio Shack Service center.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

546. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6l7e7n$3jt@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158551

In <6l6njigku1@hirame.wwa.com> "~Buck" <buckyball@hotmail.com>
writes:
>
>
>Don't bother flaming me.... I won't be returning...
>~Buck
>
>
What happens when your wife says:

"you are sleeping with the dog"

the dog has the scanner...

therefore this is scanner related post....

buck....
this is part of the
price NGers pay for
being free to post
pretty much as one
wants to...within
reason....

Mackey...the IDIOT of Mackeral
does not think reasonably.

it's a "macho" thing
a "guy" thing
a "damn him" thing
a "screw him" thing
a "faux paux" lesson
a major downer
a loose grip on what's hanging out his nose
a fallow sight which he cannot see

Buck....lighten up. Smoke some good
weed and enjoy the whooooooossssssh.

allow the light to be lit.

bill conduit
etc.

547. Re: Motorola Trunking: How to track?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Lanning <kll@esn.net>
Message-ID: <01bd901b$b6523700$6946dacd@kevin>
Source record: 158552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have just started using the Trunker program which will do just about all of the things that you want to do. It involves building a simple interface for under $15 and tapping into a scanner's discriminator pin. Not hard to do. I haven't been able to use the program for tracking because I do not have a computer controllable scanner but the information that Trunker will display if great. I'm pretty sure that the IC-R7000 is supported for tracking control. Check it out at http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm

Jay O'Brien <w6go@xxxnospam.com> wrote in article <35770346.A66F4475@quiknet.com>...
> I have a Radio Shack PRO-90 TrunkTracker. I have found many
> shortcomings with this unit. Is there anything else out there,
> especially something that will utilize an external computer, that will
> overcome some or all of the following items?

548. Re: OHIO: Mahoning County Frequency Updates?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060502034600.WAA15536@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158553

Stephen Puskar" <spuskar@concentric.net> wrote:

>Can anyone help me out with any new Mahoning Co. City and townships Police
>and Fire Freqs. Anything new over the last few years would be appreciated.
>Thanks
>Steve from Boardman, Ohio
>spuskar@concentric.net

Although I have moved away from the Warren/Youngstown area, my web site will be staying up untill someone else posts a new site on the area. The info for the most part should be fairly current, although there is much more info on Trumbull county as opposed to Mahoning county.

The site is:
http://members.aol.com/regult/scanner.html

Jeff C.

Visit LEGO Fire Tech at http://members.aol.com/regult/index.html

"There's some nationalism left in the world, but mostly its giant corporations who walk the earth as if it were their own."

549. RS PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: agreer1022@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060503214000.XAA00452@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158554

I have an old 34 and have contemplated having it modified, has any one done it? If so, was it worth it? Also, there are 3 or 4 screws that look like they are for fine tuning(?)once back cover is off. Anybody with suggestions.....

Thanks,

Andy

550. Re: Help !!! Pro 2045 discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <qDJd1.261$mR.1914865@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 158555

Bill Cheek wrote in message <357c4c91.28890171@news2.cts.com>...
>"Steven Peck" <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
>> I looked at the FAQ but the Pro-2045 is not mentioned in it. Is it
listed
>> under a similar unit, I too am interested in tapping the discriminator
>> output on my Pro-2045.
>
>With over 900 scanners ever made, that FAQ
>obviously has only a fraction. But it also
>contains hints and techniques for "discovery"
>in those scanners not listed.
>
Thanks, I understand your point, but I thought it was one of the ones you
had specifically looked at per the original post. I thought I missed
something in the FAQ's that's all.

Steve

551. WTB: Pro-2045 / for discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6l7tpojpb1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158556

Looking for a Pro-2045 for the pure purpose of using it to monitor trunked control channels. I know a handful of you out there must be hoarding these, I haven't seen any near me. I would also be interested in other base scanners that already have a discriminator tap or would be moded easily for one. I am not looking to pay any more that $150 for such a unit. Thanks in advance . . .

Jeff

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

552. Re: Modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <6l7k03$5kc$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158557

I must have read his post wrong.....
A thousand pardons.....
Eddie

> It ain't gonna happen....
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---
>
>

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

553. pro-2021 programming question- channel spacing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ameijers <ameijers@mail.tds.net>
Message-ID: <3579CC69.6DD5@mail.tds.net>
Source record: 158210

Apologies in advance if this is in a FAQ somewhere- if so, please point me to it.

RS pro-2021, from a garage sale, so no manual. (and yes, I downloaded the 'manual' from RatShacks web page, and it doesn't mention it.)

Is it possible, and if so, how, to make this unit cover the frequncies that end in 5 rather than 0? (ie, 171.5125- when I punch it in, it rounds to 171.510). I heard from my radio guy at work that the FCC changed the chanel spacing awhile back.

I just wanna program the freqs from my at-work repeater (federal) into this thing, so I can get a heads-up with the morning coffee. (and if it is too crazy, know not to go in... :^))

Replies via e-mail also, plz- my ISP only posts most of the traffic.

aem sends....

554. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3579F1A0.8090A257@swbell.net>
Source record: 158211

Don't try and back pedal Johnny boy , your intent to accuse was there otherwise you would never have posted it .
You wanted to start a rumor and were hoping other people would pick up on it and condemn Bill as a child molestor.
But the people on the newsgroup were not buying it as you and your buddy OBFD have never been able to show any proof that would back up your statement, even after the grandstand posting that you were waiting for the San Diego courts to send the proof and once the two of you had it you would post it for all to see .
So what happend to this proof of a warrant out for Bill, did it get lost in the mail or was it just something you and OBFD made up and conspired together in order to discredit Bill.

Johnny boy the only one you are discrediting is yourself , you can stop all this nonsense and let the newsgroup go back on topic, all you have to do is stop your feud with Bill.
And don't spout the crap about Bill restarting it because he didn't you did.

--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not con-
stitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit
unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above.
Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied.
Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

555. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579D655.34DC@parg.org>
Source record: 158212

Blake Bowers wrote:
> Great, hope you enjoyed the comment, BUT, there was no support
> whatsoever.

I'm not surprised that you did not intend to support. However,
truth was supportive so I still thank you, Blake.

556. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579D7A0.63DC@parg.org>
Source record: 158213

Ray Wilcox wrote:
>
> ok someone has to ask mackey do you have a scanner ?

yes I do have a scanner.

Do YOU?

557. Re: Scanner recomendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: slba@nwu.edu
Message-ID: <slba-0606981844270001@pine009183.nuts.nwu.edu>
Source record: 158214

> Try these pages :
>
> http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/STARTING.HTM
> http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/PICKRECV.HTM
>

Rich,

The URL doesn't work.

SLeb

--
Susan LeBailly
Northwestern University
slba@nwu.edu

558. Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <kingfish@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <6lcnob$bi5$1@newsfep4.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 158215

From the June 6, 1998 Albany, NY "Times Union":

" Conspiracy overheard on scanner

Police arrest 3 suspects after good Samaritan hears plot to
burglarize elderly women

While listening to the routine chatter on her home police scanner one
morning this week, a Stillwater woman heard an unusual conversation - two
males plottting to beat and rob an elderly Malta woman.
The woman immediately reported to State Police. After swift detective
work, three suspects were in custody and charged with conspiracy. The
elderly woman was unharmed."

" ..... As the scanner crackled with chatter about emergency vehicles
responding to the storm cleanup in the Stillwater- Mechanicville region, a
private phone conversation crept in."
^^
(emphasis added)

" A male was telling his friend 'about an elderly woman who lived alone in
Malta and was known to keep large amounts of money in her purse in the
kitchen', Investigator Frank Cameron said"

"... One of the men was on a cordless phone, allowing the scanner to
intercept the conversation, he said."

The article goes on to detail the arrest of three men who were charged
with a felony count of fourth degree conspiracy.

Could this case produce a precedent concerning listening to phone
converations if there is a good reason, i.e. stopping a violent crime?

559. FS Icom R10 no gaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <scanner@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <3579FF52.146A@accessus.net>
Source record: 158216

Hello All,

I have a Icom R10 for sale , Full coverage, 500khz-1300mhz, no gaps,

4 months old, manual, antenna, strap, nicads , charger/supply,

excellent condition. $325.00 shipped

I'm starting a new hobby and have to thin out my current stock of

equipment to pay for it.

thank you!

Jeff

560. Re: MACKEY beaten down... ha ha ha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lcs7m$g5p@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158217

Oh no, I don't approve of Mackey much myself, judging from many of his posts. I think "PJA" (or whoever he is) was being, well (and forgive me for saying this again and again) stupid.

Bill Cheek is pretty cool - he is actually one of the few intelligent and logical people on the Internet. I support him.

BC895XL wrote:

> >Subject: Re: MACKEY beaten down... ha ha ha
> >From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
> >Date: Fri, Jun 5, 1998 18:34 EDT
> >Message-id: <6l9rif$bhm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
> >
> >
>
> >Beware I was not defending Mackey in my first reply (I think he is
> >questionable himself), nor anyone else. The level in which you've dealt
> >with your own problems is incredibly low. Quasar stupid.
>
> Blah, blah, blan...Little Fancy boy fancies himself to be another Mackey. You
> two queens should be very happy together. But don' tell James--he might get
> jealous, he he.

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

561. Re: Cordless Phones: Which one cannot be heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lcsg5$g5p@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158218

You are correct- I should correct *myself* in saying that most scanners capable of receiving the transmissions are also able to decrypt/"de-digitalize" (if you will) using an external unit. I apologize for my possibly misleading info.

BC895XL wrote:

> >From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
>
> >There will be a Panasonic cordless coming out within one or two months
> >that operates on 2.8 GHz. Otherwise, any capable scanner can receive
> >cordless phone conversations, digital, SST (spread spectrum), or of
> >course, analog.
>
> What are you saying? The scanner can pick up the signals but listening to
> spoken words is entirely something else. You don't mean to say that a scanner
> listener can understand a digital conversation do you? I hope not. You'd be
> terribly wrong if that is so.

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

562. [Fwd: Swap Board]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles F. McManus Jr." <cmcmanus@twave.net>
Message-ID: <357A05EB.5166586F@twave.net>
Source record: 158219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Don't forget to check out and use our Swap Board. Thanks.

http://www.InsideTheWeb.com/messageboard/mbs.cgi/mb21061

Sponsored By:

http://users.twave.net/carc

and KA4CMT

563. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ROBERT J FORLINI <FORLINI@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6lcvqv$4k7s$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 158220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Thanks again Rich,
After browsing more of your site last night, I went and returned my
BX80XLT for a RS PRO91 on sale for $179. I seem to have gotten bitten by the
bug! Now I have to try and figure out some of these features!
Bob

Rich Wells wrote in message
>Hi Bob,
> You are quite welcome and I hope you find it useful!

564. Re: Scanner recomendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <357A040F.E123A08D@ibm.net>
Source record: 158221

Susan LeBailly wrote:
> > http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/STARTING.HTM
> > http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/PICKRECV.HTM
>
> The URL doesn't work.

Unfortunately, the QSL server seems to have gone down early this morning and has yet to come back up. I got in early this morning around 8 but when I went back around 11, I could not get in myself to do some page updates.

Keep trying!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

565. Re: FS Icom r10 SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <scanner@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <357A2B86.7D81@accessus.net>
Source record: 158222

Jeff wrote:
>
> Hello All,
>
> I have a Icom R10 for sale , Full coverage, 500khz-1300mhz, no gaps,
>
> 4 months old, manual, antenna, strap, nicads , charger/supply,
>
> excellent condition. $325.00 shipped
>
> I'm starting a new hobby and have to thin out my current stock of
>
> equipment to pay for it.
>
> thank you!
>
> Jeff

566. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6ld68g$35e$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158223

That is not unlike this article from awhile back.
http://www.news-observer.com/daily/1998/04/12/nc00.html

567. WTB: Kenwood TH-42AT and/or TSU-8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghopper@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ghopper-0606982220270001@ghopper.ndip.eskimo.net>
Source record: 158224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur

Looking for a Kenwood TH-42AT in good condition. prefer it with a TSU-8 installed.

Also looking for a seperate TSU-8 for my TH-22AT.

Please e-mail ghopper@eskimo.com with offers for sale.

G Hopper
KB7WSD

--
G.F. Hopper
ghopper@eskimo.com
KB7WSD

568. Re: Freeway Camera freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ROLL-O <rollo@trib.com>
Message-ID: <3579D28B.6E677BA1@trib.com>
Source record: 158225

The CB Guy wrote:
>
> Don't know which cameras you're looking at, but most of them are
> Microwave linked.
> Haven't seen any with Yagis...But I would suspect digitall transmissions
> if they are VHF or Uhf..
>
> Zxzx777 wrote:
> >
> > On some of the Detroit freeways, and possibly in other cities as well, there
> > are cameras mounted to monitor traffic conditions. These cameras have a yagi
> > antenna installed on them. Is this antenna used to receive signals to tell it
> > to move in certain ways, or is it used to transit video back to a central
> > location? Can the video be intercepted? Anybody know what frequencies may be
> > in use? Could a amateur radio type SSTV interface be used to capture these
> > images? Seems like a sorta-neat way to spend some time if interception is
> > possible. TIA.

If you have a prybar , I'll let you know. box & antenna, no bridges
please.

569. Used Scanner Price Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3579DB23.4A1C@prodigy.net>
Source record: 158226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The May 1998 update has now been posted at:

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/home.html

570. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nomad <NOMAD@NOSPAM.COM>
Message-ID: <6lbklc$4cp$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 158260

Good idea Dave.....I did that last week.....LOL

David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS wrote in message
<6lanm2$55h@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>Ok, I've had it. While Mackey is useless, Bill Cheeks can be just as
>obnoxious when he is not on the subject of scanning. I hate to lose useful
>info from Cheeks, but both are are going into my kill list. I thought
>things were getting better for a while, but when I checked the group this
>evening, a good portion of the messages are dealing with the Cheek/Mackey
>feud. From what I've seen I expect this from Mackey, but Bill, you seem to
>be an intelligent person, why do you fan the flames?
>
>
>

571. FS:Explorer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art <ka3fmo@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <6lbn76ebh1@camel0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 158261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
I have the Optoelectronics Explorer for sale. This is in excellent condition, with boxes and all receipts. Has been modified to include the cell band.

Paid $900 for unit not including the case I also purchased for it.

Really can't let everything go for no less than $800. Used very little.

Thanks..

Art
ka3fmo@mindspring.com

572. WTB_Spectra spectrum adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bush143@postoffice.worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lbngl$5ff@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a unit that I can hook up to an osscilloscope, and provide a spectrum display of radio signals from my receiver. I believe a company with a name similar to "Spectra?" made such units.

Please let me know if you have this or other similar units for sale. Please respond directly to me via e-mail. Thanks!

573. FS Pro 2005 Scanner Full 800 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lbn9h$5r1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158263

For Sale pro 2005 scanner from Radio Shack top of the line looks
justlike a 2006 has 400 ch mint cond comes with ant.. great scanner
selling this Gem for 250.00 plus shipping email me thanks..
Or call me at 714 960-2835... Mike
This has the Cell Mod already
No Gap's

574. Re: Best current price of handheld Trunktracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: skorzy@SPAM_REMOVE.sprintmail.com
Message-ID: <skorzy-0606981143140001@sdn-ts-056mdrelrp10.dialsprint.net>
Source record: 158264

In article <6l9r0r$bhm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>, jtonsa@att.net wrote:

> I've seen the HH version of the 895 on sale at RS for about $160.
>

I just picked up the Pro90..which is nearly equivalent to the BC235XLT for
$219. Its a Trunk Tracker and so far, I'm pleased with its performance.

-Skorzy

575. Discriminator output on PRO-2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: AJH <ajh@myhouse.org>
Message-ID: <6lbr8j$9te$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 158265

Does anyone have step by step instructions on building a discriminator output for the PRO-2037?

576. Re: scanner antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6lbvel$mr8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158266

Yes it is thebest antenna I have ever used ! :)

The Cat # for Shack is.... 20-014

See if you local shack can hunt one down , they are still around !!!

Not in vast amounts , but I got one just the other day , and again...cant
be happier with it!

This is my 3rd one too....the 1st one died a violent death due to high winds ,
thats about the only draw back on that Antenna.

The 2nd one , I sold...

This one is NEW, and welll never leave my collections of ' toys ' :)

I did wx proff it..and will replace the spacers Next Spring , in the North of
Ontario , the temps can get VERY cold...and the summers...very hot...not a
very good combo for this antenna.

Again , they are avail!!....just gotta look around , needles to say I was
surprised that my friend a shack national rep found one...!! :)

Good coax , good PL-259's on it...MAN what an antenna...suck in those ' Skip '
Freqs VERY WELL....

I missed this antenna....and again , glad I found one...do I want to sell
it...heheh , no...took too long to find one *new* again.

And the price seemed to have changed , I paid 29.95...did we not in Canada
pay more yrs ago for that ant ?

Ok , enuff said...hope many of you looking for an Outdoor Antenna can find
one of these! You wont regret the 29.95!

Add this antenna into a TV AMP...(50 - 950 MHz) at 30 db , and MAN do you
have a EAR out there!

Happy Signals!

http://members.xoom.com/ontscan/

Home of the soundbalsters Decoders....ctcss..dtmf...etc..'

In article <6lbiik$7a5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
rlkillins@easynet.on.ca wrote:
>
> Ahh yes ... a wonderful antenna indeed. The only one that I ever had any luck
> with pulling in those distant signals, and the most reliable for skip

> reception! It's too bad though that they are so hard to find nowadays. Mine
> succumbed to the natural elements after about 8 years. (The plastic
> insulators between the vertical elements dried out, broke, and the wind took
> it from there).
>
> I know a guy who still uses one ... I've been trying to buy it from him, but
> no such luck. I still have my eyes open though, and given the opportunity, it
> will be up once again on my mast.
>
> In article <6l5eggubq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
> powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:
> >
> > Boy did I luck out , Radio Shack made a good Outdoor Amtenna about 5 yrs ago
,
> > the one with 3 Vertical Elements , and 3 Horz ones.
> >
> > It was a BEAST to assemble , however it was the best I ever used...
> >
> > Well I asked a friend who is a Shack National Rep , he said : I come
accross
> > em once in a while " he sent one this week....
> >
> > Up on a 15 Ft mast ...with nice Coax , man WHAT A DIFF....Iloved that
> > Antenna, glad I found it gain...for 29.95 this time..use to be 49,95...was
> > sure worth every penny...See if you can get one , I think it was made by
> > Archer ....Ugly thing , but man is it a HOT one for 25 - 1300 MHz...
> >
> > I wont sell this one EVER...
> >
> > Just a thought.. ;)
> >
> > In article <MPG.fdf7bf0cdfc51bd98968f@news.earthlink.net>,
> > dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <1998060315131400.LAA09223@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
> > > hot34rod@aol.com says...
> > > > Does anyone have an openion on which scanner antenna has the best
> > performance
> > > > over the 25 to 1300mhz band. Thank you!
> > > >
> > > Believe it or not, after spending hundreds of dollars on the most exotic
> > > ones out there. I have come to the >
> <input type=
>
> ------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

577. Re: Which scanners cover CB radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: stmagnum@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980606164419 00.MAA14604@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158267

```
>I have a RadioShack Pro-26 that picks up CB.
>
>Adam Brock wrote in message <3578b5ec.0@news1.annapolis.net>...
>>In article <19971214034400.WAA10462@ladder01.news.aol.com>, kb6kgx@aol.com
>(KB6KGX) wrote:
>>>And the BC3000 does, too.
>>
>>So does the ICOM R-10

AR8000, BC9000XLT, RELM HS200 also.

-----------------------------------------------------------------
"The early bird may get the worm,
but the second mouse gets the cheese."
```

578. FreeTracker version 2.2 beta 1 released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: read.the@reply.to
Message-ID: <50CC374C6D24D1B9.CA7DAD91BA04BB4F.7DF3EB998B8F0330@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 158268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.AutoWinNet.com/freetrack.html

FreeTracker is a powerful Windows95/NT program for controlling your
Bearcat 895 base scanner. And as the name implies, it's FREE!
To operate it, you need a cable that connects your scanner to a Com
port. You can get this cable from a variety of sources, including
making it yourself. See the program's documentation for details.

What's new in 2.2 beta 1:

The beta is essentially a bugfix for a couple of issues...
a. Fixed problems when creating new databases
b. Fixed "Field FREQ not found" error that locked up program
c. CTCSS was completely removed
d. Added Activity Scope
e. Letterpad now stays down instead of retracting after use

The main features are:

* Supports up to 25,000 "Virtual Channels" which are like the radio's
normal channels, but add Callsign, Description, Color (for activity
log), Alarm, location, hit count, and more. VChannels can be scanned
for activity, or stored into your BC895

* Descriptions - You can store Descriptions, Callsigns, and Locations
for frequencies and Trunk Talkgroups/ID's. When that freq/talkgroup
appears, a description is shown, along with Callsign, City/State, etc.

* Alarms - You can assign a Wav file, graphic, .RTF file, speaker
beep,or run a script while that freq/group is active. For example,
have all local police codes shown during police calls. I just added
some Cool bitmaps that appear with local police and fire stations

* Colorful charts and graphs show you what frequencies/talkgroups are
most active

* Powerful script language lets you create your own custom scripts,
and run when a certain event happens on your scanner.

* PerCon database lookups from their web page (stored as html) can be
imported. Also imports from Grove, Probe, and PerCon Dbf files!Drag
and Drop channel editing throw any database entry to a channel, or
vice versa. If that frequency is already assigned, it zooms to the
channel # to avoid a duplication

* Activity Report is color coded - you can assign any color to any
specific freq/talkgroup

* Search Ranges - you can store up to 2,000 search ranges, then double-click them to activate an immediate search of that frequency range

* All BC895 keys are supported, allowing a virtual radio on your desktop

* FreeTracker can be minimized as a TrayIcon, with a feature-rich right-click menu for easy access to popular functions

* Database-editing in a simple grid. Click the record you want, and change any value

* Communicate with your radio interactively - type any command in, and see the response.

* Power users can utilize the quick-command box. Simply key in a command word to change frequencies, channels, scan, hold, search, manual, etc.

Example Syntax:
f15189 tunes radio into frequency 151.890
c56 takes scanner to channel 56
Up arrow emulates SCAN key
Down arrow = Manual
Right arrow = Search
Left arrow = Hold
... plus about 50 more powerful commands

* Export all scanner channels/freqs to a text file or Dbase, then import back into the scanner at a later date.

* Complete logging to a file, or printing just about anything.

* Export your VChannel/talkgroup database to a HTML file for posting on your favorite web page.

* Multiple copies can be run for multiple BC895 scanners

579. Electrical interference from vehicle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacUser@Penn.com
Message-ID: <MacUser-ya023580000606981349260001@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 158269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi!

Is it possible to exclude automotive electrical noise that interferes with a mobile scanner? (One way would be to turn off the car. I'm looking for other options!)

I have a bc3000XLT that I use primarily in my car. Itï¿½s a great little scanner, and works well, but reception on some bands is somewhat limited inside the vehicle using the stock rubber duck antenna.

Iï¿½ve tried connecting the scanner to an external antenna on the car. In fact, Iï¿½ve tried patching it off the vehicleï¿½s AM-FM antenna, connecting it to an old CB radio antenna, and finally to a radio shack broad-band scanner antenna. In all cases, reception drastically improves, especially in the lower bands.

However, in all cases, I also run into a problem. As soon as I start the car, the scanner picks up interference on a specific lo-band frequency, 46.14 mhz. Stop the engine, the scanner works fine. Start the engine, and it locks onto that channel. With the engine running I can bypass the problem by locking-out that one channel. However, as luck would have it, 46.14 is the local fire/emergency dispatch frequency, the one channel I *donï¿½t* want to exclude!

Interestingly, I donï¿½t run into the problem with just the rubber duck.

Is there a way I could reduce or exclude the electrical noise from the car? Shutting off the engine isnï¿½t a very practical approach! ;-)

Alternately, would a better rubber duck antenna (like an Austin Condor, perhaps) improved reception in the vehicle without duplicating the auto interference problem?

- Dave

--
Dave Shaffer
MacUser@Penn.com

580. ICOM R-1 Receiver for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3579861E.261C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an excellent condition Icom R-1 for sale. This tiny handheld has
AM FM and WFM Continuous coverage from .1 to 1300 MHz. Not blocked.
It comes with AA battery pack and antenna. $275. 73 Chris

581. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: supton@paranet.com
Message-ID: <supton-0606981129020001@pdx50-a48-46.teleport.com>
Source record: 158271

In article <357c30eb.68285106@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:

> for hemmorrhoids.

Look it up, genius.

582. Re: Pro-2041 HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXXronkatz2@home.comXXX
Message-ID: <357c6f40.14701995@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 158272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 03 Jun 1998 07:03:04 GMT, powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:

>Does anyone have any succes in entering a freq of 912.062...I enter that Freq
>in..and get 912.050....My cheapie Pro 66 lets me enter that freq in ok...
>
>Any ideas ?

It too is a cheapie......

Most scanners that do not display the fourth decimal place will round
off the last digit . On the 900mhz band the scanner is not very
selective to begin with. You many not notice a difference. Good
chance the scanner is off frequency or offset to fall on the standard
channel steps in the first place when in fact your display shows the
frequency 12.5 KHz off.

I would not lose any sleep over it.

Only other suggestion would be to buy a newer scanner.

Ron Katz

ONTSCAN Ontario Emergency Services Radio Frequency Directory.
http://www.members.home.com/ontscan
ontscan@home.com ONTSCAN Mail
ronkatz2@home.com Personal Mail

or to reply remove "XXX" from email address

583. Re: OPP (Ontario)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXXronkatz2@home.comXXX
Message-ID: <357b69f1.13342960@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 158273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 04 Jun 1998 06:13:22 GMT, powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:

>Comms were heard on the 43 MHz Band , this was a MNR Freq....seems tthe OPP
>can show up anywhere .
>

Doubt it. Some MNR are swarn in etc. They have the power of arrest
and can do plate checks etc in provincial parks. What you heard may
have been a patch to OPP

>They were doing 10 -28 , 10- 29 on parties...

What park where you in?

>
>Maybe a Fishing Sting OP ?...Ill get tuned in...also Comms on 143.160 no PL
>tone...may not be OPP , however was Police of some sort.
>

>Point of post...SEARCH...then SEARCH more....
>
>Ya really never know what may turn up....!
>

MNR works closly with the OPP in areas what are policed by them.

>
>No Ctcss tone on the MNR Freq that I could decode...was SIMPLEX.
>
Check out http://www.members.home.com/ontscan/mnr.htm I have the
offical channal assignments and PL tones. Hope this clears things up
for you.

>I was surprsed the O.P.P had Comms on the 43 MHz band, Using an MNR
>repeater, with ID's via the MNR Phone patch.

"with ID's" what id's did you monitor?

Ron Katz

ONTSCAN Ontario Emergency Services Radio Frequency Directory.
http://www.members.home.com/ontscan
ontscan@home.com ONTSCAN Mail
ronkatz2@home.com Personal Mail

or to reply remove "XXX" from email address

584. ICOM R100 RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <6lc8kaamf1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 158274
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anybody have any information on the ICOM R100. For example, is is double or triple-conversion design, how fast does it scan, how uch intermodulaton or front end overload , etc. I have an opportunity to buy one used. How much are they worth?

585. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579A5CB.12DF@parg.org>
Source record: 158275

David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS wrote:
> but Bill, you seem to
> be an intelligent person, why do you fan the flames?

That is a question that MANY of us have asked Bill Cheek.

Hang in there, the situation will improve.

586. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: sokratys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <35799c8d.1796813@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158276

They have been on a killfile of mine for over a year now. The filters were set to expire, but it was too tiring wading through 50 annoying useless posts to get the one good one. There are plenty others out there that contribute, so killfiling these two is no great loss.

Plus, you can always check his webpage or email him if you really really need to know.

their names are also in a subject header killfile, and this post didn't have any of the key words (hint hint hint) so I read it.

On with the SCANNING TOPICS!

-walker

587. Re: scanner antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cjf@meta3.net
Message-ID: <3579919E.EDF7FC0D@meta3.net>
Source record: 158277

> I know a guy who still uses one ... I've been trying to buy it from him, but
> no such luck. I still have my eyes open though, and given the opportunity, it
> will be up once again on my mast.
>

Alright you guys flushed me out of my hole...I've got about 4 of these puppies located (one's mine though). They're catalog # 20-014 Archer all band monitor antenna. Willing to deal, trade, whatever, I'm not too hard to get along with. Anyhow, let me know if you're interested, I think these are the antennas you all were talking about...

BTW: I've got the $59.00 discone, I bought it because they said it was the best...should I take this back? I've heard several bad things about it. I'm going to get the 20-014 regardless...

588. Re: ICOM R100 RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <3579B1A3.FA02D8C@home.com>
Source record: 158278
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

James:

It's triple conversion, it scans slow, inter mod is not a problem, nor is overload.

It's typical Icom in many respects. It's made well, somewhat complicated to program. Scan modes are a little different from your normal scanner. It has very wide band coverage. There are three antenna connections. Early generation models were unblocked in the cellular band. Audio is good, with external speaker. Selling prices vary from around $375.00 to $450.00 depending on condition, and cell band.

Bill Crocker

James A. Schnoor wrote:
>
> Anybody have any information on the ICOM R100. For example, is is double or
> triple-conversion design, how fast does it scan, how uch intermodulaton or
> front end overload , etc. I have an opportunity to buy one used. How much
> are they worth?

589. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579AE69.861@parg.org>
Source record: 158279

Ray Wilcox wrote:
> I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!
>
> YAR509@worldnet.att.net

Perhaps you should STOP listening to the voices in your head.

590. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579AEDA.AC1@parg.org>
Source record: 158280

MAlexder wrote:
> You've got your hands full carrying on your
> personal vendetta, engaging in electronic stalking, posting flame after flame,
> impersonating other users, issuing rogue cancels, broadcasting forged messages,
> lying to everyone in sight and whining about your petty grievances going back

YOU must be ooking in the mirror again. All we ever see of you on this
NG is your whinning & belly-aching. You never post on-topic messages.

591. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579AA98.3FBC@parg.org>
Source record: 158281

MAlexder wrote:

> when are YOU going to stop you personal vendetta, your
> electronic stalking, your impersonations, your rogue cancels, your forgeries
> and other nefarious antics.

I do NOT have any "personal vendetta", nor have I done any "electronic
stalking" or other activities you mentioned.

> Tell me again about that group of PARG thugs that you run around with. They
> must be a swell bunch to have you as a member!

Go to the web page & read, then ask me you questions.

592. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579A9FC.281F@parg.org>
Source record: 158282

BC895XL wrote:
> Glen, if you're so smart, why is it that YOU never post anything about
> scanners?

Why is it that we never see any posts about scanners from YOU, BC895XL?

593. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579B179.22C6@parg.org>
Source record: 158283

YES, I did indeed write it!

Please tell me, WHERE in the 4-12-98 message you have
qouted did I accuse Bill of being a molester?

If you read it, you will see on the LAST LINE that I am
actually DEFENDING BILL CHEEK & saying that:
"I would NOT accuse him of this".

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
> You mean like below, the statement was inflammatory as well as
> accusatory in nature .
> And don't try and say you didn't post it .
> There are too many people with copies of it.
>
> Subject: Re: John Mackey Afraid of His Lies
> From: jmackey@parg.org
> Date: 1998/04/12
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>
> Yes, there is an accusation that Bill has/had a warrant for crimes
> involving children with a sexual nature, but untill PROOF can be
> presented, I would NOT accuse him of this.

594. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579B241.68BC@parg.org>
Source record: 158284

John T. Arthur wrote:

> like you! YOU, who have been kicked off Sprint for illegal cancellations.

Another LIE! I have NOT been kicked off of Sprint. If I wanted to
be on Sprint I could be. However, Sprint does NOT offer local
service in Sioux City, & does NOT offer 56K connections so I use MCI!

What a FOOL you are!

> YOU, the king of off-topic postings. YOU, who start more flame-wars that
> all the rest combined.

Funny BILL CHEEK started this flame war, YOU started this off-topic
post!

So how am I guilty if you & Cheek started it?

> I'm laughing at you every minute of the day,

This entire NG is laughing at YOU!

595. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579AC95.6FFD@parg.org>
Source record: 158285

Bill Cheek wrote:

> What's really sick is that the FCC has nothing to do
> with the kinds of examinations he performs.....

Again Bill, your TOTAL LACK of knowledge regarding FCC rules & regs
shows thru AGAIN! The FCC approves the test question pools the
criteria of qualifications for examineers (like ME). YOU on the other
have never held or qualified for any type of FCC license or
credential, according to the FCC database.

> "Truth" from that peckerwood is worse than no truth
> at all. There isn't a thing that comes from him that
> has any relevance or relationship to anything meaningful.

You think this because you can not compete with truth since all
you do is LIE to the users of this NG.

> Gawd....he's swooning on that again? You know, I asked
> the degenerate reprobate to tell me exactly WHAT was the
> proper tool for the adjustment in question.....and he
> never did reply. Yet I specified a #3 flatblade jewelers

Bill, you lie again. I told you a non-metallic tuning
tool would be the tool of choice, & at the time I even
offered to give you part numbers from various manufacturers.

> Amazing how the nitwit doesn't know which coax's are tolerant
> of ultraviolet. He was out wallowing in his pantyhose one

You used to say tha ALL coax was tolerant of UV, are you are
talking about "which" one's are tolerant. Bill, I seriously
doubt you hav any idea which are & are not tolerant of UV.

596. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579B293.6A7E@parg.org>
Source record: 158286

Hawke wrote:

> And, I suspect that you are with a LOT of sin. so why are you casting
> stones?

I am NOT casting any stones, rather I am providing witness & guidence
to this individual!

597. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu5FAH.C4n@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158287

On Sat, 6 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS wrote:
> > but Bill, you seem to
> > be an intelligent person, why do you fan the flames?
>
>
> That is a question that MANY of us have asked Bill Cheek.
>
> Hang in there, the situation will improve.

Oh... Are you leaving us??

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

598. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu5FE9.C78@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158288

On Sat, 6 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> BC895XL wrote:
> > Glen, if you're so smart, why is it that YOU never post anything about
> > scanners?
>
> Why is it that we never see any posts about scanners from YOU, BC895XL?

Why is it that we never see any posts about scanners from YOU, Mack-hole?

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

599. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060621493900.RAA17933@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158289

>From: "John T. Arthur"

>Why is it that we never see any posts about scanners from YOU, Mack-hole?
>
>

The reason that you will never see any on-topic posts from Quackie is that he what he knows about scanners can fit inside a thimble that already has been stuffed with paper. One time a fellow asked his opinion about a particular scanner and all the Quackie could reply was: It's a good scanner." He's really deep for a little man.

The fact is that all Quack Mack is interested in is causing trouble. Note that he's posted about 50 off topics messages in the past week alone, most of them to further his personal vendetta against Cheek. One memorable month, he posted more than 300 off-topic messages here. He won't stop--he loves the attention because his life is so miserable that he's completely ignored at home by his girlfriend and the two kids that he is supposedly looking after...

600. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060621533800.RAA18298@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158290

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>YOU must be ooking in the mirror again. All we ever see of you on this
>NG is your whinning & belly-aching. You never post on-topic messages.

You know, I feel terrible when I see responses like this from Quackie. It is quite apparent that he's a pathological liar and a plain old unimaginative, uneducated dope. There's probably a law against my beating on this miscreant but I just can't help it: It's so easy to poke holes in his shabby facade.

50 posts so far this week Mack the Quack and still not one on topic. When do you think you might stop flaming, stalking, lying, impersonating, forging, censoring, and all of your other nefarious activitities Quackie? Let me know, you beginning to be an utter bore, which for me is the worst sin possible. Once you were amusing, then enraging, and now--well now, you're pathetic.

601. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060621572900.RAA18642@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158291

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>Hang in there, the situation will improve.

It will improve Quack the Mack when you kill yourself.

602. beginner scanner & low freq mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjb2@po.CWRU.Edu
Message-ID: <6lcek9$e0j$1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 158292

Hello,
I am interested in buying an inexpensive scanner that can be modified
to monitor frequencies in the kHZ range (1kHz-200kHz), as well as the
standard MHz frequencies.
I am wanting to modify it so that I can "hear" ultrasound (bugs, water,
gas leaks, etc.) Anyone ever hear of this before? I have a couple of
ultrasound transducers, and I can make a preamp if necessary.
Can a scanner do this? Can it "scan" for those frequencies?
I assume (a dangerous thing to do) that a scanner works like a radio,
in that is uses an inverse carrier frequency to cancel out the carrier
leaving the "info".

Please send all advice or offers of scanners to me by e-mail (and
post for others).

Thanks for the help,

Randy
rjb2@po.cwru.edu
PS I am looking for a portable.
--
rjb2@po.cwru.edu

603. Chat Room on Major Web

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major <major@peop.tdsnet.com>
Message-ID: <6lcf9d$qf7@news2.tds.net>
Source record: 158293

This is the author of Major Web. I have recently added a chat room on my web page, Major Web. There is not a definate subject line for the chat room, but since I have info. about scanners and shortwave radios on my site, I would like to invite all scanner and shortwave enthusiasts to my chat room. Here, they can discuss and exchange ideas, info., frequencies, etc.
The address for the chat room is as follows:
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/7481/chat.htm
The address for my home page is as follows:
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/7481/
Drop in today!
Major

604. Re: Pro-2041 HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6lcl27itt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158294

In article <357c6f40.14701995@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>,
XXXronkatz2@home.comXXX wrote:
>
> On Wed, 03 Jun 1998 07:03:04 GMT, powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:
>
> >Does anyone have any succes in entering a freq of 912.062...I enter that Freq
> >in..and get 912.050....My cheapie Pro 66 lets me enter that freq in ok...
> >
> >Any ideas ?
>
> It too is a cheapie......

<SNIP>

Nope , it (the 2041 is / was a $$ Scanner)

> Most scanners that do not display the fourth decimal place will round
> off the last digit . On the 900mhz band the scanner is not very
> selective to begin with. You many not notice a difference. Good
> chance the scanner is off frequency or offset to fall on the standard
> channel steps in the first place when in fact your display shows the
> frequency 12.5 KHz off.

<SNIP>

Nope again , on Freq....dead balls on Freq!...I did the Freq Count myself...

I did comms on 145.5200 MHz..was right on the $$

Its in the offset tho :)
> I would not lose any sleep over it.

Nope I am not...good scanner....Love the data Uploading /downloading Jack :)

> Only other suggestion would be to buy a newer scanner.

Newer than 1997 ?

Ok ...sure..

> Ron Katz
>

>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

605. Cellular Freqs???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: wgreene@gate.net
Message-ID: <wgreene-0606981839060001@pslfl7-41.gate.net>
Source record: 158295

Just bought a Radio Shack Pro 91. All my friends can pick up cellular, why can't I? Any help would be appreciated. Mike G.

606. Winradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Adams <brianadams@home.com>
Message-ID: <3579C56C.1B0C34C1@home.com>
Source record: 158296

I am using a winradio and wanted to know if anyone has tried the digital suite upgrade? It is supposed to decode packet and fax among other things. Also is there any mods for the winradio? Any info appreciated.

Brian Adams
KE4YNN

607. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579C631.2F3E@parg.org>
Source record: 158297

MAlexder wrote:

garbage snipped to preserve bandwidth that MAlexander wasted.

Two paragraphs of words & not a single ounce of truth in ANY
of it!

how pathetic you are!

608. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579C682.4BFF@parg.org>
Source record: 158298

MAlexder wrote:

crap deleted to preserve bandwidth

Why is it that we only see you post flames & never
on topic messages?

609. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579C6E5.DAB@parg.org>
Source record: 158299

walker wrote:

> The filters
> were set to expire, but it was too tiring wading through 50 annoying
> useless posts to get the one good one. There are plenty others out
> there that contribute, so killfiling these two is no great loss.

This is a common tactic to hide from the problems that Bill Cheek
causes. However, it does not remove the problem, it sipmly hides it.

610. ICOM R-1 Receiver for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3579C551.7F1D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an excellent condition Icom R-1 for sale. This tiny handheld has
AM FM and WFM Continuous coverage from .1 to 1300 MHz. Not blocked.
It comes with AA battery pack and antenna. $275. 73 Chris

611. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6lcgst$42h$0@207.18.224.98>
Source record: 158301

On Sat, 06 Jun 1998 05:38:44 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:
>> >Hardly true, all the problems started about a week BEFORE I
>> >entered this thread. I had nothing to do with it.
>
>
>> The thread did start before you got into it, but it had
>
>Thanks for your comment & support Blake!

Great, hope you enjoyed the comment, BUT, there was no support
whatsoever.

612. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Wilcox <YAR509@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lch4l$s0r@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158302

judge not the little children by the color of their skin, but rather by
the nature of their character. mlk

mackey you suck!

--
---Ray--

I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!

YAR509@worldnet.att.net

http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html

---

613. FS: Icom IC-R10 handheld $300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: baptpdc@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <3579ca52.161814659@nntp.we.mediaone.net>
Source record: 158303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Icom R10, no gaps, leather case, excellent condition.
Original box, manual, charger, etc.

$300
```

614. Re: Electrical interference from vehicle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-TwiPX6kud1hs@slip-32-100-170-12.tx.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 158304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dave,

How are you powering the scanner while in the car? Direct 12VDC connection or batteries only?

If you're using the car's battery, you may want to find a good place to ground the radio. That may be your problem.

Good luck.

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

615. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Wilcox <YAR509@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lchdc$s0r@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158305

ok someone has to ask mackey do you have a scanner ? if so add something intelligent about one. thanks in advance!

--
---Ray----------

I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!

YAR509@worldnet.att.net

http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html

---

616. F.S. Video sender - wireless from room to room

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: J <ishyguy@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01bd919e$4e7e4400$29e4a1d1@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 158306

--
ishyguy@idirect.com

For sale: One Curtis AVS-700 video sender.(new only used twice)
works up to 200 feet.

Plug any audio and video into the jacks and it sends the signal
to a television set on channel 15. Great for watching a camera or
vcr playing in another room.

comes with cables and 12 volt dc adapter.

e-mail me if your interested
thanks

617. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579C96E.2BCE@parg.org>
Source record: 158307

MAlexder wrote:

> It is
> quite apparent that he's a pathological liar

> 50 posts so far this week Mack the Quack and still not one on topic.

YOU are the liar! I have made recent on-topic posts, yet YOU REFUSE
to them! You remind me of the little kid who says "I don't want to see
you, you aren't there" & then closes his eyes & covers his head.

618. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579C99E.6722@parg.org>
Source record: 158308

John T. Arthur wrote:
garbage snipped to preserve bandwidth.

Why is it that we never see on-topic posts from you, only
flames?

619. F.S. Professional RF wireless mics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: J <ishyguy@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01bd919f$620ba5c0$29e4a1d1@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 158309

--
ishyguy@idirect.com

for sale:

one Professional Swintek WSM11150 wireless body mic
has 10 position antenuator switch, gain adjustment and on/off
switch.operates on a 9volt
and has a range for up to 1/4 mile. set frequency is 216.9Mhz
$50.oo US or 65.00 canadian. includes shipping.

one Professional Vega dynamic wireless body mic
has battery/audio meter, on/off switch, 9 volt
has a range up to 1/4 mile. set frequency is 213.750Mhz
$50.00 US or 65.00 canadian. includes shipping

one small lapel microphone, tunable for 88-108Mhz. takes 1.5v
calculator battery. transmits to any fm radio with a range of
up to 300 ft.

$30.00US or 40.00 Canadian includes shipping

please e-mail me if your interested
thanks

620. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kplotkin@access2.digex.net
Message-ID: <6lag08$fsh@access2.digex.net>
Source record: 158310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

In article <35783cc4.5773079@news2.cts.com>,
Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote:

[snip]
>need channel numbers. So I take it you're saying that the
>scanner itself DOES need a channel number and will not assume
>one, if it doesn't come across in the data stream? And that
>Miller's WLOAD does that part on its own?

Right - the scanner needs the channel and frequency. You can see exactly what it wants by looking at Cathy's "print" file or my FRDUMP diagnostic file. If you use the "frequency only" variation of LOAD, the program generates the channel numbers, beginning at 1. Bob's WLOAD goes one better: you can use channel numbers, and if you stop using them it just keeps counting up from the last one.

>program is smart enough to know when to stop accepting
>superfluous data.....like the ID information that falls

Easy enough for the program to ignore information - just use a compiler that's going through its teen-age years. :-)

>APF files are consistent; they are useful as is; and they
>can readily be imported by any database manager and spreadsheet.
>So basically, the framework is already there, no?

Yep. It's a straightforward comma-delimited file. Just need to take the second and third columns. If the channel is blank, then we use Bob's trick of incrementing from the last channel given. If the frequency is blank, that can be taken as zero and the channel cleared.

I should be able to make LOAD automatically recognize APF format and the two that it already does.

Hmmm. Will this count as a "mod" for the program? ;-)

Ken Plotkin

621. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@thebigdial.com
Message-ID: <3578c198.4060934@news.idt.net>
Source record: 158311

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> I don't think "fat ass" could be really considred swearing
>> and in your case it is merely an appropriate description.
>
>Being 6'1" & 185 lbs, it hardly is "appropriate" as you describe!

hey faggot,

i bet it's more like 5'2" & 315lbs.... PLEASE REPORT ME
FOR SWEARING: "EAT SHIT & DIE YOU LOWLIFE MAGGOT."

i like that....."Mackey the Faggot Maggot"

monty

622. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Miller <bobmiller@radix.net>
Message-ID: <3578C5CA.46501BB2@radix.net>
Source record: 158312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ken & Bill,
Sounds like you guys got something here. Email me the details and I'll
modify WLoad64 to support the APF file format.
Bob Miller

--
NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to bobmiller@radix.net is subject to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

By US Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer meets the
definition of a telephone fax machine. By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is unlawful to
send any unsolicited advertisement to such equipment. By Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a
violation of the aforementioned Section is punishable by action to recover
actual monetary loss, or $500, whichever is greater, for each violation.

623. Re: BC 35A MemoLoss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rpuckett <rpuckett@innet.com>
Message-ID: <6lageh$2aq$1@news.innet.com>
Source record: 158313

If your radio is powered from the accessory circuit, there is probably no current to the radio when the ignition is turned off. You may need to run wires from the battery, directly to the radio to assure continuos current. Just a guess

624. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <358b9d04.26066710@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 158314

Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Mike Allen) wrote:

>Funny, that's the impression EVERYONE else in this newsgroup has about you.

You don't speak for EVERYONE in this newsgroup, PlonkBait.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

625. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <358c9d9c.26217888@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 158315

"Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>You know what? Never mind my last message. I just realized Bill Cheek is
>actually intelligent. As far as I've seen Mackey, he is vulgar. I apologize
>for my blow at Bill, and I take it back. We need more people like him! (If
>this sounds sarcastic, it is *not*).

Sigh. You had it right the first time.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

626. Trunk Tracker Talk Group ISs - Where???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: JC Woodard <j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3578D24A.24EF53D4@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158316

HI all,

Got my first TT scanner (BC895XLT) and I'm looking for a 'complete' list
of the talk group IDs.

Any advice? (I'm in Columbus, Ohio)

Thanks!

JC

627. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578D62F.D06@parg.org>
Source record: 158317

Blake Bowers wrote:

> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:
> >Hardly true, all the problems started about a week BEFORE I
> >entered this thread. I had nothing to do with it.

> The thread did start before you got into it, but it had

Thanks for your comment & support Blake!

628. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578D908.4C71@parg.org>
Source record: 158318

Mr CB Guy wrote:

> You do realize that headers are trace-able, and will lead to cancellation of
> your ISP?
> Do a search in this ng on Rouge Cancels..

While it would be better for this NG to be rid of the problems Cheek
causes, I don't believe in censership.

> see why Mackey isn't on
> Sprint.net anymore.

I am not on Sprint because they don't offer 56K access & don't ahve a
local
dial in number for Sioux City. What could this possibly have to do
with this?

629. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578DC6B.1921@parg.org>
Source record: 158319

BC895XL wrote:
> Flames, and more flames from a fool.

THAT is why we are trying to get CHEEK to STOP.

630. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578D7CD.20E4@parg.org>
Source record: 158320

BC895XL wrote:
> Amen brother. He doesn't have anything to say about scanners so he bitches and
> moans about his betters. Anyone can go to dejanew s and see what he's

PLEASE DON'T talk this way about Bill Cheek!

Making comments like this you are lowering yourself to his level! We
want this to die rather than further it.

thank you for your cooperation.

631. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578D81A.4E75@parg.org>
Source record: 158321

Mr CB Boy wrote:
> And I have forwarde a copy of this post to your ISP too Mackey.
> Reprinting the vulgarity in the ng makes you just as guilty.

that's fine, go right ahead, I have nothing to hide!

632. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578DC1C.37DF@parg.org>
Source record: 158322

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> Johhny boy your the liar, the flamer, the trouble maker on here.

WRONG!
Please provide proof or other evidence that I have lied as you indicate above.

> Fact: You lied when you said you had evidence that Bill was a child
> molestor, you have never shown any proof yet you have accused him again.

WRONG!

FACT: I asked that it anyone had proof that Cheek was a child molester to make it public, otherwise we should drop the issue as only heresay rumor with no facts behind it. I NEVER SAID I HAD EVIDENCE THAT CHEEK WAS A MOLESTER. If you still say I did, then please provide that message here with with date & subject to verify thru dejanews.

> Fact: The newsgroup was peaceful and on topic for a change.
> Fact: You started all the off topic posts and attacks on Bill , this
> proves you are the trouble maker of the newsgroup.

WRONG!

Fact: Cheek started flaming again because someone posted a message with an opinion contary to his. This started a long thread of trouble. I said nothing for a week, then warned Cheek & he continued. Then I started in on Cheek as he must be held accountable for his actions in order to preserve the integrity of this NG & keep it a nice place.

So far, youhave proven yourself to NOT be factual & to only be a liar & manipulator.

633. Re: Scanner Groups in Houston/Galveston area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6lak7k$q85$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158323

Houston Scanning at www.radioscanner.com

Joshua Carroll wrote in message:
>Are there any scanner/amater radio ground in the Houston/Galveston area?
>If so let me know. Are there any news groups or web sites for
>Houston/Galveston area scanning?
>Thanks for Any input?

634. Re: Which scanners cover CB radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6lakas$q8i$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158324

I have a RadioShack Pro-26 that picks up CB.

Adam Brock wrote in message <3578b5ec.0@news1.annapolis.net>...
>In article <19971214034400.WAA10462@ladder01.news.aol.com>, kb6kgx@aol.com
(KB6KGX) wrote:
>>And the BC3000 does, too.
>
>So does the ICOM R-10

635. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lanir$q4v@sjx-ixn3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158325

In <3578DC6B.1921@parg.org> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>BC895XL wrote:
>> Flames, and more flames from a fool.
>
>THAT is why we are trying to get CHEEK to STOP.

Mackeral,
he says: that is why "WE" are trying to get Mr. Nice to rub me all
ovah"

hey you...somone just threw a rock
at the mirror you stand before....

bill conduit
etc.
"he won't get it"

636. Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS" <NoOne@NoWhere.net>
Message-ID: <6lanm2$55h@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158326

Ok, I've had it. While Mackey is useless, Bill Cheeks can be just as obnoxious when he is not on the subject of scanning. I hate to lose useful info from Cheeks, but both are are going into my kill list. I thought things were getting better for a while, but when I checked the group this evening, a good portion of the messages are dealing with the Cheek/Mackey feud. From what I've seen I expect this from Mackey, but Bill, you seem to be an intelligent person, why do you fan the flames?

637. Re: Pro-2041 channel stepping HELP needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdeviney@ipa.net
Message-ID: <3578e33f.2588164@news2.ipa.net>
Source record: 158327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

.062 is not evenly divisible by .0125, so it rounds down to the next
fequency that IS evenly divisible by .0125 ...

CATHY

On Wed, 03 Jun 1998 11:58:08 +0100, "Jack T.Dragon"
<tdragonn@blarg.net> wrote:

>> Does anyone have any succes in entering a freq of 912.062...I enter
>> that Freq in..and get 912.050...

638. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578E927.4FA6@parg.org>
Source record: 158328

BC895XL wrote:
> You are too kind. He's a lowlife loser who pushes a broom for a living and
> resents that everyone else is better than him. He's a worhtless piece of crap.

Please don't talk about Cheek like this! While you have fingered him
pretty well, we shouldn't say these things about him publically as it
will only further the problems we are having with him.

Please assist us in ending the problems rather than extending the
problem.

639. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578E965.E69@parg.org>
Source record: 158329

Monty Python wrote:

> FOR SWEARING: "EAT SHIT & DIE YOU

OK, I'll report you tomorrow.

640. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578EAB5.179D@parg.org>
Source record: 158330

Phil Smalley wrote:

> And an FCC license is required when operating and servicing a
> scanner....when?

Cheek claims to have 30 years experience in the field of servicing
scanners. Up until only a few years ago, the "General Radiotelephone
Operators License" was legally REQUIRED by the FCC.

According to the FCC database, Bill Cheek has NEVER held the
required license for servicing radio equipment.

> Whats the matter John? Did you read one of Bills books (you can read?!)
> and mess up your PRO-10 because you wouldn't know a soldering iron from
> a 9 iron? All together now everyone: "Awwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww...."

It's OBVIOUS that you are a newbie to this NG. Bill has made statements
in the past suggesting the use of metallic tuning tools for RF
oscillator
adjustments (a BIG no-no) and denying that there are effects of UV on
most coax (again, wrong).

641. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <357914D7.F4472C5B@swbell.net>
Source record: 158331

You mean like below, the statement was inflammatory as well as
accusatory in nature .
And don't try and say you didn't post it .
There are too many people with copies of it.

Subject: Re: John Mackey Afraid of His Lies
From: jmackey@parg.org
Date: 1998/04/12
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Yes, there is an accusation that Bill has/had a warrant for crimes
involving children with a sexual nature, but untill PROOF can be
presented, I would NOT accuse him of this.

642. Re: speech inverters... your comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <me812@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6lb25bqev1@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 158332
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a audio inversion descrambler for your PC if anyone is interested,
you just run a line from the external speaker jack to the mike jack of the
sound card and the unscrambled results play through the computer speakers.
If anyone wants it send me some mail and i will send it along.

Todd

J.M. wrote in message <3575CD4D.470F@gbsias.com>...
>D.B. wrote:
>>
>> I just ordered a speech inverter *unscrambler*....Looking for comments
>> and sugestions on any and all.....whats your opinion and which do you
>> think is the best?
>> When I get mine, I will post my findings here:
>> http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/scramble.htm
>> Please send me your findings.
>>
>> --
>> Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
>> Or just click on the address below!
>> mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net
>> Web site at:
>> http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp
>
>The only real thing a speech descrambler can do around here is
>descramble cordless telephone calls, that

643. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3578fc8a.50519576@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 158333

"David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS" <NoOne@NoWhere.net> wrote:

>Ok, I've had it. While Mackey is useless, Bill Cheeks can be just as
>obnoxious when he is not on the subject of scanning. I hate to lose useful
>info from Cheeks, but both are are going into my kill list. I thought
>things were getting better for a while, but when I checked the group this
>evening, a good portion of the messages are dealing with the Cheek/Mackey
>feud. From what I've seen I expect this from Mackey, but Bill, you seem to
>be an intelligent person, why do you fan the flames?

Do you really have to ask?
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

644. Motorola Trunking: Using Type I IDs on Type II system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay O'Brien <w6go@xxxnospam.com>
Message-ID: <3579090D.D7789C02@quiknet.com>
Source record: 158334
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks to all who have provided lots of guidance on my quest for information. Following up on one suggestion, in my Trunktracker I am now using Type I talkgroup IDs with size code S-1 to track Type II groups which have occasional special functions which offset the ID code by 1-15. This scheme is supposed to ignore the "extra function" byte.

I note that each Type II ID code has a corresponding Type I ID, and using Side Code S1 I am able to program 50 IDs for each bank using Type I codes.

Is there any disadvantage to using Type I IDs in this manner rather than the Type II IDs? It seems to me that the advantage is that if "special functions" are enabled, thus shifting the Type II ID code, that I don't have to be concerned about entering all the possible shifted codes.

Jay O'Brien
w6go
@
quiknet.com

PS.. I am convinced that the only person who understood the manual provided with the PRO-90 the first time it was read is the person (droid?) who wrote the manual. What a piece of work!

For anyone interested, here are some Type I (Size code 1) equivalents to Type II IDs. The first digit of the Type I code is Type II code MOD 8192. The rest of the digits before the dash are MOD 64 on the remainder, and the digits after the dash are MOD 16 on the remainder.

Type II Type I (Size code S-1)
------- ------
2576 40-1
2608 40-3
2640 41-1
2672 41-3
\ \
\ \
\ \
6384 99-3
6416 0100-1
6448 0100-3
\ \
\ \
\ \
8144 0127-1

```
8176 0127-3
8208 100-1
8210 100-3
8240 101-1
8272 101-3
\ \
\ \
\ \
16368 1127-3
16400 200-1
\ \
\ \
\ \
24592 300-1
<etc>
```

645. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3578feef.2043100@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158335

On Sat, 06 Jun 1998 01:11:47 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> I don't think "fat ass" could be really considred swearing
>> and in your case it is merely an appropriate description.
>
>Being 6'1" & 185 lbs, it hardly is "appropriate" as you describe!

Sez you! I seem to remember someone saying you resembled
a baby hippo and you didn't deny it at the time. Maybe all those
Richard Simmons "workouts" have trimmed you down a little. Heh, Heh,
Heh!

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

646. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060610094100.GAA18821@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158336

>From: glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom)

>You don't speak for EVERYONE in this newsgroup, PlonkBait.
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW

Glen, if you're so smart, why is it that YOU never post anything about scanners? All you and that Mackey do is flame. If you don't like what you read, why don't you just get the hell out? Looks like it's time to turn the bozo filter on and get rid of the number one loser around here. See ya BOZO!

647. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060610214500.GAA19106@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158337

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>I'll get the diving board & cord for him!

John, please kill yourself. You'll feel better...really.

648. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060610244400.GAA19170@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158338

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>You seem to have a very limited vocabulary. If you make any more
>cursing comments on this NG, I will report you to the sysop of your
>wargate system.

Hey Quackie, can you tell me how to reset a PRO51?

649. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060610275100.GAA23493@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158339

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>THAT is why we are trying to get CHEEK to STOP.

The question is Quackie, when are YOU going to stop you personal vendetta, your electronic stalking, your impersonations, your rogue cancels, your forgeries and other nefarious antics.

One week after Mackey's ignoble return and he has posted 40-plus messages: all off-topic, and not one on scanning. We know what your agenda is little man, don't we?

Tell me again about that group of PARG thugs that you run around with. They must be a swell bunch to have you as a member!

650. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060610374200.GAA23761@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158340

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

[the usual anti-Cheek rantings of a lunatic deleted]

Quackie Mackey, if you're so smart, maybe you can help out some of the kind folks here with an occasional on-topic post. So far, you posted 40-plus off topic messages this week, and not one about scanning.

Look around little man, maybe there are posts that you actually answer. Naw, that would be too much to ask. You've got your hands full carrying on your personal vendetta, engaging in electronic stalking, posting flame after flame, impersonating other users, issuing rogue cancels, broadcasting forged messages, lying to everyone in sight and whining about your petty grievances going back to the days when you were booted off the FidoNet radio forum.

651. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <35791E26.FBBB9649@uswest.net>
Source record: 158341

John,
A little line from the Bible is appropo at this moment..

"He who is without sin may cast the first stone" - Jesus Christ

And, I suspect that you are with a LOT of sin. so why are you casting stones?

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Jack Close wrote:
> > John, get off your fat ass and stop looking in mirrors when you post.
> > Everything from you in this newgroup is a reflection of an idiot.
>
> ONE MORE POST LIKE THIS FROM YOU & I WILL REPORT YOU TO THE SYSOP OF
> YOUR WARPGATE SYSTEM. We don't need you mindless cursing & swearing
> & attempting to cause trouble on this NG.

652. Re: BC350A MemoLoss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: grohe@galaxy.nsc.com
Message-ID: <3579159c.57993842@139.187.81.1>
Source record: 158342

On 06 Jun 1998 02:25:18 GMT,
in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
arthurbh@aol.com (ArthurBH)
from AOL http://www.aol.com thoughtfully posted:

> My BC350 has an occasional loss of memory (memloss) -- this problem has become
> quite annoying. I have this radio mounted in my car and is powered from the
> accessory circuit. Is there a "fix" of something I can do to the circuit. I
> have looked over the circuit board looking for cold solder joints or visible
> defective components but have spotted nothing.

The BC350 was one of the first ones with EEPROM memory. It does
not need batteries or constant power (except for the clock).

Are you ending up with weird frequencies like 9xx.xxx or 6xx.xxx?

Is the display dim?

The same DC-DC converter that powers the fluorescent display also
generates voltages for the EEPROM and processor. If the converter
is starting to fail (and it will), the memory could be getting
corrupted. This happened to both of my 350's.

To make things worse, as the converter starts to fail, the unit
has problems "starting up". Usually, the display is blank with an
open squelch occompanied by a "ringing-pinging" sound. Turning it
on and off a few times brings it back to life. Does this happen
to you?

Read the Scanner FAQ by Bob Parnass. There is a section about the
BC350 and the "C98" problem. Basically, replace C98 (next to the
little transformer near the front of the RF board) with a
47uF/35V electrolytic cap (Tantalum recommended - both avail at
Radio Shack). These capacitors fail after about 8 years or so.

The 350's are *loaded* with bad solder joints. Even if they
"look" good - resolder them. Check that the mounting bolts have
not cracked the PC board in the corners, and make sure they are
tight (not too tight, or you'll crack the PCB). Resolder all the
grounding and shield connections, especially the one that is
riveted to the back panel. My guess is that life in an automobile
may have taken it's toll on the poor soldering job.

There are also a few IC's in sockets. Make sure they are firmly
seated. The 14(?) pin one in a socket mounted on the back of the
keyboard PCB is the memory chip. Make sure it is firmly seated.

Good Luck!

Cheers,
Paul Grohe

--

Paul Grohe National Semiconductor Corp.
Assoc. Analog Apps Engineer 2900 Semiconductor Drive
Standard Analog Apps Group Mail Stop C2693
mailto:grohe@galaxy.nsc.com Santa Clara, CA. 95052-8090 USA
Usenet Disclaimer: Any opinions expressed are mine, not NSC's
---

653. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35791bf9.62922266@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158343

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> > Another case of Bill Cheek's JEALOUSY towards ME.

> Ha Ha Ha Ha...Now that's FUNNY!!!!

Oh geezus, HE said THAT? You're right....it is funny.
Very, very sick funny, but yeah.

> > BILL CHEEK doesn't even know that BY FCC RULES "Volunteer Examineers"
> > ARE NOT ALLOWED to accept payment for their services.

What's really sick is that the FCC has nothing to do
with the kinds of examinations he performs.....

> > Truth hurts, huh Bill?

> Oh yeah I bet he's cowering under his keyboard this time loser. Oh yeah,
> he's shakin'....

"Truth" from that peckerwood is worse than no truth
at all. There isn't a thing that comes from him that
has any relevance or relationship to anything meaningful.

> > Why don't you try telling us more stories like there is no
> > UV effect on coax or tell us it is OK to use a mettalic
> > tuning tool on an RF oscillator!

Gawd....he's swooning on that again? You know, I asked
the degenerate reprobate to tell me exactly WHAT was the
proper tool for the adjustment in question.....and he
never did reply. Yet I specified a #3 flatblade jewelers
screwdriver from Radio Shack....which is about as exact
and specific as it gets. And yes, I said it was Okay....

....because it IS okay. Doesn't get any more exact than that.

Amazing how the nitwit doesn't know which coax's are tolerant
of ultraviolet. He was out wallowing in his pantyhose one
afternoon and got the bejeezus of sunburn...and now thinks
the sun eats coax. What a relationship there. The ninny has
lost all cognizance of basic reality. He's trapped in virtuality
somewhere....probably a video game......

> Whats the matter John? Did you read one of Bills books (you can read?!)
> and mess up your PRO-10 because you wouldn't know a soldering iron from
> a 9 iron? All together now everyone: "Awwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww...."
>
> Why don't you shut up John. It's not Bill's fault that your idea of an
> engineer is "the guy who drives the choo-choo".

He can't shut up. He is one of those kinds of degenerate
reprobates who thrive on abuse. He invites it. He begs for
it. He shrieks in the dark of the night, "Ohhhhhhh that
hurts sooooo gooooooood."

The peckerwood's arse is still burning from back when I kicked
it sailing through the air off a FidoNet messaging forum. He
liked that so much he's been screaming for more ever since.

Which reminds me.....if that peckerwood could peck wood, how much
wood could the peckerwood peck if the peckerwood could peck wood?

Did you ever hear the story about his eyelids and eyelashes?
If not, it may enlighten you to his "condition" that contributes
to the way he is.

Oh, and he had a 20-ft tapeworm not too long ago. Ask 'im
how he got it cured up? heh heh heh heh.....

654. Trunk Tracker Talk Group IDs - Where???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: JC Woodard <j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <357921B7.EB6EBC58@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158344

JC Woodard wrote:

> HI all,
>
> Got my first TT scanner (BC895XLT) and I'm looking for a 'complete' list
> of the talk group IDs.
>
> Any advice? (I'm in Columbus, Ohio)
>
> Thanks!
>
> JC

655. Re: Barry Goldwater Eulogy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <35791F38.85478A2E@uswest.net>
Source record: 158345

Barry Goldwater did a lot more for Amateur Radio than most folks would ever dream of.

Vietnam and Korea were only 2 instances of his willingness to help others.

However, Amateur radio is but one small facet of the larger picture that he created.

So Long Barry!
Hope to QSO with you from wherever you might be!

Karl Dahlquist wrote:

> oops, that was his brother....was watching on my laptop...so I couldnt read
> the graphic...!

656. Re: 2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357a2261.64562315@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158346

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

noshoot@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> anyone know of any mods worth doing to the 2021? being memory,speed,etc.

Speed is hardly worthwhile as there is some danger of
blowing the CPU.

Memory can be expanded to 12,800 channels or more by
replacing the resident 2k x 8 SRAM with a 128k x 8 SRAM
and externally switching the six "extra" address lines.
2^6 = 64 memory blocks. 64 x 400 = 12,800 channels.
Also 640 Scan Banks, etc.

The procedure is well written up in books and newsletters.
It isn't something that lends itself to putting in so
many words or less in a newsgroup.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

657. Re: Cheek/Mackey Bandwidth Waste Index

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357b23bd.64910750@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158347

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

CharlestonRhett@yahoo.com (Capt'n Butler) wrote:

> For what it's worth, I just logged onto rec.radio.scanner. I had 46
> unread messages and 25 of them concerned Beavis and Butthead rather
> than scanning. That's 54% B&B, 46% scanning for a bandwidth waste
> index of 46.
>
> Goal for June: let's get it down to less than 10.

Did you not just add to and help RAISE that index?

658. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357c30eb.68285106@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158348

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

bc895xl@aol.com (BC895XL) wrote:

> >From: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Mike Allen)
>
> >I hope your departure is very, very soon, you freaking jacka
>
> You are too kind. He's a lowlife loser who pushes a broom for a living and
> resents that everyone else is better than him.

Actually he works in a state looney tunes hospital
as an orderly. His primary job is changing bedpans
and stuff like that. He's also a volunteer examiner
for hemmorrhoids.

> He's a worhtless piece of crap.

No greater truth has ever been uttered.
```

659. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357d31ae.68480170@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158349

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Mark.Reynolds@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Mark Reynolds) wrote:

Mackey's the perfect example of that and why we have
> laws in every state prohibiting incest.

And.....bestiality.
```

660. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu4q7n.7GI@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158350

On Sat, 6 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Mark Reynolds wrote:
> > You fucking
> > You're a fucking
>
> You seem to have a very limited vocabulary. If you make any more
> cursing comments on this NG, I will report you to the sysop of your
> wargate system.

HAHAHAHAHA!!! Like *anyone* is going to believe a total moron and LIAR like you! YOU, who have been kicked off Sprint for illegal cancellations. YOU, the king of off-topic postings. YOU, who start more flame-wars that all the rest combined.

I'm laughing at you every minute of the day, Mack-hole...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

661. Re: Trunk Tracker Talk Group IDs - Where???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060612391400.IAA24611@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158351

>ubject: Trunk Tracker Talk Group IDs - Where???
>From: JC Woodard <j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com>

>> Got my first TT scanner (BC895XLT) and I'm looking for a 'complete' list
>> of the talk group IDs.
>>
>> Any advice? (I'm in Columbus, Ohio)
>>
>> Thanks!
>>

Yes, I would advise you to do a web search. If you did that you would find
sites like http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/oh.htm and many others.

662. Re: Motorola Trunking: Using Type I IDs on Type II system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mulligan@ACM.ORG
Message-ID: <6lbdq3$47f@hopper.acm.ORG>
Source record: 158352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jay O'Brien <w6go@xxxnospam.com> wrote:
> ... I am now using Type I talkgroup IDs with size code S-1 to track
> Type II groups which have occasional special functions ...
> Is there any disadvantage to using Type I IDs in this manner ...

An S1 size code allows 128 fleets, but the BC-895 (and, I assume,
it's Uniden siblings) displays only two digits for the fleet. This
would appear to be a design flaw in the TT, even if few trunks would
actually assign fleet numbers > 99.
If the TT actually chokes on fleet numbers 100-127, then 22% of
the possible type II talkgroups could not be displayed as Type I IDs.
Size code S5 would avoid this problem, as well as grouping all special
functions for a Type II talkgroup as one ID.
/* barry /&

663. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu4qrs.7L5@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158353

On Fri, 5 Jun 1998 dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> Thats not nice, you shouldn't compare Mackey to a sewer rat, after all a
> sewer rat is alot smarter then Mackey will ever be .

You are soooo right. Please accept my humble appologies to all sewer rats.

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

664. Re: scanner antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rlkillins@easynet.on.ca
Message-ID: <6lbiik$7a5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158354

Ahh yes ... a wonderful antenna indeed. The only one that I ever had any luck with pulling in those distant signals, and the most reliable for skip reception! It's too bad though that they are so hard to find nowadays. Mine succumbed to the natural elements after about 8 years. (The plastic insulators between the vertical elements dried out, broke, and the wind took it from there).

I know a guy who still uses one ... I've been trying to buy it from him, but no such luck. I still have my eyes open though, and given the opportunity, it will be up once again on my mast.

In article <6l5eggubq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:
>
> Boy did I luck out , Radio Shack made a good Outdoor Amtenna about 5 yrs ago ,
> the one with 3 Vertical Elements , and 3 Horz ones.
>
> It was a BEAST to assemble , however it was the best I ever used...
>
> Well I asked a friend who is a Shack National Rep , he said : I come accross
> em once in a while " he sent one this week....
>
> Up on a 15 Ft mast ...with nice Coax , man WHAT A DIFF....Iloved that
> Antenna, glad I found it gain...for 29.95 this time..use to be 49,95...was
> sure worth every penny...See if you can get one , I think it was made by
> Archer ....Ugly thing , but man is it a HOT one for 25 - 1300 MHz...
>
> I wont sell this one EVER...
>
> Just a thought.. ;)
>
> In article <MPG.fdf7bf0cdfc51bd98968f@news.earthlink.net>,
> dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) wrote:
> >
> > In article <1998060315131400.LAA09223@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
> > hot34rod@aol.com says...
> > > Does anyone have an openion on which scanner antenna has the best
> performance
> > > over the 25 to 1300mhz band. Thank you!
> > >
> > Believe it or not, after spending hundreds of dollars on the most exotic
> > ones out there. I have come to the >
<input type=

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

665. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Wilcox <YAR509@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lbjhv$3mn@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158355

john mackey please go away no one wants to hear your dribble anymore!

--
---Ray--

I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!

YAR509@worldnet.att.net

http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html

---

666. Re: MACKEY beaten down... ha ha ha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Wilcox <YAR509@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lbjo4$3mn@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158356

isnt freedom of speech wonderfull!

--
---Ray--

I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!

YAR509@worldnet.att.net

http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html

---

667. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35795432.1388787A@ibm.net>
Source record: 158357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

ROBERT J FORLINI wrote:
> thanks for providing that very informative site for us new guys.

Hi Bob,
You are quite welcome and I hope you find it useful!

> I do have a question about the legality of being able to hear
> cellular phone calls. In short, I just bought a Uniden BC80XLT.
> When it is searching the 800MHZ band, I hear cell phone conversation.
> According to your site, is this scanner illegal or is the monitoring
> illegal? Or both?

The way the law reads today, it is not illegal to own a cell-capable radio nor use it, per se. It is illegal to import a cell-capable radio, illegal to modify it to receive cell frequencies and illegal to listen to cellular phone transmissions regardless of how they are heard (directly, through images, intermodulation, down-conversion, etc). So there is nothing illegal about your radio but you are picking up images of the cellular frequencies and to listen to them would be illegal (per the letter of the law).

It is also illegal to listen to cordless phone transmissions but nearly every scanner ever made picks these frequencies up easily. The powers that be have not seen fit to block these ranges from present-day receivers like has done for cellular.

Hope this helped and best of luck with the new radio!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

668. Scout MOD for AOR-2700

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomgetty@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3579564e.152600958@news.atl.mindspring.com>
Source record: 158358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have any information on MODing the AOR 2700 for use w/ the Opto Scout to reaction tune?? If so please send me your info/expierences. Thanks

Tom

tomgetty@mindspring.com

N0deman
tomgetty@mindspring.com

Too many secrets
---

669. IRL FREQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3579572C.1D49@flash.net>
Source record: 158359

NEED FREQUIENCIES FOR IRL DRIVERS...NOT ON UNIDEN ANY MORE...TNX JOHN

670. Re: Here we go again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark G. Taylor" <N3TEL@intrepid.net>
Message-ID: <357887F2.859B701C@intrepid.net>
Source record: 158378
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

More laws, rules, and regulations is never a good thing when we currently find ourselves drowning in legislation.
Who exactly is being hurt by allowing scanners to receive cell reception?
What civil right is being violated by allowing these things to exist? There is none. This won't stop at cell phones. There has already been talk of making monitoring of police, and fire frequencies illegal. There are many states now where it is illegal to use a scanner in a vehicle. Is this the USA, or the (former) USSR? Lately it is getting harder to tell the difference. It is not about scanners, it is about personal freedom.
There is a presidential executive order already signed which will (when invoked) make illegal the use of any scanner, or Shortwave radio. When will this be invoked? Your guess is as good as mine. I'll try to find the Order number, and post the relevant passages here...

Too many people just don't care, as long as domino's deliver on time, and the cable TV works.

--
Visit:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Haven/3248/
for my PGP public key.
Or:
http://www.pgp.com/
To learn more about PGP.

671. FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35788DBC.468D@parg.org>
Source record: 158379

The below is from today's ARRL LETTER:

The FCC plans to further tighten its rules on scanning receivers to prevent reception on cellular telephone frequencies. In a rulemaking notice released June 3, ET Docket 98-76, the FCC proposed to require receiver filtering adequate to prevent cell phone reception even when the receiver is tuned to frequencies outside the cellular telephone bands, such as an image frequency. To prevent modification of legal receivers to receive cellular frequencies, the FCC wants scanning receivers designed so that the tuning and control circuitry is "completely inaccessible," and that attempts to modify the receiver "will likely render the equipment inoperable." The proposed rules also would prohibit scanner kits.

The FCC said the proposals were in response to a petition (RM-9022) from Uniden American Corporation, which manufactures both cellular telephones and scanners.

The proposed rules would affect Amateur Radio equipment that includes scanning capability, as defined in the FCC rules. The FCC has invited comments on whether it should modify its definition of a scanning receiver to include units that can be manually tuned or which automatically switch among fewer than four frequencies.

The FCC wants to require that scanners provide at least 38 dB of rejection for cell band signals at any frequency the receiver can tune. The FCC also proposes that scanners be unable to receive a signal level of 5 mV/meter or less in the cell band at any tunable frequency.

The FCC suggested covering control and tuning circuits with epoxy or some other substance, or encasing them in a non-removable metal compartment, to make them impossible to access and modify. The Commission also plans to ban the import or manufacture of scanning receiver and converter kits capable of receiving cellular frequencies. Test equipment would be exempted from the definition of a scanning receiver, however.

The FCC also proposed modifying the rules to make it clear that modification of scanning receivers on a substantial scale to receive cellular frequencies would be the same as manufacturing, which already is illegal. The FCC took the opportunity to point out that it's still illegal to modify receivers imported or manufactured prior to the effective date of the current scanning receiver rules. Those rules became effective April 26, 1994.

The FCC has opened a 30-day comment window. The complete petition is available at the FCC Web site at http://www.fcc.gov/oet/dockets/et98-76/.

672. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35789473.2CF@parg.org>
Source record: 158380

Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:
> As far as I've seen Mackey, he is vulgar.

Really, & what have I done on this NG that is considered
"vulgar" as you describe?

I must be really bad as Bill Cheek is on this NG talking about
incest & hemmoroids, yet you don't seem to feel that is
vulgar!

673. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35789511.318F@parg.org>
Source record: 158381

Mark Reynolds wrote:
> You fucking
> You're a fucking

You seem to have a very limited vocabulary. If you make any more cursing comments on this NG, I will report you to the sysop of your wargate system.

674. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35789634.31F3@parg.org>
Source record: 158382

Phil Smalley wrote:

> you have lots of examples I'm sure. I know something you

Yes, & all verifiable thru dejanews.

> can't provide - a post by you related to scanning since January 1 1998.
> Or even 1997 for that matter.

Would you like me to provide you a few?

I will if you will show a few on-topic messages from YOU.
So far, we've only seen your whinning & belly-aching. :(

675. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35789709.5ECA@parg.org>
Source record: 158383

Phil Smalley wrote:

> I know how you can make the flames stop. It's really simple, actually.
> Are you listening? (Sit down, this may shock you.)
>
> ----------------------> Shut up. <--------------------------
>
> That's all there is to it, John. Try it - you might learn to like it,

Hardly true, all the problems started about a week BEFORE I
entered this thread. I had nothing to do with it.

Don't believe it? Then go look in Dejanews for how the
winradio thread started a few weeks ago. It started with
Bill Cheek flaming a guy because he gave an opinion
differing from Cheek.

Truth hurts, huh?

676. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578979C.3C84@parg.org>
Source record: 158384

George Vetterle wrote:
> I don't think "fat ass" could be really considred swearing
> and in your case it is merely an appropriate description.

Being 6'1" & 185 lbs, it hardly is "appropriate" as you describe!

677. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357897ED.54F3@parg.org>
Source record: 158385

Phil Smalley wrote:
> What he meant was:
> Goldfish died. Had to flush fish. Toilet was broken. Went to "Sioux City

Phil-

I didn't realise you had a sense of humor. I really laughed more
than I have in a long time when I read your post!

678. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060601134100.VAA13324@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158386

>From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)"

>He may be knowledgeable about radios (?), and I may be 15 y/o,
>but he has some things

Come back when you have something worthwhile to say you little twit. I could give a rat's hairy butt what what some little wanker like you has to say about anything. Christ, are we reduced to listening to what these boys prattle on?

679. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060601142900.VAA09233@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158387

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF

Flames, and more flames from a fool.

680. Re: MACKEY at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060601161000.VAA09436@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158388

>From: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Mike Allen)

>And the 500 more off-topic posts from a jackass named John Mackey complaining
>about the off-topic posts.

Amen brother. He doesn't have anything to say about scanners so he bitches and moans about his betters. Anyone can go to dejanew s and see what he's had to contribute--ZERO.

681. Re: Bill says doo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060601172700.VAA09575@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158389

>From: Mike.Allen@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Mike Allen)

>I hope your departure is very, very soon, you freaking jacka

You are too kind. He's a lowlife loser who pushes a broom for a living and resents that everyone else is better than him. He's a worhtless piece of crap.

682. Re: MACKEY the VICTOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357898DF.4C7@parg.org>
Source record: 158390

Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:

> The level in which you've dealt
> with your own problems is incredibly low. Quasar stupid. So stupid, in
> fact, that stupid collapsed on itself.
>
> pja wrote:
SNIP

I almost fell out of my chair laughing so hard!

683. Cheek/Mackey Bandwidth Waste Index

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: CharlestonRhett@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <35789770.554310@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 158391

For what it's worth, I just logged onto rec.radio.scanner. I had 46 unread messages and 25 of them concerned Beavis and Butthead rather than scanning. That's 54% B&B, 46% scanning for a bandwidth waste index of 46.

Goal for June: let's get it down to less than 10.

RB

684. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3578995D.67C6@parg.org>
Source record: 158392

Phil Smalley wrote:
> dozens of posts and not ONE of them is about
> scanners -

HARDLY true. Dejanews would provide the history of numerous
on-topic posts I have made.

However, we have not seen any on-topic posts from YOU!

685. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060601205300.VAA14183@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158393

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>I must be really bad as Bill Cheek is on this NG talking about
>incest & hemmoroids, yet you don't seem to feel that is
>vulgar!

That's no way to talk to your only supporter! He's a 15 year old boy who is sharing an account with his boyfriend. He's loooking to you for comfort Mackey. He's the best firend your can have and everyone knwos you like little boys!

686. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357899C4.2170@parg.org>
Source record: 158394

BC895XL wrote:

> >From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
SNIP

> Or he could jump with the cord around his neck. Same thing.

I'll get the diving board & cord for him!

687. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060601221100.VAA10135@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158395

>Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)"

>Maybe this could be applied towards Bill.
>
>

Maybe Fancy you should shut the hell up and go back to kissing and hugging with Jamie!

688. Re: MACKEY beaten down... ha ha ha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060601234800.VAA14503@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158396

>Subject: Re: MACKEY beaten down... ha ha ha
>From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
>Date: Fri, Jun 5, 1998 18:34 EDT
>Message-id: <6l9rif$bhm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
>
>

>Beware I was not defending Mackey in my first reply (I think he is
>questionable himself), nor anyone else. The level in which you've dealt
>with your own problems is incredibly low. Quasar stupid.

Blah, blah, blan...Little Fancy boy fancies himself to be another Mackey. You two queens should be very happy together. But don' tell James--he might get jealous, he he.

689. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrcbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060601362600.VAA15890@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158397
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>Mark Reynolds wrote:

>> You f******

>> You're a f******

>

>You seem to have a very limited vocabulary. If you make any more

>cursing comments on this NG, I will report you to the sysop of your

>wargate system

And I have forwarde a copy of this post to your ISP too Mackey.
Reprinting the vulgarity in the ng makes you just as guilty.

The CB Guy

Still the same page, but it's moved.
http://members.aol.com/mrcbguy/cbradio.htm

690. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrcbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060601404900.VAA16390@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158398
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>If you have Netscape (or maybe even Outlook Express), you can cancel

>anyone's messages. Just set your email address to the posted message's

>contained email address, and cancel the message as if it were yours. I

>do this all the time with "sex spam", and other posts with incredibly

>stupid contents.

>

>Maybe this could be applied towards Bill.

What A moronic idea....
You do realize that headers are trace-able, and will lead to cancellation of your ISP?
Do a search in this ng on Rouge Cancels...and see why Mackey isn't on Sprint.net anymore.
STUPID IDEA.....Mackey give it to you?

The CB Guy

Still the same page, but it's moved.
http://members.aol.com/mrcbguy/cbradio.htm

691. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6la7k4$f1g$0@207.18.224.92>
Source record: 158399

On Sat, 06 Jun 1998 01:09:32 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>> I know how you can make the flames stop. It's really simple, actually.
>> Are you listening? (Sit down, this may shock you.)
>>
>> ----------------------> Shut up. <--------------------------
>>
>> That's all there is to it, John. Try it - you might learn to like it,
>
>Hardly true, all the problems started about a week BEFORE I
>entered this thread. I had nothing to do with it.
>

The thread did start before you got into it, but it had started
to die. Then, you got into it, and the signal to noise ratio, well,
it went into the toilet. Your very presence caused the relapse into
the crud, that had minimized when you left.

Straight stuff John. Fully verifiable through DejaNews.
Complete and total proof, that to minimize the noise on
the newsgroup, all it will take is for you to stop flaming.

Don't take this as a flame, it is meant to be constructive.
>Don't believe it? Then go look in Dejanews for how the
>winradio thread started a few weeks ago. It started with
>Bill Cheek flaming a guy because he gave an opinion
>differing from Cheek.
>
>Truth hurts, huh?

692. Re: MACKEY the VICTOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6la7qr$ocq@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158400

In <357898DF.4C7@parg.org> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:
>
>> The level in which you've dealt
>> with your own problems is incredibly low. Quasar stupid. So stupid,
in
>> fact, that stupid collapsed on itself.
>>
>> pja wrote:
>SNIP
>
>
>I almost fell out of my chair laughing so hard!

that's another misnomer:

bill conduit
etc.

693. BC350A MemoLoss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: arthurbh@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060602251800.WAA21827@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158401

My BC350 has an occasional loss of memory (memloss) -- this problem has become quite annoying. I have this radio mounted in my car and is powered from the accessory circuit. Is there a "fix" of something I can do to the circuit. I have looked over the circuit board looking for cold solder joints or visible defective components but have spotted nothing.

Thanks,
ArthurBH@aol.com
ArthurBH@AOL.com

694. BC350 Programming shortcuts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: arthurbh@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060602262300.WAA21952@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158402

When reprogramming my BC350 (after loss of memory) I start by locking out all the channels on "Police" and "Fire/Emg" one at a time then restoring only the few that I am interested in. Can I lockout all the channels with just a few keystrokes?

Thanks,
ArthurBH@aol.com
ArthurBH@AOL.com

695. Re: BC350A MemoLoss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ffdoug@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060602534600.WAA25464@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158403

make sure there is power going to teh scanner at all times..i had teh same problem and wiring it so it had power at all times and using the on/off switch on the scanner instead of teh ignition switch solved teh problem

696. F S- vhf mobil radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ddubsky@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060603105600.XAA23342@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158404

F S- 12- vhf mobil radios 1 base ststion ant 1 desk mic 1astron pwr supply

call for more info 805-656-3784 or e-mail ddubsky@aol.com

697. Re: Which scanners cover CB radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <3578b5ec.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 158405

In article <19971214034400.WAA10462@ladder01.news.aol.com>, kb6kgx@aol.com (KB6KGX) wrote:
>And the BC3000 does, too.

So does the ICOM R-10

698. fs r/shack pro 2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ddubsky@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060603182000.XAA28386@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158406

F S- r/ shack pro-2037 200 ch with 800 mhz near xlt cond. 6 mo old.

$ 120.00 shipping included . e-mail ddubsky@aol.com

699. !PRO 2026 Unblocked Cellular Scannable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <3578b65c.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 158407

```
Radio Shack PRO 2026
Full cellular coverage
Includes bracket, book, power cord...

Perfect condition...

$150 shipped...
```

700. 2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: noshoot@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lab6cifd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158408

anyone know of any mods worth doing to the 2021? being memory,speed,etc.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

701. Re: WTB_Spectra spectrum adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nanoject@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060718302300.OAA17147@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158111

Hi, its the Spectra-Display from:
GTI Electronics
RD 1 Box 272
Lehighton, Pa 18235
1-717386-4032
Have one. Purchased a few years ago. I use it with my R7000.
Will not part with it. Not sure if GTI is still in business. Unit
works with any low cost o-scope (5mhz). I guess the group
would be interested in GTI if still in business.
Nanoject@aol.com

702. Re: Help with BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lej3rnca1@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158112

Is the resistor supposed to be marked 10k?
The only thing above the "den" is a rectangular silver thingy with a
black face. It is marked "103". Is this what I cut?

703. Re: Scanner Frequences - California Theme Parks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6lemtq$ei8@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 158113

A list of Disneyland Frequencies (incl 900 MHz trunked) and radio codes can
be found at http://www.oitc.com/DIsney/Disneyland/DL_Freqs.html

Harry Marnell, N6URU
marnells@snowcrest.net
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

Tower3 wrote in message <3575836a.15907023@nntp.ix.netcom.com>...
>Hi,
>
>I'm trying to get the most updated list of Frequences & Radio Codes
>for Theme Parks in California. Especially, Universal Studios
>Hollywood & Disneyland. Please send any and all information to my
>email address. Thank you

704. Re: Discriminator output on PRO-2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: AJH <ajh@myhouse.org>
Message-ID: <6lepa7$h53$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 158114

Heh, actually I figured it out myself. I was just to lazy to read some of
the faqs before ;) All you have to do is open up the case and wire pin 9 of
the KA3361 IC to the center pin of an RCA jack, and ground the rest out.
Its worked for me so far with decoding POCSAG and DTMF. Hope that helps.

Later,

Mark Krubsack wrote in message
<357ad2f3.2986564@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>...
>On Sat, 6 Jun 1998 11:38:47 -0500, "AJH" <ajh@myhouse.org> wrote:
>
>>Does anyone have step by step instructions on building a discriminator
>>output for the PRO-2037?
>
>Please let me know what you find out.
>
>
> |^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^|
> | Mark Krubsack |
> | KE6OI |
> |----------------------------------|
> | e-mail: krubsack@sprintmail.com |
> |__________________________________|

705. newbie.....(help)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: big--boy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lence$1ao$1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158115

i just got a scanner and i love it .so this week im going to get anouther one .but the new one im going to get is going to be a portible one..my question is the scanner im going to get is a uniden bc 60xlt...this is a very cheap scanner but thats all i have the money for..does anyone know if this scanner will pick up between 29-50 mhz...and anouther question is that if i have an antenna that covers the 108-1300 mhz...does that meen that its imposable to get the 29-50 mhz on it..thanks for your time in reading this.
big--boy@webtv.net
chet rogers

706. Re: Trunk Tracker Help PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060720070100.QAA01319@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158116

Going from memory here..........the 3 to 5 digit numbers is one way your scanner follows trunking, the others (400-2) is another way it tracks trunking. It is how you program your scanner. I have a RS Pro-90 and I use the 400-2 methos as you can hold your scanner on that ID number and if it is the correct fleet map for the type of trunk system the agency uses, you won't miss a thing. I used to track my local police witht he 3-5 digits but found that 'channel 1' traffic was being monitored by me on several of these ID numbers (thus, harder to track a specific channel by holding your scanner on a partivular 3-5 digit ID number). I use the preset fleet map E1P1 for my local police and it works great. Your scanner should have 16 preset fleet maps and if you experiment with each of them (listen to the broadcasts of the people you monitor) and try to see if there are any 'gaps' in the conversations you are following. If it seems you are not missing any responses in the conversation, you probably have the correct preset fleet map. Of course, you can program your own fleet map, but it seems too difficult without first experimenting with the 16 presets.

707. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <357AF582.818FEF62@iname.com>
Source record: 158117

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> MAlexder wrote:
> > Glenq and Quack Mack have one thing in common: Neither has ever posted a single
> > on-topic message. Note that the the cretin responds to Quack Mackie's posts
> > despite claiming to have put him in his killfiles. One wonders if they are not
> > the same people!
>
> Talk about being rich with stupidity!

That would make you a billionare Mackey.
Why won't you meet my challange to you?
You answer my other posts, but fail to answer the challange.
Com'on...can you keep your mouth shut for 2 weeks.
Name the day, and I'll prove (Once again) that I'm better than you.

708. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357AF633.1A46@parg.org>
Source record: 158118

stuart upton wrote:
> And your agenda is to let us know about each and every one of them with
> sickening regularity. Why?

Excellent point, thank you for your support Stuart.

Yes, DO TELL, MAlexander, WHY do you feel the need to respond to
each and every little message?

Some type of fixation?

709. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357AF6C6.391E@parg.org>
Source record: 158119

Salvatore Gambino wrote:

> Jeezus H. Christ! Here I take a few weeks off and come back
> to find the group under a Mack Attack again. What happened
> to your truce, Johnny Bluejeans? I thought you was a man of your
> word.

I am a man of my word. If you remember, I suggested Bill & I STOP
all posts of any type of flaming & such. He complied with this
agreement for a couple weeks. Then, when he thought I was off line
at the DAYTON HAMVENTION, he started again.

710. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357AF57C.12FD@parg.org>
Source record: 158120

MAlexder wrote:
> Glenq and Quack Mack have one thing in common: Neither has ever posted a single
> on-topic message. Note that the the cretin responds to Quack Mackie's posts
> despite claiming to have put him in his killfiles. One wonders if they are not
> the same people!

Talk about being rich with stupidity!

711. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357AF71B.1E2A@parg.org>
Source record: 158121

John T. Arthur wrote:
> Please join with the rest of us to stop these flame-wars,

Then why have you been on here trying to fan the flames?

712. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <6lf27n$4s3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158122

HEY,,,,,,, I wonder why that ' Good Samaritan ' wasn't arrested right along with those other 3 criminals ,,,after all, she is now a criminal, admitting to listening to a private telephone conversation,,which I thought was against the law as far as I knew.....violent crime or not !! Eddie Kosloski scanist@acmenet.net

In article <6lcnob$bi5$1@newsfep4.sprintmail.com>,
"Don" <kingfish@sprintmail.com> wrote:
>
> From the June 6, 1998 Albany, NY "Times Union":
>
> " Conspiracy overheard on scanner
>
> Police arrest 3 suspects after good Samaritan hears plot to
> burglarize elderly women
>
> While listening to the routine chatter on her home police scanner one
> morning this week, a Stillwater woman heard an unusual conversation - two
> males plottting to beat and rob an elderly Malta woman.
> The woman immediately reported to State Police. After swift detective
> work, three suspects were in custody and charged with conspiracy. The
> elderly woman was unharmed."
>
> " ..... As the scanner crackled with chatter about emergency vehicles
> responding to the storm cleanup in the Stillwater- Mechanicville region, a
> private phone conversation crept in."
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> (emphasis added)
>
> " A male was telling his friend 'about an elderly woman who lived alone in
> Malta and was known to keep large amounts of money in her purse in the
> kitchen', Investigator Frank Cameron said"
>
> "... One of the men was on a cordless phone, allowing the scanner to
> intercept the conversation, he said."
>
> The article goes on to detail the arrest of three men who were charged
> with a felony count of fourth degree conspiracy.
>
> Could this case produce a precedent concerning listening to phone
> converations if there is a good reason, i.e. stopping a violent crime?
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

713. Re: Help with BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: reallybigguy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6lf2ru$5h4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158123

In article
<6lde9bmui1@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:
>
> I'm attempting the cell mod on my scanner. I
found the UC-1147A chip.
> Above the "den" portion of "Uniden" is a silver
thing marked "103". Is
> this what I'm suppposed to cut? Please email
answers. Thanks in advance.
>

No don't touch that thing. You'll fry the entire unit. I will sell you my BC200xlt which is already cell modified. You can give me your worthless unit and $200.00 cash. This is a good deal-take it.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

714. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357AF7F5.4B3D@parg.org>
Source record: 158124

Bill Cheek wrote:

> "David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS" <NoOne@NoWhere.net> wrote:
> > I thought things were getting better for a while,
>
> So did I....until Smacksie just couldn't stand the
> prosperity.

No Bill, remember, YOU & I had a deal of NO FLAMES or other
attacks on this NG. THen you broke the deal with your attack on the
guy who gave a poor report on winradio by YOU FLAMING HIM.
I waited for a few days & did nothing, then I gave you
public warnings on this NG to stop but you continued.
Then I acted to hold YOU responsible for your actions as
I told you I would do.

715. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357AF864.C94@parg.org>
Source record: 158125

MAlexder wrote:
> I only post flames in responses to your flames Quackie. Tell; you what: You
> stop and I'll stop.

So you're saying that I cause you to post flames, or in other words
I control you? Wow, I didn't know I had such control over you, I could
have some fun with this!

716. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com>
Message-ID: <6lev0e$46o@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 158126
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

"ss" <s@gg.com> wrote:
>
>James R. Hall wrote in message <6le9bietb1@news7.ispnews.com>...
>>why?
>>
>>--
>> -James, N9XLC
>
>
> Baseball coaches love them for training pitchers
>to increase the speed of their fast balls.
>
> Cliff s

Also good psysch ops to deter the assholes on the
road who think they own the highway. Put the digital
indicator unit, and antenna unit on your dash so it's
visible, and bingo - 'unmarked patrol car'.... instant
"halo" effect....everyone around you, suddenly becomes
law abiding.

717. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kplotkin@access5.digex.net
Message-ID: <6levh9$8ks@access5.digex.net>
Source record: 158127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3580485e.139841195@news2.cts.com>,
Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote:

>Apparently not. At least no one's figured out how
>to do any more than the channel number and frequency.

As far as the 64 and 2041 go, make that "apparently not" a firm "no". GRE has a full two-way protocol that allows setting lockout, delay, etc. I don't know which (if any) scanners use the full protocol, but the 64 and 2041 use a one-way version of it. The spec for the one-way version says just channel and frequency. I've tried sending my 64 every possible permutation of the two-way codes. Ones that don't change the data stream format just get ignored. Those that do change the format cause the scanner to barf.

Ken Plotkin

718. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: me@bored.com
Message-ID: <357d0674.2279546@news.erols.com>
Source record: 158128

What about 'painting' low flying military aircraft?

>>>why?
>>>
>>>--
>>> -James, N9XLC
>>
>>
>> Baseball coaches love them for training pitchers
>>to increase the speed of their fast balls.
>>
>> Cliff s
>
>
>Also good psysch ops to deter the assholes on the
>road who think they own the highway. Put the digital
>indicator unit, and antenna unit on your dash so it's
>visible, and bingo - 'unmarked patrol car'.... instant
>"halo" effect....everyone around you, suddenly becomes
>law abiding.
>
>

719. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357B0E3D.4547B7A1@netwit.com>
Source record: 158129

Mackey says:

> As soon as I am approached on an intelligent level, I will defend
> myself at that level!

Said the blind man: "As soon as I see the train approaching....... "

720. Looking for Freqs for Warrensburg/Johnson County, MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Ward <catman@iland.net>
Message-ID: <6lf3b4orb1@news.corpcomm.net>
Source record: 158130

Just curious if anyone out there has a list of frequencies and channel names/identifiers for the city of Warrensburg, Missouri and for Johnson County, Missouri.

Thanks.

-- John

721. !FS: BC855XLT Desktop Scanner (Cellular Restored)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <357b1b72.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 158131
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Bearcat 855xlt desktop scanner.

Great radio, perfect condition...
Modified to 100 channels
Modified for 800mhz Cellular (full coverage)

$150.
```

722. !Wanted: BC9000XLT Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <357b1b07.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 158132
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

My girlfriend wants a BC9000 now (cause I'm getting a BC3000)... :)

There was one up for sale last month for around $200.

Please email me if you have one around that price.

723. WTB - Spectra Display Unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bush143@postoffice.worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lf59u$ho0@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I'm looking for the spectra-display unit from GTI Electronics. If you have one in good condition, please let me know how much you want for it.

I would also be interested in any similar unit, which I can use with an oscilloscope, to provide spectrum waveforms of received signals.

Please e-mail responses directly to me. Thank you!

724. Re: newbie.....(help)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: AJH <ajh@myhouse.org>
Message-ID: <6lf7nvmtr1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 158134

almost every modern scanner will pick up that range [29-50], and yes, the
stock antenna will probably let you listen to those freqs
chester rogers wrote in message
<6lence$1ao$1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>...
i just got a scanner and i love it .so this week im going to get
anouther one .but the new one im going to get is going to be a portible
one..my question is the scanner im going to get is a uniden bc
60xlt...this is a very cheap scanner but thats all i have the money
for..does anyone know if this scanner will pick up between 29-50
mhz...and anouther question is that if i have an antenna that covers the
108-1300 mhz...does that meen that its imposable to get the 29-50 mhz on
it..thanks for your time in reading this.
big--boy@webtv.net
chet rogers

725. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3584175b.15770897@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 158135

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>MAlexder wrote:
>> Glenq and Quack Mack have one thing in common: Neither has ever posted a single
>> on-topic message. Note that the the cretin responds to Quack Mackie's posts
>> despite claiming to have put him in his killfiles.

Two sentences, two blatant lies. Not bad, even for a Cheek sycophant.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

726. WTB: ICOM R-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDS <skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <e8E8q7mk9GA.286@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 158136

Must be in excellent condition with original accessories, manual and box.
Please email with details
Thanks

727. Re: Cheek/Mackey Bandwidth Waste Index

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357B324D.C920A0A1@netwit.com>
Source record: 158137

Capt'n Butler wrote:

> For what it's worth, I just logged onto rec.radio.scanner. I had 46
> unread messages and 25 of them concerned Beavis and Butthead rather
> than scanning. That's 54% B&B, 46% scanning for a bandwidth waste
> index of 46.
>
> Goal for June: let's get it down to less than 10.
>
> RB

Dude, your ISP must be last stop on the USENET route. Only about 35% of the traffic coming through my newsreader in rrr is flame flavoured. You sure you're getting all the posts?

728. Bander.com?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lf88e$p2a$1@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158138

What are the chances that the feds will investigate or prosecute if I were to order an unblocked unit from Bander.com? I just saw their site for the first time and I'm intrigued. They have some tasty radios from which to choose, at very fair prices.

729. Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357B350C.41D25DD8@netwit.com>
Source record: 158139

I figured out how to get Mackey's goat. It would be neat to delete the word "cheek" from any subject line when wacking the Mackey.

He'll insert the "Cheek" word in every subject line, no matter who he's arguing with.

Take a wack at Mackey. But when you click on the "reply to idiot" button in your newreader, simply delete "cheek" anywhere it appears in the subject line.

Not only will this make Mackey mad, (ok-ok-ok,.....madder), but everyone who puruses the NG subject lines will see Mackey's obsessive "cheek" streak.

Give it a try. I'm starting tonight.

730. Re: Help with BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lf8c7$p2h$1@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158140

Duh, okay. Are you sure that's all you want?

731. MACKEY the VICTOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <c39_9806071700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158141

-=> Quoting John Mackey - Ka0ssf to All <=-

JM-K> I almost fell out of my chair laughing so hard!

The restraints on that jacket must be working after all.

They prevented you from falling on your head again.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

732. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <357B39C5.EF2531C1@pacifier.com>
Source record: 158142

Good suggestion allsafe. I'm starting tonight also. Nothing
like kicking a little MACKEY butt to get one's days started.

allsafe wrote:

> I figured out how to get Mackey's goat. It would be neat to delete the
> word "cheek" from any subject line when wacking the Mackey.
>
> He'll insert the "Cheek" word in every subject line, no matter who he's
> arguing with.
>
> Take a wack at Mackey. But when you click on the "reply to idiot"
> button in your newreader, simply delete "cheek" anywhere it appears in
> the subject line.
>
> Not only will this make Mackey mad, (ok-ok-ok,.....madder), but everyone
> who puruses the NG subject lines will see Mackey's obsessive "cheek"
> streak.
>
> Give it a try. I'm starting tonight.

733. MACKEY is a big dummy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <357B3A4C.94055FFC@pacifier.com>
Source record: 158143

```
Get down and kiss Bill's ass Mackey.
```

734. Re: FS : Mint Uniden BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <simmont@mailhost.extremezone.com>
Message-ID: <357B3F3C.3D6E@mailhost.extremezone.com>
Source record: 158144

reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> I have a MINT Uniden BC200xlt. It has a small crack down the middle of the
> crystal display(not very noticeable). There are a few scratches on the
> unit(nothing bad) The battery needs replacement. I have no antenna or AC
> cord. Radio has cell mod (very valuable feature). This is considered by all
> to be the best handheld scanner ever made. I'll start the bidding at $300.00.
> I'll contact the high bidder on 6/10/98 at 6:00pm EST. Good luck.

$300 for a 200xlt with a bad battery, no antenna & no charging
adapter????? I will admit that the 200xlt is a nice scanner, but that is
pretty steep! I sold mine not too long ago for $150 (cell mod done,
antenna & charging adapter included).

--
CHARLES SIMMONT ICP509/DISPATCHER
INCIDENT COMMAND PAGE OF ARIZONA
ARIZONA'S PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENT NOTIFICATION SYSTEM
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html

OTHER NOTIFICATION SYSTEMS
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp/other.html

THE VIEWS EXPRESSED HERE ARE MY OWN AND NOT THAT OF ICP UNLESS OTHERWISE
SPECIFIED.

735. Re: FS : Mint Uniden BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <357B4112.5A00@prodigy.net>
Source record: 158145

reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> I have a MINT Uniden BC200xlt. It has a small crack down the middle of the
> crystal display(not very noticeable). There are a few scratches on the
> unit(nothing bad)
How can it be mint if it has cracks and scratches???
> The battery needs replacement. I have no antenna or AC
> cord.
Prepare to invest $60 more to replace the battery and antenna!!!
> Radio has cell mod (very valuable feature). This is considered by all
> to be the best handheld scanner ever made.
What about the PRO-43 or the AR8000??? Some consider those to be the
best handhelds ever made.
> I'll start the bidding at $300.00.
At $300 it sounds like you will be buying your scanner back.
> I'll contact the high bidder on 6/10/98 at 6:00pm EST.
Just look in the mirror!
> Good luck.
You will need some luck at that price!!!
>
> ------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

736. Pro 26 Scanner For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dstegner <dstegner@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <6lfhpcgjg1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 158146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
PRO-26 SCANNER FOR SALE,
200 CHANNELS,HANDHELD,HYPERSCAN,
TRIPLE CONVERSION,
SOLD FOR $449 NEW. EX COND.
$175 US. WILL SHIP UPS GROUND.

Email if interested.

dstegner@bigfoot.com
```

737. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lfela$of2$1@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158147

I have a better idea. Let's behave like grown-ups and discuss scanners.

738. Re: RadioShack Trunktracker CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ROBERT J FORLINI <FORLINI@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6lfi7q$d2go$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 158148

I bought and returned the Pro-91 because I also noticed the high noise level. The salesman told me that the Pro-90 is made by Uniden and the 91 is not. If you put them side by side, they do look different. He also said that there is a new 150 channel version of the 90, also made by Uniden, due out soon and recommended I wait for that one.

Bob

John Habbinga wrote in message <6kqnt3$70b$1@news4.wt.net>...
>I like the Pro-91. I too like the battery arrangement. I don't think the
>fact that the Pro-91 only has 150 channels is too much of a disadvantage. I
>do think the Pro-90 has a better receiver. When I listened to them side by
>side the Pro-91 seemed to have a higher background noise level.
>

739. State of Florida digital voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: R J <randyj@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <357B51F9.743F80B4@mpinet.net>
Source record: 158149

The State of Florida DIGITAL VOICE trunk system in the Orlando area.
It has been digital in the Miami area and is being installed throughout
Florida.

Frequencies in MHz (minus 45 MHz input) Orlando area:

866.9375 .9625
867.4375 .4625 .9375 .9625
868.4375 sometimes trunk data
868.9375 sometimes trunk data

Possible future frequencies not yet used in the Orlando area:

866.4375 .4500 .4625 .4750 .4875 .9500 .9750 .9875
867.4500 .4750 .4875 .9875
868.4625 .4750 .4875 .9500 .9625 .9750 .9875

Turnpike Patrol, Highway Patrol verified in the Orlando area.
Trunk IDs:
1027
1088
18432

The Florida Sunshine open Government law should apply to scanner
manufacturers to allow production of digital radios.

Their is no reason to consider most of this routine radio traffic
private from law abiding citizens.

Especially State, County, or local Government services.

Digital voice is an improvement from analog voice radio.

With knowledge of Federal Government communications before the FBI went
digital in Memphis, TN in 1981 and remodernized their system in 1989
throughout Florida, it is understood why the FBI and other Federal
agencies digital voice is suppose to be confidential.

740. CHEEK:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <c37_9806071700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158150

-=> Quoting John Mackey - Ka0ssf to All <=-

> You've already resumed your vendetta against Cheek;

JM-K> I have no vendetta against Cheek & I resent your accusation of such.

We all know that you're still pissed because he banned you from the
FidoNet SCANNER echo. You're just not man enough to forget it and
let this newsgroup go back to what it was intended.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

741. CHEEK:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <c38_9806071700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158151

-=> Quoting John Mackey - Ka0ssf to All <=-

JM> You seem to have a very limited vocabulary. If you make any more
JM> cursing comments on this NG, I will report you to the sysop of your
JM> wargate system.

And his sysop (me) will report you to your ISP for quoting
the profanity that seems to offend you so.

Might even report you to your local mental health center,
since you obviously need help.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

742. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357B587B.D65123B5@netwit.com>
Source record: 158152

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:

> I have a better idea. Let's behave like grown-ups and discuss scanners.

Duh........I dunno; has that been suggested before? If so; has it
worked?

Oh what a tangled web we weave; when first we pontificate on webTV!

743. Commercial LMR Radios won't do Cellular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy M <enzo@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <357B85BE.2BDA@ibm.net>
Source record: 158153

Does anyone know if the ECPA act applies to commercial 800MHz two-way radios destined for the USA? I've been told that Kenwood's mobiles, and other LMR radios, cannot be programmed into the cellular frequencies!

My company's radios won't do it either but that's due to a design constraint on the Rx engineering and nothing to do with the ECPA.

Anyone know?

Cheers!
Andy

744. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miles <jmiles@pop.removethistomailme.net>
Message-ID: <357B5E09.2E24@pop.removethistomailme.net>
Source record: 158154
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

```
Kermit wrote:
>
> "ss" <s@gg.com> wrote:
> >
> >James R. Hall wrote in message <6le9bi$etb$1@news7.ispnews.com>...
> >>why?
> >>
> >>--
> >> -James, N9XLC
> >>
> >>
> > Baseball coaches love them for training pitchers
> >to increase the speed of their fast balls.
> >
> > Cliff s
>
> Also good psysch ops to deter the assholes on the
> road who think they own the highway. Put the digital
> indicator unit, and antenna unit on your dash so it's
> visible, and bingo - 'unmarked patrol car'.... instant
> "halo" effect....everyone around you, suddenly becomes
> law abiding.

Sounds like _you're_ the one who thinks he owns the highway, friend.

-- jm

-----------------------------------------------------
Note: My E-mail address has been altered to avoid spam
-----------------------------------------------------
```

745. Radio Related Items For Sale On Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Peters <popular@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <357B5620.B64@earthlink.net>
Source record: 158155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

The following items are for sale on ebay.

1. Radio Shack Pro-60 Handheld Scanner item #16259696
2. 1995 Passport To World Band Radio item #16361101
3. SONY AN-3 VHF Antenna item #16361904
4. Drake MS-8 Shortwave Speaker item #16362841
5. Icom TV-R7000 TV Adapter item #16363716

Go to ebay at the following site ....
http://www.ebay.com

Click on "SEARCH"
Enter the number of the item your interested in, in the "ITEM" box

Thanks . . . . . .

Jim Peters
popular@earthlink.net
Portland, Oregon

746. Re: BC855XLT Desktop Scanner (Cellular Restored)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <6lf6teesb1@supernews.com>
Source record: 158156
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack has a 300 channel radio on sale this month for $199.00

Simple mod for cellular.

--

1-800 Toll Free
One of da Big Johnson Boys in Da Dome
Every woman likes a man with a great big Johnson!!!!

747. Dialup FCC Database for searching, applying, etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6lfv85$4hc@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 158157
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The FCC has just established a direct 800-number for computer dial-up into their databases. To apply for or change licensing info you need a password, but SEARCHING doesn't require it. They even tell you how to set up your computer's dial-up networking, then you use your browser and search. So you can now bypass the internet and go straight in to the FCC! They say AOL's browser won't work, "you must use either Netscape 3.01 (or higher) or Microsoft Internet Explorer 3.02" or higher.

The info is at http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/

HOWEVER, I don't see a link to the general database, so once you connect with the 800 number, go HERE with your browser:
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

Looks like the gov'ment has done something right for a change...

Harry Marnell, N6URU
marnells@snowcrest.net
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

748. Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <cb9_9806080500@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158158

-=> Quoting John Mackey - Ka0ssf to All <=-

JM> Then why have you been on here trying to fan the flames?

Look at yourself in a mirror when you ask that question.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

749. CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <cb8_9806080500@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158159

-=> Quoting John Mackey - Ka0ssf to All <=-

JM> Talk about being rich with stupidity!

With your stupidity, you gotta be richer than Bill Gates.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

750. Buy Sell Trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Online Hamfest <TCRG@quality-enterprises.com>
Message-ID: <357AFD50.A6B9309F@quality-enterprises.com>
Source record: 158160

http://quality-enterprises.com/tcrg/OnlineFest/

751. Re: scanner antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: fake@faker.net
Message-ID: <fake-0706980019020001@oak8-27-205.thegrid.net>
Source record: 158161

> BTW: I've got the $59.00 discone, I bought it because they said it was the
> best...should I take this back? I've heard several bad things about
it. I'm going
> to get the 20-014 regardless...

Several months ago I used the new model RS discone on the roof ten feet
over from my 20-014. The discone seemed broken in comparison. I didn't
know the 20-014 is hard to find, don't they make it anymore?

752. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357a4152.138036426@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158162

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS" <NoOne@NoWhere.net> wrote:

> Ok, I've had it. While Mackey is useless, Bill Cheeks can be just as
> obnoxious when he is not on the subject of scanning.

Sooooo.....don't get me off the subject, then.
Now isn't that a simple solution?

> I hate to lose useful info from Cheeks,

Then keep a grip on it.

> but both are are going into my kill list.

Oh. Well, that will be fine, too. So cut off
your nose to spite your face. Guess what? No one
will care one whit.

> I thought things were getting better for a while,

So did I....until Smacksie just couldn't stand the
prosperity.

> but when I checked the group this evening, a good portion
> of the messages are dealing with the Cheek/Mackey feud.

Do you expect me to help that? Some of those threads
I don't post to. Nevertheless, there is still a lot
of good scanner threads, so why don't you choose to
enjoy them?

Better still, why don't you CONTRIBUTE to some?

> From what I've seen I expect this from Mackey, but Bill, you seem to
> be an intelligent person, why do you fan the flames?

I don't. Check the threads. See for yourself.
If....you can see.

<shrug>

753. Re: Congratulations!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357c4333.138517699@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158163

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Flapjack@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Congratulations posters. You have succeeded in turning what could have been
> an informative newgroup into a juvenile idiocy-filled flame war

How on earth is this alleged to have happened?
Can't you SEE the preponderant majority of]
good scanner posts?

And WHY are you ADDING to the nonsense, if it
bothers you so much?

Curious.......

754. Re: Winradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357d43cf.138674024@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158164

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Brian Adams <brianadams@home.com> wrote:

> I am using a winradio and wanted to know if anyone has tried the
> digital suite upgrade?

Utterly slick and fabulous, IMHO.

> It is supposed to decode packet and fax among
> other things.

I haven't messed with packet yet, but everything
else I've tested and it works! I'd say it's all
worth it and more.

> Also is there any mods for the winradio?

Not really, unless you've got an older unit, in which
case it can be brought up to current standards. You'll
need to refer to the selected back issues of either
Monitoring Times or the World Scanner Report for that
information as it's much too detailed and full of
graphics to post here.

Also, there are cool ways to import and export
WiNRADiO file data..... For that info, you can snag
two files from my FTP site:

perl95nt.zip
wrcnvprl.zip

ftp://204.210.11.204/winradio/

These files and information make it real easy to put
lots of data INTO WiNRADiO's files or extract that
information for use in other ways. Have a ball!

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

755. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357e45a7.139145654@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158165

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

> He won't stop--he loves the attention
> because his life is so miserable that he's completely ignored at home by his
> girlfriend and the two kids that he is supposedly looking after...

The "girlfriend" is one thing, but them kids
are "bedwetters", according to Smacksie himself.
I caught him posting questions about them to a
self-help newsgroup last year. From the sound
of it, them kids are freaked out when Smacksie
is around. No wonder they're bedwetters.

Oh, and the "girlfriend" is a 380-lb squaw from
the Paiute tribe. FWIW.

756. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3580485e.139841195@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158166

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com (Andrew Porrett) wrote:

>
> kplotkin@access2.digex.net (Kenneth Plotkin) wrote:
>
> >Right - the scanner needs the channel and frequency.
>
> You're talking about Pro-64's, right? I'm not familiar with them
> or their PC interface; Can parameters like delay, lockout, mode,
> priority channel(s), and search limits be programmed via the
> interface?

Apparently not. At least no one's figured out how
to do any more than the channel number and frequency.

> >If the frequency is blank, that can be taken as zero and the channel
> >cleared.
>
> But not locked out?

Correct.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

757. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357f471d.139520074@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158167

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Ray Wilcox <YAR509@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> ok someone has to ask mackey do you have a scanner ? if so add something
> intelligent about one. thanks in advance!

But he thinks a scanner is a printed page
that you read real fast. Except he can't
read.

758. Re: Billy Boy Cheeks,Mr Know it All,NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358149bb.140189885@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158168

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

> John, please kill yourself. You'll feel better...really.

Maybe he already did? What spews out of
him doesn't sound like it comes from a
live body, not even a brain-dead one.

He's lost his head altogether. Or never
had one in the first place.

759. Help with BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lde9bmui1@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 158169

I'm attempting the cell mod on my scanner. I found the UC-1147A chip. Above the "den" portion of "Uniden" is a silver thing marked "103". Is this what I'm suppposed to cut? Please email answers. Thanks in advance.

760. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6ldis8$j1s@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158170

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>Because Bill Cheek started causing trouble on this NG again.
>If you remember, I suggested he & I STOP all posts of
>any type of flaming & such. He complied with this agreement
>for a couple weeks. Then, when he thought I was off line
>at the DAYTON HAMVENTION, he started again. Much to Bill's
>surprise, I use a nation-wide ISP, so my travel status made
>no difference.

Jeezus H. Christ! Here I take a few weeks off and come back
to find the group under a Mack Attack again. What happened
to your truce, Johnny Bluejeans? I thought you was a man of your
word. Maybe I should have sent some of my colleagues in Youngstown
to see you when you were in Dayton. Talk about surprises...

/Sal

761. Alinco DJ-X10 discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sima <sima@technologist.com>
Message-ID: <357A2E12.3A74B813@technologist.com>
Source record: 158171
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

NEW! Alinco DJ-X10E modification for direct discriminator output.

Locate IC 31136 on the lower side of second RF board. Pin 9 of this IC
is
baseband audio (discriminator) output. If you don't need clonning
function,
you can trace one of the 2'5 stereo plug pin, cut it from other circuit
and
connect to 9 pin of 31136.

Now you have audio and discriminator output in one receiver with
0.1-2000MHz
coverage. Have a nice scaning! :)

p.s. more information about this receiver and your comments send to
sima@technologist.com, please.

762. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060709473200.FAA11125@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158172

>From: glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom)

>Because he's in our killfiles, of course.

Glenq and Quack Mack have one thing in common: Neither has ever posted a single on-topic message. Note that the the cretin responds to Quack Mackie's posts despite claiming to have put him in his killfiles. One wonders if they are not the same people!

763. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060709521500.FAA11231@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158173

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>yes I do have a scanner.
>
>

He's got some decrepit piece of crap that gets 10 channels and he has only managed to program three. The reason that you will never see anything on-topic from Quakerel is that he knows squat about scanning. His sole purpose it to disrupt this group with electronic stalking, personal vendettas, imersonations, forgeries, lies, censorship and similiarly nefarious activities.

His agenda is to post flame after flame in hopes of driving as many people out of the group as he can. We've resisted him for a long time and periodically, when he senses that he has lost his cause, he goes away. He's posting at a rate of about 10 flames a day for the last 7 days. He's on a record pace at the moment.

764. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060709535500.FAA15493@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158174

>Why did you start up again?
>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
>Date: Sat, Jun 6, 1998 18:56 EDT

>YOU are the liar! I have made recent on-topic posts, yet YOU REFUSE
>to them!

If you made one on-topic post out of 70 off-topic flames then you'll have to forgive my not seeing it. The noise level is so high that the signal is all but lost Quackerel.

765. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060709555900.FAA11309@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158175

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>Why is it that we only see you post flames & never
>on topic messages?

I only post flames in responses to your flames Quackie. Tell; you what: You stop and I'll stop. Go on, a dare you little man.

766. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060709575300.FAA15566@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158176

>From: glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom)

>Wrong. If everybody did it, the problem would go away.

Keep it up Glen. You've nearly achieved Quackie's flame rate. You signal to noise is certainly worse than his, which from you perspective is a good thing. Not a single on-topic post--ever. Quackerel claims to have posted one.

767. FS: RS PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alf Sauve' <alf.sauve@mci.com>
Message-ID: <DPue1.93$P35.156631@news.internetmci.com>
Source record: 158177

Okay I must be crazy. But I'm trying to raise capital for that
end-all, do everything radio. Prefer Atlanta area residents so we
don't have to deal with shipping and COD.

PRO-43 Scanner for $150.

This is similar to the current Radio Shack PRO-51. Handheld scanner
with 200 memories. 30Mhz to 999Mhz. am and fm with ni-cad batteries.
Used but in very clean shape, low serial number (<1500). No mods have
been made but plenty are available if you want to change the coverage.
I'll even leave it programmed (Marietta GA area frequencies, local
airports, frs, gmrs, wireless stuff, 2m and 440 ham, etc.). With
Ni-Cads and charger. Make offer

Alf - W4ADS
==========
Alf Sauve'
Bus 404 267-6027
==========

768. Re: Another antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6le0ukla1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158178

You could try what I use....a Radio Shack TV Signal booster.

For 29.95 , it will Boost any signal 30db in the 50 - 960 MHz range.

You will need to re do the ends of your Coax with "F" connectors , (Cable Tv
)

did wonders for me !

Even had a adjustable gain knob....from 0 db to 30 db....my airport came in
rather scratchy as well...I heard the planes on 123.0 MHz , but never the ppl
on the ground, now all is fine :)

Try a freq of 123.450 MHz , thats plane to plane chit chat in Canada , freq
may work in the US as well , good freq to listen to Pilot chit chat.

73 , & Happy Signals

http://members.xoom.com/ontscan/

In article <1998060703441100.XAA24773@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
gcrankbait@aol.com (GCrankBait) wrote:
>
> Since antennas seem to be a popular topic, I have a question I would like to
> ask some of you. I am using the $59.00 radio shack discone antenna. It is
> roof mounted on a 10ft mast and connected to my BC895XLT using RG6/U coax.
>
> I am getting good reception (I guess) but was wondering if there was some type
> of powered signal amplifier I could hook up to it to get more gain and reach
> out
> a little further.
>
> For anyone in the D/FW area, I live in mesquite and would like to pick up the
> D/FW airport frequencies. Currently I cannot. I would guess I am probably
> 40 to 45 miles from the airport. Just wondering what type of reception other
> D/FW scanners are getting. I have picked up some of the regional approach
> freqs. but no control tower freqs.
>
> Thanks for any input on this....
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

769. Re: military aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6le14u$q9$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158179

HF , is used as well , however most MIL aircraft activity can be heard on the 200 - 300 MHz range (VHF)

Gander Center in Canada is on VHF as well as HF , (High Freq) on 5.556 MHz and Mystic Star Net , a MIL air Net (I think) on 6.812 MHz...

In article <6ldat6lnk0@204.179.92.161>,
smokey3612@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 10:35:58 -0500, gpswar@geocities.com wrote:
>
> >I am thinking about purchasing a new scanner that can scan all
> >frequencies from 25 MHZ to 1.4 GHZ(except celluar band). This scanner
> >is capable of switching to AM, FM-narrow, and FM-wide. To pick up
> >military aircraft, do i need to get a scanner that can also switch to
> >Shortwave?
>
> HP freq's are used, but check if the scanner received the 200mhz
> and 300mhz freq. range. These are military use.
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

770. Re:Emergency Alert System frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmslists@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060711581300.HAA14817@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158180

Subject: Re: Emergency Alert System frequencies?
From: hmslists@aol.com (HMs Lists)
Date: Sun, Jun 7, 1998 03:22 EDT
Message-id: <1998060707220300.DAA07126@ladder03.news.aol.com>

The same freqs the NOAA weather broadcasts are on, between 162.40 and 162.55 MHz. See info on the the new S.A.M.E. localized warning system at http://www.nws.noaa.gov/nwr/nwrbro.htm

For Clark County, for instance,
032003 Las Vegas/Boulder C. NV 162.550 WXL36

where 032003 is the county alert code, and the rest should be obvious to you.

Counties for the entire country are at http://www.nws.noaa.gov/nwr/indexnw.htm

Harry Marnell, N6URU
HMsLists@aol.com
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

771. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu6Jus.K7D@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158181

On Sun, 7 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> John T. Arthur wrote:
> > And where are those alleged on-topic
> > postings you have made recently? The FCC news bulletin maybe? Wow. How
>
> That's oen more than we've seen from YOU.
>
> You act like you need some professional help.

Ho-Ho-Ho!!! Now *there's* the pot calling the kettle black!! You've been sent off for professional help so many times in this newsgroup that we all know you're just plain looney.

So where are all these alleged on-topic postings from you??? In your head maybe?

Please join with the rest of us to stop these flame-wars, Mack-hole...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

772. F.S. BC-235 with discriminator mod and slicer interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeshivet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060712322200.IAA16393@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158182

Hi
I have a BC-235 trunktracker with the dicriminator mod done and a working data slicer interface (hamcom type) for sale it will work with trunker,mdt, Etrunk,etc. Asking $200.00 plus shipping. Both are in excellent condition Scanner is a year old and comes with box,manual,batterys,charger.

Thanks
Jeff
KG8FC

773. My SA Scanning Web Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rev@newave.net.au
Message-ID: <357a82a0.11132308@news.newave.net.au>
Source record: 158183
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I now have my own web site for SCANNING information for ADELAIDE,
South Australia

Lots of ACCURATE frequencies.

It is only basic at moment but it does its job. The address is

www.newave.net.au/~rev/

774. Re: Shuttle/Mir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <6le3c2$1hj$2@news.mhv.net>
Source record: 158184

In article <1998060321151200.RAA09820@ladder03.news.aol.com>, comint430@aol.com (COMINT430) wrote:
>For those of u who have tracking software and would like to keep track of the
>shuttle and Mir here is the NASA site to get the orbital elements for both
>spacecraft:
>
> http:// shuttle.nasa.gov/current/orbiter/orbiter/sightings/shuttle.html
>
>There is also a check box to get tracking software but the site must have been
>down for some reasn when i tried it.
>
>This will definitely help those of u who want to hear either of both of the
>crafat.
>
>73 and good listening,
>Tony
>KA3OUT
>comint430@aol.com

And to get the LATEST satellite orbital data go to and save:

http://spacelink.nasa.gov/Instructional.Materials/Multimedia/Satellite.
Tracking/Current.Satellite.Tracking.Elements/ELEMENTS.TLE

73!
Tom

Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
tprandall@mhv.net Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
APRS on 144.390 - QRV on 6m on 50.125 from FN31
Member: AAVSO Solar Division

REMOVE the P in the address above for my correct E-mail address.

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

Opinions herein are mine and may not be that of MHV.NET!

775. Re: IC - 1st Pin = # 0 or # 1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald L. Tomkinson" <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <357A92EB.157C6FF0@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Chips" will always have an even # of pins, i.e, 8, 14, 16 & divisible by 2. If it started with 0 on a 8 pin chip you would have 0 - 7 pin numbers. You may be confused by what a manufacturer has printed in a service manual, but the physical numbering starts @ #1 just CCW of some kind of marking on the top of the IC/chip & always looking @ the top of the chip where the printed info is.

Don

--
-
Location: N33d42m08s W117d59m24s Grid Square: DM13AQ UTC -7hrs.
Scanners: Air-8, AR2001, AR900, BC50, BC101, BC142 (for Wx sats),
BC20/20, BC2500, FRG9600, HP100, HX1000, HX1500, Pro26,
Pro2004/5/6, Pro2045, Pro2046, Opto R10, R532, R7000,
Scout 40, SX200N.
Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0, ScanCat Gold v6.0,
ScanStar v3.66.
Shortwave: DC777, DX160, DX394, ICF2010, R70, R71A, R1000.

776. Trunk Tracker Help PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: stoli126@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060713305100.JAA19635@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158186

I recently purchased a trunk tracker and could use some assistance. It has
been working fine but I am a little confused. When I have been tracking this
system in my area the numbers come up for instance 3393. 1024, 4014 etc
now I am tracking the same system and getting numbers like 400-1 300-4 and
things of this nature. Did I do something wrong hit a wrong key on the keypad
or didnt something change with the system. I am a little frustrated with trying
to figure out what I did if anything or maybe this is correct that things
change
automatically Any replies would be much appreciated
Thanks in advance

777. Re: military aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060714360500.KAA24491@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158187

Military aircraft in the United States use five primary frequency ranges for radio communications.

1.6-30.00MHz (USB mode) - These High Frequency (HF) or shortwave frequencies are used for long-range communications, often for phone patches between the aircraft and their base or destination.

30.00-88.00MHz (NFM mode) - commonly used by Army aircraft, and USAF aircraft such as the A-10 which frequently coordinate their work with forward air controllers on the ground.

108.00-137.00MHz (AM mode) - the so-called civilian VHF airband, you can also hear military aircraft here, particularly the tankers and cargo aircraft. The majority of communications here are with air traffic controllers. Most military airfields have both VHF and UHF frequencies.

138.00-144.00MHz (AM mode) - although this is technically a military land mobile band it is heavily used by aircraft for tactical and air-to-air communications. F-16s seem to prefer this band.

225.00-400.00MHz (AM mode) - the UHF military airband. Comms here include air traffic control, air-to-air tactical, refueling, air-to-ground attack coordination etc. Almost any type of communciation can be heard here.

Please note, these are rough guides only. Many military aircraft have equipment that can operate out of band, and they sometimes use it, popping up on ham frequencies, public safety frequencies or anywhere they want. Remember, they're from the government, and they're here to help you. ;-)

John

778. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James R. Hall" <jrhall@globalsite.net>
Message-ID: <6le9bietb1@news7.ispnews.com>
Source record: 158188
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

why?

--
-James, N9XLC
-jrhall.nospam@infocom.com
(remove nospam to reply)
Mark Hartman wrote in message <6l7eavbbi5@news1.inlink.com>...
>I would like to buy used police radar guns. I prefer hand held models but
>will consider all. I buy non working units also, including parts. Please
>reply by E Mail for a prompt reply.
>
>Thanks
>

779. FS:Eplorer(revised)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art <ka3fmo@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <6leae7$fj3$1@camel19.mindspring.com>
Source record: 158189
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
I have the Optoelectronics Xplorer for sale in excellent condition. Has been modified to receive the cell phone bands. Also have the carrying case with all boxes and receipts.

Asking $700 for everything...no scratches or marks on unit....excellent condition.

Thanks..
Art
ka3fmo@mindspring.com

780. FS:Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art <ka3fmo@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <6leam6vjj1@camel19.mindspring.com>
Source record: 158190
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
I have the Optoelectronics Scout model 40 for sale. Excellent condition, except the batteries won't hold a charge like they should. But no scratches or marks on unit. Also includes the carrying case.
I also have the box and receipts for unit, I just have to find them all! I have a bad habit of keeping everything when I make a purchase!!

Asking $300.

Thanks..
Art
ka3fmo@mindspring.com

781. Re: Electrical interference from vehicle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacUser@Penn.com
Message-ID: <MacUser-ya023580000706981113390001@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 158191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article
<Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-TwiPX6kud1hs@slip-32-100-170-12.tx.us.ibm.net>,
chuckiex@ibm.net wrote:

> Dave,
>
> How are you powering the scanner while in the car? Direct 12VDC
> connection or batteries only?

Good point! I've tried both. To be more accurate, I should have indicated
that the interference on 46.14 occurs anytime the scanner is powered from
the vehicle *or* connected to an external antenna.

It does *not* occur only when it is powered from its own ni-cad batteries
and using the stock rubber duck antenna. That is not bad, but the
interference problem stops me from either running from the vehicle's power
or improving reception with an external antenna...

Now I haven't tried it with *both* power from the car and an external
antenna. But that shouldn't resolve it...

> If you're using the car's battery, you may want to find a good place
> to ground the radio. That may be your problem.
>

What would be the best way to do that?

- DS

--
Dave Shaffer
MacUser@Penn.com

782. Re: Electrical interference from vehicle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacUser@Penn.com
Message-ID: <MacUser-ya023580000706981120420001@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 158192
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <357a3cdb.9977077@news.mindspring.com>, clhuff@mindspring.com wrote:

> I am having the same trouble with my mobile CB. I went to the local
> Radio Shack and was told their 'noise filter' would do the trick. I
> installed it on the power supply leads as instructed. Guess what.

At this point, a guess was easy! How much is this noise filter?

> Nothing. Not a drop better than before. I have had some luck by
> isolating the antenna from the vehicle and then grounding it through
> the noise filter (removed from power leads). This keeps most of the
> noise out, but is difficult to accomplish. Is the scanner a handheld?

Yes, the 3000xlt is a handheld.

> If so you could try holding the broad-band out the window (in your
> hand) and see if that cuts down on interference. If so use a mount
> that won't let the antenna touch the car.

...or I could just hold the scanner out the window, right? ;-)

The broadband antenna is one of those cellular lookalikes. I had used it on a previous vehicle, hadn't taken time to install it on this car. It fastens onto the rear window. I have installed it now for the duration of figuring this out, maybe longer, if I can get it to work without the interference. In theory, the window mount should be insulated from the vehicle, shouldn't it? That's why I thought that one would work better than just a patch off the FM or an old CB radio, both of which I tried earlier to see what results I might get...

Thanks for your help...

- DS

--
Dave Shaffer
MacUser@Penn.com

783. Re: Help with BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlwjww@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060715511600.LAA05405@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158193

Here are a couple of lines from the instructions I used many years ago (that worked).

Locate the microprocessor IC labeled "UNIDEN UC-1147" and the 10k ohm (brown-black-orange) leadless resistor positioned above the letters "DEN" on the IC.

Using miniature wire cutters, cut the resistor body in two without disturbing anything else near it. If the left solder pad comes loose it may be peeled from the board. Brush or blow away the residue. This completes the restoration.

If you are unsure of what you are doing, you really do not have to. In the 400 & 800 & 900 Mhz range you can just add 21.7 and pick up the real frequency anyway.

>I'm attempting the cell mod on my scanner. I found the UC-1147A chip.
>Above the "den" portion of "Uniden" is a silver thing marked "103". Is
>this what I'm suppposed to cut? Please email answers. Thanks in advance.

784. SCANNER COMPARISON LIST & ICOM R100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <6lectd$8n2$1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 158194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone know the address of the site that has a list of all the various scanners and their features (i.e. number of channels, frequency coverage, etc.) I am also wondering if anyone knows if any of the magazines did a review of the ICOM R100 mobile. If so, I would like to get a publication date or somehow review the article. Jim AA0FJ

785. Re: military aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald L. Tomkinson" <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <357AB711.BD9A9956@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158195

Be very careful!! Not all scanners cover the ranges you need for mil
air. You need the normal civilian air band 108 - 137mHz + 137 to 144mHz
(AM & FM selectable), and 225 - 400mHz (AM/FM (mil sats 260 - 270mHz)).

Don

--
-
Location: N33d42m08s W117d59m24s Grid Square: DM13AQ UTC -7hrs.
Scanners: Air-8, AR2001, AR900, BC50, BC101, BC142 (for Wx sats),
BC20/20, BC2500, FRG9600, HP100, HX1000, HX1500, Pro26,
Pro2004/5/6, Pro2045, Pro2046, Opto R10, R532, R7000,
Scout 40, SX200N.
Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0, ScanCat Gold v6.0,
ScanStar v3.66.
Shortwave: DC777, DX160, DX394, ICF2010, R70, R71A, R1000.

786. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6ledt5$lp2$0@207.18.224.136>
Source record: 158196
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 7 Jun 1998 09:52:43 -0500, "James R. Hall"
<jrhall@globalsite.net> wrote:

Why not? I have seen them used at sporting events, mounted in
community centers to slow traffic, etc/.....

>why?
>
>--
> -James, N9XLC
> -jrhall.nospam@infocom.com
> (remove nospam to reply)
>Mark Hartman wrote in message <6l7eavbbi5@news1.inlink.com>...
>>I would like to buy used police radar guns. I prefer hand held models but
>>will consider all. I buy non working units also, including parts. Please
>>reply by E Mail for a prompt reply.
>>
>>Thanks
>>
>
>

787. Military Aircraft in Cleveland, Ohio area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060716150400.MAA03502@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158197

Does anyone here have any confirmed frequencies in the 225-400 Mhz band? I've been trying to listen for years with nothing heard.

Frank

788. FS Icom R10 no gaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <scanner@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <357AD935.491@accessus.net>
Source record: 158198

Hello All,

I have a Icom R10 for sale , Full coverage, 500khz-1300mhz, no gaps,

4 months old, manual, antenna, strap, nicads , charger/supply,
original box,
excellent condition. $325.00 shipped

I'm starting a new hobby and have to thin out my current stock of

equipment to pay for it.

thank you!

Jeff

789. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: words1@well.com
Message-ID: <MPG.fe46f2c686394af989686@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 158199

In article <3579F295.BF9@parg.org>, ka0ssf@parg.org says...
> John T. Arthur wrote:
> > And where are those alleged on-topic
> > postings you have made recently? The FCC news bulletin maybe? Wow. How
>
> That's oen more than we've seen from YOU.
>
> You act like you need some professional help.
>

Good grief. Grow up, all of you. Turn off the computer and go for a walk. Read a book.

Life is short. Do you really want to spend it saying "You're a bigger one" over and over?

790. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <iHze1.18$Ar4.319091@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 158200
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Mr Hartman iz an excellent guy! I've done business with
him before and he's trustworthly and provides excellent
service. He one of the GOOD GUYS on this N/G.

Dave

>>Mark Hartman wrote in message <6l7eavbbi5@news1.inlink.com>...
>>>I would like to buy used police radar guns. I prefer hand held models but
>>>will consider all. I buy non working units also, including parts. Please
>>>reply by E Mail for a prompt reply.
>>>
>>>Thanks
>>>
>>
>>

791. Kansas Column 0698

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <andyb@qni.com>
Message-ID: <357AC509.4835@qni.com>
Source record: 158201

Kansas Column 0698

The column is later than usual this month. Why? It is because I am on vacation. One would think that being on vacation would supply oodles of time for things such as writing a column, but not so for me. I had so many things to do around the house, and took a short trip to Las Vegas, that I had little time for anything else. My wife had me install some outdoor low-voltage lighting, plant 23 bushes, repair some siding, place cement step stones in the front yard, plant tomatoes, clean the shop, spray our 4 acres of land for thistles and dandelions, level out the dirt left by the backhoe operator who put in a natural drain west of our house, dig a hole for a swimming pool and put an elevator in the garage. I lied about the last 2 tasks, but the rest were true. Those mentioned were just the highlights. I can hardly wait to get back to work so I can get some rest!

1. "New" PRO-2006 clones?
2. Lawrence, KS and North Kansas City shared frequency
3. North Kansas City trunked system
4. New Leavenworth County FD freqs
5. KHP Centralized dispatch
6. JOCO FD/EMS and 867.1375
7. Bonner Springs FD radio number clarification
8. KCMO Ericsson SNAFU
9. Leavenworth CO 800 conventional system & statewide EMS 800 conventional
10. Douglas CO/Lawrence KS low power simplex 800 stuff
11. Great Mall of the Great Plains
12. Doyle
13. Trunker program assistance needed!!!

---

1. My Radio Shack PRO-2006s (I am lucky enough to own 2 of them) are getting rather tired. The thought of needing to replace them has been floating in and out of my mind the last few months, but what current radio in that price range could fill their "shoes?" They are highly modifiable, outstanding performers with full frequency coverage, and are simply the best darned scanners to hit the market IMHO.
Well, while poking though the newsgroup "rec.radio.scanner" I saw someone mention a European handheld scanner called the Commtel 215. It was reported to be a clone of the US version of the PRO-26 without the cellular frequencies being blocked out. To make a long story short, I dug around until I found a European dealer for Commtel scanners and began an exchange of e-mails with them. According to the dealer, another Commtel scanner called the Commtel 205 is a clone of the 2006.

It is made in Japan, not China, so the parts are probably of the same quality as when RS sold them. The price is $359.
In one e-mail I voiced my concern about the radio being confiscated by Customs at our borders, but he said in the years they have been shipping to the US, not one has been nabbed. He even offered to ship it in a plain wrapper with no store logo or other indications that it is being shipped from a radio shop.
I haven't decided what to do yetï¿½$359 is a lot of bucks, and my wife handles all our finances. Not only does she oversee the money in our family, she carries a gun! (The bullet wound scar from my TrunkTracker purchase is nearly gone, but it is in a spot no one but she and my doctor would ever see anyway.) If I take the plunge and buy one, I will be sure to relay all the information to you. Should one of you decide to try it first, please do the same. Here is the web site address for the dealer:
http://www.cbshop.com/

---

2. Bob made a discovery that has both bad news and good news. He lives where he can monitor Lawrence KS, and has been listening to 856.7125, which is a stand-alone channel assigned to them. Once it came to life, he found out it was not only Lawrence, but North Kansas City's new trunked system as well! His radio will operate using DPL tones which allows him to block out any transmission without the particular tone he selects. That would give him the ability to stop NKC from being received when listening to Lawrence. I have not been able to find the DPL tone, but I must admit I have not exhausted all my resources yet. Anyone with that info should let us know so Bob can listen in peace.

---

3. North Kansas City's new system is reported by Bob to be an analog non-Ericsson system due to the lack of the nasty series of tones at the end of each transmission. For reason stated in the first paragraph, I have not been able to listen to it, so I am depending on you folks to help confirm what type of trunked system they are using. Try 856.7125, 857.7125, 858.7125, 859.7125 and 860.7125.

---

4. Dr. Smoke indicates that Leavenworth County FD is getting 2 more frequencies. They are reported as 150.805 and 154.130, but no activity yet. Those freqs are not 100% confirmed yet.

---

5. Ray from the Salina area says they are having no problems with their new KHP centralized dispatch center. I do not recall mentioning this before, but Ray dispatches for them, so he should know! Latest word from him is that KHP Troop C (Salina) will be on 800 MHz by the end of this summer. Towers have been installed in McPherson and Mitchell counties, and most of the cars have been wired and "antenna-ed" for 800. No radios yet, but that part is easy once the wiring is in place.

Ray says that whenever a Trooper is issued a new car it comes wired that
way already. Not a bad idea. Thanks, Ray, for that update.

---
6. Dwight is a supervisor for Johnson County Fire/Med-Act dispatch, or
more correctly called the Emergency Communications Center (ECC.) He has
been working the consoles there for many years, as well as taking care
of their in-house computer system. He is also a scanner/radio geek like
us. Last month, Gary mentioned that he had been hearing Med-Act
dispatch on 867.1375, which is not one of Johnson County's trunked
frequencies. Dwight informed me that they have been pumping traffic on
that conventional channel is due to the "use it or lose it" phenomenon.
That frequency will eventually be used for Automatic Vehicle Location.
The ECC is in the process of purchasing an AVL system, so look for that
frequency to come alive with some digital junk real soon.
I wonder how hard it would be do decode that stuff? Bet someone will
come up with something someday!

---
7. More from Dwight. One of his dispatchers is also a Bonner Springs FD
volunteer, and he had some details on the change for their FD radio
numbering system. Bonner and Edwardsville are soon to be handed over to
one of the law enforcement zones on the KCK/BPU trunked system. Bonner
and Edwardsville are in Wyandotte County, and all city/county services
are on that system already. Dwight was unsure which talkgroup/zone it
will be. In order to avoid confusion, the radios numbers have been/will
be switched to the 2500 series.

---
8. Bob from the Tonganoxie area offered the following:
"In a new development the mayor of Kansas City, MO, whose administration
has been exceptionally graft-ridden with several officials indicted
and/or already convicted, has made a public statement taking the blame
for the defects in the infamous KC police and fire radio system. This
means that the city will have to finance the 8 to 10 million additional
dollars in upgrades projected to be necessary to make the 18 million
dollar system 'work.' For months the city had been making loud noises
about suing Ericsson, and several police officers and fire fighters had
already filed personal suits.
This 'admission' will probably have the added effect of covering up the
processes by which the original deal was made, since it would probably
take a court proceeding to bust loose all the information. It will also
render the lawsuits by the individual officers moot, although they can
probably try to sue the city govt. now if they wish.
Anyone wishing to look into what details are available might want to
start with http://www.kansascity.com and follow links to the various
media to see what they have today. The Kansas City Star newspaper might
be the best place to start."
Government in actionï¿½

---
9. He also has this note on the Leavenworth County 3 channel 800 MHz conventional system. It has been 5 years since the system went on line, and to date there are still many areas in the county where the deputies cannot receive their main dispatch channel 851.5625. Their other freqs are 852.5625 and 852.5625. Bob quips with "can you say 'not ready for prime time?' " Government in actionï¿½
Bob, the "energizer bunny" of the Kansas scanner scene, just keeps on going with some more good poop. Last month one of the folks (Gary) mentioned hearing some EMS phone patch attempts on 867.9125. That is reported as a statewide EMS frequency. Bob poked it in his radio and heard it come to life with 3 patch attempts. The dialer got the dial tone, punched in a phone number, and kept getting the Ma Bell message of "We are sorryï¿½." There was a Morse Code ID given, but he got only the last 2 characters of 87. Another piece of the puzzle. It might be interesting to have someone with a DTMF (touch-tone) decoder discover what phone number(s) they were dialing. Hint, hint, hint.

---
10. Bob's batteries have not gone dead yet, so here is some more from him. Around Leavenworth he hears 855.7375 as a low power simplex channel in or around the Lawrence Justice Center and CourtHouse. The radio numbers used were 121 and 122, which used to be Lawrence PD car numbers. No DCS tone captured yet.
Additionally he listens to 866.7375 that is also a simplex channel. They ID themselves as "Control Desk." DCS tone of 432. It is licensed to Leavenworth County Sheriff. Bob suspects one is the Douglas County Jail and the other is Courthouse Security, but he has not been able to decide which is which. I would bet that the one with "Control Desk" is probably the jail. My lovely and gracious wife, Lois, spent 3 years in the Johnson County Jail (as a deputyï¿½NOT an inmate!) and she said that jails routinely have one or more areas called "control."
He confirms that the N.E. Kansas Juvenile Detention Center in North Lawrence is using 855.7375 with a DCS tone of 351. The aforementioned user of 855.7375 shares it with NEKJCD but with a different tone. The NEKJCD units use radio numbers in the 1600s.

---
11. Here is the information you all have been waiting forï¿½the scanner discovery of the monthï¿½the answer to the puzzle that has been on all of our minds, which is "What frequency is the new Great Mall of the Great Plains in Olathe using?" Wellï¿½it has been bugging me, anyway. Months ago I found 861.0875 was an active frequency there. Word was that they were on a trunked system, but I had no luck finding out which one. Exhaustive research (scanning while sitting in the parking lot as my wife shops) makes me think that they are not trunked at all. I hear all the security and maintenance people on 861.0875. I was able to receive both sides of conversations with no missed transmissions. Obviously not trunked. Using the Trunker program (see the Feb 98 column, article 3, archived at Dr. Smoke's site http://www.crcltd.com/sm0ke/scanner/) I

could find no trunked system using any frequency ending with .0875. It is entirely possible that other stores there may use a different frequency, but they are no doubt simplex, too.

---

12. Doyle has been sending some interesting information to me, but it has not necessarily been scanner related. Humor has been the subject. I just wanted to publicly say "thanks" for the stuff. Much of it has made the rounds at work.

---

13. My wife let me buy an OPTOElectronics computer interface board for my 2006. It was the OPTO Lite version at $99, so there was no gunfireï¿½just a superficial knife wound. (Just kidding, Lois!) I am still a big fan of Bill Cheek's CE-232 interface, but the OPTO unit works with the various Trunker programs. Now I can follow the trunked systems on the computer. My only problem is that I am having trouble getting a good, clean signal of the control channel into the computer. The Trunker programs have an indicator showing the percentage of accuracy of reception. Many times I cannot get a good enough signal to the computer to get to the recommended minimum of 80%. I have a signal strength meter on my scanner, and the signal to the scanner is STRONG! It lights 8 of the ten LEDs. There is no lack of signal strength. These problems seem to be unique to the Motorola version. Here is the catch. The older Trunker version 3.5.2, which does not support the OPTO unit, receives a quality signal that yields a consistant 95% or better accuracy rating. Without changing the antenna, radio, frequency or anything else, I can switch to the most current Trunker version I have (beta Feb 1998) and I get a measly 50% rating. With the Etrunker program and the Overland Park Ericsson system I get 100% accuracy! Aaaarrrrrrgh! My computer is a Pentium 120, so speed is probably not a problem. I am using a modified data-slicer with a single com port. If anyone has any ideas, send a note to meï¿½PLEASE!

That is it for June. I am looking forward to input from you so we can keep the Kansas Column going strong.

Andy

792. Re: RS PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scancat <scancat@mailexcite.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9236$c6c17b60$11252581@default>
Source record: 158202

I have done the mod several times. The hardest part is disconnecting the upper and lower boards...be very careful. Also, be sure to make a list of all your frequencies prior to splitting the boards because your memory is reset on some of the pro34's when you take the boards apart. I have done this mod and had the frequencies all clear and on another one it held the frequencies...better to have them written down just in case.
Good Luck....word of caution: If in doubt...leave it alone...they are real easy to mess up.

793. Re: RS PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sokratys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3580c3c7.3612264@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158203

I used to have one and it picked up VERY strong images in the lower 800 Mhz range (where the trunked public safety usually are). I mean, it was as good audio as using a scanner that picks them up in the range they are supposed to be in.

Alternately, look for other frequency ranges on that model that might be scanned to pick up the cellular images.

Or - check that site that Reverend Tweek listed to find out the mod for it (I think it involved removing a diode).

good luck!

-walker

794. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sokratys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <357ec2cf.3364107@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158204

hehe - the funniest thing is I only see mccays (or whatever) quoted in other's posts.

God I love killfiles! heheeeee!!

Here in usenet, unlike real life - out of sight, out of mind - no more problem!

on to scanning topics!

-walker

>>This is a common tactic to hide from the problems that Bill Cheek
>>causes. However, it does not remove the problem, it sipmly hides it.

795. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: supton@paranet.com
Message-ID: <supton-0706981011300001@pdx50-a48-46.teleport.com>
Source record: 158205

In article <1998060709521500.FAA11231@ladder03.news.aol.com>,
malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

> His agenda is to post flame after flame in hopes of driving as >many
people out
> of the group as he can.

And your agenda is to let us know about each and every one of them with
sickening regularity. Why?

796. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: supton@paranet.com
Message-ID: <supton-0706981015040001@pdx50-a48-46.teleport.com>
Source record: 158206

In article <19980607095753 00.FAA15566@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

> Keep it up Glen. You've nearly achieved Quackie's flame rate. You signal to
> noise is certainly worse than his,

Didn't I just read a post of yours saying that the only person you respond
to with flames is Mackey? Hmmmm?

797. Re: Optoelec. MicroCounter??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: encoretx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060717354500.NAA11387@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158207

I have had the same experience. I do not know what to do with this thing.....
Scout works so much better.

798. AOR 8000 all mode/band RX FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4gj <k4gj@citrus.infi.net>
Message-ID: <6lej29$793$1@nw001t.infi.net>
Source record: 158208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

500khz to 1900mhz receiver. Hand held, copies AM/FM/SSB/CW/WFM. 1000 ch memory.
AR-8000 reduced to $365 and shipping. In excellent condition. Has a very small crack around antenna base, otherwise looks as new. With Manual.
k4gj@citrus.infi.net

799. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ss <s@gg.com>
Message-ID: <6lekovogr1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 158209
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

James R. Hall wrote in message <6le9bietb1@news7.ispnews.com>...
>why?
>
>--
> -James, N9XLC

Baseball coaches love them for training pitchers
to increase the speed of their fast balls.

Cliff s

800. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu5nox.E8K@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158227

On Sat, 6 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> John T. Arthur wrote:
> garbage snipped to preserve bandwidth.
>
> Why is it that we never see on-topic posts from you, only
> flames?

Why is it that we never see on-topic posts from YOU, only garbage, lies, flames and circular reasoning? And where are those alleged on-topic postings you have made recently? The FCC news bulletin maybe? Wow. How creative.

Please do everyone a favor and commit suicide - before someone kills you!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

801. CELLULAR FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jobyrnern@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060700384500.UAA04858@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158228

What are the blocked cellular frequencies in all the new scanners?

please e-mail me

Jo

802. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <3579edbf.73379@news>
Source record: 158229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

kplotkin@access2.digex.net (Kenneth Plotkin) wrote:

>Right - the scanner needs the channel and frequency.

You're talking about Pro-64's, right? I'm not familiar with them
or their PC interface; Can parameters like delay, lockout, mode,
priority channel(s), and search limits be programmed via the
interface?

>Bob's WLOAD goes one better: you can use channel numbers, and if you
>stop using them it just keeps counting up from the last one.

Makes sense - that's how I've been doing it with Pro-43's for years!

>Easy enough for the program to ignore information - just use a
>compiler that's going through its teen-age years. :-)

Never thought of that! :)

>If the frequency is blank, that can be taken as zero and the channel
>cleared.

But not locked out?

...Andy

*** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

803. Re: bc 235 xlt is the only way for this future

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <3579ee4d.215306@news>
Source record: 158230

Top_Dog@doghouse.com (Top_Dog!) wrote:

>Toronto trunking (police) use 43 frequencies. You can only put 30
>in a bank. If you don't have ALL the frequencies in the bank then
>you miss some calls.

The TPS trunk uses less than ten frequencies (my Pro-43's and
8086 laptop confirm this). The remaining TPS frequencies are
conventional repeater channels (with the odd simplex channel
thrown in).

>Workaround?

Sure - use two scanners. (Or one scanner and a laptop!)

...Andy

*** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

804. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060700503600.UAA01805@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158231

> Could this case produce a precedent concerning listening to > phone
converations if there is a good reason, i.e. stopping a > violent crime?
>
Chances are this arrest will be thrown out of court unless the officers developed an independent means of obtaining the probable cause. A murder-for-hire case here in Tampa was dismissed - and the dismissal upheld by the higher courts - when the trial judge ruled that since it's illegal to monitor cordless phones all the evidence that was developed based on the intercepted conversation was considered "fruit of a poison tree," therefore in admissable in court.

John Ward

805. NJ JCP&L Freq. Change??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff2842@webspan.net
Message-ID: <3579e7eb.17067216@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 158232

Did JCP&L change there freq.?? A friend of mine said he heard nothing on their usual freq's (153.xxx Approx.) during the storms last weekend. Usually there is a lot of traffic during a major storm like those! Thanx!!

806. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3579F295.BF9@parg.org>
Source record: 158233

John T. Arthur wrote:
> And where are those alleged on-topic
> postings you have made recently? The FCC news bulletin maybe? Wow. How

That's oen more than we've seen from YOU.

You act like you need some professional help.

807. Wanted: ICOM-R10 Multband Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <3579f72e.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 158234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Someone had one for sale the other day for $300.

If you have one you wish to sell, please email me.

Thanks.

808. !Wanted: ICOM-R10 Multiband Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <3579f799.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 158235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Watned: ICOM-R10 Handheld Multiband Receiver

Someone had one for sale the other day for $300.

If you have one you wish to sell, please email me.

Thanks.

809. wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Customer <BILL45@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lcumi$29i@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158236

Wanted mods for uniden bc 350a can anyone help me?
BILL45@worldnet.att.net

810. +++++FS or TRADE:800 mhz ASTRO SABER++++++

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: hq54@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060702442400.WAA13913@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158237

Hello All,

I have a Motorola Astro Saber, model number H04UCF9PW7AN that I would like to trade outright for a UHF Astro Saber. Prefer one with MODAT capabilities, and MDC decode, but please let me know what you have available. Also, please make other offers, I will consider all offers seriously.

Thanx
Mike

811. ++++FS or Trade:16 CHANNEL UHF MT1000++++++

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: hq54@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060702442600.WAA18132@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158238

I have for sale a Motorola MT1000 portable in High split. Radio comes with a couple of batteries. Would also consider other trades. Looking for $250.00 plus shipping. Would also consider trades for Saber converticoms and Secure and Non-Secure Saber III front shields.

812. RE: ASTRO AND MT1000 FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: hq54@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060702524600.WAA14890@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158239

Sorry about the misplaced posts.

Mike

813. BC 8500xlt for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: A <Aztec@giffy.com>
Message-ID: <3579FC7F.3DED35C6@giffy.com>
Source record: 158240

For Sale: Bearcat 8500 XLT--with manual, no box.
excellent condition,

500 channels/ 20 banks

Frequencies--25Mhz to 549 Mhz continous..including 222 Mhz amateur band,
238 military aircraft band,

760- 824 Mhz..
849-869 Mhz..
894-1300 Mhz
No Cellular.

Turbo search, 10 priority channels, auto recording,

Line out jack
Aux jack
speaker jack
Make offer
reply via email to Steve at
aztec@giffy.com

814. Re: Help !!! Pro 2045 discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rpuckett@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lcumn$v05$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158241

In article <357c4c91.28890171@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> "Steven Peck" <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
> > I looked at the FAQ but the Pro-2045 is not mentioned in it. Is it listed
> > under a similar unit, I too am interested in tapping the discriminator
> > output on my Pro-2045.
>
> With over 900 scanners ever made, that FAQ
> obviously has only a fraction. But it also
> contains hints and techniques for "discovery"
> in those scanners not listed.
> Thanks Guys, I Received an E-mail from Mike Miller stating Pin 9 of IC3 is

the source, but the ctcss board uses a buffered signal that is available at
the center pin of the 3 pin stcss connector or test point 7. Since my eye
sight and coordination isn't what it uset to be, I soldered my leads on the
back side of the board at the 3 pin ctcss connection and everything works
fine. By the way, the chip is an MC336ICD at IC3. Thanks again!!!

> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

815. FS: PRO-2008 SCANNER $60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mkepp@hevanet.com
Message-ID: <6ld61l$6pi$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158242
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,pdx.forsale

FOR SALE: Realistic PRO-2008 scanner. UHF/VHF. Nice condition, but no manual. Located in Portland, OR. $60, or will ship anywhere in the continental US for an additional $10. First response who says "SOLD" will have it held until we determine whether or not we have a deal. SERIOUS INQUIRIES ONLY PLEASE! Respond to: <mkepp@hevanet.com>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

816. Another antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: gcrankbait@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060703441100.XAA24773@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158243

Since antennas seem to be a popular topic, I have a question I would like to ask some of you. I am using the $59.00 radio shack discone antenna. It is roof mounted on a 10ft mast and connected to my BC895XLT using RG6/U coax.

I am getting good reception (I guess) but was wondering if there was some type of powered signal amplifier I could hook up to it to get more gain and reach out
a little further.

For anyone in the D/FW area, I live in mesquite and would like to pick up the D/FW airport frequencies. Currently I cannot. I would guess I am probably 40 to 45 miles from the airport. Just wondering what type of reception other D/FW scanners are getting. I have picked up some of the regional approach freqs. but no control tower freqs.

Thanks for any input on this....

817. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <358fc45e.15197059@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 158244

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>BC895XL wrote:
>> Glen, if you're so smart, why is it that YOU never post anything about
>> scanners?
>
>Why is it that we never see any posts about scanners from YOU, BC895XL?

Because he's in our killfiles, of course. Well, in mine, anyway.
Which is why he and a couple of other cowards have seen fit to spam me
with hate e-mail. This never fails to give me a snicker.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

818. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3599fb8b.29308466@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 158245

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>walker wrote:
>
>> The filters
>> were set to expire, but it was too tiring wading through 50 annoying
>> useless posts to get the one good one. There are plenty others out
>> there that contribute, so killfiling these two is no great loss.
>
>This is a common tactic to hide from the problems that Bill Cheek
>causes. However, it does not remove the problem, it sipmly hides it.

Wrong. If everybody did it, the problem would go away.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

819. Re: Which scanners cover CB radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: stetchez@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060704000300.AAA22378@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158246

BC2500XLT does too!!

820. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jroulier@[nospam]
Message-ID: <357a0f50.836138@news.albany.net>
Source record: 158247

On 07 Jun 1998 00:50:36 GMT, jtward01@aol.com (JTWard01) wrote:

>> Could this case produce a precedent concerning listening to > phone
>converations if there is a good reason, i.e. stopping a > violent crime?
>>
>Chances are this arrest will be thrown out of court unless the officers
>developed an independent means of obtaining the probable cause. A
>murder-for-hire case here in Tampa was dismissed - and the dismissal upheld by
>the higher courts - when the trial judge ruled that since it's illegal to
>monitor cordless phones all the evidence that was developed based on the
>intercepted conversation was considered "fruit of a poison tree," therefore in
>admissable in court.

In this case I believe the police waited for the men to come to the
home. On another similiar note when I overheard conversations about
drug selling, the cops all but asked me to keep listening to get more
information. I didn't, however, because who knows it might have been
me with my scanner being confiscated.

821. ACARS In Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mal Alexander <malexand2@fastlink.com.au>
Message-ID: <6ld3pb$dr3@inferno.mpx.com.au>
Source record: 158248

Hi all,

Can anyone help me with The VHF Airband frequencies in use in the Sydney area, NSW Australia.

They may be listed for the Pacific region.

Can you please email, I don't access the newsgroup very often.

Thanks

Mal Alexander
VK2YVA

malexand2@fastlink.com.au

822. USING AM/FM ANT. FOR CORDLESS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: lapkat@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <357d1362.1410736@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158249

I JUST PURCHASED A (Y) ADAPTER AND SOME PATCH COAX FROM RADIO SHACK
AND AM USING MY AM/FM ANT. FOR GREAT PERFORMANCE IN THE CORDLESS
RANGE.... ITS CHEAP AND BETTER THAT MOST WHIPS I'VE USED. FROM WHAT I
UNDERSTAND THE AM SIDE OF THE ANT. IS TUNED TO THE 40MHZ RANGE ANYWAY.
AND IT ONLY COST ME ABOUT $12.....MAKE SURE THE PLUGS ARE THE SAME
SIZE, U MAY NEED EXTRA ADAPTERS..

823. Re: Cellular Freqs???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: lapkat@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <357c12f3.1299850@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 158250

i have one also, due to the great filter system it elliminates all images. thats where u pick up cell calls outside that blocked bands due to the freq's duplicating every 21.7 mhz (i think thats the right ###'s) my old pro-51 does'nt have that filter and picks it up fine. plus there is a mod for some pro-51's, no mod for pro-90's

On Sat, 06 Jun 1998 18:39:06 -0500, wgreene@gate.net (William Michael Greene) wrote:

>Just bought a Radio Shack Pro 91. All my friends can pick up cellular, why >can't I? Any help would be appreciated. Mike G.

824. Re: CELLULAR FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mulligan@ACM.ORG
Message-ID: <6ld40r$6bq@hopper.acm.ORG>
Source record: 158251

jobyrnern@aol.com (Jobyrnern) asked:
> What are the blocked cellular frequencies in all the new scanners?

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

Cellular Telephone [22.902]

Chs Freqs (base) System Use

991-1023 869.040 - 870.000 A - RCC voice
1-312 870.030 - 879.360 A - RCC voice
313-333 879.390 - 879.990 A - RCC data
334-354 880.020 - 880.620 B - Telco data
355-666 880.650 - 889.980 B - Telco voice
667-716 890.010 - 891.480 A - RCC voice
717-799 891.510 - 893.970 B - Telco voice

Transmissions are FM (some systems are adding digital).
Channels are 30 kHz apart. Mobiles transmit 45 MHz below the
base (824.040-848.970) and are usually repeated by the base.
Channels 991-1023 are also identified as Chs 800-832.

825. AA5DQ Making illeagal use of Ham Bands!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lloyd, N0VFP" <davies.lloyd@mcloedusa.net>
Message-ID: <6ld7bg$ia05@odie.mcleod.net>
Source record: 158252
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AA5HQ aka Stan, is attempting promote a ISP over 3950 tonite, Him and K5BLB, WB0CXJ and possibly KK7HO were making illegal buisness deals. AA5HQ was asking K5BLB to "send the money to me" so Stan can get "commission" Then WB4AIO (Kevin Strom) was trying in a peaceful manner, telling them not to do it, WB0CXJ and AA5HQ said they were going to "snuff Kevin out"

The ISP is called "Flashnet" You should contact them and inform them of the activity.

826. Re: Another antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: derickam@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060705024600.BAA02750@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158253

In my experience with a UHF/VHF amplifier I got an increase in signal, but also got an increase in noise. It seemed to cancel any gains out. You may have different results. I use a discone from RS, probably the same one. I recently started using a directional antenna on a rotor and the results were much better than the amplifier. I also got even better results after I got rid of the rg-58 (got that tip from Bill Cheeks "Ultimate scanner" book #1 about 1 week ago), and replaced it with a higher quality belden cable (the number escapes me I believe 8259). I can look it up for sure if you would like when I get home tommorrow.

Anyhow that is "my" experience, I wish you success....

Derick

827. Re: AA5DQ Making illeagal use of Ham Bands!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6ld803$421$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 158254
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Let them join up. It will serve them right since Flash.net is a pitiful ISP.

828. Re: military aircraft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokey3612@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6ldat6lnk0@204.179.92.161>
Source record: 158255

On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 10:35:58 -0500, gpswar@geocities.com wrote:

>I am thinking about purchasing a new scanner that can scan all
>frequencies from 25 MHZ to 1.4 GHZ(except celluar band). This scanner
>is capable of switching to AM, FM-narrow, and FM-wide. To pick up
>military aircraft, do i need to get a scanner that can also switch to
>Shortwave?

HP freq's are used, but check if the scanner received the 200mhz
and 300mhz freq. range. These are military use.

829. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <Eu64As.2w1@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 158256

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> police get a call...
>
> "a man is rummaging through the
> recyclables and I want an officer
> to stop him" she says....

Did you hear this in Walnut Creek? About a month ago? There was
a similar item listed in the Police Calls section of the Walnut Creek
Record (or it was lamorinda or pleasant hill?) and the disposition
of the call mentioned was that the officer responded and told the RP
that it was not illegal.

> get that: throw-aways are now
> criminalized if you take them
> and then profit by them.....

Actually, it is illegal in some areas, if you actually dig them out of
the recyclable bin and not the trash... something to do with the garbage
sydnicate's lobby passing laws to protect their assets...

> what next?

You'd be surprised... some garbage companies issue residents a can
of spray with which to spray their recylables... and the local redmeption
centers use a black light to detect the stolen recyclables.

--

Had your share of stoopid(tm) people?	
View the "Contra Costa Whines" at	[This space for lease]
http://www.io.com/~tweek/cocowhine/	

830. Re: RS PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <Eu640x.2to@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 158257

AGreer1022 <agreer1022@aol.com> wrote:
>I have an old 34 and have contemplated having it modified, has any one done it?
>If so, was it worth it? Also, there are 3 or 4 screws that look like they are
>for fine tuning(?)once back cover is off. Anybody with
>suggestions.................

Dunno about the three or four screws. You'd better find someone with
a service manual for that one... but for the cell mod, see:

http://www.io.com/~tweek/34/

--

Had your share of stoopid(tm) people?	
View the "Contra Costa Whines" at	[This space for lease]
http://www.io.com/~tweek/cocowhine/	

831. Re: pro-2021 programming question- channel spacing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <6ldbvc$7mg$2@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 158258

ameijers (ameijers@mail.tds.net) wrote:
: Apologies in advance if this is in a FAQ somewhere- if so, please point
: me to it.

: RS pro-2021, from a garage sale, so no manual. (and yes, I downloaded
: the 'manual' from RatShacks web page, and it doesn't mention it.)

: Is it possible, and if so, how, to make this unit cover the frequncies
: that end in 5 rather than 0? (ie, 171.5125- when I punch it in, it
: rounds to 171.510). I heard from my radio guy at work that the FCC
: changed the chanel spacing awhile back.

: I just wanna program the freqs from my at-work repeater (federal) into
: this thing, so I can get a heads-up with the morning coffee. (and if it
: is too crazy, know not to go in... :^))

: Replies via e-mail also, plz- my ISP only posts most of the traffic.

: aem sends....

I don't know if you can do what you are trying to do, but it probably does
not matter much-most consumer scanners are broad enough to receive signals
ok even if you key it in slightly off-frequency.
This applies even to some of the slightly better models:
To give a example:on a PRO-2006, there is very little difference in
reception between 476.7375(the real frequency) and 476.735 or 476.740.
All 3 of the above work!
Just key in the freq, and let the scanner round it-you will probably hear
the desired signal fine.

832. Re: Electrical interference from vehicle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: clhuff@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <357a3cdb.9977077@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 158259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

MacUser@Penn.com (Dave Shaffer) wrote:

>Hi!
>
>Is it possible to exclude automotive electrical noise that interferes with
>a mobile scanner? (One way would be to turn off the car. I'm looking for
>other options!)
>
I am having the same trouble with my mobile CB. I went to the local
Radio Shack and was told their 'noise filter' would do the trick. I
installed it on the power supply leads as instructed. Guess what.
Nothing. Not a drop better than before. I have had some luck by
isolating the antenna from the vehicle and then grounding it through
the noise filter (removed from power leads). This keeps most of the
noise out, but is difficult to accomplish. Is the scanner a handheld?
If so you could try holding the broad-band out the window (in your
hand) and see if that cuts down on interference. If so use a mount
that won't let the antenna touch the car.

Good Luck.
Lemme know how it works out

833. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRY <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <357C7AD3.3407@ameritech.net>
Source record: 157961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Mr. Clem wrote:
>
> Mike Derryberry wrote:
> > Really sucks when you can't turn in someone doing something illegal just
> > because you heard it by listening to cordless phones. It may be illegal to
> > listen to cordless phones, but do 2 wrongs make a right??? Think about
> > it...
> >
> Absolutely right, we should turn you in for your illegal activities
> (listening to cordless phones). Please post your name and address so we
> may take action.
In math we learn that a negative * negative = positive.
In the ethical world I guess that negative * negative = negative
--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!

834. Sony ICF-SC1PC scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dstegner <dstegner@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <6li0et$8hi$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 157962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

300 channel, 25.00 ~ 1300.00 mhz (less cell) handheld, includes CD-ROM with MS Windows based control sofware and Percon Spectrum freq database. $275 includes UPS ground. Email if interested.

835. ICOM R-1 Receiver for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <357C7FF3.3F89@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an excellent condition Icom R-1 for sale. This tiny handheld has
AM FM and WFM Continuous coverage from .1 to 1300 MHz. Not blocked.
It comes with AA battery pack and antenna. $275. 73 Chris

836. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357C82F3.5BA@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I wonder if they are used to remotely control the lights?? If so, one could have some fun if you had a low power transmitter and a computer...;-)

I'll have to look around my area for such a setup....
-Phil

837. Re: Weatheradio with SAME technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6li18tcdj1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 157965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I know that because of the number of dangerous storms this year and the publicity that the SAME weather radios are getting that RadioShack has sold out of them. They can special order them and ship them to you with no shipping charge, but they limit you to a quantity of two. (do you need two?) I would think that $80 each is pretty steep, but since they are sold out the price must be a fair market price.

<<Does anyone know who manufacturers weather alert radios with the new SAME technology, other then Radio Shack?>>

<<Oregon Scientific is producing a SAME receiver for about half the cost of the RS version. I saw them at Fry's Electronics.
73,
Mark
KC7BXS>>

838. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357C8D2A.A9F834DC@netwit.com>
Source record: 157966

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> I have a deal ALL who have wanted to cause problems here. Lets
> ALL stop the flames & nasty comments IMMEDIATELY! Those who agree
> or disagree with this will demonstrate their TRUE intentions for this
> NG. CHEEK has backed off of his attacks so there is no reason to
> keep this up.

I don't like you. You're worthless. I'm gonna continue to post whatever
I feel like posting. I amuse myself at your expense. I'll never stop. Get
used to it.

Persistance.

You friggin' loon.

839. John Mackey and KK7HO should get together!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lloyd, N0VFP" <davies.lloyd@mcleodusa.net>
Message-ID: <6li2i7$12t23@odie.mcleod.net>
Source record: 157967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes you two seem perfect for each other! You both harass NG's with stupid posts, I think Tim and John should form thier own NG!

840. Re: AA5DQ Making illeagal use of Ham Bands!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doucette <paradiddle7@usa.net>
Message-ID: <897359447.633502@michelob>
Source record: 157968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

WHO FUCKING CARES!

Lloyd, N0VFP wrote in message <6ld7bg$ia05@odie.mcleod.net>...
>AA5HQ aka Stan, is attempting promote a ISP over 3950 tonite, Him and
K5BLB,
>WB0CXJ and possibly KK7HO were making illegal buisness deals. AA5HQ was
>asking K5BLB to "send the money to me" so Stan can get "commission" Then
>WB4AIO (Kevin Strom) was trying in a peaceful manner, telling them not to
do
>it, WB0CXJ and AA5HQ said they were going to "snuff Kevin out"
>
>The ISP is called "Flashnet" You should contact them and inform them of
>the activity.
>
>
>

841. iCOM IC-PCR1000 Review

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <357CA20A.34EFC4D1@ibm.net>
Source record: 157969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Fellow hobbyists,
I have just finished posting my review of iCOM'S
IC-PCR1000. If you are interested in reading it,
please stop by my main Radio page :

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIO.HTM

Happy reading!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

842. Re: FAILSOFT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Schneider <js@sprintmail.con>
Message-ID: <357CA830.68E9@sprintmail.con>
Source record: 157970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Info for the group:

"Failsoft" is a preset freq. that a trunked radio will revert to when the radio and or trunking system fails.

Scanning the airwaves with the AOR-8000

843. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: krkirkwood@sprintmail.nospam.com
Message-ID: <MPG.fe6779fe4a7ec6c9896b2@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 157971
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

What a profound statement! You probably think the world is still flat
and revolves around the Bronx! Get a life, a clue and a real ISP.
English isn't the only game in town. If you'd gotten your fat ass off
that bar stool more than once in a while, you would realize that....

-Ktwo

reallybigguy@hotmail.com (reallybigguy@hotmail.com) said ...
> > Wei&#65533; jemand was n&#65533;heres hier&#65533;ber? Antwort w&#65533;re nett!
> >
> > Gru&#65533;,
> >
> > Bastian
> >
> >
>
> Speak english Jackass.
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

844. The Death of John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <357CB123.112543D4@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 157972

Infamous Internet stalker John Mackey was found dead at his dingy studio apartment in Portland, Oregon last night. Known for his relentless pursuit and harassment of technical author Bill Cheek, Mackey was found electrocuted in his bathtub. The coroner has determined that Mackey was indulging himself with an AC operated sexual appliance when the device malfunctioned, killing him in the process. Also found in the bathroom by the coroner was a VCR playing a Richard Simmons workout video.

Long considered hapless, incompetent, and inept, his death came as no surprise by those who knew him. Devoutly claiming to have held a Masters Degree in Communication Technology, Mackey could never provide credible proof when he was challenged to do so. After repeated displays of technical incompetence and blowing up numerous power supplies through idiotic interconnections, no one believed he had really earned the degrees he claimed.

After years of abusing Prozac, K-Y Jelly, cough medicines, and Preparation H, his behavior became increasingly erratic. He began to publicly proclaim his love for fitness guru Richard Simmons and boasted of patronizing child prostitutes. Shortly before his death, Mackey was seen performing billy goat gonad transplants as gland cures for the spermatically challenged and often went door-to-door collecting for static cling. Considering it his civic duty, he diligently tried to answer all his "occupant" letters.

Once known as King Mackey the Great, Ruler of Torpor, Supreme Grand Master Fool of the Universe, Grand Master of the Number Two Phillips Blade, holder of the Diddler of the Spark, High Priest of the sect of Fraud and Liar, and Orational Master of Turpitude, Innuendo, and Obscenity, he fell into disrepute in his later years, simply being known as a pitiful liar.

Before his death, he was active in the amateur radio group, Phony Amateur Radio Goon-balls. Having no relatives or friends who would claim Mackey's body, the coroner's office will cremate the remains in accordance with public law regarding the death of indigents. The ashes will be recycled into asphalt to be used in new public works projects. There will be no ceremony or public viewing.

Copyright 1998 by Richard Kendrick. All rights reserved.

845. WTB>AOR1000XLT OR TRIDENT 1200/2400<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doucette <paradiddle7@usa.net>
Message-ID: <897364614.721000@michelob>
Source record: 157973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,ott.forsale.other,alt.radio.scanner,ott.forsale.misc

Hi there!

I'm intereted in a scanner...a AOR 1000XLT or similar....I'm a student looking for a deal,.....I live in Canada and studing electronics, I need the scanner as a frequency counter.......

```
__ __
I'M LOOKING FOR A AOR1000XLT SCANNER PLEASE EMAIL ME IF YOU HAVE ONE!
.' '.' `.
_.-| o | o |-._
.~ `.__.'.__.'^ ~. Elmo say,...Thanks for your interest in:
.~ ^ / \ ^ ~. SustainPunch Big Muff Pi's $100.00 CDN!
\-._^ ^| | ^_.-/ Visit us on the WWW at:
`\ `-._ \___/ ^_.-' /' http://members.tripod.com/~Paradiddle7/
`\_ `--...--' /' Email: paradiddle7@usa.net
`-.._______..-' /\ /\
__/ \__ | |/ /_
.'^ ^ `. .' `__\
.' ^ ^ `.__.'^ .\ \
.' ^ . ^ . ^ .' \/
/ / ^ \'.__.'
| ^ /| ^ | "If it doesn't sustain, it's me to
blame!"

\ \|^ ^ |
`\^ | ^ |
`~| ^ |
| ^ ^ |
\^ /
`. ^ .
```

846. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob C." <bob@bigbird.reno.nv.us>
Message-ID: <357cbffa.0@news.greatbasin.net>
Source record: 157974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

JERRY wrote in message <357C7AD3.3407@ameritech.net>...
>Mr. Clem wrote:
>>
>> Mike Derryberry wrote:
>> > Really sucks when you can't turn in someone doing something
illegal just
>> > because you heard it by listening to cordless phones. It may be
illegal to
>> > listen to cordless phones, but do 2 wrongs make a right???
Think about
>> > it...

Based on memory of a few years ago, cordless phones are not
illegal to monitor. This may have changed but I havent kept close
enough attention.
As I remember it, when the bill went through for cable tv,
satelite tv and cell phone monitoring, there was no provision to
make cordless phone monitoring illegal. I am guessing that this was
an attempt to make it easier for the feds and locals to wiretap you
without getting a court order.
Even as I speak, the feds are trying to force the cell phone
companies to provide easy access of your supposed "private" cell
phone conversations to the FBI.
I believe the official term for this work is called CALEA or
something very close to this. I may be mistaken on the term as there
are hundreds of acronyms in Gov. doings but the concept is really
there and is really being implemented now.
Bob C.

847. Pro 90 Feedback Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dweezel <z122251114@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6lifs4ifg1@nnrp4.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 157975

Have a RS Pro 90, and once in a while I get loud feedback type squeaking when the volume is turned up. If I tap on the front of the scanner it goes away. I think it may be something internal, but RS is telling me there is nothing wrong with it. Anyone else have this problem with the 90 or the Uniden model, and how can I resolve it?

848. Re: reset pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dweezel <z122251114@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6ligeu$j2j$1@nnrp4.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 157976

Holding 2, 9 and manual does in fact work on the 90 as well...

849. Re: *** FFT analyzer for free ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: TIgEas LAiR <kaliber@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <357CAC8D.C0AB1720@pacbell.net>
Source record: 157977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,relcom.radio.ham

Hi Thomas,

I downloaded this software and installed it and my computer
crashed and locked-up so many times, I had to remove it......
I already have DSP Blaster, SBFFT, Radioraf and more working
just fine. I'm using a K6 233 cpu and win95 and it really HATES
my setup. (I choose L&R SB input...)

TIgEas LAiR

BTW : Since this is only a limited time demp copy , why do you call it
free ?

Thomas wrote:

> Analyzer2000 ist an indispensable tool for every radio amateur and other people, working
> with signals in the audio frequency range.
> It's running on Windows95/NT and needs no additional hardware, only a sound card!
>
> download at
> http://members.aol.com/btf1
>

850. Re: Pro 90 Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lhgjupvf1@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157978

Go to www.ccrane.com
They are a reputable radio company. They offer a battery rebuilding service that goes for around $29.95. That includes shipping back to your door.

851. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lhhbs$q09$1@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157979

Why does Allsafe crack on me because I use WebTV? Am I lesser a person because I don't have an expensive computer with which to surf the web? If you cut me, will I not bleed? In other words, Fuck You Allsafe. Geez, I can't believe you guys managed to drag me into a flame war. Ordinarily I'm opposed to these. Oh well, I'm in it now. Let's combine all of our negative energy and direct it towards Allsafe. Come on sissyfag, bring it on.

852. Pro 2045 price drops again!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vegas69@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lhrgr$989$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157980

I just went to Radio Shack and got a $30.00 refund because 2 weeks ago I paid $129.95 for my pro 2045,today its price is $99.95,so I was price protected since its been only 2 weeks,and was refunded the difference!!Since I learned about this radios price on this newsgroup,Im sure others can do the same!! Happy Scanning! Barry

853. Re: headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6li68p$rl6$1@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157981

Try www.ccrane.com . They have a nice over the ear model.

854. cordless freqs other tha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: roland.stiner@lunatic.com
Message-ID: <31992.701.uupcb@lunatic.com>
Source record: 157982

PJ>Panasonic will soon put a 2.3 GHz cordless phone on the market. I don't
PJ>of any scanners, at any price, that receive above 2 GHz.

I would seriously question whether it is safe to put 2 GHz near your head and
eyes.

Private Replies To: NK2U@JUNO.COM

Private Replies To: NK2U@JUNO.COM
---
* PW *

855. Re: PJA is a big dummy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu8GBx.9zI@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158011

Quick!! Someone report Mack-hole to his ISP for swearing and cursing!!

On
Mon, 8 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> pja wrote:
> > Get down and kiss Bill's ass Mackey.
>
> There is no room because you're always down licking his ass
> hole!
>
>

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

856. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu8GG6.A22@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158012

You just admitted that what I said was correct -- you made a PROPOSAL
which was never cemented into a DEAL!! Therefore, you are a LIAR.
Period.

On Mon, 8 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> John T. Arthur wrote:
>
> > YOU ARE A LIAR! You made a *proposal*. Mr Cheek did NOT accept your
> > proposal. Thus, no deal was ever made.
>
> Cheek & I were discussing the deal. He stopped responding. I told
> him the terms & that if HE would stop then I would stop (regarding the
> flames nasty messages). It worked great for about 3 or 4 weeks, then
> CHEEK started up again!
>
> Perhaps you should put blame where blame is due. oh I forgot, you could
> NEVER do that with your little hero CHEEK because it would SHATTER
> your image of him.
>
>
>
> > Please join us in stopping the flames. (
>
> I have a deal ALL who have wanted to cause problems here. Lets
> ALL stop the flames & nasty comments IMMEDIATELY! Those who agree
> or disagree with this will demonstrate their TRUE intentions for this
> NG. CHEEK has backed off of his attacks so there is no reason to
> keep this up.
>
>

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

857. FS: Radio Shack Pro-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Burkett <bburkett@nb.net>
Message-ID: <357bdc16.0@news8.kcdata.com>
Source record: 158013

For sale Radio Shack Pro-2026 , brand new in box, can be cell mod.

858. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Moss <enzo@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <357BF127.11FB@ibm.net>
Source record: 158014

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Gawd....he's swooning on that again? You know, I asked
> the degenerate reprobate to tell me exactly WHAT was the
> proper tool for the adjustment in question.....and he
> never did reply. Yet I specified a #3 flatblade jewelers
> screwdriver from Radio Shack....which is about as exact
> and specific as it gets. And yes, I said it was Okay....

I actually *found* the right adjustment tool for those pesky TCXO modules (a la PRO2004/5/6). You know the ones with the small, flat, cross-hatch pattern? It was at the Rochester fleamarket the other weekend. The fella wanted 24$USD for it. It's a stubby thing made of porcelain. I didn't bother getting one though. Much as I don't want to take sides in this "issue", a small screwdriver and a gentle hand does work fine by me.

Cheers!
Andy

859. help for BC-210

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mt <ampcamper@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <357BF1D6.31087F40@hotmail.com>
Source record: 158015

My old scanner has to have the squelch full on to somewhat operate. Are there any internal adjustments. thanks...
mt

860. FS Alinco X10 UNBLOCKED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jging@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lgurq$1ch$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158016

For Sale Alinco X10 Mint, 3 batt. packs, case, all boxes & manuals. Call 414-679-3039 or email jging@execpc.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

861. RadioShack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas Perry <tcp@voyager.net>
Message-ID: <357BF981.760B4699@voyager.net>
Source record: 158017

I just bought a Pro-90 from RadioShack for $299. It is my first scanner. Is this a good portable? I will be working security at Michigan Speedway this summer, and wanted something good. I thought it was a bunch of money, but hoped it was worth it.

Would appreciate comments from any Pro-90 users out there, and especially those that don't like them. (because I can still take it back!)

Thanks for any help!
Tom Perry

862. FS: Icom PCR1000 (a good one !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: cgt01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060814484600.KAA02728@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158018

FS: Icom PCR1000 wide band receiver - rare European version ! - 100kc to 1300 Mhz -- immaculate -- used briefly to verify software -- Best offer over $550 - I ship -- Email reply or phone 978-635-9663

863. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck.Wilkens@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <cbb_9806080500@warpgate.com>
Source record: 158019

On 06-08-98 00:59, Joe Nicholson said the following to John Mackey - Ka0Ssf:

-=> Quoting John Mackey - Ka0ssf to All <=-

JM> Then why have you been on here trying to fan the flames?

JN> Look at yourself in a mirror when you ask that question.

He can't boss. It cracked the last time he looked at it.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Chuck Wilkens 52:1000/211
|Internet: Chuck.Wilkens@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

864. FS/Trade Icom IC-R100 .5 - 1.8 GHz Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: R <rdieterich@racom.com>
Message-ID: <357BFFC5.241A6268@racom.com>
Source record: 158020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

I have a less than two year old IC-R100 mobile receiver for sale with manual, mobile bracket, no mods (cell still blocked but modable), in mint/perfect condition. I would like to either sell it for $425 plus shipping or trade for a recent vintage Dual Band Mobile or 2M all mode transciever or HF rig.

Thanks,

Roger KA4LDN

865. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rats@ih4mp736.ih.att.com
Message-ID: <6lh2r3$6sk@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 158021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

|The below is from today's ARRL LETTER:
|The FCC plans to further tighten its rules on scanning receivers to
|prevent reception on cellular telephone frequencies. In a rulemaking
|notice released June 3, ET Docket 98-76, the FCC proposed to require
|receiver filtering adequate to prevent cell phone reception even when
|the receiver is tuned to frequencies outside the cellular telephone
|bands, such as an image frequency. To prevent modification of legal
|receivers to receive cellular frequencies, the FCC wants scanning
|receivers designed so that the tuning and control circuitry is
|"completely inaccessible," and that attempts to modify the receiver
|"will likely render the equipment inoperable." The proposed rules also
|would prohibit scanner kits.

Prohibit "scanner kits?" "Prevent modification of legal receivers..."
"Completely Inaccessible" controls...

Wow, you could almost take the federal gun control laws and plug in "scanners"
instead of "machine guns" and get the same result.

|The FCC said the proposals were in response to a petition (RM-9022) from
|Uniden American Corporation, which manufactures both cellular telephones
|and scanners.

So, our US rules are to be written by a JAPANESE CORPORATION; gee, awhile
back the Japanese were campaigning for increased US gun control laws and
more international regulations on small arms...

|The FCC suggested covering control and tuning circuits with epoxy or
|some other substance, or encasing them in a non-removable metal
|compartment, to make them impossible to access and modify. The
|Commission also plans to ban the import or manufacture of scanning
|receiver and converter kits capable of receiving cellular frequencies.

Sounds like the FCC is taking their que from Colt Manufacturing Company
who modified their AR-15 semiautomatic rifle to the "Politically Correct"
Colt Sporter rifle be placing a metal black in the receiver to show that
their rifle could not be easily converted to a machinegun...

|The FCC has opened a 30-day comment window. The complete petition is
|available at the FCC Web site at
|http://www.fcc.gov/oet/dockets/et98-76/.

Gee, all those Wild & Crazy Right Wingers(tm) heard on World Wide Conspiracy
Radio (WWCR) et al. appear to be have been right all along; it's a
"New World Order" afterall; our American culture, our Right to Keep and
Bear Arms, our long cherished right to radio receivers that could pick up

ANY frequency, slowly but surely being annihilated by "Progressives" who assert that to allow these American cultural icons is far too great a risk to the stability of "society."

Get out those pens and write your Representatives and Senators to point out that Asian corporations are now instigating rules that are intended to eviscerate our long cherished liberties; that perhaps Clinton's free trade policies might be giving these foreign corporations a swell head... and maybe we need a domestic manufacturer of scanners and VHF/UHF receivers that would be willing to design equipment more appropriate to the US market i.e. be willing to release the appropriate design information to allow third-party vendors to bypass the government regulations...

866. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com>
Message-ID: <6lh5j5$m63@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 158022
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

John Miles <jmiles@pop.removethistomailme.net> wrote:
>Kermit wrote:
>>
>> "ss" <s@gg.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >James R. Hall wrote in message <6le9bietb1@news7.ispnews.com>...
>> >>why?
>> >>
>> >>--
>> >> -James, N9XLC
>> >
>> >
>> > Baseball coaches love them for training pitchers
>> >to increase the speed of their fast balls.
>> >
>> > Cliff s
>>
>> Also good psysch ops to deter the assholes on the
>> road who think they own the highway. Put the digital
>> indicator unit, and antenna unit on your dash so it's
>> visible, and bingo - 'unmarked patrol car'.... instant
>> "halo" effect....everyone around you, suddenly becomes
>> law abiding.
>
>Sounds like _you're_ the one who thinks he owns the highway, friend.

Sounds to me like your a typical asshole "agressive driver", how about it ?
"FRIEND"... am I right ? I see that kind of scum everyday, tailgating cars
doing the speed limit, cutting off other drivers, giving them the finger,
shooting across 4 lanes right before an exit. Why are you so sensitive to
this issue ? most normal people would find it of no consequence if an
unmarked patrol car drives by them. Only people that worry are the ones
that suddenly find it necessary to alter their behavior (out of concern
that they'll be caught)

867. Re: RadioShack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lh84g$jbk@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158023

Wait: if this isn't a trunk tracker, never mind my last message. I
apologize for the confusion. I was thinking of the Pro 91.

Thomas Perry wrote:

> I just bought a Pro-90 from RadioShack for $299. It is my first
> scanner. Is this a good portable? I will be working security at
> Michigan Speedway this summer, and wanted something good. I thought it
> was a bunch of money, but hoped it was worth it.
>
> Would appreciate comments from any Pro-90 users out there, and
> especially those that don't like them. (because I can still take it
> back!)
>
> Thanks for any help!
> Tom Perry

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

868. Re: Mint Uniden BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9315$18ee2b20$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 158024

Really Big,

I hope you like this "MINT" scanner. At that price, you`re going to
have it forever. Try a starting price of about HALF of what you asked for &
go from there. BTW, I have a "MINT" bridge for sale, if your interested.
;>)

Charlie

reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote in article
<6lf928$c4v$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> I have a MINT Uniden BC200xlt. It has a small crack down the middle of
the
> crystal display(not very noticeable). There are a few scratches on the
> unit(nothing bad) The battery needs replacement. I have no antenna or AC
> cord. Radio has cell mod (very valuable feature). This is considered by
all
> to be the best handheld scanner ever made. I'll start the bidding at
$300.00.
> I'll contact the high bidder on 6/10/98 at 6:00pm EST. Good luck.
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

869. Re: Colors..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9315$7c0f0f80$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 158025

Joe,

Good questions, wrong group.

Charlie

Joe Fan <JoeFan@antisocial.com> wrote in article
<357BCAAF.88052E9E@antisocial.com>...
> I found that the pic scanned by TCN or other scanner sites have very
> good quality in terms of resolutions and colors.. the details are fine
> and the colors are vivid... can I do that by my UMAX 1200 scanner?? If
> it can, can anyone tell me how to do so?? Thx a lot

870. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lh91e$jbk@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Pro-64 thinks a "zeroed out" channel is locked out, so yes, the channel WOULD be locked out. I've got a Pro-64 - I made many sets of frequency files. One to clear everything, and then others for different areas of the US.

What's cool about that is, is that if I know where I am going, I just plug in the scanner, run WLoad64 using the respective frequency file, and go. Very nice feature!

Bill Cheek wrote:

> aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com (Andrew Porrett) wrote:
>
> >
> > kplotkin@access2.digex.net (Kenneth Plotkin) wrote:
> >
> > >Right - the scanner needs the channel and frequency.
> >
> > You're talking about Pro-64's, right? I'm not familiar with them
> > or their PC interface; Can parameters like delay, lockout, mode,
> > priority channel(s), and search limits be programmed via the
> > interface?
>
> Apparently not. At least no one's figured out how
> to do any more than the channel number and frequency.
>
> > >If the frequency is blank, that can be taken as zero and the channel
> > >cleared.
> >
> > But not locked out?
>
> Correct.
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all

nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject
to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

871. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dl8laq@hamburg.roses.de
Message-ID: <hIViLPnbEyuK-pn2-qKldiIByVdwG@u1-03.shlink.de>
Source record: 158027
Cross-posted to: de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

On Mon, 8 Jun 1998 12:18:09, "=?iso-8859-1?Q?Bastian_H=F6nscheid?="
<a2809982@smail1.rrz.Uni-Koeln.DE> wrote:

Moin Bastian,

> Seine Frage ist jetzt: kann man ein solches Gerï¿½t auch als Privatperson
> (ï¿½ber irgendeinen Funkdienst, z.B. ï¿½hnlich wie Europiepser) anfunken.

Das ist nicht mï¿½glich!

Gruss, Norbert

872. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357C28D2.3853@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158028

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> MAlexder wrote:
> > You both have been causing so much trouble for so long, it's hard to
> > recall.
>
> At least we make occasional on-topic posts to this NG.

Oh YEAH Mackerooney? Show me ONE.

> YOU have NEVER made an on-topic post to this NG, ALL your posts have > been FLAMES & attacks, filles with lies or half-truths.

You must enjoy the narrow view of life you see from behind those blinders you have on. I have seen MANY on-topic, truthful and RELEVANT (something else your posts lack) posts from MAlexder. You, on the other hand, have been telling the same lies for so long that you believe them yourself. If ignorance is bliss then you truly must be the happiest jackass alive.

-Phil

873. Re: Weatheradio with SAME technology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lh9np$jbk@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've seen a couple models here in Minnesota, too.

73 de Ryan
(getting Tech class license next week)

Mark Fellhauer wrote:

> On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 15:01:53 GMT, jcardani@erols.com (Joseph Cardani)
> wrote:
>
> >Hi,
> >
> >Does anyone know who manufacturers weather alert radios with the new
> >SAME technology, other then Radio Shack?
> >
>
> Oregon Scientific is producing a SAME receiver for about half the cost
> of the RS version.
>
> I saw them at Fry's Electronics.
>
> 73,
>
> Mark
> KC7BXS

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and
all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject
to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

874. New Pro2006's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lucas45@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lheq3oqq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158030

I just went to Radio Shack. I bought two brand new, still in the box Pro2006 scanners. The manager had them in the storage room. He said that he tucked them away four years ago because he thought that it was illegal to sell them after the law went into effect. He just recently learned that he could sell them. Since they were so old, he sold them to me for $240.00 each. I could only afford two of them. He has four of them left. I inquired about Pro43's but he said he sold the three that he had over on Saturday to one guy. I am just tickled about my scanners.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

875. Re:FS BC200xlt (BID)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: hamberger@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lhibvtiq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158031

$300 is all your starting at. Gee! That's a bargain for a mint/scratched/missing parts/outdated scanner. Are you sure your not reallybigshmuck?I'll give you 25 bucks for it and you have to hand deliver it to me, you ignorant philistine. Gopeddle your wares at a flea market.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

876. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fran <fmhuguet@wi.net>
Message-ID: <357C319D.30DB8105@wi.net>
Source record: 158032
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

so, you want a radar gun to intimidate those damn lawbreakers. sounds like you need a penis extention.

877. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357C2BE3.7996@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158033

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> I am not a liar, you have NEVER been able to produce evidence that I am
> a liar when asked to do so either!

You are the worlds worst liar and this NG is living breathing ugly evidence of it each and every day. You need a hobby John. Let me suggest a few:

Bungee jumping - without the bungee.

Or hangliding - in the flight path of an international airport.

You could play chicken with semi's.

Perhaps a 500ft base jump with a backpack full of bowling balls.

Try shooting yourself out of a cannon pointed at a brick wall.

Take a bath with your toaster.

Become a crash test dummy - this has REAL potential, John...

Nitro-glycerin juggling.

Russian Roulette with a semi-auto.

How about gargling peanut butter?

I could go on and on, but I think you get the gist. Go find something else, more beneficial to yourself and others, to do.

-Phil

878. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357C2F2F.218E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158034

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> If you would like to start over again then I would support such a
> plan. NO ATTACKS, NO FLAMES, NO NASTY COMMENTS on this newsgroup of
> rec.radio.scanner. I invite YOU & YOUR trouble making supports like
> MAlexander, allsafe, pja, John Arthur, & a few others to join us in
> this plan. Support & participation in this would demonstrate your
> intentions to redeem yourself to the NG & to make this a nice
> place to the adult discussions of scanners.

Nice try loser. I invite YOU to follow your own advice. If YOU, all by your little lonesome, would follow what you have outlined above, YOU would make this place nice again - all by yourself.

Tell ya what. I'll believe it when I see it. Until then, my "get lost and take up a fatal hobby" policy towards you continues.

-Phil

879. Re: Allsafe causing trouble!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357C3046.34D3@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158035

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:
> > I have a better idea. Let's behave like grown-ups and discuss scanners.
>
> WELL SAID!
>
> You have to wonder about someone (like ALLSAFE) who tries to coordinate
> ways to make trouble rather than ways to resolve an issue. His
> intention
> of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.

Shut up John. If you so whole heartedly agree that this NG should be about scanning and not this constant Cheek/Mackey B.S. then start by dicussing scanning instead of acting like some kind of god-damned 3rd grade tattle tale!

Have you ever even bothered to think that if you just shut up everyone else would too? Jeez what a moron....

-Phil

880. Re: PJA is a big dummy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357C32B0.2E2C@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158036

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> pja wrote:
> > Get down and kiss Bill's ass Mackey.
>
> There is no room because you're always down licking his ass
> hole!

John, I am shocked and appalled. You used a foul word. And you borke your own truce - AGAIN!! Now your hypocrisy is complete:

" Lets ALL stop the flames & nasty comments IMMEDIATELY! Those who agree or disagree with this will demonstrate their TRUE intentions for this NG. CHEEK has backed off of his attacks so there is no reason to keep this up." -John Mackey, rec.radio.scanner, Sunday June 7, 1998.

You liar. Indeed you have demonstrated your true intentions for this NG. Well fine then, John. You aren't going to ruin it for me. Consider yourself on permanent ignore.

Oh, and I will encourage all others to do the same. Once no one responds to your petty whimpering, things should then return to normal. Enjoy the silence John. It's all you deserve.

-Phil

881. How to get rid of John Mackey forever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357C36C4.6A99@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158037

Do you want to make John Mackey SO MAD that he goes away, never to return?

Do you want to watch him squirm and shout, writhing and whining in pure agony and despair, only to finally give up in disgust?

If so read on. I know one way to get rid of him for good that is completely fool-proof:

---------------> Ignore him.<----------------

Sounds simple, but it's not. It's SOOO tempting to let someone like him have it with both barrels. Hey, I too am guilty of perpetuating the madness. It's just that he's SO Goddamn ignorant.... anyway, I am taking action and doing my part to see to it that Mackey disappears for good. I am going to ignore every word he says. I will even set up my newsreader to hide all of his stupid messages. If we ALL do the same, he WILL go away. I guarantee it.

But we ALL must agree to and take this course of action. If there is even ONE person who responds to his crap, then it won't work.

ONCE YOU START, STICK TO IT GUYS! Ignore him no matter what he says or does - just ignore him. The attention he gets is what makes him stay. He obviously does not listen to reason. Once he doesn't get any more fun out of it, <POOF!> he'll be gone.

Anyway that's the plan folks. If we all stick to it, he'll go away. If we don't, he won't. It's up to each of you out there to do your part. If you don't, then you lump yourself into the same category as him. As of now, I am doing mine. Consider this my LAST off-topic post. So long and good riddance, John Mackey.

-Phil

882. Re: How to get rid of John Mackey forever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lhdjd$p78@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158038

I agree - let the feud die!

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Do you want to make John Mackey SO MAD that he goes away, never to
> return?
>
> Do you want to watch him squirm and shout, writhing and whining in pure
> agony and despair, only to finally give up in disgust?
>
> If so read on. I know one way to get rid of him for good that is
> completely fool-proof:
>
> ---------------> Ignore him.<----------------
>
> Sounds simple, but it's not. It's SOOO tempting to let someone like him
> have it with both barrels. Hey, I too am guilty of perpetuating the
> madness. It's just that he's SO Goddamn ignorant.... anyway, I am taking
> action and doing my part to see to it that Mackey disappears for good. I
> am going to ignore every word he says. I will even set up my newsreader
> to hide all of his stupid messages. If we ALL do the same, he WILL go
> away. I guarantee it.
>
> But we ALL must agree to and take this course of action. If there is
> even ONE person who responds to his crap, then it won't work.
>
> ONCE YOU START, STICK TO IT GUYS! Ignore him no matter what he says or
> does - just ignore him. The attention he gets is what makes him stay. He
> obviously does not listen to reason. Once he doesn't get any more fun
> out of it, <POOF!> he'll be gone.
>
> Anyway that's the plan folks. If we all stick to it, he'll go away. If
> we don't, he won't. It's up to each of you out there to do your part. If
> you don't, then you lump yourself into the same category as him. As of
> now, I am doing mine. Consider this my LAST off-topic post. So long and
> good riddance, John Mackey.
>
> -Phil

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

883. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <not@home.here>
Message-ID: <357C35DC.4D60@home.here>
Source record: 158039

Mike Derryberry wrote:
> Really sucks when you can't turn in someone doing something illegal just
> because you heard it by listening to cordless phones. It may be illegal to
> listen to cordless phones, but do 2 wrongs make a right??? Think about
> it...
>
Absolutely right, we should turn you in for your illegal activities
(listening to cordless phones). Please post your name and address so we
may take action.

884. Re: FS : Mint Uniden BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357C41D4.3B26@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158040

> I'll start the bidding at $300.00.

And I'll respond to the opening bid with my own bid of one crisp $20 dollar bill. Oh and YOU pay shipping, not me.

-Phil

885. WV and OH Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Denning <wildcat@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <357C4298.C75A5E47@citynet.net>
Source record: 158041

Hi! I'm looking for North-East Ohio and West Virginia scanner
frequencies. If you have or know of any good ones please E-Mail me at
robocop@citynet.net

Sincerely,

Matt Denning

886. Re: FS : Mint Uniden BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357C4453.33DA@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158042

reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> Guess what-I've had 6 offers already. The highest bid is now at $310.01.
> Don't forget that this is a MINT unit(very difficult to obtain).
>

Hmmm... did anyone else notice the hotmail.com return address? Explains the ignorance of the author. T'wouldn't surprise me in the least to hear of $300 bucks+ being taken from all parties involved, with no scanner forthcoming...

Moron: MINT means no scratches, dings, dents, missing parts, etc. And the unit is hardly "very hard to obtain." My father in-law purchased his last year via mail order as a refurb - NO scratches, NO cracks, in the box with ALL of it's accessories INCLUDING A LEATHER CASE - for 90 bucks + shipping.

Truly this crook proves the theory that there truly is a sucker born every minute. I hope the idiot who buys that scanner likes bridges, 'cause I have one for sale....

-Phil

P.S. Did I mention that I'm parting with my Geo Metro for the measly sum of $13,500? It's in MINT condition with only 4 dents, 6 scratches and only 70,000+ miles.... ;-)

887. Re: New Pro2006's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060820113600.QAA03993@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158043
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>
Subject: New Pro2006's
>From: Lucas45@my-dejanews.com
>Date: Mon, Jun 8, 1998 15:41 EDT
>Message-id: <6lheq3$oqq$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
>
>I just went to Radio Shack. I bought two brand new,
>still in the box Pro2006 scanners. The manager had
>them in the storage room. He said that he tucked
>them away four years ago because he thought that it
>was illegal to sell them after the law went into
>effect. He just recently learned that he could sell
>them. Since they were so old, he sold them to me
>for $240.00 each. I could only afford two of them.
>He has four of them left. I inquired about Pro43's
>but he said he sold the three that he had over on
>Saturday to one guy. I am just tickled about my
>scanners.
>
>-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

Store number?
Neutrodyne
```

888. Re: Pro 90 Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357C46E4.2D04@yahoo.com>
Source record: 158044

L.Peters wrote:
>
> I just called R.S. to price an additional battery pack for my Pro 90 and
> was told that it would cost $59.95. I was surprised when I first bought
> the 90 that it didn't have a separate power and charge plug in. And I
> was a little upset that I couldn't use regular NiCads in it. Now that I
> have been told the price for a spare batt. pack I'm P.Oed.We have the
> strong possibility of bad storms in the area this P.M. and I have a dead
> battery.Does anyone know of a cheaper after-market battery. Also is
> there a quick charger available.

Go to any competent Uniden dealer and get a spare pack from them. I got mine for about $25 bucks. It's the same pack you'd get from RS and mine included the charger.

A hobby store that sells R/C cars will have just the ticket for a quick charger - they recharge R/C car packs in about 10-15 minutes. Splice in a suitable adapter for your scanner pack and you're all set - they even work off of a car cigarette lighter. A good one will also have a meter to let you know when the batteries are fully charged.

-Phil

889. Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <Eu91FF.4nD@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 158045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Caught an odd sight this morning... twice. Riding into work this morning, I noticed at two separate intersection, a dipole antenna (two oposing horiz monopoles mounted on a junction box with a cable coming out ot the box) laying (maybe duct taped) on top of the signal head arms of one of the light posts.

[No, this was not a Ricochet Modem sort of setup]

This was in Pleasant Hill, Calif. along Taylor Blvd and the two intersections I noticed the antennas at were Taylor/Norse and Taylor/Ruth.

The antenna looked like it was just layed on the signal head, and was not in a horizontal or vertical orientation, but rather, the orientation was just that way because that's the way it layed on the signal arms.

The length of the antennas were about that which would be appropriate for VHF-hi use. Using the FCC database, I don't find any Pleasant Hill licenses for VHF (453, 460, 465 and 860 only).

I did not see any antennas at any additional PH signals which I passed after that this morning (Taylor/Willow Pass/CCB, CCB/Viking, CCB/Concord) but I have an odd feeling I might find a set at Taylor/Morello as well as at Taylor/Apollo. I'll check tommorrow morning, and if I do, that may be a clue as to what these are for... just recently, the four intersections (the two with the antennas and the two I suspect might have them) had vehicle sensors placed in the bicycle lane... I can assure you that these sensors don't do diddly as far as changing the signal when a bike crosses them... so I wonder what Big Bro might be up to.

Anyone with any other ideas what these might be for? I think I'm gonna try to mount my freq counter on my bike for tomorrow morning's commute. (if it's under 175 mhz, my counter should read it.)

--

Had your share of stoopid(tm) people?	
View the "Contra Costa Whines" at	[This space for lease]
http://www.io.com/~tweek/cocowhine/	

890. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rats@ih4mp736.ih.att.com
Message-ID: <6lhg9j$b4g@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 158046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

|The FCC has opened a 30-day comment window. The complete petition is
|available at the FCC Web site at
|http://www.fcc.gov/oet/dockets/et98-76/.

If you want to contact your CTIA bought-and-paid-for politicians to
complain of the further erosion of your radio reception capabilities
by Asian corporations and don't know who or where to contact them at,
here's how to find out who they are:

If you know your ZIPCODE+4 then go to:

http://numbersusa.com/congress/cdzip.html

or

http://numbersusa.com/congress/locator_map.html

The above URLs should be able to supply the relevant telephone, e-mail,
etc. contact points.

If you don't know your ZIPCODE+4 then go to

http://www.usps.gov/ncsc/lookups/lookup_zip+4.html

Give them Hell!

891. OS535 Installation info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060820322800.QAA06420@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158047

I just ordered the OS535, awaiting delivery, Does any one know of
any
website or Review or anything that talks about the installation of
the board? I would like to read up on as much as possible before doing the
Job.

Thanks

Dave

892. Re: RadioShack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas Perry <tcp@voyager.net>
Message-ID: <357C4B50.E6B01932@voyager.net>
Source record: 158048

It is a Trunk Tracker, but it is the Pro-90. Don't recall what your last message was, so no problem.

Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:

> Wait: if this isn't a trunk tracker, never mind my last message. I
> apologize for the confusion. I was thinking of the Pro 91.
>
> Thomas Perry wrote:
>
> > I just bought a Pro-90 from RadioShack for $299. It is my first
> > scanner. Is this a good portable? I will be working security at
> > Michigan Speedway this summer, and wanted something good. I thought it
> > was a bunch of money, but hoped it was worth it.
> >
> > Would appreciate comments from any Pro-90 users out there, and
> > especially those that don't like them. (because I can still take it
> > back!)
> >
> > Thanks for any help!
> > Tom Perry
>
> --
> Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
> RySoft Corporation
>
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

893. Re: New Pro2006's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lucas45@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lhqiobaa1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158049

In article <6lheq3oqq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
Lucas45@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> I just went to Radio Shack. I bought two brand new,
> still in the box Pro2006 scanners. The manager had
> them in the storage room. He said that he tucked
> them away four years ago because he thought that it
> was illegal to sell them after the law went into
> effect. He just recently learned that he could sell
> them. Since they were so old, he sold them to me
> for $240.00 each. I could only afford two of them.
> He has four of them left. I inquired about Pro43's
> but he said he sold the three that he had over on
> Saturday to one guy. I am just tickled about my
> scanners.
>
> ------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

WOOHOO!!!!! I borrowed some money from my father and bought the other four scanners. The manager was thankful and sold them to me for $200.00 each. He had no idea what they were worth. This has been a banner day in my life. Now I have a question. If I hold on to these until Congress passes this new legislation being considered, will I be able to sell these for considerably more than they are worth now?

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

894. Re: OS535 Installation info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060820531900.QAA04663@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158050

>From: batwanger@aol.com

>I just ordered the OS535, awaiting delivery, Does any one know of
anywebsite or Review or anything that talks about the installation of
the board?

I don't know about a review site but I installed the OS535 n my scanner a couple of years ago. I'll assume that the installation process hasn't changed in that time.... If you want to be all set to go when it arrives, make sure that you have a thin-tip soldering iron, solder (obviously) a nibbler, and if possible, a pair of leather or otherwise heavy-duty gloves. It takes some work to cut out the back neatly and the gloves will cushion your hand and protect your knuckles from some very sharp metal edges.

Before you do anything, read the instructions carefully, all the way through. The instructions could be better written and the directions more precise. They may have improved them--my instructions were photocopies--and crappy ones at that. If you're not paying attention, it's easy to screw it up.

Other than that, the job is pretty straightforward. The only tricky part is having to solder a very small wire to one of the legs on a chip. Again, that might have changed since I did mine--I can conceive of Opto putting one of those small clips on the end of the wire and doing away with having to solder.

Take it slow, and expect to spend close to two hours for a neat job.

I'm a complete klutz with a soldering iron and easily distracted when having to read many pages of detailed instructions but I got it right the first time I attempted it. If I can do it, anybody can.

895. attenuating cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpswar@geocities.com
Message-ID: <357C4F66.20CEA64@geocities.com>
Source record: 158051

I recently download a speech inversion program. When i connected an attenuating wire from my radio to my mic in on my computer, no sound would come through the speakers. When i tried a regular audio cable, sound came through but it had a lot of static. Can anyone help me? Why doenst the attenuating cable work?

Thanks,
gpswar

896. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andreas Chmielewski vossnet <chmielewski@vossnet.de>
Message-ID: <6lhlk0$du6$1@news.vossnet.de>
Source record: 158052
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

Das ist BOS (Beh�rden und Organisationen mit Sicherheitsaufgaben (Polizei, Feuerwehr, THW, Tettungsdienst etc.)) Technik.
Das sind eigene Kan�le mit einer ganz speziellen Technik, die von Aussen nicht zu
erreichen ist. Das ganze wird �ber das System der Feuerwehr laufen, da die auch
mit diesen Empf�ngern arbeitet und das THW meistens alamiert. Basteln bringt nichts und ist nur teuer. Son Pager kostet zwischen 600 und 1500DM, je nach Modell und Ausstatung. Ist aber auch 1000 mal zuverl�ssiger als alle anderen Pagersysteme.

Andreas Chmielewski

Bastian H�nscheid schrieb in Nachricht <6lgkr1$p0@news.rrz.Uni-Koeln.DE>...
>Ein Freund von mir hat -im Rahmen seiner Verpflichtung beim
>Katastrophenschutz des Technischen Hilfswerks Rhein-Sieg- vom THW einen
>"Piepser" zur Notfall-Alarmierung bekommen.
>
>Seine Frage ist jetzt: kann man ein solches Ger�t auch als Privatperson
>(�ber irgendeinen Funkdienst, z.B. �hnlich wie Europiepser) anfunken.
>Dann w�re eine private Nutzung, �hnlich wie Scall oder Quix m�glich.
>
>Kennt jemand die Technik, die beim THW verwendet wird?
>Das Ger�t ist ein kleines schwarzes K�stchen, bietet Text- und
>Tonempfang. Hinten beschriftet ist es mit: "THW SU" und der
>zweistelligen Piepsernummer, dann "BZT A118 768F EU".
>
>Wei� jemand was n�heres hier�ber? Antwort w�re nett!
>
>
>
>
>Gru�,
>
>Bastian
>

897. Re: How to get rid of John Mackey forever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060821560600.RAA12053@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158053
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: How to get rid of John Mackey forever....
>From: Phil Smalley
>Date: Mon, Jun 8, 1998 15:08 EDT
>Message-id: <357C36C4.6A99@yahoo.com>
>
>Do you want to make John Mackey SO MAD that he goes away, never to
>return?
>
>Do you want to watch him squirm and shout, writhing and whining in pure
>agony and despair, only to finally give up in disgust?
>
>If so read on. I know one way to get rid of him for good that is
>completely fool-proof:
>
>---------------> Ignore him.<----------------
>
> Sounds simple, but it's not. It's SOOO tempting to let someone like him
>have it with both barrels. Hey, I too am guilty of perpetuating the
>madness. It's just that he's SO Goddamn ignorant.... anyway, I am taking
>action and doing my part to see to it that Mackey disappears for good. I
>am going to ignore every word he says. I will even set up my newsreader
>to hide all of his stupid messages. If we ALL do the same, he WILL go
>away. I guarantee it.
>
>But we ALL must agree to and take this course of action. If there is
>even ONE person who responds to his crap, then it won't work.
>
>ONCE YOU START, STICK TO IT GUYS! Ignore him no matter what he says or
>does - just ignore him. The attention he gets is what makes him stay. He
>obviously does not listen to reason. Once he doesn't get any more fun
>out of it, he'll be gone.
>
>Anyway that's the plan folks. If we all stick to it, he'll go away. If
>we don't, he won't. It's up to each of you out there to do your part. If
>you don't, then you lump yourself into the same category as him. As of
>now, I am doing mine. Consider this my LAST off-topic post. So long and
>good riddance, John Mackey.
>
>-Phil
>

John WHO???
Neutrodyne

898. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert.Mahs@t-online.de
Message-ID: <6lhomc$u1s$1@news02.btx.dtag.de>
Source record: 158054
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

Hi

> (ï¿½ber irgendeinen Funkdienst, z.B. ï¿½hnlich wie Europiepser) anfunken.

Ist vielleicht nicht so gut, denn wenn dich das THW dann anpiepsen
will kommt nachher noch die Meldung "FEHLER: Die maximale Anzahl
von Rufen fï¿½r diesen Pager wurde ausgesendet" (Zitat Skyper).

MfG Rob

899. microphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ev <night.train.meagher@leland.stanford.edu>
Message-ID: <01bd9333$a130ff80$9cb240ab@ventilator>
Source record: 158055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for the following microphones to fill out my collection:

SHURE:707A
Slim-X 777
545S
560 Hi-Z lavalier
533 Sphere-O- Dyne
420 Commandos

Astatic
brown biscuit
T-3s
Model 600 Crystal "The Conneaut"
Model 820 Crystal "The Globe"
Model 10DA
Model 200-S Crystal Velvet Voice
Model DN-50 Dynamic

Turner
22X, D
33X
BX, BD

Electrovoice
605
638
624 crystal lavalier

Thanks- if anyone has these, and is willing to part with them for a price,
please respond to
emeagher@msn.com
or
emeagher@leland.stanford.edu

Thank you

900. REQ: Source for state scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35816b95.2490216@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 158056

Need a source (besides Percon) for scanner frequencies. There used to be some sort of database program, but it is no longer supported by the author. But the file and databases are being maintained on some .edu site.

901. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom <tomc61X@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <357C71C1.1D3DA3F3@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 158057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Reverend Tweek wrote:
>
> Caught an odd sight this morning... twice. Riding into work this morning,
> I noticed at two separate intersection, a dipole antenna (two oposing horiz
> monopoles mounted on a junction box with a cable coming out ot the box)
> laying (maybe duct taped) on top of the signal head arms of one of the
> light posts.
>

I have seen something similar here in Hurst, TX and Wichita Falls, TX.
In both paces the antennas are 800 or 900 MHz yagis, and appear to be
pointed in the general direction of the city service center or city hall.
The antennas are mounted on a small (about 5 ft) mast attatched to the
main signal light post, not on the crossarm.
the one in Wichita Falls is vertically polarized and the ones in Hurst
are horizontal. The one near my house has the antenna on the traffic signal
pole across the street from the controller box (strange?).
I haven't figured out what they are used for. In Hurst, we have the opticom
strobe light system on emergency vehicles... I don't know if it has anything
to do with that.

Tom

--

Remove the X from email address (spam blocker)

902. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRY <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <357C753B.4965@ameritech.net>
Source record: 158058

```
--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!
```

903. FAILSOFT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRY <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <357C7607.79E0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 158059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

wHAT IS A FAILSOFT FREQUENCY SUPOPOSED TO DO?
Please e-mail answer to me.
Thank you kindly.
--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!

904. Re: RadioShack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Levi <xxlevi@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6lht6lpbn1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 158060

I have one and feel certain you will be pleased with it.

Levi

Thomas Perry wrote in message <357BF981.760B4699@voyager.net>...
>I just bought a Pro-90 from RadioShack for $299. It is my first
>scanner. Is this a good portable? I will be working security at
>Michigan Speedway this summer, and wanted something good. I thought it
>was a bunch of money, but hoped it was worth it.
>
>Would appreciate comments from any Pro-90 users out there, and
>especially those that don't like them. (because I can still take it
>back!)
>
>Thanks for any help!
>Tom Perry
>

905. Re: Electrical interference from vehicle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: clhuff@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <357b2b7f.3150865@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 158061
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
>
>At this point, a guess was easy! How much is this noise filter?
>
Don't remember exactly........ wasn't too much. Like 5 or 6 bucks,
maybe 10.
```

906. portable radio UHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: code3corey@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060800055400.UAA23776@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158062

i have a hand held portable for sale it has 100 ch. i have all the programming books and the data link so you can program it your self the radio is a telamobile
it also has a lapel mic and two battery's and a carring case that goes with it im asking $300.00 for it but price can be talked about E-Mail me at code3corey@aol.com if there are anymore questions

907. Pro 2004 $225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: cj@totcon.com
Message-ID: <357b2e1f.6804752@news.totcon.com>
Source record: 158063

For sale Radio Pro2004 with 800 mod original box/manual everything works, good condition (minor deformation to plastic at number 5 position).

email you reply to
cj@totcon.com

BYTE/WIDE Software, Inc.
"The lack of reason is overcome by the passion of belief"
<<<<< cj@totcon.com >>>>>

908. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060800274100.UAA26407@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158064

From: supton@paranet.com (stuart upton)
Date: Sun, Jun 7, 1998 1:11 PM
Message-id: <supton-0706981011300001@pdx50-a48-46.teleport.com>

>And your agenda is to let us know about each and every one of them with
>sickening regularity. Why?

Well in your case, it takes a while for the obvious to sink in. Did you get
that dictionary as I suggested? If you need a couple of bucks, send me your
address and and I'll see that you get it.

909. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060800331000.UAA01381@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158065

Subject: Re: CHEEK at it again
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom)

>MAlexder wrote:
>> Glenq and Quack Mack have one thing in common: Neither has ever posted a single on-topic message. Note that the the cretin responds to Quack Mackie's posts
>> despite claiming to have put him in his killfiles.

>Two sentences, two blatant lies. Not bad, even for a Cheek sycophant.

Not one lie, Glennie--and even a pompous ass like you can add zero and zero. You have never posted a single on-topic message and neither has your little man friend Quackie. You two must be very happy together. Losers need all the support they can get and from what I can see you're both doing a wonderful job of cooperating in ruining this newsgroup. Again, I might add, or is that again and again? You both have been causing so much trouble for so long, it's hard to recall.

910. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060800345000.UAA27276@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158066

From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Date: Sun, Jun 7, 1998 4:22 PM
Message-id: <357AF6C6.391E@parg.org>

>I am a man of my word.

Lie number one.

If you remember, I suggested Bill & I STOP
all posts of any type of flaming & such. He complied with this
agreement for a couple weeks. Then, when he thought I was off line
at the DAYTON HAMVENTION, he started again.

Lie number two.

911. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060800395400.UAA02273@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158067

From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

MAlexder wrote:
>> I only post flames in responses to your flames Quackie. Tell; you what: You
>> stop and I'll stop.

>So you're saying that I cause you to post flames, or in other words
>I control you? Wow, I didn't know I had such control over you, I could
>have some fun with this!

Well yeah Quackie, you do have some control over me. The very thought on you
makes me puke. Disappear and I won't hurl up at the thought of you.

But, the challenge is there: You stop posting flames and I will stop responding
to them. I'm sure that that is one thing you won't ever do. You're too busy
with electronic stalking, engaging in personal vendettas, impersonating people,
forging, cancelling posts, lying, flaming, among other nefarious activities.

912. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu7Iq0.3JG@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158068

On Sun, 7 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Salvatore Gambino wrote:
>
> > Jeezus H. Christ! Here I take a few weeks off and come back
> > to find the group under a Mack Attack again. What happened
> > to your truce, Johnny Bluejeans? I thought you was a man of your
> > word.
>
> I am a man of my word. If you remember, I suggested Bill & I STOP
> all posts of any type of flaming & such. He complied with this
> agreement for a couple weeks. Then, when he thought I was off line
> at the DAYTON HAMVENTION, he started again.

You have a lot of gall for a known LIAR. You re-started this crap without any provocation and we all know it. Please commit suicide so we can get on with our scanning discussions.

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

913. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu7Irx.3Kp@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158069

On Sun, 7 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> John T. Arthur wrote:

> Why have you been on here trying to fan the flames?
>

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

914. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eu7IvD.3MB@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 158070

On Sun, 7 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > "David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS" <NoOne@NoWhere.net> wrote:
> > > I thought things were getting better for a while,
> >
> > So did I....until Smacksie just couldn't stand the
> > prosperity.
>
>
> No Bill, remember, YOU & I had a deal of NO FLAMES or other
> attacks on this NG.

YOU ARE A LIAR! You made a *proposal*. Mr Cheek did NOT accept your
proposal. Thus, no deal was ever made.

THen you broke the deal with your attack on the
> guy who gave a poor report on winradio by YOU FLAMING HIM.
> I waited for a few days & did nothing, then I gave you
> public warnings on this NG to stop but you continued.
> Then I acted to hold YOU responsible for your actions as
> I told you I would do.

Please join us in stopping the flames. (a quote from Mack-hole; second
cousin to a black-hole) Just go away...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

915. FS - BC-3000xlt 400 Channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060802312500.WAA13370@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158071

BC-3000xlt with all original accessories, including nicad, charger, rubber duck, box, manual plus optional leather case. Scanner is pretty much like new. One year old, used very little. Covers 25-1300 mhz less cellular and 550-760. Selectable modes are am, wfm and nfm. Full coverage of mil air freqs. Picks up some verrrrrry innnnnnteresting images on 1005.5 to 1015 mhz. $275.00 shipped CONUS. E-mail direct if interested to: KK4TL@AOL.COM

916. Re: FS : Mint Uniden BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lfjn0$3f3@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158072

On Sun, 07 Jun 1998 23:51:37 GMT, reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:

>I have a MINT Uniden BC200xlt. It has a small crack down the middle of the
>crystal display(not very noticeable). There are a few scratches on the
>unit(nothing bad) The battery needs replacement. I have no antenna or AC
>cord. Radio has cell mod (very valuable feature). This is considered by all
>to be the best handheld scanner ever made. I'll start the bidding at $300.00.
>I'll contact the high bidder on 6/10/98 at 6:00pm EST. Good luck.
>
>------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He Ha
Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee heeeeeee
Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!You have got to be kidding!!!!

917. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <357b433c.7309858@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158073

On 07 Jun 1998 09:47:32 GMT, malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

>>From: glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom)
>
>>Because he's in our killfiles, of course.
>
>Glenq and Quack Mack have one thing in common: Neither has ever posted a single
>on-topic message. Note that the the cretin responds to Quack Mackie's posts
>despite claiming to have put him in his killfiles. One wonders if they are not
>the same people!

Nah, they're two different people. Mackey's in Oregon and Glen is in
Idaho. Every once in a while Glen falls out of his rocking chair and
wakes up long enough to make a few posts. Heh, Heh, Heh!

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

918. Yupiteru Sales / Service Inquiry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: gritelecom@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060803135900.XAA23583@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158074

I have a Yupi MVT-8000 that took a voltage surge. I would like to get it to an authorized service station for an estimate for repair. Anyone know of any such firm?

I really like the unit and if it can't be repaired I'd replace it.

Can anyone help out?

Thanks.
Gordon

919. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357b5f01.211188340@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158075

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

words1@well.com (emorris) wrote:

> Good grief. Grow up, all of you. Turn off the computer and go for a
> walk. Read a book.
>
> Life is short. Do you really want to spend it saying "You're a bigger
> one" over and over?

That's all well and good.....but it really
has to start with YOU. Your very posting of
the above gives your tacit approval of what's
going on.

If you don't like the goings-on, don't read them.
Do you read the newspaper from front to back every
day? Every word?

There's not a lot of difference betwixt the newspaper
and the newsgroups. Read what you want, and don't
read what you don't want.

THAT is as good as it gets....

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

920. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357c6133.211750550@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158076

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

> >From: glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom)
>
> >Because he's in our killfiles, of course.
>
> Glenq and Quack Mack have one thing in common: ....

Lemme tell you a little story about this Queerstrom
dude. You're right....he never posts on topic. Probably
because he doesn't know anything about scanners and
scanning....but I digress..... on to the story:

It was about three years ago over on FidoNet
about the time I sent Mackey flying through the
air with the greatest of ease with a Size 13EE
imprint crosswise on his sorry patooty, that good ol'
Queenstrom showed up.

Not only did he show up, but the damned fool launched
an all out attack on me because I recommended TV antennas
for "scanner beams". Queenstrom huffed and puffed
and puckered up and blew me everything but kisses over
the "foolish idea of using a TV antenna as a scanner beam".

(The nerve of me!)

He kept it up and up and up....until I warned him I'd
have to give him his sailing orders like Mackey, if he
didn't cease and desist.

I guess since then, he's done found out how well TV
antennas do work as wideband beams because he sure
doesn't whisper a peep about it anymore.

But obviously, he's still in the attack mode....
Next to Smacksie and PjB, ol' Queertrom is about
as low as they come. Which is why he's on my Twit
List in good company with his "bretheren". Joined
at the hip with 'em is more likely, but..anyway, that's
the story about ol' Queenie. That and him being about
as useful as hips on a snake are all a body needs to
know about 'im.

He's worthless, no two ways about it. But he seems
to fancy himself as some sort of a great savior.....

We've seen a few of those, eh?

921. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357d65dc.212943875@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158077

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

> In article <1998060709521500.FAA11231@ladder03.news.aol.com>,
> malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
>
> > His agenda is to post flame after flame in hopes of driving as >many
> people out
> > of the group as he can.
>
> And your agenda is to let us know about each and every one of them with
> sickening regularity. Why?

Maybe because he wants to.

What's your agenda?

922. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357e6682.213110155@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158078

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

kplotkin@access5.digex.net (Kenneth Plotkin) wrote:

> In article <3580485e.139841195@news2.cts.com>,
> Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >Apparently not. At least no one's figured out how
> >to do any more than the channel number and frequency.
>
> As far as the 64 and 2041 go, make that "apparently not" a firm "no". GRE
> has a full two-way protocol that allows setting lockout, delay, etc. I
> don't know which (if any) scanners use the full protocol, but the 64 and
> 2041 use a one-way version of it. The spec for the one-way version says
> just channel and frequency. I've tried sending my 64 every possible
> permutation of the two-way codes. Ones that don't change the data stream
> format just get ignored. Those that do change the format cause the
> scanner to barf.

Drats!

But I've seen that protocol manual and it sure does
lend "hope" that other discoveries might be made.

But the PRO-64/PRO-2041 may have been "feet wetters"
for GRE to where they gave it a limited command set.

One only wonders why Radio Shack pulled these scanners
so quickly....not even a year on the shelves.... but
maybe full blown models are coming?

We can hope. August is when the new RS Catalog comes
out, so the new stuff should be announced by then.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

923. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lfpsb$2iq@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158079

In <357B350C.41D25DD8@netwit.com> allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> writes:
>
>I figured out how to get Mackey's goat. It would be neat to delete
the
>word "cheek" from any subject line when wacking the Mackey.
>

sssssh.....

don't tell the idiot

it will just be a secret
betweeen you and us...

best not let him know
that his paranoia is
right on the mark....

bill conduit
etc.

924. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357B7B21.25DB@parg.org>
Source record: 158080

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Lemme tell you a little story about this Queerstrom
> dude. You're right....he never posts on topic. Probably

Notice how Bill Cheek's homosexual tendencies causes him
to always want to relate everything to strange sexual
perversion issues.

Perhaps Bill really did molest those young boys in
San Diego.

> It was about three years ago over on FidoNet
> about the time I sent Mackey flying through the

Funny how CHEEK is always the one to bring this up. I
never mention the issue because I know how sensitive Cheek
is about it. This topic seems to be an issue for him!

> launched
> an all out attack on me because I recommended TV antennas
> for "scanner beams".

Yea Bill you claimed that TV antennas can give 20 dB gain
at 25 MHz! No one with any technical knowledge would support
you on that idea!

> He's worthless, no two ways about it. But he seems

Bill, you seem to think that anyone who disagrees with you
is worthless.

925. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357B7BA2.10BF@parg.org>
Source record: 158081

John T. Arthur wrote:

> You have a lot of gall for a known LIAR.

I am not a liar, you have NEVER been able to produce evidence that I am a liar when asked to do so either!

> You re-started this crap without
> any provocation and we all know it.

Even Blake Bowers stated that BILL CHEEK started these threads, NOT ME!

926. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357B79B4.32FA@parg.org>
Source record: 158082

MAlexder wrote:
snipped

So tell me, exactly HOW were those lies! Please give solid facts
(rather
than your usual mindless babble) that is verifiable.

927. Re: Electrical interference from vehicle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete Smith <petesmith@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <6lfuqr$dk2$1@blackice.winternet.com>
Source record: 158083
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How about calling up the dispatch office, and requesting they change frequencies? That'd solve the problem right quick!

928. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357B7DFA.6984@parg.org>
Source record: 158084

John T. Arthur wrote:

> YOU ARE A LIAR! You made a *proposal*. Mr Cheek did NOT accept your
> proposal. Thus, no deal was ever made.

Cheek & I were discussing the deal. He stopped responding. I told
him the terms & that if HE would stop then I would stop (regarding the
flames nasty messages). It worked great for about 3 or 4 weeks, then
CHEEK started up again!

Perhaps you should put blame where blame is due. oh I forgot, you could
NEVER do that with your little hero CHEEK because it would SHATTER
your image of him.

> Please join us in stopping the flames. (

I have a deal ALL who have wanted to cause problems here. Lets
ALL stop the flames & nasty comments IMMEDIATELY! Those who agree
or disagree with this will demonstrate their TRUE intentions for this
NG. CHEEK has backed off of his attacks so there is no reason to
keep this up.

929. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357B81A5.3274@parg.org>
Source record: 158085

MAlexder wrote:
> You both have been causing so much trouble for so long, it's hard to
> recall.

At least we make occasional on-topic posts to this NG. YOU have NEVER
made an on-topic post to this NG, ALL your posts have been FLAMES
& attacks, filles with lies or half-truths.

930. TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357B8134.7CCE@parg.org>
Source record: 158086

Bill Cheek wrote:
> That's all well and good.....but it really
> has to start with YOU.

Acutally Bill it would have to start with YOU. This NG was fine & quite until YOU started flaming a couple weeks ago because someone stated an opinion contrary to YOURS. We had a deal of NO FLAMES, NO ATTACKS, which YOU VIOLATED.

If you would like to start over again then I would support such a plan. NO ATTACKS, NO FLAMES, NO NASTY COMMENTS on this newsgroup of rec.radio.scanner. I invite YOU & YOUR trouble making supports like MAlexander, allsafe, pja, John Arthur, & a few others to join us in this plan. Support & participation in this would demonstrate your intentions to redeem yourself to the NG & to make this a nice place to the adult discussions of scanners.

> THAT is as good as it gets....

I can only qoute your fine words & say back to YOU,
"THAT is as good as it gets...."!

I do hope that YOU, BILL CHEEK, & the other posters in the flame war will agree to this. Not agreeing will demonstrate your desire to continue the flames & making more problems on this newsgroup.

Bill - you may respond to me privately if you like, but I would encourage you to respond publically. If you respond privately, I will be forward your UN-EDITED RESPONSE (with all headers) to the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup for purposes of public inspection of your response & to make it a matter of public record via the dejanews service.

931. Re: CHEEK:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357B8223.55A4@parg.org>
Source record: 158087

Joe Nicholson wrote:
> We all know that you're still pissed because he banned you from the
> FidoNet SCANNER echo. You're just not man enough to forget it and
> let this newsgroup go back to what it was intended.

I haven't mentioned anthing regarding Fidonet. YOU & CHEEK were the
ones
to bring it up. The fidonet thing (while Bill Cheek did lie &
act un-ethical) is NOT an issue with ME.

Sounds like you & Cheek feel guilty!

Truths hurts huh!

932. Re: FS : Mint Uniden BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad178@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6lftqi$q65@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 158088

(reallybigguy@hotmail.com) writes:
> I have a MINT Uniden BC200xlt. It has a small crack down the middle of the
> crystal display(not very noticeable). There are a few scratches on the
> unit(nothing bad) The battery needs replacement. I have no antenna or AC
> cord. Radio has cell mod (very valuable feature). This is considered by all
> to be the best handheld scanner ever made. I'll start the bidding at $300.00.
> I'll contact the high bidder on 6/10/98 at 6:00pm EST. Good luck.
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

Holy SMOKES!

Say, for such an AWESOME scanner, this 200XLT thing....Why not
start the bidding at say 3 grand????

$300.00 ....yeah, thrown in a round trip ticket to Hawaii and I'll
Western Union ya the cash.

--
Life's too short to worry... :)

933. Allsafe causing trouble!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357B8284.27A0@parg.org>
Source record: 158089

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:
> I have a better idea. Let's behave like grown-ups and discuss scanners.

WELL SAID!

You have to wonder about someone (like ALLSAFE) who tries to coordinate
ways to make trouble rather than ways to resolve an issue. His
intention
of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.

934. Re: CHEEK:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357B82A7.2736@parg.org>
Source record: 158090

Joe Nicholson wrote:
> And his sysop (me) will report you to your ISP for quoting
> the profanity that seems to offend you so.

Go right ahead, I have nothing to hide!

935. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lfvuq$q2q@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158091

In <357B7BA2.10BF@parg.org> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>John T. Arthur wrote:
>
>> You have a lot of gall for a known LIAR.
>
>I am not a liar, you have NEVER been able to produce evidence that I
am
>a liar when asked to do so either!
>
>
...that you had a moma

...breathing.

bill conduit
etc.

936. Re: FS : Mint Uniden BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rbeavers@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lg668$928$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 158092

I try very hard NOT to do this but.....

What is Mint about your beat up radio
scratched case, broken display ,needs new battery,
no ac adapter.

I'll pay shipping if you mail it to me.

In article <6lf928$c4v$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> I have a MINT Uniden BC200xlt. It has a small crack down the middle of the
> crystal display(not very noticeable). There are a few scratches on the
> unit(nothing bad) The battery needs replacement. I have no antenna or AC
> cord. Radio has cell mod (very valuable feature). This is considered by all
> to be the best handheld scanner ever made. I'll start the bidding at $300.00.
> I'll contact the high bidder on 6/10/98 at 6:00pm EST. Good luck.
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

937. NYC Coroner's !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: X <fillip@banet.net>
Message-ID: <357B6BDA.2D640A10@banet.net>
Source record: 158093
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey NYC action band listeners !
Have You ever found out NYC most exciting freqs ?
What are they ? I will put them on the up comming www. site and the
credit will be given to you.

I'm interested in NYC Coroner's Freqs.

Thanx a lot and hope to hear from ya

Mike

Please replay to radiosvc@hotmail.com

938. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd92a9$fad60420$e61537a6@default>
Source record: 158094

Really sucks when you can't turn in someone doing something illegal just because you heard it by listening to cordless phones. It may be illegal to listen to cordless phones, but do 2 wrongs make a right??? Think about it...

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com> wrote in article <1998060700503600.UAA01805@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
> > Could this case produce a precedent concerning listening to > phone
> converations if there is a good reason, i.e. stopping a > violent crime?
> >
> Chances are this arrest will be thrown out of court unless the officers
> developed an independent means of obtaining the probable cause. A
> murder-for-hire case here in Tampa was dismissed - and the dismissal upheld by
> the higher courts - when the trial judge ruled that since it's illegal to
> monitor cordless phones all the evidence that was developed based on the
> intercepted conversation was considered "fruit of a poison tree," therefore in
> admissable in court.
>
> John Ward
>

939. Re: Allsafe causing trouble!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd92b3$c95c1ba0$e61537a6@default>
Source record: 158095

If we are going to behave like grown-ups John, does this include you? I count 17 posts from you today and they are all off topic and flames. I think everyone is ready to drop this whole thing, but it has to come from you first.

This used to be a very informative newsgroup, lets see if we can return to a little civility and help each other on topic, the topic being scanners.

Thanks,
Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote in article <357B8284.27A0@parg.org>...
> WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:
> > I have a better idea. Let's behave like grown-ups and discuss scanners.
>
> WELL SAID!
>
>
> You have to wonder about someone (like ALLSAFE) who tries to coordinate
> ways to make trouble rather than ways to resolve an issue. His
> intention
> of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.
>

940. SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alf Sauve' <alf.sauve@mci.com>
Message-ID: <O7Pe1.134$o_6.138934@news.internetmci.com>
Source record: 158096

Thanks for the quick response.

Alf
Alf Sauve' wrote in message ...
>Okay I must be crazy. But I'm trying to raise capital for that
>end-all, do everything radio. Prefer Atlanta area residents so we
>don't have to deal with shipping and COD.
>
>PRO-43 Scanner for $150.
>
>This is similar to the current Radio Shack PRO-51. Handheld scanner
>with 200 memories. 30Mhz to 999Mhz. am and fm with ni-cad
batteries.
>Used but in very clean shape, low serial number (<1500). No mods
have
>been made but plenty are available if you want to change the
coverage.
>I'll even leave it programmed (Marietta GA area frequencies, local
>airports, frs, gmrs, wireless stuff, 2m and 440 ham, etc.). With
>Ni-Cads and charger. Make offer
>
>Alf - W4ADS
>==
>Alf Sauve'
>Bus 404 267-6027
>==
>
>
>
>

941. Re: PJA is a big dummy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060811100000.HAA20098@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158097

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>There is no room because you're always down licking his ass
>hole!

I forgot to add to my list of Quack Mack's nefarious activities, his penchant for resorting to vulgarities when at a loss for words. Electronic stalking, personal vendettas, impersonations, lies, flames, forgeries, cancellations, and the regular use of vulgarities.

Can you help me out people? I believe that I may have missed a few items. The list has gotten so long that it is sometimes difficult to remember this PARG thug's underhanded methods.

942. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scrappy
Message-ID: <6lghqc$gl7$1@blackice.winternet.com>
Source record: 158098
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Actually, I own the highway, but you can drive on it if you wish!

John Miles wrote in message <357B5E09.2E24@pop.removethistomailme.net>...
>Kermit wrote:
>>
>> "ss" <s@gg.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >James R. Hall wrote in message <6le9bietb1@news7.ispnews.com>...
>> >>why?
>> >>
>> >>--
>> >> -James, N9XLC
>> >
>> >
>> > Baseball coaches love them for training pitchers
>> >to increase the speed of their fast balls.
>> >
>> > Cliff s
>>
>> Also good psysch ops to deter the assholes on the
>> road who think they own the highway. Put the digital
>> indicator unit, and antenna unit on your dash so it's
>> visible, and bingo - 'unmarked patrol car'.... instant
>> "halo" effect....everyone around you, suddenly becomes
>> law abiding.
>
>Sounds like _you're_ the one who thinks he owns the highway, friend.
>
>-- jm
>
>---
>Note: My E-mail address has been altered to avoid spam
>---

943. Re: NYC Coroner's !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: words1@well.com
Message-ID: <MPG.fe5865eac630689989687@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 158099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

[This followup was posted to rec.radio.scanner and a copy was sent to the cited author.]

In article <357B6BDA.2D640A10@banet.net>, fillip@banet.net says...
> Hey NYC action band listeners !
> Have You ever found out NYC most exciting freqs ?
> What are they ? I will put them on the up comming www. site and the
> credit will be given to you.
>
> I'm interested in NYC Coroner's Freqs.
>
> Thanx a lot and hope to hear from ya
>
> Mike
>
> Please replay to radiosvc@hotmail.com
>
>

The NYC medical examiner's office is talkgroup 8704 on the NYC govt. 800 mHz trunked system.

944. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6lghd4$2qe$0@207.18.224.140>
Source record: 158100

On Mon, 08 Jun 1998 06:12:52 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>Acutally Bill it would have to start with YOU. This NG was fine &
>quite until YOU started flaming a couple weeks ago because someone
>stated an opinion contrary to YOURS. We had a deal of NO FLAMES,
>NO ATTACKS, which YOU VIOLATED.
>
>If you would like to start over again then I would support such a
>plan. NO ATTACKS, NO FLAMES, NO NASTY COMMENTS on this newsgroup of
>rec.radio.scanner. I invite YOU & YOUR trouble making supports like
>MAlexander, allsafe, pja, John Arthur, & a few others to join us in
>this plan. Support & participation in this would demonstrate your
>intentions to redeem yourself to the NG & to make this a nice
>place to the adult discussions of scanners.
>
Then, John, you take that first step. You show everyone, just
who the man is. Stop posting anything that is off-topic. Show
everyone that you truly want it to stop.

No replys, no comments, etc. Don't even reply to this, unless
in email.

>I do hope that YOU, BILL CHEEK, & the other posters in the
>flame war will agree to this. Not agreeing will demonstrate
>your desire to continue the flames & making more problems
>on this newsgroup.
>
And continuing to post off-topic will also show your desire
for it to continue.

>Bill - you may respond to me privately if you like, but I
>would encourage you to respond publically. If you respond
>privately, I will be forward your UN-EDITED RESPONSE (with all
>headers) to the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup for purposes of
>public inspection of your response & to make it a matter of
>public record via the dejanews service.
Now, John, no matter what his reply is/was, what good would
this do? What impact would it have on stopping the garbage?

945. Colors..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Fan <JoeFan@antisocial.com>
Message-ID: <357BCAAF.88052E9E@antisocial.com>
Source record: 158101

I found that the pic scanned by TCN or other scanner sites have very good quality in terms of resolutions and colors.. the details are fine and the colors are vivid... can I do that by my UMAX 1200 scanner?? If it can, can anyone tell me how to do so?? Thx a lot

946. LORAIN/ELYRIA OH Freq List FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rosso@apk.net
Message-ID: <357bcbe7.2530283@news.apk.net>
Source record: 158102

Lorain / Elyria Ohio Area Frequency List For Sale

To see what exactly is contained in the list
please vist my website at:

http://members.aol.com/davidrosso

David J. Rosso
.....
Visit My Homepage at:
http://members.aol.com/davidrosso
AOL Instant Messanger: David1732

947. PRO2004 $220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: xhduff@ica.net
Message-ID: <357bd467.0@lightning.ica.net>
Source record: 158103

```
For sale:
Radio Shack PRO-2004 scanner.
25 - 520, 760 - 1300 MHz
Dimmer display, excellent physical condition
...looks like new.
$220

Please DO NOT AUTOreply.
Send a NEW message to hduff@ica.net
```

948. Civil Aviation Log - New York, Santa Maria, Gander / Dakar, Sal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Brandao <l42303@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.3.96.980608125508.20658M-100000@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Source record: 158104

Hello All

Im posting a log for this weekend North and South Atlantic
Airspace.
Many Selcal Info included.
Interesting to refer is the strong tail winds traffic eastbound
had (Saturday's night, North Atlantic).

If you want to see how the airspace looked like you can download:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Lakes/9420/shotex2.gif
for North Atlantic

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Lakes/9420/shotex3.gif
for South Atlantic

Hope you enjoy them.

North Atlantic:

```
------------------------------------------------------
--
/ /\ AirNav 2.10 ) - http://fly.to/airnav
\__/ File generated by the Aircraft Tracking Software
------------------------------------------------------

LOG FILE STARTING AT 2331 06 JUN 98 UTC

UTC Freq(KHz) Callsign Report
----- --------- ---------- ---------------------------------------
2333Z 5598 USAF007 40N50W/2324 F350 40N40W/0014 MIKE/
2333Z 5598 DLH423 F350 44N50W/2339 46N40W/
2335Z 5598 DLH463 41N50W/2336 F280 43N40W/0019 45N30W/
2337Z 5598 KLM760 F270
2337Z 5598 DLH423 F350 44N50W/2339 46N40W/ SCGAE
2339Z 5598 DAL84 SAFPS
2339Z 5598 BBB177 41N50W/2328 F330 43N40W/0014
2341Z 5598 DLH423 44N50W/2339 F350 46N40W/0023 48N30W/
2344Z 5598 AAL146 F310 43N50W/0019 45N40W/ U76.0 SBPGH
2346Z 5598 CFG233 39N50W/2345 F330 41N45W/0012 43N40W/
W-40.260.115
2347Z 5598 AAL70 SJLPS
2350Z 5598 AMX005 41N50W/2349 F290 43N40W/0033 45N30W/ U24.2
2352Z 5598 DLH445 44N50W/0002 46N40W/ SLMFS
2353Z 5598 AZA669 37N45W/2351 F330 41N40W/0027 43N30W/
W260.70.-40
2354Z 6628 MON5134 SBQCF
2355Z 5598 KLM776 32N55W/2352 F340 38N50W/0042 41N45W/
```

```
W-40.265.23
2357Z 5598 SAA202 SLATN/2342 36N60W/0032 SCQBR 3230N50W/0133
0000Z 6628 TAP198 38N20W/2357 F390 KOMUT/0027 CP/
0001Z 5598 AEY967 F350
0002Z 5598 SBL1888 44N40W/0000 F330 46N30W/0046 47N20W/
W-45.241.128 SCFES
0003Z 5598 DAL134 SDFLR
0005Z 5598 DLH445 44N50W/0002 F350 46N40W/0047 48N30W/
0008Z 5598 CFG051 43N40W/0006 F290 45N30W/0055 46N20W/
W-34.245.105
0008Z 5598 COA44 SAGDF
0009Z 5598 CFG051 SLMBR
0014Z 5598 IBE6740 21N60W/0058 SDJRS
0014Z 5598 DAL132 SEQAG
0018Z 5598 BBB177 43N40W/0014 F330 45N30W/0101 46N20W/
W-44.240.110 SBJDQ
0021Z 5598 USAF007 40N40W/0016 F350 MIKE/0102 FLO/
0022Z 5598 DLH463 43N40W/0020 F280 45N30W/0106 46N20W/ SCDHL
0023Z 5598 AAL70 43N50W/0020 F350 43N40W/0105 47N30W/ U74.0
0025Z 5598 AAL146 43N50W/0017 F310 45N40W/0104 47N30W/ U68.2
SBPGH
0027Z 5598 KLM0760 44N40W/0024 F290 45N3749W/0035 48N30W/
W-33.245.120
0033Z 6628 SAA202 36N60W/0032 F280 3230N50W/0132 30N44W/
W-26.250.79 M084
0035Z 6628 AZA669 41N40W/0028 F330 43N30W/0115 42N20W/
W250.90.-42 SEKHM
0036Z 6628 AEY967 41N30W/0035 F350 44N20W/0128 45N15W/
W-47.280.60

Report: F+Flight_Level S+SELCAL M+Mach U+Fuel W+Weather Q+Frequency
R+Registration T+Aircraft_Type A+Airports C+Company

------------------------------------------------------

South Atlantic:

------------------------------------------------------
--
/ /\ AirNav 2.10 ) - http://fly.to/airnav
\__/ File generated by the Aircraft Tracking Software

------------------------------------------------------

LOG FILE STARTING AT 2346 07 JUN 98 UTC

UTC Freq(KHz) Callsign Report
----- --------- ---------- --------------------------------------
2351Z 6535 IBE6840 RAKUD/2343 F330 MOGNI/0028 ONOBI/ M084 SEJGL
2352Z 6535 IBE6832 NANIK/2346 F370 SAGRO/0023 KENOX/ W-48.290.60
0008Z 6535 SAA201 RZS-SAW
0024Z 6535 AFR443 F330 M082
0026Z 6535 AFR719 ZRT/0026 F330 BIMOG/0044 ECHED/
0028Z 6535 IBE6832 SAGRO/0023 F370 KENOX/0057 GAMBA/ W-50.160.60
```

```
0029Z 6535 IBE6840 MOGNI/0029 F330 ONOBI/0109
0029Z 6535 TAP394 RAKUD/0026 F370 ONOBI/0109
0032Z 6535 TAP205 BULIS/0024 F330 ULPAK/0046 ARDUS/0053
SOLMA/0137 OPULU/0202 RCS-TOA
0041Z 6535 VRG722 MOGNI/0040 F330 ONOBI/0124 M078
0042Z 6535 AFR719 F350
0053Z 6535 CRL894 SAGRO/0048 F390 NANIK/0124 SEGLM
0052Z 6535 DLH527 POLDA/0050 F330 RAKUD/0124 SALDM
0055Z 6535 RKA304 F330 AR/0102 ZRT/0118

Report: F+Flight_Level S+SELCAL M+Mach U+Fuel W+Weather Q+Frequency
R+Registration T+Aircraft_Type A+Airports C+Company
------------------------------------------------------------
```

949. Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bastian Hönscheid <a2809982@smail1.rrz.Uni-Koeln.DE>
Message-ID: <6lgkr1$p0@news.rrz.Uni-Koeln.DE>
Source record: 158105
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

Ein Freund von mir hat -im Rahmen seiner Verpflichtung beim
Katastrophenschutz des Technischen Hilfswerks Rhein-Sieg- vom THW einen
"Piepser" zur Notfall-Alarmierung bekommen.

Seine Frage ist jetzt: kann man ein solches Geri¿½t auch als Privatperson
(i¿½ber irgendeinen Funkdienst, z.B. i¿½hnlich wie Europiepser) anfunken.
Dann wi¿½re eine private Nutzung, i¿½hnlich wie Scall oder Quix mi¿½glich.

Kennt jemand die Technik, die beim THW verwendet wird?
Das Geri¿½t ist ein kleines schwarzes Ki¿½stchen, bietet Text- und
Tonempfang. Hinten beschriftet ist es mit: "THW SU" und der
zweistelligen Piepsernummer, dann "BZT A118 768F EU".

Weii¿½ jemand was ni¿½heres hieri¿½ber? Antwort wi¿½re nett!

Gruï¿½,

Bastian

950. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060812484100.IAA20479@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 158106

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF

>Acutally Bill it would have to start with YOU. This NG was fine &
>quite until YOU started flaming a couple weeks ago because someone
>stated an opinion contrary to YOURS.

Oh, Cheek's flaming someone is you rational for resuming your personal vendetta and in the process disrupting this newsgroup. That explains your 80 off-topic postings and flames in the past week.

>We had a deal of NO FLAMES,
>NO ATTACKS, which YOU VIOLATED

No, you violated it with your threat to "take him to the woodshed again" and similarly provoking comments.

> I invite YOU & YOUR trouble making supports like
>MAlexander, allsafe, pja, John Arthur, & a few others to join us in
>this plan.

As everyone repeatedly tells you Quackie. If you want people to stop flaming you, then shut your pie hole and stick to the subject at hand. That is something that you have never done and have always refused to do.

>Support & participation in this would demonstrate your
>intentions to redeem yourself to the NG & to make this a nice
>place to the adult discussions of scanners.

Support and participation in this would demonstrated blah, blah, blah. You're calling for **others** to moderate their postings.... Why not start with yourself? Why not do yourself what you want everyone else to do? I notice that you aren't declaring, "I John Mackey will stop flaming, posting off-topics messages, engaging in ersonal vendettas and so on and everyone who wishes to do the same should follow my lead." Nope, as always, you're telling everyone else what they ought to do.

>Not agreeing will demonstrate
>your desire to continue the flames & making more problems
>on this newsgroup.

Not doing yourself what you want everyone else to do will demonstrate your desire to continue the flames and make more problems in this newsgroup.

The challenge is there for you going to do as you say. If youdon't post another off-topic message, I won't respond to it. Pretty simple, eh?

951. Re: Electrical interference from vehicle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcs4@psu.edu
Message-ID: <dcs4-ya02358000080698090705000 1@news.psu.edu>
Source record: 158107

In article <6lfuqr$dk2$1@blackice.winternet.com>, "Pete Smith"
<petesmith@mindless.com> wrote:

> How about calling up the dispatch office, and requesting they change
> frequencies? That'd solve the problem right quick!

I may have been really lucky and found an even easier fix.

First, I re-examined the cable and found a section that had a short slit in
the rubber (plastic?) coating. I wrapped that section in electrical tape.
Then I traced the route of the cable and made sure I kept it separate as
much as possible from the vehicle's antenna cable.

So in theory, I now I have a mobile antenna that is pretty well insulated
from the vehicle's electrical system. And the interference on 46.14 is
gone. It still exists to a lesser degree on a couple of other frequencies,
but the primary goal of getting it off the fire/emergency dispatch channel
is achieved.

There have been some great suggestions in responses, I may yet be able to
make the situation better. The interference does still exist when I power
the scanner from the vehicle, so maybe I can eliminate that too if I keep
after it..

Thanks to all for thoughts and suggestions...yes, even Pete! ;-)

- Dave

==========
David C. Shaffer mailto:dcs4@psu.edu
==========

"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

952. Re: 900 megahertz phone freq.??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lgnmi$582@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158108

I don't know each channel, but they are in the 902-928 MHz range (also the 33cm ham band - where cordless phones don't belong!). Each type/make of phone has different frqs.

73

Mark Krubsack wrote:

> On Thu, 04 Jun 1998 16:30:47 -0400, Bill Perry <bperry@net-link.net> wrote:
>
> >Gee my Panasonic 900mhz analog works well some 1500 feet away, haven't
> >tried any further.
>
> Could someone post the channels and/or frequencies for 900 MHz phones?
> Thanks.
>
> |^^|
> | Mark Krubsack |
> | KE6OI |
> |-----------------------------------|
> | e-mail: krubsack@sprintmail.com |
> |___________________________________|

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

953. Re: Allsafe causing trouble!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lgnrq$582@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158109

I notice this myself. Every day, I see more and more of this Mackey vs. Cheek crap and I am very disgusted by it. It is so asinine, no one posting this stuff deserves the dignity of a response.

Mike Derryberry wrote:

> If we are going to behave like grown-ups John, does this include you? I
> count 17 posts from you today and they are all off topic and flames. I
> think everyone is ready to drop this whole thing, but it has to come from
> you first.
>
> This used to be a very informative newsgroup, lets see if we can return to
> a little civility and help each other on topic, the topic being scanners.
>
> Thanks,
> Mike
> --
> Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!
>
> michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com
>
> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote in article
> <357B8284.27A0@parg.org>...
> > WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:
> > > I have a better idea. Let's behave like grown-ups and discuss scanners.
> >
> > WELL SAID!
> >
> >
> > You have to wonder about someone (like ALLSAFE) who tries to coordinate
> > ways to make trouble rather than ways to resolve an issue. His
> > intention
> > of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.
> >

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

954. Re: Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lgo2c$582@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158110

If I read you right, do you mean the operation/instruction manual? If so, http://support.tandy.com/ has manuals for almost all radio products.

Bart wrote:

> I went to Radio Shack to order a service manual for a PRO-2006. Their
> price is $27.45 + shipping + tax. I think this is a bit steep. Is there
> another source for service manuals?

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

955. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: FBrinkmann@t-online.de
Message-ID: <6lk5hfcpi3@news02.btx.dtag.de>
Source record: 157811
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

Bastian Hï¿½nscheid schrieb:
> Kennt jemand die Technik, die beim THW verwendet wird?
> Das Gerï¿½t ist ein kleines schwarzes Kï¿½stchen, bietet Text- und
> Tonempfang. Hinten beschriftet ist es mit: "THW SU" und der
> zweistelligen Piepsernummer, dann "BZT A118 768F EU".
>
> Weiï¿½ jemand was nï¿½heres hierï¿½ber? Antwort wï¿½re nett!

Hallo,
vielleicht sollte man sich als Helfer mal informieren, was und wie dort
ï¿½berhaupt alarmiert wird, wenn man so ein "ding" gekommt, oder?
Wenn es ein BOS-Empfï¿½nger ist (Digitaler Alarmempfï¿½nger - beim THW?)
dann klares NEIN.
Vielleicht ist es aber auch ein "normaler" Cityruf oder Quiy oder so ???
Kann alles sein. Ihr solltet euch mal beim THW schlaufragen.

FRANK BRINKMANN
DRK Burgwedel
Fernmeldedienst

956. BC80XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kent <dunford@pdnt.com>
Message-ID: <6ll06hcsq1@sol.pdnt.net>
Source record: 157812

Are there any mods out there for this scanner?

957. FS: Icom R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <6lk0k4ero3@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 157861

For Sale Icom R1 scanner/receiver. Includes drop in charger, extra battery.
Batteries are weak, but work for a while.

$150

(-------------------------------------)
Joe Gregg N0RF
gregg@plains.nodak.edu
(-------------------------------------)

958. FS: Pro2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <6lk0hnero2@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 157862

For Sale, Radio Shack Pro2004. 400 channel and 800Mhz mods. Very good and bright display. No problems, works and looks great.

$250

(-------------------------------------)
Joe Gregg N0RF
gregg@plains.nodak.edu
(-------------------------------------)

959. FS: Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <6lk0elero1@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 157863

For sale, Optoelectronics Scout 40. Good condition with manuals. Good nicads.
Recent repair and calibration at Opto.

$225 plus shipping

(-------------------------------------)
Joe Gregg N0RF
gregg@plains.nodak.edu
(-------------------------------------)

960. FS: Pro90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <6lk0o0ero4@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 157864

For Sale, Radio Shack Pro90 TrunkTracker hand held 300 channel scanner, complete with box, manual, charger, etc. Only 4 weeks old.

$175

(-------------------------------------)
Joe Gregg N0RF
gregg@plains.nodak.edu
(-------------------------------------)

961. FS: Relm MS200 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: gregg@plains.nodak.edu
Message-ID: <6lk0vlero5@node2.nodak.edu>
Source record: 157865

For Sale, Relm MS200 200 channel mobile scanner, box, manuals, power pak, software, etc. Looks and works fine.

$175

(-------------------------------------)
Joe Gregg N0RF
gregg@plains.nodak.edu
(-------------------------------------)

962. Re: CELLULAR FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jonturner@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060919292500.PAA28435@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157866

>
>What are the blocked cellular frequencies in all the new scanners?
>
>please e-mail me
>
>Jo

825-845Mhz
and
870-890Mhz

Jon Turner

963. antenna problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: t-man <ttman65@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <357D8DDD.9391E517@hotmail.com>
Source record: 157867

I have an antenna mounted to the side of my house on an
aluminum mast. When I plug it in too my scanner I can't pick
up anything even the weather frequency. When I put on the
antenna that came with my scanner I can pick up everything
so I not it isn't a scanner problem. Could I be getting
interfernce from the aluminum? Please help.
Tom

964. Re: New Pro2006's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060919345700.PAA29060@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157868

>From: powervoice98@geocities.com
>
>However , I am hard pressed to belive 6 , outdated , slow...Pro-2006's were collecting dust!

This story has the smell of "urban legend in the making" on it but they only way anyone will find out for sure if the buyer decides to sell some of those long-lost scanners.

In a similar instance, I bought two new 2006s a couple of years ago through rec.radio.scanner. Guy bought a couple of hundred (I believe it was at a government auction but I'm not sure). I paid $300-$325 for them. I'm sure that I wasn't the only one to grab one or two.

965. Re: antenna problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.fe73d2fb68477a5989692@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157869

In article <357D8DDD.9391E517@hotmail.com>, ttman65@hotmail.com says...
> I have an antenna mounted to the side of my house on an
> aluminum mast. When I plug it in too my scanner I can't pick
> up anything even the weather frequency. When I put on the
> antenna that came with my scanner I can pick up everything
> so I not it isn't a scanner problem. Could I be getting
> interfernce from the aluminum? Please help.
> Tom
>
>
Sounds more like a short between the center conductor and the ground
(outer shield). You may try a new hunk of coax to start with. I
personally recommend the RG6 for it's price to performance ratio. Good
luck.

966. NE Ariz Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: none <frankjg@ctaz.com>
Message-ID: <357D930F.3715@ctaz.com>
Source record: 157870

Looking for listing area covering NW Arizona and Southern most Nevada
Arizona area covers Mohave County

Thanks

967. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jonturner@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980609201711 00.QAA08223@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157871

>
>|The below is from today's ARRL LETTER:
>|The FCC plans to further tighten its rules on scanning receivers to
>|prevent reception on cellular telephone frequencies. In a rulemaking
>|notice released June 3, ET Docket 98-76, the FCC proposed to require
>|receiver filtering adequate to prevent cell phone reception even when
>|the receiver is tuned to frequencies outside the cellular telephone
>|bands, such as an image frequency. To prevent modification of legal
>|receivers to receive cellular frequencies, the FCC wants scanning
>|receivers designed so that the tuning and control circuitry is
>|"completely inaccessible," and that attempts to modify the receiver
>|"will likely render the equipment inoperable." The proposed rules also
>|would prohibit scanner kits.
>
>Prohibit "scanner kits?" "Prevent modification of legal receivers..."
>"Completely Inaccessible" controls...
>
>Wow, you could almost take the federal gun control laws and plug in
>"scanners"
>instead of "machine guns" and get the same result.
>
>

Shouldn't be much of a surprise... ignorant and unarmed peasants are easier to dominate.

Jon Turner

968. FS/BC-220XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <357DA0A0.15CC@flash.net>
Source record: 157872

HAVE A REAL NICE LIKE NEW 200CH...12 BAND...956MHZ HAND HELD SCANNER
WITH POWER PACK I WILL SHIP FOR $115.OO TNX JOHN

969. FS Pro-2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstringy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060921021200.RAA13832@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157873

100 channel includes 800 Mhz. Wtih mounting bracket, ac/dc cords. Less than 6 month old. $100 plus shipping.

970. FS Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstringy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060921030600.RAA13921@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157874

200 channel Handheld in box with manual. $150 plus shipping.

971. Re: FS : Mint Uniden BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: lstrain@email.msn.com
Message-ID: <6lkep2$1dj$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157875

I didn't know that Uniden sold units new in mint condition with scratches, cracks, bad battey packs, and without ac adapters and antennae. It must of been the deluxe factory beaten up version that you bought. Guess you learn something new every day.

Shaun Whitman
Central Jersey Rails
http://jerseyrails.railfan.net

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

972. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Moss <enzo@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <357DAC80.7140@ibm.net>
Source record: 157876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Besides, I'd much rather build a mobile transmitter that would fake the
> signal into believing it was told to change to green in my direction
> from it's control center - no more @#$@!! red lights!

In my area there is a sensor that detects the strobe light on the front
of Fire and Ambulance vehicles and turns all lights red. It used to
turn them green in your direction - until some clever dicks put strobe
lights in the front windows of their cars.

Cheers!
--
Andy Moss

973. Re: CHEEK at it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3584a8a1.11683858@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 157877

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>Lemme tell you a little story about this Queerstrom

How original -- "Queerstrom." That might have annoyed me back in the third grade, Bill, but I get better flames than that every day on UseNet. Unlike you, I hang out on groups where there are experts in flaming that would fry you to a crisp in a nano-second.

>Not only did he show up, but the damned fool launched
>an all out attack on me because I recommended TV antennas
>for "scanner beams". Queenstrom huffed and puffed
>and puckered up and blew me everything but kisses over
>the "foolish idea of using a TV antenna as a scanner beam".

That's another of your lies, Cheek.

[balance of rant deleted]

The story is all there in the archives for anyone who wants to dig it out and read it. I feel no need to defend myself or attack you. You are your own worst enemy, so I don't need help you shoot yourself in the foot. When I see you indulging in outright lies, I point them out. The rest of the time, I let you wallow in your own bitterness.

>He's worthless, no two ways about it. But he seems
>to fancy himself as some sort of a great savior.....

Nope. Just another guy enjoying my hobby.

>We've seen a few of those, eh?

If there are so many of them following you around, perhaps there's clue there for you?
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

974. FS: Icom W31A, Scanners, etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <kb2voj@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <357db251.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 157878
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have the following for sale:

Icom W31A dualband handheld. Tx & Rx mods done. Includes box, manual, case, extra ni-cad pak, alkaline pack. New condition - very little use. $250

Radio Shack Pro 64 scanner new this year. Like new with box, manual and leather case. $135

Radio Shack Pro 26 handheld scanner new this year. Box, manual, leather case. $175

OptoElectronics TechToyz Frequency counter with optional antenna. $80

Not interested in trades.
Email if interested. Shipping included.
Thanks,
John kb2voj@bigfoot.com

975. FS Uniden 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <357DAF4C.19A686DE@alaska.net>
Source record: 157879

No I'm not trying to make a fortune like that
recent post.............

I have a 200XLT, original box, all accessories,
manual (except original case) This radio has a
new front, back and top covers from Uniden, new
keypad. Radio works perfect and has been mod.
EXTRAS : NEW NiMHd 1300ma battery (inside
original batt pak)
NEW 6-AA cell pak, UNUSED.
Motorola leather belt case with swivel. Auto
charger adapter plug (cigar lighter)

Will sell and ship for $175

976. Re: CELLULAR FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6lkcfd$7g6$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 157880

JonTurner wrote:
>
> >
> >What are the blocked cellular frequencies in all the new scanners?
> >
> >please e-mail me
> >
> >Jo
>
> 825-845Mhz
> and
> 870-890Mhz
>
> Jon Turner
I FIND THEM FROM 870 to 893 >>>>>>>jerr1919

977. Re: FS Uniden 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357DBE5B.58DD@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157881

Gina McBride & John Miller wrote:
>
> No I'm not trying to make a fortune like that recent
> post.............
>
> I have a 200XLT, original box, all accessories, manual
> Will sell and ship for $175

I bet whoever bought that $300+ scanner feels pretty dumb about now...
;-)

-Phil

978. Re: FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Lafferty <dplaff@mtnhome.com>
Message-ID: <6lkdu8$c1h@news.cei.net>
Source record: 157882

David wrote in message ...
>What mode does family radio service opperate. am,fm? Thanks...
>

FM. I bought a couple of the Motorola units to keep in contact
while camping, etc. They really are neat little radios. We've got
at least 1 1/2 mile range with them when not directly in line of
site.
David

979. Re: antenna problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Lafferty <dplaff@mtnhome.com>
Message-ID: <6lkdud$c1h@news.cei.net>
Source record: 157883

t-man wrote in message <357D8DDD.9391E517@hotmail.com>...
>I have an antenna mounted to the side of my house on an
>aluminum mast. When I plug it in too my scanner I can't pick
>up anything even the weather frequency. When I put on the
>antenna that came with my scanner I can pick up everything
>so I not it isn't a scanner problem. Could I be getting
>interfernce from the aluminum? Please help.
>Tom
>

Have you checked your coax to the antenna to make sure that
it is not open or shorted? That sounds like what may be the
problem. If you are unsure how, drop a note here or to
me direct.
David

980. Re: CELLULAR FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357DBFAC.5C24@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157884

jer wrote:
> I FIND THEM FROM 870 to 893 >>>>>>>jerr1919

Actually they live between 869.010 and 896.1125 (repeaters - mobiles 45MHz lower)

Dual conversion scanners will pick up some of the range if you search between 896.1125 and 912.0000. Triple conversion units like the BC895 and 235 can't do this - however, mine picks up nearby cell phones in the VHF-lo band. Really stinks when you wanna hear the CHP and get "Should I pick up one loaf of bread or two...?" instead. Stupid cell phones!! (stupid scanner, really)

-Phil

981. BIG PROBLEM! NEED HELP ASAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <357DC03B.1AB06988@flash.net>
Source record: 157885

I read on a page that if you press 2, 9, and Lockout on a Uniden scanner
it will show everything that the screen can have on it. So I tried it.
Now everytime I turn on my scanner it shows this, and I cant use it!
Please help ASAP, I need my scanner in 1.5 hours!

PLEASE HELP!

982. Re: BIG PROBLEM! NEED HELP ASAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <357DC118.D2A3D5C8@flash.net>
Source record: 157886

BTW, it was supposed to be a screen test, but it wont go away! HELP!!

Ryan wrote:

> I read on a page that if you press 2, 9, and Lockout on a Uniden scanner
> it will show everything that the screen can have on it. So I tried it.
> Now everytime I turn on my scanner it shows this, and I cant use it!
> Please help ASAP, I need my scanner in 1.5 hours!
>
> PLEASE HELP!

983. ANSWER: Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EuB4Gq.6n6@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 157887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Reverend Tweek <tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com> wrote:
>
>I've got to call the City of Pleasant Hill today to report a busted
>signal (no bike lane sensor, bike doesn't trip vehicle lane sensor, and
>last week the "push to cross" pedestrian button stopped working) and another
>intersection which has a 1 second yellow, so I'll see what I can find out
>about this.

You ain't gonna believe this... those antennas are so the signal
controllers can get the time. At least that's the story given to
me by Da Man over in Pleasant Hill Public Works. (I did tell him
what I imagined they were for and heard a hushed snicker on the other
end of the line.)

When I asked if they listen to WWV, the response was "Yeah, the system
is called WWV, that's what it is." I couldn't really find out if all
signal controllers have their own receiver (with less conspicious
antennas) or if these are the main receivers and they use the signal
communications lines to let the other signal controllers know the
proper time.

--

Had your share of stoopid(tm) people?	
View the "Contra Costa Whines" at	[This space for lease]
http://www.io.com/~tweek/cocowhine/	

984. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevinb@tut_sys.com
Message-ID: <357dc68d.6695047@news.geo.net>
Source record: 157888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Speaking of being monitored in Pleasant Hill, have you noticed all of
the cameras up and down I680 that were put up a couple of months ago?
Does anyone know if they are on line or are they only for Big Brother?

...kevin braun

Nicholas McLarty <nickm@texas.net> wrote:

>Many areas are now beginning to install a traffic monitoring system which
>consists of sensors embedded in the road which detect the speed of the traffic
>flow through that location. The data from these sensors are then sent through
>those antennas to a central receiving point. You're probably looking at the
>transmitter for such setups.
>
>Reverend Tweek wrote:
>>
>> Caught an odd sight this morning... twice. Riding into work this morning,
>> I noticed at two separate intersection, a dipole antenna (two oposing horiz
>> monopoles mounted on a junction box with a cable coming out ot the box)
>> laying (maybe duct taped) on top of the signal head arms of one of the
>> light posts.
>>
>> [No, this was not a Ricochet Modem sort of setup]
>>
>> This was in Pleasant Hill, Calif. along Taylor Blvd and the two
>> intersections I noticed the antennas at were Taylor/Norse and Taylor/Ruth.
>>
>> The antenna looked like it was just layed on the signal head, and was not
>> in a horizontal or vertical orientation, but rather, the orientation was
>> just that way because that's the way it layed on the signal arms.
>>
>> The length of the antennas were about that which would be appropriate
>> for VHF-hi use. Using the FCC database, I don't find any Pleasant Hill
>> licenses for VHF (453, 460, 465 and 860 only).
>>
>> I did not see any antennas at any additional PH signals which I passed
>> after that this morning (Taylor/Willow Pass/CCB, CCB/Viking, CCB/Concord)
>> but I have an odd feeling I might find a set at Taylor/Morello as well
>> as at Taylor/Apollo. I'll check tommorrow morning, and if I do, that
>> may be a clue as to what these are for... just recently, the four
>> intersections (the two with the antennas and the two I suspect might
>> have them) had vehicle sensors placed in the bicycle lane... I can
>> assure you that these sensors don't do diddly as far as changing the
>> signal when a bike crosses them... so I wonder what Big Bro might be

>> up to.
>>
>> Anyone with any other ideas what these might be for? I think I'm
>> gonna try to mount my freq counter on my bike for tomorrow morning's
>> commute. (if it's under 175 mhz, my counter should read it.)
>>
>> --
>> --
>> | Had your share of stoopid(tm) people? | |
>> | View the "Contra Costa Whines" at | [This space for lease] |
>> | http://www.io.com/~tweek/cocowhine/ | |
>
>--
>NICHOLAS R. McLARTY, EMT-B/S, BCLS-H, OES, TS (nickm@texas.net)
>Amateur Radio Operator KC5IUZ
>PGP Fingerprint 4728 D710 7A46 13B7 EE04 2253 9D64 41C3 5F87 5173
>: Flight Officer, Civil Air Patrol
> Personnel Officer, Lackland Cadet Squadron, Texas Wing
>: Cadet Major, Air Force Junior ROTC
> Chief, Mgt Info Svcs Div, Class of 1998, Texas 882nd AFJROTC Group

985. Re: headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Klotz <not@available.net>
Message-ID: <6lkimraim1@suriname.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157889

Radio Shack has one for $1.99, it's black, just like the secret service wears. It's not as efficient
(volume level) as one I have from a Sony travel alarm radio. That may be due to the impedance which
I suspect is higher than the Sony one.

--

Dale
daleklotz@deletethis.earthlink.net

xavii@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <6li342$o86$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I am looking for some relatively cheap headphones, like bud type for using
>with my scanner. I want something like the secret service wear, just one
>ear. I looked around on the web for some, and at some stores with no luck.
>Any help would be greatly appreciated.
>
>------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

986. Re: BIG PROBLEM! NEED HELP ASAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357DD3D1.F43@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157890

> Ryan wrote:
>
> > I read on a page that if you press 2, 9, and Lockout on a Uniden scanner
> > it will show everything that the screen can have on it. So I tried it.

Uh oh...

> > Now everytime I turn on my scanner it shows this, and I cant use it!
> > Please help ASAP, I need my scanner in 1.5 hours!

Hmmmm.... I did the same thing on my 895 and the test stayed on until I
turned it off and back on... which scanner is it??

About the only thing I could suggest would be a total reset of the
scanner (manual+2+9 and turn on) but you'll need to reprogram the
scanner...

-Phil

987. Re: ANSWER: Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357DD4C7.4F69@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Reverend Tweek wrote:
> You ain't gonna believe this... those antennas are so the signal
> controllers can get the time.

Damn! I was just wondering where I could make room for my new "Signal light changer" too...

-Phil

988. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357DD5B3.1AF6@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> You can also ask your local Democratic or Republican offices.

No doubt THIS will be his "proof" that he posts on-topic. Unreal.

Back to ignore mode...

-Phil

989. Ncc Delaware Fire Tac Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dvtsr@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lkgv9$9a6$1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157893

Yesterday at a fire at Abbey Walk all Companies Switched to Tac 4. I don't think this was 33.58 or 33.68 as I couldn't hear anything. Does anyone know what frequency this is and/or what other Tac 's are used? Thank you

990. Re: !! New San Diego County Trunk Freq's wanted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6lkkvp$b22@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I would think someone out there has access to the official list of
talkgroups. ?????

Dweezel wrote in message <6ljrlo$af2$1@nnrp2.snfc21.pbi.net>...
>Thanks!!! Much appreciated...
>
>

991. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <357DDB32.8BA24C3D@uswest.net>
Source record: 157895

John,
perhaps you should review your postings?

Malexander is correct, 50+ postings and not a single 1 dealing with the
topic of scanners..

resistance is futile. your opinions are irrelevant... You will be
assimilated!

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> MAlexder wrote:
>
> > It is
> > quite apparent that he's a pathological liar
>
> > 50 posts so far this week Mack the Quack and still not one on topic.
>
> YOU are the liar! I have made recent on-topic posts, yet YOU REFUSE
> to them! You remind me of the little kid who says "I don't want to see
> you, you aren't there" & then closes his eyes & covers his head.

992. Re: FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd941d$4fef7ee0$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157896

FM

David <maskiel@friendlynet.com> wrote in article
<IRef1.1$J36.589851@news.randori.com>...
> What mode does family radio service opperate. am,fm? Thanks...
>
>

993. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357DDF0D.D77EC36C@netwit.com>
Source record: 157897

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:

> Why does Allsafe crack on me because I use WebTV? Am I lesser a person
> because I don't have an expensive computer with which to surf the web?
> If you cut me, will I not bleed? In other words, Fuck You Allsafe.
> Geez, I can't believe you guys managed to drag me into a flame war.
> Ordinarily I'm opposed to these. Oh well, I'm in it now. Let's combine
> all of our negative energy and direct it towards Allsafe. Come on
> sissyfag, bring it on.

Ok. I'll repost it again. Have another look and lighten up. You're
kinda sensitive about that WebTV thing. Once more:

>> I have a better idea. Let's behave like grown-ups and discuss scanners.

> Duh........I dunno; has that been suggested before? If so; has it
>worked?

>Oh what a tangled web we weave; when first we pontificate on webTV!

Is that all it takes to push your button? Jeez, lighten up. I mean you
no ill will.

994. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lkl62$12a$1@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157898

I can't believe that the famous Bill Cheek responded to one of my posts.
I'm honored. I just have one question for you about Allsafe. Is his name
truly Allsafe or is he too hiding behind the anonymity of his computer?

995. FS:PRO-2042 (Revised)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art <ka3fmo@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <6lkpjg$sb4$1@samsara0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 157899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello,
I have the Radio Shack PRO-2042 scanner still for sale. Excellent condition, box and receipts. Used very little! Virgin, no marks or scratches. Asking $270 and I will ship plus insurance.

Thanks
Art
ka3fmo@mindspring.com

996. FS: Car Cord - New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9415$10c7de40$8c1e31d1@default>
Source record: 157900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.cellular.motorola

I have a brand new card power cord for Motorola Hand Held Cellular phones.
It was ordered for a cutomer, but the sale of the phone fell thru.

$10.00 shipped Cont. USA!

Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com

997. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357DF94C.6C494370@netwit.com>
Source record: 157901

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:

> I can't believe that the famous Bill Cheek responded to one of my posts.
> I'm honored. I just have one question for you about Allsafe. Is his name
> truly Allsafe or is he too hiding behind the anonymity of his computer?

I can answer that: I appear on rec.radio.scanner as allsafe@netwit.com.
You appear on rec.radio.scanner as WhoDaMan@webtv.net. I accept that. I
don't have an issue with your anonymity. I don't have an issue with
you....about anything.

But in my opinion, I think my "handle" is rather sporty. Yours is
kinda....well....sorta of like stepping up to home plate at a major league
game hefting a "wiffle ball" bat. The batter may know how to play baseball,
but everyone else knows he's a rookie just by the look of his equipment.

Now you're not getting mad at me, are you? Lighten up! This is
entertainment! Are you having fun?

998. Re: **Comments on RS PRO-39?**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357DF9F6.2EA465CB@netwit.com>
Source record: 157902

> Teen Ham wrote:
> >
> > Hey there...
> >
> > I am a new proud owner of the RS PRO-39 scanner, and I am looking for any
> > comments on it. Like how good of a scanner it's considered, etc. I know I
> > love mine! Thanks in advance.
> >
> > 73s
> > Eugene

Bill Crocker wrote:

> Great scanner! Used to have one. There are mods available if you
> search the news groups!
>
> Bill Crocker
>

Yeah, you've got a winner. There's a few mods for it. I've done one. Been real happy with it. Hang on to that scanner. Don't loan it out to anyone.

999. Re: Presidential visit, freq. list needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <357DFADB.B8D33CCF@iname.com>
Source record: 157903

Reminds me of the time he was flying near me....almost had my neighbor convinced that he should use his rifle scope to view his plane, since he had no binoculars......ha ha ha

nanmo, at, pacifier, dot, com wrote:
>
> Bill is comming to town on saturday. What freqs. do I need to monitor
> this visit. I'll be using a pro2006 with an outside antenna. I happen
> to live across from the Portland airport, so I'll be able to watch
> Airforce One landing and takeoff...
>
> Thanks in advance!

1000. Re: Need Vancover Canada FD Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: VE7SEZ <nospampoustie@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <6lkv4n$pbg@examiner.concentric.net>
Source record: 157904

According to Haruteq, 151.505, 453.2625,155.46, 153.89, 143.025, 143.505, 149.68, 150.635, 151.235, 153.77,155.46, 169.62,462.2875, 149.05, 150.635. Enjoy your stay in our fair city. Email me if you need any others. VPD is good listening too!

Tim

Gina McBride & John Miller wrote in message <357DCBE3.C853D970@alaska.net>...
> I am going to Vancover BC July 1st for vacation,
>Does anyone have the FD freqs or outlying areas FD
>Feqs ??
>
>Thanks
>
>John Miller
>Anchorage, Alaska
>

1001. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lks7d$21r$1@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157905

Okay Allsafe, no problem. Though I'll repeat myself. Let's discuss scanners. I'd like to read and learn from those who know more than me about about the scanning hobby, but it is difficult when I have to dredge through all the flame wars.
To quote the great philosopher Rodney King, "Can't we all just get along?" ;-)

1002. Re: FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Olmstead <solmst@bright.net>
Message-ID: <357E0081.B4388761@bright.net>
Source record: 157906

The family radio service operates in FM mode.

Below are the frequencies and their corresponding channels.

#1 - 462.5625 MHz
#2 - 462.5875
#3 - 462.6125
#4 - 462.6375
#5 - 462.6625
#6 - 462.6875
#7 - 462.7125
#8 - 467.5625
#9 - 467.5875
#10 - 467.6125
#11 - 467.6375
#12 - 467.6625
#13 - 467.6875
#14 - 467.7125

Steve Olmstead
KC8ATK

David wrote:
>
> What mode does family radio service opperate. am,fm? Thanks...

1003. Re: FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6lkuqmpet1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 157907

If you want to be real picky it is "narrow band frequency modulation."

<<What mode does family radio service opperate. am,fm? Thanks...>>

1004. Re: Presidential visit, freq. list needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6lkvdcpph1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 157908

Listen to the control tower of the airport if you want to hear the flight control communications. You can also scan the frequencies for the Secret Service on VHF, but they often use digital encryption. Try listening to 165.375 MHz. You might get lucky and hear something in the clear. Also try listening to the local police department's "special" channels. The Secret Service tries very hard to keep most of its sensitive communications private. Since you are close to the airport try listening to airport security frequencies also.

Good Luck

nanmo at pacifier dot com wrote in message
<357df2ca.39020938@news.pacifier.com>...
>Bill is comming to town on saturday. What freqs. do I need to monitor
>this visit. I'll be using a pro2006 with an outside antenna. I happen
>to live across from the Portland airport, so I'll be able to watch
>Airforce One landing and takeoff...
>
>Thanks in advance!

1005. Re: Pro 91 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Delta513@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6ll8d1cim1@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157909

I just picked up one today and am going to return it.....audio is "tinny".....weak reception on 800 Mhz.....My Bearcat860 base can pick up signals that it (the PRO 91) cannot....even when I hooked the PRO to the Bearcat's outdoor antenna.....

Don't bother picking one up.....

1006. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: drumm@gmx.net
Message-ID: <6vYZa1biQAB@gmx.net>
Source record: 157910
Cross-posted to: de.comm.mobil.pager,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tach Leute! Am 08.06.98 wollte Andreas Chmielewski vossnet in /de/alt/radio-scanner folgendes loswerden:

> mit diesen Empfaengern arbeitet und das THW meistens alamiert. Basteln bringt
> nichts und ist nur teuer. Son Pager kostet zwischen 600 und 1500DM, je nach
> Modell und Ausstatung. Ist aber auch 1000 mal zuverlaessiger als alle anderen
> Pagersysteme.

Wieso zuverlaessiger? Senden die Pager eine Bestaetigung an die Zentrale,
oder was?

--
Mit freundlichen Gruessen,
Daniel Drumm

1007. !! New San Diego County Trunk Freq's wanted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dweezel <z122251114@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6liosf$811$1@nnrp2.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 157911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey! If anyone has the new San Diego County trunking freq's for the 800MHz system that is about to go into effect, please post them! Thanks....

1008. Re: Broward and Palm Beach County Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeffrey Miller <jfmil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <357CE400.1B5A7E98@earthlink.net>
Source record: 157912

For Police and Fire as far as I know in Palm Beach and Broward you need 800 Mhz there is some VHF Air etc.. Best suggestion is to check out Police Call and also

www.laker.net/~mikef

Complex592 wrote:

> I recently relocated from NJ. I have a Bear Cat scanner but no 800 freq. I did
> not need them in NJ for my needs. What is a good scanner that would cover
> amateur scanning in this area. Are there any frequencies that are particularly
> interesting to listen to in this area.?

1009. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com>
Message-ID: <6lj1tq$a93@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 157913
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>On 8 Jun 1998 17:04:37 GMT, Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com> wrote:
>
>>John Miles <jmiles@pop.removethistomailme.net> wrote:
>>>Kermit wrote:
>
>>>Sounds like _you're_ the one who thinks he owns the highway, friend.
>>
>>
>>
>>Sounds to me like your a typical asshole "agressive driver", how about it ?
>>"FRIEND"... am I right ? I see that kind of scum everyday, tailgating cars
>>doing the speed limit, cutting off other drivers, giving them the finger,
>>shooting across 4 lanes right before an exit. Why are you so sensitive to
>>this issue ? most normal people would find it of no consequence if an
>>unmarked patrol car drives by them. Only people that worry are the ones
>>that suddenly find it necessary to alter their behavior (out of concern
>>that they'll be caught)
>>
>
>So, he is an agressive driver, and you are a cop wannabee.
>
>Clear to me!

Hey, I just work as a mechanic at the public works garage for a big city.
I drive the patrol cars (marked and unmarked), but I have no desire to be
a cop. But I want to ask you and all the rest of these people who promote
lawless behavior on the roadways, how would you feel if your friends or
loved ones were the victim of an "agressive driver" causing a fatal and
crippling accident ?

My brother in law driving a Jeep Cherokee, was hit by some asshole who
thought obeying the posted speed limit in the right lane was keeping him
from exiting a little too late. Result ? 3 car accident, from the asshole
tailgating him, bumping him, causing him to spin out into the car next
to him. Net total 2 fatalities (unbelted driver being ejected from one
vehicle), my brother in law spinning out into a phone pole and being
asphyxiated from the crushed metal around him....

So do I have a problem with "agressive drivers" who think they're entitled
to disregard the rights of law abiding motorists obeying posted speed limits
and exercising common courtesy ? your DAMN right I do !

Old saying, never a cop around when you want one. If a marked unit, or even a conspicous "un" marked unit was around, 2 people would still be alive right now.

1010. FS: AOR-5000 & SDU-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@sublime.com>
Message-ID: <6lj2fpqcj1@nntp2.ba.best.com>
Source record: 157914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

AOR AR-5000 (Unblocked version)
10kHz - 2600MHz no gaps
AM, FM, USB, LSB & CW
2000 channels w/ alphanumerics
DTMF decoding
RS232 port
$1600

AOR SDU-5000
Spectrum Display with 0 to 10 MHz (1kHz steps) sweep
Average Level, Peak Detection, Maximum Value Hold, etc
10.7 MHz IF - perfect for the AR-5000
RS232 port
$750

1011. fs: Kenwood TH79AD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@sublime.com>
Message-ID: <6lj2n7$qe7$1@nntp2.ba.best.com>
Source record: 157915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Kenwood TH-79AD
excellent condition, in original box with manual,accessories, etc.
$300

1012. FS: AOR AR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@sublime.com>
Message-ID: <6lj2icqde1@nntp2.ba.best.com>
Source record: 157916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
AOR AR-8000 (unblocked)
500kHz - 1900MHz
AM, NFM, WFM, USB, LSB & CW
1000 channels (50 X 20 banks)
New in box with manual, antenna, nicads, etc
also including an Opto CX12AR PC interface
$500
```

1013. FS:PRO-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art <ka3fmo@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <6lj8lb$oo0$1@camel0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 157917
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello,
I have for sale in excellent condition the Radio Shack scanner PRO-2042.
Very excellent condition, used very little. Have box and receipts. Asking
$325.

Thanks..

Art
ka3fmo@mindspring.com

1014. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6ljao6$ib9$0@207.18.224.78>
Source record: 157918
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Jun 1998 10:14:18 GMT, Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com> wrote:

>>So, he is an agressive driver, and you are a cop wannabee.
>>
>>Clear to me!
>
>
>Hey, I just work as a mechanic at the public works garage for a big city.
>I drive the patrol cars (marked and unmarked), but I have no desire to be
>a cop. But I want to ask you and all the rest of these people who promote
>lawless behavior on the roadways, how would you feel if your friends or
>loved ones were the victim of an "agressive driver" causing a fatal and
>crippling accident ?
>

Who the hell said I promote lawless behavior?

I merely pointed out, that your post make you seem like one of
the guys that hangs out with his scanner, his old 82 Caprice, and
tries to go out and get a woody by impersonating a Police Officer.

Just my impression.

1015. FS:Icom IC-PCR1000 Computer Controlled Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Halligan <halligan@capecod.net>
Message-ID: <357D33AE.CBB926A4@capecod.net>
Source record: 157919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale an Icom IC-PCR1000 computer controlled receiver. Covers from 150 KHz to 1300 MHz all mode. Radio is approx 3 months old and in mint condition. Was purchased from Lentini Communications in Newington Ct. Includes box, manual, and all accessories including software. I have used the radio with a 486/DX4-75 MHz IBM ThinkPad sub-notebook, a 486/DX4-100 desktop, and a Pentium 200 Desktop with great success. Will include disks of third party software which the buyer will use at his/her own risk!!! For those unfamiliar with this radio, information for it is available at the URL below:

http://www.icomamerica.com/featured/

Reason for selling: I love the radio but am more geared to VHF/UHF/800 conventional and trunked monitoring. Decided I'd try to sell this when I caught wind of the Optoelectronics Optocom.

Price: $400.00 non-negotiable, plus shipping. This radio is just about brand new, folks, and in mint shape, so I figure $100 off the new price is a pretty good deal.

Method of payment: Pre-pay with POSTAL money order only! Or, I will ship COD Cash/Postal Money Order only. In either case, you pay all applicable shipping charges.

Please reply to the E-mail address below only. Do not post replies to the newsgroups! Thanks for reading.

--
Scott Halligan - KC1UA
halligan@capecod.net
http://www.capecod.net/~shalliga
Cape Cod Public Safety Radio Guide

1016. **MULTICOMM 2000=GOOD PRICE /SERVICE**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: blade1@home.com
Message-ID: <357f350b.37598699@news>
Source record: 157920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I ordered a scanner from MULTICOMM, because they had the best price and shipping method. The scanner arrived in less than 10 days . As a side note I would highly recommend the YUPITERU soft case for their hand held units.....very well made and it provides total protection of the unit.

1017. Re: FS/Trade Icom IC-R100 .5 - 1.8 GHz Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: sneaky@toosexyforyou.com
Message-ID: <6ljd8g$6ha@newsserv.caiw.nl>
Source record: 157921

R <rdieterich@racom.com> wrote:

>I have a less than two year old IC-R100 mobile receiver for sale with
>manual, mobile bracket, no mods (cell still blocked but modable), in
>mint/perfect condition. I would like to either sell it for $425 plus
>shipping or trade for a recent vintage Dual Band Mobile or 2M all mode
>transciever or HF rig.

>Thanks,

>Roger KA4LDN

Hi,

I just bought a second handed scanner the ICOM IC-R100.
It's a great scanner allthough i'm missing the manual.
I need some help to scan a certain frequency, does somebody know how this scanner has to be programmed for running between 2 freguenties.
for example between 30.000 and 40.000. Any help is appreciated.
please mail me to yvonowee@hotmail.com

p.s. if someone has the manual in a txt file or doc it would be terrific when you send me a copy

best regrads, Yvonne

1018. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com>
Message-ID: <6ljdoe$irc@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 157922
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>On 9 Jun 1998 10:14:18 GMT, Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com> wrote:
>
>
>>>So, he is an agressive driver, and you are a cop wannabee.
>>>
>>>Clear to me!
>>
>>
>>Hey, I just work as a mechanic at the public works garage for a big city.
>>I drive the patrol cars (marked and unmarked), but I have no desire to be
>>a cop. But I want to ask you and all the rest of these people who promote
>>lawless behavior on the roadways, how would you feel if your friends or
>>loved ones were the victim of an "agressive driver" causing a fatal and
>>crippling accident ?
>>
>
>Who the hell said I promote lawless behavior?
>
>I merely pointed out, that your post make you seem like one of
>the guys that hangs out with his scanner, his old 82 Caprice, and
>tries to go out and get a woody by impersonating a Police Officer.
>
>Just my impression.

Well your impression is wrong. Have somone in your immediate family
killed by a jerk who thinks everyone has to get out of his way just
because he happens to be in a hurry. And then come back and report
how you feel about having cops on the road to enforce laws, or alter
the behavior of reckless drivers by just being present to deter
discourteous driving.

Oh, and for the record I drive a trick 84 Buick Regal. No cop cars.
I was telling you my observation of driver behavior when I drive
the unmarked cars from the DPW garage on road tests. Despite the
fact I look like an outlaw biker, people see the K-55 and back off.

1019. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam Point <points@intercoast.com.au>
Message-ID: <357D3CCC.58CF@intercoast.com.au>
Source record: 157923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Reverend Tweek wrote:
>
> Caught an odd sight this morning... twice. Riding into work this morning,
> I noticed at two separate intersection, a dipole antenna (two oposing horiz
> monopoles mounted on a junction box with a cable coming out ot the box)
> laying (maybe duct taped) on top of the signal head arms of one of the
> light posts.
>
> [No, this was not a Ricochet Modem sort of setup]
>
> This was in Pleasant Hill, Calif. along Taylor Blvd and the two
> intersections I noticed the antennas at were Taylor/Norse and Taylor/Ruth.
G'day, we have had for a number of years VHF Hi-Band (150-174Mhz)
antennae fastened to many traffic lights here in my area in Sydney NSW.
These are mainly 1/2 wave Ground-Independant antenna (Whip with a
loading coil and cable straight from the base.) and have been used for
traffic flow monitoring.
Transponders (Low powered fixed frequency, Data units) have been fitted
to many vehicles participating in traffic flow trials. Thes units
transmit a constant ID that is picked up by the receivers that are
conected to the antenna's, these are generally located in the light
controller boxes, and the data is then sent down a dedicated leased line
to a central location for recording.
It is only used to check trends in traffic flow when new light phasing
is trialled or lane markings changed and different weather conditions.
The ones you are talking about could also do the same either for bikes
or motor vehicles to show times taken to pass through all the
intersections you mentioned.
Regards,
Sam

1020. Re: FAILSOFT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060914223200.KAA29461@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157924
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: Re: FAILSOFT
>From: Jeff Schneider
>Date: Mon, Jun 8, 1998 23:12 EDT
>Message-id: <357CA830.68E9@sprintmail.con>
>
>Info for the group:
>
>"Failsoft" is a preset freq. that a trunked radio will revert to when
>the radio and or trunking system fails.
>
>
>Scanning the airwaves with the AOR-8000
>

To add detail, the system picks a working channel & reverts it to conventional repeater operation. Unless the system is huge (in which case there may be more than one channel in operation, with each failsoft channel assigned to a big subfleet), all units revert to this channel & it runs like a conventional repeater system. Ususally there is a warning beep on the audio so users know everybody can hear everybody else in this mode.
Neutrodyne

1021. Re: WTB>AOR1000XLT OR TRIDENT 1200/2400<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6ljg0t$jn6$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 157925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,ott.forsale.other,alt.radio.scanner,ott.forsale.misc

```
Jeff Doucette wrote:
>
> Hi there!
>
> I'm intereted in a scanner...a AOR 1000XLT or similar....I'm a student
> looking for a deal,.....I live in Canada and studing electronics, I need the
> scanner as a frequency counter.......
>
> __ __
> I'M LOOKING FOR A AOR1000XLT SCANNER PLEASE EMAIL ME IF YOU HAVE ONE!
> .' '.' `.
> _.-| o | o |-._
> .~ `.__.'.__.'^ ~. Elmo say,...Thanks for your interest in:
> .~ ^ / \ ^ ~. SustainPunch Big Muff Pi's $100.00 CDN!
> \-._^ ^| | ^_.-/ Visit us on the WWW at:
> `\ `-._ \___/ ^_.-' /' http://members.tripod.com/~Paradiddle7/
> `\_ `--...--' /' Email: paradiddle7@usa.net
> `-.._______..-' /\ /\
> __/ \__ | |/ /_
> .'^ ^ `. .' `__\
> .' ^ ^ `.__.'^ .\ \
> .' ^ . ^ . ^ .' \/
> / / ^ \'.__.'
> | ^ /| ^ | "If it doesn't sustain, it's me to
> blame!"
>
> \ \|^ ^ |
> `\^ | ^ |
> `~| ^ |
> | ^ ^ |
> \^ /
> `. ^ .
I have a AOR1000XLT PRICE $345 SHIPPED NO COD. money order only.>jerr
```

1022. FS Pro-2005 $275 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wmerrell@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6ljl22$rj0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157926

For sale, Pro-2005,$275 shipped. Has had the speed
and cell mods done. Excellent shape.
bill
wfm@whoever.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1023. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EuAG70.5pv@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 157927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm gonna attempt to respond to several posts at once...

From: Tom <tomc61X@sprintmail.com>
>
>I have seen something similar here in Hurst, TX and Wichita Falls, TX.
>In both paces the antennas are 800 or 900 MHz yagis, and appear to be
>pointed in the general direction of the city service center or city hall.

These aren't Yagi's. I don't even suspect that they are true dipoles.
They look more like a cheap version of a diversity antenna. They are
not aimed at any specific location. Not that they need to be, since
both of these are within a block of the Police Station and Public Works.

From: Tom <tomc61X@sprintmail.com>
>
>The antennas are mounted on a small (about 5 ft) mast attatched to the
>main signal light post, not on the crossarm.

These aren't on a mast. They are bolted to a top arm of the light standard
which has two or three signal heads attached as well. The antenna box is
attached with a strap similar to a hose clamp.

From: Tom <tomc61X@sprintmail.com>
>
>I haven't figured out what they are used for. In Hurst, we have the opticom
>strobe light system on emergency vehicles... I don't know if it has anything
>to do with that.

We use Opticom out this way too, and since the fire department (main Opticom
user) is county wide, it wouldn't make sense for PH to use anything else.

From: zoomr@skypoint.com
>
>Many areas are putting up cameras to watch intersections. You might
>look to see if there is any camera visible, though many are so small
>that it wouldn't be noticible.

Really the wrong frequency... the antenna looks too large for 150 mhz,
but too small for 46 mhz... definately not UHF, so it wouldn't be live
video... could be digitalized video sent as data, but they'd get a better
view from Police radio tower nearly across the street.

From: Nicholas McLarty <nickm@texas.net>
>
>Many areas are now beginning to install a traffic monitoring system which
>consists of sensors embedded in the road which detect the speed of the

>traffic flow through that location. The data from these sensors are then
>sent through those antennas to a central receiving point. You're
>probably looking at the transmitter for such setups.

That's something I considered, being that these two signals are two of four which recently had bicycle loop detectors addes in the bike lane, intersections where I've never had problems getting through on a bike, unlike the intersection before and after the four modified intersections, thus I considered that they might be for counting bicycle usage.

Passing through again today, only two of the four bike sensor intersections have the antenna. My freq counter didn't even burp away from the 22 (+/- 5) Mhz garbage it was picking up from whatever... never locked on to anything.

From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
>
>I wonder if they are used to remotely control the lights?? If so, one
>could have some fun if you had a low power transmitter and a
>computer...;-)
>
>I'll have to look around my area for such a setup....

I think this might be it... being that the antennas almost rest on other metal parts of the signal post, it really would raise hell with the SWR if one tried transmitting on those antennas. I suspect that they are receive only.

These two intersections are on either side of an uncontrolled intersection which is the main egress from the Police Department, Public Works, Parks Department and the Community Center. I suspect that in such cases where there is a large gathering getting over at the community center, or if the cops want to get on the road quickly, etc, someone somewhere sends the signal and the lights at the intersections on either side of of the Civic intersection turn red, allowing unimpeded egress from Civic Drive.

I've got to call the City of Pleasant Hill today to report a busted signal (no bike lane sensor, bike doesn't trip vehicle lane sensor, and last week the "push to cross" pedestrian button stopped working) and another intersection which has a 1 second yellow, so I'll see what I can find out about this.

--

Had your share of stoopid(tm) people?	
View the "Contra Costa Whines" at	[This space for lease]
http://www.io.com/~tweek/cocowhine/	

1024. Re: Pro 2045 price drops again!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: popsilk@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060915154800.LAA03929@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157928

>>Subject: Pro 2045 price drops again!!!!
>From: Vegas69@webtv.net (Barry Sherman)
>Date: Mon, Jun 8, 1998 19:18 EDT
>Message-id: <6lhrgr$989$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
>
>
>--WebTV-Mail-1166989795-5075
>Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7BIT
>
>I just went to Radio Shack and got a $30.00 refund because 2 weeks ago I
>paid $129.95 for my pro 2045,today its price is
>$99.95,so I was price protected since its been only 2 weeks,and was
>refunded the difference!!Since I learned about this radios price on this
>newsgroup,Im sure others can do the same!! Happy Scanning! Barry

Does anyone know the time-limit on Radio Shack's price protection? Thanks in
advance for any help.

"If at first you don't succeed, call in an airstrike."

1025. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Bastian <pbastian@erols.com>
Message-ID: <6ljjb8$2de$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 157929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A few years ago a friend of mine worked for the outfit that took care of traffic lights. He told me in one city how EMS, FIRE and Police could control the traffice lights ahead of them using radio freq. Not saying this is the situation but only another possiblity.
|

1026. FS Pro-2005 = $257 shipped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wfm <wmerrell@parsley.epix.net>
Message-ID: <6ljk3f$91k$1@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 157930

```
For sale Pro-2005, $275. Has had both the speed
and cell mods done. Excellent condition.
bill
```

1027. Re: Weirdest - burglars in progress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Douglas White <dwhite@nist.gov>
Message-ID: <357D5272.9129489F@nist.gov>
Source record: 157931

I live in Maryland, just below Gettysburg, and one time I heard a dispatcher sending police to a house where a break-in was in progress.

There was a woman who had heard someone enter, and she holed up in the bathroom with the phone. The dispatcher passed descriptions on to the cops who were on route - "she hears them breaking in the bedroom","they're banging on the bathroom door", etc. while the cops radioed back "we're at the house", "we hear her screaming", etc. The cops did get there in time.

The funniest thing to happen was late one night, we heard a neighbor's mailbox get bashed. I went down and looked at ours, but it was okay - then I hear another bashing, and a car HEADED MY DIRECTION. I hid behind a tree, got the plates and called them in, then listened to the cops catch the kids. As they were bringing them back to us to be ID'ed, we heard they were en route, and were ready to meet the cop when he pulled up. TOO FUNNY!!!

1028. Re: John Mackey and KK7HO should get together!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. TOWNSEND" <pog@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <eScf1.21$3t5.41339@news4.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 157932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd, N0VFP wrote in message <6li2i7$12t23@odie.mcleod.net>...
>Yes you two seem perfect for each other! You both harass NG's with stupid
>posts, I think Tim and John should form thier own NG!
>
What we need to do is at the next national Hamfest is get both sides there issue them Baseball bats and let them go at it. Then we tie the winners hands into a 10,000 watt amplifier and shoot DX. Under the circumstances I'm sure we could get a special permit from the FCC, who would probably send a representative to see that we did it properly. It must be a terrible waste of a human life to fight over a ham frequency.

KF4HMN

1029. Re: Pro 2045 price drops again!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: babeesoft@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060915410200.LAA10235@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157933

This happened on my scanner also the 2041. Bought it for 149.99 and the next day it went down to Clearence 129.99 and i told them but they said since it went on Clearence they cant do a price adjustment. What!!??!! So i returned it and bought it back..
My two cents..

Reinaga@hotmail.com

1030. UHRA Unlimited Hydroplane Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alec Isaacson <alec.isaacson@connects.com>
Message-ID: <357D4841.DA3A5B53@connects.com>
Source record: 157934

Does anyone have an updated list of the frequencies used by the UHRA Unlimited Hydroplanes? The latest list I've got (from http://www.win.net/~finsleft/freq.html) is two years old.

Thanks.

Alec Isaacson
alec.isaacson@connects.com

1031. ****Help**** Constructing Data interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: babeesoft@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060915432500.LAA10444@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157935

please visit http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/interface.htm so you know
what im talking about.
Ok im building the second one, Hamcom 3.0 (comparator) Receive circuit by Ron
Wilson. Ok two
questions. Does anyone know which way the 100k need to be positioned. Or does
it not matter
which direction they are put in. And second the 741 op amp. I bought the chip
but dont know
which way to put it in. On the picture it is square but the chip is really
rectangle. Someone help
please... this is my first build of anything electrical and i know its
probably not going to work on my first try but as they say if you dont succeed
try, try, again...

Thanks and also if anyone would know of any sites with tips on how to sauder
and stuff like that
would be greatly appreciated... Thanks

if replying please direct mail to Reinaga@hotmail.com
the aol address will not take mail..

thanks

1032. Re: RadioShack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6ljl7h$f0r@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157936

Ok. What I said was they're good trunk trackers, but not the best as a conventional scanner. That's what I've heard from many people - I haven't had any first-hand experience with them.

Thomas Perry wrote:

> It is a Trunk Tracker, but it is the Pro-90. Don't recall what your last
> message was, so no problem.
>
> Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:
>
> > Wait: if this isn't a trunk tracker, never mind my last message. I
> > apologize for the confusion. I was thinking of the Pro 91.
> >
> > Thomas Perry wrote:
> >
> > > I just bought a Pro-90 from RadioShack for $299. It is my first
> > > scanner. Is this a good portable? I will be working security at
> > > Michigan Speedway this summer, and wanted something good. I thought it
> > > was a bunch of money, but hoped it was worth it.
> > >
> > > Would appreciate comments from any Pro-90 users out there, and
> > > especially those that don't like them. (because I can still take it
> > > back!)
> > >
> > > Thanks for any help!
> > > Tom Perry
> >
> > --
> > Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
> > RySoft Corporation
> >
> > http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.

E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1033. Re: !! New San Diego County Trunk Freq's wanted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6ljkmc$k2@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Here's a couple sites to get the info yourself.

http://www.angelfire.com/ca/sandiegoscanner1/index.html
http://home.pacbell.net/orangesd/trunk.html

Dweezel wrote in message <6liosf$811$1@nnrp2.snfc21.pbi.net>...
>Hey! If anyone has the new San Diego County trunking freq's for the 800MHz
>system that is about to go into effect, please post them! Thanks....
>
>

1034. Re: !! New San Diego County Trunk Freq's wanted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6ljl7k$102@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Here's a link to the SD County Regional Communications System with a map of
the sites and microwave paths for the new 800 system.
http://www.rad.co.san-diego.ca.us/rcs/pdf/sitesMicro.pdf
Dweezel wrote in message <6liosf$811$1@nnrp2.snfc21.pbi.net>...
>Hey! If anyone has the new San Diego County trunking freq's for the 800MHz
>system that is about to go into effect, please post them! Thanks....
>
>

1035. Re: Ham Radio gear for sale:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6ljlgn$f0r@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157939
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

He is "100% full of it", either that, or he uses "100%" too much... Just count how many times he uses it -- 9 TIMES!

AVCBOB wrote:

> Anything that has been out of the box is not "100% new" or "mint".
> The cell modded scanner can't by any measure be considered 100% new.
>
> >I have the following items for sale. All are in 100% new condition with
> >no scratches, marks or flaws unless noted otherwise. All items are in
> >100% working order:
> >
> >1. Kenwood TS-450S/AT with MC-60 Base mic and manual. This rig was used
> >basically as a Shortwave receiver and has very very little TX time logged
> >down. Its condition is 100% new in everyway, inside and out. The MC-60
> >kenwood has a Heil HC-5 Element installed. This is a perfect compliment
> >to the TS-450S/AT. Asking $900 OBO for the pair and will ship and pay for
> >shipping anywhere in the US for this price.
> >
> >2. PK-232 MBX. 100% new condition inside and out. Included manual. Asking
> >$175.00 and will ship anywhere in the USA for this price.
> >
> >3. Icom 229H 2 meter transeiver. This is the only item that I have for
> >sale that is not 100% in new condition. The Heat sink was painted black a
> >few yrs ago and some of the paint along the edges are chipping. I've
> >touched them all up and it looks fine now, but it's still a flaw which
> >prevents it from beeing 100% in new condition. Also, the microhone has
> >the first foot of cord from the connector stretched somewhat making it
> >have less than a perfect coil comming from the connector. I think the
> >coil can be retrained by wraping it around a pencil for a few days or so.
> >Otherwise, this rig is in 100% working order. This is the only 2 meter
> >rig I have ever had that was 100% bulletproof. Probably a characteristic
> >of Icoms quality. Asking $200 or any reasonable offer. Includes manual
> >and box. This was my packet rig used with the PK-232. Can ship the packet
> >cord with it if you like to match icom rigs.
> >
> >4. Astron RS-50M power supply. This was always more power supply than I
> >needed. Bought new and use to run a packet station. This is in 100% new
> >condition without a scratch or flaw on it. It runs quiet and cool. Its
> >pretty heavy so I wont pay for shipping. Asking $160 for this power
> >supply. Prefer pick-up or you pay for shipping. Shipping will depend on
> >where you are located. Let me know your location and I can find out what
> >it would cost to ship.
> >

> >5. Radio shack Pro-51 Scanner. Perfect condition with charger. Included
> >rechargable batteries. This has the Cellular mod performed on it. Asking
> >$125.00 for this handheld scanner.
> >
> >I live in Sacramento, California. I'm clearing out most of my ham gear to
> >make more room for my computer gear. Please inquire about any of these
> >items that you may have questions about. Thank you for your time.
> >
> > Ralph
> >

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a

download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1036. ICOM R-1 Scanning Receiver for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <357D5930.4677@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an excellent condition Icom R-1 for sale. This tiny handheld has
AM FM and WFM Continuous coverage from .1 to 1300 MHz. Not blocked.
It comes with AA battery pack and antenna. $275. 73 Chris

1037. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357f570d.5041098@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157941

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:

> Why does Allsafe crack on me because I use WebTV?

And it occurs to me to add the following:

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:

>> I have a better idea. Let's behave like grown-ups and
>> discuss scanners.

And then "allsafe" <allsafe@netwit.com> replied:

> Duh........I dunno; has that been suggested before? If so; has it
> worked?

> Oh what a tangled web we weave; when first we pontificate on webTV!

Given the fact that YOU went off-topic and clearly
used a bogus identity, it certainly seems that YOU
invited comments. "Allsafe's" first comment is
certainly right on the money. Comments like yours
come in all the time and they NEVER "work".

His second comment was not a flame nearly as much
as a simple observation that you're hiding behind the
safety and security of your WebTV.

And you most certainly DID "pontificate" and you ARE
hiding behind WebTV. Duh.......

What's the problem?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1038. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357e5568.4620104@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157942

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:

> Why does Allsafe crack on me because I use WebTV?

Did you use the safety and security of WebTV to
take a pot shot at someone? See, certain Internet
services like AOL and WebTV make it real easy to
hide behind a nomme de plume or a bogus I.D. That's
one thing, but to use it to take pot shots at
others is kind of a low-life thing to do.

> Am I lesser a person because I don't have an expensive
> computer with which to surf the web?

Depends on how you use it, I guess. If you'd use
your real name so folks would have some idea of who
you are and where you're at, then your "tools" wouldn't
be all that noticeable.

> If you cut me, will I not bleed?

Not from behind the safety of your WebTV......

> In other words, Fuck You Allsafe.

Ahhhhhh yes, it's real easy to say things like that when
no one knows who you are or where you're at.

> Geez, I can't believe you guys managed to drag me into a flame war.

They must possess some power over you, even with
your anonymity.

> Ordinarily I'm opposed to these.

But not this time?

> Oh well, I'm in it now. Let's combine
> all of our negative energy and direct it towards Allsafe. Come on
> sissyfag, bring it on.

Let's *don't* do that. What say?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /

COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1039. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Pollak <easyed@netnitco.net>
Message-ID: <6ljmul$f59$1@hyperion.nitco.com>
Source record: 157943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I bet you REALLY have fun taking down Stop Signs!!!!!

Phil Smalley wrote in message <357C82F3.5BA@yahoo.com>...
>I wonder if they are used to remotely control the lights?? If so, one
>could have some fun if you had a low power transmitter and a
>computer...;-)
>
>I'll have to look around my area for such a setup....
>-Phil

1040. Re: here are the 900 megahertz phone freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <357CFE2A.2EF7E903@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 157944

Here is all the info you requested in this html you'll need to fill in
the gap channels at 128KHz intervals, notice that the hanset tx and rx
frequencies will be the reverse of these listed.

Mike
WB8VLC

Some info from our web page goto
http://design-net.sps.mot.com/solutions/wireless/cordless/apps/49-other.html
click on > Reference Designs at the top left and cursor down to:
Yosemite 900 MHz analog platform
and select > II. Design factors.

Here is a condensed version of what you should see.

Reference Designs: Yosemite 900 MHz Analog

☐A Frequency Division Duplex (FDD) method eliminates the need for
complex framing circuitry and the inherent time delay in the voice path.
In Time Division Duplex (TDD) systems, this annoying sidetone round-trip
delay significantly degrades the voice quality. Although a DSP can be
used for echo cancellation if the round trip delay exceeds acceptable
levels (on the order of 4 to 6ms), the higher cost of this solution
guided our choice of a FDD system which does not need a DSP.

☐Two 5 MHz frequency blocks within the 902-928 MHz ISM band (around 904
MHz and 926 MHz) are employed for the receive and transmit signals.
Ceramic filters are used to create the duplex filter. Staying on the
sides of the ISM band also helps to avoid interference from higher-power
applications like wireless speakers or vehicle identification systems,
which are typically centered in the middle of the band.

☐A frequency plan for 40 channels equally-spaced at 128 kHz is shown
here:

Channel#> 1 2 3 38
39 40
Tx frequency (MHz)902.144 902.272 902.400.....906.880 907.008
907.136
Rx frequency (MHz)922.880 923.008 923.136.....927.616 927.744
927.872

1041. Re: here are the 900 megahertz phone freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <357CFE35.85507127@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 157945

Here is all the info you requested in this html you'll need to fill in
the gap channels at 128KHz intervals, notice that the hanset tx and rx
frequencies will be the reverse of these listed.

Mike
WB8VLC

Some info from our web page goto
http://design-net.sps.mot.com/solutions/wireless/cordless/apps/49-other.html
click on > Reference Designs at the top left and cursor down to:
Yosemite 900 MHz analog platform
and select > II. Design factors.

Here is a condensed version of what you should see.

Reference Designs: Yosemite 900 MHz Analog

☐A Frequency Division Duplex (FDD) method eliminates the need for
complex framing circuitry and the inherent time delay in the voice path.
In Time Division Duplex (TDD) systems, this annoying sidetone round-trip
delay significantly degrades the voice quality. Although a DSP can be
used for echo cancellation if the round trip delay exceeds acceptable
levels (on the order of 4 to 6ms), the higher cost of this solution
guided our choice of a FDD system which does not need a DSP.

☐Two 5 MHz frequency blocks within the 902-928 MHz ISM band (around 904
MHz and 926 MHz) are employed for the receive and transmit signals.
Ceramic filters are used to create the duplex filter. Staying on the
sides of the ISM band also helps to avoid interference from higher-power
applications like wireless speakers or vehicle identification systems,
which are typically centered in the middle of the band.

☐A frequency plan for 40 channels equally-spaced at 128 kHz is shown
here:

Channel#> 1 2 3 38
39 40
Tx frequency (MHz)902.144 902.272 902.400.....906.880 907.008
907.136
Rx frequency (MHz)922.880 923.008 923.136.....927.616 927.744
927.872

1042. FS Home Alert/Monitor $35

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <357D6250.4410B850@alaska.net>
Source record: 157946

Fire Buffs,

I have a perfect (original owner) Electrosonics Instalert EC-22 Home
Alert/Monitor
on 154.430mhz. Will decode 2 seprate stations (4 tones)
Motorola-Quick-Call II.
Has variable pots for adjusting tones. $35 shipped

1043. FS: Relm HS-200 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@telis.org>
Message-ID: <357D61E8.872A536F@telis.org>
Source record: 157947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Great scanner and in very good condition, has a few scratches. Comes with orig box, manual, antenna, AC adapter. Works as new. $175.00 including shipping in US.
Ron

1044. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: A J O <ajo55@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <357D6B56.D7D4585F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 157948

Gee, it sure was refreshing to read this NG for a little bit of time
without this INANE BULLSHIT popping up !!!!!!!!!!

1045. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060917182900.NAA19185@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157949

>Cheek - Radio Cybertwit
>From: A J O <ajo55@hotmail.com>
>Date: Tue, Jun 9, 1998 13:05 EDT
>Message-id: <357D6B56.D7D4585F@hotmail.com>
>
>Gee, it sure was refreshing to read this NG for a little bit of time
>without this INANE BULLSHIT popping up !!!!!!!!!!

And what do you call this post if it isn't "inane bullshit?"

1046. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Moss <enzo@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <357D6FC3.3869@ibm.net>
Source record: 157950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

There are cameras all along the main highway through Toronto. They are
also on-line too!

Check out....

http://www.mto.gov.on.ca/english/traveller/compass/camera/cammain.htm

Cheers!
--
Andy Moss

1047. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357D7169.5BC8@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ed Pollak wrote:
>
> I bet you REALLY have fun taking down Stop Signs!!!!!
>

Aww geez doesn't ANYONE have a sense of humor??

Besides, I'd much rather build a mobile transmitter that would fake the signal into believing it was told to change to green in my direction from it's control center - no more @#$@!! red lights!

-Phil

P.S. It's actually more fun to move the street signs around...

1048. Re: !! New San Diego County Trunk Freq's wanted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dweezel <z122251114@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6ljrlo$af2$1@nnrp2.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 157952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks!!! Much appreciated...

1049. Re: New Pro2006's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6ljufl$9hq$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157953

hehe , Ive been following this post , and will add my .2 Cents..

It is not uncommon for RS to have stock they never knew they had !

However , I am hard pressed to belive 6 , outdated , slow...Pro-2006's were collecting dust!

One maybe....6..PLS !!!! ....Tell the story and move on..

Next...

In article <357db0be.1070589@news.earthlink.net>,
sokratys@earthlink.net (walker) wrote:
>
> no - I saw them myself in that first indiana jones movie - they were
> sitting in the bin right next to where they tucked the ark of the
> covenant away....
>
> > By the way, were those sitting in the back room next to the World War II
> >unused Jeeps still in the crates? Or were they behind Jimmy Hoffa's coffin?
> >
> >Neutrodyne
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1050. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357D7843.7769@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157954
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Kermit wrote:
> Oh, and for the record I drive a trick 84 Buick Regal. No cop cars.
> I was telling you my observation of driver behavior when I drive
> the unmarked cars from the DPW garage on road tests. Despite the
> fact I look like an outlaw biker, people see the K-55 and back off.

I agree with Kermit. I used to work in the L.A. area as a courier about 5 years ago so I have seen more than my share of ignorant dumbass drivers who must have gotten their licenses out of a Cracker Jacks box. Even now that I have a "real job" I still spend 3-4 hours on these freeways a day, and if anything, the people are worse now then they were back then. People don't have a friggin' clue. Just this morning, I had some dumb woman damn near plow into me at 80 miles an hour or more. As she zoomed past me, I saw the reason for her apparent lack of concentration - she was applying her lipstick.

These morons are out of control. I am all for as many people as possible going out and buying up old police cruisers and making them look like unmarked cars. I love to follow marked and unmarked cars when I am on my way to work - all of a sudden people act as if they were taking their driving tests again. It's great. I say drive those cars as often as you can, Kermit. You probably save a life every time you do.

-Phil

1051. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: ron@fc.hp.com
Message-ID: <6ljt38$mfd@fcnews.fc.hp.com>
Source record: 157955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Bob C. (bob@bigbird.reno.nv.us) wrote:

: Based on memory of a few years ago, cordless phones are not
: illegal to monitor. This may have changed but I havent kept close
: enough attention.

It changed. Congress is like that. Let them get a toenail in the door
and the whole elephant comes barging in.

Ron

1052. Re: ****Help**** Constructing Data interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Lanning <kll@ns.esn.net>
Message-ID: <01bd93d0$04799740$5346dacd@commserv>
Source record: 157956

Resistors are not polarized so it doesn't matter which way the 100K resistor goes. The 741 (and all IC's) will have a small dot beside pin 1 and then the pins go in order down that side of the chip and then around the bottom and back up the other side:

```
___
1 |* | 8
2 | | 7
3 | | 6
4 |__| 5
```

If it doesn't have a dot it will have a notch at one end and looking at the chip with the notch at the top, pin 1 will be the top pin on the left. Good luck.

Babeesoft <babeesoft@aol.com> wrote in article <1998060915432500.LAA10444@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> please visit http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/interface.htm so you know
> what im talking about.
> Ok im building the second one, Hamcom 3.0 (comparator) Receive circuit by Ron
> Wilson. Ok two
> questions. Does anyone know which way the 100k need to be positioned. Or does
> it not matter
> which direction they are put in. And second the 741 op amp. I bought the chip
> but dont know
> which way to put it in. On the picture it is square but the chip is really
> rectangle. Someone help
> please... this is my first build of anything electrical and i know its
> probably not going to work on my first try but as they say if you dont succeed
> try, try, again...
>
> Thanks and also if anyone would know of any sites with tips on how to sauder
> and stuff like that
> would be greatly appreciated... Thanks
>
> if replying please direct mail to Reinaga@hotmail.com
> the aol address will not take mail..
>
> thanks

>
>

1053. FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: maskiel@friendlynet.com
Message-ID: <IRef1.1$J36.589851@news.randori.com>
Source record: 157957

What mode does family radio service opperate. am,fm? Thanks...

1054. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357D7DCB.6E31@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157958
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

Keith Kirkwood wrote:
>
> What a profound statement! You probably think the world is still flat
> and revolves around the Bronx! Get a life, a clue and a real ISP.
> English isn't the only game in town. If you'd gotten your fat ass off
> that bar stool more than once in a while, you would realize that....
>
> -Ktwo

Agreed. "reallybigguy@hotmail.com" is the same jackass who was selling a "mint condition" beat-to-crap-with-pieces-missing BC200XLT for the piddily sum of - $300 (!). If memory serves, posts like this are soon followed by the likes of Emilio Goldstein as Captain Scanner (no doubt, the same moron).

Just when you think you've seen it all on usenet....
-Phil

1055. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <357D7C41.34DF@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157959

> Cordless phones are boring, cell phones are much more interesting to
> listen too, so I've been told :)

I have monitored cell and can tell you that, for the most part, it's great for insomnia and not a whole lot else. Every once in a while though, you get some good stuff like a drug deal, or phone sex addicts, or best of all, the dreaded cell phone breakup. You know, the poor schmoe who calls his girlfriend on his cell phone and gets an earful of hate and scorn for two and a half hours - all at 25 to 45 cents a minute??? HA HA HA HA!!!! Those are classic, but I haven't heard one like that in years.

Cordless, on the other hand, is fun because you usually know who is on the phone, especially in apartment complexes. People who use cordless phones act less concerned about being monitored and say more interesting stuff because, unlike cell users, they aren't out in public at the time. The "phone breakup" as I call it, is a much more common occurance here. God, its' some funny stuff..... Once a neighbor of ours was using her phone and went outside with it. I had a window open and she heard the scanner - she told the caller on the line that she was hearing an "echo". I turned the scanner down and she said "Hmm! It's gone now. I wonder what that was?". Hee hee, if she only knew...

-Phil

P.S. Ever listen in on someone calling their psychic? That's great stuff...My question is, if they're so damned psychic, why can't they call you? Oh well..;-)

1056. Re: Pro 2045 price drops again!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6ljvaojdq1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 157960

Babeesoft wrote:
>
> This happened on my scanner also the 2041. Bought it for 149.99 and the next
> day it went down to Clearence 129.99 and i told them but they said since it
> went on Clearence they cant do a price adjustment. What!!??!! So i returned it
> and bought it back..
> My two cents..
>
> Reinaga@hotmail.com
I just called the regional office and found out that I can get a refund
of $30 on one I bought on 5-9-98 That is 30 days......jerr1919

1057. Pro 90 Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "L.Peters" <fires@cjnetworks.com>
Message-ID: <357CE3C2.3637@cjnetworks.com>
Source record: 157983

I just called R.S. to price an additional battery pack for my Pro 90 and was told that it would cost $59.95. I was surprised when I first bought the 90 that it didn't have a separate power and charge plug in. And I was a little upset that I couldn't use regular NiCads in it. Now that I have been told the price for a spare batt. pack I'm P.Oed.We have the strong possibility of bad storms in the area this P.M. and I have a dead battery.Does anyone know of a cheaper after-market battery. Also is there a quick charger available.

1058. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <357c7fb2.93317@news>
Source record: 157984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>>aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com (Andrew Porrett) wrote:

>> You're talking about Pro-64's, right? I'm not familiar with them
>> or their PC interface; Can parameters like delay, lockout, mode,
>> priority channel(s), and search limits be programmed via the
>> interface?

>Apparently not. At least no one's figured out how
>to do any more than the channel number and frequency.

Yuck! That's almost as bad as the BC2500XLT - one global delay
setting, so either waste time on trunk systems or miss callbacks
on conventional channels.

So WX, ATIS, MDT, etc. channels have to be locked out manually;
Annoying, but I guess you get used to it...

At least the firmware locks out zeroed channels. It would be a
pain to go find them all.

...Andy

*** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

1059. headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: xavii@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6li342$o86$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157985

I am looking for some relatively cheap headphones, like bud type for using with my scanner. I want something like the secret service wear, just one ear. I looked around on the web for some, and at some stores with no luck. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1060. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6lhvvh$10n$0@207.18.224.48>
Source record: 157986
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

On 8 Jun 1998 17:04:37 GMT, Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com> wrote:

>John Miles <jmiles@pop.removethistomailme.net> wrote:
>>Kermit wrote:

>>Sounds like _you're_ the one who thinks he owns the highway, friend.
>
>
>
>Sounds to me like your a typical asshole "agressive driver", how about it ?
>"FRIEND"... am I right ? I see that kind of scum everyday, tailgating cars
>doing the speed limit, cutting off other drivers, giving them the finger,
>shooting across 4 lanes right before an exit. Why are you so sensitive to
>this issue ? most normal people would find it of no consequence if an
>unmarked patrol car drives by them. Only people that worry are the ones
>that suddenly find it necessary to alter their behavior (out of concern
>that they'll be caught)
>

So, he is an agressive driver, and you are a cop wannabee.

Clear to me!

>
>

1061. Re: New Pro2006's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060900240200.UAA01130@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157987
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>HTML>
Subject: Re: New Pro2006's
>From: Lucas45@my-dejanews.com
>Date: Mon, Jun 8, 1998 19:02 EDT
>Message-id: <6lhqio$baa$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
>
>In article <6lheq3$oqq$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
> Lucas45@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>>
>> I just went to Radio Shack. I bought two brand new,
>> still in the box Pro2006 scanners. The manager had
>> them in the storage room. He said that he tucked
>> them away four years ago because he thought that it
>> was illegal to sell them after the law went into
>> effect. He just recently learned that he could sell
>> them. Since they were so old, he sold them to me
>> for $240.00 each. I could only afford two of them.
>> He has four of them left. I inquired about Pro43's
>> but he said he sold the three that he had over on
>> Saturday to one guy. I am just tickled about my
>> scanners.
>>
>> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>>
>
>WOOHOO!!!!! I borrowed some money from my father and bought the other four
>scanners. The manager was thankful and sold them to me for $200.00 each. He
>had no idea what they were worth. This has been a banner day in my life. Now
>I have a question. If I hold on to these until Congress passes this new
>legislation being considered, will I be able to sell these for considerably
>more than they are worth now?
>
>------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

If you don't sell them before the law passes, you might not be able to
advertize them in any open forum without risk of arrest, according to some
accounts of the wording in the bill. Who knows which version the Senate might
pass.
Odd that these 6 brand new scanners could have been written off (6 times
$400 is $2400 in inventory unaccounted for during inventories every 6 months in
```

that Radio Shack store since 1994). Odd too that any Radio Shack store could have gotten 6 $400 scanners in stock at one time. Nobody in the Radio Shack organization ever checked the computer inventory in all those years looking for 2006's to find those in stock at that store when they could be bought for $29.95 (or some such low price) at some point between 1994 and now. The discontinued stuff "devalues" automatically every month or two you know. I have a friend who still works at RS do a search and found a 2035 at $99 (in February) and this was an amazing find. Many employees know how to do this type serach. Also, how is this manager is going to explain your $1040 in his cash register with no listed inventory sold? How is he going to explain sitting on the scanners for 4 years? He'd be awfully busy explaining how he soldered in enough phone batteries to get $1040 for one day's "miscellaneous income". Any trained RS manager knows if he took $1040 cash from you under the table there's a good chance that you were a company security person testing him. Either way, he's risking his job & reference or jail for your $1040.
By the way, were those sitting in the back room next to the World War II unused Jeeps still in the crates? Or were they behind Jimmy Hoffa's coffin?

Neutrodyne

1062. Re: Ham Radio gear for sale:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: avcbob@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060900345600.UAA06717@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157988
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Anything that has been out of the box is not "100% new" or "mint".
The cell modded scanner can't by any measure be considered 100% new.

>I have the following items for sale. All are in 100% new condition with
>no scratches, marks or flaws unless noted otherwise. All items are in
>100% working order:
>
>1. Kenwood TS-450S/AT with MC-60 Base mic and manual. This rig was used
>basically as a Shortwave receiver and has very very little TX time logged
>down. Its condition is 100% new in everyway, inside and out. The MC-60
>kenwood has a Heil HC-5 Element installed. This is a perfect compliment
>to the TS-450S/AT. Asking $900 OBO for the pair and will ship and pay for
>shipping anywhere in the US for this price.
>
>2. PK-232 MBX. 100% new condition inside and out. Included manual. Asking
>$175.00 and will ship anywhere in the USA for this price.
>
>3. Icom 229H 2 meter transeiver. This is the only item that I have for
>sale that is not 100% in new condition. The Heat sink was painted black a
>few yrs ago and some of the paint along the edges are chipping. I've
>touched them all up and it looks fine now, but it's still a flaw which
>prevents it from beeing 100% in new condition. Also, the microhone has
>the first foot of cord from the connector stretched somewhat making it
>have less than a perfect coil comming from the connector. I think the
>coil can be retrained by wraping it around a pencil for a few days or so.
>Otherwise, this rig is in 100% working order. This is the only 2 meter
>rig I have ever had that was 100% bulletproof. Probably a characteristic
>of Icoms quality. Asking $200 or any reasonable offer. Includes manual
>and box. This was my packet rig used with the PK-232. Can ship the packet
>cord with it if you like to match icom rigs.
>
>4. Astron RS-50M power supply. This was always more power supply than I
>needed. Bought new and use to run a packet station. This is in 100% new
>condition without a scratch or flaw on it. It runs quiet and cool. Its
>pretty heavy so I wont pay for shipping. Asking $160 for this power
>supply. Prefer pick-up or you pay for shipping. Shipping will depend on
>where you are located. Let me know your location and I can find out what
>it would cost to ship.
>
>5. Radio shack Pro-51 Scanner. Perfect condition with charger. Included
>rechargable batteries. This has the Cellular mod performed on it. Asking
>$125.00 for this handheld scanner.
>

>I live in Sacramento, California. I'm clearing out most of my ham gear to
>make more room for my computer gear. Please inquire about any of these
>items that you may have questions about. Thank you for your time.
>
> Ralph
>

1063. Re: Weirdest Thing Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: stmagnum@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060901352900.VAA10968@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157989

A DoD investigator I know told me of a case he worked some years ago. Seems someone was stealing paper out of the "paper only" dumpster at a particular Army installation. He set up a "remote observation post" in a dumpster across the street and managed to photograph the bad guys in action. The charge? Espionage? Treason? Nope. Theft. The company that owned the dumpster made money recycling that paper, and anything placed in the dumpster became their property.

Go figure.

-- St. Magnum

"The early bird may get the worm,
but the second mouse gets the cheese."

1064. WTB - "Spectra-Display" Unit from GTI Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bush143@postoffice.worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6li6pb$neq@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I am looking for a spectrum display unit which, when connected to a receiver and oscilloscope, can provide a spectrum display of a received signal.

If you have the "Spectra-Display" unit from GTI Electronics, or a similar unit with the same capability for sale, at a reasonable price, please let me know. I mistakenly passed up on the GTI unit which someone sold for $75 a few months ago because I did not understand what is actually does. Thanks!

1065. FS YUPITERU MVT 9000 $425

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060902402600.WAA20008@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157991

brand new only 3 months old comes with all factory accessories, box, and nicads,also a nice yupiteru case. all for 425 plus shipping.

1066. Re: AA5DQ Making illeagal use of Ham Bands!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc5egg@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6li9c3$cqr@sjx-ixn11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157992
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In <6ld7bg$ia05@odie.mcleod.net> "Lloyd, N0VFP"
<davies.lloyd@mcloedusa.net> writes:
>
>AA5HQ aka Stan, is attempting promote a ISP over 3950 tonite, Him and
K5BLB,
>WB0CXJ and possibly KK7HO were making illegal buisness deals. AA5HQ
was
>asking K5BLB to "send the money to me" so Stan can get "commission"
Then
>WB4AIO (Kevin Strom) was trying in a peaceful manner, telling them not
to do
>it, WB0CXJ and AA5HQ said they were going to "snuff Kevin out"
>
>The ISP is called "Flashnet" You should contact them and inform them
of
>the activity.
>
>
They were doing the same thing on Thursday night. That old hamcop
KK7HO was right in the middle of it. Sid issued a diatribe about not
needing any credit card as he always pays cash. All quite amusing and
contrary to Part 97. We simply must remind Tim of this the next time he
goes on a tear about someone else conducting business on the bands.

1067. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rosso@apk.net
Message-ID: <357caa1d.21625625@news.apk.net>
Source record: 157993

On Mon, 08 Jun 1998 15:05:00 -0400, "Mr. Clem" <not@home.here> wrote:

>Mike Derryberry wrote:
>> Really sucks when you can't turn in someone doing something illegal just
>> because you heard it by listening to cordless phones. It may be illegal to
>> listen to cordless phones, but do 2 wrongs make a right??? Think about
>> it...
>>
>Absolutely right, we should turn you in for your illegal activities
>(listening to cordless phones). Please post your name and address so we
>may take action.

Cordless phones are boring, cell phones are much more interesting to
listen too, so I've been told :)
David J. Rosso
.....
Visit My Homepage at:
http://members.aol.com/davidrosso
AOL Instant Messanger: David1732

1068. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bikn4evr@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060903352900.XAA01918@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157994

>Sounds like _you're_ the one who thinks he owns the highway, friend.
>
>-- jm

I got a bumper sticker on my truck that says..." THIS TRUCK PAID IN $23,000.00 IN ROAD TAXES LAST YEAR"
You r damn right I own the road!!!! LOL

1069. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bikn4evr@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998060903330000.XAA27293@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157995

> instant
>"halo" effect....everyone around you, suddenly becomes
>law abiding.

Yea......sounds GOOD on the surface, don't it?
('cept that when they DO "become law-abiding",
they keep ME from going as fast as I want to go 'cause they're usually all
AROUND me. ;)

1070. John Mackey, KK7HO and Robert L. Bass should all get together!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Welch Family <nwelch@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <357C96E3.30D5@frontiernet.net>
Source record: 157996
Cross-posted to: news.test,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.aviation.student

Yes, all three of you should get together and form your own News Group!

You all harass News Group's with stupid posts!

I think John, Tim and Robert should form thier own News Group!

1071. Re: New Pro2006's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: sokratys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <357db0be.1070589@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157997

no - I saw them myself in that first indiana jones movie - they were
sitting in the bin right next to where they tucked the ark of the
covenant away....

> By the way, were those sitting in the back room next to the World War II
>unused Jeeps still in the crates? Or were they behind Jimmy Hoffa's coffin?
>
>Neutrodyne

1072. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357cbe56.301140415@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157998

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Andy Moss <enzo@ibm.net> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Gawd....he's swooning on that again? You know, I asked
> > the degenerate reprobate to tell me exactly WHAT was the
> > proper tool for the adjustment in question.....and he
> > never did reply. Yet I specified a #3 flatblade jewelers
> > screwdriver from Radio Shack....which is about as exact
> > and specific as it gets. And yes, I said it was Okay....
>
> I actually *found* the right adjustment tool for those pesky TCXO
> modules (a la PRO2004/5/6). You know the ones with the small, flat,
> cross-hatch pattern? It was at the Rochester fleamarket the other
> weekend. The fella wanted 24$USD for it. It's a stubby thing made of
> porcelain. I didn't bother getting one though. Much as I don't want to
> take sides in this "issue", a small screwdriver and a gentle hand does
> work fine by me.

Of course! I'd never have posted the procedure if
I hadn't had it worked out to a gnat's whisker.

Ironic, though, just last night, i had a pesky PRO-2006
on the bench with an odd problem of VHF & UHF-Lo being
right on track. But UHF-hi was 5 kHz off. The VCO's
were set right. So I checked the TCXO....and it was
close, not that it would have caused the above issue....
but since I was there, I MADE a "proper" tool out of a
thin fiberglass rod.

....and compared it to two other tools, one the jewelers
screwdriver I originally recommended, and the other, a
plastic wand with a head that fits so-so. Insertion of
ANY of the three made absolutely no difference in the
output. Which is to be expected.....understanding that
particular TCXO where all the "live" stuff is below the
grounded adjustment head.

Nah, this issue isn't one of "taking sides" anyway. It's
an issue of what can work with minimal chance of error for
the grass-roots hobbyist.....which happens to be my forte`,
translating high technology to layman's level.

In this case, Mackey knows enough to be dangerous, and uses that limited minuscule thimbleful to attack me. Hell, he doesn't have a clue to the TCXO we're talking about anyway. He shoots from the hip and wonder's why his foot has a hole in it.

Anyway.....it is pretty slick to zero-beat that TCXO with WWV at 10 MHz. EASY to get within a hertz or so....for all of five minutes with most of that for setup and restore.

Back to the issue I had last night.....anything come to mind right off as to what could cause 800 MHz to be 5 kHz off and the rest of the scanner pretty much right on? Doesn't make much sense to me right off.....but that's how the apples rolled anyway.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1073. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoomr@skypoint.com
Message-ID: <357cc19f.591761@news.skypoint.com>
Source record: 157999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Many areas are putting up cameras to watch intersections. You might look to see if there is any camera visible, though many are so small that it wouldn't be noticible.

1074. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357dc119.301847276@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158000

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com (Andrew Porrett) wrote:

>
> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:
>
> >>aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com (Andrew Porrett) wrote:
>
> >> You're talking about Pro-64's, right? I'm not familiar with them
> >> or their PC interface; Can parameters like delay, lockout, mode,
> >> priority channel(s), and search limits be programmed via the
> >> interface?
>
> >Apparently not. At least no one's figured out how
> >to do any more than the channel number and frequency.
>
> Yuck! That's almost as bad as the BC2500XLT - one global delay
> setting, so either waste time on trunk systems or miss callbacks
> on conventional channels.
>
> So WX, ATIS, MDT, etc. channels have to be locked out manually;
> Annoying, but I guess you get used to it...

I guess so. But it is annoying enough that I am
thinking about connecting the CE-232 Interface to
it for that very purpose of catching all the
individual Delays and LockOuts....

I'd do it in a heartbeat but for the 45-sec it takes
to AutoLoad 400 freqs the TxD way and 8-mins by
the CE-232's keypress emulation.

> At least the firmware locks out zeroed channels. It would be a
> pain to go find them all.

I suppose. The scanner does have a LockOut Review that's
handy once you do have a bunch of lockouts set.

Small gratuity, I guess.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\

bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1075. HAM RADIOS and FORT WORTH, TEXAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: xxtgray@reborn.com
Message-ID: <6lihit$aol@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 158001

I'd be interested in becoming involved in ham radios, but I'm not sure how to begin. I live in Fort Worth, Texas. If you know any information, please pass it on . . .

-Ray

--

_____
---
to reply with email, please
remove the "xx" before the address

1076. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357CD0B2.689B@parg.org>
Source record: 158002

> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> > Lets
> > ALL stop the flames & nasty comments IMMEDIATELY! Those who agree
> > or disagree with this will demonstrate their TRUE intentions for this

allsafe wrote:
> I don't like you. You're worthless. I'm gonna continue to post whatever
> I feel like posting. I amuse myself at your expense. I'll never stop. Get
> used to it.

Too bad, allsafe! I had hoped you would support ending the flames
rather than trying to continue them.

how pathetic you are, I feel sorry for you.

1077. Need San Antonio Skywarn Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Shapiro <matzoman@flash.net>
Message-ID: <357CEEBD.3E9516ED@flash.net>
Source record: 158003

I will be in the San Antonio area at the end of july and I want to know
where I can get the SKYWARN Freq's for that area.

Thanks,

Dave
matzoman@flash.net

1078. Re: The Death of John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357ed51b.306970348@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 158004

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com> wrote:

> Infamous Internet stalker John Mackey was found dead at his dingy
> studio apartment in Portland, Oregon last night.....

<Sigh>

Why couldn't there have been some torture first?
Especially on video tape so everyone could more
appropriately lament or celebrate his passing?

'Sniff.....

1079. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nicholas McLarty <nickm@texas.net>
Message-ID: <357CDCFA.C9972AEF@texas.net>
Source record: 158005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Many areas are now beginning to install a traffic monitoring system which consists of sensors embedded in the road which detect the speed of the traffic flow through that location. The data from these sensors are then sent through those antennas to a central receiving point. You're probably looking at the transmitter for such setups.

Reverend Tweek wrote:
>
> Caught an odd sight this morning... twice. Riding into work this morning,
> I noticed at two separate intersection, a dipole antenna (two oposing horiz
> monopoles mounted on a junction box with a cable coming out ot the box)
> laying (maybe duct taped) on top of the signal head arms of one of the
> light posts.
>
> [No, this was not a Ricochet Modem sort of setup]
>
> This was in Pleasant Hill, Calif. along Taylor Blvd and the two
> intersections I noticed the antennas at were Taylor/Norse and Taylor/Ruth.
>
> The antenna looked like it was just layed on the signal head, and was not
> in a horizontal or vertical orientation, but rather, the orientation was
> just that way because that's the way it layed on the signal arms.
>
> The length of the antennas were about that which would be appropriate
> for VHF-hi use. Using the FCC database, I don't find any Pleasant Hill
> licenses for VHF (453, 460, 465 and 860 only).
>
> I did not see any antennas at any additional PH signals which I passed
> after that this morning (Taylor/Willow Pass/CCB, CCB/Viking, CCB/Concord)
> but I have an odd feeling I might find a set at Taylor/Morello as well
> as at Taylor/Apollo. I'll check tommorrow morning, and if I do, that
> may be a clue as to what these are for... just recently, the four
> intersections (the two with the antennas and the two I suspect might
> have them) had vehicle sensors placed in the bicycle lane... I can
> assure you that these sensors don't do diddly as far as changing the
> signal when a bike crosses them... so I wonder what Big Bro might be
> up to.
>
> Anyone with any other ideas what these might be for? I think I'm
> gonna try to mount my freq counter on my bike for tomorrow morning's
> commute. (if it's under 175 mhz, my counter should read it.)
>
> --

> --
> | Had your share of stoopid(tm) people? | |
> | View the "Contra Costa Whines" at | [This space for lease] |
> | http://www.io.com/~tweek/cocowhine/ | |

--
NICHOLAS R. McLARTY, EMT-B/S, BCLS-H, OES, TS (nickm@texas.net)
Amateur Radio Operator KC5IUZ
PGP Fingerprint 4728 D710 7A46 13B7 EE04 2253 9D64 41C3 5F87 5173
: Flight Officer, Civil Air Patrol
Personnel Officer, Lackland Cadet Squadron, Texas Wing
: Cadet Major, Air Force Junior ROTC
Chief, Mgt Info Svcs Div, Class of 1998, Texas 882nd AFJROTC Group

1080. Re: RadioShack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <dlambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <6lim12$369$1@ramp2.tir.com>
Source record: 158006

Take it back and get the Uniden BC235XLT. The Pro 90 is made by
Uniden for Radio Shack and is the EXACT SAME RADIO. When you buy the
Uniden, you get an extra battery pack and can usually find one for about
$230 new.

--

Dean
dlambo@tir.com

****
To Reply, Remove the "55" from my E-Mail address
or click on the email address just above this header
Anti Spam (junk mail) Measures In Effect
****

Visit the home of the Pontiac Miniature Aircraft Club:
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hangar/4484/index.html

Visit my personal home page at
http://www.tir.com/~dlambo/index.htm

Thomas Perry wrote in message <357BF981.760B4699@voyager.net>...
>I just bought a Pro-90 from RadioShack for $299. It is my first
>scanner. Is this a good portable? I will be working security at
>Michigan Speedway this summer, and wanted something good. I thought it
>was a bunch of money, but hoped it was worth it.
>
>Would appreciate comments from any Pro-90 users out there, and
>especially those that don't like them. (because I can still take it
>back!)
>
>Thanks for any help!
>Tom Perry
>

1081. Re: Pro 90 Feedback Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <dlambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <6lilre$33p$1@ramp2.tir.com>
Source record: 158007

Sounds like you need to take it back to Rat-Shack. Better yet, take it back
and get the Uniden version, you get more for your $$$.

--

Dean
dlambo@tir.com

****
To Reply, Remove the "55" from my E-Mail address
or click on the email address just above this header
Anti Spam (junk mail) Measures In Effect
****

Visit the home of the Pontiac Miniature Aircraft Club:
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hangar/4484/index.html

Visit my personal home page at
http://www.tir.com/~dlambo/index.htm

Dweezel wrote in message <6lifs4ifg1@nnrp4.snfc21.pbi.net>...
>Have a RS Pro 90, and once in a while I get loud feedback type squeaking
>when the volume is turned up. If I tap on the front of the scanner it goes
>away. I think it may be something internal, but RS is telling me there is
>nothing wrong with it. Anyone else have this problem with the 90 or the
>Uniden model, and how can I resolve it?
>
>

1082. Re: Pro 90 Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <dlambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <6liloo$32r$1@ramp2.tir.com>
Source record: 158008

Try taking your battery pack to your local hobby store (might wanna
call first). Odds are they can solder one together for you for under 20
bucks....with higher capacity Ni-cads to boot.

--

Dean
dlambo@tir.com

****
To Reply, Remove the "55" from my E-Mail address
or click on the email address just above this header
Anti Spam (junk mail) Measures In Effect
****

Visit the home of the Pontiac Miniature Aircraft Club:
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hangar/4484/index.html

Visit my personal home page at
http://www.tir.com/~dlambo/index.htm

L.Peters wrote in message <357CE3C2.3637@cjnetworks.com>...
>I just called R.S. to price an additional battery pack for my Pro 90 and
>was told that it would cost $59.95. I was surprised when I first bought
>the 90 that it didn't have a separate power and charge plug in. And I
>was a little upset that I couldn't use regular NiCads in it. Now that I
>have been told the price for a spare batt. pack I'm P.Oed.We have the
>strong possibility of bad storms in the area this P.M. and I have a dead
>battery.Does anyone know of a cheaper after-market battery. Also is
>there a quick charger available.

1083. Re: The Death of John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6limvm$92@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 158009

In <357ed51b.306970348@news2.cts.com> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
writes:
>
>Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com> wrote:
>
>> Infamous Internet stalker John Mackey was found dead at his dingy
>> studio apartment in Portland, Oregon last night.....
>
><Sigh>
>
>Why couldn't there have been some torture first?
>Especially on video tape so everyone could more
>appropriately lament or celebrate his passing?
>
>'Sniff.....
>

totally inappropriate response

when shit dries, it
just blows away...
allow him to do same

bill conduit
etc

1084. Re: Need San Antonio Skywarn Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nicholas McLarty <nickm@texas.net>
Message-ID: <357CE008.6BA308C9@texas.net>
Source record: 158010

The primary SKYWARN net is operated on 146.940, for Bexar County. The secondary is 146.820. The South Texas SKYWARN net, with net control at the National Weather Service is on 147.140.

Dave Shapiro wrote:
>
> I will be in the San Antonio area at the end of july and I want to know
> where I can get the SKYWARN Freq's for that area.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Dave
> matzoman@flash.net

--
NICHOLAS R. McLARTY, EMT-B/S, BCLS-H, OES, TS (nickm@texas.net)
Amateur Radio Operator KC5IUZ
PGP Fingerprint 4728 D710 7A46 13B7 EE04 2253 9D64 41C3 5F87 5173
: Flight Officer, Civil Air Patrol
Personnel Officer, Lackland Cadet Squadron, Texas Wing
: Cadet Major, Air Force Junior ROTC
Chief, Mgt Info Svcs Div, Class of 1998, Texas 882nd AFJROTC Group

1085. Re: Used police red and blue light bar wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tron@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3580ed1c.923482@news1.iserv.net>
Source record: 157661
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 10 Jun 1998 12:57:06 -0500, "Scrappy"
<REMOVEpetesmith@mindless.com> wrote:

>Gotta get me a red and blue light bar for the top of my car!

Gotta get you a little boy to molest behind your plexiglass too???

1086. Re: The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6lmrhp$3n@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 157662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley (pjsmalley@ccgate.hac.com) writes:
> I knew it. First Mackerel the Gay Blade, and now Emilio "Captain
> Scanner" Goldstein is back with more ridiculous trolling lies.
>
Maybe he should be added to the a FAQ file... I know my bullshit32.vmd
alert goes off everytime I see his name. I quess the looneybin has
Internet access ;-)

1087. Re: Pro 91 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: wgreene@gate.net
Message-ID: <wgreene-1006981716580001@pslfl2-119.gate.net>
Source record: 157663
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I agree, had a Pro 91 and compared it to a Bearcat 220 and it reception
was disgraceful. Returned it> Mike G.

In article <6ll8d1cim1@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>, Delta513@webtv.net
(Kevin Vocht) wrote:

--WebTV-Mail-339060148-4012

I just picked up one today and am going to return it.....audio is
"tinny".....weak reception on 800 Mhz.....My Bearcat860 base can
pick up signals that it (the PRO 91) cannot....even when I hooked the
PRO to the Bearcat's outdoor antenna.....

Don't bother picking one up......

--WebTV-Mail-339060148-4012
Content-Description: signature
Content-Disposition: INLINE

"Under darken skies, we'll see the light"

--WebTV-Mail-339060148-4012--

1088. wtb: scanner master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: brink1@nassau.cv.net
Message-ID: <357ef778.7808837@news-server>
Source record: 157664

Want to buy Scanner Master for Metro New York....Email me if you have
one..Must be fairly recent
Brian

1089. Re: Pro 37 schematic wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357ef745.111614843@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157665

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

westey@cableol.co.uk wrote:

> Hi All
>
> I'm looking for a Pro 37 schematic so I can repair my broken scanner!!!
>
> seriously any help would be very much appreciated

Did you call Tandy Service Center and ask them?
If no luck, contact me privately and I may be able
to steer you to some assistance on that matter.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1090. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lmv7g$ids@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You've got the wrong person. It is "Emilio Goldstein" who you meant to reply to.
This wasn't my story.

powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:

> WOW and you lived to tell that story.. ?
>
> In Canada...You would have been charged with obstruction of Justice , Weapons
> Dangerous , and you very well may have been shot on sight!
>
> Laser sights are not legal here , however thibgs get in Canada and we have no
> control over it...
>
> However I can assure you if this story is real...The officers would have /
> should have shot to kill on site.
>
> At night...with a laser sight on one of em...!! Gimme a break!
>
> I know from experiance that the worse nightmere an officer can face is that a
> perosn is NOT welding a legal weapon , and that of a toy , and the officer
> kills you only to find out it was a toy...this is uncommon , however but does
> happen .
>
> No officer ever heads on patrol with the intent or much less the thought of
> having to use deadly force, however given what I read , they would have been
> justifyed by doing so in your case.
>
> Your lucky to be alive...did you buy a Lotto ticket , seeing as your luck is
> rather good ?
>
> My god...its ppl like you who give the rest of us a bad name in RE to
> scanners!
>
> - SIgh -
>
> In article <6lm38o$irh@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>,
> jtonsa@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> >
> > First, I must say this isn't an original story. I remember this same plot from
> > a Seinfeld episode from some time ago. Second, you do realize the cop (at whom
> > "you" - supposedly - flashed the laser) thought the laser dot was from a gun.
> >
> > Emilio Goldstein wrote:
> >

> > > Boy, they do not have much internet access in jail. Well i'm glad that's
> > > over.
> > > I'm all set for loads of fun! i spent $149 for a super laser-pen. It
> > > shoots red laser dot the length of a football field. I tried this last
> > > night and it's great. This sheriff or constable or someone says over the
> > > radio that he's going to pick up this guy who has a warrant. It turns out
> > > that that was where I was going happen to be located anyway, so I drove all
> > > the way to this fugitives house and parked down the block. When the
> > > officer showed up, I landed a little red dot right in his chest, and he
> > > kind of freaqued. He called dispatch and said hey I've got this little red
> > > dot on my chest and it follows me around. I can hear it all on my scanner
> > > radio. Anyway they sent him a backup and when the backup arrived, the red
> > > dot dissapeared.
> > > So they get on the radio and say that the red dot is gone, and what the
> > > heck , and when the backup turns around, the red dot shows up again. I can
> > > hear it all on the scanner-rama. It was a load of fun.
> > > So they take this criminal to jail , and I happen to pull up next to them
> > > at a stop light, and presto there's this little red dot on the guy's right
> > > hand. I can see him looking it over , and anyway he missed the green light
> > > and some guy behind toots his horn. I make a quick right turn and get
> > > away.
> > > later the guy is talking to the other guy on the channel about the little
> > > red dot, and how strange it is but I don't care. I got my rights. They
> > > shoot radio signals through my body, I got a right to listen to them, and I
> > > got a right to shoot little red laser beams through the window of the squad
> > > car.
> >
> > --
> > Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
> > RySoft Corporation
> >
> > http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/
> >
> > For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.
> >
> > NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
> > Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
> > nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a
> > download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
> > E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
> >
> >
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1091. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lmvc9$ids@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I also wish and would appreciate you LOOKing AT WHO YOU ARE REPLYING TO, *BEFORE*
you make INSULTS! You just basically "humiliated" the wrong guy in front of the
entire Internet.

Nice going! How does it feel making enemies on your first post!?

powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:

> WOW and you lived to tell that story.. ?
>
> In Canada...You would have been charged with obstruction of Justice , Weapons
> Dangerous , and you very well may have been shot on sight!
>
> Laser sights are not legal here , however thibgs get in Canada and we have no
> control over it...
>
> However I can assure you if this story is real...The officers would have /
> should have shot to kill on site.
>
> At night...with a laser sight on one of em...!! Gimme a break!
>
> I know from experiance that the worse nightmere an officer can face is that a
> perosn is NOT welding a legal weapon , and that of a toy , and the officer
> kills you only to find out it was a toy...this is uncommon , however but does
> happen .
>
> No officer ever heads on patrol with the intent or much less the thought of
> having to use deadly force, however given what I read , they would have been
> justifyed by doing so in your case.
>
> Your lucky to be alive...did you buy a Lotto ticket , seeing as your luck is
> rather good ?
>
> My god...its ppl like you who give the rest of us a bad name in RE to
> scanners!
>
> - SIgh -
>
> In article <6lm38o$irh@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>,
> jtonsa@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> >
> > First, I must say this isn't an original story. I remember this same plot from
> > a Seinfeld episode from some time ago. Second, you do realize the cop (at whom
> > "you" - supposedly - flashed the laser) thought the laser dot was from a gun.

> >
> > Emilio Goldstein wrote:
> >
> > > Boy, they do not have much internet access in jail. Well i'm glad that's
> > > over.
> > > I'm all set for loads of fun! i spent $149 for a super laser-pen. It
> > > shoots red laser dot the length of a football field. I tried this last
> > > night and it's great. This sheriff or constable or someone says over the
> > > radio that he's going to pick up this guy who has a warrant. It turns out
> > > that that was where I was going happen to be located anyway, so I drove all
> > > the way to this fugitives house and parked down the block. When the
> > > officer showed up, I landed a little red dot right in his chest, and he
> > > kind of freaqued. He called dispatch and said hey I've got this little red
> > > dot on my chest and it follows me around. I can hear it all on my scanner
> > > radio. Anyway they sent him a backup and when the backup arrived, the red
> > > dot dissapeared.
> > > So they get on the radio and say that the red dot is gone, and what the
> > > heck , and when the backup turns around, the red dot shows up again. I can
> > > hear it all on the scanner-rama. It was a load of fun.
> > > So they take this criminal to jail , and I happen to pull up next to them
> > > at a stop light, and presto there's this little red dot on the guy's right
> > > hand. I can see him looking it over , and anyway he missed the green light
> > > and some guy behind toots his horn. I make a quick right turn and get
> > > away.
> > > later the guy is talking to the other guy on the channel about the little
> > > red dot, and how strange it is but I don't care. I got my rights. They
> > > shoot radio signals through my body, I got a right to listen to them, and I
> > > got a right to shoot little red laser beams through the window of the squad
> > > car.
> >
> > --
> > Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
> > RySoft Corporation
> >
> > http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/
> >
> > For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.
> >
> > NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
> > Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
> > nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a
> > download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
> > E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
> >
> >
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1092. Re: The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357ff7f9.111794838@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157668

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@ccgate.hac.com> wrote:

> I knew it. First Mackerel the Gay Blade, and now Emilio "Captain
> Scanner" Goldstein is back with more ridiculous trolling lies.
>
> Notice any simularities folks? I do. I think they are one and the same.

Me, too. I checked with an etymologist who stated
that "Emilio Goldstein" in an obscure combinatorial
derivative of Yiddish and Farsi......

> I have also heard people say that ignoring them never works.

Nah, it usually doesn't.

> Anyone who says that has never tried to ignore these losers.

You know I have. I've ignored Smacksie and Queenstrom
for weeks on end, and they still try.....and it is easy
to ignore them on account of their wretched ignorance.

> I have personally seen
> the effects of an entire discussion group ignoring trolls. Some jerk
> posts an obvious troll, and the whole group acts as if it never
> happened. No replies, no "God that was stupid", nothing. The message
> expires and the loser moves on. It's that simple. Try it and see.

Yeah, but that's different. A troll from an unknown is
easy to pass off. And the unknown certainly goes looking
for better pickings somewhere else. But take Smacksie
and Queenstrom....they ain't going away. But then
Smacksie is just plumb stupid and Queenie is such the
epitome of truth-telling. Betwixt Queenie and Smacksie,
they've called more people "liars" than any fifty others
down through the course of history.

But I digress..... I don't know if Emilio will ever go
away because if people ignore him, he'll just reincarnate
as Smacksie......

Besides, those popinjays are fun. It's EASY to get a rise
out of them. Just poke 'em in the poopy a little and watch

'em dance, sing, whine, snivel, and call people "liars".
I fondly refer to these popinjays as "our mascots"......

But yeah, you're right about the unknowns.

> My 2 Cents,
> -Phil

Add my 2 cents to make it a nickel. :-)

1093. WTB: Uniden BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@chucks2way.com
Message-ID: <357effe2.102574888@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 157669

I hear that this BCT-7 is supposed to be a great scanner... My question is what is so wonderful about this one?

Please when replying, reply via e-mail too, as I don't frequent this NG often..

thanks...

-Chuck

1094. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lmvdn$ids@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157670

I think Darrell's idea is a good one. Maybe it will get rid of Emilio...

MAlexder wrote:

> >Subject: Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?
> >From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Darrell A. Larose)
>
> >HEY, Emilio how about going to a USAF live fire weapons range and
> >shine your laser at an incoming LGB
> >
> >
>
> Geez, don't you folks get it? He's yanking your chain. Looks like he pulled on
> yours real hard!

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1095. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lmvf5$ids@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157671
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Prepare to be flamed - I'm serious. I posted a binary a long time ago to
a newsgroup, and I couldn't forget it for about three months.

Squeeze the Weeze wrote:

> This about sez it all.....
>
> PS. (I know, Sorry about the binary here, but i couldn't resist!)
> --
> -skweezieweezie
>
> ` X o'
> -----ooo-0-ooo-----
> ~
>
> Name: loser2.wav
> loser2.wav Type: Wave Sound (audio/wav)
> Encoding: x-uuencode

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and
all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is
subject to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1096. Re: Need your humble opinion(s)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lmvnj$ids@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157672

First, all scanners since 1994 made or imported legally here have the cell frequencies blocked. All scanners since August 1997 have firmware blockings of cell frqs, so they cannot be modified at all.

Second, listening to both cordless and cellular conversations is illegal. I know you're cool, though.

I bought a Pro-64 for $150 at Radio Shack. Great scanner - it has frequency sort, a pc interface, triple conversion (sometimes though a bad thing :), and auto frequency loading (useful for something like loading all active amateur repeaters into a bank).

Hope this helps.

Ryan wrote:

> I first want to thank you guys for my earlier problem. I was dreading
> the reset, but I had to do it. For the info, it is a Uniden Bearcat
> SC150. But it ended up being a good thing. I needed to clean it out. and
>
> I updated my old freqs.
>
> Now, if you guys had about 150 bux to spend on a scanner, what would you
>
> buy?
> I would prefer one that can pick up the cell/cordless phone frequencies.
>
> The SC150, is not mine. I am doing a extended barrow, you could say. But
>
> I have to return it soon, Im considering buying that model..... I know
> 150 bux aint much. Maybe I can get more...
>
> Anyway, what would you all buy?

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.

E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1097. Best Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061022111500.SAA28431@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157673

Can some one tell me which is about the Most affordable and User freindly Frequency Data base Cd rom that works with the OS535 Pro2042

Thanks
Dave

1098. ICOM R-1 Scanning Receiver for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <357F0317.207A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an excellent condition Icom R-1 for sale. This tiny handheld has
AM FM and WFM Continuous coverage from .1 to 1300 MHz. Not blocked.
It comes with AA battery pack antenna and charger. $275 Shipped
73 Chris

1099. Re: Ncc Delaware Fire Tac Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <Jeff171@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6ln071$do0$1@news.jersey.net>
Source record: 157675

```
im not from your area, but do they use a repeater, maybe they turned off the
repeater freq
--
Jeff171
Reply in Newsgroup, do not send e-mail!
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
---
```

1100. Spare Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <01bd947e$d1a0ac00$927596d1@default>
Source record: 157676
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Spare or Replacement battery packs for Uniden BC235XLT / Radio Shack PRO-90.

$31.00

Charging kit for above: contains battery as well as the craddle to chage the battery in at the same time as you charge the radio.

$38.00

Shipped to most USA locations. Prices include shipping if pre-paid. FED-X C.O.D. aslo available for additional cost.

Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or at2comm@juno.com

(410) 360-2260 Ext. *3

2926 Golden Fleece Dr.
Pasadena, MD 21122

1101. FS: RADIO EQUIPTMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Heatwole <heatwole@shell.clark.net>
Message-ID: <6ln2iemtc3@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 157677

Hello. I have a few radio idems that I have for sale. Please e-mail me at heatwole@clark.net to get a full list. Please also include your e-mail address. I will send it as part of a regular e-mail and it will include product descriptions, conditions, and prices.

Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net
KB3CBW, Tech Plus

1102. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357F1056.26C3@parg.org>
Source record: 157678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Howling387 wrote:

> Allegedly, John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:>
> >NNTP-Posting-Host: usr26-dialup36.mix1.sacramento.mci.net

I did indeed make that post, Howling!

> Why would someone want to forge a post from Mackey? His (or whomever) post
> originated from Sacramento, CA.

I use a nation wide ISP because my work causes me to occasionally
hav to jet across the country to complete necessary tasks, This causes
me to occasionally post from locations other than Oregon or Iowa.

I thank you for your support & willingness to bring this possible
breach to my attention!

1103. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357F117F.11A9@parg.org>
Source record: 157679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Brad Cuppy wrote:
> I know these ideas are NOT POLITICALLY CORRECT but I and many of
> you are sick of political correctness !

Brad - that's the spirit!!

Be brave & pursue, "politically correct" is NOT always correct.
Infact, often times it is not! Don't be intimidated by others
who will try to sway you, venture forward with the spirit
of thought that inspired you to act in the first place and
continue forth until victory is in our hands! That is the
basis of America, that is what has maintained this NG as a
safe & respectable place in the face of unrest by loathsome
individuals, & that will preserve our rights!

THAT is how we will maintain our right in the scanning world!

1104. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357F12BF.70D5@parg.org>
Source record: 157680

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> The American War Of Independence was
> not fought over individual rights...

WRONG! Haven't you heard the saying of "NO taxation without representation"? THAT in itself was over an individual's right to representation. "Individual rights" was the very basis of the American War of Independence!

> you...whoever they are...are you a saint

Thanks !!!!

But really, I can't claim credit for it all!

1105. Re: Mods on BC 80 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale K <not@available>
Message-ID: <EuCy6x.By7@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 157681

JCRE has them for $119.95. http://www.jcre.com/scanner.htm

Don't know about mods- need to search ftp sites and other scanner club web sites for info.

Dave Mac wrote in message <357E490B.1C07@ix.netcom.com>...
>I am thinking of buying a Bearcat 80 XLT as my first scanner. The lowest
>price I've found is $129.00
>
>My first question is, Is this a good first scanner at this price?
>
>Secondly, Are there many modifications I can do for military, aircraft,
>cellular etc.?
>
>I have quite a bit of electronic experience so the degree of difficulty
>of mods is not the issue but rather available materials (schematics,
>instructions etc.)
>
>
>
> Thanks,
> D. Mac

1106. For Sale Pro 2006 With OS456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tal35@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061023513700.TAA11004@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157682

Have a pro2006 with the optoscan 456 board installed , exc. cond. 400.00 firm.
If interested please Email me @ Tal35@aol.com or Tal35@favmail.com

1107. Re: Used police red and blue light bar wanted -- Tekbir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tekbir <wbeachy@erols.com>
Message-ID: <357F2056.6DE9@erols.com>
Source record: 157683
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Scrappy wrote:
>
> Gotta get me a red and blue light bar for the top of my car!
>
> --
> Area 50 research Center
> Trying damn hard to catch
> up to are 51, and we just
> might do it. (If we're lucky)
> ...maybe!
>
> AND: Janet Reno is a hot babe! all at:
>
> HTTP://www.winternet.com/~yomammy
>
> remove REMOVE from e-mail to reply by e-mail

How about settling for a neon sign reading "Hi, I'm a loser and an
idiot!"

What are you thinking (assuming you HAVE a brain, of course)?

Tekbir
--

1108. For sale: Radio Shack handheld parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tal35@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061023592700.TAA12041@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157684

Have a pro 51 for parts only, front half of case is ok, back half bad, has
both circuit boards not complete,
Pro 46 for parts only, case ok , circuit boards missing some parts .Make offer.
Please Email me @ tal35@aol.com or Tal35@favmail.com Thanks

1109. Re: Need your humble opinion(s)!(Me AGAIN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <357F1E6D.D4DE9972@flash.net>
Source record: 157685

I knew it was illegal, :-)
I really wanted to be able to modify it if possible, to pick up cell/cordless phones (ive seen some european ones, but for like $350!). I can now, due to some new income (YEAH), I can now spend up to 250 to 300. I have picked up cordless phones at freqs. at about 900 - 925 mgz. I know its illegal, but I keep it to myself, and dont use it for personal gain, or black male. So far I dont even know the people. I guess Im just to nosy. Sometimes the cops get boring. :-)

So without the cell/cordless phone capability what would you guys buy?

BTW, I'll look into Pro-64, and see if I like it. I'm very new to this, I like it a lot though, and I need to get started. I have a Police Call, and I understand a lot of this stuff. But I need a scanner, of my own!

Thanx!

Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:

> First, all scanners since 1994 made or imported legally here have the cell
> frequencies blocked. All scanners since August 1997 have firmware blockings
> of cell frqs, so they cannot be modified at all.
>
> Second, listening to both cordless and cellular conversations is illegal. I
> know you're cool, though.
>
> I bought a Pro-64 for $150 at Radio Shack. Great scanner - it has frequency
> sort, a pc interface, triple conversion (sometimes though a bad thing :),
> and auto frequency loading (useful for something like loading all active
> amateur repeaters into a bank).
>
> Hope this helps.
>
> Ryan wrote:
>
> > I first want to thank you guys for my earlier problem. I was dreading
> > the reset, but I had to do it. For the info, it is a Uniden Bearcat
> > SC150. But it ended up being a good thing. I needed to clean it out. and
> >
> > I updated my old freqs.
> >
> > Now, if you guys had about 150 bux to spend on a scanner, what would you
> >
> > buy?
> > I would prefer one that can pick up the cell/cordless phone frequencies.
> >

> > The SC150, is not mine. I am doing a extended barrow, you could say. But
> >
> > I have to return it soon, Im considering buying that model..... I know
> > 150 bux aint much. Maybe I can get more...
> >
> > Anyway, what would you all buy?
>
> --
> Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
> RySoft Corporation
>
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/
>
> For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.
>
> NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
> Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
> nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to
> a
> download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
> E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1110. MO Prison Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "S. & D. Meier" <sndmeier@erols.com>
Message-ID: <357F3F50.673A@erols.com>
Source record: 157686

Anyone know what the new prison frequencies in Bowling Green and Vandalia, MO are? Or does anyone know a general range I can search in to find out?

Thanks
Stephen

e-mail as well as post if convenient.
sndmeier@erols.com

1111. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357F2E87.A6BBC2F9@netwit.com>
Source record: 157687

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> What is very disappointing is a person so pathetic that when asked who
> he really is, still has to hide behind his screen name because he is
> such a coward.
>
> :(

That's right loony boy, you're not getting anything you want from me. Your life's a disaster, your job is leading you no-where, and the only solace you garner is from the total thrashing you get on this NG. I suppose some sort of delusional mechanism in your brain prevents you from seeing the contempt the gushes from anyone who comes into contact with you. One of the benefits of circular logic, I suppose.

You'll die alone.

You friggin' loon.

1112. Re: Need your humble opinion(s)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357F3546.AB43172D@netwit.com>
Source record: 157688

Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:

> First, all scanners since 1994 made or imported legally here have the cell
> frequencies blocked. All scanners since August 1997 have firmware blockings
> of cell frqs, so they cannot be modified at all.
>
> Second, listening to both cordless and cellular conversations is illegal. I
> know you're cool, though.
>
> I bought a Pro-64 for $150 at Radio Shack. Great scanner - it has frequency
> sort, a pc interface, triple conversion (sometimes though a bad thing :),
> and auto frequency loading (useful for something like loading all active
> amateur repeaters into a bank).
>
> Hope this helps.
>
> Ryan wrote:
>
>
> > Anyway, what would you all buy?
>

I got my mitts on a Pro-64 that I bought for a friend (who bought it from me) for going to the race track. He wanted a capable scanner to listen to the drivers.

It's not easily modifiable, CPU controlled. But its got some real neat features. I wish my modified Pro-2032 or Pro-39 had some of these tricks.

400 channels and computer interface is neat. You can't input duplicate frequencies, and you can sort frequencies within each 40 channel bank.

But the feature I like best is the "Auto Search/Store". This is real neat. You just kick this scanner into Auto Search/Store and it'll just sit there and and scan your predetermined range. When it finds an active frequency, instead of stopping and listening to it, the scanner stores the frequency into an open channel in the bank you designate. (The number display will blur by, and an irregular "beep.....beepbeep.....beep.....beep" sounds every time an active frequency is encountered and stored. The "anti-duplicate frequency" feature prevents the same active frequency from being stored more than once. Result: You come back in a few hours and one of your forty channel banks is filled with only "active" frequencies that you can scan at your convenience.

That's a slick feature to have in hand-held scanner. You may not be able to modify the Pro-64, but it has enough neat tricks to stand on it's own at $150.

But if you want a 800 modifiable scanner, you need to search out a list of the scanner model numbers that can be modified for 800 band (Bill Cheek's Scanner Modification FAQ is a very detailed reference source for this), and cruise through your local pawn shops and see if any of these models turn up. You may have to cruise quite a few pawn shops, but eventually you should flush one of these models out.

1113. Re: FAILSOFT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE POLISH STUD <theoldbrooklynkidwow@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <357F35C7.685D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 157689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Toll Free wrote:
>
> Why don't you scan your newsreader to the options menu and kill the HTML!
>
> --
>
> 1-800 Toll Free
> One of da Big Johnson Boys in the 'Dome!
>
> Every woman likes a man with a great big Johnson
dDO WHAT NOW?

--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!

1114. Re: Pro 90 Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Ulrich <ulrich@null.net>
Message-ID: <6lnebg$53h@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157690

Well ---

I bought a new battery pack and the external charger from Uniden for about $32, shipped, 2-day.

Andy Ulrich

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote in message <6lhgjupvf1@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Go to www.ccrane.com
They are a reputable radio company. They offer a battery rebuilding service that goes for around $29.95. That includes shipping back to your door.

1115. Re: The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357F4292.FB0AE810@netwit.com>
Source record: 157691

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:

> Here we see Bill's real agenda peeking through. The fact is, he gets
> cyber-gasms by starting and escalating flame wars. The only problem
> is, he's not good enough at it to take it to a group designed for such
> fun 'n' games, so he hangs out in techie groups where he can disrupt
> and agitate without too much real opposition, and advertise his
> business as a bonus.
>

Jeez....I mean this is an entertainment medium. The USENET character is something akin to "Anarchy On-Line". Flames and such comprise a good portion of *every* popular newsgroup. Most of the posts here are about scanners. As far as popular NG's go; the signal to noise ratio here ain't that bad. Spam irks me; flames don't mean anything.

People bitch about flames. But they're just words on a computer screen. That hot feeling in your neck and face comes from your own emotions, not the digitized signals sent across the net.

If someone wants to take the scanner discussion to new academic levels, do the footwork and get yourself approval for a *moderated* NG on scanners or set up a list-server for a mailing list. It's no big deal. Then you can impose whatever controls you wish. Then y'all can go off and trade technical tidbits in a manner devoid of emotion and within the confines of someone else's idea of how to behave on the 'net. Have fun. I'll be staying here.

This is an entertainment medium; this ain't a college campus, and it ain't your job; it's merely an unmoderated NG about a particular hobby. No more, no less.

1116. Re: Freqs for Western Upper Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Max A. Schneider" <schndrma@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <6lnfvj$3ni@examiner.concentric.net>
Source record: 157692

If you can be a little more specific (county, town or towns, etc.) I may be able to help....

Max

Chris Ells wrote in message <3580271f.876042@news.computerpro.com>...
>Where can I find freqs. for the western Upper Michigan area?
>

1117. PRO-51 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin <photos@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <357F325C.609A1E0D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157693

Can a PRO-51 be re-programed with the freq's that were pre-programmed in it when new?

Thanks---

Colin

1118. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@ccgate.hac.com>
Message-ID: <357F507B.FDA@ccgate.hac.com>
Source record: 157694

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> YOU need to accept responsibility for YOURSELF, & no one else.
> Stop using others as your excuse for YOUR behaviour!

Why should I? You won't.

> Again, please join us in ENDING these problems! I think you
> would find yourself much more at ease, rather than continueing to
> cause more problems!

You are SO FULL OF IT!!!!!!!! You don't want an end to these problems!! And, IMHO, nobody else seems to either! I know exactly how to make people like you go away, but no one wants to do it! So fine, I say. If everyone else wants to keep this crap alive by responding to your gibberish, then so will I. Maybe when they finally realize that they'd get more satisfaction out of watching grass grow than talking to the likes of you, then they'll finally take my advice.

And your idea of an "on-topic post" needs professional help as well. You're an idiot, who knows next to nothing about scanners, or anything else for that matter. You sound as if you got your amateur license out of a box of Cheerios. Maybe if you'd start reading messages instead of posting them, that would change. One can only hope.

Now go away. There are much better topics of discussion in here than little old YOU.
-Phil

1119. Descrambler Review in!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <357F54AD.8B@qsl.net>
Source record: 157695
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I received my Speech Inversion Descrambler today! After playing with it
a while I posted a review at my web site. Those of you that are thinking
about getting one or have questions....might want to look at the review.

http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/scramble.htm

--
Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
Or just click on the address below!
mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net
Web site at:
http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp

1120. TRADE my radio for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mgdforty@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lnimu$fd0$1@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Pro 2045 200 memory base/mobile scanner with the box, manual,
and a cigaret adapter will trade for a 2meter or 220 HAM RADIO Gear.
Thanks. mgdforty@webtv.net

1121. !! New San Diego Frequency Site !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny <danny@ventureconcepts.com>
Message-ID: <6lnm5j$6u0$1@nnrp4.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 157697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Currently working on a new Frequency Bank for San Diego County. Stop by and check it out @ http://www.ventureconcepts.com/scanner and tell us what you think. We are also looking for your submissions to create the most complete site on the Net...

1122. Re: cordless freqs other tha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <357F5EF1.524EF5D6@flash.net>
Source record: 157698

I've seen some AOR and European scanners that I think picked up over 2 ghz....
I'm not totally sure though.....

Roland Stiner wrote:

> PJ>Panasonic will soon put a 2.3 GHz cordless phone on the market. I don't
> PJ>of any scanners, at any price, that receive above 2 GHz.
>
> I would seriously question whether it is safe to put 2 GHz near your head and
> eyes.
>
> Private Replies To: NK2U@JUNO.COM
>
> Private Replies To: NK2U@JUNO.COM
> ---
> * PW *

1123. Re: Presidential visit, freq. list needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <357F6357.5B0D@prodigy.net>
Source record: 157699

nanmo, at, pacifier, dot, com wrote:
>
> Bill is comming to town on saturday. What freqs. do I need to monitor
> this visit. I'll be using a pro2006 with an outside antenna. I happen
> to live across from the Portland airport, so I'll be able to watch
> Airforce One landing and takeoff...
>
> Thanks in advance!
Try the fast food joints, strip clubs, and whore houses.

1124. Re: The Death of John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <357F71EF.3C3CB335@pacifier.com>
Source record: 157700

If MACKEY was dead.... he would no longer be suffering.
This would no longer give pleasure to the people that hate
him.

Mike Derryberry wrote:

> I'm laughing hysterically right now ...
>
> Mike
> --
> Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!
>
> michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com
>
> Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
> <357ed51b.306970348@news2.cts.com>...
> > Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Infamous Internet stalker John Mackey was found dead at his dingy
> > > studio apartment in Portland, Oregon last night.....
> >
> > <Sigh>
> >
> > Why couldn't there have been some torture first?
> > Especially on video tape so everyone could more
> > appropriately lament or celebrate his passing?
> >
> > 'Sniff.....
> >
> >

1125. BC-895xlt any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <357F72E9.C267EC6A@pacifier.com>
Source record: 157701

Is the BC-895 XLT any good? Where is a good place
to buy one at a good price?

1126. Re: icom IC R-10 users group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: asole@redestb.es
Message-ID: <357eef91.5055926@noticias.ibernet.es>
Source record: 157702

On Wed, 10 Jun 1998 02:34:09 -0400, Douglas Hinton
<101723.2004@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>I am looking for an ICOM IC R-10 dedicated users group. Can
>anyone point me towards an e-mail or web site? E-mail only reply
>please. Thanks in advance. Douglas
>
>--
I am interested on the same...
Let me know...

Albert Sole, EA3PB.
asole@redestb.es

1127. Re: FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@netcom.com
Message-ID: <jwilkersEuBz0p.2zM@netcom.com>
Source record: 157761

maskiel@friendlynet.com (David) writes:

>What mode does family radio service opperate. am,fm? Thanks...

FM... VERY narrow band. Enjoy... FRS is up and coming..
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Active on Infoseek PeopleLink Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger

Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website
for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

us.misc.family-radio newsgroup host.

1128. FM900 Programming- NEW LOW PRICES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: vkc900@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <357e5fd1.2592328@news.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 157762
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.comms,aus.comms.mobile,aus.electronics,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

NEW PRICES -
FM900 programming $45 (Whatever you want RX only) and UHF CB TX/RX
Preprogramed CFA chips ready to install $35

Call 0418 038422 or e-mail vkc900@hotmail.com

Can be sent anywhere or delivered to Melbourne METRO or Geelong.

David

1129. FM900 Programming- NEW LOW PRICES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: vkc900@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <357e613d.2957021@news.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 157763
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.comms,aus.comms.mobile,aus.electronics,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

NEW PRICES -
FM900 programming $45 (Whatever you want RX only) and UHF CB TX/RX
Preprogramed CFA chips ready to install $35

Call 0418 038422 or e-mail vkc900@hotmail.com

Can be sent anywhere or delivered to Melbourne METRO or Geelong.

David

1130. FS: RADIO SHACK PRO-2026B ***NEW In the BOX!!!**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: hartbeat@greenepa.net
Message-ID: <6llrij$4va$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157764

RS Pro-2026B, New in the Box, 100 channels, 800 mhz. and more. Cell can be imaged. $110.00 and I'll ship CONUS.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1131. FRS/UHF question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <357E988D.4FA6@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 157765

A friend of mine asked that I check out a couple of UHF portable radios for him. It was a pair of radios, manufactured by (or for) Radio Shack, and the outside case had GMRS on it. The radios had 10 channels that were displayed in an LCD channel window.

When I checked the radios, they had 1 watt output +/- 5khz deviation, .3 uv sensitivity. They had FRS channels 1-7 in them and then 3 other GMRS simplex channels, one being labeled "EMERG" which I think was 462.550 Mhz.

Anyhow, I didn't see these radios listed in my current RS catalog and thougt it odd they could operate on the FRS "splinter" channels with 1 watt and 5kc deviation.

Anyone know anything about these radios?? About how much they are worth?
Are they legal for FRS use?? Do you need a GMRS license for them?

From what I could see, there was no way to use CTCSS or any type of repeater pairs with them.

1132. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: words1@well.com
Message-ID: <MPG.fe83a0ec1c492a2989689@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157766
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <01bd9441$3c009c60$46cce0cf@cuib>, egoldstien@sofa.net says...

> Boy, they do not have much internet access in jail. Well i'm glad that's
> over.
> I'm all set for loads of fun! i spent $149 for a super laser-pen. It
> shoots red laser dot the length of a football field. I tried this last
> night and it's great. This sheriff or constable or someone says over the
> radio that he's going to pick up this guy who has a warrant. It turns out
> that that was where I was going happen to be located anyway, so I drove all
> the way to this fugitives house and parked down the block. When the
> officer showed up, I landed a little red dot right in his chest, and he
> kind of freaqued. He called dispatch and said hey I've got this little red
> dot on my chest and it follows me around. I can hear it all on my scanner
> radio. Anyway they sent him a backup and when the backup arrived, the red
> dot dissapeared.

Etc. OK, I'm gonna assume this is a troll, but there's been a spate of
this sort of behavior in NYC. The policy announced by NYPD is to treat
each instance as an armed threat to their personnel, with all the
consequent helicopter and SOD response. And they have announced that
anyone caught doing this will be prosecuted under about 19 laws.

1133. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: luv2skydive@usa.net
Message-ID: <6llv1tgjh1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 157767
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

>Mr Hartman iz an excellent guy! I've done business with
>him before and he's trustworthly and provides excellent
>service. He one of the GOOD GUYS on this N/G.
>
>Dave

I agree 100%. I've bought a radar gun from Mark, and it was a great
transaction. Very honerable fellow.

-Tommy

1134. Re: FAILSOFT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <6llv5djhb1@news1.iamerica.net>
Source record: 157768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Why don't you scan your newsreader to the options menu and kill the HTML!

--

1-800 Toll Free
One of da Big Johnson Boys in the 'Dome!

Every woman likes a man with a great big Johnson

1135. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: howling387@aol.com
Message-ID: <35837452.3471552@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Allegedly, John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>NNTP-Posting-Host: usr26-dialup36.mix1.sacramento.mci.net

Why would someone want to forge a post from Mackey? His (or whomever) post originated from Sacramento, CA.

Mackey lives in Beaverton, OR.

Has he been away so long because he MOVED ? Did Oregon throw him out ?

1136. directional antenna for cordless.??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: iss@ripco.com
Message-ID: <6lm07v$dt6$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 157770

I'm trying to monitor a ASINGLE cordless phone (base ~44 MHz)...
I need to REJECT other phones in the immediate area... the target phone is less than 200' away.

I figure I could do this by attenuating the signal from a VERY directional antenna..???... I already tried a small TV antenna, but it still picks up other phones off the back of the antenna.

Any suggestions are appreciated.

Rob.

1137. Icom IC-R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: maskiel@friendlynet.com
Message-ID: <EHvf1.204$05.659416@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 157771

http://www.icomamerica.com/

1138. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061013325700.JAA06957@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157772

>From: words1@well.com (emorris)

>Etc. OK, I'm gonna assume this is a troll, but there's been a spate of
>this sort of behavior in NYC.

Yeah, that's a good assumption since Emilo posts these absurd stories from time to time. That laser-pen gag was featured in a Seinfeld episode (George was the primary "target"). If you ignore him, he'll go away...

1139. Re: Best computer controlled scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6lm19opvt1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 157773
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The PCR-1000 is very good, but the software is crap. And iCOM won't release the protocols to anyone, unless they pay fees, so support from 3rd parties will be nil.
There is support, but thanks to reverse engineering, and you risk damaging the radio if you use this software.
Even iCOM's software can damage the iCOM radio...
The OptoCom has more functions, and I have been asured it doesn't have any of the problems the PCR does. It doesn't scan below 25MHz however.
I don't know much of the Winradio, but seems to be a nice radio, with external models coming out.
Check out

http://www.optoelectronics.com/optocom.htm
http://www.winradio.com

Mike

Stan Perkins escribi&#65533; en mensaje <357DCF0F.3FF92F26@netcom.com>...
>Hello,
>
>I am looking for a small computer controlled scanner, along the lines of
>the Icom IC-PCR1000 or the Optoelectronics Optocom.
>
>What others of this type of scanner, if any, are available?
>
>How does their performance compare?
>
>How about software, user interface, etc.?
>
>Are there any that are cellular unblocked, or readily modified?
>
>Finally, what about pricing?
>
>Thanks in advance,
>Stan

1140. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lm38o$irh@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

First, I must say this isn't an original story. I remember this same plot from a Seinfeld episode from some time ago. Second, you do realize the cop (at whom "you" - supposedly - flashed the laser) thought the laser dot was from a gun.

Emilio Goldstein wrote:

> Boy, they do not have much internet access in jail. Well i'm glad that's
> over.
> I'm all set for loads of fun! i spent $149 for a super laser-pen. It
> shoots red laser dot the length of a football field. I tried this last
> night and it's great. This sheriff or constable or someone says over the
> radio that he's going to pick up this guy who has a warrant. It turns out
> that that was where I was going happen to be located anyway, so I drove all
> the way to this fugitives house and parked down the block. When the
> officer showed up, I landed a little red dot right in his chest, and he
> kind of freaqued. He called dispatch and said hey I've got this little red
> dot on my chest and it follows me around. I can hear it all on my scanner
> radio. Anyway they sent him a backup and when the backup arrived, the red
> dot dissapeared.
> So they get on the radio and say that the red dot is gone, and what the
> heck , and when the backup turns around, the red dot shows up again. I can
> hear it all on the scanner-rama. It was a load of fun.
> So they take this criminal to jail , and I happen to pull up next to them
> at a stop light, and presto there's this little red dot on the guy's right
> hand. I can see him looking it over , and anyway he missed the green light
> and some guy behind toots his horn. I make a quick right turn and get
> away.
> later the guy is talking to the other guy on the channel about the little
> red dot, and how strange it is but I don't care. I got my rights. They
> shoot radio signals through my body, I got a right to listen to them, and I
> got a right to shoot little red laser beams through the window of the squad
> car.

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.

E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1141. Re: GET RICH NOW!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lm3ar$klj@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157775

GET LIFE NOW!!!! Y?ou spammers are so rediculous.

Jack Browning wrote:

> Posted by Erik G. on May 25, 1998 at 10:13:30:
>
> ++++ : A little while back, I was browsing these newsgroups, just like
> you are
> : : : : : : now, and came across an article similar to this that said
> you could make
> : : : : : : thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial
> investment of $6.00!
> : : : : : : So I thought," Yeah, right, this must be a scam", but like
> most of us, but
> : : : : : : I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you
> send $1.00 to
> : : : : : : each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You
> then
> : : : : : : place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at
> #6, and post
> : : : : : : the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are
> thousands) No catch,
> : : : : : : that was it. The main difference between this system and
> others is that
> : : : : : : you have a mailing list of 6 instead of 5... This means that
> your average
> : : : : : : gain will be app. 15 times higher!!! So after thinking it
> over, and
> : : : : : : talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I
> figured what
> : : : : : : have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like
> most of us I was
> : : : : : : a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal
> aspects of it all.
> : : : : : : So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office
> (1-800-725-2161) and they
> : : : : : : confirmed that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the
> measly $6.00.............plus
> : : : : : postage Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting
> money in the mail!
> : : : : : : I was shocked! I still figured it would end soon, and didn't
> give it
> : : : : : : another thought. But the money just kept coming in. In my
> first week, I
> : : : : : : made about $20.00 to $30.00 dollars. By the end of the

> second week I had
> : : : : : : made a total of over $1,000.00!!!!!! In the third week I had
> over
> : : : : : : $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth
> week and I have
> : : : : : : made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming
> in
> : : : : : : rapidly..... It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I
> spent more
> : : : : : : than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works
> and most
> : : : : : : importantly, why it works.... also, make sure you print a
> copy of this
> : : : : : : article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you
> need it. The
> : : : : : : process is very simple and consists of 3 easy steps:
> : : : : : : STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the
> following on each
> : : : : : : piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get
> 6
> : : : : : US$1.00 bills (or equivalent in your local currency) and place
> ONE inside EACH
> : : : : : : of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen
> through the
> : : : : : : envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one
> : : : : : : paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should
> now have 6
> : : : : : : sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the
> above phrase,
> : : : : : : your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing
> is creating
> : : : : : : a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!
> : : : : : : Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:
> : : : : : :
> : : : : : :
> : : : : : : #1 N. Sturdivant
> : : : : : : 2674 Seneca ct.
> : : : : : : Green Bay, WI 54313
> : : : : : : USA
> : : : : : : #2 MDB
> : : : : : : 1104 178 St. S.W.
> : : : : : : Lynnwood Wa 98037
> : : : : : : USA
> : : : : : : #3 Joel P.
> : : : : : : 771 w. Alabama st.
> : : : : : : Florence,Al
> : : : : : : USA
> : : : : : : #4 RS
> : : : : : : 20 Liber Blvd
> : : : : : : Farmingville, N.Y 11738

> : : : : : : USA
> : : : : : : #5 Erik G.
> : : : : : : 302 Grant Rd.
> : : : : : : Marquette Heights, IL 61554
> : : : : : : USA
> : : : : : : #6 JB
> : : : : : : 5735 Fairfield St. SE
> : : : : : : Lancaster, OH 43130
>
> : : : : : : STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see
> above, move the
> : : : : : : other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add
> YOUR Name as
> : : : : : : number 6 on the list. (Use your 'delete" key to remove name
> #1 and move
> : : : : : : all other names up. Then change numbers and add your name as
> #6)
> : : : : : : STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this
> article as
> : : : : : : close to original as possible. Now, post your amended
> article to at
> : : : : : : least 200 newsgroups. (I think there is close to 24,000
> groups) All you
> : : : : : : need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money
> you make!
> : : : : : : Don't know HOW to post in the newsgroups? Well do exactly
> the following:
> : : : : : : --
> : : : : : : DIRECTIONS - HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS
> : : : : : : --
> : : : : : : Step 1. You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do
> your
> : : : : : : own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this
> : : : : : : letter and click and hold down your mouse button. While
> : : : : : : continuing to hold down the mouse button, drag your cursor
> to the
> : : : : : : bottom of this document and over to just after the last
> : : : : : : character, and release the mouse button. At this point the
> entire
> : : : : : : letter should be highlighted. Then, from the 'edit' pull
> down
> : : : : : : menu at the top of your screen select 'copy'. This will copy
> : : : : : : the entire letter into the computers memory.
> : : : : : : Step 2. Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at
> the
> : : : : : : top of the blank page. From the 'edit' pull down menu select
> : : : : : : 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad
> so
> : : : : : : that you can add your name to the list. Remember to
> eliminate the

> : : : : : : #1 position, move everyone up a spot (re-number everyone
> else's
> : : : : : : positions), and add yourself in as #6.
> : : : : : : Step 3. Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you
> want
> : : : : : : to do your postings in different sittings, you'll always
> have
> : : : : : : this file to go back to.
> : : : : : : --
> : : : : : : INTERNET EXPLORER USERS:
> : : : : : : --
> : : : : : : Step 4. Go to newsgroups and select 'Post an Article'.
> : : : : : : Step 5. Fill in the subject.
> : : : : : : Step 6. Same as #6 above
> : : : : : : Step 7. Hit the 'Post' button.
> : : : : : : --
> : : : : : : THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different
> newsgroups and post
> : : : : : : away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30
> seconds for
> : : : : : : each newsgroup!
> : : : : : : **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
> YOU WILL MAKE!!
> : : : : : : BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
> : : : : : : That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the
> world within
> : : : : : : day's! You may eventually want to rent a P.O. Box due to the
> large amount
> : : : : : : of mail you receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can
> invent a name
> : : : : : : to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE
> SURE ALL THE
> : : : : : : ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.**
> : : : : : : Now the WHY part:
> : : : : : : Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very
> low example). So
> : : : : : : then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now,
> each of the 5
> : : : : : : persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200
> postings, each with my
> : : : : : : name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the
> original 5,that is
> : : : : : : another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM
> posts with my
> : : : : : : name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an
> : : : : : : additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and
> post the MINIMUM
> : : : : : : 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I
> will make an
> : : : : : : additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of

> those 625 persons
> : : : : : : post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each
> only receive 5
> : : : : : : replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons
> will all
> : : : : : : deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at
> : : : : : : #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will
> receive
> : : : : : : $15,625,00! With a original investment of only $6.00!
> AMAZING! And as I
> : : : : : : said 5 responses is actually VERY LOW! Average is probable
> 20 to 30! So
> : : : : : : lets put those figures at just 15 responses per person. Here
> is what you
> : : : : : : will make:
> : : : : : : at #6 $15.00
> : : : : : : at #5 $225.00
> : : : : : : at #4 $3,375.00
> : : : : : : at #3 $50,625.00
> : : : : : : at #2 $759,375.00
> : : : : : : at #1 $11,390,625.00
> : : : : : : When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the
> latest posting
> : : : : : : in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on
> the list, putting
> : : : : : : your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The
> thing to remember
> : : : : : : is, do you realize that thousands of people all over the
> world are joining
> : : : : : : the internet and reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE
> YOU are now!!
> : : : : : : So can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think
> so... People
> : : : : : : have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends
> you the money?
> : : : : : : So what! What are the chances of that happening when there
> are tons of new
> : : : : : : honest users and new honest people who are joining the
> internet and
> : : : : : : newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try?
> Estimates are at
> : : : : : : 20,000 to 50,000 new users, everyday, with thousands of
> those joining the
> : : : : : : actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this
> will work.
> : : : : : You just have to be honest. Make sure you print this article
> out RIGHT NOW,
> : : : : : : also. Try to keep a list of everyone that sends you money
> and always keep
> : : : : : : an eye on the newsgroups to make sure everyone is playing

> fairly. Remember,
> : : : : : : HONESTY IS THE BEST POLICY. You don't need to cheat the
> basic idea to
> : : : : : make the money!! GOOD LUCK to all and please play fairly and
> reap the huge
> : : : : : : rewards from this, which is tons of extra CASH.

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to
a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1142. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scrappy
Message-ID: <6lm560gpj1@blackice.winternet.com>
Source record: 157776
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

<...I snipped ALL of it for brevity!>

Maybe look at it this way: Which is more dangerous? The guy in the car wanting to drive 2 or 3 mph faster than the natural flow of traffic, and swerving around others to get in front? Or the guy in the left lane driving 2 or 3 mph under the speed limit, and causing quite a few people behind him to become impatient?

It's simple, If you purposely impede the flow of traffic for your own jollies, your wrong. Likewise, If the flow is moving along relatively unimpeded, and you just gotta be the one in front, you're wrong too!

1143. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rats@ih4mp736.ih.att.com
Message-ID: <6lm4cq$ojk@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 157777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
| Morris the Cat must be the
| nucleus of your fan club...the
| one and only who thinks as you
| do...confused.
| bill conduit
| etc.

After a quick look at your postings on r.r.s from the beginning
of the year, I can say without prejudice that your posts
constitute the "N" in "S/N."

:-(
```

1144. FS,Trade:IF B Board of HF-8054

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: AKIO FUJIKAWA <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <357E9949.D62085F7@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 157778
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,<rec.radio.swap

Hello!
I have IF B Board of HF-8054(it's mint condition).
If you are interested in it, please send e-mail.
(IF B unit of HF-8050 trade ok.)

Best regards,
73
akio fujikawa
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp
ï¿½@

1145. ce-232 owners important ques,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061014503000.KAA17068@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157779

would you like to see the ce-232 be able to work with the edacs or etrunk programs to track those erricson trunk sys. e-mail bill cheek requesting this info.
i would rather have this than ce-232 a/d converter ????/ thats my opinion what do you think . we know the opto board has this feature . i don't have the money to buy optoelectronics board . i know the ce-232 can be made to have this feature to . enjoy .pass this info along to other ce-232 owners lets put bill to work .

1146. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Allbright <jan.allbright@usa.net>
Message-ID: <357EA1A0.9A56DB82@usa.net>
Source record: 157780
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Gee - I don't know ... that's a pretty rational though and as such is of little use on UseNet...

Scrappy < wrote:

> <...I snipped ALL of it for brevity!>
>
> Maybe look at it this way: Which is more dangerous? The guy in the car
> wanting to drive 2 or 3 mph faster than the natural flow of traffic, and
> swerving around others to get in front? Or the guy in the left lane driving
> 2 or 3 mph under the speed limit, and causing quite a few people behind him
> to become impatient?
>
> It's simple, If you purposely impede the flow of traffic for your own
> jollies, your wrong. Likewise, If the flow is moving along relatively
> unimpeded, and you just gotta be the one in front, you're wrong too!

1147. Odd radio quirks (was Re: Frequency Inversion)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3582a090.1782047@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 157781

>Freq Voice Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
>(typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
>audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
>constituting the speech inversion.

On a totally unrelated, yet somehow very close tangent --

I've got an ancient Panasonic digital shortwave radio. It was one of the first digital units. It covers AM/FM/shortwave. The band selector cuts the SW spectrum into two bands.

If I tune up 9022 kHz (Iran) on the one band, and then switch to the second SW band, it's tuned to 15084 (Iran).

I used to have an AM/FM radio that, when tuned to the AM station, would be tuned to that station's FM station when the band was switched.

1148. 900Mhz Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Phillips <lphill@thirdwave.net>
Message-ID: <357E68B8.28E9@thirdwave.net>
Source record: 157782

I just bought a Sanyo CLT926 spread spectrum cordless. It works ok except it has an annoying hiss in the earphone all the time. Anybody know of a 900Mhz spread spectrum or digital phone that is good quality and has none of the background noise?

1149. Cellular capably Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <6lmbdbpes1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157783

I got a hold of another 20-0506 (AKA-European Pro-26) firmware version 728EH EUr 0.11 25-1300mhz absolulty NO GAPS..... 200 channels.....only a few scratches on the battery cover..... have a new cover on order. Will trade for a Scout, or other scanner related pieces. OR $ 350.00 reply to:Eddie Kosloski scanist@acmenet.net PS: As we all know, tuning in, or listening to Cellular frequencies is ILLEGAL so don't do it !!!

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1150. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com>
Message-ID: <6lma1b$rp7@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 157784
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Jan Allbright <jan.allbright@usa.net> wrote:
>Gee - I don't know ... that's a pretty rational though and as such is of little
>use on UseNet...
>
>Scrappy < wrote:
>
>> <...I snipped ALL of it for brevity!>
>>
>> Maybe look at it this way: Which is more dangerous? The guy in the car
>> wanting to drive 2 or 3 mph faster than the natural flow of traffic, and
>> swerving around others to get in front? Or the guy in the left lane driving
>> 2 or 3 mph under the speed limit, and causing quite a few people behind him
>> to become impatient?
>>
>> It's simple, If you purposely impede the flow of traffic for your own
>> jollies, your wrong. Likewise, If the flow is moving along relatively
>> unimpeded, and you just gotta be the one in front, you're wrong too!

I'm on vacation and messing around on this dumb computer...look, the
original (or semi modified) thread had to do with the presence of
marked/unmarked police cars on the road, and how they somehow coerce
discourteous drivers into behaving like they should (obeying the traffic
laws and showing some respect for the other drivers they have to share
the road with).... nothing more / nothing less...

this whole attitude of 'get outta my way' or else... is the whole
goddamn problem...I've seen motorists doing the posted 65 mph speed
(some going faster), and they can't move over because of trucks in the
center lane. BUT - there's always some asshole riding up his bumper
wanting to even go faster...

My point was, if some 'cop wannabe' wishes to drive around in an
old 82 caprice and "look" like a cop with a K-55 radar on his dash.
So be it ! If it'll make people more safe and obey the traffic laws,
great....

1151. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6lm8o2$827@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 157785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>
Too bad the SWAT team didn't think the "dot" was a LASER sight, they would be justified in the use of deadly force, and rid this group of Emilio once and for all!!! Up here in Canada showing up at the scene of a police action, and pulling that stunt would get you charged with obstruction of police officers.. The police up here don't draw their weapons as often as they do in the USA, but they will if required.

BTW- some moron here in Ottawa did the LASER pointer from his apt. balcony last summer, someone assumed it was a laser sight and the SWAT team did appear on the scene and carted the moron away to jail.

HEY, Emilio how about going to a USAF live fire weapons range and shine your laser at an incoming LGB

1152. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: R.Hildebrandt@tu-bs.de
Message-ID: <slrn6ntbk8.6r7.R.Hildebrandt@stahlw00.stahl.bau.tu-bs.de>
Source record: 157786
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Jun 1998 15:51:07 GMT, Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com> wrote:

>I'm on vacation and messing around on this dumb computer...look, the
>original (or semi modified) thread had to do with the presence of
>marked/unmarked police cars on the road, and how they somehow coerce
>discourteous drivers into behaving like they should (obeying the traffic
>laws and showing some respect for the other drivers they have to share
>the road with).... nothing more / nothing less...

No. The original thread had to do with a guy that wanted radar guns - nothing
else. Discussion about why he wants them and Cops, Outlaws, Freedom etc.is idle.
Like Denis Leary said: "Shut the fuck up!"

--
Ralf Hildebrandt, R.Hildebrandt@tu-bs.de
http://www.stahl.bau.tu-bs.de/~hildeb
NT vs UNIX, why UNIX: It doesn't matter how big or hot your
thing is at the moment if it doesn't stay up or perform.

1153. Re: FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2odq@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <357faab3.51016752@news-server>
Source record: 157787

On Wed, 10 Jun 1998 05:20:02 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>David wrote:
>> What mode does family radio service opperate. am,fm? Thanks...
>
>NARROW BAND FM (+/- 5 KC)

As long as we're on the subject, I'm planning a trip to Canada soon
and I'd like to take my FRS units along. Can the be legally used in
Canada?????

1154. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc002@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <357EB0C0.6F89@kktv.com>
Source record: 157788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Morris the Cat wrote:
>
> Gee, all those Wild & Crazy Right Wingers(tm) heard on World Wide Conspiracy
> Radio (WWCR) et al. appear to be have been right all along; it's a
> "New World Order" afterall; our American culture, our Right to Keep and
> Bear Arms, our long cherished right to radio receivers that could pick up
> ANY frequency, slowly but surely being annihilated by "Progressives" who
> assert that to allow these American cultural icons is far too great a risk
> to the stability of "society."

"Society", I "love" that word ! Who defines society ? Lately,
it seems like people in corporate Amerika and government has
been doing the defining. Another question, how can we get
involved in defining that word and at the same time, gum up
the works to those in gov't and Corporate Amerika ?

The common person is as much a part of society as those who
think of themselves as the "elite" such as gov't people and
Corporate people. People in the Elite Media, Gov't and those
who head Corporate Amerika and a large disdain for the common
person and original American values which embrace Freedom.

> Get out those pens and write your Representatives and Senators to point out
> that Asian corporations are now instigating rules that are intended to
> eviscerate our long cherished liberties; that perhaps Clinton's free trade
> policies might be giving these foreign corporations a swell head... and
> maybe we need a domestic manufacturer of scanners and VHF/UHF receivers
> that would be willing to design equipment more appropriate to the US
> market i.e. be willing to release the appropriate design information to
> allow third-party vendors to bypass the government regulations...

It is time to clean Congress ! We had these same idiots for too
long and it is time for new blood ! I have been a Republican
all my life but it is time for new parties to come in such as
the Libretarian. These current idiots will not listen to the
people except give us a morsel here and there to calm us down but
yet continue with their same shenanigans. Another item, Congress
is in session year round. Besides cleaning Congress out, their
legislative session should be reduced down to 2 months a year,
put sunset clauses on all laws and require a 98% affirmative
vote to keep Federal laws on the books beyond the sunset clause
and do this every 7 years to where some asinine laws would not
stand the test of time. The same would apply to Federal Agencies
such as the FCC, NHSTA, DOT, DOEnergy, DOEducation except the

Military (Marines, Army, Air Force, Navy).

As for domestic scanner manufacturing, where do we start ? How about going back to simple radio designs to start of with, and put manuals with the radios to where everything is in the open and nothing secret.

I know these ideas are NOT POLITICALLY CORRECT but I and many of you are sick of political correctness ! Foreign corporations should be reminded that doing business in the US is a privilege and the privilege DOES NOT include lobbying for laws.

1155. Re: !! New San Diego County Trunk Freq's wanted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny <danny@ventureconcepts.com>
Message-ID: <6lmdb9$1hu$1@nnrp3.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 157789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It seems that the information that is available on the new system is spread throughout a number of Web sites with no real structure. Therefore, I am putting together my own site and asking for contributions from anyone with frequency and talkgroup ID information. Just based on the sites you listed above, and by talking to a few "Power Scanners" around town, I have picked up quite a bit of information on the system, and will be putting it together in a logical order for posting. I've only just started work on the site, but the URL is http://www.ventureconcepts.com/scanner. Feel free to make any suggestions for the structure of the site, as I hope to make this a regular stop for scanner users in San Diego...

1156. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357E9135.7ADB93FE@netwit.com>
Source record: 157790

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:

> Okay Allsafe, no problem. Though I'll repeat myself. Let's discuss
> scanners. I'd like to read and learn from those who know more than me
> about about the scanning hobby, but it is difficult when I have to
> dredge through all the flame wars.
> To quote the great philosopher Rodney King, "Can't we all just get
> along?" ;-)

Sorry. You won't be reading any scanner related posts. I am hereby ordering you to continue reading off-topic threads and *not* click on any scanner related posts. In cases where there are no *new* off-topic threads to read, you are commanded to re-read the off-topic posts until new off-topic posts arrive. I have spoken.

1157. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980610170717 00.NAA25311@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157791

>Subject: Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?
>From: ad607@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Darrell A. Larose)

>HEY, Emilio how about going to a USAF live fire weapons range and
>shine your laser at an incoming LGB
>
>

Geez, don't you folks get it? He's yanking your chain. Looks like he pulled on yours real hard!

1158. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: george@nospam.com
Message-ID: <357fb02f.18799616@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157792
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Jun 1998 15:51:07 GMT, Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com> wrote:

>I'm on vacation and messing around on this dumb computer...look, the
>original (or semi modified) thread had to do with the presence of
>marked/unmarked police cars on the road, and how they somehow coerce
>discourteous drivers into behaving like they should (obeying the traffic
>laws and showing some respect for the other drivers they have to share
>the road with).... nothing more / nothing less...

I can tell you that "unmarked" cruisers do slow traffic down big time. I know because I drive an old cruiser that looks like it's still in commission. No toys, just looks like it.

Why? Because I want to.

There's a big difference in the speed everyone goes by me when I take the wife's car to work. Her new Explorer demands zero attention and people will go by me like I'm standing still. But....when I'm in the "cruiser", people go by me at 66mph (posted 65). I wonder why? About 20% of the time, I'll drive the 12 mile highway stretch without a single car passing me. I think adding a radar gun to the works would increase that 20% to about 50%. I'll have to think about that for a while.....

I do the speed limit nearly all the time. On the few occasions when I'm in a rush, people get out of my way nearly all the time from the left lane. If not, oh well. I won't tailgate them.

It's also a riot to see people screaming up in the left lane and then jack on the brakes! I would feel absolutely no guilt if someone wrecked because of it. I'm doing nothing wrong by driving the posted limit. The fact that they slow down when they see my car is proof they know they are wrong by speeding in the first place.

Yea....it's a game to me. Keeps me amused on the way to work and home.

>My point was, if some 'cop wannabe' wishes to drive around in an
>old 82 caprice and "look" like a cop with a K-55 radar on his dash.
>So be it ! If it'll make people more safe and obey the traffic laws,
>great....

Well, I don't consider myself a "wannabe". I certainly can't make anywhere near the same cash being a cop! I like the crown victoria, and you aren't going to get them at 1/2 or even 1/3 their value when you buy the civilian version. There's no sense in throwing money away. It's that simple for me.

The fact that I can keep people from speeding around me is a bonus. I don't even have to do anything. It just happens all by itself !!

Never liked GM stuff. The heavy duty Fords do it for me They just seem to last forever. Oh...mine's a white 95. Just bought it at an auction 6 months ago. Didn't have to paint it as it was always an unmarked car. Just needed to plug the 2 antenna holes with....well....antennas !

1159. Re: BIG PROBLEM! NEED HELP ASAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061017342600.NAA02202@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157793

Dear Ryan,
Turn off scanner; hold down 2 , 9 and turn on radio. This SHOULD clear out the test mode,, but IF NOT, hold down 2, 9. beat it severlyand SCAN while turning on radio. If THIS doesnt work, hold down 2, 9, and MANUAL while turning radio on. If NONE of these work. call UNIDEN;S SVC. CENTER & ask how to remove the radio from "test mode ". Good Luck ! Larry Wiland,

1160. My reply to FCC ET Docket 98-76 (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3582c4f0.10245163@news.erols.com>
Source record: 157794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In case you folks don't know how a formal reply is done, here is what I mailed to the FCC. By the way, formal replies require ten copies and a diskette.
==========

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D. C. 20554

In the Matter of) ET Docket No. 98-76
)
Amendment of Parts 2 and 15 of the) RM-9022
Commissions Rules to Further Ensure)
That Scanning Receivers Do Not)
Receive Cellular Radio Signals)
)

To: The Commission

REPLY COMMENTS OF Jacob Brodsky,
Amateur Radio Station AB3A
1371 Woodbine Road,
Woodbine, MD, 21797

June 10, 1998

Introduction

These are the comments of Jacob Brodsky, a radio amateur with over 20 years of experience, a graduate of the Johns Hopkins University with a degree in Electrical Engineering, a recreational experimenter with RF, and a practicing electrical engineer of radio telemetry systems.

Overview

These comments will show why the efforts and concerns of this NPRM are impractical, ineffective, cumbersome, and should be ignored.

Discussion

Judges, lawyers, and police frequently tell us that "ignorance is no excuse for the law." I'm writing this response because ignorance of science and technology is no excuse for bad laws, regulation, or policy. It cuts both ways. Nobody will take this proposed regulation seriously if our leaders keep making policy which looks as if it came from the Flat Earth Society. With this NPRM the Commission is getting dangerously close to that situation.

Anyone with experience in radio technology understands that there is no such thing as radio privacy (the guaranteed absence of third party listeners). However, there can be radio secrecy (listeners bound by law not to divulge what they hear). Around the world, radio privacy is understood to be technically impossible to enforce. One would have to place a private citizen under so much surveillance to prove a violation of radio privacy that it would violate his/her human rights. Radio secrecy, on the other hand, is commonly the law of most democratic countries.

This is nothing new. Ever since the age of spark radio, it has been understood that if one wanted to keep a radio conversation private, that one had to use encryption, secret codes, or a combination thereof. Nothing in today's technologies has changed this paradigm.

Unfortunately, few in Congress seem to understand the distinction between radio secrecy and radio privacy. The result has been a very dangerous muddle of legislation. I see no benefit to having the Commission perpetuate this ignorance and foolishness any farther than required by Congress.

The first problem with this regulation is the definition of what exactly constitutes a "scanner." The definition of "a receiver capable of switching between four or more frequencies between 30 MHz and 960 MHz and capable of stopping at and receiving a signal detected on a frequency" ignores the possibility of a radio with a computer control interface but no memories of its own. Further, the definition is vague as to exactly what "switching" and "frequencies" means. What if there were some kind of fine tuning knob on a receiver? How many "frequencies" does it cover? How many "switches" can it do?

Most receivers in this frequency range have the capability of being controlled by computer. It is not difficult for individuals or small companies to build computer controllers which restore full scanning capabilities to a plain receiver. I doubt the FCC has the authority to ban various uses of computer equipment. So I fail to see exactly what the FCC can do to prevent "scanners" without affecting receivers which are not by definition "scanning receivers."

Second, what exactly is test equipment? I have used scanners to find IMD sources, sniff out a local oscillator frequency (to see if it was working), and I have even used the scanner's local oscillator as a makeshift signal generator. Admittedly, most scanner users won't go this far. However, my point is that a scanner can be a valuable piece of test equipment on my test bench. Were the scanner to include a calibrated signal strength display, it could easily be called a "selective level meter" or even a "poor-man's spectrum analyzer" when used with a computer.

So what features or performance specifications should one use to distinguish between test equipment and a consumer radio? The distinction is actually quite vague. This is especially the case because scanners are usually purchased by people who already have some

technical background. After all, it takes knowledge of the radio spectrum to know what numbers to punch in to a scanner.

Third, what kind of controls could one place on people who purchase unblocked receivers? Shouldn't a purchasing manager from a large company be able to buy one, even though s/he is not a licensed radio technician? What if the technician whose license might be used to purchase such equipment leaves the job? Where should the responsibility for the use of that equipment go to?

At the other end of the business spectrum, what about individuals like me? What if some day I were to start an RF engineering business from my shop at home. How would I, with an Engineering degree and my ham radio license, purchase unblocked test equipment? What basis could the FCC use to determine who can purchase such equipment and who can't?

I simply can't imagine criteria for the sale of unblocked receivers which could filter out trouble makers without affecting legitimate users. I don't think there is an equitable, non-intrusive solution.

Fourth, prevention of scanner modification is not easily defined. What exactly constitutes "readily being modified?" Keep in mind that many scanner enthusiasts are technically inclined.

The situation as it stands today is not all that bad. Once the cellular band blocking is "burned" in to a processor chip, so that modification is not possible without unsoldering and replacing the chip, most folks will give up on that approach. Instead, they'll seek other means.

Regulating kits is not the way to stop this behavior. It isn't hard for someone to sell downconverter kits intended for amateur use which could be easily modified for Cellular Telephone interception. Not only that, some kits can be pieced together to build a cellular receiver, despite their legitimate uses.

For example, there's nothing wrong with purchasing an R2 direct conversion receiver kit (a receiver capable of receiving many modulation modes, given the appropriate local oscillator and peripheral support) from Kanga US. And there's nothing wrong with building it for service at 45 MHz so that one can hook it up to a UHF TV tuner. Put them together, and what do you have?

The funny thing is that building kits is the hard way to receive cellular. Anyone who understands what a down-converter is knows that a common cable TV tuning box attached to the scanner will make scanning the cell phone bands a breeze. Just hook the cable side of the cable TV tuning box to an antenna and hook the TV side of the box to the scanner. Set the scanner to tune around TV channel 3 or channel 4, and...

The good news is this is not the problem it is made out to be. But for a very few high profile interception cases (the incident involving Speaker of the House, Newt Gingrich comes to mind), divulgence of intercepted transmissions is not a frequent occurrence.

Fifth, while it is true that there are test modes on some Cellular Telephones which can be used to listen in to conversations, very few individuals are likely to bother with the hassle of using these modes to listen in. It is cumbersome, after all, and there are far easier ways of listening to the cellular phone band. Besides, these self test modes do serve a purpose for manufacturing and maintenance personnel. And once again, what criteria could the FCC mandate to allow for testing, while at the same time prevent unauthorized use? It would not be wise for the Commission to get in to this kind of rule making.

Sixth, the interface to and from a radio (for the use with digital signal reception) should also be left alone. After all, with ham radio operators starting to use public domain paging protocols, and APRS packet standards over OSCAR satellite links, there are ample reasons why someone would want direct demodulator access to a scanning receiver. Is the FCC interested in regulating these features too?

The only thing in this proposal which might make sense is the image rejection standard. If Uniden wants to propose new image rejection standards of at least 38 dB for part 15, (as described in paragraph 6) I see very little wrong with that.

The Commission is going overboard in paragraph 7, however, by proposing that the whole radio be exposed to a certain field strength to verify total performance. Proper verification of this would require access to an Anechoic Chamber (to keep the test from radiating in to the outside world, if nothing else), whereas the proposal in paragraph 6 requires nothing more than the service monitor which would be used for alignment anyway.

If there are additional cellular telephone signals available to the scanner because of poor shielding, it probably won't be enough to warrant additional certification testing.

I believe regulations to pot the interior of a scanner to prevent modification is overkill. I've already pointed out that casual mischief makers are usually stopped cold by scanners which don't have mode configuration external to the microprocessor (such as a ROM chip, a diode, or a jumper). Keep in mind that simple and effective alternatives exist to modifying a scanner.

Besides, potting the scanner is not as cheap as it looks. Once an aligned board is potted, it often detunes. There could be a substantial quality control problem facing those who attempt this sort of measure.

I can't help getting the impression from the Commission as well as Congress that it isn't really scanners they're scared of. It's the

users. To paraphrase that overused clichï¿½, "Scanners don't disclose cellular telephone calls, people do." If there's a will, those with even a meager technical background can work around these ill-advised regulations. The Commission would do well to focus efforts toward prosecuting those offenders, such as the McDermott's (the couple who intercepted Newt Gingrich's conversation over a cellular telephone), who disclose what they hear.

Conclusion

It's a proven fact of human nature that as soon as someone says "don't look at that naked lady riding her horse down the street" most people will turn and look. So what were our esteemed friends, the CTIA, thinking when they got Congress to legislate the cellular telephone bands as a "don't look" band? It was a foolish idea then, and it looks even worse now, particularly in the light of this NPRM.

I see many problems going forward with this line of regulation. As with many other kinds of radio equipment, scanners are a tool. Tools can be used for both good and evil. We don't legislate the manufacture of binoculars just because they might be used by Peeping Toms. Why should scanners be any different?

I don't think it wise for the FCC to attempt regulating what an individual's computer should and shouldn't be able to do with a radio. With commonly available alternatives and loopholes, I don't think the FCC will be particularly effective at regulating kits for down-conversion, or at telling cellular phone manufacturers what service modes they should or should not put in their phones. I doubt it would be an effective use of Commission resources to attempt setting minimum performance or construction standards for manufacturers. Once the Commission gets in to the business of regulating consumer receiver performance, I predict tremendous delays while the already understaffed FCC attempts to certify each and every change to a manufacturer's design. The probable delay on the part of the FCC outweighs any benefit to the public.

Frankly, though I dislike it, I can live with the status quo. However, I see no point in regulating how many nails to use for securing window shutters so that Lady Godiva can ride nude down a public street. Always remember, the story of Lady Godiva is legend precisely because no-one looked! Don't expect this every day from the general public, or you will be disappointed.

Sincerely,

/* signed */

Jacob Brodsky,
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Nearly fifty percent of all graduates came from

the bottom half of the class."

1161. Used police red and blue light bar wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scrappy <REMOVEpetesmith@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <6lmheqitc1@blackice.winternet.com>
Source record: 157795
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Gotta get me a red and blue light bar for the top of my car!

--
Area 50 research Center
Trying damn hard to catch
up to are 51, and we just
might do it. (If we're lucky)
...maybe!

AND: Janet Reno is a hot babe! all at:

HTTP://www.winternet.com/~yomammy

remove REMOVE from e-mail to reply by e-mail

1162. Used plexiglass / steel cage wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scrappy <REMOVEpetesmith@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <6lmhi2$iu0$1@blackice.winternet.com>
Source record: 157796
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

for behind front seat. Not the cheap plastic wire kind used to keep yappy dogs in the back of Jeep Cherokees. I want the kind that can keep a 300 lb crazed lunatic at bay!

--
Area 50 research Center
Trying damn hard to catch
up to are 51, and we just
might do it. (If we're lucky)
...maybe!

AND: Janet Reno is a hot babe! all at:

HTTP://www.winternet.com/~yomammy

remove REMOVE from e-mail to reply by e-mail

1163. Re: FS PRO 2004 VIRGIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357ec751.99336853@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157797

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

rwantenna@aol.com (RWANTENNA) wrote:

> I HAVE A UNTOUCHED(MODIFIED) RS PRO-2004 SCANNER . THIS ONE DOES IT ALL CELL
> MOD/ SPEED MOD/ COMPUTER OUTPUTS.

Ummmmmmmm.....I thought you said "untouched".
Looks like it's been a trifle "touched" after all.

Which is it?

1164. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357fc9b5.99948682@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157798

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> My 2006 does the same thing. Low band, high band and UHF are right on freq
> but 800 & 900 are exactly 5khhz off. Radio Shack said they couldn't do
> anything about when I bought it several years ago so I have just learned to
> live with and program accordingly. If yoy find a fix, please Bill let me
> know so maybe I can get mine up to spec.

Yeah, I didn't really think it was possible for
that to happen. I've seen milder cases of it
where 800 MHz was "off" by a kHz or two. I chalked
that up to the transmitters being off freq. But
not now. Not in this case. 5 kHz is just too
weird......

Still looking.......

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1165. Re: Used plexiglass / steel cage wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: gjs@prophet.pharm.pitt.edu
Message-ID: <6lmjbvfqp1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
Source record: 157799
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

In article <6lmhi2$iu0$1@blackice.winternet.com>, "Scrappy" <REMOVEpetesmith@mindless.com> wrote:
>for behind front seat. Not the cheap plastic wire kind used to keep yappy
>dogs in the back of Jeep Cherokees. I want the kind that can keep a 300 lb
>crazed lunatic at bay!
>

Whats this doing in this newsgroup? Well I guess I do work with these kind of things. Our monkey research divsion uses these and there is one sitting about 50 foot away. Used is another matter. And, what size. Behind what seat?

greg

1166. Re: My reply to FCC ET Docket 98-76 (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oris <oris@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <357ED09D.64A3@dirtball.com>
Source record: 157800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jacob,
You mean to tell me that a person has to go though this kind of crap to get a idea accross to the FCC. No wonder we have money problems in government. I would like to be the one that sells them paper. What if you don't own a computer does that mean you can't send a formal reply? No computer no disk see what I'm saying. So our government (FCC) has gotton to be so big that the average man can't reply to them? I do belive that if this type of government continues, it will not last in this contry to much longer. Jacob this is just awful. I will comend you on taking the time letting us in the Amateur community know how to submit such a paper. It looks like it to me the average man does not stand a chance. I mean one has to be a lawyer just to express his or her opinion to the FCC. That is pretty darn bad knowing what a lawyer charges an hour.
I know this has nothing to do with the FCC but it does follow the same lines. I have noticed the press has been really putting a high profile on guns and schools. This has always been a problem, kids, guns, and gangs. Look at the Newyork street gangs of the 50's and the mob gangs of the 20's this has been a major part of Americain soical life. Welcome to the U.S.A. I like to say. I know that this has noting to do with what you are saying but in a around about way it does. Here is what I'm trying to say. The government has gotton to be so big that the average guy can't express a formal reply with out getting a lawyer. They have gotton to be so big that they can't see the forest beyond the trees. Government is so big and so out of controll they can't controll it themseleves. They are to busy worrying who got oarl sex and they are mad cause they didn't get any. (another story sorry). So when its out of controll they try to do everything in there power to take our guns away. So what do they do they put a very high profile on kids and guns. Thus changing public opinion on guns then disarming us then they will work on doing away with our other rights. Free speech free press (censorship on the internet now. People VS. Larry Flint in the 80's tested this right). So I do belive that if the democrats get into power another four years this is what is going to happen. I am not a republicain nor a democrat but to save this great nation of ours we need to put more independance in the house of rep. and a republicain in the white house. I mean they are already attacking personnel freedoms (Smoking) and a guy can't trade in the econemy with out a ton of paper work(own his own busisness). I don't smoke but the more they say Americans you can't do this the more they will. Its just the way Americans are.
Thankyou for shareing this with us As far as the law about 800MHz well I belive that once its on the air its public property. How in the heck are they going to enforce this can of worms. They can't get a grip

on CB radio, Amateur radio. I just think that its just more waste. Why don't they tell us what we can do instead of what we can't. It would take up less paper.
73 from :-)
Oris
N3CND
Real Radio

1167. Re: My reply to FCC ET Docket 98-76 (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3580cc46.100606427@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157801

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

frussle@erols.com (Jake Brodsky) wrote:

> In case you folks don't know how a formal reply is done, here is what
> I mailed to the FCC.

A superb comment! Nice going! I wish you had
included one other point..... that even as we
legislate cellular-capable scanners to oblivion,
the cellular services are moving to CDMA and
other digital technologies that simply cannot
be detected/decoded by analog receivers.

In other words, the entire matter of radio privacy
is headed toward mootness. The issue will take
care of itself in due time.

Any possibility you can add that argument to your
comment?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1168. Re: ce-232 owners important ques,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3581cd53.100875357@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157802

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

jvillafane@aol.com (JVillafane) wrote:

> would you like to see the ce-232 be able to work with the edacs or etrunk
> programs to track those erricson trunk sys. e-mail bill cheek requesting this
> info.
> i would rather have this than ce-232 a/d converter ????/ thats my opinion
> what do you think . we know the opto board has this feature . i don't have the
> money to buy optoelectronics board . i know the ce-232 can be made to have this
> feature to . enjoy .pass this info along to other ce-232 owners lets put bill
> to work .

Heh heh heh..... I *am* at work on it, but the
answers are not obvious, and it will require the
cooperation of various 3rd parties.

You ever try to get a crowd to shutup and listen?
Anyway....I'm working on it.....but there won't be
any answers real soon.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1169. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6lmmr4$9tr$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157803
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

WOW and you lived to tell that story.. ?

In Canada...You would have been charged with obstruction of Justice , Weapons Dangerous , and you very well may have been shot on sight!

Laser sights are not legal here , however thibgs get in Canada and we have no control over it...

However I can assure you if this story is real...The officers would have / should have shot to kill on site.

At night...with a laser sight on one of em...!! Gimme a break!

I know from experiance that the worse nightmere an officer can face is that a perosn is NOT welding a legal weapon , and that of a toy , and the officer kills you only to find out it was a toy...this is uncommon , however but does happen .

No officer ever heads on patrol with the intent or much less the thought of having to use deadly force, however given what I read , they would have been justifyed by doing so in your case.

Your lucky to be alive...did you buy a Lotto ticket , seeing as your luck is rather good ?

My god...its ppl like you who give the rest of us a bad name in RE to scanners!

- SIgh -

In article <6lm38o$irh@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>,
jtonsa@worldnet.att.net wrote:
>
> First, I must say this isn't an original story. I remember this same plot from
> a Seinfeld episode from some time ago. Second, you do realize the cop (at whom
> "you" - supposedly - flashed the laser) thought the laser dot was from a gun.
>
> Emilio Goldstein wrote:
>
> > Boy, they do not have much internet access in jail. Well i'm glad that's
> > over.
> > I'm all set for loads of fun! i spent $149 for a super laser-pen. It
> > shoots red laser dot the length of a football field. I tried this last
> > night and it's great. This sheriff or constable or someone says over the
> > radio that he's going to pick up this guy who has a warrant. It turns out
> > that that was where I was going happen to be located anyway, so I drove all

> > the way to this fugitives house and parked down the block. When the
> > officer showed up, I landed a little red dot right in his chest, and he
> > kind of freaqued. He called dispatch and said hey I've got this little red
> > dot on my chest and it follows me around. I can hear it all on my scanner
> > radio. Anyway they sent him a backup and when the backup arrived, the red
> > dot dissapeared.
> > So they get on the radio and say that the red dot is gone, and what the
> > heck , and when the backup turns around, the red dot shows up again. I can
> > hear it all on the scanner-rama. It was a load of fun.
> > So they take this criminal to jail , and I happen to pull up next to them
> > at a stop light, and presto there's this little red dot on the guy's right
> > hand. I can see him looking it over , and anyway he missed the green light
> > and some guy behind toots his horn. I make a quick right turn and get
> > away.
> > later the guy is talking to the other guy on the channel about the little
> > red dot, and how strange it is but I don't care. I got my rights. They
> > shoot radio signals through my body, I got a right to listen to them, and I
> > got a right to shoot little red laser beams through the window of the squad
> > car.
>
> --
> Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
> RySoft Corporation
>
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/
>
> For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.
>
> NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
> Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
> nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a
> download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
> E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1170. Re: Alaska Railroad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Maurer <jim@specialix.com>
Message-ID: <6lmh9e$57q@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157804

Here are the current Alaska RR freqs from Altamont Press' Northwest Region Timetable #5.

164.625 Ch. 1 Road
165.335 Ch. 2 Dispatcher
165.260 Ch. 3 Yard
164.985 Ch. 4 Gravel Yard

Tim Woodburn <havalark@jps.net> wrote in message
<35773E6B.C518D5C5@jps.net>...
> Anyone got some frequencies for these guys?
>
> Thank!
>

1171. Help with Icom IC-R100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: sneaky@toosexyforyou.com
Message-ID: <6lmkc0$9dp@newsserv.caiw.nl>
Source record: 157805

Hi,

I just bought a second handed scanner the ICOM IC-R100.
It's a great scanner allthough i'm missing the manual.
I need some help to scan a certain frequency, does somebody know how this scanner has to be programmed for running between 2 freguenties.
for example between 30.000 and 40.000. Any help is appreciated.
please mail me to yvonowee@hotmail.com or reply in NG

p.s. if someone has the manual in a txt file or doc it would be terrific when you send me a copy

best regards, Yvonne

1172. Re: FRS/UHF question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <357ED9DF.4FD8308F@home.com>
Source record: 157806

Andy:

Those radios are for use on the GMRS band, and require a "no test, just send us money", license. They will operate within the FRS frequencies, however to do so, technically, would be illegal. And, if I were going through the trouble and expense for a GMRS license, I'd opt for more than 1 watt. Typically GMRS handhelds can be purchased with up to 5 watts, and base/mobile units come in at around 35 watts, if I recall. Some GMRS radios will also interact with repeaters, i.e.: Maxon.

Bill Crocker

andy wrote:
>
> A friend of mine asked that I check out a couple of UHF portable radios
> for him. It was a pair of radios, manufactured by (or for) Radio Shack,
> and the outside case had GMRS on it. The radios had 10 channels that
> were displayed in an LCD channel window.
>
> When I checked the radios, they had 1 watt output +/- 5khz deviation, .3
> uv sensitivity. They had FRS channels 1-7 in them and then 3 other GMRS
> simplex channels, one being labeled "EMERG" which I think was 462.550
> Mhz.
>
> Anyhow, I didn't see these radios listed in my current RS catalog and
> thougt it odd they could operate on the FRS "splinter" channels with 1
> watt and 5kc deviation.
>
> Anyone know anything about these radios?? About how much they are
> worth?
> Are they legal for FRS use?? Do you need a GMRS license for them?
>
> From what I could see, there was no way to use CTCSS or any type of
> repeater pairs with them.

1173. The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@ccgate.hac.com>
Message-ID: <357EDCDD.D8B@ccgate.hac.com>
Source record: 157807

I knew it. First Mackerel the Gay Blade, and now Emilio "Captain Scanner" Goldstein is back with more ridiculous trolling lies.

Notice any simularities folks? I do. I think they are one and the same. Here's why:

1. They claim to post relevant information, when they do not.
2. They get off making people believe everything they say as fact.
3. They thrive on attention and have done anything to get it.
4. They are delusional dipsticks who don't have and won't get, a clue.

Notice how many replies these bozos get? No wonder they won't go away - it's like smacking a dog with a hammer and then waiting to see if it comes back for more...and IT DOES!! Tons of entertainment for no-life losers like them.

I've said it before, and I'll say it again. The only reason they are here is because they will always get a response from SOMEONE. Everytime someone hits the reply button, they get what they want - attention. If we ALL ignore them, they will go away.

I have also heard people say that ignoring them never works. Anyone who says that has never tried to ignore these losers. I have personally seen the effects of an entire discussion group ignoring trolls. Some jerk posts an obvious troll, and the whole group acts as if it never happened. No replies, no "God that was stupid", nothing. The message expires and the loser moves on. It's that simple. Try it and see.

My 2 Cents,
-Phil

1174. Re: Used plexiglass / steel cage wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@ccgate.hac.com>
Message-ID: <357EE030.4CE3@ccgate.hac.com>
Source record: 157808
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

greg szekeres wrote:
> Whats this doing in this newsgroup? Well I guess I do work with these kind of
> things. Our monkey research divsion uses these and there is one sitting
> about 50 foot away. Used is another matter. And, what size. Behind what seat?
>
> greg

Obviously, this is just another one of our many usnet-trollers who will post any obnoxious comment in the hopes of suckering anyone into a response of any kind. It's really best just to ignore the idiots. I can assure you that this guy is not really interested in purchasing such a thing, otherwise he would have done so elsewhere. Another troll for the killfile, if you're lucky enough to have one.

-Phil

1175. Need your humble opinion(s)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <357EE017.3B58FF0D@flash.net>
Source record: 157809

I first want to thank you guys for my earlier problem. I was dreading the reset, but I had to do it. For the info, it is a Uniden Bearcat SC150. But it ended up being a good thing. I needed to clean it out. and

I updated my old freqs.

Now, if you guys had about 150 bux to spend on a scanner, what would you

buy?
I would prefer one that can pick up the cell/cordless phone frequencies.

The SC150, is not mine. I am doing a extended barrow, you could say. But

I have to return it soon, Im considering buying that model..... I know 150 bux aint much. Maybe I can get more...

Anyway, what would you all buy?

1176. Re: The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061019532300.PAA16482@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157810

>Subject: The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??
>From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@ccgate.hac.com>

>I've said it before, and I'll say it again. The only reason they are
>here is because they will always get a response from SOMEONE.

Well, you're right about that... Unfortunately in the case of Goldstein, no matter how ridiculous his stories are, there is a bunch of people that thinks its true. Look how many serious and earnest replies that post has gotten from people who clearly are not using their heads. I don't know which is more worrisome: the fisherman who post the trolls or the fish that takes the bait.

1177. Best computer controlled scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stan Perkins <stan@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <357DCF0F.3FF92F26@netcom.com>
Source record: 157813
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I am looking for a small computer controlled scanner, along the lines of
the Icom IC-PCR1000 or the Optoelectronics Optocom.

What others of this type of scanner, if any, are available?

How does their performance compare?

How about software, user interface, etc.?

Are there any that are cellular unblocked, or readily modified?

Finally, what about pricing?

Thanks in advance,
Stan

1178. Need Vancover Canada FD Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <357DCBE3.C853D970@alaska.net>
Source record: 157814

I am going to Vancover BC July 1st for vacation,
Does anyone have the FD freqs or outlying areas FD
Feqs ??

Thanks

John Miller
Anchorage, Alaska

1179. **ANYONE HEARD OF PRO-2009?**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: teenham@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061000151300.UAA04743@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157815

Hey there...

I am wondering if anyone has heard of the RS Realistic PRO-2009 scanner. I got one of these, and I have never heard of the PRO-2009 before. Any input/thoughts on this would be welcome. Thanks.

73s
Eugene

1180. Re: Electrical interference from vehicle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James J. Friel" <jimf@iname.com>
Message-ID: <357DD43C.956613C6@iname.com>
Source record: 157816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I suggest purchase of a antenna that is resonant to 47MHz. Mount it as far away from the
vehicle's computer as possible. That should take care of it. Many vehicles have onboard
RFI. Chevy pickup trucks were notoroious as we found years ago when our state's highway
department bought a slew of them. We had problems with 47.22 and 47.34. A caution. Don't
invest too much in that antenna sometimes nothing works.

Dave Shaffer wrote:

> Hi!
>
> Is it possible to exclude automotive electrical noise that interferes with
> a mobile scanner? (One way would be to turn off the car. I'm looking for
> other options!)
>
> I have a bc3000XLT that I use primarily in my car. Itï¿½s a great little
> scanner, and works well, but reception on some bands is somewhat limited
> inside the vehicle using the stock rubber duck antenna.
>
> Iï¿½ve tried connecting the scanner to an external antenna on the car. In
> fact, Iï¿½ve tried patching it off the vehicleï¿½s AM-FM antenna, connecting it
> to an old CB radio antenna, and finally to a radio shack broad-band scanner
> antenna. In all cases, reception drastically improves, especially in the
> lower bands.
>
> However, in all cases, I also run into a problem. As soon as I start the
> car, the scanner picks up interference on a specific lo-band frequency,
> 46.14 mhz. Stop the engine, the scanner works fine. Start the engine, and
> it locks onto that channel. With the engine running I can bypass the
> problem by locking-out that one channel. However, as luck would have it,
> 46.14 is the local fire/emergency dispatch frequency, the one channel I
> *donï¿½t* want to exclude!
>
> Interestingly, I donï¿½t run into the problem with just the rubber duck.
>
> Is there a way I could reduce or exclude the electrical noise from the car?
> Shutting off the engine isnï¿½t a very practical approach! ;-)
>
> Alternately, would a better rubber duck antenna (like an Austin Condor,
> perhaps) improved reception in the vehicle without duplicating the auto
> interference problem?

>
> - Dave
>
> --
> Dave Shaffer
> MacUser@Penn.com

1181. **2 meter/440 antenna for Scanning?**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: teenham@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061000294800.UAA06645@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157817

Hey there...

I got hold of a Dual Band (2 meter/440) mobile antenna made by Larsen several weeks ago, and it works great for 2 meters, but my question is: Would it work equally as well on the scanner bands? I have tried it with my RS PRO-39 (awesome scanner, by the way) and it seems to have very good reception on the VHF Low, VHF Hi, and UHF Bands, but 800 MHz reception is not so good. Would it be worth it to buy a specially designed mobile scanner antenna, or would this one be OK? I don't do all that much scanner listening (the Final Exams at school have been keeping me pretty busy), and most of my monitoring is concertrated in the 453 MHz and 501 MHz ranges. Any input on this is welcome. Also, would there be any way to improve 800 MHz reception? Thanks.

73s
Eugene

1182. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357DD40B.7FF6@parg.org>
Source record: 157818

Morris the Cat wrote:
> Get out those pens and write your Representatives and Senators to point out
> that Asian corporations are now instigating rules that are intended to
> eviscerate our long cherished liberties; that perhaps Clinton's free trade
> policies might be giving these foreign corporations a swell head... and
> maybe we need a domestic manufacturer of scanners and VHF/UHF receivers

Morris-

TAKE ACTION!

That seems to be the primary point of your message. Taking action
is how we preserve our rights. In life, it we sit on our backside
& rest, nothing happens. Like the American Independence, it was
only acheived by people acting as individuals & taking the
responsibility to do something & act when action is needed to
preserve the integrity of correctness. Certainly, that could even be
compared to the history of this NG. While a few individuals attempted
to sour this NG for their own selfish pleasure, a nucleous of
responsible
& insightful individuals took necessary actions to preserve the
integrity
of this newsgroup & in some cases even speak for those who can not
speak for themselves.

As we have learned in not only the history of this NG but more largely
the history of the US, we must act to preserve integrity & democracy.
Your suggestion of writing to Senators & Representative about the
right of scanning is very good. The individuals who do so can rest
assured afterward, knowing that they took action when needed to preserve
their rights & a part of the both the integrity & democracy of America.

Morris, I salute you in your planned action!

1183. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357DD43F.4012@parg.org>
Source record: 157819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Morris the Cat wrote:
> If you want to contact your CTIA bought-and-paid-for politicians to
> complain of the further erosion of your radio reception capabilities
> by Asian corporations and don't know who or where to contact them at,
> here's how to find out who they are:

You can also ask your local Democratic or Republican offices.

1184. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgoetz@visolution.net
Message-ID: <357dc604.45750562@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157820
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 8 Jun 1998 23:37:22 +0200, "Andreas Chmielewski vossnet"
<chmielewski@vossnet.de> wrote:

>nichts und ist nur teuer. Son Pager kostet zwischen 600 und 1500DM, je nach
>Modell und Ausstatung. Ist aber auch 1000 mal zuverlï¿½ssiger als alle anderen
>Pagersysteme.

Naja, mit dem 1000 mal zuverlï¿½ssiger sei mal dahin gestellt. Ich
selbst sitze in einer Alarmzentrale und die jetzige Generation dieser
Pager scheint mir in vielen Punkten genauso anfiï¿½llig.
Bestes Beispiel, unsere Alarmzentrale ist selbst ein Funkloch (durch
irgendwelche spezielle Verglasung, hab ich mir sagen lassen). Viele
der eingesetzen BOSPager gehen schlicht und ergreifend nicht in diesem
Raum, dennoch: mein Cityruf (!), bekanntermaiï¿½en zivil&analog
funktioniert immerhin in diesen Riï¿½umen bei jedem 2. page :-)

Ich denke mal es wird Zeit fiï¿½r 2-Wege-pager (wie in USA erhiï¿½tlich),
die zumindest in der Lage sind, eine Empfangsbestiï¿½tigung iin
irgendeiner Form zuriï¿½ckzusenden (z.B. als Status). Dieses wiï¿½re eine
iï¿½berfiï¿½llige Erhiï¿½hung der Zuverlïï¿½ssigkeit und Vereinfachung fiï¿½r das
BOS-Paging. Aber dazu muiï¿½ wahrscheinlich das Netz dichter ausgebaut
werden, so daiï¿½ die schwache Sendeleistung eines miï¿½glichen 2-wege
pagers ausreicht.

Griï¿½iï¿½e,

Stefan

1185. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgoetz@visolution.net
Message-ID: <357ec8f4.46502359@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157821
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

On 8 Jun 1998 22:30:36 GMT, Robert.Mahs@t-online.de (Robert Mahs)
wrote:

>Hi
>
>> (ï¿½ber irgendeinen Funkdienst, z.B. ï¿½hnlich wie Europiepser) anfunken.
>
>Ist vielleicht nicht so gut, denn wenn dich das THW dann anpiepsen
>will kommt nachher noch die Meldung "FEHLER: Die maximale Anzahl
>von Rufen fï¿½r diesen Pager wurde ausgesendet" (Zitat Skyper).
>
>
>MfG Rob

Das wï¿½r's <grins>!
... so ein Unglï¿½ck z.B. muï¿½ sich dann halt bis 0:00 gedulden, bis man
dann wieder "aus dem Vollen schï¿½pfen kann", hehe.
Ich glaube, wï¿½re die Frequenz der BOS-Pager Teledoof-Zustï¿½ndigkeit,
kï¿½nnte ich mir solche bï¿½sen Fallen des Herrn Sommer gut vorstellen,
denn auch Rettungsdienste sind ja schlieï¿½lich auch nur Cash-Cows, die
man melken mï¿½ï¿½te, nicht Ronny?

1186. **Comments on RS PRO-39?**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: teenham@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061000455700.UAA08809@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157822

Hey there...

I am a new proud owner of the RS PRO-39 scanner, and I am looking for any comments on it. Like how good of a scanner it's considered, etc. I know I love mine! Thanks in advance.

73s
Eugene

1187. PRO-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Figueroa <Figueroa@ellijay.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9409$35b1c060$58dc1ece@abc>
Source record: 157823

I need location for discriminator audio output for the PRO-51? I tried pin #9 on the chip, but NO joy.

If anyone has a PRO-51 with this mod., please advise how to accomplish this mod.

Thanks!

Ed

1188. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lkm1u$pk5@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157824

In <357DD40B.7FF6@parg.org> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>Morris the Cat wrote:
>> Get out those pens and write your Representatives and Senators to
point out
>> that Asian corporations are now instigating rules that are intended
to
>> eviscerate our long cherished liberties; that perhaps Clinton's free
trade
>> policies might be giving these foreign corporations a swell head...
and
>> maybe we need a domestic manufacturer of scanners and VHF/UHF
receivers
>
>Morris-
>
>TAKE ACTION!
>
>That seems to be the primary point of your message. Taking action
>is how we preserve our rights. In life, it we sit on our backside
>& rest, nothing happens. Like the American Independence, it was
>only acheived by people acting as individuals & taking the
>responsibility to do something & act when action is needed to
>preserve the integrity of correctness.

Phew! p.u. that too.

you had better get a better
grip on why the colonials
went to war...

"preserve the integrity of correctness"....
wow. what a concept.
what are you saying oh babble-mouth.?

The American War Of Independence was
not fought over individual rights...
please...put your grammar school reader
aside and wipe your butt with it and
then go to college and learn....

>& insightful individuals took necessary actions to preserve the
>integrity
>of this newsgroup & in some cases even speak for those who can not
>speak for themselves.

>

wow... i guess the dumb and deaf thank
you...whoever they are...are you a saint
of what?

too bad you are not insightful or a necessary individual
voice on this NG...rather the PUSS-Spigot a running full.

>As we have learned in not only the history of this NG but more largely
>the history of the US, we must act to preserve integrity & democracy.
>Your suggestion of writing to Senators & Representative about the
>right of scanning is very good. The individuals who do so can rest
>assured afterward, knowing that they took action when needed to
preserve
>their rights & a part of the both the integrity & democracy of
America.
>
What? your last sentence: what is is you are
attempting to drivel about? It's innocuous
and ill-connected.

what does integrity have to do with you? You have
none. so how can you extoll what you know not?

but this sentence is very very lame...where is it
heading?

idiot of the mackeral stew needs to become
an idol. he's trying now to do just that
with this witless info so given....mind-less
too.

bill conduit
etc.

1189. Mod info for Standard C108A HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mmoss@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <357ddb7d.33259397@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 157825

I wonder if anyone knows the all frequency transmit mod for this HT or where it is on the net.

Marv

1190. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lkm4e$eh5@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <357DD43F.4012@parg.org> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>Morris the Cat wrote:
>> If you want to contact your CTIA bought-and-paid-for politicians to
>> complain of the further erosion of your radio reception capabilities
>> by Asian corporations and don't know who or where to contact them
at,
>> here's how to find out who they are:
>
>You can also ask your local Democratic or Republican offices.
>

Morris the Cat must be the
nucleus of your fan club...the
one and only who thinks as you
do...confused.

bill conduit
etc.

1191. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: davcrossNOT@voicenet.com
Message-ID: <357dee08.18253727@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 157827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

ron@fc.hp.com (Ron Miller) wrote:

>Bob C. (bob@bigbird.reno.nv.us) wrote:
>
>: Based on memory of a few years ago, cordless phones are not
>: illegal to monitor. This may have changed but I havent kept close
>: enough attention.
>
>It changed. Congress is like that. Let them get a toenail in the door
>and the whole elephant comes barging in.
>
>Ron
If it changed then I guess they have to recall all of the baby
monitors. remember they are the same frequency.

Dave

1192. Re: Another antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: centauri@mwci.net
Message-ID: <6lk2lo$4ai$1@hihat.mwci.net>
Source record: 157828

In my opinion...

1. Get rid of the Radio Shack discone, and replace it with a better quality unit like the ones made by Diamond.

2. Better yet, use a directional antenna (like a yagi used for 2-meter ham radio) and install it with a rotor. This will give the best possible reception.

3. And most important of all, make the antenna as high as possible. Raising it another 10 feet will probably do a lot more good than any amplifier that you could buy.

In article <1998060703441100.XAA24773@ladder01.news.aol.com>, gcrankbait@aol.com (GCrankBait) wrote:
>Since antennas seem to be a popular topic, I have a question I would like to
>ask some of you. I am using the $59.00 radio shack discone antenna. It is
>roof mounted on a 10ft mast and connected to my BC895XLT using RG6/U coax.
>
>I am getting good reception (I guess) but was wondering if there was some type
>of powered signal amplifier I could hook up to it to get more gain and reach
>out
>a little further.
>
>For anyone in the D/FW area, I live in mesquite and would like to pick up the
>D/FW airport frequencies. Currently I cannot. I would guess I am probably
>40 to 45 miles from the airport. Just wondering what type of reception other
>D/FW scanners are getting. I have picked up some of the regional approach
>freqs. but no control tower freqs.
>
>Thanks for any input on this....
>
>

1193. Re: antenna problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: centauri@mwci.net
Message-ID: <6lk2om$4ai$2@hihat.mwci.net>
Source record: 157829

Probably bad (cut or shorted) coax cable.

In article <357D8DDD.9391E517@hotmail.com>, t-man <ttman65@hotmail.com> wrote:
>I have an antenna mounted to the side of my house on an
>aluminum mast. When I plug it in too my scanner I can't pick
>up anything even the weather frequency. When I put on the
>antenna that came with my scanner I can pick up everything
>so I not it isn't a scanner problem. Could I be getting
>interfernce from the aluminum? Please help.
>Tom
>

1194. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert.Mahs@t-online.de
Message-ID: <6lko74gtk2@news02.btx.dtag.de>
Source record: 157830
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

Hi.

Wenn erst mal die TeleDoof dafi¿½r zusti¿½ndig wi¿½r, ki¿½nnte
man die Dinger sowieso abschaffen und das THW ki¿½nnte
zumachen :).

MfG Rob

Stefan Goetz schrieb:
>
> On 8 Jun 1998 22:30:36 GMT, Robert.Mahs@t-online.de (Robert Mahs)
> wrote:
>
> >Hi
> >
> >> (i¿½ber irgendeinen Funkdienst, z.B. i¿½hnlich wie Europiepser) anfunken.
> >
> >Ist vielleicht nicht so gut, denn wenn dich das THW dann anpiepsen
> >will kommt nachher noch die Meldung "FEHLER: Die maximale Anzahl
> >von Rufen fi¿½r diesen Pager wurde ausgesendet" (Zitat Skyper).
> >
> >
> >MfG Rob
>
> Das wi¿½r's <grins>!
> ... so ein Ungli¿½ck z.B. mui¿½ sich dann halt bis 0:00 gedulden, bis man
> dann wieder "aus dem Vollen schi¿½pfen kann", hehe.
> Ich glaube, wi¿½re die Frequenz der BOS-Pager Teledoof-Zusti¿½ndigkeit,
> ki¿½nnte ich mir solche bi¿½sen Fallen des Herrn Sommer gut vorstellen,
> denn auch Rettungsdienste sind ja schlieï¿½lich auch nur Cash-Cows, die
> man melken mi¿½i¿½te, nicht Ronny?

1195. Re: FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <357DE97B.15E4A407@home.com>
Source record: 157831

Dave:

FRS operates on FM, 14 channels, as follows:

462.5625
462.5875
462.6125
462.6375
462.6625
462.6875
462.7125
467.5625
467.5875
467.6125
467.6375
467.6625
467.6875
467.7125

Bill Crocker

David wrote:
>
> What mode does family radio service opperate. am,fm? Thanks...

1196. Re: **Comments on RS PRO-39?**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <357DEA3A.7E2A2949@home.com>
Source record: 157832

Great scanner! Used to have one. There are mods available if you
search the news groups!

Bill Crocker

Teen Ham wrote:
>
> Hey there...
>
> I am a new proud owner of the RS PRO-39 scanner, and I am looking for any
> comments on it. Like how good of a scanner it's considered, etc. I know I
> love mine! Thanks in advance.
>
> 73s
> Eugene

1197. wtd:??? interceptor scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kable guy minn <n0mnb@minn.net>
Message-ID: <01bd93ec$f4bf8900$925910d0@default>
Source record: 157833

hello
anyone have any info on the hand held scanner called (INTERCEPTOR) are they
still
in business and anyone have one for sale.

contact Bill

n0mnb@minn.net

1198. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tweek@netcom-NO.SPAM-com
Message-ID: <EuBD76.6xJ@moraga.ness.com>
Source record: 157834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Kevin Braun <kevinb@tut_sys.com> wrote:
>Speaking of being monitored in Pleasant Hill, have you noticed all of
>the cameras up and down I680 that were put up a couple of months ago?
>Does anyone know if they are on line or are they only for Big Brother?

Some are on line... maybe not the I-680 ones, but other CalTrans ones
just like it, throughout the Bay Area. In fact, besides the CalTrans cameras
some TV stations have their own, and some TV stations put one or two of
theirs as well as one or two caltrans camera feeds up on their WWW page.

For links to the cameras, try:

www.hotcoco.com (find "traffic" in the menu)
www.sfgate.com (probably under KRON-traffic)
www.kpix.com

Also, the CHP CAD web page I think has links to the Caltrans cameras.

--

Had your share of stoopid(tm) people?	
View the "Contra Costa Whines" at	[This space for lease]
http://www.io.com/~tweek/cocowhine/	

1199. FS PRO 2004 VIRGIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rwantenna@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061002412700.WAA29428@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157835

I HAVE A UNTOUCHED(MODIFIED) RS PRO-2004 SCANNER . THIS ONE DOES IT ALL CELL MOD/ SPEED MOD/ COMPUTER OUTPUTS. TRIPLE CONVERSION UNIT. EXCELLENT CONDITION W/ALL MANUALS /CORDS/ANTENNA $ 275.00 SHIPPED U.S. I TAKE VISA/MC/DISCOVERY or OFFER

RWANTENNA

1200. Presidential visit, freq. list needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "nanmo at pacifier dot com"
Message-ID: <357df2ca.39020938@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 157836

Bill is comming to town on saturday. What freqs. do I need to monitor this visit. I'll be using a pro2006 with an outside antenna. I happen to live across from the Portland airport, so I'll be able to watch Airforce One landing and takeoff...

Thanks in advance!

1201. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357E033F.1EC@parg.org>
Source record: 157837

allsafe wrote:
>
> WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > I can't believe that the famous Bill Cheek responded to one of my posts.
> > I'm honored. I just have one question for you about Allsafe. Is his name
> > truly Allsafe or is he too hiding behind the anonymity of his computer?
>
> I can answer that: I appear on rec.radio.scanner as allsafe@netwit.com.

What is very disappointing is a person so pathetic that when asked who
he really is, still has to hide behind his screen name because he is
such a coward.

:(

1202. Trade: Yupiteru MVT-7100 for PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: fbals@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980610041130000.AAA11835@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157838

I am willing to trade my MINT Yupiteru MVT-7100 scanner (.500 to 1650 Mhz AM/FM/CW/SSB) for a MINT condition PRO-2006. The 2006 must be mint or as close to new as possible. I don't want a hacked up unit that has been through 3 or 4 other owners. Cellular modification done is O.K. The Yupiteru is about 2 months old and doesn't have a scratch on it! Paid around $300 from Bandercom.

E-mail if interested

Frank

1203. Re: 900 megahertz phone freq.??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boogerville.usa@big.balls.net
Message-ID: <357e0a0b.61891118@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 157839

On Thu, 04 Jun 1998 16:30:47 -0400, Bill Perry <bperry@net-link.net>
wrote:

>Gee my Panasonic 900mhz analog works well some 1500 feet away, haven't
>tried any further.
>
>Bill
>
>Michael May wrote:
>
>> Nope some are spread spectrum and some are Analog. I checked my $59
>> V-Tech 900 MHz cordless and the freq and range you mention is valid for
>> mine. I can go about 300 feet radius from the base unit before the
>> handset starts to noise up.
>>
>> Mike
>
>my Nothwestern 99.95 at circuit city is spread spectrum with a 2 way page and has a 1 mile range. Extremeley clear at .5 miles and take a common easy to find battery. I woul not use anything but DSS these days just because of what all of us scanner freaks already know about having elephant ears

1204. Re: FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357E17CD.4468@parg.org>
Source record: 157840

David wrote:
> What mode does family radio service opperate. am,fm? Thanks...

NARROW BAND FM (+/- 5 KC)

1205. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357E19F5.390A@parg.org>
Source record: 157841

Hawke wrote:
> Malexander is correct, 50+ postings and not a single 1 dealing with the
> topic of scanners..

Then you BOTH are WRONG or are just plain LYING!

Look at them message I posted under the subject of "FYI-....", that
was certainly on topic!

1206. Mods on BC 80 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Mac <dmacx@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <357E490B.1C07@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157842

I am thinking of buying a Bearcat 80 XLT as my first scanner. The lowest price I've found is $129.00

My first question is, Is this a good first scanner at this price?

Secondly, Are there many modifications I can do for military, aircraft, cellular etc.?

I have quite a bit of electronic experience so the degree of difficulty of mods is not the issue but rather available materials (schematics, instructions etc.)

Thanks,
D. Mac

1207. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timothy <timothy.tackman@mci2000.com>
Message-ID: <ylpf1.650$Co3.637818@pm01nn>
Source record: 157843
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

You people obviously are just envious of those that have cars that can go 135+MPH...

Live your life.. and let others live theirs...

Phil Smalley wrote in message <357D7843.7769@yahoo.com>...
>Kermit wrote:
>> Oh, and for the record I drive a trick 84 Buick Regal. No cop cars.
>> I was telling you my observation of driver behavior when I drive
>> the unmarked cars from the DPW garage on road tests. Despite the
>> fact I look like an outlaw biker, people see the K-55 and back off.
>
>I agree with Kermit. I used to work in the L.A. area as a courier about
>5 years ago so I have seen more than my share of ignorant dumbass
>drivers who must have gotten their licenses out of a Cracker Jacks box.
>Even now that I have a "real job" I still spend 3-4 hours on these
>freeways a day, and if anything, the people are worse now then they were
>back then. People don't have a friggin' clue. Just this morning, I had
>some dumb woman damn near plow into me at 80 miles an hour or more. As
>she zoomed past me, I saw the reason for her apparent lack of
>concentration - she was applying her lipstick.
>
>These morons are out of control. I am all for as many people as possible
>going out and buying up old police cruisers and making them look like
>unmarked cars. I love to follow marked and unmarked cars when I am on my
>way to work - all of a sudden people act as if they were taking their
>driving tests again. It's great. I say drive those cars as often as you
>can, Kermit. You probably save a life every time you do.
>
>-Phil

1208. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <357e2de3.799048@news>
Source record: 157844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>> So WX, ATIS, MDT, etc. channels have to be locked out manually;
>> Annoying, but I guess you get used to it...

>I guess so. But it is annoying enough that I am
>thinking about connecting the CE-232 Interface to
>it for that very purpose of catching all the
>individual Delays and LockOuts....

>I'd do it in a heartbeat but for the 45-sec it takes
>to AutoLoad 400 freqs the TxD way and 8-mins by
>the CE-232's keypress emulation.

Ah yes, the Achilles heel of keyboard emulation - speed.
Does kinda put a damper on the project, eh?
Still better than pecking for half an hour on the older scanners.

BTW, I do a full Pro-43 upload in ten seconds these days - much nicer.

>> At least the firmware locks out zeroed channels. It would be a
>> pain to go find them all.

>I suppose. The scanner does have a LockOut Review that's
>handy once you do have a bunch of lockouts set.

>Small gratuity, I guess.

Yeah, pretty small. BTW, I've always thought that a nice feature
would be "temporary lockouts" for channels that act up due to
interference or have boring comms on them for a while; All
temporary lockouts could be removed with one keystroke...

...Andy

*** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

1209. icom IC R-10 users group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Douglas Hinton <101723.2004@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <uBR4GnDl9GA.321@nih2naac.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 157845

I am looking for an ICOM IC R-10 dedicated users group. Can anyone point me towards an e-mail or web site? E-mail only reply please. Thanks in advance. Douglas

--

1210. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357e2b89.59445879@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157846

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com (Andrew Porrett) wrote:

> >I'd do it in a heartbeat but for the 45-sec it takes
> >to AutoLoad 400 freqs the TxD way and 8-mins by
> >the CE-232's keypress emulation.
>
> Ah yes, the Achilles heel of keyboard emulation - speed.
> Does kinda put a damper on the project, eh?

Yes..... kind of like you know you're reinventing
the wheel.....in advance.

> Still better than pecking for half an hour on the older scanners.

Ummm hummmm. 800 keypecks can get awfully old
like around the 8th peck.....

> BTW, I do a full Pro-43 upload in ten seconds these days - much nicer.

None of my business if you don't want to make it
so, but I'm curious about your process. Obviously
not keyboard emulation..... and apparently you don't
use the RxD port...since it either doesn't have one;
or if it does, it's either burned open or at least
unlabeled and therefore nigh improbable to figure out.
So my best guess is a direct connect to the EEPROMs?

I would imagine the physical connection to be child's
play, but how to ramrod the data in there? I figure
you for a hardware man; not software, so is it with
a terminal program sending serial data? After all,
those are serial EEPROMs.......

Admittedly, a superior methodology with just one
teeny weeny drawback......you can't remote control
the PRO-43 from the PC keyboard, right? I love that
aspect of the CE-232 Interface with the PRO-43 because
the PC keys are 20x larger than those PRO-43 keys,
and well.....my club fingers don't do well on limited
real estate.

Did you ever publish that mod of yours for the PRO-43,
and if not, would you like to? Sounds worthwhile from

a human angle and since the PRO-43's market "life" is
long over, what the heck?

Off the wall, did you ever do that shortwave mod to the
PRO-43 and if so, did you do any front end rework?

> BTW, I've always thought that a nice feature
> would be "temporary lockouts" for channels that act up due to
> interference or have boring comms on them for a while; All
> temporary lockouts could be removed with one keystroke...

Let me add a flourish to your wish list....how's
about a programmable time-out in addition to the
manual override with a keystroke? Like after 24-hrs,
reset all temp lockouts to normal. Or any time the
user wants within reason? The PRO-43 doesn't have
a clock, per se, but a countdown timer might could
see some good duty in that capacity, no?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1211. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357f2fba.60519037@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157847

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:

> I can't believe that the famous Bill Cheek responded to one of my posts.
> I'm honored.

I respond to a lot of posts, and I try
real hard to employ "affirmative action"
and not discriminate. But there are a
few peckerwoods, nitwits, imbeciles,
popinjays, morons, idiots, and adam-
henries who have made it to my Twit List.

> I just have one question for you about Allsafe.
> Is his name truly Allsafe or is he too hiding
> behind the anonymity of his computer?

I don't know him, and I suppose you could say that
he takes comfort in his Fortress of Solitude. We
all do in a manner of speaking. Some more than
others, and I can't speak for his situation. I
reckon someone who didn't know "bcheek@san.rr.com"
could say I'm using a bogus I.D.

But I dunno about "Allsafe". Maybe his name is
Alls Afe and there is no intent to deceive. But
I just dunno. So ask HIM. What the heck? He
didn't flame you or cast dispersions on your
gene pool or anything like that. He may have
been a little direct and up front, but the nature
of public messaging more or less calls for getting
your say said and get outa there.

Out there in "real life", we get a chance to pass
social amenities and ice-breakers before we grin
and inquire about the other feller's gene pool.
Here in public messaging, you just gotta get down
to the point.

Here, lemme tell you a long story in short form.
Back in the mid-70's, Citizens Band Radio went on a
BOOM.....and almost overnight, everyone had a CB.
That "overnight" was most dramatic at Christmas
of 1976 when every kid and lunatic received a $39

Kraco or Royce CB rig. By New Year's, the band was alive with the cacaphony of peckerwoods, nitwits, imbeciles, popinjays, morons, idiots, and adam-henries........and lunatics.

We spoke of THEM in the same light that WebTV'ers are seen now. There isn't a solution, aside from "grin and bear it" and move up and out to a real ISP as soon as you can.

Internetters have long paid their dues by having to learn their computers and software.....and this outlook isn't all that different from brasspounder hams who had to learn Code and figger everyone ought to learn it, too. "Real" Internetters look on WebTV as the "easy way" to put it mildly.

So it is with some experience under my belt that I suggest to you to "grin and bear it". There just isn't a solution that you'll find pleasant and easy. If you can stand the heat, then stay in the kitchen and play. If you can't, then move up to a real PC and a real Internet account or take up knitting and crocheting. Those are the solutions, anyway, as I see them. You ain't ever going to change humanity; but YOU can change yourself. Or not, as you see best.

<g>

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1212. Re: Want to make Mackey Mad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3580352b.61912221@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157848

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:

> To quote the great philosopher Rodney King, "Can't we all just get
> along?" ;-)

Not......since Eve winked at Adam and Cain
put the hurts to Able.

Noooooo, we'll not all be getting along....not
in our lifetimes and not as long as there are
governments that don't bend to the will of the
People. So long as jerks like 'Sa Damn Shame
and Fiddle de Castrate walk the earth, no, we'll
not all get along......

When I was a kid, Nikita Kruschev standing behind
a podium in the United Nations, pointed a long,
bony finger at ME (via TV, but it was still AT me!)
and swore on all that was holy that I'd live under
the red flag. I was only 8 or 10 years old at the
time, but I remember it as vividly as if it were
a few minutes ago.

On that day, I became sworn to eternal hostility
over every form of tyranny over the minds of men.

So, I...for starters....will not "get along".
Not as long as there are people starving on this
planet; not as long as the drug peddlers see my kids
as a potential market, and not for as long as my own
wretched government attempts to dictate what I can
and can't do with a passive radio receiver in my
boudoir or bathroom.

No....we all can't get along, and we should never
negotiate our values in the vain, futile attempt.
The parasites WANT you to negotiate away your values.
That's how they enter and take up residence in the
balmy comfort of your mind, and then inject a
narcotic elixir of warm, gooshey trust; uncommon
sense; and apathy to complete your enslavement.

I understand your meaning....but the answer is still
"No." for the aforementioned reasons. There is a
very real tyranny ever at work right here on these
newsgroups. There are those who would stake a claim
to the real estate of your mind.

But there is power to the individual, a very real
Power of One. And it is an absolute power. You are
master of your domain....and you are free to read what
you want and to delete or not read what you don't want.

You are also free to post as you see fit. You can
erect an impenetrable force field about your Internet
vehicle....and you can lob giga-ton nukes with utter
impunity.....and immunity.

It can't possibly ever get any better than that.....
....unless there comes a way I can spit a cud of
Bull O'the Woods chewing tobacco through my monitor
square in Mackey's eyes.....and pick a booger outa
his schnoz and feed it to 'im....and take off his
head and shit down his neck. THEN it would be better.

That not possible for now.....the next best thing is
to expose his brand of tyranny for what it is.....so
that everyone can immunize themselves against it, and
thereby isolate and excise him like a cancer.....

But even then.....we won't all get along. Someone will
pipe in about how unfair it is to surgically isolate
"poor ol' Mackey"....and whole new round will start and
there will be two Mackey's instead of just one.

So it really is as good as it gets. If that ain't good
enough, then you're in the wrong ballpark.

IMHO.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1213. FS: Sportcat SC150 $40 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bx557@torfree.net
Message-ID: <EuBqwu.Dr3.0.bloor@torfree.net>
Source record: 157849

I have for sale a Uniden Sportcat 150 (black) scanner, including manual, Sportcat Frequency Guide, original box, and a telescoping antenna. I don't have the AC adapter/battery charger, but any 6vdc power supply will work. This scanner has the following bands:

29 - 54 MHz
108 - 174 MHz
406 - 512 MHz
806 - 956 MHz

It is cellular-blocked but can still pick up cellular signals on 894-915 MHz. $40 shipped anywhere in the continental US.

If anyones interested, I also have a BC210xl scanner. It's an old 18-channel tabletop model. It doesn't work, for parts or repair only. $5 + shipping.

I am located in Dayton, Ohio. Please reply to an422@mvcn.dayton.oh.us.
--

| Justin R. Smith | Dayton, Ohio USA | (937) 233-2125 |
| bx557@freenet.toronto.on.ca / an422@mvc.dayton.oh.us |

1214. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd944a$12cf2ce0$d41537a6@default>
Source record: 157850

I don't listen to cordless or cell phone calls as I find them incredibly boring. It's just the idea of the whole thing that bugged (no pun intended) me and I wanted to provoke some thought on the subject.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Mr. Clem <not@home.here> wrote in article <357C35DC.4D60@home.here>...
> Mike Derryberry wrote:
> > Really sucks when you can't turn in someone doing something illegal just
> > because you heard it by listening to cordless phones. It may be illegal to
> > listen to cordless phones, but do 2 wrongs make a right??? Think about
> > it...
> >
> Absolutely right, we should turn you in for your illegal activities
> (listening to cordless phones). Please post your name and address so we
> may take action.
>

1215. Re: Pro 2045 price drops again!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd944a$2bb1b840$d41537a6@default>
Source record: 157851

What store and where???

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Barry Sherman <Vegas69@webtv.net> wrote in article
<6lhrgr$989$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>...
> I just went to Radio Shack and got a $30.00 refund because 2 weeks ago I
> paid $129.95 for my pro 2045,today its price is
> $99.95,so I was price protected since its been only 2 weeks,and was
> refunded the difference!!Since I learned about this radios price on this
> newsgroup,Im sure others can do the same!! Happy Scanning! Barry
>

1216. Re: CHEEK: Why did you start up again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd944b$34ef54c0$d41537a6@default>
Source record: 157852

My 2006 does the same thing. Low band, high band and UHF are right on freq
but 800 & 900 are exactly 5khhz off. Radio Shack said they couldn't do
anything about when I bought it several years ago so I have just learned to
live with and program accordingly. If yoy find a fix, please Bill let me
know so maybe I can get mine up to spec.

Thanks,
Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<357cbe56.301140415@news2.cts.com>...
> Andy Moss <enzo@ibm.net> wrote:
>
> > Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> > > Gawd....he's swooning on that again? You know, I asked
> > > the degenerate reprobate to tell me exactly WHAT was the
> > > proper tool for the adjustment in question.....and he
> > > never did reply. Yet I specified a #3 flatblade jewelers
> > > screwdriver from Radio Shack....which is about as exact
> > > and specific as it gets. And yes, I said it was Okay....
> >
> > I actually *found* the right adjustment tool for those pesky TCXO
> > modules (a la PRO2004/5/6). You know the ones with the small, flat,
> > cross-hatch pattern? It was at the Rochester fleamarket the other
> > weekend. The fella wanted 24$USD for it. It's a stubby thing made of
> > porcelain. I didn't bother getting one though. Much as I don't want
to
> > take sides in this "issue", a small screwdriver and a gentle hand does
> > work fine by me.
>
> Of course! I'd never have posted the procedure if
> I hadn't had it worked out to a gnat's whisker.
>
> Ironic, though, just last night, i had a pesky PRO-2006
> on the bench with an odd problem of VHF & UHF-Lo being
> right on track. But UHF-hi was 5 kHz off. The VCO's
> were set right. So I checked the TCXO....and it was
> close, not that it would have caused the above issue....
> but since I was there, I MADE a "proper" tool out of a

> thin fiberglass rod.
>
> ....and compared it to two other tools, one the jewelers
> screwdriver I originally recommended, and the other, a
> plastic wand with a head that fits so-so. Insertion of
> ANY of the three made absolutely no difference in the
> output. Which is to be expected.....understanding that
> particular TCXO where all the "live" stuff is below the
> grounded adjustment head.
>
> Nah, this issue isn't one of "taking sides" anyway. It's
> an issue of what can work with minimal chance of error for
> the grass-roots hobbyist.....which happens to be my forte`,
> translating high technology to layman's level.
>
> In this case, Mackey knows enough to be dangerous, and uses
> that limited minuscule thimbleful to attack me. Hell, he
> doesn't have a clue to the TCXO we're talking about anyway.
> He shoots from the hip and wonder's why his foot has a hole
> in it.
>
> Anyway.....it is pretty slick to zero-beat that TCXO with
> WWV at 10 MHz. EASY to get within a hertz or so....for all
> of five minutes with most of that for setup and restore.
>
> Back to the issue I had last night.....anything come to
> mind right off as to what could cause 800 MHz to be 5 kHz
> off and the rest of the scanner pretty much right on?
> Doesn't make much sense to me right off.....but that's
> how the apples rolled anyway.
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---
>
>

1217. Re: The Death of John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd944b$65526a80$d41537a6@default>
Source record: 157853

I'm laughing hysterically right now ...

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<357ed51b.306970348@news2.cts.com>...
> Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com> wrote:
>
> > Infamous Internet stalker John Mackey was found dead at his dingy
> > studio apartment in Portland, Oregon last night.....
>
> <Sigh>
>
> Why couldn't there have been some torture first?
> Especially on video tape so everyone could more
> appropriately lament or celebrate his passing?
>
> 'Sniff.....
>
>

1218. Web Site to visit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <dsimp@tbsa.com.au>
Message-ID: <357E4614.93527C25@tbsa.com.au>
Source record: 157854

Please visit this web site (URL below) next time you are browsing the
web. The site has hundreds of useful links for radio, communications and
SWL enthusiasts, including scanning links on the SWL Page.
==========
VK3NDS Amateur Radio Web Site
by David (VK3NDS)
http://www.tbsa.com.au/~dsimp/
==========

1219. Re: Presidential visit, freq. list needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd944e$2be79880$d41537a6@default>
Source record: 157855

Secret Service will be heavy but will all be encrypted. I have tried when the prez came to town but had better luck listening to my local agencies on their Tac freqs.

Good luck,
Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

nanmo at pacifier dot com wrote in article <357df2ca.39020938@news.pacifier.com>...
> Bill is comming to town on saturday. What freqs. do I need to monitor
> this visit. I'll be using a pro2006 with an outside antenna. I happen
> to live across from the Portland airport, so I'll be able to watch
> Airforce One landing and takeoff...
>
> Thanks in advance!
>

1220. Re: FS PRO 2004 VIRGIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6llihk$sfg@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157856

On 10 Jun 1998 02:41:27 GMT, rwantenna@aol.com (RWANTENNA) wrote:

>I HAVE A UNTOUCHED(MODIFIED) RS PRO-2004 SCANNER . THIS ONE DOES IT ALL CELL
>MOD/ SPEED MOD/ COMPUTER OUTPUTS. TRIPLE CONVERSION UNIT. EXCELLENT CONDITION
>W/ALL MANUALS /CORDS/ANTENNA $ 275.00 SHIPPED U.S. I TAKE
>VISA/MC/DISCOVERY or OFFER
>
>
> RWANTENNA

He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He Ha
Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee heeeeeee
Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!You have got to be kidding!!!!

1221. Re: GET RICH NOW!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6llim5$sfg@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157857

You assholes are why the net is so slow.....SHOVE THE SPAM WHERE THE SUN DON'T SHINE...Don't even bother with a response....

On Wed, 10 Jun 1998 10:28:52 -0400, Jack Browning <jbrowning@fairfieldi.com> wrote:

>Posted by Erik G. on May 25, 1998 at 10:13:30:
>
>++++ : A little while back, I was browsing these newsgroups, just like >you are
>: : : : : : now, and came across an article similar to this that said >you could make
>: : : : : : thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial >investment of $6.00!
>: : : : : : So I thought," Yeah, right, this must be a scam", but like >most of us, but
>: : : : : : I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you >send $1.00 to
>: : : : : : each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You >then
>: : : : : : place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at >#6, and post
>: : : : : : the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are >thousands) No catch,
>: : : : : : that was it. The main difference between this system and >others is that
>: : : : : : you have a mailing list of 6 instead of 5... This means that >your average
>: : : : : : gain will be app. 15 times higher!!! So after thinking it >over, and
>: : : : : : talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I >figured what
>: : : : : : have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like >most of us I was
>: : : : : : a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal >aspects of it all.
>: : : : : : So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office >(1-800-725-2161) and they
>: : : : : : confirmed that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the >measly $6.00.....plus
>: : : : : postage Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting >money in the mail!
>: : : : : : I was shocked! I still figured it would end soon, and didn't >give it
>: : : : : : another thought. But the money just kept coming in. In my

>first week, I
>: : : : : : made about $20.00 to $30.00 dollars. By the end of the
>second week I had
>: : : : : : made a total of over $1,000.00!!!!!! In the third week I had
>over
>: : : : : : $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth
>week and I have
>: : : : : : made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming
>in
>: : : : : : rapidly..... It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I
>spent more
>: : : : : : than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works
>and most
>: : : : : : importantly, why it works.... also, make sure you print a
>copy of this
>: : : : : : article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you
>need it. The
>: : : : : : process is very simple and consists of 3 easy steps:
>: : : : : : STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the
>following on each
>: : : : : : piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get
>6
>: : : : : US$1.00 bills (or equivalent in your local currency) and place
>ONE inside EACH
>: : : : : : of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen
>through the
>: : : : : : envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one
>: : : : : : paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should
>now have 6
>: : : : : : sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the
>above phrase,
>: : : : : : your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing
>is creating
>: : : : : : a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!
>: : : : : : Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:
>: : : : : :
>: : : : : :
>: : : : : : #1 N. Sturdivant
>: : : : : : 2674 Seneca ct.
>: : : : : : Green Bay, WI 54313
>: : : : : : USA
>: : : : : : #2 MDB
>: : : : : : 1104 178 St. S.W.
>: : : : : : Lynnwood Wa 98037
>: : : : : : USA
>: : : : : : #3 Joel P.
>: : : : : : 771 w. Alabama st.
>: : : : : : Florence,Al
>: : : : : : USA
>: : : : : : #4 RS

>: : : : : : 20 Liber Blvd
>: : : : : : Farmingville, N.Y 11738
>: : : : : : USA
>: : : : : : #5 Erik G.
>: : : : : : 302 Grant Rd.
>: : : : : : Marquette Heights, IL 61554
>: : : : : : USA
>: : : : : : #6 JB
>: : : : : : 5735 Fairfield St. SE
>: : : : : : Lancaster, OH 43130
>
>: : : : : : STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see
>above, move the
>: : : : : : other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add
>YOUR Name as
>: : : : : : number 6 on the list. (Use your 'delete" key to remove name
>#1 and move
>: : : : : : all other names up. Then change numbers and add your name as
>#6)
>: : : : : : STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this
>article as
>: : : : : : close to original as possible. Now, post your amended
>article to at
>: : : : : : least 200 newsgroups. (I think there is close to 24,000
>groups) All you
>: : : : : : need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money
>you make!
>: : : : : : Don't know HOW to post in the newsgroups? Well do exactly
>the following:
>: : : : : : --
>: : : : : : DIRECTIONS - HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS
>: : : : : : --
>: : : : : : Step 1. You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do
>your
>: : : : : : own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this
>: : : : : : letter and click and hold down your mouse button. While
>: : : : : : continuing to hold down the mouse button, drag your cursor
>to the
>: : : : : : bottom of this document and over to just after the last
>: : : : : : character, and release the mouse button. At this point the
>entire
>: : : : : : letter should be highlighted. Then, from the 'edit' pull
>down
>: : : : : : menu at the top of your screen select 'copy'. This will copy
>: : : : : : the entire letter into the computers memory.
>: : : : : : Step 2. Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at
>the
>: : : : : : top of the blank page. From the 'edit' pull down menu select
>: : : : : : 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad
>so

>: : : : : : that you can add your name to the list. Remember to
>eliminate the
>: : : : : : #1 position, move everyone up a spot (re-number everyone
>else's
>: : : : : : positions), and add yourself in as #6.
>: : : : : : Step 3. Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you
>want
>: : : : : : to do your postings in different sittings, you'll always
>have
>: : : : : : this file to go back to.
>: : : : : : --
>: : : : : : INTERNET EXPLORER USERS:
>: : : : : : --
>: : : : : : Step 4. Go to newsgroups and select 'Post an Article'.
>: : : : : : Step 5. Fill in the subject.
>: : : : : : Step 6. Same as #6 above
>: : : : : : Step 7. Hit the 'Post' button.
>: : : : : : --
>: : : : : : THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different
>newsgroups and post
>: : : : : : away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30
>seconds for
>: : : : : : each newsgroup!
>: : : : : : **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
>YOU WILL MAKE!!
>: : : : : : BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
>: : : : : : That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the
>world within
>: : : : : : day's! You may eventually want to rent a P.O. Box due to the
>large amount
>: : : : : : of mail you receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can
>invent a name
>: : : : : : to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE
>SURE ALL THE
>: : : : : : ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.**
>: : : : : : Now the WHY part:
>: : : : : : Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very
>low example). So
>: : : : : : then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now,
>each of the 5
>: : : : : : persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200
>postings, each with my
>: : : : : : name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the
>original 5,that is
>: : : : : : another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM
>posts with my
>: : : : : : name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an
>: : : : : : additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and
>post the MINIMUM
>: : : : : : 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I

>will make an
>: : : : : : additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of
>those 625 persons
>: : : : : : post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each
>only receive 5
>: : : : : : replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons
>will all
>: : : : : : deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at
>: : : : : : #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will
>receive
>: : : : : : $15,625,00! With a original investment of only $6.00!
>AMAZING! And as I
>: : : : : : said 5 responses is actually VERY LOW! Average is probable
>20 to 30! So
>: : : : : : lets put those figures at just 15 responses per person. Here
>is what you
>: : : : : : will make:
>: : : : : : at #6 $15.00
>: : : : : : at #5 $225.00
>: : : : : : at #4 $3,375.00
>: : : : : : at #3 $50,625.00
>: : : : : : at #2 $759,375.00
>: : : : : : at #1 $11,390,625.00
>: : : : : : When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the
>latest posting
>: : : : : : in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on
>the list, putting
>: : : : : : your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The
>thing to remember
>: : : : : : is, do you realize that thousands of people all over the
>world are joining
>: : : : : : the internet and reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE
>YOU are now!!
>: : : : : : So can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think
>so... People
>: : : : : : have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends
>you the money?
>: : : : : : So what! What are the chances of that happening when there
>are tons of new
>: : : : : : honest users and new honest people who are joining the
>internet and
>: : : : : : newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try?
>Estimates are at
>: : : : : : 20,000 to 50,000 new users, everyday, with thousands of
>those joining the
>: : : : : : actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this
>will work.
>: : : : : You just have to be honest. Make sure you print this article
>out RIGHT NOW,
>: : : : : : also. Try to keep a list of everyone that sends you money

>and always keep
>: : : : : : an eye on the newsgroups to make sure everyone is playing
>fairly. Remember,
>: : : : : : HONESTY IS THE BEST POLICY. You don't need to cheat the
>basic idea to
>: : : : : make the money!! GOOD LUCK to all and please play fairly and
>reap the huge
>: : : : : : rewards from this, which is tons of extra CASH.

1222. Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9441$3c009c60$46cce0cf@cuib>
Source record: 157858
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Boy, they do not have much internet access in jail. Well i'm glad that's over.
I'm all set for loads of fun! i spent $149 for a super laser-pen. It shoots red laser dot the length of a football field. I tried this last night and it's great. This sheriff or constable or someone says over the radio that he's going to pick up this guy who has a warrant. It turns out that that was where I was going happen to be located anyway, so I drove all the way to this fugitives house and parked down the block. When the officer showed up, I landed a little red dot right in his chest, and he kind of freaqued. He called dispatch and said hey I've got this little red dot on my chest and it follows me around. I can hear it all on my scanner radio. Anyway they sent him a backup and when the backup arrived, the red dot dissapeared.
So they get on the radio and say that the red dot is gone, and what the heck , and when the backup turns around, the red dot shows up again. I can hear it all on the scanner-rama. It was a load of fun.
So they take this criminal to jail , and I happen to pull up next to them at a stop light, and presto there's this little red dot on the guy's right hand. I can see him looking it over , and anyway he missed the green light and some guy behind toots his horn. I make a quick right turn and get away.
later the guy is talking to the other guy on the channel about the little red dot, and how strange it is but I don't care. I got my rights. They shoot radio signals through my body, I got a right to listen to them, and I got a right to shoot little red laser beams through the window of the squad car.

1223. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Henning Koch <su0698@studserver.uni-dortmund.de>
Message-ID: <357E50AD.7747@studserver.uni-dortmund.de>
Source record: 157859
Cross-posted to: de.comm.mobil.pager,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Daniel Drumm wrote:

> > Ist aber auch 1000 mal zuverlaessiger als alle anderen
> > Pagersysteme.

Never.

> Wieso zuverlaessiger? Senden die Pager eine Bestaetigung an die
> Zentrale,

Nee.
Es handelt sich hier offensichtlich um DME's nach der TRBOS.
Diese funktionieren genauso wie die kommerziellen Funkrufdienste; z.T.
sind auch die Geraete gleich (z.B. Motorola Scriptor LX2).
Der Vorteil der BOS - eigenen Systeme ist halt die eigene Infrastruktur,
deshalb kann die Lst. direkt auf die Alarmgeber zugreifen. Nur so kann
die Verzoegerung bis zum Ausloesen der Geraete in einem vertretbaren
Rahmen gehalten werden (bei gut geplanter Technik ca. 20 sec.).
Ausserdem ueberwacht sich die Infrastruktur (Alarmgeber und -Umsetzer)
staendig selbst und ist in wichtigen Bereichen i.d.R. redundant
installiert. Dies ermoeglicht schnelle Erkennung und Beseitigung von
Stoerungen (mit eigenem Personal).
Ob allerdings die Funkversorgung besser ist als bei kommerziellen
Netzen, haengt einzig und allein von der jeweiligen (oertlichen)
Auslegung ab; anders gesagt davon, wieviel Geld die Gemeinde (oder wer
auch immer) in das Netz investiert hat. Bei uns in DO ist es teilweise
schlechter als z.B. TelMi.

AFAIK geben aber einige HO's auch kommerzielle Pager an ihre Helfer aus,
vor allem fuer Einheiten mit ohnehin laengerer Ruestzeit.
* Diese koennen dann im Prinzip auch privat ausgeloest werden *
Zur Unterscheidung:
Auf dem Typenschild der BOS-Pager befindet sich Die Typenbezeichnung
"DME I" fuer Ton/Sprache bzw. "DME II" fuer alphanumerische Pager.

--
mfg Henning

Henning Koch
mailto:su0698@studserver.uni-dortmund.de
HTTP://StudServer.Uni-Dortmund.DE/~su0698/

1224. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com>
Message-ID: <6llkcc$hjv@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 157860
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

"Timothy" <timothy.tackman@mci2000.com> wrote:
>You people obviously are just envious of those that have cars that can go
>135+MPH...
>
>Live your life.. and let others live theirs...

Your rights end, where the rights of others to drive peaceably and law abidingly begin. May you experience of the tragedy of losing a family member to an agressive driver, or experience a wreck yourself as a result of wanting to disobey traffic laws or drive discourteously.

I've had it with you fucking "road warrior" wannabes. You jerks are the reason our insurance rates are so high. Too self important to think they have to be grouped among the 'common' peasants.

You live your life anyway you want, as long as it doesn't fucking intrude on the rights of others.

1225. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3585125c.184096019@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157561

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

fred@banana.net (fred) wrote:

> A-ha! Out of the woodwork they come.
>
> And spare me the BS about off-topic posting. You are quite the
> violator of this NG. Goodbye.

Freddie, Freddie, Freddie, from which banana
peel did you thus slither?

1226. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com>
Message-ID: <6lp6f7$4q2@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 157562
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

KuoH <KuoH@aol.com> wrote:
>Now that's just plain bad taste. Wishing ill upon another person(s)
>simply because they don't aggree with your point of view.

Hey I lost a brother in law, and 2 people are dead because of shitheads who have a bug up their ass with wanting to prove to the world their macho brand of attitude when driving on the highways.

>it does
>little to convey your point and paints yourself more as a vigilante,

side note: in communities where the citizens are armed (concealed carry permitted), crime rates are pretty low. bad guys ("lawbreakers") don't know if they'll get busted when committing a crime. So to a certain degree vigilantiasm promotes civil behavior.

Is it being vigilante to ask that people drive within the confines of the law and common courtesy ??? anything that promotes that cause is fine in my book!

>I put you into the same corner as the people who bought those radar
>emmiters which do nothing but shoot out spurious X and K band signals.
>An egomaniac hypocrite trying to hide their shortcommings under the
>guise of community service.

Now this is laughable, and a case of the pot calling the kettle black. "egomaniac hypocrite trying to hide their shortcomings" ? What goes thru the psyche of the asshole who is weaving in and out of lanes trying to get ahead of the schmuck in front of him... just so he can gain an advantage of 10 feet ? .. or be the first one stopped at the red light ahead (slamming on his brakes to stop right before it), or to get in front of the other guy in the toll booth lanes....

To me, that's an egomaniac who has shortcomings and needs to prove to the world that he's better than the people he almost hit doing his reckless brand of driving.

>I see just as many inattentive slow drivers as I do fast ones everyday
>and both are just as likely to injur or kill others.

Slow drivers don't rear end other cars and spin them around with enough force to roll over 4 times and or split in 2 pieces wrapped around a phone pole... The asshole doing 85 mph (thinking he's a superior driver), is a hell of a lot more dangerous than the dude doing the speed limit and being a courteous driver.

>Simply pointing
>the finger at speed is just treating the symptoms and not the illness.

yeah, the illness , is everyone feeling so self important, they have
to be in such a hurry to get somewhere.

>If you think it's so dangerous out there, then spend the money on
>defensive driving courses instead of a radar gun.

Defensive driving reinforces the fact that assholes are on the road!!
it does nothing to address the problem of getting them off the road.

1227. ICOM R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dodds <m.dodds@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <6lp68o$6o4$1@nclient1-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 157563
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I've been using an ICOM R8500 for the past 6 months or so. It is really great doing nearly all things I want and more.

One thing I do not like is that after a transmission has ceased the scan continues 3 seconds later, therefore the delay is not variable, although the resume scan time can be varied. Does anyone know how to change this?

Also has anyone tried and got any views on the ICOM supplied software for the ICR8500 or any other similar s/w.

TIA

Mike Dodds

1228. Re: Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <35801A0E.6F19A996@pacifier.com>
Source record: 157564

Excellent summary of MACKEY Bill. I think I will be less
angry at Mackey in the future after reading this.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp) wrote:
>
> > On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 08:26:54 GMT, "Mike Derryberry"
> > <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> pedantically proclaimed in the
> > following unperspicuous peroration to all:
> >
> > >I think Mackey must have been bored today as there were 8 posts from him,
> > >not one on topic and saying absolutely nothing worthwhile. What an
> > >incredible waste of time and bandwidth. I do think he needs to get a life.
> > >
> > >Mike
> >
> >
> > Is this post ON TOPIC for this news group ? Your drivel and concerns
> > for Mackey only show that you also need to get a life.
>
> You don't understand. Smacksie is our mascot.
> He's sort of like an orphan we've adopted so as
> to give the poor fellow a place he can call home.
>
> The poor sap has no cognitive faculties and would
> otherwise be ignored and sent to oblivion anywhere
> else. Here, we feed and nurture his primal needs.
>
> It's just something you have to kind of get to
> understand.....it's not intuitive at all.
>
> Stick around for a while and you'll see.
>
> :-)

1229. Something I was curious about...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth West <we9472ro@rmi.net>
Message-ID: <35801A3F.15E04759@rmi.net>
Source record: 157565

What is a 7 adam list? I have been listening to police calls for about a year, and I always hear that someone wants to be added to the 7 adam list. What is that? I thought maybe it was the list that the cops were added on to take their lunches :)

1230. Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <35801CA9.CCD7E904@pacifier.com>
Source record: 157566

Why does Mackey always attack Bill and come back for more.
This is perplexing. Bill cleans Mackey's clock every time and
he keeps coming back for more. All Mackey does is copy
posts and change the names and reposts them.
Mackey... are you jealous of Bill? Are you so angry
because people respect him and want to communicate with him
and not you.
Shame on you Mackey. Mackey... why don't you put more time into
your other hobby ... masturbation. .

1231. BC100XLT info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Edgar <John_Edgar@mitel.com>
Message-ID: <35801D13.41C67EA6@mitel.com>
Source record: 157567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All:

This is my first time posting here and am new to this hobby.
I just purchased an old Bearcat BC100XLT as my first scanner but I
did not get any manuals etc with it. It's in great shape and works
well so I would like to keep it but I would like to know more about
it.

Would anyone out there have any specs or usage instructions they could
email (or post) for me.

Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks

John.

1232. pc software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "N.F.Kimberley" <fuzzylogic@enterprise.net>
Message-ID: <358021af.0@news2.mcmail.com>
Source record: 157568

I am looking for software to decode satellites information received by my scanner, is there anyone out there that can help me.

1233. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: knots829@aol.com
Message-ID: <35821ddf.26273736@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Must be nice to be a cranky old bastard with nothing to do all day.

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 17:12:56 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>> That would be "tout de suite", Bill.
>
>Sorry, but no, it would not; at least not in the
>vernacular and context by which it has been used
>as an expression in the United States for the
>last 35+ years.
>
>> It is French for "soon", "right away", "in haste".
>
>Perhaps, though "post haste" is the most correct
>and literal translation, but the etymology is not
>important. Common usage sets the relative importance.
>You will find a verbatim example as follows:
>
>" Time to leave town, tuit suite!!! "
>
>located at the following Web sites, one a university:
>
>http://hubcap.clemson.edu/~akluge/days/summary/1997/may12-16.htm
>
>http://dustin.simplenet.com/days/summaries/may97.html
>
>And if you want to get down to brass tacks over the
>matter, you will find "toot sweet" to be in common
>use as well. In any event, the English application
>of the expression does not include the "de" connector,
>and I've never seen it as "tout suite". Common
>usage in the United States is ... as I expressed it.
>
>I am reminded of my butt-ugly English teacher in
>the 9th grade of some 38 years ago....who literally
>punished us for using the word, "ain't". But as
>a writer, I'll use "ain't" anytime I want, and ain't
>nobody gonna stop me. <g> For one thing, "ain't" has
>survived the test of time. It *is* technically acceptable,
>and has been since at least 1974 according to
>Merriam-Webster's dictionary.
>

>Likewise, "tuit suite" has been in common colloquial
>use since at least 1963 when I heard and saw it that
>way for the first time. It doesn't matter if the French
>say it is incorrect. That would matter ONLY in France.
>
>And there is another issue.....forum. The forum of
>a communique sets the rules, too. Ferinstance, I
>would not use "ain't" or "tuit suite" in a formal class
>paper nor even in a scientific paper. But when we speak,
>especially in informal gatherings like this one, there
>are no rules.
>
>When you consider the extreme diversity of backgrounds,
>languages, dialects, and even levels of intelligence in
>forums like this one, the ONLY thing that matters is the
>thought or idea or question, and if it can be understood
>by others.
>
>YOU UNDERSTOOD, and that was quite sufficient. Now
>clearly, though, I failed miserably because the militant,
>bucktoothed, booger-picking hermaphrodite, Smacksie, did
>not appear tuit suite in this thread as I intended. <gloom>
>
>Oh....and don't criticize or correct your elders unless:
>
>1. You are certain of your foundations and all the facts,
>
>and
>
>2. You FULLY understand that in doing so, you simultaneously
> INVITE criticism and correction.
>
>-=A preposition is a terrible thing to end a sentence with.=-
>
>Remember that.
>
>-- ----
>Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
>COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
>Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
>bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
>http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
>-- ---
>
>

1234. Re: beginner scanner & low freq modifications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6lp9ta$q55@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 157570
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6lccr2$6u7$1@pale-rider.ins.cwru.edu>,
Randall J. Bateman <rjb2@po.CWRU.Edu> wrote:

>I am interested in buying an inexpensive scanner that can be modified
>to monitor frequencies in the kHZ range (1kHz-200kHz),...
>I am wanting to modify it so that I can "hear" ultrasound (bugs,
>water, gas leaks, etc.) Anyone ever hear of this before? ...

A radio scanner won't do this. I build an ultrasonic receiver and
wrote this article in 1989. BTW, it still works fine.

I BUILT AN
ULTRASONIC RECEIVER

Copyright 1989, Bob Parnass, AJ9S

Both midwestern farmers and radio enthusiasts suffered dur-
ing last summer's record drought. Many of us were plagued
with power line interference, as the wooden power poles
shrunk without moisture, allowing the power line hardware to
become loose and electricity to arc. We could hear the
"eggs scrambling" noises throughout the shortwave spectrum,
even up through 220 MHz in places.

I spent a few days driving around the neighborhood with an
Icom R-7000 VHF/UHF receiver on the front seat trying to
pinpoint the offending power line fixtures. I even walked
part of the area with a portable scanner, attenuator, and
home made loop antenna.

It was a challenge. The fun of the hunt outweighed the
annoyance of the interference. Although I was able to
locate a few offending transformers, the power company
didn't return my call.

Vowing to build another gadget to help pinpoint power line
leaks for the next time this happens, I set my sights on
building a device to detect the ultrasonic energy emitted by
leaky lines. William Nelson's Interference Handbook (Copy-
right 1981 by Radio Publications, Inc.) shows an old Hewlett
Packard ultrasonic detector, useful for finding corona
discharge in the 30 kHz to 50 kHz range (pg. 117). Autumn
rains came, vanquishing the noise problem and cooling plans
for an ultrasonic detector.

Article Revives Interest

A magazine article rekindled interest in ultrasonics. February 1989 Popular Electronics featured a construction article on an ultrasonic receiver. This was a superhetero-dyne circuit, with a tuneable local oscillator. I decided to build this unit and ordered a kit of parts from Krystal Kits.

The kit consists of the printed circuit board, potentiome-ters, ICs, resistors, capacitors, PVC pipe and end caps (used as an enclosure). I bought a 3-3/4" Radio Shack piezo tweeter (40-1382) for use as an ultrasonic microphone, and supplied my own IC sockets, as none were furnished.

This Krystal Kit is nothing like a Heathkit. There are no instructions, just a reprint of the magazine article with a few hand drawn corrections. Mechanical work, like laying out and drilling holes for the enclosure, remains the responsibility of the builder.

The printed circuit board eased construction, but was only of fair quality. There were extra splashes of copper, which required removal with an X-Acto knife. The holes for the mylar capacitors and several resistors were spaced too closely, forcing contorted bending of some component leads.

The oscillator was supposed to tune 15 kHz - 35 kHz, but mine would only tune 12 kHz - 21 kHz using the specified components. I substituted a 2200 ohm resistor for R5 (ori-ginally 15K) which expanded the tuning range to 15 kHz - 66 kHz.

Only young listeners can hear pure sounds near or above 20 kHz. Using my ultrasonic receiver, I have listened to sounds I could otherwise not hear from these emitters:

1. televisions
2. older ultrasonic TV remote control transmitter
3. computer terminals
4. video cassette recorder
5. metal detector searchcoil

Sound sources radiate almost equally well in all directions when the wavelength is large compared with the source. At higher frequencies, and smaller wavelengths, the radiation becomes more directional.

Although the receiver is sensitive, there are a few shortcomings: Moderately loud noises in the sonic range can leak through the receiver and be heard. Since the receiver is not shielded, it can detect some electromagnetic fields as well as acoustic signals.

In conventional radio receivers, the local oscillator gen-erates a fairly clean sinusoidal wave. By contrast, the

ultrasonic receiver uses a square wave oscillator, which produces strong harmonics. Thus, you can hear harmonics of the same sound at more than one place on the tuning dial.

I am awaiting the arrival of better weather so I can try listening for power line corona and the ultrasonic sounds emitted by insects.

Update

Several people have written for more information concerning the ultrasonic receiver I built. I bought a parts kit for my ultrasonic receiver from:

Krystal Kits
PO Box 445
Bentonville, Arkansas 72712

I paid $34.95 by personal check and it took over 4 weeks for the parts to arrive. Sorry, I don't have a telephone number for Krystal Kits. Their last PE advertisement appeared in the February 1989 issue. A Krystal Kits catalog is available for $1, although I requested and received a free catalog with my order.

The 4 page Popular Electronics article, "The Ultrasonic Receiver," was written by Charles D. Rakes, and perhaps Krystal Kits is his own company, but I don't know.

The new Popular Electronics, combined with Hand-On Electronics, is published by:

Gernsback Publications, Inc
500-B Bi-County Blvd.
Farmingdale, NY 11735
tel. (516)293-3000

Reprints of articles are available for $.50/page plus $1.25 shipping from:

Popular Electronics
Reprint Bookstore
P.O. Box 4079
Farmingdale, NY 11735

I am not affiliated with, nor have a financial interest in either of these companies.

Happy (ultrasonic) monitoring!
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1235. BC895XLT questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <gscott@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <3580291F.D2710D6@alaska.net>
Source record: 157571

Is there a way to unlock the freqs in this scanner? Not just the cell freqs. Feel free to e-mail me on this subject.Without all the against the law stuff. just help please Thanks Scott..

1236. Re: Sony Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6lp98m$q2t@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 157572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <6lp35ourj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
<brian_parry@hotmail.com> wrote:

>So I have seen this new Sony scanner in two stores in the Bay Area.
>... . Anyone have any info? Is it worth getting?

I tested a new SONY SC1PC scanner for Monitoring Times and
was impressed. If you've already seen one, you
know it's not a tiny scanner.

The one I measured was very sensitive through most of
its range (12 dB SINAD graph will be in the 8/98 review).
The audio was remarkably clear.

The software runs under Windows 95 and worked well.
The keypad sequences are complex and quite different
from other scanners.

The Service Search includes the usual bands, plus military air,
FM broadcast, and TV (VHF and UHF), so you can listen to
Jerry Springer :-)

It's nice to see a company selling a 25 - 1300 MHz no gap (exc.
cellular) scanner which actually performs well and comes
with *everything* you need, including cables, earphone,
AC power supply, PerCon FCC database, and control software.
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1237. Hialeah PD (MIAMI)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: fern <fernand1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <01bd956c$15fc3a20$ba94d9ce@fernand1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157573

I would like to know all of the freqs. that they use because thats the only PD agency down here that is not trunked. So please let me know. You can also email me at
fernand1@ix.netcom.com

1238. Re: Sony Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35802C38.5F97B765@ibm.net>
Source record: 157574

brian_parry@hotmail.com wrote:
> So I have seen this new Sony scanner in two stores in the Bay Area.
> But I can't seem to find any info on the web about it. The scanner
> does 25-1300 and comes with windows software to program it. I forget
> what the name of the scanner is. Anyone have any info? Is it worth
> getting?

Read my First Impressions of the SC1PC WaveHawk :

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIOS.HTM

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

1239. Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lpdlecbp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157575

Why don't you guys grow up and get a life. Ya'll are act like 8 year olds. Here is an idea, try ignoring each other and your world will go back to normal. You weirdo's.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1240. Re: Curious question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <357ff7c9.27448401@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 157576

Sometimes the conversations jump around and sometimes they don't, and I don't know why. If you're lucky you can pinpoint a conversation for quite a long time.

1241. FS: Icom PCR1000 Wide Scanner (unblocked)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cgt01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061120113000.QAA17327@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157577

FS: Icom PCR1000 wide band receiver - unblocked - full 100kc to 1300 Mhz -- immaculate -- used briefly to verify software -- Best offer over $500 - I ship -- Email reply or phone 978-635-9663

1242. Re: Power Supply for BC9000XLT (Attn:Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <358038d7.2252806@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 157578
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>You need 13.8v rated at 700-mA or more.

I'm using a 12v 1A for my XLT9000.
Will this damage my scanner?

1243. 800 MHz reception problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Appenzeller <Michael.W.Appenzeller@lmco.com>
Message-ID: <358061BC.47EE@lmco.com>
Source record: 157579

I am having an unusual reception problem on the County-wide trunked system (Motorola) where I live. On all channels I am experiencing severe "flutter", similar to receiving a distant mobile signal , but this is on the repeater *output* freqs. Even the dispatchers & fire pagers all have this rapid flutter. It's as if the scanner was in a car going
65 MPH, but it's sitting on my workbench. 3 years ago I was living in a diffrent county, and had the same problem, they had a GE trunked system. I don't think anything's wrong with my radio, (760 XLT) I can receive cell-phone images without the flutter, and when the TV in the same room is on Ch. 68 the TV's local oscillator comes in steady on one of the trunking repeater output freqs. Any ideas on what's happening and possible solutions?

Thanks in advance

Mike
WB2MEP

1244. Re: Bc9000xlt, Help with these questions Please..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <35803c5a.3151898@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 157580

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 08:35:33 GMT, "Mike Derryberry"
<michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

>Cell phone freqs are blocked and can not be unblocked.
>Mike

Are you sure?

>> Can it be fixed to receive these freqs?

Depends on date of manufacture.
I think only older ones can be modified.
I don't think new 9000's can be modified.
You may want to look for a used one .
Check out C. Crane company for details on the BC9000 Modification
video @ www.ccrain.com or call at 1-800-522-8863.

>> Is there a better scanner I should look at before I purchase this one?

Depends on what you want to listen to.
Do you need to have trunktracking capabilites?
If not, then there are lots of options.
The 9000 audio isn't that good IMO, but's a fast scanner.

Good luck

>> Thanks for the help Scott..
>>
Anytime

1245. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KuoH <KuoH@aol.com>
Message-ID: <358038B0.4D74@aol.com>
Source record: 157581
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Kermit wrote:
>
> Hey I lost a brother in law, and 2 people are dead because of shitheads
> who have a bug up their ass with wanting to prove to the world their
> macho brand of attitude when driving on the highways.

Just because you've lost loved ones does not necessarily mean that someone else who has also suffered this loss will feel exactly the same way you do. And wishing that upon them will make it even less so. You might as well summarily execute a member of every family, then saying "There, now you know how it feels just in case you feel like doing something wrong in the future."

> side note: in communities where the citizens are armed (concealed carry
> permitted), crime rates are pretty low. bad guys ("lawbreakers") don't
> know if they'll get busted when committing a crime. So to a certain degree
> vigilantiasm promotes civil behavior.

I haven't lived in a community where concealed weapons were legal. Then again, maybe I have and just didn't know it. But the fact that a majority of the communities in the US do not permit concealed weapons would seem to contradict the supposed benefits of your highly armed community.

> Is it being vigilante to ask that people drive within the confines of the
> law and common courtesy ??? anything that promotes that cause is fine in
> my book!

It is when you try to impose your sense of justice upon them that your actions become viewed as vigilantism. Buy an old cruiser and put a radar gun in it if you want, but don't try to pass off your actions as anything other than egocentricism. And your ending statement here just wreaks of an individual who would justify bombing an abortion clinc to "further" the cause. Anything is NOT fine.

> Now this is laughable, and a case of the pot calling the kettle black.
> "egomaniac hypocrite trying to hide their shortcomings" ? What goes thru
> the psyche of the asshole who is weaving in and out of lanes trying to
> get ahead of the schmuck in front of him... just so he can gain an
> advantage of 10 feet ? .. or be the first one stopped at the red light
> ahead (slamming on his brakes to stop right before it), or to get in
> front of the other guy in the toll booth lanes....

Nobody said that was ok, but it has little to do with purposely impeding the flow of traffic on the highways. If the other guy chooses to speed and weave, then put as much distance between yourself and the other guy as possible and concentrate on your driving. You're not going to stop him from doing it right there and then, nor should you try. Doing otherwise puts you at fault as well.

> Slow drivers don't rear end other cars and spin them around with enough
> force to roll over 4 times and or split in 2 pieces wrapped around a phone
> pole... The asshole doing 85 mph (thinking he's a superior driver), is a
> hell of a lot more dangerous than the dude doing the speed limit and being
> a courteous driver.

INATTENTIVE drivers! You keep trying to compare slow and fast drivers like it's the "end all be all" factor when it is the attention and skill of the drivers that's the main contributing factor to accidents. I see drivers everyday in the left lane doing the posted speed or less, then shoot across 3 lanes of traffic just before their exits. Or drive up the onramp at 35MPH and try to merge then speed up, all the while traffic behind is furiously braking because there was obviously not enough room to merge at that speed. And it's just plain scary when you see an inattentive SLOW driver almost force the semi in front of you off the road beacuse they can't stay in the lines. There are just as many slow drivers as fast drivers causing accidents, but the common denominator is not speed. It is the attention of the drivers and the speed of their reactions.

> yeah, the illness , is everyone feeling so self important, they have
> to be in such a hurry to get somewhere.

Still not the point. This is why we may never be rid of bad drivers on our roads. They are forever trying to shift the blame on others. There are ofcourse, situations that are impossible to avoid, but they sure as hell don't happen to me everyday. I may be close enough to see it, but I try my damnedest not to be in it.

> Defensive driving reinforces the fact that assholes are on the road!!
> it does nothing to address the problem of getting them off the road.

Back to training for everyone, but not just the ones that you think are bad drivers. If you'd prefer not to pay attention to the speeding driver that is approaching your rear, or the 55MPH die hard that you are approaching at 70MPH, until you're bumper to bumper, then that's your right I suppose. But in neither situations would you be considered a good driver. I'd prefer not to become a statistical point on someone's graph if there is anything I can do about it.

KuoH

1246. icom 1c-40g selcall connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlang@freeway.DIALix.oz.au
Message-ID: <491_9806120700@freeway.DIALix.oz.au>
Source record: 157582
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair

It's 11 Jun 98 01:57,
We'll return to paulsm@mailexcite.com and All's
discussion of icom 1c-40g selcall connector

pa> Hi, does anyone know the pinout of the selcall board connector in the
pa> icom uhf crs IC 40G ht? I'm trying to wire up a selcall board.

Not off hand, but I did manage to get an encoder board to work by trial
and error.

... The gene pool could use a little chlorine.
--
| Fidonet: Tony Langdon 3:632/367.2
| Internet: tlang@freeway.DIALix.oz.au
|
| Freeway Internet Gateway, Melbourne, Australia. For information about
| our services and conditions of use, e-mail:
| info@freeway.DIALix.oz.au, to receive a copy of the FAQ.

1247. Re: Curious question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358043D5.2D30@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157583

Bill Cheek wrote:
> You're not. <grin> The ECPA of 1986 makes it
> illegal to LISTEN to cellular signals.

Now, Bill...

No one says you CAN'T, just that you shouldn't...

Here's the deal Scott. Every time Joe Public uses his cell phone, his call is patched thru a repeater. A computer at that repeater site (or "cell") watches the signal strength of the call. As the call gets weaker (because Joe is walking/driving away from that "cell" site) the computer will automatically switch him to another repeater, operating on, of course, another frequency. Just before he goes, you'll hear a series of short buzzes. I'm not sure, but I think that this is the computer sending the new channel assignment to the phone. Then the phone replies back, and <poof!> Joe disappears, reappearing somewhere else in the spectrum.

There isn't any way for you to follow it, unless you were to write some software to read those buzzes and switch the scanner to the new frequency, like the phone does. Since, as Bill mentioned, the law says listening to cell is a no-no, then don't expect any such software or tracking devices to be available to guys like us anytime soon (Unless you're a programmer with LOTS of free time who doesn't mind working for free and sharing the program with no one.)

-Phil

P.S. If the "Men in Black" come knocking, tell 'em John Mackey made you do it! ;-)

1248. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3580462A.5A89@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157584

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Hi Freddie-baby! Are you an alter-ego of Smacksie?
> ....or just joined at the hip with him?

Probably "former lover". Yikes!!

-Phil

P.S. Yes, I know, it's off topic. And rude. And it starts trouble. But I don't really care. PTHTHTHTHTHTH!!!!!!! >:-P

1249. Re: Sony Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35804805.57E8@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157585

Rich Wells wrote:
> Read my First Impressions of the SC1PC WaveHawk :
>
Sounds like a great unit! How much are they?? (I can't look it up on the
'net here because we have this @#$#!! firewall in the way!)

-Phil

1250. F/S PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jread_antispam_@n2.net
Message-ID: <35804883.756834@news.n2.net>
Source record: 157586

I have a 12volt mobile scanner for sale. It is a Realistic Pro-2026
100 channel that covers VHF, UHF, AIR, CELL. Have manual.

Will ship via UPS Ground to Continental US at no extra charge and will
include a magnetic mount 1/4 wave 2 meter spike antenna to use with it
while you are mobile.

Asking $140.00 US or best offer.

1251. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lphnu$7j@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157587

In <35801CA9.CCD7E904@pacifier.com> pja <pja@pacifier.com> writes:
>
>
>Shame on you Mackey. Mackey... why don't you put more time into
>your other hobby ... masturbation. .
>
you don't get it...he gets off
on the Cheeck-guy tete-a-tete
postings....

he gets off on it!

do you get it?

bill conduit
etc.

1252. Re: Curious question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <358044d0.5317947@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 157588

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 07:17:15 GMT, Scott <gscott@alaska.net> wrote:

>Ok lets say you have a scanner that picks up cell phones. What good is
>it if the phone keeps jumping from freq to freq. How are you suppose to
>listen to the entire conversation? Thanks Scott..
>

I'd recommend the Uniden XLT245 Cell-tracker.

1253. Looking for AOR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Wagoner <lewis68@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <35804C44.9EB0B382@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157589

Where can I get an AOR-8000 with no blocks?

Thanks

Randall

1254. Re: Cellular capably Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: X <fillip@banet.net>
Message-ID: <35803198.B82BF0C1@banet.net>
Source record: 157590

LOL
ILLEGAL BUT YOU STILL DO IT heheh
bad boys bad boys what cha gonna do ....

scanist@acmenet.net wrote:

> I got a hold of another 20-0506 (AKA-European Pro-26) firmware version
> 728EH EUr 0.11 25-1300mhz absolulty NO GAPS..... 200 channels.....only a few
> scratches on the battery cover..... have a new cover on order. Will trade for
> a Scout, or other scanner related pieces. OR $ 350.00 reply to:Eddie Kosloski
> scanist@acmenet.net PS: As we all know, tuning in, or listening to Cellular
> frequencies is ILLEGAL so don't do it !!!
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1255. Re: What NYPD does policescanner.com give you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: mkortlander@monmouth.com
Message-ID: <358051a4.63453144@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 157591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 19:56:44 GMT, chesler@world.std.com
(David S Chesler) wrote:

> I've been enjoying listening to the NYPD on the RealAudio
>from http://www.policescanner.com
> What frequency or frequencies is it giving me?
> I wasn't a scannist when I lived in New York, but surely
>one frequency doesn't cover the entire city.

There are a few "citywide" freqs in use, this sounds like it
is the "SOD" 470.8375

1256. FS: RS PRO-2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: sbiczak@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061122034600.SAA01251@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157592

Radio Shack PRO-2040 scanner for sale. 100 channels, 800 mHz. Excellent condition with box and manual. $80.00 shipped anywhere in lower 48 states. If interested e-mail me at SBiczak@aol.com. Thanks.

1257. Re: Pro 2045 price drops again!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pocat <pocat@myriad.net>
Message-ID: <6lpe54$4sd$1@news2.myriad.net>
Source record: 157593

how good is the pro 2045????

thanx in advance

--
TTYL

The Pocat
pocat@myriad.net

http://freedomstarr.com/?wa4582529
Barry Sherman wrote in message
<6lhrgr$989$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>...
I just went to Radio Shack and got a $30.00 refund because 2 weeks ago I
paid $129.95 for my pro 2045,today its price is
$99.95,so I was price protected since its been only 2 weeks,and was
refunded the difference!!Since I learned about this radios price on this
newsgroup,Im sure others can do the same!! Happy Scanning! Barry

1258. Re: Sony Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Jensen <rwjensen@jps.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9586$ab5d0bc0$911e8ed1@richardj>
Source record: 157594

check out w2mca home page...he may have a review of it.

I have one and I like mine. Its called the WaveHawlk.
ICF-SC1PC.

cell blocked!

It comes with a fcc requency cd rom.
It works with or with out the computer.

You will need to buy nicads if you want to use it...this thing loves
batteries.

1259. Re: BC100XLT info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <358055AA.2D49896F@iname.com>
Source record: 157595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

IS it the scanner with 100 channels?...If so, I'll dig through the
literature here and see if I can find a copy of my manual.
If I do, I'll email you it scanned.
Look for it.

John Edgar wrote:
>
> Hi All:
>
> This is my first time posting here and am new to this hobby.
> I just purchased an old Bearcat BC100XLT as my first scanner but I
> did not get any manuals etc with it. It's in great shape and works
> well so I would like to keep it but I would like to know more about
> it.
>
> Would anyone out there have any specs or usage instructions they could
> email (or post) for me.
>
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks
>
> John.

1260. Eprom and micro programmers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrew <andy@andywales.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <01bd9587$6f798380$2560dec2@andy>
Source record: 157596
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Anybody selling an eprom programmer or know or any good (cheap contacts).
Also Does anybody know where I can get a data sheet for a motorola
mc68hc8112efn.
Thanks ##
Andy

1261. Monmouth Cty, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobs@ns1.icdc.com
Message-ID: <35815fef.4570119@news2.icdc.com>
Source record: 157597

Need PD, FD and beach patrol frequencies for Bradley Beach, Avon,
Belmar Spring Lake and SeaGirt.

thanks....bob
Bob Schoenleber
Bucks County,PA
40 14' 44"N**74 50'47"W
"On the Delaware River"

1262. AOR AR1000XLT FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061123284100.TAA16114@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157598

FOR SALE: AOR AR1000XLT HANDHELD SCANNER.
FULL COVERAGE FROM 500KHz TO 1300MHz
WITH CHARGER, DUCK ANTENNA
HOWARD BORNSTEIN'S GUIDE TO AR1000 BOOK
WORKS OK BUT NEEDS TUNING .
COSMETICALLY GOOD CONDITION.
$100 POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY.

THANKS
DAVID

1263. Re: Pro 2045 price drops again!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061123322900.TAA16587@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157599
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: Re: Pro 2045 price drops again!!!!
>From: "Pocat"
>Date: Thu, Jun 11, 1998 17:26 EDT
>Message-id: <6lpe54$4sd$1@news2.myriad.net>
>
>how good is the pro 2045????
>
>thanx in advance
>
>--
>TTYL
>
>The Pocat
>pocat@myriad.net

I'd say it's the best scanner you'll ever buy new for $99.95 if you can find one! I couldn't bear to put mine up for sale after I tried it out, so I put it in by my bed for those annoying nightime weather alerts - It has an alert mode that shuts down the display & sits there like it's shut completely off until the tone comes through! It is really sensitive, so an indoor antenna isn't so bad & I can listen during thunderstorms.
If you don't need trunk-tracking or computer control, it's a great scanner.

Neutrodyne

1264. New Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061123413400.TAA13487@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157600

WHo is selling the new Sony's?
Dave

1265. HOT batteries..!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: iss@ripco.com
Message-ID: <6lppurbet1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 157601

I've got an old Bearcat handheld with bad nicads... like they don't even hold a charge at ALL... this really is no problem, since I only want to use it with the AC adapter in the house... problem is, the batteries get HOT after using the charger... I'm worried about the hazard of this... any way to REMOVE the batteries, and just use the AC transformer..??

Thankx so much for any advice.

Rob.

1266. Mackey and Sternlight and Giwer, OH MY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35826c91.1343857@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 157602

Mackey and Sternlight and Giwer, OH MY!
Mackey and Sternlight and Giwer, OH MY!
Mackey and Sternlight and Giwer, OH MY!
Mackey and Sternlight and Giwer, OH MY!

ROAR! ROAR! Roof! Roof!

All: Who are you?

Cowmackarly Lion: I'm duh King of de forest!

If I were king of the newsgrooooouuuup!
Not Cheek, not Matt, not Dave.
I'd run you off of this newsgrooooouuup!
Ha ha ha ha hhhaaaaahhhhhhhhh

1267. Re: Spanish Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: vanlaywan <vanlaywan@NOSPAMbigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <6lpuo7$q9n@news5-gui.server.cableol.net>
Source record: 157603
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Ed G. wrote in message <6kailo$pk3$2@news.ncal.verio.com>...
>x-no-archive: yes
>In article <6ka0uj$k0u@news5-gui.server.cableol.net>, "vanlaywan"
<vanlaywan@NOSPAMbigfoot.com> wrote:
>>Any info before 27/5/98 Please.
>>
>>On the above date I will be travelling to Majorca for 2 weeks.
>>Does anyone know the law regarding scanners in Spain?
>>
>>Is it advisable to leave my equipment at home?
>>
>>Hope someone can help
>>
>
>
> I gotta ask, do you understand fluent Spanish? Otherwise, yes,
leave your
>scanner home.
>
>
> Ed
Thanx for the comment
but for your info,

Most ATC messages world-wide are in English and as this is my primary
interest.............

I took it with me and had many hours of fun listening to ATC.

Vanlaywan.

1268. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <35807D64.567C68FA@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 157604

pja wrote:
>
> Why does Mackey always attack Bill and come back for more.
> This is perplexing.

Mackey's fixation on Bill Cheek is like the son that must defeat the father in some form of combat. Since Bill keeps kicking Toilet Boy's butt every time he shows it, Toilet Boy has to keep trying to defeat Cheek. Only Mackey is too stupid to see his behavior as an act of futility.

> Bill cleans Mackey's clock every time and he keeps coming back for
> more.

Toilet Boy has no other source but this newsgroup for social intercourse.
Mackey is such a moron that he cannot see that he is the screwee and not the screwer.

> All Mackey does is copy posts and change the names and reposts them.

He is not intelligent enough to create anything on his own. Deep down he knows he is a buffoon and technically useless. He has to badger his superiors in order to validate his own existence due to his total lack of self esteem.

> Mackey... are you jealous of Bill?

Based on Toilet Boy's antics over the last few years, I would say yes, he is. But then, Toilet Boy is jealous of anyone who has more technical expertise that him, which is the rest of the planet.

> Are you so angry because people respect him and want to communicate
> with him and not you.

Again, based on watching the idiot Toilet Boy over the last few years, yes he is very angry. But, only at himself, and he takes it out on the people in the scanner newsgroups. Truly a pathetic specimen.

> Shame on you Mackey. Mackey... why don't you put more time into
> your other hobby ... masturbation. .

He has Nick to do that for him.

1269. Re: Sony Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35808A1D.7C5D4623@ibm.net>
Source record: 157605

Phil Smalley wrote:
> Rich Wells wrote:
> > Read my First Impressions of the SC1PC WaveHawk :
> >
> Sounds like a great unit! How much are they?? (I can't look it up on
> the 'net here because we have this @#$#!! firewall in the way!)

There are two versions of it. The SC1 is going for about $260
while the SC1PC (which included PC interface and FCC database
CD) goes for about $320.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

1270. 9000xlt Tricks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <scanner@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <3580A82B.3E35@accessus.net>
Source record: 157606

Hi All,

At the end of last year there where posts about 9000xlt keypad tricks

(only 4 or 5 of them). But in the post there was a reference to more

tricks being translated from Japanese manuals.

Was that ever done?

Where can I find them?

Thank you very much!

Jeff

1271. AR2700 - Need Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kos@fastlane.net
Message-ID: <845E47257171CB18.7EF5FFB1390148D3.3CAE7CF06EC4E394@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 157607

Lost my AR2700 owner's manual.

Can anyone tell me how to get a replacement?

Thanks,

Rick

--
If you want to work for somebody else, that's your business. If you want to work for yourself, THAT'S MY BUSINESS. Finest home-based business I've found in 20 years of looking. Part time or full time. Serious income potential. Call 1-800-699-1326 for recorded information message (24 Hrs).

1272. Open key on 168.125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ramjet5 <ramjet5@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <O3pJf0al9GA.182@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 157608

Anyone else getting an open key on 168.125 with talking in the back ground
in the Chicago area ??
Roger

1273. Re: MACKEY: Do you live in OR or IOWA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chicago@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <35809C26.9BF38888@hotmail.com>
Source record: 157609

Just goto:
http://www.qrz.com
and find out

pja wrote:

1274. Re: Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3580A2A5.FC29B1DC@netwit.com>
Source record: 157610

> > Is this post ON TOPIC for this news group ? Your drivel and concerns
> > for Mackey only show that you also need to get a life.
>
> You don't understand. Smacksie is our mascot.
> He's sort of like an orphan we've adopted so as
> to give the poor fellow a place he can call home.
>
> The poor sap has no cognitive faculties and would
> otherwise be ignored and sent to oblivion anywhere
> else. Here, we feed and nurture his primal needs.
>
> It's just something you have to kind of get to
> understand.....it's not intuitive at all.
>
> Stick around for a while and you'll see.
>
> :-)

Bill's right. Mackey's a convenient pressure relief valve. I regularly play "Whack the Mackey" (And multiplayer "Quake", but that's another addiction I'm dealing with.) I couldn't figure out why I kept doing it. Loony boy has got this circular logic thing happening keeps him feeling combative no matter how badly he's losing the battle. But I kept playing "Whack the Mackey": I didn't know why. I just felt better for doing it.

Then Bill pointed out the reason: It's therapeutic. I can't remember his exact words, but they were somthin' akin to "When I get home, the wife gets a kiss, the kids gets a hug, dog gets a pat, and Mackey gets the rest: every friggin ounce of hostility and negativity that may have been accumulated during the work-day."

I realized; "that's why I do it!" This is *super*! My favorite NG comes standard with a complimentary and deserving idiot with which I can abuse as I choose. This feature allows me to channel all my hostility and frustration out of my real life into the life of Mackey.

It's not pretty. There's nothing noble about taking advantage of the handicapped. But what the hell; he's doing wonders from my disposition and now everyone in my *real* life thinks I'm one of the nicest guys in the world.

1275. Re: Best computer controlled scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mainland Radio <mainland@radioinfo.co.nz>
Message-ID: <357FB33B.DC28D17B@radioinfo.co.nz>
Source record: 157611
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Best Computer controlled Scanner has to be the AOR3000A and the ACEpac3 Software package.!

--
Mainland Radio Communicatons Ltd Ph: 0064-03-3380077
120 Warren Crescent Fax: 0064-03-3380077
Box 37-163 E-Mail: mainland@radioinfo.co.nz
Christchurch ICQ Chat Address: 1135762
New Zealand Web Site: http://www.radioinfo.co.nz

1276. PROgramit for the 2042, Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: smaynard@home.com
Message-ID: <357fae52.165119176@news>
Source record: 157612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Has anyone successfully built, installed and use the PROgramit interface for the Pro2042? I seem not able to get it to work with my radio. Email me please. Thanks

Sam
smaynard@home.com

1277. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Philipp Burgard <philipp_burgard@uni.de>
Message-ID: <01bd9522$5cc54220$LocalHost@philippb>
Source record: 157613
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

Hallo !

Stefan Goetz <sgoetz@visolution.net> schrieb im Beitrag
<357dc604.45750562@enews.newsguy.com>...
> On Mon, 8 Jun 1998 23:37:22 +0200, "Andreas Chmielewski vossnet"
> <chmielewski@vossnet.de> wrote:
>
> >nichts und ist nur teuer. Son Pager kostet zwischen 600 und 1500DM, je
nach
> >Modell und Ausstatung. Ist aber auch 1000 mal zuverl�ssiger als alle
anderen
> >Pagersysteme.
>
> Naja, mit dem 1000 mal zuverl�ssiger sei mal dahin gestellt. Ich
> selbst sitze in einer Alarmzentrale

In was f�r einer ""ALARMZENTRALE"" sitzt du denn ??

und die jetzige Generation dieser
> Pager scheint mir in vielen Punkten genauso anf�llig.

Meinst du die Robustheit bei t�glichem Gebrauch ?? -> das sind die BOS-FME
doch etwas h�rter im nehmen.

> Bestes Beispiel, unsere Alarmzentrale ist selbst ein Funkloch (durch
> irgendwelche spezielle Verglasung, hab ich mir sagen lassen). Viele
> der eingesetzen BOSPager gehen schlicht und ergreifend nicht in diesem
> Raum,

Das Funkloch ist aber dann bedingt durch eine schlechte Funkversorgung in
diesem Bereich durch die entsprechende Relais-Station, mit dem eingesetzten
FME hat das nur wenig zu tun.

dennoch: mein Cityruf (!), bekannterma�en zivil&analog
> funktioniert immerhin in diesen R�umen bei jedem 2. page :-)

Zivil stimmt, n�mlich Telekom, aber analog, wohl kaum, Cityruf ist
POCSAG-Standard und damit digitale Technik.
Aber auch hier scheint entweder die Versorgung durch die Relais-Stelle
schlecht zu sein oder aber eure ""ALARMZENTRALE"" ist wirklich von der
Geb�udeseite relativ undurchdringbar f�r Funkwellen (Stahlbeton-Bauten
usw.)

> Ich denke mal es wird Zeit f�r 2-Wege-pager (wie in USA erh�tlich),
> die zumindest in der Lage sind, eine Empfangsbest�tigung iin

> irgendeiner Form zur�ckzusenden (z.B. als Status).

Das soll laut Aussage mal im TETRA- oder TETRAPOL-Netz m�glich werden
..aber ob das sinnvoll ist ?!

Einfacher ist es die Gebiete sinnvoll mit ausreichender Nutzfeldst�rke zur
FME / Pager-Asul�sung zu versorgen, so was l��t sich schlie�lcih ausmessen
und im Vorhinein planen.

Dieses w�re eine
> �berf�llige Erh�hung der Zuverl�ssigkeit und Vereinfachung f�r das
> BOS-Paging. Aber dazu mu� wahrscheinlich das Netz dichter ausgebaut
> werden, so da� die schwache Sendeleistung eines m�glichen 2-wege
> pagers ausreicht.
>
> Gr��e,
>
> Stefan

Gru�

Philipp Burgard

--
****
Philipp Burgard
Privat: philipp_burgard@uni.de
Feuerwehr: feuerwehr_homburg@gmx.de
WWW: http://members.aol.com/ffhomburg/
****

1278. FYI - Service Manuals from Radio Shack for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: smaynard@home.com
Message-ID: <3581b75e.167436198@news>
Source record: 157614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

There was a rumor going around that RS does not sell service manuals for scanners anymore. Had something to do with the ECPA. This rumor is not true. I just purchased a manual for the Pro-2004 and Pro-2042 and receive them a few days ago. I called RS's 800# and gave them them the stock numbers for the radios and requested the service manuals. Altho, the manuals are about $23 apiece, up from 10 bucks a few years ago. The manuals are photocopies and are bound and fairly descent to read.

I had originally stopped by a Shack and tried to order the manual from the sales droid and the old hag told me it's not avail. I stopped by a few days later at the same Shack and talked to a different droid and they couldn't figue out the stock number for the scanners and told me to go home, look on the back of the scanner for the number, gave me his business card and told me to call him back. Instead, I got the numbers and called the 800# and ordered the manuals.

Those people are such boneheads!

Sam

1279. Re: SKYWARN ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <357FC02D.8FA3C76F@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 157615

MrG1stD wrote:
> For a newbie, what is SKYWARN ??

Simpy put, SKYWARN is an organization of amateur
radio operators & REACT members who keep a lookout
for bad weather during favorable conditions of such
things as tornados and the like.

Sightings are relayed via amateur radio repeaters
as well as to NOAA stations for dissemination to
local TV and radio stations.

Try here for more : http://www.skywarn.org/skywarn.html

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

1280. Re: directional antenna for cordless.??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <iss@ripco.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.SV4.3.91.980611070446.29745A-100000@golden.ripco.com>
Source record: 157616

I actually need to scan all 25 channels.. since I never know the EXACT
freq that the phone will be operating on... the main problem is another
phone in the OPPOSITE direction... this is what I'm trying to block.

anyway... thankx for helping... Rob.

On 10 Jun 1998, KLARRG wrote:

> >I need to REJECT other phones in the immediate area... the target phone is
> >less than 200' away.
>
> A directional beam cut to the EXACT freq. of the phone pointed to the EXACT
> location of it (a rotor helps here...) is the only POSSIBLE solution to your
> problem. If this does NOT work, there are NO other alternatives ! If other
> pohones are on the same freq,. then you're otherwise OUT OF LUCK ! Good Luck
> ! Larry Wiland
>

1281. Re: Best computer controlled scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.com
Message-ID: <357fcc6f.3498023@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 157617
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would say for a more cost effective solution if you can do the
work yourself and that means installing and building the interface is
to look at the CE-232 from Bill Cheek

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek/

Great interface! And with the upcoming Pro-Turbo software that will
be comming out sometime in the fall or sooner(hopefully) it will run
under Windows95/NT.

Of course you will need a Radio Shack Pro-2004/5/6 Scanner which
covers from 25-512mhz and 760-1300mhz with some breaks for the cell
band, but that can be removed with just a diode change. These
scanners have 400(300 for the Pro2004 but 400 with another diode
change) but can be modified for more channels with some chips changes,
mine has 16 groups of 400 channels each.

Check out Bills books, for more info check out
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek/

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 22:36:43 +1200, Mainland Radio
<mainland@radioinfo.co.nz> wrote:

>The Best Computer controlled Scanner has to be the AOR3000A and the ACEpac3
>Software package.!

1282. FS: RS Pro-2026 (20-148a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Burkett <bburkett@nb.net>
Message-ID: <357fbdf0.0@news8.kcdata.com>
Source record: 157618

For sale New in box Pro-2026 Mobile scanner, #20-148A, date code 2A4

Opened only to check for cell mod capabilty. Can be cell mod.

1283. Re: BC-895xlt any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Philip J. Smith" <psmith@appliedsystems.com>
Message-ID: <6lolimqcr1@supernews.com>
Source record: 157619

>Is the BC-895 XLT any good? Where is a good place
>to buy one at a good price?

The Uniden BC895XLT is a great scanner even if you don't give a hoot about TrunkTracking.

I bought mine from Communications Electronics, Inc. in Ann Arbor, Michigan. They advertise in PopComm. I've heard complaint from others about about CEI, but every place has a horror story, and I've never had a problem with them.

Phil

1284. FS: Minitor II low band pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pwserctr@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061113283200.JAA08560@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157620

Now available Minitor II low band pagers 33 - 36 MHz, only 12 available. The pager on YOUR frequency and on YOUR tones are $175 each. The tones must be in stock for this price. Used chargers are $19, new batteries are $9, a 2nd channel is $25, a 3rd tone $25.

http://members.aol.com/pwserctr/home.htm

1285. **FREQS FOR WYNDAM HOTEL PHOENIX???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: blade1@home.com
Message-ID: <3580da10.44084077@news>
Source record: 157621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have the freqs for this hotel.....or the Phoenix area
in general?

Thanks

Robert

1286. Specs for Radio Shack Pro 2032??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnny <johnny@netjava.net>
Message-ID: <357FDB08.1E9513B0@netjava.net>
Source record: 157622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I was wanting the specs... such as selectivity and especially
SENSITIVITY ratings..

2.3 uV... etc. Anyone have this?

1287. MDT decoding via Sound Card ONLY?!?! ANYTHING OUT THERE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnny <johnny@netjava.net>
Message-ID: <357FDB69.2843673D@netjava.net>
Source record: 157623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anybody know of a way to decode police MDT's WITHOUT using that damn
comm port cable thing?
In otherwords... just plug the audio (discriminate output if need be)
to the line in on the sound card?

1288. ICOM R1 Scanner $275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <357FDD91.4281@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157624

Excellent condition R1 with the AA battery pack. $275 Shipped.

1289. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tardo@is.back
Message-ID: <359fd442.7426646@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 08:05:20 GMT, "Mike Derryberry"
<michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

>Mackey ... Get off your soapbox ...
>
>Mike

How do you expect him to continue posting off topic drool, then ?

1290. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tardo@is.back
Message-ID: <35a0d4e3.7586918@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 10 Jun 1998 23:00:25 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>> Why would someone want to forge a post from Mackey? His (or whomever) post
>> originated from Sacramento, CA.
>
>I use a nation wide ISP because my work causes me to occasionally
>hav to jet across the country to complete necessary tasks, This causes
>me to occasionally post from locations other than Oregon or Iowa.

Why do you travel? Are there that many bedpan conventions ? Gee, I had no
idea.

Perhaps they are seminars on how to CHANGE the bedpans.....yea....that's it.

You are amazing, johnny. Simply amazing.

A final warning to you. Get it back on topic or go away again. Your choice.

1291. N.W. Ohio skywarn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: maskiel@friendlynet.com
Message-ID: <cpRf1.225$Yx2.509395@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 157627

In article <19980611020326000.WAA29109@ladder03.news.aol.com>, mrg1std@aol.com says...
>
>For a newbie, what is SKYWARN ??

http://www.geocities.com/Yosemite/1256/

This is A northwest Ohio skywarn web site.

1292. Re: Best computer controlled scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061114160700.KAA16233@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157628

>From: jabba@iaw.com (Jabba T. Hutt)
>Date: Thu, Jun 11, 1998 08:33 EDT

>
> I would say for a more cost effective solution if you can do the
>work yourself and that means installing and building the interface is
>to look at the CE-232 from Bill Cheek

That presumes that you have a Radio Shack scanner like the models 2004/5/6, for example. There's also the Optoelectronics interfaces for the PRO2006/2035/42.

In fact, buy the 2042 on sale for $299 and the Opto OS535 for $200 and you have a combination that no one can beat for under $1000. Add to that the Programit interface for about $50 in parts and shareware and no one can come close for under $1500 or so.

That's my contention and I'm sticking to it.

1293. Re: Newbie and Trunk Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Lanning <kll@ns.esn.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9544$c2c5ce60$3446dacd@commserv>
Source record: 157629

If you want to track EDACS systems then you probably need to return the
2050 and find a scanner that can be computer controlled. Check out
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm
You will find a program called E-Trunk that might do what you want to do.
You will have to have two 800MHz scanners for this to work though. Check
it out. Might help enlighten some options.

David Mansfield <dmansfield@imps0014.us.dg.com> wrote in article
<6lnsqg$9da@wellspring.us.dg.com>...
> Hello All! First time cruising this group,and if I was new to the
Net/net
> news, I'd probably be timid about posting here...More like
'alt.scanner'.
>
> At any rate, I'm located in Riverside, CA (county actually) and have
listened
> for some time to Police/Sheriff freqs via a PRO-34. The Sheriff dept.
is
> using trunked radios, and well, when the latest Radio Shack flyer came
by
> with the PRO-2050 for $199, Father's day came early. Needless to say,
having
> little to no knowledge of RF management via trunking radios, I've come
to
> find out the Sheriff dept. uses Ericsson trunking. So, without breaking
the
> wallet (Mama's still PO'd about the early Father's day) what kind of
> recommendation can anyone in the group make about tracking EDACS? I
have
> plenty of computer at hand (as well as experience) and as I really look
into
> this, should probably return the 2050 for something with acquisition and

> control features. Pretty illiterate with respect to RF, but not shy
about
> digging in to find the facts and wield the soldering iron. Any
suggestions,
> helpful info areas, etc., please send! TIA!
>
> Daveman
>
> "He who has begun has half done. Dare to be wise; begin! "
> - Horace, c. 65 BC
>
>

1294. Re: MACKEY: Do you live in OR or IOWA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3580e7d3.173206293@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157630

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> I guess he doesn't know where he lives.

That's understandable. You have to HAVE a life
first, before you can know where it's at. <g>
```

1295. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357fe527.172521728@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157631

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> Johnny boy won't do it , he is too stupid to understand the simple
> concept of the terms you presented .
> He will find fault with it as usual, berate you and say how he whipped
> you on the newsgroup and now your crying uncle, just so he can keep his
> crap going .

I know. It's so sad. <'Sniff> Odd, that he posted about
eight other messages here and conveniently ignored this
thread. So he will probably pretend his newsreader
didn't get it.

Hell, I feel sorry for the little prick. He's so sick.
Tsk....tsk....tsk.

1296. Re: Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6loqru$s21@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157632

Hi, Mike.

Please don't post off-topic messages here, especially posts which instigate another thread made up of trolls.

Mike Derryberry wrote:

> I think Mackey must have been bored today as there were 8 posts from him,
> not one on topic and saying absolutely nothing worthwhile. What an
> incredible waste of time and bandwidth. I do think he needs to get a life.
>
> Mike
>
> --
> Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!
>
> michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a

download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1297. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6loqtd$s21@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157633

Thanks for using TEST as the subject, however please use an appropriate
test group on your news server.

John Pettit wrote:

> test

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and
all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is
subject to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1298. Re: Need your humble opinion(s)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6loqo5$s21@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157634

Yes - the features you list are ones I didn't know it even had! I bought it in April, and took it home, read the manual, and I was thinking to myself, "All this for $300?!?!".

I like the pc link and the auto-store, but I can't forget the frequency sort - I use them all the time.

allsafe wrote:

> Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:
>
> > First, all scanners since 1994 made or imported legally here have the cell
> > frequencies blocked. All scanners since August 1997 have firmware blockings
> > of cell frqs, so they cannot be modified at all.
> >
> > Second, listening to both cordless and cellular conversations is illegal. I
> > know you're cool, though.
> >
> > I bought a Pro-64 for $150 at Radio Shack. Great scanner - it has frequency
> > sort, a pc interface, triple conversion (sometimes though a bad thing :),
> > and auto frequency loading (useful for something like loading all active
> > amateur repeaters into a bank).
> >
> > Hope this helps.
> >
> > Ryan wrote:
> >
> >
> > > Anyway, what would you all buy?
> >
>
> I got my mitts on a Pro-64 that I bought for a friend (who bought it from me)
> for going to the race track. He wanted a capable scanner to listen to the
> drivers.
>
> It's not easily modifiable, CPU controlled. But its got some real neat
> features. I wish my modified Pro-2032 or Pro-39 had some of these tricks.
>
> 400 channels and computer interface is neat. You can't input duplicate
> frequencies, and you can sort frequencies within each 40 channel bank.
>
> But the feature I like best is the "Auto Search/Store". This is real neat. You
> just kick this scanner into Auto Search/Store and it'll just sit there and and
> scan your predetermined range. When it finds an active frequency, instead of
> stopping and listening to it, the scanner stores the frequency into an open

> channel in the bank you designate. (The number display will blur by, and an
> irregular "beep........beepbeep.......beep.....beep" sounds every time an active
> frequency is encountered and stored. The "anti-duplicate frequency" feature
> prevents the same active frequency from being stored more than once. Result:
> You come back in a few hours and one of your forty channel banks is filled with
> only "active" frequencies that you can scan at your convenience.
>
> That's a slick feature to have in hand-held scanner. You may not be able to
> modify the Pro-64, but it has enough neat tricks to stand on it's own at $150.
>
> But if you want a 800 modifiable scanner, you need to search out a list of the
> scanner model numbers that can be modified for 800 band (Bill Cheek's Scanner
> Modification FAQ is a very detailed reference source for this), and cruise
> through your local pawn shops and see if any of these models turn up. You may
> have to cruise quite a few pawn shops, but eventually you should flush one of
> these models out.

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1299. weather pictures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhanson@buf.adelphia.net
Message-ID: <bbSf1.6$ul3.71232@news.axxsys.net>
Source record: 157635

Is there a way that I can build an interface from my pro 2006 to serial or parallel port that can receive weather pictures? also what software would I need if this is possible.

1300. Re: cordless freqs other tha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6loqpo$s21@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157636

You're right - two good scanners (expensive, at least $375 usually, sometime $700) are the AOR 8000 and AOR 5000.

Ryan wrote:

> I've seen some AOR and European scanners that I think picked up over 2 ghz....
> I'm not totally sure though.....
>
> Roland Stiner wrote:
>
> > PJ>Panasonic will soon put a 2.3 GHz cordless phone on the market. I don't
> > PJ>of any scanners, at any price, that receive above 2 GHz.
> >
> > I would seriously question whether it is safe to put 2 GHz near your head and
> > eyes.
> >
> > Private Replies To: NK2U@JUNO.COM
> >
> > Private Replies To: NK2U@JUNO.COM
> > ---
> > * PW *

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1301. Re: FYI - Service Manuals from Radio Shack for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3582e8aa.173420638@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157637

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

smaynard@home.com (Sam Maynard) wrote:

> There was a rumor going around that RS does not sell service manuals
> for scanners anymore. Had something to do with the ECPA. This rumor
> is not true.

It was true, but a hue and cry from the public
prompted them to alter the policy to continue
selling current and older manuals; but NOT for
ny new 800 MHz scanners. So if an 800 MHz
scanner comes out today, ostensibly they won't
sell a service manual for it.

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

1302. Re: Curious question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3581e818.173275017@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157638

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Scott <gscott@alaska.net> wrote:

> Ok lets say you have a scanner that picks up cell phones. What good is
> it if the phone keeps jumping from freq to freq. How are you suppose to
> listen to the entire conversation? Thanks Scott..

You're not. <grin> The ECPA of 1986 makes it
illegal to LISTEN to cellular signals.
```

1303. Re: cordless freqs other tha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3581ed93.4788990@news.erols.com>
Source record: 157639

On 8 Jun 98 20:54:00 GMT, roland.stiner@lunatic.com (Roland Stiner)
wrote:

>PJ>Panasonic will soon put a 2.3 GHz cordless phone on the market. I don't
>PJ>of any scanners, at any price, that receive above 2 GHz.
>
>I would seriously question whether it is safe to put 2 GHz near your head and
>eyes.

It can be quite safe as long as the power level is kept low (around
300 mW or less). After all, PCS phones work around 1.5 GHz. It's all
a matter of energy density. As long as the energy density is low, you
won't get much RF heating.

Of course, if you're talking about non-heating effects, keep in mind
that most of the research is far from conclusive and most of the
testing is extremely flawed. I've seen very little to convince me
that I should be concerned about anything but the heating effects.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1304. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6loram$s21@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That would be "tout de suite", Bill. It is French for "soon", "right away", "in haste".

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Yo Smacksie?
>
> Hey wingnut, I hear you want another "deal"?
> You friggin' ninny, we *had* a deal. You broke it.
> But it's the ONLY one I will ever make with you.
>
> Okay, you are off my Shit List for 24 hours, plenty
> long enough for you to read the below and agree.
> Then back on my list you go
>
> Now read it again, Oh Mindless One:
>
> 1. You do NOT (ever) speak to or write to me.
>
> 2. You do NOT (ever) speak to or about me.
>
> 3. You do NOT (ever) make any references to me.
>
> 4. You do NOT (ever) make insinuations about or
> allusions to me.
>
> 5. You do NOT (ever) think about me.
>
> 6. You play like I never even existed... to the fullest
> extent.
>
> And you will get *exactly* the same from me. No more.
> No less. I ignore you; walk around you; or step over
> you like a steaming pile. Actually, I'd hire a helicopter
> to fly me over you if it came down to that.
>
> No more. No less. Deal?
>
> Don't offer ANY other terms; don't remove any; don't
> make any alterations to the above. I will not
> negotiate with you, and there will be no compromises
> or bending. We will NOT discuss it. I want no
> interaction with you of ANY nature whatsoever.
>

> Just reply to this post quoting Items 1-6 and state
> that you accept the deal, no if's, and's, or's, or but's.
>
> Publicly accept the above as an iron-clad, two-way contract,
> or else sachet and swish to "Dixie" as you change the bed
> pans at that state looney tunes hospital where you work.
>
> Makes me no nevermind what the hell you do. But you
> want a deal? Take this one or leave it. That's as good
> as it gets.
>
> Capiche? Savvy? Comprende? Understand?
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---

--
73 de Ryan Faricy
RySoft Corporation
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and
all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is
subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

1305. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KuoH <KuoH@aol.com>
Message-ID: <357FF282.1042@aol.com>
Source record: 157641
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

An educated driver would not allow him/herself to get into that situation anyway. If you are not able or are unwilling to complete the pass before the flow of traffic catches up to you, then you have no business being in the left lane. Both are equally at fault in your example.

A "cop wannabe" does not make people do anything but laugh at him. If you want more people to be safe and obey traffic laws, then tell your law enforcement offices to stop wasting money on those super-concealed aero-packaged limos and put more BLACK&WHITE squad cars on the road! And hiding in the bushes drinking coffee while watching the radar gun does not count. Everytime I see a stranded motorist on the highway and a cruiser a few miles up or down the road running a trap, I just have to laugh at current state of law enforcement as it pertains to traffic.

Kermit wrote:
>
> this whole attitude of 'get outta my way' or else... is the whole
> goddamn problem...I've seen motorists doing the posted 65 mph speed
> (some going faster), and they can't move over because of trucks in the
> center lane. BUT - there's always some asshole riding up his bumper
> wanting to even go faster...
>
> My point was, if some 'cop wannabe' wishes to drive around in an
> old 82 caprice and "look" like a cop with a K-55 radar on his dash.
> So be it ! If it'll make people more safe and obey the traffic laws,
> great....

1306. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KuoH <KuoH@aol.com>
Message-ID: <357FEEA1.3719@aol.com>
Source record: 157642
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Now that's just plain bad taste. Wishing ill upon another person(s) simply because they don't aggree with your point of view. Regardless of how much you think their beliefs might change because of it, it does little to convey your point and paints yourself more as a vigilante, than a concerned citizen. And just so people know where I stand on the issue, I do exceed the speed limit where I consider it prudent. Taking the law into my own hands? You bet! Do I pretend that it is for a higher purpose? Hell no!

I put you into the same corner as the people who bought those radar emmiters which do nothing but shoot out spurious X and K band signals. An egomaniac hypocrite trying to hide their shortcommings under the guise of community service.

I see just as many inattentive slow drivers as I do fast ones everyday and both are just as likely to injur or kill others. Simply pointing the finger at speed is just treating the symptoms and not the illness. Education is much more effective a tool than intimidation, so you should worry more about teaching yourself and your family rather than try to run your neighbor's lives.

If you think it's so dangerous out there, then spend the money on defensive driving courses instead of a radar gun. I'd rather have the knowledge to avoid being in an accident, than have a radar gun and be the cause of it during evening rush-hour.

KuoH

Kermit wrote:
> Your rights end, where the rights of others to drive peaceably and law
> abidingly begin. May you experience of the tragedy of losing a family
> member to an agressive driver, or experience a wreck yourself as a result
> of wanting to disobey traffic laws or drive discourteously.
>

1307. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carl Donath <donath@ei.kodak.com>
Message-ID: <357FF2D1.775B2F4A@ei.kodak.com>
Source record: 157643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Macaroon wrote:
> A final warning to you. Get it back on topic or go away again. Your choice.

"Stop! Or I'll say 'stop' again!"

--
Carl Donath http://www.ei.kodak.com/~donath
http://www2.rpa.net/~ctdonath
------ 85% of the world's population has never made a phone call. ---------
--------- These people are not worried about the Millenium Bug. -----------

1308. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3583e98a.173644118@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157644

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

You're off my Shit List for 12 more hours, Smacksie.

> Why do you do this?

Three reasons:

1. You want me to. (You LIKE it.)
2. You don't post on topic. (Ever)
3. You don't know a scanner from your arse.

> Several people on this NG have asked for these flames
> & attacks to END!

You and your alter-egos, sure. But you have a hard
time understanding that nothing said by you and/or
your alter-egos has any meaning or relevance to me.
You don't count for anything. You are a zero.

> Yet you chose to attack for ABSOLUTELY
> NO REASON! YOU WERE NOT PROVOKED AT ALL! THERE WAS
> NO REASON FOR YOUR ATTACK!

I wasn't talking *to* you. Are you feeling a
little guilty, maybe?

> I appears as though you WANT these problems to occur!

What problems? I don't see any problems. Problems
are when you cry, snivel, lie, cheat, etc. Me, I
laugh at you all the time. Laughing isn't a problem.
Laughing is good for the soul. What problems are
you talking about, Smacksie?

> YOU seem to be trying to do what ever you can to
> continue the problems!

No. See the post I made yesterday TO you under the
following thread:

==========
Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

==========

Read it and post your agreement in public. But just
in case you claim you didn't get it, here is the deal
once more. And you have 12 hours to accept it now. I
am not going to get into dialogs with you. You are the
epitome of the old saying, "..worthless as titties on
a boar hog", so let's get this show on the road:

1. You do NOT (ever) speak to or write to me.

2. You do NOT (ever) speak to or about me.

3. You do NOT (ever) make any references to me.

4. You do NOT (ever) make insinuations about or
allusions to me.

5. You do NOT (ever) think about me.

6. You play like I never even existed... to the fullest
extent.

It's not negotiable. It's not discussable. Just accept
it or reject it. The same provisions apply to me with
respect to you. Your public acceptance of it binds me
as well as you. I wouldn't have posted it otherwise.

No more dealing. No more conversation. Put up or shut up.

Capiche?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1309. Re: Specs for Radio Shack Pro 2032??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3584ee80.174914737@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157645

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

johnny <johnny@netjava.net> wrote:

> I was wanting the specs... such as selectivity and especially
> SENSITIVITY ratings..
>
> 2.3 uV... etc. Anyone have this?

You can PRO-2032 specs until they run out your
ears at the following URL:

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/doc8/8560.htm

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

1310. Mackey? Here is a deal for you.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3586eeed.175022894@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157646

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yo Smacksie?

Hey wingnut, I hear you want another "deal"?
You friggin' ninny, we *had* a deal. You broke it.
But it's the ONLY one I will ever make with you.

Okay, you are off my Shit List for 12 more hours,
plentylong enough for you to read the below and agree.
Then back on my list you go.

Now read it again, Oh Mindless One:

1. You do NOT (ever) speak to or write to me.

2. You do NOT (ever) speak to or about me.

3. You do NOT (ever) make any references to me.

4. You do NOT (ever) make insinuations about or
allusions to me.

5. You do NOT (ever) think about me.

6. You play like I never even existed... to the fullest
extent.

And you will get *exactly* the same from me. No more.
No less. I ignore you; walk around you; or step over
you like a steaming pile. Actually, I'd hire a helicopter
to fly me over you if it came down to that.

No more. No less. Deal?

Don't offer ANY other terms; don't remove any; don't
make any alterations to the above. I will not
negotiate with you, and there will be no compromises
or bending. We will NOT discuss it. I want no
interaction with you of ANY nature whatsoever.

Just reply to this post quoting Items 1-6 and state
that you accept the deal, no if's, and's, or's, or but's.

Publicly accept the above as an iron-clad, two-way contract,
or else sachet and swish to "Dixie" as you change the bed
pans at that state looney tunes hospital where you work.

Makes me no nevermind what the hell you do. But you
want a deal? Take this one or leave it. That's as good
as it gets.

Capiche? Savvy? Comprende? Understand?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1311. Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <357fc081.13294266@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 157647

It's all the pussies and their fruitcake leader Bill Cheek who instigate him & pick fights. Maybe he caused trouble in the past, but I don't see it now.

Grow up or take it to e-mail.

1312. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061115450200.LAA19696@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157648

>Subject: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.
>From: fred@banana.net (fred)
>Date: Thu, Jun 11, 1998 07:37 EDT
>Message-id: <357fc081.13294266@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
>
>It's all the pussies and their fruitcake leader Bill Cheek who
>instigate him & pick fights. Maybe he caused trouble in the past, but
>I don't see it now.
>
>Grow up or take it to e-mail.

Thank you Fred for your on-topic contribution about scanning. It is seldom that anyone posts here with the same level of intelligence and wit that you display with this magnificent posting. It was indeed worth starting a thread to expound on your views. Surely, you are a man among all men-- and women too, for that matter.

1313. Looking for URLS to Freq lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tim@neudecker.nospam
Message-ID: <tim-ya02408000R1106981049140001@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157649

I Just moved to WestChester NY (County just north of Manhattan) and I need to reprogram all of my scanners and radio gear. can anyone point me to some nice web sites of gopher sites (does anyone use gopher anymore?) Thanks for the Help

KD5DJK

--
Tim
tim@neudecker.nospam
replace nospam with org for real email.

1314. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com>
Message-ID: <6lov02$5ca@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 157650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

rgang6000@aol.com (RGANG6000) wrote:

>A 55 or 65 MPH speed limit on a class-1 road is such a quaint concept - maybe
>we should re-institute the old U.K. law requiring someone to walk in front of
>the car. The point being, that if I paid extra for a road designed to
>accomodate 85 MPH traffic, I expect to be able to use it as such.;

Hey, other people pay taxes to, they have a right to drive in peace
without jerks riding their rear bumper in the right hand lane, or
weaving in and out of lanes just because they want to be first in line
stopped at the red light ahead.

I pay taxes to, so does that mean I can go out and paint artwork on
the highway, or take a piss on the side of the road ?

>Any guy who wants to slow people down by deception
>is a real jerk,

Seems to me the real jerks are the boneheads who think they're more important
than the rest of us common schlubs and feel a need to be first in line, or
get to a toll both 30 seconds ahead of the next guy.

Fucking mellow out, and just drive courteously.... geezus fucking christ.
What we really need is the state seizing the cars of jerks like you who
want to run roughshod over the rights of other drivers by zooming up their
ass at 85 mph. You dudes have a serious problem with this 'macho' shit.

>and just like the cops in unmarked cars, tend to cause a
>driving hazard by creating bumper-bumper traffic where there never would have
>been.

Why is that ? maybe cause the drivers are suddenly "LAW ABIDING" and driving
safely ? They suddenly become saints, signalling, not tailgating, not cutting
off other drivers.... yepper, can't have that shit going on... we need more
people doing 90 mph, giving each other the finger, shooting at one another,
and who knows what else.

I used to love working on cars and driving them, now it's just a fucking
job. Can't even drive in peace on the highways without the boneheads raising
my blood pressure to the point where I want to use the shotgun inside the
patrol cars I work on.

1315. Sony Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: brian_parry@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6lp35ourj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157651

So I have seen this new Sony scanner in two stores in the Bay Area. But I can't seem to find any info on the web about it. The scanner does 25-1300 and comes with windows software to program it. I forget what the name of the scanner is. Anyone have any info? Is it worth getting?

=Beech=

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1316. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <357fcc4a.16312270@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 157652

A-ha! Out of the woodwork they come.

And spare me the BS about off-topic posting. You are quite the violator of this NG. Goodbye.

1317. Scanners for Sale...Cell!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lp16u$9rb@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157653

For sale Radio Shack Base scanners...
Pro 2005.400 ch base with cell looks just like a pro 2006 ...250.00
plus shipping...
For sale radio shack pro 2032 base scanner 200 ch with cell mod ..
200.00 plus shipping thes scaners are in Great!! cond.... email me or
call me at 714 960-2835 Mike

1318. Re: Curious question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <01bd955a$d5880b60$54cce0cf@cuib>
Source record: 157654

The best way is to jump from cell to cell yourself. If you have a car I recommend using it.

Scott <gscott@alaska.net> wrote in article
<357F847B.365A92D4@alaska.net>...
> Ok lets say you have a scanner that picks up cell phones. What good is
> it if the phone keeps jumping from freq to freq. How are you suppose to
> listen to the entire conversation? Thanks Scott..
>
>

1319. PRO 91 Modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Wagoner <lewis68@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <35800ED8.BBDB7E8E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157655

Could anyone give me or direct me to info on making modifications to the PRO 91?

Thanks

Randall

1320. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357fff37.179194305@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157656

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> That would be "tout de suite", Bill.

Sorry, but no, it would not; at least not in the
vernacular and context by which it has been used
as an expression in the United States for the
last 35+ years.

> It is French for "soon", "right away", "in haste".

Perhaps, though "post haste" is the most correct
and literal translation, but the etymology is not
important. Common usage sets the relative importance.
You will find a verbatim example as follows:

" Time to leave town, tuit suite!!! "

located at the following Web sites, one a university:

http://hubcap.clemson.edu/~akluge/days/summary/1997/may12-16.htm

http://dustin.simplenet.com/days/summaries/may97.html

And if you want to get down to brass tacks over the
matter, you will find "toot sweet" to be in common
use as well. In any event, the English application
of the expression does not include the "de" connector,
and I've never seen it as "tout suite". Common
usage in the United States is ... as I expressed it.

I am reminded of my butt-ugly English teacher in
the 9th grade of some 38 years ago....who literally
punished us for using the word, "ain't". But as
a writer, I'll use "ain't" anytime I want, and ain't
nobody gonna stop me. <g> For one thing, "ain't" has
survived the test of time. It *is* technically acceptable,
and has been since at least 1974 according to
Merriam-Webster's dictionary.

Likewise, "tuit suite" has been in common colloquial
use since at least 1963 when I heard and saw it that
way for the first time. It doesn't matter if the French
say it is incorrect. That would matter ONLY in France.

And there is another issue.....forum. The forum of
a communique sets the rules, too. Ferinstance, I
would not use "ain't" or "tuit suite" in a formal class
paper nor even in a scientific paper. But when we speak,
especially in informal gatherings like this one, there
are no rules.

When you consider the extreme diversity of backgrounds,
languages, dialects, and even levels of intelligence in
forums like this one, the ONLY thing that matters is the
thought or idea or question, and if it can be understood
by others.

YOU UNDERSTOOD, and that was quite sufficient. Now
clearly, though, I failed miserably because the militant,
bucktoothed, booger-picking hermaphrodite, Smacksie, did
not appear tuit suite in this thread as I intended. <gloom>

Oh....and don't criticize or correct your elders unless:

1. You are certain of your foundations and all the facts,

and

2. You FULLY understand that in doing so, you simultaneously
INVITE criticism and correction.

-=A preposition is a terrible thing to end a sentence with.=-

Remember that.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1321. Re: Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35811035.183544820@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157657

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Hi, Mike.
>
> Please don't post off-topic messages here, especially posts which instigate
> another thread made up of trolls.

Try following your own advice, son.

1322. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35821082.183621265@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157658

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

fred@banana.net (fred) wrote:

> It's all the pussies and their fruitcake leader Bill Cheek who
> instigate him & pick fights. Maybe he caused trouble in the past, but
> I don't see it now.

Hi Freddie-baby! Are you an alter-ego of Smacksie?
....or just joined at the hip with him?

1323. Re: Curious question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358411da.183965794@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157659

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote:

> The best way is to jump from cell to cell yourself. If you have a car I
> recommend using it.

Emilio, Emilio, Emilio! Lad, you are
recommending that the fellow break the
law. Shame, shame, shame on you.

1324. Re: Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358310df.183714089@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157660

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp) wrote:

> On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 08:26:54 GMT, "Mike Derryberry"
> <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> pedantically proclaimed in the
> following unperspicuous peroration to all:
>
> >I think Mackey must have been bored today as there were 8 posts from him,
> >not one on topic and saying absolutely nothing worthwhile. What an
> >incredible waste of time and bandwidth. I do think he needs to get a life.
> >
> >Mike
>
>
> Is this post ON TOPIC for this news group ? Your drivel and concerns
> for Mackey only show that you also need to get a life.

You don't understand. Smacksie is our mascot.
He's sort of like an orphan we've adopted so as
to give the poor fellow a place he can call home.

The poor sap has no cognitive faculties and would
otherwise be ignored and sent to oblivion anywhere
else. Here, we feed and nurture his primal needs.

It's just something you have to kind of get to
understand.....it's not intuitive at all.

Stick around for a while and you'll see.

:-)

1325. icom 1c-40g selcall connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <paulsm@mailexcite.com>
Message-ID: <357E9EDE.21485020@mailexcite.com>
Source record: 157703
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair

Hi, does anyone know the pinout of the selcall board connector in the icom uhf crs IC 40G ht? I'm trying to wire up a selcall board.
Thanks in advance
Paul.

1326. Pro 37 schematic wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: westey@cableol.co.uk
Message-ID: <N.061098.214422.23@DAVIDWES>
Source record: 157704

Hi All

I'm looking for a Pro 37 schematic so I can repair my broken scanner!!!

seriously any help would be very much appreciated
--

PC Answers Promotion 3/96

1327. FS: PRO 2026 Mobile Scanner (Unblocked Cellular)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <357f2681.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 157705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
PRO 2026 Mobile
Cellular restored (869-896mhz)
Includes bracket, book, power cord...

$150 shipped...
```

1328. Power Supply for BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <357f279c.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 157706
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I have a BC9000XLT.

Can someone *please* advise exactly what power supply I need for a BC9000XLT.

The one I am using is from a BC855XLT... but it get's hot.

Thanks.

1329. BC 350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Customer <BILL45@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6ln7rn$ffb@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157707

HELP need mods for BC 350A scanner
BILL45@worldnet.att.net

1330. Freqs for Western Upper Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: air747@computerpro.com
Message-ID: <3580271f.876042@news.computerpro.com>
Source record: 157708

Where can I find freqs. for the western Upper Michigan area?

1331. Re: The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <35892b9d.23761689@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 157709

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@ccgate.hac.com> wrote:

>> I have also heard people say that ignoring them never works.
>
>Nah, it usually doesn't.

Actually, it almost always does.

>> Anyone who says that has never tried to ignore these losers.
>
>You know I have. I've ignored Smacksie and Queenstrom
>for weeks on end, and they still try.....and it is easy
>to ignore them on account of their wretched ignorance.

[...]

>Yeah, but that's different. A troll from an unknown is
>easy to pass off. And the unknown certainly goes looking
>for better pickings somewhere else. But take Smacksie
>and Queenstrom....they ain't going away. But then
>Smacksie is just plumb stupid and Queenie is such the
>epitome of truth-telling. Betwixt Queenie and Smacksie,
>they've called more people "liars" than any fifty others
>down through the course of history.

More lies from Cheek. You don't "ignore" someone by posting puerile
variations of their names, complete with profane insults, at every
opportunity.

>Besides, those popinjays are fun. It's EASY to get a rise
>out of them. Just poke 'em in the poopy a little and watch
>'em dance, sing, whine, snivel, and call people "liars".
>I fondly refer to these popinjays as "our mascots"......

Here we see Bill's real agenda peeking through. The fact is, he gets
cyber-gasms by starting and escalating flame wars. The only problem
is, he's not good enough at it to take it to a group designed for such
fun 'n' games, so he hangs out in techie groups where he can disrupt
and agitate without too much real opposition, and advertise his
business as a bonus.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1332. Bc9000xlt, Help with these questions Please..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <gscott@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <357F2EFE.7EDE4138@alaska.net>
Source record: 157710

What is trunk scanning and can this one do it?

What freqs are locked out? (especially in the 800mhz range)?

Can it be fixed to receive these freqs?

Is there a better scanner I should look at before I purchase this one?

Thanks for the help Scott..

1333. FL mobile scanners permissible?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: astrocom5@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061101221500.VAA27108@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157711

Anyone in the group know if it's permissible for mobile scanner in FL? Thanx.

1334. SKYWARN ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061102032600.WAA29109@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157712

For a newbie, what is SKYWARN ??

TIA

Bill

1335. Re: Best current price of handheld Trunktracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tmccull@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <357f3df7.660747@nntp.netcruiser>
Source record: 157713

JC Woodard <j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I have recently gotten the BC895XLT and I love it, so much that I now
>'need' a handheld!! I've never really been into handheld scanners, so I
>need some info - in short, what is the best price for a hand-held
>trunktracker, doesn't really matter to me which model/brand.
>
>As always, thanks for any help!
>
>JC

I've been thru the same route you're going thru. Started with the
BC895XLT and then decided I needed a handheld version also. I watched
the Uniden website until a refurbished BC235XLT was available for $150
and got that. Works fine, although it came with two BP-120 batteries
(should have had BP-180s). I called Uniden and they sent the correct
batteries via 2-day UPS delivery. No complaints so far.

Thad McCulloch

1336. BC-101 Programming?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: tony@bright.net
Message-ID: <6lnfmo$4sm$1@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 157714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm hoping one my my fellow (long-suffering?) BC-101 owners can help with a programming problem. Thanks to a fine Basic program by Don Tomkinson, I have several frequencies to program into my BC-101. However, after completing the switch setting, pressing the ENTER switch down seems to have no effect - no blinking LED, and no storage of the frequency. Any clues?

TIA, & 73,

Tony N8SNC

1337. AOR 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Figueroa <Figueroa@ellijay.com>
Message-ID: <01bd94e0$ac8f4ea0$c9dc1ece@abc>
Source record: 157715

Anyone know the price on the AOR AR-2002, used ?

What coverage does it have?

Thanks!

Ed

1338. AOR 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Figueroa <Figueroa@ellijay.com>
Message-ID: <01bd94e2$75e98580$58ce1ece@abc>
Source record: 157716

Need used price for AOR 2002?

What coverage does it have?

Ed

1339. Need Discriminator Mod for Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Figueroa <Figueroa@ellijay.com>
Message-ID: <01bd94e3$18e9bb60$58ce1ece@abc>
Source record: 157717

Can someone direct to a Web site for the exact discriminator mod. for the pro-51?

Thanks!

73's

Ed

1340. Re: Cellular capably Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bd94e4$be282c00$a04de0c7@godzirra>
Source record: 157718

The European version of the Pro-26 is #20-9506 NOT #20-0506!
Somebody probably did a CPU/Logic board swap on it.
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

1341. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357F37ED.3A15@parg.org>
Source record: 157719

Blake Bowers wrote:

> Then, John, you take that first step. You show everyone, just
> who the man is. Stop posting anything that is off-topic. Show
> everyone that you truly want it to stop.

YES BLAKE!! That is exactly what I have done here!

> Now, John, no matter what his reply is/was, what good would
> this do? What impact would it have on stopping the garbage?

It would demonstrate Bill's true intentions, since he has long
been known for saying one thing & doing another.

I certainly hope this NG can count on your support for ending the
problems here, Blake!

1342. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357F393D.2421@parg.org>
Source record: 157720

MAlexder wrote:

> Oh, Cheek's flaming someone is you rational for resuming your personal vendetta
> and in the process disrupting this newsgroup.

NO, I have no personal vendetta & I have NOT disrupted this NG!
This NG is still available for anyone who wants to post on it.

However, we are attempting to make Bill feel the consequences for his
actions of causing trouble on this NG.

> > I invite YOU & YOUR trouble making supports like
> >MAlexander, allsafe, pja, John Arthur, & a few others to join us in
> >this plan.
>
> As everyone repeatedly tells you Quackie. If you want people to stop flaming
> you, then shut your pie hole and stick to the subject at hand. That is
> something that you have never done and have always refused to do.

You seem to be trying to point a finger of guilt at me. You need to
know that when you point a finger at someone you have 3 fingers pointing
back at YOURSELF!

> The challenge is there for you going to do as you say. If youdon't post
> another off-topic message, I won't respond to it. Pretty simple, eh?

You have made this promise several times, I only hope you could start
to follow these words you speak!

1343. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357F3A88.256E@parg.org>
Source record: 157721

allsafe wrote:

> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> > What is very disappointing is a person so pathetic that when asked who
> > he really is, still has to hide behind his screen name because he is
> > such a coward. :(

> That's right, you're not getting anything you want from me.

Allsafe-

Did I ever say I wanted anything from YOU!

For some reason YOU seem to be accepting responsibility for
the subject matter I stated above. Why is that? Guilt perhaps?

1344. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357F3A05.5020@parg.org>
Source record: 157722

Phil Smalley wrote:

> I invite YOU to follow your own advice. If YOU, all by
> your little lonesome, would follow what you have outlined above, YOU

Phil -

YOU need to accept responsibility for YOURSELF, & no one else.
Stop using others as your excuse for YOUR behaviour!

Again, please join us in ENDING these problems! I think you
would find yourself much more at ease, rather than continueing to
cause more problems!

1345. Re: BC 350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lnhsp$p7a@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157723

On 11 Jun 1998 00:20:07 GMT, "Customer"
<BILL45@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>HELP need mods for BC 350A scanner
> BILL45@worldnet.att.net

there are no mods for it at all.....

1346. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357F503E.731E@parg.org>
Source record: 157724

A J O wrote:
> Gee, it sure was refreshing to read this NG for a little bit of time
> without this INANE BULLSHIT popping up !!!!!!!!!!

hang in there, it's coming to an end. The individual who
started the problems might have learned a lesson (at
least we can hope).

1347. 2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: noshoot@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lnet7$ng5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157725

does anyone know if I can recieve 72mhz on the pro 2021?

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1348. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <357F5C6F.BE60FDED@netwit.com>
Source record: 157726

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> allsafe wrote:

> > That's right, you're not getting anything you want from me.
>
> Allsafe-
>
> Did I ever say I wanted anything from YOU!
>
> For some reason YOU seem to be accepting responsibility for
> the subject matter I stated above. Why is that? Guilt perhaps?

Seriously dude, can you walk me through this determination? I'm not going to argue with you. I'm beyond that. I'm merely curious:

Can you tell me how you rationalized your response to that one line of text you quoted? I'm trying to trace your logic, but I'm coming up short. 'Cause when you look at that line:

> That's right, you're not getting anything you want from me.

mmmmmm...I dunno; the tone comes off as arrogant and hostile. I can't figure out how you inferred "guilt" or "accepting responsibility".

And that's not an exact quote of what I wrote,...is it John? You cut out the "loony boy" reference after "That's right," I think you ought of left that "loony boy" reference in there. It was an important part of that sentence. Its inclusion only heightens the arrogance and hostility of the message; not much room to read "guilt" or "acceptance of responsibility" in that line.

So tell me. How did you do it? How did your warped little brain leap to a conclusion of "guilt" or "acceptance of responsibility" from that one line?:

> That's right loony boy, you're not getting anything you want from me.

I'm just curious about your thought pattern.

You friggin' loon.

1349. Re: Used police red and blue light bar wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <dlambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <6lnoha$49i$1@ramp2.tir.com>
Source record: 157727
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

WHY?

Scrappy wrote in message <6lmheqitc1@blackice.winternet.com>...

>Gotta get me a red and blue light bar for the top of my car!

>
>--
>Area 50 research Center
>Trying damn hard to catch
>up to are 51, and we just
>might do it. (If we're lucky)
>...maybe!
>
>AND: Janet Reno is a hot babe! all at:
>
>HTTP://www.winternet.com/~yomammy
>
>remove REMOVE from e-mail to reply by e-mail
>
>

1350. Re: 900Mhz Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <dlambo55@tir.com>
Message-ID: <6lnova$4j3$1@ramp2.tir.com>
Source record: 157728

I've had a Tropez 900Mhz digital cordless for about 4 yrs now.
Great phone, no noise, still on original battery, plus digital security.

--

Dean
dlambo@tir.com

****
To Reply, Remove the "55" from my E-Mail address
or click on the email address just above this header
Anti Spam (junk mail) Measures In Effect
****

Visit the home of the Pontiac Miniature Aircraft Club:
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hangar/4484/index.html

Visit my personal home page at
http://www.tir.com/~dlambo/index.htm

Larry Phillips wrote in message <357E68B8.28E9@thirdwave.net>...
>I just bought a Sanyo CLT926 spread spectrum cordless. It works ok
>except it has an annoying hiss in the earphone all the time. Anybody
>know of a 900Mhz spread spectrum or digital phone that is good quality
>and has none of the background noise?

1351. Re: The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <357f691f.140765206@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157729

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> Jeez....I mean this is an entertainment medium. The USENET character
> is something akin to "Anarchy On-Line". Flames and such comprise a good
> portion of *every* popular newsgroup. Most of the posts here are about
> scanners. As far as popular NG's go; the signal to noise ratio here ain't
> that bad. Spam irks me; flames don't mean anything.
>
> People bitch about flames. But they're just words on a computer screen.
> That hot feeling in your neck and face comes from your own emotions, not
> the digitized signals sent across the net.
>
> If someone wants to take the scanner discussion to new academic
> levels, do the footwork and get yourself approval for a *moderated* NG on
> scanners or set up a list-server for a mailing list. It's no big deal.
> Then you can impose whatever controls you wish. Then y'all can go off and
> trade technical tidbits in a manner devoid of emotion and within the
> confines of someone else's idea of how to behave on the 'net. Have fun.
> I'll be staying here.
>
> This is an entertainment medium; this ain't a college campus, and
> it ain't your job; it's merely an unmoderated NG about a particular
> hobby. No more, no less.

The REAL hilarious part of it all is that some of the worst
of the south ends of north-bound horses are those who do
NOT indulge in scanners and scanning for a hobby. To wit,
our gooooooood budddddies, Smacksie, Queenie, PjB, et al.
Think about it. <g> Ain't a one of 'em ever contributes
anything other than a little methane-hydrogen sulfide mix.

Damn succinct and well worded post, man. I couldn't have
said it better. I hope it gets framed and put at the
head of the list of Q&A in the newbie FAQ for this forum.

Nice job!

1352. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhurst@vt.edu
Message-ID: <jhurst.41.0001AAF1@vt.edu>
Source record: 157730

In article <357D7C41.34DF@yahoo.com> Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com> writes:
>From: Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
>Subject: Re: Scanner in the News
>Date: Tue, 09 Jun 1998 11:17:37 -0700

>> Cordless phones are boring, cell phones are much more interesting to
>> listen too, so I've been told :)

>I have monitored cell and can tell you that, for the most part, it's
>great for insomnia and not a whole lot else. Every once in a while
>though, you get some good stuff like a drug deal, or phone sex addicts,
>or best of all, the dreaded cell phone breakup. You know, the poor
>schmoe who calls his girlfriend on his cell phone and gets an earful of
>hate and scorn for two and a half hours - all at 25 to 45 cents a
>minute??? HA HA HA HA!!!! Those are classic, but I haven't heard one
>like that in years.

I agree 100%. It has been a LONG time since I listened to cell phones and most of the time it was very boring, but I have heard some good ones. Once I heard a man giving his credit card info to reserve a motel room. If he only knew that anyone with a scanner could have gotten his name, number, exp. date, etc......oh well. Another time we picked up a couple breaking up. The guy was sitting in his car in frount of the girls house. She kept telling him to leave, but he refused. They were well into the conversation when we picked them up, and we listened for about an hour while driving around. I would have loved to see his cell phone bill for that month! Anyway as I said it has been a while since I listened to cell phones and I don't miss it a bit! It's not worth wasting time on, plus it's illegal! ;-)

Later all.

1353. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35816c63.141601023@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157731

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote to the friggin loon:

> I'm just curious about your thought pattern.
>
> You friggin' loon.

You need to go to the medical literature. That's
the way hermaphrodytes think. The only other life
form that thinks that way are syphilitic offspring
from mass Siberian gang orgies.

<shrug>

It's hopeless. He has no cognitive faculties....

1354. Re: 2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35826e0c.142025693@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157732

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

noshoot@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> does anyone know if I can recieve 72mhz on the pro 2021?

If you're willing to lose the 30-54 MHz band, yes.
Clip a leg of D-45.

You can probably switch the "cut" so as to have
both bandsm though you may have to turn the scanner
off before changing the switch setting.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1355. Re: Power Supply for BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35866fb8.142454398@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157733

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

abrock@annapolis.net (Adam Brock) wrote:

> I have a BC9000XLT.
>
> Can someone *please* advise exactly what power supply I need for a BC9000XLT.
>
> The one I am using is from a BC855XLT... but it get's hot.

You need 13.8v rated at 700-mA or more.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1356. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357F78BD.3388@parg.org>
Source record: 157734

Bill Cheek wrote:
> You need to go to the medical literature. That's
> the way hermaphrodytes think. The only other life
> form that thinks that way are syphilitic offspring

Bill -

Why do you do this?

Several people on this NG have asked for these flames
& attacks to END! Yet you chose to attack for ABSOLUTELY
NO REASON! YOU WERE NOT PROVOKED AT ALL! THERE WAS
NO REASON FOR YOUR ATTACK!

I appears as though you WANT these problems to occur!

YOU seem to be trying to do what ever you can to
continue the problems!

1357. Re: MACKEY: Do you live in OR or IOWA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <357F78FF.648F@parg.org>
Source record: 157735

YES!

1358. Re: 900Mhz Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Brien P. Crotty" <Brien.P.Crotty.1@nd.edu>
Message-ID: <357F69C8.D6003539@nd.edu>
Source record: 157736

I just bought a VTech 2910C two line 900 Mhz SS phone that has caller ID
and call waiting ID in the handset for $179 at Office Max of all places.
So far, it is great.

Brien

Larry Phillips wrote:

> I just bought a Sanyo CLT926 spread spectrum cordless. It works ok
> except it has an annoying hiss in the earphone all the time. Anybody
> know of a 900Mhz spread spectrum or digital phone that is good quality
> and has none of the background noise?

1359. Newbie and Trunk Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmansfield@imps0014.us.dg.com
Message-ID: <6lnsqg$9da@wellspring.us.dg.com>
Source record: 157737

Hello All! First time cruising this group,and if I was new to the Net/net news, I'd probably be timid about posting here...More like 'alt.scanner'.

At any rate, I'm located in Riverside, CA (county actually) and have listened for some time to Police/Sheriff freqs via a PRO-34. The Sheriff dept. is using trunked radios, and well, when the latest Radio Shack flyer came by with the PRO-2050 for $199, Father's day came early. Needless to say, having little to no knowledge of RF management via trunking radios, I've come to find out the Sheriff dept. uses Ericsson trunking. So, without breaking the wallet (Mama's still PO'd about the early Father's day) what kind of recommendation can anyone in the group make about tracking EDACS? I have plenty of computer at hand (as well as experience) and as I really look into this, should probably return the 2050 for something with acquisition and control features. Pretty illiterate with respect to RF, but not shy about digging in to find the facts and wield the soldering iron. Any suggestions, helpful info areas, etc., please send! TIA!

Daveman

"He who has begun has half done. Dare to be wise; begin! "
- Horace, c. 65 BC

1360. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: zooo@concentric.net
Message-ID: <35878a7d.6696510@news>
Source record: 157738

On Wed, 10 Jun 1998 08:30:10 GMT, "Mike Derryberry"
<michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

He could always call in an "anonymous tip" on a pay phone, then bug
out.

>I don't listen to cordless or cell phone calls as I find them incredibly
>boring. It's just the idea of the whole thing that bugged (no pun intended)
>me and I wanted to provoke some thought on the subject.
>
>Mike

1361. Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35887079.142646902@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157739

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yo Smacksie?

Hey wingnut, I hear you want another "deal"?
You friggin' ninny, we *had* a deal. You broke it.
But it's the ONLY one I will ever make with you.

Okay, you are off my Shit List for 24 hours, plenty
long enough for you to read the below and agree.
Then back on my list you go

Now read it again, Oh Mindless One:

1. You do NOT (ever) speak to or write to me.

2. You do NOT (ever) speak to or about me.

3. You do NOT (ever) make any references to me.

4. You do NOT (ever) make insinuations about or
allusions to me.

5. You do NOT (ever) think about me.

6. You play like I never even existed... to the fullest
extent.

And you will get *exactly* the same from me. No more.
No less. I ignore you; walk around you; or step over
you like a steaming pile. Actually, I'd hire a helicopter
to fly me over you if it came down to that.

No more. No less. Deal?

Don't offer ANY other terms; don't remove any; don't
make any alterations to the above. I will not
negotiate with you, and there will be no compromises
or bending. We will NOT discuss it. I want no
interaction with you of ANY nature whatsoever.

Just reply to this post quoting Items 1-6 and state
that you accept the deal, no if's, and's, or's, or but's.

Publicly accept the above as an iron-clad, two-way contract,
or else sachet and swish to "Dixie" as you change the bed
pans at that state looney tunes hospital where you work.

Makes me no nevermind what the hell you do. But you
want a deal? Take this one or leave it. That's as good
as it gets.

Capiche? Savvy? Comprende? Understand?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1362. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <357F9D76.1D2F0644@swbell.net>
Source record: 157740

Johnny boy , the majority of the newsgroup has asked you to stop your
crap and get with the program.
And in reality that is 99.999 percent of the people on here who want you
to just plain shut up and let the newsgroup get back to being on topic.

The best way to deal with you is for everyone to send email to
Security@mci.net
and report your sorry butt .

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > You need to go to the medical literature. That's
> > the way hermaphrodytes think. The only other life
> > form that thinks that way are syphilitic offspring
>
> Bill -
>
> Why do you do this?
>
> Several people on this NG have asked for these flames
> & attacks to END! Yet you chose to attack for ABSOLUTELY
> NO REASON! YOU WERE NOT PROVOKED AT ALL! THERE WAS
> NO REASON FOR YOUR ATTACK!
>
> I appears as though you WANT these problems to occur!
>
> YOU seem to be trying to do what ever you can to
> continue the problems!

--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not con-
stitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit
unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above.
Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied.
Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

1363. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <357F9F79.B8233E25@swbell.net>
Source record: 157741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Johnny boy won't do it , he is too stupid to understand the simple
concept of the terms you presented .
He will find fault with it as usual, berate you and say how he whipped
you on the newsgroup and now your crying uncle, just so he can keep his
crap going .

--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not con-
stitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit
unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above.
Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied.
Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

1364. Curious question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <gscott@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <357F847B.365A92D4@alaska.net>
Source record: 157742

Ok lets say you have a scanner that picks up cell phones. What good is it if the phone keeps jumping from freq to freq. How are you suppose to listen to the entire conversation? Thanks Scott..

1365. Re: Used police red and blue light bar wanted -- Tekbir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd950d$2a3260a0$4c1937a6@default>
Source record: 157743
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

I think everyone is going overboard as I really don't think Scrappy was
serious.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Tekbir <wbeachy@erols.com> wrote in article <357F2056.6DE9@erols.com>...
> Scrappy wrote:
> >
> > Gotta get me a red and blue light bar for the top of my car!
> >
> > --
> > Area 50 research Center
> > Trying damn hard to catch
> > up to are 51, and we just
> > might do it. (If we're lucky)
> > ...maybe!
> >
> > AND: Janet Reno is a hot babe! all at:
> >
> > HTTP://www.winternet.com/~yomammy
> >
> > remove REMOVE from e-mail to reply by e-mail
>
> How about settling for a neon sign reading "Hi, I'm a loser and an
> idiot!"
>
> What are you thinking (assuming you HAVE a brain, of course)?
>
> Tekbir
> --
>

1366. Re: The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <357f8847.47486414@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 157744

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>The REAL hilarious part of it all is that some of the worst
>of the south ends of north-bound horses are those who do
>NOT indulge in scanners and scanning for a hobby. To wit,
>our gooooooood budddddies, Smacksie, Queenie, PjB, et al.
>Think about it. <g> Ain't a one of 'em ever contributes
>anything other than a little methane-hydrogen sulfide mix.

Another Cheek lie, complete with gratuitous insult. Not bad for a guy
who claims to be "ignoring" me.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1367. Re: Orifice an unemployed convicted child molestor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oris <oris@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <357F8A61.3EC4@dirtball.com>
Source record: 157745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hey pig vomit you are such a loser that you can't even form a opinion
what a loser!

1368. Re: BC-895xlt any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lo1t5$l5e@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157746

In <357F72E9.C267EC6A@pacifier.com> pja <pja@pacifier.com> writes:
>
>Is the BC-895 XLT any good? Where is a good place
>to buy one at a good price?
>

very good

plenny places charge around
275 to 300...

check Ham station
Grove Enterprises
Copper Electronics
there are about six others
out there who have good
pricing...others can add
to this...

the above have 800 numbers.
their own www sites.

plus

bill conduit
etc.

1369. Re: FYI - Proposed action on Scanner laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd950f$d54726e0$4c1937a6@default>
Source record: 157747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mackey ... Get off your soapbox ...

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote in article
<357F117F.11A9@parg.org>...
> Brad Cuppy wrote:
> > I know these ideas are NOT POLITICALLY CORRECT but I and many of
> > you are sick of political correctness !
>
> Brad - that's the spirit!!
>
> Be brave & pursue, "politically correct" is NOT always correct.
> Infact, often times it is not! Don't be intimidated by others
> who will try to sway you, venture forward with the spirit
> of thought that inspired you to act in the first place and
> continue forth until victory is in our hands! That is the
> basis of America, that is what has maintained this NG as a
> safe & respectable place in the face of unrest by loathsome
> individuals, & that will preserve our rights!
>
> THAT is how we will maintain our right in the scanning world!
>

1370. Re: The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9510$cd3415c0$4c1937a6@default>
Source record: 157748

```
Thanks allsafe, well said.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote in article
<357F4292.FB0AE810@netwit.com>...
>
>
> Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>
> > Here we see Bill's real agenda peeking through. The fact is, he gets
> > cyber-gasms by starting and escalating flame wars. The only problem
> > is, he's not good enough at it to take it to a group designed for such
> > fun 'n' games, so he hangs out in techie groups where he can disrupt
> > and agitate without too much real opposition, and advertise his
> > business as a bonus.
> >
>
> Jeez....I mean this is an entertainment medium. The USENET character
> is something akin to "Anarchy On-Line". Flames and such comprise a good
> portion of *every* popular newsgroup. Most of the posts here are about
> scanners. As far as popular NG's go; the signal to noise ratio here
ain't
> that bad. Spam irks me; flames don't mean anything.
>
> People bitch about flames. But they're just words on a computer screen.
> That hot feeling in your neck and face comes from your own emotions, not
> the digitized signals sent across the net.
>
> If someone wants to take the scanner discussion to new academic
> levels, do the footwork and get yourself approval for a *moderated* NG on
> scanners or set up a list-server for a mailing list. It's no big deal.
> Then you can impose whatever controls you wish. Then y'all can go off
and
> trade technical tidbits in a manner devoid of emotion and within the
> confines of someone else's idea of how to behave on the 'net. Have fun.
> I'll be staying here.
>
> This is an entertainment medium; this ain't a college campus, and
> it ain't your job; it's merely an unmoderated NG about a particular
```

> hobby. No more, no less.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1371. Re: Used police red and blue light bar wanted -- Tekbir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scrappy <REMOVEpetesmith@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <6lo43v$s70$1@blackice.winternet.com>
Source record: 157749
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Good catch, Mike! The lightbar and cage posts were a parodical response to the out of control threads for the person who wanted a used radar gun (preferably hand held, if I recall). His ad said nothing about police or using the Radar on roadways. Posters just inferred this into the thread. I thought I'd have some fun with it! Good day to you all!

--
Area 50 research Center
Trying damn hard to catch
up to are 51, and we just
might do it. (If we're lucky)
...maybe!

AND: Janet Reno is a hot babe! all at:

HTTP://www.winternet.com/~yomammy

remove REMOVE from e-mail to reply by e-mail
Mike Derryberry wrote in message <01bd950d$2a3260a0$4c1937a6@default>...
>I think everyone is going overboard as I really don't think Scrappy was
>serious.
>
>Mike
>
>--
>Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!
>
>michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com
>

1372. Re: MACKEY: Do you live in OR or IOWA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9511$dda67be0$4c1937a6@default>
Source record: 157750

I guess he doesn't know where he lives.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote in article
<357F78FF.648F@parg.org>...
> YES!
>

1373. Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9512$d8d17ec0$4c1937a6@default>
Source record: 157751

I think Mackey must have been bored today as there were 8 posts from him,
not one on topic and saying absolutely nothing worthwhile. What an
incredible waste of time and bandwidth. I do think he needs to get a life.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

1374. Re: The Death of John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9513$4e58c680$4c1937a6@default>
Source record: 157752

Maybe not pleasure to all, but some of us would enjoy the quiet till someone else comes along and I think Emilio Goldstein just reard his ugly head again.

Only one per newsgroup, please.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

pja <pja@pacifier.com> wrote in article <357F71EF.3C3CB335@pacifier.com>...
> If MACKEY was dead.... he would no longer be suffering.
> This would no longer give pleasure to the people that hate
> him.
>
>
>
> Mike Derryberry wrote:
>
> > I'm laughing hysterically right now ...
> > >
> > > Mike
> > > --
> > > Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!
> > >
> > > michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com
> > >
> > > Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
> > > <357ed51b.306970348@news2.cts.com>...
> > > > Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Infamous Internet stalker John Mackey was found dead at his dingy
> > > > > studio apartment in Portland, Oregon last night.....
> > > >
> > > > <Sigh>
> > > >
> > > > Why couldn't there have been some torture first?
> > > > Especially on video tape so everyone could more
> > > > appropriately lament or celebrate his passing?
> > > >
> > > > 'Sniff.....
> > > >
> > > >

>
>
>
>

1375. Re: Bc9000xlt, Help with these questions Please..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9514$0da2cea0$4c1937a6@default>
Source record: 157753

Cell phone freqs are blocked and can not be unblocked. The 9000xlt will not follow trunking. The type of best type of scanner depends on what you are going to listen to. If it's going to be 800 trunked systems then both Radio Shack and Uniden have trunk-trackers for that.

Good Luck,
Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Scott <gscott@alaska.net> wrote in article
<357F2EFE.7EDE4138@alaska.net>...
> What is trunk scanning and can this one do it?
>
> What freqs are locked out? (especially in the 800mhz range)?
>
> Can it be fixed to receive these freqs?
>
> Is there a better scanner I should look at before I purchase this one?
>
> Thanks for the help Scott..
>
>

1376. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061109374200.FAA02960@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157754

>Cheek - Radio Cybertwit
>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>
>hang in there, it's coming to an end. The individual who
>started the problems might have learned a lesson (at
>least we can hope).

No, the individual started the problem is YOU and the individual to contibues to cause the problem is YOU. Note that the single person for the last two days who has engaged in flames, lying and his usual distasteful activities is YOU. It is laughable that you would even imply that the flaming was caused by someone else when YOU are not only the instigator but also insanely bent on perpetuating it.

I am utterly convinced that you are in serious need of pyschiatric counseling.

1377. Re: Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061109392000.FAA02992@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157755

>Subject: Mackey Bored???
>From: "Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>

>I think Mackey must have been bored today as there were 8 posts from him,
>not one on topic and saying absolutely nothing worthwhile. What an

All the while, he maintains that the problem is going away! The hypocrisy is absolutely stunning.

1378. Re: The Urban Legend Continues - haven't we learned??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061109434000.FAA03125@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157756

>From: glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom)

>Another Cheek lie, complete with gratuitous insult.

Not at all. You have never posted a single on-topic message ever--not one out of hundreds of messages by you intended to cause trouble. At least Quackerel claims to have posted two on-topic messages out of thousands.

Not bad for a guy
>who claims to be "ignoring" me.

You should be quite familiar with that tactic, since you regularly claim to put this or that person into your cherished killfile. PLONK, baby, PLONK!

1379. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061109470300.FAA28885@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157757

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF

>Show
>> everyone that you truly want it to stop.

>YES BLAKE!! That is exactly what I have done here!

When, Quackie did you stop? You posted 8 messages in the past day or so... Not one on topic. When did YOU stop you lying fool?

>It would demonstrate Bill's true intentions, since he has long
>been known for saying one thing & doing another.

It would demonstrate YOUR true intentions, since YOU have been long known for saying one thing and doing another.

>I certainly hope this NG can count on your support for ending the
>problems here, Blake!

One thing is certain Quackie. No one can count on YOU.

1380. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061109530200.FAA03367@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157758

>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>
>NO, I have no personal vendetta & I have NOT disrupted this NG!

Here you go again. Eight post in 24 hours and you continue to cause trouble.

>You seem to be trying to point a finger of guilt at me. You need to
>know that when you point a finger at someone you have 3 fingers pointing
>back at YOURSELF!

You're the one who keeps engaging in electronic stalking, personal vendettas, lying, impersonations, cancellations and similiar activities all with the singular purpose of disrutping this newsgroup.

Unlike you Quackerel, I don't claim to be an angel. If thre are only three fingers pointed at me, then that's not too bad.

You on the other hand have more fingers than can be counted pointed at YOU. You'll notice that aside from that vulgarian, fellow PARG thug Nick, you have never had a single word of support here.

>You have made this promise several times, I only hope you could start
>to follow these words you speak!

I have made the challenge at least three times and three times you have failed to accept it. It is the weakness of your moral character that hinders you from doing the right thing Quackie.

1381. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061109571700.FAA29154@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157759

>Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!
>From: dmi853@swbell.net

>Johnny boy won't do it , he is too stupid to understand the simple
>concept of the terms you presented .
>He will find fault with it as usual, berate you and say how he whipped
>you on the newsgroup and now your crying uncle, just so he can keep his
>crap going .

You must be Quackie's shrink. You have got the pyscho pegged to a T.

You'll notice that he posted at least a dozen of his off-topic, trouble making posts in the past 24 hours all the while claiming that the problem was coming to an end.

1382. Robert L Bass, John Mackey and KK7HO should all get together!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nwelch@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <357f64db.9841041@remus.igt.com>
Source record: 157760
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, all three of you should get together and form your
own News Group! You all harass NGs with stupid posts!

I think Robwert, John and Tim should form their own
News Group! (ie alt.real.usenet.assholes)

1383. need info on BC-100-XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reed Park <reedpark@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <35814640.70BF1887@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 157311

Greetings

I am working on a BC-100-XLT that has a burned component.
It is labeled IC-5, but the numbers on it are unreadable. Can anybody
out there identify this 3 terminal device for me ?

Regards

Reed

--

ARROW Research (Avro CF-105)
Reed Park
252 Gauvin Road
Dieppe, New Brunswick
Canada
E1A1M1

Amateur Radio VE1NU
WW II, # 19 SET user

1384. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3583433b.262147681@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157312

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net> wrote:

> You're just being a jerk.

....and YOU are being what?
```

1385. Re: Congratulations!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358443d1.262297896@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157313

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Jeff Miller <jfmil@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Guess he only reads the flames not the real posts in the group

I'm beginning to think that, too. Geezus H. Kriste,
fer Pete's Sake, the flame threads are so clearly
labeled that anyone with any mental faculties at all
could steer clear of them if they wanted to.

Obviously, they don't want to..... <g>

1386. www.viscaonline.com ATTENTION SCANNER HAPPY PEOPLE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: JD <jd@ozonline.com.au>
Message-ID: <35814a16.0@nemo.idirect.com>
Source record: 157314

Looking for somewhere to give you good Canadian and Australian frequencies?
Come and check out "ScanBar"... Plus, add ones you have found and exchange
your knowledge of international frequencies with others!

Come and visist us at...

http://www.viscaonline.com/entertain.html and select the "ScanBar" area!

1387. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358845f8.262848691@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157315

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net> wrote:

> You will soon learn to dislike him - he is a constant off-topic flaming
> poster (aka, JERK) in all radio newsgroups.

Pharisee, in another thread, you popped off:

> You know what, just STOP! If I see any more of this FROM ANYONE, I will
> be reporting them to the ISP. These are off-topic messages that have
> been continuing for months. This is a SCANNER GROUP, not a FLAME GROUP!

> I cannot even begin to believe the immaturity shown by you ALL in this
> newsgroup.

Where is your maturity? This thread WAS an on-topic
scanner subject, and from nowhere, you swished in to
pitch a flame of your own.

Why?

Back on my Twit List you go, son. Permanent this time.

1388. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jonturner@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061215344900.LAA00116@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157316

This thread, along with the other flame battles taking place on this newsgroup, reminds me of a quaint old southern (USA) expression:

"Never wrestle with a pig. You both roll in shit, and the pig enjoys it!"

Jon Turner

1389. Adios Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3589475d.263205642@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157317

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yo Smacksie?

Too bad you didn't have the gumption and
intestinal fortitude to accept my deal.
It would've given you some character and
REAL polish...maybe made a man out of you.

Anyway, you're back on my Twit List again.
We can have a one way deal, at least. I
certainly won't be talking TO you, though
I have to admit that I won't be able to
stop laughing at you.

Every time the mere thought of you crosses my
mind, I go into a series of uncontrollable
giggles and titters. I'll have to say that
you do make my day. heh heh heh heh heh.....

One of the boys across the lab here just hollered
out, "Hey Bill, what are you snickering at now?"
(I told him it was just another nincompoop on the
scanner newsgroup.)

See ya - wouldn't wanna be ya.

(Activating the Twit List: Bzzzzzzaaaaaap!)
```

1390. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980612160425 00.MAA07006@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157318

>Subject: Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.
>From: Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp)

>If I reply with only a "PLONK", that means that you are history to me
>as far as posting under your current nick name or handle goes.

PLONK, PLONK, no PLONK back! I got you first, nah, nah. Look pal, just killfile who ever it is that you don't want to read. Do you seriously think that anyone gives fig? Just do it and shut up about it. When you think you can get around to it, why don't you post something about scanning instead of whining?

1391. Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: D-Boy <videoinc@mail.msn.com>
Message-ID: <6lriu9$mlu@apple.telalink.net>
Source record: 157319

Is it possible to connect three handheld scanners to a single antenna? I would like to be able to use three at once, each scanning different frequencies with the reception benefits of an external antenna. Any thoughts on this?
Thanks

D-Boy

1392. Re: Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd962b$6a1d30e0$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157320

Doktor Tripp <Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz> wrote in article
<35805276.8964989@news.alt.net>...
> On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 10:55:26 -0800, pja <pja@pacifier.com>

<snip>

> I wouldn't have seen this except as a threaded response because I kill
> filtered you Bill. I'm not defending Mackey and both he , you and the
> myriads of clowns standing in a circle around your fight need to cut
> the shit and noise now. I have been reading this group for 6 months
> now and the Mackey and Cheek escapades are getting old. I will
> probably end up kill filtering everyone that responds to these
> trollish and idiotic bitch slappings. This way I won't need to read
> about you and Mackey's goings on (aka off topic posts)

Kill File away. No one is making you read this. I don`t see a gun at your
head with someone saying..."you have to read Mackey`s postings".

I`ll even show you how to do it. <Plonk> See? I just put you in the box
with Mackey.

Easy, ain`t it?

Charlie

1393. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd962e$0fb19440$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157321

Fred,

If you stick around long enough, you`ll find that Bill Cheek is one of the BEST with scanner info. To be honest, I can`t recall ANYTHING other then a "That`s a nice scanner" from John Mackey.

If you can wade thru all the fertilizer to & from Mackey, You`ll get a healthy scanner crop from Bill.

IMHO, it would be a big mistake to kill-file Cheek.

Happy scanning,
Charlie

>fred <fred@banana.net> wrote in article
<3581e786.2737844@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>...
> Courageous, nothing. This is just the freakin' Usenet, for crying out
> loud. I just want to learn more about scanners, but only a few people
> on this NG actually seem to know something. :-(

1394. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd962f$20ed64e0$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157322

Dmi,

Very good! That `bout sums it all up, `sept that you have to know a
HELL of alot more to be a Bill Cheek!

Happy Scanning,
Charlie

dmi853@swbell.net wrote in article <35810E6C.DE3089B@swbell.net>...
> Johnny boy admires Bill, Johnny boy wants to be Bill, but Johnny boy
> can't be Billl as long as Bill is on the newsgroup.
> The only thing he can do since he can't be Bill is to attack Bill and
> attempt to make it look like Bill is the cause of the problems on here .

1395. Re: Adios Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: knots829@aol.com
Message-ID: <35965327.18305415@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Too bad you didn't have enough sack to accept his 2 insignificant additions to your list.

Way to go, billie.

This just proves you are out to antagonize.

(Bill Cheek) wrote:

>Yo Smacksie?
>
>Too bad you didn't have the gumption and
>intestinal fortitude to accept my deal.
>It would've given you some character and
>REAL polish...maybe made a man out of you.

1396. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: knots829@aol.com
Message-ID: <359853c3.18461717@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

(Bill Cheek) wrote:

>Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net> wrote:
>
>> You're just being a jerk.
>
>....and YOU are being what?

.....and again.

You snip all relevant material to the discussion at hand to do what??

ANTAGONIZE. THAT'S what.

It's a sad day when I begin to think Mackey may have been right about you all along.

1397. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carl Donath <donath@ei.kodak.com>
Message-ID: <3581620E.75D21E2C@ei.kodak.com>
Source record: 157325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<snip arbitrary long-running flame>

I'm amazed. The topic is "scanners", a straightforward, technical subject, and yet people _still_ manage to pursue a long-running flame war. Abortion, gun control, Rush Limbaugh - sure...but _scanners_? Just amazing.

--
Carl Donath http://www.ei.kodak.com/~donath
http://www2.rpa.net/~ctdonath
------ 85% of the world's population has never made a phone call. ---------
--------- These people are not worried about the Millenium Bug. -----------

1398. Re: House wiring antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <cp1snt@snet.net>
Message-ID: <35815FB2.9641DEEA@snet.net>
Source record: 157326

Radioshack has that little plugin thingy I think

1399. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lrt1j$5hj$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157327

In article <35837d7b.211523061@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> > Why don't you guys grow up and get a life.
> > Ya'll are act like 8 year olds.
>
> I take that as a compliment coming from
> you acting like a 3-yr old, potshooting from
> the comfy mama-skirts of deja-news.
>
> > Here is an idea, try ignoring each other and
> > your world will go back to normal. You weirdo's.
>
> You'd have been much more effective showing how
> it's done by keeping your fingers off the keyboard
> and tucked away in their normal, dank, dark, moist
> place(s).
>
> <sigh>
>
> What have you guys been doing the whole time? Bitching about each other via

your little mask you call a computer. Don't get defensive towards me I am
just making a MATURE analysis of the situation. If ya'll are really having a
problem settle it like men and kick the shit out of each other, that is if
you guys aren't little pansies that can only say something through a NG.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1400. Is BC-235XLT any good?? Any other better???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <3581663F.260A2072@pacifier.com>
Source record: 157328

Is the BC-235XLT handheld scanner any good? Is there
any other handheld trunking scanner that is better?
Where is a good place to mail order one?

1401. Pro-51 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <wbxe@banet.net>
Message-ID: <35817017.0@news1.ibm.net>
Source record: 157329
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Pro-51 for sale in great shape. The special programing works for expanded coverage.
Will sell with the service manual for $200.

Please Email me at if interested.

ljam@banet.net

1402. Re: It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <358136f1.23071546@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 157330

You stupid dumbass...don't you know you're contributing to the problem? You need bitch-slapped.

1403. Cellular on new Yaesu FT-50RD's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: abtownes@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6lruun$8on$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Several people have complained that the well known
methods of doing hardware and software mods to enable
cellular on the Yaesu FT-50RD do not work on recently
manufactured units. Although I had one for a year now,
I did not mod it until recently because I found
that it was capable of good cell reception without
either type of mod. I wrote up a posting about half
a year ago, but it did not get much attention at the
time, since the mods were so popular. Now, perhaps
its time has come, so I repeat it here.

Al -------- Subject: Cellular RX on Yaesu FT-50R with no mods From:
abtownes@hotmail.comDate: 1998/01/01 Message-ID:
<883688921.1407975433@dejanews.com> Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner[More Headers] [Subscribe to
rec.radio.amateur.equipment] There have been various ways posted here to
achieve cellular reception on the Yaesu FT-50R. These include hardware
changes requiring careful disassembly and soldering, as well as software-only
changes using a hex editor on the ADMS files according to instructions
provided byIngra-Beton. There have also been instructions posted here for
getting this Yaesu to scan in steps needed for the cellular band plan. 30
KHz steps would be ideal, but the Yaesu offers only 15 KHz steps, and
withholds even those in UHF. A couple of postings here explained how to
store a VHF freq set up with 15 KHz steps and then recall it and change the
freq to the bottom of the cellular UHF band, and store it again. This keeps
the 15 KHz step size, even though it is for a freq range where the Yaesu does
not offer it. It was not actually necessary to store the VHF freq, but this
is a confusing procedure, and I give credit to its inventor even if he did
more steps than necessary. It was also suggested in postings here that one
can program a repeater offset of minus 45 MHz, to be stored with the 15 KHz
split. (and turn off ARS, function 5.) With this set up, one can hear a base
station which may or may not repeat the mobile, and with a single keystroke,
switch to the mobile frequency. It takes a good antenna, but you might
actually hear the mobile. It is the main purpose of this posting to show
that (1) neither the hardware nor the hex-edit mods are necessary for
cellular reception, and that (2) the tricks needed to enable the 15 KHz steps
(very tricky) and the 45 MHz offset can be done much more easily, and done
only once, directly in the ADMS software. The Yaesu FT-50R has the
interesting property that it can get cellular reception on images both above
and below the desired frequency (for example, 870 MHz, which is locked out).
The first IF is 45.1 MHz. Thus we should look for cell images on 960.2 MHz
and on 779.8 MHz. I turns out that both exist. This may seem illogical, but
all it means is that when we tune to 960.2, the HF oscillator is 45.1 MHz

below that, and when we tune to 779.8 MHz, the oscillator is 45.1 MHz above that. In both cases, we would hear a signal that was transmitted on 870. Nothing weird, we just got lucky that they did it this way. From my experience listening to this in Canada where it is legal, the Yaesu appears to have no image rejection in this freq. range, that is, no input filtering. Thus, it gets cellular reception on 960.2 as well as it would if you freebanded the set and tuned to 870. The 960 image is the better of the image choices because the Yaesu automatically uses the NFM detector in that range. If you listen in the 789 range, you must turn off the RMOD (function 31) that wants to use the WFM detector. After all, this is in the UHF TV range. You might also run into a real UHF TV station there. If anyone has freebanded an FT-50R, let me know how you think the 960 reception compares to the 870 reception and confirm what I found. Since all serious users of the FT-50R have the ADMS software, I give you here the procedure for programming the cell range in ADMS with the two trick features. If you do not have it, get the ADMS 1-D now. It covers all the radios that the 1-c covers and them some. It also introduces copy protection, but this has already been cracked. Load ADMS. Select a range memory from the data list, say L1. Go to the Edit screen. Put in 145 MHz as receive freq. Select step size of 15 KHz. Type in 45.000 for TX Shift. Change the 145 MHz to 961.1 MHz. The 15 KHz remains. Select the negative offset under the 961.100. You might have to go back and forth between + and - offsets a few times, but you can get it to bring up 916.100 MHz for transmit. Type in "CELL" in the Alpha Display and check Show. Or find a cute covert four-letter label. Do OK. Now Edit U1. All the same, but finish up with 973.1 MHz in receive. Do OK. Then, in the main ADMS screen, uncheck Automatic Repeated Shift. Otherwise there will be no 45 MHz offset when the scan leaves the limit edges. Also pick Scan Resume to be "Signal Drop". "5-Seconds" is a crazy mode of no use ever. It should be called Search and Sample. Save the file and load it to the Yaesu-50R. Scanning cellular is now as simple as recalling L1, tapping key-MR, and holding key-VFO for a few seconds. The Yaesu rescans the range when it reaches the upper limit. As you edit your freq. list over the years, this range need not be changed. The display shows that all of this works fine with tha antenna jack shorted. I cannot wait to visit Canada to try it for real. The 961.1 MHz setting is a carefully chosen freq. It is near the bottom of the base-station band, but above the data channels, and is divisible by 30 KHz with a remainder of 20 KHz. This is important because of the IF. If you chose to change it to include more range or whatever, consider the above requirement. To program a freebanded Yaesu, use 870.900 and 882.900 as the limits. These are divisible by 30 KHz with zero remainder, also important. As I have not tried this, please confirm that it works as I expect. Wishing everyone happy scanning when visiting a place where it is alllegal,Al

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1404. Re: It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061218322900.OAA21351@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157332

>Subject: Re: It's Another Quackie Day
>From: fred@banana.net (fred)

>You stupid dumbass...don't you know you're contributing to the
>problem? You need bitch-slapped.

I can understand that your lack of education has hindered a misogynist like you from expressing yourself properly, but you should really work on at least getting your diploma. Perhaps then you can get a job with benefits that would enable you to seek counseling.

1405. CTP Speech Inver. Descrambler !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: X <fillip@banet.net>
Message-ID: <35815A4D.8FF09F5@banet.net>
Source record: 157333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

CTP -- Speech Inversion Descrambler's/ Signal Meter's/ Service

Does CTP work in the Trunking System to decode the "private" mode transmissions ?

http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

Their site

1406. Nascar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <35817770.834849@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 157334
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I just got invited to go and see the Pocono race next Sunday.
I've seen post about scanners and Nascar before but payed little
attention to them.

1. What kind of headset do I need?
2. Does my Radio need modified (phone jack) to hear the cars?
I have a Radio shack Pro-51.
Can I use this radio?
Thanks

1407. Re: Nascar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <35817940.1298250@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 157335
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

Oh,
And I forgot to mention frequencies.
Where kind I find them?
Thanks

>Hi,
>
>I just got invited to go and see the Pocono race next Sunday.
>I've seen post about scanners and Nascar before but payed little
>attention to them.
>
>1. What kind of headset do I need?
>2. Does my Radio need modified (phone jack) to hear the cars?
>I have a Radio shack Pro-51.
>Can I use this radio?
>Thanks
>
>

1408. WHO CAN MOD. MY PRO 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: yosfrqdave@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lrqrm$m0u$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157336
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just picked up a pro 43 w/ a date of 11a2
I am introsted in a couple of mods.
Adding 200 more ch. and 6 - 1000 mhz.
Who can do this for me and price?
And any feed back on these mods?
The 6 - 1000mhz. is that w/ no brakes?
How is reseption from 6 - 30mhz
Any info would app. THANKS
DAVE
yosfrqdave@webtv.net

1409. Cedar Point, Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6ls42c$id3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157337

Here is a listing of information from my recent trip to Cedar Point. I have managed to identify the use for most of the frequencies, if you are aware of the others, let me know.

cedar fair lp - knat936

412852N, 0824053W

151.6850 Voice Paging
154.5150 Hotel Breakers, Shuttle Busses, Aux Voice Paging
461.3000 Police
463.8625 Maintenance
464.2125 ?
464.3125 ?
464.3750 Park Operations (Channel "B")
464.4125 Parking, Tolls, Traffic
464.5125 Emergency Services and Fire
464.5750 Ride Operations (Channel "A")
464.6125 ?

466.3000 }
468.8625 } Repeater Input
469.2125 } Frequencies
469.3125 }
469.4125 }
469.5125 }
469.6125 }

Cedar Point Marina

154.425 MC 68 Non-Commercial
156.450 MC 09 Boater Calling. Commercial and Non-Commercial
156.800 MC 16 International Distress, Safety and Calling

The most difficult part of monitoring CP is understanding their signal codes, if you are aware of what any are, let me know. If I had more time I could have probably figured out some more

Signal Codes

1 contact by telephone "signal 1 3109" "signal 1 mantis"
2 contact in person "signal 2 by magnum short enterance"
5
7 out of service
8 in service
14 "what is your 14?"
15 off duty? - "unit XX is signal 15"

17
20 location - "what is your 20?"
25 closed for the night?
33 (emergency?)
35
37 mechanical problem? - "signal 37 blue streak mechanical"
38 attraction closed? - "soak city complex is signal 38"
39 need approval to reopen? - "signal 39 demon drop"
80

- - - - - - - -

Sandusky

460/5.5750 Fire Department - - - main
453/8.5000 Fire Department
460/5.2500 Police Department

It's amazing how many Motorola Talkabouts and other GMRS/FRS radios you see floating around . . . didn't manage to do any monitoring

GMRS/FRS

462.5500 GMRS
462.5625 FRS 1, GMRS
462.5750 GMRS
462.5875 FRS 2, GMRS
462.6000 GMRS
462.6125 FRS 3, GMRS
462.6250 GMRS
462.6375 FRS 4, GMRS
462.6500 GMRS
462.6625 FRS 5, GMRS
462.6750 GMRS
462.6875 FRS 6, GMRS
462.7000 GMRS
462.7125 FRS 7, GMRS
462.7250 GMRS
467.5500 GMRS
467.5625 FRS 8
467.5750 GMRS
467.5875 FRS 9
467.6000 GMRS
467.6125 FRS 10
467.6250 GMRS
467.6375 FRS 11
467.6500 GMRS
467.6625 FRS 12
467.6750 GMRS
467.6875 FRS 13
467.7000 GMRS
467.7250 GMRS

467.7125 FRS 14

Jeff

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1410. Cedar Point, Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6ls44uidb1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157338

Here is a listing of information from my recent trip to Cedar Point. I have managed to identify the use for most of the frequencies, if you are aware of the others, let me know.

cedar fair lp - knat936

412852N, 0824053W

151.6850 Voice Paging
154.5150 Hotel Breakers, Shuttle Busses, Aux Voice Paging
461.3000 Police
463.8625 Maintenance
464.2125 ?
464.3125 ?
464.3750 Park Operations (Channel "B")
464.4125 Parking, Tolls, Traffic
464.5125 Emergency Services and Fire
464.5750 Ride Operations (Channel "A")
464.6125 ?

466.3000 }
468.8625 } Repeater Input
469.2125 } Frequencies
469.3125 }
469.4125 }
469.5125 }
469.6125 }

Cedar Point Marina

154.425 MC 68 Non-Commercial
156.450 MC 09 Boater Calling. Commercial and Non-Commercial
156.800 MC 16 International Distress, Safety and Calling

The most difficult part of monitoring CP is understanding their signal codes, if you are aware of what any are, let me know. If I had more time I could have probably figured out some more

Signal Codes

1 contact by telephone "signal 1 3109" "signal 1 mantis"
2 contact in person "signal 2 by magnum short enterance"
5
7 out of service
8 in service
14 "what is your 14?"
15 off duty? - "unit XX is signal 15"

17
20 location - "what is your 20?"
25 closed for the night?
33 (emergency?)
35
37 mechanical problem? - "signal 37 blue streak mechanical"
38 attraction closed? - "soak city complex is signal 38"
39 need approval to reopen? - "signal 39 demon drop"
80

- - - - - - - -

Sandusky

460/5.5750 Fire Department - - - main
453/8.5000 Fire Department
460/5.2500 Police Department

It's amazing how many Motorola Talkabouts and other GMRS/FRS radios you see floating around . . . didn't manage to do any monitoring

GMRS/FRS

462.5500 GMRS
462.5625 FRS 1, GMRS
462.5750 GMRS
462.5875 FRS 2, GMRS
462.6000 GMRS
462.6125 FRS 3, GMRS
462.6250 GMRS
462.6375 FRS 4, GMRS
462.6500 GMRS
462.6625 FRS 5, GMRS
462.6750 GMRS
462.6875 FRS 6, GMRS
462.7000 GMRS
462.7125 FRS 7, GMRS
462.7250 GMRS
467.5500 GMRS
467.5625 FRS 8
467.5750 GMRS
467.5875 FRS 9
467.6000 GMRS
467.6125 FRS 10
467.6250 GMRS
467.6375 FRS 11
467.6500 GMRS
467.6625 FRS 12
467.6750 GMRS
467.6875 FRS 13
467.7000 GMRS
467.7125 FRS 14

467.7250 GMRS

Jeff

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1411. Cedar Point, Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6ls45didg1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157339

Here is a listing of information from my recent trip to Cedar Point. I have managed to identify the use for most of the frequencies, if you are aware of the others, let me know.

cedar fair lp - knat936

412852N, 0824053W

151.6850 Voice Paging
154.5150 Hotel Breakers, Shuttle Busses, Aux Voice Paging
461.3000 Police
463.8625 Maintenance
464.2125 ?
464.3125 ?
464.3750 Park Operations (Channel "B")
464.4125 Parking, Tolls, Traffic
464.5125 Emergency Services and Fire
464.5750 Ride Operations (Channel "A")
464.6125 ?

466.3000 }
468.8625 } Repeater Input
469.2125 } Frequencies
469.3125 }
469.4125 }
469.5125 }
469.6125 }

Cedar Point Marina

154.425 MC 68 Non-Commercial
156.450 MC 09 Boater Calling. Commercial and Non-Commercial
156.800 MC 16 International Distress, Safety and Calling

The most difficult part of monitoring CP is understanding their signal codes, if you are aware of what any are, let me know. If I had more time I could have probably figured out some more

Signal Codes

1 contact by telephone "signal 1 3109" "signal 1 mantis"
2 contact in person "signal 2 by magnum short enterance"
5
7 out of service
8 in service
14 "what is your 14?"
15 off duty? - "unit XX is signal 15"

17
20 location - "what is your 20?"
25 closed for the night?
33 (emergency?)
35
37 mechanical problem? - "signal 37 blue streak mechanical"
38 attraction closed? - "soak city complex is signal 38"
39 need approval to reopen? - "signal 39 demon drop"
80

- - - - - - - -

Sandusky

460/5.5750 Fire Department - - - main
453/8.5000 Fire Department
460/5.2500 Police Department

It's amazing how many Motorola Talkabouts and other GMRS/FRS radios you see floating around . . . didn't manage to do any monitoring though . . .

GMRS/FRS

462.5500 GMRS
462.5625 FRS 1, GMRS
462.5750 GMRS
462.5875 FRS 2, GMRS
462.6000 GMRS
462.6125 FRS 3, GMRS
462.6250 GMRS
462.6375 FRS 4, GMRS
462.6500 GMRS
462.6625 FRS 5, GMRS
462.6750 GMRS
462.6875 FRS 6, GMRS
462.7000 GMRS
462.7125 FRS 7, GMRS
462.7250 GMRS
467.5500 GMRS
467.5625 FRS 8
467.5750 GMRS
467.5875 FRS 9
467.6000 GMRS
467.6125 FRS 10
467.6250 GMRS
467.6375 FRS 11
467.6500 GMRS
467.6625 FRS 12
467.6750 GMRS
467.6875 FRS 13
467.7000 GMRS
467.7125 FRS 14

467.7250 GMRS

Jeff

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1412. SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: AJH <ajh@megsinet.n-t>
Message-ID: <6ls02o$8dm$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 157340

Does anyone here have a text file on how to build an SCA decoder? I am very interested in this subject but cannot find a whole lot of information on it, any help would be appreciated.

1413. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35818419.13B4@parg.org>
Source record: 157341

jer wrote:
> I am getting sick of seeing the same thing on my screen every time I
> turn It on. Get a life>>>>>>>>>>jerr

WELL SAID!

We can only hpe that tihe guilty individuals will let this die soon!

1414. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3581846B.2A10@parg.org>
Source record: 157342

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> Johnny boy admires Bill, Johnny boy wants to be Bill, but Johnny boy
> can't be Billl as long as Bill is on the newsgroup.

What earthly reason would I admire BILL CHEEK for?

1415. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35818527.57C6@parg.org>
Source record: 157343

Doktor Tripp wrote:
> Pretty hard to ignore what are the overwhelming majority of the posts
> here unless your news reader is kill filter capable. I enjoy some of
> the info I get from this group and detest the mackey - cheek feud. I
> have started as of today, to add to my kill filter, the originators or
> perpetuators of these off topic, mackey-cheek wars postings.

How sad that things have come to this point. You will notice that
this week I offered BILL CHEEK TWO DIFFERENT truce options, yet
he apparently has declinde BOTH OFFERS.

1416. Re: Nascar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: D-Boy <videoinc@mail.msn.com>
Message-ID: <6ls1f0$pro@apple.telalink.net>
Source record: 157344
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

You can use any headset with the appropriate adapter. Most are standard, however and should plug right in.
You can obtain the latest freqs right at the track. Look for the RaceScan trailer and you may purchase it there for $5.
BTW, did you know you can listen to the scanner at home. Check out www.racingaudio.com . They broadcast the scan from certain drivers each race over the internet.

Hope this helps
D-Boy

DDT wrote in message <35817940.1298250@news2.penn.com>...
>Oh,
>And I forgot to mention frequencies.
>Where kind I find them?
>Thanks
>
>
>>Hi,
>>
>>I just got invited to go and see the Pocono race next Sunday.
>>I've seen post about scanners and Nascar before but payed little
>>attention to them.
>>
>>1. What kind of headset do I need?
>>2. Does my Radio need modified (phone jack) to hear the cars?
>>I have a Radio shack Pro-51.
>>Can I use this radio?
>>Thanks
>>
>>
>

1417. Re: Nascar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wild_bweidner_Bill@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <35878bfe.2571024@NEWS.MINDSPRING.COM>
Source record: 157345
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 18:54:36 GMT, nobody@nowhere.com (DDT) typed:

I believe goracing.com has freqs listed for everyone.

>Oh,
>And I forgot to mention frequencies.
>Where kind I find them?
>Thanks
>
>
>>Hi,
>>
>>I just got invited to go and see the Pocono race next Sunday.
>>I've seen post about scanners and Nascar before but payed little
>>attention to them.
>>
>>1. What kind of headset do I need?
>>2. Does my Radio need modified (phone jack) to hear the cars?
>>I have a Radio shack Pro-51.
>>Can I use this radio?
>>Thanks
>>
>>

Thanks,
Wild Bill

DAJ Racing
http://www.dalejarrettonline.com

remove the Wild Bill to reply by email

1418. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3581857E.CB6@parg.org>
Source record: 157346

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> That is because Bill kicked your butt off of the fido system and since

No, I couldn't care less about teh manipulation games that Cheek played
on FIdonet 3 years ago.

1419. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35818611.425B@parg.org>
Source record: 157347

George Vetterle wrote:
> Why don't you just ignore them?

How sad that this question has to be asked. This week I have offered Bill Cheek TWO DIFFERENT opting to end the problems here, but has has accepted neither of them. I guess we know who is really casing the problems now.

1420. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35818657.37FF@parg.org>
Source record: 157348

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> Johnny boy , those are all of your alais's, you know it and so does
> everyone else ont newsgroup.

How do you figure that?

1421. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35818BD8.6AF9@parg.org>
Source record: 157349

Bill Cheek wrote:

> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:
> > When Bill Cheek became the moderator of a echo on Fido, it
> > had about 200+ messages a day on that echo.
>
> You are a lying sack of weasel offal, Smacksie. The MOST
> that forum ever has was 50-60 posts a day.

Wrong Bill, it was one of the most active echos on fidonet BEFORE
you took modership!

> > THEN Bill initated his warped rules on the echo & kicked off
> > ANYONE who entered a post contrary to his opinion.
>
> You are a lying sack of donkey dung, Smacksie. I
> set straight rules about staying ON topic and
> against flames and emotions. And then I usually

Yea, YOU were the only one appowed to post flames! Sure, that's
really setting the rules "straight".

> YOU got three warnings, peckerwood.

Yea right BIll! Actually, you gave me 2 warning is ONE day, hardly
enough time me to get the warnings & respond. Remember, net lag on
was typically 3 days. Your other warning to me was 2 days apart
from the other 2 on the same day. You have lied again Bill.

> > Bill would also flame people on the echo but kick off others
> > for lessor behavior.
>
> You are a lying sack of dung beetle manure, Smacksie.
> I flamed no one and

Would you like me to post some of your flames from that echo
to prove YOU are lying on this issue?

> > After a few months of Bill Cheek as the moderator of
> > the echo traffic was about 10-20 posts per day.
>
> Wellllll here now, you may have hit on a tiny element
> of truth.

Thank you for being honest here Bill. See, it is not hard!

> Whaddya say about the deal,

Did you see my response to your deal?

What do YOU think, are we in agreement?

1422. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35818C4A.4030@parg.org>
Source record: 157350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> Johnny boy won't do it , he is too stupid to understand the simple
> concept of the terms you presented .
> He will find fault with it as usual, berate you and say how he whipped
> you on the newsgroup and now your crying uncle, just so he can keep his
> crap going .

Hardly true. Infact, I have offered Bill Cheek TWO different
plans of resolution & HE is the one who is stalling!

Perhaps YOU should ask BILL CHEEK why he is avoiding an agreement
to end the problems HERE?

1423. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35818CEA.20E5@parg.org>
Source record: 157351

Mr CB Guy wrote:

> WHAT???????
> Did you not read the challange I've been posting to you for 2 weeks to SHUT UP?
> Check Dejanews for the proof.

If that is a challenge that others, including Bill Cheek, could follow
then I would agree to it. If it is NOT, then apparently it is
not a practicle challenge!

1424. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35818DB0.30F8@parg.org>
Source record: 157352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:
> ....and YOU are being what?

He is acting like a mature, sensible, young adult. Perhaps you should
take lessons from him Bill?

1425. CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <35816136.85BD6D80@flash.net>
Source record: 157353

Why don't most scanners go down to the 27mgz? That way they could pick up CB radios.... I was just thinking how it would be nice to pick up the truckers on my scanner.....

I'm just curious as to why scanners dont start at around 20mgz?

1426. Re: WHO CAN MOD. MY PRO 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35818d2e.281081447@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157354

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yosfrqdave@webtv.net wrote:

> I just picked up a pro 43 w/ a date of 11a2
> I am introsted in a couple of mods.
> Adding 200 more ch. and 6 - 1000 mhz.
> Who can do this for me and price?
> And any feed back on these mods?
> The 6 - 1000mhz. is that w/ no brakes?
> How is reseption from 6 - 30mhz
> Any info would app.

Contact this guy ka0ssf@parg.org who has
one of the sharpest minds on scanners and
scanning that you'll ever see in all your
natural born days. That guy can crank
'em out blindfolded. He can do the cell
mod, too.

1427. Venta scanner ICOM IC-R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: asole@redestb.es
Message-ID: <3581921f.4708089@noticias.ibernet.es>
Source record: 157355

Hola,

vendo scanner ICOM IC-R10 totalmente nuevo, solamente tiene un dia de
uso, con embalajes originales, factura y garant�a.
Cubre de 500 KHz a 1300 MHz cont�nuos, en AM, FM, FM ancha, SSB y CW.
1000 memorias y canal de prioridad.

Precio: 50.000 ptas no negociables.

Interesados, enviar email.

Albert Sol�, EA3PB.
asole@redestb.es

1428. Standard HX381 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: will40@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <35819352.5522@mediaone.net>
Source record: 157356

Hi - Sorry if this post is in the wrong group but I figured somebody here can help. I have a Standard HX381 portable I have had for sometime now. I used to program it on an old IBM XT and have sinced upgraded to a Pentium 100MHZ laptop for my business. The problem I am having is that the radio takes a long time to read/program and finally gives up with an EEPROM error, sometimes it will get to 80%. I figure that maybe the computer is too fast to program the unit. Is there a utility to slow down the PC, if in fact this is the problem? I have since junked the old XT, so any help would be much appreciated!

1429. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35828dcc.281239461@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157357

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"D-Boy" <videoinc@mail.msn.com> wrote:

> Is it possible to connect three handheld scanners to a single antenna? I
> would like to be able to use three at once, each scanning different
> frequencies with the reception benefits of an external antenna. Any
> thoughts on this?

Sure. You can do it, so long as you don't
mind 1/3 of the original signal available
to each scanner.

A 67% loss is pretty hefty, but not the
end of the world, so long as you know what
you're up against.

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

1430. Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35838e38.281347457@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157358

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"AJH" <ajh@megsinet.n-t> wrote:

> Does anyone here have a text file on how to build an SCA decoder? I am very
> interested in this subject but cannot find a whole lot of information on it,
> any help would be appreciated.

It's pretty hard to put a detailed schematic
and other graphics in a text file.

SCA is well covered in various publications,
but, of course, they're not free.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1431. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6ls4cg$42v@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157359

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

<snip>
>Hardly, I have had numerous supporters here. Radio Fan, compania,
>ham-boner, hamguy, etc! YOU are the one who has had few, if any,
>supporters.

Name ONE of your alleged supporters who was using his real name
and not posting anonymously.

>You have never made any practicle challenge to me. Likewise, I have
>NEVER failed any practicle challenge posted to my on this NG.

How about the the guy that challenged you to shut up? That was about
as practical as you can get.

/Sal

1432. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6ls4ch$42v@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157360

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>A J O wrote:
>> Gee, it sure was refreshing to read this NG for a little bit of time
>> without this INANE BULLSHIT popping up !!!!!!!!!!
>
>hang in there, it's coming to an end. The individual who
>started the problems might have learned a lesson (at
>least we can hope).

What is this crap supposed to mean? Do you fancy yourself as
some sort of teacher of lessons? HA! HA! HA!

/Sal

1433. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsq36$nln@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I agree - his FAQs and stuff can be helpful - it is his "other side", that is a real pisser.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Kevin wrote in message <358d3b95.12270305@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>Don't let it eat at you. Cheek will never admit he's wrong. Even when, as in
>this case, he clearly is. He's been like this in these groups for years now.
>
>He just whips up a batch of mumbo-jumbo and touts "in my 900 years of
>experience....bla, bla, bla". All smoke and mirrors when he's dead wrong.
>Don't misunderstand me, the guy can offer some useful information (in his own
>arrogant way).
>
>He may drag this thread to its knees, and will be 100% wrong throughout the
>whole deal. He'll never admit it, though.
>
>We all know the flame war with Mackey will never die because Cheek won't let it
>die. His other, clearly antagonistic, post served absolutely no purpose other
>than to try and drag Mackey back out of the closet for another round of childish
>spewing.
>
>It's his M.O.
>
>"We now return you to our regularly scheduled program"

1434. Re: Pro64 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsq6l$ob6@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157362

Nope, no mods except a battery mod. Ask Cathy de Viney about this.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Bill Faulk wrote in message <6krk2b$2u2$1@news.inconnect.com>...
Are there any mods for the PRO 64, I just purchased one at a pawn shop
for 75 bucks, 400 channels, shouldn't waste, I have a Pro 43 and a PRO 2006
already modified.....

1435. Re: Encryption and Cellular jumps....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsqd0$p92@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157363

If you mean scrambling used on cordless phones, then just invert it back using the same inversion frequency. If you mean something else, the encryption unit basically changes some of the amplitude and pitch values (I'm just guessing here), which probably also messes up the receiver's detector, mixer, etc, which, in turn, produces an encrypted signal on a receiver not equipped to decrypt it.

Otherwise, let someone else reply if I didn't answer your enquiry.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Ryan wrote in message <3581DBE4.43025987@flash.net>...
>Anyone know where I could find info on Voice Encryption, and basically
>how the encryption works (the algorithm)? Or does anyone know where I
>can find out how to read and process the 'ticks' that occur before a
>cell phone changes to another frequency? I'm wondering because I am
>mediocre in programming, and would like (if possible) to write a
>software decoder... I would just hook the scanner up to the PC, and the
>sound would go through the PC, and I could have it decrypt voice
>decryption. Anyone know how the people who made the decrypter, got their
>info? They obviously know the algorithm. I have not talked with them,
>but I doubt they will give me the encryption method......
>
>THANKS!
>
>--
> |cemann
>
>
> email: mailto:ryanak@flash.net
>
>
> Those people who tell you not to take chances, they are all missing out
>on what life's about, you only live once so take hold of the chance,
>don't end up like others the same song and dance. ---James Hetfield
>
>

1436. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsqlk$qou@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157364

Most AOR, Icom, top-of-the-line Unidens, and other scanners start at 1KHz or 5KHz (usually under 1MHz). The only Radio Shack scanners that have done this are one portable from last year or the year before, I believe (25-1300 MHz), and the top-line base scanners.

However, these are usually at least $300 (the AOR 8000 list price is about $700), and I've seen other receivers for over $5000.

But, in direct answer to your question, I have no idea why they don't include it. It would be nice. I guess the makers want to cut costs...
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Ryan wrote in message <35816136.85BD6D80@flash.net>...
>Why don't most scanners go down to the 27mgz? That way they could pick
>up CB radios.... I was just thinking how it would be nice to pick up
>the truckers on my scanner.....
>
>I'm just curious as to why scanners dont start at around 20mgz?
>

1437. Re: House wiring antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6lsp98$d30$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 157365

Try the little plugin thingy from RadioShack. It doesn't pick up TV signals very well but it is fun to experiment with. It actually picks up cordless phones better than my stock antenna, but that is only at my house. The effectiveness of this plugin antenna thingy varies widely.

R.M.K. wrote in message <6lran5$u6$1@gail.ripco.com>...
>remember the ads for the device: "turn your house wiring into a giant TV
>antenna" ..?? Anybody know how to build one of these..??.. or if they are
>still sold, anywhere.??
>
>I want to monitor a cordless phone (~44MHz) base within my own house...
this
>by itself is no problem, but I want to reject the neighbor's phone, which
is
>nearly as close as the target phone... the signal will be strong enough, I
>thought the house wiring idea may just work..???
>
>Any comments are appreciated...
>
>Rob.

1438. Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsr16$sqp@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157366

If you've got Outlook Express (and I'll bet most of you do), you might want to utilise the Newsgroup Filters and just ignore the people who contribute to the anarchy of this group.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

1439. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsrtt$4n9@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If someone replies to my message and QUOTES the ENTIRE thread, including my reply, that in my mind constitutes a reply to me.

He has already apologized.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

rightwing@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<6lscqb$25d$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Will you please lighten up? The person replying to your message probably
>missed the original message from the person claiming to have hit a police
>officer with a laser pointer. Since they wanted to reply to this message
>without having to go back and find the original one, they simply replied to
>your message and included the quoted copy of the original. They never
>actually said that they were talking to YOU. This is a very common
occurrence
>on Usenet and most readers understand who these posters are referring to.
>
>Also, please be aware that these messages are not read by all users of the
>Internet. Only a small percentage chooses to read this group.
>
>In article <6lmvc9$ids@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>,
> jtonsa@worldnet.att.net wrote:
>>
>> I also wish and would appreciate you LOOKing AT WHO YOU ARE REPLYING TO,
>*BEFORE*
>> you make INSULTS! You just basically "humiliated" the wrong guy in front
of
>the
>> entire Internet.
>>
>> Nice going! How does it feel making enemies on your first post!?
>>
>> powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:
>>
>> > WOW and you lived to tell that story.. ?
>> >
>> > In Canada...You would have been charged with obstruction of Justice ,
>Weapons
>> > Dangerous , and you very well may have been shot on sight!
>> >
>> > Laser sights are not legal here , however thibgs get in Canada and we
have
>no

>> > control over it...
>> >
>> > However I can assure you if this story is real...The officers would
have /
>> > should have shot to kill on site.
>> >
>> > At night...with a laser sight on one of em...!! Gimme a break!
>> >
>> > I know from experiance that the worse nightmere an officer can face is
that
>a
>> > perosn is NOT welding a legal weapon , and that of a toy , and the
officer
>> > kills you only to find out it was a toy...this is uncommon , however
but
>does
>> > happen .
>> >
>> > No officer ever heads on patrol with the intent or much less the
thought of
>> > having to use deadly force, however given what I read , they would have
been
>> > justifyed by doing so in your case.
>> >
>> > Your lucky to be alive...did you buy a Lotto ticket , seeing as your
luck
>is
>> > rather good ?
>> >
>> > My god...its ppl like you who give the rest of us a bad name in RE to
>> > scanners!
>
>------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1440. Re: 900mhz digital phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsr44$2t@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157368

Any SST (digital spread spectrum) phone should work great. They're
expensive, but they really are pretty cool.

--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

yukon@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <6lsd12$29s$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Does anyone know of a 900mhz digital phone that can work in vicinity with
>other phones and have a decent range? (i.e. through buildings, etc)
>somewhere in the range of about 30 of these phones at a maximum range of
3000
>ft from base. Any suggestions would be appreciated...
>
>-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1441. Wanted to buy: Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Susan Misener <skam@tir.com>
Message-ID: <3581EEA0.6A3D34CC@tir.com>
Source record: 157369

Hi, my name is Marc and I'm looking to purchase a handheld scanner that will trunktrack and receive cell freq's too. Is this asking for to much out of currently available trunking scanner? I'm new to scanners and not really up on what I should be looking for. Any thoughts would be appreciated. Does anyone know anything about R.S. Pro-49. I know of one for sale and the asking price is $100. Thanks in advance for the help. Marc

1442. Wanted to buy: Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Susan Misener <skam@tir.com>
Message-ID: <3581EEF5.AA1FFF45@tir.com>
Source record: 157370

Hi, my name is Marc and I'm looking to purchase a handheld scanner that will trunktrack and receive cell freq's too. Is this asking for to much out of currently available trunking scanner? I'm new to scanners and not really up on what I should be looking for. Any thoughts would be appreciated. Does anyone know anything about R.S. Pro-49. I know of one for sale and the asking price is $100. Thanks in advance for the help. Marc

1443. Re: It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3581F6A9.10E07E13@netwit.com>
Source record: 157371

MAlexder wrote:

> >From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
>
> >Yeah, it may have come out all wrong, but he does have a point. Admit it, you
> like riling up our favorite goofball as much as the rest of us do.
>
> Well it's true I like riling him up, but he's beginning to bore me.
>

Yup, what's happening is that you've depleted your hostility supply. Have you noticed that irksome people in real life don't bother you so much recently? Funny how that works, isn't it? I'm at the same level. I'm gonna take a breather for while myself.

Sometimes people from my *real* life catch me playing "Whack the Mackey". They want to know "why?" All I can say is:

"We all sit around our tree house and Mackey insists on showing up and sitting in the middle of the ring. (Even though he knows many of us don't like him.)"

"Then someone reaches over and delivers a sound "WHACK" to the back of Mackey's head to set him a squawkin', which in turn, produces a group giggle. Mirth subsides; a little scanner this,...scanner that....yada-yada, and WHACK! He squawks. Group Giggle. scanner-scanner-scanner.....WHACK! Squawk. giggle."

"Some days the club is grumpy; 'WHACK!WHACK!WHACK!WHACK!-oops, almost forgot: Scanner. WHACK!WHACK!WHACK!WHACK!WHACK!'"

"Other days the club is occupied: SCANNER?!!?!? Scanner: scannerscannerscanner Squa..WHACK! scannerscannerscannerscanner...."

So that's what I tell people. From their reactions (or lack of) I've deduced that as amusing as playing "Whack the Mackey" is; my marketing leaves something to be desired.

1444. JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <35820527.201867A2@pacifier.com>
Source record: 157372

I want to join PARG. Would you welcome me as a member?

1445. Cobra SR-15 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: RDK <rdk@a.crl.com>
Message-ID: <358216E3.2560@a.crl.com>
Source record: 157373

found a old SR-15 Cobra handheld scanner, cleaned it up and recharged the battery and it worked will for a month or so, I now need to replace the rechargeable battery, who now owes The old Dynascan Corp of Chicago ILL? is it Bearcat? or Uniden? or Ace? please let me know should you have any data. Thanks in advance..

Richard

1446. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Mama <joemama@rarebird.net>
Message-ID: <358203FE.6371@rarebird.net>
Source record: 157374
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

George wrote:

> I can tell you that "unmarked" cruisers do slow traffic down big time.
> I know because I drive an old cruiser that looks like it's still in
> commission. No toys, just looks like it.

I drive an old Ford that looks kind of like the old big city detective units from the 1960's, and I have a snap-on police light bar with a couple of VHF 1/4 wave stingers mounted on it so I don't have to drill any holes in the roof. People sometimes do double takes on me as well.

> But....when I'm in the "cruiser", people go by me at 66mph (posted 65).
> I wonder why? About 20% of the time, I'll drive the 12 mile highway
> stretch without a single car passing me.
Sounds like you live in the San Francisco Bay Area too..

> It's also a riot to see people screaming up in the left lane and then
> jack on the brakes! I would feel absolutely no guilt if someone
> wrecked because of it. I'm doing nothing wrong by driving the posted
> limit. The fact that they slow down when they see my car is proof
> they know they are wrong by speeding in the first place.

Amen to that. I absolutely detest the way people drive, and the one-finger salute that they are so proficient in waving when you crimp their style. I caught one of them at a stop light one day, walked up and knocked on his window, and he wouldn't even look me in the face.

At least he wasn't carrying a .357 S&W..

> Yea....it's a game to me. Keeps me amused on the way to work and home.

I know the feeling..

-jm

1447. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bjoern.L" <Bjoern.L@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <6luld7cnj1@news.online.de>
Source record: 157375
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

>Das w�r's <grins>!
>... so ein Ungl�ck z.B. mu� sich dann halt bis 0:00 gedulden, bis man
>dann wieder "aus dem Vollen sch�pfen kann", hehe.
>Ich glaube, w�re die Frequenz der BOS-Pager Teledoof-Zust�ndigkeit,
>k�nnte ich mir solche b�sen Fallen des Herrn Sommer gut vorstellen,
>denn auch Rettungsdienste sind ja schlie�lich auch nur Cash-Cows, die
>man melken m��te, nicht Ronny?

Ganz genau! Wir von der Feuerwehr, oder eben auch die vom THW d�rften dann eine
monatliche Pauschale von 8 DM zahlen, nat�rlich im Abo. Wer dann seinen Vertrag vergi�t, steht pl�tzlich ohne Eins�tze dar und kann sich anschlie�end einen Spiegel-BOS-Pager zum Sonderpreis kaufen.

Aber gl�cklicherweise ist der Betrieb der BOS-Funkssysteme L�nder- und Gemeindensache und die stehen voll hinter der Ausbreitung solcher Systeme. Bei uns (in der N�he von Hamburg) jedenfalls bin ich in unserem 5.000-Einwohner-Dorf auf jedem Fleck erreichbar (mein Skyper �brigens auch!).

MfG,

Bjoern.L@gmx.net

1448. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9695$6165d8c0$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey Kevin,

Now I see ya, <PLONK> Now I don`t.

Charlie

Kevin <knots829@aol.com> wrote in article
<359853c3.18461717@ladder01.news.aol.com>...

<snip>

1449. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9695$f5f660e0$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager,

<plonk, plonk>

(kill-file keeps going & going & going...)

1450. Re: Bill Cheek - Radio Cybertwit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6ls4cj$42v@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157411

malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

>>Cheek - Radio Cybertwit
>>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
>
>>
>>hang in there, it's coming to an end. The individual who
>>started the problems might have learned a lesson (at
>>least we can hope).
>
>No, the individual started the problem is YOU and the individual to contibues
>to cause the problem is YOU. Note that the single person for the last two days
>who has engaged in flames, lying and his usual distasteful activities is YOU.
>It is laughable that you would even imply that the flaming was caused by
>someone else when YOU are not only the instigator but also insanely bent on
>perpetuating it.
>
>I am utterly convinced that you are in serious need of pyschiatric counseling.
>
>

Some guy offered to help him get professional help a while back but
Mackey didn't want no part of it and challenged the guy's credentials.
Like the saying goes: You can lead a horse's ass to water but you
can't make him drink.

/Sal

1451. Re: Nascar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Kozenwski <ilionkoz@dreamscape.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9646$237fd820$24b972ce@default>
Source record: 157412
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

try www.speedworld.com for frequencies

Wild Bill <Wild_bweidner_Bill@mindspring.com> wrote in article
<35878bfe.2571024@NEWS.MINDSPRING.COM>...
> On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 18:54:36 GMT, nobody@nowhere.com (DDT) typed:
>
>
> I believe goracing.com has freqs listed for everyone.
>
> >Oh,
> >And I forgot to mention frequencies.
> >Where kind I find them?
> >Thanks
> >
> >
> >>Hi,
> >>
> >>I just got invited to go and see the Pocono race next Sunday.
> >>I've seen post about scanners and Nascar before but payed little
> >>attention to them.
> >>
> >>1. What kind of headset do I need?
> >>2. Does my Radio need modified (phone jack) to hear the cars?
> >>I have a Radio shack Pro-51.
> >>Can I use this radio?
> >>Thanks
> >>
> >>
>
> Thanks,
> Wild Bill
>
> DAJ Racing
> http://www.dalejarrettonline.com
>
> remove the Wild Bill to reply by email
>

1452. Re: CTP Speech Inver. Descrambler !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <35819E34.231C@qsl.net>
Source record: 157413
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

X wrote:
>
> CTP -- Speech Inversion Descrambler's/ Signal Meter's/ Service
>
> Does CTP work in the Trunking System to decode the "private" mode
> transmissions ?
>
> http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
>
> Their site

I don't think so unless it uses speech inversion.
I just got one and it does a great job on all speech inverted signals!
Go to my site beow for a review.

--
Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
Or just click on the address below!
mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net
Web site at:
http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp

1453. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35819B98.25ED@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157414

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> jer wrote:
> > I am getting sick of seeing the same thing on my screen every time I
> > turn It on. Get a life>>>>>>>>>>jerr
>
> WELL SAID!
>
> We can only hpe that tihe guilty individuals will let this die soon!

Aww stuff it in a sock, bozo. You love it and you know it. You probably haven't got this much attention since the day your mother dropped you into a trash can.

Keep up the great work, you frigging moron....

-Phil

1454. Re: It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358199A8.168@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157415

MAlexder wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: It's Another Quackie Day
> >From: fred@banana.net (fred)
>
> >You stupid dumbass...don't you know you're contributing to the
> >problem? You need bitch-slapped.
>
> I can understand that your lack of education has hindered a misogynist like you
> from expressing yourself properly, but you should really work on at least
> getting your diploma. Perhaps then you can get a job with benefits that would
> enable you to seek counseling.

Mr. Alexander:
Yeah, it may have come out all wrong, but he does have a point. Admit it, you like riling up our favorite goofball as much as the rest of us do. It's fun to watch him piss and moan and cry for his mommy whilest we all kick him square in the poop-chute, huh?

I also had called for a cease-fire on this NG, but no one wanted to follow it, so to hell with it. Post about whatever you want, says I! This NG is just like TV or any other broadcast medium. You look at what you want to look at and 86 the rest of it. Simple. I kindof like this nonsense. It gives a Jerry Springer feel to the NG. ;-)

To all others reading this:

As long as Bill Cheek and John Mackey post to rec.radio.scanner, this is going to go on. And on, and on and on. You are not being forced to read these messages or post your own responses. So if you don't like it, goodbye, adios, sayonara, have a nice flight. We won't miss ya. And if you don't agree with me, then..... PTHTHTHTHTHTHTHTH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>:-P

-Phil

P.S. Too bad no one posts to alt.radio.scanner.flamefest. Then us Cheek vs. Mackey fans could watch the fun without having to sort through all those annoying scanner posts!! Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha!!!!

1455. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35819D1F.6EE@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157416

Doktor Tripp wrote:
> Pretty hard to ignore what are the overwhelming majority of the posts
> here unless your news reader is kill filter capable. I enjoy some of
> the info I get from this group and detest the mackey - cheek feud. I
> have started as of today, to add to my kill filter, the originators or
> perpetuators of these off topic, mackey-cheek wars postings.
>

Well, while you're at it, PLONK me in there too. Then stick your head back in the sand and say "I can't see it, so it doesn't exist" over and over and over.

In fact, following the policy you have outlined above, the only people you won't nuke are scanner newbies who don't know anything anyhow. Enjoy the view from behind your rose-colored glasses because, as you so correctly stated above, I could truly care less WHAT you do.

-Phil

1456. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <35819ddf.10675356@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 157417

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 11:11:19 -0600, Ryan <ryanak@flash.net> wrote:

>Why don't most scanners go down to the 27mgz? That way they could pick
>up CB radios.... I was just thinking how it would be nice to pick up
>the truckers on my scanner.....
>
>I'm just curious as to why scanners dont start at around 20mgz?
>

There are scanners that pick up CB.
Unidec BCT-7, 2500XLT, 3000XLT, 9000XLT.
Radio Shack has CB coverage in their top of the line home base
scanners. I'm not sure about the handhelds.
Also there are of scanners like AOR that will have CB band.

1457. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lsaprutl1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157418

In article <3580d56c.7256965@nntp.ix.netcom.com>,
geovet@ix.netcom.com (George Vetterle) wrote:
>
> On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 20:11:27 GMT, wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> >Why don't you guys grow up and get a life. Ya'll are act like 8 year olds.
> >Here is an idea, try ignoring each other and your world will go back to
> >normal. You weirdo's.
> >
>
> Why don't you just ignore them?
> It is kind of hard with them using the NG as a battle ground. Clogging up the
net. Why can't they use their private e-mail to wage the "who can whine the
loudest" contest.
> George L. Vetterle
> geovet@ix.netcom.com
> geovet@worldnet.att.net
>
> "Badges? We ain't got no badges!
> We don't need no badges! I don't
> have to show you any stinking badges!"-
> Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
> OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1458. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lsb74$vb0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157419

In article <19980612160425 00.MAA07006@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.
> >From: Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp)
>
> >If I reply with only a "PLONK", that means that you are history to me
> >as far as posting under your current nick name or handle goes.
>
> PLONK, PLONK, no PLONK back! I got you first, nah, nah. Look pal, just
killfile
> who ever it is that you don't want to read. Do you seriously think that anyone
> gives fig? Just do it and shut up about it. When you think you can get around
> to it, why don't you post something about scanning instead of whining?

Fuck you and your dumb ass plonk. Who is whining now. Think before you
type.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1459. Re: WHO CAN MOD. MY PRO 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lsbd2$vt5$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157420
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6lrqrm$m0u$1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>,
yosfrqdave@webtv.net wrote:
>
> I just picked up a pro 43 w/ a date of 11a2
> I am introsted in a couple of mods.
> Adding 200 more ch. and 6 - 1000 mhz.
> Who can do this for me and price?
> And any feed back on these mods?
> The 6 - 1000mhz. is that w/ no brakes?
> How is reseption from 6 - 30mhz
> Any info would app. THANKS
> DAVE
> yosfrqdave@webtv.net
>

My friend can e-mail him at cfgibbs@valdosta.peachnet.edu

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1460. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3581A0D4.3BBA@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157421

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> How sad that things have come to this point. You will notice that
> this week I offered BILL CHEEK TWO DIFFERENT truce options, yet
> he apparently has declinde BOTH OFFERS.

YOU ARE SO FULL OF CRAP!!!

What are you saying, that you're not man enough to ignore Bill when he says things like you were born without eyelids? How god-damned juvenile are you? So what if he calls you names?? Why don't you just chalk it up to him getting his jollies off of being a prick to you, and ignore the stupid flames? If you would try it just for a week, you'd realize you, all on your own, could have ended this b.s. years ago!!

Just be a man and admit the obvious truth: You like this crap, you thrive on it, and it sustains your only real reason for being here. Jesus, it's not the flaming that pisses me off, it's the fact that you are such a blatant friggin' hypocrite!

As to this Mackey vs Cheek crap, sure, I find it funny sometimes, but in my opinion, nothing is more immature than two grown men fighting over who has the last damned word. 4 billion years of evolution and we still carry on like little children:
"Did not!"
"Did too!"
"Did not!"
"Did too!"
"Did not!"
"Did too!"
"Did not!"
"Did too!"
"Did not!"
"Did too!"
"Did not!"
"Did too!"
"Did not!"
"Did too!"
...ad nauseum

If you're so much better than Cheek then prove it by acting like an adult for once. Otherwise, stop trying to force feed us your special brand of horsecrap, cause nobody is buying it. Your actions prove the opposite of everything you say.

-Phil

1461. Re: BC-895xlt any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3581A268.656B@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157422

Chris wrote:
>
> I'm a newbie and bought one because of reviews and ease of use. I don't
> trunk trak. One thing wrong is the earphone jack is going bad. I have to
> jiggle the plug to make it work :(I like it and enjoy using it. Id'e
> say I have a lot of fun but there is some pretty sad stuff I've
> overheard on that scanner.

Yeah, I'd say that's the only drawback to scanning - you hear almost
nothing but bad news...gets depressing sometimes. But then you hear the
call about the drunk woman running around naked talking to the bushes,
or the kid who hit the gas instead of the brakes and took out the fire
hydrant down the street (both calls I have personally heard) and it's
fun again.

Enjoy!
-Phil

1462. Re: CE- 232 vs. opto os 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061221583900.RAA07985@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157423

I have never tried the Opto board so I cannot compare them. The reason Ive never tried the Opto is I needed Autoprogramming (I travel alot). With the CE-232 I can download freqs for the city Im going to-Run them through APFtools or Magic and auto load them into one of 3 handhelds within minutes. Im a hobbyist and enjoy electronics and hacking radios. SO, the Ce 232 was not a problem for me to assemble. I had it in a radio and working in one day.(more like a few hours). The kit is so easy to assemble due to the way it is laid out. Also, Ive bought the kits during "sales" for as little as $139. Ive never seen the opto for under $199. Also the CE-232 can be assembled in a stand alone form so it can be used with numerous radios. I have 3 handhelds and 3 Pro 2006's which I can use with one CE-232. The scripting is an excellent function. It does take a little brain power to learn the scripting but what doesn't. When the Windows software for the CE-232 hits it will be the top system in my opinion. As it is the DOS version runs under WIN 95 in a DOS window. I read alot about the Opto and talked to the sales folks pushing it but for me the CE-232 won hands down. Ira Cooper

1463. Re: BC-895xlt any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061222333000.SAA16053@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157424

>From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>

[response deleted]

Hey Phil,

When we going to see the new and improved version of your TT program? Of all of them on the market, yours was the one of the few (maybe the only one) heading in the right direction.

1464. 900mhz digital phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: yukon@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lsd12$29s$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157425

Does anyone know of a 900mhz digital phone that can work in vicinity with other phones and have a decent range? (i.e. through buildings, etc) somewhere in the range of about 30 of these phones at a maximum range of 3000 ft from base. Any suggestions would be appreciated...

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1465. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rightwing@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lscqb$25d$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157426
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Will you please lighten up? The person replying to your message probably missed the original message from the person claiming to have hit a police officer with a laser pointer. Since they wanted to reply to this message without having to go back and find the original one, they simply replied to your message and included the quoted copy of the original. They never actually said that they were talking to YOU. This is a very common occurrence on Usenet and most readers understand who these posters are referring to.

Also, please be aware that these messages are not read by all users of the Internet. Only a small percentage chooses to read this group.

In article <6lmvc9$ids@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>,
jtonsa@worldnet.att.net wrote:
>
> I also wish and would appreciate you LOOKing AT WHO YOU ARE REPLYING TO,
BEFORE
> you make INSULTS! You just basically "humiliated" the wrong guy in front of
the
> entire Internet.
>
> Nice going! How does it feel making enemies on your first post!?
>
> powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:
>
> > WOW and you lived to tell that story.. ?
> >
> > In Canada...You would have been charged with obstruction of Justice ,
Weapons
> > Dangerous , and you very well may have been shot on sight!
> >
> > Laser sights are not legal here , however thibgs get in Canada and we have
no
> > control over it...
> >
> > However I can assure you if this story is real...The officers would have /
> > should have shot to kill on site.
> >
> > At night...with a laser sight on one of em...!! Gimme a break!
> >
> > I know from experiance that the worse nightmere an officer can face is that
a
> > perosn is NOT welding a legal weapon , and that of a toy , and the officer
> > kills you only to find out it was a toy...this is uncommon , however but
does

> > happen .
> >
> > No officer ever heads on patrol with the intent or much less the thought of
> > having to use deadly force, however given what I read , they would have been
> > justifyed by doing so in your case.
> >
> > Your lucky to be alive...did you buy a Lotto ticket , seeing as your luck
is
> > rather good ?
> >
> > My god...its ppl like you who give the rest of us a bad name in RE to
> > scanners!

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1466. Re: wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiobufff@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061222373300.SAA16518@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157427

About 15 years ago, before cell phones , when mobile phones were on VHF high band, there was a pimp in the city that had one in his car, there were only 2 mobile channels and i had an old 10 channel crystal scanner that i brought to work and put in the break room for everyone to listen to, wasn't long before everyone at work knew who all the johns were that were using his girls and when and where they were going to meet and all the going rates,
once a guy called and wanted two specific girls for the evening,
price was 100 per girl for the night, (very reasonable, considering this was 15 years ago) everyone at work knew the bar they were gonna meet at and half the place should up to see this guy pick up his two girls, they still talk about it to this day, happy scanning

1467. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Lanning <kll@ns.esn.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9652$85dd4f00$be0e9ace@kevin>
Source record: 157428

Why are there braille dots on the keypad of the drive-up ATM?

--
To reply by e-mail, remove the "ns." from my address.

Ryan <ryanak@flash.net> wrote in article <35816136.85BD6D80@flash.net>...
> Why don't most scanners go down to the 27mgz? That way they could pick
> up CB radios.... I was just thinking how it would be nice to pick up
> the truckers on my scanner.....
>
> I'm just curious as to why scanners dont start at around 20mgz?
>
>

1468. Re: It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061222394800.SAA12589@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157429

>From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>

>Yeah, it may have come out all wrong, but he does have a point. Admit it, you like riling up our favorite goofball as much as the rest of us do.

Well it's true I like riling him up, but he's beginning to bore me.

>I also had called for a cease-fire on this NG, but no one wanted to follow it, so to hell with it.

I had good intention of following your lead, but Quackerel posted such outrageous nonsense, and in mass quantity, I felt compelled to inject a dose of Prozac into the guy.

The solution is simple: All he has to do is post on-topic. That's all and I won't have anything to respond to.

And yeah, I agree with you: People who don't want to read this stuff don't have to. The fact that they do is because they want to.

1469. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35818737.39BEF16E@netwit.com>
Source record: 157430

Doktor Tripp wrote:

>>If I reply with only a "PLONK", that means that you are history to me
>>as far as posting under your current nick name or handle goes.

> You that have been PLONKED in my kill filter may or may not really
> care that this has happened. Doesn't matter to me as you will be
> invisible to me for good. I realize that no one is going to make you
> change your personalities or posting habits as I have never seen it
> done in my 3.5 years on Usenet. I am however thankful to the authors
> of the Agent news reader for providing a kill filter for those that
> are incorrigible or unwilling to post within the realm of news group
> topic.
>
> DT

May I have my "PLONK" now please?

(thankyouverymuch).

1470. Best RS Outdoor Antenna ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Snelgrove <steelgtr@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6lscn1$sn3@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157431
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,
What would be the best scanner antenna RS currently offers? Prefer peak performance in the 40-50mhz range <G>. How about the one with 50 feet of coax for $30-40?

Thanks
Bob

1471. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <35848ceb.7004743@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 157432

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

>In article <3585a5d3.221852547@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
>> Well......he's obscessed.....
>
>Please, Bill Cheek..... if you must continue this stuff, at least
>learn to spell, genius....

I think he meant "abscessed." Probably comes from all that genital
stuff he keeps talking about.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1472. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <35838b4b.6589430@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 157433

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:
>
>> When Bill Cheek became the moderator of a echo on Fido, it
>> had about 200+ messages a day on that echo.
>
>You are a lying sack of weasel offal, Smacksie. The MOST
>that forum ever has was 50-60 posts a day.

Wrong.

>> THEN Bill initated his warped rules on the echo & kicked off
>> ANYONE who entered a post contrary to his opinion.
>
>You are a lying sack of donkey dung, Smacksie. I
>set straight rules about staying ON topic and
>against flames and emotions. And then I usually
>issued two warnings or more before giving 'em
>the Size #13EE crosswise on their patooties.
>YOU got three warnings, peckerwood.
>
>> Bill would also flame people on the echo but kick off others
>> for lessor behavior.
>
>You are a lying sack of dung beetle manure, Smacksie.
>I flamed no one and I kicked off ONLY people like YOU.
>People who had no interest in scanners and scanning.
>People who just had to be insultory and inflammatory.
>Like I said......people like you.

I was there, Bill. I saw what happened. Mackey has it basically
correct, although he adds his usual hyperbole to the tale. Fact is,
you abused your power. Anybody who's followed your posting habits
here will have no trouble believing that you did throw your weight
around in FIDO once you got yourself appointed moderator/dictator of
that conference.

>So here, even though there was an aspect of truth in what
>you said, your circular logic failed to communicate the
>WHOLE truth. You sure have a way of warping things to
>suit your own agenda, you zit-faced, bucktoothed nincompoop!

And you don't?

>Whaddya say about the deal, shitferbrains?

Whaddya say you just forget Mackey and your other detractors and just stick to the topic? That would take gonads, willpower, and integrity, and would show you to be the better man, wouldn't it?

The fact is that you won't do this, because you thrive on controversy and attention. If it weren't for Mackey, you'd find some other person on which to concentrate your attacks.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1473. Re: Mackey's eyelids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <358289f2.6243512@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 157434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net> wrote:

>You know what, just STOP! If I see any more of this FROM ANYONE, I will
>be reporting them to the ISP. These are off-topic messages that have
>been continuing for months. This is a SCANNER GROUP, not a FLAME GROUP!

Don't be ridiculous. I have NO respect for Bill Cheek and his antics,
but reporting people to their ISPs for the childish behavior you see
here is just plain silly. Nobody's forcing you to read any of it.
Get a newsreader with good filters, and you won't have to see any of
Cheek, Mackey, or any of those who get cybergasms by flaming them.
You won't even have to read my posts unless you want to.

What happens when somebody complains to YOUR ISP about something YOU
wrote?

>I cannot even begin to believe the immaturity shown by you ALL in this
>newsgroup.

Quoting back the original drivel isn't very mature, either.

>Bill Cheek wrote:

[sophomoric sludge snipped]
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1474. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35818A46.DA898EA3@netwit.com>
Source record: 157435

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> How sad that this question has to be asked. This week I have
> offered Bill Cheek TWO DIFFERENT opting to end the problems
> here, but has has accepted neither of them. I guess we know
> who is really casing the problems now.

Seriously, what about that thingy Bill suggested about you and he each pretending the other guy doesn't exist? Isn't that an acceptable arrangement? Why not John?

1475. Bearcat 220 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: hhowell@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lsbrc$9k5@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157436

I have a Bearcat 220 scanner that I bought new quite a few years ago.
It still works fine. Does anyone know if there were ever any reviews
on it? I knew nothing about scanners when I bought it so I don't know
if it was considered a good unit or not.

1476. Re: FS-AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: CyberBog <cyberbog@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <35810315.1AD54A4F@earthlink.com>
Source record: 157437

Damn!!!

I just got my brand new AR-8000 (unblocked) scanner from Bandercom for $410 including shipping and handling. It took about a month to get here, but for $410 you can't beat that.

brian_parry@hotmail.com wrote:

> In article <1998060106584200.CAA26236@ladder03.news.aol.com>,
> bn117ls@aol.com (Bn117ls) wrote:
> >
> > I have a slightly used AR8000. <1year old. The radio has 1000 channels and is a
> > handheld. Computer adaptable. It is the cadillac of radio scanners.E-mail me
> > if interested. Price is $950.00
> >
>
> Oh please. These can be gotten for under $650
>
> ------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1477. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3581b028.290036752@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157438

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:

> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> > How sad that things have come to this point. You will notice that
> > this week I offered BILL CHEEK TWO DIFFERENT truce options, yet
> > he apparently has declinde BOTH OFFERS.
>
> YOU ARE SO FULL OF CRAP!!!
>
> What are you saying, that you're not man enough to ignore Bill when he
> says things like you were born without eyelids?

Problem is.....the truth hurts. <g>

> How god-damned juvenile
> are you? So what if he calls you names??

All I strenuously dislike is being called "late for dinner".
That annoys the hell outa me.

> Why don't you just chalk it up
> to him getting his jollies off of being a prick to you,

If he didn't like it so much, I wouldn't either. But it's
my very existence that trips his trigger and fluffs his
skirts. Geez, I'm not quite ready to check out just yet....

> and ignore the
> stupid flames? If you would try it just for a week, you'd realize you,
> all on your own, could have ended this b.s. years ago!!

He could've just never started it either. And then when it
ended as it has several times, he could've not resumed it.

> Just be a man and admit the obvious truth:

Now that's not fair. He or "it" ain't plumbed right....

> You like this crap, you
> thrive on it, and it sustains your only real reason for being here.
> Jesus, it's not the flaming that pisses me off, it's the fact that you
> are such a blatant friggin' hypocrite!

Yeah, like he's the great peacemaker around here. Sheesh!

> As to this Mackey vs Cheek crap, sure, I find it funny sometimes, but in
> my opinion, nothing is more immature than two grown men fighting over
> who has the last damned word. 4 billion years of evolution and we still
> carry on like little children:
> "Did not!"
> "Did too!"

I don't give a hang for who has the last word. I've
twitted him before and I twitted him again last night.
He'll have the last word ten times....you watch. And
then he'll catch on and shutup for a little while. If
things go well as they were, then he will sign on as
some newbie and take a pot shot at me.....and then cry
"foul" when I hose the dude back. That's Smacksie's
modus operandi.

> If you're so much better than Cheek then prove it

I'd love to see that....on any turf, including his own.
Heh heh heh heh.....

> by acting like an adult for once.

Again, no fair. You have to BE an adult before you
can act like one and get away with it.

> Otherwise, stop trying to force feed us your special
> brand of horsecrap, cause nobody is buying it. Your actions prove the
> opposite of everything you say.

Well, he's on his own again now. I twit listed the
dingleberry, and won't be seeing his posts. And in a
day or two when I launch another intense project, I'll
killfile all the known flame threads, too. More or less
like you told that Gurk dude....see no evil, hear no
evil, and speak no evil......

But that won't end it. You KNOW it won't. The main
reason being I booted Mackey's ass off FidoNet for the
same crap he pulls here.....and now he's duty and honor-
bound to even the score somehow.

But he hasn't been able to find the right combination.
So he grasps at straws........and shoots at anything
that moves hoping it's me.

As a side note, Smacksie reminds me of an old Tarzan
Doll. You know, wind 'im up and pitch 'im in the
"john" and watch 'im wrestle a turd. He's easier to
wind up than those dolls, though.......

Anyway.....I'm digging into another project and don't have
time for his shit anymore. He's a zero. Now's let's see
if he can forget all about me.

Bet?

:-)

1478. Re: MACKEY: Do you live in OR or IOWA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <moressic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3581BA93.882D91A7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 157439

Yeah, I guess your right. I have my correct address on my callsign, but I don't ever give my call out on the internet.

Thanks for the info..

TheLibNet wrote:

> This is not always accurate. QRZ lists the mailing address where the licensee
> elects to receive official correspondence from the FCC. In these days of
> stalkers, harrassers, and other assorted wierdos, a wise person uses a
> different address from his actual physical address.

1479. Re: Amusement Park Freqs (Was Cedar Point, Ohio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3581B9FB.303C@prodigy.net>
Source record: 157440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

More amusement park frequencies at
http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/home.html

1480. Re: Mackey's eyelids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358193D4.B14BE155@netwit.com>
Source record: 157441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ryan Faricy wrote:

> You know what, just STOP! If I see any more of this FROM ANYONE, I
> will
> be reporting them to the ISP. These are off-topic messages that have
> been continuing for months. This is a SCANNER GROUP, not a FLAME
> GROUP!
>
> I cannot even begin to believe the immaturity shown by you ALL in this
>
> newsgroup.

When your newsreader downloads the daily traffic, just avoid clicking on subject lines that appear to contain material you find distasteful. rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated NG and fairly popular. Flames and such are just a part of the price and and content of a unmoderated recreational NG.

Do you really think you'll succeed in pulling the plug on every poster you find distasteful?

Wouldn't it be just easier not to read posts you find distasteful?

I find the scanner related posts interesting and informative. I've used information from this group to enhance my hobby. But I'll let you in on a little secret. Some scanner related posts I don't read. That's a right, there's a couple of scanner related threads I don't find interesting. So sometimes I just let my little mouse cursor drift right over subject line. (TIP: You can actually move your mouse cursor over the distasteful subject line, and it won't hurt you. It's completely harmless. But if you "click" on it; and open the post (shudder) well.....who knows what will happen to you.)

But I'm concerned about this part of your post:

>>If I see any more of this FROM ANYONE, I will
>>be reporting them to the ISP. These are off-topic messages that have
>>been continuing for months. This is a SCANNER GROUP, not a FLAME
GROUP!

Perhaps you're used to a great deal of control in your "real" life; sock and underwear drawer in perfect order and all that. I have to warn you; the USENET is not a healthy place "champions of virtue who cross all their "t"'s and dot all their "i"s. You just might suffer a nervous break-down. Add that to your compulsion to "click" on distasteful messages no matter what their devastating effect they have on you and

.....well....that's a recipe for an unhappy life my friend.

Perhaps the plug you pull should be your own.

1481. Re: Using An Outdoor Antenna On A Portable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3583af00.504446736@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157442

On Mon, 01 Jun 1998 05:58:38 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
wrote:

>"James Tonsager" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>> Owning one is NOT illegal (I've looked into it - I own one) - it is only
>> illegal when you use it to intentionally listen to cellular or cordless
>> phone frequencies.
>
>Yeah, well owning a CB linear amplifier isn't illegal
>either. But if one is found in your possession, the
>FCC will presume you to have used it, leaving you to
>suck rocks and whistle "Dixie".

This is not a scanner. Basically in order to lose your scanner
you have to do two things:

1. Brag to the FCC/law enforcement that you listen to phones with
your equipment.

2. Anti-mobile scanner laws. (State dependent)

And I doubt most people on this group have done #1. I feel bad for
the victims of #2.....

-Mike

1482. Help needed for tape recorder interface via scan control pin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <358154B5.1431@blarg.net>
Source record: 157443
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I need some help with a tape recorder interface I'm building.
I'm trying to add a small jack to my scanner that'll plug
directly into a tape recorder's "remote" jack via a cable
that'll automatically start the tape recorder when the squelch
is broken and will stop it when the transmission stops.

If I use a RS 42-2152 dubbing cable, this'll condense a whole
night's, or even day's, scanning into just a few minutes. It'll
also have other useful purposes.

I know it has to do with the Scan Control pin, but how do I go
about doing this? I'm looking into it closing a relay when the
pin goes active so that the two devices will remain isolated.

I plan on doing this mod to several scanners, so general
instructions about how to do it with different battery sources,
different pins, etc., would be more than helpful for me and a
couple of friends.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

1483. Re: Nascar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: BK <bpkramer@fastinet.net>
Message-ID: <3581c993.0@news.fastinet.net>
Source record: 157444
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

You have to have a good headset to hear anything, you can buy a good pair at the track for around 65 bucks.

Bruce
bkca@hotmail.com

1484. Florida, The State of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: R J <randyj@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <3581C132.2BF498E1@mpinet.net>
Source record: 157445

The State of Florida DIGITAL VOICE trunk system in the Orlando area.

It has been digital in the Miami area and is being installed throughout Florida.

Frequencies in MHz (minus 45 MHz input) Orlando area:

866.9375 .9625
867.4375 .4625 .9375 .9625
868.4375 sometimes trunk data
868.9375 sometimes trunk data

Possible future frequencies in the Orlando area:

866.4375 .4500 .4625 .4750 .4875 .9500 .9750 .9875
867.4500 .4750 .4875 .9875
868.4625 .4750 .4875 .9500 .9625 .9750 .9875

Trunk IDs (these are new and changing as they add services):
1024
1059
1027 my have changed by now
1088 my have changed by now
2376
14944
18368
18432

There is the Florida Sunshine open-Government law and no reason to consider public-safety radio traffic private from us citizens.

Especially State, County, or local Government public-safety services.

The Newer digital voice standards will eventually replace the old analog voice public-safety systems.

With knowledge of Federal Government communications before the FBI went digital in Memphis, TN in 1981 and remodernized their system in 1989 throughout Florida, it is understood why the FBI and other Federal agencies digital voice, in some cases, should be encrypted and suppose to be private.

Production of digital voice scanners should be allowed for sale to the public.

The United States of America almost 222 years old.

1485. Re: Florida, The State of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lblant1@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <6lsk7s$rs@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157446

Aww...boo hoo. There are good reason some agencies to move to APCO-25 digital system. Yes, I know the system is over budget, and I know it has some problems......but quit complaining and go out and buy an ASTRO or XTS-3000

R J wrote in message <3581C132.2BF498E1@mpinet.net>...
>The State of Florida DIGITAL VOICE trunk system in the Orlando area.
>
>It has been digital in the Miami area and is being installed throughout
>Florida.
>
>Frequencies in MHz (minus 45 MHz input) Orlando area:
>
>866.9375 .9625
>867.4375 .4625 .9375 .9625
>868.4375 sometimes trunk data
>868.9375 sometimes trunk data
>
>Possible future frequencies in the Orlando area:
>
>866.4375 .4500 .4625 .4750 .4875 .9500 .9750 .9875
>867.4500 .4750 .4875 .9875
>868.4625 .4750 .4875 .9500 .9625 .9750 .9875
>
>Trunk IDs (these are new and changing as they add services):
> 1024
> 1059
> 1027 my have changed by now
> 1088 my have changed by now
> 2376
>14944
>18368
>18432
>
>There is the Florida Sunshine open-Government law and no reason to
>consider public-safety radio traffic private from us citizens.
>
>Especially State, County, or local Government public-safety services.
>
>The Newer digital voice standards will eventually replace the old analog
>voice public-safety systems.
>
>With knowledge of Federal Government communications before the FBI went
>digital in Memphis, TN in 1981 and remodernized their system in 1989

>throughout Florida, it is understood why the FBI and other Federal
>agencies digital voice, in some cases, should be encrypted and suppose
>to be private.
>
>Production of digital voice scanners should be allowed for sale to the
>public.
>
>The United States of America almost 222 years old.
>
>

1486. Re: Mackey and Sternlight and Giwer, OH MY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3581D6A4.59B0@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157447

Doktor Tripp wrote:
>
> On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 23:50:18 GMT, inigo@montoya.net (D. P. Roberts)
> pedantically proclaimed in the following unperspicuous peroration to
> all:
>
> >ackey and Sternlight and Giwer, OH MY!
>
> PLONK
>
> DT

PLONK, PLONK, PLONK!!!!

Oh that's right, you can't read this. Too bad. Maybe if you could you'd know how much of a turd you look like with your stupid PLONKing!

Keep it up bozo. The whole NG is laughing at your expense and YOU DON'T EVEN KNOW IT!!! HAAAAAA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA!!!!!

-Phil

1487. Scanners for Sale or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mgdforty@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6lskhn$n56$1@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157448
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

#1 Radio Shack 2045 - 200ch. memory base/mobile Asking $180 dollars or trade for 2meter or 220 Ham Radios

#2 Radio Shack 2035 - 1100ch. memory Base/mobile Asking $260 dollars OBO or trade for 2meter or 220 Ham Radios

#3 Radio Shack 2020 - 20ch memory Base/mobile Asking $80 dollars OBO or trade for 2meter or 220 Ham Radios

#4 Uniden SC-150 BearCat - 100ch memory HandHeld Asking $140 dollars OBO or trade for 2 meter or 220 Ham Radios

#5 Universal M-450 Decoder Asking $350 dollars OBO or trade for Mobile 2 meter or 220 Ham Radio
Thank you
mgdforty@webtv.net

1488. Re: BC895XLT: Question..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3581DBDC.76D4@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157449

Scott wrote:
>
> Is this scanner good for the cordless phone freqs, all 25 of them? Thats
> is if somebody really wanted to hear things like that.. Send it e-mail
> if you like.. gscott@alaska.net Thanks in advance..

Works fine and dandy for me! All the ranges are there except Cell.
-Phil

1489. Re: BC-895xlt any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3581DB66.65EB@hacgate.com>
Source record: 157450

MAlexder wrote:
>
> >From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
>
> [response deleted]
>
> Hey Phil,
>
> When we going to see the new and improved version of your TT program? Of all of
> them on the market, yours was the one of the few (maybe the only one) heading
> in the right direction.

I am still testing it. I want to make sure I get ALL the bugs out this
time. I also need to get my own site up for it. The site will contain
all kinds of scanner stuff: a frequency database for California,
freeware programs, upcoming events and so on. I'm still shopping for an
ISP who can run Active Server Scripts at a decent monthly rate.

When it's available, this NG will be the FIRST to know. Did I mention
the best part?

-> The program is gonna be FREE!!! <-

Users will be on their own for a cable, since Enterdev handled that.
They're easy enough for even a moron like Emilio Goldstein to make. And
as with any software, it ain't gonna run on all machines. You wouldn't
believe the configurations some people run.... but since it is free,
this shouldn't be a problem. If you have a normal PC with an OPEN com
port(No modems or printers or mice or anything hooked up to the port,
folks), Win95 or NT (Maybe 98, I don't have it so I can't test) you
should be OK to run it.

And if you don't have Win95 or NT, don't despair - the DOS version will
be a reality at or around the same time. Interestingly enough, the DOS
version seems faster and more reliable than the Win95 version! Hmmph!
says I.

I should have the site up by the end of the month, or maybe early July.
Details to follow soon...

-Phil

1490. Encryption and Cellular jumps....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3581DBE4.43025987@flash.net>
Source record: 157451

Anyone know where I could find info on Voice Encryption, and basically how the encryption works (the algorithm)? Or does anyone know where I can find out how to read and process the 'ticks' that occur before a cell phone changes to another frequency? I'm wondering because I am mediocre in programming, and would like (if possible) to write a software decoder... I would just hook the scanner up to the PC, and the sound would go through the PC, and I could have it decrypt voice decryption. Anyone know how the people who made the decrypter, got their info? They obviously know the algorithm. I have not talked with them, but I doubt they will give me the encryption method......

THANKS!

--
|cemann

email: mailto:ryanak@flash.net

Those people who tell you not to take chances, they are all missing out on what life's about, you only live once so take hold of the chance, don't end up like others the same song and dance. ---James Hetfield

1491. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsp25$hji@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157452

No, MANY of your flaming posts are NOT on-topic. You are trying to pick a fight with "Mackey".

And frankly, I don't care if I am on your "twit list" - your responses aren't worth reading anyway, nor should be dignified with a response.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Bill Cheek wrote in message <358845f8.262848691@news2.cts.com>...
>Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net> wrote:
>
>> You will soon learn to dislike him - he is a constant off-topic flaming
>> poster (aka, JERK) in all radio newsgroups.
>
>Pharisee, in another thread, you popped off:
>
> > You know what, just STOP! If I see any more of this FROM ANYONE, I will
> > be reporting them to the ISP. These are off-topic messages that have
> > been continuing for months. This is a SCANNER GROUP, not a FLAME GROUP!
>
> > I cannot even begin to believe the immaturity shown by you ALL in this
> > newsgroup.
>
>Where is your maturity? This thread WAS an on-topic
>scanner subject, and from nowhere, you swished in to
>pitch a flame of your own.
>
>Why?
>
>Back on my Twit List you go, son. Permanent this time.
>

1492. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsp39$hok@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157453

You haven't seen him yet, then have you. Maybe I will forward you a few messages tonight.

--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Mike Stanton wrote in message <01bd9662$747618e0$83ac8ed1@ycxftsuo>...
>Now that's a load o' bunk! Mr. Cheek has helped me personally from
>questions I have posted here. What is the real cause of your contempt for
>Bill Cheek???
>
>Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net> wrote in article
><6lrc15$1o9@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>> You will soon learn to dislike him - he is a constant off-topic flaming
>>

1493. Re: Winradio Sales in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3581E7E7.66787D0B@ibm.net>
Source record: 157454

HH357 wrote:
> I will be visiting Canada In the near future.
>
> Can anyone give me a few names and/or addresses of companies that sell
> Winradios there?

Howard,
It would appear that Atlantic Ham Radio, Durham Radio and
TEXPRO sell WiNRADiO. See here for more details :

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/DEALERS.HTM

For another resource, why not go to the WiNRADiO web site
and see their dealers listings?

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

1494. Re: directional antenna for cordless.??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles K. Fogle" <cfogle@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3582037D.A2E6AD04@sccoast.net>
Source record: 157455

200' is well within the range of the base transmitter. A directional or beam antenna will provide gain which you do not need at such a close range. filters are normally used to reject signals. If you are using a scanner with an outside antenna, changing to a whip or rubber duck would reduce the reception range and possibly help keep the other phones from being received. Another method (for information only, I do not recommend it) is to tap the phone with a transmitter which transmit on another frequency outside the cordless phone band and monitor that frequency. Kits for this are readily available.
Charlie

1495. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lspi3$kh8@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

THANK YOU! Mackey is on my side, now.

Mr. Mackey understands, at least, how stupid you (Mr. Cheek) are acting. Unfortunately, it will be a day when beetles become computers before he will take a lesson from whom Bill thinks to be inferior. He should check himself on being inferior...

Again, thank you!
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote in message <35818DB0.30F8@parg.org>...
>Bill Cheek wrote:
>> ....and YOU are being what?
>
>He is acting like a mature, sensible, young adult. Perhaps you should
>take lessons from him Bill?
>
>

1496. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lspkk$l2c@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My excuse? I'm too lazy. I only snip text when necessary.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

D. P. Roberts wrote in message <35883754.1926548@news.swbell.net>...
>>To add to my previous message, "tout" means "all", and "suite" means
>>"next", so NO, the literal or direct translation would not be "post
>>haste" -- it would be "all next". "Post haste" is the conversational or
>>idiomatic translation. Nevertheless, this phrase IS French, not English.
>
>So tell us why you haven't learned how to use your editor, and why you
quote
>the entire message in your reply?

1497. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsplh$l9l@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Exactly. She got it.

--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Carey L. Huff wrote in message <3581c322.46078457@news.mindspring.com>...
>inigo@montoya.net (D. P. Roberts) wrote:
>
>>
>>So tell us why you haven't learned how to use your editor, and why you
quote
>>the entire message in your reply?
>
>
>You included the entire message in your reply when the thread begins
>to get extremely long and starts to branch into several "strands" (?).
>It makes it easier for people to see exactly what post you are
>following up to.

1498. Re: Mackey's eyelids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsprb$me1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>[sophomoric sludge snipped]

Hey, I'll be a sophomore next year!! >:-(

You do have some good points about news filters, but quoting the "original drivel" is helpful, especially when one forgets what a reply was actually replying *to*.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Glen Quarnstrom wrote in message <358289f2.6243512@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net> wrote:
>
>>You know what, just STOP! If I see any more of this FROM ANYONE, I will
>>be reporting them to the ISP. These are off-topic messages that have
>>been continuing for months. This is a SCANNER GROUP, not a FLAME GROUP!
>
>Don't be ridiculous. I have NO respect for Bill Cheek and his antics,
>but reporting people to their ISPs for the childish behavior you see
>here is just plain silly. Nobody's forcing you to read any of it.
>Get a newsreader with good filters, and you won't have to see any of
>Cheek, Mackey, or any of those who get cybergasms by flaming them.
>You won't even have to read my posts unless you want to.
>
>What happens when somebody complains to YOUR ISP about something YOU
>wrote?
>
>>I cannot even begin to believe the immaturity shown by you ALL in this
>>newsgroup.
>
>Quoting back the original drivel isn't very mature, either.
>
>>Bill Cheek wrote:
>[sophomoric sludge snipped]

1499. Re: Mackey's eyelids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lspsq$mnf@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157460

Ah, a good point. I will cancel my "termination of ISP" message.

--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

MAlexder wrote in message
<1998061214255400.KAA28222@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>>Subject: Re: Mackey's eyelids
>>From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
>>Date: Fri, Jun 12, 1998 09:34 EDT
>>Message-id: <6lrakc$9l@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
>>
>>You know what, just STOP! If I see any more of this FROM ANYONE, I will
>>be reporting them to the ISP. These are off-topic messages that have
>>been continuing for months. This is a SCANNER GROUP, not a FLAME GROUP!
>
>Ryan, you just finished flaming Cheek (in response to his flaming you). Why
not
>start by setting a good example?
>

1500. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: supton@paranet.com
Message-ID: <supton-1106982353290001@pdx43-a48-22.teleport.com>
Source record: 157461

In article <3585a5d3.221852547@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:

> Well......he's obscessed.....

Please, Bill Cheek..... if you must continue this stuff, at least
learn to spell, genius....

1501. Re: speech inverters... your comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3594c1db.443737961@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157462

On Tue, 02 Jun 1998 08:31:34 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
wrote:

>"D.B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net> wrote:
>
>> I just ordered a speech inverter *unscrambler*....Looking for comments
>> and sugestions on any and all.....whats your opinion and which do you
>> think is the best?
>> When I get mine, I will post my findings here:
>> http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/scramble.htm
>> Please send me your findings.
>
>Worthless as mammary glands on a boar hog and
>hips on a snake and hair on a fish.
>
>No agencies except maybe a few hicks in the sticks
>use speech inversion anymore.
>

Who really implied that he was going to use it to listen to cops?
There are lots of uses for a speech inverter.... there are some hams
on 80 meters using frequency inversion.... even though it is illegal
for them to do so. I would love to be able to tune them in....

-Mike

1502. Re: CE- 232 vs. opto os 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3583c77a.230468346@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157463

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net> wrote:

> which is the better system and why?

The Opto-456 is the better because it requires
little or no special skills to install and
setup and get operating. And 3rd party support
for it is pretty strong. And the stuff that it
does do is pretty awesome.

The CE-232 is the better, in the hands of those
who know a soldering iron from a tire iron, and
who know their way around a PC. Why? Because
the CE-232 is open-ended....meaning the User can
define WHAT he wants to do with it and then
create automated methods of doing it. One small
example is that the CE-232 can find ALL the "birdies"
in the scanner, and then automatically "reject"
them when in the SEARCH mode so's you never get
locked up on a birdie.

Not only that, but the CE-232 can AutoProgram the
scanner's memory banks after which the scanner can
run quite normally with or without the computer.
The OS-456 does not program the scanner's memory, and
otherwise, it offers nothing of value unless the PC
is attached and the software running.

Not only that, but the CE-232 has generic inputs and
outputs that can be made to do anything within wide
limits of reason. Ferinstance, its outputs could
control a burglar alarm and trigger a phone dialer or
key a transmitter based on what happens at the inputs.
Right....this has nothing to do with scanning, but
just as easily, it could. Hell, it could even start
your car in the morning based on a signal you send
it from the house via say a handitalkie.

Say there's a frequency that gets very little traffic
which makes it like watching paint dry to sit there and
monitor it. Well, you can set it up and go away, and
then when a "hit" comes along, an output can be triggered

to set off an alarm; or key a transmitter to send a notification
to you at a remote distance; or it could even shut itself
down in the event of surges or lightning activity.

In other words, if you can imagine something within
reason, then the CE-232 will let you make a way for
it to happen. It's open-ended. You could even make
a simple CdS sensor circuit that could make the scanner
do different things, depending on whether it's daytime
or night time.

Not only that, but the CE-232 has eight 8-bit Analog-to-
Digital converters on board....one of which can makes a
great (AutoLoggable) S-meter; another of which makes a
superb center-tune frequency error meter; and that leave
six more to your wildest imagination. In this case, the
A/D converters are computer-measured voltmeters that can
be defined as the operator wishes.

The list goes on and on..... BUT the CE-232 definitely
requires some operator involvement in the initial
construction, setup, and operation. So it's not for
everyone.

Also, FWIW, the OS-456 was developed and marketed by
one of the larger hobby radio companies, while the
CE-232 comes from one of the smallest.

Thus, there is no clear-cut and dried answer to your
question. It all depends..... but either one beats the
living snot out of a non-computer controlled scanner.
And neither one will make you unhappy so long as you're
suited for it in the first place. Hey, a Rolls Royce
in the hands of an Amish farmer is utterly worthless.
Likewise, a pitchfork in the hands of a yuppie.....

It all depends.....

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1503. Re: Mackey's eyelids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3584cf0b.232405982@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157464

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Poor Mackey. The unfortunate sap was born
without eyelids.....a terrible birth defect.

But he made medical history when the doctors
grafted on pieces of his foreskin after the
circumcision....and Lo! It worked with
perfectly functional eyelids!

The only problem was that this didn't allow
for eyelashes. Not to worry. The doctors
waited until he reached puberty at which time
they grafted some carefully plucked pubic
hairs, clipped and trimmed for perfect lashes.

This may explain why Smacksie blinks a lot....

Also, nowadays when most folks take "No-Doze" to
stay awake nights, Smacksie takes.....you guessed it,
.....VIAGRA!

Yay Smacksie! Go get 'em, son.

1504. Re: Something I was curious about...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan <alan@xmission.com>
Message-ID: <6lqmi2flb1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 157465

Kenneth West wrote in message <35801A3F.15E04759@rmi.net>...
>What is a 7 adam list? I have been listening to police calls for about a
>year, and I always hear that someone wants to be added to the 7 adam
>list. What is that? I thought maybe it was the list that the cops were
>added on to take their lunches :)
>
Although there are loose standards out there like the traditional 10-code (where we got 10-4 from, etc.) and even the old 9-code, each locality inevitably has its own weird blend of codes and references. These usually have evolved over the years, just like a spoken language. Here in SLC, Utah, for instance, they use mostly 10-code, but still use some 9-code references and some old APCO codes like "code 4" (everything's OK) and "code 7" (lunch/dinner/meal).

To find out for sure, you'll have to listen a lot until you really understand the context, or you could also just call the agency up and ask them. I suspect like you do that it refers to lunch; the fact that "7" is part of it supports this idea, since it may have evolved out of the old APCO "code 7."

Some of the funnest things about listening is figuring out the puzzles of codes used by the agencies! Fire departments have even more odd and antiquated references than police agencies!

Have fun! -Alan

1505. Re: Specs for Radio Shack Pro 2032??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan <alan@xmission.com>
Message-ID: <6lqmtq$gd1$1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 157466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill's right- you can also go to

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

and find info about nearly all the RS equipment. All factory data, no reviews, but looks pretty good.

Oops- gotta run: here comes the nurse. -Alan

1506. Re: Mail order

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Miller <jfmil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3580E150.DDEC90FB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 157467
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try www.scannerworld.com don't remember the price but they are very
reliable I bought lots of stuff thru em

R. Brush wrote:

> Hey, does anybody now a good mail order company? I'm looking at buying
> a BC235XLT.
> I have seen prices from $230 to $300 want a good price from a good
> dealer. Also have seen
> where some companies modify the volume through the head set for races,
> is this true, is it easily done, is it necessary? thanks.

1507. Re: wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Miller <jfmil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3580E0E2.7C6EC629@earthlink.net>
Source record: 157468

Before Cellphone listening was illegal I heard a drug deal being setup at the Stamford Ct Mariott Hotel.

Liam Byrne wrote:

> A few years ago before digital cellular phones became the norm, I was
> listening on 935Mzh to analogue mobile phone calls..when I picked up a
> young foreign "escort" agency girl talking to a client.
> Unusually the girl was very naive and gave much detail about herself to the
> punter, including the fact that she was from Sri Lanka.
> Finally she gave the actual address of her apartment, ..which stuck in my
> mind, because it was so unusual for them to give this information over a
> mobile phone .
> The following day the newspapers and tv news bulletins were full of photos
> and details of this girl who had been murdered the previous evening.
> Of course I contacted the police who visited , and I gave them full
> details, but unfortunately nobody was ever charged with her murder!
>
> Very Sad..but I knew at the time that this girl was pretty new to the game
> and was bringing trouble upon herself.

1508. Re: Hand Held Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Miller <jfmil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3580E215.4E40EB7F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 157469

The BC235 has 300 Channels as does the PRO 90 which is about 50 Cheaper the
Pro 91 is 150 channels and is about 100 cheaper. See reviews of PRO 91
before deciding to buy it, I have a PRO 90

Randall Wagoner wrote:

> I'm looking to buy a hand held scanner.
>
> I'm looking at the BC235XLT, but I see that RS has a trunking scanner for a
>
> $100 cheeper. What, if any is the difference between the two?
>
> Are there any other scanners I should be looking at?
>
> Thanks
>
> Randall

1509. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3580d10c.6137594@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 18:12:54 GMT, knots829@aol.com (Kevin) wrote:

>Must be nice to be a cranky old bastard with nothing to do all day.

You're confusing Bill with Glen Quarnstrom.

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1510. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3580d56c.7256965@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157471

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 20:11:27 GMT, wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>Why don't you guys grow up and get a life. Ya'll are act like 8 year olds.
>Here is an idea, try ignoring each other and your world will go back to
>normal. You weirdo's.
>

Why don't you just ignore them?

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1511. Re: Hand Held Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061208373400.EAA08022@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157472

The Pro-90 and the 235XLT are identical, except for the name painted on the front. RadioShack sells the Pro-90 for $249 (sometimes on sale for $199) while Grove sells the 235XLT for the same price - $249. The difference? The 235XLT includes two battery packs and a cradle to charge both the radio and the spare at the same time. The Pro-90 only has one battery. Clearly, the 235XLT is the better buy, unless the Pro-90 happens to be on sale. Even then, a spare battery will cost $20 to $30 plus shipping, and you still won't have the cradle to charge both at once.

John Ward

1512. Re: Congratulations!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Miller <jfmil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3580E323.F35B3D06@earthlink.net>
Source record: 157473

Guess he only reads the flames not the real posts in the group

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Flapjack@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> > Congratulations posters. You have succeeded in turning what could have been
> > an informative newgroup into a juvenile idiocy-filled flame war
>
> How on earth is this alleged to have happened?
> Can't you SEE the preponderant majority of]
> good scanner posts?
>
> And WHY are you ADDING to the nonsense, if it
> bothers you so much?
>
> Curious.......

1513. NT software for $10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Miller <jfmil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3580E3D0.743AF0B6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 157474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone know of any good software for the ICOM RC10 receiver that
will work under Windows NT 4.0?

1514. Re: Scout MOD for AOR-2700

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Miller <jfmil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3580E2F3.792679B2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 157475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try the site http://www.mv.com/users/wje/aor.html this is an AR2700
homepage and has info on the SCOUT MOD

N0deman wrote:

> Does anyone have any information on MODing the AOR 2700 for use w/ the
> Opto Scout to reaction tune?? If so please send me your
> info/expierences. Thanks
>
> Tom
>
> tomgetty@mindspring.com
>
> ----------
> N0deman
> tomgetty@mindspring.com
>
> Too many secrets
> ----------

1515. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35810C25.3A8A41FC@swbell.net>
Source record: 157476

Johnny boy , those are all of your alais's, you know it and so does everyone else ont newsgroup.

--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not constitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above. Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied. Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

1516. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35810E6C.DE3089B@swbell.net>
Source record: 157477

Johnny boy admires Bill, Johnny boy wants to be Bill, but Johnny boy
can't be Billl as long as Bill is on the newsgroup.
The only thing he can do since he can't be Bill is to attack Bill and
attempt to make it look like Bill is the cause of the problems on here .

--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not con-
stitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit
unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above.
Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied.
Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

1517. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35810FB4.B097D306@swbell.net>
Source record: 157478

That is because Bill kicked your butt off of the fido system and since
you were not able to make all of your post like you do on the newsgroup
the numbers went to their normal amounts.

--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not con-
stitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit
unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above.
Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied.
Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

1518. Re: CE- 232 vs. opto os 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980612101111801.GAA14397@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157479

I give the Opto the thumbs up for a variety of reasons:
1) less expensive--$200 versus $250 (more for the assembled version)
2) requires no assembly--the CE requires some soldering skill
3) effortless to install--see number 2.
4) considerable software support that enables you to boost scanning speed, decode dtmf/ctcss tones, set up unlimited number of virtual scanning banks and much, much more. the CE has virtually no third-party software support and it requires you to learn scripting to use it fully.
5)no need to connect the Opto to your burglar alarm system (joke)

The sole advantage that I see to the CE device is that it will autopgrogram the scanner.

You can add that capability with the Programit interface for about $50 in parts and shareware fees, but you must assemble it yourself.

1519. BC-210xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere
Message-ID: <3580d932.0@news2.uswest.net>
Source record: 157480

I was wondering if there where any mods for the Bearcat 210 XLT, it was made in 1987... i'm not looking for a particular mod... Just interested to know if any are around..

1520. Re: CE- 232 vs. opto os 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <35810EDD.8235C3B8@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 157481

MAlexder wrote:
> I give the Opto the thumbs up for a variety of reasons:
> 1) less expensive--$200 versus $250 (more for the assembled version)

Opto has a special on the Opto boards for $175 as of right now

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

1521. IC Q7 When?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alf Sauve' <alf.sauve@mci.com>
Message-ID: <oR8g1.746$i35.572684@pm01nn>
Source record: 157482

Anybody heard when the IC Q7 will be available in the US?

--
==========
Alf Sauve - W4ADS
email: alf.sauve@mci.com
==========

1522. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrcbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061212095200.IAA14774@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157483
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>Likewise, I have

>NEVER failed any practicle challenge posted to my on this NG.

WHAT???????
Did you not read the challange I've been posting to you for 2 weeks to SHUT UP?
Check Dejanews for the proof.
Hell, one header was even directed right at you.
You selectively ignored it I'm sure, but did reply to another of mine, so don't use the killfile excuse....
Once again I challange you to stay MUTE for 2 weeks on this ng.
The minute you mention ok, I'll do the same.
Yes...I'm at a different provider now, but you know who I am.
If you doubt it, send my reply to thecbguy@iname.com...
I will recieve it.

The CB Guy

Still the same page, but it's moved.
http://members.aol.com/mrcbguy/cbradio.htm

1523. Re: IC Q7 When?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gfiber@news.cmc.net
Message-ID: <3581214e.1513059@news.cmc.net>
Source record: 157484

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 12:06:44 GMT, "Alf Sauve'" <alf.sauve@mci.com>
wrote:

>Anybody heard when the IC Q7 will be available in the US?

The Q7A receiver must pass FCC Part 15, the transmitter is not tested
as it only must adhere to FCC Part 97. Once the Q7A is Type Certified
for compliance with FCC Part 15 it will be ready for sale.

Best Regards,

Gary Fiber
Copyright 1998 All Rights reserved

1524. ICOM R-7100 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: daniel@pandora.lugs.org.sg
Message-ID: <35811d6d.0@news.cyberway.com.sg>
Source record: 157485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,

I have one unit of ICOM R-7100 receiver for sale.
The rig covers from 25MHz to 2GHz continuous (including
800MHz band), all mode (USB/LSB/AM/Wide AM/FM/NFM/Wide FM),
with modification for buffered discriminator out, in good
condition, no scratches, non-smoker.

If interested, I can send you a picture of the
rig taken with a digital camera. Rig comes with JIM-75
wide-band pre-amp with switchable filters. This is one of
the better communications receivers ICOM has built.

Looking for around US$1000 but am open for
negotiations.

73 de 9V1ZV Daniel

+------------+-------------------------------------+
Daniel Wee	daniel@pandora.lugs.org.sg
9V1ZV	http://www.cyberway.com.sg/~danwee
QRP-L #667	9V1ZV@amsat.org
+------------+-------------------------------------+

1525. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andreas Chmielewski vossnet <chmielewski@vossnet.de>
Message-ID: <6lr7v8cbp1@news.vossnet.de>
Source record: 157486
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

Spiegelverglasung h�lt die Funkwellen ab, wenn sie zu schwach sind.
Da ist ein d�nner Metallfilm zwischen. Da geht dann nichts.

Andreas

Stefan Goetz schrieb in Nachricht <3580bf77.1168953@enews.newsguy.com>...
>On 11 Jun 1998 10:34:02 GMT, "Philipp Burgard"
><philipp_burgard@uni.de> wrote:
>
>>und die jetzige Generation dieser
>>> Pager scheint mir in vielen Punkten genauso anf�llig.
>>
>>Meinst du die Robustheit bei t�glichem Gebrauch ?? -> das sind die BOS-FME
>>doch etwas h�rter im nehmen.
>>
>Ich habe mich hierbei rein auf subjektive Empfangsprobleme bezogen
>(die erw�hnten in der Zentrale, wie auch des�fteren auftretenes
>Feedback, da bestimmte Pages nie (diesmal auf den eigentlich
>versorgten Bereich au�erhalb unserer Zentrale) angekommen sind. Dieses
>ist nat�rlich rein subjektiv.
>
>>> Bestes Beispiel, unsere Alarmzentrale ist selbst ein Funkloch (durch
>>> irgendwelche spezielle Verglasung, hab ich mir sagen lassen). Viele
>>> der eingesetzen BOSPager gehen schlicht und ergreifend nicht in diesem
>>> Raum,
>>
>>Das Funkloch ist aber dann bedingt durch eine schlechte Funkversorgung in
>>diesem Bereich durch die entsprechende Relais-Station, mit dem
eingesetzten
>>FME hat das nur wenig zu tun.
>>
>Fakt ist, da au�erhalb der Zentrale besagte Pager i.d.R. ohne
>Probleme arbeiten, innerhalb der Zentrale nicht. Das mag schon sein,
>da die Funkversorgung hier einen Schwachpunkt hat, der dann durch die
>Architektur, wie auch eine spezielle "Spiegel"?-Verglasung zu einem
>Funkloch wird. Um das zu betonen: ich selbst habe schlicht und
>ergreifend nicht die technische Kompetenz, wie und warum, mir wurde
>das nur von unserem techn. Leiter des Kat-Schutz erl�utert.
>
>>dennoch: mein Cityruf (!), bekannterma�en zivil&analog
>>> funktioniert immerhin in diesen R�umen bei jedem 2. page :-)
>>
>>Zivil stimmt, n�mlich Telekom, aber analog, wohl kaum, Cityruf ist

>>POCSAG-Standard und damit digitale Technik.
>>Aber auch hier scheint entweder die Versorgung durch die Relais-Stelle
>>schlecht zu sein oder aber eure ""ALARMZENTRALE"" ist wirklich von der
>>Geb�udeseite relativ undurchdringbar f�r Funkwellen (Stahlbeton-Bauten
>>usw.)
>s.o.
>>
>
>>> Ich denke mal es wird Zeit f�r 2-Wege-pager (wie in USA erh�tlich),
>>> die zumindest in der Lage sind, eine Empfangsbest�tigung iin
>>> irgendeiner Form zur�ckzusenden (z.B. als Status).
>>
>>Das soll laut Aussage mal im TETRA- oder TETRAPOL-Netz m�glich werden
>>..aber ob das sinnvoll ist ?!
>>
>>Einfacher ist es die Gebiete sinnvoll mit ausreichender Nutzfeldst�rke zur
>>FME / Pager-Asul�sung zu versorgen, so was l��t sich schlie�lcih ausmessen
>>und im Vorhinein planen.
>Ich denke, da� eine l�ckenlose Funkversorgung wohl nie zu einer
>hundertprozentigen Erreichbarkeit f�hrend wird. Soweit ich wei�, gibt
>es viele �u�ere Einfl�sse, die immer eine noch so gute Funkversorgung
>im Einzelfall beeintr�chtigen k�nnen und "Pages" verschlucken k�nnen.
>Wenn mir ein Cityruf verloren geht, ist das �rgerlich, aber i.d.R.
>nicht dramatisch. Wenn grunds�tzlich jeder Page best�tigt werden kann,
>wie in einem 2-Wege-System denkbar, gibt das letztlich die 100%
>Sicherheit, die in diesen Gebieten wohl zweifelsohne sinnvoll w�re.
>
>>
>>Dieses w�re eine
>>> �berf�llige Erh�hung der Zuverl�ssigkeit und Vereinfachung f�r das
>>> BOS-Paging. Aber dazu mu� wahrscheinlich das Netz dichter ausgebaut
>>> werden, so da� die schwache Sendeleistung eines m�glichen 2-wege
>>> pagers ausreicht.
>>>
>>> Gr��e,
>>>
>>> Stefan
>>
>>Gru�
>>
>>Philipp Burgard
>
>Gru�,
>
>Stefan

1526. Re: BC-895xlt any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <cp1snt@snet.net>
Message-ID: <35811F14.961B68C0@snet.net>
Source record: 157487

I'm a newbie and bought one because of reviews and ease of use. I don't trunk trak. One thing wrong is the earphone jack is going bad. I have to jiggle the plug to make it work :(I like it and enjoy using it. Id'e say I have a lot of fun but there is some pretty sad stuff I've overheard on that scanner.

1527. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <3580e713.2622751@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 157488

Mackey didn't create the original post, you stupid moron.

1528. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <3581e786.2737844@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 157489

Courageous, nothing. This is just the freakin' Usenet, for crying out loud. I just want to learn more about scanners, but only a few people on this NG actually seem to know something. :-(

1529. It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061212595900.IAA17666@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157490

Well, Quack Mack, youï¿½ve been having a fine ï¿½ol time havenï¿½t you? Since your return about a month ago, you have posted 183 off-topic messages, all of them flames. Itï¿½s looks like you totally went Wacko Quacko in the last 24 hours--you posted about 15 off-topic flames.

Despite your hypocritical calls for decorum in the newsgroup, you persist in engaging in those many PARG-thug-sponsored activities for which you have become quite well known (make that infamous). I had hoped that you would tire of engaging in flaming, personal vendettas, impersonations, lies, hypocrisy, censorship, distruptive and rude behavior and the rest of your usual tactics, but I guess thatï¿½s too much to ask from the Quackerel. You demand for the flames to stop, yet YOU are the leading instigator of this behavior. YOU condemn the activities of everyone else, yet refuse to make even a small concession and moderate YOUR behavior. The facts speak for themselves: 183 off-topic flames in about 30 days; you claim to have posted one on-topic message in that time, but I havenï¿½t managed to find it. That is an extraordinary signal to noise ratio!

Iï¿½m sure that tomorrow weï¿½ll have another Wackie Quackie Day. You are the best advertisement for what ails this newsgroup and every day you relentless make the point what your agenda is. Nothing you can say will diminish the truth that is so evident to everyone and that is you are merely a charlatan and a fraud.

1530. Re: 9000xlt Tricks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6lr8fo$ln@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 157491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3580A82B.3E35@accessus.net>,
Jeff <scanner@mail.accessus.net> wrote:

>At the end of last year there where posts about 9000xlt keypad tricks
>(only 4 or 5 of them). But in the post there was a reference to more
>tricks being translated from Japanese manuals.
>Was that ever done? Where can I find them?

Jeff,

Here's more information on the meaning of the DECORD label displayed
in BC9000XLT test mode.

You can hold and press 2, 9, and DELAY at the same time you power up
to get into test mode.

My BC9000XLTs display:

KEY: NULL
E-LCK: H DECORD: L

As part of the diagnostic test sequence, you can apply 5 vdc to
test point TP10 (after performing the 2, 9, DELAY sequence),
then the DECORD: H should change to DECORD: L

In other words, the DECORD indicates the logical state of TP10.

TP10 is connected to both:
- to the CPU DECODE input pin and
- to a CTCSS decoder pin whose voltage indicates
whether the CTCSS tone on the current signal matches
the CTCSS tone programmed for that channel.

Hope this helps.
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1531. Re: 800 MHz reception problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa4ecm@mcit.com
Message-ID: <ER9g1.758$i35.592380@pm01nn>
Source record: 157492

What you are hearing is the simalcast transmitters off frequency. This happens a lot if the oscillators in the transmitters are not sync up to one standard and are allowed to drift.

In article <358061BC.47EE@lmco.com>, Michael.W.Appenzeller@lmco.com wrote:
>I am having an unusual reception problem on the County-wide trunked
>system (Motorola) where I live. On all channels I am experiencing
>severe "flutter", similar to receiving a distant mobile signal , but
>this is on the repeater *output* freqs. Even the dispatchers & fire
>pagers all have this rapid flutter. It's as if the scanner was in a car
>going
>65 MPH, but it's sitting on my workbench. 3 years ago I was living in a
>diffrent county, and had the same problem, they had a GE trunked
>system. I don't think anything's wrong with my radio, (760 XLT) I can
>receive cell-phone images without the flutter, and when the TV in the
>same room is on Ch. 68 the TV's local oscillator comes in steady on one
>of the trunking repeater output freqs. Any ideas on what's happening
>and possible solutions?
>
>Thanks in advance
>
>Mike
>WB2MEP

1532. Aussie Scan Fan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <Ripley54@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6lra9miin1@nswpull.telstra.net>
Source record: 157493

John here, Shepparton/Victoria/Australia, wanting to exchange info on the scanner scene with US Scan Fans ?

1533. Re: Mackey's eyelids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
Message-ID: <6lrakc$9l@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You know what, just STOP! If I see any more of this FROM ANYONE, I will be reporting them to the ISP. These are off-topic messages that have been continuing for months. This is a SCANNER GROUP, not a FLAME GROUP!

I cannot even begin to believe the immaturity shown by you ALL in this newsgroup.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Poor Mackey. The unfortunate sap was born
> without eyelids.....a terrible birth defect.
>
> But he made medical history when the doctors
> grafted on pieces of his foreskin after the
> circumcision....and Lo! It worked with
> perfectly functional eyelids!
>
> The only problem was that this didn't allow
> for eyelashes. Not to worry. The doctors
> waited until he reached puberty at which time
> they grafted some carefully plucked pubic
> hairs, clipped and trimmed for perfect lashes.
>
> This may explain why Smacksie blinks a lot....
>
> Also, nowadays when most folks take "No-Doze" to
> stay awake nights, Smacksie takes.....you guessed it,
> ....VIAGRA!
>
> Yay Smacksie! Go get 'em, son.

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
President/CEO RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

1534. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
Message-ID: <6lrasm$9l@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You may have some good points, but you aren't my elder, and someone who posts immature flames against anyone can never be more intelligent than someone who doesn't.

I thought you were pretty cool for awhile (some intelligence and humor), but I'm no longer thinking that way. You're just being a jerk.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> > That would be "tout de suite", Bill.
>
> Sorry, but no, it would not; at least not in the
> vernacular and context by which it has been used
> as an expression in the United States for the
> last 35+ years.
>
> > It is French for "soon", "right away", "in haste".
>
> Perhaps, though "post haste" is the most correct
> and literal translation, but the etymology is not
> important. Common usage sets the relative importance.
> You will find a verbatim example as follows:
>
> " Time to leave town, tuit suite!!! "
>
> located at the following Web sites, one a university:
>
> http://hubcap.clemson.edu/~akluge/days/summary/1997/may12-16.htm
>
> http://dustin.simplenet.com/days/summaries/may97.html
>
> And if you want to get down to brass tacks over the
> matter, you will find "toot sweet" to be in common
> use as well. In any event, the English application
> of the expression does not include the "de" connector,
> and I've never seen it as "tout suite". Common
> usage in the United States is ... as I expressed it.
>
> I am reminded of my butt-ugly English teacher in
> the 9th grade of some 38 years ago....who literally
> punished us for using the word, "ain't". But as
> a writer, I'll use "ain't" anytime I want, and ain't

> nobody gonna stop me. <g> For one thing, "ain't" has
> survived the test of time. It *is* technically acceptable,
> and has been since at least 1974 according to
> Merriam-Webster's dictionary.
>
> Likewise, "tuit suite" has been in common colloquial
> use since at least 1963 when I heard and saw it that
> way for the first time. It doesn't matter if the French
> say it is incorrect. That would matter ONLY in France.
>
> And there is another issue.....forum. The forum of
> a communique sets the rules, too. Ferinstance, I
> would not use "ain't" or "tuit suite" in a formal class
> paper nor even in a scientific paper. But when we speak,
> especially in informal gatherings like this one, there
> are no rules.
>
> When you consider the extreme diversity of backgrounds,
> languages, dialects, and even levels of intelligence in
> forums like this one, the ONLY thing that matters is the
> thought or idea or question, and if it can be understood
> by others.
>
> YOU UNDERSTOOD, and that was quite sufficient. Now
> clearly, though, I failed miserably because the militant,
> bucktoothed, booger-picking hermaphrodite, Smacksie, did
> not appear tuit suite in this thread as I intended. <gloom>
>
> Oh....and don't criticize or correct your elders unless:
>
> 1. You are certain of your foundations and all the facts,
>
> and
>
> 2. You FULLY understand that in doing so, you simultaneously
> INVITE criticism and correction.
>
> -=A preposition is a terrible thing to end a sentence with.=-
>
> Remember that.
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
President/CEO RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

1535. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
Message-ID: <6lrath$1o9@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I know it - have you seen all his stupid flames?!

Kevin wrote:

> Must be nice to be a cranky old bastard with nothing to do all day.
>
> On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 17:12:56 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:
>
> >Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> >> That would be "tout de suite", Bill.
> >
> >Sorry, but no, it would not; at least not in the
> >vernacular and context by which it has been used
> >as an expression in the United States for the
> >last 35+ years.
> >
> >> It is French for "soon", "right away", "in haste".
> >
> >Perhaps, though "post haste" is the most correct
> >and literal translation, but the etymology is not
> >important. Common usage sets the relative importance.
> >You will find a verbatim example as follows:
> >
> >" Time to leave town, tuit suite!!! "
> >
> >located at the following Web sites, one a university:
> >
> >http://hubcap.clemson.edu/~akluge/days/summary/1997/may12-16.htm
> >
> >http://dustin.simplenet.com/days/summaries/may97.html
> >
> >And if you want to get down to brass tacks over the
> >matter, you will find "toot sweet" to be in common
> >use as well. In any event, the English application
> >of the expression does not include the "de" connector,
> >and I've never seen it as "tout suite". Common
> >usage in the United States is ... as I expressed it.
> >
> >I am reminded of my butt-ugly English teacher in
> >the 9th grade of some 38 years ago....who literally
> >punished us for using the word, "ain't". But as
> >a writer, I'll use "ain't" anytime I want, and ain't
> >nobody gonna stop me. <g> For one thing, "ain't" has

> >survived the test of time. It *is* technically acceptable,
> >and has been since at least 1974 according to
> >Merriam-Webster's dictionary.
> >
> >Likewise, "tuit suite" has been in common colloquial
> >use since at least 1963 when I heard and saw it that
> >way for the first time. It doesn't matter if the French
> >say it is incorrect. That would matter ONLY in France.
> >
> >And there is another issue.....forum. The forum of
> >a communique sets the rules, too. Ferinstance, I
> >would not use "ain't" or "tuit suite" in a formal class
> >paper nor even in a scientific paper. But when we speak,
> >especially in informal gatherings like this one, there
> >are no rules.
> >
> >When you consider the extreme diversity of backgrounds,
> >languages, dialects, and even levels of intelligence in
> >forums like this one, the ONLY thing that matters is the
> >thought or idea or question, and if it can be understood
> >by others.
> >
> >YOU UNDERSTOOD, and that was quite sufficient. Now
> >clearly, though, I failed miserably because the militant,
> >bucktoothed, booger-picking hermaphrodite, Smacksie, did
> >not appear tuit suite in this thread as I intended. <gloom>
> >
> >Oh....and don't criticize or correct your elders unless:
> >
> >1. You are certain of your foundations and all the facts,
> >
> >and
> >
> >2. You FULLY understand that in doing so, you simultaneously
> > INVITE criticism and correction.
> >
> >-=A preposition is a terrible thing to end a sentence with.=-
> >
> >Remember that.
> >
> >-- ----
> >Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> >COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> >Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> >bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> >http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> >-- ---
> >
> >

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
President/CEO RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

1536. FS: Yaesu FRG-9600 VHF/UHF scanner/receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Rogers <dr7zyq@nidlink.com>
Message-ID: <35812C99.1545@nidlink.com>
Source record: 157497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner

For Sale: Yaesu FRG-9600 scanner/receiver

60 to 905 mHz, no blocks

AM/AMnarrow/FM/FMWide/USB/LSB

switchable tuning steps

compact

100 memories in 10 banks

VFO or memory tuning/scannig

Video convertor allows use of computer monitor to receive television broadcasts, incl. commercial/cable and amateur t.v.

excellent cosmetic, perfect electronic condition

includes ops manual, service manual, mobile mount (never been used mobile)

$375.00 plus ups

Thanks,

David, WA7ZYQ

208-245-2070 eves PDT

1537. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
Message-ID: <6lrbuo$1o9@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157498
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's pretty good!

Dennis Cowan wrote:

> Scan tall
> Scan, and the world scans behind you.
> Scan tall
> With airwaves around you.
> Scan from the highest of hills and below
> Far below....
> The winds bring the waves of the songs of the city.
> Each thunderous clap of gun fire
> Can be heard without a wire
> Though miles have us parted
> The airwaves carted our hearts away!!!
> Yes scan when that's all you have left in you!
> And no one
> There is no one...
> Who will ever take scanning away!
> It's scanning I say!
> I scan the world everyday
> And no one...
> Will ever...
> Stop me from scanning!
>
> Emilio, you really are a riot.

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
President/CEO RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

1538. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
Message-ID: <6lrbe0$1o9@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

To add to my previous message, "tout" means "all", and "suite" means "next", so NO, the literal or direct translation would not be "post haste" -- it would be "all next". "Post haste" is the conversational or idiomatic translation. Nevertheless, this phrase IS French, not English.

Maybe I'll come down to your level in saying, "HA HA, YOU'RE WRONG!! YOU'VE JUST BEEN CORRECTED BY A 15 YEAR OLD!! HA HA!".

You say not to end sentences with a preposition? You, <sarcasm>even such a grand person as yourself </sarcasm>, end sentences in this way.

To conclude, you don't tell me what to do. You have no position as an elder. I think some publicity went to your head - you think you can just piss people off all day. You know what? You're wrong.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> > That would be "tout de suite", Bill.
>
> Sorry, but no, it would not; at least not in the
> vernacular and context by which it has been used
> as an expression in the United States for the
> last 35+ years.
>
> > It is French for "soon", "right away", "in haste".
>
> Perhaps, though "post haste" is the most correct
> and literal translation, but the etymology is not
> important. Common usage sets the relative importance.
> You will find a verbatim example as follows:
>
> " Time to leave town, tuit suite!!! "
>
> located at the following Web sites, one a university:
>
> http://hubcap.clemson.edu/~akluge/days/summary/1997/may12-16.htm
>
> http://dustin.simplenet.com/days/summaries/may97.html
>
> And if you want to get down to brass tacks over the
> matter, you will find "toot sweet" to be in common
> use as well. In any event, the English application
> of the expression does not include the "de" connector,

> and I've never seen it as "tout suite". Common
> usage in the United States is ... as I expressed it.
>
> I am reminded of my butt-ugly English teacher in
> the 9th grade of some 38 years ago....who literally
> punished us for using the word, "ain't". But as
> a writer, I'll use "ain't" anytime I want, and ain't
> nobody gonna stop me. <g> For one thing, "ain't" has
> survived the test of time. It *is* technically acceptable,
> and has been since at least 1974 according to
> Merriam-Webster's dictionary.
>
> Likewise, "tuit suite" has been in common colloquial
> use since at least 1963 when I heard and saw it that
> way for the first time. It doesn't matter if the French
> say it is incorrect. That would matter ONLY in France.
>
> And there is another issue.....forum. The forum of
> a communique sets the rules, too. Ferinstance, I
> would not use "ain't" or "tuit suite" in a formal class
> paper nor even in a scientific paper. But when we speak,
> especially in informal gatherings like this one, there
> are no rules.
>
> When you consider the extreme diversity of backgrounds,
> languages, dialects, and even levels of intelligence in
> forums like this one, the ONLY thing that matters is the
> thought or idea or question, and if it can be understood
> by others.
>
> YOU UNDERSTOOD, and that was quite sufficient. Now
> clearly, though, I failed miserably because the militant,
> bucktoothed, booger-picking hermaphrodite, Smacksie, did
> not appear tuit suite in this thread as I intended. <gloom>
>
> Oh....and don't criticize or correct your elders unless:
>
> 1. You are certain of your foundations and all the facts,
>
> and
>
> 2. You FULLY understand that in doing so, you simultaneously
> INVITE criticism and correction.
>
> -=A preposition is a terrible thing to end a sentence with.=-
>
> Remember that.
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /

> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
President/CEO RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

1539. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6lrbnq$t3u$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 157500

George Vetterle wrote:
>
> On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 20:11:27 GMT, wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> >Why don't you guys grow up and get a life. Ya'll are act like 8 year olds.
> >Here is an idea, try ignoring each other and your world will go back to
> >normal. You weirdo's.
> >
>
> Why don't you just ignore them?
>
>
> George L. Vetterle
> geovet@ix.netcom.com
> geovet@worldnet.att.net
>
> "Badges? We ain't got no badges!
> We don't need no badges! I don't
> have to show you any stinking badges!"-
> Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
> OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.
I am getting sick of seeing the same thing on my screen every time I
turn It on. Get a life>>>>>>>>>>jerr

1540. House wiring antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: iss@ripco.com
Message-ID: <6lran5$u6$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 157501

remember the ads for the device: "turn your house wiring into a giant TV antenna" ..?? Anybody know how to build one of these..??.. or if they are still sold, anywhere.??

I want to monitor a cordless phone (~44MHz) base within my own house... this by itself is no problem, but I want to reject the neighbor's phone, which is nearly as close as the target phone... the signal will be strong enough, I thought the house wiring idea may just work..???

Any comments are appreciated...

Rob.

1541. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
Message-ID: <6lrc15$1o9@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157502

You will soon learn to dislike him - he is a constant off-topic flaming poster (aka, JERK) in all radio newsgroups.

Gerald Pitre wrote:

> Hi Bill,
> This is my first time posting on a NG. I just wanted to say that you
> have a great web site and that it is very informative.Keep up the good
> work.A question if you don't mind.I found your info for the Pro 39 and
> it's great but would the same info apply to my Pro-2037 or is there
> anything specific for Pro-2037?I looked and looked but I can seem to
> find any reference at all to this model.Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Jerry

--
Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)
President/CEO RySoft Corporation

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

1542. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35883754.1926548@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 157503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>To add to my previous message, "tout" means "all", and "suite" means
>"next", so NO, the literal or direct translation would not be "post
>haste" -- it would be "all next". "Post haste" is the conversational or
>idiomatic translation. Nevertheless, this phrase IS French, not English.

So tell us why you haven't learned how to use your editor, and why you quote
the entire message in your reply?

1543. PRO2006 & Opto456 F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <01bd960e$2b3760c0$7def2581@dockery>
Source record: 157504
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-2006 and Opto 456 Controller Board, Scancat Gold for Windows, Pro-2006 Service Manual, all manuals, cables, antenna ect. $500.00 including 2nd day shipping. COD $10.00 extra. Note: With a second scanner, data slicer, and computer you can track Motorola, GE-Edacs systems with this setup.

Mike Dockery
Denver, CO
e-mail or 1-800-518-1858 pager/voice mail

1544. Cellular restoration for the WinRadio model WR1000i

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980612142010000.KAA23583@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157505

Can anyone please advise how to restore cellular coverage to the US version WinRadio model WR1000i ? OR, perhaps, a source of, or book containing this information? Any and all help will be TREMENDOUSLY appreciated ! THANKS TO ALL !!!

1545. Re: Mackey's eyelids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061214255400.KAA28222@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157506

>Subject: Re: Mackey's eyelids
>From: Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net>
>Date: Fri, Jun 12, 1998 09:34 EDT
>Message-id: <6lrakc$9l@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
>
>You know what, just STOP! If I see any more of this FROM ANYONE, I will
>be reporting them to the ISP. These are off-topic messages that have
>been continuing for months. This is a SCANNER GROUP, not a FLAME GROUP!

Ryan, you just finished flaming Cheek (in response to his flaming you). Why not start by setting a good example?

1546. FS/FT AOR AR-880 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: foralje@leonplastics.com
Message-ID: <6lribijbe1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For sale or trade:
AOR AR 880
coverage:
30~49.995MHz ,
138~174MHz ,
436~512MHz,
830~950MHz. (including cell 868-896)
$150 or trade for ?
Email directly to:
foralje@leonplastics.com

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1547. Re: CE- 232 vs. opto os 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35813b84.260172156@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157508

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

> 1) less expensive--$200 versus $250 (more for the assembled version)

Assembled/tested, the CE-232 is $194.95 and has
been for a couple of years now.

> 4) considerable software support

A Windows program for the CE-232 is in the wind.....

> the CE has virtually no third-party software support and it
> requires you to learn scripting to use it fully.

It's supported by the PerCon FCC databases as well
as Scan Cat's "Magic" and Drummond's APFTools.... and
lots of scripts.

"Learning scripting" means two things:

1. knowing how to use a text editor like NOTEPAD.EXE
2. having a sheet in front of you with the 40 or so
script commands.

Piece of cake to write out a script to accomplish some
pretty great stuff. I should be testimony there....as I'm
no programmer. All I know of programming is that it comes
on radio and TV. <g>

> 5)no need to connect the Opto to your burglar alarm system (joke)

You mean nobody would want to steal it? <g>

> The sole advantage that I see to the CE device is that it
> will autopgrogram the scanner.

Ironically, THAT was my primary goal back in the days of
R&D and prototyping. Someone else piped in and suggested
that it could not only AutoProgram, but also acquire data
from the scanner and make decisions based on the data.

Here's another advantage....how's about remote control of
not only the main scanner.....but of a number of others?

Like a PRO-43? One CE-232 can support a number of scanners, and if a handheld, who wants to sit around and poke those teeny weeny keys with club fingers? You can control scanners from the PC's keyboard, too.

And now....we are liberating the eight A/D converters that are on-board the CE-232's microprocessor. I mentioned the ease of S-metering and Center-Tune metering. The implications of these two alone are bigger than I let on.

Ferinstance....relative signal strength and frequency error readings on a transmitter make up two excellent "fingerprint" characteristics. So you're listening to a frequency that is shared by 15 users. How do you tell them apart? IF and WHEN they identify, that's great. So you wait...and wait.....

However, signal strength and frequency resolution makes a mass of signals stand out like a sore thumb. You don't have to wait for the stations to I.D.

Interesting, the NFM Discriminator "curve" in the PRO-2004/5/6 is quite linear over frequency offsets of -10 kHz to + 10kHz, outputting an analog range of 6.06v to 1.39v, with 3.80v corresponding to center frequency. So you send that analog voltage to an A/D converter for 255 points of resolution over a 20 kHz span for the ability to resolve frequency errors of as little as 78 Hz......without any costly or sophisticated instrumentation.

The CE-232 offers a lot more than what meets the eye at a casual glance, but I'll be the first to agree that it isn't for everyone. The Opto units certainly hold their own.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1548. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35824250.261912331@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157509

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

jer <jerr1919@gte.net> wrote:

> George Vetterle wrote:

> > Why don't you just ignore them?

> I am getting sick of seeing the same thing on my screen every time I
> turn It on. Get a life>>>>>>>>>>jerr

You mean you are helpless and don't know how to
control your own computer?

1549. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: knots829@aol.com
Message-ID: <358d3b95.12270305@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Don't let it eat at you. Cheek will never admit he's wrong. Even when, as in this case, he clearly is. He's been like this in these groups for years now.

He just whips up a batch of mumbo-jumbo and touts "in my 900 years of experience....bla, bla, bla". All smoke and mirrors when he's dead wrong. Don't misunderstand me, the guy can offer some useful information (in his own arrogant way).

He may drag this thread to its knees, and will be 100% wrong throughout the whole deal. He'll never admit it, though.

We all know the flame war with Mackey will never die because Cheek won't let it die. His other, clearly antagonistic, post served absolutely no purpose other than to try and drag Mackey back out of the closet for another round of childish spewing.

It's his M.O.

"We now return you to our regularly scheduled program"

Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net> wrote:

>To add to my previous message, "tout" means "all", and "suite" means
>"next", so NO, the literal or direct translation would not be "post
>haste" -- it would be "all next". "Post haste" is the conversational or
>idiomatic translation. Nevertheless, this phrase IS French, not English.
>
>Maybe I'll come down to your level in saying, "HA HA, YOU'RE WRONG!!
>YOU'VE JUST BEEN CORRECTED BY A 15 YEAR OLD!! HA HA!".
>
>You say not to end sentences with a preposition? You, <sarcasm>even such
>a grand person as yourself </sarcasm>, end sentences in this way.
>
>To conclude, you don't tell me what to do. You have no position as an
>elder. I think some publicity went to your head - you think you can just
>piss people off all day. You know what? You're wrong.
>
>Bill Cheek wrote:
>
>> Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@nospam.worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>> > That would be "tout de suite", Bill.
>>
>> Sorry, but no, it would not; at least not in the
>> vernacular and context by which it has been used

>> as an expression in the United States for the
>> last 35+ years.
>>
>> > It is French for "soon", "right away", "in haste".
>>
>> Perhaps, though "post haste" is the most correct
>> and literal translation, but the etymology is not
>> important. Common usage sets the relative importance.
>> You will find a verbatim example as follows:
>>
>> " Time to leave town, tuit suite!!! "
>>
>> located at the following Web sites, one a university:
>>
>> http://hubcap.clemson.edu/~akluge/days/summary/1997/may12-16.htm
>>
>> http://dustin.simplenet.com/days/summaries/may97.html
>>
>> And if you want to get down to brass tacks over the
>> matter, you will find "toot sweet" to be in common
>> use as well. In any event, the English application
>> of the expression does not include the "de" connector,
>> and I've never seen it as "tout suite". Common
>> usage in the United States is ... as I expressed it.
>>
>> I am reminded of my butt-ugly English teacher in
>> the 9th grade of some 38 years ago....who literally
>> punished us for using the word, "ain't". But as
>> a writer, I'll use "ain't" anytime I want, and ain't
>> nobody gonna stop me. <g> For one thing, "ain't" has
>> survived the test of time. It *is* technically acceptable,
>> and has been since at least 1974 according to
>> Merriam-Webster's dictionary.
>>
>> Likewise, "tuit suite" has been in common colloquial
>> use since at least 1963 when I heard and saw it that
>> way for the first time. It doesn't matter if the French
>> say it is incorrect. That would matter ONLY in France.
>>
>> And there is another issue.....forum. The forum of
>> a communique sets the rules, too. Ferinstance, I
>> would not use "ain't" or "tuit suite" in a formal class
>> paper nor even in a scientific paper. But when we speak,
>> especially in informal gatherings like this one, there
>> are no rules.
>>
>> When you consider the extreme diversity of backgrounds,
>> languages, dialects, and even levels of intelligence in
>> forums like this one, the ONLY thing that matters is the
>> thought or idea or question, and if it can be understood

>> by others.
>>
>> YOU UNDERSTOOD, and that was quite sufficient. Now
>> clearly, though, I failed miserably because the militant,
>> bucktoothed, booger-picking hermaphrodite, Smacksie, did
>> not appear tuit suite in this thread as I intended. <gloom>
>>
>> Oh....and don't criticize or correct your elders unless:
>>
>> 1. You are certain of your foundations and all the facts,
>>
>> and
>>
>> 2. You FULLY understand that in doing so, you simultaneously
>> INVITE criticism and correction.
>>
>> -=A preposition is a terrible thing to end a sentence with.=-
>>
>> Remember that.
>>
>> -- ----
>> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
>> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
>> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
>> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
>> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
>> -- ---

1550. Re: Power Supply for BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6lpuqe$b9p$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I use a 12 AMP , DC Supply (12 Volts) and that fires up all my Scanners...ham Rigs (small Ht's) and a SWL , with room (power) to spare.

I found the supplied dc adpaters died after a while..I clipped the leads off the dead supplies that came with my scanners , and wired up the leads to the DC supply.

Works well , much better filtering.

Remember you can go " up " on a supply with DC , but not down , if you need 1 amp..you can use 12 AMPS as I did or more if you have the supply, with no probs ...really the dc supplies have overload Protection...etc..SO I found it better to use a dc supply than he supplied DC Adapters.

http://members.xoom.com/ontscan/

' Home Of the Software Decoders '

In article <358038d7.2252806@news2.penn.com>,
nobody@nowhere.com (DDT) wrote:
>
>
> >You need 13.8v rated at 700-mA or more.
>
> I'm using a 12v 1A for my XLT9000.
> Will this damage my scanner?
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1551. Re: HOT batteries..!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lprvg$1n4@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157512

In <6lppurbet1@gail.ripco.com> iss@ripco.com (R.M.K.) writes:
>
>I've got an old Bearcat handheld with bad nicads... like they don't
even hold
>a charge at ALL... this really is no problem, since I only want to use
it with
>the AC adapter in the house... problem is, the batteries get HOT after
using
>the charger... I'm worried about the hazard of this... any way to
REMOVE the
>batteries, and just use the AC transformer..??
>
>Thankx so much for any advice.
>
>Rob.

plus those nicads will leak
all over....and inbetween
cracks and crevices...making
your scanner inoperable...

it's best to just use the
adaptor and keep batteries
out....

why cannot you just
find those screws
or the separation point
along side of scanner and
open it up?

bill

1552. FS: Pro 2005 Scanner CELL..$250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lpt0b$hht@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157513

selling a pro 2005 base scanner has full cell no gap's
looks just like a 2006 scanner by radio shack only difference is
hyperscan this scanner is in great cond selling for $250.00...
plus shipping I live in so cal..... email me or call 714 960-2835 mike

1553. For Sale pro 2032 Base scanner.Cell..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lpt33$hht@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157514

selling a 200 ch pro 2032 scanner has full 800 mhz Cell..
Mint cond selling for 200.00 plus shipping email me or call me at 714
960-2835 mike

1554. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35807b44.210955206@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157515

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Hi Freddie-baby! Are you an alter-ego of Smacksie?
> > ....or just joined at the hip with him?
>
> Probably "former lover". Yikes!!

Good lord love a duck! Wow......

> P.S. Yes, I know, it's off topic. And rude. And it starts trouble. But I
> don't really care. PTHTHTHTHTHTH!!!!!!! >:-P

Right on, that's the spirit. Don't let the
turkeys get ya down!

<g>

1555. Re: Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35817be9.211120516@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157516

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp) wrote:

> I wouldn't have seen this except as a threaded response because I kill
> filtered you Bill.

Oh cool. I support that!

> I'm not defending Mackey and both he , you and the
> myriads of clowns standing in a circle around your fight

What fight?

> need to cut the shit and noise now.

Really? What shit and what noise?

> I have been reading this group for 6 months
> now and the Mackey and Cheek escapades are getting old.

I agree. But he kept it up. I bailed out for
several weeks to prove that he wouldn't stop.
Now you know. Or should know.....

> I will probably end up kill filtering everyone that responds to these
> trollish and idiotic bitch slappings.

If that is what you want to do, then that is
what you SHOULD do. No one has a problem with
that. You kill-file me, and cut off your own
nose to spite your face, if you want. I defend
your right to do that. And I don't get PAID for
how many of my posts are read.....so it's no
skin off my nose.

> This way I won't need to read
> about you and Mackey's goings on (aka off topic posts)

Do what ya gotta do, pal. Go for it! After
all, you are MASTER of your own computer, right?

1556. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35837d7b.211523061@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157517

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Why don't you guys grow up and get a life.
> Ya'll are act like 8 year olds.

I take that as a compliment coming from
you acting like a 3-yr old, potshooting from
the comfy mama-skirts of deja-news.

> Here is an idea, try ignoring each other and
> your world will go back to normal. You weirdo's.

You'd have been much more effective showing how
it's done by keeping your fingers off the keyboard
and tucked away in their normal, dank, dark, moist
place(s).

<sigh>

1557. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35827d12.211417777@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157518

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

knots829@aol.com (Kevin) wrote:

> Must be nice to be a cranky old bastard with nothing to do all day.

Must be nice to have no more to do all day than
kibitz from AOL.

<g>

1558. Need schematic for BC200XLT...Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <gscott@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <35808133.33D5E7C9@alaska.net>
Source record: 157519

If you have this let me know. Maybe it's someones computer for e-mail..Hope, Hope.Thanks Scott..

1559. Re: AOR AR1000XLT FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061201163600.VAA25956@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157520

Scanner is sold

thank for ALL the responses

1560. Re: Sony Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerunl@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980612011721 00.VAA00368@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157521

Hi Brian,

In case you haven't already found out about this web site, it is the best web site dealing with scanners and has extensive reviews and specifications of the new Sony scanners. The URL is:
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/

You may also phone me at (650) 573-1624 and I can mail you any other information on the Sony scanners that you like.

Glenn Cohen, Scanners Unlimited
rec.radio.scanner

1561. Re: Power Supply for BC9000XLT (Attn:Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35847e2a.211698036@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157522

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

nobody@nowhere.com (DDT) wrote:

>
> >You need 13.8v rated at 700-mA or more.
>
> I'm using a 12v 1A for my XLT9000.
> Will this damage my scanner?

No. Current is a dependent variable.
Voltage is independent. The minimum
current drain is 700-mA at 13.8v, so the
supply needs to be rated at that minimum.
At 12v, the current might need to be a
little higher of a rating, say 800-mA,
so your 1-amp appears in good order.

I think it's just fine, though for long term
safety and health, I'd think a 13.8v regulated
supply to be the best bet. Regulated supplies
handle surges and transients better than
unregulated ones.

You're okay for the time being, though.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1562. Re: New Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerunl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061201215500.VAA26649@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157523

Hi Dave,

We have both models of the Sony scanners in stock. Our prices are $259.95 for the SC1 and $319.95 for the SC1PC.. If you want additional information, you may phone us at (650) 573-1624.

Glenn Cohen, Scanners Unlimited
rec.radio.scanner

1563. Billy Graham Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marty(Smiley) Schuylkill Co. Pa." <martyrip@ptdprolog.net>
Message-ID: <358076E3.7A3E@ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 157524

I'm looking for Billy Graham crusade frequencys.

@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

1564. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <358a847a.7465502@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 157525

>Why does Mackey always attack Bill and come back for more.

I got kicked off the Fido firearms conference on the BBS about five or six years ago by a guy who must be Mackey's twin.

I forget the guy's name, but he was a real tyrant. Whereas a normal conference would be maybe 50-100 messages, his message list would be DOUBLE because as moderator, somehow he felt compelled to comment on or criticize every message.

I'm sure you know the type.

Anyway, I always figured it was probably because putting together all them Big Macs was driving him crazy, and his little fiefdom was his way of getting back at the world.

1565. Re: FS Uniden 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: caj@jane.lfn.org
Message-ID: <6lq0ln$89d@junkie.gnofn.org>
Source record: 157526

In article <357DBE5B.58DD@yahoo.com>,
Phil Smalley <Ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
>Gina McBride & John Miller wrote:
>>
>> No I'm not trying to make a fortune like that recent
>> post.............
>>
>> I have a 200XLT, original box, all accessories, manual
>> Will sell and ship for $175
>
>I bet whoever bought that $300+ scanner feels pretty dumb about now...
>;-)
>
>-Phil

Yeah, I just closed the deal on mine for $150, shipping costs to
buyer. If I had known, I would have made it "MINT" by beating the
shit out of it.

1566. Re: Power Supply for BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6lq2p5$roi@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 157527
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6lpuqe$b9p$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
<powervoice98@geocities.com> wrote:

>I use a 12 AMP , DC Supply (12 Volts) and that fires up all my
>Scanners...
>
>...I clipped the leads off
>the dead supplies that came with my scanners ,
> and wired up the leads to the DC supply.

Be sure to wire a low current, inline fuse in the lead of each
scanner, as close to the power supply as possible, to protect each
scanner in the event of a short circuit.

The factory wall warts are fused internally.

If you replace your wall wart with a 12 A supply and
don't fuse your power lead, you risk up to 12 A of
current "coursing through the veins" of any scanner
which develops a short.

That's why the (often optional) automobile DC power cords
are fused.
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1567. BC200XLT What does pin 41 do??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <gscott@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <358099FB.2C809C78@alaska.net>
Source record: 157528

in a mod for the 200 they mention the pin 41 but not what it does. Does anyone know?

1568. Re: Monmouth Cty, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlink94222@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061203163300.XAA17369@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157529

Avon-by-the-Sea

Police: 155.595 Mhz
Police: 155.250 Mhz
Fire: 154.43o Mhz
Lifeguards: 45.32 Mhz F-1, 39.100 Mhz F-2

Bradley Beach

Police: 155.535 Mhz
Fire: 45.320 Mhz F-1 Also F-1 for Lifeguards.
45.280 Mhz F-2 Also F-2 for Lifeguards.

Sea Girt

Police: 470.5125 Mhz
Police: 155.490 Mhz
Police: 155.250 Mhz
Fire: 154.980 Mhz
Lifeguards: 158.910 Mhz

Spring Lake

Police: 155.250 Mhz
Fire: 154.430 Mhz
Lifeguards: 154.600 Mhz

1569. Re: Monmouth Cty, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlink94222@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061203213400.XAA13806@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157530

Belmar

Police: 155.010 Mhz
Police: 155.865 Mhz
Police: 37.100 Mhz
Fire: 154.430 Mhz
Fire: 154.205 Mhz
Lifeguards: 45.440 Mhz
Lifeguards: 150.790 Mhz

1570. 2042 on sale at RS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: eclarke1@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <35809d62.262302642@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 157531

Hi,

short msg on 2042 sale for those people in the south..

the pro-2042 is on sale for approx 269.99 at radio shack.
they have discontinued this model.. I had the local store find one
for me.

The local store "guy" found a few over in GA.. said one store had 8
or so. they were going to have a tent sale.. If you want one it might
be a good idea to check into this
--
Elbert Clarke
eclarke1@mindspring.com

1571. Pro-46 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlayton@NOSPAMsd.cybernex.net
Message-ID: <6lq72u$810$1@news.cybernex.net>
Source record: 157532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I recently acquired a used Pro-46 (date code 11A3) that has been skipping over active frequencies during scan mode.

For example, let's say I have a UHF frequency programmed in channel 1, another UHF frequency in channel 2, and a VHF-hi frequency in channel 3. In scan mode, if there is a transmission taking place on the VHF-hi frequency, the scanner will skip right over the channel instead of stopping. (The frequency and channel number do appear in the LCD window, it just doesn't stop on the VHF-hi frequency when the frequency is active.) The scanner works fine in manual mode, or when scanning ONLY frequencies in the same band. It's almost as if the scanner doesn't "stabilize" on frequency soon enough after switching bands in the scan mode.

I have only noticed it skipping over active VHF-hi frequencies programmed immediately after 2 or more UHF frequencies. It might also be happening with other bands too, but I haven't tried it. Anybody else with a Pro 46 noticed it skipping over active channels in scan mode?

==========
To reply, remove "NOSPAM" from the email address.
Jason Layton
jlayton@NOSPAMsd.cybernex.net
S. Dak. Scanning Page: http://ww2.sd.cybernex.net/~jlayton/sdscan.html

1572. Re: BC-895xlt any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gcrankbait@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980612033130000.XAA19302@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157533

I got mine from www.national-electronics.com for $279.00

got here in 2 days...no problems.

1573. Re: Power Supply for BC9000XLT (Attn:Bill Cheek)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3588ab68.223281657@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157534

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

> You're okay for the time being, though.

By the way, Bob Parnass pointed out in another
thread that it's wise to fuse the (+) line to
the scanner when using a non-standard power
supply....and I wholeheartedly agree.

It's cheap and easy with an in-line fuse
holder....and for most scanners, a 1-amp
fast-blow fuse will be just right. If the
scanner is heavily modified with extra
LED's and devices that draw current, then
1.5-amp or 2-amp fuses will be about right.

Worth a thought and the couple of bucks....

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

1574. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3580AA12.813DFC7@netwit.com>
Source record: 157535

Bill Cheek wrote:

> fred@banana.net (fred) wrote:
>
> > It's all the pussies and their fruitcake leader Bill Cheek who
> > instigate him & pick fights. Maybe he caused trouble in the past, but
> > I don't see it now.
>
> Hi Freddie-baby! Are you an alter-ego of Smacksie?
> ....or just joined at the hip with him?

My "Mackey Tone and Cadence" detector went off as well. Back to your old tricks you friggin' loon? What happened? Did your current ISP notify you about possible cancellation of your account due to alleged TOS violations? You think you can squeeze in some rogue cancels before your ISP pulls the plug on you again?

Look, I don't want to see you lose your posting privileges. When you call inquiring about an account at your next ISP, try altering the sound of your voice and crumpling a piece of paper in front of the mouthpiece of the telephone. There's a chance they may not realize it's you.

You friggin loon.

1575. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3585a5d3.221852547@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157536

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

pja <pja@pacifier.com> wrote:

> Why does Mackey always attack Bill and come back for more.
> This is perplexing. Bill cleans Mackey's clock every time and
> he keeps coming back for more.

Two reasons:

1. He's a masochist (it hurts soooo gooood!)
2. He's overly "sweet" on me. Somehow I represent
everything he ever wanted but couldn't ever get.

> Mackey... are you jealous of Bill?

Well, that too, yes.

> Are you so angry because people respect him and

Well......he's obscessed.....

> Shame on you Mackey. Mackey... why don't you put more time into
> your other hobby ... masturbation.

It's not a hobby. Last year he went down to the Portland
docks and got a job on a fishing boat as an Apprentice
Baiter. He learned quickly and in a few short weeks, he
was promoted to Journeyman Baiter. And then recently, he
attained the exalted position of Master Baiter. So you see,
it's his 'profession'. <g>

1576. CE- 232 vs. opto os 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net>
Message-ID: <3580C8A1.86CA135A@telcomplus.net>
Source record: 157537

which is the better system and why?

1577. Re: 9000xlt Tricks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sven <sven@customized.removethis.com>
Message-ID: <3580ADB2.7604@customized.removethis.com>
Source record: 157538

Jeff wrote:
>
> Hi All,
>
> At the end of last year there where posts about 9000xlt keypad tricks
[snip]
Should be similar to 3000XLT tricks:
http://www.universe.digex.net/~bnr/tricks.html

Also, from an old post...

Subject: Re: BC9000XLT Keypad tricks ?
From: jtward01@aol.com (JTWard01)
Date: 1997/12/15
Message-ID: <19971215234100.SAA00911@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
[More Headers]
[Subscribe to rec.radio.scanner]

Uniden Bearcat 9000XLT - Keyboard tricks
(By Jeff Goldman, WA1UDB, with additional credits to Dave Rodriguez,
Jeff Zeman
and Bob Parnass)

By holding down the 2, 9 & DLY keys as you turn the 9000XLT on, you
enter a
sort of test mode. To do a "keycheck test" you can hit any key or turn
the VFO
knob either direction and the alpha display will tell you what key
you're
touching or which direction you're turning the VFO knob.
At this point, if you momentarily hit LOCK (to the right of the VFO
knob)
and one other button various things will happen:
LOCK & C - REPLACES ALL MEMORIES w/1300MHz and alpha-tags channels
1-250
with CH 00-249 in the display. Again, this will wipe out your memories!
LOCK & D - Checksum and Firmware Version Number.
LOCK & E - LCD Display Test.
LOCK & PROGRAM - Runs a short, continously-repeating demonstration
program
incorporated specifically for showing at the January, 1995, Consumer
Electronics Show in Las Vegas. It also sounds attention tones as the
message
scrolls on the display! (Note that the word "scanner" is spelled

"Scaner" in
the demo program; it was too late to change this booboo that originated
in
Japan.)
The last three tricks will not wipe out memory. Only LOCK/C will do
that.

You can check out relevant postings using DejaNews:
http://www.dejanews.com/dnquery.xp?QRY=9000XLT+AND+tricks&svcclass=dnold&DBS=2&defaultOp=AND&maxhits=20&ST=QS&format=terse

Sven

1578. Re: pc software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3586a83c.222469371@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157539

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"N.F.Kimberley" <fuzzylogic@enterprise.net> wrote:

> I am looking for software to decode satellites information received by my
> scanner, is there anyone out there that can help me.

What KIND of "satellites information"? Different
signals require different software. And there
are jillions of different kinds of satellite signals.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1579. Re: Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3587a9bd.222854496@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157540

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> Mackey's a convenient pressure relief valve. ....
> ....
> It's not pretty. There's nothing noble about taking advantage of the
> handicapped. But what the hell; he's doing wonders from my disposition and now
> everyone in my *real* life thinks I'm one of the nicest guys in the world.

Yesssssss! Any shrink will tell you that if it
takes a dry martini when you come home from work
to shake off the day's trials and tribulations,
then do it!

Likewise, if a masochist offers himself up to help
you dispel the creepy-crawlies of the day, then
hey....it's good for YOU and it's good for HIM.
And above all, it's good for the wife, kids, and hound.

By the way, the very WORST thing a sadist can do
to a masochist is.....NOTHING at all. BOTH get
really hurt that way. No sense in it!

<g>

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1580. Re: MACKEY: Do you live in OR or IOWA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061204335201.AAA27089@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157541

In article <35809C26.9BF38888@hotmail.com>, Chris <chicago@hotmail.com> writes:

>Just goto:
>http://www.qrz.com
>and find out

This is not always accurate. QRZ lists the mailing address where the licensee elects to receive official correspondence from the FCC. In these days of stalkers, harrassers, and other assorted wierdos, a wise person uses a different address from his actual physical address.

1581. Re: Cheek's noise level

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3580A51A.1E42@parg.org>
Source record: 157542

Doktor Tripp wrote:

> you and the
> myriads of clowns standing in a circle around your fight need to cut
> the shit and noise now. I have been reading this group for 6 months
> now and the Mackey and Cheek escapades are getting old. I will
> probably end up kill filtering everyone that responds to these
> trollish and idiotic bitch slappings.

Truth hurts Bill Cheek, I bet this guy gets a good flaming from
him!

1582. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3580A567.4C65@parg.org>
Source record: 157543

fred wrote:
> It's all the pussies and their fruitcake leader Bill Cheek who
> instigate him & pick fights. Maybe he caused trouble in the past, but
> I don't see it now.

Well said, Fred, very well said! Thank you for your support!

However, I expect you will get flamed by Bill for your couragous
statement. :(

1583. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3580A592.37B@parg.org>
Source record: 157544

Phil Smalley wrote:
> P.S. Yes, I know, it's off topic. And rude. And it starts trouble. But I
> don't really care. PTHTHTHTHTHTH!!!!!!! >:-P

THIS is exactly the problem, people who dont' care!

1584. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3580A64D.769@parg.org>
Source record: 157545

pja wrote:

> Why does Mackey always attack Bill and come back for more.

I don't "always attack Bill"! Infact, after he started causing
problems a few weeks ago, I ignored him for the first week, then
gave him 2 warnings BEFORE I started in on him.

> Mackey... are you jealous of Bill?

WHAT would there be of Bill for me to be jealous of?

> Are you so angry
> because people respect him

There are an abundance of people who DON'T respect him &
only a handfull who do! (so your answer is NO!)

1585. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3580A67F.76FC@parg.org>
Source record: 157546

Bill Cheek wrote:
> CRAP SNIPPED

What's the matter Bill, does the truth hurt?

1586. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3580A7B7.115D@parg.org>
Source record: 157547

MAlexder wrote:

> You're the one who keeps engaging in electronic stalking, personal vendettas,
> lying, impersonations, cancellations and similiar activities all with the
> singular purpose of disrutping this newsgroup.

I have done NONE of the activities you mention. Although I am NOT
guilty of ANY of the accusations you mention above, I specifically
want to tell you that I have NO personal vendettas as you mention above!

> You on the other hand have more fingers than can be counted pointed at YOU.
> You'll notice that aside from that vulgarian, fellow PARG thug Nick, you have
> never had a single word of support here.

Hardly, I have had numerous supporters here. Radio Fan, compania,
ham-boner, hamguy, etc! YOU are the one who has had few, if any,
supporters.

> I have made the challenge at least three times and three times you have failed

You have never made any practicle challenge to me. Likewise, I have
NEVER failed any practicle challenge posted to my on this NG.

1587. Re: Mackey Bored???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3580A8B0.355D@parg.org>
Source record: 157548

Bill Cheek wrote:

> > I have been reading this group for 6 months
> > now and the Mackey and Cheek escapades are getting old.
>
> I agree. But he kept it up. I bailed out for
> several weeks to prove that he wouldn't stop.
> Now you know. Or should know........

No Bill, you continued making trouble after I stopped ALL posts on this NG. Then I made posts warning you that if you made more trouble on this NG then I would start in on you & you continued. YOU, BILL CHEEK, chose to violate our agreement & truce. Now YOU, BILL CHEEK, have to accept the consequences of YOUR actions.

1588. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3580AB6A.670F@parg.org>
Source record: 157549

D. P. Roberts wrote:

> I got kicked off the Fido firearms conference on the BBS about five or six
> years ago by a guy who must be Mackey's twin.
>
> I forget the guy's name, but he was a real tyrant. Whereas a normal
> conference would be maybe 50-100 messages, his message list would be DOUBLE
> because as moderator, somehow he felt compelled to comment on or criticize
> every message.

You certainly are NOT familiar with me or the history of
BILL CHEEK!

When Bill Cheek became the moderator of a echo on Fido, it
had about 200+ messages a day on that echo. THEN Bill
initated his warped rules on the echo & kicked off
ANYONE who entered a post contrary to his opinion. Bill
would also flame people on the echo but kick off others
for lessor behavior. After a few months of Bill Cheek as
the moderator of the echo traffic was about 10-20 posts
per day.

1589. Re: Mackey? Here is a deal for you.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3580AD12.4959@parg.org>
Source record: 157550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

> I hear you want another "deal"?

I offered it to you either yesterday or the day before.

> we *had* a deal.

Yes, & YOU chose to break it even after I warned you.

> 1. You do NOT (ever) speak to or write to me.
> 2. You do NOT (ever) speak to or about me.
> 3. You do NOT (ever) make any references to me.
> 4. You do NOT (ever) make insinuations about or
allusions to me.
> 5. You do NOT (ever) think about me.
> 6. You play like I never even existed... to the fullest
extent.
> And you will get *exactly* the same from me. No more.
> No less. I ignore you; walk around you;

We would need to add the following:

7. Post NO flames, nasty messages, or attacks.
8. Post NO advertisements for items which result in
personal gain.

> Publicly accept the above as an iron-clad, two-way contract,
> or else sachet and swish

I now offer this back to you, BILL. As YOU would need to do the
same as you suggest above.

1590. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3580AD46.5712@parg.org>
Source record: 157551

MAlexder wrote:

> It would demonstrate YOUR true intentions, since YOU have been long known for
> saying one thing and doing another.

Again, you must be talking to yourself!

1591. Re: BC200XLT What does pin 41 do??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3589ad5e.223783866@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157552

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Scott <gscott@alaska.net> wrote:

> in a mod for the 200 they mention the pin 41 but not what it does.
> Does anyone know?

Yes. R215 is the cellular mod resistor. It
takes Pin 41 low (0v). So find a source of
+5v in the scanner and run a line from +5v
to Pin 41 via a 1-k resistor (for safety),
and voile! Illegal cellular. Well, illegal
IF you listen. And maybe illegal if you
actually do the mod. Better check with an
attorney first.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1592. Re: New Sony Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061205244800.BAA27999@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157553

I want to see a web page with photos and specifications for these units before
I go any further.....

1593. Re: New Pro2006's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <358bb51e.440476301@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157554

On Tue, 09 Jun 1998 18:21:42 GMT, powervoice98@geocities.com wrote:

>hehe , Ive been following this post , and will add my .2 Cents..
>
>It is not uncommon for RS to have stock they never knew they had !
>
>However , I am hard pressed to belive 6 , outdated , slow...Pro-2006's were
>collecting dust!
>
>One maybe....6..PLS !!!! ....Tell the story and move on..
>
>Next...
>

Outdated and slow? I find that hard to believe..... I get people
asking me if I've run across any for awhile... there still is a big
demand for that unit!

From my POV, its a matter of the unit's styling, operation, and
just plain good all around performance.

-Mike

1594. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgoetz@visolution.net
Message-ID: <3580bf77.1168953@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157555
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

On 11 Jun 1998 10:34:02 GMT, "Philipp Burgard"
<philipp_burgard@uni.de> wrote:

>und die jetzige Generation dieser
>> Pager scheint mir in vielen Punkten genauso anfi¿½llig.
>
>Meinst du die Robustheit bei ti¿½glichem Gebrauch ?? -> das sind die BOS-FME
>doch etwas hi¿½rter im nehmen.
>
Ich habe mich hierbei rein auf subjektive Empfangsprobleme bezogen
(die erwi¿½hnten in der Zentrale, wie auch desi¿½fteren auftretenes
Feedback, dai¿½ bestimmte Pages nie (diesmal auf den eigentlich
versorgten Bereich aui¿½erhalb unserer Zentrale) angekommen sind. Dieses
ist nati¿½rlich rein subjektiv.

>> Bestes Beispiel, unsere Alarmzentrale ist selbst ein Funkloch (durch
>> irgendwelche spezielle Verglasung, hab ich mir sagen lassen). Viele
>> der eingesetzen BOSPager gehen schlicht und ergreifend nicht in diesem
>> Raum,
>
>Das Funkloch ist aber dann bedingt durch eine schlechte Funkversorgung in
>diesem Bereich durch die entsprechende Relais-Station, mit dem eingesetzten
>FME hat das nur wenig zu tun.
>
Fakt ist, dai¿½ aui¿½erhalb der Zentrale besagte Pager i.d.R. ohne
Probleme arbeiten, innerhalb der Zentrale nicht. Das mag schon sein,
dai¿½ die Funkversorgung hier einen Schwachpunkt hat, der dann durch die
Architektur, wie auch eine spezielle "Spiegel"?-Verglasung zu einem
Funkloch wird. Um das zu betonen: ich selbst habe schlicht und
ergreifend nicht die technische Kompetenz, wie und warum, mir wurde
das nur von unserem techn. Leiter des Kat-Schutz erli¿½utert.

>dennoch: mein Cityruf (!), bekanntermai¿½en zivil&analog
>> funktioniert immerhin in diesen Ri¿½umen bei jedem 2. page :-)
>
>Zivil stimmt, ni¿½mlich Telekom, aber analog, wohl kaum, Cityruf ist
>POCSAG-Standard und damit digitale Technik.
>Aber auch hier scheint entweder die Versorgung durch die Relais-Stelle
>schlecht zu sein oder aber eure ""ALARMZENTRALE"" ist wirklich von der
>Gebi¿½udeseite relativ undurchdringbar fi¿½r Funkwellen (Stahlbeton-Bauten
>usw.)
s.o.
>

>> Ich denke mal es wird Zeit fi¿½r 2-Wege-pager (wie in USA erhi¿½tlich),
>> die zumindest in der Lage sind, eine Empfangsbesti¿½tigung iin
>> irgendeiner Form zuri¿½ckzusenden (z.B. als Status).
>
>Das soll laut Aussage mal im TETRA- oder TETRAPOL-Netz mi¿½glich werden
>..aber ob das sinnvoll ist ?!
>
>Einfacher ist es die Gebiete sinnvoll mit ausreichender Nutzfeldsti¿½rke zur
>FME / Pager-Asuli¿½sung zu versorgen, so was li¿½i¿½t sich schliei¿½lcih ausmessen
>und im Vorhinein planen.
Ich denke, dai¿½ eine li¿½ckenlose Funkversorgung wohl nie zu einer
hundertprozentigen Erreichbarkeit fi¿½hrend wird. Soweit ich weii¿½, gibt
es viele i¿½ui¿½ere Einfli¿½sse, die immer eine noch so gute Funkversorgung
im Einzelfall beeintri¿½chtigen ki¿½nnen und "Pages" verschlucken ki¿½nnen.
Wenn mir ein Cityruf verloren geht, ist das i¿½rgerlich, aber i.d.R.
nicht dramatisch. Wenn grundsi¿½tzlich jeder Page besti¿½tigt werden kann,
wie in einem 2-Wege-System denkbar, gibt das letztlich die 100%
Sicherheit, die in diesen Gebieten wohl zweifelsohne sinnvoll wi¿½re.

>
>Dieses wi¿½re eine
>> i¿½berfi¿½llige Erhi¿½hung der Zuverli¿½ssigkeit und Vereinfachung fi¿½r das
>> BOS-Paging. Aber dazu mui¿½ wahrscheinlich das Netz dichter ausgebaut
>> werden, so dai¿½ die schwache Sendeleistung eines mi¿½glichen 2-wege
>> pagers ausreicht.
>>
>> Gri¿½i¿½e,
>>
>> Stefan
>
>Grui¿½
>
>Philipp Burgard

Grui¿½,

Stefan

1595. Re: Which scanners cover CB radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3593c003.443265692@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157556

On 07 Jun 1998 04:00:03 GMT, stetchez@aol.com (Stetchez) wrote:

>BC2500XLT does too!!

Pro-2006, I believe... Icom R1, R100, R7000, 7100, etc...

-Mike

1596. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3580c1b0.228986341@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157557

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

> When Bill Cheek became the moderator of a echo on Fido, it
> had about 200+ messages a day on that echo.

You are a lying sack of weasel offal, Smacksie. The MOST
that forum ever has was 50-60 posts a day.

> THEN Bill initated his warped rules on the echo & kicked off
> ANYONE who entered a post contrary to his opinion.

You are a lying sack of donkey dung, Smacksie. I
set straight rules about staying ON topic and
against flames and emotions. And then I usually
issued two warnings or more before giving 'em
the Size #13EE crosswise on their patooties.
YOU got three warnings, peckerwood.

> Bill would also flame people on the echo but kick off others
> for lessor behavior.

You are a lying sack of dung beetle manure, Smacksie.
I flamed no one and I kicked off ONLY people like YOU.
People who had no interest in scanners and scanning.
People who just had to be insultory and inflammatory.
Like I said......people like you.

> After a few months of Bill Cheek as the moderator of
> the echo traffic was about 10-20 posts per day.

Wellllll here now, you may have hit on a tiny element
of truth. But what you failed to tell the good forum,
Smacksie-poo, is that messaging traffic in ALL of FidoNet
dropped dramatically during that period .... as people
frogged onto the Internet and opted to stay. Fido is
now a shell of its former self, thanks to the Internet
and the undeniable fact that FidoNet was a lumbering
behemoth that couldn't make timely moves and turns.

FidoNet's rules (Policy 4) was designed to serve a much
smaller membership....circa the 80's, but between 1991
and 1995, it tripled in size and found itself bound by
old ways with old people and it couldn't act or react in

any time less than years.

So here, even though there was an aspect of truth in what you said, your circular logic failed to communicate the WHOLE truth. You sure have a way of warping things to suit your own agenda, you zit-faced, bucktoothed nincompoop!

Whaddya say about the deal, shitferbrains?

1597. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3581c544.229902107@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157558

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

> There are an abundance of people who DON'T respect him &
> only a handfull who do! (so your answer is NO!)

HA ha ha ha ha ha.....whooooooooeeeeey! What
a nitwit! Ha ha ha ha ha ha.......

Psssst, hey Smacksie? Does ANYONE respect you?

Regardless, I wouldn't want your respect, nor
from people like you, even if you paid me to
want it. You ain't got enough money, Smacks,
and what paltry little you do have, is no good
in my cash register.

Dadburned zit-faced hermaphrodite anyway......
Just go tinkle up a rope and nurse on the
wet end.

You're going back on my Shit List tonight, Smacks.
Better accept the deal I offered you.

1598. BC200XLT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <gscott@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <3580CD52.5BEF826E@alaska.net>
Source record: 157559

Is there a way to fill the gaps in the freqs it scans like inbetween
54mhz and 118mhz? thanks scott..

1599. Re: Mackey isn't the problem on this NG.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3595c2c4.443970485@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 157560

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 11:37:10 GMT, fred@banana.net (fred) wrote:

>It's all the pussies and their fruitcake leader Bill Cheek who
>instigate him & pick fights. Maybe he caused trouble in the past, but
>I don't see it now.
>
>Grow up or take it to e-mail.

Best thing to do is just killfile the word "mackey" and "cheek" in
the subject headers. That way if they actually post something
relevant to scanners, you won't miss it. :)

-Mike

1600. How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358275F9.728AD013@netwit.com>
Source record: 157111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

allsafe wrote:

> You're an ASSHOLE!!!
>

No....allsafe didn't write. Not my style. I whack with flair.

Who are you denizen of rec.aviation.student and why are you posting
under my handle?

1601. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35839f19.351198087@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157112

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
>
> > The flames will stop when you stop posting.
> >
>
> Ho-ho! Here I am responding to my own post. Why? I don't remember
> posting this one. Who's the clown from rec.aviation.student? Not nice
> to snatch someone's handle. Go make up your own.

It's probably Smacksie doing a little
casual forging. He does that now and again,
you know. He's gearing up for another period
of low-key, surreptitious, treacherous, vile,
vicious shenanigans

You'll notice how the tempo and intensity of
the flamefest is subsiding now? Well, that's
when Smacksie comes back in under various and
sundry bogus I.D.'s and stirs the pot.

Watch for some hitherto unknown newbies to pop
in over the next few weeks........ They won't
be here for scanners and scanning. And they
won't really be "new". You can tell..... <g>

It's a never-ending story, man.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1602. Re: HB-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35829db9.350846222@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157113

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"BOSOX" <savy@ma.ultranet.com> wrote:

> I have a 2006 that came with an hb-232 how is that different from the
> ce-232 or is it the same thing??

It's basically the same thing. The software is
the same. HB-232 v1.3 is the latest as seen on
the main operating screen. The board is slightly
different.

For the CE-232, we redid the layout of the board
to make it easier to get to the lesser known
user features such as the OutBytes, InBytes,
and Status Inputs.

Your older HB-232 board still has all those points,
but instead of easier access from the top of the
board, they're down on the solder side.

A new Windows program is coming out soon for the
CE/HB-232 that takes advantage of the eight 8-bit
A/D converters.

Do you have the manual that originally came with
the unit?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1603. Re: Sony Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.fec4db74b1cb17b9896a1@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157114

In article <35804805.57E8@hacgate.com>, pjsmalley@hacgate.com says...
> Rich Wells wrote:
> > Read my First Impressions of the SC1PC WaveHawk :
> >
> Sounds like a great unit! How much are they?? (I can't look it up on the
> 'net here because we have this @#$#!! firewall in the way!)
>
> -Phil
>
Scanners Unlimited has it in stock as I talked with Glenn, the owner about it. He says the performance is excellent and the audio admirable. I believe the prices are in the 250 to 350 range. Give him a call at (650)573-1624 and he can fill you in on the latest about it.

1604. Re: base antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.fec4d148e4ccb2b9896a0@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157115

In article <01bd9669$8a5683a0$a524a98e@default>, gfillio1@quebectel.com says...
> hi all, i need an advise!
> i need a base antenna for my shack.it must be very well desighn because
> here we are in a salted air so rust will take care of her.
> can you supply some name of good antenna!
>
> thanK,s
> ve2ckn
> gervais
>
> --
> Mailto:gfillio1@globetrotter.qc.ca
>
As I have stated here many times. For the money (under 300 bucks) after trying them all, the best for my money is the Channel Master "Monitenna" for 50 bucks with 50ft of RG6. If you can't find it, call Glenn at 650-573-1624 (Scanners Unlimited) and he can supply and ship it for you. I can at least guarantee you will be satisfied. The higher the better and if possible, get yourself a 8 or 10 foot hunk of Schedule 80 PVC pipe for the mast instead of the usual, as it will not reflect the signals and never rust. good luck.

1605. Re: JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lu630$klu@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157116

I would like to know more about
the qualifications for joining
PARG...

can anyone (hint!) supply readers
with the qualifications necessary
for their considering members by>...

is there a list?

is there a faq?

what are the qualifications? anyone know (hint)

bill conduit

1606. Re: BC895XLT: Question..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Philip J. Smith" <psmith@appliedsystems.com>
Message-ID: <6luacf$h52$2@supernews.com>
Source record: 157117

Scott wrote in message <3581C3A0.7D06EF28@alaska.net>...
>Is this scanner good for the cordless phone freqs, all 25 of them? Thats
>is if somebody really wanted to hear things like that.. Send it e-mail
>if you like.. gscott@alaska.net Thanks in advance..

This scanner also works for the *analog* phones at 902 - 928 MHz, too. But,
900MHz analog phones use a fairly wide bandwidth, so you'll hear a
considerable amount of distortion. Set the squelch as sensitive as possible.

1607. Mods for Bearcat 100/200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: deforger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061316581000.MAA09473@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157118

I am looking for the mods for the Bearcat 100/200xlt to increase battery life,headphone volume,and scan speed.If anyone knows where to find this or has it please E-mail me.

Thanks
Robert
DeForger@aol.com

1608. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Philip J. Smith" <psmith@appliedsystems.com>
Message-ID: <6lubqb$67n$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 157119

It took until the mid-80s for Bearcat and Radio Shack just to drop the floor from 30 to 29MHz, allowing reception of 10-meter amateur FM. Here's my theory... The manufacturers hiostorically built "general coverage" receivers to handle everything under 30MHz, while "scanners" take care of the world above 30MHz. Manufacturers probably just said to themselves, "Want 27MHz? Get a general-coverage radio. Want Aircraft? Get a scanner."

Pay no attention to the fact that the scanner could get two more uses out of the AM detector -- CB and 10m amateur -- in addition to just aircraft.

I dismiss the "need too long of an antenna" theory, too. A Regency MX-7000, circa 1985, pulls in stations quite well using only the 30" stock whip.

1609. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nomad <NOMAD@NOSPAM.COM>
Message-ID: <6lufdi$q3r$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 157120

Dok,ya were going good till ya had to use the Lord's name in vain.I know.....I know.....free speech and all that.....but ya need to find other four letter words.....like.....well let's use our imagination.....thanx,now I shall get off the soap box.....BTW.....IE4's filtering works good also,Nomad

Doktor Tripp wrote in message <3584ad18.13598357@news.alt.net>...
>On Sat, 13 Jun 1998 08:52:44 GMT, rimfire@awwwsome.com (Garry Hobart)
>pedantically proclaimed in the following unperspicuous peroration to
>all:
>
>>On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 22:22:24 -0500, "James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy"
>><jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>>>If you've got Outlook Express (and I'll bet most of you do), you might
want
>>>to utilise the Newsgroup Filters and just ignore the people who
contribute
>>>to the anarchy of this group.
>>
>>If your news reader will filter specific words in the subject, such as
>>mackey, Mackey, MACKEY, Bill Cheek, you will get the job done faster,
>>with fewer filters, for better online performance. ;-)
>>
>>You may still need to add a few specific filters, but this will remove
>>a great deal of lameness in one shot.
>
>I use Forte' Agent 1.5 and the kill filter is a blessing from the
>heavens. Gone are the antagonistic trollers, the Bill Cheeks, The John
>Mackeys, the MALaxander or whatever it calls itself. Once again I can
>read this group for what it was chartered for and that being
>recreational radio scanning. Call it what you may, sticking my head in
>the sand, looking at the world through rose colored glasses
>etc..... I'm just so god damned tired of reading day in and day
>out, what seemingly are to be adults making the most absolute of fools
>out of themselves. It gets to the point where one is embarrassed to
>think that you are part of the same species of life as these trolling
>fools. I doubt that we will miss anything of value from these odiums
>of the human race as I have witnessed little if anything in the way of
>on topic, informative posting from the whole lot in the past couple of
>months.
>
>
>DT

1610. Electra Bearcat 220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: hhowell@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6luc93$dov@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157121

Does anyone know if the Electra Bearcat 220 is a good scanner? How much is a working one worth complete with documentation?

1611. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6lud9o$2oh$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 157122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Tonsagers wrote:
>
> Exactly. She got it.
>
> --
> Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager
>
> Carey L. Huff wrote in message <3581c322.46078457@news.mindspring.com>...
> >inigo@montoya.net (D. P. Roberts) wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>So tell us why you haven't learned how to use your editor, and why you
> quote
> >>the entire message in your reply?
> >
> >
> >You included the entire message in your reply when the thread begins
> >to get extremely long and starts to branch into several "strands" (?).
> >It makes it easier for people to see exactly what post you are
> >following up to.
THIS IS THE WORST TO HAVE 65 MESSAGES ABOUT NOTHING.......

1612. NiMH Batteries in IC-R10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Klotz <not@available.net>
Message-ID: <6lubva$10r$1@argentina.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157123
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know if it is possible to use NiMH batteries like the NEXcell 1300 mAh AA's in the Icom
R10?

I suspect the R10 internal charger is not designed for NiMH so they would need to be charged in an
external unit.

--

Dale
daleklotz@deletethis.earthlink.net

1613. Re: Electra Bearcat 220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3582b685.357194618@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157124

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

hhowell@worldnet.att.net wrote:

> Does anyone know if the Electra Bearcat 220 is a good scanner?

In its day and time, it was. Not by today's
standards, though.

> How much is a working one worth complete with documentation?

Very few scanners have any potential for value
as they age. Most are like run-of-the-mill cars.
After a time, there isn't even a "blue book" value.

The Bearcat 220 is like that.....not worthless,
but no one will want it other than a rare collector,
and then only maybe.

So if you want to sell it, put a ridiculous price
on it for starters, and add "or best offer". Then
see what happens.... My guess is it's worth somewhere
betwixt $5 and $25.

In cases like that, where I have old, but functional
equipment, I like to give 'em away to deserving
neighborhood kids. You never can tell what will trip
a kid's trigger and propel 'im on to great and wonderful
experiences and adventures. In that light, it's worth
a million bucks to the right kid.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1614. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3583b90f.357844772@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157125

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
>
> > You're an ASSHOLE!!!
> >
>
> No....allsafe didn't write. Not my style. I whack with flair.
>
> Who are you denizen of rec.aviation.student and why are you posting
> under my handle?

It's Smacksie on a forgery bender again.
```

1615. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3584b96f.357940677@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157126

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp) wrote:

> I'm just so god damned tired of reading day in and day
> out, what seemingly are to be adults making the most absolute of fools
> out of themselves.

You must be helpless and unable to control
your own computer....and your own mind, for
that matter.

Pity.....

So now you are "under control" with your
silly "plonks" and yet, you still pop out
from under your mommy's skirts to flip a
finger and call "King's X".

What a fruitcake! So make sure I am double-
plonked, y'hear?

Pack sand, fella.

1616. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3585bab4.358266542@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157127

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Nomad" <NOMAD@NOSPAM.COM> wrote:

> Dok,ya were going good till ya had to use the Lord's name in vain.

Aw, the poor fellow is frustrated at his own
helplessness and inability to control not only
the world around him, but his own inner world,
as well.

He's a late arrival from the Deutsch 1930's....
perhaps a protoge` of you-know-who..... Betcha
a nickel he ain't got a hair on his head nor
in his arse. ...and shaves his armpits, too.

1617. Re: PRO-2040 Really Cover Cellular???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kut7bh@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061317583400.NAA15448@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157128

>Subject: PRO-2040 Really Cover Cellular???
>From: mervins@aol.com (MervinS)
>Date: Fri, Jun 12, 1998 20:38 EDT
>Message-id: <1998061300385300.UAA26477@ladder03.news.aol.com>
>
>Have noticed several FOR SALES for the "PRO-2040 with full cellular." Has
>anyone really done such a mod to provide proper digital readout and 30mhz
>steps? Or, is this just a ----------?

Sounds like hooey to me. According to KC5KTO's Radio Shack scanner
modification page, the PRO-2040 cannot be modified to receive the cellular
frequencies, and I've never seen any info. on the Net to indicate otherwise.
However, since the PRO-2040 has only a dual conversion scheme, the scanner
might be able to pick up cell phone images in the 800-900 MHz band.

http://members.aol.com/RazzU/QuietOne.html

1618. Re: FL mobile scanners permissible?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rpuckett <rpuckett@innet.com>
Message-ID: <6lue7lutd1@news.innet.com>
Source record: 157129

It depends on who you are, who you know, or what you know. For starters, try this link http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/#scanner
ASTRO COM5 wrote in message <1998061101221500.VAA27108@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>Anyone in the group know if it's permissible for mobile scanner in FL?
Thanx.

1619. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3586bc35.358650803@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157130

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Philip J. Smith" <psmith@appliedsystems.com> wrote:

> It took until the mid-80s for Bearcat and Radio Shack just to drop the floor
> from 30 to 29MHz, allowing reception of 10-meter amateur FM. Here's my
> theory... The manufacturers hiostorically built "general coverage"
> receivers to handle everything under 30MHz, while "scanners" take care of
> the world above 30MHz. Manufacturers probably just said to themselves, "Want
> 27MHz? Get a general-coverage radio. Want Aircraft? Get a scanner."
>
> Pay no attention to the fact that the scanner could get two more uses out of
> the AM detector -- CB and 10m amateur -- in addition to just aircraft.
>
> I dismiss the "need too long of an antenna" theory, too. A Regency MX-7000,
> circa 1985, pulls in stations quite well using only the 30" stock whip.

Your theory is perfectly valid, but if true,
then it makes the manufacturers stupider than
I thought, in terms of being in tune with their
markets.

No question about it, the AM detector that's vital
for the aero bands requires only another electronic
switch and a few lines of code in the firmware to
implement 27-30 MHz coverage.

That's why I think the mfgrs see it as a way to
make more money from those who want it, and to
make more money from those who don't want it.

They can peddle a scanner with CB for more because
they can say it costs more. While they can peddle
a scanner without CB, but it costs more to take it out.

Circular logic, like others we know on this
newsgroup.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1620. Re: PRO-2040 Really Cover Cellular???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mervins@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980613180946600.OAA20834@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157131

Ah, but take a look at http://linux1.asbank.com/~oakie/pro2040.htm, with its less than definitive instructions.

>Sounds like hooey to me. According to KC5KTO's Radio Shack scanner
>modification page, the PRO-2040 cannot be modified to receive the cellular

1621. FS- Full 800 coverage scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bush143@postoffice.worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lufhd$9a9@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have several full 800 scanners for sale. They are all in excellent to mint condition, and work beautifully. They have no scratches or problems with any of these radio. Reasonable offers are always considered!

AOR-1000XLT, 1000 channel scanners, with full coverage from 500khz-1300mhz. Also covers shortwave. Its in mint condition with the original manual, box, charger and nicads. $275

Pr0-39, 200 channels, modified for full 800 coverage. Its in excellent condition with the manual. $200

Pro-2006, modified for full 800 coverage. In excellent condition, with the original manual. $300 or best offer

Uniden 2500XLT, 400 channels. In mint condition, with the rechargeable battery pack + Charger. This radio does not have full 800 coverage, and cannot be modified. $175

1622. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob C." <bob@bigbird.reno.nv.us>
Message-ID: <3582c183.0@news.greatbasin.net>
Source record: 157133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Nelson wrote in message <6ltfpqlu9@bashir.peak.org>...
>Bob C. (bob@bigbird.reno.nv.us) wrote:

>: Even as I speak, the feds are trying to force the cell phone
>: companies to provide easy access of your supposed "private" cell
>: phone conversations to the FBI.

>>Bill Nelson wrote back: (billn@peak.org)

>Few people use cordless phones any more. I doubt if the feds even
>bother with them.
>
>It has already been done. It never was hard, if you had a few
thousand
>dollars to purchase the right kind of service monitor.

I understand this as I do have several service monitors in which
cell phones are easy to read.
As I understand things, the Feds couldn't use this approach for
other than informal local "spying".
The Fed mandates are more widespread than this. They want to find
your phone no matter where you are.
Thru software/hardware of the cell infrastructure they can do
this and even triangulate to a reasonable degree.
In so doing, they are involving themselves with the major cell
carriers to facilitate having Fed equipment linked to the cell
switches so they can monitor "at will".
I believe laws have been passed which force the cell carriers to
modify their equipment allowing the Feds' access.
As a sidenote, anyone having a two way pager can also be
triangulated because the pager sends back an acknowlegment when a
page is received.
On to cordless phones. I dont know where you live but cordless
phones are the norm here and all the other places I've lived. Just
take a listen to the 46/49 mhz area and the 900 mhz band and you'll
see there are more folks out there than you think using these. I
personally have overheard drug deals and other such sensitive
conversations over cordless phones.
Bob C.

1623. Re: Power Supply for BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Milligan <Milligar@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <6lugoprei1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 157134
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

You need 13.8v rated at 700-mA or more.

Adam Brock wrote in message <357f279c.0@news1.annapolis.net>...
>I have a BC9000XLT.
>
>Can someone *please* advise exactly what power supply I need for a
BC9000XLT.
>
>The one I am using is from a BC855XLT... but it get's hot.
>
>Thanks.

1624. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "MIKE L."
Message-ID: <6lug58$cjo@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hypothetical Question:

A person you know is in need of immediate help; they're using a
'cordless phone' to contact the police. You happen to be monitoring
the 'cordless' freqs. on your scanner when you catch the call. You
listen and you realize that the person is talking to no one! This person
sounds frantic and keeps asking if anyone can hear them!
You take it upon yourself to call the police and give them the info on
what just transpired.

ARE YOU DOING SOMETHING ILLEGAL?

Isn't it only ILLEGAL if you use the information in any way that would
cause harm to another person, or aid a person or persons in the commission
a crime?

Mike L.

1625. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980613182756OO.OAA22718@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157136

>From: Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp)

>I doubt that we will miss anything of value from these odiums
>of the human race as I have witnessed little if anything in the way of
>on topic, informative posting from the whole lot in the past couple of
>months.

That includes you of course, since all you yet to post a single message on
topic.

1626. Re: Electra Bearcat 220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: 207Lillypads@Juno.com
Message-ID: <6luh8t$60j$1@news.ncal.verio.com>
Source record: 157137

In article <6luc93$dov@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>, hhowell@worldnet.att.net wrote:
>Does anyone know if the Electra Bearcat 220 is a good scanner? How
>much is a working one worth complete with documentation?

I serviced them in years past. In their day, they were a good scanner.
Still are, if you don't require tons of memory frequencies and 800MHz. I
still like them because they are simple to use. I still use my BC 200 (it's
predecessor.)

I doubt the 220 is worth much nowadays; maybe somewhere between $15 - 40,
depending on condition. When a scanner gets below a certain value, I either
keep it as a spare or give it away.

Ed

1627. Smoky Mountain Region Scanner Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: drickch19d@sgi.net
Message-ID: <3582d056.53902326@news.sgi.net>
Source record: 157138
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tn.general

Smoky Mountains Region Scanner Mailing List

In an effort to assist scanner users in the region, I have created the Smoky Mountains Region Scanner (VOLscanner) Mailing list.

This list is for the discussion of radio scanners in the Smoky Mountains area of Tennessee, specifically Knox & Sevier counties. Including the cities of Knoxville, Sevierville, Pigeon Forge, Gatlinburg, Kodak, and Mascot. Sharing of information on local public safety and any other users of 2 way radios from frequencies to channel plans and more. Need help with getting information or have information to share? Please join the list to make monitoring in the area the best experience it can be! GO VOLS! ! !(Note: Discussions on monitoring of cordless & cellular phones is NOT WELCOME!)

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/volscanl.htm

1628. Re: NiMH Batteries in IC-R10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: schuster_@at_panix_.dot_com
Message-ID: <6luja5$ps2@news1.panix.com>
Source record: 157139
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6lubva$10r$1@argentina.it.earthlink.net>,
Dale Klotz <not@available.net> wrote:
>Does anyone know if it is possible to use NiMH batteries like the
>NEXcell 1300 mAh AA's in the Icom R10?

Absolutely.

>I suspect the R10 internal charger is not designed for NiMH so they
>would need to be charged in an external unit.

The "internal charger" is usually nothing but a resistor to limit current
to C/16 or so with the supplied nicads. This is not inherently
incompatible with NiMH cells. The Quest/Ault/Harding/HydriMax overight wall
charger that is commonly sold with AA-size NiMH cells is no more
complicated than that (except for the addition of an LED to indicate that
current is flowing).

--
Mike Schuster | 70346.1745 at CompuServe dot COM
schuster at panix dot com | schuster at pol dot net

1629. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3583718E.6FAB@parg.org>
Source record: 157140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

allsafe wrote:
>
> allsafe wrote:
>
> > The flames will stop when you stop posting.
> >
>
> Ho-ho! Here I am responding to my own post. Why? I don't remember
> posting this one. Who's the clown from rec.aviation.student? Not nice
> to snatch someone's handle. Go make up your own.

You have to wonder about someone (like ALLSAFE) who tries to coordinate ways to make trouble rather than ways to resolve an issue. His intention of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.

1630. Re: HB-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOSOX <savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd96fd96352700c34306d1@savy>
Source record: 157141

Yes still have the manuals that came with the unit. I have never used it
I received as part of a package. The 2006 has on/off switch for light so
its very
bright, cell mode as well as 2 switches in the back that I haven't quite
figured out
what they do yet.
Bill, how much would this be worth if I were to sell, I don't think I am
going
to use this scanner.

TIA

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<35829db9.350846222@news2.cts.com>...
> "BOSOX" <savy@ma.ultranet.com> wrote:
>
> > I have a 2006 that came with an hb-232 how is that different from the
> > ce-232 or is it the same thing??
>
> It's basically the same thing. The software is
> the same. HB-232 v1.3 is the latest as seen on
> the main operating screen. The board is slightly
> different.
>
> For the CE-232, we redid the layout of the board
> to make it easier to get to the lesser known
> user features such as the OutBytes, InBytes,
> and Status Inputs.
>
> Your older HB-232 board still has all those points,
> but instead of easier access from the top of the
> board, they're down on the solder side.
>
> A new Windows program is coming out soon for the
> CE/HB-232 that takes advantage of the eight 8-bit
> A/D converters.
>
> Do you have the manual that originally came with
> the unit?
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \

> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---
>
>
>

1631. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <6lui0c$laa@news.dx.net>
Source record: 157142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

--
Roger Halstead K8RI and EAA Chapter 1093 Historian
N833R World's oldest Debonair? S# CD-2
http://members.tm.net/rdhalste

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote in message <3582153D.4166@parg.org>...
>allsafe wrote:
>> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>> > Lets
>> > ALL stop the flames & nasty comments IMMEDIATELY! Those who agree
>> > or disagree with this will demonstrate their TRUE intentions for this

Actually I find the so called "off topic" posts quite informative,
particularly when they may kinda, sorta, almost touch on aviation, or at
least those who are, or want to become pilots.

I think they do a very good job of providing information as to who is/is
not, or will/will not become good pilots.

Flying requires a level headed think ahead approach.

Being able to ramain, or at least feeling of staying anomyous on the net
allows a persons true personality to come through and gives a view that
rarely shows in face to face contact in real life.

I used to find all the flames irksome, now I view them in a different light.

Roger
>

>allsafe wrote:
>> I don't like you. You're worthless. I'm gonna continue to post whatever
>> I feel like posting. I amuse myself at your expense. I'll never stop.
Get
>> used to it.
>
>Too bad, allsafe! I had hoped you would support ending the flames
>rather than trying to continue them.
>
>how pathetic you are, I feel sorry for you.
>

1632. For Sale pro 2005-2006 scanner Cell!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6luj8c$5u6@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157143

selling a base radio shack 400 ch pro 2005 scanner great cond looks new looks just like a pro 2006 scanner only difference is Hyperscan can do a speed up mode on this model also works the same looks the same hers the same selling for 250.00 plus shipping in so cal... email me thanks..

1633. SE OH Region Scanner Radio Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: drickch19d@sgi.net
Message-ID: <3583d09f.53974828@news.sgi.net>
Source record: 157144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,oh.general,cmh.general,osu.general

Now available:

This list is for the discussion of radio scanners in the South East Ohio area. This area includes the OH counties of Athens, Jackson, Lawrence, Gallia, Scioto, Meigs, Vinton, Hocking, and Washington also coverage and discussion from Cabell (Huntingdon) and Wood (Parkersburg) in WV and Boyd (Ashland) & Greenup counties in KY is welcome. Sharing of information on local public safety and any other users of 2 way radios from frequencies to channel plans and more. Need help with getting information or have information to share? Please join the list to make monitoring in the area the best experience it can be! Discussions from conventional to trunking to digital modes welcome! (Note: Discussions on monitoring of cordless & cellular phones is NOT WELCOME!)

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/seohscanl.htm

For those in the Belmont, Jefferson & Columbiana Counties of OH, check out the Western PA Scanner Mailing List for discussions in your area.

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/wpascanl.htm

1634. **OPERATION GUIDE FOR 7100 YUPI??**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: blade1@home.com
Message-ID: <3584d091.144157957@news>
Source record: 157145

Does anyone out there have a simple FAQ covering the operation of the
7100 YUPITERU?????

Thanks

1635. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9712$20d6de80$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157146

I think they are married to each other, but can`t decide who has to change his last name. ;o}

Charlie

MAlexder <malexder@aol.com> wrote in article
> Oh you mean Ryan or James Tsonger or The Tsongers--names the two of them use?
> They're a couple so I never know which one is doing the posting.

1636. Re: JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3582D50B.95D@parg.org>
Source record: 157147

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> I would like to know more about
> the qualifications for joining
> PARG...
SNIP
> what are the qualifications? anyone know (hint)

All you had to do was ask!

ARTICLE III - MEMBERSHIP
Membership shall consist of: Full or Associate and each my be granted Lifetime and/or Honorary status. Any new member must be nominated by a current member with a second nomination. Once the nomination has been made and seconded then a third member must accept the responsibility of sponsoring the prospective new member. The Membership Committee will have reviewed the application & make recomendation to the general membership. Then discussion of accepting the member will begin. This discussion will include the level of membership (full, associate, honorary, and lifetime). After discussion voting approval will be held. All new members will be required to complete a six month probationary period. The purpose of sponsoring is for the a current member to ensure the proper conduct, activities, and operation of the new member to be worthy of PARG membership and representation. The sponsor should intermittently meet and review as needed with the new member to discuss such issues.

1. Full Membership:
a. Must have a valid amateur radio license.
b. Meet the approval of the membership committee which is to be appointed by the President from the membership as he deems appropriate.
c. Each full member may hold elected office and shall carry one vote and may exercise this vote only in person and not by proxy.
d. A prospective member's request for full membership can not be approved if it would cause the percentage of PARG full members with ARRL membership to be below 65%.

2. Associate Membership:
a. Associate members may not hold elected office or but may serve on committees.
b. The purpose of Associate Membership is to provide a reserve force to supplement the active membership. Associate members are dedicated to the Team's principles and objectives, but cannot assume full membership responsibilities.
c. Associate members do not have voting privileges.

3. Honorary Membership
a. Honorary members shall be selected by a unanimous vote of a quorum at a board meeting and by a majority vote at a regular meeting, to honor any individual deemed deserving.
b. Honorary members may hold either a full or associate membership as approved by the board and membership.

4. Lifetime Membership
a. A member, Regular or Associate, in good standing, may be designated as a Lifetime member by the Executive Board.
b. Full Members are the only members to have voting rights. Only Full Members will hold elected office.

1637. Cedar Point, Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lun4a$46s$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157148

Here is a listing of information from my latest Cedar Point trip. Feel free
to give more information on unidentified frequencies.

cedar fair lp - knat936

412852N, 0824053W

151.6850 Voice Paging
154.5150 Hotel Breakers, Shuttle Busses, Aux Voice Paging
461.3000 Police
463.8625 Maintenance
464.2125 ?
464.3125 ?
464.3750 Park Operations (Channel "B")
464.4125 Parking, Tolls, Traffic
464.5125 Emergency Services and Fire?
464.5750 Ride Operations (Channel "A")
464.6125 ?

466.3000 }
468.8625 } Repeater Input
469.2125 } Frequencies
469.3125 }
469.4125 }
469.5125 }
469.6125 }

Cedar Point Marina

154.425 MC 68 Non-Commercial
156.450 MC 09 Boater Calling. Commercial and Non-Commercial
156.800 MC 16 International Distress, Safety and Calling

- - - - - - - -

The extensive use of signal codes makes it difficult to monitor. Anyone with
input on what any codes would be, please message me . . .

Signal Codes

1 contact by telephone "signal 1 3109" "signal 1 mantis"
2 contact in person "signal 2 by magnum short enterance"
5
7 out of service
8 in service
14 "what is your 14?"
15 off duty? - "unit XX is signal 15"

17
20 location - "what is your 20?"
25 closed for the night?
33 (emergency?)
35
37 mechanical problem? - "signal 37 blue streak mechanical"
38 attraction closed? - "soak city complex is signal 38"
39 need approval to reopen? - "signal 39 demon drop"
80

- - - - - - - -

Sandusky

460/5.5750 Fire Department - - - main
453/8.5000 Fire Department
460/5.2500 Police Department

- - - - - - - -

It's amazing how many people you see carrying around FRS/GMRS type radios, but haven't managed to do any monitoring of these frequencies . . .

GMRS/FRS

462.5500 GMRS
462.5625 FRS 1, GMRS
462.5750 GMRS
462.5875 FRS 2, GMRS
462.6000 GMRS
462.6125 FRS 3, GMRS
462.6250 GMRS
462.6375 FRS 4, GMRS
462.6500 GMRS
462.6625 FRS 5, GMRS
462.6750 GMRS
462.6875 FRS 6, GMRS
462.7000 GMRS
462.7125 FRS 7, GMRS
462.7250 GMRS
467.5500 GMRS
467.5625 FRS 8
467.5750 GMRS
467.5875 FRS 9
467.6000 GMRS
467.6125 FRS 10
467.6250 GMRS
467.6375 FRS 11
467.6500 GMRS
467.6625 FRS 12
467.6750 GMRS
467.6875 FRS 13

467.7000 GMRS
467.7250 GMRS
467.7125 FRS 14

Jeff

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1638. FORGED POST!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3582D6FD.6071@parg.org>
Source record: 157149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

The post below is also forged. I didn't write it!

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> You have to wonder about someone (like ALLSAFE) who tries to coordinate
> ways to make trouble rather than ways to resolve an issue. His
> intention of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.

1639. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9715$5a19f580$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157150

Philip,
Alot of scanners cover 25mhz & up. Radio Shack models PRO 2004, 2005,
2006 & the list goes on.

Charlie

Philip J. Smith <psmith@appliedsystems.com> wrote in article
<6lubqb$67n$1@supernews.com>...

>The manufacturers hiostorically built "general coverage"
> receivers to handle everything under 30MHz, while "scanners" take care of
> the world above 30MHz. Manufacturers probably just said to themselves,
"Want
> 27MHz? Get a general-coverage radio. Want Aircraft? Get a scanner."

1640. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3582D77A.667B@parg.org>
Source record: 157151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

George Vetterle wrote:
> You are, indeed, a most fortunate young man to have Mackey on your
> side. He doesn't agree with *just* anybody you know. Heh, Heh, Heh!
>
> George L. Vetterle

Thanks for your support George!

1641. ALINCO DJ-X-10 FCC APPROVED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fishbags@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061320074200.QAA28805@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157152

The Alinco DJ-X10 0.1-2gHZ ALL MODE ALPHNUMERIC 1200CH scanner was FCC type accepted on June 11. It will be sold w/drop-in charger & nicad batt included and will be on dealer shelves in about 10 days to 2 weeks. (cell blocked of course).

1642. Re: JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3582DC7A.9DFD8162@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 157153

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> I would like to know more about
> the qualifications for joining
> PARG...
>
> can anyone (hint!) supply readers
> with the qualifications necessary
> for their considering members by>...
>
> is there a list?
>
> is there a faq?
>
> what are the qualifications? anyone know (hint)

You wouldn't qualify. Only morons and genetically deficient cretins
are eligible to join.

Remember what Groucho Marx used to say, "Who would want to belong to
a club that would let me in."

1643. Re: Mackey's eyelids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9697$74581680$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote in article
<358193D4.B14BE155@netwit.com>...
> Ryan Faricy wrote:
> >>If I see any more of this FROM ANYONE, I will
> >>be reporting them to the ISP. These are off-topic messages that have
> >>been continuing for months. This is a SCANNER GROUP, not a FLAME
> GROUP!

allsafe wrote:
> Perhaps the plug you pull should be your own.

I write:
Very well put allsafe. My hat is off to you. :>)

1644. Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd969b$5a24cb60$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157155

AJH,

Buy the book *The Ultimate Scanner* and open it to page 113. tells everything you need to know to build one & how to install it. Call Index Publishing Group at :1 800 546-6707. You can also get a kit from RAMSEY. Your choice.

Good Luck & Happy Scanning,
Charlie

AJH <ajh@megsinet.n-t> wrote in article <6ls02o$8dm$1@news.megsinet.net>...
> Does anyone here have a text file on how to build an SCA decoder? I am very
> interested in this subject but cannot find a whole lot of information on it,
> any help would be appreciated.

1645. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9699$20a09740$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That was my SECOND guess.

Charlie

The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
<6lspkk$l2c@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...

> My excuse? I'm too lazy.

1646. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd969c$3f683360$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157157

Kevin Lanning <kll@ns.esn.net> wrote in article
<01bd9652$85dd4f00$be0e9ace@kevin>...
> Why are there braille dots on the keypad of the drive-up ATM?

I dunno. I`m still trying to figure out the speed of dark. 8o}

Charlie

1647. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd969e$f7b6e680$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157158

The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
<6lsp25$hji@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
> - your responses
> aren't worth reading anyway,

Pardon me, but are you some kind of "god"? I mean. Do you know ANYTHING
`bout scanners? I think it be best for you to quit typing & read some of
Cheeks post before you write here again. (or should I call you "Mr.
Wizard")

> nor should be dignified with a response.

Didn`t stop you from responding anyway, now did it.

Charlie

1648. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd969d$67d0a200$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157159

Wrong again bucko`s. Mr. Cheek has ALWAYS responded to me as a gentleman.
He does have a habit of treating people the same way they treat him. What`s
that tell ya?

Get a life,
Charlie

The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
<6lsp39$hok@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...

> >> You will soon learn to dislike him - he is a constant off-topic flaming

1649. Re: ALINCO DJ-X-10 FCC APPROVED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: read.the@reply.to
Message-ID: <96A7A2074D8A5587.F0DEF1A1DE626F9F.C500D0EE4FEFB8EF@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 157160

On 13 Jun 1998 20:07:42 GMT, fishbags@aol.com (Fishbags) wrote:

> The Alinco DJ-X10 0.1-2gHZ ALL MODE ALPHNUMERIC 1200CH scanner was FCC type
>accepted on June 11. It will be sold w/drop-in charger & nicad batt
>included and will be on dealer shelves in about 10 days to 2 weeks. (cell
>blocked of course).

Do you know if they fixed the MAJOR battery drainage problem?
(battery doesn't last long at all in the import version)

1650. Re: speech inverters... your comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3582CAD3.7554@qsl.net>
Source record: 157161

marc_k wrote:
>
> drgrant@ziplink.net wrote:
> : On Tue, 02 Jun 1998 08:31:34 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
> : wrote:
>
> : >"D.B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net> wrote:
> : >
> : >> I just ordered a speech inverter *unscrambler*....Looking for comments
> : >> and sugestions on any and all.....whats your opinion and which do you
> : >> think is the best?
> : >> When I get mine, I will post my findings here:
> : >> http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/scramble.htm
> : >> Please send me your findings.
> : >
> : >Worthless as mammary glands on a boar hog and
> : >hips on a snake and hair on a fish.
> : >
> : >No agencies except maybe a few hicks in the sticks
> : >use speech inversion anymore.
> : >
>
> : Who really implied that he was going to use it to listen to cops?
> : There are lots of uses for a speech inverter.... there are some hams
> : on 80 meters using frequency inversion.... even though it is illegal
> : for them to do so. I would love to be able to tune them in....
>
> : -Mike
>
> One use for them:in some areas, some ships use inversion scrambling(on
> the east coast, Canadian fishing vessels tend to do this).
****

I have been having a blast with my speech inverter! There are several
different freqs here to listen to.

--
Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
Or just click on the address below!
mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net
Web site at:
http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp

1651. Re: FL mobile scanners permissible?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tree <commace@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3582E7EB.7EDA@usa.net>
Source record: 157211

rpuckett wrote:
>
> It depends on who you are, who you know, or what you know. For starters, try
> this link http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/#scanner
> ASTRO COM5 wrote in message
> <1998061101221500.VAA27108@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> >Anyone in the group know if it's permissible for mobile scanner in FL?
> Thanx.
Mobile scanners are only allowed if you are a licensed amateur radio
operator.

1652. Sportcat SC150 Keypad Tricks??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net>
Message-ID: <01bd970e$bfd84540$af58cccf@default>
Source record: 157212

Anyone have any tricks for this model?
Thanks,
Glenn

1653. California Penal Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Motomij <motomij@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3582E8A0.2982AFC7@aol.com>
Source record: 157213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.activism.community,alt.activism.children

Mark Krubsack wrote:

> Does anyone know of a url pointing to a list of the CA Penal (and other) Code
> sections? The local PD uses the code cites a lot in their transmissions.
>
> Thanks.

its' right here: http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/calaw.html

1654. Re: FL mobile scanners permissible?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <orlscan@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <o9Cg1.1990$%f6.3180314@news2.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 157214

Mobile, Only a Ham

Now you can get Away With a Portable in the Car

Jason Webb
The Orlando FL Trunktracking Page
http://www.swl.net/orlandotrunking

1655. Re: NYC Coroner's !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: lordofthespam.wa2sri@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <lordofthespam.wa2sri-1306981812470001@nyc-ny77-24.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157215
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mostly dead carriers!

In article <357B6BDA.2D640A10@banet.net>, X <fillip@banet.net> wrote:

> Hey NYC action band listeners !
> Have You ever found out NYC most exciting freqs ?
> What are they ? I will put them on the up comming www. site and the
> credit will be given to you.
>
> I'm interested in NYC Coroner's Freqs.
>
> Thanx a lot and hope to hear from ya
>
> Mike
>
> Please replay to radiosvc@hotmail.com

1656. help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: us two <yellowrose13@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9717$402a5500$972374cc@ns1.teleplex.ns1.teleplex>
Source record: 157216

i have a regency h256b two way radio/scanner i picked up at a local flea market. have no instuctions. need info on programming ang getting the darn thing to scan. any help would be greatly appreciated. hope i'm not in the wrong group, if so i appoligize. thanks.

1657. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Adam C. Harrison" <aharrison@nospam.harrisonpc.com>
Message-ID: <eXCg1.1310$lo6.13715597@news.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 157217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

After watching this site for years, it never ceases to amaze me that Emilio has been making up these great fiction stories for years and, yet, there are still people that think he is serious. WAKE UP CALL::: Emilio writes these fiction stories to generate long threads of great discussion and it works great.

I personally think the guy is hilarious. Keep it up Emilio.

For everyone else - remember: FICTION.

Main Entry: fic&#65533;tion
Pronunciation: 'fik-sh&n
Function: noun
Etymology: Middle English ficcioun, from Middle French fiction, from Latin fiction-, fictio act of fashioning, fiction, from fingere to shape, fashion, feign -- more at DOUGH
Date: 14th century
1 a : something invented by the imagination or feigned; specifically : an invented story b : fictitious literature (as novels or short stories) c : a work of fiction; especially : NOVEL

---------- Adam

William J. Carty Jr <102150.3700@compuserve.com> wrote in message <35824842.2C02A965@compuserve.com>...
>You have got to be the biggest moron that has ever walked the face of
>the earth! You dummy!
>
>First off like other have said before me you are bloody damn lucky that
>the police officer didn't kill you! If it had been me I wouldn't have
>called for back up I would have found out where you were and had little
>counseling session with my night stick. Hopefully swating you but enough
>time would put your brains back into allignment!
>
>Secondly and as important if not more. You and other dummies like you
>are on of the reason's that restrictive scanner laws are written and
>passed. You m,ay have just given more ammunition to the let's ban
>scanners activist in congress! Jesus! why can't people use their toys in
>a responsible sane manner!
>
>Emilio Goldstein wrote:
>
>> Boy, they do not have much internet access in jail. Well i'm glad
>> that's
>> over.

>> I'm all set for loads of fun! i spent $149 for a super laser-pen. It
>> shoots red laser dot the length of a football field. I tried this
>> last
>> night and it's great. This sheriff or constable or someone says over
>> the
>> radio that he's going to pick up this guy who has a warrant. It turns
>> out
>> that that was where I was going happen to be located anyway, so I
>> drove all
>> the way to this fugitives house and parked down the block. When the
>> officer showed up, I landed a little red dot right in his chest, and
>> he
>> kind of freaqued. He called dispatch and said hey I've got this little
>> red
>> dot on my chest and it follows me around. I can hear it all on my
>> scanner
>> radio. Anyway they sent him a backup and when the backup arrived, the
>> red
>> dot dissapeared.
>> So they get on the radio and say that the red dot is gone, and what
>> the
>> heck , and when the backup turns around, the red dot shows up again. I
>> can
>> hear it all on the scanner-rama. It was a load of fun.
>> So they take this criminal to jail , and I happen to pull up next to
>> them
>> at a stop light, and presto there's this little red dot on the guy's
>> right
>> hand. I can see him looking it over , and anyway he missed the green
>> light
>> and some guy behind toots his horn. I make a quick right turn and get
>>
>> away.
>> later the guy is talking to the other guy on the channel about the
>> little
>> red dot, and how strange it is but I don't care. I got my rights.
>> They
>> shoot radio signals through my body, I got a right to listen to them,
>> and I
>> got a right to shoot little red laser beams through the window of the
>> squad
>> car.
>
>
>

1658. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6luudq$dc5@sjx-ixn11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157218

In <3584ad18.13598357@news.alt.net> Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp) writes:
>

>etc........ I'm just so god damned tired of reading day in and day
>out, what seemingly are to be adults making the most absolute of fools
>out of themselves. It gets to the point where one is embarrassed to
>think that you are part of the same species of life as these trolling
>fools.

What are you blabbering about?

What are you failing to think about?

What are you alluding to?

What embarrassment? To whom?
That is a reaction to something you do
to yourself. No one does it to you.
you choose to be embarrassed. It is
your emotional inferiority that makes
you "embarrassed" just as being guilty
is lame and stupid.

So...tell me

Who iin the hell forces you to read
what is obviously labelled in full view
for you not to read? you know before
you enter that the topic will be based
on what it is for.

no one is forcing you TO read. The group
does not give a damn if you read or not.
What is there is just there. That is the
reality of "NG"s" bub.

NG's exist to dispense what people think
about (in Mackey's case the inability to
think much past taking a dump and what is
still edible) for that moment in time.

Being upset that you are so stupid not to
be able to "see the signs" labelling the
road taken is your choosing. you choose
to go down this road and get upset at the
posters because you had a bad dump.

Grow up. get on with whatever will allow your
bowells to be freed and accept the newsgroup
for what it is:

a fixture of collected shit in all
flavors and shapes and smells. In
time shit will flower. Roses will
grow from it. shit is a very very
good fertilizer for the brain. You
learn so much by reading with an
open mind.

If Mackey had not posted we would not know how
fucked up he is. He's a witless zit upon
the lifeless tounge of a dead pig in the
swill of which found in.

Learn from what is posted.

You need to take a few refresher courses
in basic "to think"

really. Why not pray that mackey eats himself.

question mark.

Make it a religious foray into the world
of make-believe. Be one with the stupid.

pray. it will keep you quiet.

bill conduit
etc.

1659. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3582FECC.4379FFDB@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 157219

MAlexder wrote:
>
> >From: Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp)
>
> >I doubt that we will miss anything of value from these odiums
> >of the human race as I have witnessed little if anything in the way of
> >on topic, informative posting from the whole lot in the past couple of
> >months.
>
> That includes you of course, since all you yet to post a single message on
> topic.

I think the good doctor has been gargling with Zyklon B again.

1660. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: davcrossNOT@voicenet.com
Message-ID: <35830e8a.1078791@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 157220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

So make sure you keep your cordless phone conversation off my baby
monitor. You can change channels I cannot.

Dave

billn@peak.org (Bill Nelson) wrote:

>Dave (davcrossNOT@voicenet.com) wrote:
>: >
>: >: Based on memory of a few years ago, cordless phones are not
>: >: illegal to monitor. This may have changed but I havent kept close
>: >: enough attention.
>: >
>: >It changed. Congress is like that. Let them get a toenail in the door
>: >and the whole elephant comes barging in.
>: >
>: >Ron
>: If it changed then I guess they have to recall all of the baby
>: monitors. remember they are the same frequency.
>
>Ah, but you can legally listen to baby monitors - unless they are
>receiving a cordless phone conversation.
>
>--
>Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

1661. Wierd Hearings on Scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6luvfs$dqe@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157221

Fire dept issues a HEAVY RESCUE alert and
out goes one truck and two rescue vehicles
and ambulance called and police alerted to
get there Code 3.

First unit on scene says:

Volkswagon turned over.

get it: light vs Heavy Rescue

bill conduit
etc.

1662. Re: FS- Full 800 coverage scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bro <cipher@mcn.org>
Message-ID: <358301B0.D79BE48B@mcn.org>
Source record: 157222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I'll give you $50 for all of them.

Kin Ho wrote:

> I have several full 800 scanners for sale. They are all in excellent
> to mint condition, and work beautifully. They have no scratches or
> problems with any of these radio. Reasonable offers are always
> considered!
>
> AOR-1000XLT, 1000 channel scanners, with full coverage from
> 500khz-1300mhz. Also covers shortwave. Its in mint condition with
> the original manual, box, charger and nicads. $275
>
> Pr0-39, 200 channels, modified for full 800 coverage. Its in
> excellent condition with the manual. $200
>
> Pro-2006, modified for full 800 coverage. In excellent condition,
> with the original manual. $300 or best offer
>
> Uniden 2500XLT, 400 channels. In mint condition, with the
> rechargeable battery pack + Charger. This radio does not have full
> 800 coverage, and cannot be modified. $175

1663. FS: UBC9000XLT Base Scanner (Cellular)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <35830a15.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 157223

```
Bearcat UBC9000XLT Base Scanner
Perfect Condition
Box, Manuals, Antenna
Full Coverage (869-894 Cellular)

$350
```

1664. FS: AOR 8000 + accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bat22@iquest.net
Message-ID: <6lv1g6$kq7$1@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 157224

AOR8000 (full coverage) mint condition
AR8000INF computer interface
carry case
original box,manuals and accessories
extra battery cover

Sell for $475 including UPS ground service. I will accept pre-payment in the form of a cashiers check or money order only. If you require COD, I will ship FEDEX COD cash only plus charge additional $5 for COD fee.

Michael Fry N9LFN
Noblesville, IN.USA

*reference available from major amateur radio dealer I do way to much business with......

1665. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <358309f4.4142930@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 157225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 20:21:54 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
>> Johnny boy won't do it , he is too stupid to understand the simple
>> concept of the terms you presented .
>> He will find fault with it as usual, berate you and say how he whipped
>> you on the newsgroup and now your crying uncle, just so he can keep his
>> crap going .
>
>Hardly true. Infact, I have offered Bill Cheek TWO different
>plans of resolution & HE is the one who is stalling!
>
>Perhaps YOU should ask BILL CHEEK why he is avoiding an agreement
>to end the problems HERE?
>

haha

1666. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9722$07e6f260$8258cccf@default>
Source record: 157226

Yes but you will have to use a splitter,the kind used for TV
installations.You can get one at radio shack.

D-Boy <videoinc@mail.msn.com> wrote in article
<6lriu9$mlu@apple.telalink.net>...
> Is it possible to connect three handheld scanners to a single antenna? I
> would like to be able to use three at once, each scanning different
> frequencies with the reception benefits of an external antenna. Any
> thoughts on this?
> Thanks
>
> D-Boy
>
>
>

1667. Re: Atascadero, CA???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6lv2un$h42@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157227

Try this out for size!

http://www.slonet.org/~etreankl/freqs-l2.html

Mike Hansow wrote in message <3581C4DA.24DA@eskimo.com>...
>Anybody have freq info for Atascadero/Morro Bay area. I am planning a
>trip to visit family there next month and am looking for any information
>that might be available.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Mike, KA7CSE
>ka7cse@eskimo.com

1668. Re: AR8200 homepage update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neal Fildes <n.r.fildes@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lv5de$nlg@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157228
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thank-you so much. the pictures are exciting, and the pdf
actually works unlike the version on the aor home page.

(a couple pictures would not download though, and I'd like to see the inside
of the
serial connector slot...)

nrf

1669. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: D-Boy <videoinc@mail.msn.com>
Message-ID: <6lv6v8$jkv@apple.telalink.net>
Source record: 157229

How did that question "open up the flamethrower"?
D-Boy

scanist@acmenet.net wrote in message <6ltveq$69e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>Hey D-Boy,
>I hope you realized that YOU have just opened up the flamethrower
>with that question !!!!!!
>Eddie Kosloski
>scanist@acmenet.net
>

1670. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: D-Boy <videoinc@mail.msn.com>
Message-ID: <6lv72a$jl3@apple.telalink.net>
Source record: 157230

Thanks for the reply. I've been to RS and they have several splitters.
They mainly carry ones of 75ohm. Is this acceptable? I had heard that
scanners had 50 ohm antennas.

D-Boy

Glenn J. Torres wrote in message <01bd9722$07e6f260$8258cccf@default>...
>Yes but you will have to use a splitter,the kind used for TV
>installations.You can get one at radio shack.
>
>D-Boy <videoinc@mail.msn.com> wrote in article
><6lriu9$mlu@apple.telalink.net>...
>> Is it possible to connect three handheld scanners to a single antenna? I
>> would like to be able to use three at once, each scanning different
>> frequencies with the reception benefits of an external antenna. Any
>> thoughts on this?
>> Thanks
>>
>> D-Boy
>>
>>
>>

1671. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lv9gk$pp7@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157231

A couple dozen? No, you've got your people mixed up. I admit I posted a few (maybe HALF a dozen), but not nearly 24. I've decided not to allow myself to dip as low as Cheek and post any more flames.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

MAlexder wrote in message
<1998061311505800.HAA15399@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>>Subject: Tip for Disgusted Participants!
>>From: "James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
>
>>If you've got Outlook Express (and I'll bet most of you do), you might
want
>>to utilise the Newsgroup Filters and just ignore the people who contribute
>>to the anarchy of this group.
>
>That would include you, of course, You've posted a couple dozen flames in
the
>last two days alone.

1672. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lv9ln$qcn@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157232

I've got a life, but call me sometime when I give a crap about your thoughts
of me.

Also, HE is the one that responded TO ME in a very rude manner - I posted a
question about an image to the newsgroup, and he criticized me about my
ignorance and said other things. I never knew him before this.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Charlie Dwenger wrote in message <01bd969d$67d0a200$LocalHost@default>...
>Wrong again bucko`s. Mr. Cheek has ALWAYS responded to me as a gentleman.
>He does have a habit of treating people the same way they treat him. What`s
>that tell ya?
>
>Get a life,
>Charlie
>
>The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
><6lsp39$hok@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>
>> >> You will soon learn to dislike him - he is a constant off-topic
flaming

1673. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lv9pr$r0l@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157233

Because this is a public place and this crap needs to be stopped. In case you haven't "gotten it" yet, I no longer reply TO Bill Cheek (hence my post to which you replied).

He (Bill) is intelligent, but his intelligence needs to be directed to something positive rather than flaming people publicly.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

MAlexder wrote in message
<1998061311342000.HAA18866@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>>Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
>>From: "The Tonsagers" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
>
>>And frankly, I don't care if I am on your "twit list" - your responses
>>aren't worth reading anyway, nor should be dignified with a response.
>
>If that's the case Ryan, why are you so persisently responding to every
post
>that mentions Cheek with flames?

1674. Re: Mackey's eyelids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lv9sf$rdg@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In what way is that convenient?
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Glen Quarnstrom wrote in message
<35a522d9.45371993@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>"The Tonsagers" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>You do have some good points about news filters, but quoting the "original
>>drivel" is helpful, especially when one forgets what a reply was actually
>>replying *to*.
>
>That's why there are references in the thread headers, and why
>experienced posters edit their replies to leave only the relevant
>parts. I suggest you study up on net practices and techniques.
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1675. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lva11$s6n@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I hold nothing against you. I, in fact, don't even know you and I am already
on your kill file.

I think that is unfair...
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Charlie Dwenger wrote in message <01bd9695$f5f660e0$LocalHost@default>...
> Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager,
>
> <plonk, plonk>
>
>(kill-file keeps going & going & going...)
>
>

1676. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lva4d$sl3@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That's true, as I've seen!

I don't know why, but my protest against the public flaming is earning myself many flames here - how unfair and utterly stupid! I just want this stuff to stop, yet immature people don't get it...
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

George Vetterle wrote in message <3582136e.4156998@nntp.ix.netcom.com>...
>On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 21:57:11 -0500, "The Tonsagers"
><jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>THANK YOU! Mackey is on my side, now.
>
>You are, indeed, a most fortunate young man to have Mackey on your
>side. He doesn't agree with *just* anybody you know. Heh, Heh, Heh!
>
>George L. Vetterle
>geovet@ix.netcom.com
>geovet@worldnet.att.net

1677. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lva62$sru@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Laziness is the mother of efficiency."

--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Charlie Dwenger wrote in message <01bd9699$20a09740$LocalHost@default>...
>That was my SECOND guess.
>
>Charlie
>
>The Tonsagers <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
><6lspkk$l2c@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>
>> My excuse? I'm too lazy.
>
>

1678. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lvade$kr@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157238

Why don't you count how many off-topic posts YOU'VE posted, huh?!

--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

MAlexder wrote in message
<1998061318275600.OAA22718@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>>From: Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp)
>
>>I doubt that we will miss anything of value from these odiums
>>of the human race as I have witnessed little if anything in the way of
>>on topic, informative posting from the whole lot in the past couple of
>>months.
>
>That includes you of course, since all you yet to post a single message on
>topic.

1679. FS CELLWAVE Transmitter Combininers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "j.kyong" <j.kyong@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lvado$cmi@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157239

Hi all
I have for sale.
CELLWAVE Transmitter Combininers.
4 Channel Cavity. 870MHz combiners will turn down to 860MHz.
Cellwave Model No : 505980
" " : C50598
" " : 505970
Dimensions : 13 X 18 X 13 inches.
Weight : 50 LBS
$ 475.00 Plus Shipping.
Tel: 714-778-5151
Thanks

1680. Re: Dialup FCC Database for searching, applying, etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <35832F81.36A3C16F@erols.com>
Source record: 157240
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have tried to access those pages, but they aren't loading.

Charlie

1681. The "Flame Wars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lvath$314@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157241

First and most importantly, I apologize for my participation in the flame wars. I will [at least try to] refrain from posting any more replies that have something to do with flaming. I apologize especially to Charlie Dwenger, with whom I've made an enemy quickly (I don't even know him). I apologize also to Bill Cheek - he is intelligent (I've said it a lot) but blunt and sarcastic, which are not always good qualities to expose publicly. To others who may have been offended in any way by me, my apologies also. I don't want to make any "enemies" - I just want this group to be what it was created for; scanners.

The only reason I have been participating in the wars is I just wanted it stopped. That may sound contradictory, and yes, it is. I have actually contributed to the agitation. I've learned a lesson from this, a simple one: "don't contribute to an already established irritability". Being a 15 year old, this "moral" will be useful to me now and later in life.

Thank you - maybe we can somehow stop this, but I don't know.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

1682. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lvb2b$3me@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

'When someone dies, it feels to me like an entire library has burned down.'
- Lori Anderson

You have an interesting comment - I wouldn't have ever made your association
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Roger Halstead wrote in message <6lui0c$laa@news.dx.net>...
>Actually I find the so called "off topic" posts quite informative,
>particularly when they may kinda, sorta, almost touch on aviation, or at
>least those who are, or want to become pilots.
>
>I think they do a very good job of providing information as to who is/is
>not, or will/will not become good pilots.
>
>Flying requires a level headed think ahead approach.
>
>Being able to ramain, or at least feeling of staying anomyous on the net
>allows a persons true personality to come through and gives a view that
>rarely shows in face to face contact in real life.
>
>I used to find all the flames irksome, now I view them in a different
light.

1683. Re: The "Flame Wars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lvb7b$4dd@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157243

One more thing: any person who continues to participate with the flame war will just show their true intentions.
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

1684. Re: ville de quebec

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lvbh0$5mu@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

(Pardon mon francais)

Essayez en allant au "Radio Shack" (si tu le sais) et demandez a leur les frequences.

J'espere que vous pouvez lire mon francais!
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge wrote in message <35831F06.E889CB3C@mediom.qc.ca>...
>Bonjour, est-ce qu'il y a des gens de quebec qui lis ces newsgroup?
>J'espere que oui!
>
>je cherche les frequences de TQS et de TVA. Je sais qu'il fonctionne
>sur trunking system mais si quelqu'un connais les frequences j'aimerai

1685. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lvbio$5tk@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

Just a well-meaning question: why do you call him "Smacksie"?
--
Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager

Bill Cheek wrote in message <3583b90f.357844772@news2.cts.com>...
>It's Smacksie on a forgery bender again.

1686. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6lv9nr$i1v$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 157246

I set up a store display and hooked up about ten scanners to a single outdoor antenna and had no reception problems at all. I used a BNC adapter that had one male side (to plug into the scanner) and two female sides to connect the cables among the scanners and the antenna. I used very short cables that I made that were just long enough to reach the other scanners. You could use the BNC splitters like I did or you can use a television type splitter with the F connectors on it. The very slight mismatch in the impedance (50 & 75 ohm) should make very little difference. If there is any loss, it will be in having to use several adapters to get the correct connections.

>D-Boy <videoinc@mail.msn.com> wrote in article
><6lriu9$mlu@apple.telalink.net>...
>> Is it possible to connect three handheld scanners to a single antenna? I
>> would like to be able to use three at once, each scanning different
>> frequencies with the reception benefits of an external antenna. Any
>> thoughts on this?

1687. Houston, TX frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6lvauhilp1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 157247

I never could find all the frequencies I wanted for the Houston area, so I posted all that I have on the web and hope that others can contribute some more. To see the frequencies I have for just Houston, TX go to http://members.aol.com/jdhtex/houstonscan/ .

Happy Scanning :-)

1688. Re: RS pro 60 How Much?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6lvapu$ik9$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 157248

I sold one the other day (in the box, just like I bought it) for $150. I could have probably sold it for more. I took the first offer and sold it quick.

1689. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dmitry Rovner <CyberBog@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <358287B4.FC40379F@email.msn.com>
Source record: 157249

I bought my AR-8000 scanner from Bandercom for $410 inluding shipping and handling. It took a little more than a month to get here all the way from Finland.

Ryan Faricy wrote:

> Most AOR, Icom, top-of-the-line Unidens, and other scanners start at 1KHz or
> 5KHz (usually under 1MHz). The only Radio Shack scanners that have done this
> are one portable from last year or the year before, I believe (25-1300 MHz),
> and the top-line base scanners.
>
> However, these are usually at least $300 (the AOR 8000 list price is about
> $700), and I've seen other receivers for over $5000.
>
> But, in direct answer to your question, I have no idea why they don't
> include it. It would be nice. I guess the makers want to cut costs...
> --
> Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager
>
> Ryan wrote in message <35816136.85BD6D80@flash.net>...
> >Why don't most scanners go down to the 27mgz? That way they could pick
> >up CB radios.... I was just thinking how it would be nice to pick up
> >the truckers on my scanner.....
> >
> >I'm just curious as to why scanners dont start at around 20mgz?
> >

1690. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35833CB5.3C214AD@ibm.net>
Source record: 157250

Glenn J. Torres wrote:
> Yes but you will have to use a splitter,the kind used for TV
> installations.You can get one at radio shack.
>
> D-Boy <videoinc@mail.msn.com> wrote in article
> > Is it possible to connect three handheld scanners to a single antenna? I
> > would like to be able to use three at once, each scanning different
> > frequencies with the reception benefits of an external antenna.

Stridsberg makes quality splitters and some come with pre-amps to
overcome the splitting loss. Be advised that they aren't
particularly cheap though. For more info, try the ScanCat site

http://www.scancat.com/

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

1691. Re: Dialup FCC Database for searching, applying, etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bro <cipher@mcn.org>
Message-ID: <35833F03.9C5D66B6@mcn.org>
Source record: 157251
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I haven't been able to get to fcc.gov myself... That's where I get all
my freqs.

Charlie Brookhart wrote:

> I have tried to access those pages, but they aren't loading.
>
> Charlie

1692. FS: PRO-2045 New!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth <aplus@austin360.com>
Message-ID: <3583439d.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 157252

This radio is brand new, never used! If you want a review and picture of this radio, go to: http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/PRO-2045.HTM $175.00, you ship. Thanks, Kenneth.

aplus@austin360.com

1693. Charleston SC. Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Jones <paul@grid9.net>
Message-ID: <6lvh69$p6t$1@chile.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157253

Hi All,

im looking for any info in the Charleston SC area
specifically, any trunking fleet codes etc.....

Thanks in Advance.... Paul

1694. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John D Griffin <johndgriffin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3583567D.38C2@yahoo.com>
Source record: 157254

Charlie Dwenger wrote:
>
> Philip,
> Alot of scanners cover 25mhz & up. Radio Shack models PRO 2004, 2005,
> 2006 & the list goes on.
>
> Charlie
>
> Philip J. Smith <psmith@appliedsystems.com> wrote in article
> <6lubqb$67n$1@supernews.com>...
>
> >The manufacturers hiostorically built "general coverage"
> > receivers to handle everything under 30MHz, while "scanners" take care of
> > the world above 30MHz. Manufacturers probably just said to themselves,
> "Want
> > 27MHz? Get a general-coverage radio. Want Aircraft? Get a scanner."

Handheld pro-26 Does also.

1695. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don.Marshall@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <023_9806132100@warpgate.com>
Source record: 157255

On 13 Jun 98 11:45:28, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

JM> His intention of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.

John, get your fucking ass off the internet and go back to the first
grade and learn how to spell before you get a nieve up your butt.

... Good sex means being told, "Stop and I'll kill you!!!
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Don Marshall 52:1000/211
|Internet: Don.Marshall@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1696. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit su

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don.Marshall@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <024_9806132100@warpgate.com>
Source record: 157256

On 13 Jun 98 12:46:49, John Mackey - Ka0Ssf said the following to All:

George Vetterle wrote:
> You are, indeed, a most fortunate young man to have Mackey on your
> side. He doesn't agree with *just* anybody you know. Heh, Heh, Heh!
> George L. Vetterle

JM> Thanks for your support George!

Do you wear your supporter only during games, John?

... Good sex means being told, "Stop and I'll kill you!!!
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Don Marshall 52:1000/211
|Internet: Don.Marshall@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1697. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3584dc75.6443828@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 157257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

billn@peak.org (Bill Nelson) wrote:

>Few people use cordless phones any more. I doubt if the feds even
>bother with them.

Are you kidding? Cordless phones are the hottest thing going. Almost
everyone has a cordless nowadays, it seems.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1698. REQ: Fairfax county frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Downey <downeyjl@clark.net>
Message-ID: <3582ADAE.6175@clark.net>
Source record: 157258

Hi, all...

Are there any good frequencies to monitor...specifically for Fairfax County, VA? I live right in the general vicinity of Fairfax and would like to know if anyone has some good frequencies to share...ie police dispatch, fire, etc...etc..you know...<G> I'm new to this stuff, so bear with me... anyone had success using the trunking features of the radioshack pro-90?

Jason

1699. REQ: Fairfax county, VA frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Downey <downeyjl@clark.net>
Message-ID: <3582ADC2.2961@clark.net>
Source record: 157259

Hi, all...

Are there any good frequencies to monitor...specifically for Fairfax County, VA? I live right in the general vicinity of Fairfax and would like to know if anyone has some good frequencies to share...ie police dispatch, fire, etc...etc..you know...<G> I'm new to this stuff, so bear with me... anyone had success using the trunking features of the radioshack pro-90?

Jason

1700. REQ for scanner mod on pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Downey <downeyjl@clark.net>
Message-ID: <3582AD0B.50DF@clark.net>
Source record: 157260

Hi, all...

Just picked up the pro-90 trunktracker scanner. It's a great scanner, however - lacks one feature I'd like to get (not a requirement, would be nice to have)....to use the CB frequencies on the scanner. Can I modify this scanner to support the 26mhz and lower frequencies? Specifically, I'd like to have 26.185mhz as channel 19 to listen to the truckers & general interstate traffic. That's all I'd want to do. Otherwise, based on other equipment I've seen, this scanner is good....it's just that I'd like to be able to listen to the CB traffic too. Any details on this type of modification, please help. I hear that this model is similar to a Bearcat model mentioned before...so would any modifications for this bearcat model work on the pro-90? Any leads would be appreciated. If this is covered in a FAQ somewhere that I am overlooking, let me know. Otherwise, this should be considered good material for one...(?)

Email me or post directly here. I'll be checking in to see if there are any replies regarding this. Seems like alot of people are wondering about modifications. I'm just wondering about a specific TYPE of mod. And no, I don't give a crap about cell mods, I just want the CB traffic capability. There's got to be a way to modify it for this purpose.

Also, is this scanner suitable for EMWIN weather signal processing? I plan on purchasing other equipment for EMWIN processing, but if the trunktracker can handle the signal, then I guess all I have to get is the demodulator to process the data. EMWIN is just a project I am looking into for weather data, so this isn't much of a requirement either. Any insight on this part of scanner usage would be greatly appreciated.

Jason

1701. Re: JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3582137D.32AA@parg.org>
Source record: 157261

pja wrote:
> I want to join PARG. Would you welcome me as a member?

Sure I would.

We are having a meeting this sunday nite. I know you
are in the Portland area. Do you want to attend?

1702. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35840fbc.314508167@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157262

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Ryan <ryanak@flash.net> wrote:

> Why don't most scanners go down to the 27mgz? That way they could pick
> up CB radios.... I was just thinking how it would be nice to pick up
> the truckers on my scanner.....
>
> I'm just curious as to why scanners dont start at around 20mgz?

That is interesting and there is no readily
apparent answer. Your best bet is to ask the
vendors and manufacturers directly, though each
will demur and sidestep by pointing out their
one or two offerings that do receive CB.

Therefore, do a little preliminary research first
and then pop the question along with the acknowledgement
of what they do offer. You might even mention that
you're not interested in THOSE scanners for whatever
reasons you can determine.

Even then, you're not likely to get a straight answer.
So I will tell you what I think.......

.....that they can get more money by bundling the CB
coverage in with higher model scanner. Just betwixt
thee and me and the doorpost, most scanners start at
30 MHz, and it would be no big deal to drop the coverage
another 10%. It might cost a buck more in several extra
parts, if that.

People are their own worst enemies. Your basic typical
dude traipses into Radio Schloque or Best Buy and notices
the scanner with CB coverage. But he ain't interested
in CB. So he asks how much for one just like it without
CB. I mean, the dude wants choices. So he gets 'em.

At a price and at a compromise.

Here's the real deal. If everyone held out and demanded
ONLY Radio Schloque's PRO-2042, the price on that puppy
would drop to a level on a par with their middle of the
line scanners.....easily in the low 200's. Maybe lower.

But no, hell no, folks gotta have choices, so sales on the 2042 drop to a fraction while others don't sell to their potential, and the result is 50% higher prices on everything.

But anyway, YOU ask and see if they conjure up some song and dance with fancy footwork. I'd be interested in what you find out.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1703. Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3582153D.4166@parg.org>
Source record: 157263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

allsafe wrote:
> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> > Lets
> > ALL stop the flames & nasty comments IMMEDIATELY! Those who agree
> > or disagree with this will demonstrate their TRUE intentions for this

allsafe wrote:
> I don't like you. You're worthless. I'm gonna continue to post whatever
> I feel like posting. I amuse myself at your expense. I'll never stop. Get
> used to it.

Too bad, allsafe! I had hoped you would support ending the flames
rather than trying to continue them.

how pathetic you are, I feel sorry for you.

1704. Allsafe causing trouble!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358215C1.45A@parg.org>
Source record: 157264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:
> I have a better idea. Let's behave like grown-ups and discuss scanners.

WELL SAID!

You have to wonder about someone (like ALLSAFE) who tries to coordinate ways to make trouble rather than ways to resolve an issue. His intention
of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.

1705. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3582163C.197B@parg.org>
Source record: 157265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

allsafe wrote:
>
> WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > I can't believe that the famous Bill Cheek responded to one of my posts.
> > I'm honored. I just have one question for you about Allsafe. Is his name
> > truly Allsafe or is he too hiding behind the anonymity of his computer?
>
> I can answer that: I appear on rec.radio.scanner as allsafe@netwit.com.

What is very disappointing is a person so pathetic that when asked who
he really is, still has to hide behind his screen name because he is
such a coward.

:(

1706. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3582168A.25CE@parg.org>
Source record: 157266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

allsafe wrote:

> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> > What is very disappointing is a person so pathetic that when asked who
> > he really is, still has to hide behind his screen name because he is
> > such a coward. :(

> That's right, you're not getting anything you want from me.

Allsafe-

Did I ever say I wanted anything from YOU!

For some reason YOU seem to be accepting responsibility for
the subject matter I stated above. Why is that? Guilt perhaps?

1707. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358217FC.1690@parg.org>
Source record: 157267

Bill Cheek wrote:

> It was the "WiNRADiO" angle. I made a post. Some
> unstable sort came out all snotty and rancorous, so
> I hosed 'im back.

OK, so you at least admit it. Perhaps you are trying
to change Bill?

> That's why I made it perfectly clear this time, though
> I did last time too, that it was just him and me.
> And Ohhhhhhh Nooooo, that won't do. Since he can't
> post anything but lies and flames, usually about me,
> he'd have nothing to do.

But Bill, the agreement I proposed to you stated that
NEITHER of us would post flames or nasty messages. Why
is it that you could not accept such a deal for the BOTH
of us? Certainly that would have ended the flames you
mention above!

Bill, you need to understand that the problems start with
YOUR actions. YOU, BILL CHEEK, are the one who (by your
OWN ADMISSION) chose to "hose" the guy because YOU didn't
like his response. YOU, BILL CHEEK, are the one who started
teh turmoil several months ago with your bogus posts under
fake/alias name of "winradio" & a few other names. YOU,
BILL CHEEK, are the one who has been requested NOT to further
abuse this NG by posting other un-welcomed messages like
the commercial posts you made about 2 years ago.

THAT is why I made the suggested truce that YOU appear to
have declined, obviously because it would prevent you
from causing further problems & clearly show you as
responsible for them if you did cause any more problems.

> The thing is, he comes in under different accounts that
> seemingly give no clue to his real identity. So if I

While I do have a few different e-mail addresses, I ALWAYS
use my name & callsign so there could be no
identity confusion.

> Ooooooohhhhh, that would be cool to catch him on the
> Springer show and take off his head and spit tobacco
> juice down his neck. Ahhhhh, I can see it all now..

Bill, I have told you that I would meet you anywhere,
anytime (within reason) on any mutually agreeable
terms. Recently, I suggested Dayton but YOU declined.

1708. new nypd scanner freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred schneider <belowmanh@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6lt3lrrpd1@bolivia.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157268

Hi all,
A while back I asked this question , but I never saved the URLs on paper . when I upgraded my machine,(new version 95,upgrade to 166 pent & 32 meg.ram) I did not save the info (DOH!!!) Can someone please post the URL.....

Thanks,
Fred

1709. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358216C5.2C9F@parg.org>
Source record: 157269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

Bill Cheek wrote:
> You need to go to the medical literature. That's
> the way hermaphrodytes think. The only other life
> form that thinks that way are syphilitic offspring

Bill -

Why do you do this?

Several people on this NG have asked for these flames
& attacks to END! Yet you chose to attack for ABSOLUTELY
NO REASON! YOU WERE NOT PROVOKED AT ALL! THERE WAS
NO REASON FOR YOUR ATTACK!

I appears as though you WANT these problems to occur!

YOU seem to be trying to do what ever you can to
continue the problems!

1710. FS: Dipole Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa9yhx@aol.com
Message-ID: <6ltbfo$3l0$1@nnrp2.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have two (wire) dipoles for sale. #1) 10-15-20 meter dipole with balun, insulators, 100' RG8 coax and two twenty foot masts for support. #2) 40 meter dipole with approx. 100 feet of RG58 coax, insulators, and twenty foot support. YOU TAKE DOWN - easy access for removal. $25 for both. WILL NOT SHIP - Western suburb of Chicago. Email response only if interested.

Will Sperling, WA9YHX
Email: WA9YHX@aol.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1711. California Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: scaning <scaning@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9697$16853a60$a0aaa0d1@oemcomputer>
Source record: 157271
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

The following site includes the following California Frequencies:

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298

It includes the following:

- El Dorado County
- Placer County
- Sacramento County
- San Joaquin County
- Solano County
- Stanislaus County
- Sutter County
- Yolo County
- Yuba County
- Law & Fire Mutual Aid Frequencies
- AMR & Life Flight Frequencies
- California Department of Forestry
- California Highway Partrol
- Office of Emergency Services
- United States Foreset Service
- Tahoe Area Frequency Guide
- Search & Rescue, Amatuer Repeaters
- Air Frequencies, Airports & News
- State of Nevada (western side)
- Fire Station & Engine Assignments
- Curent Events with Frequencies
- What to Listen For
- Links to Other Pages and Agencies

Thanks for visiting http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298 ,
Scaning

--
Scanning Central & Northern California Frequencies
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298
scaning@geocities.com

1712. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358243C4.70CD90E8@swbell.net>
Source record: 157272

Yeah we do know who is causing the problem, a mental midget by the name
of John Mackey.

--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not con-
stitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit
unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above.
Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied.
Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

1713. Re: House wiring antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: derickam@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061307281800.DAA11657@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157273

<effectiveness of this plugin antenna thingy varies widely.>

Is that on the rack next to the "cotanger array" ? ;)

derick

1714. Re: speech inverters... your comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <6lt963$7qs$1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 157274

drgrant@ziplink.net wrote:
: On Tue, 02 Jun 1998 08:31:34 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
: wrote:

: >"D.B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net> wrote:
: >
: >> I just ordered a speech inverter *unscrambler*....Looking for comments
: >> and sugestions on any and all.....whats your opinion and which do you
: >> think is the best?
: >> When I get mine, I will post my findings here:
: >> http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp/scramble.htm
: >> Please send me your findings.
: >
: >Worthless as mammary glands on a boar hog and
: >hips on a snake and hair on a fish.
: >
: >No agencies except maybe a few hicks in the sticks
: >use speech inversion anymore.
: >

: Who really implied that he was going to use it to listen to cops?
: There are lots of uses for a speech inverter.... there are some hams
: on 80 meters using frequency inversion.... even though it is illegal
: for them to do so. I would love to be able to tune them in....

: -Mike

One use for them:in some areas, some ships use inversion scrambling(on
the east coast, Canadian fishing vessels tend to do this).

1715. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <35a42243.45221597@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 157275

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>No, I won't buy that. Fact is, I won't ever flame
>anyone first. That is etched into stone. It is

That is not true.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1716. Re: Mackey's eyelids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <35a522d9.45371993@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 157276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"The Tonsagers" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>You do have some good points about news filters, but quoting the "original
>drivel" is helpful, especially when one forgets what a reply was actually
>replying *to*.

That's why there are references in the thread headers, and why
experienced posters edit their replies to leave only the relevant
parts. I suggest you study up on net practices and techniques.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1717. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Marselle <KQ6K@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6ltats$noi@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157277

Done! Thanks for the reminder it will save time reading the news group. maybe someday the world will get lucky perchance mackey/cheek and friends will discover email. Then the rest of us won't be exposed to their junk mail, I can piss higher than you, ego trip everyone see how good I can spell while I come up with great ways to express myself so everyone sees my name on headers, while I get famous cause fame is all I really want..blah blah blah.

Daddy didn't listen so I'll make all the rest of the men in my world take notice of me. Lets see.. huh? I think I'll tell the same guy the same thing a hundred ways because it really doesn't matter if the same guy reads the same thing, all that really matters is that someone take my side so I sense they like me or don't like me and gee... if they don't like me I'll try to tell the different guy the same thing I tell all the guys that don't like me... the same thing, in a different way and maybe I'll get a whole new thread out of it so I can see who my friends are. blah blah blah.

Threads man! Much more important than scanners, don't you know?

kq6k

James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy wrote in message
<6lsr16$sqp@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>If you've got Outlook Express (and I'll bet most of you do), you might want
>to utilise the Newsgroup Filters and just ignore the people who contribute
>to the anarchy of this group.
>--
>Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager
>
>
>

1718. FS: Dipole Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa9yhx@aol.com
Message-ID: <6ltbeg$fp6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have two (wire) dipoles for sale. #1) 10-15-20 meter dipole with balun, insulators, 100' RG8 coax and two twenty foot masts for support. #2) 40 meter dipole with approx. 100 feet of RG58 coax, insulators, and twenty foot support. YOU TAKE DOWN - easy access for removal. $25 for both. WILL NOT SHIP - Western suburb of Chicago. Email response only if interested.

Will Sperling, WA9YHX
Email: WA9YHX@aol.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1719. Need schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam <esma.m@atlas-iap.es>
Message-ID: <35823993.4AA3F35B@atlas-iap.es>
Source record: 157279

Hello all, I am an onwer of pro-2004 scanner , and i need the schemstic
of pc-1 board to repair it can anyone help me?
thanks.

1720. For Sale Pro 2005-2006 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6lteo0$m73@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157280

For sale pro 2005 looks just like a pro 2006 scanner has cell mod can have speed mod also looks just like a pro 2006 just like it..... selling cheaper than a pro 2006 ....$250.00 very Clean unit comes with ant... email me here in so cal...

1721. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3582136e.4156998@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 21:57:11 -0500, "The Tonsagers"
<jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>THANK YOU! Mackey is on my side, now.

You are, indeed, a most fortunate young man to have Mackey on your
side. He doesn't agree with *just* anybody you know. Heh, Heh, Heh!

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1722. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: billn@peak.org
Message-ID: <6ltfpqlu9@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 157282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Bob C. (bob@bigbird.reno.nv.us) wrote:
:
: Based on memory of a few years ago, cordless phones are not
: illegal to monitor. This may have changed but I havent kept close
: enough attention.

It has changed. Another amendment to a stupid unenforceable law.

: As I remember it, when the bill went through for cable tv,
: satelite tv and cell phone monitoring, there was no provision to
: make cordless phone monitoring illegal. I am guessing that this was
: an attempt to make it easier for the feds and locals to wiretap you
: without getting a court order.

Few people use cordless phones any more. I doubt if the feds even
bother with them.

: Even as I speak, the feds are trying to force the cell phone
: companies to provide easy access of your supposed "private" cell
: phone conversations to the FBI.

It has already been done. It never was hard, if you had a few thousand
dollars to purchase the right kind of service monitor.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1723. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: billn@peak.org
Message-ID: <6ltfsllu10@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 157283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave (davcrossNOT@voicenet.com) wrote:
: >
: >: Based on memory of a few years ago, cordless phones are not
: >: illegal to monitor. This may have changed but I havent kept close
: >: enough attention.
: >
: >It changed. Congress is like that. Let them get a toenail in the door
: >and the whole elephant comes barging in.
: >
: >Ron
: If it changed then I guess they have to recall all of the baby
: monitors. remember they are the same frequency.

Ah, but you can legally listen to baby monitors - unless they are
receiving a cordless phone conversation.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1724. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061311290900.HAA14504@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157284

Quack Mack babbled the following flame:

"What is very disappointing is a person so pathetic that when asked who he really is, still has to hide behind his screen name because he is such a coward."

In yet another post to this thread Quack Mack ranted:

"Several people on this NG have asked for these flames
& attacks to END! Yet you chose to attack for ABSOLUTELY
NO REASON! YOU WERE NOT PROVOKED AT ALL! THERE WAS NO REASON FOR YOUR ATTACK!"

"I appears as though you WANT these problems to occur!"

"YOU seem to be trying to do what ever you can to
continue the problems!"

So tell me Quackerel, how do you rationalize posting flames then blaming others for flaming all in a single thread? The hypocrisy is extraordinary but certainly what we have come to expect from an impotent mouth breather like you.

So what's the count now? 200 off-topic flames in 31 days, eh? You're a piece of work Quackie, a real piece of work.

1725. Re: BC-895xlt any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061311310400.HAA18712@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157285

>Subject: Re: BC-895xlt any good?
>From: Phil Smalley

>I should have the site up by the end of the month, or maybe early July.
>Details to follow soon...
>
>

That's great! I'm looking forward to give it another shot, especially the DOS version (what can I say, I'm a Luddite).

1726. Re: BC895XLT: Question..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061311314600.HAA14610@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157286

>Subject: BC895XLT: Question..
>From: Scott <gscott@alaska.net>
>Date: Fri, Jun 12, 1998 20:11 EDT
>Message-id: <3581C3A0.7D06EF28@alaska.net>
>
>Is this scanner good for the cordless phone freqs, all 25 of them? Thats
>is if somebody really wanted to hear things like that.. Send it e-mail
>if you like.. gscott@alaska.net Thanks in advance..

Yeah, you can eavesdrop on other people's phone calls and pretty darn well too.

1727. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061311342000.HAA18866@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157287

>Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
>From: "The Tonsagers" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>

>And frankly, I don't care if I am on your "twit list" - your responses
>aren't worth reading anyway, nor should be dignified with a response.

If that's the case Ryan, why are you so persisently responding to every post
that mentions Cheek with flames?

1728. Re: It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061311401800.HAA14961@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157288

Well Quackerel, here it is, day 31 of your less-than-triumpant return and you have crested the 200 flames mark. I notice that in recent days your off-topic flame rate is accelerating. In the last 48 hours you have posted some 30 flames, increasing your flame output but 33 percent. I guess we need to up your meds Quack Mack.

1729. Re: JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061311420500.HAA15049@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157289

>>Subject: JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG
>From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>

>I want to join PARG. Would you welcome me as a member?

You're yanking the Quackerel's change, of course, but it is an intriguing question. It must be a fine group. They endorse Quackie's antics here and whenever he can manage it, he gets one of his fellow PARG thugs to join him in disrupting the group and flaming.

1730. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980613114403 00.HAA19259@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157290

>Subject: Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.
From: wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com

> Fuck you and your dumb ass plonk. Who is whining now. Think before you
>type.
>
One might say the same about you. I've forward a copy of your post to
abuse@my-dejanews.com. Have a lovely day!

1731. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061311482900.HAA19448@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157291

>>Subject: Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages
>From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>

>OK, Ryan Faricy.

Oh you mean Ryan or James Tsonger or The Tsongers--names the two of them use?
They're a couple so I never know which one is doing the posting.

1732. BC-100-XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reed Park <reedpark@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <358266D5.105E824F@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 157292

I am still trying to identify IC-5 in my BC-100-XLT handheld scanner.
Right now, it's a 3 legged blob of plastic. Can anybody out there
help ?

Regards
Reed

--

ARROW Research (Avro CF-105)
Reed Park
252 Gauvin Road
Dieppe, New Brunswick
Canada
E1A1M1

Amateur Radio VE1NU
WW II, # 19 SET user

1733. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061311505800.HAA15399@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157293

>Subject: Tip for Disgusted Participants!
>From: "James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>

>If you've got Outlook Express (and I'll bet most of you do), you might want
>to utilise the Newsgroup Filters and just ignore the people who contribute
>to the anarchy of this group.

That would include you, of course, You've posted a couple dozen flames in the
last two days alone.

1734. WTB: HR2600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daryl <daryl@carol.net>
Message-ID: <6ltpub$9sj$1@news2.infoave.net>
Source record: 157294

E-mail daryl@carol.net
Many Thanks
Darrell

1735. Re: CE- 232 vs. opto os 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.com
Message-ID: <35876e5f.1786598@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 157295

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 14:58:31 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
wrote:

>malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
>
>> 1) less expensive--$200 versus $250 (more for the assembled version)
>
>Assembled/tested, the CE-232 is $194.95 and has
>been for a couple of years now.
If you have a half assed stocked junk box you can home-brew your own
CE-232. I have $60 bucks Canadian tied up in mine. But if you had to
go out and buy everything you need, yea it would cost a lot more.
Seemed easy enough to install and most on here sound more than
capable to install one, after all if you get a OS456(which is a
stupid name as it won't work with the 2004) you have to install the
Programitt interface to auto program the scanner, and if you can do
that you can install the CE-232, after getting an OS456 and getting
all the parts for the Programmit you now have ex ceded the cost of a
CE-232.
If installed in an external box the CE-232 can be used on more than
one scanner, 6,400 channels in a Pro-2004/5/6 can be a real pain to
fingerpoke and 800 channels in a Pro-43 can be even worse
>
>> 4) considerable software support
The upcoming Windows software(Pro-Turbo) is going to be a Great
addition to a GREAT interface.
>
>A Windows program for the CE-232 is in the wind.....
>
>> the CE has virtually no third-party software support and it
>> requires you to learn scripting to use it fully.
The new Windows software comming out(Pro-Turbo) has alot of the
stuff that you would want to do with scripting as part of the function
of the program os you may not need to learn the scripting.
>
>It's supported by the PerCon FCC databases as well
>as Scan Cat's "Magic" and Drummond's APFTools.... and
>lots of scripts.
>
>"Learning scripting" means two things:
>
>1. knowing how to use a text editor like NOTEPAD.EXE
>2. having a sheet in front of you with the 40 or so
> script commands.

>
>Piece of cake to write out a script to accomplish some
>pretty great stuff. I should be testimony there....as I'm
>no programmer. All I know of programming is that it comes
>on radio and TV. <g>
>
>> 5)no need to connect the Opto to your burglar alarm system (joke)
>
>You mean nobody would want to steal it? <g>
>
>> The sole advantage that I see to the CE device is that it
>> will autopgrogram the scanner.
>
>Ironically, THAT was my primary goal back in the days of
>R&D and prototyping. Someone else piped in and suggested
>that it could not only AutoProgram, but also acquire data
>from the scanner and make decisions based on the data.
>
>Here's another advantage....how's about remote control of
>not only the main scanner.....but of a number of others?
>Like a PRO-43? One CE-232 can support a number of scanners,
>and if a handheld, who wants to sit around and poke those
>teeny weeny keys with club fingers? You can control scanners
>from the PC's keyboard, too.
>
>And now....we are liberating the eight A/D converters that
>are on-board the CE-232's microprocessor. I mentioned the
>ease of S-metering and Center-Tune metering. The implications
>of these two alone are bigger than I let on.
>
>Ferinstance....relative signal strength and frequency error
>readings on a transmitter make up two excellent "fingerprint"
>characteristics. So you're listening to a frequency that is
>shared by 15 users. How do you tell them apart? IF and WHEN
>they identify, that's great. So you wait...and wait.....
>
>However, signal strength and frequency resolution makes a
>mass of signals stand out like a sore thumb. You don't have
>to wait for the stations to I.D.
>
>Interesting, the NFM Discriminator "curve" in the PRO-2004/5/6
>is quite linear over frequency offsets of -10 kHz to + 10kHz,
>outputting an analog range of 6.06v to 1.39v, with 3.80v
>corresponding to center frequency. So you send that analog
>voltage to an A/D converter for 255 points of resolution over
>a 20 kHz span for the ability to resolve frequency errors of as
>little as 78 Hz......without any costly or sophisticated
>instrumentation.
>
>The CE-232 offers a lot more than what meets the eye at a

>casual glance, but I'll be the first to agree that it isn't
>for everyone. The Opto units certainly hold their own.
>
>-- ----
>Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
>COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
>Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
>bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
>http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
>-- ---

1736. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <6ltveq$69e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157296

Hey D-Boy,
I hope you realized that YOU have just opened up the flamethrower
with that question !!!!!!
Eddie Kosloski
scanist@acmenet.net

In article <6lriu9$mlu@apple.telalink.net>,
"D-Boy" <videoinc@mail.msn.com> wrote:
>
> Is it possible to connect three handheld scanners to a single antenna? I
> would like to be able to use three at once, each scanning different
> frequencies with the reception benefits of an external antenna. Any
> thoughts on this?
> Thanks
>
> D-Boy
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1737. Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.com
Message-ID: <3588768b.3879148@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 157297

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 14:39:16 -0500, "AJH" <ajh@megsinet.n-t> wrote:

>Does anyone here have a text file on how to build an SCA decoder? I am very
>interested in this subject but cannot find a whole lot of information on it,
>any help would be appreciated.
>
>
Check out this one of these sites for publications that you can get
that have the plans for one and a whole lot more:
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \

1738. Re: House wiring antenna (fwd)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <iss@ripco.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.SV4.3.91.980613083714.21238A-100000@golden.ripco.com>
Source record: 157298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thankx for all the tips...

I realize these "house wiring" units were a ripoff for the purpose they were sold... I STILL think one may work for the purpose which I want: to monitor a cordless phone (scan 25 channels ~44MHz) that is plugged into my house wiring... I need to ELIMINATE the neighbors' phone... which is nearly as strong a signal as the target phone... a little static is acceptable... it's better than NO signal at all.

it may not work... but I'm willing to give it a try... if anybody has seen one of these anywhere... better yet, knows how to BUILD one for a couple bucks... drop me a line....

thankx again.... Rob

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 kuzen001@acpub.duke.edu wrote:

> In article <Pine.SV4.3.91.980612084112.11006A-100000@golden.ripco.com> you wrote:
>
>
> : remember the ads for the device: "turn your house wiring into a giant TV
> : antenna" ..?? Anybody know how to build one of these..??.. or if they are
>
> _Consumer Reports_ tested one a couple of years ago; said it
> was utterly worthless--which is exactly what I would have
> expected.
>
> Your best bet is to find a local ham and ask him to help you
> evaluate what you've got and design something--shouldn't
> be a big hairy deal, but it'll DEFINITELY be easier to do
> in person than by email.
>
> Good luck!
>
> ____
> Ken Kuzenski AC4RD kuzen001@acpub.duke.edu
> ____
> All disclaimers apply; this is just MHO, not Duke's
>
>
>
>
>

1739. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35828366.8CED9B9D@netwit.com>
Source record: 157299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

The flames will stop when you stop posting.

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > You need to go to the medical literature. That's
> > the way hermaphrodytes think. The only other life
> > form that thinks that way are syphilitic offspring
>
> Bill -
>
> Why do you do this?
>
> Several people on this NG have asked for these flames
> & attacks to END! Yet you chose to attack for ABSOLUTELY
> NO REASON! YOU WERE NOT PROVOKED AT ALL! THERE WAS
> NO REASON FOR YOUR ATTACK!
>
> I appears as though you WANT these problems to occur!
>
> YOU seem to be trying to do what ever you can to
> continue the problems!

1740. Re: Allsafe causing trouble!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358283AF.486EC6AA@netwit.com>
Source record: 157300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

You're an ASSHOLE!!!

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:
> > I have a better idea. Let's behave like grown-ups and discuss scanners.
>
> WELL SAID!
>
> You have to wonder about someone (like ALLSAFE) who tries to coordinate
> ways to make trouble rather than ways to resolve an issue. His
> intention
> of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.

1741. Re: Traffic Signal Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Heres_Looking@You.Kid
Message-ID: <6lu0jk$7jn$1@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 157301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hwy1 in Vancouver BC also has similar cameras for several miles
west of the Port Mann Bridge. Anyone know a URL for them?

On 1998-06-09 andmoss@ibm.net said:
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
>There are cameras all along the main highway through Toronto. They
>are also on-line too!
>Check out....
>http://www.mto.gov.on.ca/english/traveller/compass/camera/cammain.
>htm
>Cheers!
>--
>Andy Moss

1742. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William J. Carty Jr" <102150.3700@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <35824842.2C02A965@compuserve.com>
Source record: 157302
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You have got to be the biggest moron that has ever walked the face of the earth! You dummy!

First off like other have said before me you are bloody damn lucky that the police officer didn't kill you! If it had been me I wouldn't have called for back up I would have found out where you were and had little counseling session with my night stick. Hopefully swating you but enough time would put your brains back into allignment!

Secondly and as important if not more. You and other dummies like you are on of the reason's that restrictive scanner laws are written and passed. You m,ay have just given more ammunition to the let's ban scanners activist in congress! Jesus! why can't people use their toys in a responsible sane manner!

Emilio Goldstein wrote:

> Boy, they do not have much internet access in jail. Well i'm glad
> that's
> over.
> I'm all set for loads of fun! i spent $149 for a super laser-pen. It
> shoots red laser dot the length of a football field. I tried this
> last
> night and it's great. This sheriff or constable or someone says over
> the
> radio that he's going to pick up this guy who has a warrant. It turns
> out
> that that was where I was going happen to be located anyway, so I
> drove all
> the way to this fugitives house and parked down the block. When the
> officer showed up, I landed a little red dot right in his chest, and
> he
> kind of freaqued. He called dispatch and said hey I've got this little
> red
> dot on my chest and it follows me around. I can hear it all on my
> scanner
> radio. Anyway they sent him a backup and when the backup arrived, the
> red
> dot dissapeared.
> So they get on the radio and say that the red dot is gone, and what
> the
> heck , and when the backup turns around, the red dot shows up again. I
> can
> hear it all on the scanner-rama. It was a load of fun.

> So they take this criminal to jail , and I happen to pull up next to
> them
> at a stop light, and presto there's this little red dot on the guy's
> right
> hand. I can see him looking it over , and anyway he missed the green
> light
> and some guy behind toots his horn. I make a quick right turn and get
>
> away.
> later the guy is talking to the other guy on the channel about the
> little
> red dot, and how strange it is but I don't care. I got my rights.
> They
> shoot radio signals through my body, I got a right to listen to them,
> and I
> got a right to shoot little red laser beams through the window of the
> squad
> car.

1743. AR8200 homepage update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: AOR Freak <ntdg@freemail.com>
Message-ID: <ObBS6gtl9GA.299@ntawwabp.compuserve.com>
Source record: 157303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I just received the first homemade pictures of an AR8200 !!!!

Check it out at:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/ar8200.html

The site also includes a PDF format brochure of the AR8200.

1744. Re: Cobra SR-15 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35828db9.346749235@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157304

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

RDK <rdk@a.crl.com> wrote:

> found a old SR-15 Cobra handheld scanner, cleaned it up and recharged
> the battery and it worked will for a month or so, I now need to replace
> the rechargeable battery, who now owes The old Dynascan Corp of Chicago
> ILL? is it Bearcat? or Uniden? or Ace? please let me know should you
> have any data. Thanks in advance..

Do a followup and lookup on Cobra CB Radios. Dynascan
used to handle them, too, but may have changed names
and addresses over the years. Who ever does Cobra CB
now is the best bet to support that old SR-15, but
frankly 5-yrs of after -sale support is about all you
can hope for. And that SR-15 dates back to the 80's.

You may have to disassemble that old battery pack
and replace the cells inside of it yourself, so
don't throw it away.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1745. Re: Need schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35838e73.346935272@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157305

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Sam <esma.m@atlas-iap.es> wrote:

> Hello all, I am an onwer of pro-2004 scanner , and i need the schemstic
> of pc-1 board to repair it can anyone help me?

Call (800) 442-2425 and ask for a service manual
for the PRO-2004, #20-119

--------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
--------------------------------------------- ---
```

1746. Re: BC-100-XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3584905e.347426783@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157306

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Reed Park <reedpark@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote:

> I am still trying to identify IC-5 in my BC-100-XLT handheld scanner.
> Right now, it's a 3 legged blob of plastic. Can anybody out there help ?

It's a TK11750, a 3-port regulator with 7.5v in and
5 volts out. Facing the numbers, leads down, the
left pin in IN; middle is ground; right is OUT.

It can probably be replaced by most any 3-port
regulator, but it appears to be of the low-loss
CMOS variety, so a direct replacement is probably
the best bet to keep things stock.

CMOS regulators have lower conversion loss than the
regular kind, and draw less current for internal
operations.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1747. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35899432.2474848@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 157307

>Oh you mean Ryan or James Tsonger or The Tsongers--names the two of them use?
>They're a couple so I never know which one is doing the posting.

I just put "MACKEY" and "Mackey" in my mail filter and 99% of the stuff gets filtered. Of course, I have John and his "ham" sign filtered -- have had for years.

1748. HB-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOSOX <savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd96de$f1dadb00$eb4306d1@savy1>
Source record: 157308

I have a 2006 that came with an hb-232 how is that different from the
ce-232 or
is it the same thing??

TIA

1749. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <magerc@pop3.idt.net>
Message-ID: <01bd96e6$d392ce20$8ed50c26@hp-customer>
Source record: 157309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Since when? Everybody I know has one.
--
CW
KC7NOD

Bill Nelson <billn@peak.org> wrote in article
<6ltfpqlu9@bashir.peak.org>...
> Bob C. (bob@bigbird.reno.nv.us) wrote:
> :
> > Few people use cordless phones any more. I doubt if the feds even
> bother with them.
>
> >
>

1750. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35827372.E2F62BA5@netwit.com>
Source record: 157310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

allsafe wrote:

> The flames will stop when you stop posting.
>

Ho-ho! Here I am responding to my own post. Why? I don't remember posting this one. Who's the clown from rec.aviation.student? Not nice to snatch someone's handle. Go make up your own.

1751. Re: NT software for $10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <3581C2FC.75D33A93@home.com>
Source record: 157378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jeff:

Icom's CS-R10 DOS based software, while not great, will run under WinNT 4.0. I'm looking for something better myself, but if you need help getting CS-R10 going, let me know. As bad as it is, it's the best I have! :(

Bill Crocker

Jeff Miller wrote:
>
> Does anyone know of any good software for the ICOM RC10 receiver that
> will work under Windows NT 4.0?

1752. BC895XLT: Question..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <gscott@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <3581C3A0.7D06EF28@alaska.net>
Source record: 157379

Is this scanner good for the cordless phone freqs, all 25 of them? Thats is if somebody really wanted to hear things like that.. Send it e-mail if you like.. gscott@alaska.net Thanks in advance..

1753. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: clhuff@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3581c322.46078457@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 157380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

inigo@montoya.net (D. P. Roberts) wrote:

>
>So tell us why you haven't learned how to use your editor, and why you quote
>the entire message in your reply?

You included the entire message in your reply when the thread begins
to get extremely long and starts to branch into several "strands" (?).
It makes it easier for people to see exactly what post you are
following up to.

1754. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.ham
Message-ID: <3581c3d5.1708627@news.total.net>
Source record: 157381

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 22:37:52 GMT, "Kevin Lanning" <kll@ns.esn.net>
wrote:

>Why are there braille dots on the keypad of the drive-up ATM?

>Ryan <ryanak@flash.net> wrote in article <35816136.85BD6D80@flash.net>...

>> Why don't most scanners go down to the 27mgz? That way they could pick
>> up CB radios.... I was just thinking how it would be nice to pick up
>> the truckers on my scanner.....
>>
>> I'm just curious as to why scanners dont start at around 20mgz?

well... the antenna for the shortwave (under 27 MHz ?) should be very
long... i doubt rubber duck will do a job. maybe that's why.

Cutie Boy (CB) ou
Joli Gar&#65533;on (&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.ham
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/ (Radio)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/mcgill.htm (Universit&#65533; McGill)

Visit this excellent site
why code has no importance to the amateur radio today:
http://www.nocode.org
License Suggestion: http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' " .ham " pour r&#65533;pondre.
Please remove "ham" to reply.

ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!

1755. Atascadero, CA???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Hansow <ka7cse@eskimo.com>
Message-ID: <3581C4DA.24DA@eskimo.com>
Source record: 157382

Anybody have freq info for Atascadero/Morro Bay area. I am planning a trip to visit family there next month and am looking for any information that might be available.

Thanks,

Mike, KA7CSE
ka7cse@eskimo.com

1756. PRO-2040 Really Cover Cellular???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mervins@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061300385300.UAA26477@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157383

Have noticed several FOR SALES for the "PRO-2040 with full cellular." Has anyone really done such a mod to provide proper digital readout and 30mhz steps? Or, is this just a ---------------?

1757. Re: Best RS Outdoor Antenna ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3584c9ba.296583336@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157384

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"Robert Snelgrove" <steelgtr@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> What would be the best scanner antenna RS currently offers? Prefer peak
> performance in the 40-50mhz range <G>. How about the one with 50 feet of
> coax for $30-40?

For 40-50 MHz and decent performance elsewhere,
Radio Schloque's #20-014 is probably the best.

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

1758. Re: It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3581c60a.295639251@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157385

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:

> The solution is simple: All he has to do is post on-topic.

Yeah, well, it must not be THAT simple. He never
has posted on topic, and the odds say he never will.
The wretch isn't interested in scanners and scanning.

> And yeah, I agree with you: People who don't want to read this stuff don't have
> to. The fact that they do is because they want to.

No greater Truth hath ever thus been spake.

1759. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <358bcc82.4383267@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 157386

>> Why don't most scanners go down to the 27mgz? That way they could pick
>> up CB radios.... I was just thinking how it would be nice to pick up
>> the truckers on my scanner.....

> I'm just curious as to why scanners dont start at around 20mgz?

I was wondering why most scanners don't come with video screens so I could watch TV! If they had a dish, I would also watch satellite programming or get weather pictures. Heck, if they had wheels and an engine, I wouldn't have to worry about having a mobile scanner, because it would then be a scanner-mobile!

1760. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3583c904.296401677@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157387

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> It is kind of hard with them using the NG as a battle ground. Clogging up the
> net. Why can't they use their private e-mail to wage the "who can whine the
> loudest" contest.

Why can't YOU? Seems if YOU got all the
answers, then YOU ought to be the one to
demonstrate how they work.

<shrug>
```

1761. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3582c702.295887771@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157388

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>
> > How sad that this question has to be asked. This week I have
> > offered Bill Cheek TWO DIFFERENT opting to end the problems
> > here, but has has accepted neither of them. I guess we know
> > who is really casing the problems now.
>
> Seriously, what about that thingy Bill suggested about you and he each
> pretending the other guy doesn't exist? Isn't that an acceptable
> arrangement? Why not John?

I can tell you why. That would end him forever.
He has no intention of being "ended".

What he wants to do is bind me into a corner
where he can come out under a nomme de plume
and hassle the shit out of me, and then claim
I broke the contract when I hose 'im back.

In other words, he doesn't want me to have
any freedom of response to snotwads like himself.
So he wants it written into the "rules" that
I can't flame anyone regardless of any reason.

No, I won't buy that. Fact is, I won't ever flame
anyone first. That is etched into stone. It is
not so etched that I won't respond in kind......

I don't let others speak for me and I don't speak
for others, so what he wants, he ain't gonna get.
Which is HIS excuse for not agreeing to the simple
deal I offered him. I can ignore the sonofab****
until the cows come home.....which just galds the
bile out of his liver. He _can't_ ignore me for very
long and doesn't want to be bound into a position
where he has to.

That's the issue. Otherwise, why wouldn't he accept
the ironclad, unilateral deal I laid on 'im?

heh heh heh heh heh.......

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1762. RI Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnjttrls@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061300573600.UAA02899@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157389

I was curious to know if anyone out there in scanner land had any RI frequencies.

I just bought the Police Call 1998... and there was no section there for RI......

If you can help....thank you in advance.....
Rock on, Stay safe, Take care, Kick ass.....

Joe

1763. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Stanton <mstanton@centuryinter.dott.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9662$2fad7460$83ac8ed1@ycxftsuo>
Source record: 157390

Now thats a load o' bunk! Mr. Cheek has helped me a couple of times personally from questions I have posted here. What drives your obvious contempt?

1764. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Stanton <mstanton@centuryinter.dott.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9662$747618e0$83ac8ed1@ycxftsuo>
Source record: 157391

Now that's a load o' bunk! Mr. Cheek has helped me personally from
questions I have posted here. What is the real cause of your contempt for
Bill Cheek???

Ryan Faricy <jtonsa@att.net> wrote in article
<6lrc15$1o9@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
> You will soon learn to dislike him - he is a constant off-topic flaming
>

1765. base antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gervais <gfillio1@quebectel.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9669$8a5683a0$a524a98e@default>
Source record: 157392

hi all, i need an advise!
i need a base antenna for my shack.it must be very well desighn because
here we are in a salted air so rust will take care of her.
can you supply some name of good antenna!

thanK,s
ve2ckn
gervais

--
Mailto:gfillio1@globetrotter.qc.ca

1766. Winradio Sales in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980613012903O1.VAA06501@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157393

I will be visiting Canada In the near future.

Can anyone give me a few names and/or addresses of companies that sell Winradios there?

TIA,
Howard Higgins

| The price of freedom is eternal vigilance |

1767. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3581C820.2280@parg.org>
Source record: 157394

Bill Cheek wrote:
> > What are you saying, that you're not man enough to ignore Bill when he
> > says things like you were born without eyelids?

No, I couldn't care less! His eyelids story was funny the first
or second time he posted it. But he posts the exact same
story about once every 2 months, obviously showing a total
lack of imagination & a total lack of desire to maintain on
topic posts on this NG.

> But it's
> my very existence that trips his trigger and fluffs his
> skirts. Geez, I'm not quite ready to check out just yet....

No Bill. Actually, I think your method of existance is
quite pathetic! My only problem with you is when you use
this newgroup for your showcase of flaming & abusing
people. THAT is when I take action towards YOU!

> Again, no fair. You have to BE an adult before you
> can act like one and get away with it.

Is this your excuse Bill, stating that you are not an
adult?

> > Otherwise, stop trying to force feed us your special
> > brand of horsecrap, cause nobody is buying it. Your actions prove the
> > opposite of everything you say.

THIS was an EXCELLENT response directed towards BILL CHEEK!

> reason being I booted Mackey's ass off FidoNet for the

WRONG BILL, I was NEVER booted off of Fidonet by YOU or anyone
else!

1768. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3581C939.E97@parg.org>
Source record: 157395

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:

> I was there, Bill. I saw what happened. Mackey has it basically
> correct,

Thanks for your support & fair view Glen!

> Fact is,
> you abused your power. Anybody who's followed your posting habits
> here will have no trouble believing that you did throw your weight
> around in FIDO once you got yourself appointed moderator/dictator of
> that conference.

This is very true & an accurate assessment of Cheek!

> Whaddya say you just forget Mackey and your other detractors and just
> stick to the topic? That would take gonads, willpower, and integrity,
> and would show you to be the better man, wouldn't it?

So far, Bill Cheek has not been capable of this. Infact, he uses
that as justification for causing problems on this NG.

> The fact is that you won't do this, because you thrive on controversy
> and attention. If it weren't for Mackey, you'd find some other person
> on which to concentrate your attacks.

That has certainly proven TRUE. When Cheek isn't taking shots at
ME or receiving shots FROM ME, he attacks other people on this NG.

1769. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3581C99C.5E4A@parg.org>
Source record: 157396

allsafe wrote:
> Seriously, what about that thingy Bill suggested about you and he each
> pretending the other guy doesn't exist? Isn't that an acceptable
> arrangement?

SURE, as long as he accepts MY suggestions to HIS arrangement.

Acutally, I made the FIRST suggestion of such an arrangement
a few days before Bill made his!

1770. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3581CBC6.2839@parg.org>
Source record: 157397

Phil Smalley wrote:

> What are you saying, that you're not man enough to ignore Bill when he
> says things like you were born without eyelids? How god-damned juvenile

Did I respond to that?? NO, I did NOT! I DID ignore him
as I usually do.

> it's the fact that you
> are such a blatant friggin' hypocrite!

I have done NOTHING to be a hypocrite!

1771. Re: It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3581CC37.89@parg.org>
Source record: 157398

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Mr. Alexander:
> Yeah, it may have come out all wrong, but he does have a point. Admit
> it, you like riling up our favorite goofball as much as the rest of us
> do. It's fun to watch him piss and moan and cry for his mommy whilest we
> all kick him square in the poop-chute, huh?

PLEASE STOP! PLEASE let this DIE rather than call CHEEK more
names as in this POST!

1772. Re: It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3581E11F.71B4@parg.org>
Source record: 157399

MAlexder wrote:

> >From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
> >Yeah, it may have come out all wrong, but he does have a point. Admit it, you
> like riling up our favorite goofball as much as the rest of us do.
>
> Well it's true I like riling him up, but he's beginning to bore me.

PLEASE STOP!!! Please end talking about Bill Cheek like this.
Getting Cheek worked up only causes to further the problems
on this NG.

> The solution is simple: All he has to do is post on-topic. That's all and I
> won't have anything to respond to.

Perhaps of everyone would just let Cheek be, he would do as you
mention above!

1773. Value of PRO29 & PRO70

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: summitrt@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061302233000.WAA08794@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157400

Can anyone give me some input on the value of these two Radio Shack scanners? Both are for sale locally, but neither party knows a fair price to ask for them. Both are in very good condition. The radios are the PRO70 and the PRO29 portables.

Thanks in advance,
Bob

1774. Re: Winradio Sales in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Dxlg1.599$8F2.1744228@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 157401

Try:

Atlantic Ham Radio - Toronto: www.interlog.com/~ahr/
Norham Radio - Toronto: www.norham.com
Durham Radio - Oshawa (east end of Toronto): http://www.durhamradio.ca/

Since I am in the Toronto area I know these best. I have used Atlantic Ham Radio (most of them are Hams but they understand SWL and Scanning as well). I have heard even better things about Norham but I havent been there myself. Durham hosts the Ontario DX Association web pages which also caters to SWL,Hams and Scanner enthusiasts so I expect they are knowledgeable as well. Unlike the U.S we dont have many options outside of Radio Shack but the stores that exist specialize in HF,VHF,UHF radio only.

HH357 wrote in message <19980613012903O1.VAA06501@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>I will be visiting Canada In the near future.
>
>Can anyone give me a few names and/or addresses of companies that sell
>Winradios there?
>
> TIA,
> Howard Higgins
>
>---
>| The price of freedom is eternal vigilance |
>---

1775. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3581EB31.1A93@parg.org>
Source record: 157402

Salvatore Gambino wrote:
> Name ONE of your alleged supporters who was using his real name
> and not posting anonymously.

OK, Ryan Faricy.

Your challenge was met!

1776. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3581EBDE.2AC8@parg.org>
Source record: 157403

Mike Stanton wrote:
> What is the real cause of your contempt for
> Bill Cheek???

Simple, watch Bill's posts on this NG. That should be enough to
cause contempt for anyone!

1777. FS Radio shack Scanner parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tal35@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061303231500.XAA16170@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157404

I've got a New Pro 2005 Faceplate, used pro2005-6 top cover , and 2 exc.pro2005-6 bottom covers, make offer. One pro51 for parts-- front panel exc. back bad, battery cover exc.boards missing some parts-- make offer. Please Email me @ Tal35@aol.com or Tal35@favmail.com Thanks.

1778. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wesspriggs@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lsu1p$u7u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157405

In article <3581A0D4.3BBA@hacgate.com>,
Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:
>
> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> > How sad that things have come to this point. You will notice that
> > this week I offered BILL CHEEK TWO DIFFERENT truce options, yet
> > he apparently has declinde BOTH OFFERS.
>
> YOU ARE SO FULL OF CRAP!!!
>
> What are you saying, that you're not man enough to ignore Bill when he
> says things like you were born without eyelids? How god-damned juvenile
> are you? So what if he calls you names?? Why don't you just chalk it up
> to him getting his jollies off of being a prick to you, and ignore the
> stupid flames? If you would try it just for a week, you'd realize you,
> all on your own, could have ended this b.s. years ago!!
>
> Just be a man and admit the obvious truth: You like this crap, you
> thrive on it, and it sustains your only real reason for being here.
> Jesus, it's not the flaming that pisses me off, it's the fact that you
> are such a blatant friggin' hypocrite!
>
> As to this Mackey vs Cheek crap, sure, I find it funny sometimes, but in
> my opinion, nothing is more immature than two grown men fighting over
> who has the last damned word. 4 billion years of evolution and we still
> carry on like little children:
> "Did not!"
> "Did too!"
> "Did not!"
> "Did too!"
> "Did not!"
> "Did too!"
> "Did not!"
> "Did too!"
> "Did not!"
> "Did too!"
> "Did not!"
> "Did too!"
> "Did not!"
> "Did too!"
> ...ad nauseum
>
> If you're so much better than Cheek then prove it by acting like an
> adult for once. Otherwise, stop trying to force feed us your special

> brand of horsecrap, cause nobody is buying it. Your actions prove the
> opposite of everything you say.
>
> -Phil
>

AMEN!AMEN!AMEN

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1779. Cell Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Mac <dmacx@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <358225A7.7680@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157406

While reading the mod to restore the blocked freqs in the BC200XLT I noticed a sidenote stating that it isn't necessary to modify because the image freq is 21.7 Mhz above the desired and comes in just as strong. Is t6his true of all scanners with 800Mhz capabilities. More specifically the BC80XLT I'm thinking of buying?

Thanks,
D.Mac

1780. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35820243.97022825@netwit.com>
Source record: 157407

Bill Cheek wrote:
I can tell you why. That would end him forever.

> He has no intention of being "ended".
>
> What he wants to do is bind me into a corner
> where he can come out under a nomme de plume
> and hassle the shit out of me, and then claim
> I broke the contract when I hose 'im back.

So *that's* how he does it. I missed that angle. During one of his stints in your "twit filter" I remember him squawking that you had been recently "causing trouble" after I whacked him for "Cheek-obsessing" even though you hadn't posted a direct "Mackey-attack" for weeks.

You must have been a few threads over, whacking Mackey while he was wearing his funny nose and glasses. I missed it because you were bound by agreement not to write "Mackey"; I assumed they were "one-liner newbie slaps" and cruised right over the subject lines without opening the messages. (Even self censorship has it's pitfalls).

Jeeez,....that means no-one knows the how high a number of posts that friggin loon puts up there in a day. His raving post-per-day count under his "Mackey" handle is high enough to clinically diagnose him as delusional. The actual number may make him a desirable Jerry Springer guest.

1781. Re: BC-895xlt any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <IMng1.623$8F2.1820226@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 157408

Phil Smalley wrote in message <3581A268.656B@hacgate.com>...
>Chris wrote:
>Yeah, I'd say that's the only drawback to scanning - you hear almost
>nothing but bad news...gets depressing sometimes. But then you hear the
>call about the drunk woman running around naked talking to the bushes,
>or the kid who hit the gas instead of the brakes and took out the fire
>hydrant down the street (both calls I have personally heard) and it's
>fun again.
One dreary Sunday morning in November I caught three dispatches for
"successful" suicides in my area plus an attempted one. Who says weather
doesnt influence humans? But interspersed with that was some amusing public
transit security dispatches detailing some fare disputes. Got some detail
on them and the reasons for the disputes were very amusing. There are good
and bad days. Weekends are always fun on the police channels. Occasionally
the professionalism breaks down though not often.

1782. Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358208e6.312757936@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157409

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> > What he wants to do is bind me into a corner
> > where he can come out under a nomme de plume
> > and hassle the shit out of me, and then claim
> > I broke the contract when I hose 'im back.

> So *that's* how he does it. I missed that angle.

It was the "WiNRADiO" angle. I made a post. Some
unstable sort came out all snotty and rancorous, so
I hosed 'im back. Mackey then tried to use THAT as
me breaking the deal, and used that as an excuse to
come swishing and sacheting back into the center ring.

That's why I made it perfectly clear this time, though
I did last time too, that it was just him and me.
And Ohhhhhhh Nooooo, that won't do. Since he can't
post anything but lies and flames, usually about me,
he'd have nothing to do.

> During one of his stints in your "twit filter" I remember
> him squawking that you had been recently "causing trouble"

Ummmm hummmm, that's probably when he went looking for
an excuse to "come out" again.

> You must have been a few threads over, whacking Mackey
> while he was wearing his funny nose and glasses.

The thing is, he comes in under different accounts that
seemingly give no clue to his real identity. So if I
whacked his arse, it was unbeknownst to me. Of course,
even under the nomme de plume, he couldn't help himself
enough to avoid losing control. So he "came out".....

> Jeeez,....that means no-one knows the how high a number of posts that
> friggin loon puts up there in a day. His raving post-per-day count under
> his "Mackey" handle is high enough to clinically diagnose him as
> delusional. The actual number may make him a desirable Jerry Springer
> guest.

Ooooooohhhhh, that would be cool to catch him on the
Springer show and take off his head and spit tobacco

juice down his neck. Ahhhhh, I can see it all now....
Smacksie, The Spitoon.

At least, there'd be a use for 'im......

<Paaaaaaatooooooey!>

1783. Re: base antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35830dcd.314013186@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157410

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Gervais" <gfillio1@quebectel.com> wrote:

> hi all, i need an advise!
> i need a base antenna for my shack.it must be very well desighn because
> here we are in a salted air so rust will take care of her.
> can you supply some name of good antenna!

Most Radio Schloque antennas are pretty much the
same in materials: aluminum. Their #20-176
claims to be chrome-coated brass, while #20-043
claims stainless steel.

Of the three materials, aluminum is most easily
attacked by the elements, while chrome is next.
And once there is a pit in the chrome, the brass
will go like melted butter.

Stainless steel is impervious to most acids
and electrolytic processes and generally lasts
the longest. However, inspect all the materials
if you can. Make note of those clamps and other
hardware that might not be stainless steel.

Then decide on which one to snag.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1784. Scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: brs <brs@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6m79vq$c76$2@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 156961

I have a Bearcat 890XLT Base station scanner for sale.

Like new in box . complete with manual.

$175.00 plus COD and Shipping

If interested email me at brs@flash.net

1785. FS RS PRO-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbake777@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061416025100.MAA01625@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157011

original, ex cond, very rarely used. includes magnet mount mobile antenna, extended antenna and original antenna, ac and dc power cords, owners manual. 210 channels (20 channels x 10 banks plus 10 monitor channels) scan rate of 25 channels/sec search rate of 50 steps/sec bought new in July 1996 for $249.00.

$180.00 obo

respond via e-mail
Chris Baker
94 RMX 250
88 Z50R (The Beast)

1786. Pro-2021 same as 2022?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wally Hollander <Wallyhol@psci.net>
Message-ID: <01bd97b1$c1a714c0$f6ffa0ce@cmxdpuze>
Source record: 157012

Hi,
New to this. Wandering If the Pro-2021 can be modified the same as Pro-2022? I've increased the scan speed, but nothing more. Thanks in advance.
Wally

1787. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3593fd26.5667355@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 157013

>> Because this is a public place and this crap needs to be stopped.
>
>All hail the Messiah! He's finally here to deliver us from the eternal
>flames that no mortal man has been able to douse!

I note with much amusement that Bill Cheek does not regularly and constantly change his screen name in order to get around the twit filters. . .

1788. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: philflicki@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061416514400.MAA10615@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157014

If you have a baby monitor in your bedroom, MAKE
SURE YOU TURN IT OFF BEFORE MAKING LOVE or your neighbors might hear all.

1789. Re: Used police red and blue light bar wanted -- Tekbir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jls <jls1@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <6tTg1.177$28.2080387@no-cha>
Source record: 157015
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Hey Drum,
You still driving that black Bronco?

Mike Derryberry wrote in message <01bd950d$2a3260a0$4c1937a6@default>...
>I think everyone is going overboard as I really don't think Scrappy was
>serious.
>
>Mike
>
>--
>Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!
>
>michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com
>
>Tekbir <wbeachy@erols.com> wrote in article <357F2056.6DE9@erols.com>...
>> Scrappy wrote:
>> >
>> > Gotta get me a red and blue light bar for the top of my car!
>> >
>> > --
>> > Area 50 research Center
>> > Trying damn hard to catch
>> > up to are 51, and we just
>> > might do it. (If we're lucky)
>> > ...maybe!
>> >
>> > AND: Janet Reno is a hot babe! all at:
>> >
>> > HTTP://www.winternet.com/~yomammy
>> >
>> > remove REMOVE from e-mail to reply by e-mail
>>
>> How about settling for a neon sign reading "Hi, I'm a loser and an
>> idiot!"
>>
>> What are you thinking (assuming you HAVE a brain, of course)?
>>
>> Tekbir
>> --
>>

1790. Re: Pro 91 any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: pzman@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3583fbbb.7462810@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157016
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Wed, 10 Jun 1998 17:16:57 -0400, wgreene@gate.net (William Michael Greene) wrote:

>I agree, had a Pro 91 and compared it to a Bearcat 220 and it reception
>was disgraceful. Returned it> Mike G.
>
>
>
>In article <6ll8d1cim1@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>, Delta513@webtv.net
>(Kevin Vocht) wrote:
>
>--WebTV-Mail-339060148-4012
>Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=US-ASCII
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>I just picked up one today and am going to return it.........audio is
>"tinny".......weak reception on 800 Mhz.......My Bearcat860 base can
>pick up signals that it (the PRO 91) cannot....even when I hooked the
>PRO to the Bearcat's outdoor antenna.....
>
>Don't bother picking one up......
>
>--WebTV-Mail-339060148-4012
>Content-Description: signature
>Content-Disposition: INLINE
>Content-Type: TEXT/HTML; CHARSET=US-ASCII
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
>"http://www.geocities.com/Area51/Corridor/1239/giveself.wav" autostart =
>true>
>
>
>
>

>
>width= 300>
>
>

>
>
>"Under darken skies, we'll see the light"

>
>
>--WebTV-Mail-339060148-4012--
I just wanted to through my 2 cents in. I have the 91 and love it.
It picks up the seattle system with no trouble. Sure the speaker is
whiny but that's an easy fix (attach an external speaker) The unit is
on sale now for the low price of 170 give or take a few bucks. I
would recommend this unit to anyone who already has a gazillion
channel scanner and just wants one for the purpose of listening to the
trunked system. Take care Keith

1791. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6m0u0d$800$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 157017

I agree with you that this is probably not the proper way to connect the radios to an antenna, but I was able to pick up a distant amateur radio repeater that I am familiar with and I can say that it is normally a weak (but readable) station. I was feeding the scanners with a discone antenna. I used this antenna because it was easy for me to switch it over for use with amateur radios. (yes I disconnected the scanners before tranmitting on it.)

Bill Cheek wrote in message <3583d248.429848888@news2.cts.com>...

>Aaaarrrgh.......that isn't something for the
>hobbyist to attempt,though. For your demo, it
>didn't matter that the signals being received
>were the powerful local cop shops and such,
>that could be received without an antenna at
>all, or a 1" wire in the back of the set, maybe.

>It's fine for a store demo on the stronger
>signals around town. It is not fine for the
>hobbyist in most cases.
>
>-- ----
>Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
>COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
>Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
>bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
>http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
>-- ---
>

1792. Re: PL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6m0ufc$89q$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 157018

PL is the Motorola trade name for CTCSS or Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System. It is used to lock out unwanted signals from other users of the same frequency elsewhere. It also helps to reduce interference created by intermod and other spurious noises. These inaudible tones unlock the squelch on the users radio and are filtered out so that only the intended transmission gets through. Usually you cannot hear the tones on your scanner either, but sometimes they can produce a whine or humming sound.

Jose Negron wrote in message <3583E058.76DA@bellatlantic.net>...
>Can anyone tell me what PL means after a frequency. For example if a
>city has a police listing 470.5375 PL 162.2 What does it mean?
>
>Thanks for your help.
>
>Jose

1793. Re: Dialup FCC Database for searching, applying, etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6m1046$10o@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 157019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Charlie...how far are you getting? i've got it working on my browser...

Harry

marnells@snowcrest.net
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

Charlie Brookhart wrote in message <35832F81.36A3C16F@erols.com>...
>I have tried to access those pages, but they aren't loading.
>
>Charlie
>

1794. Re: JOHN MACKEY: Please let me join the human race, Puleeze?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <35840AEA.4E0EE351@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 157020

John "I miss my beloved Richard Simmonds pumping me" Mackey - KA0SSF
offered another one of his prozac induced hallucinations:

> Richard Kendrick wrote:
> > Only morons and genetically deficient cretins
> > are eligible to join.
>
> Well then that certainly would make you eligible!

As the poster boy and resident twit for the Phony Amoral Retarded
Grifters you would know better that anyone else.

1795. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3583E483.893EED0D@netwit.com>
Source record: 157021

D. P. Roberts wrote:

> >> Because this is a public place and this crap needs to be stopped.
> >
> >All hail the Messiah! He's finally here to deliver us from the
> eternal
> >flames that no mortal man has been able to douse!
>
> I note with much amusement that Bill Cheek does not regularly and
> constantly
> change his screen name in order to get around the twit filters. . .

You're alleging I'm Bill Cheek? Sorry to burst your bubble. I'm on my own. Please feel free to add me to your twit filter. For whatever it's worth, I always post under the same handle within rec.radio.scanner. If someone wants to filter me out of their reader so they won't be forced into obsessively clicking on my posts; have at it. I routinely foster that option by keeping to the same screen handle. No sense in mucking up anyone's twit list. There's plenty of game running around the filters to keep me amused. I don't have to go looking for it.

1796. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com>
Message-ID: <6m15q5$a1p@news.webspan.net>
Source record: 157022
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>On 11 Jun 1998 18:08:37 GMT, Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com> wrote:
>
>>KuoH <KuoH@aol.com> wrote:
>>>Now that's just plain bad taste. Wishing ill upon another person(s)
>>>simply because they don't aggree with your point of view.
>>
>>
>>Hey I lost a brother in law, and 2 people are dead because of shitheads
>>who have a bug up their ass with wanting to prove to the world their
>>macho brand of attitude when driving on the highways.
>>
>What about people who have lost loved ones, from people who caused
>accidents by driving too slow?

Can you cite an example of this ? (a statistically significant one).
I can invite you down to my DPW garage we've got 2 MARKED units which
were demolished in rear end collisions by assholes who couldn't stop
in time. Traffic safety estimate the speed of the vehicle which hit
them (based on skid marks), as being about 62 mph - in a posted 45
mph zone.

What's a "slow driver" to begin with ? someone obeying the posted
speed limit ?

>How about the accidents caused by morons who drive slower than the
>other traffic, while camping out in the left lane?

What's the cause of the accident ? the slow driver or the idiot
who can't control his own speed ? In this example both operators
would be at fault. The leadfoot for going too fast, and the other
driver for not keeping right.

>Seems to me, your best bet for better enforcement of the speed laws,
>would be to stop driving around doing wannabee duties, and get those
>old oil changes done on the cars driven by REAL cops back on the
>road quicker!

Yepper, I'm a real wannabe... I have long hair, and a goatee and look
like an outlaw biker.... yep clean cut cop wannabe, who drives an old
80's Buick....

You people remind me of the assholes who want to pollute my breathing
air in public restaurants. The "hell with the rights of others as long

as I'm free to do what I want" attitude. I'll bet you support the right of smokers to foul up the air in government buildings too (the "I paid my taxes, so I should be able to smoke here" logic). The hell with the other non smokers who might be breathing in the foul carcinogenic second hand smoke, and getting the smell permeated in their clothes. They should give you the 'right of way', and leave the area, right ?

As I said before, your rights end, where the rights of others begin.

1797. Re: 9000xlt Tricks - ce**ular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6m10vh$173@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 157023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And if you're in Canada or somewhere where it's legal, you may find cellular images in the 360 - 390 MHz range...be sure to set the mode to NFM (the default is AM...it's the mil air band) and the step spacing to 5khz. I hear that this works on both the 3000 and the 9000. Too bad it's illegal to listen to in the states, though. Darn

Harry Marnell, N6URU
marnells@snowcrest.net
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

1798. Re: Which scanners cover CB radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: zooo@concentric.net
Message-ID: <358535a3.3050644@news>
Source record: 157024

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 05:44:20 GMT, drgrant@ziplink.net wrote:

>On 07 Jun 1998 04:00:03 GMT, stetchez@aol.com (Stetchez) wrote:
>
>>BC2500XLT does too!!
>
>
>Pro-2006, I believe... Icom R1, R100, R7000, 7100, etc...
>
>
>
>
>-Mike

Pro-2004 also. The previous owner of mine had all the CB channels
programmed into it!

1799. Re: FL mobile scanners permissible?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980614190101 00.PAA20348@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157025

Actually, the Florida Attorney General has ruled that to be considered "installed" the radio would have to be connected to BOTH an external antenna and the vehicle's power supply, so a handheld connected to one or the other is fine.

And, while there are some exemptions in the law, there are none for EMS, FD or PD personnel in their privately owned vehicles. Silly as it may sound, they are not allowed.

Licensed hams and media folks are allowed to have scanners in the car, however.

John Ward

1800. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3583F568.5BE65DF7@netwit.com>
Source record: 157026

Doktor Tripp wrote:

> On 14 Jun 1998 12:16:30 GMT, k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) pedantically
> proclaimed in the following unperspicuous peroration to all:
>
> > "James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
> >
> >>> Because this is a public place and this crap needs to be stopped.
> >
> >Hmmph. And all this time I thought the 1st Amendment protected free
> speech.
> >Silly me.
> >
> >Dave, K4CC
> >USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
> >Nashville TN
>
> You do not have "THE RIGHT" to internet access just like you do
> not have "THE RIGHT" to drive a car.

Nope, bad analogy. Driving a car is not a right. First part was OK;
you *do not* have "the right" to internet access. You just followed
that with a faulty device.

> . Since
> these kind of ego wars AKA flames are not widely considered abusive by
>
> internet access providers, and this news group is not moderated, the
> participants are free to post whatever off topic trash they desire but
>
> do so at the expense of others suffering a reduced ability to use the
> news group for which it was created.

You're going to have to walk me through this one. How does the fringe
activity "reduce" the ability to "use the news group"? I mean the
actual mechanics. Do you mean something like a user wades into RRR
looking for a technical tidbit, catches a peek of the fringe activity,
and becomes discombobulated to the point that they forgot what they came
here for?" Could be. Those are precious people; they need to be
protected from the rough edges of the USENET. But that's not my job.
You may *think* it's my job, or *your* job; but I don't agree.

>>Unfortunately, one participant of this ego war namely John Mackey has
>>already changed his posting name to avoid the filters of those that

> wish not to read him but wish to read this group. This kind of move
> shows even less respect for others than does his continuing off topic
> dialog. One can however create as many filters as it takes to skip a
> certain author's posts. It is pitiful that one would have to change
> posting names with each new post just to get around a kill filter but
> I have seen it done. I hope Mr Mackey and co. do not resort to this
> show of absolute disrespect.

Hope he does not resort? That's his standard MO! You don't have any idea who's Mackey and who's not. I've nailed down a few of his personas: "RadioFan, compania, rcl@aol.com, etc." But I really don't know how far it goes. I thought I did. But as a regular audience member, I now realize that friggin' loon has too many different sets of "funny eyeglasses and noses" to track. Lately I've been answering posts allegedly written by myself. Such is the nature of the USENET; especially the unmediated side. I'm amused. You, obviously, are not.

I feel for ya about that filter thingy. If it's any consolation; you can stick my handle in your filter and it won't come out again. If you want a sanitized view of the NG, I respect your decision and won't interfere with the proper operation of your twit filter.

PRE-EMPTIVE MACKEY COMMENT RESPONSE:

I don't have to prove or provide anything.

You friggin loon.

1801. Re: Multiple antennas on a single scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dhunter147@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061419154900.PAA25947@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157027

what's so bad about two scanners on the same antenna?

1802. Low Band VHF Skip??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: RLIGHT51@Maine.edu
Message-ID: <98165.140236RLIGHT51@Maine.edu>
Source record: 157028

I live in Maine and have found skipping frequencies quite interesting to listen to. I am a fire dispatch buff and love listening to tone-outs of different departments. The two frequencies I have found so far are 33.700 and 33.900 MHZ. I was wondering if there were any other frequencies that skipped a lot during the summer that are fire dispatch frequencies??

Thanks...

RL

1803. Re: PL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reed Park <reedpark@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <358423FE.6BB19457@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 157029

PL is short for "Private Line"

Jose Negron wrote:

> Can anyone tell me what PL means after a frequency. For example if a
> city has a police listing 470.5375 PL 162.2 What does it mean?
>
> Thanks for your help.
>
> Jose

--

ARROW Research (Avro CF-105)
Reed Park
252 Gauvin Road
Dieppe, New Brunswick
Canada
E1A1M1

Amateur Radio VE1NU
WW II, # 19 SET user

1804. Re: Multiple antennas on a single scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6m18lb$a07@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 157030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <19980614191549000.PAA25947@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
DHunter147 <dhunter147@aol.com> wrote:

>what's so bad about two scanners on the same antenna?

From the Scanner Equipment column I wrote
in 9/1997 Monitoring Times magazine:

"You have one antenna and two scanners. How can you connect them
together so both scanners can use the same antenna simultaneously?
Your first inclination may be to use a $3 "Tee" connector,
an inexpensive but poor solution. Two scanners connected
together without isolation will interact with each other
in at least two ways:

1. Local oscillator energy from one radio can be coupled into
the other, causing birdies.
2. The front end bandpass filtering in one receiver
can "load down" the other receiver, radically reducing sensitivity.

A receiver multicoupler, more commonly known as a "splitter,"
permits one antenna to be shared by two or more receivers.
Unlike a simple T connector, a good multicoupler provides
a degree of isolation between the receivers.
Some signal loss is inherent in any multicoupler,
so it may not be suitable for reception of very weak
signals unless an amplifier is added to compensate for the loss..."
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1805. bc235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: fcj21062@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061419423000.PAA28736@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157031

are there any mods 2 onlock cell freq

1806. Re: Multiple antennas on a single scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061419523400.PAA29787@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157032
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>
Subject: Re: Multiple antennas on a single scanner?
>From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com (Bob Parnass, AJ9S)
>Date: Sun, Jun 14, 1998 15:35 EDT
>Message-id: <6m18lb$a07@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
>
>In article <1998061419154900.PAA25947@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
>DHunter147 wrote:
>
> >what's so bad about two scanners on the same antenna?
>
>From the Scanner Equipment column I wrote
>in 9/1997 Monitoring Times magazine:
>
> "You have one antenna and two scanners. How can you connect them
> together so both scanners can use the same antenna simultaneously?
> Your first inclination may be to use a $3 "Tee" connector,
> an inexpensive but poor solution. Two scanners connected
> together without isolation will interact with each other
> in at least two ways:
>
> 1. Local oscillator energy from one radio can be coupled into
> the other, causing birdies.
> 2. The front end bandpass filtering in one receiver
> can "load down" the other receiver, radically reducing sensitivity.
>
> A receiver multicoupler, more commonly known as a "splitter,"
> permits one antenna to be shared by two or more receivers.
> Unlike a simple T connector, a good multicoupler provides
> a degree of isolation between the receivers.
> Some signal loss is inherent in any multicoupler,
> so it may not be suitable for reception of very weak
> signals unless an amplifier is added to compensate for the loss..."
>--
>
>================================================================================
>Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S
>parnass@bell-labs.com

It also works with multiple antennas the same way. In practice a broad band
antenna like a scanner antenna exhibits wide swings of impedance up and down
the band - coupling two togther should be less problem than coupling TV
antennas together - there is no complex AM video signal to "ghost". Multipath
```

can be a problem, but wire in a $3 TV splitter, hook the two antennas in and see what happens.It's not like there's a transmitter to fry here. At worst you can use the same wiring and replace the splitter with a $3 switch if the results with the splitter are bad! It won't take long to compare the problem signals that only come in on one antenna or the otherto see if they all are there with the two hooked together.

Neutrodyne

1807. Re: Low Band VHF Skip??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6m1a5t$e4s$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 157033

There is a lot of VHF propagation in the summer time. Especially early in the morning. Last night I listened to a police department on 154.860 that was well over 100 miles away. The most likely cause for this kind of skip is called troposheric ducting. Ducting is even more common in coastal areas. 100 miles is typical distance that will result from ducting but sometimes you can hear signals from several hundred miles away.

1808. Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: source@netcom.com
Message-ID: <35b92eb2.311566798@source.netcom.com>
Source record: 157034

On 14 Jun 1998 15:53:08 GMT, "D Stark" <nf2g@ggw.org> wrote:

>Is anyone aware of a software SCA decoder for use with a Hamcomm-type
>interface?

Think! Think what you are asking for here. After a SCA-encoded FM
signal went through a Hamcomm data slicer, what would be left for
software to decode from? Data slicing is NOT the first step in decoding
SCA, and doing it to the baseband signal doesn't get you any closer.

Now, a Hamcomm interface on the _output_ of a SCA decoder, for decoding
certain types of digital SCA signals, that has possabilities.

1809. Re: ICOM R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Barker <rbarker@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <358438cf.0@nemo.idirect.com>
Source record: 157035
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I bought Scancat Gold and have never been able to make it work consistently
with my 8500 !

In article <3583dc2e.1464774@news.dial.pipex.com>, xgp31@dial.pipex.com
wrote:

>On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 19:03:10 -0700, "Mike Dodds" <m.dodds@virgin.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Hi,
>>
>>I've been using an ICOM R8500 for the past 6 months or so. It is really
>>great doing nearly all things I want and more.
>>
>>One thing I do not like is that after a transmission has ceased the scan
>>continues 3 seconds later, therefore the delay is not variable, although
the
>>resume scan time can be varied. Does anyone know how to change this?
>>
>>Also has anyone tried and got any views on the ICOM supplied software for
>>the ICR8500 or any other similar s/w.
>>
>>TIA
>>
>>Mike Dodds
>>
>>
>
>If you want to try some computer control software try downloading the
>demo version of Scancat Gold for windows from www.scancat.com
>
>You will need an RS232 cable which is cheap enough and it will give
>you a taster for minimal cost

1810. Radio Shack Pro-2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: muellera@kenyon.edu
Message-ID: <35843891.11671162@usenet.en.com>
Source record: 157036

I have a Pro-2037 Scanner here that I would like to sell. It is in great condition and I also have the manual here. I would like to buy a trunking scanner and don't need two. So I'd be willing to ship it to you for $150 or the best offer.

email: muellera@kenyon.edu

1811. FS: RS Discone Base Scanner Antenna ($40)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <35843c63.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 157037

```
Radio Shack Discone Base Scanner Antenna
Good condition
Works great!

$40 for pick up only (Baltimore area).
```

1812. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35845D1A.56494D2F@swbell.net>
Source record: 157038

I had Johnny boy filtered out and ended up with over 200 filters because
he keeps changing his posting name and subject.

--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not con-
stitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit
unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above.
Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied.
Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

1813. lower alabama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: fcj21062@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061422044200.SAA14213@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157039

does anyone have freq for dothan ozark r abbeville al

email fcj21062@aol.com

1814. PL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose Negron <jnegron@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <35844E2C.50A9@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 157040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me what PL means after a frequency. For example if a city has a police listing 470.5375 PL 162.2 What does it mean?

Thanks for your help.

Jose

1815. Re: I`ll show those b*** [forgery]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: alarmist@home.com
Message-ID: <358b4c31.257623611@news.randori.com>
Source record: 157041
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>You need some real help Robert. Sounds pretty crazy to me.
>
>alarmist@BassHome.com (Robert L Bass) wrote:
>>
>> I`ll show those b***

The post was one of numerous forgeries by Jeffry Lynn Morris. He usually posts flames under the names Q Salt, Laffer, Lennie, etc. My news client software (Forte' Agent) doesn't create left-hand quote marks.

Blue Skies,
Robert L Bass

========================>
Bass Home Electronics >
80 Bentwood Road >
W Hartford, CT 06107 >
alarmist@BassHome.com >
http://www.BassHome.com >
860-561-9542 Voice >
860-521-2143 Fax >
========================>

1816. Re: FORGED POST!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: alarmist@home.com
Message-ID: <35894b85.257451943@news.randori.com>
Source record: 157042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.student

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>The post below is also forged. I didn't write it!
>
>
>John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>> You have to wonder about someone (like ALLSAFE) who tries to coordinate
>> ways to make trouble rather than ways to resolve an issue. His
>> intention of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.

Yeah. This one's a forgery, too. I'm not writing it. :)

Blue Skies,
Robert L Bass

=========================>
Bass Home Electronics >
80 Bentwood Road >
W Hartford, CT 06107 >
alarmist@BassHome.com >
http://www.BassHome.com >
860-561-9542 Voice >
860-521-2143 Fax >
=========================>

1817. Re: 9000xlt Tricks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: stmagnum@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061422185200.SAA15668@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157043

>I was told that the tricks for the BC3000 work with the BC9000... (and
>there's
>a page or two out there with 3000 tricks).

Could you direct us to a web page with some of these BC3000/BC9000 tricks? We would appreciate it!

-- St. Magnum

"The early bird may get the worm,
but the second mouse gets the cheese."

1818. USB Cable for BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: alien338@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061422195200.SAA15783@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157044

Is it possible to buy or build a USB cable that can be used with the BC895XLT.
I'd LOVE to be able to use one of my USB ports!

Thanks,

Barry in Indy

To reply by e-mail, use cobsasprog at usa.net.

1819. Re: wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mtbikerno@spamnojps.net
Message-ID: <3583b30f.7376347@news.jps.net>
Source record: 157045

A couple of nights ago I heard two officers discussing another officer's conduct on one of the Sheriff's Department tac channels. It was really late at night, probably between 3 and 4 AM, and the officer telling the other officer what he heard quoted several lines of profanity, which is unusual on a repeater (trunked 800 system here). Great entertainment, but unfortunately I forgot exactly what was said. I do remember that the officer being discussed was quoted as saying "I'll just leave this fucking department then". Woke me up good! Of course I used to hear tons of cussing on the county refuse collection talkgroup a couple years ago. Open mikes are also good for producing great listening, especially when it's a police car with an argumentative prisoner in the back.

Also witnessed my 24th pursuit last night. Stolen Toyota van going 60 mph through well-lit downtown streets. I saw it from a corner. Awesome! Finally, I've heard a couple of pursuits that ended in bad crashes, and when the pursuee crashed I heard "Shit!" or "Oh shit!". The first time that happened I was listening with a friend of mine and he was literally ROFL face down on the floor! Since the only person who crashed was the suspect and no officers were involved, I laughed my ass off too.

1820. Re: Low Band VHF Skip??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061423283700.TAA19590@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157046
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: Re: Low Band VHF Skip??
>From: "John Habbinga"
>Date: Sun, Jun 14, 1998 15:54 EDT
>Message-id: <6m1a5t$e4s$1@news4.wt.net>
>
>There is a lot of VHF propagation in the summer time. Especially early in
>the morning. Last night I listened to a police department on 154.860 that
>was well over 100 miles away. The most likely cause for this kind of skip is
>called troposheric ducting. Ducting is even more common in coastal areas.
>100 miles is typical distance that will result from ducting but sometimes
>you can hear signals from several hundred miles away.

Yeah, but UHF is more fun. I talked to a guy in Connecticut on 464.100 with 100
watts, from central Illinois.... We were both running service calls becasue
skip was driving the local PD's nuts...
Neutrodyne

1821. Re: FRS/UHF question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: gmalf19@idt.net
Message-ID: <358560e5.21989626@news.nwlink.com>
Source record: 157047

On Wed, 10 Jun 1998 19:09:03 GMT, Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:

>Andy:
>
>Those radios are for use on the GMRS band, and require a "no test, just
>send us money", license. They will operate within the FRS frequencies,
>however to do so, technically, would be illegal. And, if I were going
>through the trouble and expense for a GMRS license, I'd opt for more
>than 1 watt. Typically GMRS handhelds can be purchased with up to 5
>watts, and base/mobile units come in at around 35 watts, if I recall.
>Some GMRS radios will also interact with repeaters, i.e.: Maxon.
>
GMRS radios do and can legally operate in 7 of the lower FRS
frequencies. They were GMRS splinter frequencies to begin with and
are shared with FRS. It's not clear if GMRS and FRS units can legally
talk to each other but most opinions I've read seem to think it's ok.

Mike

1822. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul.Butcher@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <0ce_9806141700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 157048

On 14 Jun 98 05:16:30, k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) showed his stupidity with:

> Hmmph. And all this time I thought the 1st Amendment protected free speech.

Read it again, then come back and tell everyone what the First Amendment says.

> Silly me.

You even flame yourself. But at least it's the truth.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Paul Butcher 52:1000/211
|Internet: Paul.Butcher@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1823. Re: Low Band VHF Skip??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Callebs <oh9@bright.net>
Message-ID: <6m1uhs$eq5$1@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 157049

I've found activity on 33.74 MHz and 33.86 MHz. Both are used in Ohio for dispatch, and they include some "high profile" screamer systems. Happy DX!

***** low band LIVES forever! *********

Rick Callebs, W8CMI
rcallebs@oh9.com

RLIGHT51@Maine.edu wrote in message <98165.140236RLIGHT51@Maine.edu>...
>I live in Maine and have found skipping frequencies quite interesting
>to listen to. I am a fire dispatch buff and love listening to
>tone-outs of different departments. The two frequencies I have
>found so far are 33.700 and 33.900 MHZ. I was wondering if there
>were any other frequencies that skipped a lot during the summer
>that are fire dispatch frequencies??
>
>Thanks...
>
>RL

1824. Phoenix frequencies page has moved!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Rice <drice@abac.com>
Message-ID: <3584875F.A5458305@abac.com>
Source record: 157050

The Phoenix Area Radio Frequencies and Information web page has moved.
The new address is:

http://users.abac.com/drice

This page contains frequencies and information relating to Phoenix, AZ
area fire and EMS departments, including:

- Verified frequencies and channel numbers
- Assignment and response information
- Apparatus information
- Apparatus photos
- Links to other sites

--
David Rice
<drice@abac.com>

1825. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe serocki <jserocki@wwa.com>
Message-ID: <6m225t$bb1$1@hirame.wwa.com>
Source record: 157051
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

Maybe that's why the Rainbow Kit radar band transmitter is gaining in popularity so...?? I have seen many of them sell at the hamfests, etc.

1826. What To Buy? Scanner For Winston Cup Racing - Advice Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: pferris@ionet.net
Message-ID: <9806142237.AA18201@mohawk>
Source record: 157052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

Dear Scanner Guru's:

Here's today's query-

I'm planning to attend the Fall NASCAR Winston Cup race at Talladega. This has me considering my scanner options / WANTS / and needs. For full effect, one MUST have a scanner at the track!

A friend suggested I get one of the new Yeasu handheld rigs - right at $300. And it transmits too. Naturally, I have a license (N5KBD). But really, I'd just rather have a real scanner. I don't care about xmit capabilities.

I'm the proud owner of an AOR AR-1500. It's a helluva radio. But not quite handheld, but not impossible either. Problem is, I don't want to have to tote a gel cell for power for it, too.

So, as I look through the various trade/sport rags, several companies specialize in trackside communications - for the fan as well as the driver (RaceScan and Racing Electronics seem to be the major trackside players -- but there are severael well known mail order houses too). The only thing that makes a scanner for a race track "special" is that:

1) Most often during the race, you can have 40+ cars in very close proximity to each other and to your scanner! Antenna is seldom an issue! ;-) A stub or nothing at all is preferred! Aside from the aforementioned 40+ cars x at least freqs each, there are also various vendors ("Hey Billy, get the golf cart guy to bring 20 more kegs of Bud to south concession area..."). Arrrgghh. Bleedover / adjacent rejection IS a big issue.

2) Not only is the RF noise a problem, the acoustic (car!) noise is damn near unbearable w/o hearing protection. So most racing scanners are 'audio modified' for increased output level and usually you can buy an (external) noise cancelling headset with them.

Some specifics:
##################
There seem to be two MAJOR players at the track - Uniden/Bearcat and RELM.

Uniden is very well known. However, I've never been really impressed by their quality and rejection.

RELM on the other hand, I believe, is the 'new & improved' Regency Electronics group. They show cut away photos of their units and brag about their metal shielding in every rig. Whadda YOU think?

What would you buy / bring? Let's say $300 is the limit. A Uniden? A RELM?
Or other?

So, I welcome your suggestions for makes/models and "where-to-buy" sources.

Cheers & Thanks for your time,

--Pete

The box said Windows 95 or better,...So I bought a Mac.

1827. John Mackey(KA0SSF) is known as a "5150" in California.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pandorf <"Jeff Pandorf"@intercast.com>
Message-ID: <35849376.C44418AD@intercast.com>
Source record: 157053

CALIFORNIA CODES
WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE
SECTION 5150-5157

5150. When any person, as a result of mental disorder, is a danger to others, or to himself or herself, or gravely disabled, a peace officer, member of the attending staff, as defined by regulation, of an evaluation facility designated by the county, designated members of a mobile crisis team provided by Section 5651.7, or other professional person designated by the county may, upon probable cause, take, or cause to be taken, the person into custody and place him or her in a facility designated by the county and approved by the State Department of Mental Health as a facility for 72-hour treatment and evaluation.
Such facility shall require an application in writing stating the circumstances under which the person's condition was called to the attention of the officer, member of the attending staff, or professional person, and stating that the officer, member of the attending staff, or professional person has probable cause to believe that the person is, as a result of mental disorder, a danger to others, or to himself or herself, or gravely disabled. If the probable cause is based on the statement of a person other than the officer, member of the attending staff, or professional person, such person shall be liable in a civil action for intentionally giving a statement which he or she knows to be false.

5150.1. No peace officer seeking to transport, or having transported, a person to a designated facility for assessment under Section 5150, shall be instructed by mental health personnel to take the person to, or keep the person at, a jail solely because of the unavailability of an acute bed, nor shall the peace officer be forbidden to transport the person directly to the designated facility. No mental health employee from any county, state, city, or any private agency providing Short-Doyle psychiatric emergency services shall interfere with a peace officer performing duties under Section 5150 by preventing the peace officer from entering a designated facility with the person to be assessed, nor shall any employee of such an agency require the peace officer to remove the person without assessment as a condition of allowing the peace officer to depart.
"Peace officer" for the purposes of this section also means a jailer seeking to transport or transporting a person in custody to a designated facility for assessment consistent with Section 4011.6 or 4011.8 of the Penal Code and Section 5150.

5150.2. In each county whenever a peace officer has transported a person to a designated facility for assessment under Section 5150, that officer shall be detained no longer than the time necessary to complete documentation of the factual basis of the detention under Section 5150 and a safe and orderly transfer of physical custody of the person. The documentation shall include detailed information regarding the factual circumstances and observations constituting probable cause for the peace officer to believe that the individual required psychiatric evaluation under the standards of Section 5105.

Each county shall establish disposition procedures and guidelines with local law enforcement agencies as necessary to relate to persons not admitted for evaluation and treatment and who decline alternative mental health services and to relate to the safe and orderly transfer of physical custody of persons under Section 5150, including those who have a criminal detention pending.

5150.3. Whenever any person presented for evaluation at a facility designated under Section 5150 is found to be in need of mental health services, but is not admitted to the facility, all available alternative services provided for pursuant to Section 5151 shall be offered as determined by the county mental health director.

5150.4. "Assessment" for the purposes of this article, means the determination of whether a person shall be evaluated and treated pursuant to Section 5150.

5151. If the facility for 72-hour treatment and evaluation admits the person, it may detain him or her for evaluation and treatment for a period not to exceed 72 hours. Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays may be excluded from the 72-hour period if the Department of Mental Health certifies for each facility that evaluation and treatment services cannot reasonably be made available on those days. The certification by the department is subject to renewal every two years. The department shall adopt regulations defining criteria for determining whether a facility can reasonably be expected to make evaluation and treatment services available on Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.
Prior to admitting a person to the facility for 72-hour treatment and evaluation pursuant to Section 5150, the professional person in charge of the facility or his or her designee shall assess the individual in person to determine the appropriateness of the involuntary detention.
If in the judgment of the professional person in charge of the facility providing evaluation and treatment, or his or her designee, the person can be properly served without being detained, he or she shall be provided evaluation, crisis intervention, or other inpatient or outpatient services on a voluntary basis.
Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to prevent a peace officer from delivering individuals to a designated facility for assessment under Section 5150. Furthermore, the preadmission assessment requirement of this section shall not be interpreted to

require peace officers to perform any additional duties other than those specified in Sections 5150.1 and 5150.2.

5152. (a) Each person admitted to a facility for 72-hour treatment and evaluation under the provisions of this article shall receive an evaluation as soon after he or she is admitted as possible and shall receive whatever treatment and care his or her condition requires for the full period that he or she is held. The person shall be released before 72 hours have elapsed only if, the psychiatrist directly responsible for the person's treatment believes, as a result of his or her personal observations, that the person no longer requires evaluation or treatment. If any other professional person who is authorized to release the person, believes the person should be released before 72 hours have elapsed, and the psychiatrist directly responsible for the person's treatment objects, the matter shall be referred to the medical director of the facility for the final decision. However, if the medical director is not a psychiatrist, he or she shall appoint a designee who is a psychiatrist. If the matter is referred, the person shall be released before 72 hours have elapsed only if the psychiatrist making the final decision believes, as a result of his or her personal observations, that the person no longer requires evaluation or treatment.
(b) Persons who have been detained for evaluation and treatment shall be released, referred for further care and treatment on a voluntary basis, certified for intensive treatment, or a conservator or temporary conservator shall be appointed pursuant to this part as required.
(c) Persons who have been detained for evaluation and treatment, who are receiving medications as a result of their mental illness, shall be given, as soon as possible after detention, written and oral information about the probable effects and possible side effects of the medication by a person designated by the mental health facility where the person is detained. The State Department of Mental Health shall develop and promulgate written materials on the effects of medications, for use by county mental health programs as disseminated or as modified by the county mental health program, addressing the probable effects and the possible side effects of the medication. The following information shall be given orally to the patient:
(1) The nature of the mental illness, or behavior, that is the reason the medication is being given or recommended.
(2) The likelihood of improving or not improving without the medications.
(3) Reasonable alternative treatments available.
(4) The name and type, frequency, amount, and method of dispensing the medications, and the probable length of time that the medications will be taken.
The fact that the information has or has not been given shall be indicated in the patient's chart. If the information has not been given, the designated person shall document in the patient's chart

the justification for not providing the information. A failure to give information about the probable effects and possible side effects of the medication shall not constitute new grounds for release.

5152.1. The professional person in charge of the facility providing 72-hour evaluation and treatment, or his or her designee, shall notify the county mental health director or the director's designee and the peace officer who makes the written application pursuant to Section 5150 or a person who is designated by the law enforcement agency that employs the peace officer, when the person has been released after 72-hour detention, when the person is not detained, or when the person is released before the full period of allowable 72-hour detention if all of the following conditions apply:
(a) The peace officer requests such notification at the time he or she makes the application and the peace officer certifies at that time in writing that the person has been referred to the facility under circumstances which, based upon an allegation of facts regarding actions witnessed by the officer or another person, would support the filing of a criminal complaint.
(b) The notice is limited to the person's name, address, date of admission for 72-hour evaluation and treatment, and date of release.

If a police officer, law enforcement agency, or designee of the law enforcement agency, possesses any record of information obtained pursuant to the notification requirements of this section, the officer, agency, or designee shall destroy that record two years after receipt of notification.

5152.2. Each law enforcement agency within a county shall arrange with the county mental health director a method for giving prompt notification to peace officers pursuant to Section 5152.1.

5153. Whenever possible, officers charged with apprehension of persons pursuant to this article shall dress in plain clothes and travel in unmarked vehicles.

5154. (a) Notwithstanding Section 5113, if the provisions of Section 5152 have been met, the professional person in charge of the facility providing 72-hour treatment and evaluation, his or her designee, the medical director of the facility or his or her designee described in Section 5152, and the psychiatrist directly responsible for the person's treatment shall not be held civilly or criminally liable for any action by a person released before the end of 72 hours pursuant to this article.
(b) The professional person in charge of the facility providing 72-hour treatment and evaluation, his or her designee, the medical director of the facility or his or her designee described in Section 5152, and the psychiatrist directly responsible for the person's treatment shall not be held civilly or criminally liable for any action by a person released at the end of the 72 hours pursuant to this article.
(c) The peace officer responsible for the detainment of the person

shall not be civilly or criminally liable for any action by a person released at or before the end of the 72 hours pursuant to this article.

5155. Nothing in this part shall be construed as granting authority to local entities to issue licenses supplementary to existing state and local licensing laws.

5156. At the time a person is taken into custody for evaluation, or within a reasonable time thereafter, unless a responsible relative or the guardian or conservator of the person is in possession of the person's personal property, the person taking him into custody shall take reasonable precautions to preserve and safeguard the personal property in the possession of or on the premises occupied by the person. The person taking him into custody shall then furnish to the court a report generally describing the person's property so preserved and safeguarded and its disposition, in substantially the form set forth in Section 5211; except that if a responsible relative or the guardian or conservator of the person is in possession of the person's property, the report shall include only the name of the relative or guardian or conservator and the location of the property, whereupon responsibility of the person taking him into custody for such property shall terminate.
As used in this section, "responsible relative" includes the spouse, parent, adult child, or adult brother or sister of the person, except that it does not include the person who applied for the petition under this article.

5157. (a) Each person, at the time he or she is first taken into custody under provisions of Section 5150, shall be provided, by the person who takes such other person into custody, the following information orally. The information shall be in substantially the following form:

My name is _____.
I am a _____.
(peace officer, mental health professional)
with _____.
(name of agency)
You are not under criminal arrest, but I am taking you for examination by mental health professionals at _____
_____.
(name of facility)
You will be told your rights by the mental health staff.

If taken into custody at his or her residence, the person shall also be told the following information in substantially the following form:

You may bring a few personal items with you which I will have to approve. You can make a phone call and/or leave a note to tell your friends and/or family where

you have been taken.

(b) The designated facility shall keep, for each patient evaluated, a record of the advisement given pursuant to subdivision (a) which shall include:
(1) Name of person detained for evaluation.
(2) Name and position of peace officer or mental health professional taking person into custody.
(3) Date.
(4) Whether advisement was completed.
(5) If not given or completed, the mental health professional at the facility shall either provide the information specified in subdivision (a), or include a statement of good cause, as defined by regulations of the State Department of Mental Health, which shall be kept with the patient's medical record.
(c) Each person admitted to a designated facility for 72-hour evaluation and treatment shall be given the following information by admission staff at the evaluation unit. The information shall be given orally and in writing and in a language or modality accessible to the person. The written information shall be available in the person's native language or the language which is the person's principal means of communication. The information shall be in substantially the following form:

My name is _____.

My position here is _____.

You are being placed into the psychiatric unit because it is our professional opinion that as a result of mental disorder, you are likely to:
(check applicable)
harm yourself ____
harm someone else ____
be unable to take care of your own food, clothing, and housing needs ____
We feel this is true because
_____

(herewith a listing of the facts upon which the allegation of dangerous or gravely disabled due to mental disorder is based, including pertinent facts arising from the admission interview.)

You will be held on the ward for a period up to 72 hours. This does not include weekends or holidays.
Your 72-hour period will begin _____

(day and time.)
During these 72 hours you will be evaluated by the hospital staff, and you may be given treatment, including medications. It is possible for you to be released before the end of the 72

hours. But if the staff decides that you need continued treatment you can be held for a longer period of time. If you are held longer than 72 hours you have the right to a lawyer and a qualified interpreter and a hearing before a judge. If you are

unable to pay for the lawyer, then one will be provided free.

(d) For each patient admitted for 72-hour evaluation and treatment, the facility shall keep with the patient's medical record a record of the advisement given pursuant to subdivision (c) which shall include:
(1) Name of person performing advisement.
(2) Date.
(3) Whether advisement was completed.
(4) If not completed, a statement of good cause.
If the advisement was not completed at admission, the advisement process shall be continued on the ward until completed. A record of the matters prescribed by subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) shall be kept with the patient's medical record.

1828. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9811$91492d80$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157054
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

I see WAY to much caffine in your diet. Think before you have that second pot of coffee for breakfast & case of JOLT to sip on the rest of the day. Who knows, maybe you`ll get some sleep this month.

Good Luck,
Charlie

> alarmist@BassHome.com (Robert L Bass) wrote:
>
> I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me. I`m BASS. I`m the
> great one! I`m a genius! Don`t they know that genius is pain? Can`t
> they see I`m doing this for their own good? Laffer is evil. JRJ is
> evil. Whitt is evil. I can see it. I can see it It`s just like when
> those boys, those horrible horrible boys did that. I`ll show them I
> hate them. I`ll KILL them! They can`t do this to me, I`m BASS! I`ll
> show them when they look at me ooooh, I can feel it. I know! I know!
> I`m a genius! I know! They don`t know. Noooooo. They don`t know. I can
> see it why can`t they? I can see it. It`s as plain as the nose on my
> face. Why don`t they listen? They`re idiots! They`re eeeeevil. They
> don`t know! They can`t know! I`m a genius. I know! Why won`t they
> listen? They don`t know I`m BASS! I know! They don`t know. Ohhhh I`ll
> show THEM! I willlLLLLLLLLL! I`ll make them do it. I`ll make them.
> They don`t know, they`re eeeevil. They are. The others can`t see, but
> I can. I`m BASS! I know! They don`t know. They don`t! I`ll show
> them.I`ll show them . Oooh my head hurts. ooooooooogh. Oh my. It`s
> happening again. Oh no
> Nooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo.

1829. ICOM R1 WANTED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doucette <paradiddle7@usa.net>
Message-ID: <897882239.734354@michelob>
Source record: 157055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please Please Msg me if you have this sucker....I'm In Canada so the
exchange rate is shit.....I can afford 150.00 American as i'm a student!
Thanks for reading!
Jeff

1830. Re: Pro-2021 same as 2022?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9813$4e4cd2a0$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 157056

Hi Wally,
No, sorry. The 2021 doesn`t have the front end to recieve anything above
512mhz. Lots of other mods for it. Bill Cheek has three books that make
most scanners alot better.

Good Luck,
Charlie

Wally Hollander <Wallyhol@psci.net> wrote in article
<01bd97b1$c1a714c0$f6ffa0ce@cmxdpuze>...
> Hi,
> New to this. Wandering If the Pro-2021 can be modified the same as
> Pro-2022? I've increased the scan speed, but nothing more. Thanks in
> advance.
> Wally
>

1831. FS:Relm HS-200 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@telis.org>
Message-ID: <35849792.6C2E9AAC@telis.org>
Source record: 157057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Great scanner and in very good condition, has a few scratches. Comes with orig box, manual, antenna, AC adapter. Works as new. $175.00 including shipping in US.
Ron

1832. prescott arizona frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: lfa2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6m25jf$27o$1@newsd-164.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 157058

need help with prescott az /yavapai county freqs, plice, fire, s.o etc.
thinking of sending an inexp, scanner as a gift and nedd to be sure
they're not 800 or trunked. thanks in advance!!!

lfa2

1833. Re: Help needed for tape recorder interface via scan control pin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <35844CA9.5640@blarg.net>
Source record: 157059
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

> you know, I tried that with tape recorders. they aren't very good at
> it. even hi quality ones have problems with clipping and whatnot. The
> *best* solution out there is a program called RecAll. It operates like
> a VOX recorder with a few improvements: record time is only limited by
> the size of your hard drive (usually 10+times what you'd get with a
> measly tape, yech!), and it's start and stop for VOX mode is
> instantaneous.

Thanks for the suggestion, but I allready thought of that. The
problem with that is that I plan on doing this on the go from my car, and
sometimes even hotel rooms uning handheld scanners. I'd have to either
lug around a full desktop computer system, or dish out $1k for a nice
laptop with a built in soundcard. Either of which for what I want is
pretty impractical.
I've tried a VOX tape recorder, but don't like the performance. I
want to give this kind of interface a try, but don't know much about
designing circuits. I'm hoping someone out there knows something about
this and can help me.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

1834. Multiple antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <11e_9806150100@warpgate.com>
Source record: 157060

dhunter147@aol.com (DHunter147) wrote:

Dh> what's so bad about two scanners on the same antenna?

It's like connecting two resistors of equal
resistance in parallel - the total resistance
is 1/2 the resistance of one resistor.

In the situation with scanners, the antenna
would be looking down at 1/2 the impedence
of one scanner.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

1835. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35839536.2D5FEA55@swbell.net>
Source record: 157061

Like the accusations you made about Bill and never showed any proof of
them like you said you were going to do.
--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not con-
stitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit
unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above.
Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied.
Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

1836. Re: Electra Bearcat 220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: derickam@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061407220600.DAA05540@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157062

In "my" experience let the market decide what it is worth, I have auctioned off my old stuff, and yes given stuff to students and such, sometimes you are suprised at what it is worth "good or bad".
Couple good auction spots, if you are thinking of selling:

www.ebay.com
www.haggle.com
www.cityauction.com

Of course they charge you a small listing fee plus a commission, but hey I have cleaned out years worth of "stuff" (someone else's treasure) using these avenues. Of course somethings have sentimental value, my first midland 23 channel CB, that I would not take any "reasonable" offer for.

good luck 'n have a blast!

derick

1837. Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: grohe@galaxy.nsc.com
Message-ID: <35837505.1439528@139.187.81.1>
Source record: 157063

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 14:39:16 -0500,
in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
"AJH" <ajh@megsinet.n-t>
from thoughtfully posted:

> Does anyone here have a text file on how to build an SCA decoder? I am very
> interested in this subject but cannot find a whole lot of information on it,
> any help would be appreciated.

http://www.access.digex.net/~cps/sca.html

Ramsey also has a decoder kit, too.

You will need a "discriminator" output from your WBFM scanner or
FM radio for the SCA receiver to function properly.

BTW: The LM565 is the same as the NE565.

Cheers,
Paul Grohe

--

Paul Grohe National Semiconductor Corp.
Assoc. Analog Apps Engineer 2900 Semiconductor Drive
Standard Analog Apps Group Mail Stop C2693
mailto:grohe@galaxy.nsc.com Santa Clara, CA. 95052-8090 USA
Usenet Disclaimer: Any opinions expressed are mine, not NSC's
---

1838. Re: FORGED POST!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Laffer <luscombe8a@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9770$89701fc0$67e7869f@default>
Source record: 157064

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote in article
<3582D6FD.6071@parg.org>...
> The post below is also forged. I didn't write it!
>
>
> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> > You have to wonder about someone (like ALLSAFE) who tries to coordinate
> > ways to make trouble rather than ways to resolve an issue. His
> > intention of causing trouble become obvious to even the most nieve.
>

Hey mackey, get fucked.

Larry

1839. Re: TO ALL NEWCOMERS A WARNING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Laffer <luscombe8a@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9771$0e8d93e0$67e7869f@default>
Source record: 157065
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote in article
<358373DE.7895@parg.org>...
> You're a sick puppy. Why are you posting this crap?

Well, because he`s a sick puppy, obviously.

Larry

1840. Atascadero, CA and SLO County Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <6m00h4$758$1@suriname.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157066

Don't speed! The whole state is radared now...particular CA1 north of SLO
to Hearst Castle AND Highway 46!!!

SLO County Sheriffs Department
http://www.fix.net/sloso/

Atascadero Green Dispatch 460.425
Morrow Bay Green Dispatch 460.075
SLO SO Dispatch Blue 460.150
SLO SO Dispatch SLO to Cambria 460.550
Car to Car White 460.025
Countywide Yellow 460.475
Emerg Red 460.050

Unit Designations

20 Sheriffs Command
21 SLO Sheriffs Station
22 Templeton Station
23 Oceano Station
24SO HQ
25 SO Civil Division
26 SO Custody
27 SO County Jail
28 CHP SLO Station (California St)
41 Paso Robles PD
42 SLO City PD
43 Morro Bay PD
44 Pismo Beach PD
45 Grover Beach PD
46 Arroyo Grande PD
47 Cal Poly SLO PD
48 Atascadero PD
49 Mental Health Atascadero
61 SLO Co DA
62 SLO Co Animal Control
65 Cal State Corrections
99 SLO Co Communications

City of San Luis Obispo PD
Dispatch 460.375
Car to Car 460.025

Cal Poly PD
Dispatch 460.250
Car to car 460.025

City of San Luis Obispo Fire
Dispatch 154.205
Fireground 155.145
command 154.310

Atascadero Fire

Dispatch Ch 1 154.070
Fireground CH2 154.370
Countywide Ch3 154.385

Morrow Bay Fire

Dispatch 154.130

CDF Fire (SLO County Contract)

159.315, 151.325, 154.385, 151.445, 159.375, 159.300

CHP San Luis Obispo
http://cad.chp.ca.gov/SLCC.htm
42.360, 42.560 Dispatch
42.720, 42.780 Mobile (weak)

CHP Templeton
42.560 Dispatch
42.720 Mobile (Weak)

Cal Dept Parks Recreation (Los Osos)
855.7125, 855.9625, 859.9375, 856.9375, 855.9375, 857.9375

CalTrans
http://www.dot.ca.gov/dist05/
47.180, 47.080

Cal DFG
151.415

San Luis Airport
124.000, 379.900 Tower
121.600 Ground Control
119.05 LA Center

Paso Robles Airport
123.000 Unicom
128.700 Oakland Center

Pacifc Gas and Electric
Morrow Bay 451.2500, 454.000, 153.590
Diablo Nuclear Plant 451.075, 451.125, 451.200, 451.475

Southern Pacific/Union Pacifc Railroad
161.550 SLO to LA
160.320 SLO to Watsonville
160.485
The Amtrak Coast Starlights (north and southbound) arrive in SLO around 3pm

each afternoon..... Great bridge located off of CA1 between Morrow Bay and SLO....

1841. Re: Yellowstone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: the_blueknight@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6m04qk$9em$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157067

In article <6k9mna$spn@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>,
Ray Wilcox <YAR509@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> thank you very much these are great!
>
> --
> ---Ray--
>
> I Listen To What The Small Voices In My Head Tell Me To Do!
>
> YAR509@worldnet.att.net
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/nv/YAR509/index.html
>
> --
>
> if you will be in yellowstone you'll want some mhp freqs. drop me some email
at the_blueknight@hotmail.com and i'll set you up.
:-D

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1842. Re: Help needed for tape recorder interface via scan control pin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: qwasty@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6m01sf$64a$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157068
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

you know, I tried that with tape recorders. they aren't very good at it. even hi quality ones have problems with clipping and whatnot. The *best* solution out there is a program called RecAll. It operates like a VOX recorder with a few improvements: record time is only limited by the size of your hard drive (usually 10+times what you'd get with a measly tape, yech!), and it's start and stop for VOX mode is instantaneous.

In article <358154B5.1431@blarg.net>,
"Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net> wrote:
>
> I need some help with a tape recorder interface I'm building.
> I'm trying to add a small jack to my scanner that'll plug
> directly into a tape recorder's "remote" jack via a cable
> that'll automatically start the tape recorder when the squelch
> is broken and will stop it when the transmission stops.
>
> If I use a RS 42-2152 dubbing cable, this'll condense a whole
> night's, or even day's, scanning into just a few minutes. It'll
> also have other useful purposes.
>
> I know it has to do with the Scan Control pin, but how do I go
> about doing this? I'm looking into it closing a relay when the
> pin goes active so that the two devices will remain isolated.
>
> I plan on doing this mod to several scanners, so general
> instructions about how to do it with different battery sources,
> different pins, etc., would be more than helpful for me and a
> couple of friends.
>
> Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
> end of tdragon in my address to respond,
> tdragonn@blarg.net
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1843. Re: Why does MACKEY attack Bill???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6m04v6$jbo@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157069

glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:

<snippo>
>I was there, Bill. I saw what happened. Mackey has it basically
>correct, although he adds his usual hyperbole to the tale. Fact is,
>you abused your power. Anybody who's followed your posting habits
>here will have no trouble believing that you did throw your weight
>around in FIDO once you got yourself appointed moderator/dictator of
>that conference.

If Bill was abusing his power so bad, how come he didn't kick you
off the echo when you argued with him?

/Sal

1844. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6m04v8$jbo@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157070

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>Salvatore Gambino wrote:
>> Name ONE of your alleged supporters who was using his real name
>> and not posting anonymously.
>
>OK, Ryan Faricy.
>
>
>Your challenge was met!

Isn't Ryan a 15 year old kid?

/Sal

1845. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6m04v9$jbo@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> You are, indeed, a most fortunate young man to have Mackey on your
>> side. He doesn't agree with *just* anybody you know. Heh, Heh, Heh!
>>
>> George L. Vetterle
>
>
>Thanks for your support George!

I think that might have been meant as sarcasm, John.
George is pissed off about a mailbomb or something he got
from some "low life Spam sucking trailer trash", to use his words.

/Sal

1846. Re: 9000xlt Tricks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <3583abbd.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 157072

In article <3580A82B.3E35@accessus.net>, scanner@mail.accessus.net wrote:
>Hi All,
>
>At the end of last year there where posts about 9000xlt keypad tricks
>
>(only 4 or 5 of them). But in the post there was a reference to more
>
>tricks being translated from Japanese manuals.
>
>Was that ever done?
>
>Where can I find them?
>
>Thank you very much!
>
>Jeff

I was told that the tricks for the BC3000 work with the BC9000... (and there's a page or two out there with 3000 tricks).

1847. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061410533500.GAA15744@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157073

>>Subject: Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!
>From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
>Date: Sat, Jun 13, 1998 22:21 EDT
>Message-id: <358333FB.1306@parg.org>
>
>James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy wrote:
>> Why don't you count how many off-topic posts YOU'VE posted, huh?!
>
>Maybe he can't count that high?

Well Quackie, I can count yours well enough 200-plus and rising like a bullet.
In the past year of so, you have probably posted 2000-2500 topic messages and
maybe 4 about scanning. You're the king, baby, THE KING OF OFF-TOPIC FLAMERS!

1848. WOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: james_roach88@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3583aec3.105357596@allnews.netnevada.net>
Source record: 157074

You know I havent been in this NG for about 2 months and mackey and cheeks are still going at it, I want to know but then again I really dont.

james

1849. Re: FL mobile scanners permissible?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4moj@kd4moj.org
Message-ID: <6m0aup$f7c$1@server.cntfl.com>
Source record: 157075

astrocom5@aol.com (ASTRO COM5) wrote:

>Anyone in the group know if it's permissible for mobile scanner in FL? Thanx.

If you are a ham, work in EMS, FD or PD. Otherwise it's illegal.
Before I was a ham I never had a problem though...

1850. Re: FL mobile scanners permissible?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: kennyblues@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980614113313300.HAA16960@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157076

The Florida law also states that it is illegal to permantly equip a vehicle with a radio, So what about a handheld with no vehicle power or outside antenna?

1851. Multiple antennas on a single scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: asole@redestb.es
Message-ID: <3583b660.1816770@noticias.ibernet.es>
Source record: 157077

Hi all!

Is reliable to connect two antennas (a discone and a 144/432 biband)
on to a single scanner with a T-connector?

Please, send me the answer via email also.
Thanks in advance.

Albert.
email: asole@redestb.es

1852. Re: FL mobile scanners permissible?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980614121435OO.IAA14562@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157078

In article <19980614113313OO.HAA16960@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
kennyblues@aol.com (Kennyblues) writes:

>
>The Florida law also states that it is illegal to permantly equip a vehicle
>with a radio, So what about a handheld with no vehicle power or outside
>antenna?

Legal. But if you connect an external antenna OR external power, it becomes
illegal. Just another useless law written by our do-gooder politicians to make
it appear as if they have solved some nonexistent problem.

1853. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061412163000.IAA18716@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157079

"James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> Because this is a public place and this crap needs to be stopped.

Hmmph. And all this time I thought the 1st Amendment protected free speech.
Silly me.

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

1854. Re: TO ALL NEWCOMERS A WARNING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrcbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061412323100.IAA19458@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157080
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote in article

><358373DE.7895@parg.org>...

>> You're a sick puppy. Why are you posting this crap?

>

>Well, because he`s a sick puppy, obviously.

>

>Larry

Now, I can't believe either of you doesn't figure out he didn't post this,
someone did it to impersonate him.
Bass is a very vocal person on the burglar alarm ng, and someone felt the
unneccessary need to attach him.
Kind of like your need MACKEY to stay in constant communications with Bill.
Even when he ignores you, you have to talk about him.

The CB Guy

Still the same page, but it's moved.
http://members.aol.com/mrcbguy/cbradio.htm

1855. Re: JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrcbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061412370500.IAA15676@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157081
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>Richard Kendrick wrote:

>> You wouldn't qualify. Only morons and genetically deficient cretins

>> are eligible to join.

>

>Well that would certainly exclude YOU, wouldn't it!

MAckey...I see you had to quickly repost this with the correct flame response, since in this one you actually laid out a compliment by saying it would exclude him.
Keep up the good work Dolt.
The CB Guy

Still the same page, but it's moved.
http://members.aol.com/mrcbguy/cbradio.htm

1856. San Diego County Frequency Site Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny <danny@ventureconcepts.com>
Message-ID: <6m0gbn$q9d$1@nnrp1.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 157082
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey, if you live in the San Diego or Imperial County area, check out
http://www.ventureconcepts.com/scanner! Tons of freq's and talkgroup
information.

1857. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrcbguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061412422800.IAA15907@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157083
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy wrote:

>> I don't know why, but my protest against the public flaming is earning

>> myself many flames here - how unfair and utterly stupid! I just want
>this

>> stuff to stop, yet immature people don't get it...

>

>I've seen that many times on this NG. :(

>

>Unfortunately, there are several immature people on this NG that

>will support the people MAKING the problems, rather than those

>attempting to RESOLVE the problems.

Oh MACK the QUACK, just because this person is a relatively new poster, don't think for a minute that they're going to fall for your "I'm just trying to stop them" approach.
A simple search of Dejanews will show all you flames.
You are not the self-appointed moderator of this ng, In fact, if you disappeared altogether..while there would be flames, I suspect the occurence would drop by 80% or so.
Why don't you try it and see?

The CB Guy

Still the same page, but it's moved.
http://members.aol.com/mrcbguy/cbradio.htm

1858. FS. 2 Neat Ramsey Kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: texcomm13@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980614124448800.IAA20101@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157084

2 kits, built in last few weeks, in excellent condition, work flawlessly
in
there matching enclosures, with all instructions, Need to raise money
to finance A new Sony Scanner.
1. MODEL FR-1 FM Broadcast Radio has the tap for SCA., works great
very sensitive reciever, picks up many many Fm radio stations
Original price new $24:95 Case $14:95
2. MODEL TG-1 Tone Grabber DTMF Decoder Kit, Very COOL device
Plugs into your scanners ext speaker jack and when you scan
cordless
phones, and someone gets a dial tone and dials a number, this thing
instantly tells you what number they dial, Many uses.
Original price new $99:95 Case $14:95
These can be seen at Ramseys Web site Ramsey.com I think
Paid $155 new. Would like to get$100 for all and I will ship .
Thanks
David
Houston Texas

1859. Re: Electra Bearcat 220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Hay <tedh@xcelco.on.ca>
Message-ID: <6m0ghk$o74$1@nr1.toronto.istar.net>
Source record: 157085

The one I have is the Regency Whamo 10. Also have the optional digital frequency selector.

You can certainly buy a lot more radio for a lot less money now than it cost.

>Remember the scanner you programmed by using a metal comb ? Was that a
>Ten Tec or Tenalec or something like that ? It's been so long since
>I've seen one I can't remember the name.
>How about the Regency K100 ? This one you could program out of band by
>starting the frequency entry with a decimal point. Ah the good old
>days huh?
> By the way,, the old BC 200 - 220 . were great scanners.
>
>
>DT

1860. Re: ALINCO DJ-X-10 FCC APPROVED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: schuster_@at_panix_.dot_com
Message-ID: <6m0ivh$cjd@news1.panix.com>
Source record: 157086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <96A7A2074D8A5587.F0DEF1A1DE626F9F.C500D0EE4FEFB8EF@library-proxy.airnews.net>,
Dave Smith <freetracker@AutoWinNet.com> wrote:
>On 13 Jun 1998 20:07:42 GMT, fishbags@aol.com (Fishbags) wrote:
>
>> The Alinco DJ-X10 0.1-2gHZ ALL MODE ALPHNUMERIC 1200CH scanner was FCC type
>>accepted on June 11. It will be sold w/drop-in charger & nicad batt
>>included and will be on dealer shelves in about 10 days to 2 weeks. (cell
>>blocked of course).
>
>Do you know if they fixed the MAJOR battery drainage problem?
>(battery doesn't last long at all in the import version)

Are you implying that the import version has an identified, correctable,
single manufacturing defect that you expect to be fixed? Or are you simply
dissatisfied with the battery life and think something should be done
about it?

--
Mike Schuster | 70346.1745 at CompuServe dot COM
schuster at panix dot com | schuster at pol dot net

1861. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3583A69B.E18A4E96@netwit.com>
Source record: 157087

John MacKey - KA0SSF wrote:

> BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> SNIP
> > NG's exist to dispense what people think
> > about (in Mackey's case the inability to
> > think much past taking a dump and what is
> SNIP
>
> WHY are you doing this? I have done NOTHING to provoke you, yet
> you are attempting to cause problems, AGAIN!

I enjoyed that post. It had a great deal of truth about USENET. And of course, the obligatory WHACK! at you. (club tradition and all that).

But seriously dude, do you really think you've got an accurate handle on the number and names of people you've provoked? Are you sure you can remember them all? It no big deal. I'm just using you as a deserving pressure vent. I don't care how persecuted you feel. I don't give a damn what *your* point is. You're the designated twerp of the tree house.

But you vent steam too. The only difference is, that while we only require you with which to vent our evil gribblies; You need the whole friggin' USENET population to keep you properly vented. Perhaps a manisfestation of your own sexual frustration. Since you have all the charm of a sticky doorknob; you must be quite the "rejection-boy" on your local dating circuit. I guess you really need the entire USENET population to vent your frustrations.

You friggin' loon.

1862. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3583A989.FB4A96A9@netwit.com>
Source record: 157088

D-Boy wrote:

> Is it possible to connect three handheld scanners to a single
> antenna? I
> would like to be able to use three at once, each scanning different
> frequencies with the reception benefits of an external antenna. Any
> thoughts on this?

I tried doing this with 2 scanners and one world-band. I lost of
boatload of signal until I got rid of all the splitters and ran
dedicated coax to the radio I used most. People on this NG had advised
me on this, and their advice proved to be gold. You wouldn't believe
how much signal is lost through those splitters.

> Thanks
>
> D-Boy

1863. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3583AA3D.7DF7C82B@netwit.com>
Source record: 157089

James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy wrote:

> Because this is a public place and this crap needs to be stopped.

All hail the Messiah! He's finally here to deliver us from the eternal
flames that no mortal man has been able to douse!

Get a grip mighty mouse.

1864. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3583AB12.1CFAB512@netwit.com>
Source record: 157090

James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy wrote:

> Also, HE is the one that responded TO ME in a very rude manner - I
> posted a
> question about an image to the newsgroup, and he criticized me about
> my
> ignorance and said other things. I never knew him before this.
>

Quite your whining:

Take your whack and go stand in the back...with Mack.

1865. Re: CE- 232 vs. opto os 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.com
Message-ID: <3583d402.3499735@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 157091

On Sun, 14 Jun 1998 02:12:04 -0700, Captain Dunzel
<Dunzel@Hotmail.com> wrote:

>Wiggie,
> Thanks for taking the time to post, there was a plethera
>of information that was extremely useful. It is nice to see
>something relevant and useful posted in the group again!!
>
>Captain Dunzel
No problem but the big questions is ? Which one have you decided
on?

1866. WTO: Service manual for BC-9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Crealdi <ceraldi@albany.net>
Message-ID: <3583D45D.9F0E14D3@albany.net>
Source record: 157092

is there such a manual in existance???
I have never seen one,

--
73's
Aaron Ceraldi,
http://www.albany.net/~ceraldi/
Monitoring Station KNY2AGO

1867. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3583d248.429848888@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157093

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John Habbinga" <habbinga@wt.net> wrote:

> I set up a store display and hooked up about ten scanners to a single
> outdoor antenna and had no reception problems at all.

Aaaarrrgh.......that isn't something for the
hobbyist to attempt,though. For your demo, it
didn't matter that the signals being received
were the powerful local cop shops and such,
that could be received without an antenna at
all, or a 1" wire in the back of the set, maybe.

Most hobbyists want to hear all there is to
hear around their region, and even two scanners
fed from one antenna is inferior because HALF
the signal goes to one and HALF to the other.

In your case, the signal had to be divided up
ten ways and then the mismatch loss added on
top of that.

It's fine for a store demo on the stronger
signals around town. It is not fine for the
hobbyist in most cases.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1868. FS - Uniden 2500xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bush143@postoffice.worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6m0ljn$dk3@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

This is a 400 channel handheld scanner in mint condition. This scanner covers 25-1300 mhz, but cannot be modified for full 800 coverage. It has a host of features such as auto store and auto sort of frequencies for efficient scanning. It comes with one rechargeable battery pack and original manual. A great deal at $150.

I also have an earlier version of this same scanner with full 800 coverage. That one is mint and comes with the original manual and box. Please e-mail if interested.

1869. Re: Multiple antennas on a single scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3584d66d.430909903@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157095

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

asole@redestb.es (Albert) wrote:

> Hi all!
>
> Is reliable to connect two antennas (a discone and a 144/432 biband)
> on to a single scanner with a T-connector?

That's as bad as two scanners on one antenna;
maybe worse.

First, the impedance is lowered to half (25-ohms).
Secondly, one antenna in the nearfield of another
can interact with and be interacted with to and by
the other. This interaction generally results in
a skewing of the radiation patterns.

Thirdly, there will be phase cancellations
such that some signals that could have been heard
from one antenna won't be heard at all.

Fourth, it is unlikely that two diverse antennas
can be co-located to provide any predictable
beneficial effects.

It's just not worth it.

But if you do it, first do a band scan of each
antenna on a separate receiver. Log the signal
strengths and quality of reception for several
dozen signals. Then you'll have a baseline with
with to compare the new setup.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1870. Re: WOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3585d7cf.431264418@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157096

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

james_roach88@hotmail.com wrote:

> You know I havent been in this NG for about 2 months and mackey and
> cheeks are still going at it, I want to know but then again I really
> dont.

So WHICH is it?

1871. FS - AOR-1000 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bush143@postoffice.worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6m0l21$77t@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In mint condiiton with facatory coverage from 8-1300 mhz, including full 800 mhz coverage. This is handheld 1000 channel scanner.

It comes with all original accessories, manual, and original box/packaging. The unit still has the plastic keyboard protective cover on it. $275 or best offer.

1872. FS - Pro-39 scanner-full 800 coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bush143@postoffice.worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6m0l6h$77t@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 157098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

This is a 200 channel scanner with full 800 mhz coverage. It is in excellent condition with the original manual, packaging/box. $175 or best offer.

1873. Re: Help needed for tape recorder interface via scan control pin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: clintbrad4d@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <6m0pec$6pq$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

In article <6m01sf$64a$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
qwasty@hotmail.com wrote:

> The *best* solution
> out there is a program called RecAll.

RecAll v2.2 Sagebrush Systems.
Sound-activated recorder for Win-
dows. Includes time-stamping of
WAV file data. Great for recording
ideas, notes, dictation, radio
scanners. Requires sound card with
microphone input (Auto Gain Control
AGC recommended) and Win95/WinNT4

http://sunsite.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/Mirror/cica/win95/sounds.html

- Clint Bradford

It operates like a VOX recorder with a
> few improvements: record time is only limited by the size of your hard drive
> (usually 10+times what you'd get with a measly tape, yech!), and it's start
> and stop for VOX mode is instantaneous.
>
> In article <358154B5.1431@blarg.net>,
> "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net> wrote:
> >
> > I need some help with a tape recorder interface I'm building.
> > I'm trying to add a small jack to my scanner that'll plug
> > directly into a tape recorder's "remote" jack via a cable
> > that'll automatically start the tape recorder when the squelch
> > is broken and will stop it when the transmission stops.
> >
> > If I use a RS 42-2152 dubbing cable, this'll condense a whole
> > night's, or even day's, scanning into just a few minutes. It'll
> > also have other useful purposes.
> >
> > I know it has to do with the Scan Control pin, but how do I go
> > about doing this? I'm looking into it closing a relay when the
> > pin goes active so that the two devices will remain isolated.
> >
> > I plan on doing this mod to several scanners, so general
> > instructions about how to do it with different battery sources,
> > different pins, etc., would be more than helpful for me and a
> > couple of friends.

> >
> > Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
> > end of tdragon in my address to respond,
> > tdragonn@blarg.net
> >
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1874. PL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose Negron <jnegron@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3583E058.76DA@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 157100

Can anyone tell me what PL means after a frequency. For example if a city has a police listing 470.5375 PL 162.2 What does it mean?

Thanks for your help.

Jose

1875. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: derickam@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980614145711100.KAA29477@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157101

One antenna per receiver (at a time), one run of coax good quality (no splits), good quality connectors done properly, preservation on the one outside (ie rubber tape) is a good combination. All this depends on the bands you like and your budget on what "good is".

All the above data was obtained from sources:

Arrl handbook
Bill Cheeks Scanner Mod books (1-3)
Various Navy manuals

derick

1876. Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3583df63.433183903@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157102

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For those who may care, we have a reader on this
newsgroup who likes to send little nastygrams
anonymously. Of course, he's stupid. Below is
the header of one of his nasties, in case anyone
needs the information.

:-)

==========

Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for bcheek
(with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) Sun Jun 14 00:20:38 1998)
X-From_: anonymous@unforgettable.com Sun Jun 14 00:19 PDT 1998
Received: from pop02.globecomm.net (pop02.globecomm.net [207.51.48.186])
by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id AAA04323
for <bcheek@san.rr.com>; Sun, 14 Jun 1998 00:19:38 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from raiderx (hd52-011.hil.compuserve.com [199.174.232.11]) by
pop02.globecomm.net (8.8.8/8.8.0) with SMTP id DAA21595 for
<bcheek@san.rr.com>; Sun, 14 Jun 1998 03:25:08 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <00c901bd9764$736eb1c0$0be8aec7@raiderx>
From: "Anonymous" <anonymous@unforgettable.com>
To: <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Mackey and Cheek are both crazy.
Date: Sun, 14 Jun 1998 03:16:35 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.2106.4
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.2106.4
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Length: 2209
```

1877. FS: PRO-2006 w/232 Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOSOX <savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd97a4$3319c700$764006d1@savy>
Source record: 157103

Very bright backlight on/off switch not dim switch, cell mods as well
as sound and data squelch. Has 232 interface, separate external box that
I personally never used. Excellent condition...

$450.00, shipped 2 day to 48 US.

Paul

1878. looking for scanner frequencies at or near Amsterdam, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ddietr9939@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061415003800.LAA29811@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157104

I am looking for any scanner frequencies used at or near Amsterdam, NY. I am interested in any frequencies used weather, police, fire, hwt. dept, busses, and taxi, ect.

1879. Re: FS: PRO-2045 New!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebuff66@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061415184900.LAA01575@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157105

Just FYI Radio shack is selling these for 99.99 now.

1880. UPDATED PRICE/CORRECTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOSOX <savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd97a7$44a77640$764006d1@savy>
Source record: 157106

The price on this unit is 325.00 shipped anywhere in the 48. Reason
the 232 would need to be upgraded according to Bill Cheeks, it is an early
release, but it can be brought up to date. I will still include, but
basically I am selling
with no value add for the 232 interface...

Paul

BOSOX <savy@ma.ultranet.com> wrote in article
<01bd97a4$3319c700$764006d1@savy>...
> Very bright backlight on/off switch not dim switch, cell mods as well
> as sound and data squelch. Has 232 interface, separate external box that
> I personally never used. Excellent condition...
>
> $450.00, shipped 2 day to 48 US.
>
> Paul
>
>

1881. Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6m0rl4$14fa$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 157107

Paul Grohe wrote in message <35837505.1439528@139.187.81.1>...
>You will need a "discriminator" output from your WBFM scanner or
>FM radio for the SCA receiver to function properly.

Is anyone aware of a software SCA decoder for use with a Hamcomm-type
interface?

1882. Re: looking for scanner frequencies at or near Amsterdam, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6m0rl3$14fa$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 157108

DDietr9939 wrote in message
<1998061415003800.LAA29811@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>I am looking for any scanner frequencies used at or near Amsterdam,
NY. I am
>interested in any frequencies used weather, police, fire, hwt. dept,
busses,
>and taxi, ect.

Visit the NF2G Scannist Pages - New York State section at
www.ggw.org/nf2g/nys_index.html

Click on the link for Montgomery County and enjoy! Other nearby
counties are Saratoga, Schenectady, and Fulton.

73 de Dave, NF2G

1883. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6m0rl5$14fa$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 157109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

>: In so doing, they are involving themselves with the major cell
>: carriers to facilitate having Fed equipment linked to the cell
>: switches so they can monitor +ACI-at will+ACI-.
>
>So far, there is no law to put in such equipment - only for 911
calls.
>
>: I believe laws have been passed which force the cell carriers
to
>: modify their equipment allowing the Feds' access.
>
>They have always had that right - with a warrant.

Do a search on CALEA. It may not be an actual "law" but there is such
a requirement in effect now.

1884. Re: FS - Uniden 2500xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061415521000.LAA00614@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157110

In article <6m0ljn$dk3@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>,
bush143@postoffice.worldnet.att.net (Kin Ho) writes:

>This is a 400 channel handheld scanner in mint condition. This
>scanner covers 25-1300 mhz, but cannot be modified for full 800
>coverage.

I'd guess it gets the images pretty well, though.

1885. PRO-2004 $220 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: xhduff@ica.net
Message-ID: <3582f438.0@lightning.ica.net>
Source record: 157162

```
Radio Shack Pro-2004 scanner for sale.
Backlighting a little dim otherwise in
excellent condition... Looks great and
works great !
25 - 520, 760 - 1300 MHz.
AM/FMn/FMw
$220 shipped.

reply to hduff@ica.net
(DO NOT AUTOreply)
```

1886. Re: JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358313F4.37A0@parg.org>
Source record: 157163

Richard Kendrick wrote:
> You wouldn't qualify. Only morons and genetically deficient cretins
> are eligible to join.

Well that would certainly exclude YOU, wouldn't it!

1887. ville de quebec

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: St&#65533;phane Th&#65533;berge <stheberg@mediom.qc.ca>
Message-ID: <35831F06.E889CB3C@mediom.qc.ca>
Source record: 157164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bonjour, est-ce qu'il y a des gens de quebec qui lis ces newsgroup?
J'espere que oui!

je cherche les frequences de TQS et de TVA. Je sais qu'il fonctionne
sur trunking system mais si quelqu'un connais les frequences j'aimerai
bien les connaitre.

--
Sti¿½phane Thi¿½berge
Beauport,Qc
mailto:Stheberg@mediom.qc.ca
ICQ # 9202102
http://www.geocities.com/motorcity/garage/7246
Pagette: 864-5941

1888. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1306982120590001@pm55-09.magicnet.net>
Source record: 157165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <01bd96e6$d392ce20$8ed50c26@hp-customer>, "CW"
<magerc@pop3.idt.net> wrote:

> Since when? Everybody I know has one.
Agree. A lot of people use cordless phones. I use a Spread Spectrum one
though, that is prety much impossible to hear anyway.

1889. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1306982123090001@pm55-09.magicnet.net>
Source record: 157166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <6lug58$cjo@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>, "MIKE L."
<mikeydell@"nospam".att.net> wrote:

> Hypothetical Question:
>
> A person you know is in need of immediate help; they're using a
> 'cordless phone' to contact the police. You happen to be monitoring
> the 'cordless' freqs. on your scanner when you catch the call. You
> listen and you realize that the person is talking to no one! This person
> sounds frantic and keeps asking if anyone can hear them!
> You take it upon yourself to call the police and give them the info on
> what just transpired.
>
> ARE YOU DOING SOMETHING ILLEGAL?
What are you talking about? Why would someone use a corless phone and be
talking to know one. I do not get the question....

1890. Re: Encryption and Cellular jumps....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhclayton@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061401403900.VAA03728@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157167

If you can get ahold of a pre 94 Motorola flip phone, you can do a little (easy) work to get it to read those "ticks", and it'll tell you all the info proccesed on a particular call . E-mail me back and I'll get you this info.
Rich
p.s. if you can get a hold on one of these common phones, you will be surprised at the load of tricks that you can get these phones to do!

1891. Re: HB-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35832728.386034493@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157168

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"BOSOX" <savy@ma.ultranet.com> wrote:

> Bill, how much would this be worth if I were to sell, I don't think I am
> going to use this scanner.

Please see my e-mail to you.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

1892. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35842868.386354562@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157169

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp) wrote:

> PLONK

Oooohhhhhhh, the sound of Herr Dokktor Mengele
taking his 32nd bowel movement of the day.

....sounds like he let loose a brick.....

Good bye, Herr Dokktor.

Kaaaaaaaaaaaaa------splaaaaaaaaat!

1893. RS pro 60 How Much?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbake777@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061401531800.VAA05073@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157170

I have a Radio Shack PRO 60 HH programmable scanner with the original antennae, a mobile magnet based and an extended portable antennae, ac power cord and dc auto cord. Original box and manual. Bought new in July 1996...used very little, still in excellent condition. 30-999MHz, 210 channels (20 channels x 10 banks - 10 monitor channels) with a scanning rate of 25 channels per sec. I paid $249.00. What is it worth today. I'm interested in selling it to get a new trunk tracker HH or base unit...trades considered.
Located in NC

1894. Re: JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35833001.4FC2@parg.org>
Source record: 157171

Richard Kendrick wrote:
> Only morons and genetically deficient cretins
> are eligible to join.

Well then that certainly would make you eligible!

1895. Re: ICOM R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: xgp31@dial.pipex.com
Message-ID: <3583dc2e.1464774@news.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 157172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 19:03:10 -0700, "Mike Dodds" <m.dodds@virgin.net>
wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I've been using an ICOM R8500 for the past 6 months or so. It is really
>great doing nearly all things I want and more.
>
>One thing I do not like is that after a transmission has ceased the scan
>continues 3 seconds later, therefore the delay is not variable, although the
>resume scan time can be varied. Does anyone know how to change this?
>
>Also has anyone tried and got any views on the ICOM supplied software for
>the ICR8500 or any other similar s/w.
>
>TIA
>
>Mike Dodds
>
>

If you want to try some computer control software try downloading the
demo version of Scancat Gold for windows from www.scancat.com

You will need an RS232 cable which is cheap enough and it will give
you a taster for minimal cost

1896. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358333FB.1306@parg.org>
Source record: 157173

James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy wrote:
> Why don't you count how many off-topic posts YOU'VE posted, huh?!

Maybe he can't count that high?

1897. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35833463.C20@parg.org>
Source record: 157174

James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy wrote:
> I don't know why, but my protest against the public flaming is earning
> myself many flames here - how unfair and utterly stupid! I just want this
> stuff to stop, yet immature people don't get it...

I've seen that many times on this NG. :(

Unfortunately, there are several immature people on this NG that
will support the people MAKING the problems, rather than those
attempting to RESOLVE the problems.

1898. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35833492.4E14@parg.org>
Source record: 157175

Charlie Dwenger wrote:
> Mr. Cheek has ALWAYS responded to me as a gentleman.

Yea, he occasionally gives his supporters that SPECIAL treatment.

1899. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358334E3.5EA2@parg.org>
Source record: 157176

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
SNIP
> NG's exist to dispense what people think
> about (in Mackey's case the inability to
> think much past taking a dump and what is
SNIP

WHY are you doing this? I have done NOTHING to provoke you, yet
you are attempting to cause problems, AGAIN!

1900. Re: 10 repeater freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35833515.4F27@parg.org>
Source record: 157177

You know me don't ya... wrote:
> 29.660 ,29.650 and others maybe...

29.65 is NOT a rprt channel on 10 meters.

they are:
29.62, 29.64, 29.66, 29.68

1901. Re: 10 repeater freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35833583.66B9@parg.org>
Source record: 157178

Kevin Lanning wrote:

> The standard repeater frequencies across the nation are 29.620, 29.640,
> 29.660, and 29.680.

Actually, those frequencies are world wide, not only across the nation!

> Also, 29.600 is the FM simplex calling frequency.

Not quite, it is the FM simplex freq in north america. It is
NOT the calling frequency!

29.3 is the simplex freq in Asia countries.

1902. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3583359E.3594@parg.org>
Source record: 157179

James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy wrote:
> He (Bill) is intelligent, but his intelligence needs to be directed to
> something positive rather than flaming people publicly.

Well said, VERY well said!

1903. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35833642.557F@parg.org>
Source record: 157180

Doktor Tripp wrote:
> etc........ I'm just so god damned tired of reading day in and day
> out, what seemingly are to be adults making the most absolute of fools
> out of themselves. It gets to the point where one is embarrassed to
> think that you are part of the same species of life as these trolling
> fools.

I TOTALLY agree with with you. How sad that we have individuals
here on this NG that are quilty of what you mention above. :(

This last week, I posted 2 options to BILL CHEEK to end the
problems here. Unfortunately, he rejected BOTH OPTIONS. Obviously,
he wants the problems to continue.

The blood of this newgroup is on BILL CHEEK'S hands!
:(

1904. Re: Electra Bearcat 220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6lvbka$84o@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 157181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <358913bf.39879553@news.alt.net>,
Doktor Tripp <Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz> wrote:

>Remember the scanner you programmed by using a metal comb ? W
>as that a Ten Tec or Tenalec or something like that ?
> It's been so long since
>I've seen one I can't remember the name. ...

From SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS:

[...]

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance
more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel.
User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each
channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760,
granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K
optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units.
Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make
access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb
sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not
recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every
feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except
aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone
stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature.
Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility
not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none.
Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be
programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but
sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane
keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no
priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable
performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard
may need soldering after prolonged use.

[...]

--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

1905. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <magerc@pop3.idt.net>
Message-ID: <01bd973d$146f9c60$d1d50c26@hp-customer>
Source record: 157182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I also use a spread spectrum cordless but a relativly few people know
enough about these devices to do that. A lot of people go on price alone so
you can still hear lots of analog phones.
--
CW
KC7NOD

zeno@magicnet.net wrote in article
<zeno-1306982120590001@pm55-09.magicnet.net>...
> In article <01bd96e6$d392ce20$8ed50c26@hp-customer>, "CW"
> <magerc@pop3.idt.net> wrote:
>
> > Since when? Everybody I know has one.
> Agree. A lot of people use cordless phones. I use a Spread Spectrum one
> though, that is prety much impossible to hear anyway.
>

1906. Yupi MVT 9000 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie_antispam_@netset.com>
Message-ID: <01bd973f$0651cd40$3ae6b7ce@libretto>
Source record: 157183
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yupiteru MVT 9000 for sale Box and manual in excellent condition.
With Charger / stand
400.00 Shipped to 48

73 de charlie
NOTE: Remove "_anitspam_" from my address to respond
Or send to below
charlie@netset.com
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG

1907. Fr&#65533;quences a&#65533;ronautiques au Qu&#65533;bec?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "VA2WMA (Martin)" <denisb@ivic.qc.ca>
Message-ID: <01bd973f$731a9e20$a92efdcf@default>
Source record: 157184

Salut &#65533; tous! Je m'int&#65533;resse aux r&#65533;cepteurs &#65533; balayages et plus sp&#65533;cifiquement &#65533; l'&#65533;coute des bandes a&#65533;ronautiques. J'aimerais savoir s'il existe un site 3W sur lequel je pourrais obtenir des renseignements sur les fr&#65533;quences VHF, UHF et HF utilis&#65533;es au Qu&#65533;bec par les avions.

Aussi, j'aimerais bien conna&#65533;tre les fr&#65533;quences utilis&#65533;es par les F18 canadiens ainsi que les autres fr&#65533;quences de l'arm&#65533;e de l'air canadienne&#65533;

Merci d'avance &#65533; tous ceux qui veulent bien m'aider!!!

De Martin
denisb@ivic.qc.ca

1908. Sony 2010 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9741$9a61a1c0$3ae6b7ce@libretto>
Source record: 157185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Sony 2010 for sale Like new
225.00 shipped to 48

--
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG
charlie@netset.com
```

1909. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6lvfkl$156$0@207.18.224.116>
Source record: 157186
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Jun 1998 15:51:07 GMT, Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com> wrote:

>My point was, if some 'cop wannabe' wishes to drive around in an
>old 82 caprice and "look" like a cop with a K-55 radar on his dash.
>So be it ! If it'll make people more safe and obey the traffic laws,
>great....
>

But what happens when you, I mean the wannabee starts pulling
over people. Maybe starts raping them, using that wannabee car?

I even have had first hand experience with a wannabee that was
WORKING on a real police car, and raped and killed a young girl.

But, hey, it slowed down traffic.

Yeah, right.

1910. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6lvfrp$156$1@207.18.224.116>
Source record: 157187
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Jun 1998 18:08:37 GMT, Kermit <Kermit@green.frog.com> wrote:

>KuoH <KuoH@aol.com> wrote:
>>Now that's just plain bad taste. Wishing ill upon another person(s)
>>simply because they don't aggree with your point of view.
>
>
>Hey I lost a brother in law, and 2 people are dead because of shitheads
>who have a bug up their ass with wanting to prove to the world their
>macho brand of attitude when driving on the highways.
>
What about people who have lost loved ones, from people who caused
accidents by driving too slow?

How about the accidents caused by morons who drive slower than the
other traffic, while camping out in the left lane?

Seems to me, your best bet for better enforcement of the speed laws,
would be to stop driving around doing wannabee duties, and get those
old oil changes done on the cars driven by REAL cops back on the
road quicker!

1911. PRO 60 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9742$fb993740$3ae6b7ce@libretto>
Source record: 157188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Radio Shack PRO 60 FS
200 channel scanner with Mil air freq,s
No cell & no mod for cell
110.00 shipped to 48
VGC with box

--
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG
charlie@netset.com
```

1912. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6lvg3o$156$2@207.18.224.116>
Source record: 157189

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 03:08:34 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> Then, John, you take that first step. You show everyone, just
>> who the man is. Stop posting anything that is off-topic. Show
>> everyone that you truly want it to stop.
>
>YES BLAKE!! That is exactly what I have done here!
>
>
No John, the post you made here, and the other ones since this
one, show specifically that it is NOT what you have done, nor
wish to do.

If it was what had been suggested, you would have never replied.

>> Now, John, no matter what his reply is/was, what good would
>> this do? What impact would it have on stopping the garbage?
>
>It would demonstrate Bill's true intentions, since he has long
>been known for saying one thing & doing another.
>
But, you have made your true intentions known. You truly do
not wish to stop the crud. No desire whatsoever on your
part.

1913. Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6lvg58$156$3@207.18.224.116>
Source record: 157190

On Thu, 11 Jun 1998 03:08:37 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>However, we are attempting to make Bill feel the consequences for his
>actions of causing trouble on this NG.
>
Exactly who is John?

And why? Was there an Internet Cop program started?

1914. Re: CE- 232 vs. opto os 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: wiggie2@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lvclc$2u2$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157191

<Just cut everything and started anew>

There are benefits to both interfaces. You can pack a OS456, CE-232, PROgramit and other mods into the same scanner if you think that one is more beneficial than the other in certain categories. When I was looking into getting a computerized scanning rig, I wasn't able to find a decent priced Pro-2004/5/6 and went with a Pro-2035. I could get the 2035 for less, get more stock channels and a faster scanning rate. I have a OS535 and PROgramit interfaces in my 2035 and 2042.

The HB-232 (or named the CE-232 later) was a handy dandy computer interface back several years ago and is still a great little device. It is in a sense a smart button pushing interface. It allows the scanner to go about its normal operation scanning through frequencies that you either programmed by yourself into the scanner, or frequencies that you programmed through the HB/CE-232 interface. The scanner's CPU grabs the freq/channel information from the memory chips. It then switches the correct BPFs (Band Pass Filter) and sends the coordinating codes to the PLL circuitry to tune in the desired frequency. The CPU then waits to see if that frequency is active. When the scanner stops on a frequency, the interface grabs information that the scanner's CPU sends to the scanner's LCD driver circuitry. It sends this information to the computer and the program then decodes it and is able to tell you what frequency the scanner is sitting on. The program then is able to search through database information and decide what agency that the frequency is associated with and displays this information on the computer screen. There are other things that the program can do concerning this database lookup also. You have the ability to have the program setup to switch on a recorder to record the transmission, delays, scripting, etc. The scripting can have the program activate other frequency banks, load other frequencies...basically it is up to you to the limit in which you can do with it. The downside of it is that when something is happening, it is a bother for the computer/scanner to be sitting there while the freqs are being downloaded knowing that you are missing some of the action. The HB/CE-232 does allow you to program the scanner's memory from databases stored on the computer. You are limited to how many channels that the scanner has though. Say that you have the stock 400 channels, then you are not going to have anymore to choose from unless you do some modifications to increase this amount. This would require some ICs and the soldering iron.

**** I have extensive knowledge of the OS535 interface, although the OS456 is much the same, please don't discredit my knowledge of the OS456 interface because some small detail of information is not exactly correct. Its just because I don't have the OS456/2006 combo and basing

my knowledge on the OS535/2035or2042 combos that I do have.****

The OS456 works a little differently than the HB/CE-232. The OS456 works similar to a VCR/TV setup. Normally you would have the cable passing through the VCR and going to the TV. You can also have the VCR play a tape which allows you to see have different programming (video tape) viewed on the TV. If you have a OS456 installed, it passes the information from the CPU to the RF circuitry when the OS456 is not activated. It is like the interface isn't even there. When the OS456 is activated it is a whole different story. The interface actually cuts off the information from the CPU and provides its own codes for the PLL circuitry and switches on/off the various BPFs. The interface completely ignores the scanner's keypad, CPU, LCD display and memory chips. The frequency information is kept in the computer. The computer sends information to the interface, the interface decodes this and sends the necessary codes to the PLL circuitry and switches to the correct BPF. The OS456 then waits to see if the freq is active, if not, then the next freq info is sent from the computer. If it is active, then the program the you have operating the OS456 give you the time of day...and then some some. It will tell you the agency, etc. along with what other information is in the database. You have some control about switching on recorders, etc. Depending on what program you have to control the OS456, you can have it do scripting other things. The good part about it is that you don't have to wait to have the computer/interface program additional freqs/channels into the scanner's memory if that is how you have it set up. All of the freq/channel info is on the computer and you can have the computer's power to manage that very efficiently. Since the OS456 isn't in the loop between the scanner's keypad and the CPU or the CPU and the memory chips, then it is unable to program the scanner's memory. Since all of the channel/freq info is on the computer, you are limited to the program's (ScanCat, Probe, ScannerWEAR, etc.) channel limit. I use Probe quite a bit. It is a great program and allows me to have 99 banks of 1,000 channels each. I essentially have a scanner with 99,000 channels. It also allows me to have 4,000 groups I do believe. So basically I have 4,000 scanners with 99,000 channels that can be programmed into each one....its just a shame that I can only have 1 of my 4,000 scanners running at a time.
The HB/CE-232 can live happily with a OS456 installed as long as the HB/CE-232 was installed in the appropriate spot. It isn't the HB/CE-232's fault, it is just that the OS456 needs to go one place and one place only. You can only use one interface at a time also. You can also install a PROgramit interface in the scanner also if you wanted to. The PROgramit interface allows you to program channels/freqs from the computer to the scanner. It is great to have in combination with the OS456 interface but is redundant if you have a HB/CE-232 installed already.
At the current moment, there is new software slated for the HB/CE-232. I have seen some indication of this software at www.steaksandwich.com and then another person has been working on some software and noted this on the newsgroups.

The OS456/535 interfaces have had other pieces of software written for
it
namely software that does the trunking (both Moto and Edacs). I also have a friend that has written software that has considerable scripting capabilities for the OS535. Information for writing your own software is readily available for both interfaces. For trunking capabilities, the OS456/535 would show a bit better benefit due to the face that it can automatically tune the PLL circuitry as the HB/CE-232 would either have to button-push this freq into memory or would have to call up the channel that the frequency has been stored to. Scripting software for the OS456/535 would have the benefit if you happen to have a "flag" frequency that would require several more channels/frequencies to be added because a certain frequency became active. The banks/freqs/channels could be added inside the computer virtually in a split second and fed to the PLL circuitry as needed. The HB/CE-232 would of course have to program these channels/freqs through the backdoor of the scanner's keypad and placed into the scanner's memory. The s-meter and CTCSS is a nice feature that comes stock with the OS456/535. Not everyone is going to want to do the programming. Not everyone is going to be happy if they even have both the OS456 and the HB/CE-232 installed in their system. If you are at that point, then it is best that you know the electronics and programming necessary to enhance the interfaces to the degree that you want.

Wiggie

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1915. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: BrianBurke@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6lvivedhi1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Who wants to listen to crying babies for a hobby? I listen to them for real
and its not fun.

Brian

In article <35830e8a.1078791@netnews.voicenet.com>,
davcrossNOT@voicenet.com (Dave) wrote:
>
> So make sure you keep your cordless phone conversation off my baby
> monitor. You can change channels I cannot.
>
> Dave
>
> billn@peak.org (Bill Nelson) wrote:
>
> >Dave (davcrossNOT@voicenet.com) wrote:
> >: >
> >: >: Based on memory of a few years ago, cordless phones are not
> >: >: illegal to monitor. This may have changed but I havent kept close
> >: >: enough attention.
> >: >
> >: >It changed. Congress is like that. Let them get a toenail in the door
> >: >and the whole elephant comes barging in.
> >: >
> >: >Ron
> >: If it changed then I guess they have to recall all of the baby
> >: monitors. remember they are the same frequency.
> >
> >Ah, but you can legally listen to baby monitors - unless they are
> >receiving a cordless phone conversation.
> >
> >--
> >Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
> >
>
>

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1916. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3583476C.482C@parg.org>
Source record: 157193

Bill Cheek wrote:
> It's Smacksie on a forgery bender again.

Bill, what is your proof to support your statement?
Or is this just another one of your baseless accusations?

1917. MODS FOR BEARCAT 100/200XLT NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: deforger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061404024700.AAA24406@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157194

I am looking for the mods for the Bearcat 100/200xlt to increase battery life,headphone volume,and scan speed.If anyone knows where to find this or has it please E-mail me.

Thanks
Robert
DeForger@aol.com

1918. Bearcat 100XLT repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: deforger@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980614041013000.AAA25255@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157195

I have a 100xlt that just scans with no audio or back light.I have followed a troubleshooting guide for a 200xlt and i believe i have found the problem.Q201 PNP
transitor burnt out due to a shorted c36 capacitor.I have to replace the capacitor first which shouldn't be a problem,but where can i locate the transitor?Uniden?

Robert
DeForger@aol.com

1919. Re: Robert L Bass, John Mackey and KK7HO should all get together!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: henry99morse@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <henry99morse-1406980017020001@modem1-22.mo-net.com>
Source record: 157196
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <357f64db.9841041@remus.igt.com>, nwelch@frontiernet.net (Welch Family) wrote:

> Yes, all three of you should get together and form your
> own News Group! You all harass NGs with stupid posts!
>
> I think Robwert, John and Tim should form their own
> News Group! (ie alt.real.usenet.assholes)

==========

I think you should grow a brain sufficiently large enough to learn how

NOT TO CLICK ON MESSAGES THAT OBVIOUSLY UPSET YOU, AND ARE OF NO INTERST TO YOU

The world doesn't need any Josef Stalin's patroling the newsgroups,
telling others what they can and cannot post.

That would solve the problem, right??????????

STICK YOUR STALIN MENTALITY UP YOUR ASSHOLE.

Henry Morse

1920. FS: IF B UNIT(A7) for HF-8054

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: AKIO FUJIKAWA <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <358358F4.75408D32@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 157197
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello!
I have IF B Board of HF-8054(it's mint condition).
If you are interested in it, please send e-mail.
(IF B unit of HF-8050 trade ok.)

Best regards,
73
akio fujikawa
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp

1921. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lvnfc$b10@sjx-ixn3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157198

In <358334E3.5EA2@parg.org> John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
writes:
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>SNIP
>> NG's exist to dispense what people think
>> about (in Mackey's case the inability to
>> think much past taking a dump and what is
>SNIP
>
>WHY are you doing this? I have done NOTHING to provoke you, yet
>you are attempting to cause problems, AGAIN!

edible still). why snip this///

why?

M I C
K E Y

M Oh You S EEEE.....

because we love you.

bill conduit
etc.

1922. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6lvnrb$b91@sjx-ixn3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157199

In <6lv9pr$r0l@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net> "James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>Because this is a public place and this crap needs to be stopped. In case
>you haven't "gotten it" yet, I no longer reply TO Bill Cheek (hence my post
>to which you replied).
>
>He (Bill) is intelligent, but his intelligence needs to be directed to
>something positive rather than flaming people publicly.
>--
>
No one is pulling your yanker to
read the NG's where the "revered one"
is exhorting benevolence and love.

you control your member and he will
control his...he'll keep his
down and you no need so frown.

who is forcing you to read the postings
with Check-spice on them?

who is making you revile because you
punched up what you are complainging
about upsets you so?

who can solve your problem? YOU.

just filter the "revered one" out
to your demonistic dungeon
and enjoy your stay while you
can. you may grow again.

but what to do with it is your
main problem. IF you cannot solve
this one then how in the hell can
you point your membership in the
right portal?

question mark

bill conduit
etc.

1923. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: billn@peak.org
Message-ID: <6lvovr$ol4$2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 157200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

CW (magerc@pop3.idt.net) wrote:

: Since when? Everybody I know has one.

No one I know has one - nor do there appear to be any wireless
communications in the area. There ARE baby monitors.

On the other hand, there are probably thousands of cell phones here
in the local area.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1924. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: billn@peak.org
Message-ID: <6lvosg$ol4$1@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 157201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Bob C. (bob@bigbird.reno.nv.us) wrote:
: The Fed mandates are more widespread than this. They want to find
: your phone no matter where you are.

This is ostensibly being done to enable locating people who make 911
emergency calls.

: Thru software/hardware of the cell infrastructure they can do
: this and even triangulate to a reasonable degree.

The triangulation method is only one of several that are being
installed, and is the least accurate.

Better are the time difference methods - which involve having a GPS
receiver at each cell site for precise time measurement.

: In so doing, they are involving themselves with the major cell
: carriers to facilitate having Fed equipment linked to the cell
: switches so they can monitor +ACI-at will+ACI-.

So far, there is no law to put in such equipment - only for 911 calls.

: I believe laws have been passed which force the cell carriers to
: modify their equipment allowing the Feds' access.

They have always had that right - with a warrant.

: As a sidenote, anyone having a two way pager can also be
: triangulated because the pager sends back an acknowlegment when a
: page is received.

This is true for only some pagers. Most do not acknowledge pages.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1925. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: billn@peak.org
Message-ID: <6lvp37$ol4$3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 157202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

BrianBurke@my-dejanews.com wrote:
: Who wants to listen to crying babies for a hobby? I listen to them for real
: and its not fun.

Some baby monitors pick up much more than crying babies. Some people, for
some reason, get a kick out of listing to such conversations.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1926. Re: Want to make Allsafe Mad? - Just ask who he really is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3583523e.12419366@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157203

On Wed, 10 Jun 1998 03:52:20 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>allsafe wrote:
>>
>> WhoDaMan@webtv.net wrote:
>>
>> > I can't believe that the famous Bill Cheek responded to one of my posts.
>> > I'm honored. I just have one question for you about Allsafe. Is his name
>> > truly Allsafe or is he too hiding behind the anonymity of his computer?
>>
>> I can answer that: I appear on rec.radio.scanner as allsafe@netwit.com.
>
>
>What is very disappointing is a person so pathetic that when asked who
>he really is, still has to hide behind his screen name because he is
>such a coward.
>
>:(

Yeah, that's almost as bad as mailbombing someone from a hotmail.com
account, ain't it?

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1927. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <358353f4.12856844@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 13 Jun 1998 19:46:49 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> You are, indeed, a most fortunate young man to have Mackey on your
>> side. He doesn't agree with *just* anybody you know. Heh, Heh, Heh!
>>
>> George L. Vetterle
>
>
>Thanks for your support George!

Don't mention it (and I mean that quite literally)

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1928. Re: JOHN MACKEY: I want to join PARG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35835927.14187731@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157205

On Sun, 14 Jun 1998 02:04:29 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>Richard Kendrick wrote:
>> Only morons and genetically deficient cretins
>> are eligible to join.
>
>Well then that certainly would make you eligible!

You should be President for life. You may even be over qualified
for that with your quadruple lobotomy.

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1929. Re: 10 repeater freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35835af9.14654044@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 157206

On Sun, 14 Jun 1998 02:26:09 GMT, John MacKey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> uncharacteriscally made this *on-topic* post:

>You know me don't ya... wrote:
>> 29.660 ,29.650 and others maybe...
>
>29.65 is NOT a rprt channel on 10 meters.
>
>they are:
>29.62, 29.64, 29.66, 29.68

Holy Moley! An *on-topic* post! More people have been waiting
for this than for the Messiah. 'Way to go John! Keep this up and
you'll be into double digits in a year or two. I knew you could do
it!

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

1930. Re: TO ALL NEWCOMERS A WARNING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358373DE.7895@parg.org>
Source record: 157207
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

You're a sick puppy. Why are you posting this crap?

alarmist@BassHome.com (Robert L Bass) wrote:
>
> "TO ALL NEWCOMERS A WARNING";
> I have a psychopathic need to develop relationships with
> new and unsuspecting young pilots. I do this because of
> my own failure to show the proficiency and judgment required
> of any pilot. I have gone through numerous flight instructors
> and have spent thousands of dollars over many hours in an
> effort to buy what I cannot normally achieve. I have been
> doing this for months. I am an evil influence on beginning
> aviators and aviation. Since I have no success of my own I
> feed on the success of others as a vampire feeds on blood. I
> will lead you into hazardous behavior. I have performed any
> number of nefarious acts in the past and will again in the
> future. By denying me an audience I will wither away. Let
> me know that you object to my overtures and wish that I would
> refrain from responding to anything you post."
>
> Blue Skies,
> Robert L Bass
>
> ========================>
> Bass Home Electronics >
> 80 Bentwood Road >
> W Hartford, CT 06107 >
> alarmist@BassHome.com >
> http://www.BassHome.com >
> 860-561-9542 Voice >
> 860-521-2143 Fax >
> ========================>

1931. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358374B1.7BE8@parg.org>
Source record: 157208
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

You need some real help Robert. Sounds pretty crazy to me.

alarmist@BassHome.com (Robert L Bass) wrote:
>
> I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me. I`m BASS. I`m the
> great one! I`m a genius! Don`t they know that genius is pain? Can`t
> they see I`m doing this for their own good? Laffer is evil. JRJ is
> evil. Whitt is evil. I can see it. I can see it It`s just like when
> those boys, those horrible horrible boys did that. I`ll show them I
> hate them. I`ll KILL them! They can`t do this to me, I`m BASS! I`ll
> show them when they look at me ooooh, I can feel it. I know! I know!
> I`m a genius! I know! They don`t know. Noooooo. They don`t know. I can
> see it why can`t they? I can see it. It`s as plain as the nose on my
> face. Why don`t they listen? They`re idiots! They`re eeeeevil. They
> don`t know! They can`t know! I`m a genius. I know! Why won`t they
> listen? They don`t know I`m BASS! I know! They don`t know. Ohhhh I`ll
> show THEM! I willlLLLLLLLLL! I`ll make them do it. I`ll make them.
> They don`t know, they`re eeeevil. They are. The others can`t see, but
> I can. I`m BASS! I know! They don`t know. They don`t! I`ll show
> them.I`ll show them . Oooh my head hurts. ooooooogh. Oh my. It`s
> happening again. Oh no
> Nooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo.
>
> Blue Skies,
> Robert L Bass
>
> ======================>
> Bass Home Electronics >
> 80 Bentwood Road >
> W Hartford, CT 06107 >
> alarmist@BassHome.com >
> http://www.BassHome.com >
> 860-561-9542 Voice >
> 860-521-2143 Fax >
> ======================>

1932. Re: AR-3000 A for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: derickam@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061407032600.DAA08803@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157209

When does this "auction" terminate?

derick

1933. BC-200XLT Reduced !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <35837711.2C878C35@alaska.net>
Source record: 157210

Perfect 200xlt, 200 ch, full cell, batt saver
mod, with original box, manual, papers.

Motorola heavy duty leather belt case with swivel.

NEW 1300ma NiMHd pak.
NEW Metro-West AA-Batt pak,
NEW case (top, front, back)
NEW rubber keypad.
Auto cigar lighter adaptor.
Original charger.

$150 shipped !!!!!!!!
e-mail or call 907-337-9168

John Miller
Anchorage, Alaska

1934. Southeast Texas Hidden Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Carroll <jcarroll@nol.net>
Message-ID: <3584CA69.C96F7443@nol.net>
Source record: 156811

Does anybody know where I can get a list of frequencies that they don't publish in
Police Call? So called "hidden" or "secret" frequencies. I am looking for those primarily in the Houston/Galveston area, along with suburbs in between, like LaPorte, Seabrook, Texas City, etc..

Thanks,
Joshua Carroll
mailto:jcarroll@nol.net

1935. Excellent Web Site For Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scotmockup@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061515545600.LAA09560@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156812

```
Heres a good web site that has Descramblers,
Signal Meters, Service Manuals and tons of scanner links..
Check it out...

<A HREF="http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html">
Scanner Web Site</A>
```

1936. Re: CE- 232 vs. opto os 456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.com
Message-ID: <358542e9.6975149@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 156813

On Mon, 15 Jun 1998 00:07:50 -0700, Captain Dunzel
<Dunzel@Hotmail.com> wrote:

>I was leaning toward the 456 due to the selection and
>quality of software available. But after learning more
>about the interfaces, I am now leaning toward the CE-232.
>And no, I have not made up my mind yet....
Well if you do not need any of the tone decoding that the 456 does
the 232 will do more and has some great dos software and the
up-comming Pro-Turbo software fro windows will be a great addition to
the 232. I have been using Pro-Turbo for a while now and it does
everything that I want it to do.
The OS-456 is easier to install but you have to realize that it is
form big company with big pockets, but from what I hear it will not
be available to much longer and you will only be able to get the Lite
version of it which does not have any of the tone decoding in it.
The CE-232 takes more work to install and if you build it from the
kit or from Bill's 3rd book you can then say " I DID IT MYSELF! "

>Thanks,
>Captain Dunzel
>
>>Jabba T. Hutt wrote:
>>Captain Dunzel
>> No problem but the big questions is ? Which one have you decided
>> on?

1937. FS: PRO-2004 scanner w/full 800 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8rxx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061516011300.MAA06131@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156814

For Sale:

Radio Shack PRO-2004 scanner with full 800 MHz coverage

This unit has been sitting unused for the last two years. It's in good condition except that sometimes the on/off switch has to be clicked a couple of times to turn it on. I have the original box and manual. I'm offering it at what I believe is a lower price because of the "sticky" on/off switch.

$180.00

Email me if you are interested.

Rafe Barber
N8RXX@aol.com

1938. ICOM Q7 Approved(?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alf Sauve' <alf.sauve@mci.com>
Message-ID: <%Bbh1.26$uC.57342@pm01nn>
Source record: 156815

Maybe I'm not reading it correctly but my search on the FCC site say
the ICOM Q7 was approved last Wednesday. Paperwork may take a week
to catch up. As of this morning ICOM tech support had no word.
I got it on reasonable authority the price will be under $250.

Anyway if anybody hears anything please holler.

Alf - W4ADS

1939. Re: 9000xlt Tricks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C.G. Buysrogge" <c.g.buysrogge@consunet.nl>
Message-ID: <6m3i3gire1@news.worldonline.nl>
Source record: 156816

St Magnum heeft geschreven in bericht
<1998061422185200.SAA15668@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
try http://home1.swipnet.se/~w-15926/ubc9000xlt
Kees

1940. Boston, Ma area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Ray <jray@bbn.com>
Message-ID: <358551AD.25AC@bbn.com>
Source record: 156817

Hello, Would anyone be able to send me frequencies for the Boston, Massachusettes area. I would greatly appreciate it. I have a BC80XLT. Thanks, jray

1941. Re: **OPERATION GUIDE FOR 7100 YUPI??**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: klo@bigSpaMfoot.com
Message-ID: <4F0B956CADDF2FFA.67D7F2B2E27A4E45.18C3A26660F904C5@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 156818

In article <3584d091.144157957@news>, blade1@home.com says...
> Does anyone out there have a simple FAQ covering the operation of the
> 7100 YUPITERU?????

Go visit Rich Wells' page: http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/ and visit the review
for the Yupi 7100. It should give you the basic overview of the
functions.

--

___ ____
(___| Kevin Lo |___AKA Radnor, Catskills_______Callsign: KF4JXF___)
"You are in a twisty little maze of URL's, all different."

1942. ICOM IC-R100, For Sale/Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: R <rdieterich@racom.com>
Message-ID: <3585523D.72228EB7@racom.com>
Source record: 156819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have an IC-R100 mobile wideband scanner (.5 MHz - 1.8 GHz) Scanning Receiver for sale for $425 or trade for recent vintage Dual Band mobile, 2M All Mode, or possibly HF transceiver.

The IC-R100 is less than two years old, with mobile mounting bracket (not used mobile though), and original manual. The condition is like new but I don't have the box any longer.

If interested, reply to this address or call me in the evening until ~ 9:00 MDT at 303 627-1883.

Thanks,

'73 Roger KA4LDN

1943. Sangean ATS-818

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: IW2KWC <iw2kwc@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <3584E516.4BD4EF05@geocities.com>
Source record: 156820
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

Is there anybody who can advise me about a good SSB receiving? I have great difficulties to understand what people says, I use BFO On and try to refine with the gauntlet.

Any ideas?
Bye Claudio IW2KWC

1944. Icom IC-Q7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: IW2KWC <iw2kwc@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <3584E380.A13E93DD@geocities.com>
Source record: 156821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Hi everibody,

I bought an Icom IC-Q7: it is small and light but it seems to me thai it
is not really sensitive under 87 MHz. I tried connecting an external
wire of about 6 meters but nothng changed.
Is there someone who got good results in this band?
Bye
Claudio IW2KWC

1945. Icom IC-Q7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: IW2KWC <iw2kwc@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <3585063B.E4041A85@geocities.com>
Source record: 156822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

What about the battery consumption? My batteries last for max 3 hours without transmitting and with the light off.

1946. To "Captain Dunzel" - TEST newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6m3se6$d2e@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156823

If you have Netscape or Outlook Express, you can just search for an
appropriate test newsgroup by typing it in.

I don't think I should be on your killfile for politely telling you to use a
test newsgroup. If you choose to keep me there, that is your problem. I've
been in radio for eight years (going on nine next month). I feel I haven't
been rude to you, so your choice is your problem.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy
RySoft Corporation
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

1947. Need help with 4 level FSK inteface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsais@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <6m3vo5$f1u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156824

I just have assembled one 2-Level FSK interfase to work with POCFLEX software, but now I made my first 4-Level FSK intefase that came with the program documentation, but doesn't work.

When I cut the retrofeed resistor in the negative peak detector opam, is work only with A & C phases, but when all is connected the program don't decode anything!

I checked the conections, the voltajes in the peak detector opams, and one is complement of the other, the voltajes in the three modem status lines varies from +10 to +12 in DCD, from -12 to +12 in DSR and from -10 to -12 in CTS lines.

What can i do?

How can i use a 2-bit A/D converter chip from Motorola (MC68176) to obtain the phases and then feed it to the program through the COM port?

Help please!

Thanks

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1948. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kent Atwater <a@b.com>
Message-ID: <3585782E.1123@b.com>
Source record: 156825
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Hi Gang - Please accept this gentle reminder of the following item that
> appears in the rec.radio.swap FAQ/charter:
>
> "PLEASE DO NOT POST DISCUSSION ARTICLES TO THIS GROUP.

Impossible. There would be nothing to post or read here then!

1949. shinwa sr01

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kim <kim.nordkvist@technis.syh.fi>
Message-ID: <35857714.597CAFB8@technis.syh.fi>
Source record: 156826

Anyone tryed to connect a shinwa to the computer with this rs-232
interface?

1950. Re: Did i hear my name mentioned?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6m3td9$h0c@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156827
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not quite fiction - in other words, he didn't write it. His story is from
Seinfeld.

--
73 de Ryan Faricy
RySoft Corporation
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to
a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

Adam C. Harrison wrote in message ...
>After watching this site for years, it never ceases to amaze me that Emilio
>has been making up these great fiction stories for years and, yet, there
are
>still people that think he is serious. WAKE UP CALL::: Emilio writes
these
>fiction stories to generate long threads of great discussion and it works
>great.
>
>I personally think the guy is hilarious. Keep it up Emilio.
>
>For everyone else - remember: FICTION.
>
>Main Entry: fic&#65533;tion
>Pronunciation: 'fik-sh&n
>Function: noun
>Etymology: Middle English ficcioun, from Middle French fiction, from Latin
>fiction-, fictio act of fashioning, fiction, from fingere to shape,
fashion,
>feign -- more at DOUGH
>Date: 14th century
>1 a : something invented by the imagination or feigned; specifically : an
>invented story b : fictitious literature (as novels or short stories) c : a
>work of fiction; especially : NOVEL
>
>---------- Adam
>
>

>
>William J. Carty Jr <102150.3700@compuserve.com> wrote in message
><35824842.2C02A965@compuserve.com>...
>>You have got to be the biggest moron that has ever walked the face of
>>the earth! You dummy!
>>
>>First off like other have said before me you are bloody damn lucky that
>>the police officer didn't kill you! If it had been me I wouldn't have
>>called for back up I would have found out where you were and had little
>>counseling session with my night stick. Hopefully swating you but enough
>>time would put your brains back into allignment!
>>
>>Secondly and as important if not more. You and other dummies like you
>>are on of the reason's that restrictive scanner laws are written and
>>passed. You m,ay have just given more ammunition to the let's ban
>>scanners activist in congress! Jesus! why can't people use their toys in
>>a responsible sane manner!
>>
>>Emilio Goldstein wrote:
>>
>>> Boy, they do not have much internet access in jail. Well i'm glad
>>> that's
>>> over.
>>> I'm all set for loads of fun! i spent $149 for a super laser-pen. It
>>> shoots red laser dot the length of a football field. I tried this
>>> last
>>> night and it's great. This sheriff or constable or someone says over
>>> the
>>> radio that he's going to pick up this guy who has a warrant. It turns
>>> out
>>> that that was where I was going happen to be located anyway, so I
>>> drove all
>>> the way to this fugitives house and parked down the block. When the
>>> officer showed up, I landed a little red dot right in his chest, and
>>> he
>>> kind of freaqued. He called dispatch and said hey I've got this little
>>> red
>>> dot on my chest and it follows me around. I can hear it all on my
>>> scanner
>>> radio. Anyway they sent him a backup and when the backup arrived, the
>>> red
>>> dot dissapeared.
>>> So they get on the radio and say that the red dot is gone, and what
>>> the
>>> heck , and when the backup turns around, the red dot shows up again. I
>>> can
>>> hear it all on the scanner-rama. It was a load of fun.
>>> So they take this criminal to jail , and I happen to pull up next to
>>> them

>>> at a stop light, and presto there's this little red dot on the guy's
>>> right
>>> hand. I can see him looking it over , and anyway he missed the green
>>> light
>>> and some guy behind toots his horn. I make a quick right turn and get
>>>
>>> away.
>>> later the guy is talking to the other guy on the channel about the
>>> little
>>> red dot, and how strange it is but I don't care. I got my rights.
>>> They
>>> shoot radio signals through my body, I got a right to listen to them,
>>> and I
>>> got a right to shoot little red laser beams through the window of the
>>> squad
>>> car.
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1951. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6m3tja$hp8@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156828

Inappropriate, but I'm sure *you* would think about it.

How would I "quite" my whining? Do you mean keep going?
--
73 de Ryan Faricy
RySoft Corporation
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

For as long as I can remember, I've had amnesia.

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to jtonsa@worldnet.att.net is subject to
a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

allsafe wrote in message <3583AB12.1CFAB512@netwit.com>...
>James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy wrote:
>
>> Also, HE is the one that responded TO ME in a very rude manner - I
>> posted a
>> question about an image to the newsgroup, and he criticized me about
>> my
>> ignorance and said other things. I never knew him before this.
>>
>
>Quite your whining:
>
>Take your whack and go stand in the back...with Mack.
>
>

1952. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35857E76.AD2@parg.org>
Source record: 156829

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
SNIP

this was another forge, I did not post it!

1953. Re: TO ALL NEWCOMERS A WARNING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35857F7C.27E7@parg.org>
Source record: 156830

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
SNIP

this was a FORGE, I didn't post it.

1954. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358582E6.7538@parg.org>
Source record: 156831

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> Like the accusations you made about Bill and never showed any proof of
> them like you said you were going to do.

OK, what are you waiting for? Tell what proof you want to see?

1955. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3585841C.4B66@parg.org>
Source record: 156832

allsafe wrote:
> idea who's Mackey and who's not. I've nailed down a few of his
> personas: "RadioFan, compania, rcl@aol.com, etc." But I really don't
> know how far it goes. I thought I did. But as a regular audience

allsafe-

What is your proof of this? or is this just another one of your
baseless accusations?

I have PROOF that I am NOT the id's you pint out above!

1956. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35858459.2267@parg.org>
Source record: 156833

Doktor Tripp wrote:
> one participant of this ego war namely John Mackey has
> already changed his posting name to avoid the filters of those that
> wish not to read him but wish to read this group.

THAT is a BOLD FACED LIE!

1957. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358584DB.3200@parg.org>
Source record: 156834

Mr CB Guy wrote:
> if you
> disappeared altogether..while there would be flames, I suspect the occurence
> would drop by 80% or so.
> Why don't you try it and see?

Been there, & done that!

In the past when I leave, sooner or later a certain individual
(COUGH cheek COUGH) has chosen to start attacking innocent
individuals & causing trouble again!

1958. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358584F2.6467@parg.org>
Source record: 156835

allsafe wrote:
> All hail the Messiah! He's finally here to deliver us from the eternal
> flames that no mortal man has been able to douse!

Thanks!

1959. FS:Icom PCR1000 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Halligan <halligan@capecod.net>
Message-ID: <35858F89.97D2849@capecod.net>
Source record: 156836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner

I have for sale an Icom IC-PCR1000 computer controlled receiver. Covers from 150 KHz to 1300 MHz all mode. Radio is approx 3 months old and in mint condition. NO MODIFICATIONS HAVE BEEN MADE WITHIN THE RADIO! Was purchased from Lentini Communications in Newington
Ct. Includes box, manual, and all accessories including software. I have

used the radio with a 486/DX4-75 MHz IBM ThinkPad sub-notebook, a 486/DX4-100 desktop, and a Pentium 200 Desktop with great success. Will include disks of third party software which the buyer will use at his/her own risk!!! For those unfamiliar with this radio, information for it is available at the URL below:

http://www.icomamerica.com/featured/

Reason for selling: I love the radio but am more geared to VHF/UHF/800 conventional and trunked monitoring. Decided I'd try to sell this when I

caught wind of the Optoelectronics Optocom.

Price: $400.00 non-negotiable, plus shipping. This radio is just about brand new, folks, and in mint shape, so I figure $100 off the new price is a pretty good deal.

Method of payment: Pre-pay with POSTAL money order only! Or, I will ship

COD Cash/Postal Money Order only. In either case, you pay all applicable

shipping charges.

Please reply to the E-mail address below only. Do not post replies to the newsgroups! Thanks for reading.

--
Scott - KC1UA
halligan@capecod.net
http://www.capecod.net/~shalliga
"Cape Cod Public Safety Radio Guide"

1960. Florida, The State of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: RJ <randyj@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <358591AD.8703CF79@mpinet.net>
Source record: 156837

The State of Florida DIGITAL VOICE trunk system in the Orlando area.

It has been digital in the Miami area and is being installed throughout
Florida.

Frequencies in MHz (minus 45 MHz input) Orlando area:

866.9375 .9625
867.4375 .4625 .9375 .9625
868.4375 sometimes trunk control data
868.9375 sometimes trunk control data

Possible future frequencies in the Orlando area:

866.4375 .4500 .4625 .4750 .4875 .9500 .9750 .9875
867.4500 .4750 .4875 .9875
868.4625 .4750 .4875 .9500 .9625 .9750 .9875

Trunk IDs:
1024
1059? not recent
1027? not recent
1088? not recent
1091 recent
2376
5024
14944
18112
18368
18432

Until scanners with the new digital voice, APCO Project 25 standards,
are ever for sale, decode the Motorola Astro system using not-for-sale
methods.

Otherwise see a salesperson from Motorola.

1961. Req: Frequencies for police in Anchoraage Alaska...Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <gscott@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <358595D4.627A47F6@alaska.net>
Source record: 156838

Does anyone have frequencies for the police and such in Anchorage?
Please send to gscott@alaska.net if you know of some. Thanks Scott..

1962. Re: ALINCO DJ-X-10 FCC APPROVED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: baptpdc@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <358a9af3.25277337@nntp.we.mediaone.net>
Source record: 156839

I don't notice a MAJOR problem with mine. It's a 6v 600ma pack. I
get a couple of hours out of it. I found a 4.8v 1200ma pack (EBP-34N)
but I'm not sure if it will fit. That would solve the problem nicely.

-bap

On Sat, 13 Jun 1998 20:28:19 GMT, read.the@reply.to (Dave Smith)
wrote:

>On 13 Jun 1998 20:07:42 GMT, fishbags@aol.com (Fishbags) wrote:
>
>> The Alinco DJ-X10 0.1-2gHZ ALL MODE ALPHNUMERIC 1200CH scanner was FCC type
>>accepted on June 11. It will be sold w/drop-in charger & nicad batt
>>included and will be on dealer shelves in about 10 days to 2 weeks. (cell
>>blocked of course).
>
>Do you know if they fixed the MAJOR battery drainage problem?
>(battery doesn't last long at all in the import version)

1963. Wacky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3585755C.D7190992@netwit.com>
Source record: 156840

John MacKey - KA0SSF wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
> > idea who's Mackey and who's not. I've nailed down a few of his
> > personas: "RadioFan, compania, rcl@aol.com, etc." But I really
> don't
> > know how far it goes. I thought I did. But as a regular audience
>
> allsafe-
>
> What is your proof of this? or is this just another one of your
> baseless accusations?
>
> I have PROOF that I am NOT the id's you pint out above!

Oh MackeyWackyMackey, didn't you see the last part of the post?
Here: I'll paste here for you again.

>>PRE-EMPTIVE MACKEY COMMENT RESPONSE:

>>I don't have to prove or provide anything.

>>You friggin loon.

See? You didn't even have to ask. I had already anticipated your usual
loony comment.

You friggin' loon.

1964. Re: It's Another Quackie Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3585A34F.678@parg.org>
Source record: 156841

MAlexder wrote:
> flames, increasing your flame output but 33 percent. I guess we need to up your

I see YOUR flame output increasing also!

1965. Re: Wacky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3585A419.3DC4@parg.org>
Source record: 156842

allsafe wrote:

> John MacKey - KA0SSF wrote:
> > What is your proof of this? or is this just another one of your
> > baseless accusations?

> See? You didn't even have to ask. I had already anticipated your usual
> loony comment.

Very typical of allsafe!

When asked to provide proof or evidence to support his statement,
he sidesteps the subject!

x

1966. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3585C378.27B7E563@swbell.net>
Source record: 156843

Let's see the paperwork and copies of the warrant you were getting from
the San Diego Court system and made such a big deal about.
You have yet to show any proof that what you said any factual basis.
--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not con-
stitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit
unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above.
Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied.
Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

1967. Re: What To Buy? Scanner For Winston Cup Racing - Advice Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: King Earnhardt <dale48n98@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bd98aa$95a01300$5279f4cd@sds.beachlink.com>
Source record: 156844
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

i believe that the antenna may have something to do with it. the stock antenna that came with mine was terrible and i got alot of bleedover. the stub "special" antenna works better. im not much on electronics and dont understand why a shorter antenna would work better but your idea of "no" antenna. well if shorter is better than longer, maybe none is better than shorter. ?

1968. Indiana State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Reno <bradreno@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3585A8D4.3CD8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 156845

Where can I find the Freq. for the Indiana State Police for The NE corner of the state. I want the toll road most.

1969. Stuben County DNR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Reno <bradreno@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3585A885.3FE8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 156846

where can I find out what freq. the CO's use in Stuben County IN.

1970. Guelph Ontario Police Freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: daveh@nospam.bgi.on.ca
Message-ID: <3585a201.444286@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 156847

anyone know if the guelph police have gone to trunking? they used to be very active on 142.410....now......zip....or very little.....anyone got any info.....

cheers

1971. Re: Req: Frequencies for police in Anchoraage Alaska...Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ejo@wavenet.com
Message-ID: <6m4d1c$fvo@news.millennianet.com>
Source record: 156848

Scott <gscott@alaska.net> wrote:

>Does anyone have frequencies for the police and such in Anchorage?
>Please send to gscott@alaska.net if you know of some. Thanks Scott..

As I understand (and cannot confirm it since I don't live in Anchorage
any more) that APD has moved to a trunked *and* encrypted digital
system. If this is true, your scanner will not be able to pick up the
police activity.

Anybody else know about this?

1972. Re: Indiana State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas <galaxy@jorsm.com>
Message-ID: <3585B060.452A@jorsm.com>
Source record: 156849

Brad Reno wrote:
>
> Where can I find the Freq. for the Indiana State Police for The NE
> corner of the state. I want the toll road most.

http://members.iquest.net/~aeverhart

1973. Any scanner freqs for Portugal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rodrigo Santos <rodrigosant@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6m4cbp$2f0$2@duke.telepac.pt>
Source record: 156850

Hi, I'm from a city called Loures, in the suburbs of Lisbon, the capital of Portugal. Do any of you know any freq listing or database for Portugal? Especially for the frequency range 30 to 88 MHZ and up from 146 MHZ? Any info would be greatly appreciated. Note: I'm not posting in Portuguese because I want all the fellow newsgroup readers to understand what I'm asking, and of course I don't want to be flamed for posting in a language that maybe the majority of this ng's readers wouldn't understand.

Best regards and good scanning,

Rodrigo Santos - Lisbon, Portugal (CT2GDL)
rodrigosant@hotmail.com

A Poetic Borg: You will compose assimiliated rhythms and rhymes.

Net-Tamer V 1.11 - Test Drive

1974. Open Letter To John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3585C517.4B247829@pacbell.net>
Source record: 156851

Mr. Mackey, while Iï¿½ve tried for sometime to avoid jumping into the ongoing fray between you and several participants within this news group I now feel compelled to address you directly.

First and foremost, you ARE NOT nor will EVER BE some sort of official moderator/equalizer within this group no matter how strongly you feel about it. When Cheek flames, argues, berates or otherwise expresses his opinion and/or feedback to other participants within this ng, ITï¿½S NOT YOUï¿½RE RESPONSIBILITY to respond to him or on the groupï¿½s OR any individual's behalf in any fashion whatsoever.

While I donï¿½t necessarily agree with Cheek and others in their responses, exchanges, feedback, whatever ... itï¿½s a complete dis-service to all involved to further propagate this monotonous, sometimes pathetic feud between you and the world.

I observed in total amazement at your tenacity but I have serious reservations about youï¿½re logic and motivation.

Iï¿½m not defending Cheek nor do I support him in anyway, It was clear his specifications for the most recent truce weï¿½re reasonable, fair and with youï¿½re cooperation, quite workable. You were unwilling to comply because you needed to modify it to serve your own agenda. Specifically Cheeks cannot flame anyone else. I urge to carefully re-read the second paragraph of this post and be advised,: NOBODY participating within this forum requires youï¿½re help in anyway.

While I seriously doubt you will cease and desist with this single request, you must know how wrong you are and have been. I suspect if you actually stopped posting for a couple of weeks, let the residual messages/flames/arguments age out naturally, come back on and did the following:

1.) Post only on topic

2.) Ignore Cheek and others

3.) Stop taking everything and being so personal

You might be more tolerated by youï¿½re legion of detractors. I donï¿½t really know.

From my own "unscientific research" I have determined there are approximately 5200 usenet posts floating around cyberspace from/to and/or about you, give or take a few hundred. Maybe really changing your identity is something you should consider.

Once again, I doubt you will change youï¿½re behavior, but I feel better putting the suggestions forward. As for the Mackey fans (virtual or real if any) please save youï¿½re comments.

Off soapbox

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

1975. F.S. Uniden Bear Tracker 800 BCT7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daryl <daryl@carol.net>
Message-ID: <6m4f23$v3a$1@news2.infoave.net>
Source record: 156852
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Brand New Hardly Used.
Uniden BCT7 Scanner.
$110.00 Shipped

Also have 3 one GB Jazz Disk and Four 8 meg simms.
Brand new never used. $260.00 Shipped
Many Thanks
Daryl

1976. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3585C1AD.10812388@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156853

John "I love being Queen Weenie" MacKey - KA0SSF sniveled and pouted:

> In the past when I leave, sooner or later a certain individual
> (COUGH cheek COUGH) has chosen to start attacking innocent
> individuals & causing trouble again!

Why should you care if Bill Cheek or anyone else for that matter does or does not flame someone? What ever he, or anyone else does in this news group is their responsibility, not yours.

There are only two reasons why you would take it upon yourself to chastise Cheek for what you perceive as misbehavior. Could be one or the other, but I think it's both.

One: You are a self-appointed net cop with no life that has to belittle others to make yourself feel better about yourself.

Two: You have a vendetta against Cheek for what transgressions that you believe he committed against you in the past.

Either way, your behavior is tacit proof that evolution can go in reverse. All these years you've been getting publicly spanked by Cheek, myself, and a multitude of others and you still haven't learned a thing. You're still just a pitiful fool.

1977. Re: SKYWARN ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE POLISH STUD <theoldbrooklynkidwow@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3585C12E.2B44@ameritech.net>
Source record: 156854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

MrG1stD wrote:
>
> For a newbie, what is SKYWARN ??
>
> TIA
>
> Bill
It is a group of hams and non-hams that report bad weather conditions
via the internet/www, phone calls, or amatuer radio. They also report
in results of bad weather.

If you are a non ham then give a listen to the 2 meter or 70 centimeter
ham bands.

Here in the Cleveland Ohio area try 146.8800

To hear the Space Sshuttle try 145.6700
--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!

1978. Boynton Beach Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1beerboy@concentric.net
Message-ID: <6m4fjr$jge@examiner.concentric.net>
Source record: 156855

Just moved to south Fla. does anyone have freq's for Boynton Beach Florida.
Police, Fire, Med, Air. Any info would help

CHANGES IN LATITUDES,
CHANGES IN ATTITUDES

1979. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3585C447.820536C2@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156856

John "I'm such a shameless liar" MacKey - KA0SSF slithered:

> Doktor Tripp wrote:
> > one participant of this ego war namely John Mackey has
> > already changed his posting name to avoid the filters of those that
> > wish not to read him but wish to read this group.
>
> THAT is a BOLD FACED LIE!

Actually, it is the truth, something you know very little about.
Examine the From headers shown below. The first one is for the above
message and the second is from a few days ago. As usual Toilet Boy,
you are caught in ANOTHER lie. FOOL!

From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Reply-To: ka0ssf@parg.org
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
References: <6lv9pr$r0l@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
<1998061412163000.IAA18716@ladder01.news.aol.com>
<3583e9eb.8309888@news.alt.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jun 1998 20:28:55 GMT

From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Reply-To: ka0ssf@parg.org
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages
References: <6lanm2$55h@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
<3579A5CB.12DF@parg.org> <Eu5FAH.C4n@freenet.buffalo.edu>
<3579C99E.6722@parg.org> <Eu5nox.E8K@freenet.buffalo.edu>
<3579F295.BF9@parg.org>
<MPG.fe46f2c686394af989686@enews.newsguy.com>
<357b5f01.211188340@news2.cts.com>
<357B8134.7CCE@parg.org> <357C2F2F.218E@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Jun 1998 03:08:40 GMT

1980. Re: wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3585C886.3766EEAE@netwit.com>
Source record: 156857

The Warns wrote:

> My nieghbors doing the wild thing, they accidently left the cordless phone off
> the hook.

Do your neighbors have a young child? It seems more likely that you were picking up their baby monitor. Same general neighborhood frequency-wise as analog cordless phones.

1981. Re: SKYWARN ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J.M." <wnjl@gbsias.com>
Message-ID: <3585C95A.6D08@gbsias.com>
Source record: 156858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

THE POLISH STUD wrote:
>
> MrG1stD wrote:
> >
> > For a newbie, what is SKYWARN ??
> >
> > TIA
> >
> > Bill
> It is a group of hams and non-hams that report bad weather conditions
> via the internet/www, phone calls, or amatuer radio. They also report
> in results of bad weather.
>
> If you are a non ham then give a listen to the 2 meter or 70 centimeter
> ham bands.
>
> Here in the Cleveland Ohio area try 146.8800
>
> To hear the Space Sshuttle try 145.6700
> --
> TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
> PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
> THANK YOU!!!!
>
> ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
> WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
> GREAT SERVICE!!!!

In Philadelphia County, skywarn can be heard on 147.030
Bucks County-145.250
Burlington County-147.150
Camden County-146.820,146.895
Mercer County-146.670

J.M.

1982. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd98dc$32f22900$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 156859
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

Robert,

Rest assured that this post did indeed come from Charlie Dwenger. All ya gotta do is E-mail to find out. Don`t know a Jeffry L. Morris & the *smart-quotes* are very much mine as well. I don`t copy or forge.
Any thing else on your mind, Bob?

Charlie

Robert L Bass <alarmist@home.com> wrote in article <3586b5cb.284661963@news.randori.com>...

>> alarmist@BassHome.com (Robert L Bass) wrote:
> > >
> > > I`ll show those b
> Note: the poster above calling himself "Charlie Dwenger" has an
> interesting thing in common with Jeffry Lynn Morris. He uses Morris'
> smart-quotes. Hmmm.
>
> Blue Skies,
> Robert L Bass
>
> ======================>
> Bass Home Electronics >
> 80 Bentwood Road >
> W Hartford, CT 06107 >
> alarmist@BassHome.com >
> http://www.BassHome.com >
> 860-561-9542 Voice >
> 860-521-2143 Fax >
> ======================>
>

1983. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd98dc$9e4b3d40$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 156860
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you very much Bayareascan.

Charlie

bayareascan@usa.net wrote in article <3584CCF3.52D@usa.net>...
> Robert L Bass wrote:

> FYI, Charlie Dwenger is a regular poster to rec.radio.scanner, so I
> think you own him an apology.
>

1984. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd98dc$dc4cf520$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 156911

Kind of personal, ain`t it? 8o}

Charlie

D. P. Roberts <inigo@montoya.net> wrote in article
<358d30c5.4245128@news.swbell.net>...
> >If it can raise the dead on some old fart wonder if it could make a
> >bent/broke ant rise to the occasion.
>
> I dunno. How big is your mast?
>

1985. Re: wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd98df$3bd22a40$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 156912

Back when it was legal to listen to baby monitors, a close neighbor`s
wife would have "a friend" over about 3 times a week. His name was either
Oh God Yes, or Don`t Shoot Yet Jerry.(I never did know for sure)
It all came to a sudden stop when the husband came back home after about
an hour of work, just in time to catch them in the kitchen, & it wasn`t
waffles he was eating at the table.

I just loved to listen to baby monitors.

Happy Scanning,
Charlie

1986. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3585F5F0.64E45205@swbell.net>
Source record: 156913

Johnny Boy, Let's see the paperwork and copies of the warrant you were getting from the San Diego Court system about Bill Cheek which OBFD and you made such a big deal about.

You have yet to show any proof that what you said had any factual basis.
--
--
NOTICE: The posting of this message in a public forum does not constitute effective consent for any person or organization to transmit unsolicited advertising messages to the email address given above. Consent for the sending of such messages is hereby explicitly denied. Cf Texas Penal Code, Title 7, Chapter 33 (Computer Crimes).

1987. 225-400 mhz; signals received?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacUser@Penn.com
Message-ID: <MacUser-ya023580001506982315570001@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 156914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm experimenting with a new scanner that is capable of receiving signals in the 225 to 400 mhz range, which I believe is designated for military aircraft.

Anybody out there have any experience receiving signals in that range?

I'm not near an air base or anything, so not sure if I should expect anything at all in that range...

Thanks!

- Dave

--
Dave Shaffer
MacUser@Penn.com

1988. Re: New Brunswick Canada Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.P" <acars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3585EB3B.419A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 156915

Gaston J Pouliot wrote:
>
> I would like to know if someone has a list of frequency for the Royal
> Canadian Mounted Police, Ambulance, Fire Dept, For The province of New
> Brunswick Canada.
>
> And where also could i get an updated book!
>
> Thanks
>
> Gaston
> pouliotg@brunnet.net
//

Try this link,

http://www.ggw.org/nf2g/links_on.shtml

R.P
Ontario

1989. FCC Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale Beers <dbeers@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6m4sc5$8gmm$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 156916
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Where can I download the FCC Frequency Database
I could not find it on ftp.fcc.gov or www.fcc.gov
I would like to have the Database for Minnesota ?
How much would a CD_ROM of FCC Frequency Database
covering the entire USA cost I want to get the Cheapest I can
under $50 Please Point me to a web site

1990. Re: Let's extend The Great Liberty Net to 10-meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: X <fillip@banet.net>
Message-ID: <3585D862.5A8F5E0@banet.net>
Source record: 156917

10 meters rule ! I've done it in europe , alot of ppl use it. it's fun too !
You get QSL cards and stuff. I recomend radio : Lincoln made by french co.
President. Reasonable price, great radio. 26-29.999 mhz TX/RX
check their site at. http://www.partal.com/president_cb/

10 meters alowes you to DX in SSB , in case of N America , i would say
transmissions around the whole S America. USA and CAnada. if you get lucky
Europe as well !

73

TheLibNet wrote:

> In article <6m27ej$11r81@odie.mcleod.net>, "LLoyd, N0VFP" <n0vfp@qsl.net>
> writes:
>
> >Would it give you a heart attack If the Liberty Net was on EVERY NIGHT!
>
> Hey, now that's not a bad idea. While we're at it, how about the creation of a
> 10-meter version, now that the band is coming back. Would extend The Great
> Liberty Net's availability to Novices and Tech Plusses. As an added bonus, all
> freeband CBers with "export" or modified 10-meter ham radios would be able to
> listen as well. Great way to increase listenership, participation, and
> awareness of The Great Liberty Net.

1991. Re: Sportcat SC150 Keypad Tricks??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <35860873.D2272620@flash.net>
Source record: 156918

I do, but I must worn you..... if you do a display test, you will have to reset your whole scanner in order to use it again, at least I had to...

For both of these "tricks" you need to hold the specified buttons and turn on the scanner while holding them down...

For display test: press - 9+2+L/O (lockout)
For scanner reset: press - 9+2+HOLD

Glenn J. Torres wrote:

> Anyone have any tricks for this model?
> Thanks,
> Glenn

1992. Any MODS for the Bearcat SC150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <358608A1.287797CC@flash.net>
Source record: 156919

Any MODS for the Bearcat SC150?

1993. Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkfel@primenet.com
Message-ID: <35861325.2861318@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 156920

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 14:39:16 -0500, "AJH" <ajh@megsinet.n-t> wrote:

>Does anyone here have a text file on how to build an SCA decoder? I am very
>interested in this subject but cannot find a whole lot of information on it,
>any help would be appreciated.
>

I would avoid buying the Ramsey SCA decoder, in fact, I would aviod
buying any Ramsey Kit.

Mark

1994. ICOM 8500 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: robertr@spice.mhv.net
Message-ID: <6m1olikkb1@news.mhv.net>
Source record: 156962

Are there any good indoor antennas that will work with the ICOM 8500 scanner on 30mhz and up? I'm especially interested in the 30-500 mhz range, and since it doesn't come with a built-in whip antenna for basic stuff, it comes up mostly empty on VHF/UHF, although the Kiwa pocket loop seems to be allright on shortwave. I had an MFJ 1026 that claims to have 30-200m hz coverage but haven't been able to get the right connectors for it.

Also, if there's no indoor option, is the AH7000 optional antenna any good and can it be mounted in a small space?

Robert Rodriguez

1995. Re: Used Police Radar Guns Wanted !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <6m1p6o$hfo@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 156963
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,misc.wanted,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.components,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <358038B0.4D74@aol.com>, KuoH <KuoH@aol.com> wrote:
>Kermit wrote:

>> Hey I lost a brother in law, and 2 people are dead because of shitheads
>> who have a bug up their ass with wanting to prove to the world their
>> macho brand of attitude when driving on the highways.

> Just because you've lost loved ones does not necessarily mean that
>someone else who has also suffered this loss will feel exactly the same
>way you do. And wishing that upon them will make it even less so. You
>might as well summarily execute a member of every family, then saying
>"There, now you know how it feels just in case you feel like doing
>something wrong in the future."
[huge SNIP!]

Hi Gang - Please accept this gentle reminder of the following item that appears in the rec.radio.swap FAQ/charter:

"PLEASE DO NOT POST DISCUSSION ARTICLES TO THIS GROUP. If you really must post, please do so to the appropriate discussion group. Use email whenever possible, especially if you feel someone has committed a breach of etiquette."

(The full FAQ is posted by myself on the 15th of each month.)

Take care,
Jeff KH2PZ (rec.radio.amateur working-group)

1996. Trade Yaesu FT-50R for HH scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: twiggins@gte.net
Message-ID: <6m1uvusbd1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156964
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Howdy.

I have a Yaesu FT-50R for sale or trade for a handheld scanner. The Yaesu is about 1 year old, rarely used, and totally mint. It has the optional keypad and has continuous coverage (no gaps).

I'd like to trade for a Yupi, Icom R10, Sony IC1, or AR8000 handheld. We can trade + or - cash for inequalities on value. Mint scanners only please....

I'm in the San Francisco/San Jose area...please email if interested.

Cheers,

Tom
twiggins@gte.net
N6OEF

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

1997. Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jm@drsmesh.com
Message-ID: <09980514210105.OUI51.jm@drsmesh.com>
Source record: 156965

On June 14 1998, grohe@galaxy.nsc.com (Paul Grohe) wrote:

>> Does anyone here have a text file on how to build an SCA decoder?
-snip- > Ramsey has a decoder.
> You will need a "discriminator" output from your WBFM scanner or
> FM radio for the SCA receiver to function properly. -snip-

--OR-- You could simply purchase an inexpensive used whole receiver all ready to go complete with a 70v PA amp plugged into the output stage. I have recently run into such a device on the used shelf of Purchase Radio in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The device was appears to have been originally made for elevator duty and is similar to the Muzac System units. The first oscillator would be tuned to the FM broadcast carrier of your choice and then the sub-carrier decoder tuned to the frequency of your choice. The device had an internal power supply and looked ready to play with!

Purchase Radio has been in business a long time (I think about 50 years) and their used shelves occassionally have treasures. It is usually nice to buy from a real store if you like being careful with your money. They could ship UPS I'm sure and probably take credit cards. Dan is the store manager and probably is the only one there that knows anything about the device. He is on vacation until Wednesday but if one wanted to call soon Paul might be a good choice. The telephone number is 1-734-668-8696 or 1-800-783-8696 although the toll free number may only work in Michigan, I'm not sure!

Good Luck......Joe / W8SS

--
Thanks....W8SS - Joe
from Beautiful Downtown HELL, Michigan USA
06/14/98 21:05

Always available at:
jm@drsmesh.com -or- W8SS@drsmesh.com
Please visit our Web Page at:
http://www.drsmesh.com

PGP PUBLIC KEY AVAILABLE ON REQUEST

1998. Re: wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6m1saa$agl@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156966

In <3583b30f.7376347@news.jps.net> mtbikerno@spamnojps.net
(Pursuit10851) writes:
>
>
>Also witnessed my 24th pursuit last night. Stolen Toyota van going 60
>mph through well-lit downtown streets. I saw it from a corner.
>Awesome! Finally, I've heard a couple of pursuits that ended in bad
>crashes, and when the pursuee crashed I heard "Shit!" or "Oh shit!".
>The first time that happened I was listening with a friend of mine and
>he was literally ROFL face down on the floor! Since the only person
>who crashed was the suspect and no officers were involved, I laughed
>my ass off too.

Wow...are you dumb.

ever heard of a video cam...simple 8 mm will do.

get it on video and make money....

geeze...do i have to show all of you how to think
better? If you saw it hit, you just lost money
from tv and feature video shows....why not get it
on tape and really enjoy your hobby....?

600 bucks will get a great Canon auto-focus stabilizing
zoom cam...you'll get it back in no time, if what you
say is true.

bill conduit
with an attitude

1999. Re: WOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kplotkin@access2.digex.net
Message-ID: <6m1u43$evk@access2.digex.net>
Source record: 156967

In article <3583aec3.105357596@allnews.netnevada.net>,
<james_roach88@hotmail.com> wrote:
>You know I havent been in this NG for about 2 months and mackey and
>cheeks are still going at it, I want to know but then again I really
>dont.

You don't really want to know.

You just want to ignore posts where the two of them are going at it. You do need to be careful to *not* ignore Bill's non-Mackey posts, since he gives excellent high-content answers to real questions.

Ken Plotkin

2000. Re: FRS/UHF question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <35847E1E.F21B8D0D@home.com>
Source record: 156968

Mike:

You're probably right. Local shops around Michigan are telling buyers they don't even need to get the license for the GMRS units. Seems the FCC has lost interest in a lot of things these days.

I picked up a couple of the Motorola TalkAbout Plus, FRS units... they work very well for only 1/2 watt!

It is a love - hate relationship with Business Band Radios being only business, and GMRS Band Radios being only family/recreation.

Bill Crocker

G. M. Alf wrote:
>
> On Wed, 10 Jun 1998 19:09:03 GMT, Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Andy:
> >
> >Those radios are for use on the GMRS band, and require a "no test, just
> >send us money", license. They will operate within the FRS frequencies,
> >however to do so, technically, would be illegal. And, if I were going
> >through the trouble and expense for a GMRS license, I'd opt for more
> >than 1 watt. Typically GMRS handhelds can be purchased with up to 5
> >watts, and base/mobile units come in at around 35 watts, if I recall.
> >Some GMRS radios will also interact with repeaters, i.e.: Maxon.
> >
> GMRS radios do and can legally operate in 7 of the lower FRS
> frequencies. They were GMRS splinter frequencies to begin with and
> are shared with FRS. It's not clear if GMRS and FRS units can legally
> talk to each other but most opinions I've read seem to think it's ok.
>
> Mike

2001. Looking for info on Sportcat SC150B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <falcon@nirvana.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9803$dc0ff8c0$a993c7c7@deskmedia.com.deskmedia.com>
Source record: 156969

Anyone have mods or detailed information on this scanner. I am fairly new to the hobby and am looking for the "true" limits of my new toy.

Also it would be much appreciated if anyone knows where I can get freq's for Southern Minnesota near the Albert Lea / Austin area.

Thanks in advance.

2002. Re: FS - AOR-1000 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Altman <altman@ctel.net>
Message-ID: <Xr%g1.195$5Y3.2788413@harpo.ctel.net>
Source record: 156970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am very interested. could you e-mail me some information on this AOR-1000
scanner??
Peter
Altman

altman@ctel.net

Kin Ho wrote in message <6m0l21$77t@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>In mint condiiton with facatory coverage from 8-1300 mhz, including
>full 800 mhz coverage. This is handheld 1000 channel scanner.
>
>It comes with all original accessories, manual, and original
>box/packaging. The unit still has the plastic keyboard protective
>cover on it. $275 or best offer.

2003. Regency MX7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: smaynard@home.com
Message-ID: <35848553.833460@news>
Source record: 156971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know where I can locate a user and/or service manual for this radio?

Thanks,

Sam

2004. FS: BC3000XLT Leather Cases ($10)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <3584907d.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 156972

Bearcat 3000XLT original leather case from Uniden

You can buy these direct from Uniden for $20.

I have 2... $10 each... shipped.

2005. WTB: Austin Condor Rubber Duck Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <358490e1.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 156973

Wanted: Austin Condor Rubber Duck Antenna for handheld scanner.

Please email me your price.

2006. Re: FS:PRO-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: slavjazz@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061504035100.AAA27424@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156974

It's sold at Radio Shack for $269.
Unless you sell yours with 37 and a half years of
extended warranty + you give a lesson on how to use it.

2007. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35849EB4.8E9B2BF8@netwit.com>
Source record: 156975

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> You need some real help Robert. Sounds pretty crazy to me.
>
> alarmist@BassHome.com (Robert L Bass) wrote:
> >
> > I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me. I`m BASS. I`m the
> > great one! I`m a genius! Don`t they know that genius is pain? Can`t
> > they see I`m doing this for their own good? Laffer is evil. JRJ is
> > evil. Whitt is evil. I can see it. I can see it .....

Check it out: Smacksie just let a flame lapse across two other news groups: rec.aviation.student & rec.aviation.homebuilt. He must plan on responding to whacks from over there.

You know: This cross-posting example must mean his *actual* number of posts must be astronomical! I just don't see him as a high speed typist; he must be at it *all day*.

Let's take this a little further. Hmmmmmmm. So he's on the USENET all day and still has time to dabble in ham radios. What kind of employment would permit this? I'm drawing a blank.

Hey Wait!: Maybe he's some sort of real life genius! Or some sort of marketing guru! I mean he's a total asshole here, but in real life he could be......Naaaawww, no friggin' way.

This dude's warped. I've run into people in real life with this sort of dementia: Their circular logic makes them exasperating to deal with. You know the type: The boss hired him 'cause he seemed like a quiet (but odd) boy, but a couple of months into employment, everybody's ready to strangle him.

He's always calling in sick or just doesn't show up. But he's got some bizarre reason for his absence when he returns. The boss finds his usual management techniques are utterly useless on this creature. Loony boy's read the operational procedures for the shop and uses obscure interpretations to shirk work and foster animosity in the workplace.

Boss gets complaints from all over the company. Boss attempts to impose severe administration action. This *usually* succeeds in the persuading the employee to resign.

No such luck with loony boy; The boss just gets a fusillade of loony threats of lawyers and lawsuits. However, the lawyers and lawsuits do materialize.

The case is weak. Management knows they'll win the final judgment. But legal costs and caseloads could drag it out forever. Besides, who knows whose sympathy "loony boy" may garner along the way. Much safer to buy him out now. It'll be a disability settlement. A nice little check to keep him close to his mailbox and off company property. Something nebulous like "stress dysfunction".

You *know* this happens. I've seen it more than once. You have too.

Let's review:

(1) Loony boy has enough time to play all day on the USENET and dabble in ham radios. The number of posts in this NG are obsessively high. There's no way to get an accurate count of his forged posts. He *has* to be at it ALL DAY!

(2) There is *no way* his warped little perception of the world can be contained only in this NG. He has to exhibit that same loony logic in real life that he blurts forth here. He's loony boy in real life. No-one wants to touch him with a stick. He's a genuine mental leper.

So how does he fund his existence? One of three ways:

(A) He lives at his parent's house.

(B) He collects a disability check.

(C) Both.

So.......Which is it?

You friggin' loon.

2008. Re: What To Buy? Scanner For Winston Cup Racing - Advice Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Farnham <filter1@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6m2839$3g5$2@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 156976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

Well, I picked up a RELM HS180 and it's been great for me ! Picks up
everything fine with no troubles ! Comes with stub antennae and long one -
100 channel memory, scan, etc. I recommend it. I got it through
www.racingradios.com

--

Trivia Contest - JULY 10th 10:30pm - PRIZES !
Mike "DaytonaMike" ICQ # 9541824
http://home1.gte.net/filter1/dale/
DaytonaMike's NASCAR Center

Peter F. Ferris <pferris@ionet.net> wrote in article
<9806142237.AA18201@mohawk>...
> Dear Scanner Guru's:
>
> Here's today's query-
>
> I'm planning to attend the Fall NASCAR Winston Cup race at Talladega.
This
> has me considering my scanner options / WANTS / and needs. For full
effect,
> one MUST have a scanner at the track!
>
> A friend suggested I get one of the new Yeasu handheld rigs - right at
$300.
> And it transmits too. Naturally, I have a license (N5KBD). But really,
I'd
> just rather have a real scanner. I don't care about xmit capabilities.
>
> I'm the proud owner of an AOR AR-1500. It's a helluva radio. But not
quite
> handheld, but not impossible either. Problem is, I don't want to
> have to tote a gel cell for power for it, too.
>
> So, as I look through the various trade/sport rags, several companies
> specialize in trackside communications - for the fan as well as the
driver
> (RaceScan and Racing Electronics seem to be the major trackside players
-- but
> there are severael well known mail order houses too). The only thing
that
> makes a scanner for a race track "special" is that:
>

> 1) Most often during the race, you can have 40+ cars in very close
> proximity to each other and to your scanner! Antenna is seldom an
> issue! ;-) A stub or nothing at all is preferred! Aside from the
> aforementioned 40+ cars x at least freqs each, there are also various
> vendors ("Hey Billy, get the golf cart guy to bring 20 more kegs of Bud
to
> south concession area..."). Arrrgghh. Bleedover / adjacent rejection
IS
> a big issue.
>
> 2) Not only is the RF noise a problem, the acoustic (car!) noise is damn
near
> unbearable w/o hearing protection. So most racing scanners are 'audio
> modified' for increased output level and usually you can buy an
(external)
> noise cancelling headset with them.
>
> Some specifics:
> ##################
> There seem to be two MAJOR players at the track - Uniden/Bearcat and
RELM.
>
> Uniden is very well known. However, I've never been really impressed by
their
> quality and rejection.
>
> RELM on the other hand, I believe, is the 'new &
> improved' Regency Electronics group. They show cut away photos of their
units
> and brag about their metal shielding in every rig. Whadda YOU think?
>
> What would you buy / bring? Let's say $300 is the limit. A Uniden? A
RELM?
> Or other?
>
> So, I welcome your suggestions for makes/models and "where-to-buy"
sources.
>
> Cheers & Thanks for your time,
>
>
> --Pete
>
>
>
>
> The box said Windows 95 or better,...So I bought a Mac.
>
>

2009. Let's extend The Great Liberty Net to 10-meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061504385000.AAA01720@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156977

In article <6m27ej$11r81@odie.mcleod.net>, "LLoyd, N0VFP" <n0vfp@qsl.net> writes:

>Would it give you a heart attack If the Liberty Net was on EVERY NIGHT!

Hey, now that's not a bad idea. While we're at it, how about the creation of a 10-meter version, now that the band is coming back. Would extend The Great Liberty Net's availability to Novices and Tech Plusses. As an added bonus, all freeband CBers with "export" or modified 10-meter ham radios would be able to listen as well. Great way to increase listenership, participation, and awareness of The Great Liberty Net.

2010. Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6m28es$1os2$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 156978

David Harmon wrote in message
<35b92eb2.311566798@source.netcom.com>...
>On 14 Jun 1998 15:53:08 GMT, "D Stark" <nf2g@ggw.org> wrote:
>
>>Is anyone aware of a software SCA decoder for use with a
Hamcomm-type
>>interface?
>
>Think! Think what you are asking for here. After a SCA-encoded FM
>signal went through a Hamcomm data slicer, what would be left for
>software to decode from? Data slicing is NOT the first step in
decoding
>SCA, and doing it to the baseband signal doesn't get you any closer.

Ummmm.... Yep, you're right. I don't know what I was thinking. It
was a silly question.

2011. Washington dc area frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: wcl27@aol.com
Message-ID: <6m229e$6vu$1@camel21.mindspring.com>
Source record: 156979

can be found at
http://members.aol.com/wcl27/wcl10.html

2012. Need ny frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tonyb <tonyb2b@erols.com>
Message-ID: <6m28sb$n29$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 156980

Can anyone give me any covert police frequencies for nassau co. and nyc.
Please e-mail at:
tonyb2b@erols.com

2013. Re: ICOM R1 WANTED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3584AFC4.7E6C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jeff Doucette wrote:
>
> Please Please Msg me if you have this sucker....I'm In Canada so the
> exchange rate is shit.....I can afford 150.00 American as i'm a student!
> Thanks for reading!
> Jeff
Hi Jeff Icom R1 going rate is $250-$325 US. I would suggest getting a
nice Radio Shack that does the same thing for the price you are looking
for. 73 Chris

2014. Re: I`ll show those bastards [BASS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Laffer <luscombe8a@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bd982b$e43a8ce0$69e7869f@default>
Source record: 156982
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

Robert L Bass <alarmist@home.com> wrote in article
<358b4c31.257623611@news.randori.com>...
> John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >You need some real help Robert. Sounds pretty crazy to me.
> >
> >alarmist@BassHome.com (Robert L Bass) wrote:
>
>
> I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me. I`m BASS. I`m the
> great one! I`m a genius! Don`t they know that genius is pain? Can`t
> they see I`m doing this for their own good? Laffer is evil. JRJ is
> evil. Whitt is evil. I can see it. I can see it It`s just like when
> those boys, those horrible horrible boys did that. I`ll show them I
> hate them. I`ll KILL them! They can`t do this to me, I`m BASS! I`ll
> show them when they look at me ooooh, I can feel it. I know! I know!
> I`m a genius! I know! They don`t know. Noooooo. They don`t know. I can
> see it why can`t they? I can see it. It`s as plain as the nose on my
> face. Why don`t they listen? They`re idiots! They`re eeeeevil. They
> don`t know! They can`t know! I`m a genius. I know! Why won`t they
> listen? They don`t know I`m BASS! I know! They don`t know. Ohhhh I`ll
> show THEM! I willlLLLLLLLLLL! I`ll make them do it. I`ll make them.
> They don`t know, they`re eeeevil. They are. The others can`t see, but
> I can. I`m BASS! I know! They don`t know. They don`t! I`ll show
> them.I`ll show them . Oooh my head hurts. ooooooooogh. Oh my. It`s
> happening again. Oh no
> Nooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo.
>
> Blue Skies,
> Robert L Bass

>
> The post was one of numerous forgeries by Jeffry Lynn Morris. He
> usually posts flames under the names Q Salt, Laffer, Lennie, etc. My
> news client software (Forte' Agent) doesn't create left-hand quote
> marks.

Can`t really see your problem with this one, Bobo. Seems to me I caught you
o a "T" with it. Certainly people find it entirely believable that you
could have written it.

I have Forte Agent and can do nice little LH marks with it no problem,
Bobo..`````````, see?

I didn`t do the forgery, but if you think I did, you should contact my server. They like a good laugh. What it is, is a classic Bobo post of yesteryear (collecters item I should imagine) reposted by a Laffer fan.

Larry

2015. Guide to the rec.radio Newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <6m26br$p72@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 156983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Archive-name: radio/personal-intro

This message describes the rec.radio.amateur.*, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.info, and rec.radio.swap newsgroups, as well as their Internet mailing list counterparts and complements. It is intended to serve as a guide for the new reader on what to find where. Questions and comments may be directed to Jeffrey Herman, KH2PZ, (jeffreyh@hawaii.edu), or Paul Schleck, K3FU, pschleck@novia.net.

This message was last changed on 14 March, 1998.

History
=======

Way back when, before there was a Usenet, the Internet hosted a mailing list for hams, called (appropriately enough) INFO-HAMS. Ham radio discussions were held on the mailing list, and sent to the mailboxes of those who had signed up for it. When the Usenet software was created, and net news as we now know it was developed, a newsgroup was created for hams: net.ham-radio. The mailing list and the newsgroup were gatewayed together, eventually.

Over the years, as the net grew, the volume of discussion became progressively higher. First one by one, and then as part of two reorganizations, what was once one group became many. In the process, developments elsewhere on the net were reflected in the groups as they were created, most notably the change to place all of the ham radio groups in one hierarchy.

The collection of newsgroups continues to grow as more people join the net, and as more topics of discussion gain volume, I expect to see more groups be created as well. This follows what is happening on the rest of the net.

Nearly all of the radio newsgroups have corresponding mailing lists, the notable exception being rec.radio.swap. There are also a few mailing lists that don't have newsgroups.

The Current Groups
==================

It's important to post messages to the group that's appropriate for them, and not to the groups that aren't. The whole idea of having different newsgroups is so that folks who aren't interested in, say, homebrewing, don't have to wade through messages about homebrewing on the way to read about Field Day. Posting appropriately is just good etiquette.

The rec.radio.amateur.misc group is the catchall. It is what rec.ham-radio was renamed to during the first major reorganization. Any message that's not more appropriate in one of the other groups belongs here, from contesting to DX to ragchewing on VHF to information on becoming a ham.

The group rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc is for discussions related to (surprise!) digital amateur radio. This doesn't have to be the common two-meter AX.25 variety of packet radio, either; some of the most knowledgeable folks in radio digital communications can be found here, and anything in the general area is welcome. The name was changed to emphasize this, and to encourage discussion not only of other text-based digital modes, such as AMTOR, RTTY, and Clover, but things like digital voice and video as well. The former group, rec.radio.amateur.packet, was removed on September 21st, 1993. It is obsolete, and you should use .digital.misc instead (or the appropriate new mailing list, mentioned below). The group has .misc as part of the name to allow further specialization if the users wish it, such as .digital.tcp-ip.

The swap group is rec.radio.swap. This recognizes a fact that became evident shortly after the original group was formed: Hams don't just swap ham radio gear, and other folks besides hams swap ham equipment. If you have radio equipment, or test gear, or computer stuff that hams would be interested in, here's the place. Equipment wanted postings belong here too. Discussions about the equipment generally don't; if you wish to discuss a particular posting with the buyer, email is a much better way to do it, and the other groups, especially .equipment and .homebrew, are the place for public discussions. There is now a regular posting with information on how to go about buying and selling items in rec.radio.swap; please refer to it before you post there. To answer a frequently asked question: No, there is no mailing list that goes along with this group. If you can't read Usenet news directly, you're out of luck.

The group rec.radio.amateur.policy was created as a place for all the discussions that seem to drag on interminably about the many rules, regulations, legalities, and policies that surround amateur radio, both existing and proposed. Recent changes to the Amateur Radio Rules (FCC Part 97) have finally laid to rest the Great Usenet Pizza Autopatch Debate - it's now legal to order a pizza on the autopatch, if you're not in the pizza business - as well as complaints about now-preempted local scanner laws hostile to amateurs, but plenty of discussion about what a bunch of rotten no-goodniks the local frequency coordinating body is, as well as the neverending no-code debate, may still be found here.

The group rec.radio.cb is the place for all discussion about the Citizens' Band radio service. Such discussions have been very inflammatory in rec.ham-radio in the past; please do not cross-post to both rec.radio.cb and rec.radio.amateur.* unless the topic is genuinely of interest to both hams and CBers - and very few topics are.

The rec.radio.info group is just what its name implies: it's the place where informational messages from across rec.radio.* may be found, regardless of where else they're posted. As of this writing, information posted to the group includes Cary Oler's daily solar progagation bulletins, ARRL

bulletins, the Frequently Asked Questions files for the various groups, and radio modification instructions. This group is moderated, so you cannot post to it directly; if you try, even if your message is crossposted to one of the other groups, your message will be mailed to the moderator, who is currently David Dodell, WB7TPY. The email address for submissions to the group is rec-radio-info@stat.com. Inquires and other administrivia should be directed to rec-radio-info-request@stat.com. For more information about rec.radio.info, consult the introduction and posting guidelines that are regularly posted to that newsgroup.

The groups r.r.amateur.antenna, .boatanchors, .dx, .equipment, .homebrew, and .space are for more specialized areas of ham radio: discussions about antennas, the older tube based amateur, military, and commercial gear, commercially-made equipment, homebrewing, and amateur radio space operations. The .equipment group is not the place for buying or selling equipment; that's what rec.radio.swap is for. Similarly, the .space group is specifically about amateur radio in space, such as the OSCAR program and SAREX, the Shuttle Amateur Radio EXperiment; other groups cover other aspects of satellites and space. Homebrewing isn't about making your own alcoholic beverages at home (that's rec.crafts.brewing), but rather construction of radio and electronic equipment by the amateur experimenter. The .dx group is devoted to long distance amateur communications.

Except for rec.radio.swap and rec.radio.cb, all of these newsgroups are available by Internet electronic mail in digest format; send a mail message containing "help" on a line by itself to listserv@ucsd.edu for instructions on how to use the mail server.

All of the groups can be posted to by electronic mail, though, by using a gateway at the University of Texas at Austin. To post a message this way, change the name of the group you wish to post to by replacing all of the '.'s with '-'s - for example, rec.radio.swap becomes rec-radio-swap - and send to that name@cs.utexas.edu (rec-radio-swap@cs.utexas.edu, for example). You may crosspost by including multiple addresses as Cc: entries (but see below). This gateway's continued availability is at the pleasure of the admins at UT-Austin, and is subject to going away at any time - and especially if forgeries and other net.abuses become a problem. You have been warned.

Mailing Lists
==========

In addition to the mailing lists that mirror the Usenet newsgroups, there also are a few that stand alone. These cover specific areas of ham radio, and discussion is focused on just those areas.

The cq-contest mailing list is for discussions of contesting in ham radio. To join, send email with the word "subscribe" on a line by itself to cq-contest-request@tgv.com.

The DX mailing list covers the finer points of DXing. This one is also joined by mailing "subscribe" on a line by itself, this time to dx-request@unbc.edu.

There's also a VHF mailing list, for VHF operators of the weak signal persuasion. You can join this one be sending "subscribe vhf" on a line by itself to vhf-request@w6yx.stanford.edu.

The QRP-L list is devoted to building and operating gear at low-power outputs. To subscribe, send an email to listproc@lehigh.edu and only write: subscribe qrp-l Your Name.

The TopBand e-list is devoted to all areas of operation on 160m. To subscribe, send an email to majordomo@contesting.com and only write: subscribe topband and follow the instructions you'll be sent.
This site, contesting.com, also hosts a couple dozen other ham-related e-lists. Use the above address, and only write: lists to get the entire index.

The Boatanchors e-list is devoted to the older tube-based ham, military, and commercial communications gear. To subscribe, send an email to: listserv@listserv.tempe.gov and only write: subscribe boatanchors

For homebuilding transmitters and receivers using tube technology, there is the Glowbugs e-list; send an email to:
majordomo@piobaire.mines.uidaho.edu and only write:
subscribe glowbugs <your@address.here>

The VHF DX discussion list can be accessed by sending an email to: majordomo@blacksheep.org and only writing: subscribe VHF-DX-discuss.

Qth.net hosts over 100 ham-related e-lists, including lists devoted to each state, manufacturer, mode, band - too many to state here. Send an email to majordomo@qth.net and only write: lists to get the complete index.

For one of the most complete indexes of email lists, see
http://pw2.netcom.com/~ac6v/pageae.html

Please do NOT send subscription requests to the mailing lists themselves; that doesn't work very often, and is very annoying to those on the list. Also, please keep your electronic mail address current with any mailing lists you subscribe to, as dealing with returned email is a nuisance for the person maintaining the mailing list.

A Few Words on Crossposting
==========

Please do not crosspost messages to two or more groups unless there is genuine interest in both groups in the topic being discussed, and when you do, please include a header line of the form "Followup-To: group.name" in your article's headers (before the first blank line). This will cause followups to your article to go to the group listed in the Followup-To: line. If you wish to have replies to go to you by email, rather than be posted, use the word "poster" instead of the name of a group. Such a line appears in the headers of this article.

One of the few examples of productive cross-posting is with the rec.radio.info newsgroup. To provide a filtered presentation of information articles, while

still maintaining visibility in their home newsgroups, the moderator strongly encourages cross-posting. All information articles should be submitted to the rec.radio.info moderator so that he may simultaneously cross-post your information to the appropriate newsgroups. Most newsreaders will only present the article once, and network bandwidth is conserved since only one article is propagated. If you make regular informational postings, and have made arrangements with the moderator to post directly to the group, please cross-post as appropriate.

--
Jeffrey Herman, KH2PZ
jeffreyh@hawaii.edu

2016. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: alarmist@home.com
Message-ID: <3586b5cb.284661963@news.randori.com>
Source record: 156984
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

"Charlie Dwenger" <cdwenger@hsonline.net> wrote:

> I see WAY to much caffine in your diet. Think before you have that second
> pot of coffee for breakfast & case of JOLT to sip on the rest of the day.
> Who knows, maybe you`ll get some sleep this month.
>
>Good Luck,
>Charlie
>
>> alarmist@BassHome.com (Robert L Bass) wrote:
> >
> > I`ll show those b

The post was a forgery. It is one of many such forged posts by Jeffry Lynn Morris. He is the same person who posts regularly as Laffer, Lennie, ASI, Q Salt, etc. He hasn't the nerve to admit who he is but many of the members of RAS and RAH know him. I have his home address and telephone number on file.

Eventually I'll get around to locating his employer. At that point I will begin forwarding copies of every single post he makes to their personnel department. This is a very effective means of dealing with intractible flamers. It is not necessary to make any claims at all about their actions. Just let the employer know what they do and then spread the facts all over Usenet if it continues. A few dozen forwarded posts will usually suffice. It's really the best kind of justice as the abuser's own posts bring about his (sometimes her) demise.

It is amazing how fast an abuser can be flipped clean through a wall by this simple, straightforward technique. It has the added benefit of sending the blood pressures of several other rather nasty folks through the roof, possibly bring on a cardio-vascular accident. Nifty.

Note: the poster above calling himself "Charlie Dwenger" has an interesting thing in common with Jeffry Lynn Morris. He uses Morris' smart-quotes. Hmmm.

Blue Skies,
Robert L Bass

=======================>
Bass Home Electronics >
80 Bentwood Road >
W Hartford, CT 06107 >

alarmist@BassHome.com >
http://www.BassHome.com >
860-561-9542 Voice >
860-521-2143 Fax >
=======================>

2017. Re: What To Buy? Scanner For Winston Cup Racing - Advice Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6m2cehvlm1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 156985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

What about the RadioShack Pro-74? It is specifically designed for using at the racetrack. It has a special memory function that lets you assign several frequencies to a racecar number. I don't think you should need a scanner with any kind of audio modification. There are plenty of racescanner grade headphones that muffle the race track noise just fine.

2018. For Sale pro 2005-2006 Scanner..Cell!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6m2dt5$hcv@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156986

selling a base radio shack 400 ch pro 2005 scanner great cond looks new looks just like a pro 2006 scanner only difference is Hyperscan can do a speed up mode on this model also works the same looks the same hers the same selling for 250.00 plus shipping in so cal... email me thanks..

2019. Re: PL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Hall" <jdhall@cheyenneweb.com>
Message-ID: <6m2di0gea1@warthog.lonetree.com>
Source record: 156987

The tones are not "filtered out". They are sub-audible. That means that the frequency is too low for humans to hear. The tone is present in the signal, you just cannot hear it. In your example, PL 162.2 means a CTCSS tone of 162.2 Hz. The whine that another user refers to is not caused by CTCSS, as they cannot be heard.

Jose Negron wrote in message <3583E058.76DA@bellatlantic.net>...
>Can anyone tell me what PL means after a frequency. For example if a
>city has a police listing 470.5375 PL 162.2 What does it mean?
>
>Thanks for your help.
>
>Jose

2020. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bayareascan@usa.net
Message-ID: <3584CCF3.52D@usa.net>
Source record: 156988
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

Robert L Bass wrote:
>
>
> The post was a forgery. It is one of many such forged posts by Jeffry
> Lynn Morris. He is the same person who posts regularly as Laffer,
> Lennie, ASI, Q Salt, etc. He hasn't the nerve to admit who he is but

Why are you cross posting this to rec.radio.scanner?

Noone here cares about the flame war that you're currently involved
in on rec.aviation.student and rec.aviation.homebuilt.

> Note: the poster above calling himself "Charlie Dwenger" has an
> interesting thing in common with Jeffry Lynn Morris. He uses Morris'
> smart-quotes. Hmmm.

FYI, Charlie Dwenger is a regular poster to rec.radio.scanner, so I
think you own him an apology.

2021. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ingramj@erols.NOSPAM.com
Message-ID: <3584D3CA.1CC9@erols.NOSPAM.com>
Source record: 156989
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

Mr Bass,

Please do NOT start another flame war over here.

Please take your little flame war back to RAS & RAH and
keep it there! Leave RRS out of it!

Regards,
John

Robert L Bass wrote:
>
> "Charlie Dwenger" <cdwenger@hsonline.net> wrote:
>
> > I see WAY to much caffine in your diet. Think before you have that second
> > pot of coffee for breakfast & case of JOLT to sip on the rest of the day.
> > Who knows, maybe you`ll get some sleep this month.
> >
> >Good Luck,
> >Charlie
> >
> >> alarmist@BassHome.com (Robert L Bass) wrote:
> > >
> > > I`ll show those b
>
> The post was a forgery. It is one of many such forged posts by Jeffry
> Lynn Morris. He is the same person who posts regularly as Laffer,
> Lennie, ASI, Q Salt, etc. He hasn't the nerve to admit who he is but
> many of the members of RAS and RAH know him. I have his home address
> and telephone number on file.
>
> Eventually I'll get around to locating his employer. At that point I
> will begin forwarding copies of every single post he makes to their
> personnel department. This is a very effective means of dealing with
> intractible flamers. It is not necessary to make any claims at all
> about their actions. Just let the employer know what they do and then
> spread the facts all over Usenet if it continues. A few dozen
> forwarded posts will usually suffice. It's really the best kind of
> justice as the abuser's own posts bring about his (sometimes her)
> demise.
>
> It is amazing how fast an abuser can be flipped clean through a wall
> by this simple, straightforward technique. It has the added benefit of
> sending the blood pressures of several other rather nasty folks

> through the roof, possibly bring on a cardio-vascular accident. Nifty.
>
> Note: the poster above calling himself "Charlie Dwenger" has an
> interesting thing in common with Jeffry Lynn Morris. He uses Morris'
> smart-quotes. Hmmm.
>
> Blue Skies,
> Robert L Bass
>
> ========================>
> Bass Home Electronics >
> 80 Bentwood Road >
> W Hartford, CT 06107 >
> alarmist@BassHome.com >
> http://www.BassHome.com >
> 860-561-9542 Voice >
> 860-521-2143 Fax >
> ========================>

2022. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: alarmist@home.com
Message-ID: <3586d992.5191981@news.randori.com>
Source record: 156990
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

bayareascan@usa.net wrote:

>Robert L Bass wrote:
>>
>>
>> The post was a forgery. It is one of many such forged posts by Jeffry
>> Lynn Morris. He is the same person who posts regularly as Laffer,
>> Lennie, ASI, Q Salt, etc. He hasn't the nerve to admit who he is but
>
>Why are you cross posting this to rec.radio.scanner?
>
>Noone here cares about the flame war that you're currently involved
>in on rec.aviation.student and rec.aviation.homebuilt.
>
>> Note: the poster above calling himself "Charlie Dwenger" has an
>> interesting thing in common with Jeffry Lynn Morris. He uses Morris'
>> smart-quotes. Hmmm.
>
>FYI, Charlie Dwenger is a regular poster to rec.radio.scanner, so I
>think you own him an apology.

He's the one who cross-posted. I replied that the post was a forgery.
I have every right to reply to someone who comments about me,
especially when the thread is started with a forged post.

Blue Skies,
Robert L Bass

=======================>
Bass Home Electronics >
80 Bentwood Road >
W Hartford, CT 06107 >
alarmist@BassHome.com >
http://www.BassHome.com >
860-561-9542 Voice >
860-521-2143 Fax >
=======================>

2023. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: alarmist@home.com
Message-ID: <3587da9b.5456488@news.randori.com>
Source record: 156991
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

ingramj@erols.NOSPAM.com wrote:

>Mr Bass,
>
>Please do NOT start another flame war over here.
>
>Please take your little flame war back to RAS & RAH and
>keep it there! Leave RRS out of it!
>
>Regards,
>John

I didn't initiate the cross-post to RRS. That was the work of Jeffry Lynn Morris, who forged my name in the start of the thread and then spammed your news group with it. Some guy from RRS added to the thread. I only replied that it was a forgery and not my post to which he was replying. If you don't want replies, don't add to the thread. Ignore everything that comes from Jeffry Lynn Morris. Most of his stuff includes "smart quotes" (left-hand quotes). He often forges my name and e-mail address. At other times he uses a variety of aliases like Q Salt, Laffer, Lennie, ASI, etc.

Blue Skies,
Robert L Bass

=======================>
Bass Home Electronics >
80 Bentwood Road >
W Hartford, CT 06107 >
alarmist@BassHome.com >
http://www.BassHome.com >
860-561-9542 Voice >
860-521-2143 Fax >
=======================>

2024. will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boogerville.usa@big.balls.net
Message-ID: <3584e935.4738044@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156992

If it can raise the dead on some old fart wonder if it could make a bent/broke ant rise to the occasion.

2025. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.(BASS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Laffer <luscombe8a@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bd985a$67d91340$69e7869f@default>
Source record: 156993
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.radio.scanner

Robert L Bass <alarmist@home.com>

> I didn't initiate the cross-post to RRS. That was the work of Jeffry
> Lynn Morris, who forged my name in the start of the thread and then
> spammed your news group with it.

BS, Bass. In the first place I presume you`re referring to me, and I forged nothing. In the second, I did not cross post to RRS. In the third, crossposting is only SPAM if it`s commercial (You know all about that, you`ve been called for spamming before)

Larry

2026. 2nd Straw Poll: rec.radio.amateur.* reorg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeremy Billones <billones@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <6m30dqehu1@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 156994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

LAST CALL FOR VOTES (of 2)

Votes must be received by 23:59:59 UTC, 22 Jun 1998.

This vote is being conducted by a neutral third party. Questions
about the straw poll should be directed to the rec.radio.amateur.*
Working Group at <rra-wg@theporch.com>

Votetaker: Jeremy Billones <billones@primenet.com>

STRAW POLL Q & A

Q: Who is doing this?
Q: How may I join the rra-wg?
Q: Why are we doing this?
Q: What are we doing?
Q: More to the point, why are we "kicking over this hornet's nest?"
Q: Why do you want to change the newsgroups again?
Q: Who are you to tell us what to do with "our" newsgroup?
Q: How long ago were each of the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups created?
Q: Why are the non-rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups rec.radio.info and
rec.radio.swap included in this opinion poll?
Q: Where can I obtain a copy of the original newsgroup charters?
Q: Where can I find out more information about the Usenet RFD/CFV
process?
Q: I don't want any of the newsgroups to change. What should I do?
Q: I want to go back to one single newsgroup for amateur radio on
Usenet, like we had back in the 1980's. What should I do?
Q: I support some of the newsgroups for amateur radio, but not others.
What should I do?
Q: I support the existence of a newsgroup, but disagree with its
charter. How should I vote?
Q: I would like new newsgroups to be created, what should I do?
Q: I have no opinion, what should I do?

Q: Who is doing this?

The rec.radio.amateur.* Working Group (rra-wg@theporch.com, which
initiated the last major reorganization in 1993) is the point-of-contact
for questions about this opinion poll. Principal members are Paul
W. Schleck, K3FU, and Jeffrey Herman, KH2PZ. Though not an rra-wg
member, Gary Coffman, KE4ZV, also endorses this opinion poll. this
opinion poll will be conducted by an unbiased third party from the
Usenet Volunteer Votetakers (UVV), Jeremy Billones
(billones@primenet.com).

Q: How may I join the rra-wg?

Anyone who volunteers may join the rra-wg. The rra-wg has always solicited volunteers from when it was created in 1993 (originally named rra-reorg) to draft an RFD to reorganize the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups (and continues to serve as an advisory group for FAQ maintainers and new newsgroup proponents). You may join the rra-wg by subscribing to its mailing list.

The automated list manager address is

listproc@theporch.com

Use this for subscribing, unsubscribing, getting archived info, getting the FAQ message, changing your address, etc.

To subscribe, send a message to this address with "subscribe rra-wg <your name>" Similarly, to unsubscribe, replace "subscribe" with "unsubscribe"

The list owner address is

listown@jackatak.theporch.com

Use this address only when there is a problem which really truly can't be resolved otherwise.

Q: Why are we doing this?

Discussion on the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups shows that there is a difference of opinion about the current newsgroups and their charters. Assertions on one side of the argument that the charters were voted upon by the readers have been countered with assertions on the other side that the votes took place years ago, by a very small minority of the current readership. There is also the strongly-held opinion among some that we have "too many newsgroups." The following is a sample posting from the discussion thread on rec.radio.amateur.misc that led to this opinion poll (the entire thread may be viewed at DejaNews, http://www.dejanews.com/):

>Subject: Re: Need Duplexer
>From: ke4zv@bellsouth.net (Gary Coffman)
>Date: 1998/02/21
>Message-ID: <34eeed4c.83429610@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
>References: <895_9802170900@warpgate.com>
><34fc13cb.12465836@news.cyberhighway.net> <6cl9nl$h1g@news.Hawaii.Edu>
><34ee4298.5958267@news.visi.net> <6clkai$nmi@news.Hawaii.Edu>
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Feb 1998 10:03:55 EST
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
>
>On 21 Feb 1998 04:14:10 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>>In article <34ee4298.5958267@news.visi.net>, <somebody@nn.visi.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Others seem to love the title "newsgroup moderator" or "keeper of the

>>>faq" or "charter boy".
>>
>>>What we really don't need are self appointed nitwits attempting to
>>>harass people. Period.
>>
>>Well hello, John! What you need to remember is that:
>>The charter was written by the newsgroup users; the charter was
>>voted upon by the newsgroup users, and the charter was (overwhelmingly)
>>approved by the newsgroup users.
>
>As I recall, it passed by about 150 votes. And that was a long time ago.
>Few of those who voted for it are still around. Some of us who voted
>against balkanizing rec.ham-radio are still here to keep you honest
>though.
>
>Gary
>Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
>534 Shannon Way | We break it |
>Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

Q: What are we doing?

As a preliminary (and perhaps even diverting) step to an RFD (which would be very laborious and time-consuming, and perhaps unnecessary), we will conduct a Straw Poll, or "Vote of Confidence" (similar to the vote of confidence for a Prime Minister made by British Parliament, or a non-binding resolution of the U.S. Congress). We do it Usenet-vote style (utilizing a neutral vote-taker from the UVV). During the poll, a vote is taken where a "Vote of Confidence" yeah or nay for each newsgroup, as currently constituted and chartered, is given by each voter (YES/NO/CANCEL/ABSTAIN votes are valid).

What votes mean:

YES: I support the existence of this newsgroup and its charter

NO: I disagree with this newsgroup's charter or I believe that this newsgroup's existence should be reconsidered in a future RFD/CFV

CANCEL: Delete any previous votes I made concerning this newsgroup

ABSTAIN: I have no opinion concerning this newsgroup

Each newsgroup that does not pass a vote of confidence by Usenet voting standards (2/3 and 100 vote majority of YES versus NO votes) may be referred to the Usenet newsgroup creation process where their deletion or modification (based on a rough consensus of the preceding 30 days of discussion and how much they lost in the vote of confidence) is proposed. Each newsgroup that does pass a vote of confidence will have its charter, and justification for existence, reinforced to the readership (as the current readership voted on it).

Q: More to the point, why are we "kicking over this hornet's nest?"

Sometimes hornet's nests can get big enough that they wind up falling down anyway. As shown in the thread above, there are significant undercurrents of disagreement over the current newsgroups, their charters, and even the suggested guidelines and rules of net-etiquette that many on the newsgroups advocate. If this opinion poll shows strong agreement with current practices, then that would help reduce (though not eliminate) newsgroup arguments on the subject. If, however, this opinion poll shows strong disagreement, then it's probably better that we know that right away, and take steps to fix the current situation before it degrades any further.

Q: Why do you want to change the newsgroups again?

Only some of the proponents of this opinion poll wish to change the newsgroups. Some wish to simply have the current newsgroups and their charters reinforced. All of the proponents agree that an up-to-date opinion poll of the readership is desirable at this time. Whatever future actions will be taken will be determined by the results of this opinion poll.

Q: Who are you to tell us what to do with "our" newsgroup?

One answer is that on Usenet, no one "owns" an unmoderated newsgroup. Newsgroups are voted into existence by a democratic process to determine consensus (and lack of significant objection) for using shared network resources to support them. All of the existing rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups passed such a vote at some time in the past, and should pass such a vote again if there is strong support for their continued existence.

Another answer is that this is just a non-binding opinion poll of the readership to determine a consensus, if any, for making changes. It would still be necessary to undertake a formal RFD/CFV process to change any Usenet newsgroup (and that was always possible, anyway).

It should also be emphasized here that no individual rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroup is being singled out. Rather, a consensus of the readership concerning ALL rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups is being sought.

Q: How long ago were each of the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups created?

1972 (or possibly earlier, this date is when UCSD joined the ARPANet):

Info-Hams (original ARPANet mailing list at Simtel-20, continues today at UCSD as a gateway of rec.radio.amateur.misc)

1979:

Creation of Usenet News at Duke and UNC

early 1980's:

fa.info-hams (fa = "From ARPANet") *
net.ham-radio (pre-Kent Paul Dolan "Great Renaming") *

mid 1980's-1991:

rec.ham-radio *
rec.ham-radio.packet *
rec.ham-radio.swap *

1991 Reorganization:

rec.radio.amateur.misc
rec.radio.amateur.packet *
rec.radio.amateur.policy
rec.radio.cb
rec.radio.swap

1992/3 Addition:

rec.radio.info

1993 Reorganization:

rec.radio.amateur.antenna
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
rec.radio.amateur.equipment
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
rec.radio.amateur.misc
rec.radio.amateur.policy
rec.radio.amateur.space

1996 Additions:

rec.radio.amateur.dx
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

* = obsolete

Current newsgroups covered by this opinion poll, and the years
in which they were created:

rec.radio.amateur.antenna (1993)
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (1996)
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (1993)
rec.radio.amateur.dx (1996)
rec.radio.amateur.equipment (1993)
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (1993)
rec.radio.amateur.misc (1991)
rec.radio.amateur.policy (1991)
rec.radio.amateur.space (1993)
rec.radio.info (1992/3)
rec.radio.swap (1991)

Q: Why are the non-rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups rec.radio.info and
rec.radio.swap included in this opinion poll?

Though one level up in the rec.* hierarchy, these newsgroups are
principally used by readers of rec.radio.amateur.*, and their fates
would substantially be decided by any significant reorganization of the
rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups. Though created by a rec.radio.amateur.*

reorganization, rec.radio.cb is not included in this opinion poll.

This announcement has been cross-posted to all rec.radio.* newsgroups
(except for rec.radio.broadcasting and rec.radio.info, which are
moderated). In addition, the following individuals were consulted prior
to this announcement (note that consultation does not necessarily
imply endorsement):

Richard Eckman, KO4MR, Proponent of rec.radio.amateur.dx
Brian Kantor, WB6CYT, Administrator of Info-Hams/Ham-* mailing list
gateways of rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups at UCSD
Ian Kluft, KO6YQ, Proponent of 1993 rec.radio.amateur.* reorganization,
former rec.radio.amateur.* FAQ Maintainer
Jay Maynard, K5ZC, Proponent of 1991 rec.radio.amateur.* reorganization
Brian Short, K7ON, Moderator of rec.radio.info
Rick Stealey, K2XT, Proponent of rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Q: Where can I obtain a copy of the original newsgroup charters?

ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.info
ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur-reorg
ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur.dx
ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Q: Where can I find out more information about the Usenet RFD/CFV
process?

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/How_to_Create_a_New_Usenet_Newsgroup
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/How_to_Format_and_Submit_a_New_Group
_Proposal
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.groups/Guidelines_on_Usenet_Newsgroup_Names

Q: I don't want any of the newsgroups to change. What should I do?

Vote "YES" for all of the existing rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups.

Q: I want to go back to one single newsgroup for amateur radio on
Usenet, like we had back in the 1980's. What should I do?

Vote "NO" for all (or all but one) of the newsgroups.

Q: I support some of the newsgroups for amateur radio, but not others.
What should I do?

Vote "YES" for any newsgroups that you wish to remain unchanged, and
vote "NO" for any newsgroup you wish to have reconsidered in a future
RFD/CFV.

Q: I support the existence of a newsgroup, but disagree with its
charter. How should I vote?

Since this opinion poll is for both the existence of given newsgroups
AND their current charters, you should vote "NO" for that newsgroup.
Again, this is a non-binding opinion poll simply to determine if current
newsgroups should be considered for modification or deletion, not a vote

to actually modify or delete these newsgroups.

Q: I would like new newsgroups to be created, what should I do?

Creation of new newsgroups is not being considered by opinion poll. A future RFD/CFV would have to be initiated to do this. You are, of course, welcome to express your opinions concerning new newsgroups by posting them to rec.radio.amateur.misc (*NOT* by E-mail to the vote-taker).

Q: I have no opinion, what should I do?

You may wish to read the preceding 30 days of public discussion on rec.radio.amateur.misc about this opinion poll to develop your own opinions (go to http://www.dejanews.com/ and search for "STRAW POLL"). If, after reading the public discussion, you still remain undecided, you may abstain from this opinion poll. You may do so informally by not participating in it at all, or formally by explicitly voting "ABSTAIN" for all of the newsgroups.

IMPORTANT VOTING PROCEDURE NOTES:

Standard Guidelines for voting apply. One vote per person, one account per voter. Votes must be mailed directly from the voter to the votetaker. Anonymous, forwarded or proxy votes are not valid. Votes mailed by WWW/HTML/CGI forms are considered to be anonymous votes.

Vote counting is automated. Failure to follow these directions may mean that your vote does not get counted. If you do not receive an acknowledgment of your vote within three days contact the votetaker about the problem. It's your responsibility to make sure your vote is registered correctly. Duplicate votes are resolved in favor of the most recent valid vote. Addresses and votes of all voters will be published in the final voting results post.

HOW TO VOTE:

Extract the ballot from the CFV by deleting everything before and after the "BEGINNING OF BALLOT" and "END OF BALLOT" lines. Don't worry about the spacing of the columns or any quote characters (">") that your reply inserts. Please, DO NOT send the entire CFV back to me!

Fill in the ballot as shown below. Please provide your REAL NAME and indicate your desired vote in the appropriate locations inside the ballot.

When finished, MAIL the ballot to: < voting@clark.net >
Just "replying" to this message should work, but check the "To:" line.

Examples of how to properly indicate your vote:

[YES] example.yes.vote
[NO] example.no.vote
[ABSTAIN] example.abstention
[CANCEL] example.cancellation

DO NOT modify, alter or delete any information in this ballot!
If you do, the voting software will probably reject your ballot.

If these instructions are unclear, please consult the Introduction to
Usenet Voting or the Usenet Voting FAQ at http://www.iki.fi/~jpatokal/uvv.

======== BEGINNING OF BALLOT: Delete everything before this line =======
.---
| 1ST STRAW POLL: rec.radio.amateur.* reorg
| Official Usenet Voting Ballot <RRSP-0002> (Do not remove this line!)
|---
| Please provide your real name, or your vote may be rejected. Place
| ONLY your name (ie. do NOT include your e-mail address or any other
| information; ONLY your name) after the colon on the following line:

Voter name:

| Insert YES, NO, ABSTAIN, or CANCEL inside the brackets for each
| newsgroup listed below (do not delete the newsgroup name):

Your Vote Newsgroup
--------- --
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.antenna
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.dx
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.equipment
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.misc
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.policy
[ ] rec.radio.amateur.space
[ ] rec.radio.info
[ ] rec.radio.swap

======== END OF BALLOT: Delete everything after this line ==============

2027. FS: Pro2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOSOX <savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9850$a03d00c0$284006d1@savy1>
Source record: 156995

Excellent condition, bright back light, has full band from 25-1300.
$300 shipped to 48 US states.

e-mail me if interested

Paul

2028. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <6m32ud$4jv$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156996

OK,sorry, maybe I shouldn't have stated ' flamethrower ' but I am suprised
that a certain few have not gotten on your case about using splitters
with scanners.......
Eddie Kosloski
scanist@acmenet.net

In article <6lv6v8$jkv@apple.telalink.net>,
"D-Boy" <videoinc@mail.msn.com> wrote:
>
> How did that question "open up the flamethrower"?
> D-Boy
>
> scanist@acmenet.net wrote in message <6ltveq$69e$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >Hey D-Boy,
> >I hope you realized that YOU have just opened up the flamethrower
> >with that question !!!!!!
> >Eddie Kosloski
> >scanist@acmenet.net
> >
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2029. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Laffer <luscombe8a@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9861$15121c40$69e7869f@default>
Source record: 156997

Robert L Bass <alarmist@home.com> wrote in article
<3586d992.5191981@news.randori.com>...
> bayareascan@usa.net wrote:

For those who may not have already figured it out, Robert Bass is persona non gratis in several ngs around usenet. His server has warned him several times about all sorts of behaviour from mailbombing to unauthorised cancelling of posts (you can find the record of this particualr debacle in dejanews in n.a.n.a-u) He`s also been making obscene `phone calls in the middle of the night, has rung several peoples employer RT with threats and the list goes on and on (sound familiar?)
If you want to do something about him, I`d recommend you write to his server at abuse@home.com and include the complete headers of any posts you may object to. Keep at them, as they can be a little slow to respond and action.

Larry

2030. Re: ICOM 8500 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: doughulse@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061512185400.IAA18724@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156998

I have had great success with the Dressler ara2000 indoors. It is used with an ICOM R7100.

2031. New Brunswick Canada Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gaston J Pouliot <pouliotg@brunnet.net>
Message-ID: <6m2d1h$s9l$1@phobos.brunnet.net>
Source record: 156999

I would like to know if someone has a list of frequency for the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Ambulance, Fire Dept, For The province of New Brunswick Canada.

And where also could i get an updated book!

Thanks

Gaston
pouliotg@brunnet.net

2032. Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Philip J. Smith" <psmith@appliedsystems.com>
Message-ID: <6m38l6$ms5$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 157000

AJH wrote in message <6ls02o$8dm$1@news.megsinet.net>...
>Does anyone here have a text file on how to build an SCA decoder? I am very
>interested in this subject but cannot find a whole lot of information on it,
>any help would be appreciated.

If you're handy with breadboarding, pick up an LM565 PLL chip at Radio Shack and wire it up. It's a relatively simple IC that requires no external crystals.

Some FM receivers don't filter the 58, 67 and 92 kHz subcarriers from the headphone jack, so you could drive the input of the 565 without opening up the radio. The SCA circuit will work best, however, if you tap the discriminator inside the receiver.

2033. FS: Uniden BC-9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth <aplus@austin360.com>
Message-ID: <35851cbc.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 157001

Radio is in mint condition. Have box and manuals. Bought Pro-2045 and BC-895XLT is reason for getting rid of radio. I also don't like the display compared to the previous radios. $300.00, you pay shipping. Thanks,
Kenneth.
aplus@austin360.com

2034. Pro 51 cellular rest.help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rogerslc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061513192500.JAA26484@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 157002

Can anyone provide some information on how to restore the base cell. freq.'s on my Pro-51? Any help you can provide is greatly appreciated. Please reply via email. Thanks in advance!

2035. Icom U16 and H16 *** Excellent price ***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: patrick.mendes@ram.psbgm.qc.ca
Message-ID: <6m3cu8gjp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 157003

Icom U16 and H16 *** Excellent price ***

I have 1 IC-U16, UHF commercial HT, ham band coverage and 1 IC-H16, VHF commercial. Includes station and CM7 batteries. Perfect condition. Pics on request.

Asking $200 ***CANADIAN DOLLARS*** each plus shipping

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2036. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <358d30c5.4245128@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 157004

>If it can raise the dead on some old fart wonder if it could make a
>bent/broke ant rise to the occasion.

I dunno. How big is your mast?

2037. WTB: FCC Frequency Database CDROM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <358537db.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 157005

Wanted: FCC Frequency Database CDROM

Grove sells these... maybe someone has an older one they want to get rid of.

Please email me if you do.

2038. Re: bc235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35883340.520161267@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 157006

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

fcj21062@aol.com (FCJ21062) wrote:

> are there any mods 2 onlock cell freq

No. Not on scanners made or imported into
the USA since April, 1994. (an Act of Congress
forbids it.)

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

2039. Civil Aviation - North Atlantic Mega Log

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Brandao <l42303@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.3.96.980615162712.5415H-100000@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Source record: 157007

Hello

You can find in this email One of my biggest logs with about 70
flights listened on 5616, 4675, 5649, 6628 and 5598 KHz in only 2,5 hours.
Also there is another log for flights to/from the Caribbean
(1998/06/14).
Hope you enjoy it.
My apologies for the delay in sending it but my Internet
Connection was down for a week.
If you want to know how the airspace looked like just download:

Mega Log-> http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Lakes/9420/shotex4.gif
to/from Caribbean area->
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Lakes/9420/shotex6.gif

It's very interesting to see the Route organization into several
parallel tracks under Gander and Shanwick Controls.

Best regards, Andre Brandao

```
------------------------------------------------------------
__
/ /\ AirNav 2.10 - http://fly.to/airnav
\__/ File generated by the Aircraft Tracking Software
------------------------------------------------------------

LOG FILE STARTING AT 0050 10 JUN 98 UTC - North Atlantic

UTC Freq(KHz) Callsign Report
----- --------- ---------- ---------------------------------------
0052Z 5616 DAL86 51N30W/0050 F350 52N20W/0131 52N15W/ U48.5
SEQAG
0054Z 5616 FDX3A 55N50W/0052 F280 OYSTR/0116 STEAM/ U106.4
0055Z 5616 FDX5 57N50W/0052 F310 LOACH/0124 W-49.291.18 FOXXE/
0057Z 5616 N310FX 47N40W/0054 F390 RN310FX 48N30W/0144 49N20W/
SPSAD
0059Z 5616 CDN89 56N50W/0058 F360 SCROD/0127 VALIE/ W-51.230.60
U43.6
0059Z 5616 NWA50 SCHAL
0103Z 4675 KLM692 SDLAM
0107Z 5616 UAL950 SAGBR
0108Z 5616 UAL944 52N30W/0106 F370 53N20W/0145 53N15W/ U60.6
0108Z 4675 CFG183 33N40W/0211
0110Z 5616 USA782 50N40W/0109 F360 51N30W/0150 52N20W/ U54.2
SBGCP
0111Z 5649 DLH411 49N30W/0109 F390 50N20W/0151 50N15W/ SLMER
0112Z 5649 BAL401B 40N45W/0109 44N40W/0147 46N30W/
```

0114Z 5649 AZA669 42N30W/0112 F330 45N20W/0204 46N15W/
W270.45.-41
0115Z 4675 KLM0642 49N40W/0115 F340 50N30W/0155 51N20W/
0116Z 5616 TWA902 44N50W/0112 F350 46N40W/0202 47N30W/
W-49.200.107
0117Z 5616 TWA900 SGJCM
0119Z 5598 TWA840 44N50W/0115 F360 46N40W/0205 47N30W/
W-52.202.112
0119Z 4675 SAB538 51N30W/0115 F370 52N20W/0155 52N15W/ SAKDP
0120Z 5598 TAP318 SBMAS
0120Z 5616 COA62 SCMHK
0121Z 6628 BAW2156 F350 18N56W/0137 24N50W/ M084 SCLEP
0122Z 5649 DLH409 51N30W/0120 F390 52N20W/0201 52N15W/ SLMCS
0122Z 5598 IBE6122 35N50W/0120 F290 47N40W/0222 42N30W/
W-33.234.40
0132Z 5616 DAL86 52N20W/0131 F350 52N15W/0152 DOLIP/
0134Z 5616 TOW31 SGJDE
0135Z 5616 USA98 49N40W/0133 F370 50N30W/0218 51N20W/ U47.5
0135Z 5649 KLM664 58N30W/0132 F370 57N20W/0204 55N10W/
0136Z 5598 DAL96 48N40W/0134 F340 49N30W/0216 50N20W/ U63.2
W-47.245.99
0136Z 5649 BAW9697 50N40W/0130 F370 51N30W/0211 52N20W/
0137Z 5598 IBE6740 SCGEP 18N55W/0125 F370 23N50W/0214 31N40W/
0137Z 5649 VIR018 SBJAH
0140Z 5616 DAL144 SEQAK
0141Z 5649 KLM692 51N40W/0140 F350 52N30W/0217 53N20W/
0143Z 5616 N310FX 48N30W/0142 F390 49N20W/0230 49N15W/
0144Z 5616 UAL950 49N40W/0142 F340 50N30W/0223 51N20W/ U48.9
0145Z 5616 NWA50 49N40W/0142 F330 50N30W/0222 51N20W/
W-45.250.110 U90.6
0146Z 5616 UAL930 59N50W/0146 F390 59N40W/0221 59N30W/ U78.1
W-50.270.30
0147Z 5616 DAL20 SEQBL
0149Z 5616 USA782 51N30W/0149 F360 52N20W/0227 52N15W/ U40.5
SBGCP
0150Z 5616 DAL46 SDGQR
0155Z 5616 NWA58 SALFM
0155Z 5616 AAL78 SAHFQ
0155Z 5616 AAL108 SBPFL
0155Z 5616 USA2 SDFGP
0156Z 5616 AAL92 50N40W/0227 SBELM
0158Z 5616 DAL30 53N40W/0157 F330 55N30W/0237 57N20W/ U91.7
W-51.256.86+5208N45W.-53.231.58
0201Z 5616 NWA36 SCHBM
0201Z 5616 DAL94 46N40W/0159 F330 47N30W/0245 46N20W/
0204Z 5616 N93AE RN93AE SBJCP
0205Z 5616 NWA58 48N50W/0201 F330 49N40W/0244 50N30W/
W-45.210.105 U106.3
0210Z 5616 UAL2 SAGDS
0213Z 5616 DAL146 SCKBL

0216Z 5616 ACA872 51N30W/0215 F330 52N20W/0254 52N15W/ U96.9
0217Z 5616 USA98 50N30W/0216 F370 51N20W/0257 51N15W/ U40.6
SBFHP
0218Z 5616 DAL96 49N30W/0216 F340 50N20W/0258 50N15W/ U55.2
W-45.283.113
0220Z 5616 CDN86 51N40W/0218 F340 52N30W/0247 53N20W/ U76.6
SHJAG
0222Z 5616 AAL80 SAPKQ
0222Z 5616 NWA50 50N30W/0221 F330 51N20W/0300 51N15W/
W-45.285.130 U77.5
0223Z 5616 NWA30 SAHFK
0224Z 5616 DAL22 SEQAP
0225Z 5616 UAL930 59N40W/0221 F370 59N30W/0257 58N20W/ U69.1
W-45.280.30
0227Z 5616 UAL950 50N30W/0223 F340 51N20W/0305 51N15W/ U41.4
SAGBR
0229Z 5616 AAL92 50N40W/0226 F340 51N30W/0306 52N20W/ U52.0
0230Z 5616 TWA924 48N40W/0224 F360 49N30W/0308 50N20W/ U49.0
0231Z 5616 DAL144 49N40W/0230 F340 50N30W/0311 51N20W/ U72.5
0232Z 5616 AAL108 50N40W/0232 F330 54N30W/0312 52N20W/ U53.4
0233Z 5616 AAL80 SAPKQ
0234Z 5616 DAL106 SMSFQ
0235Z 5616 AAL78 48N40W/0232 F340 49N30W/0314 50N20W/
0236Z 5598 BAW2236 47N40W/0235 F360 48N30W/0318 49N20W/ SBGLS
0238Z 5598 LTU443 44N20W/0235 F330 45N15W/0301
0239Z 5598 CFG157 43N40W/0236 F350 45N30W/0327 46N20W/
W-50.225.55 SCMPS
0240Z 5616 DAL30 SPQDK
0240Z 5598 BAW9638 50N20W/0240 F350 51N20W/0322 51N15W/
0242Z 5598 KAC017 42N20W/0236 F340 ERPES/0304 ESP/ U35.4
0243Z 5598 AUA514 49N30W/0242 F330 50N20W/0323 50N15W/ U19.6
0245Z 6628 IBE6661 LUTAK/0238 F330 36N20W/0308 33N30W/ W-41.300.22
SDHGM
0245Z 6628 AOM331 F370
0247Z 5616 UAL916 52N20W/0245 F350 52N15W/0305 DOLIP/ U50.6
0256Z 5616 CDN86 52N30W/0255 53N20W/0334 53N15W/ U67.8
0257Z 5616 N878SM R878SM
0259Z 5616 N508T RN508T
0301Z 5616 UAL930 59N30W/0257 58N20W/0333 56N10W/ U60.2
W-45.300.30
0303Z 5616 ACA80 50N40W/0300 F340 51N30W/0338 52N20W/ U50.5
0304Z 5616 UAL930 SASCJ
0305Z 5598 MPD906 40N40W/0301 F350 42N30W/0358 42N20W/
0306Z 5616 AAL56 41N50W/0255 F350 46N40W/0353 47N30W/ U64.8
0306Z 5616 AAL56 41N50W/0255 F350 46N40W/0353 47N30W/ U64.8
0307Z 6628 AJM001 SKSDP
0307Z 6628 LDA9221 F340
0309Z 6628 AEA1152 F350
0310Z 5616 AAL92 51N30W/0305 F340 52N20W/0345 52N15W/ U45.3
0310Z 5616 DAL146 48N40W/0304 F340 49N30W/0346 50N20W/ U97.7

```
0313Z 5616 DAL144 SEQAK
0315Z 5616 UAL914 SAQBK
0315Z 5616 DAL12 SDLCK
0317Z 5616 AAL78 SAHPQ
0318Z 5616 DAL48 SCDER
0318Z 5616 DAL138 SCDBR

Report: F+Flight_Level S+SELCAL M+Mach U+Fuel W+Weather Q+Frequency
R+Registration T+Aircraft_Type A+Airports C+Company
------------------------------------------------------------

------------------------------------------------------------
__
/ /\ AirNav 2.10 ) - http://fly.to/airnav
\__/ File generated by the Aircraft Tracking Software
------------------------------------------------------------

LOG FILE STARTING AT 0048 14 JUN 98 UTC - flights to/from Caribbean area

UTC Freq(KHz) Callsign Report
----- --------- ---------- ---------------------------------------
0048Z 8825 MON7662 40N16W/0043 F370 NAVIX/0118 SNT/
0052Z 8825 MON5134 SFHLR
0053Z 8825 LIB804 F370
0055Z 8825 BAW212 51N40W/0051 F350 52N30W/0134 52N20W/
0058Z 8825 CRL927 43N20W/ 45N14W/
0059Z 8825 AOM306 18N58W/0057 F310 27N50W/0216 36N40W/
W-34.280.27 SFLCM
0100Z 8825 KLM0776 35N45W/0055 F350 38N40W/0130 42N30W/
W-50.210.45
0103Z 8825 KLM0781 26N55W/0104 F330 31N50W/0150 35N45W/
W-42.245.30
0106Z 8825 AOM343 37N40W/0101 F340 42N30W/0203 45N20W/
W-48.345.36 SBJHL
0108Z 8825 TAP354 27N45W/0108 F340 30N40W/0146 35N30W/
W-47.290.35
0112Z 8825 CRL925 F370
0114Z 8825 IBE6740 18N60W/0112 F370 25N50W/0235 30N40W/
W-50.265.34 SCGEP
0117Z 8825 MON7662 F370 SNT/0137
0119Z 8825 IBE6620 32N60W/0118 F350 36N50W/0223 40N40W/ SHKBC
0122Z 8825 GHA151 ULTEM/0121 F290 GAMBA/0152 POKLA/ M082
W340.15.-30
0126Z 8825 SAA202 3230N50W/0125 F310 30N44W/0203 28N40W/ M085
W245.60.-41
0130Z 8825 BAW2154 18N56W/0159 F330 26N50W/ SBPAF
0131Z 8825 KLM0776 38N40W/0131 F350 42N30W/0232 45N2251W/
W-50.230.35 SAGRS
0133Z 8825 AFR3441 28N50W/0129 F330 37N40W/0252 43N30W/
W-44.253.46
0136Z 8825 IBE6700 30N40W/0124 F350 35N30W/0241 38N20W/
```

```
W-48.210.36 SELBM
0137Z 8825 AFR423 18N60W/0129 F370 28N50W/0305 38N40W/ SEHKP
0141Z 8825 IBE6522 21N60W/0154 F330 24N50W/ SDHGM
0142Z 8825 BAW4508 30N50W/0141 F290 38N40W/0259 42N30W/
W-34.237.45+27N55W.-32.246.31
0148Z 8825 TAP354 30N40W/0147 F340 35N30W/0256 39N20W/
W-48.220.40

Report: F+Flight_Level S+SELCAL M+Mach U+Fuel W+Weather Q+Frequency
R+Registration T+Aircraft_Type A+Airports C+Company
------------------------------------------------------------
```

2040. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwolterms@gmx.net
Message-ID: <3585400b.7423443@news.coli.uni-sb.de>
Source record: 157008
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

On 9 Jun 1998 20:22:07 GMT, FBrinkmann@t-online.de (Frank Brinkmann)
wrote:

Ich frage mich eh, was die THW-"Pager" mit den Consumer Pagern
gemeinsam haben!!! Au�er,dass sie perFunk benachrichtigt werden wohl
nichts, oder�???

btw, gibt es eigentlich eine THW-Newsgroup?

mfg,

jOjO

Johannes Wolter
Skyper: 5759643
Rufaussendung �ber HP: www.johannes.wolter.notrix.de

2041. Civil Aviation Log - South Atlantic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Brandao <l42303@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.3.96.980615163632.5415L-100000@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Source record: 157009

Hello

Im posting this time a log created last Friday night. All the
flights were listened on 6565 KHz (Dakar Control) with strong signals.
I highly suggest you to download the following file just to see
how is this airspace so well organized...flights ordered by altitudes into
one route. It's possible to see how each flight is separated by altitude
and horizontal separation (the circles around each aircraft must not
contain any other aircraft to avoid any possible mid-air colision).

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Lakes/9420/shotex5.gif

Really hope you enjoy it.

Best regards, Andre Brandao

```
_____________________________________________________
__
/ /\ AirNav 2.10 ) - http://fly.to/airnav
\__/ File generated by the Aircraft Tracking Software
_____________________________________________________

LOG FILE 0047 14JUN 98UTC

[AZA681]_______________________________________
SELCAL.... FKEJ
REG....... I-DUPB
AIRCRAFT.. MD11
0054Z AR [ATAR]
0104Z RAMOT [RAMOT]
0114Z ODRAT [ODRAT]
0128Z BUPON [BUPON]
0140Z ARDUS [ARDUS]
0154Z UDELA [UDELA]
0240Z TIO [TIMIMOUN]
[MDJ409]_______________________________________

[VRG730]_______________________________________
0007Z BURET [BURET]
0044Z GAPOL [GAPOL]

[TAP247]_______________________________________
2352Z KERUS [KERUS]
0010Z SEVAN [SEVAN]
0025Z BKO [BAMAKO]
0040Z EDAMO [EDAMO]
0046Z GUREL [GUREL]
```

0106Z BKY [BOUAKE]
0112Z IKSON [IKSON]
0127Z AD [ABIDJAN]

[AFR443]_____
0007Z MOGNI [MOGNI]
0046Z ONOBI [ONOBI]
0109Z CVS [SAL]
0116Z EDLIR [EDLIR]
0139Z GADUN [GADUN]
0215Z PLATY [PLATY]
0238Z LOMAS [LOMAS]
0241Z GDV [GRAN CANARIA]

[VSP732]_____
0017Z ONOBI [ONOBI]
0041Z CVS [SAL]
0048Z EDLIR [EDLIR]
0112Z GADUN [GADUN]
0149Z PLATY [PLATY]
0212Z LOMAS [LOMAS]
0215Z GDV [GRAN CANARIA]

[IBE6852]_____
0023Z MOGNI [MOGNI]
0104Z ONOBI [ONOBI]
0127Z CVS [SAL]
0132Z EDLIR [EDLIR]
0152Z GADUN [GADUN]
0222Z PLATY [PLATY]
0240Z LOMAS [LOMAS]
0243Z GDV [GRAN CANARIA]

[ARG1154]_____
0025Z MOGNI [MOGNI]
0104Z ONOBI [ONOBI]
0127Z CVS [SAL]
0133Z EDLIR [EDLIR]
0156Z GADUN [GADUN]
0232Z PLATY [PLATY]
0254Z LOMAS [LOMAS]
0257Z GDV [GRAN CANARIA]

[IBE6824]_____
0044Z ONOBI [ONOBI]
0108Z CVS [SAL]
0139Z GADUN [GADUN]
0216Z PLATY [PLATY]
0239Z LOMAS [LOMAS]
0242Z GDV [GRAN CANARIA]

[RKA304]_____
0101Z AR [ATAR]
0134Z ZRT [ZOUERATT]
0210Z BIMOG [BIMOG]
0247Z ECHED [ECHED]
0322Z ARSOL [ARSOL]
0327Z ADM [AL-MASSIRA]

2042. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: highflyer <highflyer@alt.net>
Message-ID: <358549BB.6BCC@alt.net>
Source record: 157010
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.student,rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.radio.scanner

Robert L Bass wrote:
>
> "Charlie Dwenger" <cdwenger@hsonline.net> wrote:
>
> > I see WAY to much caffine in your diet. Think before you have that second
> > pot of coffee for breakfast & case of JOLT to sip on the rest of the day.
> > Who knows, maybe you`ll get some sleep this month.
> >
> >Good Luck,
> >Charlie
> >
> >> alarmist@BassHome.com (Robert L Bass) wrote:
> > >
> > > I`ll show those b
>
> The post was a forgery. It is one of many such forged posts by Jeffry
> Lynn Morris. He is the same person who posts regularly as Laffer,
> Lennie, ASI, Q Salt, etc. He hasn't the nerve to admit who he is but
> many of the members of RAS and RAH know him. I have his home address
> and telephone number on file.
>
> Eventually I'll get around to locating his employer. At that point I
> will begin forwarding copies of every single post he makes to their
> personnel department. This is a very effective means of dealing with
> intractible flamers. It is not necessary to make any claims at all
> about their actions. Just let the employer know what they do and then
> spread the facts all over Usenet if it continues. A few dozen
> forwarded posts will usually suffice. It's really the best kind of
> justice as the abuser's own posts bring about his (sometimes her)
> demise.
>
> It is amazing how fast an abuser can be flipped clean through a wall
> by this simple, straightforward technique. It has the added benefit of
> sending the blood pressures of several other rather nasty folks
> through the roof, possibly bring on a cardio-vascular accident. Nifty.
>
> Note: the poster above calling himself "Charlie Dwenger" has an
> interesting thing in common with Jeffry Lynn Morris. He uses Morris'
> smart-quotes. Hmmm.
>
>

Actually there is only ONE person out there who posts bad things about Robert L. Bass. That ONE person used LOTS of different names and does many forgeries just to irritate Bass. We ALL know that there couldn't possibly be MORE than one person who thinks Robert L. Bass is anything other than a moral, intelligent, upstanding, fighter to truth and justice who would NEVER do anything unethical himself.

2043. Re: trunktracker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Max A. Schneider" <schndrma@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <6ma1b2$g3q@examiner.concentric.net>
Source record: 156712

I just bought the 2050 recently. Overall, it's a nice unit. Now, if my local constables would start using their trunk system, then I'd be much happier <g>.

To answer the questions:

* The PRO-2050 handles Type I and II Motorola systems. I'm new to trunking, so I don't know if this is the same as SmartNet.

* The PRO-2050 allows you to scan only one bank at a time in Trunk mode. The bank also can only contain one trunk system, as the scanner will lock on the first data channel it comes across. Since you can only scan one bank at a time, this means I'm also limited to 29 channels per trunk system (1 data + 29 voice = 30 channels/bank -- the 2050 has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30).

Hope this helps,

Max

Kent W. Smith wrote in message <3587DB1B.2632@nortel.com>...
>I noticed that RS had 2 trunk tracker models (apparently made by
>but not offered by Uniden) on sale; 1) a handheld 150 channel
>and 2) a base model with (if memory serves) 200 channels.
>
>I recently bought an 895XLT Uniden model (and LOVE it btw) and
>in learning how to program the trunk bank - I noticed something
>which you should definately obtain clarity on before buying one
>of the sale scanners.
>
>o The max number of trunked freqs in a group is 29 (Uniden manual)
>o My scanner (and I'm presuming the RS sale models as well) only
> allow you to trunktrack in a single bank (A-J, 30 ch ea.).
>
>- therefore, my question and a potential design issue is ...
>
>Unless you have banks with 30 channels, can you include up to the
>maximum of 29 channels in the trunk group, or are you limited
>to 20 (in the case of a 200 channel scanner) or 15 with the 150
>channel model?
>
>Perhaps someone can post a reply with the answer.
>
>Either; a) the RS models allow you to define trunks in multiple banks
> or
> b) the RS models will not allow you to track the maximum #

> of trunks potentially definable in the motorola system
>
>AGAIN - I'm questioning - not accusing.
>
>Kent
>
>Nick wrote:
>>
>> 2 questions that I hope someone can answer:
>>
>> 1. As I'm sure everyone knows, the RS Pro-2050 trunktracker is on sale
for
>> $199. I'm thinking about getting one, and I'd like to know if it is a
good
>> scanner?
>>
>> 2. Will a trunktracker follow a type II Smartnet system?
>>
>> thanks ahead of time.

2044. Re: trunktracker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6ma412$iu9$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 156713

...and the Pro-91 has 5 banks of 30 channels. 5X30=150.

2045. Re: trunktracker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will Wasson <will_was@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3588A842.72D6@pacbell.net>
Source record: 156714

Nick wrote:
>
> 2 questions that I hope someone can answer:
>
> 1. As I'm sure everyone knows, the RS Pro-2050 trunktracker is on sale for
> $199. I'm thinking about getting one, and I'd like to know if it is a good
> scanner?
>
> 2. Will a trunktracker follow a type II Smartnet system?
>
> thanks ahead of time.

Hey checkout the uniden web page. They have refurbed units "as good as
new" for $150 for the same trunk tracker as RS. Just bought my TT from
RS for $199 and cried when I saw the uniden page.

Will

2046. Re: NOAA on UHF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnny <johnny@netjava.net>
Message-ID: <358863DB.9B9DD992@netjava.net>
Source record: 156715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Joseph Carra wrote:

> I'm in Salt Lake City and have recently discovered two NOAA weather
> broadcasts on UHF that cover regions north and south of my area.
>
> Cache Valley - 416.9500
> Lake Powell and Page, AZ - 416.3750
>
> NOAA's website makes no mention of UHF broadcasts. I'm new at this
> radio stuff; does anyone have an explanation for this?

We have the same thing going on here in Amarillo, Texas. You
are getting the studio link on UHF.

2047. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3588B399.6A899AA2@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156716

John "I'm the Queen Weenie around here and don't you forget it"
Mackey-KA0SSF showed his hypocrisy again:

> MOST people are smart enough to know better than to post a binary
> to a NG like this. Now you know why so many people hate you!

Yeah, just like that postcard you posted that you claim was from Bill
Cheek. The only thing that stunt proved was *someone* sent the postcard
from San Diego (evidenced by the postmark) didn't like you. You never
proved conclusively that it was Bill Cheek.

2048. Re: 9000xlt Tricks - ce**ular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <3587e1e5.312494@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 156717

Do you have ce**ular where you are?

==========

To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

2049. Re: Mackey: even lower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35881410.3A26@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156761

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> allsafe wrote:
>
> > Everybody's grumpy in the tree-house except Mackey, because..well...
>
> Because they want to make trouble, like YOU.

THAT'S RIGHT!!! THAT'S CORRECT!! BUY THAT MAN A $10 KAZOO!!!! DING!
DING! DING! DING! DING! DING! DING! DING!

Wow, looks like the facts are once again no match for your brilliant
powers of deduction Sherlock.

Tell me something John. Were you always a fruit loop or did you have to
practice a while before you got it right?

You have taken stupidity to a new level, almost an art form. It must
take real talent to be so stupid and yet keep from accidentally killing
yourself. Darwin must be spinning in his grave. If he were alive today,
he would burn his notes and become a monk. Creationists around the
world must treat you like the Holy Grail.

You need a slogan John. How about: "Heaven doesn't want me and Hell is
afraid I'll fall in." Or maybe: "Stupid is as stupid does". No wait, I
got it:

"Have you seen my eyelids?"
BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

-Phil

2050. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Legion_IV <tully.s@SPAM-DEATH-worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6m940j$27a@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156762

Mr CTPDS49--
Yes, in fact it's quite easy-- just connect a 4.9 kiloohm resistor between capacitor C23 and the collector of transistor Q45. Adjust R12 for maximum decryption.
Good Luck!
;-)

CTPDS49 wrote in message
<1998061716351700.MAA19399@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>Is decoding Digital encryption possible?
>
><A HREF="http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html">
>CTP Descramblers/ Signal Meters/ Service Manuals</A>
>http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

2051. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3588156B.313E@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156763

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > Oh I see.....
>
> GREAT!

How typically onesided of you Looney. For the record:

>> Phil Smalley ALSO wrote:
>>G Rated Response: Boy, understanding liars like "stupid" here is tough.
>>
>>(for the adult response, just put together the first letter of each >>word
>>in the "G-rated response".)

>>And for the record nimrod, I wasn't "supporting" you at all. I was >>being
>>sarcastic. I'm not surprised that you didn't catch it. Nothing is >>funny
>>to you unless someone says "doo-doo" or makes a fart sound.

By the way genius, hows the new email tools going? Figured out how to
anonymously mailbomb myself and Bill Cheek yet? What am I saying? You
can't even tie your own shoes without help! Nevermind jerk-o. Continue.

-Phil

2052. trunktracker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <gary0136@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <GFUh1.1694$1I2.5392100@nnrp2.ptd.net>
Source record: 156764

2 questions that I hope someone can answer:

1. As I'm sure everyone knows, the RS Pro-2050 trunktracker is on sale for $199. I'm thinking about getting one, and I'd like to know if it is a good scanner?

2. Will a trunktracker follow a type II Smartnet system?

thanks ahead of time.

2053. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35881878.C70@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156765

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Monty Python wrote:
>
> > asshole.....you're there once a month to get some strange
> > penis anyways.... aren't you, you faggott maggott.
>
> Yea, you're always there willing too!

OHHHHHHH.....

So, the truth is revealed. John Mackey is indeed gay. What a travesty
for gay people everywhere...

-Phil

2054. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EupM9v.95J@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156766

On Wed, 17 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> John Sanders wrote:
>
> > don't why fuss about bill cheek...
>
> Apparently you don't know Cheek very well.
>
> You state you have been a ham operator for a long time
> but the way you present yourself that seems doubtful.
> What is your callsign?

Amateur radio callsigns have absolutely no bearing in this newsgroup,
Mack-hole...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2055. Re: Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35881784.7279@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156767

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> I have NO idea what it says, which is WHY I asked him to post
> it. What a FOOL you are, if I did know, WHY would I asked!

Of course you don't know what it says John. You can't remember your own name for more than a few hours so how could you remember what you wrote to Bill...

And besides, nothing else you have ever said or done makes any sense, so why should we expect it to now?? Fools indeed are we...

John, you have all the brains of pond scum and twice the appeal. Go take a dirt nap under a rolling earthmover, you friggin' loon.

-Phil

2056. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EupMCF.980@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156768

On Wed, 17 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <allsafe> wrote:

> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
> > you on a little trip to San Diego?
>
> I never said "I" was going to San Diego!
>
>
> What a little ASSuming FOOL you are!

Oooooohh. Swearing and cursing, are we Johnnie?

Please joins with us to help end these flames!! Jerk.

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2057. John Mackey is a lying sack of crap - HERE'S PROOF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35881BC1.7203@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156769

Could it be true? Could the self appointed r.a.s. Messiah be a charlitan? A hoax? An inbred pathologiacal liar? Indeed he is. And since he is always shouting "Prove it, prove it" then I will. The following is a direct quote from our beloved bozo himself, aimed right at Bill cheek at what WAS an on-topic and completely innocent thread:

<Begin Quote>
Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00 (John Mackey - KA0SSF , 0:49)

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> Mike#Kilo_Alpha_Tango_Zulu <mcguyver@bv.net> wrote:
>
> > 2 - 8MB 72pin EDO Simms, each, $22.50, both for $40.00 plus 3.00
> > SHIPPING
>
> You're kidding?
>
> You can buy a 32-MB EDO SIMM for around $35 now.

eat my shorts and pack sand.

<End Quote>

A dejanews archive search will undeniably prove this is true and correct, for those who may have any doubt.

So, Mr. Mackey, SHUT UP YOU SNIVELING LYING LITTLE WEASEL!! This proves what I and others have been saying about you all along. If there had been any doubt in my mind that what Bill Cheek said about you is true, that doubt is now COMPLETELY GONE. You are a liar, a troublemaker, a moron and a fraud. Nothing you can say or do is ever going to convince me otherwise.

I have spoken.
-Phil

2058. Re: weather pictures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: cummmander@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6m932n$1fu$1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 156770

If you haven't already. Try intellicast. Great service!!!

Cummm Visit Again Soon!!!
Correspondence
Email cummmander@webtv.net

2059. Why Not a Moderated Group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061719503300.PAA11844@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156771

I'm sick of this Mackey/Cheek crap. There's alt.fan.bill.cheek, alt.fan.john.mackey, alt.radio.scanner.flamefest and nobody uses those.

Will somebody explain how to go about setting up a moderated rec.radio.scanner group so we can get rid of this crap once and for all?

2060. ICOM R1 WANTED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doucette <paradiddle7@usa.net>
Message-ID: <898113468.642449@michelob>
Source record: 156772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Please,..if you have one up for sale let me know....if you have something
similar i'm also interested!
Thanks,
Jeff

2061. FS: (2) 8Meg 72pin SIMMS/60ns $35.00 for pair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike#Kilo_Alpha_Tango_Zulu <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <358823F8.D77B5E73@bv.net>
Source record: 156773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FS: 2 72pin/60ns/EDO simms, sell for $35.00 plus 3.00 shipping..

2062. Re: trunktracker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kent W. Smith" <kents@nortel.com>
Message-ID: <3587DB1B.2632@nortel.com>
Source record: 156774

I noticed that RS had 2 trunk tracker models (apparently made by
but not offered by Uniden) on sale; 1) a handheld 150 channel
and 2) a base model with (if memory serves) 200 channels.

I recently bought an 895XLT Uniden model (and LOVE it btw) and
in learning how to program the trunk bank - I noticed something
which you should definately obtain clarity on before buying one
of the sale scanners.

o The max number of trunked freqs in a group is 29 (Uniden manual)
o My scanner (and I'm presuming the RS sale models as well) only
allow you to trunktrack in a single bank (A-J, 30 ch ea.).

- therefore, my question and a potential design issue is ...

Unless you have banks with 30 channels, can you include up to the
maximum of 29 channels in the trunk group, or are you limited
to 20 (in the case of a 200 channel scanner) or 15 with the 150
channel model?

Perhaps someone can post a reply with the answer.

Either; a) the RS models allow you to define trunks in multiple banks
or
b) the RS models will not allow you to track the maximum #
of trunks potentially definable in the motorola system

AGAIN - I'm questioning - not accusing.

Kent

Nick wrote:
>
> 2 questions that I hope someone can answer:
>
> 1. As I'm sure everyone knows, the RS Pro-2050 trunktracker is on sale for
> $199. I'm thinking about getting one, and I'd like to know if it is a good
> scanner?
>
> 2. Will a trunktracker follow a type II Smartnet system?
>
> thanks ahead of time.

2063. Eastern Contra Costa County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete <pcp1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <35882DAE.DAE7A55C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 156775

I would appreciate any frequencies anybody could give for Eastern Contra Costa County California. The info I have must be out dated, since less of the freqencies I have seem to be active. Thanks for the help!

2064. Need service manual 4 RZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob <teltek@spkn.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <35883378.561C8AAF@spkn.uswest.net>
Source record: 156776

Need a service manual for a Kenwood RZ-1 all band car radio.

Bob

2065. Covert cellular RX with Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: abtownes@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6m9gndrpc1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

The FT-50R has a capability unmatched by any receive-only scanner that I have ever seen. Suppose you see someone in a public place using a cell phone. How do you listen to the conversation? The FT-50R can be set up to scan the cell mobile transmissions, about 825 to 850 MHz with a 15 kHz spacing and a repeater offset of plus 45 MHz. Of course, it does not transmit on 800 MHz, but when it stops on a mobile signal, you can quickly press PPT, which stops scanning, and then press FV to switch up 45 MHz. That may get you both sides of the conservation. If it does not, you can switch back and forth as needed with the FV key. You do not hear input frequencies unless the phone is nearby (a few hundred feet?) so the band is not cluttered and you can easily find the phone you can see. The only problem is that it takes about 100 seconds to scan the whole 24 MHz of the input band. This problem is easily solved when you see the freqs in use by the local monopole. They are generally grouped within a few MHz, scanned in less than 10 seconds, once you limit the scan range to that. Let's see anyone do this with a BC-200XLT or a Pro-43.

-

This discussion assumes you freebanded the Yaesu. The recently manufactured units apparently do not take the freeband mod, but it may still be possible to do this on an image frequency. See my post of 6/12/98 in DejaNews. My Yaesu gets cell on the image as well as it does direct. I would just rather be looking at real frequency numbers. Also, I think there is some interference in the 960 to 980 MHz range from paging transmitters or something. The nerve of those people transmitting on their licensed freq. and interfering with our image reception. But do the new units that cannot be modded still get cell on 960 to 989 MHz? Or did they change more things to make that impossible? If you know, please tell me.

-

This may be the first time anyone has ever used the "hear input" capability of an HT to hear a repeater output after scanning the input band. Try it out the next time you visit Canada where it is legal.

Al

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2066. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3588341A.4836@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156778

Legion_IV wrote:
> Yes, in fact it's quite easy-- just connect a 4.9 kiloohm resistor between
> capacitor C23 and the collector of transistor Q45. Adjust R12 for maximum
> decryption.
> Good Luck!
> ;-)

You also need to clip jumper L-212 in all Uniden and Radio Shack
scanners. AOR and Icom are not modifiable for digital decryption. ;-)

-Phil

2067. How Is PC895 Going Phil ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <orlscan@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <43Xh1.795$ou4.1529595@news3.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156779
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just Seeing How Thing's Were Going with the program

Jason Webb
http://www.swl.net/orlandotrunking
The Orlando Trunktracking Page

orlscan@bellsouth.net

2068. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <rVWh1.136$Zy5.2841786@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 156780

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:
> Legion_IV wrote:
>> Yes, in fact it's quite easy-- just connect a 4.9 kiloohm resistor between
>> capacitor C23 and the collector of transistor Q45. Adjust R12 for maximum
>> decryption.
>> Good Luck!
>> ;-)

> You also need to clip jumper L-212 in all Uniden and Radio Shack
> scanners. AOR and Icom are not modifiable for digital decryption. ;-)

Not true. On the ICOM PCR-1000 send it your modem's init string and
your ISP's phone number. You will be in encryption mode after that. :-/

> -Phil

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2069. Re: NOAA on UHF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE POLISH STUD <theoldbrooklynkidwow@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <35883B77.1AD5@ameritech.net>
Source record: 156781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

505 wrote:
>
> Rich Fitzgerald <rfitzgerald@ik.pathnet.net> wrote:
>
> >UHF channels are used as links between the weather office issuing the
> >forcasts and the VHF base that re-transmits the information on the WX
> >channels.
>
> If you would get out a Police Call directory, you would see that the
> above info is correct. In Atlanta, the signal is uplinked on 410.1000
> Mhz.
>
> /\/\|k.e
In Cleveland, Ohio try 416.3750 N.F.M. Megacycles.
--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!

2070. Re: Motorola freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE POLISH STUD <theoldbrooklynkidwow@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <35884053.1274@ameritech.net>
Source record: 156782
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rdio.info

Andreas Mueller wrote:
>
> This may not be the best method, but if you have access to the radios,
> then you can take one out of the range of the base and the other
> radios (home for instance) and then you can tape down the talk button.
> Once it is transmitting use your scanner's limit search to find the
> active frequency... if its an older model try between 100mhz and
> 200mhz, then try 450-490mhz also .
>
> Radio shack also sells a device which will tell you what frequency
> something is. It costs about $79.
>
> Hope that helped.
>
> - Hamster (Andreas Mueller)
>
> On Sun, 14 Jun 1998 04:06:35 -0700, "Bizatch" <bizatch@ix.netcom.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm trying to monitor my building's maintenance/security radios. They have
> >older model (read:large) handheld radios but I've examined them firsthand
> >and cannot locate a frequency on the unit. I've tried scanning but they
> >transmit so infrequently that I am sure that I miss them as I scan along.
> >Is there an easier way to get the frequency if I have access to the radios
> >(but not the base)?
> >
> >thanks for your help in advance,
> >kk
> >
Radio Shack and Opto-Electronics sell frequency counters; these are
devices that tell you what frequency someone and/or something is
transmitting on. Some of these devices; can even be hooked up to your
scanner; from what I read.
--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!

2071. Re: frequencies for Cleveland Grand Prix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE POLISH STUD <theoldbrooklynkidwow@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3588433D.1349@ameritech.net>
Source record: 156783

m.sato@popmail.csuohio.edu wrote:
>
> Hi!
>
> Where can I get all the frequencies for the upcoming Cleveland
> Budwiser Grand Prix @ the Burke LakeFront Airport in early July?
>
> TIA.Robert
I am still trying to figure out, how to get the computer to hook up to
the Cleveland Freenet Computer.
--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!

2072. Re: frequencies for Cleveland Grand Prix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE POLISH STUD <theoldbrooklynkidwow@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <35884303.77C9@ameritech.net>
Source record: 156784

m.sato@popmail.csuohio.edu wrote:
>
> Hi!
>
> Where can I get all the frequencies for the upcoming Cleveland
> Budwiser Grand Prix @ the Burke LakeFront Airport in early July?
>
> TIA.Robert
That is my hometown, and even I do not know.
If you find out, please let me know too.
--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!

2073. Nevele Hotel- Ellenville NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickey456@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061723084300.TAA07657@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156785

Anyone has freqs for the Nevele in Ellenville/So Fallsburg?
TIA

RickeyStein steinda@umdnj.edu >>or<< rickey456@aol.com

2074. St Michaels, MD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobs@ns1.icdc.com
Message-ID: <35894587.1521762@news2.icdc.com>
Source record: 156786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Need public safety and any other interesting frequencies for St Michaels area and Talbot County.

thanks...bob
Bob Schoenleber
Bucks County,PA
40 14' 44"N**74 50'47"W
"On the Delaware River"

2075. Re: How Is PC895 Going Phil ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35884E8C.4B3F@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156787
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jason Webb wrote:
>
> Just Seeing How Thing's Were Going with the program
>

It's almost ready for release, but I still do not have a site ready yet. The DOS version is coming along nicely - I plan to have both versions ready for download when I open the new site.

-Phil

2076. Re: South Carolina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul@grid9.net
Message-ID: <35894b99.21965958@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 156788

On 17 Jun 1998 16:06:22 GMT, bbrower104@aol.com (BBrower104) wrote:

>Does anyone know of any web pages that contain info on scanning in South
>Carolina?
>Bruce Brower
>Deerfield Beach, Florida
>Pro-2035, Pro-2045, BC235XLT
>ICQ# 11214347

Hi Bruce,
Im new to south carolina, but i do have some info, what are you
looking for anything in particular.... drop me an email

Paul_J....

2077. Re: BC 350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061723225700.TAA13446@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156789

>Has anyone had experience with the UNIDEN BC-350A???
>The UNIDEN refurbished shop has them for $80 right now

I have a BC 450A, which is the identical model. I park it on either Aircraft search or Marine search. It is a good scanner, and more sensitive than most.

Remember though, Radio Shack is closing out the Pro 2045 for $99 right now, and that would give you a keyboard (which you know the 350A doesn't have), as well as 225-400MHz.

But if all you want is the 118-136 VHF Aircraft, there's nothing wrong with the 350A. Folks who want to program frequencies into the available channels sometimes complain about the difficulty programming the 350A. But I never program freqs into mine; I just use the Service Searches.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

2078. Re: MODS FOR R.S. TRANCKTRACKER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Krychek <noway@sorrydude.com>
Message-ID: <EhYh1.34$Ro2.60031@pm01nn>
Source record: 156790

Yeah! I wanna know how to make my PRO-90 transmit so I can talk to airplanes and stuff!

Alice Carlson wrote in message ..
>I NEED A MOD FOR MY RADIO SHACK PRO-90 TRUNKTRACKER PLEASE HELP

2079. Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: agentx@xyzerols.com
Message-ID: <35885444.155912140@news.erols.com>
Source record: 156791

A buddy of mine is building a shed in his backyard and he wants to mount an antenna to it for his scanner. From the antenna to where his scanner will be is no more than 30 feet. I've got some RG-8/U coax laying around......should we use it? Buy something else?

I'd appreciate any advice on properly grounding the antenna against lightning strikes as well.

Thanks in advance.

Eric Doersch
Remove "xyz" from e-mail address to respond.

2080. FS: Uniden BC-9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth <aplus@austin360.com>
Message-ID: <35885586.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 156792

Radio is in mint condition. Have box and manuals. Bought Pro-2045 and BC-895XLT is reason for getting rid of radio. I also don't like the display compared to the previous radios. $280.00, you pay shipping. Thanks,
Kenneth.
aplus@austin360.com

2081. For Sale: BC-9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth <aplus@austin360.com>
Message-ID: <358855ba.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 156793

Radio is in mint condition. Have box and manuals. Bought Pro-2045 and BC-895XLT is reason for getting rid of radio. I also don't like the display compared to the previous radios. $280.00, you pay shipping. Thanks,
Kenneth.
aplus@austin360.com

2082. FS: BEARCAT BC142XL MOD'D FOR WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jim@kmat.com>
Message-ID: <35885883.48CB@kmat.com>
Source record: 156794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
1 ea BEARCAT 142XL SCANNER RECEIVER (AS NEW) $155
Unit has been modified for WX satellite reception by SSC
(IF filters changed)

Many other items for sale at ---> http://members.aol.com/mozilla2/

TNX 73
Jim
```

2083. Re: Kentucky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4ykv2@fuse.net
Message-ID: <358a4e7d.88995856@nntp.fuse.net>
Source record: 156795

On Wed, 17 Jun 1998 00:35:23 GMT, kimblerpk1@fuse.net wrote:

>Can someone tell me where I can find freqs. for Kentucky, perferably
>Northern Kentucky? I'm looking for police, fire, air, etc.

Check out the address in my signature.
Randy
kd4ykv2@fuse.net
Northern Kentucky, Greater Cincinnati Scanner Page
http://home.fuse.net/rwtrue00

2084. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed094@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6m9kai$qro@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 156796

Bill Cheek (bcheek@san.rr.com) writes:

Holy Crap. Are Any of you morons tired of trying to prove which one
of you have the biggest dick.

Jeezus, do you not have anything better
to do with your life than to respond to this garbage day after day?

Who the f**k cares, if your making agreements to call a 'truce'.
Face it NONE of you want a truce.

You obviously have such a eventfull life thet you have to spend hours
a day thinking up useless, idiotic tirades to throw at each other.

You all could move this to E-Mail, buth that wouldn't be any fun
would it? I mean, who would see it there except the intended recipient??
That wouldn't be any fun. You seem to think this impresses somebody -
somewhere anyway.

Well, I shall leave you all now so you can run back to your
dictionaries, books of prose, and Mother Goose nursery rhymes, so you can
come up with your next respone.

Don't bother responding I WON'T be impressed by it.

--
****
* *
* OHMYGOD, THEY KILLED KENNY ! .... YOU BASTARDS !!! *
* *

2085. Re: OnStar Slightly Off on Marketing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <3587EC54.239AF91C@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 156797

Why waste the money on such a system? I have my wife who always knows where we are going.

Mike
WB8VLC

2086. Re: NOAA on UHF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <3587ECC3.51FCBCF2@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 156798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

These are the link frequencies from a major city such as Salt Lake city
or Phoenix WX service to the outlying areas.

Mike
WB8VLC
Phoenix

2087. Re: John Mackey is a lying sack of crap - HERE'S PROOF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358863F2.80DB3E85@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156799

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> Could it be true? Could the self appointed r.a.s. Messiah be a
> charlitan? A hoax? An inbred pathologiacal liar? Indeed he is. And since
> he is always shouting "Prove it, prove it" then I will. The following is
> a direct quote from our beloved bozo himself, aimed right at Bill cheek
> at what WAS an on-topic and completely innocent thread:

< great evidence snipped >

Yes indeed, little Toilet Boy is a liar and a fraud.

He claimed he had written many technical articles. I proved him a liar.

He denounced the merits of WD-40 in electronic applications. I (and many others) proved him wrong with a well researched endorsement from Roy LeWallen, W7EL <w7el@teleport.com> who is an American Radio Relay League Technical Advisor and happens to live in the Portland area. The ARRL by the way, is the group that certified Toilet Boy as a Volunteer Amateur Radio Examiner.

Scum bag claimed to have a Masters Degree. I proved him a liar there, too.

Most recently, he claims his little antics with his headers are for the benefit of mankind because he is testing spam proof email software. I proved him a liar there as well.

Toilet Boy fancies himself a leader in this news group; in his prozac induced dreams. Mackey is so inept he couldn't lead willing lemmings to a cliff.

2088. Re: Why Not a Moderated Group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358864F2.A336622D@netwit.com>
Source record: 156800

BC895XL wrote:

> I'm sick of this Mackey/Cheek crap. There's alt.fan.bill.cheek,
> alt.fan.john.mackey, alt.radio.scanner.flamefest and nobody uses those.
>
> Will somebody explain how to go about setting up a moderated rec.radio.scanner
> group so we can get rid of this crap once and for all?

Well,...sure. Try plugging in "USENET FAQ" into your favorite search engine.
You should be able to find the information you need to set up a moderated scanner
group. Of course, then you've got to figure out who's going to be the moderator.
That's not as easy as it sounds. Seriously. No "whack" intended.

Good luck.

2089. Re: John Mackey - Once a Liar, Always a Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <35886558.2E4C6687@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156801

John "Now that Richard Simmonds has left me, I wonder if RuPaul is
available" MacKey - KA0SSF lied as usual:

> Why is it that just because I make a small adjustment
> in my header info it becomes interpretated as an
> attempt to bypass kill files.

With literally dozens of people slamming you into their kill filters
hourly, there is not other plausible reason for you to change the
headers. You simply want to shove your misguided lunacy up people's
noses. What a pathetic and lonely fool you are, Toilet Boy.

> Talk about pole vaulting over cracks in the side-walks!

It hardly takes such effort to catch you in another one of your lies.

> You are make a lot of something about absolutely NOTHING!

Yes, you are nothing, Toilet Boy.

2090. Re: Yo, Mackey? Front and center tuit suite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358867A6.5F0B6D5F@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156802

John "Queen Weenie of the Portland glory holes" MacKey - KA0SSF whined:

> Because I care about the integrity & fairness of this newsgroup.

ROTFLMAO. You just want to preach the gospel according to Toilet Boy.

> Many of the problems in this world today happen because people
> DON'T care!

You must have been dumpster diving for food again and found a Leo
Buscaglia paperback book and read the back cover by accident.

> What would have happened if no one would have cared about Hitler?

So, you admit you are trying to follow in the footsteps of Hitler and
the first conquest on your sniveling way to global domination is going
to be the scanner groups on the Internet. Trying to compare yourself
to Hitler is laughable. What are you going to do next, Toilet Boy,
try to impress us by quoting from Mein Kampf?

> CARING people preserve or freedom & integrity!

That leaves you out.

> Then why do you take it upon yourself to write messages like
> this to me?

Because I know just how much it riles you when I'm right about
you and I say so in public. You're still a liar, fraud, and a fool.

2091. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3588696A.E3470385@netwit.com>
Source record: 156803

Agent X wrote:

> A buddy of mine is building a shed in his backyard and he wants to
> mount an antenna to it for his scanner. From the antenna to where his
> scanner will be is no more than 30 feet. I've got some RG-8/U coax
> laying around......should we use it? Buy something else?
>
> I'd appreciate any advice on properly grounding the antenna against
> lightning strikes as well.
>

Somebody is going to come along and answer the question about the coax. I can't remember what the advice was, other than "find the best you can afford, then spend a little more."

But the grounding of the antenna is the same question I posed. I ran the aluminum ground wire from RS from the antenna to an iron forming stake that I drove in the ground. But everybody says that's no guarantee my stuff won't fry.

Mine's pretty vulnerable. I've got my Pro 2032 patched into the sound card of my 'puter. One good strike and I'm screwed. Everybody here commented on certain devices that do this or that to protect your system when lightening storms are in the area. But no-one would confidently assure me that any of those devices are a better safeguard than unplugging your antenna lead from the back of your scanner when lightning is in the area.

2092. Re: Too Many Flames

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3588689E.CD3579A@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156804

John "I like to have sex in the bushes in the park with the other men"
Mackey-KA0SSF drooled:

> Yes, MAlexander has been cause of MANY problems here on this NG.

You think anyone who doesn't follow the Gospel according to Toilet Boy
a problem in the news groups. Especially, considering tha you are the
single source of trouble in the group.

> how sad. :(

Yes, you certainly are.

2093. Re: frequencies for Cleveland Grand Prix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald A Riemer <GARiemer@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6m9q09$af1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156805

See the folks at TrackScan. They'll have a trailer at the race. They have
all the frequencies for all the teams.
m.sato@popmail.csuohio.edu wrote in message
<35872a13.2652443@news.csuohio.edu>...
>Hi!
>
>Where can I get all the frequencies for the upcoming Cleveland
>Budwiser Grand Prix @ the Burke LakeFront Airport in early July?
>
>TIA.Robert

2094. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358875D5.B5564699@netwit.com>
Source record: 156806

Eric F. Richards wrote: You might want to do a search using Deja-News for Gary Coffman's postings

> on this subject in rec.radio.amateur.antenna and rec.radio.amateur.equipment.
> To paraphrase his discussion, what you want is a "ground window". This is
> a single copper plate to which all your equipment is DIRECTLY grounded to
> (no chaining of ground wires between equipment) and to which all of your
> surge supressors -- ac, phone, coax -- are mounted on. All your ground
> rods/systems must also be bonded together -- that's in NEC, and your
> homowner's insurance might be invalid if they aren't. You also need
> good supressors: see www.polyphaser.com -- they are expensive, but whats
> $10 more than an MFJ special if it works and really will handle a direct
> strike?
>
> With this arrangement there must be no wire to the "outside world" that
> doesn't go through a supressor at the copper plate -- even a single wire
> will render it ineffective. Polyphaser sells a book called "The 'Grounds'
> for Lightning Protection" or something like that -- they're a serious
> company. (God's sake, they sell a supressor for nuclear EMP effects,
> though I wonder how you are going to make a warrantee claim if it fails...)
>
> Gary, are you lurking out there? Can you fix my errors in the above?
>
> Eric
> --
> Eric F. Richards
> efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
> "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> - Dilbert

Thanks. I'll do the search. I'm a little lost in what you're telling me, other than I'm not very well protected at all. Lemme take a look at Gary's stuff.

2095. chain o lakes police freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <35886CB2.D8B47BC2@owc.net>
Source record: 156807

anyone have the freq for the marine patrol that patrols the chain o
lakes fox lake ect.
thanks jack

2096. Re: frequencies for Cleveland Grand Prix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Poorman <poormr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6m9ua8$k5i$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 156808

Try this site:

http://home1.gte.net/poormr/cart.htm

Gerald A Riemer wrote:

> See the folks at TrackScan. They'll have a trailer at the race. They have
> all the frequencies for all the teams.
> m.sato@popmail.csuohio.edu wrote in message
> <35872a13.2652443@news.csuohio.edu>...
> >Hi!
> >
> >Where can I get all the frequencies for the upcoming Cleveland
> >Budwiser Grand Prix @ the Burke LakeFront Airport in early July?
> >
> >TIA.Robert

2097. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35888268.E4FB0FE9@netwit.com>
Source record: 156809

Eric F. Richards wrote:

>
>
> Gary, are you lurking out there? Can you fix my errors in the above?
>
> Eric
> --
> Eric F. Richards
> efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
> "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> - Dilbert

Uh......me again.

My liability is a $200 scanner on a $1400 computer. Got a $15 dollar RS antenna on the roof with an aluminum ground wire running from the mast to an iron stake in the ground. (And when Mr. Lightning comes around I just disconnect...the antenna........and stick theendinamayonaisejar AND HOPE Mr. Lightning doesn't knock while I've got my paw on the BNC connector).

Can you tell me more about the NEC standard?

2098. Re: Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358883B8.65B2@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156810

Steven Peck wrote:
Icom had a great idea but they are
> radio people not computer people. It is only the last few years that
> integration of the two specialities has started to occur.

I agree. I went through a similar situation with Uniden. It seems so stupid to me why these radio companies don't publish the command specs in the manual. I would think the more people you have writing software for your radio, the more radios you'd sell! Go figure..

If you can get your hands on some software that runs it, and have a second computer available you can monitor the serial data going back and forth. That is how the command set for the BC895 was derived (which STILL hasn't officially been released - can you believe it??) Look in dejanews for posts by A. Giammarino for details on how he did it.

-Phil

2099. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3587481A.371B@parg.org>
Source record: 156861

Mike Derryberry wrote:
> so why don't you just be quiet for
> a change?

Why don't you follow your own advice?

2100. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35874843.232D@parg.org>
Source record: 156862

Monty Python wrote:

> asshole.....you're there once a month to get some strange
> penis anyways.... aren't you, you faggott maggott.

Yea, you're always there willing too!

2101. Re: Using PRO-90/BC235XLT on a large trunking system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061706081400.CAA16793@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156863

Thanks for the info. I have been trying to tell people that scanning with Type 1 is the only way to go to follow conversations and using as little space in your scanner as possible. However, I am able to use a preset fleet map E1P1 on my Pro-90 and it seems to work great.

2102. Re: renting a radio scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6m7o5o$482@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156864
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In <6m7gh7$b4j@news.dx.net> "Mr Cardinal" <terleck2@cboss.com> writes:
>

>
>I am going to an amusement park next week and I wanted to rent a
scanner =
>to take it along in the car. I don't need to know frequencies, but I =
>want to know if anybody has ever rented a scanner and how much they
cost =
>to rent. I live near Youngstown, Ohio.

Think!

Thimk!

You have asked a question
that has many avenues from
which to approach your goal
from...none of them are viable
without a credit card.

Find a store. Find a store that
allows you to "buy with a 30 day
Money-back guarantee" such as
Radio Shack.

Use it for the day...or two.

Don't want to keep it....well,

obvious answer....

Gee...

bill conduit
etc.

2103. Re: trunked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6m7o9i$ifd@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156865

In <6m72pl$81f$1@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net> YOUKNOWIT@webtv.net (Bruce K) writes:
>
>Radio Shack has a trunked scanner on sell for $199.Anybody have an
>opinion on this scanner.How big of a deal is trunking to scanning as in
>what can I pick up?Thanks

well, why not go down to
RS and find out?

you have questions
they have answers....

bill conduit
etc.

2104. Re: Pager des THW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin Heynert <Heynert@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35876b4f.0@news1.ibm.net>
Source record: 156866
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.comm.mobil.pager,rec.radio.scanner

by the way,

das Problem l��t sich mit Cityruf und Pagemobil-Software l�sen. Diese Freeware kann man n�mlich so programmieren, da� der Empf�nger des Calls zur�ckrufen und eine PIN eingeben mu�. Sonst wird die Benachrichtigung in einstellbaren Zeitabst�nden wiederholt.

Geht aber nur mit Voice-f�higem Modem, m.E. nicht mit ISDN-Karte und Capi.

Da man Gruppenaussendungen machen kann und jedem Empf�nger seine eigene Quittierungs-PIN zuteilen kann, sind auch Massenalarmierungen kein Problem mehr.

MfG
Martin Heynert

2105. Re: WTB: Used police uniforms (Was Re: Used police red and blue li...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: sokratys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <35886672.4581097@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 156867

he really really wants to either become a male stripper or play "good cop, bad cop" in the privacy of his own dwelling??

On Tue, 16 Jun 1998 12:07:10 -0700, revRecluse@webtv.net (kelly mcconkey) wrote:

>uh, i hate to ask this, but what exactly are you going to use this
>police uniforms, badges, and ticket books for? kelly

2106. For Sale pro 2005 -2006 Scanner Cell!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6m7thn$q96@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156868

```
selling a pro 2005 scanner 400 ch base model mint cond looks just like
a pro 2006 scanner has Cell mod great unit selling for 250.00 shipped
email me for more info thanks..
```

2107. Re: Guelph Ontario Police Freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: badservice@home.com
Message-ID: <RGKh1.1878$5d1.1670554@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 156869

Guelph PD are on a GE trunked radio system.

800MHz.

Check out my pages at

http://www.members.home.com/ontscan

you should what you are looking for.

Ron Katz.

Dave Hornett wrote in message <3585a201.444286@news1.on.sympatico.ca>...
>
>
>anyone know if the guelph police have gone to trunking? they used to
>be very active on 142.410....now......zip....or very little.....anyone
>got any info.....
>
>cheers

2108. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd99c9$79f4bb40$a51637a6@default>
Source record: 156870

Bill, I have been using a 2-way splitter for 2 of my scanners for many years and have had no problems. Sure all the local police, sheriff & fire are repeaters but as you know living in California the CHP does not use a repeater system.

I hear CHP cars from 75 to 80 miles away. This is just as good as my dedicated low band scanner for CHP. For some reason I have never noticed a loss on low band, just 150mhz and above. I will agree, there is loss above 150mhz, but with multiple reapeaters all around me I never have a problem.

Just my 2 cents ... <g>

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<3586be3b.70998162@news2.cts.com>...
> "Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:
>
> > 75 ohm TV splitters will work fine for scanners, you will just need to
> > pick-up some adaptors to connect it.
>
> Mike, "fine" is relative here. No matter what,
> the very best it gets is that 50% of the signal
> goes one way while 50% goes the other way.
>
> That's a 3 dB loss of signal to each scanner.
> If that is tolerable, then it's "fine". But
> 3 dB makes all the difference between hearing
> and not hearing weaker and more distant signals.
>
> Actually, it will be worse that 3 dB loss per
> scanner because of insertion losses, and losses
> at each extra connector. Count on 4 dB or greater
> loss per scanner at UHF.
>
> But if that's tolerable, then it's "fine".
>
> <g>
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /

> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---
>
>

2109. Re: wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd99cb$bfa64e40$a51637a6@default>
Source record: 156871

Talking about the pursuit capitol of the world, I live just north of it and
just now heard the CHP in pursuit of a stolen car. They chased him up I-5
for about 40 miles at 100mph plus, then all of a sudden he took an off ramp
and pulled into a gas station.

When aksed why he stopped, he said he needed gas. He is currently residing
in the local cross-bar hotel. Some people ... a little stupid ???

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote in article
<3587324C.7BBB@hacgate.com>...
> Jonathon Barton wrote:
> >
> > But wait, it gets better...
> >
> > New call. 245 (Assault with a Deadly Weapon). Cool stuff,
> > right? Wait. it gets better.
> >
> > The (alleged) perpetrator is a 54 year old man.
> > The (definite) victim is his SON.
> > The RP (Responding Person) is the man's Daugher in Law...
> >
> > Here's the good part. The "Deadly Weapon" in question is a
> > Pickup and Flatbed-style TOW TRUCK!!!
> >
> > Sometimes, you gotta wonder...
> >
> > J.
>
> Agreed. I now live in the police chase capital of the world, but I have
> yet to hear a pursuit as good as one I heard right there in Phoenix:
>
> It was about 2:00AM or so on a saturday evening, and I was just about to
> turn in for the night when the Phoenix PD helicopter flew overhead. Now
> if some robber, burglar or other creep is in my neighborhood, I want to
> know about it! So I turned on the scanner.
>
> Two guys had just stolen a brand new Lexus LS400 and were cruising down
> my street as PPD began their felony stop attempt. The Lexus backed into
> one of the patrol cars, and then took off. The chase was on - one guy

> running on foot, the other driving like a mad man thru my neighborhood
> (I got to see the car speed by my house). Now I was laughing
> hysterically at this point because PPD has infrared capability, so Bozo
> #1 is going no where. Bozo #2 on the other hand sealed his own fate a
> few miles down the road when he spun the car thru a fence and into a
> field where it sat spinning it's tires as the man desperately attempted
> to continue to flee. (Kinda like a monkey who gets trapped because he
> won't let go of the bait.)
>
> Both men where in custody by 2:15AM, probably feeling a little dumb. It
> was a classic.
> -Phil
>

2110. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd99cd$31f30f00$a51637a6@default>
Source record: 156872

John you are right. A real amateur radio is not afraid to give his
callsign, but why would he give it to an idiot like you??? Do you want to
cause him problems and drag him into this mess ??? Leave well enough alone.
If he wants you to have his call sign, he'll give it to you.

In other words (more simple for you) shut-up and go back to your little
hole and hide.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article
<358747EE.69BE@parg.org>...
> Salvatore Gambino wrote:
>
> > What's it with you challenging everybody all the time?
>
> I simply asked him his callsign. A REAL amateur radio operator
> is NOT afraid to give their callsign.
>
>
>

2111. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd99cd$6ee838e0$a51637a6@default>
Source record: 156873

I'll be quiet when I feel like it and not before.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article
<3587481A.371B@parg.org>...
> Mike Derryberry wrote:
> > so why don't you just be quiet for
> > a change?
>
> Why don't you follow your own advice?
>
>
>

2112. Falmouth MASS. frequencies !!! Could use some help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: unloader@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6m8212$7pi$0@205.211.154.121>
Source record: 156874

Does anyone have the emergency frequencies for the Falmouth MASS area

Am going there for holidays next week and could use this
information.TIA

2113. Re: FS: PRO-2004 scanner w/full 800 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8rxx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061710140400.GAA21857@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156875

>Sounds like a pretty god deal to me...and easy to fix!
>You must have no idea the value of a Pro-2004!

Yes, it was a good deal and I do know the value of a 2004. It was worth 25 to 45 bucks more. I don't mind selling it for less because I know that if the buyer needs to replace the on/off switch I can say I was honest and more than fair. Besides it sold fast and he paid for shipping.

Rafe Barber
N8RXX

2114. Radio Interference Question (Possibly Specific to Chicago)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Lasker <davidl@ripco.com>
Message-ID: <6m854q$i8s$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 156876
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am getting terrible radio interference on the VHF band (freq. 150MHz - 160MHz) during the hours of 01:00AM & 09:00AM. This occurs seven days a week in the area around Cicero & Irving Park Rds. Also appears to occur on Broadway between Irving & Addison. I have three base radios w/ three separate antennas and this problem occurs on all three radios. I get the interference on the mobile radios at the 2nd location mentioned.

Can anyone suggest what might be causing this problem. It appears to be more apparent on distant radio signals, maybe greater than 20 miles circumference from my location. I can still hear the radio signal, but there is something interfering with the signal that causes a buzzing sound to also be heard & the buzzing is louder than the vocal radio traffic.

Please send any replies to me directly via e-mail davidl@ripco.com

Thank you

2115. Re: Icom IC-Q7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: iw2kwc@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6m88q0iqh1@orthanc.reference.com>
Source record: 156877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

OK Alf, do you own an IC-Q7? How long last your batteries?

On Wed, 17 Jun 1998 02:20:58 GMT, "Alf Sauve'" <alf.sauve@mci.com> wrote:
> Well in theory,
>
> ICOM says the Q7 has a 95ma drain when there's no audio and 170ma with
> full audio at 3v. If these are correct, and you can measure this with
> your rig to verify, then:
>
> My reference says a AA Alkaline can support 20ma for 107 hours. Now I
> know it's not linear but it would seem you should get at least 10
> hours of full audio or about 20 hours with no audio.
>
> Ni Cads, I believe, have about 1/4 the capacity and 75% the voltage.
> Which would mean about 7 hours with no audio, HMMMMMM about 3-4 hours
> with full audio.
>
> Alf
>
> IW2KWC wrote in message <3585063B.E4041A85@geocities.com>...
> >What about the battery consumption? My batteries last for max 3 hours
> >without transmitting and with the light off.
> >
>
>

Posted using Reference.COM http://WWW.Reference.COM
FREE Usenet and Mailing list archive, directory and clipping service
---

2116. NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zane Lewis <zane@commtech.com.au>
Message-ID: <6m86m0$4k6$1@yeppa.connect.com.au>
Source record: 156878

I am currently writing a high end POCSAG decoder and I am looking for suggestions on what features people would like in the decoder software.

The final release will be a commercial product but beta copies will be made available within this newsgroup for testing prior to final shipping.

Presently we have multiple POCSAG inputs on one PC (up to 10 incomming POCSAG data streams).
Full bandwidth analysis is performed reporting on address, idle and sync codeword utilization as well as frame utilization.
Present software oversamples the POCSAG data at 4 times oversample with some snazy algorthims for resync lost bits (it written in such a format that the 512 input can actually be anywhere from about 480 to 530 bps).

Message logging will be standard.

Anyone who makes some suggestions that are implemented will receive a complementry copy of the decoder software.

As a point of interest we are incorporting the POCSAG input into one of our paging terminals so that we can interface with third party paging systems that do not support TAP or TNPP.

Regards,

Nathan Buzza

2117. Re: Wal-Mart?/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <me812@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6m876g$jo9$1@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 156879

The Wal-Marts in my area use low powered walkies on 154.600

Todd

The Crew wrote in message <6m7db1teg1@news.citynet.net>...
> So where does wal-mart hang out in the radio spectrum??
>thanks!
>Randy
>
>

2118. Re: Radio Shack has your freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <me812@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6m86snjgt1@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 156880

Yeah.....sure.....ok.....whatever.....it's hard enough to get the salesman off there butt to sell me a battery, better yet ask them to take the time to make a list of local freq's.....I wish I had the rat shack salesman where you live.....hey sarge where you live do the salesmen actually know anything about what they sell? I just wish Rat Shack would do better screening of future employee's, seems in this area if you know how to turn on a stereo and turn the bass all the way up your hired!

Todd

sarge wrote in message <01bd9903$c0b0b640$8a64c0cc@gfsarge>...
>Every Radio Shack store sells a listing of all local frequencies.
>They are printed in the store and usually sell for $6.95 or so.

2119. Re: Radio Shack has your freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad McConahay <brad@DAMN_THE_SPAM.n8qq.com>
Message-ID: <3587a6aa.0@news.one.net>
Source record: 156881

True story, I once asked a Radio Shack employee for "AC batteries" ... he said they didn't have any stock, but he could order 'em!

Brad

--
Brad McConahay http://n8qq.com
Netcrafters ..... http://netcrafters.com
Weather Amateur Radio Network ... http://warn.org
Cincinnati, Ohio USA ..... http://cincy.com

Todd wrote in message <6m86snjgt1@news1.i1.net>...
>Yeah.....sure.....ok.....whatever.....it's hard enough to get the
>salesman off there butt to sell me a battery, better yet ask them to take
>the time to make a list of local freq's.....I wish I had the rat shack
>salesman where you live.....hey sarge where you live do the salesmen
>actually know anything about what they sell? I just wish Rat Shack would do
>better screening of future employee's, seems in this area if you know how to
>turn on a stereo and turn the bass all the way up your hired!
>
>Todd
>
>
>sarge wrote in message <01bd9903$c0b0b640$8a64c0cc@gfsarge>...
>>Every Radio Shack store sells a listing of all local frequencies.
>>They are printed in the store and usually sell for $6.95 or so.
>
>

2120. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: foxcat@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <foxcat-1706980735200001@ip127.philadelphia6.pa.pub-ip.psi.net>
Source record: 156882

Viagra: A synonym for repeat her.

Andy
foxcat@mindspring.com
http://www.mindspring.com/~foxcat
I have a great memory, it's just short.
67 Bug
98 GTI/vr6

2121. RE: Reservoir Dogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Hart <PatHart@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6m89pb$2sf@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156883

Has anybody done any business with "reservoir Dogs"? If you have wolud you
let me know how it worked out?

Thanks

2122. Re: Kentucky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980617121130000.IAA26585@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156884

kimblerpk1@fuse.net writes:☐>> Can someone tell me where I can find freqs.
for Kentucky, perferably Northern Kentucky? I'm looking for police, fire,
air, etc.<<☐☐Try the Greater Cincinnati Scanner Page at
☐http://home.fuse.net/rwtrue00/☐☐This is an excellent site and you should find
what you're looking for.☐Best regards,☐
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

2123. Re: FS/FT: Bearcat 200XLT (full coverage)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtovet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061712412200.IAA02405@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156885

In article <pjheller-nospam-0506980005120001@pm6-48.sba1.avtel.net>, pjheller-nospam@west.net (P.J. Heller) writes:☐☐>te:Fri, 05 Jun 1998 07:03:11 GMT☐>☐>Bearcat 200XLT for sale. Full coverage, including cellular. In excellent☐>condition. With case, charger, antenna and manual. Interested in selling☐>outright or as partial trade for BC3000XLT or UBC3000XLT.☐☐☐☐How bout 125?☐

2124. Open Letter to Allsafe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358774BC.78EE@parg.org>
Source record: 156886

eat my shorts and pack sand.

2125. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35877514.6987@parg.org>
Source record: 156887
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.hardware,comp.hardware,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> Mike#Kilo_Alpha_Tango_Zulu <mcguyver@bv.net> wrote:
>
> > 2 - 8MB 72pin EDO Simms, each, $22.50, both for $40.00 plus 3.00
> > SHIPPING
>
> You're kidding?
>
> You can buy a 32-MB EDO SIMM for around $35 now.

eat my shorts and pack sand.

2126. Re: Wacky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eup2oD.2xr@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156888

On Mon, 15 Jun 1998, John MacKey - KA0SSF wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
>
>
> > John MacKey - KA0SSF wrote:
> > > What is your proof of this? or is this just another one of your
> > > baseless accusations?
>
>
> > See? You didn't even have to ask. I had already anticipated your usual
> > loony comment.
>
> Very typical of allsafe!
>
> When asked to provide proof or evidence to support his statement,
> he sidesteps the subject!

You mean he does exactly what YOU do. You always offer to post irrefutable
proof and NEVER do so, Mack-hole.

Please join the rest of us in ending these flames!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2127. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eup2yn.30G@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156889

On Tue, 16 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> George Vetterle wrote:
> > Let me know when you're ready to start.
>
> I would suggest you start immediately.

Fine - but when will YOU start..?

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2128. C/16??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-LpdziP39Npim@localhost>
Source record: 156890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mike,

• The "internal charger" is usually nothing but a resistor to limit current
• to C/16 or so with the supplied nicads.

What does C/16 mean?

Thanks!

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

2129. Re: renting a radio scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3595cf77.5154530@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156891
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I am going to an amusement park next week and I wanted to rent a scanner

A couple of years ago I was with a group that needed to rent some two-way radios for a large event. You might look in the Jello Pages under radio communications, rental, or something like that.

2130. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6m8h8n$pus@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 156892

hard-ly

2131. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Parks <mparks@elite.net>
Message-ID: <6m8kkm$4q$1@berry.elite.net>
Source record: 156893
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.hardware,comp.hardware,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

16 meg 72 pin EDO simms are going for less than that.

--
Respectfully,
Mike Parks

2132. EDACS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Wright <wright2@ny.tds.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9a03$4daffb00$0d0601cf@wright.relex.com>
Source record: 156894

Anybody hear when there will be a TT that Works on EDACS?

2133. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359ee306.10161909@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156895

It depends.

Which way does your rotor swing?

Are you a "crank up" or free-standing?

Are you going to be doing short QSOs or a long conversation?

2134. South Carolina

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbrower104@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061716062200.MAA20355@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156896

Does anyone know of any web pages that contain info on scanning in South Carolina?
Bruce Brower
Deerfield Beach, Florida
Pro-2035, Pro-2045, BC235XLT
ICQ# 11214347

2135. Re: Open Letter to Allsafe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3587EAE3.7E7F@parg.org>
Source record: 156897

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
SNIP

Another Forge, I didn't write it!

2136. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: skanman <skanman@MCI2000.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9a0d$055d60e0$5be437a6@mycomputer.nando.net>
Source record: 156898

Well, off the top of my head, I would suggest including Flex decoding.
This seems to be highly sought after. There is presently software to do
both, but maybe yours might be better.
Alarms for certain capcodes and logging of them.
Is it sound card or data slicer input or both?
The ability to pause the screen output so info is not scrolled off the
page,but still log info to file, so nothing is missed.
Ability to sort,group,log by agency(real time viewing of data by agency
group,such as, newspaper,police,etc. using pagers),print,edit,etc.

Zane Lewis <zane@commtech.com.au> wrote in article
<6m86m0$4k6$1@yeppa.connect.com.au>...
I am currently writing a high end POCSAG decoder and I am looking for
suggestions on what features people would like in the decoder software.

The final release will be a commercial product but beta copies will be made
available within this newsgroup for testing prior to final shipping.

Presently we have multiple POCSAG inputs on one PC (up to 10 incomming
POCSAG data streams).
Full bandwidth analysis is performed reporting on address, idle and sync
codeword utilization as well as frame utilization.
Present software oversamples the POCSAG data at 4 times oversample with
some
snazy algorthims for resync lost bits (it written in such a format that the
512 input can actually be anywhere from about 480 to 530 bps).

Message logging will be standard.

Anyone who makes some suggestions that are implemented will receive a
complementry copy of the decoder software.

As a point of interest we are incorporting the POCSAG input into one of our
paging terminals so that we can interface with third party paging systems
that do not support TAP or TNPP.

Regards,

Nathan Buzza

2137. decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061716351700.MAA19399@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156899

Is decoding Digital encryption possible?

<A HREF="http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html">
CTP Descramblers/ Signal Meters/ Service Manuals</A>
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

2138. Fort Erie Southern Ontario Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt318 <matt318@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6m8rtd$m79$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 156900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have links to sites with freqs. for the Fort Erie, Niagara Falls
area of Southern Ontario, Canada?
Any help would be appreciated
Thanks

2139. Re: wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35880009.7CB3@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156901

Mike Derryberry wrote:
>
> Talking about the pursuit capitol of the world, I live just north of it and
> just now heard the CHP in pursuit of a stolen car. They chased him up I-5
> for about 40 miles at 100mph plus, then all of a sudden he took an off ramp
> and pulled into a gas station.
>
> When aksed why he stopped, he said he needed gas. He is currently residing
> in the local cross-bar hotel. Some people ... a little stupid ???
>

Hee, hee.. yeah. No one ever accused these folks of supreme
intelligence.

That's what I like about the CHP. They'll chase a guy 'til the wheels
fall off his car. Makes for great scanning.

-Phil

2140. Re: Guelph Ontario Police Freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: daveh@nospam.bgi.on.ca
Message-ID: <3589065d.16769371@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 156902

On Wed, 17 Jun 1998 07:58:41 GMT, <badservice@home.com> wrote:

>Guelph PD are on a GE trunked radio system.
>
>800MHz.
>
>Check out my pages at
>
>http://www.members.home.com/ontscan
>
>you should what you are looking for.
>
>Ron Katz.
Firstly.....thanks for the reply......but,.....(there's always a but)

i checked your pages and it only lists the old guelph police
freq's....in the 140 mhz range....i could'nt find any reference to
800mhz trunk freq's for guelph...

thanks in advance

dave

>
>
>
>
>Dave Hornett wrote in message <3585a201.444286@news1.on.sympatico.ca>...
>>
>>
>>anyone know if the guelph police have gone to trunking? they used to
>>be very active on 142.410....now......zip....or very little.....anyone
>>got any info.....
>>
>>cheers
>

2141. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35880CD9.7E77@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156903

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > And I would suggest that you drop yourself and a bowling ball off the
> > World Trade center and see which one hits the ground first.
>
> George is OK, that was not appropriate. :(

So the hell what?! Who the f*** do you think you are anyway? Been reading Miss Manners or something? Get lost Mackerloon! Geez, you were less of a toilet sponge when you just flamed people. This net cop crap is ridiculous! You've been skipping your medicine again haven't you??

-Phil

2142. Re: Wal-Mart?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35880BF4.314@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156904

Todd wrote:
>
> The Wal-Marts in my area use low powered walkies on 154.600
>
> Todd
>
> The Crew wrote in message <6m7db1teg1@news.citynet.net>...
> > So where does wal-mart hang out in the radio spectrum??
> >thanks!
> >Randy
> >
> >

Sometimes they use a different frequency, depending on their location (sometimes the local fast-food place is already using the one they normally use) Most walmarts use 154.5700. If that one isn't it and neither is 154.600, then just search the 154-155 range and you should find them.

Once you do, here is how you can have some fun: Go to the automotive section. Sometimes they'll have one of the cheaper unidens hooked up and running. Tune it to their frequency and crank that bad boy up, full blast. Makes the managers and security panic! Oodles of fun! I also like to turn my own scanner up just enough, head for the music section, and follow baggy-pants-wearing little punks around the store. I could write a book: "How to clear a Walmart of gang-bangers in 1 easy step".

A nice bonus is watching the undercover security bust some schmoe. Once I got to see them fighting with a shoplifter. Get this, it took FOUR security guys to tackle this guy to the ground and cuff him, he was fighting so hard. And what was he fighting so vigorously to escape with? A VCR? A CD Player perhaps? Nope. Try a 3 pack of Fruit of the Looms. Stuffed 'em down his pants. Unreal.

-Phil

2143. Re: PRO 2026 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chfbutnoka@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061718413700.OAA07718@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156905

it has a small "wheat grain" bulb. simply replace.i had same prob.
Brass Monkey

"The Funky One"
Swinging through the trees,
With the greatest of ease,
In my BVD's!

2144. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <358c0aaa.3164201@news.erols.com>
Source record: 156906

On 17 Jun 1998 16:35:17 GMT, ctpds49@aol.com (CTPDS49) wrote:

>Is decoding Digital encryption possible?

Using the strictest definitions of what you asked: yes.

/* begin terminology alert */

Encoding is not the same as encryption. Mathematically, encryption functions are a superset of encoding functions.

I'm not sure just what your question is, but I'm going to make a few guesses:

1) If your question is whether it is possible to intercept a digitally *encoded* transmission, the answer is yes.

2) If your question is whether it is possible to intercept a digitally *encrypted* transmission the answer is yes, though the task is exponentially more difficult. Depending on the encrypting function used, it may be so difficult that unless the key is available, a computer the size of the known universe (whose elements are of a sub-atomic size) may not be able to break the encryption scheme within the lifetime of the universe.

3) If the question is whether the common scanning enthusiast can purchase a box for less than $1k which can do either of the above, the answer is no (for now).

/* end terminology alert */

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2145. Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: derickam@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061718451000.OAA08138@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156907

July 1998 issue of QST page 62, four pages. Read it off the rack.
Just another source for those pondering about the subject matter.

Derick

2146. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: alarmist@home.com
Message-ID: <35880cc0.182372092@news.randori.com>
Source record: 156908

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

>Monty Python wrote:
>
>> faggot maggot
>>
>> thank god they're homosexual...
>
>Why do you like homosexuals so much?

The original post which started this thread was a forgery created by
Jeffry Lynn Morris.
Blue Skies,
Robert L Bass

=========================>
Bass Home Electronics >
80 Bentwood Road >
W Hartford, CT 06107 >
alarmist@BassHome.com >
http://www.BassHome.com >
860-561-9542 Voice >
860-521-2143 Fax >
=========================>

2147. Re: Open Letter to Allsafe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: alarmist@home.com
Message-ID: <35890d28.182475712@news.randori.com>
Source record: 156909

I see you guys get lots of forgeries over here, too. We get it all the time in the rec.aviation.* hierarchy.

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

>John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
>SNIP
>
>Another Forge, I didn't write it!

Blue Skies,
Robert L Bass

=========================>
Bass Home Electronics >
80 Bentwood Road >
W Hartford, CT 06107 >
alarmist@BassHome.com >
http://www.BassHome.com >
860-561-9542 Voice >
860-521-2143 Fax >
=========================>

2148. FCC Freq's wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chfbutnoka@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061718593400.OAA09800@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156910

need freq's for the FCC,as well as investigator,drug teams,etc. i'm in central Georgia
Brass Monkey

"The Funky One"
Swinging through the trees,
With the greatest of ease,
In my BVD's!

2149. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@thebigdial.com
Message-ID: <358706a0.8006082@news.idt.net>
Source record: 156921

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

>Now that sounds like little Johnny boy , maybe you can get the two of
>them together .
>Who said there wasn't a match for little Johnny boy .

i don't believe this.... it must be a distant relative of that
faggot maggot mackey ....

i can't believe how many freakin loonies are out there..

thank god they're homosexual... they can't breed

monty

2150. FS: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Savastano <Savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9983$6b58f740$e84106d1@savy>
Source record: 156922

Excellent condition, cell mod, bright back light has 232 interface
that I am selling without that value added, I have never used that piece of
it.

$300 shipped

e-mail if interested

Paul

2151. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@thebigdial.com
Message-ID: <35890949.8687489@news.idt.net>
Source record: 156923

John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> He's had his butt kicked so hard and by so many that he
>> has a permanent nose bleed. What's truly pitiful is that he
>> thinks *he* wins these encounters. He's always talking
>> about teaching people lessons. Heh, Heh, Heh. All he's
>> taught me is that Stupidity has no limits.
>
>George,
>
>While many of us are going to agree with your assessment
>of Cheek, PLEASE stop this as it only furthers
>the flames & other troubles!
>
>I invite you to join us in ending these problems!
>
>
>Thanks for your support!

hey faggot.... you are the problem

monty

2152. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@thebigdial.com
Message-ID: <3588088d.8498764@news.idt.net>
Source record: 156924

John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>> Johnny Boy, Let's see the paperwork and copies of the warrant you were
>> getting from the San Diego Court system about Bill Cheek which OBFD and
>> you made such a big deal about. you said had any factual basis.
>
>In the near future a person will be traveling to San Diego & checking
>that out!
>
>Stay tuned!

asshole.....you're there once a month to get some strange
penis anyways.... aren't you, you faggott maggott.

monty

2153. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35870768.A53@parg.org>
Source record: 156925

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> you on a little trip to San Diego?

I never said "I" was going to San Diego!

What a little ASSuming FOOL you are!

2154. Re: Too Many Flames

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35870892.4228@parg.org>
Source record: 156926

Doktor Tripp wrote:

> none of the octet of kiddies I installed
> into my filter have changed their posting name to get around it.

That is good to hear!

> Based on this, I may consider
> expiring some of the filters (except for MALexander) or whatever it
> calls itself. This one is hopeless and hasn't ever posted on topic to
> this group.
> This is understandable though as I doubt MAL has one iota of technical
> knowledge.

Yes, MAlexander has been cause of MANY problems here on this NG.

how sad. :(

2155. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358708E0.6791@parg.org>
Source record: 156927

John Sanders wrote:

> don't why fuss about bill cheek...

Apparently you don't know Cheek very well.

You state you have been a ham operator for a long time
but the way you present yourself that seems doubtful.
What is your callsign?

2156. Re: Too Many Flames

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3587081C.4581@parg.org>
Source record: 156928

Bill Cheek wrote:

> > Fido was mentioned...man
> > that is about as old as a Pro-2004!.....Mold like!
>
> Yes, but that's where John Mackey caught a Size #13EE
> crosswise on the seat of his pants, which sent him

Bill, when have I mentioned Fido?

Not in a LONG, LONG time!

But YOU seem to keep wanting to bring it up
with OUR little version of the story which
differs from the truth.

Do you want to tell how *I* was nominated to
REPLACE YOU on the FIDO ehco?

I'll bet you are to CHICKEN to admit it!

2157. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3587097C.736B@parg.org>
Source record: 156929

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Oh AbSoLuTeLy! YoU wOuLd NeVeR dO sUcH a ThInG.....

Thank you for your support, Phil!

:)

But your next statement appears contradictory. :(

> What a god damned attenion starved pathetic liar... OK smart-ass, I know
> I'm going to regret asking, but if you didn't change the header to
> bypass killfiles then what's the real reason Mr. "MacKey"?? Name change
> or something? This oughtta be good. Do tell.

I have been experimenting off & on with slightly different ID's
to experiment with filters designed to prevent robots from pulling
ID's for spam e-mail.

THAT is why.

It's sorta poineering the next generation of tools for e-mail for the
good of all people someday!

2158. Kentucky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kimblerpk1@fuse.net
Message-ID: <35870eae.6667892@nntp.fuse.net>
Source record: 156930

Can someone tell me where I can find freqs. for Kentucky, perferably
Northern Kentucky? I'm looking for police, fire, air, etc.

2159. Re: wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: thewarns@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980617011113900.VAA08775@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156931

nope is was definitely the cordless phone, because the constant dial tone is what caught my attention in the first place, until of course when the dial tone timed out. Then the noises my neighbors were making caught my interests.

2160. Uniden parts source needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgorsky@localnet.com
Message-ID: <6m75i4$pr9$1@news7.ispnews.com>
Source record: 156932

I have a Uniden BC200xlt scanner with a broken (physically) 6 key.
I am looking for a source for a new rubber keypad. Uniden is the
obvious source. Does anyone have contact info?

Tnx,
John AA2OZ

2161. Re: Radio Shack has your freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061701450700.VAA13763@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156933
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: Re: Radio Shack has your freqs
>From: Glenn P Caron
>Date: Tue, Jun 16, 1998 17:38 EDT

It may vary from district to district but in many of them the word is that the Police Call books are copyrighted and the publisher is liable for the release of info - NO copies or lists are to be made from them under any circumstances. They are supposed to sell the Police Call books - period.
Neutrodyne

2162. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6m78i7$ncb@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156934

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

>John Sanders wrote:
>
>> don't why fuss about bill cheek...
>
>Apparently you don't know Cheek very well.
>
>You state you have been a ham operator for a long time
>but the way you present yourself that seems doubtful.
>What is your callsign?

What's it with you challenging everybody all the time?
You some kind of Net Cop now? I don't see you posting
no proof of your alleged college degree as has been requested
by many people. I ain't so sure that you're really who you say
you are. You could be one of those snitches in the Federal
Witness Protection Program with a fake identity. I think some
checking up on you is in order.

/Sal

2163. WTB:Any SONY World Band Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: digicomm@technologist.com
Message-ID: <6m7guo$r9o$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio

Hi Everyone,

I am starting a collection of ALL SONY World Band "Shortwave" Radios ever made, old & new.

I have about a dozen so far and I need everyone's help to find these original radios in "mint" condition with original accessories and box for collecting.

My question is: Is there anyone out there started such a thing? (...or maybe I am nuts??!!) Where to start looking for older model SONY Shortwave World Band radios? Is there a Radio LIST of all the SONY "CRF","ICF SW" & "SW" models on the net somewhere?

If you have ANY SONY World Band Radio in mint shape, please email me with your model number and best price for a "CRAZY" collector!! :=)

Thanks in advance and any response will be appreciated!

E. Zaydan
Ottawa, Canada
digicomm@technologist.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2164. Re: Icom IC-Q7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alf Sauve' <alf.sauve@mci.com>
Message-ID: <eKFh1.4276$uC.1367798@pm01nn>
Source record: 156936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Well in theory,

ICOM says the Q7 has a 95ma drain when there's no audio and 170ma with full audio at 3v. If these are correct, and you can measure this with your rig to verify, then:

My reference says a AA Alkaline can support 20ma for 107 hours. Now I know it's not linear but it would seem you should get at least 10 hours of full audio or about 20 hours with no audio.

Ni Cads, I believe, have about 1/4 the capacity and 75% the voltage. Which would mean about 7 hours with no audio, HMMMMMM about 3-4 hours with full audio.

Alf

IW2KWC wrote in message <3585063B.E4041A85@geocities.com>...
>What about the battery consumption? My batteries last for max 3 hours
>without transmitting and with the light off.
>

2165. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <6m7997$f11@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 156937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: March 15, 1998.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a presidential candidate discuss strategy with his advisor from a 415 MHz radiophone in Air Force 1, or a team of G-men protect him while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip

using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some of the newer models, like the Uniden SportCat and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $2000 Icom R8500 and AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT (a/k/a BC205XLT), BC220XLT, BC230XLT, and Radio Shack PRO-43 and PRO-60 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites.

Looking for value? At $180 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT base is a good value and available at most Wal-Mart stores. The RELM HS200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $250 street price.

Considered by many as the best scanner ever made, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2006 was replaced by the 1000 channel PRO-2035, which was replaced by the PRO-2042. The portable Radio Shack PRO-64 and PRO-60 are good performers. All five models employ up conversion and are made for Radio Shack by GRE (General Research Electronics).

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna

Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (704)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. By federal regulation, new scanner models cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then

copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

For information on "virtual downconverter" modifications, see Steve Donnell's article in January 1995 RCMA Scanner Journal or February 1996 Monitoring Times. Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises,
7540 Highway 64 West,
Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (704)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

I don't get any part of this reprint fee.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins

Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 704-837-9200, www.grove.net) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. Early issues disappointed experienced scanner hobbyists, but it now has a good column on scanner modifications and has greatly improved its coverage of east coast frequencies since merging with NESN (North East Scanner News). The best scanner frequency

lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM for $100. In the 1995 edition, fields are different than PerCon's Spectrum CDROM but the data appears to be of the same vintage. The licensee name and licensee city are used instead of the DBA (doing business as) name and transmitter city which makes query results confusing.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the

scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Peter Laws administers an electronic mail list read by over 700 hobbyists devoted to scanners and scanning. It's called "scan-l" and for more information, read:

http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/scan-l.txt

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about 4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

Some CARMA members participate on the CARMA computer bulletin board, run by Will Sperling. There is a $15 fee for 200 hours use of the BBS. If you have a modem and terminal or computer, you can reach the CARMA BBS by calling (630)852-1292.

All meetings are held on Saturdays at locations announced in advance. Meetings are usually held at:

- the Destiny Restaurant, on Rand Road, in Des Plaines,

- Philly's in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83
and 53rd St. in Willowbrook,

- The Great Escape, on Irving Park Road, Schiller Park

and at other restaurants.

--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2166. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <6m799e$f12@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 156938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed May 27, 1998 |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?" This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, | published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM for $49 instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio,

or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was

permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and

store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi

antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
==========

Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2167. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <6m799k$f13@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 156939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed June 29, 1997

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in

plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to

cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 813-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2168. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <6m799q$f14@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 156940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: December 20, 1997.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 1997 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229.

To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553.

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden/Bearcat's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and
2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal

chassis. The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig replaced a 22uF/35V C98 capacitor to repair his BC210XW.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This condition is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a

flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the

microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd

electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2169. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <6m79a1$f15@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 156941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: May 27, 1998 |

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled, transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by battery operated portable pagers, and the desktop models are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron 700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
30-54 R8190 R8150

148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label, and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform the necessary calculations.

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both | Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 | Monitoring Times magazine. |

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's | much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder |

on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Minimum Volume Setting

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760

email: weber@citizen.infi.net

You can also obtain Plectron repairs and parts from:

Northeast Communications
4 Oak Ridge Rd
Newfoundland NJ 07435
201-697-8804

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)972-3226.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model	UHF	VHF-high	VHF-low
SM-301	X	X	X
SM-302	X	X	
SM-303	X	X	
SM-304	X	X	

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from the crystals used in the conventional single channel Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) / 10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors
for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2170. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <6m79a8$f16@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 156942
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 1998 - NORTHERN ILLINOIS
last updated May 20, 1998 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

JULY 1998

Jul 12 (Sun), Peotone, IL. Kankakee Area Radio Society. Will County fairgrounds. info: Don Kerouac, K9NR, 6311 East Flora St., Kankakee, IL 60901. tel. 815-939-7548, E-mail: k9nr@juno.com.

Jul 19 (Sun), Sugar Grove, IL. Fox River Radio League. Waubonsee Community College. For tables, tickets, and information: contact N9UZC James E. Von Olnhausen, n9uzc@amsat.org, (630)-879-3042, FRRL, Box 673, Batavia, IL 60510-0673. (good, small to midsized hamfest. Modern rest-room facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 1998

Aug 2 (Sun), Peotone, IL. Hamfesters Radio Club. Will County fairgrounds. info: Dave Brasel, NF9N, 6933 West 110th St., Worth, IL 60482. tel. 708-448-0580 (Usually an excellent hamfest - AJ9S).

Aug 8 (Sat), Quincy, IL Western Illinois Amateur Radio Club | Quincy, Illinois. Ham Radio and Computer SWAPFEST 1998 | 8:00AM to 2:00PM. The Western Illinois 1998 Swapfest | will be held at the Eagles Alps Grounds (3737 North 5th | Street) 4.5 miles north of downtown Quincy, with easy access | from US Highway 24. Admission is $3.00 at the Gate ($2.50 | in advance) per person, under 12 - Free. ARRL VEC testing | for all license classes at 12:30PM. Indoor Flea Market | space available for $5.00 which includes one 5' table. | Outdoor tailgating space - Free. Talk-in on 147.63/147.03 | MHz. Plenty of convenient parking available. Lunch will be | served on the grounds. Children's playground area. Card | Checking for ARRL awards at 9AM, 10AM, and 11AM. For addi- | tional information contact N9JF, jfunk@adams.net. |

Aug 23 (Sun), Woodstock, IL. Tri-County Radio Group. McHenry County fairgrounds. info: Bob Grosse, N9KXG, PO Box 3107, Skokie, IL 60077-6107 tel. 708-944-0500. E-mail: tcrg@hotmail.com, http://quality-enterprises.com/tcrg/ (fairly good, small to mid sized hamfest - AJ9S).

SEPTEMBER 1998

Sep 19, 20 (Sat & Sun), Peoria, IL. Peoria Area ARC. info: Jim Williams, N9HHU, Box 3508, Peoria, IL 61612-3508. tel. 309-692-3378. Fax: 309-698-8643. E-mail: jimeoc@worldnet.att.net, http://www.w9uvi.org

Sept 26, 27 (Sat & Sun), Grayslake, IL Chicago FM Club. Lake County fairgrounds. info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Ave., Norridge, IL 60656-3245. tel. 708-457-0966 (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

OCTOBER 1998

NOVEMBER 1998

NOTES - Computer Central and Giant Computer shows

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm.

Giant Computer shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (847)974-3123. See http://www.gicancomputershow.com

--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2171. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <6m79af$f17@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 156943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998 |

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S |

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet. |

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor | with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and | adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers			
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments	
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K	replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2172. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <6m79an$f18@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 156944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: January 16, 1998.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.html. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)442-2425 or (817)870-5600, or through your local Radio Shack store

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 9247 Glenwood Drive, LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic

front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2173. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <6m79au$f19@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 156945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed May 15, 1998

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004

Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested.

Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio, otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

ICOM

R-10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks,

not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be

arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service

Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older

Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100 components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder. Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as

federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during

search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan, search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby

SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other

owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be

loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound

Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan. Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod,

especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT

but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels. Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search. No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan- nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock- outs?
FR104	6	X			no
FR105	6	X			no
FR2512	24	X			no
FR2513	24	X			no
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no
FR2516	10	X		yes	yes
FR2517	10	X		yes	yes
FR2525	10	X		yes	yes
FR2526	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2527	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2528	10	X	X	yes	yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $4.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2174. frequencies for Cleveland Grand Prix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: m.sato@popmail.csuohio.edu
Message-ID: <35872a13.2652443@news.csuohio.edu>
Source record: 156946

Hi!

Where can I get all the frequencies for the upcoming Cleveland
Budwiser Grand Prix @ the Burke LakeFront Airport in early July?

TIA.Robert

2175. Re: Uniden parts source needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: gordoslim@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061703214900.XAA23785@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156947

Check out the following post in this NG:

Subject: Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios
From: parnass@bell-labs.com (Bob Parnass, AJ9S)
Date: Tue, Jun 16, 1998 22:22 EDT

2176. ar 2002 scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: wcl27@aol.com
Message-ID: <6m7d08ulo1@camel29.mindspring.com>
Source record: 156948

any way to computer contol this baby?- it's a great litle radio!!

2177. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35873829.2799594@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156949

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> >
> > George Vetterle wrote:
> > > Let me know when you're ready to start.
> >
> > I would suggest you start immediately.
>
> And I would suggest that you drop yourself and a bowling ball off the
> World Trade center and see which one hits the ground first.
>
> Just a thought - a pleasant one, too ;-)

Very sweet....except for the wanton destruction
of a perfectly good bowling ball. Shame on you.

;-/

2178. F.S. Bearcat 760xlt scanner, ctcss & trunktone eliminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: J <ishyguy@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01bd99a1$df8a2940$b6e6a1d1@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 156950

```
--
JOE
ishyguy@idirect.com

Forsale:

One bearcat 760xlt scanner 800Mhz (but no cell)
100 channels (5 banks of 20chs) base or mobile. next to new, only
6 months old. comes with original box, manual and accessories.
has a external signal meter installed. and a CTCSS board installed.
also has a GE/Erricson trunk tone eliminator installed,

$310.00 US or 420.00 Canadian

I will pay priority shipping
```

2179. FS: PRO-60 $135 shipped to 48 states

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rcc@ziplink.ten
Message-ID: <35874015.11930646@news.ziplink.net>
Source record: 156951

I've upgraded to a Pro-2041 and no longer need my Pro-60.

Freq. range is 30-512, 760-824, 849-869,894-9999.9875 MHz and it has 200 Channels.

Includes original box, manual & rubber duck antenna. It is in like-new condition (only used about a dozen times).

I'll pay shipping to anywhere in the continental US. Elsewhere buyer pays shipping.

Personal check or Postal money order prefered. If paying by check, please allow 7-10 business day for it to clear. Postal money order ships the day I receive it. COD OK if you're willing to pay the handling charge.

E-mail if interested or if you have any questions. Thanks
IMPORTANT NOTE: To reply via e-mail, change the ".ten" to ".net"

2180. Re: MODS FOR R.S. TRANCKTRACKER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3588412e.5109205@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156952

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Alice Carlson <acarlson@pacbell.net> wrote:

> I NEED A MOD FOR MY RADIO SHACK PRO-90 TRUNKTRACKER PLEASE HELP

Well......what KIND of a mod?

2181. Re: FCC Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Greenli <tgreenli@Absolutely>
Message-ID: <01bd99a9$d4abe600$74023ace@bamm-bamm>
Source record: 156953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I use spectrum by percon. Only $29.95 check them out
online at http://www.perconcorp.com/

Tom

Dale Beers <dbeers@prodigy.net> wrote in article
<6m4sc5$8gmm$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>...
> Where can I download the FCC Frequency Database
> I could not find it on ftp.fcc.gov or www.fcc.gov
> I would like to have the Database for Minnesota ?
> How much would a CD_ROM of FCC Frequency Database
> covering the entire USA cost I want to get the Cheapest I can
> under $50 Please Point me to a web site
>
>
>
>

2182. Bearcat 210XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: spinz@juno.com
Message-ID: <35874ca8.0@news3.uswest.net>
Source record: 156954

I was wondering if there are any mods or tweaks for the 210XLT (1987 model)
and where I could possible find them.
TIA

2183. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3587467E.201@parg.org>
Source record: 156955

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Oh I see.....

GREAT!

2184. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358746E9.543E@parg.org>
Source record: 156956

Phil Smalley wrote:

> And I would suggest that you drop yourself and a bowling ball off the
> World Trade center and see which one hits the ground first.

George is OK, that was not appropriate. :(

2185. Re: Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358746C1.18F6@parg.org>
Source record: 156957

allsafe wrote:

> I got a better idea; why don't *you* read it to us?
> I'm sure you've still got it memorized. You certainly
> seem to know what it says. You're chomping at the bit
> for Bill to post it, aren't you?

I have NO idea what it says, which is WHY I asked him to post
it. What a FOOL you are, if I did know, WHY would I asked!

2186. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35874728.1820@parg.org>
Source record: 156958

Monty Python wrote:

> faggot maggot
>
> thank god they're homosexual...

Why do you like homosexuals so much?

2187. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358747EE.69BE@parg.org>
Source record: 156959

Salvatore Gambino wrote:

> What's it with you challenging everybody all the time?

I simply asked him his callsign. A REAL amateur radio operator
is NOT afraid to give their callsign.

2188. Re: Mackey: even lower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358747A6.48BF@parg.org>
Source record: 156960

allsafe wrote:

> Everybody's grumpy in the tree-house except Mackey, because..well...

Because they want to make trouble, like YOU.

2189. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35895893.1030@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156562

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
> SNIP
>
> this was a forged message, I did not write it!
Yes you did.

Hermie.

-Phil

2190. Re: Wacky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35895A6D.15C1@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156563

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Every time I offer, you NEVER ask for the proof. (likely
> because you are AFRAID of the truth) What proof
> have I offered that you want?
>

Huh?? You mean we have to ask you for proof, then wait for you to offer it, then ask again? I sense a never ending cycle here.

Face the music moron. You have lost. You have no friends, no hope, no reason for living. You call the suicide prevention line and they put you on hold. You call the fire department and they send you a match. You call your mother and she disguises her voice and says "She no longer lives here - stop calling!" You are a loser. Utter and complete. I will always be a winner. You will always be a loser. It is your destiny. It is the order of things. It is the way of the world as we know it.

Accept it and move on. For the betterment of all.
-Phil

2191. Re: Spectrum Analyzer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: xhduff@ica.net
Message-ID: <35895c41.0@lightning.ica.net>
Source record: 156564

Look up the Science Workshop (Bethpage,NY) on the Web
or see their ads in various Electronic magazines.
They sell a kit called the "Poor Mans' sprectrum analyzer".
It uses a TV tuner module..the tuning voltage is swept with a ramp
generator, this voltage is also used to drive the Horizontal on your
scope. The output of the TV tuner drives the Vertical.

Cheers, Hugh Duff VA3TO

"Bobby Woolard" <cheeetah@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Does anyone make a n adapter that will allow you to use a good oscilloscope
>as a spectrum analyzer?

>The one and only,

>Oglebooger

2192. Re: Yo? Mackey? I want you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35895C9D.4FC4@parg.org>
Source record: 156565

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Not only can I lock you out by name, call sign,
> e-mail address, and by subject lines, I can also
> stuff your zitface even farther down the list
> and filter out your ISP, and any element of the
> PATH that your ISP uses to send news out. I can
> also filter by expressions, euphamisms, message
> ID's, and general content.....

Then PLEASE use these abilities you claim to have.

All we want on this NG is for you to STOP causing trouble.

THANK YOU

2193. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35895CD9.4077@parg.org>
Source record: 156566

Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:
> FYI: John Sanders (the original poster) said nothing about a call sign.

But he did state he was an amateur radio operator in
a tone to suggest that it gave his some certain level
of importance!

2194. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35895D25.CF7@parg.org>
Source record: 156567

John T. Arthur wrote:
> Why *should* he give his callsign in a SCANNER group? This stuff has
> nothing to do with amateur radio.

Then YOU should ask HIM WHY HE brought up the subject
here on this NG? I am not the one who started
the topic!

2195. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35895D52.3B5D@parg.org>
Source record: 156568

Mike Derryberry wrote:
> I thought the badge was both fitting and entertaining. It was no more of a
> waste of bandwidth than

This NG is for test messages, NOT binaries. Read the FAQ!

2196. Re: Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35895D71.6565@parg.org>
Source record: 156569

John T. Arthur wrote:
> Whatever happened to your simplistic campaign to END THE
> FLAMES in this newsgroup?

Would YOU join me on this?

2197. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35895DC3.34D2@parg.org>
Source record: 156570

allsafe wrote:
> Take a look around the tree-house. It's a real
> steady pattern on the subject lines:

What about the people who are against YOU, CHEEK, & the other trouble makers?

You convienently forgot about them!

2198. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3589348E.49840947@netwit.com>
Source record: 156571

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Read up on good ol' Shakespeare. He said of REAL LIFE
> that "all the world's a stage, and we are the actors
> upon it".
>
> IF there is ANY semblance of pertinence and truth
> there, even 1%, then it's a Cosmic Truth for VIRTUAL
> LIFE like here on the newsgroups. This is not reality,
> man. It is a virtual forum...an intangible nothingness
> that we create in the figments of our minds...and we
> certainly ARE actors here.
>
> The IDIOT chooses to be a villain. So what? You
> apparently choose to be a messiah or perhaps a high-
> brow or a hero. Okay. Another chooses a stiff upper
> lip. Another chooses the humorist. Some snivel, whine,
> and cry. Some are 1-way...they read and never post.
> Others post and never read. Some read and post, but
> never reply or get personal.
>
> Just try to understand that if this newsgroup is
> "cleaned up", it will cease to exist. To see what
> I mean...try it for yourself. Turn your computer OFF.
>
> There! That did it. Poof!

oooooooooooooooo! Great post. I'm gonna glom onto this part and use it someday (hopefully when you're not looking Bill.) This one's going into my archives. Right next to another personal favorite Cheek missive: "seething and steaming, blowing snot-bubbles as big as basketballs". I've already wormed that one into conversation a couple of times. Hasn't failed to slay 'em yet.

Way to play the craft. Thanks.

(If you steal from me; you've stolen TWICE!)

2199. Re: Mackey: even lower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35895E06.6C21@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156572

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> Thank YOU!

You're welcome Mack-tard. Anytime you need me to slap you around again,
just whistle.

-Phil

2200. Re: John Mackey - Once a Liar, Always a Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35895D79.3831@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156573

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote the following mountainous festering pile of manure:
> No, I hve been conducting spam filter tests for the good
> of all people who want to use e-mail. This could become
> valuable if we are able to develope criteria for e-mail
> that would block junk e-mail. More or less, this is
> pioneering!

How, how, HOW can you smoke SO MUCH CRACK and still be alive? You call changing your NAME in your headers "spam prevention"?? Hmm, and I guess bypassing peoples killfiles and claiming forged messages is just a fringe benefit isn't it Mack-tard? You are so friggin' stupid... you must be high as a kite - it's the only logical explanation. The mere fact that you have unbelieveable gall to consider all of your flames and bullcrap to be helping the common good is completely and utterly outrageous. The only thing you're good for is shock factor: Just when I think I have heard it all....

"Loser" fits you best. Look it up sometime. You'll see your picture next to the definition. If you really want to do something that benefits all people then drive a car-bomb into Emilio Goldstein's house.

-Phil
P.S. You friggin' loon!!!

2201. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35895F35.7C26@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156574

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > Figured out how to shut the hell up yet?
>
> I have no desire to do that.

Obviously not. Too bad. What with wanting to help all of mankind and
all...

-Phil
P.S. Friggin' Loon.

2202. Re: SC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061818404600.OAA18960@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156575
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: SC150
>From: Ryan
>Date: Thu, Jun 18, 1998 13:37 EDT
>Message-id: <35895062.496E8ACB@flash.net>
>
>If I already have an SC150 (Bearcat), is it worth byeing a Pro-64,
>despite the extra features?
>
>--
> |cemann
>
>
> email: mailto:ryanak@flash.net

Depends what your priorities are. I think you'd gain aircraft(not sure if Sportcat has it or not), 400 channels, some small coverage gains around UHF and 800Mhz. The ability to computer program the 400 channels could be valuable (like having several banks of 400 on disk & putting them in in a few minutes for different situations). It's a better scanner for crowded city situations because of the triple conversion. If you can find one at RS for $100-130 (whatever it runs now....), it's a great buy - but only if it does something useful your Sportcat won't do....

Neutrodyne

2203. Why the gaps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kent W. Smith" <kents@nortel.com>
Message-ID: <35890D37.7E37@nortel.com>
Source record: 156576

It seems that most consumer grade scanners always have gaps in
frequency coverage;

55 - 107 mhz
175 - 224
513 - 805

Are these freqs just costly to implement on a scanner?
Are these freqs used for something we're not supposed to hear?
Are these freqs modulated in some way other than AM/NFM/WFM?

I know that ICOM and others can cover these freqs, but Uniden/RS
models always seem to leave them out. Is there any value in tuning
these freqs for the average scanning enthusiast?

Just curious & learning ...
Kent

2204. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358960CB.1C95@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156577

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> He takes Viagra regularly to stay awake.
>
> :-)

BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!! I now have a new nickname for the Moron formerly known as Mackey: Behold...Viagra-boy!

HAAAAAA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA !!!!!!!!!!

Able to leap tall speedbumps in a single bound (well, okay, two)

Faster than mollasses in January - almost

Stronger than the smell of Bandini Mountain (he really should so something about that...phew!)

Look! Up on the screen!

It's a nerd!

It's a pain!

It's VIAGRA-BOY!!!!! (Again? God help us...)

Whaddya think - have we got a winner here or what?
-Phil

2205. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35896150.E78@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156578

John T. Arthur wrote:
> True. Appropriate would have been to urge you to use the shotgun in your
> closet...

You are so right Mr. Arthur - Geez, what was I thinking?? Seems like a waste of perfectly good ammo though. Hmm, which to waste, the ammo or the bowling ball? Decisions, decisions....

-Phil

2206. Re: Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061818504700.OAA24022@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156579

>Anonymous E-mailer at work
>From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF

>Would YOU join me on this?

Yes, when do you want to start?

2207. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980618185130000.OAA20116@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156580

>Disgusted Participants!
>From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF

>
>This NG is for test messages, NOT binaries. Read the FAQ!

What FAQ?

2208. Re: Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35896611.486D@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156581

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > Of course you don't know what it says John.
>
> That is because I didn't write it, FOOL! I just helped. A little. By
> pressing the keys. It wasn't me. IT WAS THE VOICES! THE VOICES!! THEY
> WON'T LEAVE ME ALONE!!!!! AAAAAAAUGH!!!!!

Oh no... you called me a... a... FOOL.... <sniff> How dare
you....<blubber>

HA HA HA HA HA!!!!!

Too bad Bill slammed you in his killfile again. Now you really have no
one to talk to huh? It's alright John.... Don't cry... You can still get
the daily beatings you obviously love. I'd be honored to take Bill's
place at home plate and plant you in the right field bleachers each day.

"...And now Phil is stepping up to bat... Wait! He's stopping! He's
pointing at the right field bleachers! This capacity crowd is on it's
feet! Here's the windup, and the pitch.... WOW, WHAT A CRACK! HE
SHATTERED THE BAT TO SPLINTERS!! It's going back.. waaaaaaayyy back....
it's gone!!!!! Another grand slam home run!!"

See? No need to thank me, John. It was my pleasure.

-Phil

2209. Re: Spectrum Analyzer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Duy <Duy@Emeraldcoast.Com>
Message-ID: <358965ED.BF5A7C70@Emeraldcoast.Com>
Source record: 156582

Bobby Woolard wrote:
>
> Does anyone make a n adapter that will allow you to use a good oscilloscope
> as a spectrum analyzer?
>
> The one and only,
>
> Oglebooger

Bobby;

Just happened to see one this morning in an Electronix Express catalog. The specifications look good to me - .4M to 250M or 1G range, 250kHz BW, $910. Call them at 800-972-2225 & ax for a catalog.

Don,
Niceville

2210. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35896A22.A29BCFB8@ibm.net>
Source record: 156583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the radio newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
*Radio Discussion Lists
*Radio Modifications
*Radio Shack On-line Manuals
*Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
*Used Scanner Price Guide
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Newly added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM

Happy reading!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells
N2MCA
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/

2211. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35896B44.259C@parg.org>
Source record: 156584

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> >
> > Phil Smalley wrote:
> >
> > > Figured out how to shut the hell up yet?
> >
> > I have no desire to do that.
>
> Obviously not. Too bad. What with wanting to help all of mankind and
> all...

You chose to LIE & inaccurately qoute me!

I stated I have no desire to send e-mail bombs.

How pathetic you are that you need to make things up
to support our cause!

z

2212. Re: Phil Smalley is a fool - HERE'S PROOF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35896BBE.2791@parg.org>
Source record: 156585

Phil Smalley wrote:
> I quoted the message in it's entirety, which you conveniently snipped
> from your reply,

Yea, it had different headers for the ISP & posting host so there
is no way it could be me. If you were honest & knew what you
were talking about, this would not be happening now!

2213. Re: Smalley: even lower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35896BF1.4259@parg.org>
Source record: 156586

Phil Smalley wrote:
> Anytime you need me to slap you around again,
> just whistle.

This is funny! YOU get BEAT, then you respond with the above!

2214. Re: Wacky Phil Smalley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35896C50.2D77@parg.org>
Source record: 156587

Phil Smalley wrote:
> You have lost.

I was never trying to win anything!

> Accept it and move on. For the betterment of all.

We have been asking YOU to do this for a long time!

2215. Re: Phil Smalley - Once a Liar, Always a Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35896CD3.216B@parg.org>
Source record: 156588

Phil Smalley wrote:

> You call
> changing your NAME in your headers "spam prevention"??

YES, it is experimentation. I doubt you can understand this though. :(

> "Loser" fits you best. Look it up sometime. You'll see your picture next
> to the definition.

You must be looking in the mirror again! :(

How pathetic you are.

2216. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35896D01.2E95@parg.org>
Source record: 156589

Phil Smalley wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> > this was a forged message, I did not write it!
> Yes you did.

Then please post your proof of this or be proved the
lying fool that you are!

2217. Re: Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35896D20.7132@parg.org>
Source record: 156590

BC895XL wrote:
> Yes, when do you want to start?

I suggest this start IMMEDIATELY!

2218. Re: trunktracker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35896BC0.451A@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156591

Max A. Schneider wrote:

> * The PRO-2050 allows you to scan only one bank at a time in Trunk mode.
> The bank also can only contain one trunk system, as the scanner will lock on
> the first data channel it comes across. Since you can only scan one bank at
> a time, this means I'm also limited to 29 channels per trunk system (1 data
> + 29 voice = 30 channels/bank -- the 2050 has 300 channels in 10 banks of
> 30).

There is a way around it, depending on how and where each system is set up. For example, when I lived in Phoenix, I monitored 4 trunked systems in that area. Two were receiveable on the west side, two on the east side, with 10 frequencies each. I put 1 east and 1 west side system in the same bank (Glendale and Chandler, for example) Then, when I was in Glendale, it would lock onto Glendale. In Chandler, it locked on to Chandler, and so on. Just store the ID's in diffent banks (A - Glendale, B- Chandler) and you're all set, leaving 30 extra channels free for programming. One word of caution: Both systems must be the same type, or you'll end up having to set the fleet map each time.

You can also lock out all the trunk channels in conventional mode so you can use the extra channels for conventional listening. Tempe, AZ for example, uses Phoenix Fire, which is conventional VHF. So I put those freq's in the same bank as the trunked channels, and locked all the trunked ones out. To listen to PD, I would enter trunk mode, which doesn't care about frequency lockouts, and to listen to fire, I'd scan the same bank conventionally.

-Phil

2219. Q: Modifying a Uniden Bearcat Tracker BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: lordviper@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <35896c53.4456483@news2.new-york.net>
Source record: 156592

I just got the BCT7 and its great and all but i keep finding
frequencies on the internet that are out of its ranges. Is there a way
to make it pick up any frequency i want. Thanks

please email response to <lordviper@bigfoot.com>

2220. Re: Wacky Phil Smalley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35896F88.4A18@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156593

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
> > You have lost.
>
> I was never trying to win anything!

No, just the longest personal pissing contest of all recorded history.
No biggie..
>
> > Accept it and move on. For the betterment of all.
>
> We have been asking YOU to do this for a long time!

WE? John, the voices in your head don't count! (Laugh) The only talk to
you! (Louder laugh)

-Phil

P.S. "Wacky Phil Smalley"? Ha, ha, I like that. But it doesn't roll off
the tongue as well as "Wacky Loon Mackey" does! Now fly, Viagra boy! Fly
like the wind!

(Uncontrollable hysterical side splitting laughter)

John, you really are too much!

(Laughter continues)

2221. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35896E62.15F6@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill,
What about hooking up some kind of preamp prior to the splitter? Could that help, or would it just foul up the works even more?

Incidentally, I have another example of mountains funelling radio signals. I live in the Antelope Valley, just outside of Edwards AFB. I have a mag-mount antenna up on the roof of my house which is slightly angled towards the south. Here's the funny part - I can receive LAPD stronger and clearer than our own Kern County sheriffs repeater in town. The location of the antenna also seems to hinder reception of LA County sheriff in Lancaster which is 15 miles southeast of me, yet I can receive Santa Clarita, San Dimas, Malibu and East L.A. dispatch channels clear as a bell. Some of these repeaters are more than 50 miles away. Bizarre.

-Phil

2222. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <35896DE1.7F166D9C@uswest.net>
Source record: 156595

Fine... here we go..

Request proof of your dredentials (posted publically) of any and all degrees, schools/institutions obtained from, certificates, etc. All these to be posted publically with NO EXCUSES (like, I didn't get the message, or its available at..., or, I don't have to). Since others have already done so, the Burden of proof now lays on you.

Mind you, anything you post will be scrutinized closely and many questions will be asked of the various institutions that you may claim (in other words, your credibility on these items will be checked very closely).

Good luck, John (you are going to need it).

John MacKey - KA0SSF wrote:

> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> > Like the accusations you made about Bill and never showed any proof of
> > them like you said you were going to do.
>
> OK, what are you waiting for? Tell what proof you want to see?

2223. Re: Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc895xl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061820041500.QAA02217@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156596

>Anonymous E-mailer at work
>From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF

>I suggest this start IMMEDIATELY

Okay, let's do it.

2224. Re: Phil Smalley - Once a Liar, Always a Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3589725D.30C4@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156597

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > You call
> > changing your NAME in your headers "spam prevention"??
>
> YES, it is experimentation. I doubt you can understand this though. :(

No, I understand experimentation. Unlike you I am a REAL software developer. Now if that's the real reason (which we all know is a lie, but just for argument's sake) how does calling yourself "John MacKey - KAOSSF" change anything when the spambots can still feast upon "kaossf@parg.org"? Oops, another hole in the H.M.S. Mackey.

What I DON'T understand is why you continue to use this sorry pathetic excuse of a story when myself and others have pointed out holes in it large enough for Air Force One to do loop-the-loops in. Can't you just admit the truth? You were using it to pretend that someone else was forging posts and to bypass Bill Cheek's killfile. We all know it!
>
> > "Loser" fits you best. Look it up sometime. You'll see your picture next
> > to the definition.
>
> You must be looking in the mirror again! :(
>
> How pathetic you are.

No where near as pathetic as that 3rd grade put-down you wrote. Am I supposed to be insulted by that, or just merely entertained? Do tell.

-Phil

2225. KENWOOD TH-28A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ben T <koolist@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <358973B5.20108646@hotmail.com>
Source record: 156598

Hello to all

The radio is in good condition and is in perfect running order.
E-mail me if you are interested koolist@hotmail.com

2226. Re: Why the gaps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3589710b.148467642@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156599

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Kent W. Smith" <kents@nortel.com> wrote:

> It seems that most consumer grade scanners always have gaps in
> frequency coverage;
>
> 55 - 107 mhz
> 175 - 224
> 513 - 805
>
> Are these freqs just costly to implement on a scanner?
> Are these freqs used for something we're not supposed to hear?
> Are these freqs modulated in some way other than AM/NFM/WFM?

54-108 MHz is largely the VHF-Lo TV and FM Broadcast band;
not of widespread interest to the casual cop & robber
scannist. 72-76 MHz is a utility and repeater band that
is interesting sometimes. But it's not widely used
and isn't worth the cost to the mfgrs to include it.

Likewise, the 174-216 MHz range is the VHF-Hi TV
band. Military aviation is allocated 225-400 MHzm
but that crossover band from VHF to UHF is costlier
to implement, so mfgrs don't just give it away.

470-806 MHz is the UHF TV band, except for 512-520 MHz,
which is an expansion band for UHF land mobile,
but it's not used except in the larger cities like
Los Angeles. I don't have a good explanation for why
the cutoff is 512 MHz, but apparently 8 MHz more costs
something that the mfgrs won't give away. The rest
of the band to 806 MHz is UHF TV, so most scannists
don't want to pay for that.

Personally, I like to have FULL coverage because those
broadcast bands make excellent "hiding places" for
low power bugs and clandestine radio operations that
the public just can't get to.

Look at the UHF TV band that's largely vacant, even
in large cities. What a wonderful place for a militia,

cops, robbers, and spies to hang their radios. For that
matter, you can tuck a little bug in betwixt a couple
of UHF TV channels and who'd ever think to look for
it there. In some cases, you can even pack a weak
transmitter in betwixt the video and audio of a TV
channel and no one would ever be the wiser...especially
the authorities. Unless you're right on top of it,
it would never be detectable anyway.....

> I know that ICOM and others can cover these freqs, but Uniden/RS
> models always seem to leave them out. Is there any value in tuning
> these freqs for the average scanning enthusiast?

As alluded above....there *could* be some value in the
ability to tune those frequencies. The average scannist
would not derive that value, but the compleat one might.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2227. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3589963E.30AA0DB@swbell.net>
Source record: 156600

Every one on here has been trying to block your junk mail Johnny boy.
Why don't you help stop the flaming and become part of the solution not
the problem.

What you have to do is so simple and that is just post on topic and no
would to use filters.

Please help in stopping the flaming on this newsgroup!

2228. I need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ME <cjsmith@mosquitonet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9aee$a40ab120$4b23d68f@dptsmavs.wainwright.army.mil>
Source record: 156601
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is my first time posting here. I am new to the world of scanning and
I'm looking for some frequencies for my local area. Here is the tough part.
I live in Fairbanks Alaska. Any info, help, suggestions, or tips for a
newbie will be appreciated. Please e-mail me with a reply
cjsmith@mosquitonet.com

Thanks in advance,

CS

2229. Why the lameness?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doucette <paradiddle7@usa.net>
Message-ID: <898202119.765168@michelob>
Source record: 156602

Why do Mackey and Cheek keep fighting....I'm tired off all the spam on the
USENET, bashing each other,...
Thanks,...
Jeff

2230. FS: Pro 2006 with the Works!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: SWW <sww@connect.net>
Message-ID: <35897AA0.8F06D8B6@connect.net>
Source record: 156603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,dfw.forsale

I have a mint Realistic Pro 2006 (in box) which has a nice bright
display (the backlight is rarely turned on), cell mod, speed mod, and
the following add ons:

Installed Opto 456 (full dfmf and pl decode)
Programmit Interface (installed and mounted on top
of unit)
Discriminator tap and mini plug output on the back
of unit.
Probe 4.0 Software (the best and fastest)

This unit works perfectly an can scan with Probe upwards of 80 cps.
Plugged in to your computers sound card it can do everything to decode
posag to provide great sound through your computer speakers. It really
is an incredible setup. I purchased it off this group about 2 months
ago and it has worked beautifully. The only reason I'm selling it is
because I picked up an R9000 very cheap.

I'll take the best offer over $500 by Sunday 6/21/98 (fathers day) It
would be a great fathers day gift.

Steven Weinstein
KD6ALB

2231. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358999A1.B9D3F769@swbell.net>
Source record: 156604

Hey Johnny boy , I don't see eye to eye with Bill on every thing that goes on here and it should have been kept between the both of you on email.
But your the one who chose to air this in a public forum for eveyone to see as you keep attacking Bill on here.

You have accused him of many things that you have not been able to prove, it is only a matter of time before you accuse Bill of Kennedy's assassination and say you have proof that he did it.

Please help stop the flaming of this newsgroup!

2232. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358a77b8.150176922@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156605

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:

> Bill,
> What about hooking up some kind of preamp prior to the splitter? Could
> that help, or would it just foul up the works even more?
>
> Incidentally, I have another example of mountains funelling radio
> signals. I live in the Antelope Valley, just outside of Edwards AFB. I
> have a mag-mount antenna up on the roof of my house which is slightly
> angled towards the south. Here's the funny part - I can receive LAPD
> stronger and clearer than our own Kern County sheriffs repeater in town.
> The location of the antenna also seems to hinder reception of LA County
> sheriff in Lancaster which is 15 miles southeast of me, yet I can
> receive Santa Clarita, San Dimas, Malibu and East L.A. dispatch channels
> clear as a bell. Some of these repeaters are more than 50 miles away.
> Bizarre.

Indeed. And it gets even more bizarre when you
fool around with preamps. I won't argue the
merits of a well-designed, narrow-band preamp
where noise can be minimized, gain maximized,
3rd order intercepts, and 1-dB compression
points be controlled. Preamps like these have
been doing their duty for years. Old hat.

But a preamp for 25-1300 MHz or wider? Which
is what the scannist needs, right? Well, in
this case, such preamps usually flatten the
dynamic range of the receiver at BEST. Worse,
they can increase the noise floor and susceptibility
to intermod, densense, and strong signal overload.

Some guys claim success and want to argue the
point. I don't. If you find a preamp that digs
signals outa the noise at 30 MHz, 300 MHz, and
300 MHz, then you've got a potential winner. In
which case, yes, insertion and splitting losses
certainly can be overcome. Just that I've never
seen it and I've tested some of the best. Sure,
there are instances where the preamp digs that

signal outa the noise.

And so you leave the sucker on, full time. And after a while, you pat yourself on the back for being able to hear so many signals from everywhere.....and a year or more might go by. You're happy.

Until one day you pick up a signal that you KNOW doesn't belong there. Might be 154 MHz popping in on 450 or something. And then you have to wonder just how much had you heard that was bogus. Tests will show a lot!

So okay, you get smart, and turn the preamp off. Except when needed. That's Phase 2. You'll learn it's not needed all that much. You'll also wonder where went some bona fide signals that you used to hear.

Phase three is when you discover the insertion loss of the preamp when it's not turned on. So you take it out of line and lay it on the bench. Finally put it in a drawer. Finally forget about it and discover it three years later, having forgotten why you put it there in the first place.

It's a never ending story. Preamps have a very limited value to scannists, but the most valuable ones are narrow banders for specific purposes....like satellite monitoring; ham DX work, EME, stuff like that.

Still, you'll run into a few guys who claim their wide-banders work. So jot down the data; go buy one or two; and go through the learning phase, too. I did. Lots of others did. You may as well, too.

Of course, if you find one that really works, please let me know. I haven't gone through this phase for several years, so it's about time again. Hell, I take a bite of cole slaw and a drink of buttermilk every few years to remind myself the stuff tastes like moldered vomit. The preamp thing is kind of like that.

<grin>

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2233. Re: Freqs for IL Railway Museum Needed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: clayfyre61@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061821012300.RAA09009@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156606

>I am going to the IL Railway Museum in a few weeks and was wondering if
>anyone
>had any freqs for the rr's around there? Thanks for the help!
>

Ch. 1 160.275 Road
Ch. 2 154.515 Misc.

Enjoy the museum!

2234. Frequencies for Eureka Springs. AR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Fairleigh <mikef@sky.net>
Message-ID: <ZKfi1.28$FV6.791686@alpha.sky.net>
Source record: 156607
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have anything to share for Eureka Springs? Any and all services,
etc. Thanks in advance!

--
Mike Fairleigh
mikef@sky.net

2235. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358984BC.5230@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
>
> Of course, if you find one that really works, please
> let me know. I haven't gone through this phase for
> several years, so it's about time again. Hell, I take
> a bite of cole slaw and a drink of buttermilk every
> few years to remind myself the stuff tastes like
> moldered vomit. The preamp thing is kind of like that.
>
> <grin>

I listen to 450-512 Mhz almost exclusively, so a preamp in that range might be a good idea...I might try it. However if one wanted to pinch their pennies (no easy task in this hobby) and still enjoy enhanced reception, they could plop a magmount scanner antenna on top of the ol' swamp cooler for each scanner they have. Cheap, easy, and you won't hear the landlord or H.O.A. bitching about it, cause they won't even notice! You could even buy antennas tuned to different bands and use one scanner for VHF and another for UHF or 800Mhz, etc.

-Phil

2236. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358b7d05.151533977@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156609

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Read up on good ol' Shakespeare. He said of REAL LIFE
> > that "all the world's a stage, and we are the actors
> > upon it".
> > ....
> > Just try to understand that if this newsgroup is
> > "cleaned up", it will cease to exist. To see what
> > I mean...try it for yourself. Turn your computer OFF.
> >
> > There! That did it. Poof!

> ooooooooooooooooo! Great post. I'm gonna glom onto this part and use
> it someday (hopefully when you're not looking Bill.)

Frankly, I prefer the FORMAT C: It's more thorough.
But hey, feel free. I don't have patents on ON/OFF
switches or commandlines. :-)

> This one's going into my archives.

I probably stole it myself from some other sage forum.

> Right next to another personal favorite Cheek
> missive: "seething and steaming, blowing snot-bubbles as big as
> basketballs". I've already wormed that one into conversation a couple
> of times. Hasn't failed to slay 'em yet.

Now that one is pretty much mine. Not completely,
though. My son played high school football where
that had an expression for laying some guy out...
"Man, I snotbubbled that dude...."....

I added to it with recollections of having raised
five kids, and when they were little and got
crabby or whiny and wanted to snivel over how their
rights were trampled, I'd note the monstrous snot
bubbles they'd blow right in the middle of an
extended moan or whine.

But yes, used with discretion, kind of like a bluff at poker, the snot-bubble accusation is a slayer. It has a way of drawing even the non-posters outa the woodwork to take one side or another. And the victim; oh the victim, your poor victim NEVER forgets YOU.

After all, chances are ... you REALLY DID catch him with a snot bubble rolling around on the end of his schnoz, which pisses him off immensely that somehow, you KNEW. And even if he didn't have one, it chaps his hide that you THINK he did. Either way, you win.

> Way to play the craft. Thanks.

Yes. It really is better when everyone plays at a higher level. Nothing's worse than having to settle for a joust with a rank amateur. THEY take it too seriously and start blowing real snotbubbles which then burst and splatter all over Kingdom Come and you have to quit what you're doing and go take a shower. <Gack>

> (If you steal from me; you've stolen TWICE!)

In all liklihood, you're a thief three or more times over, then. I'm more of an innovator than an inventor. I take things; hack 'em up; make 'em a little better and then pass 'em on.

So steal away! Anything to elevate the ante and the stakes a little.

<g>

Bill

2237. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35897264.4223512@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156610

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 09:04:36 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> Just *who* is this *we* you're always talking about?
>
>The members of this NG.

Let me get this straight now; you are the official spokesperson
for the members of this news group? How did you acquire this
position? I think you're starting to take yourself entirely too
seriously. Heh, Heh, Heh!

2238. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35897486.4769412@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156611

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 09:06:25 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Richard Kendrick wrote:
>> Yeah, just like that postcard you posted that you claim was from Bill
>
>I was REQUESTED by members of the NG to post it, so I did!
>
>That web cop badge was NOT requested, it mearly wasted bandwidth!

I request that you post proof of your master's degree.

2239. Re: Q: Modifying a Uniden Bearcat Tracker BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358c84f7.153568043@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156612

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

lordviper@bigfoot.com (-=ViPeR=-) wrote:

> I just got the BCT7 and its great and all but i keep finding
> frequencies on the internet that are out of its ranges. Is there a way
> to make it pick up any frequency i want. Thanks

Sorry, no. You need to buy a scanner
that comes with what you want.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2240. Re: Why the gaps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kent W. Smith" <kents@nortel.com>
Message-ID: <35893BC9.1764@nortel.com>
Source record: 156613

Thanx for the insightful reply.

My RS Police Call book (1998) indicates a couple of things that seem to be relavent to your answer. With HDTV coming, the spectrum for what is currently used as analog TV will be re-allocated. In addition, the standard frequency spacing is slated to shrink as well.

q: Will this render todays scanner obsolete in 2005?

Kent

2241. 895XLT WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN" <piper@dhost.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9b1d$2b25db20$6587b0cf@default>
Source record: 156614

I WANT TO BUY A BEARCAT 895XLT , CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHERE TO GET A GOOD
DEAL ON ONE???

NEW , USED , DEMO O.K.

2242. Re: SC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: 207Lillypads@Juno.com
Message-ID: <6mc3mu$cq6$2@news.ncal.verio.com>
Source record: 156615

>>If I already have an SC150 (Bearcat), is it worth byeing a Pro-64,
>>despite the extra features?

"buying", not "byeing" !

>
>Depends what your priorities are. I think you'd gain aircraft(not sure if
>Sportcat has it or not), <<SNIP>>

SC150 has aircraft band.

> 400 channels, some small coverage gains around UHF and
>800Mhz. The ability to computer program the 400 channels could be valuable
>(like having several banks of 400 on disk & putting them in in a few minutes
>for different situations).

I can barely manage 200 channels as on the SC150 - think about it before
deciding you need more.

> It's a better scanner for crowded city situations
>because of the triple conversion.

NOTE: because of the triple conversion, you won't be able to copy cellular
traffic on image frequencies like you can with the SC150.

Ed

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "lillypads" from my return address.
==========

2243. FS: Sony ICF-SC1PC WaveHawk Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: PJ Mursak <pjm@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <6mc4go$3na@news.ole.net>
Source record: 156616

I have two of these. One was personally purchased and one was received as a gift. I am selling my originally purchased unit (used perhaps 2 hours) from Universal Radio (5/26/98), original receipt for $349.95 plus shipping will be included. I missed Universal's 14-Day return policy and now my loss is someone's gain since I can't use two identical scanners. This is an impressive scanner and it comes complete as packaged from Sony with the CD-ROM and computer interface.

Will sell for $265 plus $6 shipping and insurance via UPS. Money order preferred.

Please email or call: Peter at 540-669-7907. Some specs below. For a complete run-down on this scanner, you might wish to check Rich Wells' comprehensive review at...

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIO.HTM

Range 25 - 1300MHz(less cellular)
Channels 300
Banks 10
Modes AM, FM & WFM
Priority 1 channel
Conversion Triple
Scan 25 channels/second
Search 25 steps/second
Audio 150mW
Power 4 AA 6V DC
Size 2.5"(W) x 7"(H) x 1.75"(D)
Weight 8.9 oz
Interfaces BNC, DC, speaker, PC serial
Accessories Antenna, belt clip, freq guide, earphone, AC adapter.
The PC version adds a serial cable & CD-ROM with Percon DB
Features Service search, band search, VFO, adjustable step and receive mode, search skip, backlight

2244. mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Afhi1.2755$r5.1264693@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 156617

You will call me Mr. Wah plees. I call you Mr. Mackey. ok?

You have many peoples not like you. No peoples say
like you. Other peoples with brain troubles not like you.
This is problems.

So I like you. ok? You need one frend. At least.
So I be your frend. You be my frends to. Plees.

I be watch you longs times. You no talk radios. You no
like the radios? I like the radios. I gots a new one is
very good is very old but fresh new in cartin. Never
opened before I buy for $175. Is pro2004 and black
color. My frend Tony Ho say is best radios of all.

You know this radio? You gots no radios or which one?
I like be your frend and you be my frend and we talks
the radios? ok? Mr. Wah

2245. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.com
Message-ID: <3589a2b5.10769371@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 156618

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 08:21:55 -0400, allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
wrote:

>John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
>> George Vetterle wrote:
>> > Just *who* is this *we* you're always talking about?
>>
>> The members of this NG.
>
> Whoa dingleberry. Take a look around the tree-house. It's a real
>steady pattern on the subject lines:
>
>Message from Mackey
>Message from someone who hates Mackey
>Message from Mackey
>Message from different someone who hates Mackey
>Message from Mackey
>Message from still another different someone who hates Mackey
>Message from Mackey
>Message, still another Mackey hater checks in.
>Message from Mackey disguised as RadioFan, Compania,
>Fred@banana.net.etc.
>Message from another legion of Mackey haters.
>
>Get it? Those voices you hear in your warped little grape aren't NG
>denizens. You're confusing delusion with reality. Again.
>
>You're here alone.
>
>You'll always be alone.
>
>You'll die alone.
>
>You friggin' loon.
Please don't refer to him as a LOON as the Loon here in Canada is a
very nice looking bird with a very nice distinctive call.

2246. Re: Richard Kendrick is reveals the truth about Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3589A587.952117AF@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156619

John "I'm such a lame and pathetis little liar" Mackey-KA0SSF tried to
make us suffer another one of his prozac induced delusions:

> Wrong! You tried to minimize the articles I wrote!

Ah, Toilet Boy, you lose the truth again. You slapped together ONE
report of someone else doing something and then rehashed it for a second
location. The ARRL had to rewrite your drivel into decent English.

> TRUE! I still say (along with MANY others who have technical
> knowledge) that WD-40 is NOT for cleaning variable pots like
> YOU claim!

Then you denounce the technical expertise of Roy LeWallen who has openly
endorsed the usage of WD-40 in electronic applications, more precisely
cleaning potentiometers. Ignorant and inexperienced wannabes like
yourself always make such stupid noises.

> > claimed to have a Masters Degree. I proved him a liar there,
> > too.
>
> No you did not! If you insist you did, then re-post your
> proof that I DO NOT have a masters degree or be proven to be
> the liar & manipulator that you are!

You made the claim of a degree. I said you were a liar and asked for
proof. You never have provided proof of your degrees. In the absence
of proof, you are a liar and do not have the degrees you claim. The
only way for you to prove yourself on this point and prove me wrong
is to provide the name of the school and the year the degree was
conferred. But, you can't. Ergo, you are a liar and a fraud.

> > Most recently, he claims his little antics with his headers are for the
> > benefit of mankind because he is testing spam proof email software. I
> > proved him a liar there as well.
>
> Really! How did you prove me wrong! You have NEVER made ANY
> statement to prove ANYTHING like this!

If you are really trying to write software like you claim, post samples
of the source code. If you do not provide proof, you are a liar.

2247. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Hayford <bewhole@together.net>
Message-ID: <3589A668.80CDF92@together.net>
Source record: 156620

Well Bills at It again.Or should I Say "Mr Wah"

Dong Tong Wah wrote:
>
> You will call me Mr. Wah plees. I call you Mr. Mackey. ok?
>
> You have many peoples not like you. No peoples say
> like you. Other peoples with brain troubles not like you.
> This is problems.
>
> So I like you. ok? You need one frend. At least.
> So I be your frend. You be my frends to. Plees.
>
> I be watch you longs times. You no talk radios. You no
> like the radios? I like the radios. I gots a new one is
> very good is very old but fresh new in cartin. Never
> opened before I buy for $175. Is pro2004 and black
> color. My frend Tony Ho say is best radios of all.
>
> You know this radio? You gots no radios or which one?
> I like be your frend and you be my frend and we talks
> the radios? ok? Mr. Wah

2248. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3589A64D.39C8F75E@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156621

John "The bottom Queen Weenie of the Internet" Mackey-KA0SSF posted
another piece of cerebral flatulence:
>
> George Vetterle wrote:
> > Just *who* is this *we* you're always talking about?
>
> The members of this NG.

You do not now, nor have you ever spoken for me. You're caught in
another one of your delusions of adequacy.

2249. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3589A663.311E@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156622

Jabba T. Hutt wrote:
> Please don't refer to him as a LOON as the Loon here in Canada is a
> very nice looking bird with a very nice distinctive call.

Yeah, the North American Mackerloon does have an interesting call. It goes: "Stop the flames! Stop the flames! ...eat my shorts and pack sand... Stop the flames! FOOL!"

-Phil

2250. Re: Richard Kendrick - Always Proving Mackey A Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3589A789.1971BEE8@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156623

John "Cruising bathrooms and gory holes everywhere for your enjoyment"
Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Yea, it is hard to believe that Kendrick is a ham!

You are constantly baffled and frustrated by anyone (such as many of
your detractors) who can clearly and consistently see through your
drivel
and not tolerate it.

2251. BC 855XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061823471400.TAA24919@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156624

I have found A BC855 at a pawn shop in really clean condition, for
75$ and
can not find to much info on it. I don't Know how to interpret Unidens
date codes, seems like 4 or 5 letters, What kind of mods are out
there
on this unit and How old is it? Is it a bargain or just junk?
Thanks
David

2252. BEARCAT 101 HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles J. Shaw" <cshaw@epix.net>
Message-ID: <3589A846.E4C52F0F@epix.net>
Source record: 156625

I bought a Bearcat 101 for "parts" at a local Hamfest. When I got it home I found that when I plugged it in and turned it on lights #8 and #16 stay lit all of the time. It will not program any frequencies, but the audio and squelch appear to function normally.
I was wondering if anyone has had a similar experience with this model and could offer some advice as to what may be wrong with it. I can solder a bit, but I don't have any test equipment besides a meter.
I'd hate to trash this scanner if I don't have to. If it's not too expensive to fix, I'm game to attempt a repair job.
Thanks.

Charlie Shaw
cshaw@epix.net
KB3BTO

2253. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3589A8A9.E5E53456@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156626

John "Does anyone know where I can get K-Y jelly in bulk? I'm having a pig in a poke party and I'm the pig" Mackey-KA0SSF tried to lie his way out of yet another lie:

> I was REQUESTED by members of the NG to post it, so I did!

More realistically one of the voices in your head had a fragment of lucidity again. You posted the binary, but failed to prove your point with the action.

> That web cop badge was NOT requested, it mearly wasted bandwidth!

As an expert in wasting bandwidth, you would know better than anyone.

2254. Re: Why the lameness?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3589a545.161839933@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156627

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Jeff Doucette" <paradiddle7@usa.net> wrote:

> Why do Mackey and Cheek keep fighting....

We don't. What makes you think different?
Tell ya what.....just so's you don't run off
half-cocked, go to Deja News and do the
research for yourself and see what's the
REAL SCORE there. You'd be better off wondering
why the IDIOT keeps it up.

> I'm tired off all the spam on the USENET,

Spam? Are you nuts? Find even one piece of
spam here, if you can. Regardless, who forces
you to read the stuff that doesn't interest
you? Let me at him. I'll kick his arse clean
into next Tuesday for molesting you like that.

Hey man, you got your rights and freedoms, so
just whisper to me who's depriving you and I'll
take care of that dude.

2255. ICOM IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bart <bobb@ulster.net>
Message-ID: <3589B780.6AF6@ulster.net>
Source record: 156628

There is a Product Review on the ICOM IC-PCR-1000 in the July issue of
QST magazine.

2256. Re: frequencies for Cleveland Grand Prix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: m.sato@popmail.csuohio.edu
Message-ID: <3589a7c0.165922525@news.csuohio.edu>
Source record: 156629

Rick Poorman <poormr@gte.net> wrote:

>Try this site:
>
>http://home1.gte.net/poormr/cart.htm

Thank you very much!

2257. Re: 895XLT WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Ballenger <ballenger@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3589B122.BA6355C9@erols.com>
Source record: 156630

I bought mine mail order at Communications Electronics in Michigan for about $ 240 + $ 18 shipping. I received it two days after ordering with no problems at all. They are at www.usascan.com. That is the lowest price I've seen - others are at $ 300 or more.

CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN wrote:

> I WANT TO BUY A BEARCAT 895XLT , CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHERE TO GET A GOOD
> DEAL ON ONE???
>
> NEW , USED , DEMO O.K.

2258. Re: Yo? Mackey? I want you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mcbra$2ul@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156631

Oh no, here we go again.

Please try not to instigate. Saying "I agree" would have been less
provocative.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy
RySoft Corporation
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

stuart upton wrote in message ...
>x-no-archive: yes In article <35895C9D.4FC4@parg.org>, KA0SSF@parg.org
wrote:
>
>> Bill Cheek wrote:
>> > Not only can I lock you out by name, call sign,
>> > e-mail address, and by subject lines, I can also
>> > stuff your zitface even farther down the list
>> > and filter out your ISP, and any element of the
>> > PATH that your ISP uses to send news out. I can
>> > also filter by expressions, euphamisms, message
>> > ID's, and general content.....
>>
>> Then PLEASE use these abilities you claim to have.
>
>I must agree here. Cheek talks a big game, but nothing ever seems to
>happen. Kind of like the school-yard bully who proclaims loudly and to all
>that he can knock the shit out of anybody, but when challenged he whimpers
>and runs away to mom.

2259. Re: SC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ROBERT J FORLINI <FORLINI@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6mcbg9$6bho$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 156632

I know almost nothing about scanning and scanners, but I read at this site :
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/IMP235.HTM in a review by Rich Wells on the
subject of the 235 and cell phones. "The 806 - 814MHz range was full of
850 - 860MHz images and cell conversations were heard clearly in the 817 -
824MHz range(take that CTIA!). " Who's right?

Bob
Ed G. <207Lillypads@Juno.com> wrote in message
<6mc3mu$cq6$2@news.ncal.verio.com>...
>>
> NOTE: because of the triple conversion, you won't be able to copy
cellular
>traffic on image frequencies like you can with the SC150.

2260. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mccic$72f@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156633

My first conclusion exactly.

Did you notice the similarity of the header? I wonder if Billie knows that
impersonation is illegal.

So-Called Mr. Wah: From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Bill Cheek: From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
--
73 de Ryan Faricy
RySoft Corporation
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Brian Hayford wrote in message <3589A668.80CDF92@together.net>...
>Well Bills at It again.Or should I Say "Mr Wah"
>
>Dong Tong Wah wrote:
>>
>> You will call me Mr. Wah plees. I call you Mr. Mackey. ok?
>>
>> You have many peoples not like you. No peoples say
>> like you. Other peoples with brain troubles not like you.
>> This is problems.
>>
>> So I like you. ok? You need one frend. At least.
>> So I be your frend. You be my frends to. Plees.
>>
>> I be watch you longs times. You no talk radios. You no
>> like the radios? I like the radios. I gots a new one is
>> very good is very old but fresh new in cartin. Never
>> opened before I buy for $175. Is pro2004 and black
>> color. My frend Tony Ho say is best radios of all.
>>
>> You know this radio? You gots no radios or which one?
>> I like be your frend and you be my frend and we talks
>> the radios? ok? Mr. Wah

2261. Re: Why the gaps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mccu9$95u@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156634

By the way, Bill, the Pro64 is susceptible to images and harmonics in the
50-54 range, and also 860-817. Don't care to believe me? Go ahead and
research it.

I've heard cellular phone calls in these bands (and no, it is not 6 meter
autopatch, because there are the usual buzzes and the high-pitched whine).
--
73 de Ryan Faricy
RySoft Corporation
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Bill Cheek wrote in message <3589710b.148467642@news2.cts.com>...
>"Kent W. Smith" <kents@nortel.com> wrote:
>
>> It seems that most consumer grade scanners always have gaps in
>> frequency coverage;
>>
>> 55 - 107 mhz
>> 175 - 224
>> 513 - 805
>>
>> Are these freqs just costly to implement on a scanner?
>> Are these freqs used for something we're not supposed to hear?
>> Are these freqs modulated in some way other than AM/NFM/WFM?
>
>54-108 MHz is largely the VHF-Lo TV and FM Broadcast band;
>not of widespread interest to the casual cop & robber
>scannist. 72-76 MHz is a utility and repeater band that
>is interesting sometimes. But it's not widely used
>and isn't worth the cost to the mfgrs to include it.
>
>Likewise, the 174-216 MHz range is the VHF-Hi TV
>band. Military aviation is allocated 225-400 MHzm
>but that crossover band from VHF to UHF is costlier
>to implement, so mfgrs don't just give it away.
>
>470-806 MHz is the UHF TV band, except for 512-520 MHz,
>which is an expansion band for UHF land mobile,
>but it's not used except in the larger cities like
>Los Angeles. I don't have a good explanation for why
>the cutoff is 512 MHz, but apparently 8 MHz more costs
>something that the mfgrs won't give away. The rest
>of the band to 806 MHz is UHF TV, so most scannists

>don't want to pay for that.
>
>Personally, I like to have FULL coverage because those
>broadcast bands make excellent "hiding places" for
>low power bugs and clandestine radio operations that
>the public just can't get to.
>
>Look at the UHF TV band that's largely vacant, even
>in large cities. What a wonderful place for a militia,
>cops, robbers, and spies to hang their radios. For that
>matter, you can tuck a little bug in betwixt a couple
>of UHF TV channels and who'd ever think to look for
>it there. In some cases, you can even pack a weak
>transmitter in betwixt the video and audio of a TV
>channel and no one would ever be the wiser...especially
>the authorities. Unless you're right on top of it,
>it would never be detectable anyway.....
>
>> I know that ICOM and others can cover these freqs, but Uniden/RS
>> models always seem to leave them out. Is there any value in tuning
>> these freqs for the average scanning enthusiast?
>
>As alluded above....there *could* be some value in the
>ability to tune those frequencies. The average scannist
>would not derive that value, but the compleat one might.
>
>-- ----
>Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
>COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
>Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
>bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
>http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
>-- ---
>

2262. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Hayford <bewhole@together.net>
Message-ID: <3589B7AB.F74F1D14@together.net>
Source record: 156635

Check Out The Signature File(View,Headers,All)They Come from The Same
Ip Address

Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:
>
> My first conclusion exactly.
>
> Did you notice the similarity of the header? I wonder if Billie knows that
> impersonation is illegal.
>
> So-Called Mr. Wah: From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
> Bill Cheek: From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
> --
> 73 de Ryan Faricy
> RySoft Corporation
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/
>
> If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?
>
> Brian Hayford wrote in message <3589A668.80CDF92@together.net>...
> >Well Bills at It again.Or should I Say "Mr Wah"
> >
> >Dong Tong Wah wrote:
> >>
> >> You will call me Mr. Wah plees. I call you Mr. Mackey. ok?
> >>
> >> You have many peoples not like you. No peoples say
> >> like you. Other peoples with brain troubles not like you.
> >> This is problems.
> >>
> >> So I like you. ok? You need one frend. At least.
> >> So I be your frend. You be my frends to. Plees.
> >>
> >> I be watch you longs times. You no talk radios. You no
> >> like the radios? I like the radios. I gots a new one is
> >> very good is very old but fresh new in cartin. Never
> >> opened before I buy for $175. Is pro2004 and black
> >> color. My frend Tony Ho say is best radios of all.
> >>
> >> You know this radio? You gots no radios or which one?
> >> I like be your frend and you be my frend and we talks
> >> the radios? ok? Mr. Wah

2263. Opto Cub, Pro-2045, de-scrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3589BC13.7BB3@qsl.net>
Source record: 156636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Web site at:
http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp
KD4LYP's amateur radio and scanner page!
Reviews on: Opto Cub and CPT De-scrambler.
Humor on "how to be a LID" and "D.I.R.T.S."
East Tennessee frequencies along with the general ones.
Pictures of my Equipment

Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
Or just click on the address below!
mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net

2264. FREQUENCY INFORMATION REQUEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <6mchnmoth1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 156637
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anybody have access to the latest FCC information? I am looking for the new freqencies for the Dakota County (Minnesota) Juvenile Center. This is not in the 1998 POLICE CALL directory. Anybody out there get Washington Radio Reports for Minnesota or similar resource that would have this new information? Thank you in advance. Jim AA0FJ

2265. Mackey CONTROLS allsafe, Smalley, MAlexdr, Cheek, ad nauseum.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <35898d10.572619@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 156638

Mackey only has to flinch and these nitwits work themselves into a posting frenzy. They talk about nothing else. And none of it really seems to bother Mackey. He's got 'em wrapped around his little finger.

Someday when they collectively turn 15 they'll discover girls and go away.

Bill Cheek, your postings suck, your publications suck, you suck. The radio world does not need you. Go away.

2266. Re: FREQUENCY INFORMATION REQUEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Hayford <bewhole@together.net>
Message-ID: <3589CCBD.E8056563@together.net>
Source record: 156639
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try This Sight Out.
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

James A. Schnoor wrote:
>
> Does anybody have access to the latest FCC information? I am looking for
> the new freqencies for the Dakota County (Minnesota) Juvenile Center. This
> is not in the 1998 POLICE CALL directory. Anybody out there get Washington
> Radio Reports for Minnesota or similar resource that would have this new
> information? Thank you in advance. Jim AA0FJ

2267. Re: Richard Kendrick is beating the crap out of Mackey and I love it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3589CB16.413D@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156640

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> > If you are really trying to write software like you claim, post samples
> > of the source code.
>
> You must be FOOL! I am NOT about to post the source code of some
> software I expect to profit from!

Oh, so now we add software developer to the list of John Mackey's dubious accomplishments? Gimme a break. You couldn't program your way out of bed with a road map.

If you really are a programmer, then prove it butt-head. What language are you using? How many lines of code? What is your program going to do?

You forget bozo, unlike you, I really AM a software developer. I have been writing computer programs since age 11. I guarantee I could program circles around anything you could possibly dream of writing. Don't believe me? Then try me. Gimme a challenge. I dare ya, you sissy!

-Phil

2268. Advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <38f_9806181500@warpgate.com>
Source record: 156641

-=> Quoting Allsafe to All <=-

Al> But the grounding of the antenna is the same question I posed.
Al> I ran the aluminum ground wire from RS..........

Aluminum wire has caused more fires and deaths in the
U.S. than it's prevented. That's why is it now banned.
I'm surprised RS is selling it.

___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
--
|WarpGate: Joe Nicholson 52:1000/211
|Internet: Joe.Nicholson.@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

2269. Wacky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3589CFFC.BA6A9E1A@netwit.com>
Source record: 156642

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> What about the people who are against YOU, CHEEK, & the other trouble
> makers?
>
> You convienently forgot about them!

Forgot? I *accomodate* those people. I politely point them at their filters and request that they add my handle to their list.

Then I stick to my handle. (Just a little consideration for those who do not wish to expose themselves to Mackey Wackfest.)

Of course I'm not conducting experiments in header changes "for the good of all people someday".

Uh Ooh! I think I see that Herculean Zit coming back on your mug! Oh damn, here it comes!:

REPOST!

I know it's not my turn yet,....I simply can't stop now.

Okay, let's get this straight:

Everybody's grumpy in the tree-house except Mackey, because..well...he's being
Mackey!

1) The 'DOKTOR' reaches over and firmly, but gently "Whacks" Mackey about
changing his message header in tiny ways to worm past filters erected to keep
him out.

2) Mackey rubs the back of his head and squawks "THAT'S A BOLD FACED LIE!".

3) Whereupon Richard Kendrick immediately produces two different headers

(case change of the letter "k") from a couple of fresh Mackey missives.

(sssssssssssssWHHAAAACKKK!!!) Big group giggle. My ears are ringing, but
I'm still giggling.

4) Then Phil Smalley (still giggling) asks "I'm going to regret asking, (giggle-giggle) but if you didn't change the header to bypass killfiles then

what's the real reason Mr. "MacKey"?? (giggle) Name change or something?
(hoo-ho) This oughtta be good.
(giggle) Do tell.

5) And Mackey, not even attempting to deny the changed headers, starts
playing philanthropists and actually claims to be experimenting "for the
good
of all people someday!" with his own prototypes of "next generation of
tools
for e-mail."!!!

BawHAAhaHAAAAWHAHA! Whooooohoo! Mackey the Scientist! Are you a
"Secret
Agent" too? Oh..hold it, ...you couldn't tell me if you were!...Right?
RIGHT?

Aw jeez. You're friggin' unbelievable Mackey. Oh, Richard and Phil,
fantastic follow through, first rate work. Sorry about the liberties I
took
on your text Phil, but that's what I heard when I read it. Maybe I
projected
my own glee into it.

As for you loony boy:

I can already hear ya squawkin'
"IsupposeyouhavesomePROOFofyour..nyanya.."

I copied the whole thread into my most treasured archive. If you insist
on
proof I can post.......

Naaw, screw that. I'm gonna post it now. And you're gonna see it again
Mackey; kinda like a Herculean Zit that returns again and again to plant
its
puss-filled mass on whatever unscarred skin you've got left on your face.

Roast in sweat.

You friggin' loon.

Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
Date: 15 Jun 1998 18:00:01 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
Organization: Primenet Services for the Internet
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John "I'm such a shameless liar" MacKey - KA0SSF slithered:

> Doktor Tripp wrote:
> > one participant of this ego war namely John Mackey has
> > already changed his posting name to avoid the filters of those that
> > wish not to read him but wish to read this group.

>
> THAT is a BOLD FACED LIE!

Actually, it is the truth, something you know very little about.
Examine the From headers shown below. The first one is for the above
message and the second is from a few days ago. As usual Toilet Boy,
you are caught in ANOTHER lie. FOOL!

From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Reply-To: ka0ssf@parg.org
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
References: <6lv9pr$r0l@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
<1998061412163000.IAA18716@ladder01.news.aol.com>
<3583e9eb.8309888@news.alt.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jun 1998 20:28:55 GMT

From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Reply-To: ka0ssf@parg.org
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages
References: <6lanm2$55h@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
<3579A5CB.12DF@parg.org> <Eu5FAH.C4n@freenet.buffalo.edu>
<3579C99E.6722@parg.org> <Eu5nox.E8K@freenet.buffalo.edu>
<3579F295.BF9@parg.org>
<MPG.fe46f2c686394af989686@enews.newsguy.com>
<357b5f01.211188340@news2.cts.com>
<357B8134.7CCE@parg.org> <357C2F2F.218E@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Jun 1998 03:08:40 GMT

**********NEW MESSAGE**********

Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
Date: Tue, 16 Jun 1998 13:32:34 -0700
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Organization: Hughes Space and Communications
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John MacKey - KA0SSF wrote:
> Why is it that just because I make a small adjustment
> in my header info it becomes interpretated as an
> attempt to bypass kill files.
>
> Talk about pole vaulting over cracks in the side-walks!
>
> You are make a lot of something about absolutely NOTHING!

Oh AbSoLuTeLy! YoU wOuLd NeVeR dO sUcH a ThInG.....

What a god damned attenion starved pathetic liar... OK smart-ass, I know
I'm going to regret asking, but if you didn't change the header to
bypass killfiles then what's the real reason Mr. "MacKey"?? Name change
or something? This oughtta be good. Do tell.

-Phil

**********NEW MESSAGE**********

Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
Date: Wed, 17 Jun 1998 00:15:07 GMT
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Oh AbSoLuTeLy! YoU wOuLd NeVeR dO sUcH a ThInG.....

Thank you for your support, Phil!

:)

But your next statement appears contradictory. :(

> What a god damned attenion starved pathetic liar... OK smart-ass, I
know
> I'm going to regret asking, but if you didn't change the header to
> bypass killfiles then what's the real reason Mr. "MacKey"?? Name change

> or something? This oughtta be good. Do tell.

I have been experimenting off & on with slightly different ID's
to experiment with filters designed to prevent robots from pulling
ID's for spam e-mail.

THAT is why.

It's sorta poineering the next generation of tools for e-mail for the
good of all people someday!

2270. Re: Mackey CONTROLS his bladder with Depends

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3589CE6F.508C@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156643

John Mackey posting as his alter ego fred wrote:
>
> Mackey only has to flinch and these nitwits work themselves into a
> posting frenzy. They talk about nothing else. And it really
> seems to bother Mackey. They've got him wrapped around their
> collective little finger. Yet... he seems to enjoy being constantly
> insulted and humiliated... fascinating.
Yeah, you do like it, don't ya Viagra boy?

>
> Someday when I discover girls I'll go away.
Discover deodorant first. Trust me..

> Yeah, my postings suck, my publications suck, I suck. The
> radio world does not need me. Now where is my "spark diddler"?

Whats the matter John? You're starting to lose it! Wait, I know, it's
Bill's killfile that's got you all jizzed off huh? Well don't worry. I
can pick on you incessantly if Bill doesn't want to anymore. You'll
always have me, you friggin' LOON!

Sure is sad when your only friends are the ones in your head, huh John?
-Phil

2271. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3589D7F1.7AAE@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156644

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> You are so desperate to find something wrong with me that
> you have to make things up by mis-qouting me!

Awwwwww.... does that bother you...?
>
> How pathetic I am!
Indeed.

-Phil

2272. Scanners in Germany?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lawrence H. Zielasko" <coderman@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6mcj19$pup@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 156645

A coworker is leaving for Germany soon. He's very interested in bringing his hand held scanner along but is concerned about German laws or restrictions. Can anyone provide some insight concerning scanner possession or use in Germany?

2273. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3589DCD2.1981@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156646

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> You're a liar & attempting to cover your tracks.
>
> How pathetic you are.

You're a loser and attempting to save face - completely impossible.

Talk about pathetic! Sheesh!
-Phil

2274. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3589DD52.DBF@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156647

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Richard Kendrick wrote:
> > As an expert in wasting bandwidth, you would know better than anyone.
>
> I can't even compare to you as you waste far MORE than I !
HAAAAA HA HA HA HA HA HA!!!!!!!! How absurd!

John, you ARE a waste of bandwidth. And physical space for that matter.

-Phil

2275. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <3589DC1A.3928@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156648

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Once again Bill Cheek is forging posts again. Below is a
a message that I wrote myself, to pass of onto all of you as a
> message he forged under the name of "Dong Tong Wah". Next is
proof inconclusive of my ridiculous and unconvincing claim,
> the header info for his "Dong Tong Wah" message, followed
by more inconlusive and speculative "evidence", obtained
> by a normal message from him. I am posting all this in
addition to all of the other evidence of my immaturity in
> it's entirity for archiving via dejanews. If anyone wants
me to stop, well that's tough. If someone dares to think they ought
> to contest the validity what I have posted then please
post a message so I can say that it too, was from Bill.

You know what John? Just stuff it a sock. I don't know what is worse,
your supposed "proof" that it was Bill or the fact you think that any of
us cares. Maybe if you weren't such an EASY target, Bill would leave ya
alone!

Naaaaahh... That makes sense, doesn't it?

-Phil

2276. Re: Mackey CONTROLS his bladder with Depends

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <35899ec7.5109038@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 156649

John didn't post this. And don't modify my posts, you asshole.

2277. Re: FCC Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6mcunv$lq5@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 156650
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>The two FREE sources of FCC data are:
>
>http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
>
>and
>
>http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html
>
<snip>As for PERCON, you can access their site and use
>their current Spectrum database on-line. .....enjoy...
>

Bear in mind, though, that the Percon data ON LINE is, to quote them, " from a complete SPECTRUM database as of Summer 97," so it is a year old...but free and easy!

Harry Marnell, N6URU
marnells@snowcrest.net
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

2278. Re: MODS FOR R.S. TRANCKTRACKER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6mag92ahp1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 156651

How do you track TRANKS?
I'd like to mod my AR8000 to track those too !

Alice Carlson escribi&#65533; en mensaje <35872AD6.18B0@pacbell.net>...
>I NEED A MOD FOR MY RADIO SHACK PRO-90 TRUNKTRACKER PLEASE HELP

2279. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3588c4c9.104357344@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156662

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

geovet@ix.netcom.com (George Vetterle) wrote:

> On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 03:15:23 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
> wrote:
>
> >John T. Arthur wrote:
> >> Amateur radio callsigns have absolutely no bearing in this newsgroup,
> >
> >Then tell that to the fella who STARTED the issue of amateur radio
> >callsigns, FOOL! I only ansered him!
>
> Why must you always resort to name calling and flames?
> How sad :(

Poor ol' Smacksie has a rare disorder called
eye-rectitis......where his optic nerves
got crossed up with his rectal nerves and
gave 'im a shitty outlook.

The poor fellow is loaded down with handicaps
and maladies. You can't expect 'im to be
civil and sociable.

Just look at the unfortunate sap's eyelids, fer
Pete's Sake. Where most of us drink coffee
or take NoDoze to stay awake, Smacksie has
to take Viagra.

Actually, I feel sorry for the poor wretch.

2280. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3589c762.105022078@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156663

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> >
> > Phil Smalley wrote:
> >
> > > And I would suggest that you drop yourself and a bowling ball off the
> > > World Trade center and see which one hits the ground first.
> >
> > George is OK, that was not appropriate. :(
>
> So the hell what?! Who the f*** do you think you are anyway? Been
> reading Miss Manners or something? Get lost Mackerloon! Geez, you were
> less of a toilet sponge when you just flamed people. This net cop crap
> is ridiculous! You've been skipping your medicine again haven't you??

He takes Viagra regularly to stay awake.

:-)

2281. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358ac7c0.105115732@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156664

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu> wrote:

> On Tue, 16 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> > George Vetterle wrote:
> > > Let me know when you're ready to start.
> > >
> > I would suggest you start immediately.
>
> Fine - but when will YOU start..?

He won't. The poor, poor fellow....

2282. Spectrum Analyzer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobby Woolard <cheeetah@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9a90$6428b740$1375d6d1@ogleboog>
Source record: 156665

Does anyone make a n adapter that will allow you to use a good oscilloscope as a spectrum analyzer?

The one and only,

Oglebooger

2283. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9a93$6b72d640$971937a6@default>
Source record: 156666

For 30 feet RG8 wouldn't be too bad. I use RG6 and RG11. For the best use Belden 9913 but I don't think you will notice much difference unless you are listening to 800mhz simplex.

Good luck,
Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Agent X <agentx@xyzerols.com> wrote in article
<35885444.155912140@news.erols.com>...
> A buddy of mine is building a shed in his backyard and he wants to
> mount an antenna to it for his scanner. From the antenna to where his
> scanner will be is no more than 30 feet. I've got some RG-8/U coax
> laying around.....should we use it? Buy something else?
>
> I'd appreciate any advice on properly grounding the antenna against
> lightning strikes as well.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Eric Doersch
> Remove "xyz" from e-mail address to respond.
>

2284. Re: John Mackey - Once a Liar, Always a Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: seven@datasync.com
Message-ID: <3588bfe1.13653624@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 156667

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 03:15:13 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Richard Kendrick wrote:
>
>> With literally dozens of people slamming you into their kill filters
>> hourly, there is not other plausible reason for you to change the
>> headers.
>
>No, I hve been conducting spam filter tests for the good
>of all people who want to use e-mail. This could become
>valuable if we are able to develope criteria for e-mail
>that would block junk e-mail. More or less, this is
>pioneering!
>
>

You know i m truly uembarrassed that a fellow ham is behaving this
way. I wish both Mackey and Bill would keep this crap in email and be
done with it.
Rec.radio.scanner not Rec.radio.asshole
It is behavior such as this that belittles us all.
And U.S. Hams wonder why foreign Hams won't talk to us.

KC5WCP-who prefers his scanners over his ham radios these days.

2285. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3588D7A5.27A8@parg.org>
Source record: 156668

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:
SNIP

this was a forged message, I did not write it!

2286. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3588D87F.2CBC@parg.org>
Source record: 156669

George Vetterle wrote:
> Just *who* is this *we* you're always talking about?

The members of this NG.

2287. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3588D899.816@parg.org>
Source record: 156670

George Vetterle wrote:
> Why must you always resort to name calling

If the shoe fits......

2288. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3588D8EC.5C72@parg.org>
Source record: 156671

Richard Kendrick wrote:
> Yeah, just like that postcard you posted that you claim was from Bill

I was REQUESTED by members of the NG to post it, so I did!

That web cop badge was NOT requested, it mearly wasted bandwidth!

2289. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3588D91A.5412@parg.org>
Source record: 156672

Bill Cheek wrote:

> The poor fellow is loaded down with handicaps
> and maladies. You can't expect 'im to be
> civil and sociable.

Don't talk about George like this, he is OK.

2290. Re: Richard Kendrick- Once a Liar, Always a Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3588D993.5746@parg.org>
Source record: 156673

Seven wrote:

> >Richard Kendrick wrote:
SNIP

> You know i m truly uembarrassed that a fellow ham is behaving this
> way.

Yea, it is hard to believe that Kendrick is a ham!

> I wish both Mackey and Bill would keep this crap in email and be
> done with it.
> Rec.radio.scanner not Rec.radio.asshole

WELL SAID! I hae asked Bill to do this several times
but he refuses. :(

2291. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3588D9B2.76E2@parg.org>
Source record: 156674

Salvatore Gambino wrote:

> Translation: Been there, done that. Don't have no desire to
> do that right now.

WRONG!

I have no desire to do that PERIOD!

2292. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9a9c$0bccf8c0$971937a6@default>
Source record: 156675

I thought the badge was both fitting and entertaining. It was no more of a waste of bandwidth than any of your posts. Quit complaining and enjoy life, or is this how you enjoy yourself ???

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article <3588D8EC.5C72@parg.org>...
> Richard Kendrick wrote:
> > Yeah, just like that postcard you posted that you claim was from Bill
>
> I was REQUESTED by members of the NG to post it, so I did!
>
> That web cop badge was NOT requested, it mearly wasted bandwidth!
>

2293. Re: Spectrum Analyzer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <35892872.7D0B@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 156676

Bobby Woolard wrote:
>
> Does anyone make a n adapter that will allow you to use a good oscilloscope
> as a spectrum analyzer?

Its going to take a LOT more than an "N" adapter to adapt an
oscilliscope to function as a spectrum analyzer. A company called
"Scientific Workshop", distributes a kit which is based on a TV tuner
that will allow you to use an oscilliscope as a spectrum analyzer.

An oscilliscope operates in the "time domain" and a spectrum analyzer
operates in the "frequency domain".

2294. NYC\NJ UHF CH16 Links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6mavsk$adg@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156677

While for years I have seen UHF frq's published for links to Marine CH16 I have never been able to confirm this. Anyone aware of a link to Marine CH16. Thanks

2295. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EuqwoH.IC4@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156678

On Wed, 17 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Salvatore Gambino wrote:
>
> > What's it with you challenging everybody all the time?
>
> I simply asked him his callsign. A REAL amateur radio operator
> is NOT afraid to give their callsign.

Why *should* he give his callsign in a SCANNER group? This stuff has
nothing to do with amateur radio. His callsign is almost as irrelevant as
YOU are, Mack-hole..!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2296. Re: Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Euqwrz.ID9@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156679

On 16 Jun 1998, Charlie Dwenger wrote:

> Baba,
> Check with Bill Cheek. He may sell them, I`m not sure. bcheek@san.rr.com
>
> Good Luck,
> Charlie
>
> Nobody <baba-booey@iname.com> wrote in article
> <6m5vss$7ql$5@zonzorp.bconnex.ca>...
>
> It would be helpful if I could get the SCA decoder fully
> assembled.... Ramsey won't do that :(

SCA adaptors can be obtained (in both wired and kit form - even installed in your radio) from FM Atlas, c/o Dr. Bruce Elving, PO Box 336, Esko, MN 55733. Write for his list and prices first!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2297. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Euqwtq.IE8@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156680

On Wed, 17 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > And I would suggest that you drop yourself and a bowling ball off the
> > World Trade center and see which one hits the ground first.
>
> George is OK, that was not appropriate. :(

True. Appropriate would have been to urge you to use the shotgun in your closet...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2298. Re: Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EuqwvM.IF4@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156681

On Wed, 17 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
>
> > I got a better idea; why don't *you* read it to us?
> > I'm sure you've still got it memorized. You certainly
> > seem to know what it says. You're chomping at the bit
> > for Bill to post it, aren't you?
>
> I have NO idea what it says, which is WHY I asked him to post
> it. What a FOOL you are, if I did know, WHY would I asked!

Hey Mack-hole: Whatever happened to your simplistic campaign to END THE
FLAMES in this newsgroup?

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2299. Re: NOAA on UHF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcarra@xmission.com
Message-ID: <35890013.6689480@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 156682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 16 Jun 1998 23:52:54 GMT, mm505@mindspring.com (505) wrote:

>Rich Fitzgerald <rfitzgerald@ik.pathnet.net> wrote:
>
>>UHF channels are used as links between the weather office issuing the
>>forcasts and the VHF base that re-transmits the information on the WX
>>channels.
>
>If you would get out a Police Call directory, you would see that the
>above info is correct. In Atlanta, the signal is uplinked on 410.1000
>Mhz.
>
>/\/\|k.e
>

I just got Police Call and checked it out. One of the frequencies I noted is listed as weather service while the other is listed as general USA.

Thanks to everyone who responded to my question!

Best,
Joe

2300. Re: St Michaels, MD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl <ksmokeyAT@erolsDOT.com>
Message-ID: <358941A4.139@erolsDOT.com>
Source record: 156683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bob Schoenleber wrote:
>
> Need public safety and any other interesting frequencies for St
> Michaels area and Talbot County.
>
> thanks...bob
> Bob Schoenleber
> Bucks County,PA
> 40 14' 44"N**74 50'47"W
> "On the Delaware River"

Try this site:---> http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Maryland/

Karl in Maryland <<Land of Taxes>>

2301. FS Yupiteru MVT 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9abe$0990f300$7be4b7ce@winbook>
Source record: 156684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Yupiteru MVT 9000 FS in excellent condition with box & Manual
400.00 Shipped to the 48
--
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG
charlie@netset.com
```

2302. Does anyone here know of an affordable unblockable scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mike O." <mikeo@stsi.net>
Message-ID: <35891899.1BA09B9B@stsi.net>
Source record: 156685

If you can send me the information i would be appreciative. I really do not need all of the features of the AOR AR8000 ,,, just need to listen and want my first scanner to be a good one. Thanks

--
One more,,,,on me,,,,,one more

2303. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mb6pk$75l@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156686

I would like the ability to run through the sound card.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy
RySoft Corporation
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Zane Lewis wrote in message <6m86m0$4k6$1@yeppa.connect.com.au>...
>I am currently writing a high end POCSAG decoder and I am looking for
>suggestions on what features people would like in the decoder software.
>
>The final release will be a commercial product but beta copies will be made
>available within this newsgroup for testing prior to final shipping.
>
>Presently we have multiple POCSAG inputs on one PC (up to 10 incomming
>POCSAG data streams).
>Full bandwidth analysis is performed reporting on address, idle and sync
>codeword utilization as well as frame utilization.
>Present software oversamples the POCSAG data at 4 times oversample with
some
>snazy algorthims for resync lost bits (it written in such a format that the
>512 input can actually be anywhere from about 480 to 530 bps).
>
>Message logging will be standard.
>
>Anyone who makes some suggestions that are implemented will receive a
>complementry copy of the decoder software.
>
>As a point of interest we are incorporting the POCSAG input into one of our
>paging terminals so that we can interface with third party paging systems
>that do not support TAP or TNPP.
>
>Regards,
>
>
>
>Nathan Buzza
>
>
>

2304. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mb74q$8ck@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156687

FYI: John Sanders (the original poster) said nothing about a call sign.

--
73 de Ryan Faricy
RySoft Corporation
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <3588865E.6C2C@parg.org>...
>John T. Arthur wrote:
>> Amateur radio callsigns have absolutely no bearing in this newsgroup,
>
>Then tell that to the fella who STARTED the issue of amateur radio
>callsigns, FOOL! I only ansered him!
>

2305. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mb77d$8ln@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156688

If you want this Mackey-Cheek thing to stop, quit responding to him!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy
RySoft Corporation
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <3588D91A.5412@parg.org>...
>Bill Cheek wrote:
>
>> The poor fellow is loaded down with handicaps
>> and maladies. You can't expect 'im to be
>> civil and sociable.
>
>
>Don't talk about George like this, he is OK.

2306. FS Pro-2005 $275 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: wfm <wmerrell@parsley.epix.net>
Message-ID: <6mb7nbmkb1@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 156689

```
For sale Pro-2005, $275. Has had both the speed
and cell mods done. Excellent condition.
bill
```

2307. pre-selector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: C <cmagli@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9ac3$b16490a0$5d5c56d1@default>
Source record: 156690

Does anyone know of an active pre-selector for scanners or freq. counters?

2308. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35890422.F983E63F@netwit.com>
Source record: 156691

Eric F. Richards wrote:

> allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:
>
> > Uh......me again.
>
> > My liability is a $200 scanner on a $1400 computer. Got a $15
> dollar RS antenna
> > on the roof with an aluminum ground wire running from the mast to an
> iron stake
> > in the ground.
>
> Back in the bronze age when Z-80's ruled the world, the standard was
> to spend 10% of the equipment cost on the lightning/surge protection.
> That comes up with a $161 figure.
>

Perfect. That's the answer I was looking for. That might be the answer
I'm looking for.

> Your iron stake does nothing. Nothing short of an 8 ft rod is useful,
>
> if you want a "ground rod." You might try buried radials, but unless
> you're resodding the yard, I wouldn't bother. LOTS has been written
> about proper ground systems, and I don't have all the knowledge
> necessary.
> (Next good step up from the 8 ft. rod is the cold water pipe at the
> entry point to the house, IF your water pipe is copper.)
>

That's depressing. The house has the plastic stuff inside, but it
connects to a copper pipe that runs from the street. Will that work? If
I connect the ground wire to that copper pipe.

2309. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35890663.AF647A25@netwit.com>
Source record: 156692

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> George Vetterle wrote:
> > Just *who* is this *we* you're always talking about?
>
> The members of this NG.

Whoa dingleberry. Take a look around the tree-house. It's a real steady pattern on the subject lines:

Message from Mackey
Message from someone who hates Mackey
Message from Mackey
Message from different someone who hates Mackey
Message from Mackey
Message from still another different someone who hates Mackey
Message from Mackey
Message, still another Mackey hater checks in.
Message from Mackey disguised as RadioFan, Compania, Fred@banana.net.etc.
Message from another legion of Mackey haters.

Get it? Those voices you hear in your warped little grape aren't NG denizens. You're confusing delusion with reality. Again.

You're here alone.

You'll always be alone.

You'll die alone.

You friggin' loon.

2310. Mackey Lying Here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35890719.2525133E@netwit.com>
Source record: 156693

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
>
> > Why *change* anything in your header? What is the
> > purpose behind that? Be specific; why do you change your header?
>
> I hve been conducting spam filter tests for the good
> of all people who want to use e-mail. This could become
> valuable if we are able to develope criteria for e-mail
> that would block junk e-mail. More or less, this is
> pioneering!

Guess what dirtbag? I don't believe you. I believe you change
little things in your header to worm around filters. You arn't smart
enough to construct a convincing paragraph much less a decent piece of
software.

You're a loser. EVERYONE ON THIS NG KNOWS IT!

You may be the only that doesn't.

You friggin' loon.

2311. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358d2cc7.130989307@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156694

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Geoff <geoff@theswanns.demon.co.uk> wrote:

> What a very well written letter and i agree with the content fully but
> such a good command of english i feel i wasted on imbeciles like the
> ones menioned therein as it will be like the sea surface from the deck
> of the titanic - so far above them they cant even grasp that they are
> being talked about. It is a shame that people like this who geet their
> kicks or turn-ons by going out of their way to spoil other peoples
> pleasure have nothing else to do with their time & money.
> Hope that this newsgroup can be cleaned up of these idiots, who have a
> fantasatic ability to act as morons so easily without realising they are
> doing the damage they are doing.

There is no way I can improve on Bill Conduit's
reply to your above post. He says it all. I hope
you followed it, word for word. The "Etc. Guy's"
prose goes into great depth, but the meaning is
there. Let me, however, simplify......

There is no "shame" on this or any newsgroup.
This newsgroup does not need to be "cleaned up".

If there is "shame", then you are a part of it.
If the NG needs a good cleaning, you will be
cleaned right along with it.

The Etc. Guy was right. You want control. But
once you have it (or someone else gets it) you
(they) will err. This is evidenced by the undeniable
fact that YOU ALREADY HAD CONTROL....and lost it.

Self control.

You can control your own computer, you know, and
in that control, you wield the power of the Universe.
You can, in a heartbeat, SNUFF anyone, any subject,
or any string of words.....to utter oblivion.

You already possess that power.

There is no shame, even from the Idiot. The only shame is your choice to create it. You can discreate it, too. There are a hundred ways you can paste the Idiot up side the chops and send him whining and sniveling outa here. Do it. Do it in the way that suits your fondest desired.

The NG does not need a cleaning, but if you want it cleaned, you and you alone can do it in the twinkling of an eye. And there's not a wretched thing I or anyone else can do about it. YOU already possess the power and the force. So use it.

Or not, according to pure choice. Your choice.

Don't make it incumbent on others to clean it for you. They might clean you, too.

Read up on good ol' Shakespeare. He said of REAL LIFE that "all the world's a stage, and we are the actors upon it".

IF there is ANY semblance of pertinence and truth there, even 1%, then it's a Cosmic Truth for VIRTUAL LIFE like here on the newsgroups. This is not reality, man. It is a virtual forum...an intangible nothingness that we create in the figments of our minds...and we certainly ARE actors here.

The IDIOT chooses to be a villain. So what? You apparently choose to be a messiah or perhaps a high-brow or a hero. Okay. Another chooses a stiff upper lip. Another chooses the humorist. Some snivel, whine, and cry. Some are 1-way...they read and never post. Others post and never read. Some read and post, but never reply or get personal.

Just try to understand that if this newsgroup is "cleaned up", it will cease to exist. To see what I mean...try it for yourself. Turn your computer OFF.

There! That did it. Poof!

Or go onto a DOS prompt, and type: FORMAT C:

There. See? Poof! You do posses the power.....
so stop trying to delegate and assign your own personal responsibilities to others. I'm sure if they check their birth certificates, they will not see any stipulation to live up to your standards.

Get a grip on that. And then exercise your freedom of choice by reading what you WANT to read and don't read what you DON'T WANT to read.

It is that simple. And in that manner, YOU can clean
up the newsgroup and surgically excise ALL shame,
and all fruitcakes, and all idiots. Once and for all.

Now read one more time what the Etc. Guy had to say
to you. He wasn't attacking you. He only held up
a mirror up for you.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2312. Re: Re: SCA decoder plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061815500900.LAA05636@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156695
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>
Subject: Re: Re: SCA decoder plans?
>From: "John T. Arthur"
>Date: Thu, Jun 18, 1998 07:47 EDT
>Message-id:
>
>On 16 Jun 1998, Charlie Dwenger wrote:
>
>> Baba,
>> Check with Bill Cheek. He may sell them, I`m not sure. bcheek@san.rr.com
>>
>> Good Luck,
>> Charlie
>>
>> Nobody wrote in article
>> <6m5vss$7ql$5@zonzorp.bconnex.ca>...
>>
>> It would be helpful if I could get the SCA decoder fully
>> assembled.... Ramsey won't do that :(
>
>SCA adaptors can be obtained (in both wired and kit form - even installed
>in your radio) from FM Atlas, c/o Dr. Bruce Elving, PO Box 336, Esko, MN
>55733. Write for his list and prices first!
>
>Some assembly required.
>Batteries not included.
>Void where prohibited.
>
>

Bruce is still doing this? That's great to hear - I didn't know if he was still
active or not. I used a ton of his kits back when "Agriviser" was on SCA
instead of online!
Neutrodyne
```

2313. Re: Why Not a Moderated Group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358e366b.133457593@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156696

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

bc895xl@aol.com (BC895XL) wrote:

> I'm sick of this Mackey/Cheek crap. There's alt.fan.bill.cheek,
> alt.fan.john.mackey, alt.radio.scanner.flamefest and nobody uses those.
>
> Will somebody explain how to go about setting up a moderated rec.radio.scanner
> group so we can get rid of this crap once and for all?

Just go start one. It's easier to start a
newsgroup than to take over and change one.

This reminds me of a slogan of the hippies of the 60's:

"What if they gave a war and nobody came?"

<shrug>

2314. Re: Fort Erie Southern Ontario Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6mbdmj$1b9e$3@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 156697
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Matt318 wrote in message <6m8rtd$m79$1@supernews.com>...
>Does anyone have links to sites with freqs. for the Fort Erie,
Niagara Falls
>area of Southern Ontario, Canada?
>Any help would be appreciated

Visit the NF2G Scannist Pages Ontario Section at
www.ggw.org/nf2g/ontario_index.html

Follow the link to the Niagara Regional Municipality.

73 de Dave, NF2G

2315. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061815575100.LAA02423@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156698
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>
Subject: Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna
>From: nafana@peganet.com (Nafana)
>Date: Thu, Jun 18, 1998 02:46 EDT
>Message-id: <6mad4a$1ac@andromeda.peganet.net>
>
>In article <3583A989.FB4A96A9@netwit.com>, allsafe@netwit.com says...
>>
>>D-Boy wrote:
>>
>>> Is it possible to connect three handheld scanners to a single
>>> antenna? I
>>> would like to be able to use three at once, each scanning different
>>> frequencies with the reception benefits of an external antenna. Any
>>> thoughts on this?
>>
>>I tried doing this with 2 scanners and one world-band. I lost of
>>boatload of signal until I got rid of all the splitters and ran
>>dedicated coax to the radio I used most. People on this NG had advised
>>me on this, and their advice proved to be gold. You wouldn't believe
>>how much signal is lost through those splitters.
>>
>>> Thanks
>>>
>>> D-Boy
>>
>>
>
> The trick is to use a good quality low-noise broadband preamp before the
>splitters. In fact- best place is right up at the antenna so that the signal
>is amplified before any coax loss. The preamp will establish the noise floor
>and provide enough gain to compensate for the losses in the coax and
>splitters.
>
> -Naf

This is good advice, but try to get a preamp with an FM trap & test it well
while you can still lower it & move the amp to the bottom if strong TV or radio
broadcast signals cause intermod and images. I live "in the country" but I'm
within 5 miles of 2 UHF 5 million watt TV transmitters & a 50,000 watt FM
broadcaster. It takes a lot of experimenting to use a preamp on anything here,
so do your homework on what is nearby and plan accordingly. I went through
several "bad" amps when I first moved here, before I figured out why I was
```

getting channel 15 at 26 places on the TV dial & all over the scanner!
Neutrodyne

2316. Re: Any MODS for the Bearcat SC150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358f3799.133759482@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156699

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

jhurst@vt.edu (Jamie Hurst) wrote:

> In article <3588c32b.72262014@news2.cts.com> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) writes:
> >From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
> >Subject: Re: Any MODS for the Bearcat SC150?
> >Date: Tue, 16 Jun 1998 19:10:45 GMT
>
> >Ryan <ryanak@flash.net> wrote:
>
> >> Any MODS for the Bearcat SC150?
>
> >Dozens.
>
> Like What???

Read my SCANNER MODIFICATION FAQ that's
posted here periodically. It tells WHAT.

Else ask a specific question for a specific answer.

:-)

2317. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358c1fb4.127635420@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156700

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> Bill, I have been using a 2-way splitter for 2 of my scanners for many
> years and have had no problems. Sure all the local police, sheriff & fire
> are repeaters but as you know living in California the CHP does not use a
> repeater system.
>
> I hear CHP cars from 75 to 80 miles away. This is just as good as my
> dedicated low band scanner for CHP. For some reason I have never noticed a
> loss on low band, just 150mhz and above. I will agree, there is loss above
> 150mhz, but with multiple reapeaters all around me I never have a problem.

Copyrighted (c) 1998 Bill Cheek <All rights reserved>

True that lower frequencies propagate farther than
higher freqs. This is because the attenuation rate
of a radio wave is proportional to not only distance,
but also frequency.

Still, 75-80 miles range for VHF-Lo strongly suggests
something else is in the equation, probably YOUR
location. Line of sight still applies, though less
so on VHF than UHF, but it is still a predominant
factor for reception. A combination of your location;
the height of the antenna above ground, and the
quality of your antenna and coax cable are certainly
major role players in your success.

If, somehow, you are getting a space-wave from these
CHP sites, then a measly 3 dB loss in a splitter just
won't make a difference. So long as you have 10-20 dB
of Signal + Noise to Noise ratio, you'll have full
quieting...which means anywhere from 0.75 to 2 microvolts
is all the signal you need. You could be near the edge
of hearing and not hearing, and never know it because
a fully quieted signal "seems" like it comes from next
door. The ear cannot discern distance of the majority
of FM signals.

Brass tacks.....you hook up a Y-gate to a garden hose
to perceive what I mean.....that a splitter causes
the unit signal to divide, 50% one way and 50% the other.

The logarithm of 0.5 or 1/2 is -0.301. Converting to decibels, dB = 10 Log (Ratio) = 10 Log (.5) = 3.01 dB, a whopping loss for weak signals; and yet an inconsequential loss for stronger signals.

In review, your particular circumstances do not make a case for using one antenna to feed multiple scanners. You just have something else going for you that seems to defy the obvious losses.

Any radio link, whether it's Points A and B on the ground, or Ground A and Aero B, or Aero A and Aero B or earth-to-satellite and satellite-to-earth, or even earth-to-deep space, etc, can be accurately and reliably modeled with a fairly simple equation. It consists of gains and losses, each readily analyzed and calculated. It is not magic.

I say this....because at times there does seem to be magic thrown into the equation. Ducting, for example, whether via the troposphere or conveniently shaped land masses, can apparently throw a "magic factor" into the link equation. Valleys, for instance, can duct a radio wave far beyond what the standard link equation suggests. This isn't magic, though, because when all the data are known, the equation proves up. Add geo-mass to the possibilities for success at your site.

I am reminded of an interesting discovery of the behavior of radio signals made up on the North Slope of Alaska many years ago. Seems a microwave site was needed between Prodrome Bay and Valley. No problem. A series of repeaters, point-to-point, as is done everywhere else, would be the design goal.

Catch-22 was a certain rugged mountain in the middle of one desired path. To go around the mountain meant several more repeater sites and massively higher costs, or.....go over the mountain with one link. A passive repeater was determined to be feasible and cheap since the mountain peak was inaccessible for routine and normal maintenance. A passive repeater is like a large billboard placed in a key position to reflect radio waves arriving from one angle into a shadow zone farther down the link. They're cheap...require little maintenance....and they work.

So some siting studies were set up with a transmitter at "A" on one side of the mountain and a receiver at "B" on the other side. No way A could talk to B, right? Well, while the teams were waiting for helicopters to bring the passive repeater in, they fired up their sites for

fine tuning, aiming, and such.....and LO! Site B
heard Site A ..... LOUD AND CLEAR.

Impossible! But there it was. No fades, either. This
oddity brought in the theoretical engineers by the droves.
The first thing some bright fellow observed was the
craggy, sharp peak of the mountain. No rounded surfaces.
No erosion. Just sharp, stark edges, and in the path,
it was even like a KNIFE EDGE. Sharp! Clean. Smooth.

Knife edge? Yaaaahoooooo! The answer was simple.
Physicists have long known of the knife-edge diffraction
phenomenon with respect to LIGHT. Seems light BENDS or
diffracts across a "knife edge" with MUCH LESS LOSS than
across a comparable, but rounded surface. Another bright
mind recalled that light waves are radio waves, so was
that mountain actually diffracting the signal down into
the valley on the far side? Yes! Mystery solved. No
devil or magic at work there.

To the best of my knowledge, they never did stick that
passive repeater in there. They just aimed the dishes at
the sharp edge of the peak and let it go at that.

The moral here is that there's more to things than what
meets the eye. Clearly, you can't broadcast most radio
signals at a mountain and expect superb reception on the
other side.

And the final conclusion here is that the casual scannist
should not be encouraged by your unique success and try
to run two or more scanners from one antenna. And even
in your case, with that resident 3-dB loss, there are
signals out there that YOU are missing. So long as you
don't care about them, well and good. But if you want
to hear them, there is no recourse but to remove the
splitter and go "direct".

<shrug> It's a matter of physics. I just dare you
to stick a Y-adapter on a garden hose and still get 100%
of the normal flow out each leg. You do THAT and I'll
concede that you and David Copperfield have something
in common....and then wonder how's come you're not in
show business.

heh heh heh.....

Copyrighted (c) 1998 Bill Cheek <All rights reserved>
-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2318. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6mbdmi$1b9e$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 156701

Emoney250 wrote in message
<1998061805162600.BAA29747@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>Good luck on the ability to scan the Nextel systems technology. I
heard that
>it is impossible with their high tech encryption.

"Impossible" doesn't apply. If it were "impossible" to decrypt
NexTel's iDEN system, their users would be unable to receive any
traffic.

2319. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <358b3b50.4595248@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156702

Not if you're using a QRP transmitter.

2320. BC9000XLT for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: drunyon@abcs.com
Message-ID: <6mbhpm$v01$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mint condition Uniden Bearcat BC900xlt scanner for sale. Has tone board installed! Have box and all paperwork as well

First $300 gets it!!! You pay for FedEx COD shipping costs (about $10-$15).

Respond to: drunyon@abcs.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2321. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <vvbi1.182$Zy5.3328414@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 156704

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:
> Eric F. Richards wrote:
>> (Next good step up from the 8 ft. rod is the cold water pipe at the
>> entry point to the house, IF your water pipe is copper.)
>>

> That's depressing. The house has the plastic stuff inside, but it
> connects to a copper pipe that runs from the street. Will that work? If
> I connect the ground wire to that copper pipe.

As long as that copper pipe goes on more than just a couple feet outside the house to connect to a plastic pipe, that's what I'd ground to. You should use copper wire -- heavy wire, or heavy braid if you can find a good electronics house -- to connect to it. Connecting copper to aluminum directly is asking for trouble.

Oh, and be sure you aren't grounding to a gas line or a hot-water pipe, unless you want to make the 6:00 news... :-)

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2322. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: djbond@citilink.com
Message-ID: <3589447c.6548927@news.citilink.com>
Source record: 156705

it depends. Not on your antenna you use for reception but it will work
on your antenna for conception.
--
dj

Oglaigh na hEireann.

2323. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: derickam@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980618172019 00.NAA14454@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156706

<it depends. Not on your antenna you use for reception but it will work on your antenna for conception.>

Bravo.....heh heh heh

:)

derick

2324. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarke1@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mblpf$6hg$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156707

> Zane Lewis wrote in message <6m86m0$4k6$1@yeppa.connect.com.au>...
> >I am currently writing a high end POCSAG decoder and I am looking for
> >suggestions on what features people would like in the decoder software.

Grouping capcodes, ability to copy to database, sort, group multipart messages together, even after the fact, use with sound card would really be nice.

Good luck...

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2325. Yo? Mackey? I want you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35894105.136171780@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156708

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi ya Smacksie. How ya doing, peckerwood?
Ready to go sailing again? Wait, listen....

It occurs to me to tell you about *my*
"spam filters" and otherwise fondly known as
my Shit List. The one that you and your goooood
buddies live on most of the time. Yes, I want
to tell you about MY Shit List and how good
it has become.....but first, I want to comment
on yours.

You're a tuna-lick. A friggin tunalick. Oh,
you don't know what a tunalick is? I coined
the word to avoid doing injustice to the world's
lunatics. It's not fair to them to call you
a mere lunatic. Most lunatics don't know
they're lunatics, see. But you know. You
know good and well what you are. You're a
flippin, freakin, friggin tunalick......

Which is all the more evident with this ignorant
claim that you're working on "spam filters for
the benefit of Mankind". What an idiot.....

Well, it was several months ago that you
unknowingly found a way to get past my Shit List,
and that only served to make me more determined
to lock you out. Now you CAN'T get in anymore.

Not only can I lock you out by name, call sign,
e-mail address, and by subject lines, I can also
stuff your zitface even farther down the list
and filter out your ISP, and any element of the
PATH that your ISP uses to send news out. I can
also filter by expressions, euphamisms, message
ID's, and general content..... and I haven't even
told you my secrets, tunalick....just the ordinary
stuff.

In other words, Smacksie m'boy, I'm fixing to
plant another Size 13EE crosswise on your
sorry patooty. And there ain't a damn thing you

can do about it. Remember the movie, BILLY JACK? Remember where he told Pozner he was going to kick him upside the left ear and there wasn't a damn thing he could do about it? Well, it's like that. exactly like that.

I ain't even gonna know you exist anymore. SNUFF! Whack! Bap! You're fixing to get flushed along with you-know-what-else. And there ain't a thing you can do about it.....except maybe PRETEND here in public that it doesn't faze you. Ha ha ha ha.

But I did want to tell you that you're a sorry lout for not taking me up on the deal I offered you recently. You know, the one where we don't talk to each other, we don't talk about each other; we don't even THINK about each other? Why you couldn't agree to that is beyond me.

But, you showed your colors on that one, you friggin tunalick. It's now impossible to find the words to accurately express what I think of and about you. I mean the words don't exist. I could say you're lower than whale shit, but that does injustice to whales and their droppings. You are lower, though, but words do not exist to convey the degree of lower....

Anyway, it doesn't matter. I'm fixing to TEACH you a good lesson on filtering and Shit Lists. And I'd like to see you penetrate this one. In effect, I'm hosing you down and then jamming you down the "john" where you belong. And in the process, I expect others will learn how to do that to you, as well.

So in effect, you may yet make your contribution to humanity by instigating the drive for knowledge of how to use Shit Lists, Twit Filters, and Kill-Files to stick you where you belong. You're a natural-born loser, you friggin tunalick. So I am done with you and the likes of you, including all your aka's, fakes, bogusus, and tomfoolery.

Now with no further hesitation or ado, here is what I want you to do......

Turn around; bend over; and grab your ankles. Don't bend your knees, either. Keep the legs straight.

Hupppppp! I said LEGS STRAIGHT, lad!

There.....that's a good boy....good, very good.

Hold the position.

Now then.......

<as Size #13EE lands crosswise>

......KAAAAA-BLAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAP! <whoosh>

<wiping sweat off brow as Smacksie once again
goes majestically sailing through the air with
the greatest of ease, just like the man on the
flying trapeze.>

Adios tunalick. Sayanara! Hasta la vista. Bon voyage.
Happy sailing. Vaya con Beelzebub.

<reminiscing> Ahhhhhhhhhh.............. You sho wuz a
live one, Smacksie.

<hollering> Careful, don't hit that Cessna up there!
Mid-air collisions are not in vogue these days.....

<dusting self off - hitching up britches -
combing hair - throwing the Size 13EE in the
trash rather than clean it>

<Sigh..... of relief>

<Activating Shit List> Click!

....and Peace settles over the Valley......

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2326. SC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <35895062.496E8ACB@flash.net>
Source record: 156709

If I already have an SC150 (Bearcat), is it worth byeing a Pro-64,
despite the extra features?

--
|cemann

email: mailto:ryanak@flash.net

Those people who tell you not to take chances, they are all missing out
on what life's about, you only live once so take hold of the chance,
don't end up like others the same song and dance. ---James Hetfield

2327. Re: Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358956C9.580F@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156710

Steven Peck wrote:
> I have found the command sets all over the place on the net but none are
> complete. There have even been rumours of corrupting the EEPROM's in the
> unit with software commands. The 3rd party programs arent implementing the
> PCR-1000 100% because they too are missing the support from Icom.

Well, this might be risky, but I was able to find a few undiscovered commands for the BC895 by writing a simple little program that sent it every possible extrapulation of a known command. For example, KEY01 activates the manual key, so I had the program try each possible variation of the KEY command (KEY00 to KEY99) and then watched to see what happened. You might be able do the same on the Icom commands (I haven't seen them, so I don't know). However, as you mentioned, you run the risk of sending it bad data which could corrupt the EEPROM's. If that happened, I'd just send it back to Icom and play dumb: "I dunno... it just quit working..." Seems to me that it isn't designed very well if you can corrupt the damn thing by just goofing around..

If you try it, let us know what happens!

-Phil

2328. Re: John Mackey is a lying sack of crap - HERE'S PROOF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <35895867.59AD@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156711

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
>
> I didn't make that post. It was a FAKE!

Yeah, I thought you'd say that. This is unreal - you mean to tell me
that someone hates themselves so much they'd degrade themselves by
posting as YOU??? HA HA HA HA!!!! You are a real comedian...
>
> So give the date & subject for verification via dejanews! I bet you
> can't!

I quoted the message in it's entirety, which you conveniently snipped
from your reply, in typical Mack-tard fashion. The subject had to do
with memory for sale and it was posted yesterday numbnuts. You should
know, you wrote it. All anyone has to do is search for "KAOSSF" and the
date of 6/17/98 and they'll see all your usual B.S. INCLUDING that post.
>
> Who cares?

Obviously you do. Otherwise, why reply??

You friggin' loon!!!

-Phil

2329. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Geoff <geoff@theswanns.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <PnLyjBAkHFi1EwP9@theswanns.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 156718

What a very well written letter and i agree with the content fully but
such a good command of english i feel i wasted on imbeciles like the
ones menioned therein as it will be like the sea surface from the deck
of the titanic - so far above them they cant even grasp that they are
being talked about. It is a shame that people like this who geet their
kicks or turn-ons by going out of their way to spoil other peoples
pleasure have nothing else to do with their time & money.
Hope that this newsgroup can be cleaned up of these idiots, who have a
fantasatic ability to act as morons so easily without realising they are
doing the damage they are doing.
>On 14 Jun 1998 12:16:30 GMT, k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) pedantically
>proclaimed in the following unperspicuous peroration to all:
>
>> "James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>>
>>>> Because this is a public place and this crap needs to be stopped.
>>
>>Hmmph. And all this time I thought the 1st Amendment protected free speech.
>>Silly me.
>>
>>Dave, K4CC
>>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>>Nashville TN
>
>Correct, you have the right to free speech and it is protected by law.
>But the last time I checked, internet access and Usenet were not
>rights. They are privileges that you and I in one way or another pay
>for. You do not have "THE RIGHT" to internet access just like you do
>not have "THE RIGHT" to drive a car. Rights are things protected by
>treaties or laws and again the last time I checked, there were no laws
>that required any internet service provider to give you access to the
>internet. Try abusing your internet/Usenet access and your internet
>service provider's Terms of Service and when they cut you off, see how
>far crying the 1st will get you. Keeping your posts on topic really
>has nothing to do with free speech. It does however have everything to
>do with respecting the news group charter and the ability of all to
>participate in the conveyance of on topic information to each other.
>And to do this without the burden of having to wallow through the
>quagmire of insults, flames, and other posts that undoubtedly
>discourage many from reading the group.
>The Cheeks, Mackeys, MALwhatevers, and others have gone far out of
>their combined ways to ruin this news group with their ego wars. Since
>these kind of ego wars AKA flames are not widely considered abusive by
>internet access providers, and this news group is not moderated, the

>participants are free to post whatever off topic trash they desire but
>do so at the expense of others suffering a reduced ability to use the
>news group for which it was created. Those that do not wish to endure
>the never-ending stream of insults, and childish diatribe have options
>however. One (my favorite) is using a news browser that affords the
>ability to filter the unwanted posts by author. And as long as the
>author does not modify his posting name, the filter will continue to
>allow the reader to skip the author's posts. And the other choice is
>to simply not pull headers from this news group.
>Unfortunately, one participant of this ego war namely John Mackey has
>already changed his posting name to avoid the filters of those that
>wish not to read him but wish to read this group. This kind of move
>shows even less respect for others than does his continuing off topic
>dialog. One can however create as many filters as it takes to skip a
>certain author's posts. It is pitiful that one would have to change
>posting names with each new post just to get around a kill filter but
>I have seen it done. I hope Mr Mackey and co. do not resort to this
>show of absolute disrespect.
>You know it really is a shame that the handful of feudists who ruin
>this news group with their mockery, gibe, girds and jeers cannot
>harass each other privately without an audience. However, I guess
>there would be no mutual enmity between the opposing factions of this
>ongoing and unending feud if there were not an audience.
>
>
>Peace
>
>DT

--
Geoff

2330. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <Jb_h1.146$Zy5.2943223@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 156719

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:
> Agent X wrote:
>> I'd appreciate any advice on properly grounding the antenna against
>> lightning strikes as well.
>>
[...]
> But the grounding of the antenna is the same question I posed. I ran the
> aluminum ground wire from RS from the antenna to an iron forming stake
> that I drove in the ground. But everybody says that's no guarantee my
> stuff won't fry.

> Mine's pretty vulnerable. I've got my Pro 2032 patched into the sound
> card of my 'puter. One good strike and I'm screwed.

Or even a not-so-close one.

> Everybody here
> commented on certain devices that do this or that to protect your system
> when lightening storms are in the area. But no-one would confidently
> assure me that any of those devices are a better safeguard than unplugging
> your antenna lead from the back of your scanner when lightning is in the
> area.

There actually is, because you can still get a) sideflash from your wire
to the scanner and computer, or b) surge via the ac lines.

You might want to do a search using Deja-News for Gary Coffman's postings
on this subject in rec.radio.amateur.antenna and rec.radio.amateur.equipment.
To paraphrase his discussion, what you want is a "ground window". This is
a single copper plate to which all your equipment is DIRECTLY grounded to
(no chaining of ground wires between equipment) and to which all of your
surge supressors -- ac, phone, coax -- are mounted on. All your ground
rods/systems must also be bonded together -- that's in NEC, and your
homowner's insurance might be invalid if they aren't. You also need
good supressors: see www.polyphaser.com -- they are expensive, but whats
$10 more than an MFJ special if it works and really will handle a direct
strike?

With this arrangement there must be no wire to the "outside world" that
doesn't go through a supressor at the copper plate -- even a single wire
will render it ineffective. Polyphaser sells a book called "The 'Grounds'
for Lightning Protection" or something like that -- they're a serious
company. (God's sake, they sell a supressor for nuclear EMP effects,
though I wonder how you are going to make a warrantee claim if it fails...)

Gary, are you lurking out there? Can you fix my errors in the above?

Eric
--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2331. Freqs for IL Railway Museum Needed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: llklodt@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061801532900.VAA00872@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156720

I am going to the IL Railway Museum in a few weeks and was wondering if anyone had any freqs for the rr's around there? Thanks for the help!

LARRY :-)

2332. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bd9a5c$7fc30d40$ed6be0c7@godzirra>
Source record: 156721

```
It's not the SIZE that matters, It's how you use it!
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~
```

2333. Re: Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Aw_h1.307$B34.261874@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 156722

Did they do the unthinkable and publish any of the command set? Was it an unbiased write up that discussed the current dissatisfaction many users of the PCR-1000 have with Icom right now? QST is a hard find in Canada but if it covers these subjects off I wouldn't have a problem tracking it down. As I am curious to find out what Icom is willing to say to their customers. My PCR has been great, no problems but their stance really goes against what you would expect from a communications receiver manufacturer these days in supporting their product.
Thanks.

Derickam wrote in message
<19980617184510000.OAA08138@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>July 1998 issue of QST page 62, four pages. Read it off the rack.
>Just another source for those pondering about the subject matter.
>
>Derick

2334. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: agentx@xyzerols.com
Message-ID: <358a76bc.164738035@news.erols.com>
Source record: 156723

On Wed, 17 Jun 1998 22:05:09 -0400, allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Eric F. Richards wrote: You might want to do a search using Deja-News for Gary
>Coffman's postings
>
>> on this subject in rec.radio.amateur.antenna and rec.radio.amateur.equipment.
>> To paraphrase his discussion, what you want is a "ground window". This is
>> a single copper plate to which all your equipment is DIRECTLY grounded to
>> (no chaining of ground wires between equipment) and to which all of your
>> surge supressors -- ac, phone, coax -- are mounted on. All your ground
>> rods/systems must also be bonded together -- that's in NEC, and your
>> homowner's insurance might be invalid if they aren't. You also need
>> good supressors: see www.polyphaser.com -- they are expensive, but whats
>> $10 more than an MFJ special if it works and really will handle a direct
>> strike?
>>
>> With this arrangement there must be no wire to the "outside world" that
>> doesn't go through a supressor at the copper plate -- even a single wire
>> will render it ineffective. Polyphaser sells a book called "The 'Grounds'
>> for Lightning Protection" or something like that -- they're a serious
>> company. (God's sake, they sell a supressor for nuclear EMP effects,
>> though I wonder how you are going to make a warrantee claim if it fails...)
>>
>> Gary, are you lurking out there? Can you fix my errors in the above?
>>
>> Eric
>> --
>> Eric F. Richards
>> efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
>> "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
>> - Dilbert
>
> Thanks. I'll do the search. I'm a little lost in what you're telling me,
>other than I'm not very well protected at all. Lemme take a look at Gary's
>stuff.

Thanks for the input, guys. My friend won't be keeping his equipment
hooked-up to the antenna when he's not around, but I suggested to him
that we figure out how to redirect a strike's energy to the ground
without destroying his (huge) shed. I'll keep my ears open for the
coax question. I appreciate the speedy replies.

Eric Doersch
Remove "xyz" from e-mail address to respond.

2335. BEARCAT 895XLT WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN" <piper@dhost.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9a79$81539220$6a87b0cf@default>
Source record: 156724

ANYONE WHO KNOWS WHERE TO GET A GOOD DEAL ON AN 895XLT AND SOFTWARE FOR IT
PLEASE EMAIL ME PIPER@DHOST.COM

NEW OR USED OK

THANKS

2336. BEARCAT895XLT WANTED!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN" <piper@dhost.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9a79$307f2080$6a87b0cf@default>
Source record: 156725

CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHERE TO FIND A GOOD DEAL ON AN 895XLT ?

NEW OR USED IS OK !

I'M IN TORONTO , CANADA , BUT I WILL PURCHASE FROM U.S. IF THE PRICE IS
RIGHT .

2337. Re: Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <n__h1.156$Zy5.2980193@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 156726

Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca> wrote:
> Did they do the unthinkable and publish any of the command set? Was it an
> unbiased write up that discussed the current dissatisfaction many users of
> the PCR-1000 have with Icom right now?

HA!!!

"We're not worthy, ICOM, We're not worthy!" Monitoring Times, at its
very worst, never gushed like this in a review. I hope it pisses enough
people off that QST/ARRL gets innundated with letters.

Most out of touch review I've ever read in any magazine in any field.

Period.

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2338. Re: I`ll show those bastards. they can`t do this to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: L Laffer <lsl@pplw.nev>
Message-ID: <01bd9a6b$60366e40$57e7869f@default>
Source record: 156727

Robert L Bass <alarmist@home.com> wrote in article
<35880cc0.182372092@news.randori.com>...
> John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >Monty Python wrote:
> >
> >> faggot maggot
> >>
> >> thank god they're homosexual...
> >
> >Why do you like homosexuals so much?
>
> The original post which started this thread was a forgery created by
> Jeffry Lynn Morris.

Bobo,you really ARE as clueless as you appear. First, anyone can tell who really made that forgery. (anyone but you) and in fact the original text is "you" anyway, so it really only amonts to a reposting.
Secondly, the people here are only interested in seeing you and your entourage disappear into the sunset, they couldn`t give a tinker`s damn if you`re right or not. (for any of you with a perverse curiosity Bass is never right except in his tiny mind. A quick perusal of Dejanews using his name an nazi, jewish, gay, aids, irish, child molester, placenta and so forth will keep you entertained for hours on end)

In the last you`re about to end your days terrorising people on usenet, Bobo.

Larry

2339. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <97%h1.158$Zy5.2980193@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 156728

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:
> Thanks. I'll do the search. I'm a little lost in what you're telling me,
> other than I'm not very well protected at all. Lemme take a look at Gary's
> stuff.

I'll look on my home news-spool (which goes back 3 months) for some of
his stuff and I'll post it here (after asking) if I find any. He's
pretty serious about lightning, too -- always liked his signature:

> Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
> 534 Shannon Way | We break it |
> Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

Kinda sez it all... ;-)

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2340. ***TRADE: SEVERAL RCVRS+SCANNER 4 SW RECIVER***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: teenham@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061802442700.WAA08763@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156729

Hello there...

I have the following equipment I would like to either sell, or trade for a digital readout shortwave reciever with SSB such as the RS DX-390 or something of that type.

Radio Shack PRO-2009 Base Scanner. VHF/UHF Direct Entry Programmable Scanner. 10 channel memory, Digital PLL Frequency Readout, Search feature, Freq Coverage: 30-50 MHz, 144-174, 410-512 MHz. Made in Japan. Includes AC cord, and telescopic antenna (the manual is found online at www.radioshack.com). Works fine, good condition. Catalog Number 20-109

General Electric UHF HT. 2 Channel Capability, CG Mode (Channel Guard, like a PL Encode/Decode.) Channel one currently set to 466.437 MHz, channel two not set. Includes rechargeable NiCAD battery and UHF Rubber Duck antenna (also BNC connecter for base/mobile antennas.) GE MPI Series. Works fine, but battery needs charging.

Radio Shack Digital-Tuning 4 band AM/FM/SW Reciever DX-370. Freq Range: 530-1710 kHz, 3.2-7.3 MHz, 9.5-21.75 MHz, 87.5-107.9 MHz. Digital PLL frequency readout. SW reception is AM mode only. Low battery warning, LCD "tuned" indicator. 20 memories, 24 hour LCD quartz clock with sleep timer and alarm for waking. MINT CONDITION, no scratches WHATSOEVER. Have orginal box, packaging, and manual. Small size. Requires 4 AA batteries. Catalog number 20-211.

Motorola Spirit VHF Recievers. Two recievers, both currently set to 138.325 MHz, both include Rechargeable NiCAD batteries, and charger. Work great, very good condition.

If you have any offers, please email me. Like I previosly stated, would like to trade for a SW reciever with SSB or sell (would rather trade, though.) Or if you have anthing else of interest to trade, email me.

Thanks, 73,
Eugene D.
N3ZVM
TeenHam@aol.com

2341. Re: Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Wh%h1.319$B34.289083@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 156730

Eric F. Richards wrote in message ...
>HA!!!
>
>"We're not worthy, ICOM, We're not worthy!" Monitoring Times, at its
>very worst, never gushed like this in a review. I hope it pisses enough
>people off that QST/ARRL gets innundated with letters.
>
>Most out of touch review I've ever read in any magazine in any field.
>
>Period.

I see. I think the main problem is that the reviewers are not computer people. I program, develop large scale databases etc for a living and deal with computers and technology everyday. Icom had a great idea but they are radio people not computer people. It is only the last few years that integration of the two specialities has started to occur. Well at least I know I wont waste my time looking for any new information from Icom at least not if the review is that bad. Even if it isn't it sounds like no one is touching on the issues surrounding the unit.

2342. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6ma0bc$n8m@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156731

In <PnLyjBAkHFi1EwP9@theswanns.demon.co.uk> Geoff
<geoff@theswanns.demon.co.uk> writes:
>
>What a very well written letter and i agree with the content fully but
>such a good command of english i feel i wasted on imbeciles like the
>ones menioned therein as it will be like the sea surface from the deck
>of the titanic - so far above them they cant even grasp that they are
>being talked about.

You are being elitist and
repressive. yet, it's
true.

but what you have on any NG
is that there are no rules,
really.

it's a fun place to be.

it's a place to have fun.

it's a place to learn, maybe.

it's what it is.

You cannot change what is. That
is what it is.

it's a bar-brawl and everyone for
the door or for the happenings...
it's language of the bar and the
church all into one NG...

what i object to with your post
is that you pretend that this is
the only NG where it's not nice
to fool around with your "pig-parent"
nature....your wish to be in control.

you are in control when you filter
out who you filter out...that is what
is...you decide for you and you and
what you want to be YOU. No one else
can do this.

Those who read the NG idiot do so at
their choosing. Most of us now just
filter or don't bother. It's your

choice to read. Or not. Most of us
go on clicking as we are in that mood
for.

That is what the NG offers. It's a
mood-ring kind of thing: you are in
a way one day and another way another
day...makes you day sometimes to read
the IDiot's puke.

You can pretend as Dorothy did in her
world or you can just filter it and
not be bothered by it, because there
are NG's far worse than this one....

the IDIOT is just that. Blithering.
Nothing upstairs. My guess is he is
a total geek and is still waiting for
puberty to be paid for. His mother
will loan him the money.

So what you are in angst over is stupid.

Why are you allowing the IDIOT to work
you into this "vacuumus" abyss of stupidity.

question mark.

you choose to be affected by The IDIOT. No
one does it to you. You do. You make you
write the ditty and get off on it because
it gives you recognition for being upset.

you are allowing THE IDIOT to upset you.

Why?

All you have to do is "think" and he will
not be able to keep up with that. he does
not think. That is his stupidity.

If you want to be in his league, his level,
then allow him to make you into his drone and
write about him...it gives him orgasmic release.

some of us don't allow the IDIOT to work us.

We work him. Or not. I choose not to anymore.

I made that choice.

So why not you? Why not everyone? Then
the IDIOT will cease to mean much to himself
if no one responds. But there are similar
15 to 18 year old clones of the IDIOT who

will continue their diatribe and more stupidity
just to get them heard by their mentor.

you choose or you choose....it's your statement
to go with the flow or to allow it to end.

The seriousness you give this post of yours
as to being Mackey's fault is wrong. The fault
is YOU. You are at fault.

so correct it. Be at cause. Stand up and
filter out or be silent as to anything doing
with the idiot.

Silence is the golden scream.

Silence is the eternal killer of the IDIOT.

silence is the answer.

bill conduit
etc.

2343. Re: Wacky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35888030.6627@parg.org>
Source record: 156732

John T. Arthur wrote:

> You always offer to post irrefutable
> proof and NEVER do so,

Every time I offer, you NEVER ask for the proof. (likely because you are AFRAID of the truth) What proof have I offered that you want?

> Please join the rest of us in ending these flames!

THAT is what we have been asking YOU & the other flamers to do.

2344. Re: John Mackey - Once a Liar, Always a Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35888398.7E30@parg.org>
Source record: 156733

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> With literally dozens of people slamming you into their kill filters
> hourly, there is not other plausible reason for you to change the
> headers.

No, I hve been conducting spam filter tests for the good
of all people who want to use e-mail. This could become
valuable if we are able to develope criteria for e-mail
that would block junk e-mail. More or less, this is
pioneering!

2345. Re: Richard Kendrick is a lying sack of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3588851B.5C49@parg.org>
Source record: 156734

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> He claimed he had written many technical articles. I proved him a liar.

Wrong! You tried to minimize the articles I wrote!

> He denounced the merits of WD-40 in electronic applications. I (and many
> others) proved him wrong with a well researched endorsement from

TRUE! I still say (along with MANY others who have technical
knowledge) that WD-40 is NOT for cleaning variable pots like
YOU claim!

> claimed to have a Masters Degree. I proved him a liar there,
> too.

No you did not! If you insist you did, then re-post your
proof that I DO NOT have a masters degree or be proven to be
the liar & manipulator that you are!

> Most recently, he claims his little antics with his headers are for the
> benefit of mankind because he is testing spam proof email software. I
> proved him a liar there as well.

Really! How did you prove me wrong! You have NEVER made ANY
statement to prove ANYTHING like this!

2346. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35888407.708A@parg.org>
Source record: 156735

allsafe wrote:

> Why *change* anything in your header? What is the
> purpose behind that? Be specific; why do you change your header?

I hve been conducting spam filter tests for the good
of all people who want to use e-mail. This could become
valuable if we are able to develope criteria for e-mail
that would block junk e-mail. More or less, this is
pioneering!

2347. Re: Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358885A0.3A1A@parg.org>
Source record: 156736

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Of course you don't know what it says John.

That is because I didn't write it, FOOL!

2348. Re: Mackey: even lower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358885D8.412F@parg.org>
Source record: 156737

Phil Smalley wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> > Because they want to make trouble, like YOU.

> THAT'S RIGHT!!! THAT'S CORRECT!! BUY THAT MAN A $10 KAZOO!!!! DING!
> DING! DING! DING! DING! DING! DING! DING!

Thank YOU!

2349. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35888627.7F47@parg.org>
Source record: 156738

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Figured out how to
> anonymously mailbomb myself and Bill Cheek yet?

I have no desire to do that.

2350. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3588865E.6C2C@parg.org>
Source record: 156739

John T. Arthur wrote:
> Amateur radio callsigns have absolutely no bearing in this newsgroup,

Then tell that to the fella who STARTED the issue of amateur radio
callsigns, FOOL! I only ansered him!

2351. Re: Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <5F%h1.321$B34.302890@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 156740

Phil Smalley wrote in message <358883B8.65B2@hacgate.com>...
>I agree. I went through a similar situation with Uniden. It seems so
>stupid to me why these radio companies don't publish the command specs
>in the manual. I would think the more people you have writing software
>for your radio, the more radios you'd sell! Go figure..

Actually Icom will release the command set to you under a nondisclosure agreement provided you can prove that your use of the PCR will sell more radios! You were right on! It is like they are taking a few pages from IBM's old days and Microsoft's current views and not realizing that a useable, customizable and documented product will sell more radios than keeping everything under a nondisclosure agreement.

>
>If you can get your hands on some software that runs it, and have a
>second computer available you can monitor the serial data going back and
>forth. That is how the command set for the BC895 was derived (which
>STILL hasn't officially been released - can you believe it??) Look in
>dejanews for posts by A. Giammarino for details on how he did it.
>
>-Phil
I have found the command sets all over the place on the net but none are complete. There have even been rumours of corrupting the EEPROM's in the unit with software commands. The 3rd party programs arent implementing the PCR-1000 100% because they too are missing the support from Icom.

2352. Re: John Mackey is a lying sack of crap - HERE'S PROOF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358886D6.C67@parg.org>
Source record: 156741

Phil Smalley wrote:

> A dejanews archive search will undeniably prove this is true and
> correct, for those who may have any doubt.

I didn't make that post. It was a FAKE!

So give the date & subject for verification via dejanews! I bet you
can't!

> I have spoken.
> -Phil

Who cares?

2353. Re: wildest thing heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: HiTMaN <ANONYMOUS@PARANOID.COM>
Message-ID: <01bd9a63$2d686ca0$49c5accf@default>
Source record: 156742

I LIVE IN STAMFORD CT... Not suprised!

Jeff Miller <jfmil@earthlink.net> wrote in article
<3580E0E2.7C6EC629@earthlink.net>...
> Before Cellphone listening was illegal I heard a drug deal being setup at
the
> Stamford Ct Mariott Hotel.
>
> Liam Byrne wrote:
>
> > A few years ago before digital cellular phones became the norm, I was
> > listening on 935Mzh to analogue mobile phone calls..when I picked up a
> > young foreign "escort" agency girl talking to a client.
> > Unusually the girl was very naive and gave much detail about herself to
the
> > punter, including the fact that she was from Sri Lanka.
> > Finally she gave the actual address of her apartment, ..which stuck in
my
> > mind, because it was so unusual for them to give this information over
a
> > mobile phone .
> > The following day the newspapers and tv news bulletins were full of
photos
> > and details of this girl who had been murdered the previous evening.
> > Of course I contacted the police who visited , and I gave them full
> > details, but unfortunately nobody was ever charged with her murder!
> >
> > Very Sad..but I knew at the time that this girl was pretty new to the
game
> > and was bringing trouble upon herself.
>
>
>
>

2354. Re: Fort Erie Southern Ontario Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "james.elmore" <james.elmore@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <Po0i1.207$Ro2.228919@pm01nn>
Source record: 156743
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have picked up London, Ontario in East side of Cleveland on 154.37(fire department). That is about 70-80 miles across Lake Erie. Fort Erie was around 154.13 I believe from my trips to niagara.

2355. Re: Nevele Hotel- Ellenville NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: tosca@warwick.net
Message-ID: <35909120.36774656@news.warwick.net>
Source record: 156744

On 17 Jun 1998 23:08:43 GMT, rickey456@aol.com (Rickey456) was lurking in rec.radio.scanner, and composed the following about "Nevele Hotel- Ellenville NY":

> Anyone has freqs for the Nevele in Ellenville/So Fallsburg?
> TIA
>
> RickeyStein steinda@umdnj.edu >>or<< rickey456@aol.com

Base is 154.540. Sorry, I haven't found the handhelds yet.

=vt

--
ï¿½ase ELECTRONICA! ï¿½1976 TE Inc.
mailto:tosca@warwick.net
****
* ==PLEASE E-MAIL AS WELL AS POST;*
* ISP HAS BEEN PURGING QUICKLY; *
* MOST RESPONSES ARE MISSED!!== *
****

2356. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35889EB4.5A5A@parg.org>
Source record: 156745

Phil Smalley wrote:
> Then why post anything in the first place?? The whole idea of posting
> even off topic crap like this is to get a response!
> Here, have a badge. You can be Mackey's deputy ;-)
SNIP

How pathetic you are!

MOST people are smart enough to know better than to post a binary
to a NG like this. Now you know why so many people hate you!

2357. Re: Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <Mk1i1.167$Zy5.3047812@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 156746

Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca> wrote:

> Eric F. Richards wrote in message ...
>>
>>Most out of touch review I've ever read in any magazine in any field.
>>
>>Period.

> I see. I think the main problem is that the reviewers are not computer
> people. I program, develop large scale databases etc for a living and deal
> with computers and technology everyday. Icom had a great idea but they are
> radio people not computer people. It is only the last few years that
> integration of the two specialities has started to occur. Well at least I
> know I wont waste my time looking for any new information from Icom at least
> not if the review is that bad. Even if it isn't it sounds like no one is
> touching on the issues surrounding the unit.

The proprietary nature of the command set is only one issue. Two others
are: 1) no error correction/detection in the command set 2) no protection
for "privileged" commands that can misalign or otherwise "break" the
radio. I lied. 3 issues: 3) No "failsafe" mode to get a radio broken
because of (2) back into minimal working order. 4) no "save/restore"
facility. I could go on...

Jeez, even the most simple-minded programmer could have come up
with a packet system similar to Kermit to avoid the first problem,
and a look through a modern modem manual would've given them ideas
about the rest...

I don't know whether to be angry or depressed. I've spent big bucks
with ICOM but I cannot imagine spending more until they get a clue.

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2358. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061805162600.BAA29747@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156747

Good luck on the ability to scan the Nextel systems technology. I heard that it is impossible with their high tech encryption.

2359. Re: Any MODS for the Bearcat SC150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhurst@vt.edu
Message-ID: <jhurst.43.00012F4A@vt.edu>
Source record: 156748

In article <3588c32b.72262014@news2.cts.com> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) writes:
>From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
>Subject: Re: Any MODS for the Bearcat SC150?
>Date: Tue, 16 Jun 1998 19:10:45 GMT

>Ryan <ryanak@flash.net> wrote:

>> Any MODS for the Bearcat SC150?

>Dozens.

Like What???

2360. Re: Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: derickam@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061805211800.BAA00342@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156749

Gee whiz sorry I brought it up......

derick

2361. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <IJ1i1.169$Zy5.3047812@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 156750

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> Uh......me again.

> My liability is a $200 scanner on a $1400 computer. Got a $15 dollar RS antenna
> on the roof with an aluminum ground wire running from the mast to an iron stake
> in the ground.

Back in the bronze age when Z-80's ruled the world, the standard was
to spend 10% of the equipment cost on the lightning/surge protection.
That comes up with a $161 figure.

Your iron stake does nothing. Nothing short of an 8 ft rod is useful,
if you want a "ground rod." You might try buried radials, but unless
you're resodding the yard, I wouldn't bother. LOTS has been written
about proper ground systems, and I don't have all the knowledge necessary.
(Next good step up from the 8 ft. rod is the cold water pipe at the
entry point to the house, IF your water pipe is copper.)

Back to $161... Coax suppressor, depending on cable connector and
frequency range, from last year's price list, between $50 and $60.
The rest of the items (ac and phone protection) will exceed your
$161 limit. You might be able to use the coax suppressor with a
good consumer-grade computer suppressor, but I don't know how I'd
maintain the ground window in such a situation.

> (And when Mr. Lightning comes around I just disconnect...the
> antenna........and stick theendinamayonaisejar AND HOPE Mr. Lightning doesn't
> knock while I've got my paw on the BNC connector).

You can always watch the pretty arcs across the connector in that jar
as lightning strikes nearby.

> Can you tell me more about the NEC standard?

Too big a question to answer here. NEC = "National Electrical Code"
which determines all sorts of things about how wiring -- of all kinds --
should be done. If you're in violation, some insurance companies
won't pay out -- even if that violation had nothing to do with
whatever you're trying to deal with. Most of the time there are very
good reasons why you should stay compliant with NEC, above and beyond
that one.

It's late and I'm beat... more when I'm coherent....
--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu

"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2362. Re: Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <gN1i1.170$Zy5.3047812@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 156751

Derickam <derickam@aol.com> wrote:
> Gee whiz sorry I brought it up......

Don't be. It _is_ a data point. However, from reading the net stuff,
seeing and playing with the box and talking to the ICOM rep attached
to that box, the data point says more about QST than the PCR-1000, IMHO.

> derick

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2363. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35889740.3703043@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156752

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 03:15:23 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>John T. Arthur wrote:
>> Amateur radio callsigns have absolutely no bearing in this newsgroup,
>
>Then tell that to the fella who STARTED the issue of amateur radio
>callsigns, FOOL! I only ansered him!

Why must you always resort to name calling and flames?
How sad :(

2364. Re: Richard Kendrick is a lying sack of crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <358899eb.4386255@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156753

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 03:15:17 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Richard Kendrick wrote:
>
>> He claimed he had written many technical articles. I proved him a liar.
>
>Wrong! You tried to minimize the articles I wrote!

John, get a grip on something besides yourself. Fact is your articles
can't be minimized. You have all the literary talent of a dyslexic
chimpanzee.

>> He denounced the merits of WD-40 in electronic applications. I (and many
>> others) proved him wrong with a well researched endorsement from
>
>TRUE! I still say (along with MANY others who have technical
>knowledge) that WD-40 is NOT for cleaning variable pots like
>YOU claim!

Although that was not the manufacturer's intended purpose,
WD-40 works just fine in electronic applications, *including*
cleaning/lubing pots.

>> claimed to have a Masters Degree. I proved him a liar there,
>> too.
>
>No you did not! If you insist you did, then re-post your
>proof that I DO NOT have a masters degree or be proven to be
>the liar & manipulator that you are!

Why don't you just post the proof that you *do* have one and remove
all doubt forever?

>> Most recently, he claims his little antics with his headers are for the
>> benefit of mankind because he is testing spam proof email software. I
>> proved him a liar there as well.
>
>Really! How did you prove me wrong! You have NEVER made ANY
>statement to prove ANYTHING like this!

Talking to security@sprintnet.com could probably provide some
real insight as to your past uses of slight changes in your personal
information. Heh, Heh, Heh!

2365. Re: Anonymous E-mailer at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35889559.3216298@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156754

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 03:15:19 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>> Of course you don't know what it says John.
>
>That is because I didn't write it, FOOL!
>
>

Why is it you must always resort to name calling and
flaming. How sad :(

2366. Re: Wacky Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35889618.3406832@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156755

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 03:15:11 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>THAT is what we have been asking YOU & the other flamers
>to do.

Just *who* is this *we* you're always talking about? Do you have
a hole in your pocket or something?

2367. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: nafana@peganet.com
Message-ID: <6mad4a$1ac@andromeda.peganet.net>
Source record: 156756

In article <3583A989.FB4A96A9@netwit.com>, allsafe@netwit.com says...
>
>D-Boy wrote:
>
>> Is it possible to connect three handheld scanners to a single
>> antenna? I
>> would like to be able to use three at once, each scanning different
>> frequencies with the reception benefits of an external antenna. Any
>> thoughts on this?
>
>I tried doing this with 2 scanners and one world-band. I lost of
>boatload of signal until I got rid of all the splitters and ran
>dedicated coax to the radio I used most. People on this NG had advised
>me on this, and their advice proved to be gold. You wouldn't believe
>how much signal is lost through those splitters.
>
>> Thanks
>>
>> D-Boy
>
>

The trick is to use a good quality low-noise broadband preamp before the splitters. In fact- best place is right up at the antenna so that the signal is amplified before any coax loss. The preamp will establish the noise floor and provide enough gain to compensate for the losses in the coax and splitters.

-Naf

2368. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6maeah$7p6@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156757

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>> Figured out how to
>> anonymously mailbomb myself and Bill Cheek yet?
>
>
>I have no desire to do that.

Translation: Been there, done that. Don't have no desire to
do that right now.

/Sal

2369. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathon Barton <bristoleer3@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <9781D08C622329A3.B6B807CEC24D60D9.6706060C4E01DD9D@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 156758

Several Burger Kings in the area have signs on the outside of
the drive-through window (like where you pay, not where you
order...)

It says "Braille and Picture Menus Available"

1.) If you need a Braille Menu, what are you doing in the drive
through?
2.) If you need a picture menu, cause you can't read the
regular menu, how exactly is it that you are going to Read the
sign to learn that a picture menu is available?
3.) Why is the sign at the "Pay" window - you know, the place
you drive to AFTER you order from the menu?

J.

Oh, and "McDonalds Coffee is Hot."
duh

>> Why don't most scanners go down to the 27mgz? That way they
could pick
>> up CB radios.... I was just thinking how it would be nice
to pick up
>> the truckers on my scanner.....
>>
>> I'm just curious as to why scanners dont start at around
20mgz?
>>
>>

2370. How to FIGHT for Low Power FM...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rodger Skinner <radiotv@cris.com>
Message-ID: <3588C052.678D@cris.com>
Source record: 156759

OK, folks...it's time to do some serious lobbying of the two top movers and shakers if you want to see LPFM created. Here's what must be done. In addition to your own Congressman and both US Senators, we need to educate these fellows on the merits of LPFM and why it should be allowed to be created by the FCC. These folks oversee the actions of the FCC and we need their support! When calling ask to speak to the legislative assistant (L.A.) who advises the Senator/Congressman on telecom issues. If you can't get through to the Senator/Congressman directly, this is the person you must persuade of our goal of LPFM creation. Email, call, write letters and follow up with faxes. Always be polite and courteous even if you disagree strongly with their positions when speaking or writing these folks.

SENATOR JOHN McCAIN (R-AZ):
Chairman of the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation
As Chairman of this powerful Committee he has direct oversight of all FCC matters. It has been said that he will not support anything that the NAB opposes. We need to educate him on why Low Power FM needs to be created and what benefits it can bring to America. You need to write, fax, email and call his office and tell them you support creation of a Low Power FM service.

Here is a website with McCain's addresses and phone numbers:
http://www.capweb.net/classic/State/AZ/Member.morph?memberCode=S105AZS1

Senator John McCain
Washington Contact Information
241 Senate Russell Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0303
Phone: (202) 224-2235
Fax: (202) 228-2862
Email: senator_mccain@mccain.senate.gov

Here is McCain's website where you can read his speeches and get a feel for what he is about.
http://www.senate.gov/~mccain/

In the US House of Representatives-

CONGRESSMAN W.J. BILLY TAUZIN (R-LA):
Congressman Tauzin serves as Chairman of the influential House Telecommunications Subcommittee in Congress, which has oversight of all FCC matters as well. His support is also necessary for LPFM to be created. You need to write, fax, email and call his office and tell them you support creation of a Low Power FM service.

Here is Tauzin's website with his contact information and some
background info.
http://www.house.gov/tauzin/
Please address your concerns to Billy at one of the addresses below.

You can E-mail by clicking http://www.house.gov/writerep/
W.J. Billy Tauzin
Washington DC Office
2183 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
Phone: (202)-225-4031

Here is a good website with more info on Billy Tauzin. Check it out
before contacting his office.
http://www.capweb.net/classic/State/LA/Member.morph?memberCode=H105LA03

Representative W. J. (Billy) Tauzin
Washington Contact Information
2183 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-1803
Phone: (202) 225-4031
Fax: (202) 225-0563
Email: http://www.house.gov
URL: http://www.house.gov/tauzin/

If you don't take the time to contact these people then you cannot
consider yourself a serious supporter of the LPFM movement. No excuses!
Just do it and get as many others to do it as you can. That's what you
can do to help create a LPFM service for yourself and others. Tell them
that you want to see a LPFM service created as outlined in RM-9242 or
with whatever changes you'd like to see. If you don't do this, you have
let down the LPFM movement and yourself. If we can muster enough
support, we can have LPFM...it's that simple!

Let's tell them what we want them to do before the July 24th deadline
for reply-comments at the FCC. Chairman Kennard cannot do this
alone...he needs your help!

Fightin' the fight for LPFM,

Rodger Skinner
RM-9242 Petitioner
email: radiotv@cris.com
LPFM website: http://www.concentric.net/~radiotv

2371. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6magn9$b9s$1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 156760

Nathan,

Well, I would like to see the ability to, for example, send via email or pager (ironic!) the contents of particular messages to particular CAP codes. A group logging feature, i.e. grouping CAP codes toghether into one group, for example, 'Tinkertown Fire Dept.', so that when any of the CAP codes in that group come up, the name of the group is displayed. It is quite tedious to have to assign the same name to dozens of CAP codes.

Also, make it a 'quick log' feature, where you would right-click on the pager entry of interest, and a pop-up menu would show you something like 'Quick name' and 'Quick note' that would enable you to name and add notes to an entry on the fly.

Maybe you could implement the different protocols as .DLLs, so that more protocols can be added in the future as they come out, it makes things nice and modular.
You could then have pocsag.dll, flex.dll, telmi.dll, etc...

Regards,

Mike

2372. Re: Smalley: even lower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AADDD.3324@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156363

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> No, I don't care waht you do. So far, you've just made yourself
> look like a fool.

Yeah, OK jerko, you don't care what I do, yet you expect me to only post messages that meet your approval? I read your pathetic little "deal". No way. Uh-uh. Forget it. You started this mess and I'm gonna finish it. I will not rest until you shut the hell up. I told you I was gonna wipe the floor with you and I did. And now that I'm ready to move in for the kill you have the nerve to propose a deal to ME? Ho, ho very funny. Ha ha, it is to laugh.

Here is a deal for you. Leave rec.radio.scanner and never come back. Otherwise get used to me and the others letting you have it with both barrels on a daily basis. You don't know a scanner from your own butt, you never post on topic, you lie, you forge posts, you worm around peoples kill filters and have the audacity to accuse others of doing the same. Quite simply, you don't belong here, and I have made it my personal mission in life to see to it that you leave. Period.

Besides, if you really didn't care what I did, you'd filter out my messages, Mr. "email pioneer" <gag>. Yet another case of your actions proving your words to be lies.

See ya around Mack-tard.
-Phil

2373. Re: 895XLT WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980619182901 00.OAA02706@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156364

>From: dconti2@carroll.com

>
>That is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor
>has to "mask" their profit in riduclously inflated shipping and
>handling costs.

Copper Electronics pulled the same scam on me, charging me $20 for shipping. It smacks of dishonesty, even if it isn't.

2374. Re: Advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358A85C7.674874AB@netwit.com>
Source record: 156365

Joe Nicholson wrote:

> -=> Quoting Allsafe to All <=-
>
> Al> But the grounding of the antenna is the same question I posed.
> Al> I ran the aluminum ground wire from RS..........
>
> Aluminum wire has caused more fires and deaths in the
> U.S. than it's prevented. That's why is it now banned.
> I'm surprised RS is selling it.
>

I think what you'e refering to is a brief period of time when electrical service in dwellings were allowed to be wired using aluminum wire. Disasterous results.

The aluminium wire RS sells is heavy gauge "Ground Wire" for use as a ground path for the antennas Harry Homeower (me) installs on the roof. They're not selling this stuff for the puposes of routinely carrying electricity.

However, I've come to learn from this group that my grounding efforts havn't been worth a hill of beans and it looks like I'll be going back up on the roof. I hate getting up there.

2375. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AAF62.1496@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156366

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> You are certainly coming up with some pretty extreme ideas to protect
> your hero, Bill Cheek!

Aww, c'mon fruitcake, this is NOTHING compared to the extreme ideas YOU have come up with to try and smear his name in the mud.

As far as him being my hero, I think that's taking it pretty far, BUT the guy has forgotten more about scanners than you'll ever know. That is fact. And I think someone (read YOU, foolio) is a little *jealous* of that.

Heh, heh, heh... truth hurts don't it moron? But that's OK, you can still deny it and pretend people actually believe you. I won't tell...
-Phil

2376. Re: Mackey CONTROLS his bladder with Depends

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AB348.22B4@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156367

fred wrote:
>
>I will do whatever I want. Don't like it, then use a kill filter.

And don't tell me what to do. Last I checked, God's name was NOT Fred.
-Phil

2377. FOR SALE: Uniden Bearcat BCT-7 Highway Patrol Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: lordviper@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <358aaa79.13342224@news2.new-york.net>
Source record: 156368

This is a fully loaded scanner that covers all (legal) frequencies including 800 mhz and Aircracft. Still in the original packaging and with all the accessories.

Here is a summary:

Highway Patrol Alert
Highway Patrol Scan
Local/County Police Scan
DOT, News & Fire Scan
CB Monitor & Weather Scan
12 Bands with 800 MHz
100 User-Prog. Channels
One-Touch Band Search
AC/DC Operation
Mobile Mounting Kit

Features:

Highway Patrol Alert - Alerts you when you are within 3 miles of a highway patrol unit using a mobile extender.

12 Band Coverage - Including 800 MHz, all public service bands, "Ham" Bands, Federal and Military Land Mobile Bands plus Aircraft and Weather Channel.

100 Channels - Program to your choice of frequencies.

Turbo Scan - This lightning-fast technology enables the BCT-7 to scan and search nearly 100 channels or steps per second. The BCT-7 scans about five times faster than any standard scanner sold in the United States.

LCD Display - Nine digits LCD with back light display for night visibility.

Private Channels - With just the touch of a button you can automatically scan 25 programmed private channels.

Highway Patrol & Police Channels - Automatically scans highway patrol and police channels and your choice of 25 programmed channels.

Fire/News Channels - Automatically scans fire, emergency and news channels and your choice of 15 programmed channels.

State-By-State Scanning - Use the state key to select the two letter abbreviation for the state you are traveling in and the BCT-7 will start scanning the highway patrol frequencies

stored for that state.

WX Channels - Automatically scans all NOAA weather channels and 2 canadian coast guard channels.

Protection - Helps to advise you against all forms of speed measurement including Radar, VASCAR, Airplane, Clocking and Laser.

Lockout Channels - This feature allows you to skip channels you don't want to hear (works for PRIVATE, POLICE, FIRE/EMG, HWY, DOT, FIRE and NEWS channels).

Band Search - The BCT-7 can scan almost 30,000 frequencies and has 12 separate band search capabilities and CB channels (1-40).

Mute - Provides audio mute function when others in vehicle do not want to be disturbed.

Memory Protection - The BCT-7 comes with a memory back-up system that remembers your state selection, frequency selections and deletions

Accessories - Includes DC cord, mounting kit, cigarette lighter plug, mobile antenna, AC adapter, telescopic antenna and a Frequency Starter Guide.

This is an amazing scanner but i don't really need it. It is brand new and has never been used. Make me an offer ($100 at least) and the top one will get it. I will cover shipping!

E-Mail: <lordviper@bigfoot.com>

2378. Re: Mackey CONTROLS Phil Smalley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AB3A5.5D6C@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156369

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
> > Whats the matter John? You're starting to lose it! Wait, I know, it's
>
> How pathetic that the only way you can battle me is to lie & change my
> words!

Yes I know it's sickening, isn't it?

Yet.... you have done ALL of these things as well! Hmmmmm......

Face it bozo. If it cheeses ya off, I'm gonna do it. Count on it.

-Phil

2379. Re: John "That's my story and I'm sticking to it!" Mackey lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AB47F.48BC@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156370

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
> > Oh, so now we add software developer to the list of John Mackey's
> > dubious accomplishments? Gimme a break. You couldn't program your way
> SNIP
> > If you really are a programmer, SNIP
>
> NO, I never said I was a software developer or programmer.

So how are you developing software then? Reverse osmosis??

Why can't you just admit that you lied about why you changed your
headers? If you do, I might even respect that enough to leave you alone.

-PHil

2380. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AB5D9.1455@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156371

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
> > You're a loser and attempting to save face - completely impossible.
> > Talk about pathetic! Sheesh!
>
> Looking in the mirror again?

Yeah, as a matter of fact, I am. I'm damn good looking too! Bet you wish you were... oh well. Enough about me.

Besides, everyone here knows what a loser you are. No one has to say anything about it.
-Phil

2381. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: plukens@imap1.asu.edu
Message-ID: <6me8i1$d2p$1@news.asu.edu>
Source record: 156372

ROTFL - literally!

Phil Smalley (pjsmalley@hacgate.com) wrote:
: John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
: >
: > Once again Bill Cheek is forging posts again. Below is a
: a message that I wrote myself, to pass of onto all of you as a
: > message he forged under the name of "Dong Tong Wah". Next is
: proof inconclusive of my ridiculous and unconvincing claim,
: > the header info for his "Dong Tong Wah" message, followed
: by more inconlusive and speculative "evidence", obtained
: > by a normal message from him. I am posting all this in
: addition to all of the other evidence of my immaturity in
: > it's entirity for archiving via dejanews. If anyone wants
: me to stop, well that's tough. If someone dares to think they ought
: > to contest the validity what I have posted then please
: post a message so I can say that it too, was from Bill.

: You know what John? Just stuff it a sock. I don't know what is worse,
: your supposed "proof" that it was Bill or the fact you think that any of
: us cares. Maybe if you weren't such an EASY target, Bill would leave ya
: alone!

: Naaaaahh... That makes sense, doesn't it?

: -Phil

--
--Patrick D. Lukens
Ph.D. Candidate, History / Format Advisor
Researching US Foreign / ASU Graduate College
Policy Toward Mexico & / Tempe, Arizona 85287-1003
US Immigration Policy / (602) 965-3521
/
Daddy to Victoria, / P.Lukens@ASU.edu
Age 7 /

2382. Re: Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: powervoice98@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6mejal$j5o$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156373
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Another - arse - Like Butt Cheek or is that Bil Cheek
No wait...he is an asshole plain n simple....

He too pedels his Junk in this NSG...

Want a Pre Amp for 9.99..... GO TO RADIO SHACK & PICK UP A TV AMP.!!!

about 10db gain....if you want / need more gain...expect 19.95!!!

Fu*KinG ppl that use a NSG to pedel wares......Guess the real world failed
em...so why not the net huh ?

Ahh well if your gulible to buy from the " PEDLERS " ..heres hoping you get
ripped off!

- Sigh -

This now concludes my involment in this NSG,....the flames....etc..

What did I expect tho with Mackey , Cheek at the helms of the turd pile..

Dont bother to email either....email is not a valid one....web page is still
up tho...for another 6 weeks...

Good Bye to the " Teen Chat " in ths NSG !

In article <358A6915.44A6@swbell.net>,
tracey12@swbell.net wrote:
>
> The Texas Big Ear Pre-Amplifier really brings in those distant
> signals!
> Connect it inline close to your antenna and you'll hear distant signals
> like never before!
>
> This is a fantastic pre-amp for the scanner user who wants to hear
> weak, distant signals.
>
> The Texas Big Ear Pre-Amp is only $39.95!
> Regular shipping is free within the 48 US states!
> To place an order, or to get more info, contact me via e-mail!
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2383. Scancat and Kenwood R-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tosca@warwick.net
Message-ID: <358ebacc.178548920@news.warwick.net>
Source record: 156374
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I can't seem to find proper setup parameters for Scancat Demo to
operate the R-5000. Can any one help?

=vt

--
ï¿½ase ELECTRONICA! ï¿½1976 TE Inc.
mailto:tosca@warwick.net
****
* ==PLEASE E-MAIL AS WELL AS POST;*
* ISP HAS BEEN PURGING QUICKLY; *
* MOST RESPONSES ARE MISSED!!== *
****

2384. Re: I need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: hdutch@wxs.nl
Message-ID: <358ab904.1025104@news.uni-hohenheim.de>
Source record: 156375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

jan@direct.a2000.nl (Jan Ludekuize) wrote:

>For your info Europe is no state of the USA

not yet <vbeg>

kr, harry

PGP Public Key Request simply send mail to
pgp.hdutch@aussie.ch / e-plus 0177-5792525

2385. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AC033.71A8@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jeff Medkeff wrote:
> Good advice. Swamp coolers being so ugly anyway, no-one ever
> seems to look up at them. And one that has sprung an antenna
> is not likely to attract any unfavorable reviews even if
> people did look at them.
>
> Now, what would I have done if I still lived back in Ohio,
> where swamp coolers are not rooftop features....
>

I have seen ads in pop' comm and the like for an antenna that looks like a stovepipe. The idea is that you put it up amongst your other real pipes and soforth sticking up outta the roof and it just blends in, completely unnoticed. I never got one though, so I don't know how good they actually are, but it is a tempting idea for rental tenants like myself. The mag mount works great for me though! I can pick up LAPD from Edwards AFB, which is about 80 miles away. Comes in real handy for all those wild police chases they get. Nothing better than to watch a chase on the TV whilest listening to said chase on your scanner!

-Phil

2386. FS: RADIO SCANNER EQUIPMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jim@kmat.com>
Message-ID: <358AC073.5A2B@kmat.com>
Source record: 156377

Sale!!

goto ----> http://members.aol.com/mozilla2/

take a look

TNX 73

Jim

2387. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2Gzi1.4237$r5.2005156@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 156378

Yes this is Mr. Wah can I help plees?

Brian Hayford wrote in message <3589A668.80CDF92@together.net>...
>Well Bills at It again.Or should I Say "Mr Wah"
>
>Dong Tong Wah wrote:
>>
>> You will call me Mr. Wah plees. I call you Mr. Mackey. ok?
>>
>> You have many peoples not like you. No peoples say
>> like you. Other peoples with brain troubles not like you.
>> This is problems.
>>
>> So I like you. ok? You need one frend. At least.
>> So I be your frend. You be my frends to. Plees.
>>
>> I be watch you longs times. You no talk radios. You no
>> like the radios? I like the radios. I gots a new one is
>> very good is very old but fresh new in cartin. Never
>> opened before I buy for $175. Is pro2004 and black
>> color. My frend Tony Ho say is best radios of all.
>>
>> You know this radio? You gots no radios or which one?
>> I like be your frend and you be my frend and we talks
>> the radios? ok? Mr. Wah

2388. Re: More problems with Cheek!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eKzi1.4240$r5.2008637@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 156379

Mr. Mackey this Mr. Wah and I try be your frend.
May be I make you angry? Just want be your
frend you have not any. ok? Dong Wah
\
John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <3589FD80.3B7D@parg.org>...
>Brian Hayford wrote:
>> Check Out The Signature File(View,Headers,All)They Come from The Same
>> Ip Address
>
>Isn't it sad how some people are trying to deny it even after all
>this evidence!

2389. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <wHzi1.4238$r5.2006737@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 156380

Very sory not know what you mean. This Mr. Wah.

Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote in message
<6mccic$72f@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>My first conclusion exactly.
>
>Did you notice the similarity of the header? I wonder if Billie knows that
>impersonation is illegal.
>
>So-Called Mr. Wah: From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
>Bill Cheek: From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
>--
>73 de Ryan Faricy
>RySoft Corporation
>http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/
>
>If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?
>
>Brian Hayford wrote in message <3589A668.80CDF92@together.net>...
>>Well Bills at It again.Or should I Say "Mr Wah"
>>
>>Dong Tong Wah wrote:
>>>
>>> You will call me Mr. Wah plees. I call you Mr. Mackey. ok?
>>>
>>> You have many peoples not like you. No peoples say
>>> like you. Other peoples with brain troubles not like you.
>>> This is problems.
>>>
>>> So I like you. ok? You need one frend. At least.
>>> So I be your frend. You be my frends to. Plees.
>>>
>>> I be watch you longs times. You no talk radios. You no
>>> like the radios? I like the radios. I gots a new one is
>>> very good is very old but fresh new in cartin. Never
>>> opened before I buy for $175. Is pro2004 and black
>>> color. My frend Tony Ho say is best radios of all.
>>>
>>> You know this radio? You gots no radios or which one?
>>> I like be your frend and you be my frend and we talks
>>> the radios? ok? Mr. Wah
>
>
>

>

2390. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BLzi1.4241$r5.2009860@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 156381

This Mr. Wah yes I have the Road Runner. Also you?
Dong Wah

Salvatore Gambino wrote in message <6mcsq0$kat@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>"Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>My first conclusion exactly.
>>
>>Did you notice the similarity of the header? I wonder if Billie knows that
>>impersonation is illegal.
>>
>>So-Called Mr. Wah: From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
>>Bill Cheek: From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
>
>All that proves is they're both posting from San Diego
>Roadrunner.
>
>/Sal

2391. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <plzi1.4239$r5.2007299@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 156382

Very sory not know what you mean. This Mr. Wah

Brian Hayford wrote in message <3589B7AB.F74F1D14@together.net>...
>Check Out The Signature File(View,Headers,All)They Come from The Same
>Ip Address
>
>Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:
>>
>> My first conclusion exactly.
>>
>> Did you notice the similarity of the header? I wonder if Billie knows
that
>> impersonation is illegal.
>>
>> So-Called Mr. Wah: From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
>> Bill Cheek: From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
>> --
>> 73 de Ryan Faricy
>> RySoft Corporation
>> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/rfaricy/
>>
>> If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?
>>
>> Brian Hayford wrote in message <3589A668.80CDF92@together.net>...
>> >Well Bills at It again.Or should I Say "Mr Wah"
>> >
>> >Dong Tong Wah wrote:
>> >>
>> >> You will call me Mr. Wah plees. I call you Mr. Mackey. ok?
>> >>
>> >> You have many peoples not like you. No peoples say
>> >> like you. Other peoples with brain troubles not like you.
>> >> This is problems.
>> >>
>> >> So I like you. ok? You need one frend. At least.
>> >> So I be your frend. You be my frends to. Plees.
>> >>
>> >> I be watch you longs times. You no talk radios. You no
>> >> like the radios? I like the radios. I gots a new one is
>> >> very good is very old but fresh new in cartin. Never
>> >> opened before I buy for $175. Is pro2004 and black
>> >> color. My frend Tony Ho say is best radios of all.
>> >>
>> >> You know this radio? You gots no radios or which one?

>> >> I like be your frend and you be my frend and we talks
>> >> the radios? ok? Mr. Wah

2392. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JMzi1.4242$r5.2011027@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 156383

Yes this Mr. Wah. You not the frend of Mr. Mackey?
Dong Wah

Bill Cheek wrote in message <358a0671.186719452@news2.cts.com>...
>sgambino@worldnet.att.net (Salvatore Gambino) wrote:
>
>> "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>> >My first conclusion exactly.
>> >
>> >Did you notice the similarity of the header? I wonder if Billie knows
that
>> >impersonation is illegal.
>> >
>> >So-Called Mr. Wah: From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
>> >Bill Cheek: From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
>>
>> All that proves is they're both posting from San Diego
>> Roadrunner.
>>
>> /Sal
>
>Matter of fact, Sal, it doesn't prove that at all.
>The NNTP-Posting-Host in the header shows where
>posts are injected. Also the last bang on the
>PATH line shows it.
>
>The above just suggests that both of us get e-mail
>via San Diego Roadrunner. I do. I don't know about
>the Wah dude. I sent him e-mail and it hasn't come
>back nor been replied to. The squirrely thing is
>that I don't post from Roadrunner and the Wah guy did.
>
>That and other major differences of the Wah guy suggest
>the above Faricy prick doesn't know his arse from a
>hole in the ground.......NOT a rare malady these days.
>
>Worse still......this Faricy dork crawled out of
>nowhere recently to whine, howl, and blow snotbubbles
>about all the flames and crap on the newsgroup, even
>to the point of threatening to complain to ISP's.
>
>But you know what? The snotnosed brat just can't keep
>from injecting his own flames....and he hasn't made

>a scanner-related post yet.
>
>And he has the gall to wonder why he's on my Twit List,
>too. Looks to me like when God passed out brains, Faricy
>thought he said TRAINS and said, "No thanks, I'm scared
>of them." and asked for a space <ship> instead. There
>sure is a vacuum betwixt his ears anyway..... Reminds
>me of his protoge and hero, THE Idiot.
>
>So whuzzup Sal? You been doing okay?
>

2393. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1Szi1.4243$r5.2015618@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 156384

Mr. Mackey I still wait for your reply plees. You
write ver strange email to me it say only test.
You say no thing else only test. Ver sory not
understand. Not understand Englich much well.
Enough be frend if want. Plees. Thanks you.
Dong Wah

2394. Re: BC 855XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: PTUA97A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <6meilu$4vs0$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 156385

as long as it is a BC855xlt it is modifiable for the 800Mhz band and also can increase the amount of channels from 50 to 100. Simple mods...good luck

2395. IC-R10 pages updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: stuyvenberg@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <358ad2e3.14947748@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 156386
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners

Hello,

My IC-R10 scanner pages have been updated! Please take a look and
bring your serial number with you:-)

http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven/r10

Thanks,

Joost

==========
Joost van Stuyvenberg
Amsterdam, The Netherlands
stuyvenberg@bigfoot.com
==========
for IC-R10 information see:
http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven/r10
==========

2396. Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: reallybigguy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6mepmn$5c$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156387

I just checked out Dejanews and guess what I found. Out of 86 friggin posts, only 6 of Allsafe's posts are related to scanning. The other 80 posts are all flames. All this idiot does is throw gas on the fire. What a loser.
P.S.-Sorry for contributing to the flame factor. I'm just getting tired of seeing Allsafe throw in his worthless opinion.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2397. Re: 895XLT WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AE183.903@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156388

PRO2042 wrote:
>
> >From: dconti2@carroll.com
>
> >
> >That is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor
> >has to "mask" their profit in riduclously inflated shipping and
> >handling costs.
>
> Copper Electronics pulled the same scam on me, charging me $20 for shipping. It
> smacks of dishonesty, even if it isn't.

Actually it doesn't. True, $20 wasn't how much it costs to SHIP it, but
the charge reads shipping and HANDLING. You gotta pay the guy who does
the handling, too. Even a scanner sitting on the shelf costs money, so
you paid a portion of Copper Electronics' lease and utilities, too. The
owner of any business has a choice: pay these costs out of his pocket or
yours. Most businesses will opt to pay them from yours.

Advice: Patronize only those businesses who don't charge you for
shipping (assuming there are any). After all, it's YOUR money, right?

-Phil

2398. Re: coolest thing heard on scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alf Sauve' <alf.sauve@mci.com>
Message-ID: <opBi1.27227$Ro2.1831966@pm01nn>
Source record: 156389
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Nah,

The coolest is when I'm working on a "honey-do", painting the bedroom
like a good hubby and listening to my scanner when I hear a police
dispatch to my house!!!! "See the woman, a Mrs. xxxx about a 10-xxx.

It was at that instant I wish I had memorized the 10 code for the
local police!

Turns out she had discovered some vandalism to our mailbox from the
previous night and wanted to make sure it got reported. Whewwww
wasn't anything I did.

Alf

Jeff Doucette wrote in message <898286562.943410@michelob>...
>
>How about this,......listening to a neighbour and friend planning to
steal a
>chainsaw off of home depo!
>

2399. Lethal Weapon 4 Chase Scene

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <6meoev$59t$1@chile.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 156390
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.tech,rec.music.movies,rec.radio.scanner,rec.toys.cars,sdnet.movies

Audiences agree, with a 91 percent approval test screening, the Lethal Weapon 4 chase scene is awesome!

http://vistagroupusa.com/lethal.htm

2400. Remote access to frequency counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstien <Egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <358aefdf.0@news2.uswest.net>
Source record: 156391
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I want to hide my frequency counter in the womens locker room, but I need to be able to read the data from a remote location.
Any suggestions?

2401. FS CELLWAVE Transmitter Combininers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "j.kyong" <j.kyong@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6meq70$mt9@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156392

Hi all
I have for sale.
CELLWAVE Transmitter Combininers.
4 Channel Cavity. 870MHz combiners will turn down to 860MHz.
Cellwave Model No : 505980
" " : C50598
" " : 505970
Dimensions : 13 X 18 X 13 inches.
Weight : 50 LBS
$ 475.00 Plus Shipping.
Cellwave Power Monitor ZRB101 with DSG-2 seneor $ 275.00 Plus shipping.
Tel: 714-778-5151
Thanks

2402. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358aeca0.245659327@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156393

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:

> I just checked out Dejanews and guess what I found. Out of 86 friggin posts,
> only 6 of Allsafe's posts are related to scanning. The other 80 posts are all
> flames. All this idiot does is throw gas on the fire. What a loser.
> P.S.-Sorry for contributing to the flame factor. I'm just getting tired of
> seeing Allsafe throw in his worthless opinion.

Did it occur to you to check Mackey's record?

Allsafe's 6/86 beats the living snot out of
Mackey's 0/2471.

Besides, Allsafe's other 80 posts were directed
to or about Mackey. Give 'em a break and zero
in on Mackey. He's the CAUSE and the ROOT of
your complaint. Not Allsafe.

Check it out....check the numbers, <shrug>

2403. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358bed85.245888087@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156394

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com> wrote:

> Yes this Mr. Wah. You not the frend of Mr. Mackey?
> Dong Wah

Ha ha ha ha ha. Nooooooooo, I'm not exactly
"Mr." Mackey's "frend". And if you were
halfway smart, you wouldn't be, either.

I noticed that you tried to talk scanners
with Smacksie.... and I guess that was a
good try....but he won't do it. He has
no interest in scanners and scanning.

I see you are on Roadrunner, too. Cool.
It's the hottest thing going...beats the
living snot out of modems, ISDN, and even
a T1 line....several T1's for that matter.

So what part of town are you in? I'm near
the Miramar Air Station.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2404. DSP for PCR-1000 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas A. Gray" <tagger@indy.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9bd2$032c1520$885703c7@tagger.indy.net>
Source record: 156395

anyone out there know where a person might order the DSP unit for the ICOM
PCR-1000?
Thanks for your help.
--
Thomas A. Gray
KB9OCX
Indianapolis IN

2405. Re: Remote access to frequency counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AF94D.3254@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156396
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Emilio Goldstien wrote:
>
> I want to hide my frequency counter in the womens locker room, but I need to
> be able to read the data from a remote location.
> Any suggestions?

Yeah (laugh) go get John Mackey, hop in the car, hit the gas, and sail
hand in hand into the Grand Canyon, Thelma and Louise style. Let us know
how it turns out.

(Louder Laugh) Geez what a mackeroon...

-Phil

2406. Pro-50 Schematic Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa4vrv@srv.net
Message-ID: <358cf6c1.3445268@news.srv.net>
Source record: 156397

Does anyone have a schematic for the Radio Shack Pro-50? If so, I am interested in a copy of one.

Thanks!

Jay
wa4vrv@srv.net
Jay C. Greenberg
WA4VRV
email: wa4vrv@srv.net
Phone: (208)524-1388 Evenings/Weekends
(208)526-7033 Weekdays

2407. Re: SC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AF999.DC3@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156398

Craig Johnston wrote:
>
> Wouldn't that depend on whether the SC150 were "MINT" or not?
>
Hee, hee... my thoughts exactly. Love it!

-Phil

2408. Interesting Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358bf5f0.248043858@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156399

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Here are the vital statistics of John Mackey's
home ISP where he wreaks his vengeance and
conducts his reign of terrorism. Interesting
how PARG.ORG appears to be a customer of
TELEPORT.COM, the host for our fondly missed
Peter J. Boe (PjB) of tvine@teleport.com .

For those who don't remember, good ol' Petey
conducted a long-running campaign of havoc and
terror on this newsgroup.

One wonders if there is a "connection", or if
ol' Petey Boe was just another of Mackey's
legendary aka's. Anyway, below is the pertinent
information for anyone who happens to be casually
interested:

Registrant:
Phantom's Amateur Radio Group (PARG-DOM)
P.O. Box 1586
Beaverton, OR 97075 USA

Domain Name: PARG.ORG

Administrative Contact:
Bousquet, Justin (JB11358) sysadmin@PARG.ORG
503-903-3801
Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
Teleport Network Operations (TLP-NOC) hostmaster@TELEPORT.COM
tel.: 503-223-0076
Fax.: 503-223-4372
Billing Contact:
Bousquet, Justin (JB11358) sysadmin@PARG.ORG
503-903-3801

Record last updated on 20-Oct-97.
Record created on 20-Oct-97.
Database last updated on 19-Jun-98 04:48:55 EDT.

Domain servers in listed order:

NS.TELEPORT.COM 192.108.254.11
NEXEN.NEXEN.COM 204.249.96.18

2409. Awesome Frequency Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny <danny@mrls-limo.com>
Message-ID: <6mev3u$k67$1@nnrp2.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 156400
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out http://www.ventureconcepts.com/scanner for San Diego scanner
frequency information and hot crime re-broadcasts via Real Audio!!!

2410. Re: Lethal Weapon 4 Chase Scene

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AFA06.3DCB@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156401
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.tech,rec.music.movies,rec.radio.scanner,rec.toys.cars,sdnet.movies

Karl Dahlquist wrote:
>
> Audiences agree, with a 91 percent approval test screening, the Lethal
> Weapon 4 chase scene is awesome!

What frequency would that be on? <g>
-Phil

2411. RCA Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358AFD8B.5451@hacgate.com>
Source record: 156402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just saw something interesting at a local amateur radio shop. RCA has a handheld scanner - looks like a nice one too. Anyone out there have one of these puppies? If so, what did you think of it?

-Phil

2412. San Diego Frequency Bank Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny <danny@mrls-limo.com>
Message-ID: <6mevah$n71$1@nnrp2.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 156403

The San Diego Frequency Bank (http://www.ventureconcepts.com/scanner) now offers re-broadcasts of hot crimes in progress. Plus, we also feature the latest information on the San Diego County Public Safety Radio System. Frequencies and talkgroup ID's for Trunk Tracker scanners are also available!!!

2413. RELM WHS 150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Friedman <monkid@hypercon.com>
Message-ID: <358B052C.7D44@hypercon.com>
Source record: 156404

Does anyone have programming instructions and CTCSS numbers for the RELM WHS 150? Bought the radios 2 years ago and can't find the paperwork,
Larry

2414. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358B127C.3C7F0E6A@netwit.com>
Source record: 156405

Dong Tong Wah wrote:

> Yes this is Mr. Wah can I help plees?
>

Yes, honorable Mr. Wah. Mr. Mackey need much help. You very honorable for offering to help. NG think Mr. Mackey very bad man. Very bad man. You help, OK?

You be Mr. Mackey Friend. You help Mackey. You help Mackey with english, it not so good, Yes? Mr. Mackey be wise to accept help from honorable Mr. Wah.

Maybe Mr. Wah help Mr. Mackey english OK?

Mr. Mackey no understand "You friggin' loon". Mr. Wah help Mr. Mackey understand, OK?

2415. pro-2050 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <358A1CDB.9BC2B1E7@owc.net>
Source record: 156406

pro-2050 mods around?
thanks jack

2416. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358B1F91.818F8684@netwit.com>
Source record: 156407

reallybigguy@withateenyweiner.com wrote:

> I just checked out Dejanews and guess what I found. Out of 86 friggin posts,
> only 6 of Allsafe's posts are related to scanning. The other 80 posts are all
> flames. All this idiot does is throw gas on the fire. What a loser.
> P.S.-Sorry for contributing to the flame factor. I'm just getting tired of
> seeing Allsafe throw in his worthless opinion.

Oh Yeah? Well feast your eyes on this big guy..waitaminute...lemme get this thingy unplugged..umph.....there:

Ya see this? It's my SCANNER. I'm holding it up in front of the screen. Can ya see it? *This* is all I had to show at the door to get into the tree house.

And as far as my credentials:

Lemme dig it out.....nope, that's not it...nope....Ah-HAH!:

Check it out!: A *genuine* receipt from RADIO SHACK!

Now,....all I had to show was my scanner to get in here.

But I brought my receipt anyways.

Just in case.

(This post is scanner related, isn't it?)

Now go back to the PARG clubhouse and tell Mackey it didn't work; allsafe just doesn't give a sh*t.

2417. Re: RCA Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <358B1FB6.A47FB323@ibm.net>
Source record: 156408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley wrote:
> Just saw something interesting at a local amateur radio shop. RCA has
> a handheld scanner - looks like a nice one too. Anyone out there have
> one of these puppies? If so, what did you think of it?

It's been about for about a year or so and is nothing more than a
rebadged GRE-made Radio Shack PRO-62. And from what I have heard,
RCA will no longer be distributing them.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

2418. Descriminator Tap on Motorols Bravo or Advisor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: lwpowers@finger.dis.org
Message-ID: <358c20b9.3995616@news1.newscene.com>
Source record: 156409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,tnn.com.pager,alt.radio.scanner

Is it possible? Can anyone give some pointers?
Thanx.

2419. Re: Lethal Weapon 4 Chase Scene

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn P Caron <gpcaronxgi@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mf940$ehk@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156410
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.tech,rec.music.movies,rec.radio.scanner

Karl Dahlquist wrote:

> Audiences agree, with a 91 percent approval test screening, the Lethal
> Weapon 4 chase scene is awesome!
>
> http://vistagroupusa.com/lethal.htm

That is totally inapplicable to what is going on here.

2420. Re: Drive any new car for $100 p/month.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn P Caron <gpcaronxgi@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mf988$ehk@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156411

Drive wrote:

> Drive any new car for $100 p/month.
>
> Any Make
> Any Model
> Any Price
>
> No Down Payment!
> No Bank Fees!
> No Security Deposits!
>
> Home Based Biz. Opportunity-NOT MLM!
>
> For more information,
> call 716-389-9669 and a live operator will take down your Name, Address, Phone,
> Fax (optional), and email (optional) so that we can send you an information package.
>
> It is important that you give this information, since we will not send any info without it.

Does the car come with a scanner? 2-meter set? pre-installed antenna? ANYTHING THAT HAS TO DO WITH THIS TOPIC AT ALL???????????

2421. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn P Caron <gpcaronxgi@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mfaa1$ehk@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156412

Can you guys PLEASE get a life? I'm trying to talk about scanners and all I see is flames from a couple of morons - but at least Bill Cheek tries to stick to the subject. Mackey, or whatever his real name is, seems to be the type of annoying asshole who gets his tiny rocks off screwing around and annoying everyone else. Mackey - if you don't want to discuss the group topic, GET OUT OF THE GROUP. Very simple - so simple even a dumb-ass turd like you can grasp it.

Dong Tong Wah wrote:

> You will call me Mr. Wah plees. I call you Mr. Mackey. ok?
>
> You have many peoples not like you. No peoples say
> like you. Other peoples with brain troubles not like you.
> This is problems.
>
> So I like you. ok? You need one frend. At least.
> So I be your frend. You be my frends to. Plees.
>
> I be watch you longs times. You no talk radios. You no
> like the radios? I like the radios. I gots a new one is
> very good is very old but fresh new in cartin. Never
> opened before I buy for $175. Is pro2004 and black
> color. My frend Tony Ho say is best radios of all.
>
> You know this radio? You gots no radios or which one?
> I like be your frend and you be my frend and we talks
> the radios? ok? Mr. Wah

2422. Re: A DEAL FOR MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358B483C.AF6628EB@swbell.net>
Source record: 156413

Bill that is the same deal I gave Mackey as I know you would go youy way and he can go his.
And as for Mackey wanting to restrict you from giving advice in fears that you will gain some financial benefit proves he does not want to end the flaming , he just keeps adding on conditions that have nothing to do with the subject on hand.

Mackey you need to grow up and realize that you cannot have everything your way , the deal I suggested was a good one , that you go your way and Bill goes his way.
You don't attack him or make any snide remarks and Bill will give you the same consideration.
The deal is for the two of you and no one else, but I know if you would stop your flaming the others would stop theirs as they have said so to you many times.

Now it is up to you Mackey, everyone is watching you if you reject this deal to try and add more conditions it will show you for what you are a fraud , a liar, as all you have been saying is I want the flaming to stop , now prove it , put up or shut up.

2423. chicago transit authority freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <358A2FAD.DF0E1365@owc.net>
Source record: 156414

any cta trains and bus freqs??
thanks jack

2424. Re: chicago transit authority freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Chyzy <chyzy@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <358B3222.B48F4F4@megsinet.net>
Source record: 156415

Hi,......theres to many to list,......just check out police call
(illinois) at radio shack,......there all in there.....

cmb wrote:

> any cta trains and bus freqs??
> thanks jack

2425. Re: Advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358B4F1B.C3D0924E@swbell.net>
Source record: 156416

I personally use no less than 8 guage insulated copper wire as a ground wire, the reason for using insulated is it reduces the effect the weather has on the wire, when running this along the top of a roof or side of the house use some standoffs to keep the wire from touching the shingles or side of the house, this reduces the chance of the shingles or the house catching fire if the insulation melts on the wire, I also scarfed up some of radio shacks lighting arrestors, the good ones with the gas filled tube inside.

Radio Shacks aluminum ground wire is intended for tv antennas that are mounted low on the roof as that is how television shops installed the antennas 30 years ago and most low end installers still use it.

Also use an 8 to 10 foot solid copper ground rod , not the steel type that are copper clad like radio shack sells.
Copper clad ones tend to rust and there goes your ground connection as the rust build up acts as an insulator.

You can find the solid copper ground rods at your local hardware/home center or at your local electrical supply house.
They are not cheap but your house is worth more than the cost of a good ground rod.

Also don't go from one piece of equipment to the next when installing a gound to them , use a seperate wire going from each to the ground and tie them all together outside, also using a good conductive copper impregnated grease will reduce the effects of corrosion on the outside connection.
You can find this grease at a good hardware or auto supply store where they have the electrical or battery supplies.

Your ground protection is only as good as the componets you use, if you use cheap componets that is what you will have in ground protection, use better componets then you will have a good ground.

2426. HERE IS THE "DEAL" JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358B50D8.521D0120@pacbell.net>
Source record: 156417

Effective tonight 6/20/98 @ 0001 PST -

Here is the deal between you and Bill Cheek.

1. You don't talk to Cheek. He doesn't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about Cheek. He doesn't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about Cheek.
Cheek doesn't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about Cheek.
Cheek doesn't even THINK about you.

This is the deal, and the ONLY deal.
YOU abide it; Cheek abides it, and you both have done your
part to making the newsgroup a better forum.

Come on Mackey, show the participants of this venue you have the hair,
integrity and honor to make this work. There are no exceptions to the
above and remember you speak for NO ONE on this ng. No counter offers,
nothing - if you don't accept specifically what's outlined above, you
will get EVERYTHING you deserve from this point forward.

-WireHead

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

2427. Re: Lethal Weapon 4 Chase Scene

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Goldwasser <dsg@soundtrack.net>
Message-ID: <358B5174.579A0B88@soundtrack.net>
Source record: 156418
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.tech,rec.music.movies,rec.radio.scanner,rec.toys.cars,sdnet.movies

Karl Dahlquist wrote:
>
> Audiences agree, with a 91 percent approval test screening, the Lethal
> Weapon 4 chase scene is awesome!
>
> http://vistagroupusa.com/lethal.htm

Man, are YOU a spammer. Are you even paid by Warner
Brothers?

Dan
--
Dan Goldwasser (dsg@soundtrack.net)
Director of West Coast Operations
http://www.soundtrack.net

2428. Re;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jkn@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <566_9806200300@warpgate.com>
Source record: 156419

```
This article was posted in the *.radio.scanner hierarchy.
It was canceled for one or more of the following reasons:

1) spew from or to or about John Mackey
2) binary - discussion newsgroups only
3) spam
4) MMF

--
|WarpGate: Jkn 52:1000/211
|Internet: Jkn@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.
```

2429. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6mdmou$3ms$1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 156420

Just get another Nextel unit, put it in test mode, and change channels until you find the one where another base is tx'ing. Works with most digital cordless phones I've seen too, so much for 'security'.

Mike

Emoney250 escribi&#65533; en mensaje
<1998061906103200.CAA12447@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>I think you know what I meant there. I have a Nextel unit and I am told no
one
>has the technology to scan it with a scanner.

2430. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6mdo7n$56l$1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 156421

CrACKeD escribi&#65533; en mensaje <6mbld8$l7v@nntp02.primenet.com>...
>Then you would like the ability to receive fewer total pages and have more
>errors, in other words?

Not really. I have tried both the POCSAG DOS software with a converter and discriminator input, and Deti's Poc32, and I get about the same rate of success, as high as 97% playing with volume etc.
Today's soundcards can (like the SB AWE64 Gold) be much better A/D devices than your average slicer circuit built from the PCB that came free from a magazine.
Being able to sample data from two channels simultaneously (i.e. I can monitor two pager networks at once with Poc32, two receivers, and a soundcard in Win95), at 44 kHz through high-quality, low-noise components beats a few resistors and transistors put together with blobs of solder...

Mike

2431. Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Luis Araiza <araizal@infosel.net.mx>
Message-ID: <01bd9c09$3c28fdc0$0479f694@mexis.compuserve.com.mx>
Source record: 156422

I live in mexico and need to know which scanner is good to hear cellular frequencies.
Thanks.
Luis araizal@infosel.net.mx

2432. Re;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sysadmin@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <4e3_9806192300@warpgate.com>
Source record: 156423

```
This article was posted in the *.radio.scanner hierarchy.
It was canceled for one or more of the following reasons:

1) spew from or to or about John Mackey
2) binary - discussion newsgroups only
3) spam
4) MMF

--
|WarpGate: Sysadmin 52:1000/211
|Internet: Sysadmin@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.
```

2433. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9b5d$eaccdc40$961a37a6@default>
Source record: 156463

Hey ding-dong ... Yes I mean you Mackey. The last time I looked this newsgroup was for scanners hence the name rec.radio.scanner. This is not a newsgroup for tests. Why don't read before typing !!! Now shut up and go back to your hole and hide.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article <35895D52.3B5D@parg.org>...
> Mike Derryberry wrote:
> > I thought the badge was both fitting and entertaining. It was no more of a
> > waste of bandwidth than
>
> This NG is for test messages, NOT binaries. Read the FAQ!
>

2434. Re: John Mackey - Once a Liar, Always a Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9b5e$7212aea0$961a37a6@default>
Source record: 156464

Phil, not to argue with you or correct you (since you are partially correct) but look up moron or jerk or stupid or turd or idiot and they all have a picture of Mackey for a definition. They all fit him.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote in article <35895D79.3831@hacgate.com>...
> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote the following mountainous festering pile of
> manure:
> > No, I hve been conducting spam filter tests for the good
> > of all people who want to use e-mail. This could become
> > valuable if we are able to develope criteria for e-mail
> > that would block junk e-mail. More or less, this is
> > pioneering!
>
> How, how, HOW can you smoke SO MUCH CRACK and still be alive? You call
> changing your NAME in your headers "spam prevention"?? Hmm, and I guess
> bypassing peoples killfiles and claiming forged messages is just a
> fringe benefit isn't it Mack-tard? You are so friggin' stupid... you
> must be high as a kite - it's the only logical explanation. The mere
> fact that you have unbelieveable gall to consider all of your flames and
> bullcrap to be helping the common good is completely and utterly
> outrageous. The only thing you're good for is shock factor: Just when I
> think I have heard it all....
>
> "Loser" fits you best. Look it up sometime. You'll see your picture next
> to the definition. If you really want to do something that benefits all
> people then drive a car-bomb into Emilio Goldstein's house.
>
> -Phil
> P.S. You friggin' loon!!!
>

2435. Re: The Bill Cheek problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9b5e$cf2e6fc0$961a37a6@default>
Source record: 156465

Sounds good ... let's go with it ... somehow it is fitting ...

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote in article
<358960CB.1C95@hacgate.com>...
> Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> > He takes Viagra regularly to stay awake.
> >
> > :-)
>
> BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!! I now have a new nickname for the
> Moron formerly known as Mackey: Behold...Viagra-boy!
>
> HAAAAAA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA !!!!!!!!!!
>
> Able to leap tall speedbumps in a single bound (well, okay, two)
>
> Faster than mollasses in January - almost
>
> Stronger than the smell of Bandini Mountain (he really should so
> something about that...phew!)
>
> Look! Up on the screen!
>
> It's a nerd!
>
> It's a pain!
>
> It's VIAGRA-BOY!!!!! (Again? God help us...)
>
> Whaddya think - have we got a winner here or what?
> -Phil
>

2436. A DEAL FOR THE TROUBLE MAKERS! was:Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A25FD.2327@parg.org>
Source record: 156466

Bill Cheek wrote:

> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> > The ball is in your court Mackey, are you going to prove you what to end
> > the flames or are you going to prove the rest of us are right when we
> > say you don't want it to end ?
>
> There is no profit in it for him if it were to end.
> He'd have to fade into total ignominy..... No one
> would talk to him. No one would elicit or invite
> his views and opinions. No one would even think
> about him anymore.

What GARBAGE you spew Bill!

I'll make you a deal, since "dmi853" asked, I'll give you another chance
right now!

WE post NO FLAMES, NO SNIDE REMARKES, NO ATTACKS, and no messages
which result in personal financial gain to the newsgroup of
rec.radio.scanner.

There it is Bill, it is THAT SIMPLE!

Show us what you are Bill, show us that YOU ARE THE MAN! Take
part in this deal and get your buddies to do it too.

Allsafe, Phil Smalley, Richard Kendrick, & the other trouble
makers this deal is for you too. Take part in this
& demonstrate your true intentions for this NG to remain
calm.

Bill, allsafe, Phil, Richard, & the rest, if you
take part in this deal you will redeem yourself to
this NG & make it a better place. I urge you to
do it. You will gain respect & I will personally
support you all in the future if others give you
any trouble!

2437. Re: I need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jan@direct.a2000.nl
Message-ID: <MPG.ff42741b894e34b9896e8@news.a2000.nl>
Source record: 156467
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

[This followup was posted to nl.radio.scanners and a copy was sent to the
cited author.]

In article <01bd9aee$a40ab120$4b23d68f@dptsmavs.wainwright.army.mil>,
cjsmith@mosquitonet.com says...
-> This is my first time posting here. I am new to the world of scanning and
->I'm looking for some frequencies for my local area. Here is the tough part.
->I live in Fairbanks Alaska. Any info, help, suggestions, or tips for a
->newbie will be appreciated. Please e-mail me with a reply
->cjsmith@mosquitonet.com
->
->Thanks in advance,
->
->CS
->
And do you really think we overhere in The Netherlands know which
frequencies are used in the USA.
For your info Europe is no state of the USA

--
Bye 4 now
Jan Ludekuize

2438. Re: Oooooh! Did that feel good. What a relief. Almost orgasmic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061910361900.GAA26008@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156468

>Oooooh! Did that feel good. What a relief. Almost orgasmic.
>From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF

>THANK YOU, that is probably the biggest single contribution
>you hav made for the benefit of this NG.

You on the other hand have never made a single contribution to this newsgroup.
You have done nothing but flame and cause trouble.

2439. Re: Mackey CONTROLS allsafe, Smalley, MAlexdr, Cheek, ad nauseum.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061910390300.GAA22084@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156469

>Subject: Mackey CONTROLS allsafe, Smalley, MAlexdr, Cheek, ad nauseum.
>From: fred@banana.net (fred)

>Mackey only has to flinch and these nitwits work themselves into a
>posting frenzy. They talk about nothing else. And none of it really
>seems to bother Mackey. He's got 'em wrapped around his little
>finger.

Before you start condemning the behavior of other people, you should take a good look at your own. How have you been any better than those people? Your sole purpose so far has been to flame and disrupt the newsgroup, you two-bit hypocrite.

2440. FS: PRO-60 scanner w/case and charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkes@fast.net
Message-ID: <6mdem6$376$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 156470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For Sale:

Radio Shack PRO-60 handheld scanner with leather case and wall charger:
$165.00 shipping to lower 48 by UPS included

SPECIFICATIONS:
---
o Continuous coverage from 30 to 512 MHz
o Receives 800 MHz public service, police,fire band
o No cellular and not cellular modifiable
o 200 channels (10 banks of 20 channels)
o Hyperscan scans channels at 25 channels per second
o 50 frequency per second direct and limit search
o 10 independent monitor memories to store frequencies found is search mode
o selectable NFM/WFM/AM modes
o requires 6 AA or nicad batteries

This scanner is in excellent cosmetic and operational condition.
It also includes the original box and instruction book, along with optional
accessories:
1) Soft leather case
2) 9 volt wall charger (for nicads). The charger can be used to power scanner also.

Contact sparkes@fast.net if interested.
Thank you,
Ned Parkes - N3LKT
Eastern PA

2441. Re: Why the lameness?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061910412100.GAA22152@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156471

>From: Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp)

>Because they have an audience.

Instead, why not contribute something positive to the newsgroup? You've done nothing but complain about the behavior of other people when you are just as bad as they are, you two-bit hypocrite.

2442. Re: Why the lameness?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980619104241 00.GAA22186@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156472

>>Subject: Re: Why the lameness?
>From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>

>I have asked Bill Cheek for a truce TWICE, but he refuses
>th offers!
>
>How sad!
>
>

Many, many people have asked you to stop flaming but you persist and persist.
You have posted thousands and thousands of flames. WHEN ARE YOU GOING TO STOP?
Answer: Never.

2443. Re: Yo? Mackey? I want you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061910440700.GAA26251@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156473

>Subject: Re: Yo? Mackey? I want you!
>From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF

>The troubls makers will latch on to ANYTHING if they want to make
>trouble! :(

You of all people know this very well. You have done nothing but flame and cause trouble. How sad.

2444. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: medkeff@NOBULKc2i2.comMERCIAL
Message-ID: <358a43e9.191581569@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 156474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Probably Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> said this:

>However if one wanted to pinch
>their pennies (no easy task in this hobby) and still
>enjoy enhanced reception, they could plop a magmount
>scanner antenna on top of the ol' swamp cooler for each
>scanner they have. Cheap, easy, and you won't hear
>the landlord or H.O.A. bitching about it, cause they
>won't even notice!

Good advice. Swamp coolers being so ugly anyway, no-one ever
seems to look up at them. And one that has sprung an antenna
is not likely to attract any unfavorable reviews even if
people did look at them.

Now, what would I have done if I still lived back in Ohio,
where swamp coolers are not rooftop features....

--
Jeff Medkeff | Check out the s.a.a. photos page at
Rockland Observatory | http://shutter.vet.ohio-state.edu/saa.htm
Sierra Vista, AZ |

2445. Re: prescott arizona frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: medkeff@NOBULKc2i2.comMERCIAL
Message-ID: <358b46e8.192348522@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 156475

Probably lfa2@webtv.net (Lloyd Armstrong) said this:

>need help with prescott az /yavapai county freqs,
>plice, fire, s.o etc.

You might try:

http://www.azwest.net/pcsolutions/scanner.htm

http://www.qsl.net/k7on/azscan/local.htm

These might give you a good start. You could also try doing
a search at:

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

This page was reasonable accurate for Sierra Vista and
Cochise County frequencies, and some state frequencies as
well.

--
Jeff Medkeff | Check out the s.a.a. photos page at
Rockland Observatory | http://shutter.vet.ohio-state.edu/saa.htm
Sierra Vista, AZ |

2446. Swap or Sell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: #mstr-jl@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358e5bb9.5554121@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

The following gear and materials are in excellent condition - except as noted.. No C.O.D's .Some help on shipping - advise zip code in reply. Prices are obo (or best offer)...

May swap (you mix and match) for an 895 Trunk Tracker, HF Rig (old or new) or SWL equipment - Will take or pay difference....

Diawa CN-101L SWR/PWR meter (15w/150w/1.5kw) - Xlnt - $70
Emco PS-12 10amp HD Power Supply - Xlnt - $25
Icom IC-PS15S Power Supply - Xlnt - $100
Oskerblock SWR-200 SWR/POWER Meter - 52/75ohn switchable - dual meters - Xlnt - $55
Kenwood SW-2100 SWR/POWER Meter - dual meters - matches TS-870 - Mint - $90
Yaesu FT 707 Tranceiver - case 7 - Radio stopped transmitting for some reason but still receives fine...I don't know whats wrong. - $175
Alfa 7705 Capacitance Meter - with case - with leads - Xlnt - $50
RS Pro 2041 - 400 chnl scanner - Xlnt - no cell - $175
RS 2032 (NETSET) - 200 chnl scanner - Xlnt - receives cell - $200
RS Pro 2039 - 200 chnl - Xlnt - no cell - $150
RS Pro 90 Trunk Tracker - Xlnt - no cell - $200
RS Pro 33 - 25 chnl - Very Good Cond. - $25

Code Study Tapes - Study Guides - Computer Study Disks
1. 8 tape set - Gorden West Radio School. 4 tapes Novice code class and 4 tech/general theory tapes. circa '83 - $20.
2. Gorden West (RS) Novice Voice Class License Preparation - consisting of two tapes and a study manual. circa '90 $15
3. W5YI - Ham Operator Computer Study Course. Covers theory and code - novice to extra - includes guide & computer disks (IBM) 3 1/2 & 5 1/4 disks. '91 $20.

Books (Antennas)
4. Transmission Line Transformers (Jerry Sevick - W2FMI) '87 - $5 SOLD
5.The Radio Amateurs VHF manual (ARRL) '72. - $4
6. The ARRL Antenna Book (ARRL) '88 - 15th edition. - $12
7. The ARRL Antenna Compendium (ARRL) vol. 1 & 3 - $9 each
8. W1FB's Antenna Notebook (Doug Demaw - W1FB) '87 - $6 SOLD
9. The Quad Antenna (Bob Haviland - W4MB) '93 - $10

Books (Amateur General Topics)
10. Standard Radio Communications manual (Radio Test Procedures and Instruments needed) '85 $14
11. Radio Communication Handbook (RSGB - Great Britain Radio Society (similar to our ARRL Handbook)) '83 - $14

12. The ARRL Operating Manual (ARRL) 3rd edition - $13 SOLD
13. The ARRL Radio Buyers Sourcebook (ARRL) '91 - $10
14. Understanding Amateur Radio (ARRL) ' 77 $5
15. Solid State Design for Amateur Radio (ARRL) 12th edition - $9
16. Hints and Kinks (ARRL) 12th edition - $5 SOLD
17. ARRL Electronics Data Book (Circuit Design) - '88 - $9
18. The ARRL Handbook '90 - $15 SOLD
19. Amateur Radio Encyclopedia (Stan Gibilisco - W1GV) '94 - $15
20. Popular Circuits Ready Reference (John Markus) '82 - $10
21. Communications Circuits Ready Reference (John Markus) '82 - $10
22. ARRL Volunteer Examiner Coordinator Manual (ARRL) - '91 - $8
23. Latest Intelligence (Codes used by Gov, Law, etc.) '90 - $12
24. QRP Notebook (Doug DeMaw W1FB) '90 $4 SOLD
25. QRP Classics (ARRL) '90 $9 SOLD

Inquiries welcomed.

John Lewis - WA6SPA
Hams Helping Hams
St. Louis, Mo. 63116

Remove the pound sign (#) from my E-mail address to reply.

Visit the Hams Helping Hams web site at:
http:www.angelfire.com/mo/wa6spa

2447. Re: Scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <orlscan@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <U8si1.1062$ou4.2425175@news3.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156477

Try Freetracker It's Pretty Good
also PC895 is supposed to be out soon and promises to be a very good as far
as software goes

For the Cable $20.00 at http://www.scancat.com

Jason Webb EMT/EMD
http://www.swl.net/orlandotrunking
The Orlando Florida Trunktracking Page

Dick Ratcliffe wrote in message <6mcva1cqr1@news1.Radix.Net>...
>I have recently purchased a 895XLT. The radio has a "rs-232" port on the
>rear and the manual says it is for third party software and cable. Where
>does one find that information on the web?
>
>

2448. Ham TV in Atlanta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: rdsmyrna@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061911454100.HAA28610@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156478

Several weeks ago I watched some amateurs on TV in the Atlanta area. I believe that it was Wednesday evening about 9 or 9:30pm. Is there a set day and time in Atlanta when hams meet on the TV airwaves for chit-chat? Please respond by e-mail.

2449. Re: Scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061912040500.IAA25480@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156479

>From: "Jason Webb" <orlscan(SPAMbait)@bellsouth.net>
>Date: Fri, Jun 19, 1998 07:42 EDT
>Message-id: <U8si1.1062$ou4.2425

>For the Cable $20.00 at http://www.scancat.com

You can make the cable yourself, very easily, for under $10. Go to www.interplaza.com/bensware and get the instructions along with the demos of Radio Manager and Trunk Manager software.

There is also FreeTracker, which is available at http://www.autowinnet.com/freetrack.html

There are other sources of information. Do a web search.

2450. Re: FCC Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <358c5bf4.1722349@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156480
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>and
>
>http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html
>
><snip>As for PERCON, you can access their site and use
>their current Spectrum database on-line. .....enjoy...

The West Virginia site is down right now due to some sort of systems failure.

That figures. It's the one I was looking for, as I needed a whole state.
Percon's is more up to date, but their searching is limited. I needed the
whole state, which is what the WV one has.

2451. Re: I need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE POLISH STUD <theoldbrooklynkidwow@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <358A6424.4B94@ameritech.net>
Source record: 156481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jan Ludekuize wrote:
>
> [This followup was posted to nl.radio.scanners and a copy was sent to the
> cited author.]
>
> In article <01bd9aee$a40ab120$4b23d68f@dptsmavs.wainwright.army.mil>,
> cjsmith@mosquitonet.com says...
> -> This is my first time posting here. I am new to the world of scanning and
> ->I'm looking for some frequencies for my local area. Here is the tough part.
> ->I live in Fairbanks Alaska. Any info, help, suggestions, or tips for a
> ->newbie will be appreciated. Please e-mail me with a reply
> ->cjsmith@mosquitonet.com
> ->
> ->Thanks in advance,
> ->
> ->CS
> ->
> And do you really think we overhere in The Netherlands know which
> frequencies are used in the USA.
> For your info Europe is no state of the USA
>
> --
> Bye 4 now
> Jan Ludekuize
Well thios is strange. I try to click on the blue nl.radio.scanners and
it says that there is no such newsgroup!!!!
--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!

2452. Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey-Levin <tracey12@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358A6915.44A6@swbell.net>
Source record: 156482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Texas Big Ear Pre-Amplifier really brings in those distant
signals!
Connect it inline close to your antenna and you'll hear distant signals
like never before!

This is a fantastic pre-amp for the scanner user who wants to hear
weak, distant signals.

The Texas Big Ear Pre-Amp is only $39.95!
Regular shipping is free within the 48 US states!
To place an order, or to get more info, contact me via e-mail!

2453. Current Flame War

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Wayne S. Sikorski" <wsikorski@snip.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9b87$b7d93e80$3900002d@sikorski>
Source record: 156483

```
We should rename this NG to reflect the bulk of messages.
rec.radio.scanner-flamewar
--
Due to rabid spammers, remove the * in the return
address to reply
```

2454. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: klo@bigSpaMfoot.com
Message-ID: <24265CB7505EC970.A2EF92CC0274A95C.25B86681356BD907@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 156484

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 00:26:37 -0700, bristoleer3@geocities.com wrote:
> Several Burger Kings in the area have signs on the outside of
> the drive-through window (like where you pay, not where you
> order...)
>
> It says "Braille and Picture Menus Available"
>
> 1.) If you need a Braille Menu, what are you doing in the drive
> through?

Kinda like having braille on a drive through atm. :) Anyways, I think it's to cater to blind people in the passenger's seat. The driver (who isn't blind, hopefully) probably doesn't want to sit there and read out the whole menu.

> 2.) If you need a picture menu, cause you can't read the
> regular menu, how exactly is it that you are going to Read the
> sign to learn that a picture menu is available?

Again, probably the same situation as above.

> 3.) Why is the sign at the "Pay" window - you know, the place
> you drive to AFTER you order from the menu?

The speaker system can't hand you the braille menu. :)

--

___ _____
(___| Kevin Lo |___AKA Radnor, Catskills_____Callsign: KF4JXF___)
"You are in a twisty little maze of URL's, all different."

2455. Re: Current Flame War

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35986a7e.5444772@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156485

>We should rename this NG to reflect the bulk of messages.
>rec.radio.scanner-flamewar

There is already an alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest on my ISP.

Perhaps if J.M. would stop trying to be King of the Hill and quit his petty bickering. . .

2456. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35996ad6.5532731@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156486

> 1.) If you need a Braille Menu, what are you doing in the drive
> through?

And why offer it at the drive-through? If a passenger needs a Braille menu, you have to pull up to the window to get it, and if you're in a line, that will slow down the people behind you.

And anyway, is there anyone who has never been to a McDonald's or seen their ads a skazillion times? Can't these people tell 10 minutes before they get there what they're going to order? It amazes me to hear people have to THINK about what they're going to order! Sheesh!<tm>

> 2.) If you need a picture menu, cause you can't read the
> regular menu, how exactly is it that you are going to Read the
> sign to learn that a picture menu is available?

Begging the pardon, but don't the menus already have pictures? When I go to McDonald's, all the items are numbered and they have pictures. So what's the deal with a picture menu?

> 3.) Why is the sign at the "Pay" window - you know, the place
> you drive to AFTER you order from the menu?

Around here they have decided to "improve" service by having an order window, a pay window and a pick up window.

Now the separate pick up window does make sense. But I recall as a kid going to a WONDERFUL hamburger stand in Kansas City called Johnny Ringo's. You'd order, pay and pick up from the same place at the counter -- and from the (gasp) SAME PERSON!

I worked at a Kentucky Fried Chicken back in 1978 and I suggested they put in a drive-through. At the time, no one had drive-throughs, and I got laughed at and patted on the head. "No one wants to stay in their car to get food," they told me.

2457. Outta Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil Wood <neil@neilNOSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <6mdqg1lqr1@chile.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 156487

Well I guess I'm too mature for this newsgroup. After filtering out
mackey, smalley, and cheek there is still too high of a flame to good stuff
ratio. I am unsubscirbing today. Catch you on the airwaves.....

--
Neil Wood
Programmer Anaylst
IBM Certified Specialist

NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to neil@neil.org is subject to a
download, archival, and proof reading fee in the amount of $500 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2458. BC89XLT WX Alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Menendez <menendez@kodak.com>
Message-ID: <358A75CA.597C6E95@kodak.com>
Source record: 156488

Can anyone figure out how to lower the volume on the BC895 WX alert siren? I think it's spooking the cattle.

Glen KD4EQI

2459. GOES frequencies in SouthWest Europa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: fercaro@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6me19edhj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156489

I would like to know if it's possible receive the GOES signals in Spain.

If anybody knows, please, e-mail me frequencies and time at:

fcr@sainco.abengoa.com or fercaro@my-dejanews.com

Thanks. Fernando.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2460. GOES frequencies in SouthWest Europa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: fcr@sainco.abengoa.com
Message-ID: <6me1ahdoo1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156490

I would like to know if it's possible receive the GOES signals in Spain.

If anybody knows, please, e-mail me frequencies and time at:

fcr@sainco.abengoa.com or fercaro@my-dejanews.com

Thanks. Fernando.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2461. National Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnooney@albany.net
Message-ID: <358A7B01.C88C107B@albany.net>
Source record: 156491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Effective with the July/August issue, publisher Larry Miller and editor Joe Nooney are no longer associated with National Communications (formerly National Scanning). The magazine will continue to be published. It is our understanding that it will now be produced by a commercial firm that publishes newsletters like the ones people receive with their health insurance, phone bills etc. Of course that could change depending on the decisions made by those in charge.

Larry and I enjoyed producing NatComm and we tried to make it a fun publication to read. It is now time for us to move on and do other things like the new CB Review magazine, an independent publication with no industry ties or constraints. Look for information on this new publication very soon.

Joe Nooney

2462. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358A5315.9D0A9599@netwit.com>
Source record: 156492

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
>
> > Why would a worm with five (5) E-mail accounts (his own claim)
> attempt to
> SNIP
>
> I didn't know that Cheek has 5 e-mail accounts!
>
> What are they?

You misunderstood me, I meant you. Weren't you recently saying to somebody that you maintain 5 E-mail accounts because your job requires you to jet all over the country? Nation-wide pizza delivery or something?

2463. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: plukens@imap1.asu.edu
Message-ID: <6mduu2$8vh$1@news.asu.edu>
Source record: 156493

: On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 09:04:36 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
: wrote:

: >George Vetterle wrote:
: >> Just *who* is this *we* you're always talking about?
: >
: >The members of this NG.

Hey Mackey, since you have elected yourself as the representative of the members of this NG, here is what I want you to say on my behalf:

NOTHING!

So shut the hell up, finally, will ya?

2464. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jnooney@albany.net
Message-ID: <358A7F60.2C1D6085@albany.net>
Source record: 156494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Well now.....one could always say they heard it over a 46MHz frequency
allocated for use for fire, ems, etc. I had a scanner (one of those $89
jobs) that used to pick up every cordless phone call on my block on
46.50, the local fire frequency. Not that I am a lawyer, but doesn't
the laws state something about unintentional reception of
cordless/cellular signals. I can't help it if my scanner is so cheap it
picks up those signals on nearby frequencies.

Joe

JERRY wrote:
>
> Mr. Clem wrote:
> >
> > Mike Derryberry wrote:
> > > Really sucks when you can't turn in someone doing something illegal just
> > > because you heard it by listening to cordless phones. It may be illegal to
> > > listen to cordless phones, but do 2 wrongs make a right??? Think about
> > > it...
> > >
> > Absolutely right, we should turn you in for your illegal activities
> > (listening to cordless phones). Please post your name and address so we
> > may take action.
> In math we learn that a negative * negative = positive.
> In the ethical world I guess that negative * negative = negative
> --
> TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
> PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
> THANK YOU!!!!
>
> ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
> WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
> GREAT SERVICE!!!!

2465. Hospital Freqs. Chicago area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Boe <edboe@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6mdve9$pcu@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156495

I am looking for updated, CONFIRMED operations and security frequencies
for the following hospitals
in the Chicago area. (not the FCC licensed freqs, but the "actual"
working freqs)
MacNeal, Oak Park, West Suburban, Holy Cross, Little Company of Mary,
and
Gottlieb.

Thanks in advance.

--
Ed Boe__<http://members.theglobe.com/edboe/> from: Cicero, IL
AOL IM: EdBoe51__________ICQ: 2750186____ edboe@ix.netcom.com
Member: Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA)
<http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm>

2466. Improve your RS discone - cheap!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EustEs.4xA@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156496

As you may have noticed, the new discone from RatShack comes with a coil and whip assembly on top for better low-band reception. You can upgrade your older discone for peanuts!

Parts to order are: coil assembly (RS p/n 11986775) for $1.99 and whip with tip (RS p/n 11986783) for $2.69, for a total of US$4.68. My local store charged me $1 for shipping.

When I ordered the items were back-ordered. It took exactly one month for them to arrive - via PRIORITY MAIL!! Cost RS a lot more than $1.00 to get the stuff to me. I recommend this enhancement. The coil and whip are of good quality.

And thanks to <tyler@nospam> for the original suggestion...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2467. Re: Fort Erie Southern Ontario Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: DJ <djohnso1@nev.npiec.on.ca>
Message-ID: <6mdvbdses1@brain.npiec.on.ca>
Source record: 156497
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In alt.radio.scanner Matt318 <matt318@hotmail.com> wrote:
: Does anyone have links to sites with freqs. for the Fort Erie, Niagara Falls
: area of Southern Ontario, Canada?
: Any help would be appreciated
: Thanks

Check out these two sites - Both have the whole Niagara Region and links
to other sites.

http://www.vaxxine.com/dgl/scan-net/
http://www.members.home.com/ontscan

2468. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358b89ba.220337968@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156498

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> >
> > Of course, if you find one that really works, please
> > let me know. I haven't gone through this phase for
> > several years, so it's about time again. Hell, I take
> > a bite of cole slaw and a drink of buttermilk every
> > few years to remind myself the stuff tastes like
> > moldered vomit. The preamp thing is kind of like that.
> >
> > <grin>
>
> I listen to 450-512 Mhz almost exclusively, so a preamp in that range
> might be a good idea...I might try it.

Yes, now there is a prime example of an
application that stands a chance of great
benefit with few side effects. A GaAsFET
preamp with tuned input and tuned output
could be manna from heaven for you.

> However if one wanted to pinch
> their pennies (no easy task in this hobby) and still enjoy enhanced
> reception, they could plop a magmount scanner antenna on top of the ol'
> swamp cooler for each scanner they have. Cheap, easy, and you won't hear
> the landlord or H.O.A. bitching about it, cause they won't even notice!
> You could even buy antennas tuned to different bands and use one scanner
> for VHF and another for UHF or 800Mhz, etc.

I do that, I've got several mag mounts up in the rafters,
out of sight and out of mind....especially to the
neighbors and those who think anything but a TV antenna
is the source of their interference problems.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \

---------- ---

2469. SCANNER WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN" <piper@dhost.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9bb4$ccdd0fa0$6787b0cf@default>
Source record: 156499

I AM LOOKING FOR A BEARCAT 895XLT , ANYBODY GOT ONE THAT THEY WANT TO SELL?

OR, IF ANYBODY KNOWS WHERE TO GET ONE CHEAP , PLEASE MAIL ME PIPER@DHOST.COM

THANKS
MIKE

2470. Re: BC89XLT WX Alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kent W. Smith" <kents@nortel.com>
Message-ID: <358A3951.4301@nortel.com>
Source record: 156500

The volume on the weather alert is made to sound at top volume
regardless of the volume setting with the knob. It's a feature!
Short of going in under the hood with a soldering iron, there's
now way to lower it.

Glen Menendez wrote:
>
> Can anyone figure out how to lower the volume on the BC895 WX alert
> siren? I think it's spooking the cattle.
>
> Glen KD4EQI

2471. Re: National Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE POLISH STUD <theoldbrooklynkidwow@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <358A8FA5.3F5D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 156501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.cb

jnooney@albany.net wrote:
>
> Effective with the July/August issue, publisher Larry Miller and editor
> Joe Nooney are no longer associated with National Communications
> (formerly National Scanning). The magazine will continue to be
> published. It is our understanding that it will now be produced by a
> commercial firm that publishes newsletters like the ones people receive
> with their health insurance, phone bills etc. Of course that could
> change depending on the decisions made by those in charge.
>
> Larry and I enjoyed producing NatComm and we tried to make it a fun
> publication to read. It is now time for us to move on and do other
> things like the new CB Review magazine, an independent publication with
> no industry ties or constraints. Look for information on this new
> publication very soon.
>
> Joe Nooney
Who publishes National Scanning Report and how can I subscribe?
--
TO SEND E-MAIL TO ME; PLEASE REMOVE THE WOW
PORTION FROM MY E-MAIL OR ELSE IT WILL NOT WORK.
THANK YOU!!!!

ATTENTION, ATTENTION: BECOME A SKYWARN WEATHER VOLUNTEER
WITH YOU LOCAL WEATHER OFFICE AND DO YOUR COMMUNITY A
GREAT SERVICE!!!!

2472. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358c8aba.220593687@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156502

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> Bill, I don't know why it works so well, but it does in this instance. I am
> not saying it will work for everyone nor am I recommending it to everyone.
> But if someone is just listening to local repeater systems with strong
> signals, then it does work fine in that instance.

Right on. And there was that guy who mentioned
he has ten scanners running off a single antenna.
Sure they work. Hell, you can stick a 3" piece
of wire in the antenna jack to pull in signals.

> You mentioned my location. I do know that plays a major role in hearing
> distant low band signals as I live on a hill in the highest part of the
> city. The elevation of the city where I live is 492 feet ASL and my house
> is another 750 - 1000 feet higher than that. Also, my antennas are on a 40
> foot tower mounted on my roof.

Gawd.....no wonder! The line of sight for 1000' above
average terrain is 44-miles. Add another 15% for the
diffraction difference between light and 45 MHz, and
that's 51-mi.

Now figure from the other end....where the tower and
height above average terrain are significant, then you
are looking at an easy range of 100-mi. Other terrain
effects can easily add more to that.

> I have just today, installed a second antenna for the second scanner and
> removed the splitter. I am using RG-11 coax with F connectors and it seems
> to be working great. I haven't had time to compare how much better the new
> set-up will be, but I'm sure there will be a great improvement.

This is where S-METERs are worth their weight in gold!
No guesswork about what is better and what ain't!

> The old antenna & cable I replaced was 10 years old.

Chances are the cable was still good, but the ends
may have gotten some oxidation, corrosion, pollution,
and/or moisture that would degrade reception.

> I'm not saying you were wrong saying that a splitter would not work, just
> that in some cases it does work depending on what you are listening to and

> how strong the origional signal is. It is just a possible alternative. The
> way I look at it, if something works to your satisfaction then use it, if
> not try something else.

Right. One other factor is to know *all* the facts.
Like the 3-dB splitting loss, plus extra insertion
losses. Gawd, that one guy's 10-way splitter, at
its very best, has an inherent 10 dB loss plus
insertion losses.....PLUS return losses that arise
out of the gross impedance mismatch of 50 ohms
to five ohms. I forget right off what the loss
for a 10:1 VSWR is, but it's up there at or near
6 dB or better. So the dude has 20-dB of loss
in his system.

It certainly won't have an adverse impact on local
signals coming in at -80 dBm or better, so the
superficial conclusions can be very misleading to
those who want to hear all there is to hear.

Basically, "it works" isn't good enough of a statement
when conveying true understanding of concepts and
principles.

> Thanks for your input, I appreciate it and I'm sure others do to.

Yeah, that's my only point is understanding the nature
of the beast we're dealing with. Kriste, if all I want
to do is listen to the local NOAA broadcast, I can
stick my finger in the antenna jack of any scanner
to receive it.

But when Los Angeles, 120-miles to the north has an
earthquake or a big riot or forest fires, then it
takes every bit of know-how I can muster to get
reliable, useful reception.

So that's the angle I was coming from. Not to dissuade
those who are happy with where they're at and with
what they've got.

Hey, happiness is as good as it gets. Eh?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2473. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358d8fce.221893767@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156503

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

I opened up my Shit List to settle this little bit
of crap. You're a liar, Smacksie....a stinking
scumbag liar.... You can't play by any semblance
of fairness, truthfulness, or integrity......

You claim you sent an e-mail to the Wah dude that
I bounced back to you. You lie like a rug. You
sent that e-mail to ME. I pulled the header down
from the server and here it is: Note my annotations
like this: <--! You sent it to ME, smegma breath!
And you didn't even get the MESSAGE ID's right.

You may have sent one to Wah, too, but my system
bounced the one you sent to ME. Look, clingberry:

==========
Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for bcheek
(with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) Thu Jun 18 22:54:05 1998)
X-From_: KA0SSF@parg.org Thu Jun 18 22:53 PDT 1998
Received: from pm03sm.pmm.mci.net (pm03sm.pmm.mci.net [208.159.126.152])
by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id WAA01077
for <bcheek@san.rr.com>; Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:53:06 -0700 (PDT) <--!
Received: from 0RC6K@SIA (usr9-dialup39.mix1.Sacramento.mci.net)
by PM03SM.PMM.MCI.NET (PMDF V5.1-10 #27035)
with SMTP id <0EUS00C8KB05IF@PM03SM.PMM.MCI.NET> for bcheek@san.rr.com;
Fri,
19 Jun 1998 05:53:00 +0000 (GMT)
Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:53:50 -0700
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Subject: Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27
To: bcheek@san.rr.com <<--------------------!!!!
Reply-to: KA0SSF@parg.org
Message-id: <3589FCEE.282A@parg.org> <<--------------------!!!!
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
MIME-version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit
References: <Afhi1.2755$r5.1264693@proxye1.san.rr.com>
<3589C27E.4AD2@parg.org>

<3589C817.6155@hacgate.com> <358bedea.180439853@news2.cts.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
<3589C817.6155@hacgate.com> <358bedea.180439853@news2.cts.com>
Content-Length: 5

test

==========

You may well have sent an e-mail to Wah, but your
"test" came to MY server....addressed to ME, you
lying sack of snake dung. And since MY server has
been instructed to return mail from YOU, it did
exactly what it was told.

Next time, send your tests to Wah and *not* me, and
see what happens, you friggin hermaphroditic idiot.

You're barking up the wrong tree, Smeggie.
Now BACK ON my Twit List you go......adios snotwad.
Friggin loser.........

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Weird! Very strange. Especially since the posting
> > hosts and IP addresses differ radically. Not to
> > mention the paths. Oh, and let's see, also the
> > newsreader, the MIME, and other salient points
> > of the header.
>
> Yea, but Bill, I sent Mr. WAH some e-mail & it bounced back
> from YOU!!!!
>
> That sorta proves the point that YOU were forging & that
> YOU are a liar!
>
> See below!
>
> This is what I send:
>
> X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
> Message-ID: <3589C0D9.5D50@parg.org>
> Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 18:37:29 -0700
> From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
> Reply-To: KA0SSF@parg.org
> Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
> X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> To: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>

> Subject: Re: mackey troubles
> References: <Afhi1.2755$r5.1264693@proxye1.san.rr.com>
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
> test
>
>
>
>
> This is what bounced back!:
>
> Received: from prefetch-atm.san.rr.com (ns1.san.rr.com [204.210.0.2])
> by smtp1.teleport.com (8.8.7/8.7.3) with ESMTP id WAA12349 for
> <KA0SSF@parg.org>; Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:28:00 -0700 (PDT)
> Received: from dr (dt022nec.san.rr.com [204.210.11.236]) by
> prefetch-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with SMTP id WAA14295 for
> <KA0SSF@parg.org>; Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:27:59 -0700 (PDT)
> Message-Id: <199806190527.WAA14295@prefetch-atm.san.rr.com>
> Return-Receipt-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> Reply-To: <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> To: <KA0SSF@parg.org>
> Subject: Returned mail: refused by server
> Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:27:59 -0700
> X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook 8.5, Build 4.71.2173.0
> Importance: Normal
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
> Disposition-Notification-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
>
> Part 1.2
> Content-Type: application/octet-stream
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: x-uuencode
> Content-Disposition: inline; filename="Returned mail refused by
> server.eml"

2474. Re: Returned mail sent to Mr Wah (Bill Cheek's bogus ID)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3590945e.223062398@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156504

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Notice how it went to Mr. Wah, but bounced back from Bill Cheek.

You're a liar, smegma breath. You sent it TO me
and yes, my system bounced it back to you:
I opened up my Shit List to settle this little bit
of crap. You're a liar, Smacksie....a stinking
scumbag liar.... You can't play by any semblance
of fairness, truthfulness, or integrity......

You claim you sent an e-mail to the Wah dude that
I bounced back to you. You lie like a rug. You
sent that e-mail to ME. I pulled the header down
from the server and here it is: Note my annotations
like this: <--! You sent it to ME, smegma breath!
And you didn't even get the MESSAGE ID's right.

You may have sent one to Wah, too, but my system
bounced the one you sent to ME. Look, clingberry:

==========
Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for bcheek
(with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) Thu Jun 18 22:54:05 1998)
X-From_: KA0SSF@parg.org Thu Jun 18 22:53 PDT 1998
Received: from pm03sm.pmm.mci.net (pm03sm.pmm.mci.net [208.159.126.152])
by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id WAA01077
for <bcheek@san.rr.com>; Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:53:06 -0700 (PDT) <--!
Received: from 0RC6K@SIA (usr9-dialup39.mix1.Sacramento.mci.net)
by PM03SM.PMM.MCI.NET (PMDF V5.1-10 #27035)
with SMTP id <0EUS00C8KB05IF@PM03SM.PMM.MCI.NET> for bcheek@san.rr.com;
Fri,
19 Jun 1998 05:53:00 +0000 (GMT)
Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:53:50 -0700
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Subject: Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27
To: bcheek@san.rr.com <<--------------------!!!!
Reply-to: KA0SSF@parg.org
Message-id: <3589FCEE.282A@parg.org> <<--------------------!!!!
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org

MIME-version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit
References: <Afhi1.2755$r5.1264693@proxye1.san.rr.com>
<3589C27E.4AD2@parg.org>
<3589C817.6155@hacgate.com> <358bedea.180439853@news2.cts.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
<3589C817.6155@hacgate.com> <358bedea.180439853@news2.cts.com>
Content-Length: 5

test

==========

You may well have sent an e-mail to Wah, but your
"test" came to MY server....addressed to ME, you
lying sack of snake dung. And since MY server has
been instructed to return mail from YOU, it did
exactly what it was told.

Next time, send your tests to Wah and *not* me, and
see what happens, you friggin hermaphroditic idiot.

You're barking up the wrong tree, Smeggie.
Now BACK ON my Twit List you go......adios snotwad.
Friggin loser.........

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Weird! Very strange. Especially since the posting
> > hosts and IP addresses differ radically. Not to
> > mention the paths. Oh, and let's see, also the
> > newsreader, the MIME, and other salient points
> > of the header.
>
> Yea, but Bill, I sent Mr. WAH some e-mail & it bounced back
> from YOU!!!!
>
> That sorta proves the point that YOU were forging & that
> YOU are a liar!
>
> See below!
>
> This is what I send:
>
> X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
> Message-ID: <3589C0D9.5D50@parg.org>
> Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 18:37:29 -0700
> From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>

> Reply-To: KA0SSF@parg.org
> Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
> X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> To: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
> Subject: Re: mackey troubles
> References: <Afhi1.2755$r5.1264693@proxye1.san.rr.com>
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
> test
>
>
>
>
> This is what bounced back!:
>
> Received: from prefetch-atm.san.rr.com (ns1.san.rr.com [204.210.0.2])
> by smtp1.teleport.com (8.8.7/8.7.3) with ESMTP id WAA12349 for
> <KA0SSF@parg.org>; Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:28:00 -0700 (PDT)
> Received: from dr (dt022nec.san.rr.com [204.210.11.236]) by
> prefetch-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with SMTP id WAA14295 for
> <KA0SSF@parg.org>; Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:27:59 -0700 (PDT)
> Message-Id: <199806190527.WAA14295@prefetch-atm.san.rr.com>
> Return-Receipt-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> Reply-To: <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> To: <KA0SSF@parg.org>
> Subject: Returned mail: refused by server
> Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:27:59 -0700
> X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook 8.5, Build 4.71.2173.0
> Importance: Normal
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
> Disposition-Notification-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
>
> Part 1.2
> Content-Type: application/octet-stream
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: x-uuencode
> Content-Disposition: inline; filename="Returned mail refused by
> server.eml"

2475. SCANNER FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: MIKE <piper@dhost.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9bba$983b7e20$6787b0cf@default>
Source record: 156505

I HAVE A REALISTIC PRO-2020 (20 CHANNEL BASE SCANNER WITH LED DISPLAY) IN
MINT CONDITION.
THIS SCANNER HAS AIR BAND , 2 SPEED SCAN , AND DIRECT ENTRY KEYPAD .

IT IS A BASIC SCANNER THAT GOES UP TO 512 MHZ (NO 800 MHZ)

I AM SELLING IT FOR $50.00 AMERICAN OR $75.00 CANADIAN PLUS SHIPPING .

ANYONE WHO IS INTERESTED PLEASE EMAIL ME AT PIPER@DHOST.COM

THANKS , MIKE

2476. Re: Outta Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061916465300.MAA22396@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156506
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>
Subject: Outta Here
>From: "Neil Wood"
>Date: Fri, Jun 19, 1998 09:54 EDT
>Message-id: <6mdqg1$lqr$1@chile.it.earthlink.net>
>
>Well I guess I'm too mature for this newsgroup. After filtering out
>mackey, smalley, and cheek there is still too high of a flame to good stuff
>ratio. I am unsubscirbing today. Catch you on the airwaves.....
>
>
>--
>Neil Wood
>Programmer Anaylst
>IBM Certified Specialist
>----------------------------
>NOTICE TO BULK E-MAILERS:
>Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all
>nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to neil@neil.org is subject to a
>download, archival, and proof reading fee in the amount of $500 US.
>E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
>
>
>

Thanks for the contribution to the flame count & don't let the door hit you on
the way out!
Neutrodyne
```

2477. A DEAL FOR MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3591953d.223284838@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156507

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

While you are off my Shit List, I will address
this, too, you sorry, degenerate reprobate:

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> I'll make you a deal, since "dmi853" asked, I'll give you another chance
> right now!

No, I will give YOU another chance.

> WE post NO FLAMES, NO SNIDE REMARKES, NO ATTACKS, and no messages
> which result in personal financial gain to the newsgroup of
> rec.radio.scanner.
>
> There it is Bill, it is THAT SIMPLE!

No, it is not that simple. If anyone flames ME,
I will always reserve the right to hose 'em back.
If someone makes a snide remark to me, they can
count on getting one back. If someone attacks
me, I reserve the right to attack back. YOU cannot
deal with me in respect to others. JUST you and
ME, Smacksie.... Others stand or fall on their
own merits and demerits. I don't want a deal
that restricts you from interacting with others.

THAT is for YOU and THEM to deal with; not me.
Likewise, my dealings with others are not your
concern. It's JUST thee and me, Smacksie.

And this "financial gain" bullshit is impossible to
abide. I am in the radio hobby AND the radio
business. I will NOT subject my posts to your
loose interpretations of what meets yours or
anyone else's expectations. Furthermore, if you
come up with the world's greatest doohickey, I
don't care if you announce and promote it here.

This radio hobby is 100% dependent on products
and services, and THIS forum is a good place to
deal with such. You will not restrict me and I
will not restrict you. There is only ONE possible
deal I will make with you. Read on, macDuff...

> Show us what you are Bill, show us that YOU ARE THE MAN! Take
> part in this deal and get your buddies to do it too.

I do not speak for, nor pretend to speak for anyone
else but me. You make your own deals with others,
if you want. I don't "get" anyone to do anything.
Period. Just me. And just you, Smeggie. You and
me, pal.....JUST you and me. It can't get any better
than that.

> Bill, allsafe, Phil, Richard, & the rest, if you
> take part in this deal you will redeem yourself to
> this NG & make it a better place. I urge you to
> do it. You will gain respect & I will personally
> support you all in the future if others give you
> any trouble!

I don't WANT your "support". I don't WANT your "respect".
I don't want ANYTHING whatsoever from you. Get a grip on
that. I don't even want your money, assuming you've won
the Lottery. I don't want a friggin thing from you, you
low-life, scuzzy, slimy, lying, connivving, manipulating,
imbecile. Get THAT in your thick skull, will ya?

There is only ONE, singular possible deal:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

THAT is the deal, OffalBreath, and the ONLY deal.
YOU abide it; I abide it, and we will have done our
part to making the newsgroup a better forum.

We're not going to diffuse and dilute our focus; we're
not going to make "deals" for anyone else; and we're not
going to deal with ANY issue outside of JUST thee and me,
Smeggie. You got that? If not, GET it.

Take it or leave it or shove it where the sun
never shines. Your call.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2478. Re: BC89XLT WX Alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061917425300.NAA27947@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156508

>From: Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp)

>Why would you want to have an alert that you can lower the volume on ?
>What happens if someone turns it down or off, then enables the alert
>mode with heavey weather impending, and the alert sounds but the
>volume is too low to be heard ? And god forbid this happens and
>someone gets injured/killed. Uniden made the alert volume fixed at
>maximum for good reason, your safety. And also to cover their butts
>against a lawsuit if the scenario I described above were to occur.

You clearly have no idea what you're talking about. Why don't you just answer the question--if you can--and forget about the lectures based on the logic of a ham hock.

2479. Re: 895XLT WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@carroll.com
Message-ID: <358a8732.908239@news>
Source record: 156509

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 20:30:27 -0400, David Ballenger
<ballenger@erols.com> wrote:

>I bought mine mail order at Communications Electronics in Michigan for
>about $ 240 + $ 18 shipping. I received it two days after ordering with no
>problems at all. They are at www.usascan.com. That is the lowest price
>I've seen - others are at $ 300 or more.

That is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor
has to "mask" their profit in riduclously inflated shipping and
handling costs.

Dan

>CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN wrote:
>
>> I WANT TO BUY A BEARCAT 895XLT , CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHERE TO GET A GOOD
>> DEAL ON ONE???
>>
>> NEW , USED , DEMO O.K.
>
>
>

2480. Re: Oooooh! Did that feel good. What a relief. Almost orgasmic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@carroll.com
Message-ID: <358a8809.1123271@news>
Source record: 156510

On Fri, 19 Jun 1998 07:58:44 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>> I just unsubscribed from
>> rec.radio.scanner
>SNIP
>
>Bill Conduit-
>
>THANK YOU, that is probably the biggest single contribution
>you hav made for the benefit of this NG.
>
>

Mega Dittos

2481. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358A813A.EB5A1BCF@netwit.com>
Source record: 156511

Jabba T. Hutt wrote:

> Please don't refer to him as a LOON as the Loon here in Canada is a
> very nice looking bird with a very nice distinctive call.

And it tastes like CHICKEN!

2482. FS: Scanner Preamp ($40)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <3589cdd8.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 156512

```
Scanner pre-amp for base unit...
Includes ac adapter...

Works fine... don't need it anymore...

$40 shipped.
```

2483. FS: BC855XLT Desktop Scanner (Cellular Restored)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <3589ce27.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 156513

Bearcat 855xlt desktop scanner.

Great radio, perfect condition...
Modified to 100 channels
Modified for 800mhz Cellular (full coverage)

$125

2484. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D0DB.730A@parg.org>
Source record: 156514

Phil Smalley wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:> >
> > This NG is for test messages, NOT binaries. Read the FAQ!
>
> TEST messages? OK, let me TEST my ability to attach a BINARY FILE.....

I meant to say "text" not "test".

2485. Re: Phil Smalley - Once a Liar, Always a Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D1D2.6E48@parg.org>
Source record: 156515

Phil Smalley wrote:
snip
> but just for argument's sake) how does calling yourself "John MacKey -
> KAOSSF" change anything when the spambots can still feast upon

It differs on how they pick & address the header!

> excuse of a story when myself and others have pointed out holes in it
> large enough for Air Force One to do loop-the-loops in.

The only reason you find holes is because you don't understand
it!

2486. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D1FE.49F8@parg.org>
Source record: 156516

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> Please help in stopping the flaming on this newsgroup!

Would you be willing to join me on this endeaver?

2487. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D21E.8DF@parg.org>
Source record: 156517

Richard Kendrick wrote:
> You do not now, nor have you ever spoken for me.

I never said I spoke for YOU!

2488. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D251.67B8@parg.org>
Source record: 156518

Richard Kendrick wrote:
> As an expert in wasting bandwidth, you would know better than anyone.

I can't even compare to you as you waste far MORE than I !

2489. Re: Smalley: even lower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D2CC.42F@parg.org>
Source record: 156519

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Yeah I'm a regular comedian aren't I?

You need to write some new routines as you keep using the same
old tired things over, & over, & over, & over.

(the web badge pic is a really good example)

2490. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D319.20B2@parg.org>
Source record: 156520

Phil Smalley wrote:

You are so desperate to find something wrong with me that
you have to make things up by mis-qouting me!

How pathetic you are!

2491. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D38D.6EE0@parg.org>
Source record: 156521

Hawke wrote:

> Request proof of your dredentials (posted publically)

OK, but first, what are "dredentials"?

2492. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D3C2.12AD@parg.org>
Source record: 156522

Phil Smalley wrote:

> I did yesterday. Oh that's right. You don't remember yesterday do you?

You're a liar & attempting to cover your tracks.

How pathetic you are.

2493. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D354.5EA1@parg.org>
Source record: 156523

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
SNIP
> I don't see eye to eye with Bill on every thing that
> goes on here

Yea, that is a common problem!

2494. Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589D637.519A@parg.org>
Source record: 156524

Once again Bill Cheek is forging posts again. Below is a
message he forged under the name of "Dong Tong Wah". Next is
the header info for his "Dong Tong Wah" message, followed
by a normal message from him. I am posting all this in
it's entirity for archiving via dejanews. If anyone wants
to contest the validity what I have posted then please
respond with your supporting documentation to this message.

Dong Tong Wah wrote:
>
> You will call me Mr. Wah plees. I call you Mr. Mackey. ok?
>
> You have many peoples not like you. No peoples say
> like you. Other peoples with brain troubles not like you.
> This is problems.
>
> So I like you. ok? You need one frend. At least.
> So I be your frend. You be my frends to. Plees.
>
> I be watch you longs times. You no talk radios. You no
> like the radios? I like the radios. I gots a new one is
> very good is very old but fresh new in cartin. Never
> opened before I buy for $175. Is pro2004 and black
> color. My frend Tony Ho say is best radios of all.
>
> You know this radio? You gots no radios or which one?
> I like be your frend and you be my frend and we talks
> the radios? ok? Mr. Wah

Path:
pm01nn!news-out.internetmci.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!204.210.0.20!proxye1.san.rr.com!n
ot-for-mail
From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: mackey troubles
Lines: 23
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.1
Message-ID: <Afhi1.2755$r5.1264693@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 23:18:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.210.11.109
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 16:18:56 PDT
Organization: TWC Road Runner, San Diego, CA
Xref: pm01nn rec.radio.scanner:108774

Path:
pm01nn!news-out.internetmci.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!206.229.87.25!news-peer.sprintlin
k.net!news-backup-west.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!209.90.0.8!alpha.sky.net!newshub
.cts.com!relief.cts.com!not-for-mail
From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Why the lameness?
Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 23:43:58 GMT
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3589a545.161839933@news2.cts.com>
References: <898202119.765168@michelob>
Reply-to: bcheek@san.rr.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: wagasa.cts.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
Cache-Post-Path: wagasa.cts.com!unknown@dt022nec.san.rr.com
X-CTS-Authuser: bcheek
Xref: pm01nn rec.radio.scanner:108783

2495. Re: SC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: reallybigguy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6mcikjetn1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156525

In article <6mc3mu$cq6$2@news.ncal.verio.com>, 207Lillypads@Juno.com (Ed G.) wrote:> > >>If I already have an SC150 (Bearcat), is it worth byeing a Pro-64,> >>despite the extra features?> > "buying", not "byeing" !> > >> >Depends what your priorities are. I think you'd gain aircraft(not sure if> >Sportcat has it or not), <<SNIP>>> > SC150 has aircraft band.> > > 400 channels, some small coverage gains around UHF and> >800Mhz. The ability to computer program the 400 channels could be valuable> >(like having several banks of 400 on disk & putting them in in a few minutes> >for different situations).> > I can barely manage 200 channels as on the SC150 - think about it before > deciding you need more.> > > It's a better scanner for crowded city situations> >because of the triple conversion.> > NOTE: because of the triple conversion, you won't be able to copy cellular > traffic on image frequencies like you can with the SC150.> > Ed> > REPLY INFO:>

==========
========> Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.> TO REPLY: remove the "lillypads" from my return address.>

==========
========> > Mr. Moron,The SC150 has 100 channels, not 200. I believe that you're not as well learned as you let on to others. In the future, if you choose to behave like an ass and correct other's spelling, perhaps you should be perfect in every way.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2496. Re: BC3000xlt Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdeviney@ipa.net
Message-ID: <3589db5b.27148837@news2.ipa.net>
Source record: 156526

I use a black metal "copy stand" like you put paper on so it stands
up, to type off of....as a stand for a BC200XLT and
PRO-64....available from an office supply store.

CATHY

Also, how can I
>keep from knocking the radio over? Is there a stand I can buy of some type?
>I was going to buy the 9000xlt but thought I'd try the handheld first.
>Seems like it might be able to perform adequately as a base and portable. A

2497. Re: RadioShack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ralph S.Dominguez" <littlericky@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3589E2E5.3EED8D8C@mediaone.net>
Source record: 156527

Dean wrote:

> Take it back and get the Uniden BC235XLT. The Pro 90 is made by
> Uniden for Radio Shack and is the EXACT SAME RADIO. When you buy the
> Uniden, you get an extra battery pack and can usually find one for about
> $230 new.
>
> --
>
> Dean
> dlambo@tir.com
>
> **
> To Reply, Remove the "55" from my E-Mail address
> or click on the email address just above this header
> Anti Spam (junk mail) Measures In Effect
> **
>
> Visit the home of the Pontiac Miniature Aircraft Club:
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hangar/4484/index.html
>
> Visit my personal home page at
> http://www.tir.com/~dlambo/index.htm
>
> Thomas Perry wrote in message <357BF981.760B4699@voyager.net>...
> >I just bought a Pro-90 from RadioShack for $299. It is my first
> >scanner. Is this a good portable? I will be working security at
> >Michigan Speedway this summer, and wanted something good. I thought it
> >was a bunch of money, but hoped it was worth it.
> >
> >Would appreciate comments from any Pro-90 users out there, and
> >especially those that don't like them. (because I can still take it
> >back!)
> >
> >Thanks for any help!
> >Tom Perry

<You also get an extra battery with the battery pack. <Good Deal
<Ralph.S.

2498. Re: Icom PCR-1000 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <YMli1.1403$B34.1043864@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 156528

Phil Smalley wrote in message <358956C9.580F@hacgate.com>...
>
>Well, this might be risky, but I was able to find a few undiscovered
>commands for the BC895 by writing a simple little program that sent it
>every possible extrapulation of a known command. For example, KEY01
>activates the manual key, so I had the program try each possible
>variation of the KEY command (KEY00 to KEY99) and then watched to see
>what happened. You might be able do the same on the Icom commands (I
>haven't seen them, so I don't know). However, as you mentioned, you run
>the risk of sending it bad data which could corrupt the EEPROM's. If
>that happened, I'd just send it back to Icom and play dumb: "I dunno...
>it just quit working..." Seems to me that it isn't designed very well if
>you can corrupt the damn thing by just goofing around..
>
>If you try it, let us know what happens!
>
It has been done a few times now. Most of the commands are known but not
everyone knows how to implement them. For example, when you look at Icom's
software, they are the only ones who implement AFC support. There is a
specific set of commands for that but most 3rd party software had enough of
a problem just getting it to work. This EEPROM thing is still an isolated
event, I have heard of only 3 Icom's going south and apparently it was the
software. It is goofy but for SWL work, the Icom software is fine. For
scanning it is not very good (software).

2499. Re: Yo? Mackey? I want you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358aa64f.162105647@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156529

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

> I must agree here. Cheek talks a big game, but nothing ever seems to
> happen. Kind of like the school-yard bully who proclaims loudly and to all
> that he can knock the shit out of anybody, but when challenged he whimpers
> and runs away to mom.

Well, whaddya know.....here's ol' Stewball UpHis
jumping back in. Hot damn, Sam, we haven't seen you
around in a long time, Uppie. What brings you
galloping back into town? Somebody must've passed
some gas and you smelled it and wanted more. Huh?

Well, anyway, Uppie, why don't you traipse over
to Dejanews and do a little research on things,
say over the last six months, and see what turns
up. Then come back and spew your drivel.

One thing's for sure..... what with your sweetie
Mackey running off at the mouth for so long, I'd
venture to submit that I had a right to talk about
it. The real question is what dragged your cockroach
self outa the cracks?

No one's said a thing to or about you, Uppie!
So where's your wild hair come from? Or rather,
where's it stuck, pal? Maybe you need to go for
a haircut.

2500. Re: John Mackey - Once a Liar, Always a Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3589ED95.C8BEB9A8@netwit.com>
Source record: 156530

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> No, I hve been conducting spam filter tests for the good
> of all people who want to use e-mail. This could become
> valuable if we are able to develope criteria for e-mail
> that would block junk e-mail. More or less, this is
> pioneering!

That might explain the "lost colony".

You friggin' loon.

2501. Oooooh! Did that feel good. What a relief. Almost orgasmic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6mcrat$pef@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156531

I just unsubscribed from

rec.radio.scanner

Wow!

What a rush!
What a feeling?
What a release!
What is reeling?

I am free. Free.

It feels great.

Try it....you will love it.

I have no regrets. I have no pangs of loss.

People. Try it. Experience the joy of what
you find when you "decide to DO IT!"

The ultimate answer.

I recommend it for anyone who is SANE.

bill conduit
with that attitude

2502. Radio Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jrillon@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061905122000.BAA11610@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156532

Radio Codes needed for Prince George's County, Maryland.

2503. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358bedea.180439853@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156533

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:

> How you consider those to be identical is beyond me. Besides, what does
> it prove? You could've easily gotten an account at Bill's ISP and signed
> in from anyplace, wrote that message, then logged out and cried foul. As
> a matter of fact, I think THAT is closer to the truth than anything you
> ever said. In fact, I'd bet that anyone who went to any San Diego public
> library could post from that NNTP host. Your clueless accusation proves
> nothing - as usual.

Weird! Very strange. Especially since the posting
hosts and IP addresses differ radically. Not to
mention the paths. Oh, and let's see, also the
newsreader, the MIME, and other salient points
of the header.

Similarities include the e-mail address/ISP and the
city of 2,000,000 people. But you can use any e-mail
address that you want.... So the ISP the guy uses for
e-mail and news only covers half of San Diego.

That's like saying anyone who posts from Portland
is the Idiot. I'll bet a lot of people will object
to that one.

I don't think an outsider can get an account
with my ISP.....so that's not possible, but this
ISP is having problems with "relaying" where
outsiders find a vulnerable server on this ISP
and then route their traffic through it.

The Idiot isn't THAT well equipped or smart,
though. Anyway........

<sigh> I'm derned if I do and derned if I don't.
I got my Twit List working so good now, I miss
a lot of original traffic and then get tempted
to turn it off for a minute to take a peek at
all the goings-on. Like, I don't get anything
now that has the Idiot's name anywhere in the
headers.

Guess I should probably fine tune it to exclude
any traffic with his name in the text as well.
I did see that the Idiot's hide is chapped and
smarting from the most recent Size #13EE, though.
I guess it had the intended effect.

Heh heh heh heh.....

2504. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358A0FAD.D1F1E88F@swbell.net>
Source record: 156534

You didn't qoute the whole message Mackey, But tell you what , I know most of us on the newsgroup would stop posting off topic if you would. All you have to do is start posting on topic and not give a rats butt what Bill or anyone else post as long as it is not about you.

How simple of an agreement can there be , if you really want to stop the flaming here is your opportunity to show the rest of the people on the newsgroup that you really want it to end .
Stop posting off topic messages begining at midnight on Friday the 19th one minute after midnight which will be Saturday the 20th the only posting you do is on topic .

The ball is in your court Mackey, are you going to prove you what to end the flames or are you going to prove the rest of us are right when we say you don't want it to end ?

2505. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3589F558.65F4A215@netwit.com>
Source record: 156535

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Once again Bill Cheek is forging posts again. Below is a
> message he forged under the name of "Dong Tong Wah". Next is
> the header info for his "Dong Tong Wah" message, followed
> by a normal message from him. I am posting all this in
> it's entirity for archiving via dejanews. If anyone wants
> to contest the validity what I have posted then please
> respond with your supporting documentation to this message.

Why would a worm with five (5) E-mail accounts (his own claim) attempt to validate an accusation with DejaNews?

But here's a hint for ya Mackey; that post was a WHACK AT YOU! Anybody with an eighth grade reading level could have told you it was a goof!

For chris-sakes: The writer was offering to be your *friend*! How often does *that* happen? Couldn't you tell from the outlandish pigeon english?

Hoo-boy...

You're really dense.

You friggin' loon.

2506. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mcspv$kat@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156536

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

>Hawke wrote:
>
>> Request proof of your dredentials (posted publically)
>
>OK, but first, what are "dredentials"?

Those must be the credentials that you "dred" to post
because they would expose you for the lying weasel that
you are.

/Sal

2507. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mcsq0$kat@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156537

"Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>My first conclusion exactly.
>
>Did you notice the similarity of the header? I wonder if Billie knows that
>impersonation is illegal.
>
>So-Called Mr. Wah: From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
>Bill Cheek: From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)

All that proves is they're both posting from San Diego
Roadrunner.

/Sal

2508. Re: Oooooh! Did that feel good. What a relief. Almost orgasmic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Josh \"cyber\" Humphries" <jhumphri@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3589F655.2D7CB9EA@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 156538

great idea..
i'm unsubscribing too

2509. 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jsim@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3589f5e4.4327540@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156539

I would like to buy your scanner. It's the handheld, right?

2510. PRO64/NEW FOR $125.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061905303800.BAA13331@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156540

400 CH HANDHELD PRO64 NEW IN THE BOX FOR 125.00 SHIPPED SORRY NO COD'S POSTAL MONEY OK

EMAIL
CWEST69521@AOL.COM

2511. More problems with Cheek!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589FD80.3B7D@parg.org>
Source record: 156541

Brian Hayford wrote:
> Check Out The Signature File(View,Headers,All)They Come from The Same
> Ip Address

Isn't it sad how some people are trying to deny it even after all
this evidence!

2512. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061906103200.CAA12447@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156542

I think you know what I meant there. I have a Nextel unit and I am told no one has the technology to scan it with a scanner.

2513. Scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Ratcliffe <dratclif@radix.net>
Message-ID: <6mcva1cqr1@news1.Radix.Net>
Source record: 156543

I have recently purchased a 895XLT. The radio has a "rs-232" port on the rear and the manual says it is for third party software and cable. Where does one find that information on the web?

2514. Re: SC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: caj@lfn.org
Message-ID: <6md028$1vd@junkie.gnofn.org>
Source record: 156544

In article <6mcikjetn1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
<reallybigguy@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>==========
>========> > Mr. Moron,The SC150 has 100 channels,
>not 200. I believe that you're not as well learned
>as you let on to others. In the future, if you
>choose to behave like an ass and correct other's
>spelling, perhaps you should be perfect in every
>way.

Wouldn't that depend on whether the SC150 were "MINT" or not?

--
Steal the moral high ground.

2515. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A02A2.112D@parg.org>
Source record: 156545

Phil Smalley wrote:

SNIP

Once again you intentially changed my words to mis-qoute me.

How pathetic you are.

2516. Re: Mackey CONTROLS Phil Smalley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A0407.3D09@parg.org>
Source record: 156546

Phil Smalley wrote:
> Whats the matter John? You're starting to lose it! Wait, I know, it's

How pathetic that the only way you can battle me is to lie & change my
words!

2517. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358a0671.186719452@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156547

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

sgambino@worldnet.att.net (Salvatore Gambino) wrote:

> "Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager)" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >My first conclusion exactly.
> >
> >Did you notice the similarity of the header? I wonder if Billie knows that
> >impersonation is illegal.
> >
> >So-Called Mr. Wah: From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
> >Bill Cheek: From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
>
> All that proves is they're both posting from San Diego
> Roadrunner.
>
> /Sal

Matter of fact, Sal, it doesn't prove that at all.
The NNTP-Posting-Host in the header shows where
posts are injected. Also the last bang on the
PATH line shows it.

The above just suggests that both of us get e-mail
via San Diego Roadrunner. I do. I don't know about
the Wah dude. I sent him e-mail and it hasn't come
back nor been replied to. The squirrely thing is
that I don't post from Roadrunner and the Wah guy did.

That and other major differences of the Wah guy suggest
the above Faricy prick doesn't know his arse from a
hole in the ground.......NOT a rare malady these days.

Worse still......this Faricy dork crawled out of
nowhere recently to whine, howl, and blow snotbubbles
about all the flames and crap on the newsgroup, even
to the point of threatening to complain to ISP's.

But you know what? The snotnosed brat just can't keep
from injecting his own flames....and he hasn't made
a scanner-related post yet.

And he has the gall to wonder why he's on my Twit List, too. Looks to me like when God passed out brains, Faricy thought he said TRAINS and said, "No thanks, I'm scared of them." and asked for a space <ship> instead. There sure is a vacuum betwixt his ears anyway..... Reminds me of his protoge and hero, THE Idiot.

So whuzzup Sal? You been doing okay?

2518. Re: Why the lameness?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358b0a68.187734957@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156548

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp) wrote:

> On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 16:35:04 -0400, "Jeff Doucette"
> <paradiddle7@usa.net> pedantically proclaimed in the following
> unperspicuous peroration to all:
>
> >Why do Mackey and Cheek keep fighting....I'm tired off all the spam on the
> >USENET, bashing each other,...
> >Thanks,...
> >Jeff
> >
>
> Because they have an audience.

And YOU are one of the biggest fans, eh Herr Dokktor?
You just can't zip your lips. One wonders WHAT is
wedged in betwixt your fat lips to keep 'em open
all the time.

2519. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358c0bed.188123722@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156549

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> The ball is in your court Mackey, are you going to prove you what to end
> the flames or are you going to prove the rest of us are right when we
> say you don't want it to end ?

There is no profit in it for him if it were to end.
He'd have to fade into total ignominy..... No one
would talk to him. No one would elicit or invite
his views and opinions. No one would even think
about him anymore.

This, as it sits, is the ONLY way he can get
any attention at all. So he won't end it.
All you'll get from him for your time and
trouble of suggesting an end, is doublespeak and
bullshit.

He's here for one reason and one reason ONLY,
which *ain't* scanners and scanning. To end
it is not in the Idiot's master plan.

However, I have totally TWITTED him out of my
system, and in another day or two, I'll have
him filtered out of any text or mere references
to HIM. I will then make an effort strictly on
my own, no strings attached, to get on topic
and stay there.

Bets are, however, that THE Idiot will interpret
that as a weakness and use it as an excuse to
come on stronger than ever.

The thing is.....he CAN'T get on topic because
he has no interest in scanners and scanning.
That's the supreme Catch-22, you see.

2520. Re: Oooooh! Did that feel good. What a relief. Almost orgasmic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A02FC.911@parg.org>
Source record: 156550

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> I just unsubscribed from
> rec.radio.scanner
SNIP

Bill Conduit-

THANK YOU, that is probably the biggest single contribution
you hav made for the benefit of this NG.

2521. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A035C.7EB9@parg.org>
Source record: 156551

Phil Smalley wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> > You are so desperate to find something wrong with me that
> > you have to make things up by mis-qouting me!
>
> Awwwwww.... does that bother you...?

No, it just makes you look as the pathetic sap you are!

2522. Re: Smalley: even lower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A039C.6D37@parg.org>
Source record: 156552

Phil Smalley wrote:
> I only post it because it bothers you. Don't you get it? I'm out to piss
> you off John. And you know what? It's working.

No, I don't care waht you do. So far, you've just made yourself
look like a fool.

2523. Re: Why the lameness?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A18EB.1EA0@parg.org>
Source record: 156553

Jeff Doucette wrote:
> Why do Mackey and Cheek keep fighting....I'm tired off all the spam on the
> USENET, bashing each other,...

WELL SAID, Jeff!

I have asked Bill Cheek for a truce TWICE, but he refuses
th offers!

How sad!

2524. Re: Richard Kendrick is beating the crap out of himself and I love it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A1974.5DE8@parg.org>
Source record: 156554

Phil Smalley wrote:
> Oh, so now we add software developer to the list of John Mackey's
> dubious accomplishments? Gimme a break. You couldn't program your way
SNIP
> If you really are a programmer, SNIP

NO, I never said I was a software developer or programmer.

2525. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A1A06.2E5B@parg.org>
Source record: 156555

Phil Smalley wrote:
SNIP
> You could've easily gotten an account at Bill's ISP and signed
> in from anyplace, wrote that message, then logged out and cried foul. As
> a matter of fact, I think THAT is closer to the truth than anything you
> ever said. In fact, I'd bet that anyone who went to any San Diego public
> library could post from that NNTP host. SNIP

You are certainly coming up with some pretty extreme ideas to protect
your hero, Bill Cheek!

2526. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A1A59.22CD@parg.org>
Source record: 156556

allsafe wrote:

> Why would a worm with five (5) E-mail accounts (his own claim) attempt to
SNIP

I didn't know that Cheek has 5 e-mail accounts!

What are they?

2527. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A1B1C.2208@parg.org>
Source record: 156557

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> How simple of an agreement can there be ,

I proposed to Cheek that we stop all flaming, nasty messages,
etc but he refused. You are right, it is simple, yet he declined. :(

> Stop posting off topic messages begining at midnight on Friday the 19th
> one minute after midnight which will be Saturday the 20th the only
> posting you do is on topic .

I just found your message now, about an hour late. :(

2528. Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9b59$ca7805e0$961a37a6@default>
Source record: 156558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill, I don't know why it works so well, but it does in this instance. I am not saying it will work for everyone nor am I recommending it to everyone. But if someone is just listening to local repeater systems with strong signals, then it does work fine in that instance.

You mentioned my location. I do know that plays a major role in hearing distant low band signals as I live on a hill in the highest part of the city. The elevation of the city where I live is 492 feet ASL and my house is another 750 - 1000 feet higher than that. Also, my antennas are on a 40 foot tower mounted on my roof.

I have just today, installed a second antenna for the second scanner and removed the splitter. I am using RG-11 coax with F connectors and it seems to be working great. I haven't had time to compare how much better the new set-up will be, but I'm sure there will be a great improvement. The old antenna & cable I replaced was 10 years old.

I'm not saying you were wrong saying that a splitter would not work, just that in some cases it does work depending on what you are listening to and how strong the origional signal is. It is just a possible alternative. The way I look at it, if something works to your satisfaction then use it, if not try something else.

Thanks for your input, I appreciate it and I'm sure others do to.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<358c1fb4.127635420@news2.cts.com>...
> "Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:
>
> > Bill, I have been using a 2-way splitter for 2 of my scanners for many
> > years and have had no problems. Sure all the local police, sheriff &
fire
> > are repeaters but as you know living in California the CHP does not use
a
> > repeater system.
> >
> > I hear CHP cars from 75 to 80 miles away. This is just as good as my
> > dedicated low band scanner for CHP. For some reason I have never
noticed a

> > loss on low band, just 150mhz and above. I will agree, there is loss
above
> > 150mhz, but with multiple reapeaters all around me I never have a
problem.
>
> Copyrighted (c) 1998 Bill Cheek <All rights reserved>
>
> True that lower frequencies propagate farther than
> higher freqs. This is because the attenuation rate
> of a radio wave is proportional to not only distance,
> but also frequency.
>
> Still, 75-80 miles range for VHF-Lo strongly suggests
> something else is in the equation, probably YOUR
> location. Line of sight still applies, though less
> so on VHF than UHF, but it is still a predominant
> factor for reception. A combination of your location;
> the height of the antenna above ground, and the
> quality of your antenna and coax cable are certainly
> major role players in your success.
>
> If, somehow, you are getting a space-wave from these
> CHP sites, then a measly 3 dB loss in a splitter just
> won't make a difference. So long as you have 10-20 dB
> of Signal + Noise to Noise ratio, you'll have full
> quieting...which means anywhere from 0.75 to 2 microvolts
> is all the signal you need. You could be near the edge
> of hearing and not hearing, and never know it because
> a fully quieted signal "seems" like it comes from next
> door. The ear cannot discern distance of the majority
> of FM signals.
>
> Brass tacks.....you hook up a Y-gate to a garden hose
> to perceive what I mean.....that a splitter causes
> the unit signal to divide, 50% one way and 50% the other.
> The logarithm of 0.5 or 1/2 is -0.301. Converting to
> decibels, dB = 10 Log (Ratio) = 10 Log (.5) = 3.01 dB,
> a whopping loss for weak signals; and yet an inconsequential
> loss for stronger signals.
>
> In review, your particular circumstances do not
> make a case for using one antenna to feed multiple
> scanners. You just have something else going for you
> that seems to defy the obvious losses.
>
> Any radio link, whether it's Points A and B on the
> ground, or Ground A and Aero B, or Aero A and Aero B
> or earth-to-satellite and satellite-to-earth, or even
> earth-to-deep space, etc, can be accurately and reliably
> modeled with a fairly simple equation. It consists of

> gains and losses, each readily analyzed and calculated.
> It is not magic.
>

2529. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A1F38.24D6@parg.org>
Source record: 156559

Phil Smalley wrote:
> You're a loser and attempting to save face - completely impossible.
> Talk about pathetic! Sheesh!

Looking in the mirror again?

2530. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A1FA1.185A@parg.org>
Source record: 156560

Salvatore Gambino wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:
> >Hawke wrote:
> >> Request proof of your dredentials (posted publically)
> >
> >OK, but first, what are "dredentials"?

> Those must be the credentials that you "dred" to post

NO, that makes no sense!

x

2531. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358A238F.12E9@parg.org>
Source record: 156561

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Weird! Very strange. Especially since the posting
> hosts and IP addresses differ radically. Not to
> mention the paths. Oh, and let's see, also the
> newsreader, the MIME, and other salient points
> of the header.

Yea, but Bill, I sent Mr. WAH some e-mail & it bounced back
from YOU!!!!

That sorta proves the point that YOU were forging & that
YOU are a liar!

See below!

This is what I send:

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001
Message-ID: <3589C0D9.5D50@parg.org>
Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 18:37:29 -0700
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Reply-To: KA0SSF@parg.org
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Subject: Re: mackey troubles
References: <Afhi1.2755$r5.1264693@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

test

This is what bounced back!:

Received: from prefetch-atm.san.rr.com (ns1.san.rr.com [204.210.0.2])
by smtp1.teleport.com (8.8.7/8.7.3) with ESMTP id WAA12349 for
<KA0SSF@parg.org>; Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:28:00 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from dr (dt022nec.san.rr.com [204.210.11.236]) by
prefetch-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with SMTP id WAA14295 for
<KA0SSF@parg.org>; Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:27:59 -0700 (PDT)
Message-Id:
<199806190527.WAA14295@prefetch-atm.san.rr.com>
Return-Receipt-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Reply-To: <bcheek@san.rr.com>
From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>

To: <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Subject: Returned mail: refused by server
Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:27:59 -0700
X-Priority: 3 (Normal)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook 8.5, Build 4.71.2173.0
Importance: Normal
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Disposition-Notification-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
X-Mozilla-Status: 0001

Part 1.2
Content-Type: application/octet-stream
Content-Transfer-Encoding: x-uuencode
Content-Disposition: inline; filename="Returned mail refused by
server.eml"

2532. Re: FCC Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: netzel@erinet.com
Message-ID: <6mcaif$b38@nntp1.erinet.com>
Source record: 156652
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6m4sc5$8gmm$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>, "Dale Beers"
<dbeers@prodigy.net> wrote:
> Where can I download the FCC Frequency Database
>I could not find it on ftp.fcc.gov or www.fcc.gov
>I would like to have the Database for Minnesota ?
> How much would a CD_ROM of FCC Frequency Database
>covering the entire USA cost I want to get the Cheapest I can
>under $50 Please Point me to a web site
>
>
>

The two FREE sources of FCC data are:

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

and

http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html

the first one is actual access to the FCC database and the second is somewhat
older. Keep in mind that you may have to work a little hard at sorting out
the information you want. As for PERCON, you can access their site and use
their current Spectrum database on-line. .....enjoy...

Fred

2533. Re: Freqs for IL Railway Museum Needed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: lstrain@email.msn.com
Message-ID: <6mcgdv$c7j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156653

I found this page while surfing the net one day. It lists frequencies for major US railroads. And for you it even has a Chicago area section. Hope this helps. http://www2.fwi.com/~rrradioman/freqs.html

Shaun Whitman
lstrain@email.msn.com
Visit my homepage Central Jersey Rails:
http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2534. Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589C27E.4AD2@parg.org>
Source record: 156654

Dong Tong Wah wrote:
SNIP

Hi Bill!

You really should do better when trying to forge posts!

Your IP address, posting host, & other header info is all
the same.

2535. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <358cc434.1128036@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156655

It might, but don't hold your breath hoping that it will turn your S-4 into a 10 over 9!

2536. Re: Yo? Mackey? I want you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589C4EC.59E2@parg.org>
Source record: 156656

Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:
SNIP

The troubls makers will latch on to ANYTHING if they want to make
trouble! :(

2537. Re: Wal-Mart?/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Lanning <kll@ns.esn.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9b25$5fb90c60$6e46dacd@kevin>
Source record: 156657

These are the three most popular frequencies for Wal-Mart:

151.625 "Red dot"
154.570 "Blue dot"
154.600 "Green dot"
--
To reply by e-mail, remove the "ns." from my address.
kll@ns.esn.net

Todd <me812@hotmail.com> wrote in article <6m876g$jo9$1@news1.i1.net>...
> The Wal-Marts in my area use low powered walkies on 154.600
>
> Todd
>
> The Crew wrote in message <6m7db1teg1@news.citynet.net>...
> > So where does wal-mart hang out in the radio spectrum??
> >thanks!
> >Randy
> >
> >
>
>
>

2538. fs 2042 will cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061902004300.WAA16192@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156658

I have a 2042 with the logic board installed picks up cellular great(although illegal and dont recommend)unit is 6 months old, have box, manual and antenna. $450 best offer. these logic boards are very hard to get cost around $200 gust for the board.

2539. FS Yupiteru Mvt9000 $400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: aciesynski@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998061902015500.WAA12399@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156659

i have a yupi 9000 in as new condition with all accessories including a nice soft case.

2540. Re: Richard Kendrick is reveals nothing about Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3589C742.368F@parg.org>
Source record: 156660

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> You slapped together ONE
> report of someone else doing something and then rehashed it for a second
> location. The ARRL had to rewrite your drivel into decent English.

WRONG on BOTH. First, YOU ONLY ASKED FOR ONE! Second, the league DID
NOT re-write my article! If you still say that, then post your proof
or be made to be the liar you are!

> Then you denounce the technical expertise of Roy LeWallen who has openly
> endorsed the usage of WD-40 in electronic applications, more precisely
> cleaning potentiometers.

I STILL do, too!

> You made the claim of a degree. I said you were a liar and asked for
> proof. You never have provided proof of your degrees. In the absence
> of proof, you are a liar and do not have the degrees you claim. The
> only way for you to prove yourself on this point and prove me wrong
> is to provide the name of the school and the year the degree was

This is because *MANY* people have asked this same question of
Cheek LONG before anyone asked me. Cheek was NOT willing to
post data of year/shool name of his *CLAIMED* Engineering Degree &
YOU & a few others said NOTHING to him. Yet, you expect ME to
post info that you don't expect others to post.

Perhaps a deal! I *MIGHT* be willing to post my under-grad
degree info. If you then get Cheek to post his engineering
degree info then I would post the REST of my data upon
confirming CHEEK's data to be correct!

Take it or leave it!

If Cheek is telling the TRUTH & has a engineering degree, then he
will NOT be afraid to give this info!

> If you are really trying to write software like you claim, post samples
> of the source code.

You must be FOOL! I am NOT about to post the source code of some
software I expect to profit from!

2541. Re: trunktracker question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Lanning <kll@ns.esn.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9b26$697e7680$6e46dacd@kevin>
Source record: 156661

The only problem is that they have been sold out for at least the last two
weeks. May have been even longer.
--
To reply by e-mail, remove the "ns." from my address.
kll@ns.esn.net

Will Wasson <will_was@pacbell.net> wrote in article
<3588A842.72D6@pacbell.net>...
> Nick wrote:
> >
> > 2 questions that I hope someone can answer:
> >
> > 1. As I'm sure everyone knows, the RS Pro-2050 trunktracker is on sale
for
> > $199. I'm thinking about getting one, and I'd like to know if it is a
good
> > scanner?
> >
> > 2. Will a trunktracker follow a type II Smartnet system?
> >
> > thanks ahead of time.
>
> Hey checkout the uniden web page. They have refurbed units "as good as
> new" for $150 for the same trunk tracker as RS. Just bought my TT from
> RS for $199 and cried when I saw the uniden page.
>
> Will
>

2542. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358c427a.333190162@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156213

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Get out of the denial mode, Smeggie.
> > 'Fess up and stay 'fessed up. It will
>
> Bill, you know good & well I didn't write that.

I know good and well you DID write it.
While you were drunk or strung out on
drugs. But you wrote it and then tried
to retract it.

> Everyone BUT YOU, acknowledges that!

No, not everyone. Just one. But he doesn't
know YOU like I know you.

> You're just trying to cause more trouble on this NG

I didn't say anything about the newsgroup, you
pompous, sanctimonious nincompoop. I'm talking
TO you, you friggin nitwit.

> PLEASE stop causing problems,

I didn't cause a problem, Smacksie.

> PLEASE return this NG to the users for
> enjoyment free of you senseless flames.

THAT is wholly in your power, Wingnut. All
you have to do is ACCEPT the 4-point deal
I offered you..and here it is again, in case
you forgot or "cancelled" the original one:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

Accept it, and you and me will NEVER interact
again.

2543. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358d43db.333543926@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156214

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Nah, it was still Smacksie. He gets drunk or
>
> Bill, you know good & well it was NOT me.

I know good and well it WAS you.

> Please stop your flamimg

I didn't flame anyone. YOU are the one who
confessed and bared his soul.

> & let this NG go back to the topic of
> discussion,

We ARE on THE topic of discussion: your confession.

But if you are talking about anything else, then
accept the deal I offered you:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

Simple, Smeggie. Accept it. It binds me
as well as you. I can handle it. Why
can't you?

2544. Re: Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358e4494.333728587@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156215

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Luis Araiza" <araizal@infosel.net.mx> wrote:

> I live in mexico and need to know which scanner is good to hear cellular
> frequencies.

Any scanner made in or imported into the USA *after*
March, 1994, is not capable of cellular nor even
modification for cellular. So if you are looking
at the USA market, then it has to be an older scanner
and it will come from the "used" or pre-owned market
now.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2545. Re: Interesting Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358f450a.333846266@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156216

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Everyone else got the point.
>
> Not ONE SINGLE person has given you support here Bill,

They don't need to. Besides, this is the weekend.
People are off doing other things.

> you're on your own with this one!

Doesn't matter anyway. It's there in plain
English for those who can read and comprehend;
which means most everyone other than you.
>
> > Oops, my mistake...snakes don't HAVE shoulders.
> > Sorry. Well, anyway, go play with yourself.
> > You've been very entertaining lately.
>
> Bill, PLEASE end the flames & redeem yourself to this newsgroup
> by letting the flames die

All you have to do, Smeggie, is accept the deal:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

But you're a chicken turd with a yellow streak
6" wide running down your back. Accept the deal
and all will be well.

It's not complicated, Hermie.

2546. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35904638.334148886@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156217

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> > > John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> > >
> > > > Truth hurts, huh allsage?
> > .....^^^^^^^
> >

> I saw that too. Big warm and fuzzy for me.

I'll say! Man, I am jealous! You must
have dazzled him to make that admission or
Freudian Slip.

<Maybe he will now glum onto you and let go of me>

I couldn't wish upon you a better whipping boy!
He takes it so nicely and then snivels and
whimpers until your heartstrings play Pacelbel's
Canon.

You lucky devil, you. I'm gonna miss the priss.

2547. Re: John "That's my story and I'm sticking to it!" Mackey lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35914768.334452656@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156218

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Ha ha ha ha ha...."circular truth", maybe.

> Please END YOUR FLAMES & participate in truce. The problems
> on this NG will end & you will redeem yourself to the members
> of this NG!

Ha ha ha ha ha ha.....WHAT truce? There is
ONLY ONE deal, and you haven't accepted it yet:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

You accept it and everyone will be happy.

But YOU ain't got a hair in your arse to accept it.
The nickname "Baldy" has kinda grown sweet on ya,
now hasn't it, Smeggie?

What amazes the hell outa me, Smacksie, is how,
in a world of smart fellers, you can sit there
and choose to be a fart smeller.

You are such a pathetic little fellow. But
whatever trips your trigger, I guess....

Rave on, tunalick, rave on.....

2548. Re: Richard Kendrick reveals John Mackey is still a liar and fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358C4AB6.18410F33@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156219

John "I'm such a pathetic liar and loser" Mackey-KA0SSF tried
to snivel and weasel out of yet another lie:

> WRONG on BOTH. First, YOU ONLY ASKED FOR ONE! Second, the
> league DID NOT re-write my article! If you still say that,
> then post your proof or be made to be the liar you are!

You've only writen one document, Toilet Boy. Your inability to
do simple arithmetic is further proof that you do are an ignorant
and illiterate moron.

Originally, you claimed to have written several articles, as in
plural, as in more than one, for the ARRL and other technical
publications.

I proved you a liar and posted that proof in my post dated January
12, 1998. Since you are so incompetent at looking things up in
DejaNews and have such a pitiful memory (a proven indicator of
severely limited intellect), here is a copy of my post my proof.

As I said before and continue to say: you are a liar and a fraud.

Message-ID: <34BAC094.49212154@primenet.com>
Date: Mon, 12 Jan 1998 18:17:08 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>

OK Toilet Boy, you say you've written lots of articles for the
ARRL and other technical journals. The ARRL does not show you as
author for anything and no articles in ANY technical journals
have been found. Here is the proof that you lied about your
articles.

Several people have visited the ARRL Web Site (www.arrl.org), and
searched, finding nothing written by you. You claim they used
faulty search methods. Here are the results of my search of the
ARRL Web Site using "john mackey" and "ka0ssf" as search
parameters. Before you get all excited and claim my search method
was faulty because I did not use any capitalization, the search
engine is case INsensitive.

Regarding the search for "john mackey," the first, third, and fourth
hits showed only because of "John" and "Mackey". The second hit
refers to The ARRL Letter for July 5, 1996. That was the issue the
contained the item about PARG catching a jammer.

Regarding the search for "ka0ssf," the only hit was a duplicate from
above.

The original article appeared on the PARG web page (www.parg.org) does not credit you as the author. That article is reproduced below in its entirety. The next article is from the HamRadio Online web site (www.hamradio-online.com), also reproduced below in its entirety, does show you as author. Finally, the item in The ARRL Letter is shown below as well. It does not credit you as author either.

It is interesting to note that the HamRadio Online piece appeared in the June 1996 issue and the PARG piece appeared in July 1996. Why would your own group not credit you with authorship? Why would you use the email address of someone else (mscott@teleport.com) instead of your own? Oh yes, I remember now. That was the time (March through August 1996) that you were using one of eight bogus addresses because you were stealing Internet access. Let's see, you were using the addresses:

John.Mackey_Jr@p234.f40.n105.z1.fidonet.org
John.mackey_jr@p234.n40.f105.z1.fidonet.org
Here.now@present.com
no.address@anywhere.com
no.address@anywhere.gov
No-place@anywhere.org
no--place@any-where.com
no__place@any__where.com

Comparing the three articles side by side, it is very clear that the first article, which appeared in HamRadio Online, was reworked for the PARG web page. Then the ARRL edited it for their use. No matter how you slice it, you have not written several articles, as you've claimed.

Again, Toilet Boy, you lied.

And now, the evidence:

================ search for "john mackey" ====================
[The American Radio Relay League]
Search results for 'john mackey'

Match: All Format: Long
Search: All of ARRLWeb

Refine search: john mackey

Help with searching

Documents 1 - 4 of 4 matches. More [*]s indicate
a better match.

ARRLWeb: VHF Award Managers[*][*][*][*]
... is maintained by Bill Moore, NC1L (bmoore@arrl.org)

12/01/97 VHF Awards Managers for Your State: Firstname
Lastname State Callsign Address Phone #s John C A
Bierman AK KL7GNP 4304 Garfield St (501)562-5001
Anchorage, AK 99503 Jim Green AL KD4BHH PO Box 864
(205)245-7825 Sylacauga, AL 35150 (205)245 ...
http://www.arrl.org/awards/vucc/vuccrep.html, 21781 bytes

ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Update, July 5, 1996[*][*][*][ ]
... and education. It is a collaborative effort of the
University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire School of Nursing/Human
Sciences & Services Outreach, the Johns Hopkins University
Center for Technology in Education, and the Trace Research
and Development Center at the University of
Wisconsin-Madison.) Paul also ...
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/960705/ ,25698 bytes

ARRLWeb: ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Online, Volume 16, Number 19
[*][*][ ][ ]
... ." The relief effort is feeding upward of 2700 people
a day (one of the Salvation Army staff members at the
Grand Forks center is Capt Cliff Mackey, KB0TXE, of
Leavenworth, Kansas). Garrington said some hams are doing
double duty-- helping with driving or serving meals as
well as with communication needs ...
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/970509/ ,29547 bytes

ARRLWeb: ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Online, Volume 16, Number 49
[*][*][ ][ ]
... Virginia ARES activation "with thanks to everyone who
participated in this response."--thanks to Jim Kehler,
KH2D, and Don Mahan, KD4WGV and Frank Mackey, K4EC/Virginia
ARES HAM RADIO TO THE RESCUE--AGAIN! Three Franklin Pierce
College students may owe their lives to ham radio. The
three found themselves ...
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/97/971219/ , 29792 bytes

========== end of search for "john mackey" ==========

========== search for "ka0ssf" ==========
[The American Radio Relay League]
Search results for 'ka0ssf'

Match: All Format: Long
Search: All of ARRLWeb

Refine search: ka0ssf

Help with searching

Documents 1 - 1 of 1 matches. More [*]s indicate
a better match.

ARRLWeb: The ARRL Letter Update, July 5, 1996[*][*][*][*]
None of the search words were found in the first 2000
words of this document.
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/960705/, 25698 bytes

========== end of search for "ka0ssf" ==========

==== Article from HamRadio Online, www.hamradio-online.com ===
==== June 1996, reproduced without permission ===

Amateurs Locate Police Jammer in Oregon

by John Mackey, KA0SSF, mscott@teleport.com

During June 1st, 1996 in Seaside Oregon, where the SEA-PAC ham convention was being held, a group of hams belonging to PARG (Phantoms Amateur Radio Group) were down on the beach front enjoying the annual PARG bonfire. One of the hams (KB7YZS) was monitoring the local Seaside Police Dept. He heard someone cursing and yelling at people. At first he thought it was coming from the UHF side on his hand-held transceiver, but to his surprise it was coming from the VHF side which was monitoring the police.

He promptly notified everyone at the bonfire to listen. After a few minutes of listening to the person, the members had figured out that the person was up in a balcony, was with 3 or more people and 2 of which were females. They realized this because of key things he said and voices in the background.

The 4 PARG members in the group (KB7YZS, KB7YZR, N7XMD, and AA7UP) just happen to be most of the PARG Interference Committee. They immediately activated the PARG Interference Committee direction finding operations. They promptly tracked down the interference to a specific location of the Shilo Inn and searched for someone matching the description. After only 5 minutes they saw a group of people on the third floor of the Shilo who matched the description. Then they saw him pick up his hand-held transceiver and speak into it. At the very same moment the voice on the police frequency was saying "Hey, fat ass, yea you in the black pants and the white shirt". While he was saying this on the Seaside Police frequency the members noticed a man wearing those clothes, a little on the heavy side walking directly underneath them.

One of the PARG members saw a Seaside Police patrol car in the area. A PARG representative approached the officer and asked him if he was looking for a person causing interference to the Police Frequency. He seemed very upset and replied "YES"! The PARG

representative then informed the Seaside Police Officer where the malicious interference was coming from. Three other PARG members were on their way to the third floor when they saw the people (from the room/balcony where the interference was) come running down the stairs and away from the Shilo.

The officer took a report from the PARG representative, including an exact location of the motel room and spoke to the hotel manager. After 2 hours the interference started up again. The Seaside Police Officer went directly to the room the PARG Interference team pointed out and caught the person in the act of doing the deeds.

The above is known to be facts. The above mentioned is knowledge from speaking the PARG Interference Committee members and to a friend of the suspect.

The man who in is question has a call sign of KE6VNU and is from Central California. He apparently was in Oregon for the ham convention. Information indicates he was released from jail only a short time before this incident, the charge he was in jail for was malicious interference to the local police in his area. This obviously did not teach him his lesson. We understand that he caused a great amount of interference to the Sacramento County and Roseville emergency/public services communications and often identified himself on those malicious transmissions as "The Phantom Jammer". We were told the charge Seaside Police brought against him was "Interference of a police officer in line of duties".

Note: It is an unfortunate coincidence that the malicious interferer used the name "Phantom Jammer". He has absolutely NO association with or relation to PARG (Phantom's Amateur Radio Group), the group which located him & turned the necessary information into the Seaside Police which led to his arrest for the interference. We did not release this information until now at the request of the local law enforcement officials to prevent tainting their investigation.

This was PARG's second year in a row of providing public services during the Seaside Ham Convention. Last year several PARG members apprehended a purse thief (after a brief foot pursuit), returned the purse to the rightful owner, and detained the suspect until police could arrive. PARG also performed crowd control activities at this time due to the large number of people who stopped to watch the purse thief incident.

PARG is the Phantom's Amateur Radio Group in Portland, OR and is Portland's most active VE testing, repeater club, emergency communications, and ham radio group. Please visit our web page at http://www.teleport.com/~mscott/dumb.shtml.
You can contact PARG at

PARG, PO Box 1586, Beaverton, Oregon 97075-1586
Phone 503-818-PARG (24 hours)

========== end of HamRadio Online ==========

========== Article from PARG Web Page, www.parg.org ==========
========== June 1996, reproduced without permission ==========

The PARG Crack
The Official Newsletter of the Phantoms Amateur Radio Group
"We are anything but complacent"

July 1996 - Portland, Oregon - ONLINE EDITION

Interference Committee Stops Californian Jammer

The SeaPac ham convention was saved once again by PARG representatives. In a valiant effort to restore peace and safety to the coastal community, members of the PARG Interference Committee assisted Seaside Police officers in the apprehension of an individual jamming their dispatch frequency.

During the annual PARG bon fire, several members of the Interference Committee were monitoring the local police dispatch frequency. As they were completing a safety check of the area before returning to their accommodations for the evening, the Committee members heard someone sending malicious transmissions on the VHF dispatch frequency. Immediately, the field operations of the Interference Tracking Unit (ITU) were activated and the search began for the jammer.

After a relatively short and efficient investigation, the ITU determined that the illegal signal was originating from a shore front hotel. This information was derived from both direction finding techniques and verbal clues left by the jammer.

The group immediately broke into two teams and sent a representative to contact a police officer who was patrolling in the area. The other team went to the hotel from which the suspected jammer was transmitting and watched his room for a possible escape attempt.

Soon after contact was made with the police department, the suspects were seen going down the hotel stairs and away from the building.

During the time that the suspects were out, the jamming ceased. About two hours later, the jamming started up again. When this happened, the officer the PARG representative had contacted returned to the room and apprehended the suspect.

According to reliable sources, the suspect, KE6VNU was arrested by the Seaside Police Department for the charge of Interfering with a police officer in the line of duties. In addition to being

involved in this situation, the jammer was allegedly involved in similar activities in Sacramento County, California.

The outcome of any prosecution will be covered in a later edition of the PARG Crack.

================== end of PARG Web Page ======================

========== Article from The ARRL Leter, www.arrl.org =========
========= July 5, 1996, reproduced without permission ========

PHANTOMS HELP NAB "THE PHANTOM JAMMER"

The Phantoms Amateur Radio Group (PARG) of Portland, Oregon, is claiming credit for helping police to nab "The Phantom Jammer," who was interfering with police calls in Seaside, Oregon, during the Northwest Division Convention in early June. John Mackey, KA0SSF, of PARG reports members were on the beach enjoying the annual PARG bonfire when KB7YZS heard someone "cursing and yelling at people" on the VHF police frequency, which he was monitoring at the time. After a few minutes of listening, members figured out that the person was up in a balcony and was with a mixed group. KB7YZS, KB7YZR, N7XMD, and AA7UP (who just happen to be most of the PARG Interference Committee) immediately activated direction finding operations and tracked down the interference to a local motel. It only took them a few minutes to spot a group on a balcony, one of whom was using an H-T. After noting that the transmissions coincided with what they heard on the police frequency, PARG informed Seaside Police. A short time later, Mackey reports, Seaside Police "caught the person in the act."

Seaside Police subsequently charged Bobby Lee Aguero, KE6VNU, of Rocklin, California, with interfering with a police officer. As we've already reported in The ARRL Letter and in QST, Aguero, 19, already faces similar charges in California.

Mackey said this was the second consecutive year PARG got to provide a public service during the Seaside convention. Last year several PARG members apprehended a purse thief--after a brief foot pursuit--returned the purse to its rightful owner, and detained the suspect until police could arrive. PARG has a Web page at http://www.teleport.com/~mscott/dumb.shtml. The group's current president is Justin Bousquet, AB7NG.

==================== end of ARRL Letter ======================

2549. Re: Richard Kendrick reveals John Mackey is still a liar and fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358C4B56.78826FAE@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156220

John "I can't even lie my way out of a paper bag I'm so lame"
Mackey-KA0SSF whimpered:

> This is because *MANY* people have asked this same question
> of Cheek LONG before anyone asked me. Cheek was NOT willing
> to post data of year/shool name of his *CLAIMED* Engineering
> Degree & YOU & a few others said NOTHING to him.

Another one of your litany of lies. Cheek has privately provided
me with the data. You're just annoyed that he gave it to me, but
wouldn't give it to you.

> Yet, you expect ME to post info that you don't expect others to
> post.

I didn't ask Cheek for proof of *your* degree because *he* didn't
claim *you* had a degree. *You* made the claim of having a degree.
I said you were a liar and asked *you* for the proof. Since you
haven't proved you had a degree, you are a liar and a fraud.

> Perhaps a deal! I *MIGHT* be willing to post my under-grad
> degree info. If you then get Cheek to post his engineering
> degree info then I would post the REST of my data upon
> confirming CHEEK's data to be correct!

This is just another one of your feeble attempts at dragging
irrelevant data into this discussion to deflect the attention
from your lying about your (lack of) degree.

You want a deal, Toilet Boy? This is the only "deal" your ever
going to get from me. With regrard to your degree, you are a
liar, you do not have one. If you want to shut me up, prove your
degree. If you don't prove your degree, you will continue to be
branded as a liar and I will constantly remind you and others of
your lying ways.

> Take it or leave it!

You're unwillingness to prove your degee is concrete proof you
are a liar, a fraud, and lack any credibility or integrity what
so ever. But, then we all know that.

> If Cheek is telling the TRUTH & has a engineering degree, then
> he will NOT be afraid to give this info!

If you want the information about Cheek, ask him. I'm not his
babysitter.

2550. Re: Richard Kendrick reveals John Mackey is still a liar and fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358C4B10.8F986B08@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156221

John "I'm a nobody and I want everybody to know it" Mackey-KA0SSF
continues to show his technical ignorance:

> > Then you denounce the technical expertise of Roy LeWallen
> > who has openly endorsed the usage of WD-40 in electronic
> > applications, more precisely cleaning potentiometers.

> I STILL do, too!

It is very common for ignorant little pups like yourself with no
talent and no experience to deny the experience and expertise of
older more practiced people like Roy LeWallen and Bill Cheek.

Mr. LeWallen has been working as an engineer for as long as you've
been alive. If you don't believe me, I don't care. Check it out
for yourself from any one of the various callsign servers and do
the math. But, you can't because you're too stupid.

You tried to argue that WD-40 was unsuitable in electronic
applications because it was flammable. Millions of women world
wide use hairspray, which is also very flammable, on a daily
basis. Your miserable and pitiful attempts to discredit the
use of WD-40 is specious and lame (like you).

You claim Cheek is an idiot and attacked his opinion because he
advocates the use of WD-40 but you never attacked Roy LeWallen
for the same opinion. Why not? Because your ONLY reason for going
against the use of WD-40 is because Bill Cheek recommends it
careful use.

Here is the message for you again:

Message-ID: <34E528F4.A069A30D@primenet.com>
Date: Fri, 13 Feb 1998 22:17:40 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>

ohn "As ususal, I don't know what I'm talking about"
Mackey -- KA0SSF wrote:

Ok Toilet Boy, you've missed the boat again. Here is a post from Roy
Lewallen, a *very* respected engineer (you going to challenge his
credentials, too?) and Technical Advisor to the American Radio Relay
League. This is what he has to say on the usage of WD-40.

Looks like Bill's thirty years of experience coupled with Roy's forty
plus have got your two weeks beat.

.....
Subject: Re: WD-40 vice Contact/Tuner Spray!!
From: w7el@teleport.com (Roy Lewallen)
Date: 1996/01/27
Message-ID: <4ee9o0$p4m@maureen.teleport.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

> subbustr@whidbey.net (DAVE M . SCHERTZER) writes:
> Use WD-40 on my mobile rig to fix a LCD prob and
> it worked!!!! Tried contact/tuner spray and had to
> re-apply every 2 weeks, WD-40 no probs fer the
> last 6 months..works fine in a pinch.....
>
> de ve7-lfa

I use WD-40 all the time on swiches (rotary, AC power, relays, you name it), noisy potentiometers, sticky slugs in old UHF rig inductors, locks, and anything else that slides or turns. Doesn't hurt any of 'em. It's one of the Great Inventions of the 20th Century (along with latex paint and plastic drinking straws. .)

Roy Lewallen, W7EL
.....

>
> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > You'll get a mix of opinions on this.....but I've used
> > it with nary a mishap for over 30-yrs.
> > You don't want to get carried away with it, where
> > just a drop or two will do...and you don't want to
> > get the stuff on anything else but potentiometers
> > and mechanisms.
>
> NO NO NO NO NO NO **NO**
>
> WD-40 is NOT something that should be used on potentiometers
> AT ALL. Sure, it will remove the problem for a short time, then
> the problem returns WORSE than before. WD-40 is petroleum based
> & ATTRACTS dirt/dust.
>
> Your 30 years HARDLY has done any good, has it?
>
> > It is also a fire hazard....(makes a great flame thrower).
>
> It is RARE that you make any HONEST statements, but this it one!

2551. Re: Richard Kendrick reveals John Mackey is still a liar and fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358C4B88.5220F002@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156222

John "I love to have sex with men in public restrooms" Mackey
KA0SSF failed to tantalize us with another one of his lies:

> You must be FOOL! I am NOT about to post the source code of
> some software I expect to profit from!

As I suspected, another one of your lies. You aren't creating
any software. This is another one of your delusions. The only
profits you can make are from having sex with men in public
restrooms.

2552. Re: Outta Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359248d1.334814002@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156223

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > No "we" haven't asked "Cheek" for a truce. Not
> > once, not twice, not any times. And there ain't
>
> Actually THREE times, SEVERAL have asked you
> to participate in the truce.

> Bill, please participate in the truce & let the flames die.
> You will redeem yourself to the NG if you do this!
>
> Just try it!

There is NO truce. There IS a deal pending:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

I've offered it to you a couple dozen times.

Accept it.

2553. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35934950.334941267@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156224

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> George Vetterle wrote:
> > Don't you have an MCI e-mail
> > address?
>
> I probably do, but I have no idea what the MCI address is,
> I never use it. I only use the usa.net mail account.

Then why do you list the Phairy's Amateur Radio Group
e-mail address in your newsreader/editor?

2554. Re: FAQ : Cheek & Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35954ab7.335299581@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156225

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Mr. WireHead wrote:
> > Reposted without permission - Original author is k4cc@aol.com (K4CC)
>
> PLEASE stop the flaming & trouble making. PLEASE let this NG
> get back to the topic rather than ba staging ground for
> fighting & making stories!

Let's deal then, Smackaroon!

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

Simple as that. A cureall for the taking.

Do it, Smeggie.

2555. Re: Why the lameness?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359449da.335078956@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156226

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS wrote:
> > I gave up trying to figure that one out. I finally started using Newsgroup
> > filters.
>
> Please help encourage BIll Cheek to participate in the truce
> as that would end all the other problems!

WHAT truce, you imbecile? There is ONLY a
pending deal that you refuse to acknowledge
or accept:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

Acknowledge it, you friggin pissant. Deal with it.
Accept it. What's your problem with it?

You KNOW you will lose and be the FIRST to break
it, huh? Everyone knows it.

2556. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John C. Harper" <harp269@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358C4CE6.13CD8EF8@swbell.net>
Source record: 156227

I appreciate your answer and appologize for the four letter word. What is your response to the intent of the post? There was no flame intended and no persons were pointed at.

I like scanners and the the people who use them. Tell me something interesting - please!

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> John C. Harper wrote:
> > What the fuck does this
>
> PLEASE help us preserve this NG as a nice place & STOP
> the flaming. Specifically, your swearing & cursing is NOT needed!

2557. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358C4DD5.4B56F023@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156228

John "Queen Weenie and wannabe net cop" Mackey KA0SSF offered
another one of his prozac induced lies:

> > George Vetterle wrote:
> > > Just *who* is this *we* you're always talking about?
> >
> > The members of this NG.

> I never said I spoke for YOU!

You're a liar. Your response to George Vetterle clearly states
you are trying to speak for the *entire* news group, which
includes me. You are too stupid to even speak for yourself
let alone me.

2558. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358C4E1F.F6616306@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156229

John "How comes nobody likes me?" Mackey KA0SSF cried:

> Richard Kendrick wrote:
> > As an expert in wasting bandwidth, you would know better
> > than anyone.

> I can't even compare to you as you waste far MORE than I !

You're such a pitiful and lame liar, Toilet Boy. You have been identified not once, but twice already as an Internet abuser by Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>. His weekly summaries clearly show *you* as a habitual offender in abusing bandwidth.

2559. Re: A DEAL FOR THE TROUBLE MAKERS! was:Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358C4E72.229CB52@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 156230

John "But, I want to listen to the voices in my head" Mackey
KA0SSF showed more hypocrisy:

> WE post NO FLAMES, NO SNIDE REMARKES, NO ATTACKS,

Not we, Toilet Boy, YOU. You are NOT empowered to speak for anyone else except yourself. Considering you have multiple personalities, you need to schedule an appointment with Jack Kevorkian. Otherwise, listen to the voices and jump off the Marquam Bridge.

> and no messages which result in personal financial gain to
> the newsgroup of rec.radio.scanner.

Whatever your personal dislikes for anyone who visits or conducts whatever kind of trade in the scanner news groups, you do NOT have the right to infringe on an individuals right to free trade. If you don't like that someone is using this news group for profit, too bad. Don't buy anything from that person or patronize that establishment.

> Allsafe, Phil Smalley, Richard Kendrick, & the other trouble
> makers this deal is for you too. Take part in this
> & demonstrate your true intentions for this NG to remain calm.

I can't speak for the others in your list of detractors (how come you left off the other fifty thousand names?). But, I will state here and now it is my intention to show each of your lies so that anyone who looks will know just what a liar, fraud, and hypocrite you really are.

> Bill, allsafe, Phil, Richard, & the rest, if you take part in
> this deal you will redeem yourself to this NG & make it a better
> place. I urge you to do it.

In whose eyes, yours? Puleeze! Again, I can't speak for the others but your redemption isn't on my list of priorities. Exposing you for the scum that you are is what makes this a better newsgroup. I urge you to go to the top of Multinomah Falls, jump off and see if gravity really works.

> You will gain respect

From who, you? You are in total denial and under some very poor quality halucinagens if you think having the respect from a useless moron like you is something I aspire to.

> & I will personally support you all in the future if others
> give you any trouble!

You couldn't give support to a used jock strap.

2560. Re: Why the lameness?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358C6A92.E7D6CFB3@swbell.net>
Source record: 156231

John the truce is only going to work if you leave Bill alone , stop replying to him , stop posting about him , stop asking people to help get Bill to participate in the truce.

How simpler can it get John, all you have to do basically is act like Bill no longer exist and he will do the same with you.
You stay out of his way and he will stay out of your way.

You don't have to keep tacking on special conditions as that shows your not earnest in wanting the flames to stop and only want to continue attacking Bill which proves what many have said that you are carrying out a personal vendetta on the newsgroup.

Now do you really want the flames to stop?, if so then stop replying to Bill, stop posting about Bill, stop asking Bill to stop the flaming and join the truce.
Bill has already stated he will stop as soon as you forget he exist and you go your own way .

Things can't be simpler and your not gaing any support on here , the support you did have has been lost as the people have seen that you don't want a truce , prove them wrong John and stop what your doing , let the newsgroup go back to normal.

2561. Re: Interesting Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358C2826.6DF58193@netwit.com>
Source record: 156232

Bill Cheek wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:
>
> > Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> > > Everyone else got the point.
> >
> > Not ONE SINGLE person has given you support here Bill,
>
> They don't need to. Besides, this is the weekend.
> People are off doing other things.

Oh come off it. Bill's been doling out technical advice here for
years. And he does it for anyone who asks. Bill's got my support.

2562. Rub MACKEY's nose in it Bill.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <358C53D1.309C8EED@pacifier.com>
Source record: 156233

MACKEY must be taught a lesson. The whole newsgroup (and world) hate
MACKEY and its only a matter of time before he has a nervous breakdown
from trying to reply to all of his hatemail. Then they will haul him
away to the
looney bin. Then we will all talk behind his back.

2563. Re: Outta Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <358C5572.68E9DF6D@pacifier.com>
Source record: 156234

Wrong MACKEY. It isn't a matter of you asking for a
truce. It is you surrendering in defeat and disappearing.
You have been beaten and you know it. But you are too
much of a baby to know when Bill has beaten you down
in the playground. You don't ask for anything MACKEY...
you do what you're told and when you've been told it.
When Bill tells you to jump... we want you to ask (respectfully)
how high. You will refer to him as SIR or BOSSMAN at all times.
You will say how sorry you are for being such an insect.

2564. Don't ask anything MACKEY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <358C55B5.B1F47843@pacifier.com>
Source record: 156235

Wrong MACKEY. It isn't a matter of you asking for a
truce. It is you surrendering in defeat and disappearing.
You have been beaten and you know it. But you are too
much of a baby to know when Bill has beaten you down
in the playground. You don't ask for anything MACKEY...
you do what you're told and when you've been told it.
When Bill tells you to jump... we want you to ask (respectfully)
how high. You will refer to him as SIR or BOSSMAN at all times.
You will say how sorry you are for being such an insect.

2565. MACKEY needs to go into the Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <358C5659.1F7DCD76@pacifier.com>
Source record: 156236

MACKEY needs the military. They would knock that
smart-ass mouth of his right off. We'll start him out in
Cub Scout Pack # 25.

2566. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John C. Harper" <harp269@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358C56CC.129A881B@swbell.net>
Source record: 156237

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
>>"John C. Harper" <harp269@swbell.net> wrote:

>>JC Harper Snip ....(Good things about I like scannining)

> Right. So do most of the rest of us.
>... Snip a bunch

BUT - I can filter this and that and not see crap - but filters ain't perfect. 'specially when implemented by dumb-asses like me. Why should I have to figure out how to filter anybody out of a newsgroup in which I am inrerested? I look at all the synopses and decide which to expand. LOTS of these synopses are 'tween two (2) people. That ain't what this stuff is for.

Get off my computer!

2567. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mhlmh$k1p$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 156238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My thoughts exactly. Now I will just sit back and wait for the blaming to
begin.

Frustrated wrote in message ...
>Hello All,
>
>I bet Many of you are wondering why people do not trust the scanner
>hobbyist?
>
>Why are so Many Public Safety Agencies signing up with Astro?
>
>Why does Congress feel it neccesary to crack down on the Hobby?
>
>I have been pondering these questions for a while and couldn't nail down
the
>answer, well I think it is clear now. (at least from my point of view as a
>member of the Public safety community and a scannist.)
>
>I occured to me that ones behavior on the newsgroups and how they interact
>with one another in this NG reflect how they probably behave in the real
>world or at least this is the appearance.
>
>The constant flaming,name calling, and blame placing are not doing the
hobby
>any good at all, I mean say one public officials aid or secretary was given
>the task to do some research on scanner listeners and of course they would
>come to the internet. and then to the 2 scanner newsgroups, gee it does not
>take a rocket scientist to figure out what kind of impression they would
get
>with the current situation.
>
>Now after this post I bet the Most guilty and childish offenders will start
>placing the blame on each other
>
>example: well it's party a's fault if he would stop calling me names i
would
>quit flaming him! (This sounds just like kindergarten)
>Just listen to it and if you all have any dignity and care for the scanner
>hobby then you all will behave yourselves
>
>The future of the scanning hobby depends on it
>i mean if everything goes to digital then what good is a scanner
>if a scanner becomes illegal then what?

>
>we are all adults here lets start acting like it
>
>

2568. Re: Why Not a Moderated Group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mhlu8$l36$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 156239

YOU SHOULD NOT HAVE TO USE A FILTER!!! The point is is that this crap
should not happen.

>
Ever heard of a FILTER? How about skipping messages from the above people
>and keeping your blood pressure under control?
>
>As long as Mack-hole continues to live and breathe the newsgroup will
>remain the same as it is...
>
>Some assembly required.
>Batteries not included.
>Void where prohibited.
>

2569. Re: Too Many Flames

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mhm0llfp1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 156240

and of course they do.....

players@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<6m50ne$20t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>I logged into this NS Group this eve , only to find 36 new posts.
>
>Great I thought.....17 of them were "FLAMES".
>
>And to think I thought thios was a Scanner Fourm...not a Teen Chat!
>
>Now adding Filters ....thank god for Filters...
>
>I think all Flamers forgot why they are fighting....Fido was
mentioned...man
>that is about as old as a Pro-2004!.....Mold like!
>
>Ahh well...." Ill take a pack of Filter Msg's Pls "
>
>I wont see the Flamers reply to this...ahh well...
>
>------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2570. Scanners and Yaesu HT for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: brs <brs@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6mhnbl$s8i$2@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 156241

I have two base station scanners for sale

Both are complete with box, and in NEW condition

Radio Shack Pro 2040 / 100 channel programmable scanner

$60.00 plus shipping and COD

Uniden Bearcat 890XLT Scanner / 200 channel high performance continuous band scanner.

$130.00 plus shipping and COD

If interested email me at
brs@flash.net

Also have a Yaesu FT-11R hand held ham radio for sale.
Complete with manual, box base charger, ni-Cad battery, and AA battery pack.
$175.00 plus shipping and COD

2571. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358C62E6.883D32F7@netwit.com>
Source record: 156242

Bill Cheek wrote:

> I couldn't wish upon you a better whipping boy!
> He takes it so nicely and then snivels and
> whimpers until your heartstrings play Pacelbel's
> Canon.
>
> You lucky devil, you. I'm gonna miss the priss.

Whoa-whoa-whoa; he stays here at the tree-house, I wasn't planning on taking him home with me. Mom would never go for that.

2572. BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: U812 <poop@pop.com>
Message-ID: <6mhoj0$4i7$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 156243

\

2573. british columbia RCMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: over lord <b_lasalle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mhq89$8c3$1@news2.tor.accglobal.net>
Source record: 156244

I'd like to know if anyone knows what the P.L. Tones for the RCMP for Vernon, Kamloops, Salmon Arm, Sicamous, and Kewlona.

Thanks

Vance

2574. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358C6839.F11B3500@pacbell.net>
Source record: 156245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Frustrated wrote:

> The constant flaming,name calling, and blame placing are not doing the hobby
> any good at all, I mean say one public officials aid or secretary was given
> the task to do some research on scanner listeners and of course they would
> come to the internet. and then to the 2 scanner newsgroups, gee it does not
> take a rocket scientist to figure out what kind of impression they would get
> with the current situation.
>

Reality Check ...

Unfortunately, the government will take what they want from us regardless of behavior. Cruise on over to rec.guns and see for yourself. That group is the most polite, helpful and civilized discussion group I've ever participated in and look at what's happening the gun owners rights ! The government will do what it wants regardless of the shananigans going here or any other place for that matter.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

2575. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard <Howard*V@Bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <358C76BF.899CE5DF@Bigfoot.com>
Source record: 156246

Their web site address is a tricky one:

http://www.radioshack.com

Who would have guessed :-)

It lists their specials and you can order on-line.

I hope this helps!

TheLibNet wrote:

> In article <358d663c.492000@news.mia.bellsouth.net>,
> kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net (Jack) writes:
>
> >
> >If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
> >time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
> >marked at $100, the pro-67 was at like $60 and my favorite was the
> >pro-508 for $10.99! Been wanting to dedicate a scanner to my favorite
> >mdt, and for under $12 it can't be beat!!!!
>
> Is there a Web site anywhere that tells which scanners are available at which
> stores?

2576. Re: MACKEY needs to go into the Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <358C7963.67F4BCB8@iname.com>
Source record: 156247

Naw...I think the local brownie troop would be a better place for
MACKEY...we know we can't trust him around little boys.
Besides, those girls would whoop him into shape in no time.

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> pja wrote:
> > MACKEY needs the military. They would knock that
> > smart-ass mouth of his right off.
>
> So you think it would take an entire military to do that?
>
> THANKS!

2577. Re: bill cheek-miramar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: revRecluse@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6mhrc1$kc2$1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 156248

randy, thanks alot, this really helps. ive been so busy with work and unpacking, i haven`t had time to do any searches.
thanks again, kelly

2578. Re: **BCT-12 BEARTRACKER GOOD**???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6mhp96$k96@news.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 156249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Nah, get the BCT-7. In my arrogant opinion, the BCT-12 is way too limited ESPECIALLY for Southern California.

The BCT-7's state-by-state pre-programming (on Highway Patrol, police & DOT banks) is remarkably accurate...except for trunked, of course. And you get up to 25 EMPTY channels in each service "bank" that you can program with whatever you want. And a 25-channel "private" bank that's all yours to program.

I've been scanning for 30+ years and hated the thought of no keyboard programming, but for traveling the BCT-7 is quick and easy. Avoid the BCT-12.

Harry Marnell, N6URU
marnells@snowcrest.net
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

Robert <blade1@home.com> wrote in message 358b90de.24227651@news...
>I am considering the purchase of a Uniden BCT-12 Bear tracker. The
>device claims to do some amazing things. What have been the
>findings out in the field among the people that own one?
>
>I would be interested to know 2 things.....the value of the unit to
>detect the presence of an imminent (traffic enforcement) contact, and
>its quality as a general scanner for the road.
>
>For the record I live in S.Cal. and have generally been disappointed
>with non-programable scanners, yet like the idea of not having to
>program frequencies every time I take a trip is appealing.
>
>Thanks
>
>Robert

2579. Re: A DEAL FOR THE TROUBLE MAKERS! was:Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358C8085.F39233CA@netwit.com>
Source record: 156250

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> You will gain respect & I will personally
> support you all in the future if others give you
> any trouble!

oooOOOOooo! I didn't fully appreciate this part of your post until Richard pointed it out. You're on your back offering your belly. So ya wanna be on our side now? How delicious.

Bark like a dog.

You friggin' loon.

2580. MACKEY Truce: Here it is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <358C88DF.7F52CF11@pacifier.com>
Source record: 156251

1) Bill grabs MACKEY by the back of the neck and rubs
MACKEY's nose in MACKEY's own crap.
2) MACKEY says nothing unless Bill wishes MACKEY
to speak.
3) MACKEY leaves the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup
a beaten shell of the man for a period of 20 years.
4) MACKEY never harrasses Bill again.
5) MACKEY apologizes to Bill.
6) No other deals of any kind.
7) When MACKEY returns to said NG he refers
to Bill as SIR or BOSSMAN.
8) MACKEY denounces PARG.

2581. Border patrol-FBI freq need.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hector Reyes <scape2000@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <358C9187.9585F3E7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 156252

Hi i need help please.

can anyone tell me where i can get freq. for border patrols FBI CIA
please let me know for south california {san diego} or you can e-mail me
at scape3000@aol

thank you.

2582. Re: coolest thing heard on scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael H. Mac Mullen" <mhmacm@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <6mgnb1$bl2$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 156253
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I once heard a prominent politician who was involved in a sex scandal tell
his lawyer that the charges were "Old News". FYI, it was not Clinton
Mike
Jeff Doucette wrote in message <898286562.943410@michelob>...
>
>How about this,.....listening to a neighbour and friend planning to steal
a
>chainsaw off of home depo!
>
>Of cource the next day the convo was a bit different "So Jim,.....where are
>you doing your community service?"
>
>I had to laugh...
>
>
>

2583. San Diego frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: fire.chief@warpgate.com
Message-ID: <648_9806202311@warpgate.com>
Source record: 156254

-=> Quoting Kelly Mcconkey to All <=-

KM> bill, you mentioned in another post that you lived by miramar marine
KM> base. i just moved to the UTC area and live just west of it.couldyou
KM> give me some freq. that i could tune in to? is it part of the gvt.
KM> trunked system? thanks alot,

kelly, your best bet is to call the Alien Biker Kat BBS
at (619) 277-4140 in Linda Vista, or the 9-1-1 BBS at
(619) 441-9679 in El Cajon. You'll find all the freq
lists you'll ever want at either system.

```
-----------------------------------------------------
| 9-1-1 Public Safety BBS | POLICENET SAFENET |
| LEO'S FIREFIGHTERS SAR | FIDONET |
| COMMS AMATEUR RADIO | e-mail newsgroups |
|Home of THE San Diego County BBS List(619) 441-9679|
-----------------------------------------------------
___ Blue Wave/QWK v2.12
---
| WarpGate: Fire Chief 52:1000/211
| Internet: fire.chief@warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.
```

2584. Re: WTB: Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: scatback <lescom@usit.net>
Message-ID: <358C3699.17CF@usit.net>
Source record: 156255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mark D. Sale wrote:
>
> Want to buy Trunk Tracker in great shape...Please e-mail me your best
> price shipped ...thank youNew in box, lots of warranty left, PRO-90 with all originals, to your
door via priority mail = $215.00. COD = $225.00.

2585. Re: Remote access to frequency counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9c15$c4a90760$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 156256
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK, I know I`m gonna be sorry for this, But why do you want to do this
Emilio?

Charlie

Emilio Goldstien <Egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in article
<358aefdf.0@news2.uswest.net>...
> I want to hide my frequency counter in the womens locker room, but I need
to
> be able to read the data from a remote location.
> Any suggestions?
>
>
>

2586. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B3F0F.2AD1@parg.org>
Source record: 156263

Bill Cheek wrote:
> I don't.....and CAN'T get Wah's
> mail. The one you sent to ME was returned to
> sender. Just one.
>
> You liver-lipped, lying, sphincter.

YOU are the LIAR.

Upon calling your ISP, they even state
that Mr. Wah's e-mail address is attached
to YOUR account!

For proof, would you like me to post
BOTH the bounces I received?
(To Mr. Wah & to YOU)

2587. My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B3E1F.4985@parg.org>
Source record: 156264

I just wanted to let everyone know that I am gay. I've been a really bad mood these last few months, as I broke up with my long time lover. Don't worry, I'll be much less abusive now since I've found a brand new boytoy to pump my asshole into a bloody mess nightly again! I'm really sorry about any problems I've caused in the newsgroup! Gotta run, as I have 10'' of manhood calling me right now!!!

2588. Re: John "That's my story and I'm sticking to it!" Mackey lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B41D2.3E59@parg.org>
Source record: 156265

Phil Smalley wrote:
> Why can't you just admit that you lied about why you changed your
> headers?
Because THAT WOULD be a lie!

2589. Re: Mackey CONTROLS Phil Smalley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B43EC.69D@parg.org>
Source record: 156266

Bill Cheek wrote:
> You scurvy, wretched, miserable dung heap.

Looking in taht mirror again, Bill?

2590. Re: A DEAL FOR MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B44A4.6885@parg.org>
Source record: 156267

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> And as for Mackey wanting to restrict you from giving advice in fears
> that you will gain some financial benefit proves he does not want to end
> the flaming ,

I have NO CARE if Bill gives FREE advice on this NG! However,
the members of this NG have LONG requested that Bill refrain from
using this NG for his personal monetary gain.

> You don't attack him or make any snide remarks and Bill will give you
> the same consideration.

I hope that Bill change & do this, as in the past he has NOT!

> Now it is up to you Mackey,

No, it is up to Cheek. The ball is in his court!

2591. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B44DB.33A2@parg.org>
Source record: 156268

Phil Smalley wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> > Looking in the mirror again?
>
> Yeah,

I thought so!

2592. Re: Remote access to frequency counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Adam C. Harrison" <aharrison@nospam.harrisonpc.com>
Message-ID: <FLHi1.33$lC3.1086663@news.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 156269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes. Wire up an internal serial port to the frequency counter with a data link tied to the integrated circuitry right before the decoder to the display. Then, take that output from the serial port and plug it into a laptop serial port. Use 9600 baud. Battery life is key. You gotta make sure you find out what time the babes are naked at shower time in the locker room and set the "auto on" on the laptop to 1/2 hour before naked time (to account for boot up and panty removal). Then, make sure you have a cell modem with MNP10 protocol to hook up to a cell phone. Get the laptop to log the frequencies every 60 seconds and then transmit this info to your car parked around the block where you are jerking off holding your other laptop with MNP10 cell protocol cell phone auto logging notepad. You will then have your frequencies.

Good luck.

- ACH

Emilio Goldstien wrote in message <358aefdf.0@news2.uswest.net>...
>I want to hide my frequency counter in the womens locker room, but I need
to
>be able to read the data from a remote location.
>Any suggestions?
>
>

2593. Re: A DEAL FOR CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B4728.5CFB@parg.org>
Source record: 156270

Bill Cheek wrote:

> No, it is not that simple. If anyone flames ME,
> I will always reserve the right to hose 'em back.

OK, then if YOU make any FLAMES on ANYONE, I reserve the
right to FLAME YOU!

> If someone makes a snide remark to me, they can
> count on getting one back.

OK, then if YOU make any snide remarks to anyone,
you can count on me getting you back.

> If someone attacks
> me, I reserve the right to attack back.

If you attack someone, I reserve the right to
attack YOU.

> And this "financial gain" bullshit is impossible to
> abide.

OK, I will let the "financial gain" part drop totally
from the agreement if you retract your 3 reservations
above! Deal?

> Take it or leave it or shove it where the sun
> never shines. Your call.

Back to YOU Bill Cheek, it's back to "your call"!

2594. Re: Smalley: even lower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B47AA.6952@parg.org>
Source record: 156271

Phil Smalley wrote:

> I read your pathetic little
> "deal". No way. Uh-uh. Forget it.

So are you saying your refuse the truce?

> Otherwise get used to me and the others letting you have it with both
> barrels on a daily basis.

I don't care what you do, your antics are amusing!

2595. FreeTracker DB wanted for the D/FW area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gcrankbait@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062005372200.BAA12203@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156272

Would anyone in the D/FW area be willing to send me a copy of their FreeTracker databases that they use?

I just started using FreeTracker and would just like to save a little time building the database...

Thanks!!
GCrankbait@aol.com

2596. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nwelch@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <358b466b.4937151@elscintcorp.co.il>
Source record: 156273

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 05:11:32 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>> > Looking in the mirror again?
>>
>> Yeah,
>
>I thought so!

You can't think. You don't have a brain.

2597. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nwelch@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <358b42d3.4017573@elscintcorp.co.il>
Source record: 156274

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 04:44:15 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

>I just wanted to let everyone know that I am gay. I've been a really bad
>mood these last few months, as I broke up with my long time lover. Don't
>worry, I'll be much less abusive now since I've found a brand new boytoy
>to pump my asshole into a bloody mess nightly again! I'm really sorry
>about any problems I've caused in the newsgroup! Gotta run, as I have
>10'' of manhood calling me right now!!!

It's about time that you came out of the closet

2598. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nwelch@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <358b47ab.5257051@elscintcorp.co.il>
Source record: 156275

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 04:36:37 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Truth hurts, huh allsage?
>
>
>allsafe wrote:
>
>> Ya see this? It's my SCANNER. I'm holding it up in front of the screen.
>
>That's your claim to fame??? A scanner!!!!!
>
>
>> Now go back to the PARG clubhouse and tell Mackey it didn't work; allsafe
>
>I don't have any connection to him. He dislikes you all on his own!
>
>
>allsafe-
>
>At midnight tonight we will try having a truce. No flames, no
>snide remarks, no attacks. PLEASE join us on this for the
>benefit of the group & demonstrate your true intentions as
>being good. It's not to liate to redeem yourself to this
>NG!
>
>

Then why are you fanning the flames?

2599. Re: John "That's my story and I'm sticking to it!" Mackey lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nwelch@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <358b4765.5187631@elscintcorp.co.il>
Source record: 156276

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 04:58:36 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Phil Smalley wrote:
>> Why can't you just admit that you lied about why you changed your
>> headers?
>Because THAT WOULD be a lie!

Why are you worried about that. You always lie!

2600. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B59FF.28D2@parg.org>
Source record: 156277

Welch Family wrote:
>
> On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 04:44:15 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
> <ka0ssf@parg.org> wrote:

It was a forge, I didn't write it.

2601. Re: IC-R10 pages updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Storm <stormwarning@pcisys.net>
Message-ID: <6mfn8n$j1p$1@newman.pcisys.net>
Source record: 156278
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners

This is a great page, but does anyone know the serial #s that are modifiable
(I've heard from an East Coast dealer that the new ones are not...)

> http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven/r10

2602. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B5B4C.71AE@parg.org>
Source record: 156279

Welch Family wrote:

> On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 04:36:37 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
> wrote:
> >At midnight tonight we will try having a truce. No flames, no
> >snide remarks, no attacks. PLEASE join us on this for the
> >benefit of the group & demonstrate your true intentions as
> >being good. It's not to liate to redeem yourself to this
> >NG!
>
> Then why are you fanning the flames?

To try to get the trouble makers to take part in the truce!
Don't you think that would be best for this NG?

2603. Re: What about multiple Antennas on one Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B5BCB.5912@parg.org>
Source record: 156280

GCrankBait wrote:
> I was thinking about putting another antenna on the roof next to my discone and
> run both antennas thru a coupler and into the radio.
>
> Would there be any problems with doing this? Overload the scanner? Should
> I just switch between them and only use one at a time as needed? What type
> coupler/splitter should i use.

You would be best NO to do this. You would increase or invite front
end overload. Use a coax switch to switch between the two
feed lines or use a splitter that is frequency sensitive like
what comes from Comet or Diamond.

2604. Re: John "That's my story and I'm sticking to it!" Mackey lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B5BF1.792C@parg.org>
Source record: 156281

Welch Family wrote:
> Why are you worried about that. You always lie!

No, EVERYTHING I say is based on TRUTH!

2605. Re: Interesting Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B5D2D.180@parg.org>
Source record: 156282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:
> > What's your point Bill?
>
> I already made it,

NO, all you did was post a bunch of information that
makes no difference to anything!

2606. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B5D60.2C4A@parg.org>
Source record: 156283

Welch Family wrote:
> You can't think. You don't have a brain.

Please join us in ending this problems rather than
attempting to cause more problems as you have been
doing.

2607. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B5DBC.278E@parg.org>
Source record: 156284

Mr. WireHead wrote:
SNIP

Add this & you have a deal!

5. No FLAMES, ATTACKS, or NASTY messages from either CHEEK,
MACKEY, or the other trouble makers like Kendrick, Smalley, allsafe,
etc.

2608. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mfp6e$jqb@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156285

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>Matter of fact, Sal, it doesn't prove that at all.
>The NNTP-Posting-Host in the header shows where
>posts are injected. Also the last bang on the
>PATH line shows it.

Sorry about that. I see that you are posting from cts.com.
I checked the other guy's headers but didn't look at yours,
assuming that you was posting from sanrr.com.

<snip>

>Worse still......this Faricy dork crawled out of
>nowhere recently to whine, howl, and blow snotbubbles
>about all the flames and crap on the newsgroup, even
>to the point of threatening to complain to ISP's.

I think Ryan is just a 15 year old kid so maybe we should cut him a
little slack. Most kids his age are hanging out in chat rooms or
pirating software in the warez groups. At least he's motivated enough
to try and learn something about scanners. He'll figure out Mackey's
true colors plenty quick.

>So whuzzup Sal? You been doing okay?

I been doing good. Just got done watching "The Godfather II" on that
USA channel. Now, that's one inspirational movie! Every time I see
it, I want to make Mackey an offer he can't refuse. You don't happen
to know his shoe size do you?

/Sal

2609. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358B84D9.8878DD2B@swbell.net>
Source record: 156286

I checked this out and John did not post it, someone wants to keep the
flames going.
The post was third party relayed through the server.

This is a forged post in fact it seems strange the person below is using
the same posting host out Israel.

Newsgroups:
rec.radio.scanner
Path:

swbell!cyclone.swbell.net!newsgate.swbell.net!news.idt.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!new
s.bbnplanet.com!news-peer.gip.net!news-dc.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!news-feed.netvision
.net.il!news.NetVision.net.il!elscintcorp.co.il!nobody
From:
nwelch@frontiernet.net (Welch Family)
Subject:
Re: My confession.
Message-ID:
<358b42d3.4017573@elscintcorp.co.il>
Sender:
nobody@gateway.elscintcorp.co.il
Organization:
Welch Family Household
Date:
Sat, 20 Jun 1998 05:05:12 GMT
References:
<358B3E1F.4985@parg.org>
X-Newsreader:
Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines:
13
Xref:
swbell rec.radio.scanner:30866

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 04:44:15 GMT, John Mackey
The post came thru the server below .

domain: elscintcorp.co.il
descr: Elscint Corporation Israel
admin-c: SM38-RIPE
tech-c: GT21-RIPE
zone-c: WAN-RIPE
nserver: ns.elscintcorp.co.il dns.netvision.net.il
dom-net: 194.90.74.96
notify: notify@ns.il

mnt-by: CO-IL-DNS
changed: registrar@ns.il 951026
changed: steiff@netvision.net.il 970402
source: RIPE

person: Simon Moskowitz
address: Elscint LTD.
address: Matam Industrial Park Haifa 31905 Israel
phone: + 972 4 8313 510
nic-hdl: SM38-RIPE
notify: lir@NetVision.net.il
mnt-by: NNCC
changed: steiff@NetVision.net.il 951026
source: RIPE

person: Gil Tal
address: Digital Corp. Israel
address: Corex house Hertzlia Israel
phone: + 972 9 593 240
fax-no: + 972 9 566 198
nic-hdl: GT21-RIPE
notify: lir@NetVision.net.il
mnt-by: NNCC
changed: steiff@NetVision.net.il 951026
source: RIPE

person: Wide Area Network Group
address: NetVision - Israeli ISP
address: Advanced Technology Center
address: Haifa, 31905, Israel
address: send SPAM and ABUSE complaints to abuse@netvision.net.il
phone: + 972 4 8560 600
phone: + 972 4 8560 550
fax-no: + 972 4 8551 132
e-mail: wan@netvision.net.il
nic-hdl: WAN-RIPE
changed: wan@netvision.net.il 19980419
source: RIPE

2610. DFW Scan Radio ICQ List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry.adair@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mfr4v$sle@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have started a Dallas-Fort Worth Area Scanner ICQ List Page
http://members.tripod.com/~B_Adair

2611. Re: coolest thing heard on scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nicholas McLarty <nickm@texas.net>
Message-ID: <358B70F5.C48ECD57@texas.net>
Source record: 156288
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Conversely, the worst thing I always dread hearing is while I'm working and I hear a dispatch for my house when I'm not there and I know the rest of the family is. It's probably paranoia, but everytime I hear the patrol district for my house called, I puase to hear the call.

Alf Sauve' wrote:
>
> Nah,
>
> The coolest is when I'm working on a "honey-do", painting the bedroom
> like a good hubby and listening to my scanner when I hear a police
> dispatch to my house!!!! "See the woman, a Mrs. xxxx about a 10-xxx.
>
> It was at that instant I wish I had memorized the 10 code for the
> local police!
>
> Turns out she had discovered some vandalism to our mailbox from the
> previous night and wanted to make sure it got reported. Whewwww
> wasn't anything I did.
>
> Alf
>
> Jeff Doucette wrote in message <898286562.943410@michelob>...
> >
> >How about this,......listening to a neighbour and friend planning to
> steal a
> >chainsaw off of home depo!
> >

--
NICHOLAS R. McLARTY, EMT-B/S, BCLS-H, OES, TS (nickm@texas.net)
Amateur Radio Operator KC5IUZ
PGP Fingerprint 4728 D710 7A46 13B7 EE04 2253 9D64 41C3 5F87 5173
: Flight Officer, Civil Air Patrol
Personnel Officer, Lackland Cadet Squadron, Texas Wing
: Cadet Major, Air Force Junior ROTC
Chief, Mgt Info Svcs Div, Class of 1998, Texas 882nd AFJROTC Group

2612. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <6mfue8$bf1a$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 156289

Why is it MACKEY that you refuse to stop flaming unless the world promises
to be perfect?
There will always be flames, attacks, and what have you on all ng's, and
that should not be the excuse for you to start on your little Tirades
Be a man Mackey, step up to the challange, lead by example....
No if's ands or butts.....take the initiative, and stop fanning the fire..

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <358B5DBC.278E@parg.org>...
>Mr. WireHead wrote:
>SNIP
>
>Add this & you have a deal!
>
>5. No FLAMES, ATTACKS, or NASTY messages from either CHEEK,
>MACKEY, or the other trouble makers like Kendrick, Smalley, allsafe,
>etc.

2613. **BCT-12 BEARTRACKER GOOD**???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: blade1@home.com
Message-ID: <358b90de.24227651@news>
Source record: 156290

I am considering the purchase of a Uniden BCT-12 Bear tracker. The device claims to do some amazing things. What have been the findings out in the field among the people that own one?

I would be interested to know 2 things.....the value of the unit to detect the presence of an imminent (traffic enforcement) contact, and its quality as a general scanner for the road.

For the record I live in S.Cal. and have generally been disappointed with non-programable scanners, yet like the idea of not having to program frequencies every time I take a trip is appealing.

Thanks

Robert

2614. Re: CB radio and scanners....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <358b8f13.7867118@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 156291

"Jonathon Barton" <bristoleer3@geocities.com> wrote:

:Several Burger Kings in the area have signs on the outside of
:the drive-through window (like where you pay, not where you
:order...)
:
:It says "Braille and Picture Menus Available"
:
:1.) If you need a Braille Menu, what are you doing in the drive
:through?

Passenger.

:2.) If you need a picture menu, cause you can't read the
:regular menu, how exactly is it that you are going to Read the
:sign to learn that a picture menu is available?

Driver reads to passenger.

:3.) Why is the sign at the "Pay" window - you know, the place
:you drive to AFTER you order from the menu?

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

2615. Re: WTB:GUIDE TO AR1000!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <OGwI0tAF$5i1EwMH@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 156292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <898307264.821453@michelob>, Jeff Doucette
<paradiddle7@usa.net> writes

Hi Jeff,

>Hi everyone,.....everything cool in this channel?
>listen,....i'm looking for this book,.The guide to the AR1000....its a
>manual for the AOR1000 comm. reciever. I would like to either get a
>photocopy or buy this book from somebody. Please let me know if you could do
>me a favor!

Have you tried contacting Howard Bornstein (the Author) direct ?

http://www.designeq.com/radio.html
http://www.designeq.com/Guide1000.html

Hope that helps.

Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 216/510, Icom IC-R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

2616. Re: coolest thing heard on scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3590a62c.1566282@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156293
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>Conversely, the worst thing I always dread hearing is while I'm working and I
>hear a dispatch for my house when I'm not there and I know the rest of the
>family is. It's probably paranoia, but everytime I hear the patrol district
>for my house called, I puase to hear the call.

The other day I was driving along and passed an intersection. About 45 seconds later I got to a stop light and then pulled into a Wal-Mart parking lot. Couldn't have been but about another 30 seconds when I heard a call on the scanner saying there had been a wreck. The ambulance company sent 3 ambulances, but the first crew there said there were three J-4's (DOAs), so one of them down graded. One of the two remaining injured died at a hospital, and the surviving driver, a speeding 18-year old with a suspended license and traces of cocaine in his blood, now is looking at serious charges in the deaths of four other people.

When I heard that call, I realize I had missed that wreck by about a minute. It closed down that section of highway for about 3 hours, letting only minimal traffic through in either direction (4 lanes, divided). I did a prayer, thankful that I hadn't been involved.

At that same intersection a couple of days later, another elderly woman was killed when she pulled out in front of a semi truck. It's a cross-over that comes out of a Sprint parking lot and crosses a highway, letting you go south. Traffic going north is coming down a hill at about 60 mph.

2617. Re: FreeTracker DB wanted for the D/FW area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: read.the@reply.to
Message-ID: <A33302C2B2C58D05.CE1B15A81E344C12.29FE777C980E841F@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 156294

On 20 Jun 1998 05:37:22 GMT, gcrankbait@aol.com (GCrankBait) wrote:

>Would anyone in the D/FW area be willing to send me a copy of their FreeTracker
>databases that they use?

What part of DFW do you live in? I'll be happy to fix you one up from
the PerCon CD.

2618. bill cheek-miramar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: revRecluse@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6mg8ufhum1@newsd-122.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 156295

bill, you mentioned in another post that you lived by miramar marine
base. i just moved to the UTC area and live just west of it.couldyou
give me some freq. that i could tune in to? is it part of the gvt.
trunked system? thanks alot,
kelly

2619. Re: **BCT-12 BEARTRACKER GOOD**???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bwil@tdn.com
Message-ID: <358bbbc1.9593947@news.tdn.com>
Source record: 156296

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 10:55:23 GMT, blade1@home.com (Robert) wrote:

>I am considering the purchase of a Uniden BCT-12 Bear tracker. The
>device claims to do some amazing things. What have been the
>findings out in the field among the people that own one?
>
>I would be interested to know 2 things.....the value of the unit to
>detect the presence of an imminent (traffic enforcement) contact, and
>its quality as a general scanner for the road.
>
>For the record I live in S.Cal. and have generally been disappointed
>with non-programable scanners, yet like the idea of not having to
>program frequencies every time I take a trip is appealing.
>
>Thanks
>
>Robert

I'd get a BCT-7 , it will detect the state police and give you the
frequencies, which the BCT-12 won't.

2620. BC860XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "absolutely@none.com" <nonono@yeah.net>
Message-ID: <6mgghfmdl1@newsin-1.starnet.net>
Source record: 156297

Anyone have any mods for the BC860XLT?????

2621. Re: Let's extend The Great Liberty Net to 10-meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mggqp$8c5$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 156298

HEY HEY HEY LET'S NOT AND SAY WE DID. EHEHEHEHEH
>
>
>
>TheLibNet wrote:
>
>> In article <6m27ej$11r81@odie.mcleod.net>, "LLoyd, N0VFP" <n0vfp@qsl.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Would it give you a heart attack If the Liberty Net was on EVERY NIGHT!
>>
>> Hey, now that's not a bad idea. While we're at it, how about the
creation of a
>> 10-meter version, now that the band is coming back. Would extend The
Great
>> Liberty Net's availability to Novices and Tech Plusses. As an added
bonus, all
>> freeband CBers with "export" or modified 10-meter ham radios would be
able to
>> listen as well. Great way to increase listenership, participation, and
>> awareness of The Great Liberty Net.
>
>
>

2622. Re: Outta Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mghvjefc1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 156299

Wait a minute, I am 15 and these guys sound like kids I know that are always bickering at eachother. What is the average age of some of the people on here??????

2623. Pennsylvania frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajtc475@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062015204300.LAA11522@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156300

I am going to be travelling through Pa. and I was wondering if the state highway patrol has gone to a statewide trunked radio system and if so what the frequencies might be. Also will be travelling through the Allegheny Forest area if anyone has any frequencies for this area as well. Thanks to all that reply.

2624. Re: Improve your RS discone - cheap!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Snelgrove <steelgtr@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6mgk0u$4qc@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156301

Do you have the model number of the old and new version? Are the old ones
still available?

Thanks
Bob

John T. Arthur wrote in message ...
>As you may have noticed, the new discone from RatShack comes with a coil
>and whip assembly on top for better low-band reception. You can upgrade
>your older discone for peanuts!
>
>Parts to order are: coil assembly (RS p/n 11986775) for $1.99 and whip
>with tip (RS p/n 11986783) for $2.69, for a total of US$4.68. My local
>store charged me $1 for shipping.
>
>When I ordered the items were back-ordered. It took exactly one month for
>them to arrive - via PRIORITY MAIL!! Cost RS a lot more than $1.00 to get
>the stuff to me. I recommend this enhancement. The coil and whip are of
>good quality.
>
>And thanks to <tyler@nospam> for the original suggestion...
>
>Some assembly required.
>Batteries not included.
>Void where prohibited.
>

2625. FS: ICOM R7000 + TV-R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobhome@postoffice.pacbell.net
Message-ID: <358BD4E7.7A2361D4@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 156302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

ICOM R7000 receiver with TV-R7000 video adapter
AM/FM/FMw/SSB 25MHz-1000MHz (no block)
$900

This receiver is in near mint condition and includes the 12V DC option as well as the TV-R7000 video adapter. All original boxes and manuals are included. I'll also replace the internal lithium memory backup battery prior to delivery.

Price includes shipping by UPS ground within the continental US.

Bob
WO6W

2626. Re: chicago transit authority freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: clayfyre61@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062015481200.LAA14017@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156303

>any cta trains and bus freqs??
>thanks jack
>

CTA buses:
453.3750
453.4250
453.4750
453.5250
453.5750

CTA Trains:
470.5375 Southside Supervisor
470.9875 Road control/Emergency calling
470.6375 Northside Supervisor
471.0375 Congress/Douglas/O'Hare (Blue Line)
471.0625 Englewood/Jackson Park (Green Line), Lake, Midway (Orange Line)
471.0875 Ravenswood (Brown Line), Evanston (Purple Line), Skokie (Yellow Line)
471.1125 Howard/Dan Ryan (Red Line)

2627. WTD: Discriminator info for RS PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: GoldenGateComm <rtuckman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <358BDD22.BEA7F493@earthlink.net>
Source record: 156304

I hope someone sees this message in between all the non-scanner crap
that is going on here...

I just picked up a couple of PRO-2045's on clearance and am looking for
the discriminator output point on the board to tap. If anyone has the
info. I'd appreciate it.

Thanks,

Rob

2628. FREQUENCIES FOR DISNEY STUDIOS-BURBANK ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: wsxc <wsxc@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <358BDCD9.F111C2EC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 156305

Anyone out there have frequencies and radio procedures for the Disney Studios in Burbank....I've heard that their security recently consolidated with the Imagineering Glendale security group....are they

using the same radio system now.?

thanks

2629. Re: Why the lameness?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS" <NoOne@NoWhere.net>
Message-ID: <6mgpqg$ag1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156306

I gave up trying to figure that one out. I finally started using Newsgroup filters.
It doesn't get all the flames but makes the group more bearable. I'm still refining my filters as I go.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Jeff Doucette wrote in message <898202119.765168@michelob>...
>Why do Mackey and Cheek keep fighting....I'm tired off all the spam on the
>USENET, bashing each other,...
>Thanks,...
>Jeff
>
>

2630. Scanners from Canada - Any problems?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: dweber@springfield.com
Message-ID: <358ded83.628944@news.wwa.com>
Source record: 156307

Several magazines offer 800 mhz scanners from a Canadian firm. How likely is someone in the US to have problems ordering such a scanner.

2631. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358BC843.1B77948F@netwit.com>
Source record: 156308

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Truth hurts, huh allsage?

Not at all. All successful humor starts with a grain of truth. Truth can sting, but it builds character. I'm not intending to be preachy. I engage in the routine deceptions required of modern life; I don't write my PIN number on my ATM card, I don't leave my name on my answering machine, and I don't post to unmoderated NG's with a valid ID. Such precautions are well worth whatever damage they do to your conscience.

But in discussion, interaction, and even conflict, the truth is indispensable. It sets you free from having to remember what you posted last night, last week, or last year. It allows you to direct all your energy to discourse in the present, instead of rationing your efforts between the issue at hand, and the maintenance of lies that you can't live up to in real life anyway.

So, it may have seemed to be a humorous self-depreciating post about my own expertise in the scanner hobby, but it's the truth.

You oughtta try it sometime.

> I don't have any connection to him. He dislikes you all on his own!
>

Well then,....as I always say: "If it Quacks like a Mack; Whack it!"
You either:

a) Whack the Mackey
or
b) Whack someone who sounds like Mackey

You can't lose.

> At midnight tonight we will try having a truce. No flames, no
> snide remarks, no attacks. PLEASE join us on this for the
> benefit of the group & demonstrate your true intentions as
> being good. It's not to liate to redeem yourself to this
> NG!

Nawww, I've always been my own person. I'm just gonna watch. If the group goes academic, I guess I'll go with it and try to learn something along the way. If not; I'll still be here for you.

You friggin' loon.

2632. Re: Why Not a Moderated Group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EuuMnt.C3J@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156309

On 17 Jun 1998, BC895XL wrote:

> I'm sick of this Mackey/Cheek crap. There's alt.fan.bill.cheek,
> alt.fan.john.mackey, alt.radio.scanner.flamefest and nobody uses those.
>
> Will somebody explain how to go about setting up a moderated rec.radio.scanner
> group so we can get rid of this crap once and for all?

Ever heard of a FILTER? How about skipping messages from the above people
and keeping your blood pressure under control?

As long as Mack-hole continues to live and breathe the newsgroup will
remain the same as it is...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2633. FS: AVCOM SDM-42A Spectrum Display Monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@telis.org>
Message-ID: <358BF3FA.61738DD6@telis.org>
Source record: 156310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This professional Spectrum Display Monitor is designed for use as a panoramic signal display for VHF scanners and Communication Receivers such as Icom, AOR Realistic etc. The SDM-42A displays all signals present in the receiver's IF on a 5" CRT so that the operator can monitor signal activity up to a full 10mhz wide. The operator can then quickly tune to signals as they appear in the spectrum display. This greatly facilitates locating and tuning of intermittent signals as well as surveilling a particular frequency band. This unit has been used in a hobbiest environment not a professional one and is in excellent condition. Asking $850.00 plus shipping.
Ron

2634. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358C0311.E53F1B7E@pacbell.net>
Source record: 156311

No deal loser. See, you really are a weasle and you're not ONE BIT serious about making this a better place. You're just like everyone has been saying/seeing (5000 + posts I might add). Toilet Boy, Resident Bitch of RRS, Fraud, & Liar.

You deserve EVERYTHING you receive from this NG.

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Mr. WireHead wrote:
> SNIP
>
> Add this & you have a deal!
>
> 5. No FLAMES, ATTACKS, or NASTY messages from either CHEEK,
> MACKEY, or the other trouble makers like Kendrick, Smalley, allsafe,
> etc.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

2635. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062018273800.OAA03492@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156312

If anyone knows how to do this with a Nextel unit, please e-mail me with further instructions.

2636. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358d00de.316392273@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156313

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

nwelch@frontiernet.net (Welch Family) wrote:

> Then why are you fanning the flames?

I'll let him answer that, but my question
is, "Why are you?"
```

2637. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358e014b.316501543@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156314

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> > Truth hurts, huh allsage?
.....................^^^^^^^

At least the sucker is beginning to
acknowledge your mastery over him.

Sheesh, it was a long time coming....
```

2638. Re: Interesting Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358c000c.316181810@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156315

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:
> > > What's your point Bill?
> >
> > I already made it,
>
> NO, all you did was post a bunch of information that
> makes no difference to anything!

Then you are an imbecile and proved it.
Everyone else got the point.

I'm sorry, I can't help those who won't
help themselves.

The pitiful thing about you is that you
LIKE being an imbecile. It lifts
responsibility off your shoulders.....

Oops, my mistake...snakes don't HAVE shoulders.

Sorry. Well, anyway, go play with yourself.
You've been very entertaining lately.

2639. Re: John "That's my story and I'm sticking to it!" Mackey lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358f01b6.316607672@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156316

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Welch Family wrote:
> > Why are you worried about that. You always lie!
>
> No, EVERYTHING I say is based on TRUTH!

Ha ha ha ha ha...."circular truth", maybe.
You know, the kind that begins and ends in
the legends of your own mind.

Ooops, sorry, what mind?
```

2640. Re: Outta Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3590020e.316695863@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156317

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Reddog" <funkychicken86@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> Wait a minute, I am 15 and these guys sound like kids I know that are always
> bickering at eachother. What is the average age of some of the people on
> here??????

THIS isn't "reality" so you can't use measuring
sticks from the real world to make judgments
here. This is like a stage....a show....things
are a lot different here.

You have to develop an entirely different
frame of reference.

2641. Re: Now BILL CHEEK is a FLAMING TURD was:Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C0AFE.2EBD@parg.org>
Source record: 156318

Bill Cheek wrote:
> I'll let him answer that, but my question
> is, "Why are you?"

Bill, for the good of the NG, please stop your flaming
& let this die.

2642. Re: Outta Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Boe <edboe@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6mh237$ge9@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156319

Some of us "older folks" remember these people: Back in the 60's, 70's and 80's and probably today, they used CB radios to clog up the airwaves with their nonsense.
The answer: Change the channel, because they are not going to grow up.
Congratulations on being only 15 and able to recognize juvenile behavior.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> "Reddog" <funkychicken86@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > Wait a minute, I am 15 and these guys sound like kids I know that are always
> > bickering at eachother. What is the average age of some of the people on
> > here??????
>

--
Ed Boe__<http://members.theglobe.com/edboe/> from: Cicero, IL
AOL IM: EdBoe51__________ICQ: 2750186____ edboe@ix.netcom.com
Member: Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA)
<http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm>

2643. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C0EC7.7F34@parg.org>
Source record: 156320

The CB Guy wrote:

> Why is it MACKEY that you refuse to stop flaming unless the world promises
> to be perfect?

I am simply asking YOU, & the OTHER TROUBLE MAKERS to do the
SAME thing YOU are asking ME to do.

Why is it so difficult to "walk your talk"?

> Be a man Mackey, step up to the challange, lead by example....
> No if's ands or butts.....take the initiative, and stop fanning the fire..

I ask the same of YOU & the other trouble makers!

2644. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6mh2pa$5rk$1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 156321

The cordless phones I have so far played with can go into test mode if you unplug the battery, and then plug it back in while you hold * and #. Other phoned may have different sequences, but they all have them, just investigate a bit...

Mike

P.S. I have never seen a Nextel unit, but in my experience, the more a company braggs about their 'encryption' security, the weaker it is. Bragging encourages attacks to prove them wrong, so the best ones keep quiet.

Emoney250 escribi&#65533; en mensaje
<1998062018273800.OAA03492@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>If anyone knows how to do this with a Nextel unit, please e-mail me with
>further instructions.

2645. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358C1043.78B9B8BA@netwit.com>
Source record: 156322

```
Bill Cheek wrote:

> allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:
>
> > John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> > >
> > > Truth hurts, huh allsage?
> ......................^^^^^^^
>

I saw that too. Big warm and fuzzy for me.
```

2646. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C0F47.7D0C@parg.org>
Source record: 156323

Mr. WireHead wrote:

> No deal loser. See, you really are a weasle and you're not ONE BIT
> serious about making this a better place. You're just like everyone has

I'm serious enough to post plans of resulotion while all you post
is flames. PLEASE redeem yourself to this NG & STOP your
flaming & trouble making & participate in the agreement!

2647. Re: Interesting Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C0FD2.287D@parg.org>
Source record: 156324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Everyone else got the point.

Not ONE SINGLE person has given you support here Bill, you're on
your own with this one!

> Oops, my mistake...snakes don't HAVE shoulders.
> Sorry. Well, anyway, go play with yourself.
> You've been very entertaining lately.

Bill, PLEASE end the flames & redeem yourself to this newsgroup
by letting the flames die rather than trying to continue the
problems you started.

2648. Re: Remote access to frequency counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6mh326$65s$1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 156325
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah!

Put it next to a window with magic tape and get a good telescope !

This will enable you to see the babes, too...

Mike.

P.S. if it's an indoor room, just lock yourself inside an unused locker!

P.P.S. you will need a backlit freq counter.

Emilio Goldstien escribi&#65533; en mensaje <358aefdf.0@news2.uswest.net>...
>I want to hide my frequency counter in the womens locker room, but I need
to
>be able to read the data from a remote location.
>Any suggestions?
>
>

2649. Re: Outta Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C104E.1F2E@parg.org>
Source record: 156326

Bill Cheek wrote:

> No "we" haven't asked "Cheek" for a truce. Not
> once, not twice, not any times. And there ain't

Actually THREE times, SEVERAL have asked you
to participate in the truce.

Bill, please participate in the truce & let the flames die.
You will redeem yourself to the NG if you do this!

Just try it!

2650. Re: Why the lameness?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C108C.583D@parg.org>
Source record: 156327

David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS wrote:
> I gave up trying to figure that one out. I finally started using Newsgroup
> filters.

Please help encourage BIll Cheek to participate in the truce
as that would end all the other problems!

2651. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35910d68.319602098@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156328

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > The closest thing to truth you know
>
> I thought you had me in a twit filter so you wouldn't
> see any of my posts!
>
> LIAR!

No, Smegma Breath. I told you in another
post that I took you off to make you an
offer for a deal. Since then, I decided
to keep you off my Shit List for maybe
the weekend so's I could hose you down
a little more.....

.....and maybe make a man out of you.

Meanwhile, I keep wondering why you don't
respond to that offer of a deal. It's a
deal of a lifetime, Smeggie.

You poor sap.

2652. Re: John "That's my story and I'm sticking to it!" Mackey lies again..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C11B5.7D17@parg.org>
Source record: 156329

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Ha ha ha ha ha...."circular truth", maybe.

Bill-

Please END YOUR FLAMES & participate in truce. The problems
on this NG will end & you will redeem yourself to the members
of this NG!

2653. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35920f3a.320068817@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156330

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

sgambino@worldnet.att.net (Salvatore Gambino) wrote:

> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:
>
> >Matter of fact, Sal, it doesn't prove that at all.
> >The NNTP-Posting-Host in the header shows where
> >posts are injected. Also the last bang on the
> >PATH line shows it.
>
> Sorry about that. I see that you are posting from cts.com.
> I checked the other guy's headers but didn't look at yours,
> assuming that you was posting from sanrr.com.

Nah....their newsgroups are for the birds.
I'm surprised that the Wah guy posts from there.
First san.rr.com poster I've seen in a while.

> >Worse still......this Faricy dork crawled out of
> >nowhere recently to whine, howl, and blow snotbubbles
> >about all the flames and crap on the newsgroup, even
> >to the point of threatening to complain to ISP's.
>
> I think Ryan is just a 15 year old kid so maybe we should cut him a
> little slack.

He may be 15, but he's got a web site that proclaims
his company as the RySoft Corporation. And he hasn't
posted a scanner-topic article yet. I put him on my
Twit List for general principles, just in case he is
15 yrs old. I mean, Mackey is the only teenager I
can handle right now. I'm launching my last one
from the hangar this year.....and I'm tired, Sal.
And Smacksie ain't even my kid.....well, he is, but
I left him for his mammy to raise when I couldn't
stand the looks of the little green wretch.

I've been raising and guiding peckerwoods for nigh
onto 35 years now.....and I'm done at long last. I
didn't want to take on this Faricy prick, too.

> Most kids his age are hanging out in chat rooms or
> pirating software in the warez groups. At least he's
> motivated enough to try and learn something about
> scanners.

I hope so, but I sure don't SEE him asking any scanner
questions or posting anything on the subject, so I dunno
what he's here for, unless to take lessons from Smacksie.

> He'll figure out Mackey's true colors plenty quick.

He might BE Mackey, though. Smacksie's been spouting
off lately how he's inventing anti-spam software, and
this here Faricy weeny purports to have a software
company....Visual Basic 5.0, no less. So there is a
connection anyway. Them two might be joined at the
hip or even be one and the same person, for all I know.

> >So whuzzup Sal? You been doing okay?

> I been doing good. Just got done watching "The Godfather II" on that
> USA channel. Now, that's one inspirational movie! Every time I see
> it, I want to make Mackey an offer he can't refuse. You don't happen
> to know his shoe size do you?

Yes, matter of fact, I do. Mackey's shoe size is
5B, petite. In fact, he does better in women's
styles than men's. Why, you mixing up some concrete
or something? It won't take much. You can write it
off as spillage......

2654. Re: Uniden parts source needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: deforger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062020002300.QAA08438@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156331

I went to their web site for customer assistance.
They e mailed me back in a couple of days with a price quote and this number.
.1-817-858-2863

For the parts i needed(a capacitor & transistor)
the cost was $2.75.The problem is a minimum order of $5.00 plus $5.00 for
S&H.I'm trying to find a local place to buy the parts.

2655. Re: bill cheek-miramar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359313ca.321236843@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156332

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

revRecluse@webtv.net (kelly mcconkey) wrote:

> bill, you mentioned in another post that you lived by miramar marine
> base. i just moved to the UTC area and live just west of it.couldyou
> give me some freq. that i could tune in to? is it part of the gvt.
> trunked system?

I haven't messed around with the mil-aero band
since the Navy pulled outa there. I don't have the
foggiest idea of what's going on over there at
Miramar anymore. Those Marines do things a whole
lot different than the Navy and I haven't caught
on to what's happening there yet.

Haven't had much time to work at it, though.

What you might do is on a weekday, put your
scanner in the SEARCH mode in 25 or 50 MHz
chunks at a time, like say 300-350 MHz, and
just let it search and find freqs for a day
or two at a time. Then change to another
50 MHz chunk and let it search there for a
couple days. Heck, inside of a couple of weeks,
you ought to have most anything interesting
logged in.

Been thinking of doing that myself....but I'm
lazy (like you are.) heh heh heh.....

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2656. Freq . Request: Jekyll Island, Georgia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXXmatt223@mailbag.com
Message-ID: <6mh42p$nd2$1@grandprime.binc.net>
Source record: 156333

Can anyone provide, or point to a location of, public service and
marine frequencies lilkely to be in use on Jekyll island?

Thanks,
Mark

2657. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" JOHN MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3594151c.321574733@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156334

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Mr. WireHead wrote:
> SNIP
>
> Add this & you have a deal!
>
> 5. No FLAMES, ATTACKS, or NASTY messages from either CHEEK,
> MACKEY, or the other trouble makers like Kendrick, Smalley, allsafe,
> etc.

No, Smeggie, *we* can't speak for others.
It's just YOU and ME, items 1 - 4. The rest
of the world will do a lot better on that
score alone.

And when it proves to work fine, then you
can make a private deal with Phil, George,
AllSafe, Richard, and others.....and I can
make deals with who ever on my own .....

But right now, Smeggie, it's just thee and me,
you see, so take it or flee.

It's real easy, Smacksie. Whatcherproblem?

2658. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359516d2.322012902@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156335

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> It was a forge, I didn't write it.

That's what you ALWAYS say after you
sober up and realize what you wrote from
a "relaxed state of consciousness".

Get out of the denial mode, Smeggie.
'Fess up and stay 'fessed up. It will
be good for your soul.

We all know WHAT you are anyway. So
relax and lighten up.
```

2659. Fairly important question about >>>BC700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mtsusean?@?nashville.com
Message-ID: <358c18b2.165088069@news>
Source record: 156336

I have one of these models I purchased a few years ago. I was poking around at the Uniden site and noticed that they advertise this model now as a "50" channel receiver while mine has only access to 20 in private mode. Is this just the way it is.

? What are the other 30 channels.
? Does mean there are newer BC700A models

2660. WTB: HH Scanner Preamp!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doucette <paradiddle7@usa.net>
Message-ID: <898372101.759468@michelob>
Source record: 156337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have a scanner preamp that is small and can be hooked up to a handheld scanner?
Thanks,
Jeff

2661. Re: Lethal Weapon 4 Chase Scene

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmi@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <6mh6agfbh2@ndnws01.ne.highway1.com>
Source record: 156338
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.tech,rec.music.movies,rec.radio.scanner

>> Audiences agree, with a 91 percent approval test screening, the Lethal
>> Weapon 4 chase scene is awesome!
>>
>> http://vistagroupusa.com/lethal.htm
>
> That is totally inapplicable to what is going on here.
>

Oh yeah thats right he must have forgot that this is just a NG for Mackay and
Cheeks to bicker back and forth, like a bunch of old ladies.

James Martin
jimmi@mediaone.net - Work
jimmi@thecia.net - Personal

Mobile 785 - Metro Networks

2662. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359617ae.322233047@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156339

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> I checked this out and John did not post it, someone wants to keep the
> flames going. The post was third party relayed through the server.
>
> This is a forged post in fact it seems strange the person below is using
> the same posting host out Israel.

Nah, it was still Smacksie. He gets drunk or
strung out on drugs and feels the need to
bare his soul....so he uses a different server
just in case when he sobers up, he can retract
any confessions he didn't intend to make.

This has been his modus operandi for several
years now. Everybody is on to his ways now.

2663. Re: I need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bishp@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6mh6ot$572$1@camel19.mindspring.com>
Source record: 156340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

jan@direct.a2000.nl (Jan Ludekuize) wrote:

>[This followup was posted to nl.radio.scanners and a copy was sent to the
>cited author.]

>In article <01bd9aee$a40ab120$4b23d68f@dptsmavs.wainwright.army.mil>,
>cjsmith@mosquitonet.com says...
>-> This is my first time posting here. I am new to the world of scanning and
>->I'm looking for some frequencies for my local area. Here is the tough part.
>->I live in Fairbanks Alaska. Any info, help, suggestions, or tips for a
>->newbie will be appreciated. Please e-mail me with a reply
>->cjsmith@mosquitonet.com
>->
>->Thanks in advance,
>->
>->CS
>->
>And do you really think we overhere in The Netherlands know which
>frequencies are used in the USA.
>For your info Europe is no state of the USA

And for YOUR info, Bright Boy, you people in the Netherlands lack
courtesy. You didn't have to put the young man down like that for an
honest mistake. He SAID he was new here.

You're response sez a hell of a lot more about you, than him.

Regards,
Mr. Randolf Pitchford
(the artist formerly known as "Cactus Jack")

2664. Re: I need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Byrman <fbmac@ipr.nl>
Message-ID: <6mh818$4u3$1@newsfeed.news-service.com>
Source record: 156341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Gentleman",

Both are not fair, I must say.
"You Dutch" incluses me... and b.t.w. my wife is from Philly.
Must we now both give up our pasports, in the hope not to be part of any
"They","You...." or whatever. If you adres a person, ADRES A PERSON, not a
group.

Thank you.

Fred Byrman
fbmac@ipr.nl
Formerly known as Freddy (Ask my parents:-)

Randolf Pitchford heeft geschreven in bericht
<6mh6ot$572$1@camel19.mindspring.com>...
>jan@direct.a2000.nl (Jan Ludekuize) wrote:
>
>>[This followup was posted to nl.radio.scanners and a copy was sent to the
>>cited author.]
>
>>In article <01bd9aee$a40ab120$4b23d68f@dptsmavs.wainwright.army.mil>,
>>cjsmith@mosquitonet.com says...
>>-> This is my first time posting here. I am new to the world of scanning
and
>>->I'm looking for some frequencies for my local area. Here is the tough
part.
>>->I live in Fairbanks Alaska. Any info, help, suggestions, or tips for a
>>->newbie will be appreciated. Please e-mail me with a reply
>>->cjsmith@mosquitonet.com
>>->
>>->Thanks in advance,
>>->
>>->CS
>>->
>>And do you really think we overhere in The Netherlands know which
>>frequencies are used in the USA.
>>For your info Europe is no state of the USA
>
>And for YOUR info, Bright Boy, you people in the Netherlands lack
>courtesy. You didn't have to put the young man down like that for an
>honest mistake. He SAID he was new here.
>
>You're response sez a hell of a lot more about you, than him.

>
>Regards,
>Mr. Randolf Pitchford
>(the artist formerly known as "Cactus Jack")
>
>

2665. Good handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: avcbob@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062021093900.RAA15229@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156342

Hi All,

I use a Uniden 9000 at home and love the functionality of this scanner. What would be a good selection for a portable scanner?? Are there any with alpha tags like the 9000?? I am willing to spend +/- $400.00 on this unit. Oh, I would like one that I can use plain 'ol "AA" bat's in.

Thanks for your input!!

Bob

2666. For sale pro 2005 scanner Mint!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mha40$d5q@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156343

selling a pro 2005 scanner 400 ch base model mint cond looks just like
a pro 2006 scanner has Cell mod great unit selling for 250.00 shipped
email me for more info thanks..
speed mod also

2667. Test-Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: reallybigguy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6mhc9q$r5j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156344
Body handling: 1 inline base64-encoded payload block was not displayed

mgrbkmgkmbiwmgiwmytgbr,okb,okg,bnok,monkfgonmokfmgnokmsfgnokmfsonmgokgmnokmfg

mjmhijmsigmhk,jo,npln,pet,omn,y,,ogstkb,r,yihmnrmhonkmsgok,mnoksf,gokmjmyermh
onksf,okhn,oj,n,noks,fngokf,gn,hg,nfknmoks,fgnok,fgonk,okh,n,fgnok,fognk,ofk,
gnof,gnok,fgonk,fgon,of,gnofg,nofg,nokf,gnok,fgnmrmmiymmjmnjgmnjmgjnmgjbmgmgm
bjgmbjgbjgmbjgbmgjbmgjbmgjmbjgmbjgmbjmgbjmgjbmgjbmjgbmhnk,kh,kh,nkh,nk,hkn,kh
,nkh,kn,hkn,khitkihktigirkikikfirkifrifirfirf,kb,gnbgmnkmknmgkmhhkhktihit,bi,

om0ithmboksg,nbpo,ghonkmr,oihmrwmhkmkrmnomhijmtmhtmwrmth0mwprotmhomokmswokmfh
obmokt-okm-ohkmorkmt-hom-woktrmh-wokmtrhokmortmhorthomwohmohmohmotmhoktmhowkm

mrjfjmrflr,,rg,rgitokhoigkighiihktmmtgjimrijmtijwmjmhtbijwtmhijwmrhtimwrthokm
wkhmwtijmhokwrmhtiwjhmtwrthmiwmhitmosdkmhoibrmhimwrimhwtmhmwtmhorkmok,l,g,pwr
p,pwrtprwppth,pwt,pt,hpt,hpthop,wothowtmhoktmhktmhokmwthokmtwmimrtmrjtnbowmrt

[Inline base64-encoded payload not displayed]
oqngorngonoehnon 
goygouytfil,jhblkjkklgkfkvhgcjtkytkjhgkfkjbkjgtfouyfouytuyiu

tkjb,mhkjhkfikfkytfytfyugbhyfyfiyvkmhgfkhgfk 

http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2668. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <358c1e16.8472455@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156345

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 02:06:52 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>allsafe wrote:
>
>> You misunderstood me, I meant you. Weren't you recently saying to
>> somebody that you maintain 5 E-mail accounts because your job requires
>
>No, I only have ONE e-mail account. I NEVER said I have 5 e-mail
>accounts.
>

You don't have 2 e-mail accounts? Don't you have an MCI e-mail
address?

2669. FS:PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf5oj@iamerica.net
Message-ID: <358c2e8b.1715009@news.iamerica.net>
Source record: 156346

FS:Icom PCR-1000 Wide Band Receiver Serial #01766

ALL functions controlled by computer serial port.
Covers 10 Khz to 1300 Mhz in AM/LSB/USB/FMn/WFM with
5 selectable Bandwidths from 2.8 to 230 Khz. Also includes the
UT-106 internal DSP Unit, Service Manual, box, manuals, Software and
accessories.

Works fine. Need CASH!

$385 plus shipping.

Clifton Turner KF5OJ
2224 B Webster St.
Alexandria, LA 71301-5541
318-442-4734
kf5oj@iamerica.net

2670. Re: coolest thing heard on scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <358d32ff.5046658@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156347
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 19 Jun 1998 16:02:23 -0400, "Jeff Doucette"
<paradiddle7@usa.net> wrote:

>
>How about this,.....listening to a neighbour and friend planning to steal a
>chainsaw off of home depo!
>
>Of cource the next day the convo was a bit different "So Jim,.....where are
>you doing your community service?"

Wow, talk about swift justice.....

>I had to laugh...
>
>
me too...

2671. Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John C. Harper" <harp269@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358C33F1.5BB7EA21@swbell.net>
Source record: 156348

I apologize for the subject - but did it get your attention?

I have been in electronics for forty (40) years and scannering for almost all that time (39.989). I enjoy (and feel it important) to monitor what passes through my mortgaged property.

I subscribed to this NG for info on SCANNERS.

It is, infrequently, entertaining to see some comic relief. IE: who hates who (whom?) or whatever.

I have seen DEALS offered between individuals! What the fuck does this NG have to do between two (2) people? This is an info source about SCANNERS. If I am wrong I (and all my friends and all of my students and all their friends and all their students and all my friend's students...) will get the suggestion to go elsewhere.

Do you want me to exclude from my information base?

2672. Re: Descriminator Tap on Motorols Bravo or Advisor? - bravo-decoder.txt (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <358e344a.5377124@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,tnn.com.pager,alt.radio.scanner

On 19 Jun 1998 21:42:01 -0500, lwpowers@finger.dis.org (Remove Finger to Reply) wrote:

>Is it possible? Can anyone give some pointers?
>Thanx.

Everything is possible...don't know where this came from but I'm sure you'll like it....

2673. FAQ : Cheek & Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358C32A3.B90356F9@pacbell.net>
Source record: 156350

Reposted without permission - Original author is k4cc@aol.com (K4CC)
and was originally posted 6/20/98 in the alt.scanner usenet newsgroup.

Frequently Asked Questions about Cheek and Mackey:

1. Who is Cheek?
Bill Cheek is a fairly well known author, experimenter, engineer who
has
contributed a great deal to the scanner hobby for a number of years
through his
books, magazine columns, newsletters and newsgroup postings.

2. Who is Mackey?
John Mackey is the self-appointed guardian of the entire NG who has
the need
to be noticed by posting messages that have no bearing on the scanner
hobby and
which are fatually and gramatically suspect.

3. Why don't they like each other?
Mackey feels that Cheek is a demon, a liar and general miscreant if
he
writes anything that is in even faint disagreement with anyone, be it
Mackey or
anyone else. Cheek feels sorry for Mackey and has attempted to help him
by
indulging Mackey's need to post meaningless sludge.

4. What are the "problems" in the NG to which Mackey keeps referring?
No one is quite sure, as they seem to be problems only to Mackey, who
seems
to feel that they are problems for everyone else, whether they are or
not.

5. How can I keep myself from being subjected to Cheek's and Mackey's
posts?
There are any number of sophisticated techniques available including,
but
not limited to: filtering, skipping or not reading them.

6. What is smegma?
Check the dictionary definition for snot.

Hope this helps.

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ

Nashville TN

2674. Re: For Sale: RS Pro-2006 Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3590381e.6357528@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156351

On 20 Jun 1998 03:12:24 GMT, tal35@aol.com (Tal35) wrote:

>I have 1 bottomcover (one screw hole on back is broken) make offer, 1 top cover
>with screw holddown cracked, and one bottom cover used very good cond, but more
>of a grey color instead of usual black. make me an offer. Please Email me if
>interested at Tal35@aol.com or tal35@favmail.com

2006's came in black?

2675. Re: Improve your RS discone - cheap!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9c9c$4c5f7f00$6b1637a6@default>
Source record: 156352

I did the same thing myself without spending any money. I just attached a low band whip cut for the frequency I wanted to the top of the discone. Works great. And cheap since I bought my discone at Rat Shack for $9.95 on a close-out.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John T. Arthur <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu> wrote in article <EustEs.4xA@freenet.buffalo.edu>...
> As you may have noticed, the new discone from RatShack comes with a coil
> and whip assembly on top for better low-band reception. You can upgrade
> your older discone for peanuts!
>
> Parts to order are: coil assembly (RS p/n 11986775) for $1.99 and whip
> with tip (RS p/n 11986783) for $2.69, for a total of US$4.68. My local
> store charged me $1 for shipping.
>
> When I ordered the items were back-ordered. It took exactly one month for
> them to arrive - via PRIORITY MAIL!! Cost RS a lot more than $1.00 to get
> the stuff to me. I recommend this enhancement. The coil and whip are of
> good quality.
>
> And thanks to <tyler@nospam> for the original suggestion...
>
> Some assembly required.
> Batteries not included.
> Void where prohibited.
>
>

2676. WTB: ICR-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDS <skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uXna0xJn9GA.209@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 156353

Must be in like new condition with original box and all original
accessories, if you have one like this and would like to sell it, please
email me
thanks
Bruce

2677. Quick cleanup!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35913a10.6855859@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156354

```
Wow, by adding a kill filter for "mackey" in the subject my newsreader
is 421 headers lighter!
```

2678. Trunker 3.7 ID help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: deforger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062022523600.SAA24779@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156355

I have Trunker 3.7 up and running.I am tracking the NYCTA Motorola system.I
need help with the ID's.Take for instance the the ID# 79e1. The 79e is the radio ID.The 1 is the talkgroup system.Day to Day it some times changes 79e7.79e6 and it (Trunker) fails to ID the radio.Do i have to enter every code 79e1& up or is there a way to enter just the radio ID and trunker will do the checking for the talk group system.

Thanks in advance
Robert
DeForger@aol.com

2679. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C3DAB.39B6@parg.org>
Source record: 156356

John C. Harper wrote:
> What the fuck does this

PLEASE help us preserve this NG as a nice place & STOP
the flaming. Specifically, your swearing & cursing is NOT needed!

2680. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C3F8D.42D7@parg.org>
Source record: 156357

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Get out of the denial mode, Smeggie.
> 'Fess up and stay 'fessed up. It will

Bill, you know good & well I didn't write that.

Everyone BUT YOU, acknowledges that!

You're just trying to cause more trouble on this NG

PLEASE stop causing problems, PLEASE return this
NG to the users for enjoyment free of
you senseless flames.

Many people would forgive you if you do this
& you would feel better!

2681. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C40F4.1A7A@parg.org>
Source record: 156358

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Nah, it was still Smacksie. He gets drunk or

Bill, you know good & well it was NOT me.

Please stop your flamimg & let this NG go
back to the topic of discussion, rather than
your regular act of causing problems.

2682. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C4164.552D@parg.org>
Source record: 156359

George Vetterle wrote:
> Don't you have an MCI e-mail
> address?

I probably do, but I have no idea what the MCI address is,
I never use it. I only use the usa.net mail account.

2683. Re: FAQ : Cheek & Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C41E8.5033@parg.org>
Source record: 156360

Mr. WireHead wrote:
> Reposted without permission - Original author is k4cc@aol.com (K4CC)

PLEASE stop the flaming & trouble making. PLEASE let this NG
get back to the topic rather than ba staging ground for
fighting & making stories!

2684. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C431A.4DF6@parg.org>
Source record: 156361

Bill Cheek wrote:
> I told you in another
> post that I took you off to make you an
> offer for a deal. Since then, I decided

More crap.

PLEASE, BILL CHEEK, stop your flaming & trouble making
& let this newsgroup get back to topic. By doing so
you would redeem yourself to the NG.

2685. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9ca1$d9a24500$6b1637a6@default>
Source record: 156362

Well well, look at that would ya ... Mackey admits he's a twit, must be ...
he says he's in Bill's twit filter ...

Shut up Mackey, you're a twit. Now go back to your hole and hide !!!

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article
<358B3E49.FC0@parg.org>...
> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > The closest thing to truth you know
>
> I thought you had me in a twit filter so you wouldn't
> see any of my posts!
>
> LIAR!
>

2686. Re: FS: Uniden BC-004 Scanner Preamp ($40)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <358b03b5.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 156424

In article , abrock@annapolis.net (Adam Brock) wrote:
>Uniden BC-004
>Ultra Wide Band RF Receiver Pre-Amp
>Covers 25MHz-1GHz
>Works fine...
>
>$40

2687. FS: Uniden BC-004 Scanner Preamp ($40)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <358b03d6.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 156425

```
Uniden BC-004
Ultra Wide Band RF Receiver Pre-Amp
Covers 25MHz-1GHz
Works fine...

$40
```

2688. FS: BC855XLT Desktop Scanner (Cellular) ($125)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@annapolis.net
Message-ID: <358b0467.0@news1.annapolis.net>
Source record: 156426

BEARCAT 855XLT SCANNER

Features:
100 Channels
12 Band Coverage
Includes 800 MHz & Aircraft & Cellular Telephone *
One Touch Weather
Full-Frequency LCD Display
1 Priority Channel
Manual Channel Access
Channel Lockout
Automatic Squelch
3 Day Memory Backup
Programmable Scan Delay

Frequency Coverage:
29-54MHz, 118-174MHz, 406-512MHz
and 806 to 956MHz (including cellular)

Accessories Included:
Telescoping Whip Antenna
AC Power Supply

$125 very good condition

2689. Re: Spectrum Analyzer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: AOR Freak <ntdg@freemail.com>
Message-ID: <OVxQr69m9GA.255@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 156427

Bobby Woolard wrote in message <01bd9a90$6428b740$1375d6d1@ogleboog>...
>Does anyone make a n adapter that will allow you to use a good oscilloscope
>as a spectrum analyzer?
>
>The one and only,
>
>Oglebooger

I use a HAMEG HM8028 in combination with a homebuild 20 MHz scope.
Freq.range of the analyser is 0-500 Mhz. I don't know if they sell Hameg in
the States. As far as I know they are a French company.

Regards from The Netherlands,

Gommert (use arcsoftware@geocities.com for reply)

2690. BC855 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062001253300.VAA17739@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156428

I Would like to be able to have a copy of the owners Manual to this
scanner.
I will be willing to pay someone a fair price for a xerox copy .

thank you
Dave
Houston

2691. Re: Interesting Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B0F71.7017@parg.org>
Source record: 156429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Here are the vital statistics of John Mackey's
> home ISP where he wreaks his vengeance and
> conducts his reign of terrorism. Interesting
> how PARG.ORG appears to be a customer of
> TELEPORT.COM,

Yea, we use teleport for our host!

What's your point Bill?

2692. Re: Current Flame War

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B13FB.48B1@parg.org>
Source record: 156430

D. P. Roberts wrote:
> Perhaps if J.M. would stop trying to be King of the Hill and quit his petty
> bickering. . .

Yea, I've asked Bill Cheek TWICE for a truce but he does not want one.
Perhaps you should direct your feelings towards the cause of
this continuing problem.

2693. Re: Mackey CONTROLS Phil Smalley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B1967.77E@parg.org>
Source record: 156431

Phil Smalley wrote:
> Yet.... you have done ALL of these things as well! Hmmmmm......

No, But your hero Bill Cheek has!

2694. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B1776.54C@parg.org>
Source record: 156432

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Mackey's 0/2471.

I have MANY on-topic posts, yet you acknowledge NONE!

Once again, YOU, BILL CHEEK, PROVE yourself to be
a liar!

> He's the CAUSE and the ROOT of
> your complaint. Not Allsafe.
>
> Check it out....check the numbers

Yea, check out BILL CHEEK! ALL his posts lately have been
nothing but flaming & rationalizing his behavior!

2695. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B1800.7DB3@parg.org>
Source record: 156433

Phil Smalley wrote:
> this is NOTHING compared to the extreme ideas YOU
> have come up with to try and smear his name in the mud.

EVERYTHING I have said is based on TRUTH! If truth smears him in
the mud then so be it!

2696. Re: Outta Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B1842.148@parg.org>
Source record: 156434

Neil Wood wrote:
> Well I guess I'm too mature for this newsgroup. After filtering out
> mackey, smalley, and cheek there is still too high of a flame to good stuff
> ratio. I am unsubscirbing today. Catch you on the airwaves.....

Hang on Neil!

We have asked CHEEK (a third time) for a truce. Perhaps
he will do it this time,

2697. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B190E.9DB@parg.org>
Source record: 156435

Bill Cheek wrote:

> You may have sent one to Wah, too, but my system
> bounced the one you sent to ME. Look, clingberry:
SNIP
> You may well have sent an e-mail to Wah, but your
> "test" came to MY server....addressed to ME, you

I sent ONE to EACH of you. They BOTH came back the same way,
from the SAME computer!

> lying sack of snake dung. And since MY server has
> been instructed to return mail from YOU, it did
> exactly what it was told.
>

> Next time, send your tests to Wah and *not* me, and

You are lying again BILL, I sent it to Wah & it bounced from
YOU!

> Now BACK ON my Twit List you go......

You say this nearly every day but you keep on responding
to me! What a fool you are!

2698. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B1939.6921@parg.org>
Source record: 156436

allsafe wrote:

> You misunderstood me, I meant you. Weren't you recently saying to
> somebody that you maintain 5 E-mail accounts because your job requires

No, I only have ONE e-mail account. I NEVER said I have 5 e-mail
accounts.

2699. Still plenty of CHEEK ENVY on here, I see

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062002201600.WAA24038@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156437

Returning to this newsgroup after several months of absence. It's like a bad soap opera; same people doing the same flaming over and over again. Very little substance, lots of useless crapola.

You clowns must sure be envious of Bill Cheek. The man has done more to advance the scanner hobby than any of his detractors can begin to comprehend. Since you don't have the brains to challenge his technical abilities, all you can do is make nauseating personal attacks against him. Are we a bit jealous of the man's intellect and success? Obviously. Get a freakin' LIFE, will y'all?

2700. Re: DSP for PCR-1000 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve@swssec.com
Message-ID: <358b1c29.39614252@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 156438

On 19 Jun 1998 23:32:41 GMT, "Thomas A. Gray" <tagger@indy.net> wrote:

>anyone out there know where a person might order the DSP unit for the ICOM
>PCR-1000?

As of this week, 1100 UT-106 DSP units are backordered from ICOM.

They are still only guessing at July sometime to get them in.

We are on the top of the list for backorders, and our customers will
get them as soon as they appear.

Price is $120 if you buy/bought the radio from us, $135 if you did
not. Price includes domestic postage.

Email credit card info to order (your card will not be charged until
the order is shipped).

Regards ..... Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip, ICOM sales and service
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

2701. -----==== Winnipeg, Manitoba Scanner Freq.'s? ====-------

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: john_peters@mailcity.com
Message-ID: <6mf77q$u26$1@newsmaster.pathcom.com>
Source record: 156439

Hello,

Will be visiting Winnipeg, Manitoba sometime this summer and was wondering if anyone had any frequencies? Please e-mail them to me if you have any.

Thanks very much in advance!

John

P.S. Will be heading through North Dakota to get there so freq.'s for that state would be appreciated as well.

2702. For Sale: Radio Shack Pro 2006 With Opto456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tal35@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062003052700.XAA25814@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156440

I have a Radio Shack Pro 2006 with the Opto Scan 456 installed. The scanner has only been used less than 200 hours, is in exc.condition. I thought this scanner was sold, but the indiviual did not call me to let me know otherwise. This scanner comes with the opto board, manual external power supply (in case you don't want to use the internal) serial cable and the shareware software that came with the board.The price is 400.00 firm If interested, please Email me @ tal35@aol.com or Tal35@favmail.com Thanks!

2703. For Sale: Radio Shack Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tal35@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062003082700.XAA00274@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156441

I have one RS Pro-51 for parts only, not working. The front of the case is good, back is bad, belt clip is good, boards have some missing parts, make me an offer. Please Email me @ tal35@aol.com or Tal35@favmail.com Thanks!

2704. For Sale: RS Pro-2006 Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tal35@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062003122400.XAA26644@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156442

I have 1 bottomcover (one screw hole on back is broken) make offer, 1 top cover with screw holddown cracked, and one bottom cover used very good cond, but more of a grey color instead of usual black. make me an offer. Please Email me if interested at Tal35@aol.com or tal35@favmail.com

2705. Re: Interesting Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358c29e4.261346069@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156443

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Here are the vital statistics of John Mackey's
> > home ISP where he wreaks his vengeance and
> > conducts his reign of terrorism. Interesting
> > how PARG.ORG appears to be a customer of
> > TELEPORT.COM,
>
> Yea, we use teleport for our host!
>
> What's your point Bill?

I already made it, you friggin imbecile. Read
my damned post again and you'll SEE the point.
It's there in whatever colors of background and
font you use.

You friggin nincompoop.....

2706. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358d2a83.261504647@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156444

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Mackey's 0/2471.
>
> I have MANY on-topic posts, yet you acknowledge NONE!
>
> Once again, YOU, BILL CHEEK, PROVE yourself to be
> a liar!
>
>
>
> > He's the CAUSE and the ROOT of
> > your complaint. Not Allsafe.
> >
> > Check it out....check the numbers
>
> Yea, check out BILL CHEEK! ALL his posts lately have been
> nothing but flaming & rationalizing his behavior!

No, shitferbrains, you haven't made ANY on-topic
posts. You've made a token effort once or twice
with that bullshit about articles you "wrote" and
one about an ARRL release. Rubbish.

No, you flippin nitwit, token efforts don't count.
You have YET to help or even offer to help anyone
here. You a stinkin scatologist; and that is the
sum and total of YOU. All you look for is shit.

Otherwise, go read and ACCEPT and ABIDE the deal
I offered you. And don't play like you didn't
see it......

You low-life, degenerate, pervert.....

2707. Re: Current Flame War

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358e2ba9.261798443@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156445

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> D. P. Roberts wrote:
> > Perhaps if J.M. would stop trying to be King of the Hill and quit his petty
> > bickering. . .
>
> Yea, I've asked Bill Cheek TWICE for a truce but he does not want one.
> Perhaps you should direct your feelings towards the cause of
> this continuing problem.

Go read, accept, and abide the workable
deal I offer you, you freakin pissant.
It offers a helluva lot more than a "truce".

You stinking scumball........

2708. Re: Outta Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358f2bf8.261877527@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156446

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Neil Wood wrote:
> > Well I guess I'm too mature for this newsgroup. After filtering out
> > mackey, smalley, and cheek there is still too high of a flame to good stuff
> > ratio. I am unsubscirbing today. Catch you on the airwaves.....
>
> Hang on Neil!
>
> We have asked CHEEK (a third time) for a truce. Perhaps
> he will do it this time,

No "we" haven't asked "Cheek" for a truce. Not
once, not twice, not any times. And there ain't
gonna be any of this "we" shit, either. It's
you and me, Smeggie.

You want a truce? Go read the deal I offered
you. That's a truce that doesn't get any better.
And it will work if you read, accept, and abide it,
you flippant, lying, low-life reprobate......

2709. Re: Mackey CONTROLS Phil Smalley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35902ccf.262093048@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156447

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Phil Smalley wrote:
> > Yet.... you have done ALL of these things as well! Hmmmmm......
>
> No, But your hero Bill Cheek has!

Ha ha ha ha ha..... you dumbshit. Phil
doesn't like me because I got some of you
before he did. He wanted to be first, and
I beat 'im to the punch.

The only saving grace there is that with
just one tinkler, he'd rather urinate on
you than me.

You scurvy, wretched, miserable dung heap.

2710. Re: BC89XLT WX Alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: robdon33@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062003375000.XAA03867@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156448

Only way I can think of is putting a book over the loudspeaker. Works for me.

2711. Re: SC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: reallybigguy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6mf6hshkf1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 156449

In article <6md028$1vd@junkie.gnofn.org>,
caj@lfn.org (Craig Johnston) wrote:
>
> In article <6mcikjetn1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
> <reallybigguy@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >==========
> >========> > Mr. Moron,The SC150 has 100 channels,
> >not 200. I believe that you're not as well learned
> >as you let on to others. In the future, if you
> >choose to behave like an ass and correct other's
> >spelling, perhaps you should be perfect in every
> >way.
>
> Wouldn't that depend on whether the SC150 were "MINT" or not?
>
> --
> Steal the moral high ground.
>

Hey Craig,
Why don't you go back to your frugal van down by the river? Loser.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2712. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35912d6e.262252052@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156450

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
>
> > You misunderstood me, I meant you. Weren't you recently saying to
> > somebody that you maintain 5 E-mail accounts because your job requires
>
> No, I only have ONE e-mail account. I NEVER said I have 5 e-mail
> accounts.

You don't have to, urinal licker.
```

2713. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35922da0.262301847@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156451

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> > Next time, send your tests to Wah and *not* me, and
>
> You are lying again BILL, I sent it to Wah & it bounced from
> YOU!

No shitferbrains, I don't.....and CAN'T get Wah's
mail. The one you sent to ME was returned to
sender. Just one.

You liver-lipped, lying, sphincter.

2714. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35932e3b.262456848@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156452

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Phil Smalley wrote:
> > this is NOTHING compared to the extreme ideas YOU
> > have come up with to try and smear his name in the mud.
>
> EVERYTHING I have said is based on TRUTH! If truth smears him in
> the mud then so be it!

The closest thing to truth you know
is vermouth, you stinking sot.
```

2715. Re: Still plenty of CHEEK ENVY on here, I see

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35942ea8.262565927@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156453

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

thelibnet@aol.com (TheLibNet) wrote:

> Returning to this newsgroup after several months of absence. It's like a bad
> soap opera; same people doing the same flaming over and over again. Very
> little substance, lots of useless crapola.
>
> You clowns must sure be envious of Bill Cheek.

There's just one clown....the fruitcake Mackey.
In the past when there seemed to be more, they
were all HIM under various aka's.

He knows how to misuse and abuse the Usenet
and does it with impunity and apparent immunity.
More and more people are catching on to the
nincompoop, though.

It ain't so bad....and I can handle it. Mackey
is a zero betwixt the ears anyway..... The
friggin popinjay has a sign tattooed on his
forehead, "Space for rent" if that tells you
anything.

:-)
```

2716. What about multiple Antennas on one Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gcrankbait@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062004120900.AAA07885@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156454

A question for anyone who may know...

I was thinking about putting another antenna on the roof next to my discone and run both antennas thru a coupler and into the radio.

Would there be any problems with doing this? Overload the scanner? Should I just switch between them and only use one at a time as needed? What type coupler/splitter should i use.

Thanks for any input...

2717. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B3B67.1E58@parg.org>
Source record: 156455

Truth hurts, huh allsage?

allsafe wrote:

> Ya see this? It's my SCANNER. I'm holding it up in front of the screen.

That's your claim to fame??? A scanner!!!!!

> Now go back to the PARG clubhouse and tell Mackey it didn't work; allsafe

I don't have any connection to him. He dislikes you all on his own!

allsafe-

At midnight tonight we will try having a truce. No flames, no
snide remarks, no attacks. PLEASE join us on this for the
benefit of the group & demonstrate your true intentions as
being good. It's not to liate to redeem yourself to this
NG!

2718. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B3C0E.6F1D@parg.org>
Source record: 156456

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> you haven't made ANY on-topic
> posts.

Hardly, even George Vetterlee has stated that I
have made several on-topic posts. How would
you know anyway, you CLAIM you have me kill-filed
so you never see my posts? Or were you lying?

> Otherwise, go read and ACCEPT and ABIDE the deal
> I offered you.

I have, now if only YOU would ACCEPT adn ABIDE the
deal I offered you!

2719. Re: Still plenty of CHEEK ENVY on here, I see

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B3C66.24E4@parg.org>
Source record: 156457

TheLibNet wrote:
> You clowns must sure be envious of Bill Cheek.

There is nothing to envy!

SEVERAL hate him, he know little about electronics, & he has been
busted by the FCC.

You are simply a Bill Cheek ass-kisser.

2720. Re: Current Flame War

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B3D71.2F00@parg.org>
Source record: 156458

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Go read, accept, and abide the workable
> deal I offer you, you freakin pissant.
> It offers a helluva lot more than a "truce".

And I offer YOU the same Bill!
Go read, accept, and abide the workable
deal I offer you!

2721. Re: A DEAL FOR MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358b3922.265248737@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156459

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> Bill that is the same deal I gave Mackey as I know you would go youy way
> and he can go his.
> And as for Mackey wanting to restrict you from giving advice in fears
> that you will gain some financial benefit proves he does not want to end
> the flaming , he just keeps adding on conditions that have nothing to do
> with the subject on hand.

That's right - he just adds on crapola that has
nothing to do with anything. I notice several
dudes lately come on here to post "sales" on
radio equipment and such, and no one says
beans about it. Like, you know, hey....it's
no big deal. And it must have been no big
deal to Mackey, too, because the snotball never
said shit about it.

And the way I see it, is if someone really has
a bargain, then the group deserves to know
about it. So that part of his eal is plumb worthless
as mammary glands on a boar hog. And the nerve of
him trying to tie me down so every dungbreath
and his brother can line up to have a shot at me!

Geezus H. Kriste, is Mackey stupid or what?
And the nerve of the idiot to tryto get me to
"get others" in on the deal. Like, ha ha ha,
there was an election and I was empowered to
speak for everyone else.

> Mackey you need to grow up and realize that you cannot
> have everything your way , the deal I suggested was a good one ,
> that you go your way and Bill goes his way.

Hell yes, that was a good one. And I added that
we don't even THINK about each other. Kriste, it
don't get any better than that. I can blot that
sucker outa my mind so far he'll never come back.
And the way he hates me for kicking his ass outa
FidoNet a few years ago, you'd think he'd be glad
to quit thinking about me.

And as for me, I wouldn't walk across the street to pee on 'im if he was on fire. Instead, I'd sit down in the Lotus position and contemplate the gunk in my navel and chant, "Burn baby burn."

So there ain't no good reason for him to not accept my deal.

But he won't. He's a yellow bellied coward......
<shrug>

2722. Re: What about multiple Antennas on one Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358c3cde.266204528@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156460

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

gcrankbait@aol.com (GCrankBait) wrote:

> A question for anyone who may know...
>
> I was thinking about putting another antenna on the roof next to my discone and
> run both antennas thru a coupler and into the radio.
>
> Would there be any problems with doing this? Overload the scanner? Should
> I just switch between them and only use one at a time as needed? What type
> coupler/splitter should i use.

Two antennas going into one scanner is as
bad or worse than one antenna feeding two
scanners. There are exceptions, like for
co-phased antennas that are mounted just so,
and mated with a "phasing" harness.

So, you really should use a coaxial switch
to select one or the other of the two antennas
so that only one at a time is used. The kind
made for Cable TV are probably okay for scanners,
but you don't want to use the CB Radio kind or
even the ham radio kind unless they carry a
rating for UHF.

Of course, you can always manually disconnect
one and connect the other....but that's usually
a pain in the arse and gets old after a spell.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2723. Re: Bill Cheek forging posts again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B3E19.634A@parg.org>
Source record: 156461

Bill Cheek wrote:
> You don't have to, urinal licker.

I LOVE it when I get you angry enough to make posts
like this!

Hey, I thought you had me in a twit filter so you wouldn't
see any of my posts!

LIAR!

2724. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358B3E49.FC0@parg.org>
Source record: 156462

Bill Cheek wrote:
> The closest thing to truth you know

I thought you had me in a twit filter so you wouldn't
see any of my posts!

LIAR!

2725. Re: Rub Bill's nose in it Mackey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mjsc1$hu3$3@207.18.224.37>
Source record: 156014

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 19:28:55 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>> And I ask you the same question! You repeatedly state that
>> you are trying to do things for all the participants in the
>> newsgroup...Who appointed YOU spokesman?
>
>I am not a spokesman & never said I was. however, I am dedicated
>to preserving the integrity of this NG as myself & several
>other individuals did in late 1996 after Bill Cheek nearly
>caused the collapse of this NG.

John, are you truly this stupid? Caused the collapse of the
newsgroup? What do you think you are doing now? You, John, are
ruining this newsgroup John!

Put the netcop badge away! The VAST MAJORITY OF THE
newsgroup DOES NOT WISH YOU TO REPRESENT THEM!

2726. Re: A Deal for Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mjsdl$hu3$4@207.18.224.37>
Source record: 156015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 18:54:57 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Bill Cheek wrote:
>
>> Mackey, here is THE deal of a lifetime for you:
>> 1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
>> 2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
>> 3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
>> I don't make references or insinuations about you.
>> 4. You don't even THINK about me.
>> I don't even THINK about you.
>
>Add the following & you have a DEAL!
>
>5. No flaming, attacking, or nasty messages posted on the
> newsgroup of rec.radio.scanner.
>6. No posting of messages intended to result in financial
> personal gain.
>
>
>Bill, I've offered you similar plans beofre & you either balk or
>respond with refusal. This time, there is no need for response.
>Your actions, not your words, will indicate your
>cooperation. You don't have to respond if you don't want to.
And John, your words have repeatedly shown that you do NOT WISH
to END THE CRAP!

2727. Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Ekblad <jsekblad@win.bright.net>
Message-ID: <358D7543.91186519@win.bright.net>
Source record: 156016

Why don't you two morons start your own disscusion group and get the fuck out of this one once and for all!

CHRIST you two are pathetic as hell!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Quit wasting our time with your BS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Jeff Ekblad

2728. Re: Exact procedure for resetting scanner??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6mjq7f$3l5$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 156017

Follow these steps to reset the scanner.

1. Push VOLUME to turn on the scanner.
2. Press RESET at the rear of the scanner using a pointed object, such as a ball-point pen. If this is not effective, initialize the scanner as directed below. Follow these steps to initialize the scanner.
CAUTION: Initialize the scanner only when you are sure the scanner is not working properly. This procedure clears all information in the scanner's memory.
1. Push VOLUME to turn on the scanner.
2. Press and hold CLEAR. Press RESET at the rear of the scanner using a pointed object such as a ball point pen. Release RESET and then release CLEAR after the display reappears.
NOTE: You must release RESET before releasing CLEAR in order to clear the memory.

Is that detailed enough for you?

2729. AR8000 feedback howl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: chiblet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062121561000.RAA20012@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156018

My ar8K is microphonic. Whenever the scanner is on an active frequency, the unit acts like a microphone. Tapping on or rubbing the case comes thru loud and clear through the internal or external speaker. Turning up the volume past 12:00 results in severe feedback. I don't have a clue what to think. Any help would be greatly appreciated. please email any reply
Thanks, Chiblet @ aol.com

2730. [Off-topic] Vote for Welch!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: s302728@student.uq.edu.au
Message-ID: <6mk0o2afb1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 156019
Cross-posted to:
alt.config,news.groups,alt.tv.seinfeld,rec.aviation.student,rec.radio.scanner,alt.welch-family,rec.radio.scanner,sci.lang.translation

Sorry for the intrustion again, but:

The much delayed Kook of the Month ballot for May is now available on alt.usenet.kooks. Running uncontested for the title of Clueless Newbie of the Month 1998 is our own dear Aaron "Grape Boy" Welch. Please take a moment to go over to a.u.k. and register your vote for Aaron, so that he can enjoy the title that he worked so hard for.

2731. ICOM R-10 not receiving very good in Kansas City area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: al3828@m9.sprynet.com
Message-ID: <358d821b.15186324@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 156020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hi,

I have problem receiving the National Weather Service signals
with my ICOM R-10. I live in Lawrence KS and I receive weather
information from WXK91 in Topeka broadcasting at 162.475MHz. I set
the receiver in FM mode.
There was a big thunderstorm in my area, so it was a good
opportunity to test the receiver. I drove around town with the SQL
set at minimum. However, I was unable to receive any clean signal.
The noise was so loud that it completely covered the actual speech
signal. Since then I tested it on other frequencies, and found out
that it had no problem receiving local stations (like KANU
91.5MHz(WFM) or police at 158.79MHz(FM)). But once I tried to receive
signals from Kansas City I started having problem. I even had a hard
time picking up radio stations in K.C., and the bandscope showed a
rather weak signal.
Is that the problem with the R-10? Or the antenna is just not
good enough? How should I do to improve the reception quality?

Artur
6.21.98

2732. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358DA8A4.DB885A44@swbell.net>
Source record: 156021

John you have just proved what I have said and others on the newsgroup have been saying.
You don't want the flaming to stop , if you did you wouldn't be trying to place conditions on Bill for you stopping.

In fact you don't have any right to demand any sort of conditions for you to stop, and your showing all of the newsgroup that Bill and the others are right, your using the newsgroup to carry out a personal vendetta out on Bill.
If you wanted all of the flaming to stop you would just start going on topic and ignore Bill and everyone else.
But you really don't want it stop ,you have made it your lifes ambition to personally destroy Bill.

You have come on here made statements about Bill and have never shown any proof that the statements made were factual or based on any truth.
And you can go or send someone to the San Diego courts record division and I doubt you will find any proof of what you have stated on here.

Now is the time for you to stop the flaming , if and when you get any proof than you can confront Bill with it , if you can find any and I seriously doubt you will.

So John show proof or stop your flaming .

2733. Quement Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: HOUNDDOG <HOUNDDOG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <358D8AF4.16E6@prodigy.net>
Source record: 156022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone know why Quement Communications in San Jose, CA has closed its doors?

2734. Re: A Deal for Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <358d6179.4898060@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 156023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>Mackey, here is THE deal of a lifetime for you:
>
>1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
>2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
>3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
> I don't make references or insinuations about you.
>4. You don't even THINK about me.
> I don't even THINK about you.
>
>It's equally binding. I'll never be the first
>to break it. If your integrity is worth anything
>at all, whaddya got to lose by accepting it?
>
>ANS: You won't be able to attack me anymore, huh?
>
>Now that we have that out in the open.......why not
>accept it anyway? That alone will cut the noise
>by 50 dB. Let's do a service to humanity, what say,
>Smacksie?

Better yet, why don't you adopt it unilaterally? This would soon
expose who's the good guy and who's the flamer, right?

(Any bets on Cheek actually doing this?)
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2735. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <358f8f26.2166842@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156024

If you have just a quarter-wave vertical, it won't turn it into a 3-element beam on an 85-foot tower.

2736. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mk3turks1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 156025

My thoughts exactly.

Jeff Ekblad wrote in message <358D7543.91186519@win.bright.net>...
>
>
>Why don't you two morons start your own disscusion group and get the
>fuck out of this one once and for all!
>
>CHRIST you two are pathetic as hell!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>Quit wasting our time with your BS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>Jeff Ekblad
>

2737. JUST ADDED MY FILTERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mk4re$2n6$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 156026

I said I shouldn't have to but I did, just to experiment a little. Boy what a nice group this is with em. It would be even nicer if I did not have to use my filters.....

2738. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: djbond@citilink.com
Message-ID: <358d9334.5810241@news.citilink.com>
Source record: 156027

I jammed 1 Viagra pill into the AC input on my handheld Icom scanner.
RESULT: Rubber duckie still unlucky.
--
dj

Oglaigh na hEireann.

2739. need Chi Area hospital freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Boe <edboe@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6mk5g4$n8f@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156028

I am looking for recent, confirmed operations and security frequencies
for the following hospitals
in the Chicago area. (not the FCC licensed freqs, but the "actual"
working freqs)
MacNeal, Oak Park, West Suburban, Holy Cross, Little Company of Mary,
and
Gottlieb.

Thanks in advance
--
Ed Boe__<http://members.theglobe.com/edboe/> from: Cicero, IL
AOL IM: EdBoe51__________ICQ: 2750186____ edboe@ix.netcom.com
Member: Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA)
<http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm>

2740. Re: Scrambled Sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <358f9d04.2988631@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 156029

"BOSOX" <savy@ma.ultranet.com> wrote:
There is a software program that does just what you need. It's called
"INVERT".
Bob h
> Is there a way to unscramble the donald duck sound that comes from the
>cordless phones freqs?
>
>TIA
>
>

2741. Re: SC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <358D9E8C.CF05ACE1@flash.net>
Source record: 156030

Thanks! I know how to spell, but if you look at the time of that post
you will see it was almost midnight, and I guess I was tired. But
anyway, thanks for sticking up for me! I don't know if he meant it
rudely or not, but anyway thanks!

Craig Johnston wrote:

> In article <6mcikjetn1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
> <reallybigguy@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >==========
> >========> > Mr. Moron,The SC150 has 100 channels,
> >not 200. I believe that you're not as well learned
> >as you let on to others. In the future, if you
> >choose to behave like an ass and correct other's
> >spelling, perhaps you should be perfect in every
> >way.
>
> Wouldn't that depend on whether the SC150 were "MINT" or not?
>
> --
> Steal the moral high ground.

--
|cemann

email: mailto:ryanak@flash.net

Those people who tell you not to take chances, they are all missing out
on what life's about, you only live once so take hold of the chance,
don't end up like others the same song and dance. ---James Hetfield

2742. Re: Why the gaps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <Jeff171@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6mk7d4$jd4$1@news.jersey.net>
Source record: 156031

"470-806 MHz is the UHF TV band, except for 512-520 MHz,"
your wrong there, the FCC is giving out unused UHF tv bands to all kinds ogf organizations now. Im involved in a new 500mhz trunked system here...

--
Jeff171
Reply in Newsgroup, do not send e-mail!

2743. Assistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Iluv2way <bednark@garden.net>
Message-ID: <6mk8m6gnj1@news2.ispnews.com>
Source record: 156032
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm looking for any information/software/hardware schematics on the web for decoding Motorola MDC-1200 information. Any help is greatly appreciated. Please reply via email. TIA all!

Kevin

2744. IRC channel #freq on dalnet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord PhusionBYTE <phusion@midwest.net>
Message-ID: <358DA06D.22B3@midwest.net>
Source record: 156033

Give your tips out to this channel, looking for some good frequences.

2745. anyone know what this freq is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <358DA26B.DA59097D@icdc.com>
Source record: 156034

938.5125
938.6000
both have ticking sound, i think it might be for the radio shack atomic clock and others like that, but im not sure. anyone have any info on it?

-Timer1

2746. Re: flame wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mk8ta$o2v$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 156035

Aint that right

TheLibNet wrote in message
<1998062115483700.LAA07745@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>In article <358D2895.6AA6@geocities.com>, Barry
<arizonaoffroad@geocities.com>
>writes:
>
>>these newsgroups are the worst i have seen for all the flame wars. it
>>looks like mackey, cheek and others have nothing better to do with their
>>lives than spend time posting all the bullshit.
>
>Try the ham radio newsgroups. Makes Mackey vs Cheek look like a church
picnic
>by comparison.
>

2747. NASCAR frequencies for Daytona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: MR21KID@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6mk5ap$oo5$1@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 156036

I'm going to Daytona in two weeks and need to find frequencies for the drivers. Do they keep the same frequencies at every track? Do they stay pretty much the same from year to year? THANKS FOR THE HELP!!!

MR21KID

2748. Re: A Deal for Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: wt2@prism.gatech.edu
Message-ID: <6mkah8$riu@acmex.gatech.edu>
Source record: 156037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I , for one, thank you for your offer to Mackey. If he does not accept,
you have done what you can, except to ignore him. Thanks, BiLL

Bill Cheek wrote:
>Mackey, here is THE deal of a lifetime for you:
>1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
>2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
>3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
> I don't make references or insinuations about you.
>4. You don't even THINK about me.
> I don't even THINK about you.
--
Sgt. Bill Thompson / KC4ZUB <0> wt2@prism.gatech.edu
Georgia Tech Police Dept. Atlanta GA. <0> The Olympic Village Police Dept.
Money buys things, Power makes things happen. Given a choice, always
take Power.-->Roger Zelazny <0> LOOK, I AM THE DAMN NRA!

2749. Re: One More Time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358DD5DE.9CC92865@swbell.net>
Source record: 156038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No John , your not being nominated for patrolman of the newsgroup.
The only nomination your eligible for and could win a unanimous vote on would be newsgroup idiot and trouble maker.

Also I know your going to twist this around when you reply , which is stupid on your part as people will see this original message.
In fact that is all you have been able to do as your not making any friends on here is to try and twist other peoples words to suit your needs.

After saying you wanted the flaming to stop and you were offered the deal of a lifetime and you refused because you wanted to put conditions on it that have no bearing with the matter on hand .
This proves you want to keep things going.

I personally would rather see some of Bill's commercial postings than all of the dribble you post saying you want to have a truce and stop the flaming which you never intended to do in the first place.

2750. Re: A Deal for Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <358DBC83.3C0CD32A@pacifier.com>
Source record: 156039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Right on Blake.

Blake Bowers wrote:

> On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 18:54:57 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> >> Mackey, here is THE deal of a lifetime for you:
> >> 1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
> >> 2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
> >> 3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
> >> I don't make references or insinuations about you.
> >> 4. You don't even THINK about me.
> >> I don't even THINK about you.
> >
> >Add the following & you have a DEAL!
> >
> >5. No flaming, attacking, or nasty messages posted on the
> > newsgroup of rec.radio.scanner.
> >6. No posting of messages intended to result in financial
> > personal gain.
> >
> >
> >Bill, I've offered you similar plans beofre & you either balk or
> >respond with refusal. This time, there is no need for response.
> >Your actions, not your words, will indicate your
> >cooperation. You don't have to respond if you don't want to.
> And John, your words have repeatedly shown that you do NOT WISH
> to END THE CRAP!

2751. Re: anyone know what this freq is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6mkbus$c05$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 156040

It's not for the RadioShack atomic clock.
Derek Yerger wrote in message <358DA26B.DA59097D@icdc.com>...
>938.5125
>938.6000
>both have ticking sound, i think it might be for the radio shack atomic
>clock and others like that, but im not sure. anyone have any info on it?
>
>-Timer1
>

2752. Re: NASCAR frequencies for Daytona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6mkc4l$c7h$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 156041

http://www.radioscanner.com/Frequencies/Nationwide/Racing/racing.html That is a link for the racing frequencies that you need.
Search the amateur radio frequencies, 144-148 MHz and 420-450 MHz, while your at the track for updates.

Ken Boring wrote in message <6mk5ap$oo5$1@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
I'm going to Daytona in two weeks and need to find frequencies for the drivers. Do they keep the same frequencies at every track? Do they stay pretty much the same from year to year? THANKS FOR THE HELP!!!

MR21KID

2753. Mackey Needs Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358DB9BE.8CD47849@pacbell.net>
Source record: 156042

Yo Toilet Boy, you're sounding exceedingly delusional and paranoid the last 12 or so hours.

Maybe it's time to take you're medication AND/OR stay off the net. Both will benefit you and humanity greatly.

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

THEN I entered the picture to put Bill BACK where he belongs.
Cheek is like Hitler, he must be stopped early before he takes over the world.

John-Mackey-KA0SSF then wrote:

It sounds as though you are nominating me to be the patrolman
of this NG. Hmmm, I will give your nomination careful consideration.

2754. Re: WTB: JIM M-51 or M-75 preamp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <358DC73C.32FDD6B1@ibm.net>
Source record: 156043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Jeff Doucette wrote:
> I'm looking for one of these suckers.....or something similar

Try Scanners Unlimited : http://www.best.com/~scanner/

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

2755. Re: STOP THE FLAMES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <358DC781.3949E0DB@iname.com>
Source record: 156044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You won't do it MACKEY, but I will......
And when the 7 days is over, if I've seen so much as one flame from you,
you will become my pet project.
If...you manage to hold out the whole 7 days....I will be amazed...
Amaze Me

The CB Guy

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> I suggest a 7 day "stay" on all the flames & trouble making to
> start at 2000 hrs pacific time TODAY!
>
> Lets see how many of you can do this & demonstrate your TRUE intentions!

2756. Re: What's this stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358DC99F.35BD99EC@netwit.com>
Source record: 156045

Vic Tosca wrote:

> On 20 Jun 98 22:36:02 , Jkn@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Jkn) was
> lurking in rec.radio.scanner, and composed the following about
> "RE:":
>
> > Originally from: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
> >
> > This article was posted in the *.radio.scanner hierarchy.
> > It was canceled for one or more of the following reasons:
> >
> > 1) spew from or to or about John Mackey
> > 2) binary - discussion newsgroups only
> > 3) spam
> > 4) MMF
> >
>
> What the heck is this stuff all about?
>

An entity, purporting to be a sysop, is posting notification of each article he's censoring from his USENET feeds. Jkn@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Jkn) is apparently censoring messages before they get to his subscribed users. These "Here's another message my users aren't going to read" posts are unnecessary. He can delete whatever he wishes and no-one would be any wiser. That's the rules of the USENET feed game.

But this guy went the extra mile. He's using bandwidth to flout his control fetish; hence these repetitive "here's what *I* think is worthless" posts.

However, he can use bandwidth for whatever he feels is appropriate. That's another rule of the USENET. Too bad his users aren't afforded that same privilege.

I wonder what else ISP Warpgate.com users are not seeing?

Anybody on that ISP?

2757. Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John E. Grimestad" <grimmyman@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <358DC78A.245EFF53@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 156046

I have been told it's possible to use a cell phone as a scanner for the 800mhz band. I was led to believe it was quite simple on most popular cell phones. Can anyone shed some light on this for me? Thank you in advance.

--
John ._______|_______.
\(*)/
o/ \o

2758. Re: Advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358DCFDF.D3675217@netwit.com>
Source record: 156047

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> Also use an 8 to 10 foot solid copper ground rod , not the steel type
> that are copper clad like radio shack sells.
> Copper clad ones tend to rust and there goes your ground connection as
> the rust build up acts as an insulator.
>

Everything you say tracks with other smart advice I read. But: Do I have to drive this 8 to 10 foot rod vertically into the ground?; or can I dig a trench about foot deep and lay this rod down horizontally?

I was going for a cold water pipe, but I only found a foot of copper outside the house before it coupled into the plastic main feed. No dice there. But if there had been eight or more feet of copper pipe; it would seem that laying the copper rod horizontally below the frostline would be just as effective. Do you think?

The reason I ask, is that we've got clay here. I don't think I can get that rod down vertically with a hammer, it'll probably go down some, but I'm gonna bend the shit out this expensive copper rod before I penetrate more than two feet. And I'm not crazy about digging an 8 foot hole. In fact: I'm flat out not doing it. I dug two foot footers for each of the support posts from my deck. I know *exactly* how much fun that is.

Can I bury the rod horizontally?

2759. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358DD18B.D5F332B0@netwit.com>
Source record: 156048

Jack wrote:

> If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
> time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
> marked at $100,

Damn it. I just bought a "mint" Pro-64 out of a pawn shop for a friend,
sold it to him at my cost ($110); and now this. Oh gawd, I hope this
information isn't true.

2760. Re: One More Time Mackey, Jpeg Image of Mackey attached!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358DD412.8821F5B9@netwit.com>
Source record: 156049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> [Image]

Looks like Barney Fife reaching for his bullet
in his shirt pocket.

2761. Re: Why Not a Moderated Group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Les Wilson <nessman@servtech.com>
Message-ID: <358DCFF5.708@servtech.com>
Source record: 156050

BC895XL wrote:

> I'm sick of this Mackey/Cheek crap. There's alt.fan.bill.cheek,
> alt.fan.john.mackey, alt.radio.scanner.flamefest and nobody uses those.

> Will somebody explain how to go about setting up a moderated rec.radio.scanner
> group so we can get rid of this crap once and for all?

Why, so the internet can revert to the evil computer network known as
FIDO-NET? You want moderation, find some po-dunk BBS with the FIDO
boards and knock yourself out!
--
==========
Another fine e-mail sent out by Les Wilson, KC2DQX, Greece, NY
--
E-mail - Personal: nessman@servtech.com
Work: les_wilson@ccmail.monroe.edu
--
Home Page: http://www.servtech.com/~nessman
Greater Rochester Railfan: http://www.servtech.com/~nessman/rochrail.htm
Parma Special Police: http://www.servtech.com/~nessman/papd.html
==========

2762. Can't we all just get along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <358DD66A.451854A6@pacifier.com>
Source record: 156051

well.

2763. Re: [Fwd: Re: WiNRADiO Review]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358DFD30.47B967A0@swbell.net>
Source record: 156052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John it is not your place to be concerned with it.
The matter is between Bill and Rich Wells and if either one wanted your help they would have asked for it.
You should be concerned how you have made yourself appear in the newsgroup, posting like a chicken little saying I want the flaming to stop and in actuality you are keeping things going by placing conditions on a truce, and trying to validate your flaming by saying your trying to protect Rich Wells from Bill.
The truth is you have lost what little creditability that you may have had in the past and you will never regain it.

You are seen on here as a person with his own agenda , not one that is compatible with the newsgroup as a whole and no before you say it I am not speaking for the whole newsgroup but there have been many of the people on the newsgroup that have stated that your constant flaming is not wanted or appreciated on the newsgroup yet you still continue on and try to twist statments posted by others about you to look like they were commenting about Bill.

It is not working John , all they have to do is look at the original post and they see once again you have stated an untruth because you cannot come up with a valid response and that only shows that the statement made about you was true.

John you are nothing but a self centered lying mental midget and are out of your leauge on this newsgroup , so stop the flaming and get back on topic .

2764. Re: anyone know what this freq is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John C. Harper" <harp269@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358DE20B.8BEEE767@swbell.net>
Source record: 156053

I can't tell you about those frequencies or if Radio Shack has an atomic clock but - 'Atomic Clocks' don't tick. The ones I am familiar with are the Hewlett-Packard Cesium Beam Standards (Sorry - can't recall model numbers). These are very precise generators of 5 MHz. The user must provide a means of using this frequency to drive a clock if that's what you want. At NASA tracking stations this 5 MHz signal is used as a reference for receiver and transmitter LOs and for various test equipment. It also drives a frequency divider with a 1 PPS (One Pulse per Second) output to drive real-time clocks and event timers (it's important to know EXACTLY when a spacecraft will come over your horizon). It's possible that someone would go to the trouble to transmit this 1 PPS 'tick' from a very expensive frequency divider - but I can't imagine why. Do a web search on USNO and TYCHO and you can get a free, accurate, 'Atomic' clock for your home page.

Derek Yerger wrote:
>
> 938.5125
> 938.6000
> both have ticking sound, i think it might be for the radio shack atomic
> clock and others like that, but im not sure. anyone have any info on it?
>
> -Timer1

2765. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3594af7a.361098616@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156064

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com> wrote:

> John "Queen Weenie and ....

I understand Smacksie is now Oscar Meyer's
chief weeny washer,

Tsk...tsk...tsk....

2766. Re: MACKEY needs to go into the Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3595b003.361235717@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156065

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

pja <pja@pacifier.com> wrote:

> MACKEY needs the military. They would knock that
> smart-ass mouth of his right off. We'll start him out in
> Cub Scout Pack # 25.

Don't you think she'd be better suited
for the Campfire girls? <g>

2767. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3592ade2.360690391@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156066

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> Well well, look at that would ya ... Mackey admits he's a twit, must be ...
> he says he's in Bill's twit filter ...

And he finally addressed Allsafe as ALLSAGE.
Things are looking up, huh?

:-)
```

2768. Re: MACKEY needs to go into the Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3596b02a.361274651@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156067

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> pja wrote:
> > MACKEY needs the military. They would knock that
> > smart-ass mouth of his right off.
>
> So you think it would take an entire military to do that?
>
> THANKS!

Just one "blanket party" would do the
trick, prick. You'd get your buckteeth
fixed....cheap. And your harelip would
be rearranged so it might not look like
a harelip anymore.

I'm not sure they could fix your eyelids
any better, though.
```

2769. Re: MACKEY needs to go into the Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3597b099.361385751@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156068

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com> wrote:

> Naw...I think the local brownie troop would be a better place for
> MACKEY...we know we can't trust him around little boys.
> Besides, those girls would whoop him into shape in no time.

I suggested Campfire Girls, but yours
may be better, considering age factors
and all.....

2770. Re: Richard Kendrick reveals John Mackey is still a liar and fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3598b13d.361549851@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156069

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com> wrote:

> John "I'm such a pathetic liar and loser" Mackey-KA0SSF tried
> to snivel and weasel out of yet another lie:
>
> > WRONG on BOTH. First, YOU ONLY ASKED FOR ONE! Second, the
> > league DID NOT re-write my article! If you still say that,
> > then post your proof or be made to be the liar you are!
>
> You've only writen one document, Toilet Boy. Your inability to
> do simple arithmetic is further proof that you do are an ignorant
> and illiterate moron.

Whooaaa, that's not fair to the rest
of the world's morons. Gives 'em a
bad name and all....

> As I said before and continue to say: you are a liar and a fraud.

On top of that, he just hired on with Oscar Meyer
as their chief weeny washer. I think they liked
his harelip.
```

2771. A Deal for Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3599b32f.362048257@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156070

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mackey, here is THE deal of a lifetime for you:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

It's equally binding. I'll never be the first
to break it. If your integrity is worth anything
at all, whaddya got to lose by accepting it?

ANS: You won't be able to attack me anymore, huh?

Now that we have that out in the open.......why not
accept it anyway? That alone will cut the noise
by 50 dB. Let's do a service to humanity, what say,
Smacksie?

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

2772. Re: Fairly important question about >>>BC700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <me812@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mie2daqr1@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 156071

I believe the other 30 are a) 20 should be in the highway portion b) and 10 should be in the news and fire portion. Start a search with those buttons and hit hold then use the arrows to step up you should find the missing memory channels. One more note if you try what I tried when I had one I said cool I'll just program those and lockout the rest of the preprogrammed shit, well the scanner only allows so many lockouts, so if you were to lock out too many it would take the lockouts off of the ones you started with. Or you can do what I did I bought the BCT7 which looks exactly like the 700 and it has 100 channel and 800mhz brought it home, put the 700 back in the box and took it back the same day. Yeah I know it's bad but I only paid 25 dollars for the 700 and saw a chance to make out.....I apologize to the unfortunate individual who got the 700 in the 7 box.

Todd

Sean Jones wrote in message <358c18b2.165088069@news>...
>I have one of these models I purchased a few years ago. I was poking
>around at the Uniden site and noticed that they advertise this model
>now as a "50" channel receiver while mine has only access to 20 in
>private mode. Is this just the way it is.
>
>? What are the other 30 channels.
>? Does mean there are newer BC700A models

2773. WTF? Can't you retards like, post useful shit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3590b158.12310501@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 156072

I know im guilty myself, with this post... but honestly, this stuff is getting insane. I've run into about 3 or 4 threads of useful discussion on the group. The rest is about cheek and/or mackey. The exchange of rhetoric and bullshit between them accounts for 85% of the posts in the newsgroup? Why don't they just get their own newsgroup called "rec.flamewar.with.cheek.and.mackey" or better yet they should just whine at each other by email and stay the fuck off usenet!!!

Now, back to scanners..... Who here owns ratshack #20-014... I'm scraping up some change to put one up.... how does this badboy work in different bands?

-Mike
(whos contemplating decorating his yard with 20-014s as he has multiple scanners)

2774. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: wanpsm98@octarine.adfa.oz.au
Message-ID: <wanpsm98.898415751@octarine>
Source record: 156073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.romance.chinese,alt.language.spanish,alt.movies.deep-impact

s302728@student.uq.edu.au (Ruediger Landmann) writes:

>: You can't think. You don't have a brain.
>Heh. The pot calling the kettle black, isn't it Grape Boy?

WTF is he doing in 'rec.radio.scanner' anyway?

2775. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: s302728@student.uq.edu.au
Message-ID: <6mienkcnl1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 156074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.romance.chinese,alt.language.spanish,alt.movies.deep-impact

WANKADIA PAUL SAPAL MICHAEL (wanpsm98@octarine.adfa.oz.au) wrote:

: WTF is he doing in 'rec.radio.scanner' anyway?

His new haunt, apparently. Not that he seems to post about scanners - just
makes lame attempts at throwing fuel on existing flamewars. Check DN for
the full story.

Sorry for the intrusion, r.r.s. readers!

2776. Trunk Trackers ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: strike@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <6miek4$1lq$1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 156075

I have a BC855xlt. I've been out of the hobby for a couple of years. I've been hearing about the
new trunk trackers radios. I was wondering if anbody knew how these trunk trackers worked. Also what
advantage do I have using a trunk tracker over my BC855 besids the obvious ? Lastly are there any new
features on the new scanners that would be worth getting a new scanner over ?
Thanks a lot !

2777. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mig7m$cf0@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156076

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

<snip>

>Yes, matter of fact, I do. Mackey's shoe size is
>5B, petite. In fact, he does better in women's
>styles than men's. Why, you mixing up some concrete
>or something? It won't take much. You can write it
>off as spillage......

I could pull out all the stops and honor him by letting him
join a foundation.

/Sal

2778. Re: Coolest thing heard on scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3591b2c4.12674855@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 156077

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 04:32:09 GMT, Adam Lane <alane@thegrid.net> wrote:

>The most interesting undercover cop phrase i've heard so far is...
>
>"The cat is in the litter box."
>
>A few officers had to confirm this...
>
>"Copy that. The cat is in the litter box."
>
>I really doubt they were surveilling a kitty.

I heard this exchange between a seargeant and one of his
officers under him-

sarge: "23 to 3"

officer: "3 responding, hold on a second, I got a cluster!"

sarge: "3, what the hell is a cluster?"

(long pause(

sarge: "answer my question- whats a cluster???!!"

officer: "you know, when you have too many things going on at once!"

I also like it when some guy sits on his microphone and you hear the
person on the base (dispatcher) hollering "someones got an open mic"
like the poor bastard thats keyed down is going to hear it!

Other cop isms- the cops always talk too damn soft, then whenever a
chase or something happens they're always overdeviated and near
unintelligible- if it wasnt for the motorolas(built in cop coping
circuitry), nobody would hear them!

-Mike

2779. Re: FS: Pro 2006 with the Works!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3593b542.13312442@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 156078

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 15:37:52 -0500, SWW <sww@connect.net> wrote:

>I have a mint Realistic Pro 2006 (in box) which has a nice bright
>display (the backlight is rarely turned on), cell mod, speed mod, and
>the following add ons:
>
> Installed Opto 456 (full dfmf and pl decode)
> Programmit Interface (installed and mounted on top
>of unit)
> Discriminator tap and mini plug output on the back
>of unit.
> Probe 4.0 Software (the best and fastest)
>
>This unit works perfectly an can scan with Probe upwards of 80 cps.
>Plugged in to your computers sound card it can do everything to decode
>posag to provide great sound through your computer speakers. It really
>is an incredible setup. I purchased it off this group about 2 months
>ago and it has worked beautifully. The only reason I'm selling it is
>because I picked up an R9000 very cheap.
>
Oh, so now you get to listen to the R9000 go "Clickety clack, clickety
clack" while it is scanning. Fun, isn't it.

-Mike

2780. Exact procedure for resetting scanner??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnny <johnny@netjava.net>
Message-ID: <358CC521.4A3E75A6@netjava.net>
Source record: 156079

I have a Radio Shack Pro 2032
Exactly HOW do I reset it? I know there's a button on the back... but when pressing, it doesn't seem to "lose" the freq's I have already put in there. What am I missing? Turning the radio Off and then On while holding in the reset button? What exactly.

Thanks,

Johnny

2781. Re: Just Updated!! - DRSG Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TraceyLevin <tracey12@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358CC8AE.49CF@swbell.net>
Source record: 156080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David Bate wrote:
>
> JUST UPDATED!! At the Durham Region Scanner Group's web site...
>
> Durham Region - new and updated items.
> Durham Regional Police Services - new information on dispatching zones
> and assignments.
> O.P.P. - updated information on dispatching zones and assignments.
> Marine - new information on VHF and HF channel assignments.
>
> This site deals with scanner, shortwave and space communications for the
> Durham Region and surrounding area.
>
> Please visit the DRSG web site at http://www3.sympatico.ca/david.bate
> --
> David R Bate Jr.

Put all the lastest decorders on your site, and youll have a lot of
traffic! Otherwise, youre just another scanner site.

--
Attention Conservatives!

I DO NOT RECOMMEND THESE ISPs!

Flashnet

Texasnet

GO STARR GO!!!

2782. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TraceyLevin <tracey12@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358CC7C5.1AE1@swbell.net>
Source record: 156081

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> John C. Harper wrote:
> > What the fuck does this
>
> PLEASE help us preserve this NG as a nice place & STOP
> the flaming. Specifically, your swearing & cursing is NOT needed!

What is not needed is you John. Your terrible manors on this newsgroup
have caused many a flame war here. You are not a net god. You are just
another user. When you get some power in your own life, maybe you will
stop trying to run the lives of others.
Wake up boy! This is not a play ground in your backyard. We all have
the freedom here to say what we want. If you don't like it, thats just
too damn bad.
Its time for you to grow up a little.

--
Attention Conservatives!

I DO NOT RECOMMEND THESE ISPs!

Flashnet

Texasnet

GO STARR GO!!!

2783. Re: Border patrol-FBI freq need.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TraceyLevin <tracey12@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358CC937.48C4@swbell.net>
Source record: 156082

Hector Reyes wrote:
>
> Hi i need help please.
>
> can anyone tell me where i can get freq. for border patrols FBI CIA
> please let me know for south california {san diego} or you can e-mail me
> at scape3000@aol
>
> thank you.

NO WAY! You might tell those illegal aliens what frequency to listen
to! But then again, how many illegals even know what a scanner is???!
"Will somebody pass me a taco?" "Where is my donkey?"
--
Attention Conservatives!

I DO NOT RECOMMEND THESE ISPs!

Flashnet

Texasnet

GO STARR GO!!!

2784. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TraceyLevin <tracey12@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358CC835.1C3@swbell.net>
Source record: 156083

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>
> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > I told you in another
> > post that I took you off to make you an
> > offer for a deal. Since then, I decided
>
> More crap.
>
> PLEASE, BILL CHEEK, stop your flaming & trouble making
> & let this newsgroup get back to topic. By doing so
> you would redeem yourself to the NG.

More crap is right!
Here again is the pot calling the kettle black!
John, leave Bill alone. He is far more interesting than you, and far more famous.
Grow up little boy.

--
Attention Conservatives!

I DO NOT RECOMMEND THESE ISPs!

Flashnet

Texasnet

GO STARR GO!!!

2785. Re: **BCT-12 BEARTRACKER GOOD**???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062109343201.FAA17929@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156084
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: **BCT-12 BEARTRACKER GOOD**???
>From: blade1@home.com (Robert)

>I am considering the purchase of a Uniden BCT-12 Bear tracker. The
>device claims to do some amazing things. What have been the
>findings out in the field among the people that own one?
>
>I would be interested to know 2 things.....the value of the unit to
>detect the presence of an imminent (traffic enforcement) contact, and
>its quality as a general scanner for the road.
>
I have had a BCT-7 - a BCT-10 - and a
BCT-12..... The BCT-10 was terrible !!!
The BCT-7 gets too many false alarms
(being a double conversion radio, it would
set off the alarm every time the local ham
repeater keyed up) altho its nice in the
respect that its somewhat programable.

I can not say enough GOOD thing about the
BCT-12 however..... it is a GREAT little
radio..... even has good sound for being
so darn small (the size of a radar detector)

Actually..... I run both the BCT-7 and the
BCT-12 in my car. I just disabled the
"beartracker" alarm in the BCT-7. Uniden
will tell you it can't be done (disarm the
alarm) but all you have to do is lockout
the extender frequencies.

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

2786. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: wanpsm98@octarine.adfa.oz.au
Message-ID: <wanpsm98.898422092@octarine>
Source record: 156085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.romance.chinese,alt.language.spanish,alt.movies.deep-impact

s302728@student.uq.edu.au (Ruediger Landmann) writes:

>: WTF is he doing in 'rec.radio.scanner' anyway?
>His new haunt, apparently.

Did the NNTP-Posting-Host: and Message-ID: lines look genuine?

>Not that he seems to post about scanners - just makes lame attempts at
>throwing fuel on existing flamewars.

For someone so close to being a net.kook, he's a pretty useless troll.

>Check DN for the full story.

'Tis where I found him posting in 'comp.dcom.modems.cable', of course.

>Sorry for the intrusion, r.r.s. readers!

{snrk}

2787. Re: 6 volt audio amp kit or module?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: grohe@galaxy.nsc.com
Message-ID: <358cde69.18050986@139.187.81.1>
Source record: 156086

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 02:40:13 GMT,
in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
acohn@oregon.uoregon.edu (cohn)
from University of Oregon, Eugene thoughtfully posted:

> I have a howl and distortion when the gain is
> turned at all up in my RS CB-60 analog UHF-VHF. I replaced the audio
> amplifier IC with no improvement so would like to bypass it with a
> high gain amp kit or module but am having trouble finding a 6 volt
> one.

What happens if you use an external speaker?

Some of those models had problems with microphonics. My "CB-9"
will "howl" if the volume is turned up on the VHF band. Replacing
the amplifier won't solve this, as it is a mechanical problem.

BTW: "Microphonics" is the phenomenon where the vibrations of the
speaker cause the local-oscillator coils to vibrate, causing the
frequency to shift slightly ("FM"). This "modulation" is then
detected and sent to the speaker, and the whole process starts
all over again (mechanical feedback).

While it is "howling", try tapping on the case. If you can hear
the "thumping" in the audio, then it is microphonic.

Try using a chop stick, or the eraser-end of a pencil, and tap
around the circuit board to find the "sweet spot". Most likely it
will be most sensitive around coils near the tuning cap.

All the LO coils should be covered in bee's wax by the factory.
Perhaps the "wax" has dried up or has been removed. If it has
been removed, try dripping some candle wax on any exposed coils.
Don't move or modify any of the coils, as you will change the
alignment of the radio.

If you are adamant about replacing the amplifier, the "good `ole"
LM386 would be ideal. It is available from Radio Shack.

http://www.national.com/ds/LM/LM386.pdf

Good Luck,
Paul Grohe

--

Paul Grohe National Semiconductor Corp.
Assoc. Analog Apps Engineer 2900 Semiconductor Drive

Standard Analog Apps Group Mail Stop C2693
mailto:grohe@galaxy.nsc.com Santa Clara, CA. 95052-8090 USA
Usenet Disclaimer: Any opinions expressed are mine, not NSC's
---

2788. Re: I need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Byrman <fbmac@ipr.nl>
Message-ID: <6mip21iqs1@newsfeed.news-service.com>
Source record: 156087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What would you say about ignoring this kind of folks...
Like that other Dutch guy... He's not worthy of ANY responce !(Turn the
other sheek, remember.)
What I did was, answerd the newbe giving him the adres of the webside were
all the freq's are on.

Randolf Pitchford heeft geschreven in bericht
<6mhpf8$8gt$2@camel19.mindspring.com>...
>"Byrman" <fbmac@ipr.nl> wrote:
>
>>"Gentleman",
>
>>Both are not fair, I must say.
>>"You Dutch" incluses me... and b.t.w. my wife is from Philly.
>>Must we now both give up our pasports, in the hope not to be part of any
>>"They","You...." or whatever. If you adres a person, ADRES A PERSON, not a
>>group.
>
>Sure, I agree with you, friend, but this rude fella promoted himself
>as being a spokesman for the entire Dutch people, so it's proper to
>address him in that role.
>
>If you disagreed with him, you had an opportunity to say so. You did
>not.
>
>Regards,
>Mr. Randolf Pitchford
>(the artist formerly known as "Cactus Jack")
>
>

2789. NEW POCSAG DECODER FEATURE SUMMARY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Umney <andrew@commtech.com.au>
Message-ID: <01bd9bf2$0e70b420$360000c0@andrew>
Source record: 156088
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager

Many thanks to all those people who contributed to my initial posting regarding the new POCSAG decoder software. The suggestions are greatly appreciated and I will hopefully be posting the first beta release at some stage over the weekend.

Most of the suggestions have / are currently being implemented. I needed to cull out the application specific suggestions, it all comes down to trying to please 95% of the people and I really need to create the features that will be most beneficial (some of these suggestions include re-encoding POCSAG as an output, encoding it into TAP and then sending out multiple paging messages etc). Please feel free to make these suggestions as they will eventually be implemented, just not in the first release.

Current Status of project is as follows;

Operates under a DOS platform and acquires data from the serial ports DTR line. This platform was chosen since it allows low end PC's to decode POCSAG - you do not want a Pentium 400MMX sitting there decoding POCSAG !

The software supports up to 10 simultaneous POCSAG inputs. I think that it would be very rare for a wide area paging company to support more than 10 frequencies in one city. Wide Area Paging in westen countries budgets about 30,000 alpha subscribers at 1200 bps per frequency (this gives us 300,000 subscribers) and about 2% market penetration (i.e the software should be able to support a city of 15,000,000 people) - numeric paging gets about 90,000 per channel.

Messages are displayed in real time at the base of the screen to give you a live stream of messages.

Each receivers can have it's own set of keywords and cap codes to search for. '?' may be used as wildcards. For example if you specify a cap code of ??????? then you will receive every message. If you specify 123???? then the cap codes must have 123 as the first 3 digits. You may specify up to 100 of these search parameters.

Statistics has been the main focus, escpically for paging carriers. Some of the statistics (on a per channel basis) include; Frame utilization (tells you what percantage of the traffic is address, message and idle codewords), POCSAG efficiency - this tells us if we need to increase our batching time on the POCSAG output, the frame utilizations tells us that we should allocate cap codes within certain frames to even out our paging traffic, type of paging traffic per channel (alpha, numeric & tone). Now we don't really know the difference between alpha and numeric so we make an educated guess.

All messages (that meet the filter requirements) are logged to the hard disk in comma delimated ASCII, should be easy enough for everyone.

Thats about it for now, please keep the suggestions coming.

Nathan Buzza

2790. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: hapticz <hapticz@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eQGM$2Qn9GA.49@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 156089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

why dont people just SHUT uP and listen !

kinda like the navy forces, then we could put tha kazoo to most of the fools and febrile minded authoritarians. ooooohh!!! the polititians will love people that only listen and do their bidding. i love public service too, it always revealed to me the true character of a larger segment of the observable community at least !!

best regards !!

2791. Re: Bc895xlt...JUNK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nomad <NOMAD@NOSPAM.COM>
Message-ID: <6mitugljh1@supernews.com>
Source record: 156090

My Scott!Like your limited vocabulary.....learned alot from
it.....

Scott wrote in message <358CA413.F0743121@alaska.net>...
>Just got my new 895 today and what a piece of shit. it's back in the box
>going to where I got it from. Turned it on and did a scan and store and
>90% of the freqs are static and birdies. Funny how my 200xlt gets cops
>and everyting else and all this fucker gets is garbage. If anyone knows
>why this thing is doing this let me know if not this fucker is gone.
>Scott..
>

2792. Re: Quick cleanup!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nomad <NOMAD@NOSPAM.COM>
Message-ID: <6mj0m7$e1e$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 156091

LOL........I agree Jack!That was the first thing I did is activate the filtering........what a blessing.

Jack wrote in message <35913a10.6855859@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...
>Wow, by adding a kill filter for "mackey" in the subject my newsreader
>is 421 headers lighter!

2793. Re: Let's extend The Great Liberty Net to 10-meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <358cfeea.2034445@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 156092

How many meters does it have now. Would adding more meters allow you
to readout more information at the same time and would that help?

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

2794. Re: Why the gaps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <358d010f.2583714@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 156093

"Kent W. Smith" <kents@nortel.com> wrote:

:It seems that most consumer grade scanners always have gaps in
:frequency coverage;
:
:55 - 107 mhz
:175 - 224
:513 - 805

Even the cheapest scanners cover 66 to 88 MHz because that is the
low VHF commercial band.

:Are these freqs just costly to implement on a scanner?
:Are these freqs used for something we're not supposed to hear?
:Are these freqs modulated in some way other than AM/NFM/WFM?
:
:I know that ICOM and others can cover these freqs, but Uniden/RS
:models always seem to leave them out. Is there any value in tuning
:these freqs for the average scanning enthusiast?

Police still use 78 and 79 MHz in some areas. 66 to 88 MHz is still
used extensivly for commercial services.

:Just curious & learning ...
:Kent

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

2795. Re: Bc895xlt...JUNK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mj17q$1cg@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156094

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 06:11:32 GMT, Scott <gscott@alaska.net> wrote:

>Just got my new 895 today and what a piece of shit. it's back in the box
>going to where I got it from. Turned it on and did a scan and store and
>90% of the freqs are static and birdies. Funny how my 200xlt gets cops
>and everyting else and all this fucker gets is garbage. If anyone knows
>why this thing is doing this let me know if not this fucker is gone.
>Scott..
>

He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He Ha
Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee heeeeeee
Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!You have got to be kidding!!!!

2796. Re: PRO-2004 $220 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mj18q$1cg@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156095

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 01:42:59 GMT, xhduff@ica.net (Hugh Duff) wrote:

>Radio Shack Pro-2004 scanner for sale.
>Backlighting a little dim otherwise in
>excellent condition... Looks and
>works great !
>25 - 520, 760 - 1300 MHz.
>AM/FMn/FMw
>$220 shipped.
>
>reply to hduff@ica.net
>(DO NOT AUTOreply)
>
>

He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He Ha
Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee heeeeeee
Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!You have got to be kidding!!!!

2797. Re: Rub Bill's nose in it Mackey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mj1h6$nl9$0@207.18.224.83>
Source record: 156096

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 02:12:58 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>pja wrote:
>> MACKEY must be taught a lesson. The whole newsgroup (and world) hate
>
>Who appointed YOU spokesman?
>
>

And I ask you the same question! You repeatedly state that
you are trying to do things for all the participants in the
newsgroup...Who appointed YOU spokesman?

I have no doubt that you will not reply with a straightforward
answer, you spend too much time polishing your netcop badge.

2798. Re: ICOM IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6mhjlq$ou8$1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 156097

Hmmm. Is it nice and balanced?
Does it say that the radio itself is very good, but that the software that comes with it is utter crap? And that you won't be able to use ANY other software without voiding your warranty?
Etc. etc. etc.

Mike

Bart escribi&#65533; en mensaje <3589B780.6AF6@ulster.net>...
>There is a Product Review on the ICOM IC-PCR-1000 in the July issue of
>QST magazine.

2799. For Sale: RS Pro-2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <brian_usenet@cizone.com>
Message-ID: <6k8j1.27$S2.1180205@news.en.com>
Source record: 156098

I'm selling an 80 channel (8 banks, 10 channels each + 10 temp. monitor channels) Radio Shack Pro-2030 base scanner (unmodified). It covers 29.7-54, 108-174, 380-512, 806-823.9375, 851-868.9375, and 896.1125-956 MHz. Includes the original box and manual. Excellent condition (not exposed to smoke), with the exception of a broken-off upper portion of the 3-unit telescoping antenna. This doesn't noticeably affect reception on almost all frequencies, and can easily be replaced with a low-cost replacement whip antenna or an external antenna. Scans at 50 channels/second.

Price: $160 US (I pay for shipping).

Email brian@cizone.com if interested.

--
Brian Landel -- brian_usenet@cizone.com

2800. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358e0ffe.385810762@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156099

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

sgambino@worldnet.att.net (Salvatore Gambino) wrote:

> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
> >Yes, matter of fact, I do. Mackey's shoe size is
> >5B, petite. In fact, he does better in women's
> >styles than men's. Why, you mixing up some concrete
> >or something? It won't take much. You can write it
> >off as spillage......
>
> I could pull out all the stops and honor him by letting him
> join a foundation.

Well, now that would be nice. They drag and
dredge the rivers every now and again, but
they don't break up foundations all that
often. I agree, a foundation would be a very
nice opportunity for the lad.

He'd be a great support that way.

2801. Re: Why the gaps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358f10eb.386047931@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156100

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Kent W. Smith" <kents@nortel.com> wrote:

> Thanx for the insightful reply.
>
> My RS Police Call book (1998) indicates a couple of things that
> seem to be relavent to your answer. With HDTV coming, the
> spectrum for what is currently used as analog TV will be
> re-allocated. In addition, the standard frequency spacing is
> slated to shrink as well.
>
> q: Will this render todays scanner obsolete in 2005?

I think today's scanners will be obsolete in seven
years for a variety of reasons. Just look at 'em
from seven years ago, fer Pete's Sake!

But there is a Catch-22. Seven years from now, the
government may have legislated scanners to oblivion.

That, and with more and more services moving their
communications needs to satellite, digital, cellular,
PCS, and such, there will be less and less to
monitor. Maybe a few old baby monitors.....

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2802. Re: Why the gaps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359011f7.386315522@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156101

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au (Barry O'Grady) wrote:

> Even the cheapest scanners cover 66 to 88 MHz because that is the
> low VHF commercial band.

Not in the USA. Our VHF-Lo band is 30-50 MHz.
You must be in Australia..... but, yes, transpose
the two bands, depending on your location, and
the effect or result is still the same.

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

2803. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35961820.5829477@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>noticed that on some Firefighter newsgroups they have the same problem. I am a
>scanner hoddiest and an ham radio operator. But some scanner chasers get in the
>way of a fire scene, its ok to goto a emergency scene as long as you are not in
>there way. And we see that alot and a friend of mine who writes for a scanner

Although in my city it is illegal to have a scanner in the car, it is not enforced. I have one anyway, and I use it to stay out of the way of the fire trucks and ambulances. I would reckon that on the average, maybe twice a week I'm able to avoid a trouble spot because I've heard the fire call. I know about where all the stations are, and if I hear a call for station 6, I know they will be coming down the street in front of the house, so I go around the block.

2804. Re: BC3000xlt Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Usuckmeof4free@juno.com
Message-ID: <358d1543.4980502@news.flink.com>
Source record: 156103

On 16 Jun 1998 23:22:49 GMT, ghs521@aol.com (GHS521) wrote:

>>You can leave it plugged in if you can take the battery out of it to
>>prevent overcharging.
>
>the wal-mart charger plugs right into the battery on a 3000xlt,
>so you can't remove battery.
>
>Greg

I think He means take the 2 screws out of the battery case and remove
the battery inside it. It looks like an old 49mhz cordless phone
battery.

http://www.flink.com/users/unholey/index.htm

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: 2.6.2

iQCVAwUBNJicaMuHmRYQvlydAQGI9wP9GWl6OYbyqEUJpXHlRjMDsEoWYZhnF+vQ
ONZ5hxnCz+3yUby7pm0HITelLBPyIxvdAlcUgN+NnjlIf4lD9+uUsEQQ0jzmE1cU
M7orcaQ5VbwaAeaagdPj9wA3N0Y4y36GegtuJe+KqTCvbmGs/lhAdj9amtz4wiim
dMz46/yLXl8=
=Uimx
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

http://www.pgp.com/

2805. Re: WTF? Can't you retards like, post useful shit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3591131e.386611077@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156104

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

drgrant@ziplink.net wrote:

> I know im guilty myself, with this post...

Cool. At least we don't have get
into that right now. Good.

> but honestly, this stuff is getting insane.

Why? You must have chosen to read it?
I mean, nobodu forced you, right?

> I've run into about 3 or 4 threads of useful
> discussion on the group. The rest is about
> cheek and/or mackey.

Ohhhh, then your ISP's news server must not be
very good. There are lots of good threads here.
If your ISP doesn't provide them, you need to
lodge a complain there. Not here.

> The exchange of rhetoric and bullshit between
> them accounts for 85% of the posts in the newsgroup?

Well, it fluctuates actually. Kind of like the
stock market. Mackey gets weirded out at certain
times of the month, and fantasizes about being
some kind of a Great Messiah to the newsgroups.
He makes confessions; sobers up; retracts the
confessions; and then hurls invectives around.

He's a lot like a housefly. You know, eats
manure and bothers people.......

> Why don't they just get their own newsgroup
> called "rec.flamewar.with.cheek.and.mackey" or
> better yet they should just whine at each other by
> email and stay the fuck off usenet!!!

I don't know why they don't do that. You'll
have to ask each one, individually. I'm sure
there are a variety of reasons. In my case, I

have Mackey filtered out at my e-mail server.
He talks and acts differently in e-mail as
opposed to the public forums....and then lies
about it.....so any interaction I have with him
has to be in public so he can't lie and make up
stories about it.

Ferinstance, he used to hit on me big time by
e-mail with all kinds of lewd and perverted
propositions....but in the newsgroups, he denies
being a pervert. He even got drunk the other day
and bared his soul and 'fessed up to some real
perverted stuff. Then he sobered up and retracted
it. It's best the public see him for what he is.

YOU try rapping with him by e-mail and see for
yourself.

> Now, back to scanners..... Who here owns
> ratshack #20-014... I'm scraping up some change
> to put one up.... how does this badboy work
> in different bands?

It's good for the popular scanner bands. It isn't
good for flat coverage of 25 - 1300 MHz. It has
peaks for 30-50 MHz, 108-178 MHz, 400-512 MHz,
and maybe one for 800 MHz. Within those bands,
it will work fine.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2806. Scrambled Sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOSOX <savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9d20$af9788e0$374006d1@savy1>
Source record: 156105

Is there a way to unscramble the donald duck sound that comes from the cordless phones freqs?

TIA

2807. Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOSOX <savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9d21$0fa512c0$374006d1@savy1>
Source record: 156106

Can someone tell me what the best scanner software is for the opto 456
board?
Also if someone knows the current version of scancat gold for windows??

TIA

2808. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EuwJxE.L9n@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156107

On Fri, 19 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Dong Tong Wah wrote:
> SNIP
>
> Hi Bill!
>
> You really should do better when trying to forge posts!
>
>
> Your IP address, posting host, & other header info is all
> the same.

It's past "one minute after midnight" and you are still posting flames and off topic crap. It is not obvious to all that you (YOU, Mack-hole) are not the slightest bit interested in cleaning up the newsgroup.

You have proven yourself to be America's #1 Liar... You friggin' loon!

2809. Re: Yo? Mackey? I want you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EuwJzC.LAo@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156108

On Fri, 19 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:
> SNIP
>
> The troubls makers will latch on to ANYTHING if they want to make
> trouble! :(

Pay attention here, kiddies: This is the Voice of Experience speaking!!
Mack-hole knows all there is to know about making trouble!!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2810. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EuwK1K.LBq@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156109

On Fri, 19 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Richard Kendrick wrote:
> > As an expert in wasting bandwidth, you would know better than anyone.
>
> I can't even compare to you as you waste far MORE than I !

Mack-hole... Do you ever THINK before you write? Or do you just spout the
first thing that pops into your head?

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2811. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EuwK5x.LCt@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156110

On Fri, 19 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> > Please help in stopping the flaming on this newsgroup!
>
> Would you be willing to join me on this endeaver?

2812. Re: San Diego frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3592175a.387694351@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156111

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

fire.chief@warpgate.com (Fire Chief) wrote:

> kelly, your best bet is to call the Alien Biker Kat BBS
> at (619) 277-4140 in Linda Vista, or the 9-1-1 BBS at
> (619) 441-9679 in El Cajon. You'll find all the freq
> lists you'll ever want at either system.

<shudder> Hey, Joe, nothing against these BBS's
as they're probably the best of the bunch that's
still left around, but <shudder> BBS's are now
like 2-holer outhouses in the winter with a
Rears & Sawbuck catalog and a bucketful of last
year's corncobs.....compared to a nice warm
modern 2 bathroom with padded seats and Scott tissue.

Before I shut down my BBS over a year ago, it
got to where I couldn't stand to log onto it.
And I liked mine better than any others.....

<groan> BBS's definitely served their purpose and
held their own for many years. Hell, they were
the ONLY way for a long time to be a part of an
on-line community. But now? <shudder> <g>

You don't suppose either of the above BBS's support
TELNET access, do you? Now that might be a passable
compromise for the hard core Internetters nowadays.

Gawd, Joe, I'd have to scrounge around here to even
find a modem....and no telling what's set up
housekeeping in it, if I found one.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2813. Re: WTF? Can't you retards like, post useful shit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John C. Harper" <harp269@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358D2022.D0BBCC7D@swbell.net>
Source record: 156112

Now wait-a-minit. You snuck scanner stuff in a Cheek/Mackey post. What a ceap trick. If this keeps up I'm going back to alt.gossip

drgrant@ziplink.net wrote:
>
> I know im guilty myself, with this post... but honestly, this stuff is
> getting insane. I've run into about 3 or 4 threads of useful
> discussion on the group. The rest is about cheek and/or mackey. The
> exchange of rhetoric and bullshit between them accounts for 85% of the
> posts in the newsgroup? Why don't they just get their own newsgroup
> called "rec.flamewar.with.cheek.and.mackey" or better yet they
> should just whine at each other by email and stay the fuck off
> usenet!!!
>
> Now, back to scanners..... Who here owns ratshack #20-014... I'm
> scraping up some change to put one up.... how does this badboy work
> in different bands?
>
> -Mike
> (whos contemplating decorating his yard with 20-014s as he has
> multiple scanners)

2814. Re: Don't ask anything MACKEY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: gumballs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6mj48d$p3d$1@newsd-161.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 156113

some people like to ask scanner questions and some people like to get
people gabbing about nothing, to each his own

2815. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Usuckmeof4free@juno.com
Message-ID: <358d2630.9313802@news.flink.com>
Source record: 156114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 18:56:10 -0700, "Mr. WireHead"
<wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote:

>
>
>Frustrated wrote:
>
>> The constant flaming,name calling, and blame placing are not doing the hobby
>> any good at all, I mean say one public officials aid or secretary was given
>> the task to do some research on scanner listeners and of course they would
>> come to the internet. and then to the 2 scanner newsgroups, gee it does not
>> take a rocket scientist to figure out what kind of impression they would get
>> with the current situation.
>>
>
>Reality Check ...
>
>Unfortunately, the government will take what they want from us regardless of
>behavior. Cruise on over to rec.guns and see for yourself. That group is the
>most polite, helpful and civilized discussion group I've ever participated in
>and look at what's happening the gun owners rights ! The government will do what
>it wants regardless of the shananigans going here or any other place for that
>matter.

Agreed, the government has gotten so poor in the past few years you
almost can't even distinguish it from any socialist country.

2816. Air Show Frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Stroup <cstroup@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <358D25CD.ACF144C3@ionet.net>
Source record: 156115

Does anyone happen to have frequencies for the OKC air show. If not does anyone have any tips of where or how to find them. I've tried scanning the civil air bands but haven't had any luck. Any advice or tips would be greatly appreciated.

Chris

cstroup@ionet.net

2817. flame wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry <arizonaoffroad@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <358D2895.6AA6@geocities.com>
Source record: 156116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

these newsgroups are the worst i have seen for all the flame wars. it looks like mackey, cheek and others have nothing better to do with their lives than spend time posting all the bullshit.

you are also wasting other subscriber's time by having to wade through all your ranting and raving about nothing.

GROW UP!!!!!!!!

2818. Re: flame wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062115483700.LAA07745@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156117

In article <358D2895.6AA6@geocities.com>, Barry <arizonaoffroad@geocities.com>
writes:

>these newsgroups are the worst i have seen for all the flame wars. it
>looks like mackey, cheek and others have nothing better to do with their
>lives than spend time posting all the bullshit.

Try the ham radio newsgroups. Makes Mackey vs Cheek look like a church picnic
by comparison.

2819. Re: Pennsylvania frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: drickch19d@sgi.net
Message-ID: <358d2b18.316004442@news.sgi.net>
Source record: 156118

On 20 Jun 1998 15:20:43 GMT, ajtc475@aol.com (AJTC475) wrote:

>I am going to be travelling through Pa. and I was wondering if the state
>highway patrol has gone to a statewide trunked radio system and if so what the
>frequencies might be. Also will be travelling through the Allegheny Forest area
>if anyone has any frequencies for this area as well. Thanks to all that reply.

The PA State Police aka PSP were to move to a combined Astro digital system.
This system is under review due to the HIGH COST of such a system, in excess of
the $185 MILLION budgeted.

Plans call for either moving to standard analog 800MHz TRS, or more likely, an
improvement in the present systems.

Detailed PA State frequency utilization can be found on my web page, URL below.

I am Disallusioned Spice, Ginger Spice leaves the Spice Girls 5/31/98.

** Remove d's in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
Western PA Scanner Mailing List -
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/wpascanl.htm
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/spice.htm
---
"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2820. Re: Pennsylvania frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062115524800.LAA08098@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156119

In article <358d2b18.316004442@news.sgi.net>, drickch19d@sgi.net (Remove D's to email) writes:

>The PA State Police aka PSP were to move to a combined Astro digital system.
>This system is under review due to the HIGH COST of such a system, in excess
>of
>the $185 MILLION budgeted.
>
>Plans call for either moving to standard analog 800MHz TRS, or more likely,
>an
>improvement in the present systems.

'Bout time the public gets wise to the waste and inefficiency of these systems. Not to mention the obvious intention of public service agencies trying to hide their activities from those who pay their salaries. Josef Stalin would have loved such an arrangement.

2821. Re: Scrambled Sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doucette <paradiddle7@usa.net>
Message-ID: <898444419.424592@michelob>
Source record: 156120

BOSOX wrote in message <01bd9d20$af9788e0$374006d1@savy1>...
> Is there a way to unscramble the donald duck sound that comes from the
>cordless phones freqs?
>
>TIA
>

Yep,.....CTP has a special descrambler for speech inversion, thats what you
are hearing check em out at:
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
I haven't tryed them, id be interested to see if they actually werk,...
73's
Jeff

2822. Re: Why the gaps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062116094800.MAA13660@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156121
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>
Subject: Re: Why the gaps ?
>From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
>Date: Sun, Jun 21, 1998 09:59 EDT
>Message-id: <358f10eb.386047931@news2.cts.com>
>
>"Kent W. Smith" wrote:
>
>> Thanx for the insightful reply.
>>
>> My RS Police Call book (1998) indicates a couple of things that
>> seem to be relavent to your answer. With HDTV coming, the
>> spectrum for what is currently used as analog TV will be
>> re-allocated. In addition, the standard frequency spacing is
>> slated to shrink as well.
>>
>> q: Will this render todays scanner obsolete in 2005?
>
>I think today's scanners will be obsolete in seven
>years for a variety of reasons. Just look at 'em
>from seven years ago, fer Pete's Sake!
>
>But there is a Catch-22. Seven years from now, the
>government may have legislated scanners to oblivion.
>
>That, and with more and more services moving their
>communications needs to satellite, digital, cellular,
>PCS, and such, there will be less and less to
>monitor. Maybe a few old baby monitors.....
>
>---------------------------------------------- ----
>Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
>COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
>Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
>bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
>http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
>---------------------------------------------- ---
>
>

True Bill, but in the hinterland, we may still have a thing or two to
listen to on our moldy scanners...
I notice that once 95% of Illinois left 39.5, Ford County (not a big or
```

rich sheriff's dept.) stayed on it, because withoout everybody in the state using it, it works a bunch better than any million dollar trunking system... Indiana still has a ton of traffic on the ancient 154.830/155.370 mobile/base split freq. combo. Got some skip in the other night and listened to a storm go clean across the state on those two channels...
Old low tech stuff will hang on for ESDAs & volunteer fire depts. for a long time too. As the bands become less crowded, the comms will become better for those left with "obsolete junk". Wide open spaces & not much money to spend will leave some bones for us to pick over for a long time to come......Granted you live in a high-tech salesman's heaven there, with probably every little burg looking to outshine LAPD for neat toys. Which is cool, but not universal.

Neutrodyne

2823. Pro 43 for Mil bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: history67@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062116261300.MAA11309@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156122

Can a Pro 43 be modified to recieve on the millitary band block?
How about 220mhz?

TIA
Sean

>>>>>" It's Never too late to be what you might have been"<<<<<<
Elliot

" It's Never enough until your Heart Stops Beating"
new order

2824. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER FEATURE SUMMARY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lblant1@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <6mjd3c$50o@sjx-ixn11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156123
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager

I don't mean to be a grouch.....but the application POCFLEX has already been released, and it supports most of these features listed PLUS it decodes the Flex paging protocol using a standard hamcom interface

Since most paging networks are quickly migrating away from POCSAG......why solely develop for it? How about buidling upon the initial Flex decoding application!!!

See http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/4039/ for more information on the POCFLEX decoding application.

-Lb
lblant1@airmail.net
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1

Andrew Umney wrote in message <01bd9bf2$0e70b420$360000c0@andrew>...
>Many thanks to all those people who contributed to my initial posting
>regarding the new POCSAG decoder software. The suggestions are greatly
>appreciated and I will hopefully be posting the first beta release at some
>stage over the weekend.
>
>Most of the suggestions have / are currently being implemented. I needed
>to cull out the application specific suggestions, it all comes down to
>trying to please 95% of the people and I really need to create the features
>that will be most beneficial (some of these suggestions include re-encoding
>POCSAG as an output, encoding it into TAP and then sending out multiple
>paging messages etc). Please feel free to make these suggestions as they
>will eventually be implemented, just not in the first release.
>
>Current Status of project is as follows;
>
>Operates under a DOS platform and acquires data from the serial ports DTR
>line. This platform was chosen since it allows low end PC's to decode
>POCSAG - you do not want a Pentium 400MMX sitting there decoding POCSAG !
>
>The software supports up to 10 simultaneous POCSAG inputs. I think that it
>would be very rare for a wide area paging company to support more than 10
>frequencies in one city. Wide Area Paging in westen countries budgets
>about 30,000 alpha subscribers at 1200 bps per frequency (this gives us
>300,000 subscribers) and about 2% market penetration (i.e the software
>should be able to support a city of 15,000,000 people) - numeric paging
>gets about 90,000 per channel.
>
>Messages are displayed in real time at the base of the screen to give you a

>live stream of messages.
>
>Each receivers can have it's own set of keywords and cap codes to search
>for. '?' may be used as wildcards. For example if you specify a cap code
>of ??????? then you will receive every message. If you specify 123????
>then the cap codes must have 123 as the first 3 digits. You may specify up
>to 100 of these search parameters.
>
>Statistics has been the main focus, escpically for paging carriers. Some
>of the statistics (on a per channel basis) include; Frame utilization
>(tells you what percantage of the traffic is address, message and idle
>codewords), POCSAG efficiency - this tells us if we need to increase our
>batching time on the POCSAG output, the frame utilizations tells us that we
>should allocate cap codes within certain frames to even out our paging
>traffic, type of paging traffic per channel (alpha, numeric & tone). Now
>we don't really know the difference between alpha and numeric so we make an
>educated guess.
>
>All messages (that meet the filter requirements) are logged to the hard
>disk in comma delimated ASCII, should be easy enough for everyone.
>
>Thats about it for now, please keep the suggestions coming.
>
>
>Nathan Buzza

2825. Re: Regency Scanners available again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg & Jennie Brown <lipstix@cdsnet.net>
Message-ID: <358D373E.5F423D53@cdsnet.net>
Source record: 156124

If they reissue the old HX1000, sign me up. This was absolutely the greatest scanner for listening to railroads that has ever been constructed.

2826. Re: Regency Scanners available again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg & Jennie Brown <lipstix@cdsnet.net>
Message-ID: <358D3712.AAD6A327@cdsnet.net>
Source record: 156125

If they reissue the old HX1000, sign me up. This is absolutely the greatest scanner for listening to railroads that hasever been constructed.

2827. Re: Trunker 3.7 ID help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6mje39$1tuo$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 156126

Deforger wrote in message
<1998062022523600.SAA24779@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>I have Trunker 3.7 up and running.I am tracking the NYCTA Motorola
>system.I
>need help with the ID's.Take for instance the the ID# 79e1. The 79e
is the
>radio ID.The 1 is the talkgroup system.Day to Day it some times
changes
>79e7.79e6 and it (Trunker) fails to ID the radio.Do i have to enter
every code
>79e1& up or is there a way to enter just the radio ID and trunker
will do the
>checking for the talk group system.
>
>Thanks in advance
>Robert
>DeForger@aol.com

Try subscribing to TRUNKCOM and asking your questions there. You'll have a better chance of having someone who actually knows something giving you an answer, and the flame wars are not permitted there.

As for your immediate problem, you're reading the hex code backwards. The 7 is the block number, and the 1 is the status bit (group, emergency, patch, etc.). When you first figure out the identity of a Group, you use the "G" key to enter whatever hex ID is showing and set the name of the group. Afterward, when you identify individual units within that Group, use the "I" key to enter the hex ID and set the identity of that unit. This does not change the Group information that you have programmed already.

73 de Dave, NF2G
nf2g@ggw.org
NF2G Scannist Pages at www.ggw.org/nf2g
NF2G Scannist BBS at http://www.ggw.org:8080/~6/

2828. BC210 resistor value?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: adam@panix.com
Message-ID: <6mjfdn$o0t@panix3.panix.com>
Source record: 156127

I'm trying to repair a Bearcat 210 which has no audio output. I've traced the problem back to an open resistor but unfortunately it's toasted beyond the point where I can read the value. With the radio face forward and upside down (i.e the component side of the board face up), the resistor is located on the left rear edge of the board, between the external speaker RCA connector and a 22 uF capacitor. The resistor appears to be labeled ECB on the PC board. Can anybody tell me the value of this resistor?

The PC board is labeled as follows:

(C) 1976
ELECTRA CO
PC-60-V0

-and-

BD2210U

-Thanx
-Adam (adam@panix.com)

2829. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: eclarke1@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <358e49ac.45529897@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 156128

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 01:07:06 -0500, Daniel Hartung
<danno7@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Howard wrote:
>>
>> Their web site address is a tricky one:
>>
>> http://www.radioshack.com
>>
>> Who would have guessed :-)
>>
>> It lists their specials and you can order on-line.
>>
>> I hope this helps!
>>
>> TheLibNet wrote:
>>
>> > In article <358d663c.492000@news.mia.bellsouth.net>,
>> > kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net (Jack) writes:
>> >
>> > >
>> > >If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
>> > >time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
>> > >marked at $100, the pro-67 was at like $60 and my favorite was the
>> > >pro-508 for $10.99! Been wanting to dedicate a scanner to my favorite
>> > >mdt, and for under $12 it can't be beat!!!!
>> >
>> > Is there a Web site anywhere that tells which scanners are available at which
>> > stores?
>Talk about an impossible web site at radio Shack!
>I could not find any notices about sales or prices.
>any help?

I sure have not seen any information on the radio shack web site...
frankly I don't even know why they have a site up at all!
--
Elbert Clarke
eclarke1@mindspring.com

2830. Re: ICOM IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <mcdermon@MCI2000.com>
Message-ID: <m9cj1.108$I9.118034@pm01nn>
Source record: 156129

A while back, someone posted a message (or was it on the pcr-1000@qth.net mail list?) saying they had successfully removed the EEPROM, made a copy of it, put the copy in the radio, and it worked fine. Anyone out there got capability to make a copy of the X24C01A EEPROM chip for a small fee? If so, email me... I'd love to have mine backed up to a couple of spare EEPROMs so I could experiment with it and not worry about having to send it back to Icom again...

I own an old EPROM burner, but it does not appear to support the X24C01A chip...

Any saints out there? <smile>

John McDermon, KE4VAL

2831. FOR SALE: Uniden Bearcat BCT-7 Highway Patrol Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: lordviper@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <358d508d.326004@news2.new-york.net>
Source record: 156130

This is a fully loaded scanner that covers all (legal) frequencies including 800 mhz and Aircracft. Still in the original packaging and with all the accessories.

Here is a summary:

Highway Patrol Alert
Highway Patrol Scan
Local/County Police Scan
DOT, News & Fire Scan
CB Monitor & Weather Scan
12 Bands with 800 MHz
100 User-Prog. Channels
One-Touch Band Search
AC/DC Operation
Mobile Mounting Kit

Features:

Highway Patrol Alert - Alerts you when you are within 3 miles of a highway patrol unit using a mobile extender.

12 Band Coverage - Including 800 MHz, all public service bands, "Ham" Bands, Federal and Military Land Mobile Bands plus Aircraft and Weather Channel.

100 Channels - Program to your choice of frequencies.

Turbo Scan - This lightning-fast technology enables the BCT-7 to scan and search nearly 100 channels or steps per second. The BCT-7 scans about five times faster than any standard scanner sold in the United States.

LCD Display - Nine digits LCD with back light display for night visibility.

Private Channels - With just the touch of a button you can automatically scan 25 programmed private channels.

Highway Patrol & Police Channels - Automatically scans highway patrol and police channels and your choice of 25 programmed channels.

Fire/News Channels - Automatically scans fire, emergency and news channels and your choice of 15 programmed channels.

State-By-State Scanning - Use the state key to select the two letter abbreviation for the state you are traveling in and the BCT-7 will start scanning the highway patrol frequencies

stored for that state.

WX Channels - Automatically scans all NOAA weather channels and 2 canadian coast guard channels.

Protection - Helps to advise you against all forms of speed measurement including Radar, VASCAR, Airplane, Clocking and Laser.

Lockout Channels - This feature allows you to skip channels you don't want to hear (works for PRIVATE, POLICE, FIRE/EMG, HWY, DOT, FIRE and NEWS channels).

Band Search - The BCT-7 can scan almost 30,000 frequencies and has 12 separate band search capabilities and CB channels (1-40).

Mute - Provides audio mute function when others in vehicle do not want to be disturbed.

Memory Protection - The BCT-7 comes with a memory back-up system that remembers your state selection, frequency selections and deletions

Accessories - Includes DC cord, mounting kit, cigarette lighter plug, mobile antenna, AC adapter, telescopic antenna and a Frequency Starter Guide.

This is an amazing scanner but i don't really need it. It is brand new and has never been used. Make me an offer ($100 at least) and the top one will get it. I will cover shipping!

E-Mail: <lordviper@bigfoot.com>

2832. Re: Pro 43 for Mil bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358f5636.403792371@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156131

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

history67@aol.com (History67) wrote:

> Can a Pro 43 be modified to recieve on the millitary band block?

No. It already receives Mil-Air, 220-512 MHz, continuous.
So celebrate!

> How about 220mhz?

220-MHz and up, it already gets....stock. The ONLY
freq mods for the PRO-43, date code 3A4 and prior,
are cellular and 66-88 MHz. And shortwave, from about
8 MHz to 30 MHz.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2833. Re: flame wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359158a9.404419257@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156132

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

thelibnet@aol.com (TheLibNet) wrote:

> In article <358D2895.6AA6@geocities.com>, Barry <arizonaoffroad@geocities.com>
> writes:
>
> >these newsgroups are the worst i have seen for all the flame wars. it
> >looks like mackey, cheek and others have nothing better to do with their
> >lives than spend time posting all the bullshit.
>
> Try the ham radio newsgroups. Makes Mackey vs Cheek look like a church picnic
> by comparison.

What makes you think this isn't
a church picnic? <g>

2834. Re: flame wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359056f2.403980666@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156133

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Barry <arizonaoffroad@geocities.com> wrote:

> these newsgroups are the worst i have seen
> for all the flame wars.

Really? Wow, you must live in a tree.

> it looks like mackey, cheek and others have
> nothing better to do with their lives than spend
> time posting all the bullshit.

Hmmmm. YOU must have nothing better to do
than kibitz and blow Bronx cheers....

> you are also wasting other subscriber's time

How? Subscribers might waste their own time.
There is no way on earth you can waste mine
unless I LET you. So you must LET others waste
yours, I guess. They sure can't force it on you.

> by having to wade through
> all your ranting and raving about nothing.

Oh that? That's YOUR choice, pal. You don't have
to wade through anything you don't want. Learn how
to use your own computer and to become master of
your own domain, and then you will be in full control
and not UNDER control by others.

Of course, if you WANT to be a puppet, that's okay,
too. It is a free country here. You can choose
to control or BE controlled. No one cares either
way, so do what you want.

> GROW UP!!!!!!!!

Yes, you should. It starts with learning how to
control your own computer so that it doesn't
bite you on the arse when you're not looking. I'd
kick the living shit outa my computer if it did to
me what yours does to you.

Whoooooeeeeey, that'd make me downright mad. But take it out on your computer where the blame rests; not on poor little Mackey or me. That computer of yours just ain't behaving right and apparently it done bit you on the butt, so don't put up with that. Beat the tar out of it and make it behave,

Y'hear?

2835. Re: Scrambled Sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dhcook@rev.net
Message-ID: <358d55c2.242376555@news.rev.net>
Source record: 156134

On 21 Jun 1998 14:23:04 GMT, "BOSOX" <savy@ma.ultranet.com> wrote:

> Is there a way to unscramble the donald duck sound that comes from the
>cordless phones freqs?

I have no interest in listening to cordless phones, so I haven't heard
that sound. Since you describe it as "Donald Duck" I suspect that it
is a single-sideband suppressed carrier signal or possibly ACSB.

- Dale
C.E., WDRL-TV, Roanoke/Lynchburg VA

2836. Re: Why the gaps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358d4f78.402066346@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156135

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne) wrote:

> >I think today's scanners will be obsolete in seven
> >years for a variety of reasons. Just look at 'em
> >from seven years ago, fer Pete's Sake!
> >
> >But there is a Catch-22. Seven years from now, the
> >government may have legislated scanners to oblivion.
> >
> >That, and with more and more services moving their
> >communications needs to satellite, digital, cellular,
> >PCS, and such, there will be less and less to
> >monitor. Maybe a few old baby monitors.....

> True Bill, but in the hinterland, we may still have a thing or two to
> listen to on our moldy scanners...
> I notice that once 95% of Illinois left 39.5, Ford County (not a big or
> rich sheriff's dept.) stayed on it, because withoout everybody in the state
> using it, it works a bunch better than any million dollar trunking system...

I have no doubt you're right. Good thing
for solid state radios now....that can
last a long time as opposed to the vacuum
tube rigs that have a definite lifespan.

So since the equipment will last a long
time now, I'm sure uses for it will be found
and passed along to the highest bidders at
first, and then maybe around the swap meets
and hamfests. So, yeah, you're right for
the situation out in the boondocks and tulies.

And....to some extent, in the Big City, but
here, people gotta keep up with the Joneses
and so there won't be a lot coming down on
39.5 MHz or its equivalents. Some, I'm sure.
But enough to support a hobby?

And that's the part that will affect you guys
out there in the sticks. Uniden and Radio
Schloque will not manufacture radios for your
market....it's too small. A minimum production

run at Uniden used to be 5,000 units, but I think
it's 20,000 now. Even so, guess how far 5,000
units of something would stretch around Rural
America, much less 20,000.

5,000 could be snarfed up in one Big City, but
it could take many states of Rural America to
eat 5,000 somethings. So THAT is your problem,
more than what there is to monitor.

> Indiana still has a ton of traffic on the ancient 154.830/155.370
> mobile/base split freq. combo. Got some skip in the other night and listened to
> a storm go clean across the state on those two channels...
> Old low tech stuff will hang on for ESDAs & volunteer fire depts. for a
> long time too. As the bands become less crowded, the comms will become better
> for those left with "obsolete junk". Wide open spaces & not much money to spend
> will leave some bones for us to pick over for a long time to come......Granted
> you live in a high-tech salesman's heaven there, with probably every little
> burg looking to outshine LAPD for neat toys. Which is cool, but not universal.

So you'll pretty well be forced to use old scanners,
too. (Old by then) Which should be no skin off
your nose for the most part, but you'll be in an
ever decreasing minority of hobbyists more than likely.

But like Hank Williams Jr. sings:

"A country boy can survive."

You'll survive. We in the megapolis might not.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2837. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358e55b7.403665206@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156136

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu> wrote:

> Mack-hole... Do you ever THINK before you write? Or do you just spout the
> first thing that pops into your head?

What head?

You mean that pustule that sits
betwixt his shoulder blades?

Ooops, snakes don't have shoulders.
Well, you know what I mean.....

2838. Re: A Deal for Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D570F.574B@parg.org>
Source record: 156137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Mackey, here is THE deal of a lifetime for you:
> 1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
> 2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
> 3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
> I don't make references or insinuations about you.
> 4. You don't even THINK about me.
> I don't even THINK about you.

Add the following & you have a DEAL!

5. No flaming, attacking, or nasty messages posted on the
newsgroup of rec.radio.scanner.
6. No posting of messages intended to result in financial
personal gain.

Bill, I've offered you similar plans beofre & you either balk or
respond with refusal. This time, there is no need for response.
Your actions, not your words, will indicate your
cooperation. You don't have to respond if you don't want to.

Have a nice Father's Day Bill!

2839. Re: One More Time Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D5A17.2C08@parg.org>
Source record: 156138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Mackey...here is the deal:
> 1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
> 2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
> 3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
> I don't make references or insinuations about you.
> 4. You don't even THINK about me.
> I don't even THINK about you.

Just add these 2 & your have a deal!

5. No flames, nasty messages, or attacks on
rec.radio.scanner.
6. No posts intended to result in personal financial
gain on rec.radio.scanner.

2840. Re: Pro 43 for Mil bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: yosfrqdave@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6mjj8b$6is$1@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 156139

My pro 43s gets 220 - 512 mhz. as stated on back w/ date of 1A4 and 11A2
But what I'm looking for is someone to mod. one of myn.
The mods I am looking to have done is 200 extra ch. or more and extended
coverag w/ cell.
I have seen these mods. at http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods.html
If anyone can do these I'm varry introsted!
Please email me back.

THANK
DAVE

2841. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D5CF6.3C72@parg.org>
Source record: 156140

John T. Arthur wrote:
> It's past "one minute after midnight" and you are still

Sorry, according to my computer it was about 5 mins BEFORE midnight.
Perhaps you should talk to your ISP & chaeck the time on
your server.

2842. Dallas Ft. Worth Notification network in place !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lblant1@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <6mjmio$fu2@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello everyone,

For scanner listeners in the Dallas Ft Worth Metroplex, a new incident notification network has been put into place using ICQ. We could consider this the first step to getting true incident notifcation started for the DFW area. . See http://members.tripod.com/~B_Adair/ for information on who is on the list so far, and how to add you self (It takes about 5 minutes, and is FREE)

Hope to see you there
-Lindsay Blanton
lblant1@airmail.net
The Dallas Ft Worth Scanner Listeners Homepage
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw.htm

2843. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D5E00.A0B@parg.org>
Source record: 156142

Bill Cheek wrote:

> 1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
> 2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
> 3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
> I don't make references or insinuations about you.
> 4. You don't even THINK about me.
> I don't even THINK about you.

Add these two things & you have a deal!
5. No flames, attacks, or nasty messages by either party.
6. No posts intended to produce financial gain .

2844. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D5D28.6C80@parg.org>
Source record: 156143

George Vetterle wrote:
> You're the only one saying you didn't write it.

Other's have also acknowledged that I did not write it.

2845. WTB: Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark D. Sale" <highway101@home.com>
Message-ID: <358D5E82.9C15E7A5@home.com>
Source record: 156144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Want to buy Trunk Tracker in great shape...Please e-mail me your best price shipped ...thank you

2846. Re: WTF? Can't you retards like, post useful shit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D5EAE.1BBF@parg.org>
Source record: 156145

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Ohhhh, then your ISP's news server must not be
> very good. There are lots of good threads here.
> If your ISP doesn't provide them, you need to
> lodge a complain there. Not here.

Cheek, SHUT UP & be HONEST!

He is bringing up some good points!

PLEASE accept YOUR responsibility for the problems
here & take ANY truce agreement that has been offered to you!

> In my case, I
> have Mackey filtered out at my e-mail server.

Then why do you respond to my messages so often?

2847. Re: Rub Bill's nose in it Mackey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D5F04.354A@parg.org>
Source record: 156146

Blake Bowers wrote:
> And I ask you the same question! You repeatedly state that
> you are trying to do things for all the participants in the
> newsgroup...Who appointed YOU spokesman?

I am not a spokesman & never said I was. however, I am dedicated
to preserving the integrity of this NG as myself & several
other individuals did in late 1996 after Bill Cheek nearly
caused the collapse of this NG.

2848. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D5DAB.50E4@parg.org>
Source record: 156147

Bill Cheek wrote:

> 1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
> 2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
> 3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
> I don't make references or insinuations about you.
> 4. You don't even THINK about me.
> I don't even THINK about you.

Add these two things & you have a deal!
5. No flames, attacks, or nasty messages by either party.
6. No posts intended to produce financial gain .

2849. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D5DCF.3CBF@parg.org>
Source record: 156148

Bill Cheek wrote:

> 1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
> 2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
> 3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
> I don't make references or insinuations about you.
> 4. You don't even THINK about me.
> I don't even THINK about you.

Add these two things & you have a deal!
5. No flames, attacks, or nasty messages by either party.
6. No posts intended to produce financial gain .

2850. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D5F5E.A63@parg.org>
Source record: 156149

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Mackey
> NEVER talks scanners.

So when was the last time YOU talked scanners Bill?

All we ever see from YOU are senseless flames?

2851. Re: flame wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D6053.3CDE@parg.org>
Source record: 156150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Barry wrote:
> these newsgroups are the worst i have seen for all the flame wars. it
> looks like mackey, cheek and others have nothing better to do with their
> lives than spend time posting all the bullshit.

How sad that things have come to this point. Even sadder yet is that
Bill Cheek has been offered about 7 various truce plans but he
finds loop holes or wiggles out & refuses to abide by them. Instead
he continues his flaming activities.

Such actions are truly pathetic.

2852. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358D6110.B4E@parg.org>
Source record: 156151

TraceyLevin wrote:

> Your terrible manors on this newsgroup
> have caused many a flame war here.

Funny, I was completely off this NG when BILL CHEEK started a NEW
flaming war about 3 weeks ago. AFTER about a week of it,
THEN I entered the picture to put Bill BACK where he belongs.
Cheek is like Hitler, he must be stopped early before he takes over
the world.

> You are not a net god. You are just
> another user.

I never claimed anything else.

Its time for you to grow up a little.

2853. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35930bd3.2680220@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156152

>If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
>time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were

I really think Radio Shack is going OUT of the "radio" bidness and will soon
be selling only satellite receivers and Sprint PCS phones.

If so, GOOD F****** RIDDANCE!

2854. Re: 895XLT WANTED - LOWEST PRICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Ascher WB8LIT <cei@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <358D66D2.91D82E05@mediaone.net>
Source record: 156153

Dan:

At Communications Electronics, Emergency Operations Center, (CEI) we take great pains to make our advertising not only honest, but clear and straightforward. For that reason, I was particularly distressed to learn that you feel our web site at http://www.usascan.com in the order section regarding our shipping, handling and insurance charges misled you.

Let me assure you that we do not want to mislead any of our customers. That's not a good way to do business, and it certainly is not the way we have done business for almost 30 years. Because of our added services and benefits at CEI, our shipping/handling will indeed be higher than most dealers. To inform our clients how much to remit, we clearly print
our prices and shipping/handling charges in our ads and our web site.

Please remember that we primarily function as an Emergency Operations Center. Just like most people would not visit the emergency room of a hospital to get a Band-aid, most people do not come to us to get a scanner. They usually go to their Uniden dealer nearest them.

For many reasons, different companies have different pricing policies. It would be impossible for me to speak about other companies shipping and restocking policies so I won't. I can however, tell you about the shipping/handling and insurance policy at our organization, Communications Electronics Inc. (CEI) where I work.
CEI has different divisions of our organization that distribute Trunk Tracker scanners the largest users being our Emergency Operations Center, Dealer Products Group and Government Products Division.

As an Emergency Operations Center, our number one priority is keeping government mission critical communications systems on line all the time. When a government agency suffers damage from a man-made or natural disaster, since CEI serves the people who serve the people, our highest priority is to restore the damaged infrastructure. To provide 24 hour arond-the-clock service to government agencies, our costs are passed back to our clients. However, as an accommodation to non-government customers who want to purchase items through our Emergency Operations Center, our EOC has an outreach program to serve customers worldwide that can not be serviced by a local dealer.

For almost 30 years, to accomplish this mission we have found it necessary to stockpile massive quantities of inventory. For example, last year, during a phase II FEMA inspection of our facility during an Urban Search & Rescue task force sponsorship evaluation, FEMA inspected our inventory for mission readiness. The cost for our building alone with specialized facility power and phone system was over one million. Our on hand inventory has significant warehousing costs. As an example, assuming a 10% annual return on investment, it cost us approximately $100,000 per million dollars of inventory stored in our distribution center. To serve our clients properly, we are required to carry an extensive inventory. In our organization, this cost is passed along to our customers

and clients. When we need a new or critical item, our staff have traveled anywhere to a manufacturers location to pickup inventory. I've earned over three million frequent flyer miles procuring inventory. For most of our government customers, our flat shipping/handling/insurance charge of $18.00 per radio for ground shipping or $36.00 for 2nd day air shipping in the continental USA seemed to be a break-even proposition. I agree our $36.00 flat charge for air shipping could be a burden for a consumer.

We purchase so much equipment, that CEI is a Master Distributor for companies such as Uniden, Cobra and others. Our non-government customers have requested to purchase radio equipment from us to accommodate those needs we set up focus groups to determine what our customers wanted. Many customers told us they wanted a liberal return policy, most items in stock, most credit cards accepted, toll-free phone lines. As we added more and more benefits, the costs for staffing and facilities costs have increased which was passed on to customers. For example, our 61 day return policy instead of a 15-25% restocking fee increases our operational costs overall but this is what most of our customers wanted. On the other hand, our procurement costs for equipment because of our volume purchasing saves us money and these savings are passed on to you. Hopefully this explains why the cost for some items from us are lower than average while shipping/handling is higher than average. I'm told our total cost including shipping is in some cases lower than purchasing from a local dealer. This is probably why customers tell us they save saved as much as $50.00 per radio even after the shipping charge is included when purchasing from CEI.

As a Uniden Master Distributor, it is our responsibility to make sure you get the Uniden product you want when you need it. If shipping/handling/insurance charges on Uniden Trunk tracker products are a concern, we encourage you to shop at your local Uniden retailer in your area. To assist you in finding a local Uniden retailer, Uniden has a retail locator available anytime by calling 1-800-297-1023 and following the voice prompts. While we encourage our dealers to stock over 110 different Uniden items including the Bearcat 235XLT, Bearcat 895XLT trunk tracker scanners, and other communications products, most dealers only will only stock a few items.

Unfortunately most dealers do not make enough profit and go out of business within three years. When a dealer goes out of business it is a sad day for us as all of our time and effort of training their staff and the relationship we have built up over the years is lost. In addition, many customers are disappointed. When Gilfer short-wave and Electronic Equipment Bank (EEB) stopped serving the public this year, their customers were sad. I have spoken with hundreds of their former customers, as we have acquired Gilfer's old phone numbers 1-800-GILFER1 as well as EEB's old number 1-800-368-3270.

If you think you can do a better job and want to become dealer selling our products, we welcome new dealers. We feel it serves our customers better when they can get our products locally. If you want to try and are willing to purchase $2,000 of product per order as a dealer, register your company with your state or county, get a tax ID number and
call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN to discuss a dealership.

Please remember, we are not a conventional dealer. We are a master distributor and an Emergency Operations Center. As such, to satisfy the emergency needs of our clients, our overhead in operating this facility is significantly higher than our dealers. This is why we
recommend you support and shop and your local Uniden dealer near you.

I'm told, next weekend, we should have a crowd of amateur radio operators operating radios during field day at our two-acre Emergency Operations Center facility in Ann Arbor, Michigan.
If you are in the neighborhood, please stop by so you may appreciate the scope of our operations.

We are always interested in reducing the overall cost of our operations as long as we are not sacrificing emergency response time or service to our clients. If you have any suggestions where we can save our clients money through a reduction in operational costs, we are interested in your suggestions. We listen to our customers and act on what you tell us. After looking at our web site, if you have suggestions to share with us, please don't keep it yourself. Let me know how we can serve you better. We are updating our web site survey section at this time so please send E-mail instead of using the survey.

As this discussion of shipping is drifting off the subject of scanning and more towards retail
management,
so as not to waste bandwidth, feel free to E-mail or phone. I hope I have answered your questions regarding our Trunk tracker and shipping pricing policy. If it doesn't, please call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN or send me an E-mail at cei@mediaone.net.

Ken Ascher - Chairman/CEO WB8LIT
Communications Electronics Inc., Emergency Operations Center
http://www.usascan.com Phone: 734-996-8888 FAX: 734-663-8888

dconti2@carroll.com wrote:

> On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 20:30:27 -0400, David Ballenger
> <ballenger@erols.com> wrote:
>
> >I bought mine mail order at Communications Electronics in Michigan for
> >about $ 240 + $ 18 shipping. I received it two days after ordering with no
> >problems at all. They are at http://www.usascan.com. That is the lowest price
> >I've seen - others are at $ 300 or more.
>
> That is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor
> has to "mask" their profit in riduclously inflated shipping and
> handling costs.
>
> Dan
>
> >CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN wrote:
> >
> >> I WANT TO BUY A BEARCAT 895XLT , CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHERE TO GET A GOOD
> >> DEAL ON ONE???
> >>
> >> NEW , USED , DEMO O.K.

> >
> >
> >

2855. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eux2vs.29u@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156154

On Fri, 19 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Salvatore Gambino wrote:
>
> > John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:
> > >Hawke wrote:
> > >> Request proof of your dredentials (posted publically)
> > >
> > >OK, but first, what are "dredentials"?
>
> > Those must be the credentials that you "dred" to post
>
> NO, that makes no sense!

He's got you there, Sal! He doesn't HAVE any credentials to post; he's a
zero...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2856. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Eux2zC.2Au@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 156155

On Fri, 19 Jun 1998, John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
> > How simple of an agreement can there be ,
>
> I proposed to Cheek that we stop all flaming, nasty messages,
> etc but he refused. You are right, it is simple, yet he declined. :(
>
>
>
> > Stop posting off topic messages begining at midnight on Friday the 19th
> > one minute after midnight which will be Saturday the 20th the only
> > posting you do is on topic .
>
> I just found your message now, about an hour late. :(

In other words, NO. Mack-hole does NOT want the crap to end. There is your truth.

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

2857. NO DEAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: pja <pja@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <358D6CAB.30503F69@pacifier.com>
Source record: 156156

No deal MACKEY. You just leave a defeated shell of a
woman.

Conditions:
1) You get down on your hands and knees and beg
for forgiveness from Bill.
2) If Bill gives it to you (I hope he kicks you in the face)
you leave the NG for a period of 20 years.
3) When you come back you will refer to Bill as BOSSMAN
or SIR at all times never disrespecting him again.

No one believes you want a truce MACKEY. You must have
all of your mindless PARG faggot puppets helping you answer
all of your hatemail. Boy... must be some kind of butt-fucking
party going on at those meetings. Circle jerks on Fridays huh
MACKEY. How Disgusting.

2858. Re: What's this stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tosca@warwick.net
Message-ID: <359a7126.10709670@news.warwick.net>
Source record: 156157

On 20 Jun 98 22:36:02 , Jkn@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Jkn) was
lurking in rec.radio.scanner, and composed the following about
"RE:":

> Originally from: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
>
> This article was posted in the *.radio.scanner hierarchy.
> It was canceled for one or more of the following reasons:
>
> 1) spew from or to or about John Mackey
> 2) binary - discussion newsgroups only
> 3) spam
> 4) MMF
>

What the heck is this stuff all about?

=vt

--
ï¿½ase ELECTRONICA! ï¿½1976 TE Inc.
mailto:tosca@warwick.net
****
* ==PLEASE E-MAIL AS WELL AS POST;*
* ISP HAS BEEN PURGING QUICKLY; *
* MOST RESPONSES ARE MISSED!!== *
****

2859. Re: Just Updated!! - DRSG Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6mjqav$3lh$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 156158
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What is a "decorder?"

>Put all the lastest decorders on your site, and youll have a lot of
>traffic! Otherwise, youre just another scanner site.

2860. Re: BC210 resistor value?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6mjrfe$adp@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 156159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On the BC210 I inspected, this is R66, a 270 ohm, 1/4 watt, 5%
resistor. The markings are red/violet/brown, with a gold
band which signifies 5% tolerance.

Good luck.

In article <6mjfdn$o0t@panix3.panix.com>,
Adam Epstein <adam@panix.com> wrote:

> I'm trying to repair a Bearcat 210 which has no audio output. I've
>traced the problem back to an open resistor but unfortunately it's
>toasted beyond the point where I can read the value. With the radio
>face forward and upside down (i.e the component side of the board face
>up), the resistor is located on the left rear edge of the board,
>between the external speaker RCA connector and a 22 uF capacitor. The
>resistor appears to be labeled ECB on the PC board. Can anybody tell
>me the value of this resistor?...
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

2861. Re: BC3000xlt Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: p35hp@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062120563500.QAA13416@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156160

In article <6m5plp$14c$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, wplanck@netusa1.net writes:

> how can I
>keep from knocking the radio over? Is there a stand I can buy of some type?

This works for me: My ubc 3000 came with the leather case. I use a small speaker in a plastic enclosure. Attached a 1 1/2' x 3" strip of velcro between the two. Fuzzy strip on the leather case. You can even adjust the tilt angle to aid in viewing the display as needed. Jim

2862. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mjs2s$hu3$1@207.18.224.37>
Source record: 156161

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 19:23:11 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Bill Cheek wrote:
>
>> 1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
>> 2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
>> 3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
>> I don't make references or insinuations about you.
>> 4. You don't even THINK about me.
>> I don't even THINK about you.
>
>Add these two things & you have a deal!
>5. No flames, attacks, or nasty messages by either party.

Are you his mother?

>6. No posts intended to produce financial gain .

Who cares? Most of the users of the newsgroup would
MUCH rather see a few personal financial gain posts, instead
of your posts!

Bill offered you a fair proposal, if you truly desire to lower
the noise level in the newsgroup, (Which you have repeatedly
shown that you do not wish such) then take him up on his
FAIR OFFER TO YOU!

2863. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mjs6q$hu3$2@207.18.224.37>
Source record: 156162

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 19:37:39 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>TraceyLevin wrote:
>
>> Your terrible manors on this newsgroup
>> have caused many a flame war here.
>
>Funny, I was completely off this NG when BILL CHEEK started a NEW
>flaming war about 3 weeks ago. AFTER about a week of it,
>THEN I entered the picture to put Bill BACK where he belongs.
>Cheek is like Hitler, he must be stopped early before he takes over
>the world.
>

John, who are you to "put bill back where he belongs"??

Oh, I forgot, your shiny netcop badge John...

>
>> You are not a net god. You are just
>> another user.
>
>I never claimed anything else.
>
You certainly claim to be a netcop John...

>Its time for you to grow up a little.

This is good advice for you John. You should grow up!

2864. Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frustrated <nunya@nunya.com>
Message-ID: <JDYi1.5889$DM1.3327467@news2.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156163
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello All,

I bet Many of you are wondering why people do not trust the scanner
hobbyist?

Why are so Many Public Safety Agencies signing up with Astro?

Why does Congress feel it neccesary to crack down on the Hobby?

I have been pondering these questions for a while and couldn't nail down the
answer, well I think it is clear now. (at least from my point of view as a
member of the Public safety community and a scannist.)

I occured to me that ones behavior on the newsgroups and how they interact
with one another in this NG reflect how they probably behave in the real
world or at least this is the appearance.

The constant flaming,name calling, and blame placing are not doing the hobby
any good at all, I mean say one public officials aid or secretary was given
the task to do some research on scanner listeners and of course they would
come to the internet. and then to the 2 scanner newsgroups, gee it does not
take a rocket scientist to figure out what kind of impression they would get
with the current situation.

Now after this post I bet the Most guilty and childish offenders will start
placing the blame on each other

example: well it's party a's fault if he would stop calling me names i would
quit flaming him! (This sounds just like kindergarten)
Just listen to it and if you all have any dignity and care for the scanner
hobby then you all will behave yourselves

The future of the scanning hobby depends on it
i mean if everything goes to digital then what good is a scanner
if a scanner becomes illegal then what?

we are all adults here lets start acting like it

2865. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35925d4c.9696493@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156164
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I bet Many of you are wondering why people do not trust the scanner
>hobbyist?

The same reason people do not trust people who own guns or SUVs either!

"There is no need for a _____ (fill in YOUR hobby or enjoyment
or right in that space)."

2866. Just Updated!! - DRSG Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Bate <david.bate@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <358C23AD.FC055BE9@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 156165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JUST UPDATED!! At the Durham Region Scanner Group's web site...

Durham Region - new and updated items.
Durham Regional Police Services - new information on dispatching zones
and assignments.
O.P.P. - updated information on dispatching zones and assignments.
Marine - new information on VHF and HF channel assignments.

This site deals with scanner, shortwave and space communications for the
Durham Region and surrounding area.

Please visit the DRSG web site at http://www3.sympatico.ca/david.bate
--
David R Bate Jr.
Bowmanville, Ontario, Canada

http://www3.sympatico.ca/david.bate
http://www.rogers.com

2867. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35965fa9.10301411@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 156166

It depends. Does your mast require guying?

2868. Re: PRO64/NEW FOR $125.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <358c6572.290341@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156167

On 19 Jun 1998 05:30:38 GMT, cwest69521@aol.com (CWest69521) wrote:

>400 CH HANDHELD PRO64 NEW IN THE BOX FOR 125.00 SHIPPED SORRY NO COD'S POSTAL
>MONEY OK
>
>
>EMAIL
>CWEST69521@AOL.COM

Just saw some PRO-64's at my local shack for $100 clearance.
Also saw a PRO-508 for the low low price of $10.99! I just had to buy
it, so what if it only covers to 512mhz...

2869. Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <358d663c.492000@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were marked at $100, the pro-67 was at like $60 and my favorite was the pro-508 for $10.99! Been wanting to dedicate a scanner to my favorite mdt, and for under $12 it can't be beat!!!!

2870. Re: I need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bishp@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6mhpf8$8gt$2@camel19.mindspring.com>
Source record: 156169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Byrman" <fbmac@ipr.nl> wrote:

>"Gentleman",

>Both are not fair, I must say.
>"You Dutch" incluses me... and b.t.w. my wife is from Philly.
>Must we now both give up our pasports, in the hope not to be part of any
>"They","You...." or whatever. If you adres a person, ADRES A PERSON, not a
>group.

Sure, I agree with you, friend, but this rude fella promoted himself
as being a spokesman for the entire Dutch people, so it's proper to
address him in that role.

If you disagreed with him, you had an opportunity to say so. You did
not.

Regards,
Mr. Randolf Pitchford
(the artist formerly known as "Cactus Jack")

2871. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980621020307O1.WAA14123@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156170

In article <358d663c.492000@news.mia.bellsouth.net>,
kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net (Jack) writes:

>
>If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
>time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
>marked at $100, the pro-67 was at like $60 and my favorite was the
>pro-508 for $10.99! Been wanting to dedicate a scanner to my favorite
>mdt, and for under $12 it can't be beat!!!!

Is there a Web site anywhere that tells which scanners are available at which
stores?

2872. Re: bill cheek-miramar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: rmlac123@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062102044300.WAA14310@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156171

Try 315.600 nkx tower
380.800 ground control
301.300 clearance/ delivery
362.100 nkx metro
363.100 departure control
269.100 approach control
266.800 approach control
322.000 approach control
325.200 approach control
Try these.....
Randy

2873. Re: MACKEY needs to go into the Military

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C6C28.3744@parg.org>
Source record: 156172

pja wrote:
> MACKEY needs the military. They would knock that
> smart-ass mouth of his right off.

So you think it would take an entire military to do that?

THANKS!

2874. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C6A47.455E@parg.org>
Source record: 156173

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Here's the walk, Smeggie:
>
> 1. You don't talk to

Bill, YOU have been offered about 5 diferent
truce plans & you find problems to wiggle out of each one.

Stop trying to create loop holes & JUST DO IT!

Take ANY of the truce plans I have offered you,
just take ANY!

2875. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C6AA7.7E44@parg.org>
Source record: 156174

Bill Cheek wrote:
> 1. You don't talk to me.

Bill, YOU have been offered about 5 diferent
truce plans & you find problems to wiggle out of each one.

Stop trying to create loop holes & JUST DO IT!

Take ANY of the truce plans I have offered you,
just take ANY!

2876. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C6AE0.6501@parg.org>
Source record: 156175

John C. Harper wrote:
> Get off my computer!

That is the best response I have seen to Cheek for a LONG time!

2877. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C6B33.372C@parg.org>
Source record: 156176

Bill Cheek wrote:

> The guy who answered you just before me,
> JOHN MACKEY, is not here for scanners. He
> is not at all interested in scanners and
> scanning.

And just what are YOU here for Bill?

Bill, YOU have been offered about 5 diferent
truce plans & you find problems to wiggle out of each one.

Stop trying to create loop holes & JUST DO IT!

Take ANY of the truce plans I have offered you,
just take ANY!

2878. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C6B6C.3D72@parg.org>
Source record: 156177

John C. Harper wrote:

> I appreciate your answer and appologize for the four letter word.

No problem!

> What
> is your response to the intent of the post? There was no flame intended
> and no persons were pointed at.

That is right, I don't flame!

2879. Re: Rub Bill's nose in it Mackey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C6BAA.447F@parg.org>
Source record: 156178

pja wrote:
> MACKEY must be taught a lesson. The whole newsgroup (and world) hate

Who appointed YOU spokesman?

2880. Re: Don't ask anything MACKEY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358C6BE3.712F@parg.org>
Source record: 156179

pja wrote:
> Wrong MACKEY. It isn't a matter of you asking for a
> truce. It is you surrendering in defeat and disappearing.

Even when I leave, Bill continues to flame others.

THAT is how this all started!

2881. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants! <<<<<PlonK> phil smalley!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35906dca.2426960@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156180

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 12:21:01 -0700, Phil Smalley
<pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:

>John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
>>
>> This NG is for test messages, NOT binaries. Read the FAQ!
>
>TEST messages? OK, let me TEST my ability to attach a BINARY FILE.....
>Yup, works perfect, hee, hee, hee...
>
>I could be a real "Adam-Henry" and post this file as a reply to EVERY
>post you make... don't push me, Viagra-boy...
>
>-Phil

Heres a tip-Give Phil a big fat <PlonK>

2882. Re: Interesting Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXXbadservice@home.com
Message-ID: <Ub_i1.4913$5d1.4067243@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 156181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yet another off topic post by Billy.

Your looking for attention and will try anything to get it. If it means posting some false Subject Header to cause others to read your posts you'll do it.

Yeah yeah we know... your the innocent guy, the world is out to get Billy.

Is this what happens when a tech "wanna be" writes a book on some obsolete scanner, publishes a picture of his old bag by his CB radios and can no longer find a way to make a buck turns into?

Billy you really have to find something to do with your time.

Its a shame when idiots like you insist on only taking away from this newsgroup.

Bill Cheek wrote in message <358bf5f0.248043858@news2.cts.com>...
>
>Here are the vital statistics of John Mackey's
>home ISP where he wreaks his vengeance and
>conducts his reign of terrorism. Interesting
>how PARG.ORG appears to be a customer of
>TELEPORT.COM, the host for our fondly missed
>Peter J. Boe (PjB) of tvine@teleport.com .
>
>For those who don't remember, good ol' Petey
>conducted a long-running campaign of havoc and
>terror on this newsgroup.
>
>One wonders if there is a "connection", or if
>ol' Petey Boe was just another of Mackey's
>legendary aka's. Anyway, below is the pertinent
>information for anyone who happens to be casually
>interested:
>
>
>Registrant:
>Phantom's Amateur Radio Group (PARG-DOM)
> P.O. Box 1586
> Beaverton, OR 97075 USA
>
> Domain Name: PARG.ORG
>
> Administrative Contact:

> Bousquet, Justin (JB11358) sysadmin@PARG.ORG
> 503-903-3801
> Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
> Teleport Network Operations (TLP-NOC) hostmaster@TELEPORT.COM
> tel.: 503-223-0076
> Fax.: 503-223-4372
> Billing Contact:
> Bousquet, Justin (JB11358) sysadmin@PARG.ORG
> 503-903-3801
>
> Record last updated on 20-Oct-97.
> Record created on 20-Oct-97.
> Database last updated on 19-Jun-98 04:48:55 EDT.
>
> Domain servers in listed order:
>
> NS.TELEPORT.COM 192.108.254.11
> NEXEN.NEXEN.COM 204.249.96.18
>
>

2883. 6 volt audio amp kit or module?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: acohn@oregon.uoregon.edu
Message-ID: <358c714d.1522022@news.uoregon.edu>
Source record: 156182

I have a howl and distortion when the gain is
turned at all up in my RS CB-60 analog UHF-VHF. I replaced the audio
amplifier IC with no improvement so would like to bypass it with a
high gain amp kit or module but am having trouble finding a 6 volt
one.

Any suggestions would be appreciated

2884. Re: Scanners from Canada - Any problems?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXXbadservice@home.com
Message-ID: <lj_i1.4920$5d1.4070468@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 156183

What is the company name?

If it is Lakeshore Electronics... STAY AWAY.
I have had nothing but problems with them.

Dave Weber wrote in message <358ded83.628944@news.wwa.com>...
>Several magazines offer 800 mhz scanners from a Canadian firm. How
>likely is someone in the US to have problems ordering such a scanner.

2885. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXXbadservice@home.com
Message-ID: <6p_i1.4922$5d1.4072628@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 156184

Depends on how much gain you hope to achieve.
69db might be pushing it a bit.

foxcat wrote in message ...
>Viagra: A synonym for repeat her.
>
>Andy
>foxcat@mindspring.com
>http://www.mindspring.com/~foxcat
>I have a great memory, it's just short.
>67 Bug
>98 GTI/vr6

2886. ICOM R10-15

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: marcsatan@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062102561600.WAA24269@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156185

ICOM COMMUNICATIONS RECIEVER FOR SALE 100% MINT ICOM R10-15
NO GAPS .5-1300MHZ,LEATHER CASE ,MAN,BOX,2ANTENNAS..
SELL FOR $400.
YOU WIL L BE VERY SATISFIED WITH UNIT,IF INTERESTED PSE E-MAIL..

MARC..

2887. Re: Improve your RS discone - cheap!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmiew@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <358c771a.3991700@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 156186

You can find some additional info on my web site at
http://home.sprynet.com/sprynet/jimmiew/scan.htm.

I tried a center loaded CB whip with poor results.

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 08:21:02 -0700, "Robert Snelgrove"
<steelgtr@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Do you have the model number of the old and new version? Are the old ones
>still available?
>
>Thanks
>Bob
>
>
>
>
>
>John T. Arthur wrote in message ...
>>As you may have noticed, the new discone from RatShack comes with a coil
>>and whip assembly on top for better low-band reception. You can upgrade
>>your older discone for peanuts!
>>
>>Parts to order are: coil assembly (RS p/n 11986775) for $1.99 and whip
>>with tip (RS p/n 11986783) for $2.69, for a total of US$4.68. My local
>>store charged me $1 for shipping.
>>
>>When I ordered the items were back-ordered. It took exactly one month for
>>them to arrive - via PRIORITY MAIL!! Cost RS a lot more than $1.00 to get
>>the stuff to me. I recommend this enhancement. The coil and whip are of
>>good quality.
>>
>>And thanks to <tyler@nospam> for the original suggestion...
>>
>>Some assembly required.
>>Batteries not included.
>>Void where prohibited.
>>
>
>

2888. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: netzel@erinet.com
Message-ID: <6mhtub$7ih@eri.erinet.com>
Source record: 156187

.so...what channel would a CDMA phone be transmitting on???? and which one of the over 2 billion codes would it be assigned?????

Fred

In article <6mdmou$3ms$1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>, "Mike Puchol" <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com> wrote:
>Just get another Nextel unit, put it in test mode, and change channels until
>you find the one where another base is tx'ing. Works with most digital
>cordless phones I've seen too, so much for 'security'.
>
>Mike
>
>Emoney250 escribi&#65533; en mensaje
><1998061906103200.CAA12447@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>>I think you know what I meant there. I have a Nextel unit and I am told no
>one
>>has the technology to scan it with a scanner.
>
>

2889. FS - Uniden 2500xlts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bush143@postoffice.worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mi185$rvj@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 156188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>This is a 400 channel handheld scanner in mint condition. This
>scanner covers 25-1300 mhz, but cannot be modified for full 800
>coverage. It has a host of features such as auto store and auto sort
>of frequencies for efficient scanning. It comes with one
>rechargeable battery pack and original manual. A great deal at $150.
>
>
>I also have an earlier version of this same scanner with full 800
>coverage. That one is mint and comes with the original manual and
>box. Please e-mail if interested.

Package deal - $400 shipped for both within lower 48 States.

2890. Re: Pennsylvania frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <gary0136@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <eQ%i1.2347$1I2.7669571@nnrp2.ptd.net>
Source record: 156189

AJTC475 wrote in message
<1998062015204300.LAA11522@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>I am going to be travelling through Pa. and I was wondering if the state
>highway patrol has gone to a statewide trunked radio system and if so what
the
>frequencies might be. Also will be travelling through the Allegheny Forest
area
>if anyone has any frequencies for this area as well. Thanks to all that
reply.

Pennsylvania State Police haven't gone trunked yet. They are installing a
digital trunked system which will be ready in a few years. For the
frequencies they use now, check my web page:
http://members.tripod.com/~Scanning_Bethlehem/psp.htm

2891. Re: Coolest thing heard on scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Lane <alane@thegrid.net>
Message-ID: <358C8D71.E2D217E3@thegrid.net>
Source record: 156190
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The most interesting undercover cop phrase i've heard so far is...

"The cat is in the litter box."

A few officers had to confirm this...

"Copy that. The cat is in the litter box."

I really doubt they were surveilling a kitty.

2892. Re: Fairly important question about >>>BC700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard W Cowgill <CHEEZTOAST@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9c92$f56d9640$d3619cd1@default>
Source record: 156191

I owned one of these funky little units awhile back ... there are an additional 20 storage channels in the POLICE section and an additional 10 in the FIRE section which adds up to 50 - there aren't any 700's with different channel configurations as far as I know...

I was impressed with the audio on this tiny unit and would have liked it a lot more if they just would have put all 50 channels in the private section...oh well

Sean Jones <mtsusean?@?nashville.com> wrote in article <358c18b2.165088069@news>...
> I have one of these models I purchased a few years ago. I was poking
> around at the Uniden site and noticed that they advertise this model
> now as a "50" channel receiver while mine has only access to 20 in
> private mode. Is this just the way it is.
>
> ? What are the other 30 channels.
> ? Does mean there are newer BC700A models
>

2893. Re: Drive any new car for $100 p/month.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsqth@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062105095500.BAA08908@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156192

Are you lost?

2894. Re: Don't ask anything MACKEY.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358CB331.CE64F40A@swbell.net>
Source record: 156193

John , worry about yourself, the others can take care of themselves.
You show everyone on here that you are sincere in your efforts for the flaming to stop.
Just go back on topic and the flames will go away like they did before and then the whole newsgroup will back to normal.

To do that you have to stop replying and trying to place conditions on what others do , number one you can't do that , number two it is not your place to do so, now please do what you have asked others to do and stop the flaming .

2895. Re: I need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: zap@mestreech.demon.nl
Message-ID: <358c9ad4.1206785@news.demon.nl>
Source record: 156194
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 20:37:42 GMT, bishp@mindspring.com (Randolf Pitchford) wrote:

>And for YOUR info, Bright Boy, you people in the Netherlands lack
>courtesy. You didn't have to put the young man down like that for an
>honest mistake. He SAID he was new here.

Speaking about courtesy: now your insulting all us Dutch. But we are not
responsible for mr. Ludekuizes behaviour, and he is not our spokesman.
So what he says is on his own account, and definitly not representative
for our country.

Bye,

-martin-
--
Wij houden niet allemaal van Oranje
http://www.reggaeboyz.com/ http://www.camnet.cm/minjes1/accueil.htm

2896. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: s302728@student.uq.edu.au
Message-ID: <6mi7la$k7o$1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 156195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.romance.chinese,alt.language.spanish,alt.movies.deep-impact

Welch Family (nwelch@frontiernet.net) wrote:
: On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 05:11:32 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
: wrote:
:
: You can't think. You don't have a brain.

Heh. The pot calling the kettle black, isn't it Grape Boy?

2897. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: s302728@student.uq.edu.au
Message-ID: <6mi7ov$k7o$2@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 156196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.romance.chinese,alt.language.spanish,alt.movies.deep-impact

John-Mackey-KA0SSF (KA0SSF@parg.org) wrote:
: Welch Family wrote:
: > You can't think. You don't have a brain.
:
: Please join us in ending this problems rather than
: attempting to cause more problems as you have been
: doing.

You're wating your breath. Grape Boy here specialises in causing problems,
which is why he's won so many friends on Usenet. I wonder if similar
behaviour IRL has led to his often lamented lack of real friends as well?

2898. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hartung <danno7@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <358CA30A.19FC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 156197

Howard wrote:
>
> Their web site address is a tricky one:
>
> http://www.radioshack.com
>
> Who would have guessed :-)
>
> It lists their specials and you can order on-line.
>
> I hope this helps!
>
> TheLibNet wrote:
>
> > In article <358d663c.492000@news.mia.bellsouth.net>,
> > kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net (Jack) writes:
> >
> > >
> > > >If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
> > > >time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
> > > >marked at $100, the pro-67 was at like $60 and my favorite was the
> > > >pro-508 for $10.99! Been wanting to dedicate a scanner to my favorite
> > > >mdt, and for under $12 it can't be beat!!!!
> > >
> > > Is there a Web site anywhere that tells which scanners are available at which
> > > stores?
Talk about an impossible web site at radio Shack!
I could not find any notices about sales or prices.
any help?

2899. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdeviney@ipa.net
Message-ID: <358ca285.31467867@news2.ipa.net>
Source record: 156198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What is a PRO-508?
CATHY

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 01:53:53 GMT, kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net (Jack)
wrote:

>If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
>time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
>marked at $100, the pro-67 was at like $60 and my favorite was the
>pro-508 for $10.99! Been wanting to dedicate a scanner to my favorite
>mdt, and for under $12 it can't be beat!!!!

2900. Bc895xlt...JUNK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <gscott@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <358CA413.F0743121@alaska.net>
Source record: 156199

Just got my new 895 today and what a piece of shit. it's back in the box going to where I got it from. Turned it on and did a scan and store and 90% of the freqs are static and birdies. Funny how my 200xlt gets cops and everyting else and all this fucker gets is garbage. If anyone knows why this thing is doing this let me know if not this fucker is gone. Scott..

2901. Hamilton Ontario Airshow frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <358c90ba.585994@news>
Source record: 156200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Frequencies monitored on day 1 (Saturday):

125.0 Tower
121.6 Ground
308.475 Tower
398.125 Ground
119.7 P.A. Link
122.925 Iron Eagles air to air
272.1 Snowbirds air to air

...Andy
```

2902. Re: A DEAL FOR THE TROUBLE MAKERS! was:Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <358c95f7.8180584@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156201

On 20 Jun 1998 17:02:00 -0700, Richard Kendrick
<wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com> wrote:

>John "But, I want to listen to the voices in my head" Mackey
>KA0SSF showed more hypocrisy:
>
>> WE post NO FLAMES, NO SNIDE REMARKES, NO ATTACKS,
>
>Not we, Toilet Boy, YOU. You are NOT empowered to speak for
>anyone else except yourself. Considering you have multiple
>personalities, you need to schedule an appointment with
>Jack Kevorkian. Otherwise, listen to the voices and jump off
>the Marquam Bridge. ^^^^^^
>^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Preferably after being fitted with concrete overshoes by
Sal Gambino.

2903. Re: FAQ : Cheek & Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <358c9bc0.9661142@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156202

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 15:07:31 -0700, "Mr. WireHead"
<wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote:

>Reposted without permission - Original author is k4cc@aol.com (K4CC)
>and was originally posted 6/20/98 in the alt.scanner usenet newsgroup.
>
>Frequently Asked Questions about Cheek and Mackey:
<snip>

>6. What is smegma?
> Check the dictionary definition for snot.

Actually one should check the dictionary definition of
smegma or check Mackey's eye lids.

2904. Re: My confession.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <358c9920.8989300@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 156203

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 23:02:24 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Bill Cheek wrote:
>> Get out of the denial mode, Smeggie.
>> 'Fess up and stay 'fessed up. It will
>
>Bill, you know good & well I didn't write that.

Sure had me fooled. Are you sure? It's similar to
a post you made a while back.
>
>Everyone BUT YOU, acknowledges that!

You're the only one saying you didn't write it.
Quit trying to speak for everyone.

>
>You're just trying to cause more trouble on this NG
>
>PLEASE stop causing problems, PLEASE return this
>NG to the users for enjoyment free of
>you senseless flames.
>
>
>Many people would forgive you if you do this
>& you would feel better!

2905. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Justice <richard9@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <358CAF9E.54960B07@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 156204
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That is not the only reason the goverment is cracking down, But I would have to agree with you on that too, but as a firefighter we have problems and I have noticed that on some Firefighter newsgroups they have the same problem. I am a scanner hoddiest and an ham radio operator. But some scanner chasers get in the way of a fire scene, its ok to goto a emergency scene as long as you are not in there way. And we see that alot and a friend of mine who writes for a scanner digest have an article about that. But there is my .05 cent worth.And I do not have any thing against scanner hoddiest because I am one.

Frustrated wrote:

> Hello All,
>
> I bet Many of you are wondering why people do not trust the scanner
> hobbyist?
>
> Why are so Many Public Safety Agencies signing up with Astro?
>
> Why does Congress feel it neccesary to crack down on the Hobby?
>
> I have been pondering these questions for a while and couldn't nail down the
> answer, well I think it is clear now. (at least from my point of view as a
> member of the Public safety community and a scannist.)
>
> I occured to me that ones behavior on the newsgroups and how they interact
> with one another in this NG reflect how they probably behave in the real
> world or at least this is the appearance.
>
> The constant flaming,name calling, and blame placing are not doing the hobby
> any good at all, I mean say one public officials aid or secretary was given
> the task to do some research on scanner listeners and of course they would
> come to the internet. and then to the 2 scanner newsgroups, gee it does not
> take a rocket scientist to figure out what kind of impression they would get
> with the current situation.
>
> Now after this post I bet the Most guilty and childish offenders will start
> placing the blame on each other
>
> example: well it's party a's fault if he would stop calling me names i would
> quit flaming him! (This sounds just like kindergarten)
> Just listen to it and if you all have any dignity and care for the scanner
> hobby then you all will behave yourselves
>
> The future of the scanning hobby depends on it

> i mean if everything goes to digital then what good is a scanner
> if a scanner becomes illegal then what?
>
> we are all adults here lets start acting like it

2906. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358ca898.359336706@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156205

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John C. Harper" <harp269@swbell.net> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> >>"John C. Harper" <harp269@swbell.net> wrote:
>
> >>JC Harper Snip ....(Good things about I like scannining)
>
> > Right. So do most of the rest of us.
> >... Snip a bunch
>
> BUT - I can filter this and that and not see crap - but filters ain't
> perfect. 'specially when implemented by dumb-asses like me.

Well, you're not a dumbass, and you don't have
to use filters. Like I said, you can tell by
the thread name whether or not it has interest.
The Mackey threads are well identified....so
don't even download 'em, or if you do, don't
read 'em. You can go by author, too. Mackey
NEVER talks scanners. He does talk shit, though.

> Why should I have to figure out how to filter
> anybody out of a newsgroup in which I am inreresting?

Well.....it is your computer, right? But the
newsgroups are a public medium. Anyone can
write anything. That's why you should figure
out "filtration"....or, in the alternative, get
good at recognizing the kinds of threads that
interest you and the ones that don't.

> I look at all the synopses and decide which to expand.
> LOTS of these synopses are 'tween two (2) people.
> That ain't what this stuff is for.

It isn't for anything but what you make of it.
You are the master of your own domain, so it's
your choice in the final analysis. Everyone
else is master of their own domain, and their

choices are equally omnipotent. No one is weaker
or stronger than anyone else.

You are free to filter and to write as you please.

> Get off my computer!

You are free to make that happen, too.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

2907. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358daa14.359717071@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156206

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Take ANY of the truce plans I have offered you,
> just take ANY!

Are you dense? Or just stupid? I told
you before you have NOTHING to offer me.
You have nothing I want. The ONLY possibility
is the following:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

See if you can get a grip.

2908. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358eaa92.359842682@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156207

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > 1. You don't talk to me.
>
> Bill, YOU have been offered about 5 diferent
> truce plans & you find problems to wiggle out of each one.

You don't offer me anything, dipstick. You
have nothing I want.

Here is the ONLY possibility of something
that might work:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

Take it or leave it.
```

2909. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358faaeb.359931736@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156208

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Here's the walk, Smeggie:
> >
> > 1. You don't talk to
>
> Bill, YOU have been offered about 5 diferent
> truce plans & you find problems to wiggle out of each one.
>
> Stop trying to create loop holes & JUST DO IT!
>
> Take ANY of the truce plans I have offered you,
> just take ANY!

You must be an idiot savant. You don't
"offer" me anything. I wouldn't want your
money if you won the Lottery. The ONLY
possible deal is:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

That is as good as it gets.

2910. Re: Allsafe is a FLAMING TURD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3590abd4.360165077@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156209

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

>
>
> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > I couldn't wish upon you a better whipping boy!
> > He takes it so nicely and then snivels and
> > whimpers until your heartstrings play Pacelbel's
> > Canon.
> >
> > You lucky devil, you. I'm gonna miss the priss.
>
> Whoa-whoa-whoa; he stays here at the tree-house, I
> wasn't planning on taking him home with me. Mom would
> never go for that.

She might....once she saw what a better
mood you'd be in all the time. And if
she's in a dour mood, you could hand her
a cudgel and show her how to take it out
on Smacksie. Then she'd be happy, too.
You'd be happy. And Smacksie would be
happy.

A deal made in heaven, man! <g>

2911. Re: Quick cleanup!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ramjet5 <ramjet5@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#LjpI$Nn9GA.286@upnetnews05>
Source record: 156210

Now do it to "Cheek" and it gets much better...

Jack wrote in message <35913a10.6855859@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...
>Wow, by adding a kill filter for "mackey" in the subject my newsreader
>is 421 headers lighter!

2912. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3591acfb.360460096@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156211

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > I told you in another
> > post that I took you off to make you an
> > offer for a deal. Since then, I decided
>
> More crap.
>
> PLEASE, BILL CHEEK, stop your flaming & trouble making
> & let this newsgroup get back to topic. By doing so
> you would redeem yourself to the NG.

You remind me of a pissant floating down the
Mississippi River on his back with an erection,
hollering, "Raise the bridge, raise the bridge."

You nescient colon polyp, you need to redeem
yourself to me. The ONLY redemption possible
is to address me as "Sir" one time as you agree
to abide the following:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

That's it, polyp. It don't get any better than
that.
```

2913. Re: Why Not a Moderated Group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3593ae5d.360813901@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156212

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Reddog" <funkychicken86@hotmail.com> wrote:

> YOU SHOULD NOT HAVE TO USE A FILTER!!! The point is is that this crap
> should not happen.

Drug peddlers shouldn't be merchandizing
their wares to our kids, either.

And YES, you SHOULD have to use a filter
if you don't want to pull in everything that
appears in a newsgroup. THAT's what filters
are for.

Geezus, man, they put rating codes on movies
so parents can "filter" them for the kids. Web
sites are getting rating codes now, too.

Learn how to use your computer and be master
of your own domain. That is as good as it gets.

2914. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35964c11.335646022@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156257

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> The CB Guy wrote:
>
> > Why is it MACKEY that you refuse to stop flaming unless the world promises
> > to be perfect?
>
> I am simply asking YOU, & the OTHER TROUBLE MAKERS to do the
> SAME thing YOU are asking ME to do.
>
> Why is it so difficult to "walk your talk"?

Here's the walk, Smeggie:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

That is all there needs to be. As soon as you
and I don't exist to each other, the rest of the
world will be just fine. You leave everyone else
out of it. You came here a couple of years ago
looking for ME and you've been here pulling your
shit ever since.

So deal with ME....and the rest of the world
will just fall into place...click, click, click.

Leave everyone else out of it, Mackey. You
are the origin of the problems and I am and
always have been your target.

So let's thee and me do the dealing, see?

2915. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35974d73.336000117@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156258

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> Mr. WireHead wrote:
>
> > No deal loser. See, you really are a weasle and you're not ONE BIT
> > serious about making this a better place. You're just like everyone has
>
> I'm serious enough to post plans of resulotion while all you post
> is flames. PLEASE redeem yourself to this NG & STOP your
> flaming & trouble making & participate in the agreement!

No, turdbreath, here is the ONLY workable plan
for peace and a relatively trouble-free newsgroup:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

Simple, Mackey. Acknowledge it. Meditate on it.
Deal with it. Accept it.

2916. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35984e10.336156602@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156259

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John C. Harper" <harp269@swbell.net> wrote:

> I apologize for the subject - but did it get your attention?
>
> I have been in electronics for forty (40) years and scannering for
> almost all that time (39.989). I enjoy (and feel it important) to
> monitor what passes through my mortgaged property.
>
> I subscribed to this NG for info on SCANNERS.

Right. So do most of the rest of us.

The guy who answered you just before me,
JOHN MACKEY, is not here for scanners. He
is not at all interested in scanners and
scanning. Not only that, but he has been
here wreaking havoc and fomenting dissent
for the better part of three years.

Now he's playing the goody-two-shoe game.
He sees you as new here, so he hopped up on
the bandwagon with this "we" and "us" shit.

Rest assured, he speaks for no one but his
own sorry self. Wanna know the truth; the
full truth; and nothing but the truth? Check
his track record on Dejanews using keywords
of MACKEY and KA0SSF.

You'll see.

Meanwhile, just stick to the obvious scanner
and radio threads if that's what you're here
for. The flames and Mackey's crap are largely
confined to the obvious threads that can be
easily avoided.

Good luck.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\

```
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

2917. One More Time Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35994f7d.336522557@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156260

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mackey...here is the deal:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

Accept it.

2918. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359c4fdd.336618082@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 156261

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> The CB Guy wrote:
>
> > Why is it MACKEY that you refuse to stop flaming unless the world promises
> > to be perfect?

> I am simply asking YOU, & the OTHER TROUBLE MAKERS to do the
> SAME thing YOU are asking ME to do.
>
> Why is it so difficult to "walk your talk"?

Here's the walk, Smeggie:

1. You don't talk to me. I don't talk to you.
2. You don't talk about me. I don't talk about you.
3. You don't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about you.
4. You don't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about you.

That is all there needs to be. As soon as you
and I don't exist to each other, the rest of the
world will be just fine. You leave everyone else
out of it. You came here a couple of years ago
looking for ME and you've been here pulling your
shit ever since.

So deal with ME....and the rest of the world
will just fall into place...click, click, click.

Leave everyone else out of it, Mackey. You
are the origin of the problems and I am and
always have been your target.

So let's thee and me do the dealing, see?

2919. Road Trip From NY to FL - Freqs???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris135@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062100312500.UAA08322@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156262

Hi -- I'll make this brief :-)

I'll be driving down to Florida from Long Island next month and was wondering if you could e-mail me with Key freqs that I need. I'll be taking the I-95 corridor. I've been through it numerous times, but not with freqs. I'll be going through NY, NJ, DE, MD, Wash DC, VA, NC, SC, GA, and of course FL.

If anybody has primary freqs, please e-mail me. Appreciation in advance.

73s
Chris -- Unit 585/Methane Man , Long Island, NY
Chris135@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/Scanman295

2920. California Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: scaning <scaning@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9e26$65d8e120$3aaaa0d1@oemcomputer>
Source record: 155814
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

The following site includes the following California Frequencies:

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298

It includes the following:

- El Dorado County
- Placer County
- Sacramento County
- San Joaquin County
- Solano County
- Stanislaus County
- Sutter County
- Yolo County
- Yuba County
- Law & Fire Mutual Aid Frequencies
- AMR & Life Flight Frequencies
- California Department of Forestry
- California Highway Partrol
- Office of Emergency Services
- United States Foreset Service
- Tahoe Area Frequency Guide
- Search & Rescue, Amatuer Repeaters
- Air Frequencies, Airports & News
- State of Nevada (western side)
- Fire Station & Engine Assignments
- Curent Events with Frequencies
- What to Listen For
- Links to Other Pages and Agencies

Thanks for visiting http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298 ,
Scaning

--
Scanning Central & Northern California Frequencies
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298
scaning@geocities.com

2921. Re: GRE superamplifier<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS" <NoOne@NoWhere.net>
Message-ID: <6mmjr4$edv@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I got one recently brand new for $49.95. Did you want something cheaper
than that?

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Jeff Doucette wrote in message <898469967.377003@michelob>...
>Please let me know if you have one for sale!
>Later,
>Jeff

2922. LIVE NOAA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6mmklg$3s2$1@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 155816

Hi!! I now have three new live noaa weather radio broadcasts on the net via real audio please goto my web page click on the real audio page and radio page and enjoy!! http://home1.gte.net/mitbr./mitbr.htm

2923. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062222165100.SAA00853@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155817
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: This Bill Cheeks thing
>From: Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com
>Date: Mon, Jun 22, 1998 15:49 EDT

>snip BS<
>i know bill cheeks from about 10 or 15 years ago in colorado, back when
>he started to modify scanners and cb radios.
>snip more BS<

Look, I don't pretend Bill is the most lovable human being on this or any other NG. I don't even agree with him on technical stuff all the time (let alone some of the rest of what he posts), but I'll be interested in his opinion on anything that has to do with scanners because he does know more about them that I ever will. You have to respect what he has done for the hobby, getting books published & documenting a very specialized and arcane branch of a small and cutthroat band of guys who'd walk a mile for a 2% discount from a stranger rather than pay list price for ANYTHING. So he ain't Santa Claus, telling everything he knows for free and he ain't Dale Carnegy spreading sweetness light and sunshine into everybody's day.
I see vague accusations from years ago, from a guy who doesn't know where the SHIFT key is on his keyboard & doesn't even know how to spell Bill's name. Such credibility, such documentation.
Everybody who ever got laughed at in roumors spread by their competition, had a wife leave or blew up a satellite dish 15 years ago (hell in those days half of them burst into flames the first time you plugged them in out of the box anyway!) makes an awfully big club. I wasn't aware any of those things were grounds for permanent harassment by a bunch of people who can't spell, can't puctuate and can't dispute anything he says that's on-subject here.
When you get a book out Clarence, let me know when I can go to Barnes & Noble and look it over. If you can put a book there that shows me you're smarter than Bill, I'll come here and say so.

Neutrodyne

2924. Re: POCSAG HomePage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: TIgEas LAiR <kaliber@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358ED72D.D7C4D174@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155818

Please Note:
In the attached URL about POCSAG32, the person asks for
people to send logs of pocsag decodes. He also asks for feedback
about the program. (notice that he is not actually the home poc32
site)
In the good ole U.S.A.,.... monitoring of pocsag is a violation
of Federal Law. Sending in "LOGS" or other stuff would be
hardcopy "proof" of breaking this law by whom ever sent them in.
We hope that this public key encryption site (overseas ?) isn't
a mail drop for U.S. authorities and that "this" person didn't
actually direct this request at citizens of the U.S.A.......

Take care All....
a_alpha1 - TIgEas LAiR

Marco Kalmijn wrote:

> Hello all over the world.
>
> The Pocsag Page has been updated so have a look at it at:
> http://huizen.dds.nl/~pocsag.
> Greetings,
>
> Marco

2925. Re: Best Software For 895XLT ?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062222352500.SAA07417@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155819

>Subject: Best Software For 895XLT ?????
>From: brents@erols.com (Brent Schroeder)

>I just purchased an 895XLT and am looking for comments on the best
>software package to use with it

best place to start is with Freetracker
http://www.autowinnet.com/freetrack.html

It's free and the only program available at the moment that is designed esp.
for the BC895. Phil Smalley will be releasing his update to PC895 soon, which
will also be free.

2926. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358EFB79.68D5B6AF@swbell.net>
Source record: 155820

Do not connect any grounds to a gas pipe, it is against fire and
electical codes in all states.

Lightning is the discharge produced when differences between ground and
atmospheric electrical charge are large enough (several hundred million
volts) to overcome the insulating effect of the air.

Lightning strokes can occur within a cloud, between clouds or between
clouds and the ground.
An average thunderstorm can release several hundred megawatts of
electrical power.

Grounding Electrode System

Where none of the electrodes specified in Section 250-81 is available,
one or more of the electrodes
specified in (b) through (d) below shall be used. Where practicable,
made electrodes shall be embedded below permanent moisture level.
Made electrodes shall be free from nonconductive coatings, such as
paint or enamel.
Where more than one electrode is used, each electrode of one
grounding system (including that used for lightning rods) shall not be
less than 6 ft (1.83 m) from any other electrode of another grounding
system.
Two or more grounding electrodes that are effectivelybonded together
shall be considered a single grounding electrode system.

(a) Metal Underground Gas Piping System. A metal underground gas
piping system shall not be used as a grounding electrode.

(b) Other Local Metal Underground Systems or Structures. Other local
metal underground systems or structures, such as piping systems and
underground tanks.

(c) Rod and Pipe Electrodes. Rod and pipe electrodes shall not be less
than 8 ft (2.44 m) in length, shall consist of the following materials,
and shall be installed in the following manner:

(1) Electrodes of pipe or conduit shall not be smaller than 3/4-in.
trade size and, where of iron or steel, shall have the outer surface
galvanized or otherwise metal-coated for corrosion protection.

(2) Electrodes of rods of iron or steel shall be at least 5/8 in. (15.87
mm)in diameter. Stainless steel rods less than 5/8 in. (15.87 mm) in
diameter,nonferrous rods, or their equivalent shall be listed and shall
not be less than 1/2 in. (12.7 mm) in diameter.

(3) The electrode shall be installed such that at least 8 ft (2.44 m) of length is in contact with the soil. It shall be driven to a depth of not less than 8 ft (2.44 m) except that, where rock bottom is encountered, the electrode shall be driven at an oblique angle not to exceed 45 degrees from the vertical or shall be buried in a trench that is at least 2 1/2 ft (762 mm) deep. The upper end of the electrode shall be flush with or below ground level unless the aboveground end and the grounding electrode conductor attachment are protected against physical damage as specified in Section 250-117.

(d) Plate Electrodes. Each plate electrode shall expose not less than 2 sq ft (0.186 sq m) of surface to exterior soil. Electrodes of iron or steel plates shall be at least 1/4 in.(6.35 mm) in thickness. Electrodes of nonferrous metal shall be at least 0.06 in. (1.52 mm) in thickness.

(e) Aluminum Electrodes. Aluminum electrodes shall not be permitted.

2927. Re: LIVE NOAA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <358EE3BA.D30A26A3@iname.com>
Source record: 155821

mitbr wrote:
>
> Hi!! I now have three new live noaa weather radio broadcasts on the net via
> real audio please goto my web page click on the real audio page and radio
> page and enjoy!! http://home1.gte.net/mitbr./mitbr.htm

HTTP Error 404

404 Not Found

The Web server cannot find the file or script you asked for. Please
check the URL to ensure that the path is correct.

Please contact the server's administrator if this problem persists.

Perhaps you typed the link wrong.....my browser couldn't auto find it...

2928. Looking for frequencies in Ohio??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stealth <stealth@idmi.net>
Message-ID: <6mmotj$3im$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 155822

If your looking for frequencies in Ohio check out:
http://www.scanohio.com

Frequencies, code lists, maps and more !!!!

Win a Uniden Beartracker 895xlt !!!!

Ohio's On-Line Scanner Resource !

2929. Win a Uniden Beartracker 895xlt !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stealth <stealth@idmi.net>
Message-ID: <6mmp09$3km$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 155823

Live in Ohio or have frequency data for Ohio?

Check out:

http://www.scanohio.com

Win a Uniden Beartracker 895xlt !!!!

2930. Win a Uniden Beartracker 895xlt !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stealth <stealth@idmi.net>
Message-ID: <6mmp38$31c$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 155824

Live in Ohio or have frequency data for Ohio?

Check out:

http://www.scanohio.com

Win a Uniden Beartracker 895xlt !!!!

2931. Re: Best Software For 895XLT ?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <orlscan@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ZOBj1.421$SG.349309@news2.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155825
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I am Currently Using Freetracker,Scancat-Gold,Radio manager, And Pc 895.

Freetracker is Nice and the Programmer Has Really Done a Nice Job
This is a good Basic and Free Program Rating A- The New Update really
Fixed the Bugs and the Program works Wonderfully.

Download at http://autowinnet.com/freetrack.html/

Scancat-Gold is OK But Does not Support all of the Possible commands - Cost
$ Around 100.00 Rating ****B+ Could be Better
But scancats History of Wonderful Tech Support suggests that there will be
upcoming Improvements

More Info and Demos at http://www.scancat.com/

Radio manager is not a bad program and Has alot of Features
Cost $40.00 Rating ***** A-

More Info and a demo at http://www.interplaza.com/bensware/

PC895 Isnt a Bad Program Either and Has in my Opinion the Best Look to It
(Not Cluttered and Looks Just Like the BC895) Phil the Author is working on
the Bugs and offering it for Free so this should become a very Good Program
B+

No website as of yet but you can look for the Demo at

http://www.filez.com/ and do a search for PC895

Trunk Commander is From the Guys at Scan Star - I have Tried the Demo and
have to Say i was not Impressed - I really like the Look of the Interface
but this Program is the Only one that writes a command to your Autoexec.bat
and consistently Crashed My system
My Opinion is it is buggy and over priced

Screen shots at http://www.scannermaster.com

Remember The Views and Opinions above are that of Jason Webb

Jason Webb EMT/EMD
The Orlando TrunkTracking Page
http://www.swl.net/orlandotrunking/

Confidence is high Repeat Confidence is high
Commander Insert your key

2932. FS: Uniden Bearcat 100xlt Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: BFoy <wfoy@mail.mia.bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <358EE879.7C65@mail.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Uniden Bearcat BC 100xlt Handheld Scanner

Features: -New NiCd Battery Pack
-(1) VHF Rubberduck Antenna
-(1) UHF Rubberduck Antenna
-Earphone (extra length)
-Wall Charger
-Original Case

Radio Features -100 Channels
-10 Ch./bank, 10 banks
-Weather Search
-29-54, 118-174, 406-512 Mhz
-Band Search

The radio has had some use and I'm proud to say, I've taken good care of it over the years. Everything works perfectly.

I'm asking $100.00 firm for this beauty. It is a very useful radio and will give you years of quality scanning.

Please EMAIL me or CALL me at (305)895-4355 between the hours of 10:00am & 9:00pm.

73,
Bill

2933. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358EE7BC.1491@hacgate.com>
Source record: 155827

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
> Do not connect any grounds to a gas pipe, it is against fire and
> electical codes in all states.
>

But John Mackey says you can! You're just a Bill Cheek troublemaker,
aren't you??

HA HA HA HA HA HA HA !!!! Just kidding! I say let ol' Mack-tard ground
his antennas through the gas line, along with anyone else who is stupid
enough to follow his advice. One good thunderstorm and we'll be rid of
99.9% of what's wrong with this NG. ;-)

-Phil
P.S. To those of you who feel inclined to respond with "YOU are what's
wrong with this NG, blah, blah, blah.." don't bother. Just read the
following standard response I give to all morons, idiots, bozos and
nitwits and be glad I was considerate enough to acknowledge your
existance:

PTHTHTHTHTHTHTHTHTHTH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2934. Re: Looking for frequencies in Ohio??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358EE8BC.7848@hacgate.com>
Source record: 155828

Stealth wrote:
> Win a Uniden Beartracker 895xlt !!!!

I didn't know it could track bears.... ;-)

-Phil

2935. Re: Pocsag HomePage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: TIgEas LAiR <kaliber@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358EE555.5EDC2A7F@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155829

Please see the 14:35 posting and its attached note from
me. 2nd item above.......

a_alpha1 - TIgEas LAiR

Marco Kalmijn wrote:

> Hello all over the world.
>
> The Pocsag Page has been updated so have a look at it at:
> http://huizen.dds.nl/~pocsag.
> Greetings,
>
> Marco

2936. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3597ebbb.2722019@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155830

It won't help you get your directional bearings if you are unfamiliar with the reception area.

2937. Re: LIVE NOAA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3598ebed.2772380@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155831

>> Hi!! I now have three new live noaa weather radio broadcasts on the net via
>> real audio please goto my web page click on the real audio page and radio
>> page and enjoy!! http://home1.gte.net/mitbr./mitbr.htm
>
>HTTP Error 404
>
>404 Not Found

I couldn't figure out why I should go to a web site for NOAA radio when I've
got a NOAA radio here.

2938. Re: Freqs for NY -> FL for Road Trip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <orlscan@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <VWBj1.653$SG.353052@news2.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155832

Don't Forget Florida Highway Patrol Is going ASTRO
Chris135 wrote in message
<19980622140936OO.KAA08154@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>Hi
>
>I am taking to a trip from Long Island, NY to Lady Lake, FL next month.
>I was wondering if any of you can e-mail me with key freqs. along I-95 in
(NJ,
>DE, MD, WashDC, VA, NC, SC, GA, and FL.)
>
>Appreciation in advance.
>
>73s
>Unit 585
>
>Chris135@aol.com
>http://members.aol.com/scanman295

2939. Re: PRO60 skips?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3599ec26.2828395@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155833

>Thank you for pointing out what an idiot I am. I called my local Radio Shack
>and explained the problem to them and the reply was

Hey, it's the SIMPLE stuff that will kill you!

BTW, your priority channel is probably selectable, meaning you can have ANY channel be priority. Old scanners used to have channel 1 as the priority. I can't say for certain, but try pressing PROGRAM, the channel number, and PRI to set the channel you want to be priority. A lot of people set the local weather frequency there, then lock it out. When narsty weather comes creeping, creeping, pressing PRI will activate the channel.

Okay, so it's not JUST the simple stuff. I saw some video footage three or four years ago of some skydivers. The camera guy jumped out of the plane, taped the other divers, then started to pull his ripcord. Whoops! No chute! The impact destroyed the footage from that point on. I think.

2940. Ringo Ranger Specs Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vince Curtis <stopahmanson@loop.com>
Message-ID: <358EF218.2814@loop.com>
Source record: 155834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Changing my Ringo Ranger antenna from 146.xxx to 172.xxx.

Anyone out there know the new measurements for the antenna ?

I think the RR only goes to 160.xxx per manufactures specs, but
still would like to use it for 172.xxx

Would appreciate it.

- Vince

2941. Hand held antenna for ar 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: pzman@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <358eed4f.35183001@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 155835

I want a decent antenna for the ar 8000. I use the austin condor but would like something smaller in the 7 inche range. Where can I find something like this and how much does this cost?

2942. Re: Richard Kendrick proves Mackey a liar and fraud AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358EF418.5948A98F@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 155836

John "I'm so pathetic, I can't even lie worth a damn anymore" Mackey
KA0SSF dribbled on himself will frothing and foaming at the mouth:

> That equals about 70 years of doing thing wrong that any other
> autority know better of.

In other words, you're still ignorant of advanced techniques.

Well now, if you think Roy LeWallen is incompetent, you should tell him.
His email address is w7el@teleport.com. You should also tell his
employer,
Tektronix, and the ARRL, too. Since he is a Technical Advisor and has
been for many years, they'd sure like to know they don't have the best
talent working for them. But, then he has a *real* degree, something
you lack, Toilet Boy.

2943. Re: Richard Kendrick proves Mackey a liar and fraud AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358EF2D5.D91D6DC8@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 155837

John "I'm such a lame liar and loser" Mackey-KA0SSF sniveled:

> You only found the ARRL document, you missed the one at
> ham-radio Online.

Ah, so you're going into ignorant douche bag mode again. No, I did NOT miss the article, you're just too stupid to scroll down far enough to see it.

>Would you like me to direct me to it?

No, I'll direct YOU to it. Learn to scroll down, FOOL.

> OK, your earlier post of my ARRL article show YOU to be WRONG
> with the above statement!

The ARRL article credits you as *source*, not author. You're just too stupid and moronic to understand the difference. Typical of an ignorant twit who never finished the eighth grade.

Allsafe is right, you are a friggin' loon.

2944. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358EF95B.4D08A10D@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 155838

John "I take credit cards for 'services rendered' in public bathrooms"
Mackey-KA0SSF extolled the virtues of being stupid:
>
> John T. Arthur wrote:
>
> > > Richard Kendrick wrote:
> > > > As an expert in wasting bandwidth, you would know better than anyone.
>
> > Do you ever THINK before you write? Or do you just spout the
> > first thing that pops into your head?
>
> It's OBVIOUS that Kendrick DOES NOT think first. :(

Only the voices in your head lack the ability to think.

2945. Re: Richard Kendrick proves Mackey a liar and fraud AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358EF802.9295C2A7@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 155839

John "I'm such a miserable excuse for a neanderthal" Mackey-KA0SSF
lied and whimpered:

> The members of this NG did NOT ask Cheek to provide YOU this data,
> they asked him to provide it to the members of this NG as a WHOLE!

I asked Cheek privately, and he replied. I speak and act for myself and
no one else. If others want to ask Cheek questions, they're free to act
on their own.

> HE has REPEATEDLY fialed to do so, yet you hide & protect him
^^^^^^ ----- friggin' loon, learn to spell
> like his mother (or boyfriend)!

Considering you are such a douche bag and moron, it's no wonder you
can't get an answer from Cheek. From what I've seen of Cheek, he
doesn't need me to protect him. He seems quite capable of defending
himself. After all, he's kicked your butt so often for years now, it's
a wonder you don't have a colostomy bag.

> > I didn't ask Cheek for proof of *your* degree because *he* didn't
> > claim *you* had a degree.
>
> It's pretty sad when your only defense is to play silly word games like
> above!

You stated you had a degree so you are the source of the proof, if it
exists. I wanted proof of Cheek's degree, so I asked HIM. I want proof
of your degree, so I'm asking YOU moron. If you think my original
question is a word game, it's because you can't provide proof of your
degree and you need to deflect attention from you to someone else.

> > If you want to shut me up, prove your
> > degree. If you don't prove your degree, you will continue to be
> > branded as a liar and I will constantly remind you and others of
>
> If you will enforce this CONSISTANTLY then I will support &
> comply! Will you enforce this consistantly?
^^^^^^^^^^^^ ---- what an ignorant
idiot, can't spell

I am applying it equally. YOU stated YOU had a degree, so I'm asking
you FOOL. Only your stupidity prevents you from seeing that I'm treating
you the same as Cheek.

> > You're unwillingness to prove your degee is concrete proof you
> > are a liar, a fraud, and lack any credibility or integrity what

> > so ever.
>
> Then you would have to say this for Cheek!

Not really, since he has proven to me he has the degrees. You haven't.

I accuse you of lying about having a Masters degree. UNTIL you prove otherwise, you are a liar and a fraud.

2946. sfpd freqs question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: merlin willis <merlinw@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358EF92B.74E7C238@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155840

beside the 8 'pic' channels, there are 4 others, pic 9, 10, 11, and 12
does anyone know what they are?

thanks

ravenstar

2947. Re: One More Time Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <358EFAFA.F9170592@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 155841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John "My name is Adolph Junior and in my delusional world, I'm in charge of the scanner news groups" Mackey-KA0SSF listened to one of the voices in his head again:

> 6. No posts intended to result in personal financial
> gain on rec.radio.scanner.

Where do you think you have the right to infringe on the free trade or financial dealings of *anyone* that is conducted on the Internet?

2948. Freqs for Patuxent River NAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeffrey Smith <jeffsmith@erols.com>
Message-ID: <6mn0hi$1ag$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 155842
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anybody no of any good sites for frequency list for Patuxent River Naval Air Warfare Center in southern Maryland?

2949. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <358F00DA.2ED6@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155843

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:
>
> Hey, Phil....Neutrodyne...Inigo, and others:
>
> Guys like this "Clarence Green" aren't worth it. Look
> at the 2nd grade English the guy used. Look at how
> he went on and on and on, rambling about this and
> that with nothing that can be verified or even
> looked up.

He probably is not worth it Bill, but he deserves every word. Ask and ye shall receive says I.

> So one has to wonder if it's the one
> and only........you-know-who? I ferget 'is
> name right off......
>
> hee hee hee......

I know it's him. What's funny is he's posting from Dejanews. Right off the bat, he is telling the whole world that he is hiding his true identity. I would too if I was as sorry as the Scarlet Mackerel. It's a proven fact. Mackey's only "friends" are the ones he makes up for himself. He's a pathetic little loser, and I like telling him so on a daily basis. The friggin' loon.....

-Phil

2950. Re: What's this stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358F0554.7D413A1D@netwit.com>
Source record: 155844

Mike Derryberry wrote:

> I am not using warpgate but I am getting all this deleted crap. My ISP is
> MCI and it appears that this "Jkn" person is able to delete what must be
> Mackey's comments before others can read them. How is this happening?
>
> Mike

I dunno Mike. I don't think any articles have been deleted from your or my feed. If it was, I'm pretty sure we'd be hearing some serious squawking from this NG. Only a person subscribing to Warpgate would know for sure (or maybe they wouldn't know). I don't think it's anything serious.

2951. Re: Advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358F0721.3835177E@netwit.com>
Source record: 155845

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> he took a
> 1/2 inch 10 foot section of rigid copper pipe and used that as his
> grounding rod,he cut one end on angle so as to have a point , wet the
> ground where he was going to place the tubing, connected his garden hose
> to the other end of the pipe, stood on a step ladder, inserted the
> pointed end into the ground, had someone turn the water on and used the
> hydraulic pressure of the water to drill the pipe in .
> when he got the top of the pipe within 5 to 6 inches from the ground he
> stopped.
> It takes two people one to hold the pipe and one to control the water
> unless you have a valve that is close to where you have the hose
> attached to the pipe.
> Make sure you have the hose attached securely to the pipe or the
> pressure will pop the hose off.

That's what I call using your "grape". Pretty damn resourceful. Thanks to you and Mr. D. P. Roberts, I think I've got the answers I need. Thanks for the tips.

2952. "Free" Bearcat Scanners from Holiday Inn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millimeter Wave Report <mmwr@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uScgoqkn9GA.159@upnetnews05>
Source record: 155846

Greetings fellow scanner enthusiasts--

Those of you who travel frequently may want to consider staying at Holiday Inn. Their "Priority Club" program allows you to accumulate points towards generally uninteresting merchandise in the Priority Club catalog. However, a small footnote says that you can cash in your points towards any merchandise sold by the manufacturers represented in the catalog. Well, Uniden was represented (offering cordless phones), so I called the Priority Club people and, after three days, found out that, yes, I could cash in my points towards Uniden Bearcat scanners. Woo-hoo! So, now I am awaiting the arrival of my BC235XLT TrunkTracker, courtesy of Holiday Inn (actually, courtesy of the thousands of dollars my employer has paid to Holiday Inn).

For those of you who are interested, the points required for the two Bearcat scanners I obtained quotes on are:

BC235XLT Handheld TrunkTracker = 70,000 Priority Club points
BC895XLT Desktop Trunk Tracker = 75,000 Priority Club points

Note that 70,000 points is, roughly, $7,000 of hotel stays, so unless your employer is paying for your Holiday Inn rooms, this is not an economical way of obtaining a scanner. But, heck, if someone else is footing the bill, it means a free scanner for you!

If you want the name and phone number of the "personal shopper" at Holiday Inn who I worked with (probably the only one who knows anything about obtaining Bearcat scanners), please email me directly.

Happy scanning!

Andrew Clegg, PhD
Editor
Millimeter Wave Report
http://www.mmwrpt.com
mmwr@msn.com

2953. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358F0E36.EE5278CD@netwit.com>
Source record: 155847

Works great on the antenna;

But the scanner logic functions seem to degrade.

Pitfalls of thinking with your mast, I assume.

2954. Re: Freqs for Patuxent River NAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millimeter Wave Report <mmwr@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#jijuykn9GA.260@upnetnews05>
Source record: 155848
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have almost 4 pages of frequencies for Pax River. If you email me your fax number directly, I will fax them to you.

Here are a few:

123.65 & 344.4 Tower
120.6 & 336.4 Ground Control
285.2 Anti-Submarine Ops
383.7 Helicopter-to-Ship
264.0 Test pilot school
140.1 F1 Crash/Rescue

Happy Listening!
Andrew Clegg, PhD
Editor
Millimeter Wave Report
http://www.mmwrpt.com
mmwr@msn.com

2955. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: TIgEas LAiR <kaliber@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358F0F94.71FCC289@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155849

HI Mike,

Most (probably "all") cellfones can be put into test mode
and manually set to any channel the fone is capable of receiving.
The actual act of using a cellfone for a scanner is a "much"
different kind of animal, and far more difficult to achieve.
The only cases that I have known, involved "reprograming" the
instruction eprom in the fone or connecting the fone to a computer
for instructions or a combination of both. Some more modern
phones are looking toward an infrared port between the fone and
modern computers with infrared ports. Check out the alt.cellular.
nokia news group for an example of this kind of exploration.
Instructions for setting a phone to test mode and listening to
channels are found in many places on the web. There is "NO"
instance that I have heard of that a phone can do this outright,
excepting of course, the motorola phones that use the #3X scan
command successfully, and the moded OKIs. As I mentioned in
an attached previous post, vast archives of information are
available on this topic. I have a large collection of this info myself,
if there is some (limited) topic that you would want.

c-ya
a_alpha1 - TIgEas LAiR

Michael May wrote:

> The GE cell phones have a service mode whereby you can press a sequence
> of keys and the radio goes into a manual service mode. You need to press
> a second key to manually step up to the next channel increment. I don't
> remember the exact key sequence; however, it should be known by any
> Authorized Ge service center.
>
> Mike

2956. Discriminator squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Fuller <fee@global2000.net>
Message-ID: <6mn4j8npp1@news2.ispnews.com>
Source record: 155850

Right now my discriminator outputs sound all the time.. Is there a relatively simple way to have it work with the squelch? FWIW its a Pro-2030.
Thanks,
Matt

2957. Re: LIVE NOAA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6mn3vrmoo1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 155851

He put a period after mitbr that shouldn't be there.

The CB Guy wrote in message <358EE3BA.D30A26A3@iname.com>...
>mitbr wrote:
>>
>> Hi!! I now have three new live noaa weather radio broadcasts on the net
via
>> real audio please goto my web page click on the real audio page and
radio
>> page and enjoy!! http://home1.gte.net/mitbr./mitbr.htm
>
>HTTP Error 404
>
>404 Not Found
>
>The Web server cannot find the file or script you asked for. Please
>check the URL to ensure that the path is correct.
>
>Please contact the server's administrator if this problem persists.
>
>
>Perhaps you typed the link wrong.....my browser couldn't auto find it...

2958. Houston PD Encryption?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6mn4s6$n8m$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 155852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Houston PD uses several frequencies in the 460 MHz band for voice communications as well as MDTs that operate in the 800 MHz band. Lately I have heard a lot interference that I believe to be "Digital Encryption." It sounds like the same kind of encryption that many federal agencies use. It is used on the same frequencies as voice communications that are in the clear. At first I thought this was just some sort of interference (stuck mic, or other radio problem), but there is a pattern to the sound that leads me to believe that there is conversation going on. Now this may be an image of another frequency because it appears that the regular users of the channels do not hear it as they will often interupt with their own communications. I have several radio and all of them receive this "encryption interference."
Can someone help me identify this? Thanks.

2959. Re: Can't we all just get along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <4B80F6C355722050.815F1EA9E3D0DC45.58FB7A9F0C3ADD92@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 155853

no.

pja wrote:
>
> well.

2960. Re: 895XLT WANTED - LOWEST PRICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Ascher WB8LIT <cei@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <358F20B0.278806B@mediaone.net>
Source record: 155854

dconti2@carroll.com wrote:

> On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 16:02:34 -0400, Ken Ascher WB8LIT
> <cei@mediaone.net> wrote:
>
> >Dan:
> >
> >At Communications Electronics, Emergency Operations Center, (CEI) we take great pains
> >to make our advertising not only honest, but clear and straightforward. For that reason,
I
> >was particularly distressed to learn that you feel our web site at
http://www.usascan.com
> >in the order section regarding our shipping, handling and insurance charges misled you.
>
> Don't know where you got that from, Ken...never said or implied that
> they were misleading, just too high.

Dan...I was trying to help clarify why our shipping price is higher in response to your comment, "that is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor has to "mask" their profit in ridiculously inflated shipping and handling costs." My apologies for not understanding what you were trying to say.

> >Let me assure you that we do not want to mislead any of our customers. That's not
> >a good way to do business, and it certainly is not the way we have done business for
> >almost 30 years. Because of our added services and benefits at CEI, our
shipping/handling
> >will indeed be higher than most dealers
>
> What added services and benefits to ME?

I do not know your individual situation, therefore it is difficult to answer your questionon how our added services and
benefits would help you. For our government or
industrial customers who depend on CEI to respond to their major disaster such as flood, hurricane or
earthquake, it makes a difference. Government customers who needs to order 500 radio transceivers
with charged batteries at 3:00 AM in the morning for same day delivery along with video and computer
equipment list us in their resource manual as an equipment source. Most of our dealers reselling our
products do not offer 24 hour emergency service nor do they maintain sufficient on-hand inventory
or have the logistic resources to move tons of freight on a moments notice anywhere in the world.

> To inform our clients how much to remit, we clearly print
> >our prices and shipping/handling charges in our ads and our web site.
> >
> No problem with that...
>
> >Please remember that we primarily function as an Emergency Operations Center. Just like
> >most people would not visit the emergency room of a hospital to get a Band-aid, most people
> >do not come to us to get a scanner. They usually go to their Uniden dealer nearest them.
>
> What? Emergency Operations Center? This makes NO sense. Are you not
> a Uniden dealer?

The Communications Electronics Emergency Operations Center was designed to serve as ahub of operations when disasters or
emergencies damage or destroy the infrastructure of
federal, state, local government agencies or business. Like a hospital emergency room, our staff is responsible to ensure that needed resources are on hand and immediately available for dispatch worldwide. We are located in a secure facility in Ann Arbor, Michigan. Our facility is available 24-hours a day, seven days a week to respond to calls for assistance from government agencies.

We are also a Uniden Master Distributor. We are not a Uniden Dealer. As a Uniden Master Distributor, we are under contract with Uniden (and other manufacturers) to
distribute the products they make to other Uniden Distributors. Our dealers are not under contract with Uniden. As a Master Distributor, we are responsible for purchasing inventory typically in semi-truck loads. Many orders are over 1,000 radios per purchase. We then sell to other distributors who in turn sell to Uniden Dealers who usually resell to end-users.
It can sometimes take up to two months for a product to reach the end-user after we resell it to the
distributor who sells it to the dealer and finally to you. We call this procedure "filling the distributor pipeline." Many end-users get frustrated waiting to purchase product from their dealer because of the initial waiting time to fill the pipeline. As an accommodation to end-users, who can not find the item from their local dealers or are frustrated waiting for a new item as the distributor pipeline is filling, we welcome end users to purchase products directly from CEI by visiting our web site at http://www.usascan.com and sending us E-mail or calling 1-800-USA-SCAN to order.

As you may know Dan, a CEI customer benefit is our 61 day satisfaction guarantee return policy. When an item is returned to us, we return it to Uniden as part of our quality assurance
program. After a quality control inspection, Uniden then offers our returned merchandise directly to the end user. Please visit the Uniden web site at http://www.uniden.com to get some great values on our returned inventory.

> Then why do you advertise in most radio mags for consumer business?

To reach our government clients, we advertise in many different magazines. For example: Firehouse Magazine is edited for the fire service industry. The primary audience is America's 1,500,000 paid and volunteer firefighters (call 1-800-825-8577 for a subscription).

The Journal of Counterterrorism & Security International readership is made up of top administrators in the law enforcement, military, government agency and corporate security field. Subscribers are primarily police chiefs, state police, sheriff departments, weapons training facilities, federal law enforcement agencies, marshals service, CIA, FBI, ATF, DOD, military bases, airports and embassies. To subscribe call 703-243-0993 or visit their web site at http://www.securitynet.net.

Monitoring Times is edited for the recreational radio hobbyist and also for communications professionals; consultants, technicians and administrators of commercial, government and military operations. To subscribe call 828-837-9200 or visit their web site at http://www.grove.net.

Law Enforcement Technology is edited for police chiefs, sheriffs, commissioners, directors and other commanding officers. It offers police professionals the latest in products,
technology and management innovations. It closely aligns itself with the needs of the more than 500,000 US municipal, county, state and federal law enforcement personnel. From monthly
columns on training, computers, weapons and clothing to full scale features on hi-tech communications, elite tactical forces, Law Enforcement Technology offers a blend of news and information for the "cop of the future". To subscribe call 920-563-1726.

We do a great deal of advertising as one division of Communications Electronics is an ad agency. We also do direct mail, radio and television ads appearing in various markets.
In addition, we sponsor cooperative advertising programs with our dealers to support their marketing efforts in distributing our products. Some products may only be offered to our government customers through controlled distribution advertising.

> >For many reasons, different companies have different pricing policies. It would be
> >impossible for me to speak about other companies shipping and restocking policies so
> >I won't. I can however, tell you about the shipping/handling and insurance policy at
> >our organization, Communications Electronics Inc. (CEI) where I work.
> >CEI has different divisions of our organization that distribute Trunk
> >Tracker scanners the largest users being our Emergency Operations
> >Center, Dealer Products Group and Government Products Division.
> >
> >As an Emergency Operations Center, our number one priority is keeping
> >government mission critical communications systems on line all the time.
> >When a government agency suffers damage from a man-made or natural disaster,
> >since CEI serves the people who serve the people, our highest priority is to
> >restore the damaged infrastructure. To provide 24 hour arond-the-clock service to
> >government agencies, our costs are passed back to our clients. However, as
> >an accommodation to non-government customers who want to purchase items
> >through our Emergency Operations Center, our EOC has an outreach program to
> >serve customers worldwide that can not be serviced by a local dealer.
>
> I can't believe this....

I can understand why you don't believe we are registered as an Emergency OperationsCenter. There are very few privatized
organizations like us with an emergency management
facility and a warehouse of products. For verification, you might want to check out our

registration with the U.S. Department of Defense, Central Contractor Registration at http://ccr.edi.disa.mil/ccr/cgi-bin/query.pl. Enter our D-U-N-S number 020893541. Or if you prefer, call Dun & Bradstreet and order a business information report.

> >For almost 30 years, to accomplish this mission we have found it necessary
> >to stockpile massive quantities of inventory. For example, last year, during a phase
> >II FEMA inspection of our facility during an Urban Search & Rescue task
> >force sponsorship evaluation, FEMA inspected our inventory for mission
> >readiness. The cost for our building alone with specialized facility power
> >and phone system was over one million. Our on hand inventory has significant
> >warehousing costs. As an example, assuming a 10% annual return on investment,
> >it cost us approximately $100,000 per million dollars of inventory stored in our
> >distribution center. To serve our clients properly, we are required to carry an extensive
> >inventory. In our organization, this cost is passed along to our customers
> >and clients. When we need a new or critical item, our staff have
> >traveled anywhere to a manufacturers location to pickup inventory. I've
> >earned over three million frequent flyer miles procuring inventory. For
> >most of our government customers, our flat shipping/handling/insurance
> >charge of $18.00 per radio for ground shipping or $36.00 for 2nd day air
> >shipping in the continental USA seemed to be a break-even proposition.
> >I agree our $36.00 flat charge for air shipping could be a burden for a consumer.
>
> OK fine....cover your costs in an increased product price, and charge
> the NORMAL shipping costs.

As stated before, operating an Emergency Operations Center is significantly different than your local radio dealer. Our handling costs are higher and this is passed back to our customers in our shipping/handling costs. Most of our dealers stock two maybe three radio scanners such as the Bearcat 895XLt or BC235XLT. As a master distributor, at any time, we could have thousands of radios in stock. Inventory carrying costs to support our mission to our primary customers is expensive.

> FEMA inspects you to make sure you have enough SCANNERS in stock?

As our Emergency Operations Center provides mission critical supplies to Urban Search and Rescue Teams who respond to major disasters, it is essential to have our equipment ready to respond at any time. An evaluation team from FEMA came to our facility specifically to inspect our equipment such as scanners, two-way transceivers, batteries and other equipment. FEMA requires Urban Search and Rescue Teams to have a comprehensive equipment cache and have total self-sufficiency for immediate mission response. FEMA checks to make sure a Search and Rescue Team has rations for 72 hours of operations, housing and feeding facilities, acoustic, fiber optic and video search equipment, satellite, digital phone and radio equipment capable of providing local, regional and global communications of voice, data and fax transmissions. Our Emergency Operations Center is routinely audited for mission readiness by different clients.

> >We purchase so much equipment, that CEI is a Master Distributor for companies
> >such as Uniden, Cobra and others. Our non-government customers have requested to
> >purchase radio equipment from us to accommodate those needs we set up
> >focus groups to determine what our customers wanted. Many customers told us

> >they wanted a liberal return policy, most items in stock, most credit cards
> >accepted, toll-free phone lines. As we added more and more benefits,
> >the costs for staffing and facilities costs have increased which was passed on
> >to customers. For example, our 61 day return policy instead of a 15-25%
> >restocking fee increases our operational costs overall but this is what most of
> >our customers wanted. On the other hand, our procurement costs for equipment
> >because of our volume purchasing saves us money and these savings are passed on to you.
> >Hopefully this explains why the cost for some items from us are lower than average while
> >shipping/handling is higher than average. I'm told our total cost including
> >shipping is in some cases lower than purchasing from a local dealer. This is probably
> >why customers tell us they save saved as much as $50.00 per radio even after
> >the shipping charge is included when purchasing from CEI.
>
> This is very true. No argument with that.
>
> >As a Uniden Master Distributor, it is our responsibility to make sure you get the
> >Uniden product you want when you need it. If shipping/handling/insurance charges
> >on Uniden Trunk tracker products are a concern, we encourage you to shop
> >at your local Uniden retailer in your area. To assist you in finding a local
> >Uniden retailer, Uniden has a retail locator available anytime by calling
> >1-800-297-1023 and following the voice prompts. While we encourage our dealers
> >to stock over 110 different Uniden items including the Bearcat 235XLT,
> >Bearcat 895XLT trunk tracker scanners, and other communications products,
> >most dealers only will only stock a few items.
>
> Thanks for the lesson on how to find a vendor.

We're here to help. We are at your service.

> >Unfortunately most dealers do not make enough profit and go out of business
> >within three years. When a dealer goes out of business it is a sad day for us
> >as all of our time and effort of training their staff and the relationship we have built
up
> >over the years is lost. In addition, many customers are disappointed. When Gilfer
> >short-wave and Electronic Equipment Bank (EEB) stopped serving the public this year,
their
> >customers were sad. I have spoken with hundreds of their former customers, as we have
> >acquired Gilfer's old phone numbers 1-800-GILFER1 as well as EEB's old number
> >1-800-368-3270.
> >
> >If you think you can do a better job and want to become dealer selling our products,
> >we welcome new dealers. We feel it serves our customers better when they can
> >get our products locally. If you want to try and are willing to purchase $2,000 of
product
> >per order as a dealer, register your company with your state or county, get a tax ID
number and
> >call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN to discuss a dealership.
>
> No thanks

Most people would agree with you. Dealers find it difficult to please their customers who do not support them with sufficient profitable purchases to keep them in business.

> >Please remember, we are not a conventional dealer. We are a master distributor and an
> >Emergency Operations Center. As such, to satisfy the emergency needs of our clients, our
> >overhead in operating this facility is significantly higher than our dealers. This is why we
> >recommend you support and shop and your local Uniden dealer near you.
>
> Ken, this makes no sense...
> Again...DO YOU , or DO YOU NOT want the business of individual radio
> consumers?

For almost 30 years, we welcome business from everyone worldwide.
It does not matter to us if you purchase our Uniden product from us or your local Uniden dealer. To make it convenient for you, we try to have Uniden and other products widely distributed across the US, and US territories as well as military bases but we go the extra mile every day to try to get you the Uniden product you want when our independent dealers do not have product in stock. Please remember, our primary client is government and rescue agencies. As a government supplier, we are required by law, to give priority to certain government orders.

> >I'm told, next weekend, we should have a crowd of amateur radio operators operating radios
> >during field day at our two-acre Emergency Operations Center facility in Ann Arbor, Michigan.
> >If you are in the neighborhood, please stop by so you may appreciate the scope of our operations.
>
> I am sure Ann Arbor is beautiful in June, but I will pass...

Actually Ann Arbor is hot and sweaty today. You can call our 24 hour Weather lineat 734-994-9000 (another CEI division) for
our automatic surface observing system.
Thousands of people call our weather systems worldwide for up to the second time and weather information daily.

> >We are always interested in reducing the overall cost of our operations as long
> >as we are not sacrificing emergency response time or service to our clients. If you have
> >any suggestions where we can save our clients money through a reduction in operational
> >costs, we are interested in your suggestions. We listen to our customers and act on what
> >you tell us. After looking at our web site, if you have suggestions to share with us,
> >please don't keep it yourself. Let me know how we can serve you better. We are updating
> >our web site survey section at this time so please send E-mail instead of using the survey.
>
> >As this discussion of shipping is drifting off the subject of scanning and more towards retail
> >management,
> >so as not to waste bandwidth, feel free to E-mail or phone. I hope I have answered your
> >questions regarding our Trunk tracker and shipping pricing policy. If it doesn't,
> >please call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN or send me an E-mail at cei@mediaone.net.
>

> Ken...
>
> Thank you for the concise, albeit lengthy explanation of your
> business, I am sure we are all more enlightened now. The above is
> very hard to swallow in one gulp, and I may have to go back and read
> it over to fully digest it all.
>
> Your current price on an 895 XLT, even with the high shipping and
> handling charge is lower then most, if not ALL other vendors. Despite
> all of the above, no one can argue with a good deal.
>
> Again, if you review my initial response below, apparently prompting
> the above , you will see that I agree there openly that your pricing
> is low. I may even make a purchase from you in the near future. I
> simply questioned why the shipping and handling was so high.
>
> Now that I know the funds are used for an "Emergency Operations
> Center" in Ann Arbor, Michigan, I wouldn't feel as bad paying it....
>
> 8)
>
> Dan

I hope this clairifies our operation but if it doesn't , we're just a phone call or E-mail away.
Your suggestions help us get the kind of products, services and information that will be most
useful to you. E-mail me at cei@mediaone.net with your ideas.

> >Ken Ascher - Chairman/CEO WB8LIT
> >Communications Electronics Inc., Emergency Operations Center
> >http://www.usascan.com Phone: 734-996-8888 FAX: 734-663-8888
> >
> >dconti2@carroll.com wrote:
> >
> >> On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 20:30:27 -0400, David Ballenger
> >> <ballenger@erols.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I bought mine mail order at Communications Electronics in Michigan for
> >> >about $ 240 + $ 18 shipping. I received it two days after ordering with no
> >> >problems at all. They are at http://www.usascan.com. That is the lowest price
> >> >I've seen - others are at $ 300 or more.
> >>
> >> That is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor
> >> has to "mask" their profit in riduclously inflated shipping and
> >> handling costs.
> >>
> >> Dan
> >>
> >> >CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN wrote:
> >> >

> >> >> I WANT TO BUY A BEARCAT 895XLT , CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHERE TO GET A GOOD
> >> >> DEAL ON ONE???
> >> >>
> >> >> NEW , USED , DEMO O.K.

2961. SC150 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <358F22F6.3AC1F64B@flash.net>
Source record: 155855

What MODS are there for the Bearcat SC150?

2962. UBC3000xlt battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marcio Teixeira de Oliveira <mto@dcc.ufmg.br>
Message-ID: <Pine.SOL.3.96.980622181141.19855A-100000@turmalina>
Source record: 155856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

How serious is to charge the UBC3000xlt battery with 12v 800ma?

Marcio Teixeira
mto@dcc.ufmg.br

2963. Garage Door Openers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Comer <dcomer@dmcmicro.com>
Message-ID: <358F2A62.13881C4C@dmcmicro.com>
Source record: 155857

Anyone know what band garage door openers operate
at? No, I don't want to listen to them, just wonder what
their modulation content is.

Dave Comer
dcomer@rt66.com

2964. Re: Garage Door Openers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: TIgEas LAiR <kaliber@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358F46C8.673F109@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155858

Hi dave,

They operate in about 4 or 5 standard bands (for the most part)
from around 309 mhz to 390 mhz.... there are a few at about 402
mhz......there are a number of universal openers advertised these
days that will transmit on all of the most popular bands. These
remotes and the "Ramsey" sig amp kit and and the pushbutton to
computer
"interface" featured in a Nuts and Volts from last fall or winter....
are the basis for the universial garage door "lock picks"...these
also work nicely on car alarms and car door remotes, too. There
is a nifty $99.00 pulse grabbing rf sampling module with
software that is used to "GRAB" remote codes from the air.
I can hear my remote about 1/2 block down the street with
one. There are also a few "dedicated" remote garage opener
controller circuits that eliminate the need for the computer
control, listed on the web. There used to be a "novel" application
of the "signal grabber" and a tape recorder that sampled and
recorded a remote opener signal....then the signal was pulse
shaped (schmidt trig) from the tape speaker output back
into the multi remote opener modulator (the ic that controls the
mod transistor) thru the "ramsey" signal amp kit.... to reproduce
the captured signal for use later or ????..... This is an
interesting
topic and if the average "citizen" knew about the ease of signal
hacking these days, they would stick to the "GOOD OLE KEY"
as I do.....eh eh eh .... If you want a little more specific info or an

old schematic or two "from web publishings" I might be able to
dig one outa my "VAST" collection of fun info and tech data....

c-ya
a_alpha1 - TIgEas LAiR

P.S. - Needless to say that there are numerous "potentially
abusive" (illegal) apps for this info and please don't break the law
or take advantage of others with technical trickery....

Dave Comer wrote:

> Anyone know what band garage door openers operate
> at? No, I don't want to listen to them, just wonder what
> their modulation content is.
>
> Dave Comer
> dcomer@rt66.com

2965. Re: Aw, come on guys, grow up get with it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358F4CBD.5CB61527@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155859

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> I might be breaking the truce saying this but since nearly
> everyone else has it can't be that bad.
>

Hypocrite, Liar & Fraud ! You couldn't keep you're pie hole closed for more than 30 hours to save your life ! Keep flapping your gums Toilet Boy. Nobody said dick to you and you still couldn't honor you're own feeble truce.

It "was" nice while it lasted .....

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

2966. Re: allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358F5199.9E79B0BE@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155860

Evidently, Toilet Boy has gotten back on his medication but appears to be quite manic this evening.

This post ___ like mentioned below ___ isn't a flame or even a TROLL.

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> allsafe-
>
> Usually you only post stupid crap on here only meant to start trouble.
> But, this time is different!!!! You are probably on to something!
>

blah blah blah

> Even Bill Cheek would support a moderator as indicated by his rein
> as moderator of scanradio in Fidonet.
>
> LETS GO TO A MODERATED SYSTEM ON THIS NEWSGROUP!
>
>

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

2967. Re: [Off-topic] Vote for Welch!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: MarchHare@momeraths.org
Message-ID: <odvj10E6A5Tf092yn@momeraths.org>
Source record: 155861
Cross-posted to:
alt.config,news.groups,alt.tv.seinfeld,rec.aviation.student,rec.radio.scanner,alt.welch-family,rec.radio.scanner,sci.lang.translation

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

[Followups set]

In article <6mk0o2$afb$1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au> of alt.config,
21 Jun 1998 22:16:34 GMT, s302728@student.uq.edu.au (Ruediger Landmann) wrote:
>Sorry for the intrustion again, but:
>
>The much delayed Kook of the Month ballot for May is now available on
>alt.usenet.kooks. Running uncontested for the title of Clueless Newbie of
>the Month 1998 is our own dear Aaron "Grape Boy" Welch. Please take a
>moment to go over to a.u.k. and register your vote for Aaron, so that he
>can enjoy the title that he worked so hard for.

Yes!

John
- --
//------------------------------------------------------------------------
// momerath@apk.net sevot yhtils eht dna ,gillirb sawT`
// MarchHare@momeraths.org ebaw eht ni elbmig dna eryg diD
// ,sevogorob eht erew ysmim llA
// .ebargtuo shtar emom eht dnA
// In case of stupidity, break glass.

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: 2.6.3a
Charset: cp850

iQCVAwUBNY73jrMHJ4yl8MPNAQFGQgP/daEt/3ukI+wVGWy1RRuEvzSxPBJ3qtJ4
1f9gVJ03B65AKSF7JU55Gl8AJUqHz18ItyGsBU//ZjFUdU+nHxAu2NkjsZdnCaiO
7ri2nr0pLH8aJd1oKeCF2hyP21GwV3pb3DxebX3zY+jCwmUfITUlG5P1tA7MeqEs
jX1GfF8aVDo=
=h0W8
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2968. I'm looking for Hotkeys for Alan 65H

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: B.Bahl@t-online.de
Message-ID: <6mlmjc$hc8$1@news02.btx.dtag.de>
Source record: 155862

If anyone knows Hotkeys for the Alan 65H, please mail me

2969. FS NEW BC855 XLT (cell modifiable)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vegas69@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6ml1b7$ge0$1@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155914

I have a brand new BC855 xlt stock scanner which is cell modifiable for
sale!!
It receives 800 megahertz and air bands and is a portable lightweight
base unit!!
It comes with antenna,AC adaptor,instuction manual and warranty!!!
I am asking $100.00 firm incl.2 day priority shipping!!If intrested E
mai me at vegas69@webtv.net !! Thanks Barry

2970. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: TIgEas LAiR <kaliber@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358E12F2.35E86CDA@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155915

Hi John,
Some models of analog cellfones have "indeed" distinguished
thenselves as "good" cellular scanners.... the oki-900 possibly
being the most famous. This is usually done by attaching a
serial (sort of) cable to the fone (c-tek cable for the oki) and to
a portable computers' serial port. (or home unit) Then the
"special" software programs are run to allow the "scanner" type
oiperation.
. They have squelch operation , software based, using the "RSSI"
signal level from the fones.... This practice is, of course, ILLEGAL
in the U.S.A. now, ...and the use of the "special" software is really
"grey area" as it will usually allow "complete cellular operation
from the computer keyboard, including changing the esn/min
numbers for cellular fraud operation. (making free calls)
An interesting "educational" radio topic none-the-less and
there are "massive" volumes of "special" software/info/rom
pgms available on the subject. Besides the "LEGAL" ramifications
of this subject, the practical aspect is that the cellular equipment
and cables. et al are "very expensive" relativly speaking, and
the "useful" models are highly sought after, these days. It is
"MUCH" less expensive to just get a regular radioshack scanner
to do the job. If you want more info on this topic, try the attached
url and look at the "Black Philes" cd rom software collection.

c-ya
TIgEas LAiR

John E. Grimestad wrote:

> I have been told it's possible to use a cell phone as a scanner for the
> 800mhz band. I was led to believe it was quite simple on most popular
> cell phones. Can anyone shed some light on this for me? Thank you in
> advance.
>
> --
> John ._______|_______.
> \(*)/
> o/ \o

2971. HF-150 S-meter mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard.Whitcombe@BTInternet.com
Message-ID: <358e3945.13085345@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 155916

Hi, Can anyone help me with information on the mod. for the Lowe
HF-150 set to add an external S-meter ?
This meter comes with the SP-150 (or AP150 cant remember) audio filter
unit. I bought a DSP processor instead of this and therefore have no
S-meter. If anyone can supply me with construction details /
schematic diagram or even a web site with this info on id appreciate
it.

TIA

Please reply via EMAIL to:
Richard.Whitcombe@BTInternet.com
ICQ UIN# 1556459
South Wales, UK

2972. Re: JUST ADDED MY FILTERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980622113710000.HAA28965@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155917

>From: Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor Tripp)
>Date: Sun, Jun 21, 1998 22:00 EDT

>Heheh,, filters almost rid this group of the crap. But make it
>readable. Unfortunately, it's only wishful thinking to imagine not
>needing to maintain a kill filter for the dozen and a half lost souls
>that post here only to jab at each other.

That includes you, of course.

2973. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980622122659O1.IAA01388@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155918

In article <01bd9d9c$79841440$844722cf@klfisher>, "Kevin Fisher"
<klfisher@compusmart.ab.ca> writes:

>I think it is in the best interest of everyone for this crap to stop.

Speak for yourself. Some of us enjoy the entertainment AND the scanner info.

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

2974. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mliqm$3de@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155919

Cheeky Cheek...

--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <358DBB03.6C14@parg.org>...
>Reddog wrote:
>>
>> My thoughts exactly.
>>
>> Jeff Ekblad wrote in message <358D7543.91186519@win.bright.net>...
>> >Why don't you two morons start your own disscusion group and get the
>> >fuck out of this one once and for all!
>
>Yes, I have attempted to get Cheek to agree to SEVERAL truce
>options but he consistantly rejects them.
>
>How sad that Cheek will not let this end!

2975. Re: flame wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mlj7b$4u9@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155920

Because you're here.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Bill Cheek wrote in message <359158a9.404419257@news2.cts.com>...
>thelibnet@aol.com (TheLibNet) wrote:
>
>> In article <358D2895.6AA6@geocities.com>, Barry
<arizonaoffroad@geocities.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >these newsgroups are the worst i have seen for all the flame wars. it
>> >looks like mackey, cheek and others have nothing better to do with their
>> >lives than spend time posting all the bullshit.
>>
>> Try the ham radio newsgroups. Makes Mackey vs Cheek look like a church
picnic
>> by comparison.
>
>What makes you think this isn't
>a church picnic? <g>
>

2976. Re: flame wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mljg0$63e@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes.

Giving Michael Derryberry credit for his great line, this is to everyone
actively participating in this crap: Shut-up and go back to your little hole
and hide.

I just love that statement. As I told Michael, it reminds me of exactly what
it is supposed to: a small scared animal.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

XXXbadservice@home.com wrote in message ...
>You can thank the old fart Billy Cheek for that.
>I guess the Viagra pills don't work for him anymore.
>Got to get his kicks by picking fights with everyone.
>
>I hear he's in poor health and may not be with us for much longer. Seems
>after his 10-4 Good buddy book can't sell anymore he no longer can afford
>the cost of expensive medication.
>Just treat him like any other sick person. Pity him, feel sorry for him,
>give him the attention he so desperately seeks. He's not a normal person.
>Billy has been taking in too much aluminum and he forgets things once in
a
>while, like his meds for that twitch in his left cheek. Poor old boy. :-(
>
>
>
>
>Barry wrote in message <358D2895.6AA6@geocities.com>...
>>these newsgroups are the worst i have seen for all the flame wars. it
>>looks like mackey, cheek and others have nothing better to do with their
>>lives than spend time posting all the bullshit.
>>
>>you are also wasting other subscriber's time by having to wade through
>>all your ranting and raving about nothing.
>>
>>GROW UP!!!!!!!!
>
>

2977. Re: Scrambled Sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <358E51CD.BA0@qsl.net>
Source record: 155922

BOSOX wrote:
>
> Is there a way to unscramble the donald duck sound that comes from the
> cordless phones freqs?
>
> TIA

Yep! There are a few stand alone kits and assembled kits that do this.
There is also software out there to do the same....I never could get it
to work and wanted something that I could use portable so I got the CTP
pre-built kit....It kicks ass! Go to my site below to get a idea of what
its like.

--
Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
Or just click on the address below!
mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net

Web site at:
http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp
KD4LYP's amateur radio and scanner page!
Reviews on: Opto Cub and CPT De-scrambler.
Humor on "how to be a LID" and "D.I.R.T.S."
East Tennessee frequencies along with the general ones.
Pictures of my Equipment

2978. Re: Pro-508

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <358E53DC.1925@qsl.net>
Source record: 155923

JTWard01 wrote:
>
> OK, I found out that the Pro-508 was a 10-channel table model, cat. no. 20-408.
> According to the computer at my local RS store the current selling price is
> $12.97, which of course is still pretty good.

I called rat shack and they laughted! Said they went on sale months and
sold out shortly after. I was going to buy 10 of them.

--
Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
Or just click on the address below!
mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net

Web site at:
http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp
KD4LYP's amateur radio and scanner page!
Reviews on: Opto Cub and CPT De-scrambler.
Humor on "how to be a LID" and "D.I.R.T.S."
East Tennessee frequencies along with the general ones.
Pictures of my Equipment

2979. Re: Buying from this newsgroup's ads -what's the best procedure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: VE7SEZ <nospampoustie@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <6mllj0$gvf@examiner.concentric.net>
Source record: 155924

#1 with a money order instead of a cheque. Seller contacts you by e-mail on receipt of funds, and upon shipping the item. Look the seller up on deja news, see what kind of person they seem to be by reading their previous posts. Frequent posters will value their reputation! I always check for a ham callsign; for some reason I trust hams more than average people. You know where they live, hehehe. If you are uneasy you can use COD, but it has it's drawbacks; you can easily receive a brick in the package! There are internet escrow services that seem to have reasonable charges. I've never used them, but on a high value item I think I would if I was unsure of the other party.

Remember that most people are honest, and problems in internet swap transactions are very rare, and often related to poor packaging more than any other factor. (freight damage!) I have bought and sold about 10 items on the net, always received what I paid for, but not always in the same condition as it was promised, due to poor packaging. In both cases I was able to fix the rigs myself, but if not then this could have been a major transaction problem!

Tim

Dave Weber wrote in message <358dd3cb.7035025@news.wwa.com>...
>I've seen some equipment ads on this newsgroup that seem very
>interesting. If I decide to buy the equipment from an e-mail message,
>whats the usual procedure to provide some safety to both sides ? I
>assume I can't go see the seller or the equipment., but that seller
>ans me agree to a price and who pays shipping.
>
>1. I get mailing address (no P.O. boxes), phone number and I mail
>personal check to seller. Seller sends me equipment after the check
>clears. (This seems to add some safety since I know the seller's
>name, phone, address, and e-mail address)
>
>2. Seller sends me equipment, I send cash or check after it arrives
>and fits seller's description (I would like this, seller may not)
>
>3. Some other method
>
>Any thoughts would be appreciated

2980. Icom 7000 Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Turner Blanchard <muc38@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6mlmtd$jti@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155925

Can anyone tell me what an Icom R7000 25MHz - 1.3GB Unblocked is worth??
This unit also has the working remote control for it.

Turner
muc38@ix.netcom.com

2981. Freqs for NY -> FL for Road Trip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris135@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062214093600.KAA08154@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155926

Hi

I am taking to a trip from Long Island, NY to Lady Lake, FL next month.
I was wondering if any of you can e-mail me with key freqs. along I-95 in (NJ,
DE, MD, WashDC, VA, NC, SC, GA, and FL.)

Appreciation in advance.

73s
Unit 585

Chris135@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/scanman295

2982. Uniden's Web Page (Where is it?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980622142016000.KAA08996@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155927

Hi & thanks for reading.
I'm looking for Uniden's home page.
Mainly looking for there product lists and descriptions such as, Freq. range, controls, options, ETC.
If you know and can send me the direct link, that would be great.
Thank you in advance...
TRAMD300@aol.com

2983. Re: Interesting Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: herewe@go.again
Message-ID: <35975a11.8386169@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 155928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

14 over the weekend, eh loony ?

Time to start up my campaign to get you booted again since you haven't learned
your lesson !

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 01:23:40 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

>Bill Cheek wrote:
>
>> Here are the vital statistics of John Mackey's
>> home ISP where he wreaks his vengeance and
>> conducts his reign of terrorism. Interesting
>> how PARG.ORG appears to be a customer of
>> TELEPORT.COM,
>
>Yea, we use teleport for our host!
>
>What's your point Bill?

2984. Re: Icom R-100 Woes... any other owners here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: edscma@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980622143018800.KAA09822@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155929

>
>I own an Icom R-100... It appears to be suffering from
>severe intermod on the VHF band... I was wondering if anyone else
>had this same problem. I

That was the reason I did not keep mine. Even on a back of the set whip
antenna it still got clobbered.

I said the heck with it and sold mine.
Ed

2985. Re: Uniden's Web Page (Where is it?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Erik Evertz <evert-er@reze-1.rz.rwth-aachen.de>
Message-ID: <358E7A1E.5FFA4E3@reze-1.rz.rwth-aachen.de>
Source record: 155930

TRAMD300 wrote:
>
> Hi & thanks for reading.
> I'm looking for Uniden's home page.
> Mainly looking for there product lists and descriptions such as, Freq. range,
> controls, options, ETC.
> If you know and can send me the direct link, that would be great.
> Thank you in advance...
> TRAMD300@aol.com

Try http://www.uniden.com/

Erik

2986. Re: Fairly important question about >>>BC700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <6mlru4$ec8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155931

I believe that there are 10 private channels that you can program into each of the search bands. Police/Fire/Marine
Eddie Kosloski
scanist@acmenet.net

In article <358c18b2.165088069@news>,
mtsusean?@?nashville.com (Sean Jones) wrote:
>
> I have one of these models I purchased a few years ago. I was poking
> around at the Uniden site and noticed that they advertise this model
> now as a "50" channel receiver while mine has only access to 20 in
> private mode. Is this just the way it is.
>
> ? What are the other 30 channels.
> ? Does mean there are newer BC700A models
>

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

2987. Re: anyone know what this freq is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6mlq9n$215m$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 155932

Derek Yerger wrote in message <358DA26B.DA59097D@icdc.com>...
>938.5125
>938.6000
>both have ticking sound, i think it might be for the radio shack
atomic
>clock and others like that, but im not sure. anyone have any info on
it?

It would help if we knew where you were? These freqs might be used
for Mobitex data.

2988. Re: Icom 7000 Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gknox@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <358e73af.1548360@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155933

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 09:43:16 -0400, "Turner Blanchard"
<muc38@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Can anyone tell me what an Icom R7000 25MHz - 1.3GB Unblocked is worth??
>This unit also has the working remote control for it.
>
>Turner
>muc38@ix.netcom.com
>
>

About $800US if it's in excellent condition.

Greg Knox

2989. FS: Bearcat 855XLT...800 restored, 100 memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Lessard <dlessard@telplus.net>
Message-ID: <358EA64F.153E@telplus.net>
Source record: 155934

I have a Bearcat 855 XLT scanner for sale. It has the 800 band restored
and also the memory has been increased from 50 channels to 100 channels.
In excellant condition. Includes the original box, adapter and antenna.
No manual. $125....includes shipping by C.O.D. If interested e-mail me
at
dlessard@telplus.net.

2990. FS: Radio Shack Pro2035>>Pro2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf5oj@iamerica.net
Message-ID: <358e8207.712208@news.iamerica.net>
Source record: 155935

FS: Radio Shack PRO2035 > 2042

I have a PRO2035 with an UK version Pro2042 logic board
installed (No Gaps). The radio has no other mods and is
cosmetically perfect. Included is owner's/Service manuals
for both Pro2035 and Pro2042 and original box.

$280 Shipped in US

Clifton Turner KF5OJ
2224 B Webster St.
Alexandria, LA 71301-5541
kf5oj@iamerica.net

2991. FS: Yaesu FRG 100B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ukb@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062216252500.MAA24677@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155936

For sale Yaesu FRG-100B with keypad. Also comes with the FM board installed. All in original box and manuals. Mint condistion. I'll pay shipping. Asking $400.00

Rich/N2UKB

2992. PRO60 skips?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbake777@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062216541900.MAA23631@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155937

I have a RS Pro60 and while scanning (or locked on a freq) it kind of skips.
you can hear the scan crystal clear except for this annoying skip every 2 secs.
the screen gives a quick flash as well. I know it isn't the batteries because
it does it when connected to the ac/dc cords. It also doesn't matter which
anntenna I'm using either. I appreciate any and all opinions.....hopefully it
isn't serious.
Chris Baker
94 RMX 250
88 Z50R (The Beast)
Chris Baker
94 RMX 250
88 Z50R (The Beast)

2993. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <e9wj1.8500$r5.4003553@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 155938

You should be frend of Mr. Mackey. He need
frend ver much. Dong Wah

Bill Cheek wrote in message <358bed85.245888087@news2.cts.com>...
>"Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com> wrote:
>
>> Yes this Mr. Wah. You not the frend of Mr. Mackey?
>> Dong Wah
>
>Ha ha ha ha ha. Nooooooooo, I'm not exactly
>"Mr." Mackey's "frend". And if you were
>halfway smart, you wouldn't be, either.
>
>I noticed that you tried to talk scanners
>with Smacksie.... and I guess that was a
>good try....but he won't do it. He has
>no interest in scanners and scanning.
>
>I see you are on Roadrunner, too. Cool.
>It's the hottest thing going...beats the
>living snot out of modems, ISDN, and even
>a T1 line....several T1's for that matter.
>
>So what part of town are you in? I'm near
>the Miramar Air Station.
>
>-- ----
>Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
>COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
>Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
>bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
>http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
>-- ---
>
>

2994. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5fwj1.8504$r5.4007842@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 155939

You should try be frend of Mr. Mackey because
him not do good at this newsgroup. Have ver
many bads enemy. I think. So sory. Dong Wah

Jeff Ekblad wrote in message <358D7543.91186519@win.bright.net>...
>
>
>Why don't you two morons start your own disscusion group and get the
>fuck out of this one once and for all!
>
>CHRIST you two are pathetic as hell!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>Quit wasting our time with your BS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>Jeff Ekblad
>

2995. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Nfwj1.8505$r5.4008556@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 155940

You be frend to Mr. Mackey like me?
Dong Wah

Reddog wrote in message <6mk3turks1@winter.news.erols.com>...
>My thoughts exactly.
>
>
>
>
>Jeff Ekblad wrote in message <358D7543.91186519@win.bright.net>...
>>
>>
>>Why don't you two morons start your own disscusion group and get the
>>fuck out of this one once and for all!
>>
>>CHRIST you two are pathetic as hell!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>>Quit wasting our time with your BS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>>Jeff Ekblad
>>
>
>

2996. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dong Tong Wah <dongwah@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <adwj1.8503$r5.4006427@proxye1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 155941

I wish to be Mr. Mackey frend but him no
write to me. I send him email and also to
him at newsgroup. Him be in much sorrow
I think because no body like him to much.
Mackey need good frend at newsgroup. Do
you wish be Mackey frend to? Dong Wah

allsafe wrote in message <358B127C.3C7F0E6A@netwit.com>...
>
>
>Dong Tong Wah wrote:
>
>> Yes this is Mr. Wah can I help plees?
>>
>
>Yes, honorable Mr. Wah. Mr. Mackey need much help. You very
>honorable for offering to help. NG think Mr. Mackey very bad man.
>Very bad man. You help, OK?
>
>You be Mr. Mackey Friend. You help Mackey. You help Mackey with
>english, it not so good, Yes? Mr. Mackey be wise to accept help from
>honorable Mr. Wah.
>
>Maybe Mr. Wah help Mr. Mackey english OK?
>
>Mr. Mackey no understand "You friggin' loon". Mr. Wah help Mr.
>Mackey understand, OK?
>

2997. Re: Uniden's Web Page (Where is it?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry.adair@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mm3t0$993@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155942

Try www.uniden.com

TRAMD300 <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
19980622142016OO.KAA08996@ladder03.news.aol.com...
>Hi & thanks for reading.
>I'm looking for Uniden's home page.
>Mainly looking for there product lists and descriptions such as, Freq.
range,
>controls, options, ETC.
>If you know and can send me the direct link, that would be great.
>Thank you in advance...
>TRAMD300@aol.com
>

2998. Re: PRO60 skips?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <358f9399.990964@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155943

>I have a RS Pro60 and while scanning (or locked on a freq) it kind of skips.
>you can hear the scan crystal clear except for this annoying skip every 2 secs.
>the screen gives a quick flash as well. I know it isn't the batteries because

You have your PRI switch set. One of the channels is a priority channel and
your scanner is checking to see if there's activity on it.

2999. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Usuckmeof4free@juno.com
Message-ID: <358e927e.4184004@news.flink.com>
Source record: 155944

On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 08:12:19 -0400, allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
wrote:

>That's depressing. The house has the plastic stuff inside, but it
>connects to a copper pipe that runs from the street. Will that work? If
>I connect the ground wire to that copper pipe.
>

Yes, I had the same problem you probably mean the gas line it will
work just don't puncture the gas line doing it. I grabbed on to one
of the brackets. I have a vertical on the roof and I can pick up
things from quite a distance. I also found that an FM trap helps out
considerably.

http://www.flink.com/users/unholey/index.htm

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: 2.6.2

iQCVAwUBNJicaMuHmRYQvlydAQGI9wP9GWl6OYbyqEUJpXHlRjMDsEoWYZhnF+vQ
ONZ5hxnCz+3yUby7pm0HITelLBPyIxvdAlcUgN+NnjlIf4lD9+uUsEQQ0jzmE1cU
M7orcaQ5VbwaAeaagdPj9wA3N0Y4y36GegtuJe+KqTCvbmGs/lhAdj9amtz4wiim
dMz46/yLXl8=
=Uimx
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

http://www.pgp.com/

3000. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <358E212E.3D1AE55E@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 155945

The GE cell phones have a service mode whereby you can press a sequence of keys and the radio goes into a manual service mode. You need to press a second key to manually step up to the next channel increment. I don't remember the exact key sequence; however, it should be known by any Authorized Ge service center.

Mike

3001. Re: PRO60 skips?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbake777@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062218261300.OAA07906@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155946

Thank you for pointing out what an idiot I am. I called my local Radio Shack and explained the problem to them and the reply was

" We will have to send it to the repair shop, minimum labor of $49.00 not including parts. You can expect it to be gone for 2-3 weeks" .

WOW.

Thanks again>I have a RS Pro60 and while scanning (or locked on a freq) it kind of skips.
>>you can hear the scan crystal clear except for this annoying skip every 2 >secs.
>>the screen gives a quick flash as well. I know it isn't the batteries >because
>
>You have your PRI switch set. One of the channels is a priority channel and >your scanner is checking to see if there's activity on it.
>
>

Chris Baker
94 RMX 250
88 Z50R (The Beast)

3002. Frequences for Unlimited Hydroplanes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: road1999@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mmda7$3c1$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155947

The APBA is coming to Detroit!

Can anyone tell me where I can find the frequences used by the Unlimited Hydroplanes? Miss Budwiser and all the rest of those Big Bad Boys?

Thanks,

Road

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3003. This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mmcgc$25c$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155948

i've sat by watching all this lunacy and said nothing. now i want to talk. the man called bill cheeks is a dick. he is an old worn out has been who tries to prey on those he can fool. he will never agree to any type of truce or plan to end the problems because he loves to cause problems and that is all he lives for.

i know bill cheeks from about 10 or 15 years ago in colorado, back when he started to modify scanners and cb radios. a two way shop in denver used to laugh at all the radios they had to fix after he had tried to work on them.

why he had to leave the colorado area is another story and why he had to live outside the Denver city limits is yet another story.

cheeks has no electronics knowledge other than what he could learn from the magazine popular electronics which he had subscribed to for many years. when I knew him in colorado he was taking a correspondence course to get his electronics degree from one of the mail order schools that advertise in popular electronics.

Then his wife left him, he had some legal problems arise in colorado, and he soon left the state.

The stories go on and on. Some one should ask cheeks about the satellite dish he blew up!

cheeks deserves everything he gets on here and more. the only ones who support him are the ones he can fool.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3004. Re: Radio Shack has your freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Boe <edboe@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6mm7b4$4g8@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155949

Yes Todd....that's who they want working for them.
I worked several years ago for RS. Thinking that because I enjoy radio monitoring
and am somewhat knowledgeable, I would do well. Not so. RS mgmt will tell you NOT to take the time with the customer who wants questions answered or a small part.
They want you selling the high end merchandise. The person who goes in thinking they can help people wind up losing commission and thus their job.
Your sales are monitored for time, if you sold a service plan, even if you sold batteries with a battery operated device. You had better.
I use Radio Shack scanners because they are easy to get. I never purchase anything without doing my homework, and I always ask for the manager when I do have a
question or need an obscure question answered. The managers don't strictly work on commission or minimum wage.
A month or so ago I went in to the local mall RS and asked the clerk where the batteries
advertised in the big sales flier were located and he said he didn't know.

Todd wrote:

> Yeah........sure........ok........whatever.......it's hard enough to get the
> salesman off there butt to sell me a battery, ...
> I just wish Rat Shack would do
> better screening of future employee's, seems in this area if you know how to
> turn on a stereo and turn the bass all the way up your hired!
>

3005. FS PRO-2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannist@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <358e9610.13860049@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 155950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a PRO-2001 for sale. I really don't know what the value is, but I'll know it when I see it. Can anyone here help me out with a price? it's about an 8+ out of ten, and needs to be tuned, but it DOES work, and cosmetically, it's about what you would expect for a radio it's age.

Thanx
DrPepper@iwvisp.com

3006. Tennelec MS 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Hay <tedh@xcelco.on.ca>
Message-ID: <6mm88n$8vg$1@nr1.toronto.istar.net>
Source record: 155951

Hi

Does anybody have a manuel or can copy one for a Tennelec memoryscan-MS 2.
I picked one up at a swap but have no info on operating it. It appears to
function when turned on. Is there a formula for programming it or does
every frequency have to be looked up on a chart?

Thanks Ted

3007. Fox Pac Programmer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Hay <tedh@xcelco.on.ca>
Message-ID: <6mm88q$8vg$2@nr1.toronto.istar.net>
Source record: 155952

Hi

Picked a Fox Pac Programmer up at a swap recently. At firs I thought it was a Fox BMP 60/10 scanner. It has a slot in the front to stick something in and a cord with a connector out of the rear. Has keyboard and frequency display and different functions on the keys labeled. I have know idea if its complete or not but If somebody has any info on this I would like to hear from them.

Thanks Ted

3008. radio frequences for Unlimited Hydroplanes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: road1999@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mmdn5$3mb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155953

Sorry if this is a re-post. This is my first time on Deja News.

Does anyone know where I can get the radio frequencies used by the Unlimited Hydroplanes. Miss Budweiser and all the rest of those guys.

There coming to Detroit in a couple of weeks!!!!

Thanks in advance,

Road

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3009. freq. req: SW Missouri Ozark lakes area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pat Miller <pert@tas.tas-kc.com>
Message-ID: <6mme1f$t9c$1@news1-alterdial.uu.net>
Source record: 155954

I will be spending some time in Hickory County MO-- the county seat is Hermitage near pomme de terre lake. It is a small county in the Quad lakes regon just south of the halfway point to Springfield from Kansas City.

I am not sure what sort of weather coverage to expect from the area.

What ever info you have (have the time for) would be great.

another town in the area I'll be in is Wheatland -- Like I said there is not much around here and I may have to just monitor Fire/PD/Sherrif/Water Patrol/etc. NWS does have a transmitter on Channel 5 162.45 simulcasting from another area. Of course I am searching for the 2 way freq's

Here are some surrounding counties/towns/lakes:

Henry COunty & Clinton (town) to the North West
Benton County & Warsaw (town) to the north
Polk County & Bolivar (town) to the south
Cedar county & Stockton (town &lake) to the South west
Cedar county & Stockton (town &lake) to the South west
St. Clair county & Osceola & Iconium (towns) H. Roe Bartle Scout Reservation located here -- to the West
Dallas County & Buffalo (town) to the east (They have a satalite to ground repeater)
Harry S. Truman lake is to the north

and of course to the east is Camden COunty with the lake of the ozarks and Camdenton (this may be too far east for my location)

--
Pat Miller--Communications Consult+ full/expanded info on web/finger
email/finger pmiller@tas-kc.com | http://www.nyx.net/~pmiller
voiceONEnumber 816-523-2474 | fax 816-968-968-5 (you-you5)
----------------------------------+Heartland TEC #145 155

3010. Best Software For 895XLT ?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: brents@erols.com
Message-ID: <MPG.ff7f0d850794576989680@news.erols.com>
Source record: 155955
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just purchased an 895XLT and am looking for comments on the best
software package to use with it.

Thanks in advance,

Brent
WB4QLK
--
brents@erols.com

3011. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Message-ID: <358EC231.7751@hacgate.com>
Source record: 155956

Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> i've sat by watching all this lunacy and said nothing. now i want to talk.
> the man called bill cheeks is a dick. he is an old worn out has been who
> tries to prey on those he can fool. he will never agree to any type of truce
> or plan to end the problems because he loves to cause problems and that is
> all he lives for.
>
> i know bill cheeks from about 10 or 15 years ago in colorado, back when
> he started to modify scanners and cb radios. a two way shop in denver used to
> laugh at all the radios they had to fix after he had tried to work on them.
>
> why he had to leave the colorado area is another story and why he had to live
> outside the Denver city limits is yet another story.
>
> cheeks has no electronics knowledge other than what he could learn from
> the magazine popular electronics which he had subscribed to for
> many years. when I knew him in colorado he was taking a correspondence
> course to get his electronics degree from one of the mail order schools
> that advertise in popular electronics.
>
> Then his wife left him, he had some legal problems arise in colorado,
> and he soon left the state.
>
> The stories go on and on. Some one should ask cheeks about the
> satellite dish he blew up!
>
> cheeks deserves everything he gets on here and more. the only ones
> who support him are the ones he can fool.

Hellooooooo Jerko. Posting from Dejanews, how quaint. Truth is no one can verify anything you wrote because we can't even verify who the hell you are. The only thing that truly identifies you is the same b.s. rhetoric we always hear from John "No fair, I'm telling my mommy!" Mackey about Bill Cheek (not Cheeks, moron.): He's known Bill for years, he can't fix radios worth a cheese doodle, and has no real certifications or degrees. People like you fail to realize some evident facts that anyone can see.

1. No one gives two turds in Thailand what the hell happened to you or Bill 15 friggin years ago. Ancient history. Take a pill, visit a shrink and move on.

2. Bill has forgotten more about scanners than most people (including myself) will ever know. That's not ass-kissing, it's just fact. Tell me

something genius, could YOU have figured out how to add 24000+ channels to a scanner? I couldn't. That alone shows he knows PLENTY about scanners and electronics, something that Mack-tard and friends have NEVER demonstrated.

3. The only people who sit here and blast away at Bill are stupid old fart hams who don't give a crap about scanning and just wanna come in here and start shit. Everyone who flames Bill comes here with that sole intention in mind and almost never posts anything relevant to the subject, AND these same morons pitch a bitch and drop me in their kill filters when I have the "nerve" to do the same to them. Friggin' hypocrites!

All I can say to you is if you aren't interested in scanning than take it elsewhere. Don't just come in here and bitch when you don't even belong here in the first place. What a goddamn surprise, you have been lurking for all this time and the only contribution you make is yet another assinine flame about Bill Cheek. Typical John Mackey fanaticism.

You friggin' loon!
-Phil

3012. Arizona frequencies ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Savoye <rob@welcomehome.org>
Message-ID: <358EBFF2.2F9B0B99@welcomehome.org>
Source record: 155957

I've been searching around the web for frequencies in Arizona,
specifically the Central-Eastern part of the state over near
Springerville & Show Low. So far all I can find are a few short lists
of frequencies in the Flagstaff and Phoenix areas, or the few items I
dug out of Police Call.

- rob -

3013. Re: Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: eclarke1@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <358ec32d.83562094@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155958

Check out the site http://www.radio-ware.com/ for the austin antenna
"spectra" I just got one and it works great.

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 05:47:44 GMT, smaynard@home.com (Sam Maynard)
wrote:

>I'm looking for a wide-band scanner antenna that has an NMO mount.
>Could someone steer me in the right direction?
>
>Thanks.
>
>Sam

--
Elbert Clarke
eclarke1@mindspring.com

3014. Re: Handheld Scanner Preamp!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: erics <estouch@goes.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9e20$37f09e20$b9f7c3d0@default>
Source record: 155959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

were can i go for a scanner preamp?

Jeff Doucette <paradiddle7@usa.net> wrote in article
<898544818.414806@michelob>...
> Hi,.....
> I'm looking for a Handheld scanner preamp like the GRE superamplifier or
the
> Jim M-75, M-51
> Thanks,...
> Jeff
>
> paradiddle7@usa.net
>
>
>

3015. Wanted: Tampa FL police "signals"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: ejdenni@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062221100900.RAA26405@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155960

Tampa, Florida police department uses "signal ##" calls in addition to using 10 codes. Does anyone have a listing of the signal codes Tampa uses?

Thanks in advance

3016. Re: Frequences for Unlimited Hydroplanes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <358ECC95.99947A58@mich.com>
Source record: 155961

I was a rescue diver for them last year, and being the true scanner geek that I am, I used my PRO-43 while sitting on one of the rescue boats ("TANGO #" boats) in full SCUBA gear...

Bud was in the 464 or 469MHz range. It was fascinating to monitor. I learned that for the most part, all the driver does is try to keep his hydroplane under control -- team spotters with radios & binoculars tell him whether to speed up/slow down, prepare for a turn, start to turn, how much time is left, whether someone is gaining on him, etc.

Some of the exact freqs are listed in the back of _Police Call_, which does have a hydroplane race freq section.

Even just being less than 70' away from the hydroplanes (as they zoom by at 170MPH!), they can be hard to monitor. They use low power, obviously low antennas, and the occupant is being bounced to hell. They don't talk much, except for profanity if they get cut off or their boat breaks.

ABPA officials have their own freqs, and there will be a handful of VHF marine freqs in use for race operations, rescue, course 'track' security (USCG), etc.

road1999@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> The APBA is coming to Detroit!
>
> Can anyone tell me where I can find the frequences used by the Unlimited
> Hydroplanes? Miss Budwiser and all the rest of those Big Bad Boys?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Road
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

3017. POCSAG HomePage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marco Kalmijn <mkalmijn@dds.nl>
Message-ID: <358ECE18.B3DD31C2@dds.nl>
Source record: 155962

Hello all over the world.

The Pocsag Page has been updated so have a look at it at:
http://huizen.dds.nl/~pocsag.
Greetings,

Marco

3018. Pocsag HomePage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marco Kalmijn <mkalmijn@dds.nl>
Message-ID: <358ECE4C.F081E271@dds.nl>
Source record: 155963

Hello all over the world.

The Pocsag Page has been updated so have a look at it at:
http://huizen.dds.nl/~pocsag.
Greetings,

Marco

3019. Re: Bill Cheek causing trouble round #27

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB306.1BF2@parg.org>
Source record: 155964

TraceyLevin wrote:
> John, leave Bill alone. He is far more interesting than you, and far
> more famous.

Yea, he's famous for all the trouble he causes!

3020. Re: Richard Kendrick reveals himself as a LIAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB510.CBE@parg.org>
Source record: 155965

Richard Kendrick wrote:
> As I suspected, another one of your lies.

Then post your proof that I am not being honest or be found
that YOU are the LIAR!

3021. Re: Richard Kendrick reveals himself as a liar and fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB654.7CC7@parg.org>
Source record: 155966

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> Another one of your litany of lies. Cheek has privately provided
> me with the data.

The members of this NG did NOT ask Cheek to provide YOU this data,
they asked him to provide it to the members of this NG as a WHOLE!
HE has REPEATEDLY fialed to do so, yet you hide & protect him
like his mother (or boyfriend)!

> I didn't ask Cheek for proof of *your* degree because *he* didn't
> claim *you* had a degree.

It's pretty sad when your only defense is to play silly word games like
above!

> If you want to shut me up, prove your
> degree. If you don't prove your degree, you will continue to be
> branded as a liar and I will constantly remind you and others of

If you will enforce this CONSISTANTLY then I will support &
comply! Will you enforce this consistantly?

> You're unwillingness to prove your degee is concrete proof you
> are a liar, a fraud, and lack any credibility or integrity what
> so ever.

Then you would have to say this for Cheek!

3022. [Fwd: Re: WiNRADiO Review]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB763.78D0@parg.org>
Source record: 155967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This was one of the ORIGINAL messages where BILL CHEEK started all
the flaming a few weeks ago. This message, in itself, is not all that
bad, but he caused it to snowball much worse from this point.

See for yourself, go to Dejanews & check it out!

During the last so called truce by God himself, it all started again.Bill Cheek
started stiring the shit over his flames that have now engulfed the NG.Each time
he just pisses farther and farther.

This message was forwarded to you from Deja News by rcl1@aol.com.
Deja News, the discussion network, offers free web-based access to more than
50,000 high-quality discussion forums. Come and visit us on the web at
http://www.dejanews.com/=zzz_maf/

(beginning of original message)

Subject: Re: WiNRADiO Review
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Date: 1998/05/14
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net> wrote:

> Greetings Fellow Hobbyists,
> I've just finished my review of the Rosetta Labs
> WiNRADiO WR-1000i receiver PC card.

....in which you said:

>" I have heard from some users who own mini tower
> PCs that this card is too long to fit inside! So
> keep that in mind, for sure.

Hearsay? Why are you reporting hearsay without
expressly calling attention to that fact? Why
should people "keep in mind" that sort of thing
when sheer objectivity can determine the TRUTH?

I have a dozen computers in my lab, all of which
can hold the 3/4-form factor AT WiNRADiO card.
Not a problem in desktops, mini/mid/full tower cases.
In fact, I have Intercept Technology's VADER card
that fits in all my computers too, and it is a FULL

AT form-factor card.

Hopefully, you won't continue to exhibit bias based
on hearsay. Go by the facts, and the fact is that
WiNRADiO comes on a 3/4 form-factor AT card. Which
just happens to fit the 16-bit ISA slots of all 286
and up PC's with exception of certain slots that
are in line with the CPU. But there is always one
or more slots capable of handling a full form factor
AT card. And WiNRADiO is only 3/4, sooooo ?????

Elsewhere, you ruminated:

> "Many will find the Spectrum Scope a great aid.
> But few people will buy this radio simply for these aspects.

How do you KNOW what people will buy and why or why not?
Are you trying to steer people in one direction or
another? Why? Do you think the radio hobby will
benefit by WiNRADiO going out of business?

Yeah, right. How's about mentioning the STARTING
price of the Icom PCR-1000 at over $600. If it
weren't for WiNRADiO, it would STILL be over $600.
At the very least, WiNRADiO drove down the price
of the Icom....and it's own, as well. So what
benefit is there to you or to the hobby by running
WiNRADiO out of business as you appear to be inclined?

I won't bother to comment on the rest of your verbose
review....after all, you are entitled to your opinions.
And you sure rendered plenty.......carefully couched
in the trappings of objectivity.

The record clearly shows you are an "Icom man",
so it is understandable how you would construe
the conclusion of your "objective" review.

You really should try to think BIG, though. WHICH
radio is the better for any given person is NOT
yours to decide. Yours is solely to report the
FACTS in an objective manner, and let the market
decide for itself which is the winner.

Even if you wear Icom baseball caps and tee shirts,
and chew Icom tobacco and Icom bubble gum, you
ought not to let that color your reviews. Unless,
of course, you're an Icom shill on their payroll
or something........ which you're not, right?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/

Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

(end of original message)

You can view this message and the related discussion by following this link:
http://www.dejanews.com/=zzz_maf/dnquery.xp?search=thread&svcclass=dnserver&recnum=%3c355d2492.72085724@news2.cts.com%3e%231/1
We hope to see you soon at Deja News, the discussion network.
http://www.dejanews.com/=zzz_maf/

3023. Icom R-100 Woes... any other owners here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3595adcb.9628444@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 155968

Hello fellow scannists-

I own an Icom R-100... It appears to be suffering from
severe intermod on the VHF band... I was wondering if anyone else
had this same problem. It doesn't matter where I am on the band, if
im on 2 meters, the thing will get whacked by some far off pager...

Also was wondering if anyone knew how to make this thing jump past a
"hit" when in search mode, lets say, if the thing locks up on a birdie
or whatever.

Any suggestions or comments, please send mail to: drgrant@ziplink.net
and/or post to the group. What I'm really getting down to here is im
wondering if sending it in for service will actually do anything... or
if its a waste of my time and money.

-Mike

3024. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB819.EDF@parg.org>
Source record: 155969

John T. Arthur wrote:

> > Richard Kendrick wrote:
> > > As an expert in wasting bandwidth, you would know better than anyone.

> Do you ever THINK before you write? Or do you just spout the
> first thing that pops into your head?

It's OBVIOUS that Kendrick DOES NOT think first. :(

3025. Re: Bc895xlt...JUNK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3593ab91.9058946@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 155970

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 06:11:32 GMT, Scott <gscott@alaska.net> wrote:

>Just got my new 895 today and what a piece of shit. it's back in the box
>going to where I got it from. Turned it on and did a scan and store and
>90% of the freqs are static and birdies. Funny how my 200xlt gets cops
>and everyting else and all this fucker gets is garbage. If anyone knows
>why this thing is doing this let me know if not this fucker is gone.
>Scott..

I'm surprised your 200xlt actually works that good. I had one for a
total of 48 hours then sold it off for something else, the guy who
wanted it was like bouncing off the wall over it... I didn't see what
the big deal was... my big gripe with the 200xlt is that theres that
hissing noise in like every recieved transmission..... the thing is
also deaf on VHF low with the stock antenna. (I'll give it some leeway
here cause the antenna would be way too short....)
Then theres the wonderful nicad battery pack.... not a fat chance
in hell of using alkalines on this thing. (unless they made some type
of AA pack I was unaware of)

But compared to that thing, the ratshack pro 39 or pro 43 would kick
the ever loving crap out of it! Much crisper, clearer sound from the

ratshacks.

Just my .02...

-Mike

3026. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB880.69EC@parg.org>
Source record: 155971

Richard Kendrick wrote:
> identified not once, but twice already as an Internet abuser
> by Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>. His weekly summaries clearly

Who cares what "Joe" thinks or even who he is?

3027. Re: Yo? Mackey? I want you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB909.BAB@parg.org>
Source record: 155972

John T. Arthur wrote:
> kiddies: This is the Voice of Experience speaking!!

Yea, you cause more trouble than anyone except Cheek!

3028. Typical example of Cheek causing trouble & wasting bandwidth!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB97C.3FE8@parg.org>
Source record: 155973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> I understand Smacksie is now Oscar Meyer's
> chief weeny washer,
>
> Tsk...tsk...tsk....
```

3029. Re: Richard Kendrick reveals himself a liar and fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DBAB2.2F@parg.org>
Source record: 155974

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> Looks like Bill's thirty years of experience coupled with Roy's forty
> plus have

That equals about 70 years of doing thing wrong that any other
autority know better of.

3030. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DBB03.6C14@parg.org>
Source record: 155975

Reddog wrote:
>
> My thoughts exactly.
>
> Jeff Ekblad wrote in message <358D7543.91186519@win.bright.net>...
> >Why don't you two morons start your own disscusion group and get the
> >fuck out of this one once and for all!

Yes, I have attempted to get Cheek to agree to SEVERAL truce
options but he consistantly rejects them.

How sad that Cheek will not let this end!

3031. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mke9h$l4m$0@207.18.224.6>
Source record: 155976

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 01:21:22 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> >6. No posts intended to produce financial gain .
>>
>> Who cares? Most of the users of the newsgroup would
>> MUCH rather see a few personal financial gain posts, instead
>> of your posts!
>
>WHO appointed YOU to speak for the NG? You OBVIOUSLY

Geez John! Come up with your own material! This is the same question
everyone else is asking YOU! In fact, in my other messages to you
today, I ASKED YOU these questions.

>
>> Bill offered you a fair proposal,
>
>An I offered two very fair ammendments. I if you & Cheek truly
>desire to lower the noise level in the newsgroup, (Which you
>have repeatedly shown that you do not wish such) then take
>me up on his FAIR OFFER TO YOU!

Again John, maybe you should consider using your own material,
instead of just changing names and sending my messages back.

Sheesh. I thought better of you John!

3032. Re: Richard Kendrick reveals himself a liar and fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DBCDA.172B@parg.org>
Source record: 155977

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> You've only writen one document,

You only found the ARRL document, you missed the one at
ham-radio Online. Would you like me to direct me to it?

> Message-ID: <34BAC094.49212154@primenet.com>
> Date: Mon, 12 Jan 1998 18:17:08 -0700
> From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
>
> you say you've written lots of articles for the
> ARRL and other technical journals. The ARRL does not show you as
> author for anything and no articles in ANY technical journals

OK, your earlier post of my ARRL article show YOU to be WRONG
with the above statement!

3033. Re: Rub Bill's nose in it Mackey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mkegi$l4m$1@207.18.224.6>
Source record: 155978

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 01:11:26 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> John, are you truly this stupid? Caused the collapse of the
>> newsgroup?

>> What do you think you are doing now? You, John, are
>> ruining this newsgroup John!
>
>No, NOT at ALL! Infact, if it weren't for the actions
>of myself & a handfull of others, this NG adulterated
>by Cheek & his fellow bandits long ago. As stated in

Yes, you are ruining this newsgroup.

>another thread very recently, the amateur newgroups
>(where YOU often are found) are in MUCH worse condition
>than this NG.

ROFL!!!

John, you are caught in another lie. You have proved
yourself once again, to be a liar.

What newsgroups do you find me in, that are HAM related?

Remember now, you said plural, newsgroups...

>YOU are NOT a spokesman for the NG.

Again, I say it again John, YOU are not a
spokesman for this newsgroup.

3034. Re: JUST ADDED MY FILTERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mkejl$l4m$2@207.18.224.6>
Source record: 155979

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 00:58:55 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Reddog wrote:
>> I said I shouldn't have to but I did, just to experiment a little. Boy what
>> a nice group this is with em. It would be even nicer if I did not have to
>> use my filters.....
>
>What a pity that you had to do this.
>
>Hopefully Bill Cheek will take one of the truce options offered
>to him & he will end his tirade on this NG.

It is a pity John, that he had to use a filter to get rid of YOU.
Hopefully YOU John, will take Bill's truce option, and end your
tirade on this newsgroup.

3035. Re: A Deal for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mkem4$l4m$3@207.18.224.6>
Source record: 155980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 01:17:03 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>William C. Thompson wrote:
>> I , for one, thank you for your offer
>
>Thanks for your support!

John, this is an example of you screwing with people, it was
obvious that he was sending his thank you to Bill, and not
to you, self appointed netjohncop!

3036. Re: A Deal for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mken2$l4m$4@207.18.224.6>
Source record: 155981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 01:12:25 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>> Better yet, why don't you adopt it unilaterally? This would soon
>> expose who's the good guy and who's the flamer, right?
>>
>> (Any bets on Cheek actually doing this?)
>
>There is NO way his ego could do it in MY opinion!
>
What about you! You have proven that there is no way
YOU Could do it, nor wish to!

3037. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DBE13.2AC1@parg.org>
Source record: 155982

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> You don't want the flaming to stop , if you did you wouldn't be trying
> to place conditions on Bill for you stopping.

I am NOT placing conditions, I am willing to take the truce in
it's entirety. I only request a few minor additions to strengthen
the agreement. Why is it that Cheek is unwilling to accept these
minor additons?

> ,you have made it your lifes ambition
> to personally destroy Bill.

I have no desire to destroy Bill. Infact, if he agreed to
the truce, I would defend him if needed!

> You have come on here made statements about Bill and have never shown
> any proof that the statements made were factual or based on any truth.

I have NEVER said ANYTHING I can't back with truth. What would you
like to see proof for?

> And you can go or send someone to the San Diego courts record division
> and I doubt you will find any proof of what you have stated on here.

I *NEVER* said Bill had any charges!

3038. Re: John Mackey reveals himself as a LIAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mkepf$l4m$5@207.18.224.6>
Source record: 155983

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 01:36:07 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Richard Kendrick wrote:
>> As I suspected, another one of your lies.
>
>Then post your proof that I am not being honest or be found
>that YOU are the LIAR!

John, are you talking about yourself again? Like when you
state I am on ham newsgroups, (Plural)

3039. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DBE55.4DDA@parg.org>
Source record: 155984

John T. Arthur wrote:

> He's got you there, Sal! He doesn't HAVE any credentials to post;

Which credentials would you like?

3040. STOP THE FLAMES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DBEF1.35AF@parg.org>
Source record: 155985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I suggest a 7 day "stay" on all the flames & trouble making to
start at 2000 hrs pacific time TODAY!

Lets see how many of you can do this & demonstrate your TRUE intentions!

3041. Re: 895XLT WANTED - LOWEST PRICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@carroll.com
Message-ID: <358da52c.2739659@news>
Source record: 155986
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 16:02:34 -0400, Ken Ascher WB8LIT
wrote:

>
>--------------257597FF9540ACB074524FE7
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>Dan:
>
>At Communications Electronics, Emergency Operations Center, (CEI) we take great pains
>to make our advertising not only honest, but clear and straightforward. For that reason, I
>was particularly distressed to learn that you feel our web site at http://www.usascan.com
>in the order section regarding our shipping, handling and insurance charges misled you.

Don't know where you got that from, Ken...never said or implied that
they were misleading, just too high.

>Let me assure you that we do not want to mislead any of our customers. That's not
>a good way to do business, and it certainly is not the way we have done business for
>almost 30 years. Because of our added services and benefits at CEI, our shipping/handling
>will indeed be higher than most dealers

What added services and benefits to ME?

To inform our clients how much to remit, we clearly print
>our prices and shipping/handling charges in our ads and our web site.
>
No problem with that...

>Please remember that we primarily function as an Emergency Operations Center. Just like
>most people would not visit the emergency room of a hospital to get a Band-aid, most
people
>do not come to us to get a scanner. They usually go to their Uniden dealer nearest them.

What? Emergency Operations Center? This makes NO sense. Are you not
a Uniden dealer?

Then why do you advertise in most radio mags for consumer business?

Heck of an analogy.

>For many reasons, different companies have different pricing policies. It would be
>impossible for me to speak about other companies shipping and restocking policies so
>I won't. I can however, tell you about the shipping/handling and insurance policy at
>our organization, Communications Electronics Inc. (CEI) where I work.

>CEI has different divisions of our organization that distribute Trunk
>Tracker scanners the largest users being our Emergency Operations
>Center, Dealer Products Group and Government Products Division.
>
>As an Emergency Operations Center, our number one priority is keeping
>government mission critical communications systems on line all the time.
>When a government agency suffers damage from a man-made or natural disaster,
>since CEI serves the people who serve the people, our highest priority is to
>restore the damaged infrastructure. To provide 24 hour arond-the-clock service to
>government agencies, our costs are passed back to our clients. However, as
>an accommodation to non-government customers who want to purchase items
>through our Emergency Operations Center, our EOC has an outreach program to
>serve customers worldwide that can not be serviced by a local dealer.

I can't believe this....

>For almost 30 years, to accomplish this mission we have found it necessary
>to stockpile massive quantities of inventory. For example, last year, during a phase
>II FEMA inspection of our facility during an Urban Search & Rescue task
>force sponsorship evaluation, FEMA inspected our inventory for mission
>readiness. The cost for our building alone with specialized facility power
>and phone system was over one million. Our on hand inventory has significant
>warehousing costs. As an example, assuming a 10% annual return on investment,
>it cost us approximately $100,000 per million dollars of inventory stored in our
>distribution center. To serve our clients properly, we are required to carry an extensive
>inventory. In our organization, this cost is passed along to our customers
>and clients. When we need a new or critical item, our staff have
>traveled anywhere to a manufacturers location to pickup inventory. I've
>earned over three million frequent flyer miles procuring inventory. For
>most of our government customers, our flat shipping/handling/insurance
>charge of $18.00 per radio for ground shipping or $36.00 for 2nd day air
>shipping in the continental USA seemed to be a break-even proposition.
>I agree our $36.00 flat charge for air shipping could be a burden for a consumer.

OK fine....cover your costs in an increased product price, and charge
the NORMAL shipping costs.

FEMA inspects you to make sure you have enough SCANNERS in stock?

>We purchase so much equipment, that CEI is a Master Distributor for companies
>such as Uniden, Cobra and others. Our non-government customers have requested to
>purchase radio equipment from us to accommodate those needs we set up
>focus groups to determine what our customers wanted. Many customers told us
>they wanted a liberal return policy, most items in stock, most credit cards
>accepted, toll-free phone lines. As we added more and more benefits,
>the costs for staffing and facilities costs have increased which was passed on
>to customers. For example, our 61 day return policy instead of a 15-25%
>restocking fee increases our operational costs overall but this is what most of
>our customers wanted. On the other hand, our procurement costs for equipment
>because of our volume purchasing saves us money and these savings are passed on to you.
>Hopefully this explains why the cost for some items from us are lower than average while
>shipping/handling is higher than average. I'm told our total cost including

>shipping is in some cases lower than purchasing from a local dealer. This is probably
>why customers tell us they save saved as much as $50.00 per radio even after
>the shipping charge is included when purchasing from CEI.

This is very true. No argument with that.

>As a Uniden Master Distributor, it is our responsibility to make sure you get the
>Uniden product you want when you need it. If shipping/handling/insurance charges
>on Uniden Trunk tracker products are a concern, we encourage you to shop
>at your local Uniden retailer in your area. To assist you in finding a local
>Uniden retailer, Uniden has a retail locator available anytime by calling
>1-800-297-1023 and following the voice prompts. While we encourage our dealers
>to stock over 110 different Uniden items including the Bearcat 235XLT,
>Bearcat 895XLT trunk tracker scanners, and other communications products,
>most dealers only will only stock a few items.

Thanks for the lesson on how to find a vendor.

>Unfortunately most dealers do not make enough profit and go out of business
>within three years. When a dealer goes out of business it is a sad day for us
>as all of our time and effort of training their staff and the relationship we have built
up
>over the years is lost. In addition, many customers are disappointed. When Gilfer
>short-wave and Electronic Equipment Bank (EEB) stopped serving the public this year, their
>customers were sad. I have spoken with hundreds of their former customers, as we have
>acquired Gilfer's old phone numbers 1-800-GILFER1 as well as EEB's old number
>1-800-368-3270.
>
>If you think you can do a better job and want to become dealer selling our products,
>we welcome new dealers. We feel it serves our customers better when they can
>get our products locally. If you want to try and are willing to purchase $2,000 of product
>per order as a dealer, register your company with your state or county, get a tax ID
number and
>call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN to discuss a dealership.

No thanks

>Please remember, we are not a conventional dealer. We are a master distributor and an
>Emergency Operations Center. As such, to satisfy the emergency needs of our clients, our
>overhead in operating this facility is significantly higher than our dealers. This is why
we
>recommend you support and shop and your local Uniden dealer near you.

Ken, this makes no sense...
Again...DO YOU , or DO YOU NOT want the business of individual radio
consumers?

>I'm told, next weekend, we should have a crowd of amateur radio operators operating radios
>during field day at our two-acre Emergency Operations Center facility in Ann Arbor,
Michigan.
>If you are in the neighborhood, please stop by so you may appreciate the scope of our
operations.

I am sure Ann Arbor is beautiful in June, but I will pass...

>We are always interested in reducing the overall cost of our operations as long
>as we are not sacrificing emergency response time or service to our clients. If you have
>any suggestions where we can save our clients money through a reduction in operational
>costs, we are interested in your suggestions. We listen to our customers and act on what
>you tell us. After looking at our web site, if you have suggestions to share with us,
>please don't keep it yourself. Let me know how we can serve you better. We are updating
>our web site survey section at this time so please send E-mail instead of using the
survey.

>As this discussion of shipping is drifting off the subject of scanning and more towards
retail
>management,
>so as not to waste bandwidth, feel free to E-mail or phone. I hope I have answered your
>questions regarding our Trunk tracker and shipping pricing policy. If it doesn't,
>please call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN or send me an E-mail at cei@mediaone.net.

Ken...

Thank you for the concise, albeit lengthy explanation of your
business, I am sure we are all more enlightened now. The above is
very hard to swallow in one gulp, and I may have to go back and read
it over to fully digest it all.

Your current price on an 895 XLT, even with the high shipping and
handling charge is lower then most, if not ALL other vendors. Despite
all of the above, no one can argue with a good deal.

Again, if you review my initial response below, apparently prompting
the above , you will see that I agree there openly that your pricing
is low. I may even make a purchase from you in the near future. I
simply questioned why the shipping and handling was so high.

Now that I know the funds are used for an "Emergency Operations
Center" in Ann Arbor, Michigan, I wouldn't feel as bad paying it....

8)

Dan

>Ken Ascher - Chairman/CEO WB8LIT
>Communications Electronics Inc., Emergency Operations Center
>http://www.usascan.com Phone: 734-996-8888 FAX: 734-663-8888
>
>dconti2@carroll.com wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 20:30:27 -0400, David Ballenger
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I bought mine mail order at Communications Electronics in Michigan for
>> >about $ 240 + $ 18 shipping. I received it two days after ordering with no
>> >problems at all. They are at http://www.usascan.com. That is the lowest price
>> >I've seen - others are at $ 300 or more.

>>
>> That is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor
>> has to "mask" their profit in riduclously inflated shipping and
>> handling costs.
>>
>> Dan
>>
>> >CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN wrote:
>> >
>> >> I WANT TO BUY A BEARCAT 895XLT , CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHERE TO GET A GOOD
>> >> DEAL ON ONE???
>> >>
>> >> NEW , USED , DEMO O.K.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>
>
>
>--------------257597FF9540ACB074524FE7
>Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
>Dan:
>
>
At Communications Electronics, Emergency Operations Center, (CEI) we
>take great pains
>
to make our advertising not only honest, but clear and straightforward.
>For that reason, I
>
was particularly distressed to learn that you feel our web site at
>http://www.usascan.com
>
in the order section regarding our shipping, handling and insurance
>charges misled you.
>
>
Let me assure you that we do not want to mislead any of our customers.
>That's not
>
a good way to do business, and it certainly is not the way we
>have done business for
>
almost 30 years. Because of our added services and benefits at CEI,
>our shipping/handling
>
will indeed be higher than most dealers. To inform our clients how

>much to remit, we clearly print
>
our prices and shipping/handling charges in our ads and our web site.
>
>
Please remember that we primarily function as an Emergency Operations
>Center. Just like
>
most people would not visit the emergency room of a hospital to get
>a Band-aid, most people
>
do not come to us to get a scanner. They usually go to their Uniden
>dealer nearest them.
>
>
For many reasons, different companies have different pricing policies.
>It would be
>
impossible for me to speak about other companies shipping and restocking
>policies so
>
I won't. I can however, tell you about the shipping/handling and insurance
>policy at
>
our organization, Communications Electronics Inc. (CEI) where I work.
>
CEI has different divisions of our organization that distribute Trunk
>
Tracker scanners the largest users being our Emergency Operations
>
Center, Dealer Products Group and Government Products Division.
>
>
As an Emergency Operations Center, our number one priority is keeping
>
government mission critical communications systems on line all the
>time.
>
When a government agency suffers damage from a man-made or natural
>disaster,
>
since CEI serves the people who serve the people, our highest priority
>is to
>
restore the damaged infrastructure. To provide 24 hour arond-the-clock
>service to
>
government agencies, our costs are passed back to our clients. However,
>as
>

an accommodation to non-government customers who want to purchase items
>
through our Emergency Operations Center, our EOC has an outreach program
>to
>
serve customers worldwide that can not be serviced by a local dealer.
>
>
For almost 30 years, to accomplish this mission we have found it necessary
>
to stockpile massive quantities of inventory. For example, last year,
>during a phase
>
II FEMA inspection of our facility during an Urban Search & Rescue
>task
>
force sponsorship evaluation, FEMA inspected our inventory for mission
>
readiness. The cost for our building alone with specialized facility
>power
>
and phone system was over one million. Our on hand inventory has significant
>
warehousing costs. As an example, assuming a 10% annual return on investment,
>
it cost us approximately $100,000 per million dollars of inventory
>stored in our
>
distribution center. To serve our clients properly, we are required
>to carry an extensive
>
inventory. In our organization, this cost is passed along to our customers
>
and clients. When we need a new or critical item, our staff have
>
traveled anywhere to a manufacturers location to pickup inventory.
>I've
>
earned over three million frequent flyer miles procuring inventory.
>For
>
most of our government customers, our flat shipping/handling/insurance
>
charge of $18.00 per radio for ground shipping or $36.00 for 2nd day
>air
>
shipping in the continental USA seemed to be a break-even proposition.
>
I agree our $36.00 flat charge for air shipping could be a burden for
>a consumer.

>
>
We purchase so much equipment, that CEI is a Master Distributor for
>companies
>
such as Uniden, Cobra and others. Our non-government customers have
>requested to
>
purchase radio equipment from us to accommodate those needs we set
>up
>
focus groups to determine what our customers wanted. Many customers
>told us
>
they wanted a liberal return policy, most items in stock, most credit
>cards
>
accepted, toll-free phone lines. As we added more and more benefits,
>
the costs for staffing and facilities costs have increased which was
>passed on
>
to customers. For example, our 61 day return policy instead of a 15-25%
>
restocking fee increases our operational costs overall but this is
>what most of
>
our customers wanted. On the other hand, our procurement costs for
>equipment
>
because of our volume purchasing saves us money and these savings are
>passed on to you.
>
Hopefully this explains why the cost for some items from us are lower
>than average while
>
shipping/handling is higher than average. I'm told our total cost including
>
shipping is in some cases lower than purchasing from a local dealer.
>This is probably
>
why customers tell us they save saved as much as $50.00 per radio even
>after
>
the shipping charge is included when purchasing from CEI.
>
>
As a Uniden Master Distributor, it is our responsibility to make sure
>you get the
>

Uniden product you want when you need it. If shipping/handling/insurance
>charges
>
on Uniden Trunk tracker products are a concern, we encourage you to
>shop
>
at your local Uniden retailer in your area. To assist you in finding
>a local
>
Uniden retailer, Uniden has a retail locator available anytime by calling
>
1-800-297-1023 and following the voice prompts. While we encourage
>our dealers
>
to stock over 110 different Uniden items including the Bearcat 235XLT,
>
Bearcat 895XLT trunk tracker scanners, and other communications products,
>
most dealers only will only stock a few items.
>
>
Unfortunately most dealers do not make enough profit and go out of business
>
within three years. When a dealer goes out of business it is a sad
>day for us
>
as all of our time and effort of training their staff and the relationship
>we have built up
>
over the years is lost. In addition, many customers are disappointed.
>When Gilfer
>
short-wave and Electronic Equipment Bank (EEB) stopped serving the
>public this year, their
>
customers were sad. I have spoken with hundreds of their former customers,
>as we have
>
acquired Gilfer's old phone numbers 1-800-GILFER1 as well as EEB's
>old number
>
1-800-368-3270.
>
>
If you think you can do a better job and want to become dealer selling
>our products,
>
we welcome new dealers. We feel it serves our customers better when
>they can
>

get our products locally. If you want to try and are willing to purchase
>$2,000 of product
>
per order as a dealer, register your company with your state or county,
>get a tax ID number and
>
call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN to discuss a dealership.
>
>
Please remember, we are not a conventional dealer. We are a master distributor
>and an
>
Emergency Operations Center. As such, to satisfy the emergency needs
>of our clients, our
>
overhead in operating this facility is significantly higher than our
>dealers. This is why we
>
recommend you support and shop and your local Uniden dealer near you.
>
>
I'm told, next weekend, we should have a crowd of amateur radio operators
>operating radios
>
during field day at our two-acre Emergency Operations Center facility
>in Ann Arbor, Michigan.
>
If you are in the neighborhood, please stop by so you may appreciate
>the scope of our operations.
>
>
We are always interested in reducing the overall cost of our operations
>as long
>
as we are not sacrificing emergency response time or service to our
>clients. If you have
>
any suggestions where we can save our clients money through a reduction
>in operational
>
costs, we are interested in your suggestions. We listen to our customers
>and act on what
>
you tell us. After looking at our web site, if you have suggestions
>to share with us,
>
please don't keep it yourself. Let me know how we can serve you better.
>We are updating
>
our web site survey section at this time so please send E-mail instead

>of using the survey.
>
>
As this discussion of shipping is drifting off the subject of scanning
>and more towards retail management,
>
so as not to waste bandwidth, feel free to E-mail or phone. I hope
>I have answered your
>
questions regarding our Trunk tracker and shipping pricing policy.
>If it doesn't,
>
please call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN or send me an E-mail at cei@mediaone.net.
>
>
Ken Ascher - Chairman/CEO WB8LIT
>
Communications Electronics Inc., Emergency Operations Center
>
http://www.usascan.com Phone: 734-996-8888 FAX: 734-663-8888
>
>
dconti2@carroll.com wrote:
>
On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 20:30:27 -0400, David Ballenger
>
<ballenger@erols.com> wrote:
>
>
>I bought mine mail order at Communications Electronics in Michigan
>for
>
>about $ 240 + $ 18 shipping. I received it two days after ordering
>with no
>
>problems at all. They are at http://www.usascan.com. That
>is the lowest price
>
>I've seen - others are at $ 300 or more.
>
>
That is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor
>
has to "mask" their profit in riduclously inflated shipping and
>
handling costs.
>
>
Dan
>

>
>CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN wrote:
>
>
>
>> I WANT TO BUY A BEARCAT 895XLT , CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHERE TO GET
>A GOOD
>
>> DEAL ON ONE???
>
>>
>
>> NEW , USED , DEMO O.K.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>--------------257597FF9540ACB074524FE7--
>

3042. Re: scanner filters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6mkgn0$fgf@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 155987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <358dae0d.0@news.accessus.net>,
Cris Hanna, BS, MCP <crishanna@aol.com> wrote:

>Have a real problem with intermod in the VHF-Hi
>band....any one know of any good add-on filters.

If you know what's causing the intermod, you can
use a PAR notch filter (about $60). PAR Electronics,
6869 Bayshore Dr., Lantana, FL 33462, telephone (407)586-8278,
manufactures a new series of intermod filters designed
for scanner enthusiasts. Despite my last name,
I am not related to PAR Electronics. :-)

PAR can furnish intermod notch filters custom tuned to your frequency.
Grove Enterprises sells them, too.

I ordered two filters for testing: 158 and 454 MHz filters,
models VHFDN158HT and UHFDN454HT. The test results
are pretty good and will appear in July 1998 Monitoring Times
magazine.

PAR claims the VHF model attenuates 157.5 - 158.5 MHz
signals by 35 dB and the UHF model attenuates 454 - 455 MHz
signals by 25 dB.
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3043. Pro-508

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062203075400.XAA27103@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155988

OK, I found out that the Pro-508 was a 10-channel table model, cat. no. 20-408. According to the computer at my local RS store the current selling price is $12.97, which of course is still pretty good.

3044. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <358ecb7e.1858425@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155989

>I have been told it's possible to use a cell phone as a scanner for the
>800mhz band. I was led to believe it was quite simple on most popular
>cell phones. Can anyone shed some light on this for me? Thank you in
>advance.

That is the rumour. It sounds logical, because the phone would HAVE to be
able to scan the frequencies to find the cell and/or a clear channel. I've
also heard that some of them can be used as two-way units at close range, but
haven't been able to find out anything more about it. That one sounds a
little more far-fetched.

3045. Re: STOP THE FLAMES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358dd023.7386579@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155990

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> I suggest a 7 day "stay" on all the flames & trouble making to
> start at 2000 hrs pacific time TODAY!
>
> Lets see how many of you can do this & demonstrate your TRUE intentions!

See my subsequent post titled:

ANOTHER SIZE 13EE FOR MACKEY

<g>

3046. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <358dbbdf.6496638@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155991

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 01:50:43 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Richard Kendrick wrote:
>> identified not once, but twice already as an Internet abuser
>> by Joe Greco <jgreco@ns.sol.net>. His weekly summaries clearly
>
>Who cares what "Joe" thinks or even who he is?

Heh, Heh, Heh! You *should* be caring but by the time you
get a clue, it will be too late and *every* news administrator
on Usenet will be aware of your pathetic existence and
miscreant behavior. I'll bet every one of them reads his weekly
summaries because that's the easiest way for them to keep
informed on "who's who in the zoo."

3047. Re: JUST ADDED MY FILTERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <358dc082.7684501@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155992

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 02:00:01 GMT, Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz (Doktor
dimetyltryptamine puts me on a Tripp) wrote:

>On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 19:21:26 -0400, "Reddog"
><funkychicken86@hotmail.com> pedantically proclaimed in the following
>unperspicuous peroration to all:
>
>>I said I shouldn't have to but I did, just to experiment a little. Boy what
>>a nice group this is with em. It would be even nicer if I did not have to
>>use my filters.....
>>
>
>Heheh,, filters almost rid this group of the crap. But make it
>readable. Unfortunately, it's only wishful thinking to imagine not
>needing to maintain a kill filter for the dozen and a half lost souls
>that post here only to jab at each other.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

That describes your behavior to a T. I don't recall you ever making
an on topic post.

3048. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358ed101.7608171@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155993

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Jeff Ekblad <jsekblad@win.bright.net> wrote:

>
>
> Why don't you two morons start your own disscusion group and get the
> fuck out of this one once and for all!
>
> CHRIST you two are pathetic as hell!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Quit wasting our time with your BS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Jeff Ekblad

Just learn how to harness, throttle, and
control your own computer and stop being
a victim. It is a matter of CHOICE, not
circumstances.

3049. Buying from this newsgroup's ads -what's the best procedure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dweber@springfield.com
Message-ID: <358dd3cb.7035025@news.wwa.com>
Source record: 155994

I've seen some equipment ads on this newsgroup that seem very
interesting. If I decide to buy the equipment from an e-mail message,
whats the usual procedure to provide some safety to both sides ? I
assume I can't go see the seller or the equipment., but that seller
ans me agree to a price and who pays shipping.

1. I get mailing address (no P.O. boxes), phone number and I mail
personal check to seller. Seller sends me equipment after the check
clears. (This seems to add some safety since I know the seller's
name, phone, address, and e-mail address)

2. Seller sends me equipment, I send cash or check after it arrives
and fits seller's description (I would like this, seller may not)

3. Some other method

Any thoughts would be appreciated

3050. Re: Advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3596d518.4317038@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155995

>Everything you say tracks with other smart advice I read. But: Do I have
>to drive this 8 to 10 foot rod vertically into the ground?; or can I dig a
>trench about foot deep and lay this rod down horizontally?

Yes, you can lay it horizontally. I had almost forgotten about that trick! Broadcast stations lay out their radials horizontally. Just dig a ditch as deep as you can get it and bury the rod. Moist ground, good wire connection, and it should be as good as the vertical. The more rods, the better, as with vertical.

As always, "Call before you dig -- 1-800-ELECTRO-CUTE" so that you know it's safe to dig where you're gonna dig. The power danger is not always over your head. Sometimes it's below your feet!

3051. ANOTHER SIZE 13EE FOR MACKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358fd1e0.7831352@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155996

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is to anyone and no one. Who cares.
It's just a public announcement about my
last frappe across Mackey's jowls for a while.

<Bop, boppity, boppity, boppity, bop>

Effective sometime tonight, our dearly
beloved hermaphroditic nincompoop Mackey
is going back into my Twit List. For
those not in the know, a Twit List is
the same thing as a Kill File, a Shit List,
and/or a filter.

That means I won't see posts from HIM;
and if things are working right, I won't
even see posts that mention HIM. Poof,
the idiot will be sent sailing though the
air with the greatest of ease, just like
the man on the flying trapeze.......with
my 13EE boot print emblazoned across the
seat of his britches.

I've offered the imbecile an iron-clad
deal for several weeks now....the gist
of which is as follows:

1. He doesn't talk to me. I don't talk to him.
2. He doesn't talk about me. I don't talk about him.
3. He doesn't make references or insinuations about me.
I don't make references or insinuations about him.
4. He doesn't even THINK about me.
I don't even THINK about him.

Well, the yellow little lizard just couldn't
accept it.... no biggie, I had him on my Twit
List last week, but opted to relax it so I could
give him one last good hosing this weekend.
(Hey, I deserve it!) But after about midnight
tonight, I'll be done with the nitwit and will get
on with my business and ratkilling for a few weeks.

Not only that, but I'm going to be fine-tuning
and tweaking my filters so that all off-topic stuff

gets culled out as much as possible.

No heroics here. No "doing anyone any favors". No sainthood, no nothing other than I've taken a leak and a dump on Smacksie, and instead of being reviled by it, he actually LIKES it, fer Pete's Sake! Geezus H. Kriste, the wretch is a dang masochist all the way. I used to make jokes about it....but that cat is fer real, a downright scurvy, low-life masochist!

Years ago, we used to have a joke, "You know what a sadist does to a masochist?"

ANS: Nothing. <chuckling>

I learned the valid side of that last week when I put Mackey on my Twit List and then saw the hullabaloo that ensued afterwards as people replied to his increased tempo of posts. That REALLY hurt the poor sap! So now I can twit him again, this time to such a depth that he will vanish. And then laugh my butt off as he foams, froths, and erupts in agony.

Because.....as I twit him out, he'll have no reason for living anymore. It will kill his soul, if he has one.

So that's the deal....my 4-step outline as shown above becomes the rule....and it matters not a whit to me if he abides it or not....because I won't know. And after I stop laughing my ass off in a few days, I really won't think about the poor sap.

I gave him his chance for an honorable exit. He refused. So now he has no choice. He's done been tarred and feathered. Mackey is gone. Bye bye, Smacksie.....

Not to say that I won't come back at him if he stays here and keeps it up. I expect that he will. I've twitted and ignored him before....and that just increases the fervor and tempo of his attacks. That's what the jerk will do this time, too.

The difference this time, is that I am calling it in advance for those who'd like to prove me wrong. Here's your chance. Watch Mackey and see if he puts a sock in it or not.

If he won't after a few days, you'll know.

And if he does stuff a sock in it, then we'll
see just how long it will last. Bets are,
it won't last long..... Smacksie's obsession
with me is just too strong....but we'll see.

So with that....it's bye bye Smacksie.
See ya, numbnuts, wouldn't wanna be ya.

Bill Cheek
Scannist Extraordinaire

3052. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: eclarke1@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <358de1b5.25833750@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155997

follow up to my previous post

I stand corrected... they do have some minimal infomation on their
web site.. sorry about my inaccurate post... I jumped the gun.

I'm referring to the web site www.radioshack.com
--
Elbert Clarke
eclarke1@mindspring.com

3053. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980622044911100.AAA14242@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155998

In article <358DBE13.2AC1@parg.org>, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
writes:

>
>I am NOT placing conditions, I am willing to take the truce in
>it's entirety. I only request a few minor additions to strengthen
>the agreement. Why is it that Cheek is unwilling to accept these
>minor additons?

Why is it that his commercial interests should have anything to do with the
terms of ending your nonsensical flame war?

3054. Re: A Deal for Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980622044911100.AAA10239@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155999

In article <358d6179.4898060@news.cyberhighway.net>, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) writes:

>(Any bets on Cheek actually doing this?)

Not that it involves you in the least.

3055. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Fisher <klfisher@compusmart.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <01bd9d9c$79841440$844722cf@klfisher>
Source record: 156000

There is one thing I have to say about this ...

It takes a better man to walk away when being called names .... THINK ABOUT IT !
If there is no response to the name calling ... it will soon stop.

On behalf of REAL scanner fans on this group.

WHY DON'T YOU TWO JUST SHUTUP FOR ONCE ... YOU TWO ARE LIKE PATHETIC CHILDREN.

I think it is in the best interest of everyone for this crap to stop.
Personally I am getting really sick of all of it.

But if you two really want to continue ... please email each other because we don't want to hear it any more. Maybe this group can get back to being about SCANNERS !!!

--
Kevin Fisher
klfisher@compusmart.ab.ca

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<358ed101.7608171@news2.cts.com>...
> Jeff Ekblad <jsekblad@win.bright.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Why don't you two morons start your own disscusion group and get the
> > fuck out of this one once and for all!
> >
> > CHRIST you two are pathetic as hell!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Quit wasting our time with your BS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Jeff Ekblad
>
> Just learn how to harness, throttle, and
> control your own computer and stop being
> a victim. It is a matter of CHOICE, not
> circumstances.
>
>

3056. Re: Advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358E0483.A0C76F54@swbell.net>
Source record: 156001

I know about pipe grounds and they should not be allowed in the electrical code.
There have been many ground circuits disconnected when the pipes have been repaired due to leaks or freezing , people tend to use plastic pipes to splice in repairs.

I know in my area the main feed has to be copper all the way from the street into the house and if you have an electric water heater the supply pipe to and from the heater have to be copper

Sure you can lay the rod horizontally, a friend of mine had the same problem as most of the soil around here has alot of clay also, he took a 1/2 inch 10 foot section of rigid copper pipe and used that as his grounding rod,he cut one end on angle so as to have a point , wet the ground where he was going to place the tubing, connected his garden hose to the other end of the pipe, stood on a step ladder, inserted the pointed end into the ground, had someone turn the water on and used the hydraulic pressure of the water to drill the pipe in .
when he got the top of the pipe within 5 to 6 inches from the ground he stopped.
It takes two people one to hold the pipe and one to control the water unless you have a valve that is close to where you have the hose attached to the pipe.
Make sure you have the hose attached securely to the pipe or the pressure will pop the hose off.

Let me know how you decide to do it, my friend has never had any trouble with using cooper pipe as a grounding rod and has several installed.

3057. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358E07F8.3BCC5872@swbell.net>
Source record: 156002

Bull crap John , those are not minor conditions, since you want to place conditions , how about the following conditions , if Bill accepts your two conditions then you have to accept these conditions, You will unsubscribe from the alt.radio.scanner and rec.radio.radio scanner newsgroups, you can never post to or read any post on these two newsgroup ever again.
In effect you are banned from these groups forever and a day!

You never send any email to Bill or make any statements about him on any of the other newsgroups.

If for some reason Bill has crossposted a reply to someone on any other newsgroup that you may be subscribed to, you will not make any comments whether good or bad about Bill or what he has posted , directly or indirectly.

Bet you won't agree to these conditions John.

3058. Re: One More Time Mackey, Jpeg Image of Mackey attached!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358E0A27.4D6200C9@swbell.net>
Source record: 156003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

At least with Barney they let him have a bullet, with John they would have plugged the cylinder holes and the barrel so the only thing he can shoot off is his mouth.

3059. Re: [Off-topic] Vote for Welch!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Hollinger <escritor@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <358DF256.18EF@swbell.net>
Source record: 156004
Cross-posted to:
alt.config,news.groups,alt.tv.seinfeld,rec.aviation.student,rec.radio.scanner,alt.welch-family,rec.radio.scanner,sci.lang.translation

ï¿½Ya!

3060. Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: smaynard@home.com
Message-ID: <358def1f.15801567@news>
Source record: 156005

I'm looking for a wide-band scanner antenna that has an NMO mount.
Could someone steer me in the right direction?

Thanks.

Sam

3061. Re: ICOM R-10 not receiving very good in Kansas City area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: stuyvenberg@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <358df256.1720120@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 156006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hi Artur,

Please read the last paragraph of KK5EO's experience on the 'users'
page (http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven/r10/users.htm) of my IC-R10
pages. It may be plain coincidence but this IC-R10 actually 'died'
when receiving during a thunderstorm.

I added your posting to the 'Usenet' page and will add the replies of
others, if any.

Regards,

Joost

==================================
Joost van Stuyvenberg
Amsterdam, The Netherlands
stuyvenberg@bigfoot.com
==================================
for IC-R10 information see:
http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven/r10
==================================

3062. BC-200xlt wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <themike@ican.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9da2$66b5dfa0$64129a8e@endxokep>
Source record: 156007

If anyone has a 200XLT for sale in the Toronto area, I am interested in it.
Contact me at.............themike@ican.net

Thanks

Mike

3063. Re: Advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358E02F2.2F21@parg.org>
Source record: 156008

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> I know about pipe grounds and they should not be allowed in the
> electrical code.

Actually, depending on exactly what you are grounding, they can be one
of th BEST grounds! (assuming it is a coppper pipe)

> There have been many ground circuits disconnected when the pipes have
> been repaired due to leaks or freezing , people tend to use plastic
> pipes to splice in repairs.

According to code, if it is replaced with something other than copper
and is carrying a ground, another ground wire of proper capacity must
be run to essentially jumper around the splice to the same
ground rod.

> I know in my area the main feed has to be copper all the way from the
> street into the house and if you have an electric water heater the
> supply pipe to and from the heater have to be copper

Yea, different areas do different things for their local code.
In Oregon & Washington the main feed has to be copper for the
first 10 feet (it might be 12 feet?) coming out of the ground,
then may be pvc or what ever after that point. Further,
that first 10 feet (12?) MUST be tied into the ground for
the house.

NOTE - I could look in the NEC book for the exact length of
10 or 12 feet, but I think the EXACT length is not really
important.

3064. Re: flame wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXXbadservice@home.com
Message-ID: <aGnj1.5223$5d1.4669777@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 156009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You can thank the old fart Billy Cheek for that.
I guess the Viagra pills don't work for him anymore.
Got to get his kicks by picking fights with everyone.

I hear he's in poor health and may not be with us for much longer. Seems after his 10-4 Good buddy book can't sell anymore he no longer can afford the cost of expensive medication.
Just treat him like any other sick person. Pity him, feel sorry for him, give him the attention he so desperately seeks. He's not a normal person. Billy has been taking in too much aluminum and he forgets things once in a while, like his meds for that twitch in his left cheek. Poor old boy. :-(

Barry wrote in message <358D2895.6AA6@geocities.com>...
>these newsgroups are the worst i have seen for all the flame wars. it
>looks like mackey, cheek and others have nothing better to do with their
>lives than spend time posting all the bullshit.
>
>you are also wasting other subscriber's time by having to wade through
>all your ranting and raving about nothing.
>
>GROW UP!!!!!!!!

3065. Re: Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa9yhx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062207291800.DAA25395@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156010

>Subject: Scanner Antenna
>From: smaynard@home.com (Sam Maynard)
>Date: Mon, Jun 22, 1998 01:47 EDT
>Message-id: <358def1f.15801567@news>
>
>I'm looking for a wide-band scanner antenna that has an NMO mount.
>Could someone steer me in the right direction?

Try the Austin Spectrum. For approx. $75 it is supposed to be the best mobile scanner antenna for all bands. It uses an NMO mount.

Try RadioWare - dealer:

www.radio-ware.com/

Will Sperling, BBS Sysop / Assoc. Director
Ex-RCMA (IL-116)
The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA)
CARMA Web Page: http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm
CARMA BBS: 630-852-1292
Email: WA9YHX@aol.com

3066. Re: What's this stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9db2$fcd997a0$b61537a6@default>
Source record: 156011

I am not using warpgate but I am getting all this deleted crap. My ISP is
MCI and it appears that this "Jkn" person is able to delete what must be
Mackey's comments before others can read them. How is this happening?

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote in article
<358DC99F.35BD99EC@netwit.com>...
>
>
> Vic Tosca wrote:
>
> > On 20 Jun 98 22:36:02 , Jkn@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Jkn) was
> > lurking in rec.radio.scanner, and composed the following about
> > "RE:":
> >
> > > Originally from: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
> > >
> > > This article was posted in the *.radio.scanner hierarchy.
> > > It was canceled for one or more of the following reasons:
> > >
> > > 1) spew from or to or about John Mackey
> > > 2) binary - discussion newsgroups only
> > > 3) spam
> > > 4) MMF
> > >
> >
> > What the heck is this stuff all about?
> >
>
> An entity, purporting to be a sysop, is posting notification of each
> article he's censoring from his USENET feeds.
> Jkn@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com (Jkn) is apparently censoring
> messages before they get to his subscribed users. These "Here's
> another message my users aren't going to read" posts are
> unnecessary. He can delete whatever he wishes and no-one would be
> any wiser. That's the rules of the USENET feed game.
>
> But this guy went the extra mile. He's using bandwidth to flout
> his control fetish; hence these repetitive "here's what *I* think is
> worthless" posts.

>
> However, he can use bandwidth for whatever he feels is
> appropriate. That's another rule of the USENET. Too bad his users
> aren't afforded that same privilege.
>
> I wonder what else ISP Warpgate.com users are not seeing?
>
> Anybody on that ISP?
>
>

3067. Re: Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9db3$db77a4c0$b61537a6@default>
Source record: 156012

Antenna Specialists has a good wide band scanner with a NMO mount. It's there "MON" series. Check it out. I use them on both of my cars and they work great.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Sam Maynard <smaynard@home.com> wrote in article
<358def1f.15801567@news>...
> I'm looking for a wide-band scanner antenna that has an NMO mount.
> Could someone steer me in the right direction?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Sam
>

3068. Re: Scrambled Sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: TIgEas LAiR <kaliber@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358E0E70.D4352DED@pacbell.net>
Source record: 156013

BOSOX wrote:

> Is there a way to unscramble the donald duck sound that comes from the
> cordless phones freqs?
>
> TIA

Hi BOSOX,
Since you can tell it sounds like donald duck,
it is probably analog speech inversion,... attached is
the sound card descrambler, posted around the web...

c-ya
TIgEas LAiR

3069. PRO-2004 $220 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: xhduff@ica.net
Message-ID: <358c65da.0@lightning.ica.net>
Source record: 156054

```
Radio Shack Pro-2004 scanner for sale.
Backlighting a little dim otherwise in
excellent condition... Looks and
works great !
25 - 520, 760 - 1300 MHz.
AM/FMn/FMw
$220 shipped.

reply to hduff@ica.net
(DO NOT AUTOreply)
```

3070. Re: PRO-2004 $220 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062200572900.UAA08415@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156055

>Subject: Re: PRO-2004 $220 shipped
>From: sky444@worldnet.att.net (skyhawk)
>Date: Sun, Jun 21, 1998 09:19 EDT

>He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He Ha
>Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee heeeeee
>Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!You have got to be kidding!!!!

Nope He's not..... I have one and I would
not sell it that cheap. If you find it so
funny.... then don't buy it. Your post to
this group is insulting and childish.
grow up !!!!!

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

3071. Re: JUST ADDED MY FILTERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DAC5C.2AB9@parg.org>
Source record: 156056

Reddog wrote:
> I said I shouldn't have to but I did, just to experiment a little. Boy what
> a nice group this is with em. It would be even nicer if I did not have to
> use my filters.....

What a pity that you had to do this.

Hopefully Bill Cheek will take one of the truce options offered
to him & he will end his tirade on this NG.

3072. Re: BC3000xlt Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: deforger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062201035100.VAA09242@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 156057

The 3000 battery pack is glued together,there are no screws.If i remember correctly the 3000
only charges the battery when the radio is off.
If you have it plugged in and turned on ,it's not charging it's just suppling the radio power

3073. Re: One More Time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DAE0B.59DF@parg.org>
Source record: 156058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> [Image]

It sounds as though you are nominating me to be the patrolman
of this NG. Hmmm, I will give your nomination careful consideration.

3074. Re: Rub Bill's nose in it Mackey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DAF48.7BEC@parg.org>
Source record: 156059

Blake Bowers wrote:

> John, are you truly this stupid? Caused the collapse of the
> newsgroup?

Yes, Cheek nearly caused the collapse of this NG.

> What do you think you are doing now? You, John, are
> ruining this newsgroup John!

No, NOT at ALL! Infact, if it weren't for the actions
of myself & a handfull of others, this NG adulterated
by Cheek & his fellow bandits long ago. As stated in
another thread very recently, the amateur newgroups
(where YOU often are found) are in MUCH worse condition
than this NG.

> The VAST MAJORITY OF THE
> newsgroup DOES

YOU are NOT a spokesman for the NG.

3075. Re: A Deal for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DAF83.EF9@parg.org>
Source record: 156060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
> Better yet, why don't you adopt it unilaterally? This would soon
> expose who's the good guy and who's the flamer, right?
>
> (Any bets on Cheek actually doing this?)

There is NO way his ego could do it in MY opinion!

x

3076. Re: NO DEAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB023.1322@parg.org>
Source record: 156061

pja wrote:
> No one believes you want a truce MACKEY.

You are CERTAINLY NOT a spokesman for the group.

I have TRIED in MANY different ways to get Cheek to
agree to a truce but he refuses.

> mindless PARG faggots
Is THIS ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ why you were asking to join PARG just
a few days ago?

3077. Re: A Deal for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB09C.13EA@parg.org>
Source record: 156062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

William C. Thompson wrote:
> I , for one, thank you for your offer

Thanks for your support!

3078. Re: HERE IS THE "DEAL" FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358DB19F.5A3C@parg.org>
Source record: 156063

Blake Bowers wrote:

> >6. No posts intended to produce financial gain .
>
> Who cares? Most of the users of the newsgroup would
> MUCH rather see a few personal financial gain posts, instead
> of your posts!

WHO appointed YOU to speak for the NG? You OBVIOUSLY
are NOT aware of history since Cheek has been asked SEVERAL times
to cease his posts of commercial nature.

> Bill offered you a fair proposal,

An I offered two very fair ammendments. I if you & Cheek truly
desire to lower the noise level in the newsgroup, (Which you
have repeatedly shown that you do not wish such) then take
me up on his FAIR OFFER TO YOU!

3079. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mpkv8$5i6@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155664

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> You know who you are. And now we know where you are. Here's hoping Bill
> forwards all of your profane and defamatory messages to
> ctcadmin@ctc.edu, as listed in the headers of all of your posts. Oughta
> be fun explaining to Mom and Dad why they kicked you out of college..
>
> People like you tend to get their just desserts - I hope you brought a
> spoon.
>
> Friggin' Loon...
> -Phil

Actually, the Forgeries were perform through Open NNTP Server
news.ctc.edu and originated from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK
-NET)CLARK-NET

All complaints should be sent to abuse@verio.net and
abuse@clark.net.

NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41

whois 198.104.135.41@whois.arin.net [rs.arin.net]
NorthWestNet (NETBLK-NWNET-BLK198) NWNET-BLK2
198.104.0.0 - 198.104.255.255
Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET
198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

3080. Forgery from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET was: Re: F*** YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mplbv$830@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The CB Guy wrote:
>
> Gee...at least the 3rd forged post from this entity, perhaps the sysop
> at ctcadmin@ctc.edu should be notified?
> I'm sending him a copy with all headers attached....
> No doubt some janitor who found a terminal on..
>

Actually, the Forgeries were perform through Open NNTP Server
news.ctc.edu and originated from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK
-NET)CLARK-NET

All complaints should be sent to abuse@verio.net and
abuse@clark.net.

NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41

whois 198.104.135.41@whois.arin.net [rs.arin.net]
NorthWestNet (NETBLK-NWNET-BLK198) NWNET-BLK2
198.104.0.0 - 198.104.255.255
Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET
198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Jeff Ekblad wrote:
> > >
> >
> > F*** YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
> > thankful
> > I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.

3081. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35905946.25D7@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155666

Robert H. Eisner wrote:
> Actually, the Forgeries were perform through Open NNTP Server
> news.ctc.edu and originated from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK
> -NET)CLARK-NET
>
> All complaints should be sent to abuse@verio.net and
> abuse@clark.net.
>

Ahh... at any rate, this guy basically screwed himself. The guy must be some special kind of stupid. Any even half competent hacker would have used an anonymous machine, such as one at the library. Instead he just walks into the lab and posts away. What a Mack-tard.

-Phil

3082. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mplro$bav@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155667

He also Rogue Cancelled 33 of Bill Cheek posts.

These are the headers of one of 33 Rogue Cancels

Path:
live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.
net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <358f4bb5.104576796@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <358f4bb5.104576796@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:17:52 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <359037A0.23B0@san.rr.com>
References: <358f4bb5.104576796@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>
> Ahh... at any rate, this guy basically screwed himself. The guy must be
> some special kind of stupid. Any even half competent hacker would have
> used an anonymous machine, such as one at the library. Instead he just
> walks into the lab and posts away. What a Mack-tard.
>
> -Phil

3083. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35905C2D.678C@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155668

K4CC wrote:
>
> In article <359044F7.7433@mail.hac.com>, Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
> writes:
>
> >Friggin' Loon...
>
> Phil-
> Your terminology is far too polite - but I haven't for the life of me been able
> to think of a better description!!!

Yeah, I try to keep things on a PG-13 level, since Moron Mack-tard never graduated from the 6th grade. That way he understands it better, and the mental institution he lives at doesn't censor the message.

I can't believe the guy is an actual ham - has anyone ever verified that? With all the lies he spews I wouldn't be surprised in the least if he was no ham at all.
-Phil

3084. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mpn9q$ise@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155669

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > To whom it may concern -
> >
> > If you must forge posts - at least put more effort in it. Sophomoric at
> > best.
> You're probably getting an e-mail bomb with
> 3-megs of files....in my name, too. Check
> the headers.....guess where it originates?
>
> TELEPORT.COM the host for PARG.ORG
>
> Interesting, eh?

Bill,

Actually, the Forgeries were perform through Open NNTP Server
news.ctc.edu and originated from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK
-NET)CLARK-NET

All complaints should be sent to abuse@verio.net and
abuse@clark.net.

NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41

whois 198.104.135.41@whois.arin.net [rs.arin.net]
NorthWestNet (NETBLK-NWNET-BLK198) NWNET-BLK2
198.104.0.0 - 198.104.255.255
Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET
198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

This Rogue also Cancelled 33 of your posts.

These are the headers of one of 33 Rogue Cancels

Path:
live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.
net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <358f4bb5.104576796@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <358f4bb5.104576796@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:17:52 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1

Message-ID: <359037A0.23B0@san.rr.com>
References: <358f4bb5.104576796@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

3085. Re: Pa. State Police: What's 42.6 MHz for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Plants <plplants@telerama.com>
Message-ID: <35906722.0@paperboy.telerama.com>
Source record: 155670

>42.600 Was the Barracks to Barracks frequency many years ago. This is no longer
>used as PSP maintains a private phone system via microwave which links all the
>barracks in the state via phone. No need for this channel any more.

Actually before that all PA State Police communications was on low band and the 42.60 was the base to mobile frequency.

--
Paul L. Plants Callsign
680 1/2 Broad Street N3WMV
Washington, PA 15301 145.490-
USA
DO NOT SEND ANY FORM OF ADVERTISING TO ANY OF THESE ADDRESSES

3086. Re: Forgery from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET was: Re: F*** YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35908502.B1E6F195@swbell.net>
Source record: 155671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Also you can send your complaints to Jim Coleman below.

Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)
1800 E McLoughlin Blvd.
Vancouver, WA 98663

Netname: CLARK-NET
Netblock: 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

Coordinator:
Coleman, Jim (JC153-ARIN) jcoleman@CLARK.EDU
(206)750-3803

3087. Anyone Have Experience With Any of These Dealers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lane Gustafson <lg5163@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6mpq9k$7vt$1@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 155672
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
I want to buy a BC235XLT scanner. These dealers were found to have the best prices on the internet.
Does anyone have anything good (or bad) to say about them. By the way, access the respective BBB's (Better Business Bureau) and was unable to find these dealers names in their listings, hence my resorting to asking those that monitor these NG's.

National Electronics
PO Box 15417
Shawnee Mission, KS 66285

Hobby Radio Stop
P.O. Box 291849
Kettering, Oh 45429

Communications Electronics Inc.
PO Box 1045
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106-1045 USA

Or, does anyone know of a dealer on the west coast, I'm in Washington State, that can match their prices?

Thank you,

3088. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3590871F.D713CA30@swbell.net>
Source record: 155673

I have sent 3 complaints to the man in charge of it all.
I pity the person who is doing it, if their a student they will be
looking for a new college.

3089. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <35906B9E.2FB5188D@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 155674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The forged headers as received at my computer:

Path:news.primenet.com!nntp.primenet.com!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net
!gip.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-backup-east.sprintlink.net!news.
sprintlink.net!205.175.105.36!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
Subject: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 15:48:21 -0700
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <359030B5.16A9@san.rr.com>
References: <358F4369.40C8@parg.org>
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)
Xref: news.primenet.com rec.radio.scanner:134339 alt.radio.scanner:83488

Real headers as received at my computer:

Path:news.primenet.com!nntp.primenet.com!howland.erols.net!newspeer.
monmouth.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!alpha.sky.net!newshub.cts.com!
relief.cts.com!not-for-mail
From: bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Multiple Scanners on Single Antenna
Date: Fri, 19 Jun 1998 15:57:53 GMT
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <358b89ba.220337968@news2.cts.com>
References: <6lriu9$mlu@apple.telalink.net>
<01bd9722$07e6f260$8258cccf@default>
<6lv72a$jl3@apple.telalink.net>
<01bd9906$dfb24e20$e61b37a6@default>
<3586be3b.70998162@news2.cts.com>
<01bd99c9$79f4bb40$a51637a6@default>
<358c1fb4.127635420@news2.cts.com>
<35896E62.15F6@hacgate.com>
<358a77b8.150176922@news2.cts.com>
<358984BC.5230@hacgate.com>

Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: wagasa.cts.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
Cache-Post-Path: wagasa.cts.com!unknown@dt022nec.san.rr.com
X-CTS-Authuser: bcheek
Xref: news.primenet.com rec.radio.scanner:133505 alt.radio.scanner:83125

3090. Re: Forgery from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET was: Re: F*** YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mpqfl$1f2@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just to be sure I send your complaints to the following:

jcoleman@CLARK.EDU Clark College Contact
abuse@verio.net Abuse Dept at Verio Net (they own Clark Net)
abuse@clark.net Abuse Dept at Clark Net
ctcadmin@ctc.edu News Admin at Washington State Community and
Technical Colleges

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
> Also you can send your complaints to Jim Coleman below.
>
> Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)
> 1800 E McLoughlin Blvd.
> Vancouver, WA 98663
>
> Netname: CLARK-NET
> Netblock: 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0
>
> Coordinator:
> Coleman, Jim (JC153-ARIN) jcoleman@CLARK.EDU
> (206)750-3803

3091. FS: ICOM R7000 receiver, TV-R7000 video adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobhome@postoffice.pacbell.net
Message-ID: <35906C6B.9C6538A6@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 155676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

ICOM R7000 receiver with TV-R7000 video adapter
AM/FM/FMw/SSB 25MHz-1000MHz (no block)
AM/FM/FMw/SSB 1025MHz-1300MHz

$850 for both
$750 for the R7000, $150 for the TV-R7000

This receiver is in near mint condition and includes the 12V DC option as well as the TV-R7000 video adapter. All original boxes and manuals are included. I've also just installed a fresh internal lithium memory backup battery.

Radio Shack modulator (base band video and audio in, channel 3 or 4 out) included with TV-R7000.

Prices include shipping by UPS ground within the continental US.

Bob
WO6W

3092. Re: allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35906F7B.3D8F5454@netwit.com>
Source record: 155677

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Usually you only post stupid crap on here only meant to start trouble.
> But, this time is different!!!! You are probably on to something!
>

No dice Bug Boy.

I don't see the need for a moderator nowadays. I get a wide range of posts in this NG and it seems to be healthy and kicking. Besides, the filtering technology is so much better now. (Although I've never bothered to learn any filtering; I simply don't click on what I don't want to read. Always seemed like a "no brainer" to me.)

But I spent many a night on several moderated FIDONET conferences on the bbs circuit. They had this "quality over quantity" thing going on over there. In fact, I didn't move to the USENET until that sad day when a post came down the pike announcing the end of the FIDONET party.

In all those years, I've only seen a few moderators step in and squash a bug or two. Sometimes; a few us that had been sitting around poking the bug with a stick would raise a collective "aawwwwwwww, did'ja really have to go and do that?"

But the vast majority of the conference would be doing the "happy dance" as the moderator lifted his hoof off the bug goo. No big deal. Another bug would always find its way in (Ooo! There's another one! Get a stick!).

I never saw *anyone* that got squashed that wasn't a total and deserving asshole.

Ring a bell?

You friggin' loon.

3093. Re: Mackey ?ber Alles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <35906E6A.6456BE0E@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 155678

John "I'm such a lame canceler and and even lamer hacker"
Mackey-KA0SSF dreamed:

> Your suggestion of a moderated NG is good! However, rather than start
> *ANOTHER* newsgroup to be moderated, why not just moderate THIS
> NG! We could all elect to appoint a moderator!
>
> THIS would be the answer to many of the problems. A moderator would
> help ensure that only on-topic posts are presented & those individuals
> who post off-topic would be dealt with by the moderator!
erator of scanradio in Fidonet.
>
> LETS GO TO A MODERATED SYSTEM ON THIS NEWSGROUP!
>

Seig heil der Fï¿½hrer Mackey.

Seig heil die Nationalsozialistische Mackey Scannerpartei.

3094. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <35906BA2.83AB999D@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155679

Yup, I got em if you want to call em "bombs". 3 MB they were - come on that's
a baby fart, not a bomb. Also - The email DID TRAVERSE TELEPORT.COM.

Interesting indeed....

Bill Cheek wrote:

> "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > To whom it may concern -
> >
> > If you must forge posts - at least put more effort in it. Sophomoric at
> > best.
> You're probably getting an e-mail bomb with
> 3-megs of files....in my name, too. Check
> the headers.....guess where it originates?
>
> TELEPORT.COM the host for PARG.ORG
>
> Interesting, eh?

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

3095. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35907962.39775082@netwit.com>
Source record: 155680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The CB Guy wrote:

> Gee...at least the 3rd forged post from this entity, perhaps the sysop
> at ctcadmin@ctc.edu should be notified?
> I'm sending him a copy with all headers attached....
> No doubt some janitor who found a terminal on..

>FUCK YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be>
thankful
> I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.

Pretty good chance you're right CB Guy. The forger probably works for the school; it's not likely this clown is enrolled. If it is a student; the lad has a tough semester ahead of him.

3096. Ar8000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron <rhoward@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <35907288.0@oracle.zianet.com>
Source record: 155681

Check it out. Ar8000 on ebay.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=18480614

3097. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dean, Greg" <N9NWO@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <6mq079rfk1@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 155682

>
>>>I have been told it's possible to use a cell phone as a scanner for the
>>>800mhz band. I was led to believe it was quite simple on most popular
>>>cell phones. Can anyone shed some light on this for me? Thank you in
>>>advance.
>>
>>That is the rumour. It sounds logical, because the phone would HAVE to be
>>able to scan the frequencies to find the cell and/or a clear channel.
I've
>>also heard that some of them can be used as two-way units at close range,
but
>>haven't been able to find out anything more about it. That one sounds a
>>little more far-fetched.
>
>
>Sure you can. Its more like a receiver, not a scanner since you have
>to key in the channel # you want to listen too.
>A simple web search will tell you how.

I have tried to search the web for this info. Maybe you would show us
how.

3098. PRO 2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Lapshinoff <slapshin@netidea.com>
Message-ID: <35909CEE.1829C1C9@netidea.com>
Source record: 155683

Does any one have any information how one can modify a Radio Shack Scanner PRO 2020 from 20 channels to 40 channels. Thanks.

3099. FS: HTX-212 2m 45watt mobile radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <3590336F.2604@blarg.net>
Source record: 155684
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Has extended recieve (137-174mhz), and can do mars/cap
after holding the F and DTMF keys while turning on the radio.
Holds 33 freqs (30 standard memories, 1 call channel, and two
VFO freqs). Has two power settings, 45 watts and 10 watts.
This is an automotive mount unit, but can be used in the
home with a 12v 10amp adaptor.
It comes with the hand mic and has full dtmf keys and
some keys for controls on it. Display is backlit with green LEDs.
It does not come with a mounting bracket or origional
manual. But, I have printed out the on-line manual for your
convenience.

It works just fine and has no problems.

Asking $135, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
to negotiation.

I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and
haven't gotten around to getting my amateur liscense yet. I need
the money more than I need the hobby.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

3100. Re: F**K YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: revRecluse@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6mq701$4bn$1@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155685

actually, vancouver, wa is right across the river from portland, or.
kelly

3101. Re: For Sale: RS Pro-2006 Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam <sdsnyder@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <35904E67.70D4@prodigy.net>
Source record: 155686

Jack wrote:
>
> On 20 Jun 1998 03:12:24 GMT, tal35@aol.com (Tal35) wrote:
>
> >I have 1 bottomcover (one screw hole on back is broken) make offer, 1 top cover
> >with screw holddown cracked, and one bottom cover used very good cond, but more
> >of a grey color instead of usual black. make me an offer. Please Email me if
> >interested at Tal35@aol.com or tal35@favmail.com
>
> 2006's came in black?

Looking at mine and it's dark gray.

Sam

3102. Info request on Realistic Pro 2006.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam <sdsnyder@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <359063A2.42FE@prodigy.net>
Source record: 155687

I have had this scanner for many years and all works perfect except the lcd display or backlight is getting dimmer over time. Are there any fixes/mods for this short of replacing the display unit?

Sam

3103. Re: allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <358FF860.6B4B@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155714

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> allsafe-
>
> Usually you only post stupid crap on here only meant to start trouble.
> But, this time is different!!!! You are probably on to something!
And you are probably ON something...

> Now just last night I proposed a 7 day "stay" on all falming & other
> type
> of messages. Most have chosen to ignore the "stay".
Including you.

> :(I am going to
> honor it, so this is NOT a flame. (although none of my messages are
> flames anyway)
WHAT?!?!? NURSE.... I.V. STAT!! Yet another lie from the insepid Scarlet Mackerel.

> Your suggestion of a moderated NG is good! However, rather than start
> *ANOTHER* newsgroup to be moderated, why not just moderate THIS
> NG! We could all elect to appoint a moderator!
And the moderator would be.... YOU?! WAAAAAHA HA HA HA HA!!!!!

> THIS would be the answer to many of the problems. A moderator would
> help ensure that only on-topic posts are presented & those individuals
> who post off-topic would be dealt with by the moderator!
Which is what you have already attempted to do as the self-appointed "moderator", with 0% success.

> Even Bill Cheek would support a moderator as indicated by his rein
> as moderator of scanradio in Fidonet.
>
> LETS GO TO A MODERATED SYSTEM ON THIS NEWSGROUP!
>
Bozo. Retard. Moron. Dipstick. Read allsafe's post again, stupid. If the NG gets moderated, kiss it goodbye. If there's one thing people can't stand, it's another person telling them what to do. No one would ever post here and you would be deprived of all this attention that you seem to relish so much.

His inflection was clear to ANYONE with a brain (which excludes people like you), so I will attempt to clarify it for you. Observe:

Moderated rec.radio.scanner = no posts = bad.

Unmoderated rec.radio.scanner = lots of posts = good.

Simple enough even for you.

-Phil

3104. Re: What's the matter?? Chicken??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <358FF902.7F2@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155715

Robert Edmunds wrote:
> Look up--you see that? Yup that's my REAL name and REAL E-Mail address.

Yippy skippy for you. Send me a buck and I'll pretend to give a crap.

-Phil

3105. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <not@home.here>
Message-ID: <358FF943.5F9C@home.here>
Source record: 155716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

While robbing a bank you notice a teller is having a heart attack so you
stop to give her CPR before you scoop up the money and make your exit.
Sorry Mike but consider yourself busted.

MIKE L. wrote:
> Hypothetical Question:
> A person you know is in need of immediate help; they're using a
> 'cordless phone' to contact the police. You happen to be monitoring
> the 'cordless' freqs. on your scanner when you catch the call. You
> listen and you realize that the person is talking to no one! This person
> sounds frantic and keeps asking if anyone can hear them!
> You take it upon yourself to call the police and give them the info on
> what just transpired.
> ARE YOU DOING SOMETHING ILLEGAL?
> Isn't it only ILLEGAL if you use the information in any way that would
> cause harm to another person, or aid a person or persons in the commission
> a crime?

3106. Re: Wanted: Frequecies of the Unite States Postal Service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <358FFA21.962@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155717

tibbl@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> I work there and love most of the confused talk. I would love to lay
> in bed and laugh while getting to sleep. If anyone has any freq. let
> me know

If you need the LA area I have some. Let me know.
-Phil

3107. Re: me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <358FF98D.1B46@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155718

Welch Family wrote:
>
> hi
> i'm a fucking idiot
> please plonk me
> YOU DONT HAVE A CLUE

HA HA HA HA HA HA

Straight, direct, simple and to the point. I like that.

PLONK!
-Phil

3108. Re: Icom PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian Robert Walker <Ian@newbrain.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <faopyIAi5+j1Ew3W@newbrain.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 155719

In article <01bd9e98$5bb13680$a6e041c2@host.telepac.pt>, JM BRM
<cmb@mail.telepac.pt> writes
>With the original software of the Icom PCR 1000 i can only vew a spectrum
>analisys
>of +-200Khz. Can i view 10Mhz ??

No, it's a limitation of the first IF filter.
--
Ian Robert Walker

3109. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3591f80d.148708761@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155720

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes In article
> <1998061318275600.OAA22718@ladder01.news.aol.com>, malexder@aol.com
> (MAlexder) wrote:
>
> > That includes you of course, since all you yet to post a single >message on
> > topic.
>
> I'm curious....could you estimate your off- to- on topic posting ratio
> for us? Honestly?
> (Yes, I know what mine is.... 0/5.)

Are you aware, Ignats UpYours, that 0/5 is
precisely equal to 0/10,000.

Zero is still zero, UpYours.

3110. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Cuppy <bpc002@kktv.com>
Message-ID: <358FFFE7.66D6@kktv.com>
Source record: 155721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Or better yet, famous words from CTIA Thomas Wheeler,
"There is no need for scanners in today's society,
therefore, they should be BANNED !" Who defines society.
As I said before, we are a part and we must speak up.
Society should NOT be ruled by Corporate CEO's, gov't
people.

The Puritans have died over 200 years ago and their ideas
that life is NOT to be enjoyed should die as well.
Nothing like living in the neo-puritan/politically
correct 1990's. There are only 2 years left in this
decade and many people like me will be happy when
it is over.

Brad

D. P. Roberts wrote:
>
> >I bet Many of you are wondering why people do not trust the scanner
> >hobbyist?
>
> The same reason people do not trust people who own guns or SUVs either!
>
> "There is no need for a __________________ (fill in YOUR hobby or enjoyment
> or right in that space)."

3111. Re: Enterdev

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <358FFEAB.5AF7@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155722

Allen Hart wrote:
>
> Does anyone know what happened to Enterdev? (Phil maybe) They
> seem to have dropped off the face of the earth. Their web site
> is gone and they don't return phone calls.

As far as I know the company is gone and the founder is now working a regular 9 to 5 type job. Did they ever send you the refund you were promised? I was told they did.

-Phil

3112. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359802d6.4296253@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
>dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
>hospital!

Holy Mackeyral! ANOTHER suede-o-name for the Big Mackkk. Hey, instead of
all this subterfuge in a vain attempt to get SOMEONE to pay attention to you,
why not just post under your REAL name? Be the REAL MACKOY!

3113. DFW Scan radio ICQ list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry.adair@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mp1uk$e33@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155724
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
The DFW Scan Radio ICQ List has grown from 3 users on June 20, 1998, to 20
users today, so if you want to get listed please send e-mail or ICQ me
#5645613
--
Barry Adair
barry.adair@worldnet.att.net
ICQ# 5645613
Home page for the DFW Scan Radio ICQ List
http://members.tripod.com/~B_Adair
```

3114. Links Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry.adair@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mp25n$f0j@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155725
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am also in the process of building a links page, if you have a
non-commercial page that relates to Scanning, that you would like listed
please send me e-mail or ICQ me.

--
Barry Adair
barry.adair@worldnet.att.net
ICQ# 5645613
Home page for the DFW Scan Radio ICQ List
http://members.tripod.com/~B_Adair

3115. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35900A75.1EF0@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155726

Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> yea, cheeks was the only guy in colorado history that
> ever blew up a sat dish due to incorrect mounting!
Did he now? How about posting the date and approximate time for
verification, Scarlet Mackerel.

> want to talk about why you had to stay outside the municipal
> boundry of denver?
No doubt the stench from your double-wide was overpowering...

> no but i am sure you had yours dusted when you arrived at
> univ of colorado hospital after you blew up the sat dish! exactly
> how long did you spend in there bill?
Hey wait, I thought you knew all this stuff...

> how was that life flight helocopter ride bill?
How's life in the circus?

> do you really want me to tell how you did it?
Yes, tell us exactly where when and how Bill Cheek blew up a satellite
dish. Otherwise, please shut the hell up.

>
> ------== Posted via Deja Loon, The Leader in Anonymous Flames ==-----
> http://www.parg.org/ Now offering more lies per minute, guaranteed.

You are fooling no one, Smackerel. The fact that you actually expect us
to believe something so patently ridiculous with no proof, no dates, no
times, no methods, no nothing shows everyone who you really are. Blown
up due to incorrect mounting...HA! The only thing that can happen there
is the stupid thing won't work. It certainly wouldn't blow up. But then,
you're a natural at talking out your ass about subjects you know nothing
about, so you would say it flew non-stop coast to coast under it's own
power if that would make Bill look bad. What a crock!

Truly a legend in your own mind, where there's plenty - o - room to
stretch out. See ya, wouldn't wanna be ya, Mack-turd. Have you met
Emilio yet, ya friggin' loon?

So many idiots, so little time...
-Phil

3116. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062320070200.QAA04599@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155727

>Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com

>hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
>dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
>hospital!
>
>

Mackey, give it a REST!

3117. Re: Cease Fire! Bill & John. Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35930cd4.154028761@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155728

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

> Then why am I still seeing 3600 lbs. of bullshit every time I open this
> group, from __YOU__ ? If you everything you say is true, we should see
> nothing from you but on-topic posts.

You, Mister UpYours, are a fine example, too.
Twelve posts from you in two weeks and not a
one of 'em on-topic.

Not only that, but they're all abusive and
abrasive. And on top of all that, no one addressed
you; no one attacked you; no one said shit to
you to warrant or justify your stinkin, low-life
attitude. And I damn sure never went to you....

Soooooo, Mister UpYours, guess what?

Up yours.

And whaddya know, it fits........ so make like
what you ain't got and beat it.

....friggin adam henry.

3118. Re: What's the matter?? Chicken??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35940e4f.154407291@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155729

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com> wrote:

> Robert Edmunds wrote:
> > Look up--you see that? Yup that's my REAL name and REAL E-Mail address.
>
> Yippy skippy for you. Send me a buck and I'll pretend to give a crap.

Have 'im send you a cookie and wait 24-hrs
and you won't have to .... pretend. <g>

3119. Re: What's the matter?? Chicken??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35901073.46CA@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155730

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Have 'im send you a cookie and wait 24-hrs
> and you won't have to .... pretend. <g>

I'd rather have the dollar. Then I could buy my own damn cookie! ;-)

-Phil

3120. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35950ed1.154537486@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155731

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> yea, cheeks was the only guy in colorado history that
> ever blew up a sat dish due to incorrect mounting!

Are you stupid or just act that way?

> > Heh heh heh, and the guy wonders why I lived in
> > Golden, Colorado high up on Green Mountain as
>
> when I knew of you bill you lived in a differet suburb than golden,
> but not far from golden.

Then not only are you stupid, but you've mistaken
me for someone else. Truth? You ready for it?
I lived a few blocks west of the JeffCo Fairgrounds
at the upper (south) end of Pike Street. That, you
nescient imbecile, is....GOLDEN. Not close. Not far.
It *is* Golden.

> > opposed to down in the slums of Denver? Sheesh,

> want to talk about why you had to stay outside the municipal
> boundry of denver?

Yes, let's do talk about that. And then we'll talk
about that filthy hovel of a double-wide you stayed
in down there along the South Platte.

> > More'n likely, I dusted his britches from there
> > to next Tuesday..... hee hee hee

> no but i am sure you had yours dusted when you arrived at
> univ of colorado hospital after you blew up the sat dish! exactly
> how long did you spend in there bill?

Never been there. And other that driving through
Boulder and maybe sucking down a barley pop at some
of the pubs, I've never been on the UC campus. And
haven't been in a hospital since I was a kid, other
than as a visitor. So, again, you're either a
friggin imbecile or you have me mixed up with someone
else, or.....more than likely, BOTH.

> how was that life flight helocopter ride bill?

I rode helicopters throughout the Great American
West as a field engineer; never as a patient,
and never a "life flight". So, again, you're either
a friggin imbecile or you have me mixed up with someone
else, or.....more than likely, BOTH.

> > How the hell do you blow up a satellite dish?
>
> do you really want me to tell how you did it?

Yes, I really would like for you to do that. It would
be an interesting story since I NEVER had a satellite
dish in Colorado. And the one I have now is for high
speed data transmission, but I've only had it for maybe
three years.....and it works fine.

So yes, I would be interested in your cute litte
story, you friggin sot. Tell it, if you still have
the lugnuts. But get it straight, and do your homework
first. That means figger out who you're really talking
about. It ain't me, zitface.

3121. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35961197.155248011@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155732

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
> dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
> hospital!

Why don't YOU tell it, you drunken sot. This
ought to be interesting.

3122. Schematic/Service Manual--Regency..NEEDED !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: palladinme@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062321154100.RAA12872@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155733

I am looking for a schematic or SAMS for a Regency Scanner model ACT-T-16K.
I am told that it is the same model as the ACT-T16X.
I will compensate anyone for their efforts....
Please Email me if you have either...
Thank You,
Fred ...aka...palladinme@aol.com

3123. Re: BRANT IS A WANNA BE NAZI JEW BURNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35900d63.5049474@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155734

Cross Posts Trimmed

On Tue, 23 Jun 1998 08:58:12 GMT, pREMOVEetejan@c-REMOVEzone.net (Pete Stapleton) wrote:

>geezer@nursing.home (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:
>
>*twitchb@worldnet.att.net wrote:
>*
>*>"Rev. Dr. Tim, McC, BsD" <Dr_Tim@newsguy.com> wrote:
>*
>*>>There's a difference. Jews are born Jewish. Astrologers are born stupid.
>*>>
>*>Was Pete born?
>*
>*No. A crow shat him on a stump, and the sun hatched him.
>*--
>*glenq@cyberhighway.net
>*
>
>pete comments: below is what little glen glen the wanna be nazi
>jew burner thinks of lady astrologers posting on alt.astrology.
>What you read below was posted by a very close friend of glens,
>convicted child molester and registered sex offender gary burnore.
>little glen posts where ever gary posts - kind of give you pause
>as to what they do to gether - as follows:
>
>quote"> The following sample of quotes are from Gary L. Burnore--some actually
>
>quote"> qualify as sexual harassment, some as slander, others show his level of
>
>quote"> intelligence and the extent of his vocabulary.
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "You've got sperm in your eyes again."
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "Well homophobic bitch,"
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "Go fuck yourself"
>

>quote">
>
>quote"> "you stupid cunt"
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "slut Marsha"
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "You're a stupid cunt."
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "Add it to your other good points like slut, cunt, asshole,"
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "Marsha is the slut/cunt"
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "You're a stupid cunt."
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "You're a liar too."
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "go fuck yourself and your little bitch marsha too"
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "She's posting to USENet and sticking her two cents in so she's got NO
>
>quote"> right to complain when someone calls her on it or tells her to GO GET
>
>quote"> FucKED."
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "You're an asshole Marsha"
>
>quote">
>
>quote"> "She can still go fuck herself"
>
>
>

>Download 1998 SIDEREAL/TROPICAL EPHEMERIS (FREE)
>NOW from www.c-zone.net/sidereal/
>
>FREE as ALWAYS.

One of your fans Glen? Heh, Heh, Heh.

3124. Any old Plectron Receivers out there????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: palladinme@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062321240500.RAA13944@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155735

I would like to buy some Plectron Receivers, vhf-hi, and uhf..
If you have one for sale please Email me..
Thank you,
Fred .. aka....palladinme@aol.com

3125. FS/PRO-43 W/CELLULAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3590222F.70E@flash.net>
Source record: 155736

HAVE A CLEAN PR0-43 200CH HH SCANNER WITH CELLULAR RECIEVE WILL SHIP FOR $175.00...TNX JOHN...E-MAIL WILL BE DOWN FRI-SAT- AND SUN...

3126. Table of Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbenson@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6mp881$ig0$1@camel19.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155737

I've been monitoring this group for about a month and I've searched a lot of Web sites, but I have not found a simple table showing which scanners are cell modifiable, separated by Type. (see below)

Does someone know of one? If not, does anyone want to create one and put it on a web page somewhere. With all the questions in this group about cell mods. It would be nice to have a place to send everyone.

Thanks,

Type Scanner No. or Date range Relative Ease of Mod.

H pro-34 Hard
H pro-43 Easy

M Pro2006

B bc890xlt

? bc2500
? bc8500
? bc890xlt
? pro2004
? pro2006
? pro2022

Type:
H - Handheld
M - Mobile
B - Base

3127. Re: FS/PRO-43 W/CELLULAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1dbenson@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6mp90l$31c$1@samsara0.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155738

I would like to buy your scanner. But I'm having trouble e-mailing
you.

email me or call

Doug Benson
dbenson@ccsivr.com
(770) 441-3114 x7378 office til 7:00 p.m. EST
(770) 822-0356 night

Thanks,

Doug

John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net> wrote:

>HAVE A CLEAN PR0-43 200CH HH SCANNER WITH CELLULAR RECIEVE WILL SHIP FOR
>$175.00...TNX JOHN...E-MAIL WILL BE DOWN FRI-SAT- AND SUN...

3128. Re: allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <35930d5e.7046781@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 155739

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

>LETS GO TO A MODERATED SYSTEM ON THIS NEWSGROUP!

Forget it.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3129. FS/PRO-43 W/CELLULAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1dbenson@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6mp9mj$ul2$1@camel19.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155740

I want it. Please call or e-mail:

Doug Benson
(770) 441-3114 x 7378 until 7:p.m. E.S.T.
(770) 822-0356 night until 12 p.m.
dbenson@ccsivr.com

P.S. I'm having trouble e-mailing you at the address below. Getting
domain error.

Thanks,
Doug Benson

John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net> wrote:

>HAVE A CLEAN PR0-43 200CH HH SCANNER WITH CELLULAR RECIEVE WILL SHIP FOR
>$175.00...TNX JOHN...E-MAIL WILL BE DOWN FRI-SAT- AND SUN...

3130. Re: FS: PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062322272700.SAA25976@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155741

sky444@worldnet.att.net (skyhawk) writes:

> Good condition. $155 shipped.
>
>He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He Ha
>Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee heeeeee
>Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!You have got to be kidding!!!!

You're the only one laughing. I wouldn't take $200 for mine. It is not only an excellent easily modified scanner but has large SCAN & MAN button buttons mounted on top (where they ought to be) so as to be found in the dark or without looking at the keypad. It stands erect without separate stand or fold-out belt clip (that never work on the Bearcats) to support it. It has a full-sized bright display. Great audio. I think it is underpriced at $200, let alone $155.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

3131. Interesting Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35903524.44AE@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I found an interesting frequency during my lunch hour today. If you live in the L.A. area, listen to 167.3125. It's the FBI, following some schmoe around Santa Ana. Great stuff and for the most part comm's have been in the clear.

Today the subject was with his girlfriend. This is an excerpt of what I heard.

Agent 1: "What do you think of her?"

Agent 2: "She's..." [Encrypted mode activated briefly] "...U-G-L-Y"

Agent 1: "10-4. Those clothes she has on make her look fat."

Agent 2: "Yeah. She needs to wear all black. More slimming."

Who says the FBI doesn't have a sense of humor? I damn near fell out of the car laughing!

Had to go back to work, and left the handheld at home (dammit!) Hopefully they'll still be at it when I leave so I can have some drive-time entertainment. Enjoy!
-Phil

3132. Re: Table of Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <359030CE.5260731D@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155743

Try this:

http://www.cdrom.com/pub/hamradio/oak/mods/

It's not as elegant as you would likely to prefer - but it's "fairly"
comprehensive - you will need to dig around.

And this:

ftp://204.210.11.204/faqs/scanmods.faq

Cheek's data - specific cell mods with date codes

And this:

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/MODEL.HTM

Rich Well's surperb Scanner Model FAQ.

Hope this helps ...

Doug Benson wrote:

> I've been monitoring this group for about a month and I've searched a
> lot of Web sites, but I have not found a simple table showing which
> scanners are cell modifiable, separated by Type. (see below)
>
> Does someone know of one? If not, does anyone want to create one and
> put it on a web page somewhere. With all the questions in this group
> about cell mods. It would be nice to have a place to send everyone.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Type Scanner No. or Date range Relative Ease of Mod.
> ----------
>
> H pro-34 Hard
> H pro-43 Easy
> ----------
>
> M Pro2006
> ----------
>
> B bc890xlt
> ----------
>
> ? bc2500
> ? bc8500

> ? bc890xlt
> ? pro2004
> ? pro2006
> ? pro2022
>
> Type:
> H - Handheld
> M - Mobile
> B - Base

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

3133. SCANNER LAWS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darrell <drayf@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <359032E9.AE4D897@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 155744

Does anyone know which states has different scanner laws and what the laws might be? I hear that kentucky is not a scanner friendly state. Is there a website or 2 that might explain these laws? Darrell Rayfield Roanoke, Va.

3134. Fort Worth Police Radio Codes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35905063.7F7E7F07@swbell.net>
Source record: 155745

Here is a link to the Fort Worth Police Departments radio codes.
http://www.star-telegram.com/homes/fwpd/signals.htm

3135. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: aruder@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <35903539.154680003@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 155746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mr. Clem" <not@home.here> wrote:

>While robbing a bank you notice a teller is having a heart attack so you
>stop to give her CPR before you scoop up the money and make your exit.
>Sorry Mike but consider yourself busted.
>
>MIKE L. wrote:
>> Hypothetical Question:
>> A person you know is in need of immediate help; they're using a
>> 'cordless phone' to contact the police. You happen to be monitoring
>> the 'cordless' freqs. on your scanner when you catch the call. You
>> listen and you realize that the person is talking to no one! This person
>> sounds frantic and keeps asking if anyone can hear them!
>> You take it upon yourself to call the police and give them the info on
>> what just transpired.
>> ARE YOU DOING SOMETHING ILLEGAL?
>> Isn't it only ILLEGAL if you use the information in any way that would
>> cause harm to another person, or aid a person or persons in the commission
>> a crime?

I doubtless misunsedstand something, which is why the answer to this question that said the guy was busted made no sense to me. Or maybe I had sniffed too much glue. Or maybe someone else had.

As I understand the question, though, if you hear a "protected call asking for help, should you answer it by direction or otherwise.

I believe if you look in the federal regs about most anything, including any part of radio,, you will find something that goes after a fashion:

"Nothing in this part shall be construed of relieving the (operator, officer, person) of the responsibility of exercising good judgement" and another one goes like "Nothing in this part shall be construed to prevent the (whoever) from responding to (emergency conditions)."

Or, to put it like a cop from the FCC once said it to a class:

IF THE EMERGENCY JUSTIFIES IT, ALL BETS ARE OFF.

Of course, then you got to justify it.
Your cat in a tree and you late for work don't justify you modding your CB to transmit 500 watts on the police channel.

OTOH, If you need a 5 watt rig to tell someone that the Jamestown Dam is about to collapse again, and all you got is a 5000 watt rig on the wrong freqs and you know that you can make it splatter all over the bands by shouting,

THEN LET 'ER RIP!

Saving life and/or property seem to be the guideline.

FWIW

Lou H.
Prolific inventor,
Lousy Salesman,
Incompetent businessman.
(Typical of the Breed)
Looking for both around
Tulsa OK, USA

3136. cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbake777@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062323422800.TAA05834@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155747

I have an RS PRO60 and have had it for almost 2 years. At first I was trying like crazy to find out if it could be modified to receive cellular telephone transmissions. Thanks to this newsgroup, I have learned a great deal concerning the laws governing the interception of cellular and cordless phone conversations. (ECPA). My question (to those of you that have scanners with cell capabilities) is: Does the fact that a scanner can receive cell transmissions justify the cost of these used scanners? What are the interceptions like (broken conversations? One sided?)? Do you have to be near a cell site? I figure they are as hard to track as a trunked system...is this correct? Just curious.....

3137. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <35903557.6111@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Those are bad memories of a time when I was going thru a crisis in my life. I was cought in a love triangle between my wife and a man I was having
an affair with. Sort of a personal crisis I had at that time.

I would rather not discuss the incident where I blew up the satellite dish with the HV wires.

Your understanding is appreciated.

Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
> dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
> hospital!
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3138. Re: allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <35903358.4C76@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155749

The moderator should be Bob Parnass. From my time as the dictator of the Fidonet echo I know he would be better than I was.

3139. JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <359030B5.16A9@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John Mackey I am feed up with you. You are not a man and I will kick you ass when I see you. You have made me look bad to this entire group & I don't like it. You are going to get my size 13EE in your ass so hard that we'll have to go to the hospital for an extraction!

I am mad at the rest of you too! You all should have protected me when mackey and his friends started saying those things about me.

I am tempted to never post any of my FAQ's to this newgroup again.

YOU ARE ALL ASSHOLES!

FUCK YOU ALL AND GOOD NIGHT!

3140. FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <35903672.16C3@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jeff Ekblad wrote:
>

FUCK YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
thankful
I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.

3141. Re: Cease Fire! Bill & John. Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <359035E2.7959@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155752

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
I apologize for everything I wrote. I admit that I have been wrong
to act the way I have. I will try going to the VA here is San Diego
& see if there is anything they can do to help. I just seem to looose
control of myself sometimes.

My Navy sailer Boyfriend from here at Miramar just broke up with me so
I am having a rough time.

3142. Re: Cease Fire! Bill & John. Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3590362B.560B@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155753

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
I admit I have caused the problems and I will try to
change.

I just came out of the closet & am not happy to admit I am gay
so it is stirring up a lot inside me.

3143. Re: SCANNER LAWS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner, KD5EIS" <NoOne@NoWhere.net>
Message-ID: <6mpfb5$6ha@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155754

Try

http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

One of the better pages I've found on the subject.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Darrell wrote in message <359032E9.AE4D897@roanoke.infi.net>...
>Does anyone know which states has different scanner laws
>and what the laws might be? I hear that kentucky is not a
>scanner friendly state. Is there a website or 2 that might
>explain these laws? Darrell Rayfield Roanoke, Va.
>

3144. Bill & John See Ya!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Ekblad <jsekblad@win.bright.net>
Message-ID: <35903F22.ABEAC4F6@win.bright.net>
Source record: 155755

Just unsubscribed from the group, it was fun while it lasted.

Thanks guys for making this a real pleasure!

Bill,
I will not ever purchase anything you've written, or send you any gear
to mod.
PS your web page sucks!

John, Don't know who the fuck you are and don't care.
Have fun with your little game.

Jeff

3145. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <359041F3.3A67@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155756

Cbake777 wrote:
>
> I have an RS PRO60 and have had it for almost 2 years. At first I was trying
> like crazy to find out if it could be modified to receive cellular telephone
> transmissions. Thanks to this newsgroup, I have learned a great deal concerning
> the laws governing the interception of cellular and cordless phone
> conversations. (ECPA).
Yeah, the mere mention of cell brings out all the "scanner cops" who
will tell you you can't. Don't they know nothing makes ya wanna do
something more than being told you can't??

My question (to those of you that have scanners with
> cell capabilities) is: Does the fact that a scanner can receive cell
> transmissions justify the cost of these used scanners?
Not really. Remember the "mint" 200xlt? I've seen some good deals in
here though.

What are the
> interceptions like (broken conversations? One sided?)? Do you have to be near a
> cell site? I figure they are as hard to track as a trunked system...is this
> correct? Just curious.......
There are so many frequencies it is almot impossible to follow a
conversation completely, especially if the guy is on the move. In some
areas, you can only hear one side of the conversation. I'm not sure why
this is, but I do believe it is intentional, to deter scanner users.
Other tricks that the cell industry has done is using frequency hopping.
Most of the time, the frequency will only change if the signal
deteriorates, HOWEVER, I have noticed lately that even strong signals
are moving around. Again, I think this is intentional to deter
monitoring.

Cell is strictly a matter of taste. When I opened up full 800 on my
scanner, naturally I was fascinated by the very personal things people
say over a cell phone. I have even heard some idiots do their banking
with one! I wonder how many crooks now have their PINs and account
numbers! Anyway, at first, it was very exciting to listen to, but after
about 2 or 3 hours, I was ready to go back to the police bands. Every
once in a while, if the local PD freq's are slow, I'll peruse the cell
band, but I don't think it's anything that people should pay extra for
in a scanner. Most scanners receive cell images anyway, which requires
no modification whatsoever. Again it's personal taste. If listening to
phone calls is important to you, then you need a modifiable scanner. If
it's not a big priority, don't worry, you're not missing much.

-Phil

3146. Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <359044F7.7433@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155757

You know who you are. And now we know where you are. Here's hoping Bill forwards all of your profane and defamatory messages to ctcadmin@ctc.edu, as listed in the headers of all of your posts. Oughta be fun explaining to Mom and Dad why they kicked you out of college..

People like you tend to get their just desserts - I hope you brought a spoon.

Friggin' Loon...
-Phil

3147. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Ulrich <ulrich@null.net>
Message-ID: <6mpgo6$e3s@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

D.P. --

Don't read too much into this. This is part of a (pretty obvious) group of forged posts. (Even the newsreader is wrong.)

Pretty sad stuff -- guess someone's feeling pretty low on self-esteem to resort to this. But not terribly surprising, either.

Andy Ulrich

D. P. Roberts wrote in message <35a3446d.6843255@news.swbell.net>...
>>Those are bad memories of a time when I was going thru a crisis in my
>>life. I was cought in a love triangle between my wife and a man I was
>>having
>>an affair with. Sort of a personal crisis I had at that time.
>
>You were having an affair or your wife was?

3148. Rogue Cancels in rec.radio.scanner originating from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <359045DD.48E7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Hi All,

It appears that a subscriber of Clark College has issued several
Rogue Cancels in the rec.radio.scanner News group this
afternoon. All of the cancels were issued through Open NNTP
Server news.ctc.edu. The NNTP Posting Host indicates that
the cancels all originated from

NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41

Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

whois 198.104.135.41@whois.arin.net
[rs.arin.net]
NorthWestNet (NETBLK-NWNET-BLK198) NWNET-BLK2 198.104.0.0 - 198.104.255.255
Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

To single out one record, look it up with "!xxx", where xxx is the
handle, shown in parenthesis following the name, which comes first.

The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

These are the headers of all the Rogue Cancels that I came across
this afternoon:

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <358f4bb5.104576796@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <358f4bb5.104576796@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:17:52 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <359037A0.23B0@san.rr.com>
References: <358f4bb5.104576796@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <35911694.90974066@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35911694.90974066@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:04:26 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <3590347A.5AA5@san.rr.com>
References: <35911694.90974066@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <35921a01.91850982@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35921a01.91850982@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:04:11 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <3590346B.3613@san.rr.com>
References: <35921a01.91850982@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <358ff3df.147638271@news2.cts.com>

Control: cancel <358ff3df.147638271@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:03:16 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <35903434.226D@san.rr.com>
References: <358ff3df.147638271@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in5.uu.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!192.220.250.21!netnew
s1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <35934950.334941267@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35934950.334941267@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:01:53 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <359033E1.4413@san.rr.com>
References: <35934950.334941267@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in1.uu.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!192.220.250.21!netnew
s1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <358c427a.333190162@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <358c427a.333190162@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 15:57:04 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <359032C0.6A7@san.rr.com>
References: <358c427a.333190162@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in3.uu.net!newsfeed.axxsys.net!news-out.internetmci.com!newsfeed.internetmci.com!192.220.250.21!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <35914768.334452656@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35914768.334452656@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 15:55:28 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <35903260.2546@san.rr.com>
References: <35914768.334452656@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <3594af7a.361098616@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <3594af7a.361098616@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 15:54:07 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <3590320F.4417@san.rr.com>
References: <3594af7a.361098616@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Subject: cmsg cancel <3591f80d.148708761@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <3591f80d.148708761@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 15:53:46 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <359031FA.4186@san.rr.com>
References: <3591f80d.148708761@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <358d4f78.402066346@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <358d4f78.402066346@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 15:52:10 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <3590319A.6170@san.rr.com>
References: <358d4f78.402066346@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <35916c9f.47458832@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35916c9f.47458832@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 15:51:03 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <35903157.2473@san.rr.com>
References: <35916c9f.47458832@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: live.loudoun-net.com!uunet!in4.uu.net!netnews1.nw.verio.net!netnews.nwnet.net!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <35906c84.47431725@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35906c84.47431725@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 15:50:56 -0700
Organization: Washington Community and Technical Colleges
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <35903150.27B6@san.rr.com>
References: <35906c84.47431725@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

3149. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <359045CC.50CF674@iname.com>
Source record: 155760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Gee...at least the 3rd forged post from this entity, perhaps the sysop
at ctcadmin@ctc.edu should be notified?
I'm sending him a copy with all headers attached....
No doubt some janitor who found a terminal on..

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Jeff Ekblad wrote:
> >
>
> FUCK YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
> thankful
> I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.

3150. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <359046BA.3E2C7D2D@iname.com>
Source record: 155761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A notice was sent to the appropriate people at ctc.edu.
I suspect this janitor will be posting no more.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> John Mackey I am feed up with you. You are not a man and I will
> kick you ass when I see you. You have made me look bad to this entire
> group & I don't like it. You are going to get my size 13EE in your ass
> so hard that we'll have to go to the hospital for an extraction!
>
> I am mad at the rest of you too! You all should have protected me
> when mackey and his friends started saying those things about me.
>
> I am tempted to never post any of my FAQ's to this newgroup
> again.
>
> YOU ARE ALL ASSHOLES!
>
> FUCK YOU ALL AND GOOD NIGHT!

3151. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3590498C.71117707@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155762

To whom it may concern -

If you must forge posts - at least put more effort in it. Sophomoric at best.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> John Mackey I am feed up with you. You are not a man and I will
> kick you ass when I see you. You have made me look bad to this entire
> group & I don't like it. You are going to get my size 13EE in your ass
> so hard that we'll have to go to the hospital for an extraction!
>
> I am mad at the rest of you too! You all should have protected me
> when mackey and his friends started saying those things about me.
>
> I am tempted to never post any of my FAQ's to this newgroup
> again.
>
> YOU ARE ALL ASSHOLES!
>
> FUCK YOU ALL AND GOOD NIGHT!

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

3152. Forgery from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET was: Re: F*** YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mpkl7$2ra@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Actually, the Forgeries were perform through Open NNTP Server
news.ctc.edu and originated from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK
-NET)CLARK-NET

All complaints should be sent to abuse@verio.net and
abuse@clark.net.

NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41

whois 198.104.135.41@whois.arin.net [rs.arin.net]
NorthWestNet (NETBLK-NWNET-BLK198) NWNET-BLK2
198.104.0.0 - 198.104.255.255
Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET
198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

Doktor Tripp wrote:
>
> A Bill Cheek forger writes:
> >>
> >
> >F*** YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
> >thankful
> >I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.
>
> Nice try but I don't see sanfran roadrunner in the path:
> Path:
> news.alt.net!howland.erols.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-backup-east.sprintlink.net!
news.sprintlink.net!205.175.105.36!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
>
> But I do see:
>
> Registrant:
> Communications Technology Center (CTC-DOM)
> 3101 Northup Way, Suite 100
> Bellevue, WA 98004
>
> Domain Name: CTC.EDU
>
> Administrative Contact:
> Madison, Elaine (EM95) emadison@CTC.EDU
> (206) 803-9731 (206) 803-9700 (FAX) (206) 803-9652
> Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
> Shaver, Bill (BS6226) ctcadmin@CTC.EDU

> 425-803-9753 or 425-803-9700 (FAX) 425-803-9652
>
> And I will forward your posts to your school network administration's
> abuse department if you continue to forge Bill Cheek's name and email
> address. No matter how much of an ass you think Cheek is, this is not
> the way to deal with him.
>
> DT

3153. Re: Pa. State Police: What's 42.6 MHz for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: drickch19d@sgi.net
Message-ID: <358f7791.466766471@news.sgi.net>
Source record: 155764

On Tue, 23 Jun 1998 00:49:44 -0400, Jason Togyer
<jtogyer.notforspam@westol.com> wrote:

>Any yinzers out there that can answer a question about Pennsylvania
>State Police and a possible low-band allocation?
>
>Police Call shows 42.6 MHz as a PSP frequency licensed to practically
>every barracks in the state. But for years I've ridden around with 42.6
>programmed into the scanner in the car and haven't heard squat, even
>when I was in the parking lot of Troop A or B headquarters.

42.600 Was the Barracks to Barracks frequency many years ago. This is no longer used as PSP maintains a private phone system via microwave which links all the barracks in the state via phone. No need for this channel any more.

>...until I happened to pass within about 1/4 mile of a subbarracks on
>the interstate several months ago, when 42.6 suddenly came alive with
>someone reading OLN's and license numbers. It faded out quickly and I've
>never heard it since. A half-hour later, I passed the same spot, and ...
>nothing.

Many of the barracks have the bases still installed at their locations, if they work I would be surprised.

My next best guess on who was using these would be the Game or Fish Commission since they use 45MHz area for their communication. Possibly PSP has transferred this for their use or is used to obtain vehicle/license info.

>Some old directories list this as a "base-to-base" channel. Does PSP
>still use this frequency? Is it a back-up to get state DMV info when the
>Commonwealth Law Enforcement Agency Network goes down (which it does
>constantly)? Or was I listening to skip from God-knows-where?

If CLEAN is down their is only one way to get the info and that's to call PennDOT in Harrisburg and follow some very tedious security procedures to get them to do it by hand. Most times it states "manual assistance is not available." Since they don't want to be bothered.

What barracks did you have to hear this at and was it PA information being given?

I am Disallusioned Spice, Ginger Spice leaves the Spice Girls 5/31/98.

** Remove d's in email address to email me! **
Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/

Western PA Scanner Mailing List -
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/wpascanl.htm
Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
Spice Girls ! ! http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/spice.htm
---
"Through the gateway, off the repeater,
over the backbone, nothing but net!"

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

3154. NEW WEB ADDRESS FOR ACA DATABASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: xxvkc900@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <358f7d53.786694@news.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 155765
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc

THE AUSTRALIAN COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY HAS MOVED TO THIS NEW ADDRESS

http://www.aca.gov.au/database/radcomm/index.htm

DAVID

3155. Re: How many Allsafes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mnv6c$18t@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155766

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

>John T. Arthur wrote:
>
>> He's got you there, Sal! He doesn't HAVE any credentials to post;
>
>Which credentials would you like?

Your *alleged* Masters Degree would be nice.
What other ones have you claimed to have?

/Sal

3156. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjh@oberon.ark.com
Message-ID: <358f7914.14863680@news.ark.com>
Source record: 155767

On Fri, 12 Jun 1998 22:22:24 -0500, "James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy"
<jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>If you've got Outlook Express (and I'll bet most of you do), you might want
>to utilise the Newsgroup Filters and just ignore the people who contribute
>to the anarchy of this group.
>--
>Ryan Faricy and James Tonsager
>
I must confess to perussing this NG first to catch the latest retort
from Bill Cheek. I have never read any of his books but if he ever
publishes a compendium of invective,incivilities, retort etc I would
buy a copy. I believe all his responses to his detractors are
originals and not plagiarised. Although composing all his wit probably
detracts from his more serious technical contributions to this NG

The horse thinks one thing, and he who saddles him another.

3157. FS motorola maxtrac 32 ch 800mhz conv. scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: J <ishyguy@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9e91$7b2af020$66e4a1d1@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 155768

--
ishyguy@idirect.com

forsale: a motorola maxtrac conventional 800mhz two-way radio
has 32 channels. presently being used a high-end scanner
for the Toronto police. no microphone.

US 300.0 or 410.0 canadian

please e-mail me if your interested

3158. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <358FA5C4.36B99B3F@swbell.net>
Source record: 155769

I have been called a lot worse then that Phil :)

And also for Mackey, The conversation was about using the gas pipe as a grounding rod for radios and a antenna, it was not about whether or not to ground the gas pipe to the household grounding circut as that is an entirely different subject.
Your comment can easily confuse someone who has no knowledege of electrical circuits and their associated grounds and is why I covered the subject like I did.

I don't want someone thinking they need to run a wire from their antennas ground rod to the gas pipe as that is against code also .
One direct hit to the antenna and one bad connection on the ground rod the current is going to follow the path of least resistance and that is going to be the gas pipe.

So next time you decide to interject a remark think before doing it, the life you save may be of the person who misunderstood your comment and is now hooking the wire from their antenna grounding system to their gas pipe.

3159. Re: Rub Bill's nose in it Mackey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mo30f$ra4$0@207.18.224.127>
Source record: 155770

On Tue, 23 Jun 1998 00:07:27 GMT, Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>Brake Bowers
>
>why are you perpetuating these problems instead of helping it go away?
>
Evening Clearance.

Obviously, you have either not followed the thread, or you are
a imposter using a posting style much like someone here on
the newsgroup that just starts trouble all the time.

Either way, Have a nice day.

3160. Re: Pro-43 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanist@acmenet.net
Message-ID: <6mo8n5$5pb$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155771

P.J.,
The Pro-43 is a hell-of-a reciever and its equiped with 3C (triple conversion)
so image frequencies are few and far between !!
sorry,
Eddie Kosloski
scanist@acmenet.net

In article <pjheller-nospam-2206982301060001@pm8-4.sba1.avtel.net>,
pjheller-nospam@west.net (P.J. Heller) wrote:
>
> I know the newer RS Pro-43 models can't be modified for cell coverage. Can
> they receive mirror images to receive cell (not that anybody would
> listen).
>
> Please reply to pjheller@west.net (or remove -nospam if auto replying)
>
> Thanks.
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3161. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062311545500.HAA16911@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155772

ed094@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Robert Edmunds) writes:

>Than start up your own rec.radio.scanner.entertainment sig. , and get
>this crap off here!

I can easily get this crap off here without starting a new group. ed094,
welcome to my twit list.

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3162. Re: allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062311545600.HAA20923@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155773

In article <358F4D4E.57D9@parg.org>, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
writes:

>I proposed a 7 day "stay" on all falming & other type of messages.
^^^^^^^^
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Well, let's see now .. no "falming" and no no other messages. Gee, sure is
going to be a boring group!

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3163. Re: Cease Fire! Bill & John. Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062311545700.HAA16912@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155774

, cbake777@aol.com (Cbake777) writes:

>I do not read any post that contains the name of either of the above individuals,<<

So, how would you know that they are flaming each other?

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3164. Re: Aw, come on guys, grow up get with it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062311545800.HAA20926@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155775

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> writes:

>Now really, what is best for this group?<

That's not for you .. or me .. or anyone else .. to answer. It just doesn't work that way.

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3165. Re: Aw, come on guys, grow up get with it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062311545900.HAA16913@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155776

In article <358F4369.40C8@parg.org>, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
writes:

>Does anyone here own a Pro-2009 scanner & know if it can be modified to
receive 160 meter CW?

Oh, now THERE'S an intelligent solution. Just ask stupid questions, and pretend
to be "on topic".

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3166. Re: PRO-2004 $220 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062312035200.IAA21372@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155777

>Subject: Re: PRO-2004 $220 shipped
>From: sky444@worldnet.att.net

>I am sorry but I reserve the right to comment on the price of that
>scanner...thank god we live in America where we have freedom of speech
>and my opinion still remains to be:He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a
>Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He Ha
>Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee heeeeeee
>Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!You have got to be kidding!!!!

Ok so you're still an idiot..... grow up!

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

3167. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry.adair@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mo79p$3ul@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155778

Don't plan on it being for $40.00, a local pawn shop had some stuff I
wanted, I made an offer he refused I told him he might as well because he
would not get what he was asking, he told me he knew it but, instead of
cutting the price he would donate it to a charity and put twice what he was
asking on the receipt, and take it off his taxes.
Jack <kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
359a32f2.15563934@news.mia.bellsouth.net...
>On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 23:37:47 -0400, allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>Jack wrote:
>>
>>> If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
>>> time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
>>> marked at $100,
>>
>>Damn it. I just bought a "mint" Pro-64 out of a pawn shop for a friend,
>>sold it to him at my cost ($110); and now this. Oh gawd, I hope this
>>information isn't true.
>
>I just saw one at the pawn shop (pro-64) for $150 and the guy wouldn't
>budge on the price...hopefully it will still be there in 6 months and
>they will be willing to take my $40...

3168. Re: Best scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <358F9FE3.4E25E211@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 155779

ti2dll@iname.com wrote:
> I'm thinking about getting a scanner, except that I have no idea on what
> scanner to buy. I was looking into the Uniden Bearcat XLT9000, but many
> people have already told me that the scanner was not worth the $495 price,
> since it does not support trunking systems. I've been told to look into the
> Trunktracker series... except that I don't know where to start...

Not sure where you're getting your prices from. I bought my BC9000XLT
almost three years ago for about $350.

As for the new TrunkTrackers, the base model BC895XLT is a great radio
and highly recommended.

For a good Uniden dealer, try CEI at http://www.usascan.com/

> Does anyone have recommendations on what scanner is the best value and has
> the most features?

Depends on how much money you have to spend and what capabilities
you want. You can get just about everything these days but you'll
have to pay for it. And do you want a base model? Or would you
be interested in a portable/handheld unit?

For more questions, see my Picking A Scanner/Receiver page :

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/PICKRECV.HTM

> Can someone explain how the memory system works on these
> scanners and what all the questions about the scanner's banks
> on this forum are about?

Not exactly sure what you're referring to. A bank is composed
of channels, each of which are used to store a frequency that
you wish to monitor. Each scanner/receivers has so many channels
which are usually broken up into multiple banks allowing you
the ability to logically group your listening interests. For
more details see these pages :

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/STARTING.HTM
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/GLOSSARY.HTM

Good luck and happy reading!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

3169. Wanted: Frequecies of the Unite States Postal Service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tibbl@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <358facde.1882790@news.ime.net>
Source record: 155780

I work there and love most of the confused talk. I would love to lay in bed and laugh while getting to sleep. If anyone has any freq. let me know

3170. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mobh2$i1i@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155781

I wish Dong Tong Wah to be Bill Cheek, but he already is!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Dong Tong Wah wrote in message ...
>I wish to be Mr. Mackey frend but him no
>write to me. I send him email and also to
>him at newsgroup. Him be in much sorrow
>I think because no body like him to much.
>Mackey need good frend at newsgroup. Do
>you wish be Mackey frend to? Dong Wah

3171. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mobk3$i92@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155782

I have another POCSAG program that works through the sound card perfectly.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

CrACKeD wrote in message <6mbld8$l7v@nntp02.primenet.com>...
>Then you would like the ability to receive fewer total pages and have more
>errors, in other words?
>
>> I would like the ability to run through the sound card.

3172. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mobsc$j6h@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155783

It isn't for test messages, though... It is for messages regarding scanners.
There are already test newsgroups on most servers.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <35895D52.3B5D@parg.org>...
>Mike Derryberry wrote:
>> I thought the badge was both fitting and entertaining. It was no more of
a
>> waste of bandwidth than
>
>This NG is for test messages, NOT binaries. Read the FAQ!

3173. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mobm2$ie4@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155784

Being an amateur radio operator IS a level of importance. If there's an
emergency situation, we hams have the obligation and motivation to help
those in need.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <35895CD9.4077@parg.org>...
>Ryan Faricy (& James Tonsager) wrote:
>> FYI: John Sanders (the original poster) said nothing about a call sign.
>
>But he did state he was an amateur radio operator in
>a tone to suggest that it gave his some certain level
>of importance!

3174. Legal Issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: chr@akfi1.akaflieg.uni-karlsruhe.de
Message-ID: <6mobsl$e80$1@nz12.rz.uni-karlsruhe.de>
Source record: 155785

Hi everyone,

ist there somewhere a summary about the legality of receiving traffic
on aeronautical frequencies (voice, acars) in different countries?

Regards,
Christian

--
Christian Riede
Berlin University of Technology
Germany

3175. FS:Opto SCOUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@telis.org>
Message-ID: <358FB8EC.36B5A88D@telis.org>
Source record: 155786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Like brand new, used little. Has box, manual etc. Will include the
DB-32 antenna which is a $30 value. Asking $300.00 including shipping
in US only.
Ron

3176. FS: Bearcat BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacLeod <macleod@bitstream.net>
Message-ID: <6moetqcqm1@maryj.bitstream.net>
Source record: 155787

Like new BCT-7, in box. Barely used. $115.00, I pay shipping.

Also, a Sangean ATS-202 portable shortwave, fair cosmetic condition but works just fine, in box with carrying case and earbuds. $25.00, I pay shipping.

MacLeod

3177. Re: Garage Door Openers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <358FBDC8.4CDFD827@icdc.com>
Source record: 155788

Dave Comer wrote:

> Anyone know what band garage door openers operate
> at? No, I don't want to listen to them, just wonder what
> their modulation content is.
>
> Dave Comer
> dcomer@rt66.com

also- the x10 (sold as plug n' power at radio hovel) keychain remote-
i cant seem to find out the frequency for that, even using a frequency
counter. Ill try to use a frequency counter to get a garage door opener.
We know what youre up to, record and play back to your neighbor's
garage, borrow their bmw.

3178. FS Yupi MVT 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9eb8$95509820$a4e4b7ce@winbook>
Source record: 155789
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Yupiteru MVT 9000 FS in excellent condition with box & Manual
Full coverage scanner 1000 channels
400.00 Shipped to the 48

--
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG
charlie@netset.com
```

3179. opto cub, Tennesse freq's, descrambler review and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <358FC109.3F6D@qsl.net>
Source record: 155790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Please take the "*" out of my address to return email!
Or just click on the address below!
mailto:kd4lyp@qsl.net

Web site at:
http://www.qsl.net/kd4lyp
KD4LYP's amateur radio and scanner page!
Reviews on: Opto Cub and CPT De-scrambler.
Humor on "how to be a LID" and "D.I.R.T.S."
East Tennessee frequencies along with the general ones.
Pictures of my Equipment.

3180. Re: Assistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Bates <robbates@gold.net.au>
Message-ID: <358FC458.B7A69BA7@gold.net.au>
Source record: 155791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

no promises but try www.psychonet.net/~batlabs

Iluv2way wrote:

> I'm looking for any information/software/hardware schematics on the web for
> decoding Motorola MDC-1200 information. Any help is greatly appreciated.
> Please reply via email. TIA all!
>
> Kevin

3181. Re: sfpd freqs question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@popd.Accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <358FC459.51C8@popd.Accesscom.com>
Source record: 155792

merlin willis wrote:
>
> beside the 8 'pic' channels, there are 4 others, pic 9, 10, 11, and 12
> does anyone know what they are?
>
> thanks
>
> ravenstar

According to Bob Kelty's Government Radio Systems Book they are:

Pic 9 CLEMARS 460/465.0250
Pic 10 CLEMARS simplex 460.0250
Pic 11 Tactical 460.2875
Pic 12 Tactical 460.3875
Pic 13 Optional platoon choice
Pic 14 Optional platoon choice
Pic 14 Optional platoon choice
Pic 15 Moscone Security 461.7000

Regards

Ed

3182. Alameda, CA freqs needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: erl@joker.ho.att.com
Message-ID: <6mog66$669@nntpa.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 155793

Would appreciate some help. I just gave my 10 year old
nephew an old bearcat 110 scanner and a halicrafters(sp?)-38
He is really hooked by the monitoring hobby.
I would appreciate any police/fire freqs in the
alameda ca area, and I'll pass them along

Thanks
Ed Ledner

3183. Re: Garage Door Openers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6mofr3$89@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 155794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <358F2A62.13881C4C@dmcmicro.com>,
Dave Comer <dcomer@dmcmicro.com> wrote:

>Anyone know what band garage door openers operate
>at? No, I don't want to listen to them, just wonder what
>their modulation content is.
>
>Dave Comer dcomer@rt66.com

It's best to use a spectrum analyzer to observe them.
The digital modulation produces a wide footprint and
an ordinary frequency counter won't have a clue.

garage door opener, Genie, pcm
[AT-85]_____ 390.0000_____- (B Parnass)
garage door opener, Heath, believed to made by Linear Electronics, pcm
[GDP3309-A]_____ 310.0000_____- (magazines)
garage door opener, Linear Electronics, used with Raynor openers, pcm
[DT]_____ 310.0440_____ch A (B Parnass)
garage door opener, Sears, made by Chamberlain, pcm
[139.664030]_____ 389.0003_____- (others)
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3184. Needed: Hospital Freqs in the Chicago Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Boe <edboe@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6mofo0$25k@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,scanner@qth.net

I am looking for recent, confirmed (not the FCC licensed freqs, but the "actual"
working freqs) operations and security frequencies
for the following hospitals in the Chicago area:
Oak Park, West Suburban, Holy Cross, Little Company of Mary,
and Gottlieb.

--
Ed Boe__<http://members.theglobe.com/edboe/> from: Cicero, IL
AOL IM: EdBoe51__________ICQ: 2750186____ edboe@ix.netcom.com
Member: Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA)
<http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm>

3185. Re: Garage Door Openers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: TIgEas LAiR <kaliber@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358FC7D5.72F1037E@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Bob, (and all)

I use a HP 8656a spectrum analyzer with an Octave Assoc.
variable band pass preamp in front of it, but still need a scanner
(disc out) and pulse signal grabber to get an "actual picture"
of the code on the modulated waveform. I sample every 15
milliseconds and usually "zoom" to 2 or 3 samples to get an
accurate display of the pulse train code.....(it just repeats over and
over)

a_alpha1 - TIgEas LAiR
K4NLZ

3186. Re: Handheld Scanner Preamp!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: skanman <skanman@MCI2000.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9ebe$615cf9e0$cae337a6@mycomputer.nando.net>
Source record: 155797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try your local Radio Shack store. It's a special order item and the part
number is:
RSU10456150 $64.99

Jeff Doucette <paradiddle7@usa.net> wrote in article
<898544818.414806@michelob>...
Hi,.....
I'm looking for a Handheld scanner preamp like the GRE superamplifier or
the
Jim M-75, M-51
Thanks,...
Jeff

paradiddle7@usa.net

3187. Icom PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: JM BRM <cmb@mail.telepac.pt>
Message-ID: <01bd9e98$5bb13680$a6e041c2@host.telepac.pt>
Source record: 155798

With the original software of the Icom PCR 1000 i can only vew a spectrum analisys
of +-200Khz. Can i view 10Mhz ??

JM Nunes

3188. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062316502900.MAA12016@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155799

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>I wish Dong Tong Wah to be Bill Cheek, but he already is!

No doubt you'll be providing proof of this in your next post?
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3189. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062316503100.MAA16032@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155800

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Being an amateur radio operator IS a level of importance. If there's an
>emergency situation, we hams have the obligation and motivation to help
>those in need.

Some do, some don't. An amateur license doesn't make its holder any better than
anybody else, as you seem to think. Your post may well be one of the silliest
I've read in a long time.

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3190. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062316503200.MAA12019@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155801

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>It isn't for test messages, though... It is for messages regarding scanners.
>There are already test newsgroups on most servers.

So, how come none of your posts here have anything to do with scanners?

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3191. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062316503300.MAA16035@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155802

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>I have another POCSAG program that works through the sound card perfectly.

In another post you claim, and I quote,
"Being an amateur radio operator IS a level of importance."

So, you feel that level of importance is high enough to warrant committing illegal acts, such as decoding encrypted communications. Sounds pretty hypocritical to me, little man.

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3192. RESULTS: rec.radio.amateur.* reorg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeremy Billones <billones@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <6mommf$1fu$1@clarknet.clark.net>
Source record: 155803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
RESULTS

rec.radio.amateur.* reorg Straw Poll results - 77 valid votes

Yes No : Group
---- ---- : ----------------------------------------
64 5 : rec.radio.amateur.antenna
52 7 : rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors
57 9 : rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
57 7 : rec.radio.amateur.dx
64 7 : rec.radio.amateur.equipment
66 4 : rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
49 14 : rec.radio.amateur.misc
50 14 : rec.radio.amateur.policy
60 6 : rec.radio.amateur.space
44 14 : rec.radio.info
57 10 : rec.radio.swap
3 invalid votes

This vote was conducted by a neutral third party. Questions
about the straw poll should be directed to the rec.radio.amateur.*
Working Group at <rra-wg@theporch.com>

Votetaker: Jeremy Billones <billones@primenet.com>

STRAW POLL Q & A

rec.radio.amateur.* reorg Straw Poll Final Vote Ack

rec.radio.swap -------------+
rec.radio.info ------------+|
rec.radio.amateur.space -----------+||
rec.radio.amateur.policy ----------+|||
rec.radio.amateur.misc ---------+||||
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew --------+|||||
rec.radio.amateur.equipment -------+||||||
rec.radio.amateur.dx ------+|||||||
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc -----+||||||||
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors ----+|||||||||
rec.radio.amateur.antenna ---+||||||||||
||||||||||
adam.page@unn.ac.uk Adam Page --Y--Y-----
awoodhull@hampshire.edu Albert S. Woodhull Y-Y-YYYYY-Y
badger@telalink.net Tom Norris YYY-YYYYY-Y
barryg@visi.net Barry Goldblatt YNNYNYNNNNN
```

bb840@scn.org James Aeschliman YYYYYYYYYY
bill.stringfellow@communityonline.net William G. Stringfellow YYYYYY-YYY
billsmith@jps.net William B. Smith YYYYYYYYYY
bobh@proaxis.com Bob Headrick Y--YYYYYYY
bobw@col.hp.com Bob Witte YYYYYYYYYY
bstadtherr@tcsn.net Bob Stadtherr YYYYYYYYYY
casey.bahr@intel.com Bahr, Casey YYYYYYNNYNY
cfisher@atl.mediaone.net Carey Fisher YYNNYYNNNNY
charlie@dreamcom.net Charlie MacPherson YYYY----Y-Y
cjs@portal.ca Curt Sampson YYYYYYYYYY
cqbdiaz@pacbell.net Robert D. Diaz YYYYYYYYYY
dale@tranquility.net Dale Huffington YYYYYYYYYY
df@mnsinc.com Dan Ferguson YYYYYYYYYY
dgeorge@freenet.carleton.ca David George NNNNNNNNNN
dhayes@impulse.net dhayes@impulse.net YYYYYYYYYY
dick@libelle.com Dick Flanagan YYYYYYYYYY
dlugatch@worldnet.att.net Norman Dlugatch YYYY--YY-Y
dmi853@juno.com Dan Mikos YYYYYYYYYY
donovanf@erols.com Frank Donovan W3LPL YYYYYY--YYY
Dquagliana@aol.com --Y-YYY-YYY
eckman@eos1.larc.nasa.gov Richard Eckman YYYYYYYYYY
gdn@usol.com Gary D. Newberry YYYYYYYYYY
geomac@hop-uky.campus.mci.net George J. McCouch YYYYYYYYYY
habbinga@wt.net John Habbinga Y---Y----Y-
hank_oredson@mentorg.com Hank Oredson YYYYYYYYYY
jan.allbright@usa.net Jan Allbright YYYYYYYYYY
jaycee@midmarsh.demon.co.uk John Charles Y-YY-Y--Y--
jconn@gte.net James D. Conn YYYYYYYYYY
jdc@dos.nortel.com Jim Cronin YYYYYYYYYY
Jeff.Robbins.jrobbins@nt.com Jeff Robbins Y---YYYYY-Y
jeffreyh@hawaii.edu Jeffrey D. Herman YYYYYYYYYY
JLBary@juno.com Mark Bary YYYYYYYYYY
jmfernandez@hacemx.hac.com Jose M. Fernandez LU6AND Y-YYYYYYYY
k3ph@dxis.monroe.pa.us Bob Schreibmaier YYNYYYYYNN
Ka3hsw@aol.com George Henry YYYYYYYYYY
kc5ijd@sprintmail.com Joseph Pinner -Y--Y-----Y
kc5nzr@rt66.com Richie Allen YYYYYYNYYY
ken@cds1.net Ken Harrison YYYYYYYYYY
kenfowkr@flash.net Ken Fowler Y--YYYNY-YY
kh2d@kuentos.guam.net Jim Kehler NNNNNNNNNN
KV1V@aol.com Bob Moore -----Y-----
landisj@decvax.nad.com Joe Landis YYYYYYYYYY
lrware@pipeline.com Lawrence R. Ware YYYYNYNNYNN
markl@sa.apana.org.au Mark Little --YYYYYY--
ma_locksmith@juno.com Edwin Lambert Jr. ---------YY
mom@jackatak.theporch.com Sandra J. Hill, N4AFG YYYYYYYYYY
morrista@email.uc.edu Theodore Allan Morris YY-Y-YYYY--
n7oo@theriver.com Jack Taylor YYYYYYNYYNY
nick@cs.unc.edu Nick England YYYYYYYYYY
pierre@cmpe.ubc.ca Pierre Constantineau Y-N-YYNN-NN

PRABINOWITZ@c3icare.com Peter Rabinowitz ---YYYY---Y
pschleck@oasis.novia.net Paul W. Schleck K3FU YYYYYYYYYYY
psilva@teleport.com Paul Silva YYNYYYYYYNN
pwentzel@yahoo.com Paul J Wentzel YYYYYYNNYNY
rakefet@rakefet.com vic rosenthal YY-NYYNY--N
rbeatty@magicnet.net Robert Beatty Y-YYY---Y--
rbethman@erols.com Bob Bethman - rbethman@erols.com NNNNNNNNNNN
rice@ttd.teradyne.com John Rice YYYYYYYYYYY
rickd73@aol.com Richard Dickens YYYYYYYYYYY
Riku.Kalinen@nixu.fi Riku Kalinen YYYYYYYYYYY
S.Glueckert@clri6a.gsi.de Stefan Glueckert --YYY--YY--
sco@sco-inc.com SCO YYYYYYYYYY
SEE.NOTE.AT.BOTTOM@asu.uswest.net Will White YYYYNYNNY-Y
skeptic49@earthlink.net James Kelly YYYYYYYYYY
smb@ntc.adaptec.com Steve Byan YYYYYYYYYY
smurf@jackatak.theporch.com Samantha Hill YYYYYYYYYY
spirit@drservices.com Charles Arthur NNNNNNNNN-N
w4kh@jackatak.theporch.com Jack Hill, W4KH YYYYYYYYYY
wa6vse@fast.net wa6vse@fast.net NNYNYYYYNY
wfaris@navix.net Wayne L. Faris, KE_BZ YNYYYYYNYNY
william.miller@lrh.net WILLIAM M. MILLER JR. Y---YY-----
wolsen@erols.com WILLIAM OLSEN Y-Y-YY-Y---
zdzislaw.sawicki@internetMCI.COM zdzislaw sawicki YYY-YY-NYYY

Votes in error
---
piekarsk@richnet.net David Piekarski
! Conflicting votes
RCrusoe@aol.com
! No ballot
theoldbrooklynkidwow@ameritech.net THE POLISH STUD
! No vote statement in message

3193. Re: One More Time Mackey, Jpeg Image of Mackey attached!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: nick338@aol.com
Message-ID: <3590d4cb.3937694@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 155804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 23:48:34 -0400, allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

>
>
>dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
>> [Image]
>
> Looks like Barney Fife reaching for his bullet
>in his shirt pocket.

OK, who's gonna be nice enough to repost this fine image? It expired before I
got a chance to see it.

Thanks.

3194. Re: SC150 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <358FE1BB.FAEA5CFF@flash.net>
Source record: 155805

Well basically any that add more MGZ coverage, including cell MODS, or military air MODs, or CB coverage. Any that add more coverage, or that are very good to have.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Ryan <ryanak@flash.net> wrote:
>
> > What MODS are there for the Bearcat SC150?
>
> Lots. But there is no "shopping list" exactly.
> What "mods" interest you?
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---

3195. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mou6jber1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the hospital!

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3196. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <358FF181.60B0@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155807

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> I presumed when I was making my earlier post that I was speaking to
> individual with common sense.
Thereby negating the need to use yours?

> Of course, I should have known
> that there would be one or two exception! Anyway, I was speaking of
> copper (fresh & cold) WATER pipe. Anything carrying other than
> fresh cold pater is NOT acceptable.
Agreed.

>
> Important note: SOME states, such as Washington, require the gas
> pipe to be tied into the common ground of the home. But nothing else
> may be connected to the gas pipe.
That makes no sense. Why ground it? To make SURE that a hot lead touching it will make a spark? Sounds pretty stupid to me...of course it wouldn't be the first time a stupid law like that was written.

-Phil

3197. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mourkcem1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155808

In article <358ef8a4.83309233@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
> knew me in Colorado. But the thing that will emerge,
> if anyone cares to do any digging....is that I
> left a great reputation behind me up there in the
> High Country of Colorado.

yea, cheeks was the only guy in colorado history that
ever blew up a sat dish due to incorrect mounting!

> Heh heh heh, and the guy wonders why I lived in
> Golden, Colorado high up on Green Mountain as

when I knew of you bill you lived in a differet suburb than golden,
but not far from golden.

> opposed to down in the slums of Denver? Sheesh,

want to talk about why you had to stay outside the municipal
boundry of denver?

> More'n likely, I dusted his britches from there
> to next Tuesday..... hee hee hee

no but i am sure you had yours dusted when you arrived at
univ of colorado hospital after you blew up the sat dish! exactly
how long did you spend in there bill?

how was that life flight helocopter ride bill?

> How the hell do you blow up a satellite dish?

do you really want me to tell how you did it?

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3198. Re: 895XLT WANTED - LOWEST PRICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@carroll.com
Message-ID: <358fdd64.1601393@news>
Source record: 155809
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

OK Ken...

Fair enough, you've more then adequately answered my questions. Based
on your response, I am sure CEI is an excellent place to purchase
radio equipment.

Dan

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 23:27:56 -0400, Ken Ascher WB8LIT
wrote:

>
>--------------E8CA3C7C38E807CECF70D063
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
>
>dconti2@carroll.com wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 16:02:34 -0400, Ken Ascher WB8LIT
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Dan:
>> >
>> >At Communications Electronics, Emergency Operations Center, (CEI) we take great pains
>> >to make our advertising not only honest, but clear and straightforward. For that
reason, I
>> >was particularly distressed to learn that you feel our web site at
http://www.usascan.com
>> >in the order section regarding our shipping, handling and insurance charges misled you.
>>
>> Don't know where you got that from, Ken...never said or implied that
>> they were misleading, just too high.
>
>Dan...I was trying to help clarify why our shipping price is higher in response to your
>comment, "that is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor has to
>"mask" their profit in ridiculously inflated shipping and handling costs." My apologies
for
>not understanding what you were trying to say.
>
>> >Let me assure you that we do not want to mislead any of our customers. That's not
>> >a good way to do business, and it certainly is not the way we have done business for
>> >almost 30 years. Because of our added services and benefits at CEI, our

shipping/handling
>> >will indeed be higher than most dealers
>>
>> What added services and benefits to ME?
>
>I do not know your individual situation, therefore it is difficult to answer your
questionon how our added services and
>benefits would help you. For our government or
>industrial customers who depend on CEI to respond to their major disaster such as flood,
hurricane or
>earthquake, it makes a difference. Government customers who needs to order 500 radio
transceivers
> with charged batteries at 3:00 AM in the morning for same day delivery along with video
and computer
>equipment list us in their resource manual as an equipment source. Most of our dealers
reselling our
>products do not offer 24 hour emergency service nor do they maintain sufficient on-hand
inventory
>or have the logistic resources to move tons of freight on a moments notice anywhere in the
world.
>
>> To inform our clients how much to remit, we clearly print
>> >our prices and shipping/handling charges in our ads and our web site.
>> >
>> No problem with that...
>>
>> >Please remember that we primarily function as an Emergency Operations Center. Just like
>> >most people would not visit the emergency room of a hospital to get a Band-aid, most
people
>> >do not come to us to get a scanner. They usually go to their Uniden dealer nearest
them.
>>
>> What? Emergency Operations Center? This makes NO sense. Are you not
>> a Uniden dealer?
>
>The Communications Electronics Emergency Operations Center was designed to serve as ahub
of operations when disasters or
>emergencies damage or destroy the infrastructure of
>federal, state, local government agencies or business. Like a hospital emergency room,
>our staff is responsible to ensure that needed resources are on hand and immediately
>available for dispatch worldwide. We are located in a secure facility in Ann Arbor,
>Michigan. Our facility is available 24-hours a day, seven days a week to respond to calls
>for assistance from government agencies.
>
>We are also a Uniden Master Distributor. We are not a Uniden Dealer. As a Uniden Master
>Distributor, we are under contract with Uniden (and other manufacturers) to
>distribute the products they make to other Uniden Distributors. Our dealers are not
>under contract with Uniden. As a Master Distributor, we are responsible for purchasing
>inventory typically in semi-truck loads. Many orders are over 1,000 radios per purchase.
We
>then sell to other distributors who in turn sell to Uniden Dealers who usually resell to

end-users.
>It can sometimes take up to two months for a product to reach the end-user after we resell it to the
>distributor who sells it to the dealer and finally to you. We call this procedure
>"filling the distributor pipeline." Many end-users get frustrated waiting to purchase
>product from their dealer because of the initial waiting time to fill the pipeline. As an
>accommodation to end-users, who can not find the item from their local dealers or
>are frustrated waiting for a new item as the distributor pipeline is filling, we welcome
>end users to purchase products directly from CEI by visiting our web site at
>http://www.usascan.com and sending us E-mail or calling 1-800-USA-SCAN to order.
>
>As you may know Dan, a CEI customer benefit is our 61 day satisfaction guarantee
>return policy. When an item is returned to us, we return it to Uniden as part of our quality assurance
>program. After a quality control inspection, Uniden then offers our returned
>merchandise directly to the end user. Please visit the Uniden web site at
>http://www.uniden.com to get some great values on our returned inventory.
>
>> Then why do you advertise in most radio mags for consumer business?
>
>To reach our government clients, we advertise in many different magazines. For example:
>Firehouse Magazine is edited for the fire service industry. The primary audience
>is America's 1,500,000 paid and volunteer firefighters (call 1-800-825-8577 for a subscription).
>
>The Journal of Counterterrorism & Security International readership is made up of top
>administrators in the law enforcement, military, government agency and corporate security
>field. Subscribers are primarily police chiefs, state police, sheriff departments, weapons
>training facilities, federal law enforcement agencies, marshals service, CIA, FBI, ATF,
>DOD, military bases, airports and embassies. To subscribe call 703-243-0993 or visit
>their web site at http://www.securitynet.net.
>
>Monitoring Times is edited for the recreational radio hobbyist and also for communications
>professionals; consultants, technicians and administrators of commercial, government and
>military operations. To subscribe call 828-837-9200 or visit their web site at
>http://www.grove.net.
>
>Law Enforcement Technology is edited for police chiefs, sheriffs, commissioners,
>directors and other commanding officers. It offers police professionals the latest in products,
>technology and management innovations. It closely aligns itself with the needs of the more
>than 500,000 US municipal, county, state and federal law enforcement personnel. From monthly
>columns on training, computers, weapons and clothing to full scale features on hi-tech
>communications, elite tactical forces, Law Enforcement Technology offers a blend of news
>and information for the "cop of the future". To subscribe call 920-563-1726.
>
>We do a great deal of advertising as one division of Communications Electronics is
>an ad agency. We also do direct mail, radio and television ads appearing in various markets.

>In addition, we sponsor cooperative advertising programs with our dealers to support their
>marketing efforts in distributing our products. Some products may only be offered to
>our government customers through controlled distribution advertising.
>
>> >For many reasons, different companies have different pricing policies. It would be
>> >impossible for me to speak about other companies shipping and restocking policies so
>> >I won't. I can however, tell you about the shipping/handling and insurance policy at
>> >our organization, Communications Electronics Inc. (CEI) where I work.
>> >CEI has different divisions of our organization that distribute Trunk
>> >Tracker scanners the largest users being our Emergency Operations
>> >Center, Dealer Products Group and Government Products Division.
>> >
>> >As an Emergency Operations Center, our number one priority is keeping
>> >government mission critical communications systems on line all the time.
>> >When a government agency suffers damage from a man-made or natural disaster,
>> >since CEI serves the people who serve the people, our highest priority is to
>> >restore the damaged infrastructure. To provide 24 hour arond-the-clock service to
>> >government agencies, our costs are passed back to our clients. However, as
>> >an accommodation to non-government customers who want to purchase items
>> >through our Emergency Operations Center, our EOC has an outreach program to
>> >serve customers worldwide that can not be serviced by a local dealer.
>>
>> I can't believe this....
>
>I can understand why you don't believe we are registered as an Emergency OperationsCenter.
There are very few privatized
>organizations like us with an emergency management
>facility and a warehouse of products. For verification, you might want to check out our
>registration with the U.S. Department of Defense, Central Contractor Registration at
>http://ccr.edi.disa.mil/ccr/cgi-bin/query.pl. Enter our D-U-N-S number 020893541.
>Or if you prefer, call Dun & Bradstreet and order a business information report.
>
>> >For almost 30 years, to accomplish this mission we have found it necessary
>> >to stockpile massive quantities of inventory. For example, last year, during a phase
>> >II FEMA inspection of our facility during an Urban Search & Rescue task
>> >force sponsorship evaluation, FEMA inspected our inventory for mission
>> >readiness. The cost for our building alone with specialized facility power
>> >and phone system was over one million. Our on hand inventory has significant
>> >warehousing costs. As an example, assuming a 10% annual return on investment,
>> >it cost us approximately $100,000 per million dollars of inventory stored in our
>> >distribution center. To serve our clients properly, we are required to carry an
extensive
>> >inventory. In our organization, this cost is passed along to our customers
>> >and clients. When we need a new or critical item, our staff have
>> >traveled anywhere to a manufacturers location to pickup inventory. I've
>> >earned over three million frequent flyer miles procuring inventory. For
>> >most of our government customers, our flat shipping/handling/insurance
>> >charge of $18.00 per radio for ground shipping or $36.00 for 2nd day air
>> >shipping in the continental USA seemed to be a break-even proposition.
>> >I agree our $36.00 flat charge for air shipping could be a burden for a consumer.

>>
>> OK fine....cover your costs in an increased product price, and charge
>> the NORMAL shipping costs.
>
>As stated before, operating an Emergency Operations Center is significantly different
>than your local radio dealer. Our handling costs are higher and this is passed back to
>our customers in our shipping/handling costs. Most of our dealers stock two maybe
>three radio scanners such as the Bearcat 895XLt or BC235XLT. As a master distributor,
>at any time, we could have thousands of radios in stock. Inventory carrying costs to
>support our mission to our primary customers is expensive.
>
>> FEMA inspects you to make sure you have enough SCANNERS in stock?
>
>As our Emergency Operations Center provides mission critical supplies to Urban
>Search and Rescue Teams who respond to major disasters, it is essential to have
>our equipment ready to respond at any time. An evaluation team from FEMA
>came to our facility specifically to inspect our equipment such as scanners,
>two-way transceivers, batteries and other equipment. FEMA requires
>Urban Search and Rescue Teams to have a comprehensive equipment cache
>and have total self-sufficiency for immediate mission response. FEMA checks
>to make sure a Search and Rescue Team has rations for 72 hours of operations,
>housing and feeding facilities, acoustic, fiber optic and video search equipment,
>satellite, digital phone and radio equipment capable of providing local, regional
>and global communications of voice, data and fax transmissions. Our Emergency
>Operations Center is routinely audited for mission readiness by different clients.
>
>> >We purchase so much equipment, that CEI is a Master Distributor for companies
>> >such as Uniden, Cobra and others. Our non-government customers have requested to
>> >purchase radio equipment from us to accommodate those needs we set up
>> >focus groups to determine what our customers wanted. Many customers told us
>> >they wanted a liberal return policy, most items in stock, most credit cards
>> >accepted, toll-free phone lines. As we added more and more benefits,
>> >the costs for staffing and facilities costs have increased which was passed on
>> >to customers. For example, our 61 day return policy instead of a 15-25%
>> >restocking fee increases our operational costs overall but this is what most of
>> >our customers wanted. On the other hand, our procurement costs for equipment
>> >because of our volume purchasing saves us money and these savings are passed on to you.
>> >Hopefully this explains why the cost for some items from us are lower than average
while
>> >shipping/handling is higher than average. I'm told our total cost including
>> >shipping is in some cases lower than purchasing from a local dealer. This is probably
>> >why customers tell us they save saved as much as $50.00 per radio even after
>> >the shipping charge is included when purchasing from CEI.
>>
>> This is very true. No argument with that.
>>
>> >As a Uniden Master Distributor, it is our responsibility to make sure you get the
>> >Uniden product you want when you need it. If shipping/handling/insurance charges
>> >on Uniden Trunk tracker products are a concern, we encourage you to shop
>> >at your local Uniden retailer in your area. To assist you in finding a local

>> >Uniden retailer, Uniden has a retail locator available anytime by calling
>> >1-800-297-1023 and following the voice prompts. While we encourage our dealers
>> >to stock over 110 different Uniden items including the Bearcat 235XLT,
>> >Bearcat 895XLT trunk tracker scanners, and other communications products,
>> >most dealers only will only stock a few items.
>>
>> Thanks for the lesson on how to find a vendor.
>
>We're here to help. We are at your service.
>
>> >Unfortunately most dealers do not make enough profit and go out of business
>> >within three years. When a dealer goes out of business it is a sad day for us
>> >as all of our time and effort of training their staff and the relationship we have
built up
>> >over the years is lost. In addition, many customers are disappointed. When Gilfer
>> >short-wave and Electronic Equipment Bank (EEB) stopped serving the public this year,
their
>> >customers were sad. I have spoken with hundreds of their former customers, as we have
>> >acquired Gilfer's old phone numbers 1-800-GILFER1 as well as EEB's old number
>> >1-800-368-3270.
>> >
>> >If you think you can do a better job and want to become dealer selling our products,
>> >we welcome new dealers. We feel it serves our customers better when they can
>> >get our products locally. If you want to try and are willing to purchase $2,000 of
product
>> >per order as a dealer, register your company with your state or county, get a tax ID
number and
>> >call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN to discuss a dealership.
>>
>> No thanks
>
>Most people would agree with you. Dealers find it difficult to please their customers who
>do not support them with sufficient profitable purchases to keep them in business.
>
>> >Please remember, we are not a conventional dealer. We are a master distributor and an
>> >Emergency Operations Center. As such, to satisfy the emergency needs of our clients,
our
>> >overhead in operating this facility is significantly higher than our dealers. This is
why we
>> >recommend you support and shop and your local Uniden dealer near you.
>>
>> Ken, this makes no sense...
>> Again...DO YOU , or DO YOU NOT want the business of individual radio
>> consumers?
>
>For almost 30 years, we welcome business from everyone worldwide.
>It does not matter to us if you purchase our Uniden product from us or your local
>Uniden dealer. To make it convenient for you, we try to have Uniden and other
>products widely distributed across the US, and US territories as well as military bases
>but we go the extra mile every day to try to get you the Uniden product you want

>when our independent dealers do not have product in stock. Please remember, our
>primary client is government and rescue agencies. As a government supplier, we are
required
>by law, to give priority to certain government orders.
>
>> >I'm told, next weekend, we should have a crowd of amateur radio operators operating
radios
>> >during field day at our two-acre Emergency Operations Center facility in Ann Arbor,
Michigan.
>> >If you are in the neighborhood, please stop by so you may appreciate the scope of our
operations.
>>
>> I am sure Ann Arbor is beautiful in June, but I will pass...
>
>Actually Ann Arbor is hot and sweaty today. You can call our 24 hour Weather lineat
734-994-9000 (another CEI division) for
>our automatic surface observing system.
>Thousands of people call our weather systems worldwide for up to the second
>time and weather information daily.
>
>> >We are always interested in reducing the overall cost of our operations as long
>> >as we are not sacrificing emergency response time or service to our clients. If you
have
>> >any suggestions where we can save our clients money through a reduction in operational
>> >costs, we are interested in your suggestions. We listen to our customers and act on
what
>> >you tell us. After looking at our web site, if you have suggestions to share with us,
>> >please don't keep it yourself. Let me know how we can serve you better. We are updating
>> >our web site survey section at this time so please send E-mail instead of using the
survey.
>>
>> >As this discussion of shipping is drifting off the subject of scanning and more towards
retail
>> >management,
>> >so as not to waste bandwidth, feel free to E-mail or phone. I hope I have answered your
>> >questions regarding our Trunk tracker and shipping pricing policy. If it doesn't,
>> >please call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN or send me an E-mail at cei@mediaone.net.
>>
>> Ken...
>>
>> Thank you for the concise, albeit lengthy explanation of your
>> business, I am sure we are all more enlightened now. The above is
>> very hard to swallow in one gulp, and I may have to go back and read
>> it over to fully digest it all.
>>
>> Your current price on an 895 XLT, even with the high shipping and
>> handling charge is lower then most, if not ALL other vendors. Despite
>> all of the above, no one can argue with a good deal.
>>
>> Again, if you review my initial response below, apparently prompting

>> the above , you will see that I agree there openly that your pricing
>> is low. I may even make a purchase from you in the near future. I
>> simply questioned why the shipping and handling was so high.
>>
>> Now that I know the funds are used for an "Emergency Operations
>> Center" in Ann Arbor, Michigan, I wouldn't feel as bad paying it....
>>
>> 8)
>>
>> Dan
>
>I hope this clairifies our operation but if it doesn't , we're just a phone call or E-mail
away.
>Your suggestions help us get the kind of products, services and information that will be
most
>useful to you. E-mail me at cei@mediaone.net with your ideas.
>
>
>> >Ken Ascher - Chairman/CEO WB8LIT
>> >Communications Electronics Inc., Emergency Operations Center
>> >http://www.usascan.com Phone: 734-996-8888 FAX: 734-663-8888
>> >
>> >dconti2@carroll.com wrote:
>> >
>> >> On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 20:30:27 -0400, David Ballenger
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >I bought mine mail order at Communications Electronics in Michigan for
>> >> >about $ 240 + $ 18 shipping. I received it two days after ordering with no
>> >> >problems at all. They are at http://www.usascan.com. That is the lowest price
>> >> >I've seen - others are at $ 300 or more.
>> >>
>> >> That is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor
>> >> has to "mask" their profit in riduclously inflated shipping and
>> >> handling costs.
>> >>
>> >> Dan
>> >>
>> >> >CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> I WANT TO BUY A BEARCAT 895XLT , CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHERE TO GET A GOOD
>> >> >> DEAL ON ONE???
>> >> >>
>> >> >> NEW , USED , DEMO O.K.
>
>--------------E8CA3C7C38E807CECF70D063
>Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>

>
>
>
dconti2@carroll.com wrote:
>
On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 16:02:34 -0400, Ken Ascher WB8LIT
>
<cei@mediaone.net> wrote:
>
>
>Dan:
>
>
>
>At Communications Electronics, Emergency Operations Center, (CEI)
>we take great pains
>
>to make our advertising not only honest, but clear and straightforward.
>For that reason, I
>
>was particularly distressed to learn that you feel our web site at
>http://www.usascan.com
>
>in the order section regarding our shipping, handling and insurance
>charges misled you.
>
>
Don't know where you got that from, Ken...never said or implied that
>
they were misleading, just too high.

>Dan...I was trying to help clarify why our shipping price is higher in
>response to your
>
comment, "that is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular
>vendor has to
>
"mask" their profit in ridiculously inflated shipping and handling
>costs." My apologies for
>
not understanding what you were trying to say.
>
>Let me assure you that we do not want to mislead
>any of our customers. That's not
>
>a good way to do business, and it certainly is not the way we have
>done business for
>
>almost 30 years. Because of our added services and benefits at CEI,
>our shipping/handling

>
>will indeed be higher than most dealers
>
>
What added services and benefits to ME?

>I do not know your individual situation, therefore it is difficult to answer
>your questionon how our added services and benefits would help you. For
>our government or
>
industrial customers who depend on CEI to respond to their major disaster
>such as flood, hurricane or
>
earthquake, it makes a difference. Government customers who needs to
>order 500 radio transceivers
>
with charged batteries at 3:00 AM in the morning for same day
>delivery along with video and computer
>
equipment list us in their resource manual as an equipment source.
>Most of our dealers reselling our
>
products do not offer 24 hour emergency service nor do they maintain
>sufficient on-hand inventory
>
or have the logistic resources to move tons of freight on a moments
>notice anywhere in the world.
>
To inform our clients how much to remit, we clearly
>print
>
>our prices and shipping/handling charges in our ads and our web site.
>
>
>
No problem with that...
>
>
>Please remember that we primarily function as an Emergency Operations
>Center. Just like
>
>most people would not visit the emergency room of a hospital to get
>a Band-aid, most people
>
>do not come to us to get a scanner. They usually go to their Uniden
>dealer nearest them.
>
>
What? Emergency Operations Center? This makes NO sense.
>Are you not

>
a Uniden dealer?

>The Communications Electronics Emergency Operations Center was designed
>to serve as ahub of operations when disasters or emergencies damage or
>destroy the infrastructure of
>
federal, state, local government agencies or business. Like a hospital
>emergency room,
>
our staff is responsible to ensure that needed resources are on hand
>and immediately
>
available for dispatch worldwide. We are located in a secure facility
>in Ann Arbor,
>
Michigan. Our facility is available 24-hours a day, seven days a week
>to respond to calls
>
for assistance from government agencies.
>
>
We are also a Uniden Master Distributor. We are not a Uniden Dealer.
>As a Uniden Master
>
Distributor, we are under contract with Uniden (and other manufacturers)
>to
>
distribute the products they make to other Uniden Distributors. Our
>dealers are not
>
under contract with Uniden. As a Master Distributor, we are responsible
>for purchasing
>
inventory typically in semi-truck loads. Many orders are over 1,000
>radios per purchase. We
>
then sell to other distributors who in turn sell to Uniden Dealers
>who usually resell to end-users.
>
It can sometimes take up to two months for a product to reach the end-user
>after we resell it to the
>
distributor who sells it to the dealer and finally to you. We call
>this procedure
>
"filling the distributor pipeline." Many end-users get frustrated waiting
>to purchase
>
product from their dealer because of the initial waiting time to fill

>the pipeline. As an
>
accommodation to end-users, who can not find the item from their local
>dealers or
>
are frustrated waiting for a new item as the distributor pipeline is
>filling, we welcome
>
end users to purchase products directly from CEI by visiting our web
>site at
>
http://www.usascan.com and sending us E-mail or calling 1-800-USA-SCAN
>to order.
>
>
As you may know Dan, a CEI customer benefit is our 61 day satisfaction
>guarantee
>
return policy. When an item is returned to us, we return it to Uniden
>as part of our quality assurance
>
program. After a quality control inspection, Uniden then offers our
>returned
>
merchandise directly to the end user. Please visit the Uniden web site
>at
>
http://www.uniden.com to get some great values on our returned inventory.
>
Then why do you advertise in most radio mags for
>consumer business?

>To reach our government clients, we advertise in many different magazines.
>For example:
>
Firehouse Magazine is edited for the fire service industry.
>The primary audience
>
is America's 1,500,000 paid and volunteer firefighters (call 1-800-825-8577
>for a subscription).
>
>
The Journal of Counterterrorism & Security International
>readership is made up of top
>
administrators in the law enforcement, military, government agency
>and corporate security
>
field. Subscribers are primarily police chiefs, state police, sheriff
>departments, weapons

>
training facilities, federal law enforcement agencies, marshals service,
>CIA, FBI, ATF,
>
DOD, military bases, airports and embassies. To subscribe call 703-243-0993
>or visit
>
their web site at http://www.securitynet.net.
>
>
Monitoring Times is edited for the recreational radio hobbyist
>and also for communications
>
professionals; consultants, technicians and administrators of commercial,
>government and
>
military operations. To subscribe call 828-837-9200 or visit their
>web site at
>
http://www.grove.net.
>
>
Law Enforcement Technology is edited for police chiefs, sheriffs,
>commissioners,
>
directors and other commanding officers. It offers police professionals
>the latest in products,
>
technology and management innovations. It closely aligns itself with
>the needs of the more
>
than 500,000 US municipal, county, state and federal law enforcement
>personnel. From monthly
>
columns on training, computers, weapons and clothing to full scale
>features on hi-tech
>
communications, elite tactical forces, Law Enforcement Technology
>offers a blend of news
>
and information for the "cop of the future". To subscribe call 920-563-1726.
>
>
We do a great deal of advertising as one division of Communications
>Electronics is
>
an ad agency. We also do direct mail, radio and television ads appearing
>in various markets.
>
In addition, we sponsor cooperative advertising programs with our dealers

>to support their
>
marketing efforts in distributing our products. Some products may only
>be offered to
>
our government customers through controlled distribution advertising.
>
>For many reasons, different companies have different
>pricing policies. It would be
>
>impossible for me to speak about other companies shipping and restocking
>policies so
>
>I won't. I can however, tell you about the shipping/handling and insurance
>policy at
>
>our organization, Communications Electronics Inc. (CEI) where I work.
>
>CEI has different divisions of our organization that distribute Trunk
>
>Tracker scanners the largest users being our Emergency Operations
>
>Center, Dealer Products Group and Government Products Division.
>
>
>
>As an Emergency Operations Center, our number one priority is keeping
>
>government mission critical communications systems on line all the
>time.
>
>When a government agency suffers damage from a man-made or natural
>disaster,
>
>since CEI serves the people who serve the people, our highest priority
>is to
>
>restore the damaged infrastructure. To provide 24 hour arond-the-clock
>service to
>
>government agencies, our costs are passed back to our clients. However,
>as
>
>an accommodation to non-government customers who want to purchase
>items
>
>through our Emergency Operations Center, our EOC has an outreach program
>to
>
>serve customers worldwide that can not be serviced by a local dealer.

>
>
I can't believe this....

>I can understand why you don't believe we are registered as an Emergency
>OperationsCenter. There are very few privatized organizations like us with
>an emergency management
>
facility and a warehouse of products. For verification, you might want
>to check out our
>
registration with the U.S. Department of Defense, Central Contractor
>Registration at http://ccr.edi.disa.mil/ccr/cgi-bin/query.pl. Enter our
>D-U-N-S number 020893541.
>
Or if you prefer, call Dun & Bradstreet and order a business information
>report.
>
>For almost 30 years, to accomplish this mission
>we have found it necessary
>
>to stockpile massive quantities of inventory. For example, last year,
>during a phase
>
>II FEMA inspection of our facility during an Urban Search & Rescue
>task
>
>force sponsorship evaluation, FEMA inspected our inventory for mission
>
>readiness. The cost for our building alone with specialized facility
>power
>
>and phone system was over one million. Our on hand inventory has significant
>
>warehousing costs. As an example, assuming a 10% annual return on
>investment,
>
>it cost us approximately $100,000 per million dollars of inventory
>stored in our
>
>distribution center. To serve our clients properly, we are required
>to carry an extensive
>
>inventory. In our organization, this cost is passed along to our customers
>
>and clients. When we need a new or critical item, our staff have
>
>traveled anywhere to a manufacturers location to pickup inventory.
>I've
>

>earned over three million frequent flyer miles procuring inventory.
>For
>
>most of our government customers, our flat shipping/handling/insurance
>
>charge of $18.00 per radio for ground shipping or $36.00 for 2nd day
>air
>
>shipping in the continental USA seemed to be a break-even proposition.
>
>I agree our $36.00 flat charge for air shipping could be a burden
>for a consumer.
>
>
OK fine....cover your costs in an increased product price, and charge
>
the NORMAL shipping costs.

>As stated before, operating an Emergency Operations Center is significantly
>different
>
than your local radio dealer. Our handling costs are higher and this
>is passed back to
>
our customers in our shipping/handling costs. Most of our dealers stock
>two maybe
>
three radio scanners such as the Bearcat 895XLt or BC235XLT. As a master
>distributor,
>
at any time, we could have thousands of radios in stock. Inventory
>carrying costs to
>
support our mission to our primary customers is expensive.
>
FEMA inspects you to make sure you have enough SCANNERS
>in stock?

>As our Emergency Operations Center provides mission critical supplies to
>Urban
>
Search and Rescue Teams who respond to major disasters, it is essential
>to have
>
our equipment ready to respond at any time. An evaluation team from
>FEMA
>
came to our facility specifically to inspect our equipment such as
>scanners,
>
two-way transceivers, batteries and other equipment. FEMA requires

>
Urban Search and Rescue Teams to have a comprehensive equipment cache
>
and have total self-sufficiency for immediate mission response. FEMA
>checks
>
to make sure a Search and Rescue Team has rations for 72 hours of operations,
>
housing and feeding facilities, acoustic, fiber optic and video search
>equipment,
>
satellite, digital phone and radio equipment capable of providing local,
>regional
>
and global communications of voice, data and fax transmissions. Our
>Emergency
>
Operations Center is routinely audited for mission readiness by different
>clients.
>
>We purchase so much equipment, that CEI is a Master
>Distributor for companies
>
>such as Uniden, Cobra and others. Our non-government customers have
>requested to
>
>purchase radio equipment from us to accommodate those needs we set
>up
>
>focus groups to determine what our customers wanted. Many customers
>told us
>
>they wanted a liberal return policy, most items in stock, most credit
>cards
>
>accepted, toll-free phone lines. As we added more and more benefits,
>
>the costs for staffing and facilities costs have increased which was
>passed on
>
>to customers. For example, our 61 day return policy instead of a 15-25%
>
>restocking fee increases our operational costs overall but this is
>what most of
>
>our customers wanted. On the other hand, our procurement costs for
>equipment
>
>because of our volume purchasing saves us money and these savings
>are passed on to you.

>
>Hopefully this explains why the cost for some items from us are lower
>than average while
>
>shipping/handling is higher than average. I'm told our total cost
>including
>
>shipping is in some cases lower than purchasing from a local dealer.
>This is probably
>
>why customers tell us they save saved as much as $50.00 per radio
>even after
>
>the shipping charge is included when purchasing from CEI.
>
>
This is very true. No argument with that.
>
>
>As a Uniden Master Distributor, it is our responsibility to make sure
>you get the
>
>Uniden product you want when you need it. If shipping/handling/insurance
>charges
>
>on Uniden Trunk tracker products are a concern, we encourage you to
>shop
>
>at your local Uniden retailer in your area. To assist you in finding
>a local
>
>Uniden retailer, Uniden has a retail locator available anytime by
>calling
>
>1-800-297-1023 and following the voice prompts. While we encourage
>our dealers
>
>to stock over 110 different Uniden items including the Bearcat 235XLT,
>
>Bearcat 895XLT trunk tracker scanners, and other communications products,
>
>most dealers only will only stock a few items.
>
>
Thanks for the lesson on how to find a vendor.

>We're here to help. We are at your service.
>
>Unfortunately most dealers do not make enough profit
>and go out of business

>
>within three years. When a dealer goes out of business it is a sad
>day for us
>
>as all of our time and effort of training their staff and the relationship
>we have built up
>
>over the years is lost. In addition, many customers are disappointed.
>When Gilfer
>
>short-wave and Electronic Equipment Bank (EEB) stopped serving the
>public this year, their
>
>customers were sad. I have spoken with hundreds of their former customers,
>as we have
>
>acquired Gilfer's old phone numbers 1-800-GILFER1 as well as EEB's
>old number
>
>1-800-368-3270.
>
>
>
>If you think you can do a better job and want to become dealer selling
>our products,
>
>we welcome new dealers. We feel it serves our customers better when
>they can
>
>get our products locally. If you want to try and are willing to purchase
>$2,000 of product
>
>per order as a dealer, register your company with your state or county,
>get a tax ID number and
>
>call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN to discuss a dealership.
>
>
No thanks

>Most people would agree with you. Dealers find it difficult to please their
>customers who
>
do not support them with sufficient profitable purchases to keep them
>in business.
>
>Please remember, we are not a conventional dealer.
>We are a master distributor and an
>
>Emergency Operations Center. As such, to satisfy the emergency needs

>of our clients, our
>
>overhead in operating this facility is significantly higher than our
>dealers. This is why we
>
>recommend you support and shop and your local Uniden dealer near you.
>
>
Ken, this makes no sense...
>
Again...DO YOU , or DO YOU NOT want the business of individual radio
>
consumers?

>For almost 30 years, we welcome business from everyone worldwide.
>
It does not matter to us if you purchase our Uniden product from us
>or your local
>
Uniden dealer. To make it convenient for you, we try to have Uniden
>and other
>
products widely distributed across the US, and US territories as well
>as military bases
>
but we go the extra mile every day to try to get you the Uniden product
>you want
>
when our independent dealers do not have product in stock. Please remember,
>our
>
primary client is government and rescue agencies. As a government supplier,
>we are required
>
by law, to give priority to certain government orders.
>
>I'm told, next weekend, we should have a crowd of
>amateur radio operators operating radios
>
>during field day at our two-acre Emergency Operations Center facility
>in Ann Arbor, Michigan.
>
>If you are in the neighborhood, please stop by so you may appreciate
>the scope of our operations.
>
>
I am sure Ann Arbor is beautiful in June, but I will pass...

>Actually Ann Arbor is hot and sweaty today. You can call our 24 hour Weather
>lineat 734-994-9000 (another CEI division) for our automatic surface observing
>system.

>
Thousands of people call our weather systems worldwide for up to the
>second
>
time and weather information daily.
>
>We are always interested in reducing the overall
>cost of our operations as long
>
>as we are not sacrificing emergency response time or service to our
>clients. If you have
>
>any suggestions where we can save our clients money through a reduction
>in operational
>
>costs, we are interested in your suggestions. We listen to our customers
>and act on what
>
>you tell us. After looking at our web site, if you have suggestions
>to share with us,
>
>please don't keep it yourself. Let me know how we can serve you better.
>We are updating
>
>our web site survey section at this time so please send E-mail instead
>of using the survey.
>
>
>As this discussion of shipping is drifting off the subject of scanning
>and more towards retail
>
>management,
>
>so as not to waste bandwidth, feel free to E-mail or phone. I hope
>I have answered your
>
>questions regarding our Trunk tracker and shipping pricing policy.
>If it doesn't,
>
>please call me at 1-800-USA-SCAN or send me an E-mail at cei@mediaone.net.
>
>
Ken...
>
>
Thank you for the concise, albeit lengthy explanation of your
>
business, I am sure we are all more enlightened now. The
>above is
>

very hard to swallow in one gulp, and I may have to go back and read
>
it over to fully digest it all.
>
>
Your current price on an 895 XLT, even with the high shipping and
>
handling charge is lower then most, if not ALL other vendors.
>Despite
>
all of the above, no one can argue with a good deal.
>
>
Again, if you review my initial response below, apparently prompting
>
the above , you will see that I agree there openly that your pricing
>
is low. I may even make a purchase from you in the near future.
>I
>
simply questioned why the shipping and handling was so high.
>
>
Now that I know the funds are used for an "Emergency Operations
>
Center" in Ann Arbor, Michigan, I wouldn't feel as bad paying it....
>
>
8)
>
>
Dan

>I hope this clairifies our operation but if it doesn't , we're just a phone
>call or E-mail away.
>
Your suggestions help us get the kind of products, services and
>information that will be most
>
useful to you. E-mail me at cei@mediaone.net with your ideas.
>

>
>Ken Ascher - Chairman/CEO WB8LIT
>
>Communications Electronics Inc., Emergency Operations Center
>
>http://www.usascan.com
>Phone: 734-996-8888 FAX: 734-663-8888
>
>

>
>dconti2@carroll.com wrote:
>
>
>
>> On Thu, 18 Jun 1998 20:30:27 -0400, David Ballenger
>
>> <ballenger@erols.com> wrote:
>
>>
>
>> >I bought mine mail order at Communications Electronics in Michigan
>for
>
>> >about $ 240 + $ 18 shipping. I received it two days after
>ordering with no
>
>> >problems at all. They are at http://www.usascan.com.
>That is the lowest price
>
>> >I've seen - others are at $ 300 or more.
>
>>
>
>> That is a very good price, but it is a shame that particular vendor
>
>> has to "mask" their profit in riduclously inflated shipping and
>
>> handling costs.
>
>>
>
>> Dan
>
>>
>
>> >CONNOLLY, MIKE & MAUREEN wrote:
>
>> >
>
>> >> I WANT TO BUY A BEARCAT 895XLT , CAN ANYONE TELL ME WHERE TO
>GET A GOOD
>
>> >> DEAL ON ONE???
>
>> >>
>
>> >> NEW , USED , DEMO O.K.

>
>
>--------------E8CA3C7C38E807CECF70D063--
>

3199. Re: SC150 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358ff3df.147638271@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155810

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Ryan <ryanak@flash.net> wrote:

> Well basically any that add more MGZ coverage, including cell MODS, or
> military air MODs, or CB coverage. Any that add more coverage, or that
> are very good to have.

Ummm hummmm, I figgered that.....and the answer
is easy...."coverage mods" are not possible
whatsoever. There still are dozens of mod
possibilities, but.... a lot depends on what
you want and what you can do for yourself and
even what you can figure out for yourself.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

3200. Re: I need freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc2ajz@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062318352900.OAA23815@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155811

The rest of us may be interested in t his info.
Why would you answer a post of which you have NO interest??
Yes, we here are glad Europe is no state of
the USA....we feed enough deadbeats as it is.
John

3201. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3590f5d9.969899@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155812

>>I wish Dong Tong Wah to be Bill Cheek, but he already is!
>
>No doubt you'll be providing proof of this in your next post?

If you take "Dong Tong Wah" and "Bill Cheek" and rearrange the letters, it comes out as "Tennelec scanners were really kewl" which is an obvious clue.

3202. Re: Cease Fire! Bill & John. Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3590f45d.147764602@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155813

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

> Then why am I still seeing 3600 lbs. of bullshit every time I open this
> group, from __YOU__ ? If you everything you say is true, we should see
> nothing from you but on-topic posts.

Frankly, Mister Up Yours, I don't give a flying,
fresh picked booger for either what you "see" or
whoever it is you so liberally include as "we".

You can take your "see" and "we" and pack 'em
right up your booger chute.

Is that clear now?

So buzz off and take your anal retentive
"see" and "we" with you.

3203. Re: Rub Bill's nose in it Mackey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mmrjvkke1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155863

Brake Bowers

why are you perpetuating these problems instead of helping it go away?

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3204. Re: freq. req: SW Missouri Ozark lakes area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359aecf6.3036889@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155864

>I will be spending some time in Hickory County MO-- the county seat is
>Hermitage near pomme de terre lake. It is a small county in the Quad lakes
>regon just south of the halfway point to Springfield from Kansas City.

155.73 and 155.73 is county-to-county and county sheriff. Bolivar, Missouri is the closest town of any size, and they use those frequencies plus the inter-agency frequency of 155.475 and a local government frequency of 155.025.

Buffalo, MO, uses the same and 154.80. The whole area is really quiet, though you might hear some medical action from the new heliport that was recently built at Buffalo. Dallas County (Buffalo) uses 154.785, which may be their "hidden" frequency.

All the police units in those areas operate on 155.73, 155.37 and 155.475 including the counties.

Several years ago, the Urbana, MO, bank (12 miles N of Buffalo) was robbed. The crooks set fire to a field, and while everyone was fighing that, they robbed the bank. The Dallas County sheriff had a roadblock set up, but the crooks stole a truck. He recognized it and waved it on through without checking it. They were caught in South Dakota, I think and sent to Buffalo. They used a broom handle to break through the chicken-wire mesh cell door and escape. We were listening to the scanner when the sheriff at Warsaw, about 40 miles away, caught them.

It was quite interesting sitting across the lake, watching the manhunt going on just about 250 feet away, but separated by lake Pomme de Terre.

All the fire departments use 154.28

Honestly, the five or six counties around there all use just those three or four frequencies. There really isn't a lot to hear.

The Missouri hypos use 42.06, 42.12, as does the Water Patrol.

I don't know if you'll be close enough to hear Springfield. Seems to me that when I lived in Springfield around 1983, I could hear all their fire and police channels on a 6-channel handheld.

Now Branson would be interesting to monitor.

3205. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359bf021.3847619@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155865

>i've sat by watching all this lunacy and said nothing. now i want to talk.
>the man called bill cheeks is a dick. he is an old worn out has been who
>tries to prey on those he can fool. he will never agree to any type of truce
>or plan to end the problems because he loves to cause problems and that is
>all he lives for.

Is bill cheeks any relation to Bill Cheek?

3206. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: djbond@citilink.com
Message-ID: <358eefe3.1069993@news.citilink.com>
Source record: 155866

I beg to differ.If your reception area is straight up Viagra works
great. ;-)
--
dj

Oglaigh na hEireann.

3207. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <358f0d35.51245@news>
Source record: 155867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

(sorry for the slow reply - just too damn busy!)

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>> Still better than pecking for half an hour on the older scanners.

>Ummm hummmm. 800 keypecks can get awfully old
>like around the 8th peck.....

Yeah, I can't stand manual programming now...

>> BTW, I do a full Pro-43 upload in ten seconds these days - much nicer.

>None of my business if you don't want to make it so, but I'm curious
>about your process. Obviously not keyboard emulation..... and
>apparently you don't use the RxD port...since it either doesn't have
>one; or if it does, it's either burned open or at least unlabeled
>and therefore nigh improbable to figure out. So my best guess is a
>direct connect to the EEPROMs?

I guess I'd better dig out one of these cigars...

>I would imagine the physical connection to be child's play, but how
>to ramrod the data in there? I figure you for a hardware man; not
>software, so is it with a terminal program sending serial data?
>After all, those are serial EEPROMs.......

No, I'm a software guy. I know just enough about hardware to
be dangerous. The software in question is my own creation.

>Admittedly, a superior methodology with just one teeny weeny
>drawback......you can't remote control the PRO-43 from the PC
>keyboard, right?

Actually, I can. What I've done provides a mix of _some_ of the
functionality of the CE-232 and the Opto interfaces. I can
program the memories as well as have the PC take control (scan
thousands of frequencies, do smart searches, track multiple
Motorola and G.E. trunks, etc.)

Not bad for $10 worth of hardware ($5 if you skip the
slicer/trunking) and an 8MHz or better PC. I had to make the
software run on dinosaurs, since the only laptop I have at the
moment is an old Zenith 8088 beast and I need my mobility!

>Did you ever publish that mod of yours for the PRO-43, and if not,
>would you like to?

Well, here's the problem; I've seen lots of the following...

>If the block is due to programming in the firmware, there
>is no way for the computer to make the syntehsizer tune the "taboo"
>freqs.
>
>For a time, some radios were configurable due to discrete components.
>Such as remove a diode or resistor and you had coverage.
>
>However, today most radios take the firmware approach so there
>is NO way to get the stock scanner to tune cellular.

...and...

>I got into an argument once with someone else, and I was proved
>wrong. At least with all scanners *made* in 1997 or later, they
>CANNOT be unblocked.

...and those sentiments just don't jive with my experience; I own two modded 43's (an older unit with a GRE-915 CPU, and a newer one with a 'blocked' GRE-9326 CPU - model AA020-300A, s/n A004378), and they both have an identical mix of AM BCB (1.050 MHz), CB (27.185 MHz), TV audio (191.745 and 733.745 MHz), and 880.020 MHz programmed into the regular channels along with the usual mix of local 800 MHz emergency services frequencies, WX freqs, etc. The "cell-blocked" unit has the search limits programmed to 824.010 to 849.000, while the older unit has a somewhat less common search range of 26.960 to 27.410 programmed at the moment.

As you know, even the cheesy VD mods are under attack from epoxy blobs. I'm much happier if everyone (including your feds) is of the opinion that today's scanners are secure. I don't want to give them a reason to argue that scanners need even more epoxy spread about. BTW, if any feds are reading this, I'm just yanking Bill's chain.

>Sounds worthwhile from a human angle and since the PRO-43's market
>"life" is long over, what the heck?

Since the mod can be applied to current and future scanners, I'd rather not fan the flames.

>Off the wall, did you ever do that shortwave mod to the PRO-43 and
>if so, did you do any front end rework?

As I posted many moons ago in SCANRADIO, I just bypassed the 30-54 BPF and it works fine. I get many stations with a random wire antenna and an impedance matcher. Lots of different languages - Spanish, German, French, Chinese, and lots of programming - news, music, religious nuts, along with the highly entertaining programming of WWV and CHU. :)

Certainly not as sensitive as a cheap SW receiver, but it is nice to pick up local AM broadcasters. Hell, even WBZ 1030 from Boston comes in nicely here in Toronto. I always wondered why the mod was touted as extending coverage down to 6 MHz, when reception is fine down to 0.5 MHz.

>> BTW, I've always thought that a nice feature
>> would be "temporary lockouts" for channels that act up due to
>> interference or have boring comms on them for a while; All
>> temporary lockouts could be removed with one keystroke...

>Let me add a flourish to your wish list....how's about a
>programmable time-out in addition to the manual override with a
>keystroke? Like after 24-hrs, reset all temp lockouts to normal.
>Or any time the user wants within reason?

That's acceptable, as long as I could make the timeout _very_ long, i.e. disabled!

>The PRO-43 doesn't have a clock, per se, but a countdown timer
>might could see some good duty in that capacity, no?

Not sure how the '43 got dragged into this! Obviously, it doesn't have the guts to do the job, but an appropriate microcontroller with appropriate programming could handle timekeeping along with the usual scanner operations. The microamp drain when 'off' would be no worse than that used to keep CMOS RAM chips alive.

...Andy

*** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

3208. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358ef8a4.83309233@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155868

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote:

> > cheeks deserves everything he gets on here and more. the only ones
> > who support him are the ones he can fool.

> Hellooooooo Jerko. Posting from Dejanews, how quaint......

Hey, Phil....Neutrodyne...Inigo, and others:

Guys like this "Clarence Green" aren't worth it. Look
at the 2nd grade English the guy used. Look at how
he went on and on and on, rambling about this and
that with nothing that can be verified or even
looked up.

The odd thing is almost everything he said WOULD
have been true had it been phase-reversed 180-degrees.
Obviously, the guy knew me or knows someone who
knew me in Colorado. But the thing that will emerge,
if anyone cares to do any digging....is that I
left a great reputation behind me up there in the
High Country of Colorado.

Heh heh heh, and the guy wonders why I lived in
Golden, Colorado high up on Green Mountain as
opposed to down in the slums of Denver? Sheesh,
that question is self-answerable.... Besides,
the radio location was utterly superb....which
is probably why the guy's hide is still chapped.
More'n likely, I dusted his britches from there
to next Tuesday..... hee hee hee

Sure, there were some enemies in my backtrail, but
most of them wouldn't spout the crap this Clarence Green
did. But the icing on the cake is a simple question:

How the hell do you blow up a satellite dish?

Dynamite is about the only thing I can think of,
and had I done that, it would've only added to
my legends. hee hee hee hee....

Hmmm, come to think of it, I wonder if this
snotball somehow had HIS satellite dish blown

up and thinks I did it? <snicker>

Anyway, guys like this one just ain't worth it. They have a way of crawling out of the woodwork when I get back to work and twit out certain idiots. So one has to wonder if it's the one and only.....you-know-who? I ferget 'is name right off.....

hee hee hee.....

3209. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358ffd12.84442611@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155869

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Hey guys:

Our fine-feathered "Clarence Green" posted another
note under the thread "Re: Rub Bill's nose in it Mackey"
as repeated below.

Notice how the cat's "English" is a lot better? And
the "meter" of the sentence sure is a DNA print of
someone else we know.....

Hmmmm. Makes ya wonder....especially since "you-know-who"
appears to be getting his posts canceled as fast as
he can send 'em.

Hmmmmmm.

==========

Path: relief.cts.com!newshub.cts.com!usc!howland.erols.net!
cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.bbnplanet.com!
newsfeed.wli.net!nntp2.dejanews.com!nnrp1.dejanews.com!not-for-mail
From: Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Rub Bill's nose in it Mackey.
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 00:07:27 GMT
Organization: Deja News - The Leader in Internet Discussion
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <6mmrjvkke1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
References: <358C53D1.309C8EED@pacifier.com> <358C6BAA.447F@parg.org>
<6mj1h6$nl9$0@207.18.224.83> <358D5F04.354A@parg.org>
<6mjsc1$hu3$3@207.18.224.37> <358DAF48.7BEC@parg.org>
<6mkegi$l4m$1@207.18.224.6>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.55.9.163
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jun 23 00:07:27 1998 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/2.0 (compatible; MSIE 3.0; Windows 95)
Xref: relief.cts.com rec.radio.scanner:138110
X-Cache: nntpcache 1.0.7.1 (see ftp://suburbia.net/pub/nntpcache)

Brake Bowers

why are you perpetuating these problems instead of helping it go away?

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3210. Minnesota Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: elnino979@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062301253000.VAA26267@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155870

Anyone out there from Minnesota willing to trade/give frequencies for Minnesota especially the twin cities 7 county metro area.

Please email me,
EL Nino979@aol.com

Thanks

3211. FS: PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: leec71@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062301480700.VAA03885@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155871

FOR SALE: Realistic PRO-34. 200 channel handheld scanner. Modified for cellular reception. Good condition. $155 shipped.

3212. Re: NOAA on UHF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kelly <kellyj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <DzEj1.158$E44.403559@nnrp2.ptd.net>
Source record: 155872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In ALLENTOWN , PA they used to use 410.100 to link from the NOAA office to
the tower site instead of using phone lines
Joseph Carra wrote in message <3586a663.8263081@enews.newsguy.com>...
>I'm in Salt Lake City and have recently discovered two NOAA weather
>broadcasts on UHF that cover regions north and south of my area.
>
>Cache Valley - 416.9500
>Lake Powell and Page, AZ - 416.3750
>
>NOAA's website makes no mention of UHF broadcasts. I'm new at this
>radio stuff; does anyone have an explanation for this?
>
>Thanks.
>

3213. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed094@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6mn2un$j9t@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 155873

Bill Cheek (bcheek@san.rr.com) writes:
> Jeff Ekblad <jsekblad@win.bright.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Why don't you two morons start your own disscusion group and get the
>> fuck out of this one once and for all!
>>
>> CHRIST you two are pathetic as hell!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> Quit wasting our time with your BS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> Jeff Ekblad
>
> Just learn how to harness, throttle, and
> control your own computer and stop being
> a victim. It is a matter of CHOICE, not
> circumstances.
>
Why dodn't you just learn to harness the power of your brain and put it
to practical use. Oh, I'm sorry, I forgot to kneel and bow at your feet.

Yeah right you freakin' loser.

--
****
* *
* OHMYGOD, THEY KILLED KENNY ! .... YOU BASTARDS !!! *
* *

3214. What's the matter?? Chicken??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed094@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6mn39n$jeu@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 155874

What's the matter??

I think there is a lot of so called 'men' on this newsgroup who
aren't as smooth as they seem. You see, most (not all) are TOO AFRAID to
post news articles under their rewal E-Mail address. So I as again,
WHAT'S THE MATTER, ARE 'YA CHICKEN ? Be real men (or women) and post
under your real names and E-mail addresses.

Look up--you see that? Yup that's my REAL name and REAL E-Mail address.
--
****
* *
* OHMYGOD, THEY KILLED KENNY ! .... YOU BASTARDS !!! *
* *

3215. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed094@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6mn3ea$jk3@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 155875

K4CC (k4cc@aol.com) writes:
> In article <01bd9d9c$79841440$844722cf@klfisher>, "Kevin Fisher"
> <klfisher@compusmart.ab.ca> writes:
>
>>I think it is in the best interest of everyone for this crap to stop.
>
> Speak for yourself. Some of us enjoy the entertainment AND the scanner info.
>

Than start up your own rec.radio.scanner.entertainment sig. , and get
this crap off here!
--
****
* *
* OHMYGOD, THEY KILLED KENNY ! .... YOU BASTARDS !!! *
* *

3216. GP300 UHF for Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Figueroa <Figueroa@ellijay.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9e52$e23d0a80$3ece1ece@abc>
Source record: 155876

I have two UHF GP300's, which I would like to trade for GP300 VHF, anyone interested? One 2 ch & 16 channel with Keypad.

18005551198 x132

Ed

3217. Re: Automate Making Your Frequency Files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35911694.90974066@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155877

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com (Andrew Porrett) wrote:

> (sorry for the slow reply - just too damn busy!)

Don't mention it. I only got around to wondering
about you a day or two ago. If I didn't invent
"busy", I sure put some flourishes and pleats
on it.

> >So my best guess is a direct connect to the EEPROMs?
>
> I guess I'd better dig out one of these cigars...

I'll take a Monte Cristo that you icebergers never
outlawed like we did down here stateside. I'll
tell ya what, Canada has more goodies and neat
things about it that a body can experience in
fifty lifetimes, but the Monte Cristo's rank way
up there. You lucky devils, you..... Yeah, I know,
Monte Cristo's don't originate in Canada, but that's
okay. I used to play like they did. :-)

> No, I'm a software guy. I know just enough about hardware to
> be dangerous. The software in question is my own creation.

Well knock me over with a Monte Cristo......

Well, this thread has about played out and run
its course, but if you don't mind, I'll try to
continue it by e-mail one of these days soon....
when I get done putting the fine touches on "busy".

Of course, you'll have taken up where I left off
by then....but we'll see. Interesting stuff you've
done, that's for sure.

Rgds,

Bill

3218. Cease Fire! Bill & John. Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbake777@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062303045300.XAA15551@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155878

As a frequent rrs reader, I respectfully ask that Mr. Cheek and Mr. Mackey refrain from flaming each other for 48 hours. From the posts I've observed over the past several months, I feel that this is the sentiment of most other regular users of this newsgroup. I do not read any post that contains the name of either of the above individuals, but I do find it annoying to find so many senseless posts and quite immature that intelligent, grown men would not respond respectfully to the wishes of the majority of users in this group. I have benefited and gained knowledge of scanning and scanners from the FAQ's posted by Mr. Cheek and hope that this information will continue to flow. Recently it seems that the responses carry (sometimes) a bit of attitude with them. Please help this group maintain some civility by behaving respectfully of each other and all other members of this group...at least try for 48 hours.
Chris Baker
94 RMX 250
88 Z50R (The Beast)

3219. Re: Discriminator squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35921a01.91850982@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155879

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Matt Fuller" <fee@global2000.net> wrote:

> Right now my discriminator outputs sound all the time.. Is there a
> relatively simple way to have it work with the squelch? FWIW its a
> Pro-2030.

Of course not! The Discriminator is located in an
UNSQUELCHED part of the circuit.

You can try tapping baseband audio down line from
the Squelch control, but that's "ify" due to the
probable voice band filters that reside downstream.
But in a word, try various signal points between
the Squelch control and the volume control. To
go beyond the Volume control will prove futile,
but you can try up to and including the high lug
of the volume control.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

Worth a try if you just have to....

3220. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35931b21.92138866@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155880

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

ed094@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Robert Edmunds) wrote:

> Why dodn't you just learn to harness the
> power of your brain and put it to practical use.

Oooooohhhh, do I hear a "challenge" coming on?
Cool! Wow! Far out! <Licking chops>

> Oh, I'm sorry, I forgot to kneel and bow at your feet.

Ohhhh Geezus H. Kriste, I knew it was too good
to be true. For a minute you had me there. I
thought you were going to whup some hot brain
power on me.

Oh....and don't kneel. It gives straight men a bad
name. Observers just wouldn't understand. You
can send money, though, if that would make you
feel better. Otherwise, do a little swishing
and sacheting and speak up - make your intentions
clearer, okay?

> Yeah right you freakin' loser.

Really now? <checking resources, checkbook, wallet,
computational facilities, radios, etc......>

Ummmmm, sorry, no. I haven't lost anything.
Well...nothing of value. Just you. But that's
okay. I don't mind. I needed to make my weekly
charitable contribution anyway, so I hereby
willingly and of my own volition choose to lose
you to the vultures, predators and dung-eating
vermin of the world.

Sorry, pal. You've just been contributed.
Now beat it......

3221. Re: What's this stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35941db7.92801006@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155881

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> I don't think it's anything serious.

No. Just that the moron is cluttering up the
newsgroup with HIS own brand of spam is all....

I can hack it, though. <shrug>

3222. Re: coolest thing heard on scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35952a4d.13350735@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 11:14:35 -0000, "Michael H. Mac Mullen"
<mhmacm@megsinet.net> wrote:

>I once heard a prominent politician who was involved in a sex scandal tell
>his lawyer that the charges were "Old News". FYI, it was not Clinton
>Mike

Gary Hart? haha

3223. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <359427c0.12697380@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155883

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 03:13:22 GMT, inigo@montoya.net (D. P. Roberts)
wrote:

>>I have been told it's possible to use a cell phone as a scanner for the
>>800mhz band. I was led to believe it was quite simple on most popular
>>cell phones. Can anyone shed some light on this for me? Thank you in
>>advance.
>
>That is the rumour. It sounds logical, because the phone would HAVE to be
>able to scan the frequencies to find the cell and/or a clear channel. I've
>also heard that some of them can be used as two-way units at close range, but
>haven't been able to find out anything more about it. That one sounds a
>little more far-fetched.

Sure you can. Its more like a receiver, not a scanner since you have
to key in the channel # you want to listen too.
A simple web search will tell you how.

3224. Enterdev

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Allen Hart <hartal@techsolvers.com>
Message-ID: <358F2910.2299C1DD@techsolvers.com>
Source record: 155884

Does anyone know what happened to Enterdev? (Phil maybe) They seem to have dropped off the face of the earth. Their web site is gone and they don't return phone calls.

3225. Re: FS: PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mnaj4$ous@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155885

On 23 Jun 1998 01:48:07 GMT, leec71@aol.com (LeeC71) wrote:

> FOR SALE: Realistic PRO-34. 200 channel handheld scanner. Modified for
>cellular reception. Good condition. $155 shipped.

He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He Ha
Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee heeeeeee
Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!You have got to be kidding!!!!

3226. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358F2E77.705A@parg.org>
Source record: 155886

Phil Smalley wrote:

> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> > Do not connect any grounds to a gas pipe, it is against fire and
> > electical codes in all states.

> But John Mackey says you can! You're just a Bill Cheek troublemaker,

I presumed when I was making my earlier post that I was speaking to individual with common sense. Of course, I should have known that there would be one or two exception! Anyway, I was speaking of copper (fresh & cold) WATER pipe. Anything carrying other than fresh cold pater is NOT acceptable.

Important note: SOME states, such as Washington, require the gas pipe to be tied into the common ground of the home. But nothing else may be connected to the gas pipe.

3227. Re: Wanted: Tampa FL police "signals"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980623043251 00.AAA24218@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155887

Page 466 of the 1996 edition of PoliceCall Plus for Florida/Georgia etc. has the TPD codes and signals. I don't have the current issue, but I assume they are in it as well.

John Ward

3228. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358F3143.BD401EE7@netwit.com>
Source record: 155888

> Than start up your own rec.radio.scanner.entertainment sig. , and get
> this crap off here!

Nope. You go start your own *moderated* scanner NG. Tell ya what: I'll even nominate you for moderator.

It's won't be difficult for you. In fact, I can only think of a couple posts you'll have to make to keep "law and order":

1) Is anybody out there?

2) Someone post anything.....PLEASE!!!

Or.....you can hang out in the *unmoderated* side of the recreational (rec) hierarchy, learn to use your filters, and enjoy a location where scanner users regularly post.

I hope this didn't make you mad.

Did it?

Go on;. .....you can speak freely here.

3229. Re: Pro 43 for Mil bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35962f71.14667168@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155889

On 21 Jun 1998 16:26:13 GMT, history67@aol.com (History67) wrote:

>Can a Pro 43 be modified to recieve on the millitary band block?
>How about 220mhz?

The only mod a pro-43 needs to receive this band is installing
the batteries.

3230. Re: PRO60 skips?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <359831ac.15238012@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155890

On 22 Jun 1998 16:54:18 GMT, cbake777@aol.com (Cbake777) wrote:

>I have a RS Pro60 and while scanning (or locked on a freq) it kind of skips.
>you can hear the scan crystal clear except for this annoying skip every 2 secs.
>the screen gives a quick flash as well. I know it isn't the batteries because
>it does it when connected to the ac/dc cords. It also doesn't matter which
>anntenna I'm using either. I appreciate any and all opinions.....hopefully it
>isn't serious.

Look for the priority button!

3231. Re: Pro-508

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <359730ae.14984191@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155891

On 22 Jun 1998 03:07:53 GMT, jtward01@aol.com (JTWard01) wrote:

>OK, I found out that the Pro-508 was a 10-channel table model, cat. no. 20-408.
> According to the computer at my local RS store the current selling price is
>$12.97, which of course is still pretty good.

It's a 20 channel scanner, and the price has dropped to $10.99, but I
doubt it matters any since the chance of finding one now is about as
the same as finding a pro-2006 next to it...

3232. Pa. State Police: What's 42.6 MHz for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Togyer <jtogyer.notforspam@westol.com>
Message-ID: <358F33DF.7995@westol.com>
Source record: 155892

Any yinzers out there that can answer a question about Pennsylvania State Police and a possible low-band allocation?

Police Call shows 42.6 MHz as a PSP frequency licensed to practically every barracks in the state. But for years I've ridden around with 42.6 programmed into the scanner in the car and haven't heard squat, even when I was in the parking lot of Troop A or B headquarters.

...until I happened to pass within about 1/4 mile of a subbarracks on the interstate several months ago, when 42.6 suddenly came alive with someone reading OLN's and license numbers. It faded out quickly and I've never heard it since. A half-hour later, I passed the same spot, and ... nothing.

Some old directories list this as a "base-to-base" channel. Does PSP still use this frequency? Is it a back-up to get state DMV info when the Commonwealth Law Enforcement Agency Network goes down (which it does constantly)? Or was I listening to skip from God-knows-where?

Any answers you can give me (any troopers lurking out there?) will be helpful. Thanks!

73 de Jason KB3CNM
McKeesport, Pa., USA

"You're wasting valuable smashing time!"
-- Sideshow Mel, The Simpsons

(please remove ".notforspam" from address to reply. Thanks!)

3233. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35993285.15455111@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 06:05:13 GMT, cdeviney@ipa.net (Cathy De Viney)
wrote:

>What is a PRO-508?
>CATHY

Its a 20 channel desktop scanner covering up to 512mhz.

3234. Re: What's the matter?? Chicken??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <358F34B8.1B218FBE@netwit.com>
Source record: 155894

Robert Edmunds wrote:

> What's the matter??
>
> I think there is a lot of so called 'men' on this newsgroup who
> aren't as smooth as they seem. You see, most (not all) are TOO AFRAID to
> post news articles under their rewal E-Mail address. So I as again,
> WHAT'S THE MATTER, ARE 'YA CHICKEN ? Be real men (or women) and post
> under your real names and E-mail addresses.
>
> Look up--you see that? Yup that's my REAL name and REAL E-Mail address.

Geeeeeee......you mean, all I have to do is post my real ID and you'll actually respect me? We'll be pals?

That's too good a deal to pass up; I crave your approval.

Lemme go look for my real ID.

And I'll get back to you.

You friggin' loon.

3235. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <359a32f2.15563934@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155895

On Sun, 21 Jun 1998 23:37:47 -0400, allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Jack wrote:
>
>> If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
>> time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
>> marked at $100,
>
>Damn it. I just bought a "mint" Pro-64 out of a pawn shop for a friend,
>sold it to him at my cost ($110); and now this. Oh gawd, I hope this
>information isn't true.

I just saw one at the pawn shop (pro-64) for $150 and the guy wouldn't
budge on the price...hopefully it will still be there in 6 months and
they will be willing to take my $40...

3236. Re: Scanner AssHoles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <359b33d9.15794725@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155896

On Sat, 20 Jun 1998 17:13:05 -0500, "John C. Harper"
<harp269@swbell.net> wrote:

>I apologize for the subject - but did it get your attention?
Yes
>
>I have been in electronics for forty (40) years and scannering for
>almost all that time (39.989). I enjoy (and feel it important) to
>monitor what passes through my mortgaged property.

and on the internet for 40 minutes...?

>I subscribed to this NG for info on SCANNERS.

and...?

>It is, infrequently, entertaining to see some comic relief. IE: who
>hates who (whom?) or whatever.

Comedy is important!

>I have seen DEALS offered between individuals! What the fuck does this
>NG have to do between two (2) people? This is an info source about
>SCANNERS. If I am wrong I (and all my friends and all of my students
>and all their friends and all their students and all my friend's
>students...) will get the suggestion to go elsewhere.

Don't let the unsubscribe button hit you in the ass!

>Do you want me to exclude from my information base?

Who cares, I know I don't!

You should take a lesson in filters at your earliest possible
convince! Good luck, and have a nice day!

3237. Wanted: BC-230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <themike@ican.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9e61$ecd46240$a9119a8e@endxokep>
Source record: 155897

If anyone has a BC-230XLT for sale, I am interested.....
I live in Toronto and will accept one COD!!!
Thanks

Mike
themike@ican.net

3238. Re: Can't we all just get along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scrappy <REMOVEpetesmith@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <6mnd1loa1@blackice.winternet.com>
Source record: 155898

No? That's pretty pessimistic! Let's ask our good friends, Mr. Cheek and Mr. Mackey! Could they possibly offer some insight on "Getting along"?
--
Area 50 Research Center
Trying damn hard to catch
up to Area 51, and we just
might do it! (If we're lucky)
...Maybe!

http://www.winternet.com/~yomammy

Oh yeah - Janet Reno's a HOT BABE!!!
bfwinstead wrote in message
<4B80F6C355722050.815F1EA9E3D0DC45.58FB7A9F0C3ADD92@library-proxy.airnews.net>...
>no.
>
>pja wrote:
>>
>> well.

3239. Aw, come on guys, grow up get with it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358F4369.40C8@parg.org>
Source record: 155899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I might be breaking the truce saying this but since nearly
everyone else has it can't be that bad.

Now really, a flat out "STAY" was put to the group, & several
have chosen to ignore it. Namely Cheek & Smaley & a few others
blaming it on a variety of factors.

Now really, what is best for this group? Just let it go & don't
let detractors rile you up! Simply act mature & rise above it!

Now, to stay on topic! Does anyone here own a Pro-2009 scanner
& know if it can be modified to receive 160 meter CW?

3240. Re: Advice needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358F3A7C.671@parg.org>
Source record: 155900

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> > I know about pipe grounds and they should not be allowed in the
> > electrical code.

> Actually, depending on exactly what you are grounding, they can be one
> of th BEST grounds! (assuming it is a coppper pipe)

I thought this would be simple common sense but there are a few individuals who mis-understood. With the part above about copper pipe, it must be a FRESH, COLD WATER copper pipe. Copper pipe carrying gas, hot water, grey water, etc is NOT acceptable. For further questions please reference the NEC book (National Electric Code) & local codes.

Note: local jurisdictions may have different requirements as they see fit. Example: State of Washington they ground the gas pipe, the State of Oregon it is against code to have a gas pipe grounded!

3241. Pro-43 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjheller-nospam@west.net
Message-ID: <pjheller-nospam-2206982301060001@pm8-4.sba1.avtel.net>
Source record: 155901

I know the newer RS Pro-43 models can't be modified for cell coverage. Can they receive mirror images to receive cell (not that anybody would listen).

Please reply to pjheller@west.net (or remove -nospam if auto replying)

Thanks.

3242. Re: Enterdev

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <orlscan@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ZwHj1.204$4S5.527998@news3.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155902

I wouldn't go there with Phil

Allen Hart wrote in message <358F2910.2299C1DD@techsolvers.com>...
>Does anyone know what happened to Enterdev? (Phil maybe) They
>seem to have dropped off the face of the earth. Their web site
>is gone and they don't return phone calls.

3243. allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <358F4D4E.57D9@parg.org>
Source record: 155903

allsafe wrote:

> Nope. You go start your own *moderated* scanner NG. Tell ya what: I'll even
> nominate you for moderator.

allsafe-

Usually you only post stupid crap on here only meant to start trouble.
But, this time is different!!!! You are probably on to something!

Now just last night I proposed a 7 day "stay" on all falming & other
type
of messages. Most have chosen to ignore the "stay". :(I am going to
honor it, so this is NOT a flame. (although none of my messages are
flames anyway)

Your suggestion of a moderated NG is good! However, rather than start
ANOTHER newsgroup to be moderated, why not just moderate THIS
NG! We could all elect to appoint a moderator!

THIS would be the answer to many of the problems. A moderator would
help ensure that only on-topic posts are presented & those individuals
who post off-topic would be dealt with by the moderator!

Even Bill Cheek would support a moderator as indicated by his rein
as moderator of scanradio in Fidonet.

LETS GO TO A MODERATED SYSTEM ON THIS NEWSGROUP!

>
> It's won't be difficult for you. In fact, I can only think of a couple posts
> you'll have to make to keep "law and order":
>
> 1) Is anybody out there?
>
> 2) Someone post anything........PLEASE!!!
>
> Or.........you can hang out in the *unmoderated* side of the recreational (rec)
> hierarchy, learn to use your filters, and enjoy a location where scanner users
> regularly post.
>
> I hope this didn't make you mad.
>
> Did it?
>
> Go on;. ....you can speak freely here.

3244. Re: Cease Fire! Bill & John. Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <358f4bb5.104576796@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155904

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

cbake777@aol.com (Cbake777) wrote:

> As a frequent rrs reader, I respectfully ask that Mr. Cheek and Mr. Mackey
> refrain from flaming each other for 48 hours.

Why don't you bother to READ first?
You're a day late and a hundred bucks
short. I already twitted out undesirable
persons and am not replying to them.
Do you want to be one, too?

> From the posts I've observed over
> the past several months, I feel that
> this is the sentiment of most other
> regular users of this newsgroup.

The Usenet is, by its very foundations,
an anarchy - not a democracy. That's a
fact, fella. Secondly, you are NOT empowered
to speak for ANYONE else, much less any perceived
majorities.

Thirdly, and most importantly, if you could
learn how to control your own computer and
its software, then you could not possibly be
victimized and molested as you seem to be
sniveling about now.

> I do not read any post that contains the name
> of either of the above individuals, but I do
> find it annoying to find so many senseless posts

Well....DON'T be annoyed. You can control
that, too, in addition to controlling your
computer so that it doesn't pry open your jaws
and cram "senseless posts" down your gullet.

> and quite immature that intelligent, grown men would not
> respond respectfully to the wishes of the majority of users

I hate to break it to you, pal, but majority,
schmority, the Usenet is anarchist by its very
nature. Deal with it. You can, you know.....

but if you feel you can't, then perhaps knitting
or crocheting would be a more suitable pursuit
for you.

> Recently it seems that the responses carry
> (sometimes) a bit of attitude with them.

And.....YOURS doesn't? Well, pal, you whupped
your "attitude" on me first. You'd NOT have
gotten mine had you (1) read the threads FIRST,
and (2) been able to leash and kick the snot
out of your computer for mistreating you so badly.

> Please help this group maintain some civility

This group is as civil as you want to see it, or
as uncivil as you please....take your pick. But
keep your sniveling to yourself. Read the posts
that interest you and don't read what doesn't
appeal to you. It is that simple. And then if you
will bother to learn a little something, you can
employ automation to do most of the choosing for
you. It is within your power, and it is YOUR
responsibility - not anyone else's.

> by behaving respectfully of each other and all
> other members of this group...at least try for 48 hours.

You know what they say, dude....charity begins
at home. I'll add "respect" to that list. Now
take a baseball bat and beat the tar outa that
misbehaving computer of yours and teach it to
be more respectful of you.

I'll guaran-damn-tee you it will work. Get real.
At least, don't impose your standards on the
Usenet where you are guaranteed to be sadly
disappointed if you persist.

3245. Re: Pro-43 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35904faf.105595591@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155905

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

pjheller-nospam@west.net (P.J. Heller) wrote:

> I know the newer RS Pro-43 models canï¿½t be modified for cell coverage. Can
> they receive mirror images to receive cell (not that anybody would
> listen).

The PRO-43 is an excellent triple conversion
receiver that does not receive "image frequency
interference."

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

3246. Re: SC150 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35915000.105676036@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155906

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Ryan <ryanak@flash.net> wrote:

> What MODS are there for the Bearcat SC150?

Lots. But there is no "shopping list" exactly.
What "mods" interest you?

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

3247. Re: decoding digital encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6mnm9mgve1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 155907

Fred,

The channel question is easy, the knowledge I have is that cordless phones have maximum 120 channels from which to choose, inlcuding the 1800 MHz one I have.
The test mode enables your handset to 'navigate' each channel, pressing certain number keys which vary between models.
As for the codes, if those 2 billion codes are available, how is one of them assigned to an individual unit? How does the base know that the phone that just came on is an illegitimate one? Don't tell me that the system is as sophisticaded as off-the-air key exchange, A5 encryption, and multi-level security...
With my digital cordless, it just has AFSK modulation, and strange channel spacing, but there is no problem 'joining' a conversation with another unit.

Mike

nospam .com escribi&#65533; en mensaje <6mhtub$7ih@eri.erinet.com>...
>.so...what channel would a CDMA phone be transmitting on???? and which one
of
>the over 2 billion codes would it be assigned?????

3248. Re: Ringo Ranger Specs Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9e81$4ae91140$971737a6@default>
Source record: 155908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I think if I remember correctly you will have to experiment tofind the
correct measurements for freqs that high. I don't think the specs go that
high. I does work though, I set one up on 161.XXX at work. Works great.

Good luck
Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Vince Curtis <stopahmanson@loop.com> wrote in article
<358EF218.2814@loop.com>...
> Changing my Ringo Ranger antenna from 146.xxx to 172.xxx.
>
> Anyone out there know the new measurements for the antenna ?
>
> I think the RR only goes to 160.xxx per manufactures specs, but
> still would like to use it for 172.xxx
>
> Would appreciate it.
>
> - Vince
>

3249. Re: Advice needed: Which type of coax to run to the antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9e80$57e57560$971737a6@default>
Source record: 155909

Phil I like your style. You don't beat around the bush, you just get out there and say what you think. Too bad some people can't handle someone being honest and saying what he thinks. Keep it up and ignore the morons !!!

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com> wrote in article <358EE7BC.1491@hacgate.com>...
> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> >
> > Do not connect any grounds to a gas pipe, it is against fire and
> > electical codes in all states.
> >
>
> But John Mackey says you can! You're just a Bill Cheek troublemaker,
> aren't you??
>
> HA HA HA HA HA HA HA !!!! Just kidding! I say let ol' Mack-tard ground
> his antennas through the gas line, along with anyone else who is stupid
> enough to follow his advice. One good thunderstorm and we'll be rid of
> 99.9% of what's wrong with this NG. ;-)
>
> -Phil
> P.S. To those of you who feel inclined to respond with "YOU are what's
> wrong with this NG, blah, blah, blah.." don't bother. Just read the
> following standard response I give to all morons, idiots, bozos and
> nitwits and be glad I was considerate enough to acknowledge your
> existance:
>
> PTHTHTHTHTHTHTHTHTHTH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

3250. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9e80$c8836a20$971737a6@default>
Source record: 155910

It's a pity some people don't even know the name of the person they are flamming !!! If you don't like what you see, don't read it and move on. Simple as that.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

D. P. Roberts <inigo@montoya.net> wrote in article <359bf021.3847619@news.swbell.net>...
> >i've sat by watching all this lunacy and said nothing. now i want to talk.
> >the man called bill cheeks is a dick. he is an old worn out has been who
> >tries to prey on those he can fool. he will never agree to any type of truce
> >or plan to end the problems because he loves to cause problems and that is
> >all he lives for.
>
> Is bill cheeks any relation to Bill Cheek?
>

3251. Re: allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9e84$d98e5f60$971737a6@default>
Source record: 155911

No John, lets not change this newsgroup. If you want a moderated NG, start
one, but leave this one alone.

Just my 2 cents ...

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article
<358F4D4E.57D9@parg.org>...
> allsafe wrote:
>
> > Nope. You go start your own *moderated* scanner NG. Tell ya what:
I'll even
> > nominate you for moderator.
>
> allsafe-
>
> Usually you only post stupid crap on here only meant to start trouble.
> But, this time is different!!!! You are probably on to something!
>
> Now just last night I proposed a 7 day "stay" on all falming & other
> type
> of messages. Most have chosen to ignore the "stay". :(I am going to
> honor it, so this is NOT a flame. (although none of my messages are
> flames anyway)
>
> Your suggestion of a moderated NG is good! However, rather than start
> *ANOTHER* newsgroup to be moderated, why not just moderate THIS
> NG! We could all elect to appoint a moderator!
>
> THIS would be the answer to many of the problems. A moderator would
> help ensure that only on-topic posts are presented & those individuals
> who post off-topic would be dealt with by the moderator!
>
> Even Bill Cheek would support a moderator as indicated by his rein
> as moderator of scanradio in Fidonet.
>
> LETS GO TO A MODERATED SYSTEM ON THIS NEWSGROUP!
>
>
>

>
> >
> > It's won't be difficult for you. In fact, I can only think of a
couple posts
> > you'll have to make to keep "law and order":
> >
> > 1) Is anybody out there?
> >
> > 2) Someone post anything........PLEASE!!!
> >
> > Or.........you can hang out in the *unmoderated* side of the
recreational (rec)
> > hierarchy, learn to use your filters, and enjoy a location where
scanner users
> > regularly post.
> >
> > I hope this didn't make you mad.
> >
> > Did it?
> >
> > Go on;. ....you can speak freely here.
>

3252. Pro 26 modded for sale..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bumologist@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <MPG.ff937b3bd8cf6609896a4@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 155912

I have a new(demo)Pro 26 I bought a couple weeks ago,I grabbed up another toy and need the money to pay for it..has a minor scratch on display window,and a couple on back of the case and batt.door.It works fine.I want 200 for it FIRM....Email me if interested....
Barry

3253. me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: nwelch@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <6mnvkn$79q$1@usenet40.supernews.com>
Source record: 155913

hi
i'm a fucking idiot
please plonk me
YOU DONT HAVE A CLUE

3254. Re: Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard <Howard*V@Bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3591C552.19D28A53@Bigfoot.com>
Source record: 155465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm also using the radio shack "cellular look alike" antenna for my BCT-7. It's pretty tough to pick up CB signals unless they're very close and the squelch is turned up pretty high. Highway Patrol (here in California) is also difficult to pick up with the cell look alike antenna. When I'm driving to Vegas for 5 hours, I also use a separate CB antenna and a separate long whip antenna (tuned for the 44 mhz frequency) to try to get away from tickets. Even with all that, luck plays a big roll!

The radio shack cell-lookalike antenna is a good all around antenna for cruising around the city. I don't want to attract attention to my car with other types of antennas. Here in Los Angeles, almost everybody and their mothers has a cell phone antenna on their cars, so your car doesn't stand out to potential thieves.

I've also tried my CB antenna on my scanner. Both CB and highway patrol come in pretty well with the CB antenna, but the higher frequencies come in pretty crappy.

Good luck - Howard

abc wrote:

> Hi there,
>
> I have a Radio Shack mobile magnetic mount celluar-lookalike antenna in
> my car. I just got a PRO-91 handheld scanner as a gift. Assuming I
> obtain the correct adapter, would I be able to get decent (that is,
> better than the stock handheld antenna) reception using the mobile CB
> antenna for the scanner? I'm assuming that the CB antenna is tuned for
> transmitting on the CB frequencies, but will this really matter when I
> am attempting to scan other frequency ranges?
>
> Thanks in advance for your help.

3255. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David G. Hunt KB6JAW" <dhunt@efn.org>
Message-ID: <3591BC8F.40A2@efn.org>
Source record: 155466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Mr. Clem wrote:

<snip!>

> Just because you are able to dispatch aid to someone who may or may not
> need it does not negate the fact you came about this information while
> breaking a federal law. (IE - listening to cordless phones)

Cordless phones are specifically exempted.

Have a nice day.

3256. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David G. Hunt KB6JAW" <dhunt@efn.org>
Message-ID: <3591C2A2.381F@efn.org>
Source record: 155467

Ken Dewalt wrote:
>
> I have a question concerning my base station antenna and coax.
> I purchased the Radio Shack multi-band antenna for my base
> station. My receipt shows this antenna as a VHI/U base antenna
> with a cost of $19.99. A description would be 4 approx 15" radials
> coming out about the mid point and another vertical rod about 15" also.
> It is mouted approx 25' beside my chimney.

Shouldn't have had that fire the other night, Ken!

Check and see if the coax is melted. Seen this situation before.

In GENERAL, bigger coax is less lossy and therefore better. Cable TV
cable like RG-6 will be better than RG-58 since it has less loss,
even with the impedance mismatch (which is only 1.5:1 anyway).

Regards,

David

PS: Keep having fun.

3257. Re: Macaroon, what was your point? <<not the one on your head!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3591A76B.2C24@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I AM SORRY JOHN MACKEY I OWE YOU MY GRATITUDE. YOU ARE
TRULY AN EXPERT IN THE FIELD, I SIMPLY FOOL ALL THOSE THAT I
COULD INTO BEING AGAINST YOUTO MAKE MYSELF FEEL BETTER. YOU SEE
I LOST MY BOYFRIEND TO ANOTHER MAN & I AM HAVING A REALLY HARD
TIME WITH THIS. PLEASE FORGIVE ME!

3258. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35914AD0.61CEF435@netwit.com>
Source record: 155514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

> allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:
>
> > ooOOOooo! Are these points accurate Doc? You're gonna
> > have to tell us exactly how you do this. C'mon,.....give it up.
> >
> > Purty Puuuh-leeeeeeeease?
>
> I can tell you. :-)
>
> Find the injection point of a Usenet post or an
> e-mail, and then use a WHOIS client to check
> the Internic database. Comes back with most
> everything but the home phone number of the sysadmins
> of that site.
>
> You can do a WHOIS by a variety of means, but the
> easiest is to just download a WHOIS client somewhere.
>
> Works slicker than oiled snakesnot on greased ice. :-)

Yes indeedy; I'll just tuck that away for safekeeping. Thanks Bill.

3259. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35914B69.3074@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Seems someone (Mackey?) also sent an e-mail bomb to
> me, "Wirehead" and PJSmalley with 3-MB of attachments.
> They used MY e-mail address as the supposed sender,
> but take a look at the header:

I didn't get the email bomb because that isn't my real email address. I have since changed that, so now I can receive internet mail.

I do give the following statements/warnings to whoever it is that would like to "mail bomb" me, as listed above.

1. Files larger than 1MB in size are automatically rejected by our mail server.

2. Hughes Space and Communications (my employer) is a government contractor who can and will invesigate any such attempts to breach their network security, and treat said attempt as an attempt to gain unauthorized access to sensitive government documents. You could be arrested and prosecuted for espionage just because you tried to play a joke on me.

3. Most importantly of all, I will flood your server with email bombs, concurrent connections, virii, and other nasties from all over the world. I will publicly humiliate you in every usenet newsgroup you frequent. I will find my way into your server and turn your personal site into the next Gay/Lesbian/Gerbil Fetish/kiddie porn site and invite several local and federal authorities to check out *your* handywork. And this is just for starters.

That out of the way, I leave it to your good judgement and desire to avoid a criminal record, to do the right thing and just drop it, right here, right now.

Your call.

-Phil

3260. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35913fe6.4191930@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155516

On 24 Jun 1998 15:52:33 GMT, k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

>"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> whines:
>
>>First, I don't know why you keep attacking me (or just sending me rude
>>messages), but:
>
>I'm not attacking you, Ryan. I'm just pointing out inaccuracies in your posts
>that you are trying to pass off as fact.
>
>>Look at the headers!
>>From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
>>From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
>>Notice - both are san.rr.com
>
>Okay. Let's apply that logic. My address is k4cc@aol.com. There are about six
>million other people who have an e-mail address @aol.com. So, using your logic,
>I am the author of all e-mail messages originating from AOL. That was pretty
>weak, little buddy.
>
>>and as D.P. Roberts pointed out, "Bill Cheek" and "Dong Tong Wah" come out to
>>be "Tennelec scanners were really kewl" when the letters are rearranged.
>
>If I rearrange the letters in "Ryan Faricy" and "James Tonsager" it comes out
>to be "any fairy songster jam cage". Which proves that YOU are actually Dong
>Tong Wah!!

That's proof enough for me! Heh, Heh, Heh!

3261. Re: Pro-64 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mrj4a$2o1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155517
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

He meant Pro-46, not Pro-64. He emailed me and told me about his mistake.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Jack T.Dragon wrote in message <359033A0.359F@blarg.net>...
>Recieves on:
> 29- 54mhz FM
> 66- 88mhz FM (after keypad trick)
>108-137mhz AM
>137-174mhz FM
>406-512mhz FM
>806-956mhz FM (unblocked)
>
> Has 100 memories in 10 banks. Runs off of 4 AA batteries.
>Included are the AC adaptor / charger, and instruction manual.
> The restoration trick involves soldering SMT components,
>I've allready done that for you. Plus, you can undo the hardware
>mod and then do the keypad mod. "Oops, sorry about resetting it
>like that officer, but as you can see, this can't do cell..." :)
>
> It works just fine and has no problems.
>
> Asking $140, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
>to negotiation.
>
> I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't
>use this at all anymore. I need the money more than I need the
>hobby.
>
>
>Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
>end of tdragon in my address to respond,
>tdragonn@blarg.net

3262. Re: Pro-64 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mrj68$2vq@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155518
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Nope, he emailed me and said he made a mistake: he meant the Pro-46, but not
the Pro-64.

--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Brian wrote in message <6mqte4$67e$1@news.wintek.com>...
>Isn't this the scanner they have on clearance at RS for $100.00
>Jack T.Dragon wrote in message <359033A0.359F@blarg.net>...
>>Recieves on:
>> 29- 54mhz FM
>> 66- 88mhz FM (after keypad trick)
>>108-137mhz AM
>>137-174mhz FM
>>406-512mhz FM
>>806-956mhz FM (unblocked)
>>
>> Has 100 memories in 10 banks. Runs off of 4 AA batteries.
>>Included are the AC adaptor / charger, and instruction manual.
>> The restoration trick involves soldering SMT components,
>>I've allready done that for you. Plus, you can undo the hardware
>>mod and then do the keypad mod. "Oops, sorry about resetting it
>>like that officer, but as you can see, this can't do cell..." :)
>>
>> It works just fine and has no problems.
>>
>> Asking $140, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
>>to negotiation.
>>
>> I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't
>>use this at all anymore. I need the money more than I need the
>>hobby.
>>
>>
>>Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
>>end of tdragon in my address to respond,
>>tdragonn@blarg.net
>
>

3263. Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjmierrn@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062419065400.PAA12463@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155519

I, have a Pro 90.
I opened the case the other day and saw a
rather large resistor sticking up. It jsut begs to
be cut off.

My question is what is it for/ what does it do?

Would it hurt to cut it then.

rj

3264. Re: F@@K YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35914E70.2CA7@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:
> Remember, 2 days ago I suggested a 7 day "STAY" on all flaming & similar
> activities. I'm sticking to it even if no one else is! (HINT, HINT)
"HINT, HINT"? What the hell is this to you, a goddamn guessing game?

> The "Clark" system I have seen you all talking about is in a different
> city & different state than me! So, I very much encourage the
> "investigation" you speak of!
Like that matters. I could send you an email from Tokyo from right here
in California. That's the nature of the internet. Seems you know alot
about the system that the forged posts came from, what can you tell us
about that? Never mind, I have read enough of your lies already.

> I use USA.NET for my mail service. Works great no matter what ISP
> I am on! I have NOT had any involvement with mail bombs like
> you speak of so perhaps peopel should consider it.
Yeah right, YOU didn't try to send me one, did you moron? What bullcrap.
Too bad you didn't get the address right. But don't worry, once you send
it, I'll have all I need to ruin your day. All I gotta do is wait, I
know you can't resist...

> Now, to be on topic, does anybody have any info on modifying a Pro-2009
> to receive SSB slow scan TV signals on 20 meters?

How many times are you going to ask this B.S. question? Why even bother?
do you honestly expect someone to respond with the answer after all you
have done? I can't wait till your ISP pulls the plug - again.

Keep it up Looney. We shouldn't have to put up with you much longer...
-Phil

3265. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35914ED7.3153@parg.org>
Source record: 155521

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:

> WHAT?! Satellite cable (6) almost works better than 213. There is little
> line loss at all. I've never had any problems with it.

Yea, "almost", but not as good as 213, as you state above. RG-6 can
be used, but it is a compromise.

He asked what was best.

3266. Best Mobile scanner antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmi@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <6mrjav$j2f$1@ndnws01.ne.highway1.com>
Source record: 155522

I'm looking for opinions on what the best/most reliable mobile scanner antenna is? (Excluding the Radio Shack mag mount.) I'm looking for a highly reliable NMO mount antenna. Thanks in advance.

Jimmi
jimmi@mediaone.net

James Martin
jimmi@mediaone.net - Work
jimmi@thecia.net - Personal

Mobile 785 - Metro Networks

3267. Re: Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mrji2$4er@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155523

I'm sure it is important. If you did cut it, the unit would probably stop
working. Resistors are very important in circuits.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

RjMIERRN wrote in message
<1998062419065400.PAA12463@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>I, have a Pro 90.
>I opened the case the other day and saw a
>rather large resistor sticking up. It jsut begs to
>be cut off.
>
>My question is what is it for/ what does it do?
>
>Would it hurt to cut it then.
>
>rj

3268. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35914FDF.895@parg.org>
Source record: 155524

K4CC wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
> >If the coax has been exposed to sunlight for more that 3 or 4 years
> >then you very likely are getting to much like loss.
>
> The man said he had just purchased the antenna and coax. Unless Radio Shack
> stored it outside in the sunlight, that's a pretty stupid suggestion.

It is not uncommon for RS coax to sit on the hook in display next to a
window a year or two.

Notice I started saying "IF the coax has been.....". Regardless,
once he installs it, that clock will start ticking unless he
puts it in conduit.

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> just doesn't know when to quit:
> >They will impose an impedence mis-match (75 Ohms VS 50 Ohms) &
> >you will lose signal because of that mismatch.
>
> Oh really, Einstein? Just how much signal would you lose??

You claim to haved passed an extra class amateur test, you should
know yourself!

3269. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35915050.13BA@parg.org>
Source record: 155525

Mike Derryberry wrote:
> John...Get a life...Telling someone not to follow the advise of others that
> suggest RG6 satellite cable is a crock off crap. I have been using RG6 for
> many years with NO problems. The increase in signal strength more than
> compensates for the impedence mismatch.

OK, what tests have you conducted to prove this & what equipment did you
use?

3270. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mrk4t$6hh@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155526

Yes, I know. I stupidly said that for some, well, stupid reason. Handsets
operate 45 MHz above the tower frq.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Bill Funk wrote in message ...
>In article <6mqr8c$7kn@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>,
>jtonsa@worldnet.att.net says...
>> Phil:
>>
>> The reason you can only hear one side of the conversation "in some areas"
is
>> because you are only listening to the actual phone handset. You are able
to
>> pick it up because it is close to you, and the range of those things is
>> about 2 miles.
>>
>> If you want to hear both sides of a conversation, tune in to a cellular
>> tower. This setup is exactly like cordless phones - the handset carries
only
>> your side of the conversation, and the base handles both sides. The
handset
>> receives the other side on one frequency, and transmits your side in the
>> 47-49 MHz, but the base re-transmits it on the lower frequency, 43-47MHz,
so
>> you can "hear yourself".
>>
>> However, cellular systems don't always have a "set" of frequencies
assigned
>> specifically for handsets and towers, so you can't tell just by frequency
>> whether or not you're listening to a tower or a handset.
>>
>> Hope this helps.
>> --
>> 73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
>
>When did they change the system?
>There have always been seperate bands for the towers and
>the phones.
>
>--

>Bill Funk
>
> "All I ask is an opportunity to prove that money
>doesn't buy happiness."

3271. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mrka5$70i@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155527

I explained that it DOES receive on one frequency and transmits on the same
or another. You must not have read the entire thing well enough. But, TIgEas
LAiR and K4CC explained it better than I did.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

D Stark wrote in message <6mr7ji$2c5c$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>...
>
>Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote in message
><6mr3sj$89o@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>>A repeater transmits ONE signal to MANY receivers, also known as a
>>semi-duplex transceiver (you can only receive when you're not
>transmitting,
>>but it uses two frequencies, an input and an output). Amateur radio
>>repeaters are examples of this. You don't hear a full-duplex
>conversation.
>>You only hear one side at a time, and when the other is transmitting,
>he
>>cannot receive audio (unless he has a dual-mode transceiver,
>explained
>>below).
>>
>>You are thinking of a full-duplex (also known as dual-mode)
>transceiver (you
>>can TX and rx at the same time on two frequencies). Cellular and
>cordless
>>phones are perfect examples (very much alike). You don't call a
>cordless
>>phone's base a repeater, nor would you call a cell tower a repeater.
>It
>>doesn't repeat - it only transmits!
>>
>>There is a difference. But, if you want to get technical and name the
>person
>>calling the cell phone user part of the cellular system, the cell
>tower
>>still cannot be called a repeater, but it can be called a relay
>transmitter,
>>since it relays the calling conversation to the cell phone.
>>--
>>73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ

>
>Huh? There is nothing in the technical definition of "repeater" that
>has anything to do with how many RECEIVERS are able to pick up its
>transmissions. A repeater relays the signal from its input frequency
>onto its output frequency. That's all, and that's exactly what
>cellular repeaters do, along with a lot of other fancy stuff that has
>nothing to do with the RF repeater function.
>
>73 de Dave, NF2G
>
>
>

3272. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35915500.51E6@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155528

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > Yup, I got em if you want to call em "bombs". 3 MB they were - come on that's
> > a baby fart, not a bomb. Also - The email DID TRAVERSE TELEPORT.COM.
> >
> > Interesting indeed....
>
> The REAL funny thing about it...is.... I have a full time,
> 24/7/365 connection to the Internet at 10-Mbps, the
> equivalent of about 6 or 7 T-1 lines. So guess how long
> it took to receive that paltry 3-MB and to send it back
> to the sysadmins of the source?
>
> Heh heh heh.... The forger could send me a gigabyte
> of streaming video and it wouldn't be even a ripple on
> my pond. But it sure would tie up his modem for a while.
>
> <giggling & licking chops>
Same here. I had the wrong address in my headers so I didn't get the
email, but if I did AND if my mail server didn't automatically reject it
(which it would have) It would take maybe 2 seconds to download it from
my mail server, with MASSIVE net traffic at the time.

I hope the idiot sends me another one, God will I have fun. First, I'll
initiate my own mailbomb program which emails the recipient (read
victim) the obcene phrase of your choice indefinitely until it's
stopped. It's so slick, you can plop it on any computer at your local
library, and there it sits in the background, popping out messages
undetected. I could make a whole day or two of driving from library to
library to library. There are about a hundred of them here in Southern
California. Multiply that by each internet station they have (most have
at least 6) and you have enough traffic to kill ANY server, guaranteed.
I figure it like this:

Each copy of the program will pop out about 5 emails a second on a good
fast t-1 connection

There are an average of 6 to 20 net stations in each library.

There are about one hundred libraries I can get to in Southern
California.

That would mean anywhere from 259,200,000 to 8,928,000,000 messages sent
to Bozo a day, assuming the machines are left on at night. And it would

take several days or even weeks to find and shut them all down. What's more, the program is named in such a manner that seeing it in a task list would not raise any undue alarm, and it installs itself in such a manner that it runs everytime Windows runs.

Then I'll go on to more....malicious things.

I know what you are thinking: Why mention this? I don't know, maybe the moron will think twice before starting something he'll regret. One can always hope.... that he won't....ha ha... HA HA... MUWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAAAAAAA!!!!!!

-Phil

3273. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mrkf4$7ln@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155529

He he, never mind. That was pretty stupid.

Another neat thing: If I take my full name (Ryan Thomas Faricy) it comes out to be "Icy as hot farm yarn."

Or, if you take "Ross Perot" and stick a U and a D in there somewhere, you can come up with "Stupid Poser".

--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <1998062415523300.LAA21953@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> whines:
>
>>First, I don't know why you keep attacking me (or just sending me rude
>>messages), but:
>
>I'm not attacking you, Ryan. I'm just pointing out inaccuracies in your
posts
>that you are trying to pass off as fact.
>
>>Look at the headers!
>>From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
>>From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
>>Notice - both are san.rr.com
>
>Okay. Let's apply that logic. My address is k4cc@aol.com. There are about
six
>million other people who have an e-mail address @aol.com. So, using your
logic,
>I am the author of all e-mail messages originating from AOL. That was
pretty
>weak, little buddy.
>
>>and as D.P. Roberts pointed out, "Bill Cheek" and "Dong Tong Wah" come out
to
>>be "Tennelec scanners were really kewl" when the letters are rearranged.
>
>If I rearrange the letters in "Ryan Faricy" and "James Tonsager" it comes
out
>to be "any fairy songster jam cage". Which proves that YOU are actually
Dong

>Tong Wah!!
>
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3274. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mrl1l$9t7@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155530

Communication is a right.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <1998062416451200.MAA01199@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> mumbles:
>
>>USENET is not a right, so free speech laws aren't applied here.
>
>You're partly correct. USENET is not a right. FREE SPEECH is. True, the
authors
>of the 1st Amendment didn't forsee USENET as a potential soap box, any more
>than they did cell phones. Are you suggesting that freedom of speech is
>suspended when I'm talking on my cell phone?
>
>Regards,
>
>
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3275. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062419395200.PAA16144@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155531

>From: supton@paranet.com (stuart upton)

[nonsense deleted]

Mr. Upton, you've done nothing but post flames and cause trouble. I will forward this latest bit of doggerel to your ISP. Thanks for making it possible for me to get rid of you.

3276. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35915A7D.3351@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155532

stuart upton wrote:
<yeah right, like I'm gonna repost that lame crap>

That was beyond stupid. How many hours did you stay up writing that lame piece of crap? Heres a rhyme for you:

If you devoted half that much energy towards learning about scanners
you would be the scanning equivalent of Einstein.

Doesn't rhyme worth a crap but it sure is true. Go tell your boyfriend Mackey the same thing, would ya? Thanks.

-Phil

3277. LIVE NOAA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6mrm7m$4i0$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 155533

Hi!! I now have three new live noaa weather radio broadcasts on the net via real audio please goto my web page click on the real audio page and radio page and enjoy!! http://home1.gte.net/mitbr/mitbr.htm

3278. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35915FC4.7F89@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 155534

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>
> BTW: USENET is not a right, so free speech laws aren't applied here. It is only a privilege.

Noooooo, free speech laws still apply here. The privelege of using USENET is not constitutional guaranteed, but the right to say what you want to whom you want, is.

A question to ponder: Is suing someone for libel unconstitutional infringement on their right to speak freely? Oprah's jury said it was. Interesting, no?

-Phil

3279. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9faf$d9c37340$351b37a6@default>
Source record: 155535

The best test of all, everyday use. I set 2 identical scanners side by side same antennas just different coax. RG6 out performed RG58 by a mile but was comperable to RG213. The advantage to RG6 is size much easier to work with and cheaper last time I checked.

If a person has the money and smaller coax is not required Belden 9913 is by far the best, but not everyone can afford it.

John, like it or not, you are not the god of scanners. We are all here trying to help eachother and most help is from experience. Just because YOU don't like something is no reason to tell people it doesn't work. It's too bad you can't respect other people's opinions instead of ragging on everybody, it now becomes very clear why you are so disliked in this newsgroup.

As I stated before ... Get a life or shut-up and climb back into your little hole!!!

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article <35915050.13BA@parg.org>...
> Mike Derryberry wrote:
> > John...Get a life...Telling someone not to follow the advise of others that
> > suggest RG6 satellite cable is a crock off crap. I have been using RG6 for
> > many years with NO problems. The increase in signal strength more than
> > compensates for the impedence mismatch.
>
> OK, what tests have you conducted to prove this & what equipment did you
> use?
>

3280. Pros/Cons: Optotrakker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: NE7X <NE7X@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <35915956.72A6@amsat.org>
Source record: 155536

Optoelectronics is now selling the "OptoTrakker" with ScanStar
software which support Icom CI-V (& AOR) receivers for tracking
Motorola Type I & II trunked systems.

Does anyone have any experence utilizing this product?
If so, would you share your Pros/Cons?

NE7X...

3281. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062420545000.QAA28565@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155537

>> Or, to put it like a cop from the FCC once said it to a class:
>>
>> IF THE EMERGENCY JUSTIFIES IT, ALL BETS ARE OFF.

Don't bet on it. A couple of years ago a ham and his friend were mountain hiking out west somewhere (sorry, I don't recall exactly where) when the friend lost his footing, fell and was seriously injured. The ham tried repeatedly to raise someone on ham frequencies but could not. Finally, in desperation, he used his heavily modified handheld to call the local Sheriff's Office on their frequency. Despite the fact that his friend would have died had he not gotten help quickly, the ham was arrested, his amateur license suspended and his radio confiscated. As I recall, the criminal charges were eventually dropped, but I don't know whether he ever got his license back, and the last I heard the Sheriff was refusing to return the radio since it could be used to transmit on public safety frequencies.

JW

3282. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark G. Taylor" <N3TEL@intrepid.net>
Message-ID: <35916FB0.E1A4CA61@intrepid.net>
Source record: 155538

so what? his friend lived, right? what is more important, having that radio, or having the person's life saved???
so he lost a radio...big deal...if it was me who was hurt, i'd buy you 2 new ones...
He was allowed legally to use any means at his disposal to get help. I do not expect the local Barney Fife to know this, and would expect to be arrested. But I also would expect to eventually be exonerated. What jury or judge would fault him? A decent lawyer could get his radio back, as there is no law against owning a modified ham rig. the use of it is another matter, but they can't take it just because you MIGHT use it.

--
Visit:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Haven/3248/
for my PGP public key.
Or:
http://www.pgp.com/
To learn more about PGP.

3283. scanner sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: duke56@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26278-3591669D-17@newsd-154.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155539

bc-2500 xlt sold, many thank's for all the inquiries.

"You shoot a great game of pool fat man" "So do you fast Eddie,so do you"

3284. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Qiek1.219$av5.121733@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 155540

Thanks for the info. I heard about cell back in the early 80's when I was into SWLing not scanning so I missed out on its origins. Things have changed I see. My main point was however that cell monitoring is not really that exciting in my mind. Occasionally there are some funny things coming across but I find them few and far between. Thanks.

TIgEas LAiR wrote in message <3590ACF5.16908300@pacbell.net>...
> Hi Steve,
> "way Back" before cellular monitoring was illegal, numerous
> ways to track cell calls were available. The easiest and most expen
> sive were software packages (like trunktracker) that worked for cell
> fones. Some of these were $6000.00 or more. The "poor mans"
> cell track hack was to get any older motorola cell fone, (brick,
> bag fone) and solder one simple wire on them to make them go into
> test mode. A person would tune in an interesting conversation on
> a scanner (hand held for mobile use) , check a list that shows freq/
> vs channels and then entered #11XXX into the motorola fone to
> hear the same conversation. Then you entered #40 into the fone
> to see the control channel codes..... when the fone was told to
> change channels, a hex code is displayed on the phones display.
> You simply entered the hex code into a scientific calculator and
> obtained the dec. numerical value of the new channel. Then enter
> the new channel as before. With a little practice, one could track
> conversations with-out missing a word. A lil later, some programs
> came along that made things easier, like "FOVC" and "Cellular
> Manager v2.0, etc. (these required a portable computer for mobile
> use) , they got rid of the need for the freq/channel list and
> calculator. Of course. "now days" cellular monitoring is illegal and
> the methods and programs are no longer used.....Using a "good"
> outside ant. 9913 coax and inline preamp, gave one the ability
> to track calls for quite long distances. I hope this "historical"
> perspective has been of some help.
>
> a_alpha1 - TIgEas LAiR

3285. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062421501300.RAA05657@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155541

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>blows another snot bubble:

>It is not uncommon for RS coax to sit on the hook in display next to a
>window a year or two.

Yeah. In a box. Or if it happened to be out of the box, coiled up so that only a few inches .. maybe a foot .. might be exposed to indirect sun through a window. Hardly the same as an outdoor installation. Actually it would be uncommon if the store is owned by Radio Shack, because their floor planning calls for coax to be at the back of the store. Usually any windows are in the front.

>You claim to haved passed an extra class amateur test, <<

I have never "claimed" anything of the kind. The particulars of my amateur license are a matter of public record, so it wouldn't matter what I "claimed". Where do you see me "claiming" anything about passing an extra class amateur test? Crayon fumes getting to you?

> you should know yourself! <<

I do know myself. I've known myself since I was a little kid.
I also know that in a typical scanner application, the kind of impedance mismatch to which you referred would make little if any discernible difference in reception.
Geez, I think I liked it better when you were spending your time forging posts.
Regards,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3286. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062421501600.RAA05661@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155542

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> acts like a man:

>Yes, I know. I stupidly said that for some, well, stupid reason.

You've gotta hand it to the kid. He's man enough to admit when he's made a mistake.
Too bad one of his elders who lives across the river from Clark College isn't nearly man enough to do the same.

Regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3287. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062421501700.RAA01569@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155543

In article <35915500.51E6@mail.hac.com>, Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
writes:

>Then I'll go on to more....malicious things.

Phil,
You're evil. I love it. Keep it up.

Best regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3288. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062421501400.RAA01564@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155544

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>continues to search hopelessly for a clue:

>OK, what tests have you conducted to prove this & what equipment did you
>use?

He just told you -- he's used RG-6 for many years with no problems. That's the test. The equipment? His ears, his eyes, his brain. You know, three things of which you only posess two.

As always,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3289. PRO-43 SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <359177F4.630E@flash.net>
Source record: 155545

TNX ALL BUT PRO-43 IS SOLD...JOHN

3290. WTB: PRO2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: RAFman <ka2raf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9fb3$6aecad20$LocalHost@rafman-s-pooter>
Source record: 155546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Got screwed out of a PRO2045 that I ordered directly from Radio Shack.
Anyone have one they would like to sell? Are there any mods for this radio?
Please e-mail me direct, thank you:

ka2raf@hotmail.com

3291. FS: 1967 ARRL License Manual - collector memorabilia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: RAFman <ka2raf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9fb6$c86ea2c0$LocalHost@rafman-s-pooter>
Source record: 155547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,triangle.radio

I have an ARRL "Radio Amateur's License Manual" No.57-1 dated March 31, 1967 in Good condition. Small cut (3/8") in first 2 pages otherwise complete & no missing pages. Been stored in dry room, no must & not yellowed. See the old ads like Trigger Electronics, Johnson, Mosley, Ratheon, Swan, Vibroplex, Hammerland, Instructograph, Eico, Amperex, Harrison Radio, Drake, Millen, SBE, Hallicrafters, & more

Best offer by 6-27 to ka2raf@hotmail.com

3292. FS: Bearcat Radio Scanner $75 or BO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim George <trg@fastlane.net>
Message-ID: <F01285588B87D767.3C08D5D9F844F138.D9596273AA5866E1@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 155548
Cross-posted to: dfw.forsale,rec.radio.scanner

Uniden Bearcat 800XLT 40 channel desktop scanning radio in original box.
Circa 1990 but hardly ever used. Receives 800 MHz and aircraft
frequencies. Best offer.

3293. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6ms2kp$p5r$0@207.18.224.114>
Source record: 155549

On 24 Jun 1998 20:54:50 GMT, jtward01@aol.com (JTWard01) wrote:

>frequency. Despite the fact that his friend would have died had he not gotten
>help quickly, the ham was arrested, his amateur license suspended and his radio
>confiscated. As I recall, the criminal charges were eventually dropped, but I

First off, the friend, although injured, was not a life or death
situation.

Secondly, he was never arrested.

Thirdly, his license was not suspended.

Fourthly, his radio was not confiscated. He chose to forfeit it,
instead of the SO pursuing charges.

Fifthly, there never were criminal charges, so they were not dropped.

The day after the incident, he was asked to come into a meeting
at the SO, and the FCC was present at that meeting. During
that meeting, he was asked to forfiet his radio, instead of the SO
pursuing charges.

3294. BASE SCANNER FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: MIKE <piper@dhost.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9fdd$a4cc8ea0$6587b0cf@default>
Source record: 155550

I HAVE A REALISTIC PRO-2020 , 20 CHANNEL BASE SCANNER FOR SALE

--2-SPEED SCAN , SEARCH , DIRECT ENTRY KEYPAD , CHANNEL LOCKOUT

-- HAS L.E.D. DISPLAY(AS OPPOSED TO LIQUID CRYSTAL)

--HAS MOST FREQUENCIES INCLUDING AIR BAND , DOES NOT HAVE 800MHZ BAND

-- I AM SELLING IT FOR $55 AMERICAN OR $75 CANADIAN PLUS SHIPPING.

-- IT IS IN PERFECT WORKING ORDER AND IN GREAT SHAPE AND HAS NEVER BEEN
OPENED UP OR MODIFIED .

------------ANYONE WHO IS INTERESTED PLEASE CONTACT ME AT PIPER@DHOST.COM

THANKS , MIKE

3295. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6ms3bb$p5r$2@207.18.224.114>
Source record: 155551

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 19:13:17 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>> John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> just doesn't know when to quit:
>> >They will impose an impedence mis-match (75 Ohms VS 50 Ohms) &
>> >you will lose signal because of that mismatch.
>>
>> Oh really, Einstein? Just how much signal would you lose??
>
>You claim to haved passed an extra class amateur test, you should
>know yourself!

No, now John, you made a claim, yet you refuse to offer facts to
support it? Why is that?

In the same thread, you asked others to support and substantiate their
claims that you were incorrect, yet you refuse to do the same?

Scary.

3296. Help Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Donald Walker <outlaw67@bbc.net>
Message-ID: <35919B66.5697@bbc.net>
Source record: 155552

I have a bearcat350A scanner
i cant get in the weather freqs
i have it hooked up to a r.s. base scanner antenna
thats suppose to get in the frqs i want
the antenna is 20-25' in the air
my freinds that live 6 blocks away can get them in on their antennas
that came with their scanners
i get them in my pickup when im 6-8 mile out on my magnet mount
but not at home
is their a way to increase my receiving power
any help would be greatly appreciated
contact here or at outlaw67_11@yahoo.com

thank you
brian walker

3297. IC-Q7A Scan Speed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth <aplus@austin360.com>
Message-ID: <35918fa6.0@news3.ibm.net>
Source record: 155553

Icom's web site does not include this info. Does anyone know this information on their new wide band dual bander handheld? Thanks in advance, Kenneth.

3298. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6ms35k$p5r$1@207.18.224.114>
Source record: 155554

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 19:08:53 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>
>> WHAT?! Satellite cable (6) almost works better than 213. There is little
>> line loss at all. I've never had any problems with it.
>
>Yea, "almost", but not as good as 213, as you state above. RG-6 can
>be used, but it is a compromise.
>
>He asked what was best.

Here we go again John! Why do you lie so much?

I can't see where he asked for what was best, if he did, and
that is what you based your answer on, then you lied when
telling him 213, as there are MANY other methods and cables,
such as hardline, which would be better.

If he did not ask what was best, then you lied when you stated that
he did!

Please, try to use some honesty in your posting!

3299. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6ms3l7$p5r$3@207.18.224.114>
Source record: 155555

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 12:58:53 -0700, Phil Smalley
<psmalley@mail.hac.com> wrote:

>stuart upton wrote:

Stuart Upton is the same name, as the forged
posts back in FIDO, that voted for John for
Moderator of the CB radio echo.

One of the many reasons John left Fido.

3300. Re: Pro-46 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <35912707.7761@blarg.net>
Source record: 155556
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>No problem - I apologize if I offended you somehow. Hey, people make
>mistakes.

You didn't offend me. It is preferable to get a little flaming
for a boo-boo as opposed to accidentally delivering the incorrect product
and having a dissatisfied customer.

>>BTW: I love the Pro-64. The best $150 I've ever spent. I also bought an
>>HTX-202 (great ham xcvr!) for the same, so that's another $150
>>well-spent.
>>Radio Shack service is very bad, but most products are pretty good.

I love it too. It's relatively fast, triple conversion is great,
it's compact, etc, etc. Best $100 I ever spent.
I had a hard time finding it at that price too, had to have the
manager at the store drive up to another store and pick one up. Service
may be bad from HQ, but service is pretty good when dealing with that at
the store. It also helps to know the manager personally. ;)

>>If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

They generally don't take enough drugs. :)

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

3301. Steps and Cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan <ryanak@flash.net>
Message-ID: <35919647.2F98E8E2@flash.net>
Source record: 155557

I have a couple of questions, is there a place where I can find the frequencies used for cordless phones, and especially a place that lists them by the developer (e.g. Panasonic). I need to find out the MGZ for my cordless phone.

Also, if a scanner has 50khz steps, and a frequency is at say 901.0501mgz will my scanner pick it up?

Thanks!

--
|cemann

email: mailto:ryanak@flash.net

Those people who tell you not to take chances, they are all missing out on what life's about, you only live once so take hold of the chance, don't end up like others the same song and dance. ---James Hetfield

3302. Re: FS: Pro-64 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3591A316.5D4C5B25@netwit.com>
Source record: 155558
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Are you sure that's a Pro-64 Jack? I'm pretty sure the Pro-64 is
that hand-held with 400 channels and PC interface. Not 100
channels. You sure you got the model number right?

3303. FS PRO-2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6ms8cp$14u@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155559

FOR SALE - Radio Shack PRO 2021
Has the usual frequency coverage including the air band. Fair condition.
Asking $95.

3304. Pro-60 and SC 150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Delgadillo <avenger-es@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <3591ACA5.707C@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 155560

I have a Radio Shack Pro-60 and a Uniden SC-150 scanner that have coverage in the 800 Mhz band.

The SC-150 can pick up cell phones, but is blocked from 866-895, I wish to find a away to have that limitation changed.

The Pro-60 cannot pick up anything in that area either.

Thanks.

3305. Modify Pro-60 and SC-150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Delgadillo <avenger-es@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <3591AD5C.6C85@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 155561

I have a Radio Shack Pro-60 and a Uniden SC-150 scanner that have coverage in the 800 Mhz band.

The SC-150 can pick up cell phones, but is blocked from 866-895, I wish to find a away to have that limitation changed.

The Pro-60 cannot pick up anything in that area either.

Thanks.

3306. More attempted Rogue Cancels in rec.radio.scanner originating from Chemeketa Community College

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6msdd8$6g4@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Hi All,

It looks like someone from Chemeketa Community College in Salem,
Oregon is currently attempting to Cancel more posts of Bill Cheek!

However, this person doesn't seem to realize that this Open NNTP
Server that they're currently using has an Inbound newsfeed only!
All of the Cancels that were issued on this Open NNTP Server will
NOT be propagated to the rest of Usenet.

These are the headers of 2 of 13 cancels which I just came across:

Path: moon.pepperdine.edu!usenet
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <35940e4f.154407291@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35940e4f.154407291@news2.cts.com>
Date: Wed, 24 Jun 1998 19:23:04 -0700
Organization: Pepperdine University
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <3591B488.1AAA@san.rr.com>
References: <35940e4f.154407291@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ccc-14-81.chemek.cc.or.us
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path: moon.pepperdine.edu!usenet
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <358ffd12.84442611@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <358ffd12.84442611@news2.cts.com>
Date: Wed, 24 Jun 1998 19:23:41 -0700
Organization: Pepperdine University
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <3591B4AD.172B@san.rr.com>
References: <358ffd12.84442611@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ccc-14-81.chemek.cc.or.us
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (Win16; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

3307. Re: YO!! cock sMackey & ass CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard <Howard*V@Bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3591C2BB.4ED0CFAA@Bigfoot.com>
Source record: 155563

Fuuuuunnnnny!!! I would rather read this crap rather than Bill & Mackey
b.s.
I know, I'm only contributing - sorry, just couldn't resist!

Howard

"Lighten up Francis" - Stripes

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:

> Don't post this sort of crap (or Oris might call you Pig Vomit). You are
> just getting it started again.
> --
> 73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
> RySoft Corporation
> http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/
>
> If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?
>
> BOB inanely uttered in message <6mqtdckkl1@winter.news.erols.com>...
>
> >MAY YOU BOTH BE VIOLATED BY PIGMEN IN THE WOODS!!!!!!

3308. Re: Best scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <?bkshoop@county.tippecanoe.in.us>
Message-ID: <6mqu91$6nu$1@news.wintek.com>
Source record: 155564

You might want to look into the BC895XLT. You can pick them up on the
internet for under $300.00

Rich Wells page has a ton of info to help you out....Take a look....
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIO.HTM

Rich Wells wrote in message <358F9FE3.4E25E211@ralvm.rtp.com>...
>ti2dll@iname.com wrote:
>> I'm thinking about getting a scanner, except that I have no idea on what
>> scanner to buy. I was looking into the Uniden Bearcat XLT9000, but many
>> people have already told me that the scanner was not worth the $495
price,
>> since it does not support trunking systems. I've been told to look into
the
>> Trunktracker series... except that I don't know where to start...
>
>Not sure where you're getting your prices from. I bought my BC9000XLT
>almost three years ago for about $350.
>
>As for the new TrunkTrackers, the base model BC895XLT is a great radio
>and highly recommended.
>
>For a good Uniden dealer, try CEI at http://www.usascan.com/
>
>> Does anyone have recommendations on what scanner is the best value and
has
>> the most features?
>
>Depends on how much money you have to spend and what capabilities
>you want. You can get just about everything these days but you'll
>have to pay for it. And do you want a base model? Or would you
>be interested in a portable/handheld unit?
>
>For more questions, see my Picking A Scanner/Receiver page :
>
> http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/PICKRECV.HTM
>
>> Can someone explain how the memory system works on these
>> scanners and what all the questions about the scanner's banks
>> on this forum are about?
>
>Not exactly sure what you're referring to. A bank is composed
>of channels, each of which are used to store a frequency that
>you wish to monitor. Each scanner/receivers has so many channels
>which are usually broken up into multiple banks allowing you

>the ability to logically group your listening interests. For
>more details see these pages :
>
> http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/STARTING.HTM
> http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/GLOSSARY.HTM
>
>Good luck and happy reading!
>
>Strong signals,
>Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
>New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
>Scanning USA columnist

3309. Re: Pro-64 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr0l5$qke@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155565
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

DO NOT BUY THIS "DEAL"

First, Radio Shack's got it for $100. Great scanner, PC link, cool stuff.

Secondly, there IS NO KEYPAD TRICK for the Pro-64. I own one - I've looked
around just because I want to prove this scanner scammer wrong.

Just looking out for my fellow hams!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Jack T.Dragon wrote in message <359033A0.359F@blarg.net>...
>Recieves on:
> 29- 54mhz FM
> 66- 88mhz FM (after keypad trick)
>108-137mhz AM
>137-174mhz FM
>406-512mhz FM
>806-956mhz FM (unblocked)
>
> Has 100 memories in 10 banks. Runs off of 4 AA batteries.
>Included are the AC adaptor / charger, and instruction manual.
> The restoration trick involves soldering SMT components,
>I've allready done that for you. Plus, you can undo the hardware
>mod and then do the keypad mod. "Oops, sorry about resetting it
>like that officer, but as you can see, this can't do cell..." :)
>
> It works just fine and has no problems.
>
> Asking $140, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
>to negotiation.
>
> I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't
>use this at all anymore. I need the money more than I need the
>hobby.
>
>
>Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
>end of tdragon in my address to respond,
>tdragonn@blarg.net

3310. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35970572.2718120@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>> >hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
>> >dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
>> >hospital!
>>
>> Holy Mackeyral! ANOTHER suede-o-name for the Big Mackkk. Hey, instead of
>> all this subterfuge in a vain attempt to get SOMEONE to pay attention to you,
>> why not just post under your REAL name? Be the REAL MACKOY!

I wrote the second paragraph. The first paragraph is the forgery. I swore up and down that I wouldn't get involved, and I got suckered in. It was too much to resist, and I am soundly thrashing myself and considering doing the sackcloth and ashes bit.

3311. LIVE NOAA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6mr1hinse1@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 155567

Hi!! I now have three new live noaa weather radio broadcasts on the net via real audio please goto my web page click on the real audio page and radio page and enjoy!! http://home1.gte.net/mitbr/mitbr.htm

3312. Re: Icom 7000 Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doh! <not@dontry.com>
Message-ID: <35910FA0.CD5E0B5D@dontry.com>
Source record: 155568

I think about eighty dollars.

Turner Blanchard wrote:

> Can anyone tell me what an Icom R7000 25MHz - 1.3GB Unblocked is worth??
> This unit also has the working remote control for it.
>
> Turner
> muc38@ix.netcom.com

3313. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr351$5qd@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155569

Thanks, I just remembered that there *are* two sets of frequencies...

--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <19980624132030000.JAA13009@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>I believe how this works (just guessing)
>
>You guessed wrong, but thanks for playing.
>There are specific frequencies used by handsets and others used by cell
sites
>(bases).
>
>
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3314. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr47g$9ed@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155570

First, I don't know why you keep attacking me (or just sending me rude messages), but:

Look at the headers!

From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>

Notice - both are san.rr.com, and as D.P. Roberts pointed out, "Bill Cheek" and "Dong Tong Wah" come out to be "Tennelec scanners were really kewl" when the letters are rearranged.

Another clue is that dongwah@san.rr.com doesn't exist, but bcheek@san.rr.com does exist!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <19980623165029000.MAA12016@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
> "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>I wish Dong Tong Wah to be Bill Cheek, but he already is!
>
>No doubt you'll be providing proof of this in your next post?

3315. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr3sj$89o@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155571

A repeater transmits ONE signal to MANY receivers, also known as a
semi-duplex transceiver (you can only receive when you're not transmitting,
but it uses two frequencies, an input and an output). Amateur radio
repeaters are examples of this. You don't hear a full-duplex conversation.
You only hear one side at a time, and when the other is transmitting, he
cannot receive audio (unless he has a dual-mode transceiver, explained
below).

You are thinking of a full-duplex (also known as dual-mode) transceiver (you
can TX and rx at the same time on two frequencies). Cellular and cordless
phones are perfect examples (very much alike). You don't call a cordless
phone's base a repeater, nor would you call a cell tower a repeater. It
doesn't repeat - it only transmits!

There is a difference. But, if you want to get technical and name the person
calling the cell phone user part of the cellular system, the cell tower
still cannot be called a repeater, but it can be called a relay transmitter,
since it relays the calling conversation to the cell phone.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <19980624132030000.JAA09001@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>a cellular tower isn't a repeater.
>
>Okay, slick, then how do you explain the fact that you can hear both sides
of a
>conversation when the "transmitter" (cell phone) is on a different
frequency
>than the one you're receiving it on?
>
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3316. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr4j0$aui@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155572

Because none of the other posts here have anything to do with scanners.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <1998062316503200.MAA12019@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
> "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>It isn't for test messages, though... It is for messages regarding
scanners.
>>There are already test newsgroups on most servers.
>
>So, how come none of your posts here have anything to do with scanners?
>
>
>
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3317. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr4gg$ajj@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155573

I didn't say that. I just said it is a level of importance. I only said I HAVE a POCSAG program -- I never used it, but I know many people who do, and this program works for them. I don't care about pager messages.

ALSO, and very importantly, pager transmissions ARE NOT ENCRYPTED, they are only encoded digitally. It is still illegal to listen, let alone decode, pager transmissions, but since you feel you must attack me because you've nothing else to do, you will be happy to know I don't listen nor decode these transmissions.

Encoding and encrypting are two VERY different things. If you feel you must attack me on this too, LOOK IT UP! Try the dictionary.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <1998062316503300.MAA16035@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>I have another POCSAG program that works through the sound card perfectly.
>
>In another post you claim, and I quote,
>"Being an amateur radio operator IS a level of importance."
>
>So, you feel that level of importance is high enough to warrant committing
>illegal acts, such as decoding encrypted communications. Sounds pretty
>hypocritical to me, little man.
>
>
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3318. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr4i5$aok@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155574

No, as I said hams have the OBLIGATION, you know, they have to?
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <1998062316503100.MAA16032@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>Being an amateur radio operator IS a level of importance. If there's an
>>emergency situation, we hams have the obligation and motivation to help
>>those in need.
>
>Some do, some don't. An amateur license doesn't make its holder any better
than
>anybody else, as you seem to think. Your post may well be one of the
silliest
>I've read in a long time.
>
>
>
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3319. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr4l5$b51@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155575

I don't even like Mackey - I never really did. I like neither Bill nor John,
and I prefer not to be associated with such people who immaturely bring
their private fights into the public eye.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Salvatore Gambino wrote in message <6mq0iu$m9f@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:
>
>> "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>>
>>>It isn't for test messages, though... It is for messages regarding
scanners.
>>>There are already test newsgroups on most servers.
>>
>>So, how come none of your posts here have anything to do with scanners?
>>
>
>Dave,
>
>I think Ryan is a Net Cop Cadet under the tutelage of r.r.s. Head Net
>Cop John Mackey. He is rumored to have the same electronic expertise
>as John and considers Mackey the major influence in his young life.
>
>/Sal.
>
>
>

3320. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr4ma$b8h@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155576

$12.70 -- that was all.

By the way, I am only 15.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <1998062413025300.JAA11815@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>sgambino@worldnet.att.net (Salvatore Gambino) writes:
>
>>I think Ryan is a Net Cop Cadet under the tutelage of r.r.s. Head Net
>>Cop John Mackey. He is rumored to have the same electronic expertise
>>as John and considers Mackey the major influence in his young life.
>
>Sal,
>So, Ryan and John must have purchased their ham licenses at the same place.
>Wonder how much they had to pay?
>Regards,
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3321. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr4v3$c60@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155577

K4CC wrote in message <19980624130254400.JAA07779@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>takes a crayon out of his mouth and
>scrawls:

I like that /\

>The man said he had just purchased the antenna and coax. Unless Radio Shack
>stored it outside in the sunlight, that's a pretty stupid suggestion.

He he, yes it certainly is! <g>

>I'm not sure how telling someone to be very tired is going to help
anything,
>but it is just as senseless as everything else you post.

There's a lot of senseless posts made by him. I admit, I used to participate in flames (I tried to stop it all, I wanted to), but I realized that it just made things worse... (similar to scratching a poison ivy rash).

73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ

3322. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr51l$cel@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155578

I use RG-58 for my 2m antenna, but what would you recommend best? RG-8 or 213? My antenna is only up 20', so I don't have to worry about having much more than 35 or 40 feet of feeder.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <19980624120708O0.IAA08708@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>"Ken Dewalt" <satvsys@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>1. Is 50' of RG-58 coax too long (too much line loss) ?
>
>Ken,
>IMHO *any* amount of RG-58 is too long. It is just too lossy, especially the
>higher you go in frequency.
>I have several of those RS antennas hanging here and there in the attic, and
>all perform quite well using RG-8.
>I would hazard a guess that if you replace that RG-58, you'll eliminate the
>problem.
>Good luck and best regards,
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3323. Re: L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <JQgTJAByWRk1EwdA@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 155579

In article <19980624030509000.XAA04813@ladder01.news.aol.com>, JRShattuck <jrshattuck@aol.com> writes

>mine has the following numbers...UBV249Z, M2-31854G1-HG.

"UB249" is the Unidens "number" for the PRO-26.

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

3324. Re: L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <TQAVJ8AyTRk1Ew$Q@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 155580

In article <359042EE.7F85507A@hotmail.com>, pro26 <scarfo@hotmail.com>
writes

Hi,

>L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part # i need the little battery door on the back
>of the scanner that hold 2 of the 4 batteries can sommeone help? thanks!

Tandy Electronics National Parts
Tel: 800-442-2425
817-870-5600

Give them a call and they should be able to help with the Battery Case.

The PRO-26 Service Manual does not list (or didn't) a RS part number for
the case but details the "manufacturers Part Number" as GCAS231854Z
athough M2-31854G1-HG is stamped in the case.

You may also require the three battery contacts/springs which are also
listed as seperate items and might not be supplied with a case - have to
check.

Hope that helps.
Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10
Tel: +44 (0)1274 732146	Commtel 216/510, Icom IC-R10/PCR1000
Fax: +44 (0)1274 722627 \ Realistic PRO-26/2042, Standard AX400B
Clough@javiation.co.uk \ UBC-3000XLT/9000XLT, Welz WS-1000/WS-2000
http://www.javiation.co.uk \ Yupiteru MVT-7100/MVT-7200/MVT-9000
\--/

3325. Re: Pro-64 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr5gm$e5e@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155581
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Also, it covers MORE then 406-512. It covers 380-512.

Another glitch in his story!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Jack T.Dragon wrote in message <359033A0.359F@blarg.net>...
>Recieves on:
> 29- 54mhz FM
> 66- 88mhz FM (after keypad trick)
>108-137mhz AM
>137-174mhz FM
>406-512mhz FM
>806-956mhz FM (unblocked)
>
> Has 100 memories in 10 banks. Runs off of 4 AA batteries.
>Included are the AC adaptor / charger, and instruction manual.
> The restoration trick involves soldering SMT components,
>I've allready done that for you. Plus, you can undo the hardware
>mod and then do the keypad mod. "Oops, sorry about resetting it
>like that officer, but as you can see, this can't do cell..." :)
>
> It works just fine and has no problems.
>
> Asking $140, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
>to negotiation.
>
> I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't
>use this at all anymore. I need the money more than I need the
>hobby.
>
>
>Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
>end of tdragon in my address to respond,
>tdragonn@blarg.net

3326. Positioning of RS antenna # 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-pzcwTDwgKI79@localhost>
Source record: 155582
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Having just exchanged my RS discone for their All-band Monitor # 20-014, I can discern a distinct advantage of the All-band Monitor. Plus, that thing is one large dude! <g>

Anyway, I had a question concerning the positioning of the #20-014 and wanted to understand how best to place it for maximum reception. Being that the upright portion has three different lengths but runs in a parallel manner, I thought it best to place these at right angles to the direction I wanted to receive rather than inline. Is this correct thinking?

Thanks!

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

3327. Scanner listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-QbVmnxndSIJd@localhost>
Source record: 155583
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John H.,

I am contemplating purchasing the last two PRO-508s for use in tapping the discriminators hoping to be able to utilize the trunking software and these two scanners to accomplish the same thing as using a BC235XLT or BC895XLT. However, I now realize that these PRO-508 models can receive no signal above 512MHz and had second thoughts.

Would you know if I can do what I envision with these PRO-508s or not?

Thanks!

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

3328. FS bearcat 760xlt (no cell)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: J <ishyguy@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f83$5750fee0$a0e5a1d1@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 155584

--
ishyguy@idirect.com

for sale: one bearcat 760xlt scanner (has not been modified for cell,
don't know if it can. i can hear cell calls in the 814mhz area) comes with
built in ctcss tone board, and external signal meter and circuit. It also
comes with a g-wiz erricson and GE trunking tone eliminator board (removes
buzzes,hums and tones from trunking systems and allows your scanner to
immediately resume scanning).

this unit is in mint condition, only 6 months old with original box,
manuals and accessories.

it is a 100 channel base/mobile (5 banks of 20ch)
includes 800Mhz. will sell for 285.00 includes shipping

thanks to all interested

3329. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mr5ev$duo@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155585

BTW: USENET is not a right, so free speech laws aren't applied here. It is
only a privilege.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <19980614121630000.IAA18716@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> "James Tonsager and Ryan Faricy" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>> Because this is a public place and this crap needs to be stopped.
>
>Hmmph. And all this time I thought the 1st Amendment protected free speech.
>Silly me.
>
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3330. Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: abc <abc@def.com>
Message-ID: <35911E86.B77C8BC5@nospam.com>
Source record: 155586
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi there,

I have a Radio Shack mobile magnetic mount celluar-lookalike antenna in my car. I just got a PRO-91 handheld scanner as a gift. Assuming I obtain the correct adapter, would I be able to get decent (that is, better than the stock handheld antenna) reception using the mobile CB antenna for the scanner? I'm assuming that the CB antenna is tuned for transmitting on the CB frequencies, but will this really matter when I am attempting to scan other frequency ranges?

Thanks in advance for your help.

3331. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6mr7ji$2c5c$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 155587

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote in message
<6mr3sj$89o@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>A repeater transmits ONE signal to MANY receivers, also known as a
>semi-duplex transceiver (you can only receive when you're not
transmitting,
>but it uses two frequencies, an input and an output). Amateur radio
>repeaters are examples of this. You don't hear a full-duplex
conversation.
>You only hear one side at a time, and when the other is transmitting,
he
>cannot receive audio (unless he has a dual-mode transceiver,
explained
>below).
>
>You are thinking of a full-duplex (also known as dual-mode)
transceiver (you
>can TX and rx at the same time on two frequencies). Cellular and
cordless
>phones are perfect examples (very much alike). You don't call a
cordless
>phone's base a repeater, nor would you call a cell tower a repeater.
It
>doesn't repeat - it only transmits!
>
>There is a difference. But, if you want to get technical and name the
person
>calling the cell phone user part of the cellular system, the cell
tower
>still cannot be called a repeater, but it can be called a relay
transmitter,
>since it relays the calling conversation to the cell phone.
>--
>73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ

Huh? There is nothing in the technical definition of "repeater" that has anything to do with how many RECEIVERS are able to pick up its transmissions. A repeater relays the signal from its input frequency onto its output frequency. That's all, and that's exactly what cellular repeaters do, along with a lot of other fancy stuff that has nothing to do with the RF repeater function.

73 de Dave, NF2G

3332. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062415523200.LAA25956@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155588

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> made this up:

>A repeater transmits ONE signal to MANY receivers

No, a repeater receives a signal on one frequency and retransmits it, either on the same frequency or another one.
It makes no difference how many receivers pick up that retransmission, and it makes no difference whether the repeater is simplex or duplex.
If a repeater transmits ONE signal and there are NO receivers listening, is it no longer a repeater?
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3333. Re: mackey troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062415523300.LAA21953@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155589

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> whines:

>First, I don't know why you keep attacking me (or just sending me rude
>messages), but:

I'm not attacking you, Ryan. I'm just pointing out inaccuracies in your posts that you are trying to pass off as fact.

>Look at the headers!
>From: "Dong Tong Wah" <dongwah@san.rr.com>
>From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
>Notice - both are san.rr.com

Okay. Let's apply that logic. My address is k4cc@aol.com. There are about six million other people who have an e-mail address @aol.com. So, using your logic, I am the author of all e-mail messages originating from AOL. That was pretty weak, little buddy.

>and as D.P. Roberts pointed out, "Bill Cheek" and "Dong Tong Wah" come out to
>be "Tennelec scanners were really kewl" when the letters are rearranged.

If I rearrange the letters in "Ryan Faricy" and "James Tonsager" it comes out to be "any fairy songster jam cage". Which proves that YOU are actually Dong Tong Wah!!

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3334. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062415523500.LAA25963@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155590

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> backpedals:

>Thanks, I just remembered that there *are* two sets of frequencies..

You're quite welcome.
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3335. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062415523600.LAA21959@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155591

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> can't remember what he wrote:

>> only said I HAVE a POCSAG program -- I never used it, <<

I know, and you tried marijuana but didn't inhale. What you said is, and I quote again:

>I have another POCSAG program that works through the sound card perfectly.

Unless you specify that you are basing this analysis on heresay from people you know, the clear implication is that you are offering an opinion which is based on your own experience.

>since you feel you must attack me because you've
>nothing else to do, you will be happy to know I don't listen nor decode
>these transmissions.

I'm not attacking you, son, I'm just trying to help you keep from making yourself look like a fool, because I'm sure you're not. I certainly WISH I had nothing else to do! Sadly, that is not the case.

Hang in there,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3336. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: TIgEas LAiR <kaliber@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <35911B35.EB26D685@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155592

You are basing the term "repeater" upon a limited scope of
definition related (apparently) to your amateur experience.
There are "many" other kinds of repeaters in the radio
communications field , esp the commercial venue, of which
cellfone towers are part. Some other examples of "repeaters"
are found in trunking radio systems (always called repeaters by
the industry) which are functionally very similar to cell and there
"Mobitex" and "ardis" repeaters located all over most large
metro areas and these are also called repeaters by the radio
community. Repeaters , don't even have to be RF, there are many
brands of "optical" laser communications repeaters that replaced
microwave in numerous national locations. (point to point). Many of
the microwave "point to point" links are known as "repeaters".
So you see, the term "repeaters" within the limited "amateur"
definition really does not encompass the full range of repeaters
that exist in communications in general. In the full realm of
communications, esp. commercial, (which cellular exists within)
repeater would be perfectly appropriate nomenclature for a tower
structure and its related electronics within a cellular
communications system. It even gets more complicated than this
because , there are a lot of areas where cellular towers
communicate among themselves on microwave links. (the input
freq. for cell towers is industry standard 45 mhz below the out
put freq., sound familiar?) Cell towers broad cast (at least) 2 kinds
of signals at all times, they broadcast the voice frequency , duplex
back and forth to one user......AND they broadcast a control channel
signal from "one or more" users to as many as 16 (16 to 25 depd)
additional users within the same cell site. This has an uncanny
similarity (digital wise) to the "amateur" digipeater which I think
"we" all still call repeaters..... and so it goes......

Thanks All
a_alpha1 - TIgEas LAiR
K4NLZ
dusty

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:

> A repeater transmits ONE signal to MANY receivers, also known as a
> semi-duplex transceiver (you can only receive when you're not transmitting,
> but it uses two frequencies, an input and an output). Amateur radio
> repeaters are examples of this. You don't hear a full-duplex conversation.
> You only hear one side at a time, and when the other is transmitting, he
> cannot receive audio (unless he has a dual-mode transceiver, explained
> below).

>
> You are thinking of a full-duplex (also known as dual-mode) transceiver (you
> can TX and rx at the same time on two frequencies). Cellular and cordless
> phones are perfect examples (very much alike). You don't call a cordless
> phone's base a repeater, nor would you call a cell tower a repeater. It
> doesn't repeat - it only transmits!
>
> There is a difference. But, if you want to get technical and name the person
> calling the cell phone user part of the cellular system, the cell tower
> still cannot be called a repeater, but it can be called a relay transmitter,
> since it relays the calling conversation to the cell phone.
> --
> 73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
> RySoft Corporation
> http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/
>
> If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?
>
> K4CC wrote in message <19980624132030000.JAA09001@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
> >"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
> >
> >>a cellular tower isn't a repeater.
> >
> >Okay, slick, then how do you explain the fact that you can hear both sides
> of a
> >conversation when the "transmitter" (cell phone) is on a different
> frequency
> >than the one you're receiving it on?
> >
> >Dave, K4CC
> >USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
> >Nashville TN

3337. How do I start out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: di0xis@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062416363200.MAA00287@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155593

I'm interested in taking up the hobby of scanning. What equipment do I need specifically, and will I be able to pick up telephone conversations as well as police
reports and such with it? What type of scanner do I need?

3338. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062416451200.MAA01199@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155594

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> mumbles:

>USENET is not a right, so free speech laws aren't applied here.

You're partly correct. USENET is not a right. FREE SPEECH is. True, the authors of the 1st Amendment didn't forsee USENET as a potential soap box, any more than they did cell phones. Are you suggesting that freedom of speech is suspended when I'm talking on my cell phone?

Regards,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3339. Re: RE BILL CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062416450800.MAA01194@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155595

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> attempts to clarify:

>No, as I said hams have the OBLIGATION, you know, they have to?

Ryan,
While it's true that one of the "purposes" of the Amateur Radio Service, as defined by the FCC, is "to provide emergency and public service communications", there is nothing that requires hams to do anything other than to adhere to the Part 97 regulations. SOME hams are motivated to assist in emergencies, some aren't. Some provide communications for bicycle races, some don't. Same difference.
73,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3340. Re: Positioning of RS antenna # 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980624164513 00.MAA27032@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155596

chuckiex@ibm.net (Charles McCallister) writes:

>positioning of the #20-014 and
>wanted to understand how best to place it for maximum reception

Charles,
I'm not familiar with that particular catalog number, but the same principles will apply regardless. At VHF/UHF frequencies (above 30 MHz) virtually all signals are vertically polarized, so the driven element or elements should be vertical, or, upright. Unless this antenna is, by design, directional (beam, log periodic, quad, etc.) the positioning of vertical elements in relation to one another will not create any significant directivity in this case (I'm ASSuming that we're talking about a scanner antenna). At worst, you might have a null on weak signals if the antenna is mounted on a metal mast or tower, but that effect, too, is usually negligible.
Was that what you were asking???
Regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3341. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980624164508800.MAA27028@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155597

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> pouts:

>Because none of the other posts here have anything to do with scanners.

Now, now. Let's not get catty. Some do, some don't.
73,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3342. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980624164511100.MAA27031@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155598

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> queries:

>> what would you recommend best? RG-8 or 213?

Ryan,
Either ... or, as I hollered in the earlier post ANYTHING but RG-58, even on a short run.
I have 50 feet of RG-8 between my 2 meter rig and a beam on the roof and am able to hit a packet node 50 miles away with 45 watts. If budget is a factor, RG-8 is a very workable compromise. If money is no object, go with 213.
Of course, if your setup is doing what you want it to do, stay with it!
73,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3343. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062416451000.MAA01197@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155599

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> fires back:

>$12.70 -- that was all.

Okay, I'll admit to taking a cheap shot in suggesting you bought your license. You're referring to the testing fees, and I apologize for implying anything else.

>By the way, I am only 15.

When I was 15 I was working fulltime to earn enough money to go to college and going to high school fulltime so I'd have decent enough grades to be accepted. I'm not sure what your age has to do with anything, unless you're using it to excuse mistakes, or to deflect posts that question your mistakes. You're obviously a bright kid with a promising future, but if you're going to survive out in the world, you'll need to have a pretty thick skin. You've been known to take a shot or two at other folks, and that's fine, but you need to be prepared to take it as well as you dish it out.
Keep hangin' in there,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3344. Ar8000DX (Unblocked, full cellular)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron <ar8000@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <35912544.0@oracle.zianet.com>
Source record: 155600
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale: Ar8000DX (Unblocked, full cellular). Comes with leather Case, telescopic antennae, 6 piece antennae pack, charger, And Ar8000 Computer control Unit(Cu8232), and shortwave plug-in module. $525. Please contact ar8000@swbell.net

3345. Some interesting Frequencies for Kentucky...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Simpson <wizard15@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6mrab7$a4f$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 155601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey

I know Police/Fire/EMS is interesting for some people but isn't for me...
Does someone in or near Kentucky have some kinds of interesting frequencies
that are real attention grabbers...

Thanks!

Gary

3346. For Sale: Alinco DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KreageR <kreager@usa.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9f8f$7363c520$3a9d2581@asdf.dsf>
Source record: 155602

I am selling my 3 month old Alinco DJ-X10 wideband receiver.

e-mail me for details and/or if interested in purchasing this scanner.

Best Offer
--
kreager@usa.net

3347. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: skypilot@starlink.com
Message-ID: <MPG.ffada5efe32bc8098986c@news.starlink.com>
Source record: 155603

In article <6mqr8c$7kn@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>,
jtonsa@worldnet.att.net says...
> Phil:
>
> The reason you can only hear one side of the conversation "in some areas" is
> because you are only listening to the actual phone handset. You are able to
> pick it up because it is close to you, and the range of those things is
> about 2 miles.
>
> If you want to hear both sides of a conversation, tune in to a cellular
> tower. This setup is exactly like cordless phones - the handset carries only
> your side of the conversation, and the base handles both sides. The handset
> receives the other side on one frequency, and transmits your side in the
> 47-49 MHz, but the base re-transmits it on the lower frequency, 43-47MHz, so
> you can "hear yourself".
>
> However, cellular systems don't always have a "set" of frequencies assigned
> specifically for handsets and towers, so you can't tell just by frequency
> whether or not you're listening to a tower or a handset.
>
> Hope this helps.
> --
> 73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ

When did they change the system?
There have always been seperate bands for the towers and
the phones.

--
Bill Funk

"All I ask is an opportunity to prove that money
doesn't buy happiness."

3348. Re: Liberty Net Chat this weekend!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomb@lsid.hp.com
Message-ID: <6mrbsg$3h7@hpcvsnz.cv.hp.com>
Source record: 155604
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

LLoyd, N0VFP (n0vfp@qsl.net) wrote:
: Join the Liberty Net Members for a online chat!

: at http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/chat.htm

: Enjoy the Chatroom!

o====================o

Lloyd, could you adjust your transmitter? It seems to be splattering all
over the r.r.a technical groups...

3349. Re: Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dhoy@SpaMOUTnmstc.ca
Message-ID: <35913482.182888329@nr1.ottawa.istar.net>
Source record: 155605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 11:43:03 -0400, abc <abc@def.com> wrote:

>Hi there,
>
>I have a Radio Shack mobile magnetic mount celluar-lookalike antenna in
>my car. I just got a PRO-91 handheld scanner as a gift. Assuming I
>obtain the correct adapter, would I be able to get decent (that is,
>better than the stock handheld antenna) reception using the mobile CB
>antenna for the scanner? I'm assuming that the CB antenna is tuned for
>transmitting on the CB frequencies, but will this really matter when I
>am attempting to scan other frequency ranges?

You'll get very good reception on lower frequencies, where CB is, but
not much on the higher ones. I've tried it.

3350. Re: How do I start out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <35913572.1D6E199A@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 155606

Di0xis wrote:
> I'm interested in taking up the hobby of scanning. What equipment do I need
> specifically

All depends on your budget. To get started I would suggest my Picking
A Scanner/Receiver page : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/PICKRECV.HTM
You might also try my Getting Started page :
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/STARTING.HTM

> and will I be able to pick up telephone conversations

You might hear them accidentally(scanners that can directly tune
cellular telephone were banned by federal law in April '94) but to
listen is illegal to either cellular or cordless transmissions.

> as well as police reports and such with it?

Here's what you might hear : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/SERVICES.HTM

> What type of scanner do I need?

Answered above. All depends on how much money you're willing
to spend. Typically, the more you spend, the wider the frequency
coverage of the receiver and the more features you'll get. You
can go from a little over $100 up to $2000 for the most popular
receivers. To save some money, you can try buying used.

Do some research and good luck with your decision!

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

3351. AOR-800 & Optolink F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@bewellnet.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f94$066b1860$18428dd1@internet.usinet.mdocker>
Source record: 155607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Full coverage AOR-8000, Optoelectronics Optolink Interface, Scancat Gold
for windows, manuals, cables, antenna, ect. All in excellent condition.
$495.00 including 2nd day shipping.

Mike Dockery KB0SSH
Denver, CO
303-840-0737

3352. For Sale: Alinco DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KreageR <kreager@usa.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9f93$f589ce60$3a9d2581@asdf.dsf>
Source record: 155608

I am selling my 3 month old Alinco DJ-X10 wideband receiver.

Mint condition, many features.

email me if interested or want more info.

Thanks
--
kreager@usa.net

3353. Suffolk County, Long Island, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith@Knip.com
Message-ID: <6mrctf$hc9$1@news2.i-2000.com>
Source record: 155609

I have just Updated my Suffolk County, Long Island, NY
800 mhz Trunking System WEB PAGE

Check it at:

Http://www.knip.com/tt

or

http://www.i2.i-2000.com/~kk/tt.htm

Enjoy,
Keith

|==>
| Keith@Knip.com == Internet Email Address
| Http://www.knip.com == WWW Page URL Address
| Fknipsch@suffolk.lib.ny.us == My Free Internet Shell Account
| N2NJS@amsat.org == HAM Radio AMSAT EMail
| N2NJS@KC2FD.NY.USA.NA == Ham Radio AX25 Packet Address
|==>

3354. Re: Arizona frequencies ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: medkeff@NOBULKc2i2.comMERCIAL
Message-ID: <35913512.12534133@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 155610

Probably Rob Savoye <rob@welcomehome.org> said this:

>I've been searching around the web for frequencies in Arizona,
>specifically the Central-Eastern part of the state over near
>Springerville & Show Low.

You might try these, if you haven't already:

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

http://www.qsl.net/k7on/azscan/local.htm

http://www.azwest.net/pcsolutions/scanner.htm

The first one especially should come up with some local
frequencies if you do a search by geographic area.

--
Jeff Medkeff | Check out the s.a.a. photos page at
Rockland Observatory | http://shutter.vet.ohio-state.edu/saa.htm
Sierra Vista, AZ |

3355. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <not@home.here>
Message-ID: <35913254.4931@home.here>
Source record: 155611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Annette Hinshaw wrote:
> I doubtless misunsedstand something, which is why the answer to this question
> that said the guy was busted made no sense to me. Or maybe I had sniffed too
> much glue. Or maybe someone else had.
>
> As I understand the question, though, if you hear a "protected call asking for
> help, should you answer it by direction or otherwise.
>
> I believe if you look in the federal regs about most anything, including any
> part of radio,, you will find something that goes after a fashion:
>
> "Nothing in this part shall be construed of relieving the (operator, officer,
> person) of the responsibility of exercising good judgement" and another
> one goes like "Nothing in this part shall be construed to prevent the (whoever)
> from responding to (emergency conditions)."
>
> Or, to put it like a cop from the FCC once said it to a class:
>
> IF THE EMERGENCY JUSTIFIES IT, ALL BETS ARE OFF.
>
Just because you are able to dispatch aid to someone who may or may not
need it does not negate the fact you came about this information while
breaking a federal law. (IE - listening to cordless phones)

SINCE THE ECPL, ALL BETS ARE OFF.

Now please pass me that bag of glue.

3356. Re: Pro-46 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <3590CBE2.10FA@blarg.net>
Source record: 155612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> DO NOT BUY THIS "DEAL"
>
> First, Radio Shack's got it for $100. Great scanner, PC link, cool
> stuff.

My mistake, my appologies. I accidentally typed in "64" when I
meant to type in "46". I made a mistake and didn't catch it until you had
corrected me. I own a pro-64 too (which is not for sale) and this is
where my little boo boo came in. Besides, the 64 had 400 memories, and I
said that mine had 100, so most intelligent people would have caught my
little boo-boo too.
It is a pro-46 for sale, and /not/ a '64.

> Just looking out for my fellow hams!

And it's well appreciated. I might have not caught it if it
wasn't for you. I might have had a really pissed off customer.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

3357. Re: Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doucette <paradiddle7@usa.net>
Message-ID: <898714345.817057@michelob>
Source record: 155613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>Hi there,
>
>I have a Radio Shack mobile magnetic mount celluar-lookalike antenna in
>my car. I just got a PRO-91 handheld scanner as a gift. Assuming I
>obtain the correct adapter, would I be able to get decent (that is,
>better than the stock handheld antenna) reception using the mobile CB
>antenna for the scanner? I'm assuming that the CB antenna is tuned for
>transmitting on the CB frequencies, but will this really matter when I
>am attempting to scan other frequency ranges?
>
>Thanks in advance for your help.

Assuming the antenna is "tuned" to transmit on that band is not a good way
of finding out if the scanner actually performs well on that band....
To put things into perspective, a "good" antenna is matched to the wavelengh
of the frequency, the CB lookalike antenna's are built for cosmetics and not
for performance. You will however find that the higher freqencies will
perform much better then the lower ones that is because the higher you go in
freqency the smaller the wavelength and the smaller the antenna is....
If you want all around good reception use the antenna that was supplied with
it. they are designed to perform reletivily well in a wide freqency
range....

Later,
Jeff

3358. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35947de7.182979898@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155614

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> Pretty good chance you're right CB Guy. The forger probably works for the
> school; it's not likely this clown is enrolled. If it is a student; the
> lad has a tough semester ahead of him.

Just betwixt thee, me, and the doorpost, I think
it's Mackey. Here's why: Use your Telnet client
and log onto: news.ctc.edu at Port 119 and you'll
get the following response:

200 news.ctc.edu Netscape-News/2.01 25500 NNRP ready (posting ok).

Seems this site accepts IHAVE injections, meaning most
anyone can employ it if they know a trifle of what they're
doing. No, that doesn't prove it's Mackey, but the next
little tidbit sure lights up his nose!

Seems someone (Mackey?) also sent an e-mail bomb to
me, "Wirehead" and PJSmalley with 3-MB of attachments.
They used MY e-mail address as the supposed sender,
but take a look at the header:

```
=========================================================
HEADER FROM A FORGED E-MAIL
=========================================================
Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for bcheek
(with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) Tue Jun 23 16:28:48 1998)
X-From_: bcheek@san.rr.com Tue Jun 23 16:27 PDT 1998
Received: from mail1.teleport.com (mail1.teleport.com [192.108.254.26])
by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id QAA14537
for <bcheek@san.rr.com>; Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:27:14 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from [198.104.135.41] ([198.104.135.41]) by mail1.teleport.com
(8.8.7/8.7.3) with SMTP id QAA06623; Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:25:32 -0700 (PDT)
X-Authentication-Warning: mail1.teleport.com: [198.104.135.41] didn't use
HELO protocol
Message-ID: <35903945.436@san.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:24:53 -0700
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
To: bcheek@san.rr.com
CC: wirehead@pacbell.net, pjsmalley@hacgate.com, bcheek@cts.com
Subject: what do you think of this?
References: <358DBEF1.35AF@parg.org> <358dd023.7386579@news2.cts.com>
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="------------13F8312030D5"
Content-Length: 4985389
==========

Well, regardless of the REPLY-TO and FROM lines,
which are easily forged, the sending server was
TELEPORT.COM, the host for PARG.ORG. Guess WHO
plays from PARG.ORG? Heh heh heh heh heh......
Other characteristics of the above kind of match
Smacksie's legit headers, too.

Three guesses and the first two wrong ones don't
count. Now a simple TRACERT shows one server
to be none other than: xyw-r2-adm.clark.edu,
the same source as ctc.edu where the Usenet forgeries
were posted. Well, Clark is located right up there
in good ol' Smacksie country.

Lastly, didja notice how this crap started AFTER
I twitted Smacksie out? It happens every time....

Ummmm....errrr.....heh heh, the bloodhounds are
getting close. They've got the scent, and it's got
Smacksie all over it.

<giggling & salivating profusely>

3359. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6mq0iu$m9f@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155615

k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

> "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>It isn't for test messages, though... It is for messages regarding scanners.
>>There are already test newsgroups on most servers.
>
>So, how come none of your posts here have anything to do with scanners?
>

Dave,

I think Ryan is a Net Cop Cadet under the tutelage of r.r.s. Head Net Cop John Mackey. He is rumored to have the same electronic expertise as John and considers Mackey the major influence in his young life.

/Sal.

3360. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35908A8C.EFD9696@netwit.com>
Source record: 155616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Three guesses and the first two wrong ones don't
> count. Now a simple TRACERT shows one server
> to be none other than: xyw-r2-adm.clark.edu,
> the same source as ctc.edu where the Usenet forgeries
> were posted. Well, Clark is located right up there
> in good ol' Smacksie country.
>
> Lastly, didja notice how this crap started AFTER
> I twitted Smacksie out? It happens every time....

> <giggling & salivating profusely>

I'm drooling a bit myself. At the very least, they'll snap the lid shut on
that clark.edu access.

3361. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35958788.185445174@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155617

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote:

> Yup, I got em if you want to call em "bombs". 3 MB they were - come on that's
> a baby fart, not a bomb. Also - The email DID TRAVERSE TELEPORT.COM.
>
> Interesting indeed....

The REAL funny thing about it...is.... I have a full time,
24/7/365 connection to the Internet at 10-Mbps, the
equivalent of about 6 or 7 T-1 lines. So guess how long
it took to receive that paltry 3-MB and to send it back
to the sysadmins of the source?

Heh heh heh.... The forger could send me a gigabyte
of streaming video and it wouldn't be even a ripple on
my pond. But it sure would tie up his modem for a while.

<giggling & licking chops>

3362. Re: NOAA on UHF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: POLSKI PAN <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <35908BC0.62A2@ameritech.net>
Source record: 155618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jim West wrote:
>
> In Pittsburgh they use land line to connect to two transmitters in the
> area.
>
> On Tue, 16 Jun 1998 18:50:55 GMT, "Kevin Lanning" <kll@ns.esn.net>
> wrote:
>
> >In my area we use a UHF ham repeater connected to a VHF receiver set for
> >NOAA to repeat the hard to hear NOAA signal. Your mystery sounds like some
> >government variation on this setup.
> >--
> >To reply by e-mail, remove the "ns." from my address.
> >kll@ns.esn.net
> >
> >
> >
> >Joseph Carra <jcarra@xmission.com> wrote in article
> ><3586a663.8263081@enews.newsguy.com>...
> >> I'm in Salt Lake City and have recently discovered two NOAA weather
> >> broadcasts on UHF that cover regions north and south of my area.
> >>
> >> Cache Valley - 416.9500
> >> Lake Powell and Page, AZ - 416.3750
> >>
> >> NOAA's website makes no mention of UHF broadcasts. I'm new at this
> >> radio stuff; does anyone have an explanation for this?
> >>
> >> Thanks.
> >>
> >>
CCLEVELAND, OHIO 416.3750

3363. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3596889a.185719199@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155619

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:

> ooOOOooo! Are these points accurate Doc? You're gonna
> have to tell us exactly how you do this. C'mon,.....give it up.
>
> Purty Puuuh-leeeeeeeease?

I can tell you. :-)

Find the injection point of a Usenet post or an
e-mail, and then use a WHOIS client to check
the Internic database. Comes back with most
everything but the home phone number of the sysadmins
of that site.

You can do a WHOIS by a variety of means, but the
easiest is to just download a WHOIS client somewhere.

Works slicker than oiled snakesnot on greased ice. :-)

3364. Re: Pro 26 modded for sale..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scrappy <REMOVEpetesmith@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <6mq4v8ect1@blackice.winternet.com>
Source record: 155620

Funny? I payed $180 for my Pro-26 a couple weeks ago brand new! No scratches either!

--
Area 50 Research Center
Trying damn hard to catch
up to Area 51, and we just
might do it! (If we're lucky)
...Maybe!

http://www.winternet.com/~yomammy

Oh yeah - Janet Reno's a HOT BABE!!!
bumologist (nospam) (bumologist) <@pipeline.com> wrote in message ...
> I have a new(demo)Pro 26 I bought a couple weeks ago,I grabbed up
>another toy and need the money to pay for it..has a minor scratch on
>display window,and a couple on back of the case and batt.door.It works
>fine.I want 200 for it FIRM....Email me if interested....
> Barry
>

3365. Re: F**K YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <359097AB.4769@parg.org>
Source record: 155621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

> No, that doesn't prove it's Mackey,

Thanks for your support Bill!

> Well, regardless of the REPLY-TO and FROM lines,
> which are easily forged, the sending server was
> TELEPORT.COM, the host for PARG.ORG. Guess WHO

Later you say they were coming from "Clark", not
"teleport". Come on, keep your story straight Bill!

> were posted. Well, Clark is located right up there
> in good ol' Smacksie country.
>
> Lastly, didja notice how this crap started AFTER
> I twitted Smacksie out? It happens every time....

Gee, Bill, I asked you for a truce & you refused. Then I
asked you for a "STAY" on all flames & attacks & you refused.
Now you are still on here flaming & carrying on, saying you
"twitted" ME out? Why is it that after the problems die,
you are causing new ones?

Plus, you are trying to blame this recent crop of problems
on ME, with your only evidence being that "Clark" is located
near me!!! (HEHEHEHEHE) Bill, it is NOT near me, hell,
it's in a different city & different state!!!!

I guess, according to your logic of close proximity is solid
evidence, then you are guilty of the "Mr Wah" posts since it
was the same serve as YOU. This would go for the "Billy Thunder"
posts too!

PROOF POSITIVE!!!

Now, let's get on topic!

Has anyone ever experimentated with using/modifying a
Pro-2009 to receive ACSSB 220 Mhz signals? If you have,
tell us about it!

3366. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35909A1A.3C05@parg.org>
Source record: 155622

Ken Dewalt wrote:

> 1. Is 50' of RG-58 coax too long (too much line loss) ?

That's borderline!

If the coax has been exposed to sunlight for more that 3 or 4 years
then you very likely are getting to much like loss.

> 2. Is there a better quality coax scanner users should use ?

Yes! Try using RG-213, it is more low loss than RG-58 & is
NOT affected by sunlight, so it maintains it's specifications.
(RG-214 is essentially the same, but more spendy because it is
double shielded) RG-58, RG-8, 9913, 8214, etc all have the
problem with sunlight. The other option is to put the NEW
coax that is affected by sunlight in conduit, which is a lot of
trouble.

Be very weary to NOT do as some people with minimal knowledge might
suggest: don't use Satellite or Cable TV coax such as RG-59 or RG-6.
They will impose an impedence mis-match (75 Ohms VS 50 Ohms) &
you will lose signal because of that mismatch.

Remember, you get what you pay for!

Good luck, if I can be of any further assistance please feel free to
ask!

3367. FS: Pro-64 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <359033A0.359F@blarg.net>
Source record: 155623
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Recieves on:
29- 54mhz FM
66- 88mhz FM (after keypad trick)
108-137mhz AM
137-174mhz FM
406-512mhz FM
806-956mhz FM (unblocked)

Has 100 memories in 10 banks. Runs off of 4 AA batteries.
Included are the AC adaptor / charger, and instruction manual.
The restoration trick involves soldering SMT components,
I've allready done that for you. Plus, you can undo the hardware
mod and then do the keypad mod. "Oops, sorry about resetting it
like that officer, but as you can see, this can't do cell..." :)

It works just fine and has no problems.

Asking $140, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
to negotiation.

I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't
use this at all anymore. I need the money more than I need the
hobby.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

3368. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3590BC86.81F7D8@swbell.net>
Source record: 155624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The problem with this picture is Mackey is being too cool compared to other times he has been accused of things.

I really do appreciate the reduction of the flaming on here and I would like to think John has some sense not to be doing such a thing.
The only way we will know for sure is after Clark finishes their investigation , the person forgot that Clark will be able to track things back through teleports system as all messages going through have an ID number with their source .
A spammer found that out the hard way and it cost him $1,500.00 for the isp to clean up his mess and he lost his access to the net.
He thought the had gotten away with it but the message ID nailed his butt.

I am lucky so far have not had the pleasure of a mail bomb like you or any of the others.

3369. FS: BC-350a mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <359033C7.4143@blarg.net>
Source record: 155625
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Recieves on:
29- 54mhz FM
108-137mhz AM
137-174mhz FM
406-512mhz FM

Has 50 memories in three banks. "Private" bank holds 20
user selectable freqs, "Police" bank holds 20 user selectable
freqs and has a bunch of pre-set freqs that can be locked out,
"Fire/Emg" bank holds 10 user selectable freqs and has has a
bunch of pre-set freqs that can be locked out, "WX" bank does
all weather freqs, and "Air" scans the entire aero band.
Unit uses a mounting bracket, which was lost by the
previous owner. Not included. No manual, but I can instruct on
how to program it. Runs off of 12v DC, power supply not included.
Basically, just the unit itself. But it'd be a great
little thing to keep out in the car or truck.

It works just fine and has no problems.

Asking $70, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
to negotiation.

I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't
use this at all anymore. I picked it up to put it in my car, but
never got around to doing it. I need the money more than I need
the hobby.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

3370. Northern Nevada casino frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: 'kaptain' <rapture@calweb.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f3f$7ded4660$565dd3cf@rapture.calweb.com>
Source record: 155626

Anyone have frequencies for casinos in South Lake Tahoe, Reno, Sparks, or Carson City? I brought a scanner with me to Tahoe, but I didn't hear much. I recognized some kind of trucker frequency, maybe heard a few others. I didn't take it inside, as Horizon said they wouldn't allow it, and I'm sure the others would be the same. I'd appreciate any info.

3371. Re: NEW WEB ADDRESS FOR ACA DATABASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hutchison <runcible@powerup.com.au>
Message-ID: <01bd9f47$42e15540$08ed8bca@srnmoigo>
Source record: 155627
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc

> THE AUSTRALIAN COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY HAS MOVED TO THIS NEW ADDRESS
> http://www.aca.gov.au/database/radcomm/index.htm

Thanks for the info.
--

____ __ __ __ __ ____
/ __// / / // \/ /__ / __/ DAVID HUTCHISON
/ / / /_/ // /\ //_// /_ Brisbane, Australia
/_/ /_____//_/ /_/ /___/ runcible@powerup.com.au

3372. Re: F@@K YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3590B304.1E69@parg.org>
Source record: 155628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> The problem with this picture is Mackey is being too cool compared to
> other times he has been accused of things.
> I really do appreciate the reduction of the flaming on here and I would
> like to think John has some sense not to be doing such a thing.

Remember, 2 days ago I suggested a 7 day "STAY" on all flaming & similar
activities. I'm sticking to it even if no one else is! (HINT, HINT)

> The only way we will know for sure is after Clark finishes their
> investigation , the person forgot that Clark will be able to track
> things back through teleports system as all messages going through have
> an ID number with their source .

The "Clark" system I have seen you all talking about is in a different
city & different state than me! So, I very much encourage the
"investigation" you speak of!

> I am lucky so far have not had the pleasure of a mail bomb like you or
> any of the others.

I use USA.NET for my mail service. Works great no matter what ISP
I am on! I have NOT had any involvement with mail bombs like
you speak of so perhaps peopel should consider it.

Now, to be on topic, does anybody have any info on modifying a Pro-2009
to receive SSB slow scan TV signals on 20 meters?

3373. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: TIgEas LAiR <kaliber@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3590ACF5.16908300@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155629

Hi Steve,
"way Back" before cellular monitoring was illegal, numerous
ways to track cell calls were available. The easiest and most expen
sive were software packages (like trunktracker) that worked for cell
fones. Some of these were $6000.00 or more. The "poor mans"
cell track hack was to get any older motorola cell fone, (brick,
bag fone) and solder one simple wire on them to make them go into
test mode. A person would tune in an interesting conversation on
a scanner (hand held for mobile use) , check a list that shows freq/
vs channels and then entered #11XXX into the motorola fone to
hear the same conversation. Then you entered #40 into the fone
to see the control channel codes..... when the fone was told to
change channels, a hex code is displayed on the phones display.
You simply entered the hex code into a scientific calculator and
obtained the dec. numerical value of the new channel. Then enter
the new channel as before. With a little practice, one could track
conversations with-out missing a word. A lil later, some programs
came along that made things easier, like "FOVC" and "Cellular
Manager v2.0, etc. (these required a portable computer for mobile
use) , they got rid of the need for the freq/channel list and
calculator. Of course. "now days" cellular monitoring is illegal and
the methods and programs are no longer used.....Using a "good"
outside ant. 9913 coax and inline preamp, gave one the ability
to track calls for quite long distances. I hope this "historical"
perspective has been of some help.

a_alpha1 - TIgEas LAiR

Steven Peck wrote:

> Cbake777 wrote in message
> <1998062323422800.TAA05834@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> >My question (to those of you that have scanners with
> >cell capabilities) is: Does the fact that a scanner can receive cell
> >transmissions justify the cost of these used scanners? What are the
> >interceptions like (broken conversations? One sided?)? Do you have to be
> near a
> >cell site? I figure they are as hard to track as a trunked system...is this
> >correct? Just curious.......
>
> In Canada there are no restrictions and I have a scanner with complete
> coverage. Like others here, I would say they occasionally are interesting
> but they really aren't that great. I rarely listen to them. Following a
> conversation is near impossible as they do jump frequencies often. Usually
> just as it starts to get interesting, the frequency changes, it is

> frustrating. They are harder than trunked systems to track I would say.
> Some conversations are one sided, others are full duplex. If you are in an
> urban area you probably have quite a few repeaters around you. Signal
> strength is usually very good and the transmissions are very clear.

3374. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f4d$18a4e5c0$361937a6@default>
Source record: 155630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Somehow I DO NOT beleive Bill wrote this. My guess ... A Mackey Forgery ...
Mackey ... what a jerk

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<35903557.6111@san.rr.com>...
> Those are bad memories of a time when I was going thru a crisis in my
> life. I was cought in a love triangle between my wife and a man I was
> having
> an affair with. Sort of a personal crisis I had at that time.
>
> I would rather not discuss the incident where I blew up the satellite
> dish with the HV wires.
>
> Your understanding is appreciated.
>
> Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> >
> > hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a
satellite
> > dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
> > hospital!
> >
> > -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

3375. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f4d$6aa77720$361937a6@default>
Source record: 155631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Probably another forgery, what a waste of time. To whoever is doing this:
Stop or you may have the entire newgroup claiming down your throat.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<35903672.16C3@san.rr.com>...
> Jeff Ekblad wrote:
> >
>
> FUCK YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
> thankful
> I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.
>

3376. Re: allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f52$389893e0$361937a6@default>
Source record: 155632

Nothing against Bob Parnass, but I still don't like the idea of a moderated newsgroup.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<35903358.4C76@san.rr.com>...
> The moderator should be Bob Parnass. From my time as the dictator of the
> Fidonet echo I know he would be better than I was.
>

3377. Re: Cease Fire! Bill & John. Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f52$7c224fc0$361937a6@default>
Source record: 155633

Another Forgery ... what a waste of time ... Someone needs to get a life
before Bill boots him in the backside real hard.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<359035E2.7959@san.rr.com>...
> Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> I apologize for everything I wrote. I admit that I have been wrong
> to act the way I have. I will try going to the VA here is San Diego
> & see if there is anything they can do to help. I just seem to looose
> control of myself sometimes.
>
> My Navy sailer Boyfriend from here at Miramar just broke up with me so
> I am having a rough time.
>

3378. Re: Cease Fire! Bill & John. Please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f52$b9e0e420$361937a6@default>
Source record: 155634

HEY FORGER ... GET A FRIGGIN' LIFE AND GET OUT OF THIS NEWGROUP !!!

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<3590362B.560B@san.rr.com>...
> Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> I admit I have caused the problems and I will try to
> change.
>
> I just came out of the closet & am not happy to admit I am gay
> so it is stirring up a lot inside me.
>

3379. Re: Bill & John See Ya!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f52$dc26b1e0$361937a6@default>
Source record: 155635

See ya, won't miss ya

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Jeff Ekblad <jsekblad@win.bright.net> wrote in article
<35903F22.ABEAC4F6@win.bright.net>...
> Just unsubscribed from the group, it was fun while it lasted.
>
> Thanks guys for making this a real pleasure!
>
> Bill,
> I will not ever purchase anything you've written, or send you any gear
> to mod.
> PS your web page sucks!
>
> John, Don't know who the fuck you are and don't care.
> Have fun with your little game.
>
> Jeff
>
>
>
>

3380. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f53$d9e7f000$361937a6@default>
Source record: 155636

First and foremost, get rid of the RG58 coax and try a good quality RG6. It will make all the difference in the world. RG58 is good only for use for mobile antennas in a car.

Good luck
Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Ken Dewalt <satvsys@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
<6mpiir$nlh@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>
> I have a question concerning my base station antenna and coax.
> I purchased the Radio Shack multi-band antenna for my base
> station. My receipt shows this antenna as a VHI/U base antenna
> with a cost of $19.99. A description would be 4 approx 15" radials
> coming out about the mid point and another vertical rod about 15" also.
> It is mouted approx 25' beside my chimney.
> At same time I got 50' of RG-58 coax with PL-259 connectors already
> factory
> attached. Hooked it up and was pleased until just the other night.
> I had the PRO-90 scanning the same frequencies and noticed it was
> pulling in many more channels than the base scanner (PRO-2004).
> At first I thought new scanner vs. old scanner sensitivity, but I hooked
> a 4' piece of wire to rear connector of base scanner and it picked up
> just as good as PRO-90. I monitored one particlar channel in the
> 155 MHz area and the PRO-2004 was not picking up any signal attached
> to outside antenna, yet did OK with just a 4' piece of wire attached to
it.
> The coax was about 10' to long into the room so I have coiled it up if
that
> makes any difference.
> Questions I have are as follows
>
> 1. Is 50' of RG-58 coax too long (too much line loss) ?
>
> 2. Is there a better quality coax scanner users should use ?
>
> 3. Opoinions on quality of this $19.99 RS antenna (and any suggestions on
> another)
>
> Many thanks for any who respond to this. I do enjoy the newsgroup and
have

> learned
> quite a lot from prior post.
>
>
> --
> ----------------------Ken---------------------
> Satellite TV Systems
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/satvsys
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----------------------Ken---------------------
> Satellite TV Systems
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/satvsys
>

3381. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bd9f55$cbc0f920$361937a6@default>
Source record: 155637

John...Get a life...Telling someone not to follow the advise of others that
suggest RG6 satellite cable is a crock off crap. I have been using RG6 for
many years with NO problems. The increase in signal strength more than
compensates for the impedence mismatch.

Try it sometime ... You might learn something

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article
<35909A1A.3C05@parg.org>...
> Ken Dewalt wrote:
>
> > 1. Is 50' of RG-58 coax too long (too much line loss) ?
>
> That's borderline!
>
> If the coax has been exposed to sunlight for more that 3 or 4 years
> then you very likely are getting to much like loss.
>
>
> > 2. Is there a better quality coax scanner users should use ?
>
> Yes! Try using RG-213, it is more low loss than RG-58 & is
> NOT affected by sunlight, so it maintains it's specifications.
> (RG-214 is essentially the same, but more spendy because it is
> double shielded) RG-58, RG-8, 9913, 8214, etc all have the
> problem with sunlight. The other option is to put the NEW
> coax that is affected by sunlight in conduit, which is a lot of
> trouble.
>
>
> Be very weary to NOT do as some people with minimal knowledge might
> suggest: don't use Satellite or Cable TV coax such as RG-59 or RG-6.
> They will impose an impedence mis-match (75 Ohms VS 50 Ohms) &
> you will lose signal because of that mismatch.
>
> Remember, you get what you pay for!
>
> Good luck, if I can be of any further assistance please feel free to
> ask!

>
>

3382. Acars test and demonstration message generator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ggrey@nojunk.ihug.co.nz
Message-ID: <3590cb03.14670839@newsource.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 155638

Hi,

I have put together an Acars test and demonstration message generator.

The generator simulates a transmitted Acars message for testing out a computer, sound card and decoder software.

The generator demonstrates abbreviated message expansion and moving aircraft positioning from decoder data base and display systems.

This has removed some uncertainty that I had with my radio receiver and poor reception of the Acars signals.

With my 486DX50 the generator gives excellent performance with a text decoder and acceptable performance with a graphics decoder system.

The data:
- Outputs Acars message format
- Aircraft id
- Flight number
- Departure message
- Simple message
- Moving position coordinates

The hardware:
- Single chip 20 pin microcontroller chip
-10 resistors
- 3 terminal regulator
- crystal
- 7 capacitors
- LED
- PCB 41 x 38 mm
- 3.5 mm phone connector

The output:
- 70mV pp
- 2400 baud MSK
- phase contiuous
- 64 step sine synthesis.

Runs off a small 9 volt battery and plugs into a sound card mic input.

Regards
Gordon Grey
(to reply remove nojunk. from my address)

3383. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro2042@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980624103648801.GAA01177@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155639

>From: Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz

>Well the forger got what he was after. Countless Bill Cheek threads
>for weeks to come. You Cheek/Mackey followers are worse than a bunch
>of gossiping old ladies.

We can always count on Dr. Drip to add fuel to the fire.

3384. Liberty Net Chat this weekend!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "LLoyd, N0VFP" <n0vfp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <6mqmrr$13445@odie.mcleod.net>
Source record: 155640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Join the Liberty Net Members for a online chat!

at http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/chat.htm

Enjoy the Chatroom!
--
Support LPFM (Low Power FM Broadcasting) in the manner |
petitioned by RM-9208 or RM-9242, now on FCC calendar! |
Your choice of either
```

3385. Liberty Net Chat this weekend!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "LLoyd, N0VFP" <n0vfp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <6mqmtl$13446@odie.mcleod.net>
Source record: 155641
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Join the Liberty Net Members for a online chat!

at http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/chat.htm

Enjoy the Chatroom!
--
Support LPFM (Low Power FM Broadcasting) in the manner |
petitioned by RM-9208 or RM-9242, now on FCC calendar! |
Your choice of either
```

3386. 3950 Chatroom!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "LLoyd, N0VFP" <n0vfp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <6mqmvn$13447@odie.mcleod.net>
Source record: 155642
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Join the Liberty Net Members for a online chat!

at http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/chat.htm

Enjoy the Chatroom!
--
Support LPFM (Low Power FM Broadcasting) in the manner |
petitioned by RM-9208 or RM-9242, now on FCC calendar! |
Your choice of either
```

3387. Join the Jammers for a Chat! Tell them what you think!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "LLoyd, N0VFP" <n0vfp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <6mqn4u$13449@odie.mcleod.net>
Source record: 155643
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Join the Liberty Net Members for a online chat!

at http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/chat.htm

Enjoy the Chatroom!
--
Support LPFM (Low Power FM Broadcasting) in the manner |
petitioned by RM-9208 or RM-9242, now on FCC calendar! |
Your choice of either
```

3388. 3.950 Chatroom! Be there!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "LLoyd, N0VFP" <n0vfp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <6mqn24$13448@odie.mcleod.net>
Source record: 155644
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Join the Liberty Net Members for a online chat!

at http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/chat.htm

Enjoy the Chatroom!
--
Support LPFM (Low Power FM Broadcasting) in the manner |
petitioned by RM-9208 or RM-9242, now on FCC calendar! |
Your choice of either
```

3389. Join W1WCR for a Chat! Tell Him that He's Doing a fine JOB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "LLoyd, N0VFP" <n0vfp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <6mqnm8$134412@odie.mcleod.net>
Source record: 155645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Join Vic, Kevin (WB4AIO) for a online chat this weekend! Tell them that
they are doing a good job on the Liberty Net!

at http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/chat.htm

Enjoy the Chatroom!
--
Support LPFM (Low Power FM Broadcasting) in the manner |
petitioned by RM-9208 or RM-9242, now on FCC calendar! |
Your choice of either

3390. I ased to be able to look for nothing but scanners on this page!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: n0oba@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980624115801 00.HAA04227@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155646

Never saw so much rag chewing before in my life. If there is a sour grape among us that's great to find out but all the other garbage that have nothing to do with scanners is rediculous! Scott

3391. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980624120708O0.IAA08708@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155647

"Ken Dewalt" <satvsys@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>1. Is 50' of RG-58 coax too long (too much line loss) ?

Ken,
IMHO *any* amount of RG-58 is too long. It is just too lossy, especially the higher you go in frequency.
I have several of those RS antennas hanging here and there in the attic, and all perform quite well using RG-8.
I would hazard a guess that if you replace that RG-58, you'll eliminate the problem.
Good luck and best regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3392. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980624120709000.IAA04688@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155648

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) writes:

>guess where it originates?
>
>TELEPORT.COM the host for PARG.ORG
>
>Interesting, eh?

Bill,
It's also interesting that since the forged posts started, there hasn't been a single message from Mackey (at least, not using that name).
Best regards,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3393. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mqr8c$7kn@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155649

Phil:

The reason you can only hear one side of the conversation "in some areas" is because you are only listening to the actual phone handset. You are able to pick it up because it is close to you, and the range of those things is about 2 miles.

If you want to hear both sides of a conversation, tune in to a cellular tower. This setup is exactly like cordless phones - the handset carries only your side of the conversation, and the base handles both sides. The handset receives the other side on one frequency, and transmits your side in the 47-49 MHz, but the base re-transmits it on the lower frequency, 43-47MHz, so you can "hear yourself".

However, cellular systems don't always have a "set" of frequencies assigned specifically for handsets and towers, so you can't tell just by frequency whether or not you're listening to a tower or a handset.

Hope this helps.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Phil Smalley wrote in message <359041F3.3A67@mail.hac.com>...
> What are the
>> interceptions like (broken conversations? One sided?)? Do you have to be near a
>> cell site? I figure they are as hard to track as a trunked system...is this
>> correct? Just curious.....
>There are so many frequencies it is almot impossible to follow a
>conversation completely, especially if the guy is on the move. In some
>areas, you can only hear one side of the conversation. I'm not sure why
>this is, but I do believe it is intentional, to deter scanner users.
>Other tricks that the cell industry has done is using frequency hopping.
>Most of the time, the frequency will only change if the signal
>deteriorates, HOWEVER, I have noticed lately that even strong signals
>are moving around. Again, I think this is intentional to deter
>monitoring.

3394. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mqrj4$8lm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155650

I said:
>However, cellular systems don't always have a "set" of frequencies assigned
>specifically for handsets and towers, so you can't tell just by frequency
>whether or not you're listening to a tower or a handset.

I should make myself more clear: Most systems have the handset operate 45
MHz lower than the towers operating frequency. It just depends on what the
tower's "instructions" to the handset are.

I believe how this works (just guessing) is that there is a national (maybe
international) frequency, usually one or two or more frequencies actually,
and the tower sends instructions in a similar way a pager does -- to a
specific phone in this case, instead of a pager. The tower sends the
frequencies that the handset should operate on in a sound in the form of a
quick half-second buzz.
Again, I am just guessing - this sounds right, but if anyone can correct me,
please do.

--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote in message
<6mqr8c$7kn@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>Phil:
>
>The reason you can only hear one side of the conversation "in some areas"
is
>because you are only listening to the actual phone handset. You are able to
>pick it up because it is close to you, and the range of those things is
>about 2 miles.
>
>If you want to hear both sides of a conversation, tune in to a cellular
>tower. This setup is exactly like cordless phones - the handset carries
only
>your side of the conversation, and the base handles both sides. The handset
>receives the other side on one frequency, and transmits your side in the
>47-49 MHz, but the base re-transmits it on the lower frequency, 43-47MHz,
so
>you can "hear yourself".
>
>However, cellular systems don't always have a "set" of frequencies assigned
>specifically for handsets and towers, so you can't tell just by frequency

>whether or not you're listening to a tower or a handset.
>
>Hope this helps.

3395. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mqrv1$9pq@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155651

I don't mean to criticize, but a cellular tower isn't a repeater.

I operate on 2 meters every day. A repeater is used to extend the range of a radio (in my case, I have an HT). A cellular tower is just a random transceiver setup to "talk" with one or more cellular phones. The input frequency for amateur radio is USUALLY 600KHz higher or lower than the output frequency.

In other words, there is no *other* cellular phone going through the tower on an input frequency, and having that transmission transmitted on an output frequency for all others to hear.

A repeater broadcasts to everyone set to the same output frequency. Cell towers only transmit to one phone.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Steven Peck wrote in message <_W_j1.54$vG4.291325@news20.bellglobal.com>...
>
>Cbake777 wrote in message
><1998062323422800.TAA05834@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>>My question (to those of you that have scanners with
>>cell capabilities) is: Does the fact that a scanner can receive cell
>>transmissions justify the cost of these used scanners? What are the
>>interceptions like (broken conversations? One sided?)? Do you have to be
>near a
>>cell site? I figure they are as hard to track as a trunked system...is
this
>>correct? Just curious.......
>
>In Canada there are no restrictions and I have a scanner with complete
>coverage. Like others here, I would say they occasionally are interesting
>but they really aren't that great. I rarely listen to them. Following a
>conversation is near impossible as they do jump frequencies often. Usually
>just as it starts to get interesting, the frequency changes, it is
>frustrating. They are harder than trunked systems to track I would say.
>Some conversations are one sided, others are full duplex. If you are in an
>urban area you probably have quite a few repeaters around you. Signal
>strength is usually very good and the transmissions are very clear.
>
>
>

3396. Re: I ased to be able to look for nothing but scanners on this page!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mqs8h$apn@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155652

You look like you're into amateur radio, but "ragchewing" is just a
conversation or a contact... Not a "fight".
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

N0oba wrote in message <19980624115801OO.HAA04227@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>Never saw so much rag chewing before in my life. If there is a sour grape
>among us that's great to find out but all the other garbage that have
nothing
>to do with scanners is rediculous! Scott

3397. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mqset$be7@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155653

WHAT?! Satellite cable (6) almost works better than 213. There is little
line loss at all. I've never had any problems with it.

BTW: not all Cable TV coax RG-59.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <35909A1A.3C05@parg.org>...
>Ken Dewalt wrote:
>
>> 1. Is 50' of RG-58 coax too long (too much line loss) ?
>
>That's borderline!
>
>If the coax has been exposed to sunlight for more that 3 or 4 years
>then you very likely are getting to much like loss.
>
>
>> 2. Is there a better quality coax scanner users should use ?
>
>Yes! Try using RG-213, it is more low loss than RG-58 & is
>NOT affected by sunlight, so it maintains it's specifications.
>(RG-214 is essentially the same, but more spendy because it is
>double shielded) RG-58, RG-8, 9913, 8214, etc all have the
>problem with sunlight. The other option is to put the NEW
>coax that is affected by sunlight in conduit, which is a lot of
>trouble.
>
>
>Be very weary to NOT do as some people with minimal knowledge might
>suggest: don't use Satellite or Cable TV coax such as RG-59 or RG-6.
>They will impose an impedence mis-match (75 Ohms VS 50 Ohms) &
>you will lose signal because of that mismatch.
>
>Remember, you get what you pay for!
>
>Good luck, if I can be of any further assistance please feel free to
>ask!
>

3398. Re: Pro-64 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <?bkshoop@county.tippecanoe.in.us>
Message-ID: <6mqte4$67e$1@news.wintek.com>
Source record: 155654
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Isn't this the scanner they have on clearance at RS for $100.00
Jack T.Dragon wrote in message <359033A0.359F@blarg.net>...
>Recieves on:
> 29- 54mhz FM
> 66- 88mhz FM (after keypad trick)
>108-137mhz AM
>137-174mhz FM
>406-512mhz FM
>806-956mhz FM (unblocked)
>
> Has 100 memories in 10 banks. Runs off of 4 AA batteries.
>Included are the AC adaptor / charger, and instruction manual.
> The restoration trick involves soldering SMT components,
>I've allready done that for you. Plus, you can undo the hardware
>mod and then do the keypad mod. "Oops, sorry about resetting it
>like that officer, but as you can see, this can't do cell..." :)
>
> It works just fine and has no problems.
>
> Asking $140, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
>to negotiation.
>
> I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't
>use this at all anymore. I need the money more than I need the
>hobby.
>
>
>Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
>end of tdragon in my address to respond,
>tdragonn@blarg.net

3399. Re: L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: geoff <radiogeoff@theswanns.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <MDEpNKAHYPk1Ew9f@theswanns.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 155655

Have you thought of writing to Radio Shack who make the scanner. It
shouldnt cost much.
In article <359042EE.7F85507A@hotmail.com>, pro26 <scarfo@hotmail.com>
writes
>L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part # i need the little battery door on the back
>of the scanner that hold 2 of the 4 batteries can sommeone help? thanks!

--
geoff

3400. YO!! cock sMackey & ass CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOB <mckillips@altavista.net>
Message-ID: <6mqtdckkl1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 155656

MAY YOU BOTH BE VIOLATED BY PIGMEN IN THE WOODS!!!!!!

3401. Re: YO!! cock sMackey & ass CHEEK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mqtm4$fnf@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155657

Don't post this sort of crap (or Oris might call you Pig Vomit). You are
just getting it started again.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

BOB inanely uttered in message <6mqtdckkl1@winter.news.erols.com>...

>MAY YOU BOTH BE VIOLATED BY PIGMEN IN THE WOODS!!!!!!

3402. Re: I ased to be able to look for nothing but scanners on this page!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062413025300.JAA07778@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155658

n0oba@aol.com (N0oba) writes:

>all the other garbage that have nothing
>to do with scanners is rediculous!

I have no idea what "rediculous" means, but if it means there are posts on this newsgroup that you would prefer not to read, I suggest you not read them.
Regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3403. Re: Tip for Disgusted Participants!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062413025300.JAA11815@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155659

sgambino@worldnet.att.net (Salvatore Gambino) writes:

>I think Ryan is a Net Cop Cadet under the tutelage of r.r.s. Head Net
>Cop John Mackey. He is rumored to have the same electronic expertise
>as John and considers Mackey the major influence in his young life.

Sal,
So, Ryan and John must have purchased their ham licenses at the same place.
Wonder how much they had to pay?
Regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3404. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062413025400.JAA07779@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155660

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>takes a crayon out of his mouth and scrawls:

>If the coax has been exposed to sunlight for more that 3 or 4 years
>then you very likely are getting to much like loss.

The man said he had just purchased the antenna and coax. Unless Radio Shack stored it outside in the sunlight, that's a pretty stupid suggestion.

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> also belchs:

>Be very weary to NOT do as some people with minimal knowledge might
>suggest:

I'm not sure how telling someone to be very tired is going to help anything, but it is just as senseless as everything else you post.

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> just doesn't know when to quit:

>They will impose an impedence mis-match (75 Ohms VS 50 Ohms) &
>you will lose signal because of that mismatch.

Oh really, Einstein? Just how much signal would you lose??

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> is such a friggin' loon he has the gall to utter:

>Good luck, if I can be of any further assistance please feel free to ask!<<

Oh, gee, John, you're so smart and generous! Yes, please tell me how to calculate the input reactance of a single-mesh transformed network? Thanks in advance for your help.

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3405. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062413203000.JAA09001@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155661

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>a cellular tower isn't a repeater.

Okay, slick, then how do you explain the fact that you can hear both sides of a conversation when the "transmitter" (cell phone) is on a different frequency than the one you're receiving it on?

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3406. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062413203000.JAA13009@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155662

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>I believe how this works (just guessing)

You guessed wrong, but thanks for playing.
There are specific frequencies used by handsets and others used by cell sites (bases).

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3407. Re: NOAA on UHF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joshua Carroll <jcarroll@nol.net>
Message-ID: <3590F9EC.68C5538@nol.net>
Source record: 155663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Here in the Houston/Galveston area they use the landline to broadcast NOAA weather radio, unless the weather gets real bad..then they use the UHF frequency, noticable by an intermittent "beep-beep".

3408. Need case for Pro-90/BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron <wyoron@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6mpfjj$1c6$1@suriname.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 155688
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking, with very little luck, for a leather case to fit a Radio Shack Pro-90 or BC235XLT (basically same radio). Radio Shack has discontinued this item and I have been unable to locate one sitting in a stock room throughout 4 states so far. I have tried Uniden but they link me to another vendor for accessories and they do not carry this item. I have tried various combinations of wording through search engines and tried a large number of sites that came up with no result.

If anyone has any ideas or better yet knows where I can dig one up I would appreciate the help.

Ron

3409. L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro26 <scarfo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <359042EE.7F85507A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 155689

L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part # i need the little battery door on the back of the scanner that hold 2 of the 4 batteries can sommeone help? thanks!

3410. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35a3446d.6843255@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>Those are bad memories of a time when I was going thru a crisis in my
>life. I was cought in a love triangle between my wife and a man I was
>having
>an affair with. Sort of a personal crisis I had at that time.

You were having an affair or your wife was?

3411. Re: 895XLT WANTED - LOWEST PRICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebuff66@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062400172700.UAA10406@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155691

Hi

Just FYI Lentini communications in CT (www.lentinicomm.com)
has the BC895XLT shiped to your door for 299.99

3412. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35a64610.7262331@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155692

>I have an RS PRO60 and have had it for almost 2 years. At first I was trying
>like crazy to find out if it could be modified to receive cellular telephone
>transmissions. Thanks to this newsgroup, I have learned a great deal concerning

If you can't receive them directly, you may be able to pick up the image
frequencies. However, it is illegal to eavesdrop on either one, I would
think. Or maybe it's just on the fundamental frequencies. I've not heard of
anyone getting in trouble for listening to images.

>cell capabilities) is: Does the fact that a scanner can receive cell
>transmissions justify the cost of these used scanners? What are the
>interceptions like (broken conversations? One sided?)? Do you have to be near a

Depends. If you want to flaunt the law and listen, then it's worth the cost.
I don't listen, but I have a fully-functional scanner because the government
has no business making a restriction like that.

Back before it was illegal, if you listened between 870-890 MHz, you could
hear both sides of the conversation, generally speaking. 45 MHz lower you'll
find the mobile unit, but can hear only that side of the conversation.
Occasionally from 870-890 you'll hear only one side of the conversation
except for a brief moment just as the call switches cells. I don't know the
reason for that.

It is nearly impossible to manually follow a call, but it could be done, back
when it wasn't illegal to monitor, of course.

3413. Re: allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@thebigdial.com
Message-ID: <35904546.2348235@news.idt.net>
Source record: 155693

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

>Usually you only post stupid crap on here only meant to start trouble.
>But, this time is different!!!! You are probably on to something!
>
>Now just last night I proposed a 7 day "stay" on all falming & other
>type
>of messages. Most have chosen to ignore the "stay". :(I am going to
>honor it, so this is NOT a flame. (although none of my messages are
>flames anyway)

John "THE FAGGOT MAGGOT" Mackey,

You need a good ass whipping, boy! Who the fuck are you to
suggest anything. You're the most worthless human being on earth.
I pray every night that your fingers rot off so you can't type a damn
thing.

Do you actually think anybody takes you seriously?

DIE FAGGOT!

monty

3414. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35ac4a5d.8363599@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155694

>You know who you are. And now we know where you are. Here's hoping Bill
>forwards all of your profane and defamatory messages to
>ctcadmin@ctc.edu, as listed in the headers of all of your posts. Oughta
>be fun explaining to Mom and Dad why they kicked you out of college..

You can tell it's a college boy BECAUSE HE CAIN'T SPEL WURTH SHT!

Mama and daddy ought to be proud they've spended their money on him and he
don't even gots a third grade spellin' level!

3415. Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Dewalt <satvsys@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mpiir$nlh@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155695

I have a question concerning my base station antenna and coax.
I purchased the Radio Shack multi-band antenna for my base
station. My receipt shows this antenna as a VHI/U base antenna
with a cost of $19.99. A description would be 4 approx 15" radials
coming out about the mid point and another vertical rod about 15" also.
It is mouted approx 25' beside my chimney.
At same time I got 50' of RG-58 coax with PL-259 connectors already
factory
attached. Hooked it up and was pleased until just the other night.
I had the PRO-90 scanning the same frequencies and noticed it was
pulling in many more channels than the base scanner (PRO-2004).
At first I thought new scanner vs. old scanner sensitivity, but I hooked
a 4' piece of wire to rear connector of base scanner and it picked up
just as good as PRO-90. I monitored one particlar channel in the
155 MHz area and the PRO-2004 was not picking up any signal attached
to outside antenna, yet did OK with just a 4' piece of wire attached to it.
The coax was about 10' to long into the room so I have coiled it up if that
makes any difference.
Questions I have are as follows

1. Is 50' of RG-58 coax too long (too much line loss) ?

2. Is there a better quality coax scanner users should use ?

3. Opoinions on quality of this $19.99 RS antenna (and any suggestions on
another)

Many thanks for any who respond to this. I do enjoy the newsgroup and have
learned
quite a lot from prior post.

--
----------Ken----------
Satellite TV Systems
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/satvsys

----------Ken----------
Satellite TV Systems
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/satvsys

3416. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062401453200.VAA18932@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155696

In article <359044F7.7433@mail.hac.com>, Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
writes:

>Friggin' Loon...

Phil-
Your terminology is far too polite - but I haven't for the life of me been able
to think of a better description!!!
Very best regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3417. Re: Bill & John See Ya!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3590584b.173350365@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155697

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Jeff Ekblad <jsekblad@win.bright.net> wrote:

> Just unsubscribed from the group,

Yes, I can tell.

> Thanks guys for making this a real pleasure!

You're welcome. You certainly made it a pleasure
for me, too.

> I will not ever purchase anything you've written, or send you any gear
> to mod.

That's fine. Some people's money is plumb no good.
Yours is one example. <Gack>

> PS your web page sucks!

Thank you. I'm pleased that you don't like it.
I notice that you keep coming back, over and over gain.

3418. Re: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3591591b.173558728@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155698

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote:

> To whom it may concern -
>
> If you must forge posts - at least put more effort in it. Sophomoric at
> best.
You're probably getting an e-mail bomb with
3-megs of files....in my name, too. Check
the headers.....guess where it originates?

TELEPORT.COM the host for PARG.ORG

Interesting, eh?

3419. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: JeAn <jan@most-wanted.com>
Message-ID: <6mpmv8$mv3@zeppelin.rz.uni-potsdam.de>
Source record: 155699
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.hardware,comp.hardware,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
+----------------------------------------+
jan@passions.org
http://fly.to/jan
+----------------------------------------+
>16 meg 72 pin EDO simms are going for less than that.
>
>--
>Respectfully,
>Mike Parks
less then half to be exactly
```

3420. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35935ad3.173998183@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155700

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Ken Dewalt" <satvsys@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Questions I have are as follows
>
> 1. Is 50' of RG-58 coax too long (too much line loss) ?

Well, there is a LOT of line loss at VHF-Hi and UHF, yes.

> 2. Is there a better quality coax scanner users should use ?

Radio Shack's RG-6 Satellite cable is the best cheap choice.
Use gold plated F connectors and a F-to-BNC adapter at the
scanner end and whatever else is needed at the antenna end.

> 3. Opoinions on quality of this $19.99 RS antenna (and any suggestions on
> another)

Any base antenna mounted in the clear and as high as possible
is about as good as any other, at least for now.

Without an S-Meter, you can't tell what signals are
improved or degraded because the nature of FM makes
all signals sound good, even when they're weak. So
you need an S-Meter to properly judge antennas and
coax cable losses.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

3421. Re: What's the matter?? Chicken??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359259e0.173755879@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155701

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Have 'im send you a cookie and wait 24-hrs
> > and you won't have to .... pretend. <g>
>
> I'd rather have the dollar. Then I could buy my own damn cookie! ;-)

Oooooh yessss! Safer that way. And you
could still return "it" to him the way he asked.

<g>

3422. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: klaro21266@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062402154400.WAA27373@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155702

WOW SOME HARSH WORDS
CANDLEMAKING,
ALT.FIREFIGHTE
SCANNER FREQUENCIES
WEATHER FORECASTING

TORNADO'S
SEVERE STORMS
WEATHER NEWS GROUPS
ALT. MED. EMS
AMUSEMENT PARKS
misc.emerg-services
fire.firefighters
FIRE.EMS
FIRE.BINARIES
ALT.EMERGENCY.SERVICES.DISPATCH

3423. Re: NOAA on UHF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmiew@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <35906138.172569296@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 155703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In Pittsburgh they use land line to connect to two transmitters in the
area.

On Tue, 16 Jun 1998 18:50:55 GMT, "Kevin Lanning" <kll@ns.esn.net>
wrote:

>In my area we use a UHF ham repeater connected to a VHF receiver set for
>NOAA to repeat the hard to hear NOAA signal. Your mystery sounds like some
>government variation on this setup.
>--
>To reply by e-mail, remove the "ns." from my address.
>kll@ns.esn.net
>
>
>
>Joseph Carra <jcarra@xmission.com> wrote in article
><3586a663.8263081@enews.newsguy.com>...
>> I'm in Salt Lake City and have recently discovered two NOAA weather
>> broadcasts on UHF that cover regions north and south of my area.
>>
>> Cache Valley - 416.9500
>> Lake Powell and Page, AZ - 416.3750
>>
>> NOAA's website makes no mention of UHF broadcasts. I'm new at this
>> radio stuff; does anyone have an explanation for this?
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>>

3424. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Ev17v1.88v@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 155704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998, D. P. Roberts wrote:

> >Those are bad memories of a time when I was going thru a crisis in my
> >life. I was cought in a love triangle between my wife and a man I was
> >having
> >an affair with. Sort of a personal crisis I had at that time.
>
> You were having an affair or your wife was?

As I read it, his wife caught him with his boyfriend...

3425. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Ev17sI.87v@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 155705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 23 Jun 1998, Bill Cheek wrote:

> Jeff Ekblad wrote:
> >
>
> FUCK YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
> thankful
> I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.

Cool your crap, Mack-hole!! You're going off the deep end again. Any bets
how long before MCI boots him???

3426. Re: L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jrshattuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062403050900.XAA04813@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155706
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>Subject: L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part #
>From: pro26
>Date: Tue, Jun 23, 1998 20:06 EDT
>Message-id: <359042EE.7F85507A@hotmail.com>
>
>
>--------------F990088F6A664F3F6AC2ADBC
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part # i need the little battery door on the back
>of the scanner that hold 2 of the 4 batteries can sommeone help? thanks!
>
>--------------F990088F6A664F3F6AC2ADBC
>Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
>
>
>L@@KING FOR A PRO 26 part # i need the little
>battery door on the back of the scanner that hold 2 of the
>4 batteries can sommeone help? thanks!

mine has the following numbers...UBV249Z, M2-31854G1-HG.
Would look it up in the manual, but I can't find it!
```

3427. Re: AOR 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: wcl27@aol.com
Message-ID: <6mpqg5pcv3@camel18.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155707

full 800 mhz
25-550 mhz
800-1300 mhz
"Figueroa" <Figueroa@ellijay.com> wrote:

>Anyone know the price on the AOR AR-2002, used ?

>What coverage does it have?

>Thanks!

>Ed

3428. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mps2ihda0@207.18.224.20>
Source record: 155708

On Mon, 22 Jun 1998 19:49:32 GMT, Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>i've sat by watching all this lunacy and said nothing. now i want to talk.
>the man called bill cheeks is a dick. he is an old worn out has been who
>tries to prey on those he can fool. he will never agree to any type of truce
>or plan to end the problems because he loves to cause problems and that is
>all he lives for.

Hey, Clarence! How the heck are you doing?

I haven't seen you in about 12-14 years! Are you still in Colorado?

>laugh at all the radios they had to fix after he had tried to work on them.
>
Well, you would have first hand knowledge. Did they ever get another
custodian there, after they fired you?

>
>why he had to leave the colorado area is another story and why he had to live
>outside the Denver city limits is yet another story.
>
Chuckle. I don't remember that, but I do remember that you had to
stay INSIDE Denver City limits. Something about a child molestation
thing? Oh well, I am sure you have cleared that up since then.

>course to get his electronics degree from one of the mail order schools
>that advertise in popular electronics.
>
Did you ever get that Security Guard degree you studied for? From
that company that advertised in that magazine you always had...True
Detective, or something like that?

>Then his wife left him, he had some legal problems arise in colorado,
>and he soon left the state.
>
Sure can't beat those problems you had before you came to Colorado.
It always amazed me how you got away with that!

Amazing!

Well, heck, give me a call sometimes. Good to hear from people I knew
in the past.

P.S. You and Gary still together? You were a great couple!

3429. FS Alinco X10 Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jging@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mput4$11a$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155709

For sale: Alinco X10 Unblocked Mint!! three battery packs,case,all boxes manual. $375 jging@execpc.com, Also consider trade for 2042!

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3430. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <_W_j1.54$vG4.291325@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 155710

Cbake777 wrote in message
<1998062323422800.TAA05834@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>My question (to those of you that have scanners with
>cell capabilities) is: Does the fact that a scanner can receive cell
>transmissions justify the cost of these used scanners? What are the
>interceptions like (broken conversations? One sided?)? Do you have to be
near a
>cell site? I figure they are as hard to track as a trunked system...is this
>correct? Just curious.....

In Canada there are no restrictions and I have a scanner with complete coverage. Like others here, I would say they occasionally are interesting but they really aren't that great. I rarely listen to them. Following a conversation is near impossible as they do jump frequencies often. Usually just as it starts to get interesting, the frequency changes, it is frustrating. They are harder than trunked systems to track I would say. Some conversations are one sided, others are full duplex. If you are in an urban area you probably have quite a few repeaters around you. Signal strength is usually very good and the transmissions are very clear.

3431. Re: ICOM IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <QX_j1.57$vG4.291713@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 155711

It was posted to the mailing list, I dont think I saw it here.

John wrote in message ...
>A while back, someone posted a message (or was it on the pcr-1000@qth.net
>mail list?) saying they had successfully removed the EEPROM, made a copy of
>it, put the copy in the radio, and it worked fine. Anyone out there got
>capability to make a copy of the X24C01A EEPROM chip for a small fee? If
>so, email me... I'd love to have mine backed up to a couple of spare
>EEPROMs so I could experiment with it and not worry about having to send it
>back to Icom again...
>
>I own an old EPROM burner, but it does not appear to support the X24C01A
>chip...
>
>Any saints out there? <smile>
>
>John McDermon, KE4VAL
>
>
>
>
>
>

3432. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35907D7A.CC8D15CD@netwit.com>
Source record: 155712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Doktor Tripp wrote:

> Nice try but I don't see sanfran roadrunner in the path:
> Path:
> news.alt.net!howland.erols.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-backup-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!205.175.105.36!news.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
>
> But I do see:
>
> Registrant:
> Communications Technology Center (CTC-DOM)
> 3101 Northup Way, Suite 100
> Bellevue, WA 98004
>
> Domain Name: CTC.EDU
>
> Administrative Contact:
> Madison, Elaine (EM95) emadison@CTC.EDU
> (206) 803-9731 (206) 803-9700 (FAX) (206) 803-9652
> Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
> Shaver, Bill (BS6226) ctcadmin@CTC.EDU
> 425-803-9753 or 425-803-9700 (FAX) 425-803-9652
>

ooOOOooo! Are these points accurate Doc? You're gonna have to tell us exactly how you do this. C'mon,.....give it up.

Purty Puuuh-leeeeeeeease?

3433. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35908407.32529150@netwit.com>
Source record: 155713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

D. P. Roberts wrote:

> >hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
> >dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
> >hospital!
>
> Holy Mackeyral! ANOTHER suede-o-name for the Big Mackkk. Hey, instead of
> all this subterfuge in a vain attempt to get SOMEONE to pay attention to you,
> why not just post under your REAL name? Be the REAL MACKOY!

Please tell me this is a D.P.Roberts forgery. I need to know now Bill.

3434. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3592C82D.3F30@usa.net>
Source record: 155315

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:

> Just use ladder-line, man!

VERY TRUE!!! Simple open ladder line is lower loss than most anything.
But, it must be correctly tuned for the freq or have a balun which
is also freq sensitive.

> BTW: The original post never asked for what was best, only what was better
> than what he was using. Best is not best. Best is expensive.

Thanks for bringing us back to perspective Ryan!

3435. Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: musicman@showme.net
Message-ID: <3592CB0A.42A@showme.net>
Source record: 155316

I recently read a post ablut using your house wireing as an antenna for your scanner. I was wondering if this is possible and how to do this??

Thanks
musicman

remove "R/C" from email to resopond via email.

3436. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062522355801.SAA25504@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155317

In article <1998062513300400.JAA26838@ladder03.news.aol.com>,
klaro21266@aol.com (KLaro21266) writes:

>CAN'T YOU GUYS KNOCK THIS CRAP OFF. MOST OF US DID NOT PUT DOWN THOUSANDS OF
>DOLLARS FOR A COMPUTER TO LISTEN TO YOUR FOUR LETTER WORDS.

Actually, if you're spending "thousands of dollars", you've got much bigger
problems than this newsgroup. A fully-capable Internet machine with 233MMX
processor, 56K modem, and monitor can now be had for about $800.

3437. Mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mul0d$82l@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155318

I would like to put a scanner in my car and am looking for a relatively cheap (20-30 bucks) but decent antenna. After searching on the internet, the only ones that I have found are:

a) Scanner World's SCAN1-MOT
b) Scanner World's SCAN11-MOT
c) Hustler Mobile

Can anyone recommend which one of these might be the best one for me? or for that matter any other one?

3438. Orlando's Internet Mom a Criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <orlscan@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <eRAk1.4834$SG.2221130@news2.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

Here is an Ironic Twist for you all

Elizabeth the internet Mom whos Live Birth was well Publicized and Broadcast on the Internet and Americas Health Network, Has Outstanding Warrants in Seminole and Orange Counties in Florida for: Fraud and Bad Checks

Arnold Palmer Hospital Today Commented that they Never thought to do Background Checks on the Couple and it turns out that her Hubbie is also wanted for the same crimes!

This should be submitted to the worlds Dumbest Criminals for sure

--
Jason Webb EMT/EMD
The Orlando TrunkTracking Page
http://www.swl.net/orlandotrunking/

Confidence is high Repeat Confidence is high
Commander Insert your key

3439. FS: Sony PRO-80 worldband/VHF allmode portable scanner on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: remler@ll.mit.edu
Message-ID: <remler-2506981054070001@macbayliss.atc.ll.mit.edu>
Source record: 155320

Sony PRO-80: A really neat allmode shortwave/VHF walkie-talkie sized portable worldband radio/scanner with excellent performance. Check it out at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=18860015

Thanks!

-- Rick remler@LL.mit.edu

3440. IF output on PRO-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millimeter Wave Report <mmwr@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uvOJ1gJo9GA.150@upnetnews05>
Source record: 155321

Greetings,

A long, long time ago on this group, someone posted some simple instructions for installing a 455 kHz IF output on the PRO-2035. Basically, all you need to know is what point on the board is a good "tap" point for the IF. Can someone fill me in? Has anyone tried this mod? I would like to be able to feed the IF to my HF receiver, to tune SSB signals from amateur satellites.

Thanks!

Andrew Clegg, PhD
Editor
Millimeter Wave Report
http://www.mmwrpt.com
mmwr@msn.com

3441. Re: Scanning Strategy Thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millimeter Wave Report <mmwr@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#BOH2gJo9GA.242@upnetnews05>
Source record: 155322

Hi Doug--

I live hear in Atlanta, too, and am awaiting the arrival of my Bearcat 235 TrunkTracker. I'm glad to hear that you are having success in this area regarding scanning.

Thanks for the tip on the web site. I tried to connect this evening, but it didn't work. I'll check back again. There is also another Web site with Georgia trunking info. See http://www.trunktracker.com/states/ga.html.

Regarding finding the action, here are some of the things I do. They might be useful.

First, make sure you're scanning the right county/city. If you're driving through Dekalb and a fire truck screams by, switch to the Dekalb trunk system.

If possible, note the engine company on the truck. If it's engine company 12, for example, listen for transmissions involving "Engine 12," or "Ladder 12," or "Rescue 12," or whatever.

Next, make sure you're scanning the "primary" frequency. For example, make sure you're listening to the Dekalb F1 (primary fire) trunk channel. When fire or police are responding, they are usually dispatched on the primary frequency and stay there at least until they arrive on scene. I'd be sure to listen only to the primary frequency, so you don't miss any traffic due to interruptions from the dog catcher, jail, or whatever else is on the trunk system.

Listen closely. Sometimes the radio traffic passes by very quickly, so you have to be ready for it.

Hope this helps a little. Happy scanning!

Andrew Clegg, PhD
Editor
Millimeter Wave Report
http://www.mmwrpt.com
mmwr@msn.com

Doug Benson <*dbenson@mindspring.com> wrote in message
<6muh2a$i3r$1@camel19.mindspring.com>...
>Looking for Advice,
>
>I live in the Atlanta area and have had my first scanner, a Pro-90
>trunk tracker, for about 2 months now. All the surrounding areas are
>trunked and the Pro-90 has worked great - especially after obtaining

>the Fleet codes and local police signals and 10-codes from
>http://www.mindspring.com/~mm505/. (great site for Atlantans)
>
>All that said... While driving around town, I frequently see police
>cars, fire engines, emergency vehicles heading somewhere with their
>lights flashing and sirens blaring. I never can seem to find out
>what's going on.
>
>I would like hear some strategies for:
>
>1. Finding specific "actions" on the scanner. (see above)
>2. Finding and Following police, emergency events
>3. Best days and times, if there are any
>4. Organizing memory banks for efficient use
>5. Best ways to use Limit Searching
>6. Etc.
>
>In general, how to move to the next level of the scanning hobby.
>
>P.S. I say this hesitantly but... Everyone can see all the flames in
>this newsgroup. But, what I've noticed the last week or so is that the
>vast majority of the flames are coming from everyone BUT Bill and
>John. - I believe most people enjoy the flaming; otherwise, it would
>stop.
>
>AND...
>
>It doesn't affect the value of this newsgroup anyway. I've never
>posted a question up here that didn't result in good responses and
>valuable information. I think this is a Great group! Thanks for all
>the help.
>
>DB
>Remove the * from my e-mail to respond
>

3442. Re: Icom IC-R10 or AOR8000: WHAT to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3593081D.F8C7781A@ibm.net>
Source record: 155323
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Steve D. wrote:
> I'm deciding between the IC-R10 and the AOR8000 for a portable
> multiband scanner.

Steve,
I have reviews for both of these radios. If you are interested,
stop by my Radio page (www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIO.HTM). At the bottom
is a button for my Reviews page. You should be able to take it
from there.

> Any comments or experiences or advice on either
> model? Specifically:
>
> - Sensitivity

AR8000 - especially on HF

> - Selectivity

AR8000

> - Ease of use/programming

R10 - but still very quirky

> - Interfacing with PC

TIE

> - Durability

R10 - by far

> - Ability to scan trunked systems

Neither...you'd have to do some mods to them to interface
with a PC and a not-so-cheap piece of software to tie it
all together.

> - General satisfaction with either.

Personal taste.

> The AOR covers 0.5-1900 Mhz, while the Icom only covers 0.5-1300 Mhz.
> Is this a selling point? Is there much activity above 1300 Mhz?

For most people it's not a point. Just a bragging right for the
manufacturers.

> Or - am I missing some other portable unit with equal or more
> capabilities/performance?

R10 is much smaller than the AR8000. AR8000 has many more
features but is also much more complex.

You might want to wait for the upcoming AOR AR8200!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

3443. Top 100 amateur web sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan B." <k*d4lyp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <35930199.3922@qsl.net>
Source record: 155324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Top 100 amateur web sites!

http://www.webfringe.com/host/w5www?kd4lyp

3444. Re: Best Mobile scanner antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millimeter Wave Report <mmwr@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#KTwLoKo9GA.222@upnetnews05>
Source record: 155325

Jimmi-

Just wondering, why do you rule out the Radio Shack model? I have been using it for a couple of years, and it works very well in the VHF high band and above (above 50 MHz or so). I have heard very good things from other people who have one too.

The only drawback I can think of is that it comes with the Motorola-type plug. I replaced that plug with a BNC connector. Just make sure the connector is attached well, because they tend to get a lot of abuse in mobile installations.

Below 50 MHz, by the way, I use the mag mount CB antenna that came with my emergency CB radio. It receives much better in the 25-40 MHz range than the Radio Shack scanner antenna (or probably just about any other mag mount scanner antenna).

Anyway, just my 2 cents worth.

Andrew Clegg, PhD
Editor
Millimeter Wave Report
http://www.mmwrpt.com
mmwr@msn.com
Jimmi wrote in message <6mrjav$j2f$1@ndnws01.ne.highway1.com>...
>
>
>I'm looking for opinions on what the best/most reliable mobile scanner antenna
>is? (Excluding the Radio Shack mag mount.) I'm looking for a highly reliable
>NMO mount antenna. Thanks in advance.
>
>
>Jimmi
>jimmi@mediaone.net
>
>
>
>
>James Martin
>jimmi@mediaone.net - Work
>jimmi@thecia.net - Personal
>------------------------------
>Mobile 785 - Metro Networks

3445. Re: List serv for PCR 1000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35930877.18F0629D@ibm.net>
Source record: 155326

Greg Renner wrote:
> Anyone out there know if there is a list serv for the Icom PCR 1000
> and what the address to join?

Greg,
Stop by http://www.qth.net/

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

3446. Re: Orlando's Internet Mom a Criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <359308ED.15DB1EA6@mich.com>
Source record: 155327
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jason Webb wrote:

> Hello,
>
> Here is an Ironic Twist for you all

Not to mention irrelevent to the newsgroups.

>
>
> Elizabeth the internet Mom whos Live Birth was well Publicized and Broadcast
> on the Internet and Americas Health Network, Has Outstanding Warrants in
> Seminole and Orange Counties in Florida for: Fraud and Bad Checks
>
> Arnold Palmer Hospital Today Commented that they Never thought to do
> Background Checks on the Couple and it turns out that her Hubbie is also
> wanted for the same crimes!

I do wonder if, despite not minding giving birth on the net, she'll try to
cover her face from the news cameras if/when she's arrested &/or in court.

>
>
> This should be submitted to the worlds Dumbest Criminals for sure
>
> --
> Jason Webb EMT/EMD
> The Orlando TrunkTracking Page
> http://www.swl.net/orlandotrunking/
> --
> Confidence is high Repeat Confidence is high
> Commander Insert your key

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

3447. Re: Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jungle jim <junglejim@delta.com>
Message-ID: <359306DD.8F977BD5@delta.com>
Source record: 155328
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A cb antenna is a cb antenna is a cb antenna. Don't waste your time with it, unless you want to pick up signals around 27ish mhz. I have the trunk lip mount version of the cellular look-a-like and it sucks big time on every frequency including CB. The good news is that you can loosen the set screw at the base of the whip and remove it from the base. Save the base for tinkering later. Get a BNC connector at the next hamfest or order one from almost anywhere and solder the pigtail whip into the BNC! Mine works very well as a handheld antenna. Not much to of anything below 800 but really kick ass above 800. I think the whip is very similar to a real cell phone antenna which is the best antenna I've used for 800. Real cell phone antennas work much better than the MAX800 which I own and think is a waste of money! My favorite 800 antenna is a $19 cell phone antenna with a TNC to BNC adaptor. Keep in mind that most commercially available antennas used for transmitting will look like a dead short to the scanner at some freqs. This is because of the wiring in the antenna base. In my opinion, the best wide band mobile scanner antenna is made by Antenna Specialist. I think it is called the Spectra. It seemed very wide banded the one time I tried one. The last place that I saw one was at EEB some time ago.

Best of luck,
JungleJim the Antenna Fanatic

3448. Re: Mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millimeter Wave Report <mmwr@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ujYEl7Ko9GA.256@upnetnews05>
Source record: 155329

Barun,

The Radio Shack multi-band mag mount antenna (about $20 I believe) is good.
I have used mine for a couple of years with good results. This is the one
with two black loading coils on it.

Andrew Clegg, PhD
Editor
Millimeter Wave Report
http://www.mmwrpt.com
mmwr@msn.com

Barun Gupta wrote in message <6mul0d$82l@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>...
>I would like to put a scanner in my car and am looking for a relatively
>cheap (20-30 bucks) but decent antenna. After searching on the internet,
>the only ones that I have found are:
>
>a) Scanner World's SCAN1-MOT
>b) Scanner World's SCAN11-MOT
>c) Hustler Mobile
>
>Can anyone recommend which one of these might be the best one for me? or
>for that matter any other one?

3449. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35933C0F.5897D0FD@swbell.net>
Source record: 155330

Typical mackey side stepping around issues, throw out a bunch of crap and then argue he is right and complain everyone else is wrong and flaming him.

Oh to stay on topic, do you think if a radio shack discone were shoved up mackeys butt we would be able to receive satellite signals?

3450. surveillance rxs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spencer Bahner <spencer12345@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OEoIBBMo9GA.69@upnetnews05>
Source record: 155331
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.misc

I am looking for Watkins-Johnson, Astro-Comm Labs, Cubic and similar
military/government surveillance receivers. If you have this sort of gear
for sale or accessories, please send an e-mail or call 425-257-8538.

Only looking for solid state equipment manufactured after approx 1975.

Spencer Bahner

spencer12345@msn.com

3451. Ant. for aircraft freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: C Riecke <criecke@charleston.net>
Message-ID: <35926AB2.786B@charleston.net>
Source record: 155332

Anyone know of a compact beam cut for the 108-137 Mhz aero band?
Thanks, Charlie

3452. FS:AVCOM SDM-42A Spectrum Display Monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@telis.org>
Message-ID: <359333D5.1CB55A67@telis.org>
Source record: 155333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This professional Spectrum Display Monitor is designed for use as a panoramic signal display for VHF scanners and Communication Receivers such as Icom, AOR Realistic etc. The SDM-42A displays all signals present in the receiver's IF on a 5" CRT so that the operator can monitor signal activity up to a full 10mhz wide. The operator can then quickly tune to signals as they appear in the spectrum display. This greatly facilitates locating and tuning of intermittent signals as well as surveilling a particular frequency band. This unit has been used in a

hobbiest environment not a professional one and is in excellent condition. Asking $850.00 plus shipping.
Ron

3453. Re: FS: Pro-46, not Pro-64, handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <3592D4C4.4FBB@blarg.net>
Source record: 155334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jack, not Jack T.Dragon, wrote:
> I thought it was impossible to mod these scanners, what's the deal it
> a VD mod or is it really full coverage?

If you've read some of my other posts, you'll find that I
accidentally mistyped the subject of the text. I publically appologized
for my little boo-boo, and re-posted as "FS: pro-46.."
From the times on the origional postings, it was in the middle of
the night, and even though I double and triple checked the body, I
neglected to check the subject of my posting. Besides, I also own a '64
(which isn't for sale, and can not be modified) so this also contributed
to the slight confusion on my part.
I attribute my mistake mostly to my lack of caffeine at the time
of posting. :)

But it is a pro-46 for sale, and not a pro-64.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

3454. Re: EMWIN experience so far....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Downey <downeyjl@clark.net>
Message-ID: <35926340.796C@clark.net>
Source record: 155335

** Message is rather long...but my own opinions on the EMWIN experience so far. This is the only fitting place I could put this message. I'm welcoming feedback so let me know...

Here's my experience with EMWIN, SO FAR. First, I have a nice scanner, a pro-90 from RadioShack. I can pickup the EMWIN signal STRONG from Fairfax to Laurel @ 142.925, using the rooftop antenna in our condo area (had the walljack, got the converter, tapped into it). I tried looking for resources software wise, which will actually demodulate the software. I have a second-hand DOS machine dedicated for this purpose. So, trying to find a TSR that will do these functions-- well, let me say...not alot of resources. Luckily XenoCode is nearby in Maryland so I can just drive from VA to there to pickup the demodulator equipment. At least I have that going for me as an option.

Also, here's another thought. When dealing with TSR-based demodulators, it takes hold of the IRQ for the serial port, namely IRQ 4 or 3. Now, in turn, if you wanted to capture the data from a comm program - like telix for DOS- wouldn't that cause problems with the COMM program? Telix or anyother DOS based app likes to take hold of the IRQ 4 or 3 too, for it's main interrupt routine. So if you try to demodulate data in TSR, and try to capture it using IRQ 4 or 3 through Telix - you CAN'T because it seems that the two apps can conflict. Correct me if I am wrong, but that's why I understand I cannot get this data demodulated. If the softwares actually use other interrupts - it may be possible, still; most apps from what I understand use interrupt 4 or 3 anyway to access the serial port. :(

I tried BTL (Blaster Teletype), but it doesn't work - because it only decodes to a certain baud, resulting in gibberish on the screen. I ran tests with this app. It follows the signal stream, but it doesn't know what to do with it because of the low bauds it works with. It tries to decode data (even picks up the signal), but this datastream in our area is 2400 FSK. Sorry to say, BTL does lower baud rates and can't decode it. Not an app problem, it's only meant for RTTY. Bummer. Maybe the author should consider this as an update feature?? BTL is a promising option for EMWIN decoding. Based on the other options I tried.... (BITTY SBTSR..etc)

So, I'm left with one alternative: to get the stupid demodulator hardware piece so I can get the data. It's not expensive, so it's not a big deal. I heard there was a soundmodem driver for linux...to demodulate data, but I just don't have the time to set it up. It's better that I just get a solution that's known to work. Believe me, I've researched this in & out it seems. Just my thoughts. Apologize if

I'm rambling here...

Shouldn't there be a working alternative instead of someone buying the hardware...especially if you have a soundcard??!! Soundcard seems to be the more modern way to decode this stuff. I've seen the entry level prices for satellite based methods of getting EMWIN: all I could say is ..... Look at weathernode.com pricing and see what I mean. The radio method is of course better. I'm not that serious with this stuff. Only a hobby...only a hobby..... :-) I'll let you all know how the demodulator worked for me when I get it. Today hopefully... I'm sure it'll be fine...I've just been frustrated trying to find the right software-based resources. People say it's possible....but from what I saw I had trouble with it.

Jason

3455. Re: Icom IC-R10 or AOR8000: WHAT to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: stuyvenberg@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <35927ff9.496650@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 155365
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I'm deciding between the IC-R10 and the AOR8000 for a portable
>multiband scanner. Any comments or experiences or advice on either
>model? Specifically:

See:

http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven/r10

for complete user experiences, specs, modifications, Usenet messages,
serial numbers, etc. etc.

Regards,

Joost

==================================
Joost van Stuyvenberg
Amsterdam, The Netherlands
stuyvenberg@bigfoot.com
==================================
for IC-R10 information see:
http://www.xs4all.nl/~jstuyven/r10
==================================

3456. Later

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug <goodall@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <3592811C.CFFE6845@alaska.net>
Source record: 155366

Well I've been lurking and attempting to learn a few things in this news group for about a year now. Other then a few things I probably could have found by doing a good search of the web there really isn't much worth reading around here. Yes I could spend time browsing through the headers attempting to avoid the flaming. Course then comes the english lessons because heaven forbid someone misspelled a word. Instead I'm leaving. I know this will cause none here any great harm or dread but consider this if you will. This news group alone has persuaded me to forego testing for my no code license. Not really caring much at all for radios of any kind seeing the mail that comes through here. So enjoy your flame fest news group. And thanks to the few folks that have actually said something about radios.

--
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, ï¿½227,
any and all non solicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500.00 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
--

3457. Re: Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21745-35927549-1@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155367

Hi guys, I have some more info on the subject. These cell phone look-alikes have NO GAIN on them at all especially for the lower freqs. Like the guy before me said, the higher the freq (800 MHz) the smaller the antenna. Manufactors have found ways to shorten the antenna using coils and so forth. One thing if your gonna be using a rubber duck antenna inside the car your gonna get nowhere. The car will reflect and block out so much of the RF signal. If your looking for a cheap and inexpensive way maybe using radio shacks clip on the side of your door to get the small rubber duck outside the car. Some antenna companies sell a magent mount with just a BNC and you could put a bnc antenna out there such as the Larsen BNC MM BNC mount. Personally I would use the Radio Shack Magent mount scanner antenna, I have it and it works great all throughout the bands. Good Luck with your choice,
-Steve Goeller

3458. Re: Trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21745-35927AFF-3@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155368

You do have to buy a new scanner. And I just brought the BC-895XLT Base Trunk-Tracker. Oh and it's a GREAT Scanner!!!!! Great receiver and its got everything. Unfortunatly my P.D. is not in 800 MHz so I can' t tell you about the trunking but its a FANTASTIC regular scanner. Metal Casing, CTCSS Built-In, WX Alert. and it covers more then least expensive scanners frequency wise. Good Luck with what works for you. One thing is the Trunking crap sounds difficult. I'm glad my P.D. is on VHF-High which more then fits their needs. Their not looking to change at all.
See ya
-Steve Goeller

3459. Re: RS 2006 info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@bewellnet.com>
Message-ID: <35928553.0@news.bewellnet.com>
Source record: 155369

It is Test Point #2 (TP2) for NFM & AM signals, TP1 For WFM.

3460. Nashville Speedway Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Olshove <rolshove@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3592897B.5064@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155370

Anyone have some good freq. for Nashville Speedway? If so e-mail me at
above

3461. Re: Icom IC-R10 or AOR8000: WHAT to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <90wk1.430$Zy5.7248729@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 155371
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In alt.radio.scanner Steve D. <sjd@iname.com> wrote:
> I'm deciding between the IC-R10 and the AOR8000 for a portable
> multiband scanner. Any comments or experiences or advice on either
> model? Specifically:

> - Sensitivity
I think a dead heat. I didn't have my R10 very long.

> - Selectivity
I think AR8000

> - Ease of use/programming
R10.

> - Interfacing with PC
Both. Lots of support for the 8000 but it's been around quite a while.

> - Durability
R10.

> - Ability to scan trunked systems
...neither are going to be real good for that -- they just don't scan
fast enough for you to hop from channel to channel, and neither understands
the trunking data channel.

> - General satisfaction with either.
AR8000 for me -- see below.

> The AOR covers 0.5-1900 Mhz, while the Icom only covers 0.5-1300 Mhz.
> Is this a selling point? Is there much activity above 1300 Mhz?
Sat downlinks, radio astronomy, GPS, mobile comm, some telemetry.
Potentially interesting stuff, but a handheld wouldn't be the choice
for decoding it, I would think.

I don't think of it as much of an issue.

> The Icom machine is almost 2/3 the cost of the AOR, so THAT is a
> selling point, but obviously, but price is only one feature.

> Or - am I missing some other portable unit with equal or more
> capabilities/performance?

For me, the AR8000 won because of a couple things. Its HF support
was much better, particularly in terms of how stable the receiver
is and how accurate it is. I found the R10 to be completely useless
for SSB or ECSS reception. Both the one I bought (and returned)
and the store demo wandered around +/- 1kHz when in SSB mode. They

were also both off by about 2kHz when comparing their frequency displays to WWV. The 8000 is quite stable and within 50Hz of its displayed freq. At least mine is.

The other thing is, despite the clunky user interface, I like having the feature set the AR8000 has. Pure and simple.

I just wish it wasn't as big as it is and was more robustly constructed...

My opinion, and worth every penny you paid for it. Doubtless others will have strong, different opinions.

(You might consider holding off a bit and having a look at the AOR-8200.)

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

3462. Re: Anyone Have Experience With Any of These Dealers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21744-359280FE-7@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155372

Try Ham Radio Outlet, ALL SHIPPING INCLUDED!, 1-800-854-6046. AND NO
PROBLEMS WITH THEM EITHER!!
-Steve

3463. Re: Weatheradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21747-35927C59-2@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155373

I'll tell you what I know. First visit Uniden's website they might have some more info. The Uniden BC-148XLT is a 20 channel (no 800 MHz) scanner (no search modes) that does have WX Alert. Uniden's new trunk-tracker base DOES have the WX Alert. I just got it. Its really cool. I don't need to use the trunking yet but it works great as a regular scanner, with CTCSS built-in. And I would personally NOT BUY ANYTHING from "Rat Shack". Only antenna mounts. Everything else is ALL JUNK!!! I brought the Pro-2041 and its broken. Something is wrong with the reciever. Also the audio sounded TERRIBLE. It was a plastic case too, the BC-895 is Metal!!!
Good Luck with your purchase,
-Steve

3464. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: musicman@showme.net
Message-ID: <35928A9E.5ADF@showme.net>
Source record: 155374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

hjma@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> And again the prejudice against Americans concerning stupidity has been
> confirmed by all you guys. Grow up!
>
> Marcel
> Holland
>
> In article <35903672.16C3@san.rr.com>,
> bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
> >
> > Jeff Ekblad wrote:
> > >
> >
> > FUCK YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
> > thankful
> > I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.
> >
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

I don't know who is worse...Mackey vs. Cheek...or you guys!

??

IT'S A TIE!!! Both are pointless and all should be shot and hung by
their toenails.

3465. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21749-35927FB9-1@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155375

Hey guys there is one thing. IN AN EMERGENCY ANYTHING GOES!!!!!!!! That is in FCC Rules maybe not under cordless telephones. But its there. Its that simple. In an Emergency anything goes. You could be charged with not doing anything by the good-samaratin law in your state. You knowing something without doing anything is also against the law. And you can be sued. Something better that I would consider doing since I'm an ambulance corp member is go next door, and see what you can do and call the P.D. and EMS from there. But if your not trained call 911 FAST!

Best Regards,
-Steve

3466. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21746-35928072-4@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155376

His question never said anything with transmitting on a public-service freq. THAT IS HEAVILY AGAINST THE LAW!!! Even in an emergency. Kindof wierd though. I don't know why but in FCC rules its there.

-Steve

3467. Re: St. Louisian needs Real Help for Real Player

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scancat <scancat@mailexcite.com>
Message-ID: <01bda064$11f0a800$5b252581@default>
Source record: 155377

Unless you know of someone broadcasting through real audio you will not be able to use real player. Best I know so far noone has started broadcasting St.Louis, so you need a scanner. If ya get a scanner, I can give you the freqs.

3468. Re: Best scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21744-35928357-9@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155378

I also agree. The BC-895XLT is an ultimate scanner!! I don't use the trunking part because I don't need to. But its there for my future use. I use the regular scanner part no trunking and its fantastic! Plus built-in CTCSS and Wx ALert. Truthfully I couldn't ask for anything more. Except to have several of them around here. Try Ham Radio Outlet as a dealer. have the BC-895XLT and the 235XLT for 299.95 with shipping free 1-800-444-7927 and www.hamradio.com
Good Luck,
-Steve

3469. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062518140700.OAA28581@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155379

Couldn't have said better than "Mike Derryberry"
<michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>:

>We are all here
>trying to help each other and most help is from experience. Just because YOU
>don't like something is no reason to tell people it doesn't work. It's too
>bad you can't respect other people's opinions instead of ragging on
>everybody, it now becomes very clear why you are so disliked in this
>newsgroup.

Excellent, Mike, excellent. My compliments.

Very best regards,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3470. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062518140900.OAA24571@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155380

KC2BTP@webtv.net (Stephen Goeller Jr) writes:

>His question never said anything with transmitting on a public-service
>freq. THAT IS HEAVILY AGAINST THE LAW!!! Even in an emergency.

Steve,
Not so, OM. To wit: (*emphasis added*)

97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.
No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of *any means of radiocommunication at its disposal* to provide essential communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate protection of property *when normal communication systems are not available.*

97.405 Station in distress.
(a) No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station in distress of *any means at its disposal* to attract attention, make known its condition and location, and obtain assistance.
(b) No provision of these rules prevents the use by a station, in the exceptional circumstances described in paragraph (a), of *any means of radiocommunications at its disposal* to assist a station in distress.

97.401 Operation during a disaster.
(a) When normal communication systems are overloaded, damaged or disrupted because a disaster has occurred, or is likely to occur, in an area where the amateur service is regulated by the FCC, *an amateur station may make transmission necessary to meet essential communication needs and facilitate relief actions.*

It's not something you would do lightly, but it is definitely legal under emergency conditions.
vy 73,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3471. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu7nv$bjm@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155381

Just use ladder-line, man!

BTW: The original post never asked for what was best, only what was better
than what he was using. Best is not best. Best is expensive.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <35914ED7.3153@parg.org>...
>Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>
>> WHAT?! Satellite cable (6) almost works better than 213. There is little
>> line loss at all. I've never had any problems with it.
>
>Yea, "almost", but not as good as 213, as you state above. RG-6 can
>be used, but it is a compromise.
>
>He asked what was best.

3472. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu7t6$c9n@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155382

Interesting, John. I constantly list my callsign and you say nothing. You even LIST YOUR OWN, so your "philosophy" even applies towards yourself.

Have you ever thought that one should be proud being an amateur operator?
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <3591DC88.5632@parg.org>...
>K4CC wrote:
>
>> Yeah. In a box. Or if it happened to be out of the box, coiled up so that only
>> a few inches .. maybe a foot .. might be exposed to indirect sun through a
>> window. Hardly the same as an outdoor installation. Actually it would be
>
>However, regardless of your arguement above, the moment you install
>it outside that clock (UV effects) starts unless you put it in conduit.
>Usually the cost & trouble of conduit are not worth it.
>
>
>> I have never "claimed" anything of the kind. The particulars of my amateur
>
>You constant listing of your amateur call betry your words.
>
>
>> I do know myself. I've known myself since I was a little kid.
>
>Then why did you have to ask?

3473. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu7uf$cf3@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155383

Very nicely put!

--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <19980625125721OO.IAA24586@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> impersonates Tonto:
>
>>>You constant listing of your amateur call betry your words.
>
>Me not know what "betry" mean, Kimosabe, so me not know how to respond to
this.
>
>John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> impersonates a box of rocks:
>
>>>Then why did you have to ask?
>
>Because you made a senseless, idiotic claim about signal loss due to an
>impedance mismatch. And you still haven't answered, chicklet.
>
>Buh bye,
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3474. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu82s$d0v@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155384

WOW! All the way up there at 1.5:1 !!!

OOOOHHHH! That's so high!! One only truly cares about SWR when they're VERY serious about a hobby or when they're transmitting (so as not do damage the tx circuit)!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <3591DC67.1E69@parg.org>...
>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> No, now John, you made a claim, yet you refuse to offer facts to
>> support it? Why is that?
>
>OK, it would be an SWR of 1.5 to 1.
>
>there it is!
>
>
>
>> In the same thread, you asked others to support and substantiate their
>> claims that you were incorrect, yet you refuse to do the same?
>
>Done, now support yours!

3475. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu8jd$f09@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155385

Good argument.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Phil Smalley wrote in message <35915FC4.7F89@mail.hac.com>...
>Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>>
>> BTW: USENET is not a right, so free speech laws aren't applied here. It
is only a privilege.
>
>Noooooo, free speech laws still apply here. The privelege of using
>USENET is not constitutional guaranteed, but the right to say what you
>want to whom you want, is.
>
>A question to ponder: Is suing someone for libel unconstitutional
>infringement on their right to speak freely? Oprah's jury said it was.
>Interesting, no?
>
>-Phil

3476. Re: Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu9cl$hmd@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155386
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

One would also get results on the harmonics of the CB band (~28 MHz), but
not as good of results on other frequencies.

Harmonics = Base Frequency x (any real integer - 1, 2, 3, etc)

So, a harmonic of 28 MHz would be 56, another is 112, 168, etc...
Odd-numbered harmonics have better results than evens.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Doug Hoy wrote in message <35913482.182888329@nr1.ottawa.istar.net>...
>On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 11:43:03 -0400, abc <abc@def.com> wrote:
>
>>Hi there,
>>
>>I have a Radio Shack mobile magnetic mount celluar-lookalike antenna in
>>my car. I just got a PRO-91 handheld scanner as a gift. Assuming I
>>obtain the correct adapter, would I be able to get decent (that is,
>>better than the stock handheld antenna) reception using the mobile CB
>>antenna for the scanner? I'm assuming that the CB antenna is tuned for
>>transmitting on the CB frequencies, but will this really matter when I
>>am attempting to scan other frequency ranges?
>
>You'll get very good reception on lower frequencies, where CB is, but
>not much on the higher ones. I've tried it.
>

3477. Re: Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu985$h54@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well, the resonant frequency (the frequency at which an antenna is optimized for) would be rather low (28 MHz), but it would work better than any antenna in the car. You'd probably get the best reception in the 29 range, and any harmonics of 28 MHz.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

abc wrote in message <35911E86.B77C8BC5@nospam.com>...
>Hi there,
>
>I have a Radio Shack mobile magnetic mount celluar-lookalike antenna in
>my car. I just got a PRO-91 handheld scanner as a gift. Assuming I
>obtain the correct adapter, would I be able to get decent (that is,
>better than the stock handheld antenna) reception using the mobile CB
>antenna for the scanner? I'm assuming that the CB antenna is tuned for
>transmitting on the CB frequencies, but will this really matter when I
>am attempting to scan other frequency ranges?
>
>Thanks in advance for your help.
>

3478. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu86q$dk0@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155388

Actually, RG-6 is satellite cable. RG-59 is "cable cable" <g>.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

David G. Hunt KB6JAW wrote in message <3591C2A2.381F@efn.org>...
>Ken Dewalt wrote:
>>
>> I have a question concerning my base station antenna and coax.
>> I purchased the Radio Shack multi-band antenna for my base
>> station. My receipt shows this antenna as a VHI/U base antenna
>> with a cost of $19.99. A description would be 4 approx 15" radials
>> coming out about the mid point and another vertical rod about 15" also.
>> It is mouted approx 25' beside my chimney.
>
>Shouldn't have had that fire the other night, Ken!
>
>Check and see if the coax is melted. Seen this situation before.
>
>In GENERAL, bigger coax is less lossy and therefore better. Cable TV
>cable like RG-6 will be better than RG-58 since it has less loss,
>even with the impedance mismatch (which is only 1.5:1 anyway).
>
>Regards,
>
>David
>
>PS: Keep having fun.

3479. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu895$dtk@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155389

Ah, but AOL IS an ISP. It is a service, it provides, and it provides
Internet.

So simple, yet not quite for some...
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <3591C9CD.2F3E@parg.org>...
>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>> K4CC wrote:
> SNIP
>
>> I can't believe the guy is an actual ham - has anyone ever verified
>> that? With all the lies he spews I wouldn't be surprised in the least if
>> he was no ham at all.
>
>No, but someone should. K4CC has demonstrated that all he
>wants to do is cause problems here. His advertising of his
>alliance with MARS only makes someone want to AVOID MARS.
>
>He is probably the type that thinks AOL is an ISP!!
>

3480. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu8c4$e6c@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155390

He he...

Thank you.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

K4CC wrote in message <19980624215016600.RAA05661@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
> "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> acts like a man:
>
>>Yes, I know. I stupidly said that for some, well, stupid reason.
>
>You've gotta hand it to the kid. He's man enough to admit when he's made a
>mistake.
>Too bad one of his elders who lives across the river from Clark College
isn't
>nearly man enough to do the same.
>
>Regards,
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3481. OK FS PRO-2005 $250 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wfm <wmerrell@parsley.epix.net>
Message-ID: <6mu8u8sbc1@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 155391

```
For sale Pro-2005, $250. Has had both the speed
and cell mods done. Excellent condition.
bill
```

3482. Re: Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mu9j0$iao@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155392
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To add something, cellular antennas, even cellular look-alikes, have an inductance coil (also called a pigtail) which gives a slight gain (a few dB's)...

The scanner would receive better than if you used an antenna inside the vehicle, but it isn't a multiband antenna, so you would get THE best results from around 29-34 MHz. If the scanner picks up stuff below 29 MHz, you would also get good results from 23.999-28.999 MHz.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Jeff Doucette wrote in message <898714345.817057@michelob>...
>>Hi there,
>>
>>I have a Radio Shack mobile magnetic mount celluar-lookalike antenna in
>>my car. I just got a PRO-91 handheld scanner as a gift. Assuming I
>>obtain the correct adapter, would I be able to get decent (that is,
>>better than the stock handheld antenna) reception using the mobile CB
>>antenna for the scanner? I'm assuming that the CB antenna is tuned for
>>transmitting on the CB frequencies, but will this really matter when I
>>am attempting to scan other frequency ranges?
>>
>>Thanks in advance for your help.
>
>
>Assuming the antenna is "tuned" to transmit on that band is not a good way
>of finding out if the scanner actually performs well on that band....
>To put things into perspective, a "good" antenna is matched to the
wavelengh
>of the frequency, the CB lookalike antenna's are built for cosmetics and
not
>for performance. You will however find that the higher freqencies will
>perform much better then the lower ones that is because the higher you go
in
>freqency the smaller the wavelength and the smaller the antenna is....
>If you want all around good reception use the antenna that was supplied
with
>it. they are designed to perform reletivily well in a wide freqency
>range....
>

>Later,
>Jeff
>
>

3483. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3592AB19.322B@parg.org>
Source record: 155393

K4CC wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> impersonates a box of rocks:
> >>Then why did you have to ask?
>
> Because you made a senseless, idiotic claim about signal loss due to an
> impedance mismatch. And you still haven't answered, chicklet.

Again, your own words betray you. If it was "senseless, idiotic", then
why were you asking for more about it?

So what, EXACTLY, do you want? Ore are you just trying to turn this
into a flame?

3484. Re: PL Numbers, what are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mua4q$sk8@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155394

PL = Private Line

As a scanner user, you have no use for them, unless your scanner supports PL (also known as CTCSS - continuous tone coded squelch system). It is used to prevent interference from other radios. It is subaudible, and you really can only hear it when the tone is set to a high frequency; around 200 Hz. Otherwise, you have to strain to hear it.

It is used a lot in amateur radio (repeaters) and public-safety agencies.

It works very simply: If a transmitter doesn't transmit the correct PL tone to a receiver equipped to open the squelch at a specific tone, the receiver receives "nothing". If the correct tone was there, the receiver's squelch would open, allowing the receiver's user to hear the transmitting station.

Hope this helps.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

BRUSTE35 wrote in message
<1998062513082400.JAA25321@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>What are the PL numbers that I see in frequency directories and how do you
use
>them?

3485. List serv for PCR 1000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenne19@mail.idt.net>
Message-ID: <3592B9B3.4094@mail.idt.net>
Source record: 155395

Anyone out there know if there is a list serv for the Icom PCR 1000 and what the address to join?

Thanks
Greg

3486. Re: Funkscanner-verstärker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.P.S." <quo@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <3592ABDC.19F8@wxs.nl>
Source record: 155396
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.markt.comp.hardware,maus.biete,maus.elektronik,maus.hardware,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,z-netz.fundgrube.biete.allgemein,z-netz.fundgrube.biete.elektronik

```
Christoph Bauer wrote:
>
> Gandie@t-online.de schrieb in Nachricht <6mr4tm$juq$1@news01.btx.dtag.de>...
> >Hallo Leute,
> >
> >ich Verkaufe ein Funkscanner-verstärker
> >des Typs "Scanmaster SP-55.
> >aktueller Neupreis : 189 DM
> >Verkauf gegen Gebot.
>
> zehn Mark, wer bietet mehr ? :)
10.01 DM :-))))))))))
```

3487. FOR SALE: Uniden Bearcat BCT-7 Highway Patrol Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: lordviper@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <3592ab3f.8588124@news2.new-york.net>
Source record: 155397

This is a fully loaded scanner that covers all (legal) frequencies including 800 mhz and Aircracft. Still in the original packaging and with all the accessories.

Here is a summary:

Highway Patrol Alert
Highway Patrol Scan
Local/County Police Scan
DOT, News & Fire Scan
CB Monitor & Weather Scan
12 Bands with 800 MHz
100 User-Prog. Channels
One-Touch Band Search
AC/DC Operation
Mobile Mounting Kit

Features:

Highway Patrol Alert - Alerts you when you are within 3 miles of a highway patrol unit using a mobile extender.

12 Band Coverage - Including 800 MHz, all public service bands, "Ham" Bands, Federal and Military Land Mobile Bands plus Aircraft and Weather Channel.

100 Channels - Program to your choice of frequencies.

Turbo Scan - This lightning-fast technology enables the BCT-7 to scan and search nearly 100 channels or steps per second. The BCT-7 scans about five times faster than any standard scanner sold in the United States.

LCD Display - Nine digits LCD with back light display for night visibility.

Private Channels - With just the touch of a button you can automatically scan 25 programmed private channels.

Highway Patrol & Police Channels - Automatically scans highway patrol and police channels and your choice of 25 programmed channels.

Fire/News Channels - Automatically scans fire, emergency and news channels and your choice of 15 programmed channels.

State-By-State Scanning - Use the state key to select the two letter abbreviation for the state you are traveling in and the BCT-7 will start scanning the highway patrol frequencies

stored for that state.

WX Channels - Automatically scans all NOAA weather channels and 2 canadian coast guard channels.

Protection - Helps to advise you against all forms of speed measurement including Radar, VASCAR, Airplane, Clocking and Laser.

Lockout Channels - This feature allows you to skip channels you don't want to hear (works for PRIVATE, POLICE, FIRE/EMG, HWY, DOT, FIRE and NEWS channels).

Band Search - The BCT-7 can scan almost 30,000 frequencies and has 12 separate band search capabilities and CB channels (1-40).

Mute - Provides audio mute function when others in vehicle do not want to be disturbed.

Memory Protection - The BCT-7 comes with a memory back-up system that remembers your state selection, frequency selections and deletions

Accessories - Includes DC cord, mounting kit, cigarette lighter plug, mobile antenna, AC adapter, telescopic antenna and a Frequency Starter Guide.

This is an amazing scanner but i don't really need it. It is brand new and has never been used. Make me an offer ($100 at least) and the top one will get it. I will cover shipping!

E-Mail: <lordviper@bigfoot.com>

3488. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3592AFDA.2020@parg.org>
Source record: 155398

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
> WOW! All the way up there at 1.5:1 !!!
> OOOOHHHH! That's so high!! One only truly cares about SWR when they're VERY
> serious about a hobby or when they're transmitting (so as not do damage the

Ryan, you are missing a few things here.

1. While you are correct that a high SWR can damage a transmitter &
NOT a receiver, it will still represent a degree of signal loss
in BOTH.

The example we ahve been following will (in theory) represent
a SWR of 1.5 to 1. Next you need to add the mismatch
of the antenna . Most scanner antennas have (overall) quite
a bit of mismatch, 4 to 1 is not uncommon. This is because
a scanner antenna is (for example) taking a antenna designed to
resonate at 150-156 Mhz & try to use it from 138-174 MHz. At those
band edges you will likely get a very high SWR, which would then
be multiplied by the SWR of the feedline.

2. Next, when you take a single band antenna & try to make it
a multi band antenna, you always end up with a narrower Q (bandwidth)
of the antenna than before (as a single band). For example,
you can take a 10 meter antenna & use it for 2 meters (5th harmonic =
29 Mhz x 5 = 145 Mhz) but the usable bandwidth of that antenna will
be very narrow.

3. Usually, when using an antenna for scanning you must accept these
facts as a part of life because it simply is not practicle to
change an antenna every time the scanner changes frequencies. There
are a FEW radio (expensive) that AUTOMATICALLY change antennas as
scanning frequencies the Icom 706 & the Icom 575 are examples of
this as they have an option of automatically changing antennas
between 10 meters & 6 meters.

Again, the mismatch of the feedline (say 1.5 to 1) BY ITSELF
is a minor issue, UNTIL you multiply that by the mismatch of the
antenna. (and the lack of a ground plane on hand held scanners).
To clearly see this in action, try monitoring a 30-50 Mhz signal
with a normal scanning antenna, then try using a six meter or
10 meter antenna to monitor them. There will be a BIG difference!
Note: Don't try this monitoring a paging frequency, you don't want
the signal to overload the front end, you want to be able to hear
the improvement. Find a weak signal to experiment with.

3489. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3592B226.24D9@parg.org>
Source record: 155399

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:

> Ah, but AOL IS an ISP. It is a service, it provides, and it provides
> Internet.

No, not true, sorry!

An ISP is a service provicer that offers internet service following
standard internet protocols (TCP/IP connection via SLIP or PPP,
and usually offers the following of POP3 and SMTP mail service,
and NNTP news service.

AOL is their own SEPERATE online service that offers a gateway to
the internet, but they are NOT the internet themselves!

To test this, try using a standard "trumpet dialer" or the
"dial up networking" to access AOL. You can't do it! AOL, since
they are NOT an ISP, uses their own seperate connection method which
specifically requires you to use THEIR software to access their network.
Once you use the AOL dialer to accesse AOL, you can't use a regular
internet mail program to pull or send your AOL mail, & you can't
use a internet news program to view newgroups thru AOL. Again, this
is because they are their own seperate online service (like Fidonet or
Compuserve) that does not follow Internet protocols.

>
> So simple, yet not quite for some...

3490. Re: LIVE NOAA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6mtm5f$6bf$2@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 155400

mitbr wrote in message ...
>Hi!! I now have three new live noaa weather radio broadcasts on the net via
>real audio please goto my web page click on the real audio page and radio
>page and enjoy!! http://home1.gte.net/mitbr/mitbr.htm
>
>

3491. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3592B2BB.2CD6@parg.org>
Source record: 155401

The CB Guy wrote:

> This is what you consider stopping the flames MACKEY?ALL the flames you cause
> and the idiotic arguments you come up with for maintaining your presence here
> are reason enough for anyone to denounce ham, scanners and ng's.

I am not flaming, & YOU are still trying to cause problems! You need to
look at he id's of the participants of the flames at this time.

I proposed a 7 day "STAY" last sunday on all flaming & I intend to
follow
it even if others don't (HINT, HINT).

Now to get on topic. Has anyone experimentated with using a Bearcat 250
to receive FM stereo?

3492. Help w/ Bearcat 100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Craig <dcraig@carroll.edu>
Message-ID: <01bda07b$26232160$4a567fce@dcraig.carroll.edu>
Source record: 155402

Hello,

I found a Bearcat 100 at a garage sale in the "free" bin. Took it home and it works! Does anyone have any infromation about this scanner. I have no boxes, manuals, or anything. I am most interested in finding a AC adeptor, and how to use the search and hold buttons. It also seems to revert to some preivoulsy programmed frequencies when I change the batteries. Is there a way around this? I am also interested in any keyboard tricks, specs, and any other information that you may be able to share.

Thanks in advance!

Dave
dcraig@carroll.edu

3493. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062521061300.RAA14265@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155403

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wonders:

>So what, EXACTLY, do you want?

It's really quite simple. When it was suggested that RG-6 cable could be used with good results with a scanner antenna, you made the following claim, and I quote:

>They will impose an impedence mis-match (75 Ohms VS 50 Ohms) &
>you will lose signal because of that mismatch.

Assuming that you were actually referring to impedance, I then asked you how much signal you would lose because of that mismatch. You still haven't answered that question.
Now, you could be honest and say you don't know the answer, or you can continue to spout a lot of meaningless sludge about standing wave ratios which has absolutely nothing to do with you original postulate that such an arrangement would result in signal loss.
It's obvious that if you consider 1.5:1 to be a high SWR you have absolutely no screaming clue what you're talking about, so I really don't care what your answer to the original question is. I was just trying to be polite and answer yours.
Sincerely,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3494. Re: PL Numbers, what are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn P Caron <gpcaronxgi@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6mueo0$6l2@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155404

PL (or private line) is used by different (usually commercial) stations using the same group of frequencies. PL settings are usually set at the factory or a service center. When someone's radio is in PL mode, he can only hear radios transmitting the same PL setting his radio is in, eliminating chatter from other businesses or stations. However, since scanners recieve in carrier squelch, no PL setting is needed to hear the transmission.

BRUSTE35 wrote:

> What are the PL numbers that I see in frequency directories and how do you use
> them?

3495. Re: Anyone Have Experience With Any of These Dealers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: curlinob@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062521094600.RAA18759@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155405

The Hobby Radio Stop is a very reputable
company, I deal with them every year at the
Dayton Hamvention. I would recommend them to anyone.

Good shopping!
Curlinob

3496. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: 73573.1504@compuserve.com
Message-ID: <3592b731.1900724443@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 155406

This really is just a matter of semantics, but let me try to explain the cellular system a little better. The cell site receive frequency range is 824 - 849 Mhz (which is of course the mobile's transmit frequency). The cell site transmit frequency range is 869 - 894 Mhz (which of course is the mobile's receive frequency). When a mobile is transmitting, the signal is received by the cell site but is not retransmitted on its tranmit frequency. It is instead demodulated and the audio is sent over a phone line back to the switching office so that it can be routed to the person on the other end of the phone call. When this person speaks into their phone, the signal goes through the switching office to the cell site where it is transmitted over the cell site transmit frequency to the mobile phone. The reason that 2 different frequencies are used is so that the conversation can be full-duplex.

The above scenario is for a normal phone (land line) to mobile phone call. A mobile to mobile call can be a bit more complicated and I will not try to describe it. Also i have left out all the control signals that allow the system to work.

The point is, the cell site never acts as a conventional repeater. The signal receieved on the input frequency is not retransmitted on the output frequency. It is also very different from a trunked system. Of course, neither of these systems are full-duplex like the cellular system.

If one were to listen to a cell phone conversation on the cell site's output and hear both sides of the conversation it is because of feedback between speaker and microphone on one of the phones.
On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 01:07:35 GMT, drgrant@ziplink.net wrote:

>On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 09:53:35 -0500, "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ"
><jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>A repeater transmits ONE signal to MANY receivers, also known as a
>>semi-duplex transceiver (you can only receive when you're not transmitting,
>>but it uses two frequencies, an input and an output). Amateur radio
>>repeaters are examples of this. You don't hear a full-duplex conversation.
>>You only hear one side at a time, and when the other is transmitting, he
>>cannot receive audio (unless he has a dual-mode transceiver, explained
>>below).
>>
>>You are thinking of a full-duplex (also known as dual-mode) transceiver (you
>>can TX and rx at the same time on two frequencies). Cellular and cordless
>>phones are perfect examples (very much alike). You don't call a cordless

>>phone's base a repeater, nor would you call a cell tower a repeater. It
>>doesn't repeat - it only transmits!
>>
>>There is a difference. But, if you want to get technical and name the person
>>calling the cell phone user part of the cellular system, the cell tower
>>still cannot be called a repeater, but it can be called a relay transmitter,
>>since it relays the calling conversation to the cell phone.
>
>Arrgh.... this is just a matter of semantics. In reality a cell
>tower is a repeater, except it's just in phone patch all the time, and
>the radio on the tower end is a complex trunked repeater unit instead
>of a regular two frequency repeater.
>
>-Mike

3497. LIVE NOAA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6mufq4bum1@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 155407

Hi!! I now have three new live noaa weather radio broadcasts on the net via real audio please goto my web page click on the real audio page and radio page and enjoy!! http://home1.gte.net/mitbr/mitbr.htm

3498. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3592B3ED.C93@parg.org>
Source record: 155408

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:

> Interesting, John. I constantly list my callsign and you say nothing. You
> even LIST YOUR OWN, so your "philosophy" even applies towards yourself.

That is because there has never been any question about you!

> Have you ever thought that one should be proud being an amateur operator?

Yes I have. Have YOU?

That is why I sent you a congrats last night on getting your
tech license, & encouraged you to keep climing the ladder of
the incentive licensing program of the FCC. There are many
who have tried to do what you did & failed! Be proud
of what you have done!

Now to get on topic, has anyone ever experimentated with using
a Bearcat 300 to receive satellite signals?

3499. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3592C0BA.17AE@parg.org>
Source record: 155409

Mike Derryberry wrote:

> The best test of all, everyday use. I set 2 identical scanners side by side
> same antennas just different coax. RG6 out performed RG58 by a mile but was
> comperable to RG213. The advantage to RG6 is size much easier to work with
> and cheaper last time I checked.

By what standard of judge?

There will be serious holes in your "best test of all" unless you
can correctly answer all the following!

Did these scanners have s-meters? Or did you use calibrated readings
of the limiter outputs?

If you used limiter outputs what assurances did you use that you
would load down the circuit with your metering equipment & affect
readings?

What standard were the s-meters or calibrated readings calibrated to?
(and when was the last time the standard was calibrated?)

What was receiver sensitivity of each scanner? (Please give in uV)

What were the lengths of the two pieces of coax you used?

Were you using the proper connectors or did you
have to use adaptors?

If adapters were used what was the insertion loss of the adaptors?

> If a person has the money and smaller coax is not required Belden 9913 is
> by far the best, but not everyone can afford it.

How do you justify that 9913 could be "by far the best" even
compared to RG-214?

> John, like it or not, you are not the god of scanners.

I never claimed to be. Certainly you are not either!

> We are all here
> trying to help eachother and most help is from experience.

I agree, your experience appears to be limited to using 2 scanners
& then taking that data as the final! Please, base your assistance
on valid data provided from true specifications!

> Just because YOU
> don't like something is no reason to tell people it doesn't work.

I never said it doesn't work, I simply stated what would work better.

> It's too
> bad you can't respect other people's opinions

Likewise, in an effort to flame me you used some pretty shabby examples.
Why couldn't you simply accept my data & look into it rather than
immediately try to prove me wrong?

3500. Re: ICOM R-10 not receiving very good in Kansas City area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3592C42D.3DF8B7DC@ibm.net>
Source record: 155410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Artur Leung wrote:
> I have problem receiving the National Weather Service signals
> with my ICOM R-10. I live in Lawrence KS and I receive weather
> information from WXK91 in Topeka broadcasting at 162.475MHz. I set
> the receiver in FM mode.

Artur,
The stock antenna on the R10 is not very good overall. It is
especially poor in the ranges you tested according to my testing
of 27 handheld antennas I did a while ago.
For improved reception, you'll want to get some form of
telescoping whip or at least a long antenna like the Diamond
RH-77CA or Austin Condor. Your reception will improve immensely
if you do.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

3501. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3592C6ED.74FB@usa.net>
Source record: 155411

K4CC wrote:
> Change "I" to "my" and you get the picture.
> BTW if you rearrange the letters of Stuart Upton it spells "trap nuts out", so
> now we know it's really Mackey.

Stop putting words in that I didn't. Obviously I made a typo,
you have to use a simple mistake to support your cause.

Let's get on topic, does anyone know good mods to do
to a Bearcat 250?

3502. Scanning Strategy Thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: *dbenson@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6muh2a$i3r$1@camel19.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155412

Looking for Advice,

I live in the Atlanta area and have had my first scanner, a Pro-90 trunk tracker, for about 2 months now. All the surrounding areas are trunked and the Pro-90 has worked great - especially after obtaining the Fleet codes and local police signals and 10-codes from http://www.mindspring.com/~mm505/. (great site for Atlantans)

All that said... While driving around town, I frequently see police cars, fire engines, emergency vehicles heading somewhere with their lights flashing and sirens blaring. I never can seem to find out what's going on.

I would like hear some strategies for:

1. Finding specific "actions" on the scanner. (see above)
2. Finding and Following police, emergency events
3. Best days and times, if there are any
4. Organizing memory banks for efficient use
5. Best ways to use Limit Searching
6. Etc.

In general, how to move to the next level of the scanning hobby.

P.S. I say this hesitantly but... Everyone can see all the flames in this newsgroup. But, what I've noticed the last week or so is that the vast majority of the flames are coming from everyone BUT Bill and John. - I believe most people enjoy the flaming; otherwise, it would stop.

AND...

It doesn't affect the value of this newsgroup anyway. I've never posted a question up here that didn't result in good responses and valuable information. I think this is a Great group! Thanks for all the help.

DB
Remove the * from my e-mail to respond

3503. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062522093500.SAA26332@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155413

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:

>> Interesting, John. I constantly list my callsign and you say nothing. You
>> even LIST YOUR OWN, so your "philosophy" even applies towards yourself.

Go get 'em, Ryan!

vy 73,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3504. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062522093600.SAA22281@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155414

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> is wise beyond his years:

>WOW! All the way up there at 1.5:1 !!!
>
>OOOOHHHH! That's so high!! One only truly cares about SWR when they're VERY
>serious about a hobby or when they're transmitting (so as not do damage the
>tx circuit)!

Dear Mr. Mackey,
How does it feel knowing that a 15-year-old who's had his ham license less than
a week knows more than you do?
As always,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3505. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3591DC67.1E69@parg.org>
Source record: 155415

Blake Bowers wrote:

> No, now John, you made a claim, yet you refuse to offer facts to
> support it? Why is that?

OK, it would be an SWR of 1.5 to 1.

there it is!

> In the same thread, you asked others to support and substantiate their
> claims that you were incorrect, yet you refuse to do the same?

Done, now support yours!

3506. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3591DC88.5632@parg.org>
Source record: 155416

K4CC wrote:

> Yeah. In a box. Or if it happened to be out of the box, coiled up so that only
> a few inches .. maybe a foot .. might be exposed to indirect sun through a
> window. Hardly the same as an outdoor installation. Actually it would be

However, regardless of your arguement above, the moment you install
it outside that clock (UV effects) starts unless you put it in conduit.
Usually the cost & trouble of conduit are not worth it.

> I have never "claimed" anything of the kind. The particulars of my amateur

You constant listing of your amateur call betry your words.

> I do know myself. I've known myself since I was a little kid.

Then why did you have to ask?

3507. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3591DD60.66CE@parg.org>
Source record: 155417

Blake Bowers wrote:

> Here we go again John! Why do you lie so much?

Where was I lying Blake? Or are you just trying to
cause trouble again Blake?

> I can't see where he asked for what was best, if he did, and
> that is what you based your answer on, then you lied when
> telling him 213, as there are MANY other methods and cables,
> such as hardline, which would be better.

Blake, hardline is NOT coax (but it is feedline).
He was asking about COAX.

(besides, hardline can run $5 per foot plus $50 per connector
so I doubt that is what he wants.

Blake, please, try to use some honesty in your posting!

3508. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6msnjp$8i5$0@207.18.224.130>
Source record: 155418

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 03:54:20 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> Stuart Upton is the same name, as the forged
>> posts back in FIDO, that voted for John for
>> Moderator of the CB radio echo.
>>
>> One of the many reasons John left Fido.
>
>No, Blake, I name "Stuart Upton" never appeared
>on the echo you mention in the time of the elections.
>
I name? You admit that this is one of your names?

Is it multiple personalities, or just the need to post
under an alias?

If it is multiple personalities, and you admit it, it will
perhaps make some of the many detracters you have
go a little bit easier on you John.

>The only thing I could find that comes even close
>is during that election you made several posts
>that caused trouble which caused the RC
>to have to intervene & provide actions to cause you
>to cease! You were told that if you ever did it
>again you would be kicked off.
>

Funny, last time you told this lie, you said that I WAS
kicked off!

Make up your mind which lie you are going with, and
stay with it John. Makes you a little bit more believeable!

3509. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6msnn1$8i5$1@207.18.224.130>
Source record: 155419

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 04:11:21 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>> It is pretty obvious what John is up to. His reputation precedes
>> him...
>
>
>No, Blake, I name "Stuart Upton" never appeared
>on the echo you mention in the time of the elections.

Again, you admit it John! Amazing!

>Now lets get back on topic!

If you were so interested in being on-topic, you never would have sent
the same information in two different messages. Just more lies from
you John.

3510. Leather Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjmierrn@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062505442400.BAA05578@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155420

You could try a leathersmith, have him custom make one
to your specs.

3511. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mso3u$8i5$3@207.18.224.130>
Source record: 155421

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 05:17:00 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> Here we go again John! Why do you lie so much?
>
>Where was I lying Blake? Or are you just trying to
>cause trouble again Blake?

When you stated that he said he wanted the best!

Do you need someone to read the post to you again?

H o w a b o u t i f I t y p e s l o w e r f o r
y o u J o h n ?

>> I can't see where he asked for what was best, if he did, and
>> that is what you based your answer on, then you lied when
>> telling him 213, as there are MANY other methods and cables,
>> such as hardline, which would be better.
>
>Blake, hardline is NOT coax (but it is feedline).
>He was asking about COAX.
>
And you think that 213 is the absolute best coax available?

>(besides, hardline can run $5 per foot plus $50 per connector
>so I doubt that is what he wants.

Well, Gosh, Gee, it can run much more than that John. If you would
like, I could send you a catalogue from a two way supplier, that will
give you some knowledge. Can you find someone to read it to you?

>
>Blake, please, try to use some honesty in your posting!

I do. Now, if you could only take your own suggestion.

Even better, how about trying to be on-topic?

3512. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: hjma@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mssri$3ri$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And again the prejudice against Americans concerning stupidity has been confirmed by all you guys. Grow up!

Marcel
Holland

In article <35903672.16C3@san.rr.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> Jeff Ekblad wrote:
> >
>
> FUCK YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
> thankful
> I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3513. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mso8o$8i5$4@207.18.224.130>
Source record: 155423

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 05:13:25 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>

>
>Then why did you have to ask?

Because you made a post, giving out information, that was not based in
fact. You refuse to admit your lies about the thread, and you refuse
to admit you were wrong. He is calling you on it.

As is myself. Come on John, how much loss will the impedance
mis-match really cause, into a standard hobby scanner?

3514. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: -ki5xp-@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6msorf$2ek_002@news1.newscene.com>
Source record: 155424

In article <19980624130254400.JAA07779@ladder03.news.aol.com>, k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:
>John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>takes a crayon out of his mouth and
>scrawls:
>
>>If the coax has been exposed to sunlight for more that 3 or 4 years
>>then you very likely are getting to much like loss.
>
>The man said he had just purchased the antenna and coax. Unless Radio Shack
>stored it outside in the sunlight, that's a pretty stupid suggestion.
>

Just out of curiosity, exactly how is sunlight going to affect the line loss of the coax anyway? Other then the possible degradation of the pvc jacket, I don't see how it would affect the containment of the signal. Only possible solutions might be water invasion through formed pours or through the connectors, but wouldn't that be based on extended, general, "weather related" exposures? And if so, how would sitting in a display window expose the coax to the weather?

Seriously, not flaming, just curious.

Charles

3515. Pro90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjmierrn@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062505492400.BAA06009@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155425

Well duh, I know what a resistor looks like and it is the only one I can see right after I take the case off. Also I,m not going to do something untested and unproven by just a few people.

Rj

3516. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6msok3$8i5$5@207.18.224.130>
Source record: 155426

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 05:12:53 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> No, now John, you made a claim, yet you refuse to offer facts to
>> support it? Why is that?
>
>OK, it would be an SWR of 1.5 to 1.
>
>there it is!
>

And how did you come up with that figure? For a SCANNER.

Support those facts John. For a SCANNER John. Not a transmitter.

>> In the same thread, you asked others to support and substantiate their
>> claims that you were incorrect, yet you refuse to do the same?
>
>Done, now support yours!

What claim did I make about the performance? That hardline would be
better than 213? Do you really doubt that? Surely you are not THAT
stupid.

3517. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: SmokeyMtnBelle <smokeymtnbelle@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6msof9$28t$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 155427
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.hardware,comp.hardware,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hmmmmm--- Best Buy perhaps?

SmokeyMtnBelle

root of all evil wrote in message <3591d252.0@calwebnnrp>...
>In article <3597bfa4.25960145@news.mia.bellsouth.net>,
kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net wrote:
>>On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 04:06:43 +0200, "JeAn" <jan@most-wanted.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>>16 meg 72 pin EDO simms are going for less than that.
>>
>>Just to contribute to this off topic thread, I just got 2 new 32meg
>>72pin edo's for $30 a piece with a $15 rebate each!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>where from ???

3518. Ar8000DX (Unblocked, full cellular) for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron <ar8000@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3591eaa8.0@oracle.zianet.com>
Source record: 155428
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale: Ar8000DX (Unblocked, full cellular). Comes with leather Case, telescopic antennae, 6 piece antennae pack, charger, And Ar8000 Computer control Unit(Cu8232), and shortwave plug-in module. $525obo. Please contact ar8000@swbell.net

3519. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkfel@primenet.com
Message-ID: <3591f5cf.10265076@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 155429

On 23 Jun 1998 16:50:33 GMT, k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

>So, you feel that level of importance is high enough to warrant committing
>illegal acts, such as decoding encrypted communications. Sounds pretty
>hypocritical to me, little man.
>
>
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

Your ignorance is showing. First POCSAG is a open protocol, the particulars of which are available to anyone who wants them. It is NOT an encryption scheme.

Second, it is not illegal to monitor pages intended for you or for a service you pay for. The majority of POCSAG encoders/decoders have filtering ability so you can choose not to break the law.

Third, POCSAG is an FCC approved protocol for Ham Radio use. In fact, Kantronics markets the KPC-9612 with POCSAG RX/TX capability as well as pagers re-crystalled on amateur frequencies.

Fourth, the provisions prohibiting the reception of certain broadcasts under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (a "Lady Godiva" law) fly in the face of the original U.S. Communications Act and various international treaties. The intent of the original act was to place the RF spectrum in PUBLIC TRUST. And the public has the RIGHT OF SCRUTINY of all public trusts. This is why the police were PHOHIBITED from encrypting their transmissions (until recently). Check the CFR for the Posse Commitatus Act.

As far as I can see, the only people who benefit from ECPA are OUTLAWS. The cellular phone and the pager are the main tools of drug dealers, pimps, prostitutes, and the like. The largest cocaine ring in the U.S. used cell-phones and pagers to avoid and evade border inspection stations that were manned with drug sniffing dogs or other drug detection methods. They were discovered only by accident. And only after they had distributed millions of ounces of cocaine in the U.S.

The only reason congress passed ECPA was because of heavy lobbying by the communications providers and to lull consumers into a very dangerous and quite false sense of security.

Only with public scrutiny can we (the citizens) of the U.S. prevent and reduce the use of a public resource for criminal activity. In the

past few years a Phoenix area scanner enthusiast managed to foil a multiple-murder scheme because he was monitoring cordless phone conversations. He managed to tape part of a conversation where two individuals were plotting to murder several people, and in fact, had already poisoned one female. Because they mentioned one of their intended victims by name the police were able to contact him, and identify the two miscreants. Both plead GUILTY to attempted murder charges and are serving long prison terms.

I won't stoop to name calling, as you did. It was uncalled for on your part.

73,

Mark Fellhauer
KC7BXS

3520. Re: Border patrol-FBI freq need.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rmlac123@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062507405200.DAA16848@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155430

No Kidding. The last thing you want is some
Coyote monitoring you working his group
so he can put a bullet in your head, and collect the Mexican lottery.....
Sorry Charlie.....

3521. Re: BC3000xlt Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: p35hp@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062508253301.EAA18435@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155431

In article <1998062201035100.VAA09242@ladder03.news.aol.com>, deforger@aol.com (Deforger) writes:

>The 3000 battery pack is glued together,there are no screws.If i remember
>correctly the 3000
>only charges the battery when the radio is off.
>If you have it plugged in and turned on ,it's not charging it's just suppling
>the radio power

Not so. It's just charging at a lower rate, but still charging none the less. Left on long enough it will over heat the battery .

3522. St. Louisian needs Real Help for Real Player

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: thuskey2@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062508352600.EAA14629@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155432

I was wondering how I would get the freqs for the St. Louis Poilce Department so that I can listen to it with Real Player. Any Ideas?? Please post here or E-Mail me at THuskey2@aol.com

Thanks

3523. NYC Trunked System Freqes; Read it !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: X <fillip@banet.net>
Message-ID: <3591F00F.19CECCC6@banet.net>
Source record: 155433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

So here you go , All public safety you where wondering where is it
hiding in NYC ?

Now the question is ... :
It seems it's imposible to listen to these transmisions in the "old way"
where we are told to scan all the freqes except for the data channel.
All i pick up are relatively weak signals, and not very often
transmistions are held at all.
I don't want to make a mistake buying another scanner for the 3.7 trunk
tracker or the bearcat TT. if that's what it's gonna pick up.
What you guys think about it ?
Thanx for replays
Phil

New York City Motorola Type IIi Analog Mayor's Office and
Public Safety Administrators all use this 15 channel System. It is
operated by the
Department of Information and Technology. Frequencies:
856-860.4375; 856-860.7625, 856-860.9375. Trunktracker Information:

Fleet Map: B0 - S-4, B1 - S-0, B2 - S-12, B4 - S-13 (Note:
b3,b5,b6,b7 are not used); Block 000 = Fleet 3 Block 200 = Fleet 2,
Block 4 = Fleet1
(Note:Fleet 4 is Type II)

Subfleets (Motorola Hex in parenthesis): 000-01 - (3-A) O.E.M.
Alert Channel/Talk to all city agencies, 000-02 - (3-B) Department of
Health, 000-03
- (3-C), 000-04 (3-D) - Bronx Botanical Gardens, 000-05 -
(3-E), 000-06 (3-F), 000-07 - (3-G), 000-08 - (3-H) Fulton Market &
Hunts Point Market Ops,
000-09 - (3-I), 000-10 - (3-J) Buildings Department, 000-11 -
(3-K) NYC Sheriff - Civil Process Ops., 000-12 - (3-L) Manhattan Boro
President, 000-13 -
(3-M) O.E.M. Operations, 000-14 - (3-N), 000-15 - (3-P)
Interagency all sub-fleets, 200-01 - (2-A) Taxi & Limo Commission (TLC)
"Adam", 200-02 -
(2-B) TLC "Boy", 200-03 - (2-C) TLC "Charlie", 200-04 - (2-D)
TLC Admin., 200-05 - (2-E), 200-06 - (2-F) NYC Corrections Radio Shop,
200-07 - (2-G)
NYC Corrections ERU (Emergency Response Unit), 200-08 - (2-H)
NYC Corrections Support, 200-09 - (2-I) Parks Department Admininstration

"Starquest=Comm Stern", 200-10 - (2-J) Juvenile Justice
Transportation, 200-11 - (2-K) NYC Department of Consumer Affairs

Licenses&Inspector,
200-12 - (2-L), 200-13 - (2-M), 200-14 - (2-N) NYC Department
of Animal Control, 200-15 - (2-P) Interagency, 400-01 - (1-A) Department
of
Environmental Protection (DEP) Exec., 400-02 - (1-B) Manhattan
Water & Sewer, 400-03 - (1-C) Bronx Water & Sewer, 400-04 - (1-D)
Brooklyn
Water & Sewer, 400-05 - (1-E) Queens Water & Sewer, 400-06 -
(1-F) Staten Island Water & Sewer, 400-07 - (1-G) Water Tunnel
Administration,
400-08 - (1-H) Water Tunnel N & S, 400-09 - (1-I) Water Tunnel
Planning, 400-10 - (1-J) Air Pollution & Control, 400-11 - (1-K) Water
Night Operations
Emergency, 400-12 - (1-L) Haz-Mat Operations, 400-13 - (1-M)
Sewer Treatment Operations, 400-14 - (1-N), 400-15 - (1-P) Interagency,
8224 - Special
Narcotics Prosecutor, 8256, 8288 - (6-A) FDNY Administration,
8304 - (6-B) FDNY Operations, 8320 - (6-C) FDNY Communications & C.O.
Offices,
8352 - (6-D) FDNY Communications Admin, 8336, 8384 - Child
Welfare Administration, 8432 - D.O.I./FDNY Bureau of EMS Inspections,
8448 -
D.O.I./FDNY Bureau of EMS Inspections, 8464 - D.O.I./FDNY
Bureau of EMS Inspections, 8512 - Housing & Preservation Department.
(H.P.D.),
8528 - H.P.D., 8560, 8608 - FDNY Fire Marshals & Social Club
Task Force, 8640 - D.O.I. Citywide Investigations, 8720 - NYC Housing
Authority
Citywide Maintenance, 8736 - NYC Housing Authority Emergency
Crew, 8752, 8800 - Possibly City Sheriff "S Units", 8704 - Coroner,
8224, 8256, 8368,
8416, 8480, 8544, 8576, 8592, 8624, 8672, 8688, 8768, 8784 -
Appears to be FD or EMS, 9936, 10956

3524. Re: NEW POCSAG DECODER SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <35921238.1687442@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 155434

k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

: "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
:
:>I have another POCSAG program that works through the sound card perfectly.
:
:In another post you claim, and I quote,
:"Being an amateur radio operator IS a level of importance."
:
:So, you feel that level of importance is high enough to warrant committing
:illegal acts, such as decoding encrypted communications. Sounds pretty
:hypocritical to me, little man.

POCSAG transmissions are not encrypted.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

3525. Re: Bc895xlt...JUNK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <3592123e.1692735@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 155435

"Nomad" <NOMAD@NOSPAM.COM> wrote:

:My Scott!Like your limited vocabulary.....learned alot from
:it.....

My Nomad!Like your extended vocabulary.....alot is not a
word in the English language.

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

3526. Re: Scrambled Sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <35921245.1700296@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 155436

"BOSOX" <savy@ma.ultranet.com> wrote:

: Is there a way to unscramble the donald duck sound that comes from the
:cordless phones freqs?
:
:TIA

Switch your scanner to FM mode.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

3527. Re: Garage Door Openers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <3592124a.1705148@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 155437

Dave Comer <dcomer@dmcmicro.com> wrote:

:Anyone know what band garage door openers operate
:at? No, I don't want to listen to them, just wonder what
:their modulation content is.

304 MHz

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

3528. Positioning of RS 20-014 antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-rOv6uYjLEJDK@localhost>
Source record: 155438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mike,

> Who here owns ratshack #20-014

Over here! Just put it up, too. Wondering how best to position that dude for best reception though. Replaced my RS discone and it seems to be immediately recognizable as being a better antenna but I just cannot say for sure. Haven't had it up long enough to say for sure.

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

3529. RS 20-014 antenna positioning ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-qZvbp9ldSfHd@localhost>
Source record: 155439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill,

• ratshack #20-014

Isn't this a directional antenna? How should the uprights be positioned with respect to the horizontal elements? And, in which manner should the antenna itself be positioned for best reception in the 400-512MHz range?

Thanks!

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

3530. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35921092.9547997@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 07:05:22 GMT, hjma@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>And again the prejudice against Americans concerning stupidity has been
>confirmed by all you guys. Grow up!
>
>Marcel
>Holland
>
>In article <35903672.16C3@san.rr.com>,
> bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>>
>> Jeff Ekblad wrote:
>> >
>>
>> FUCK YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
>> thankful
>> I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.
>>
>
>
>-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

Well, Marcel,

There weren't too many of your countrymen calling the Americans stupid
during WW II when the Americans were busy saving your ungrateful asses
from the Germans, were there?

3531. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <35922e70.6060615@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 155441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"hapticz" <hapticz@email.msn.com> wrote:

:why dont people just SHUT uP and listen !

I don't know. What's your excuse?

:kinda like the navy forces, then we could put tha kazoo to most of the fools
:and febrile minded authoritarians. ooooohh!!! the polititians will love
:people that only listen and do their bidding. i love public service too, it
:always revealed to me the true character of a larger segment of the
:observable community at least !!
:
:best regards !!

What happened in your life to cause you to be unable to write properly?
What the hell does "febrile" mean?

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

3532. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <3592309A.E252A212@iname.com>
Source record: 155442

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > K4CC wrote:
> SNIP
>
> > > I can't believe the guy is an actual ham - has anyone ever verified
> > > that? With all the lies he spews I wouldn't be surprised in the least if
> > > he was no ham at all.
>
> No, but someone should. K4CC has demonstrated that all he
> wants to do is cause problems here. His advertising of his
> alliance with MARS only makes someone want to AVOID MARS.
>
> He is probably the type that thinks AOL is an ISP!!

This is what you consider stopping the flames MACKEY?ALL the flames you cause
and the idiotic arguments you come up with for maintaining your presence here
are reason enough for anyone to denounce ham, scanners and ng's.
But, I'm hoping the newbies in here realize, that you are the proverbial "BAD
APPLE"
and that your arguing that you're not, does not make it so.

>

3533. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062512571500.IAA24577@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155443

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>makes a Freudian slip:

>I name "Stuart Upton"

Change "I" to "my" and you get the picture.
BTW if you rearrange the letters of Stuart Upton it spells "trap nuts out", so
now we know it's really Mackey.

Cheers,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3534. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062512572000.IAA28619@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155444

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> has been wetting the bed again:

>> I can't believe the guy is an actual ham - has anyone ever verified that?

Actually, John, that question was directed to me .. about you. Of course, you knew that, but were hoping that if you rearranged quotes, you could make it look like it was about me. Sheesh .. you're as poor at that as you are at forging posts and rogue cancels.

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> takes his thumb out of his mouth long enough to say:

>> His advertising of his alliance with MARS only makes someone want to AVOID MARS.<<

Yes, John, do that. Please avoid MARS at all costs. And stay away from Venus, too, because they don't want you either.

Then John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> had to pass some more gas:

>> He is probably the type that thinks AOL is an ISP!! <<

Actually, I thought it was a candy bar. And I'm not a "type".

Sweet dreams,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3535. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980625125721OO.IAA24586@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155445

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> impersonates Tonto:

>>You constant listing of your amateur call betry your words.

Me not know what "betry" mean, Kimosabe, so me not know how to respond to this.

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> impersonates a box of rocks:

>>Then why did you have to ask?

Because you made a senseless, idiotic claim about signal loss due to an
impedance mismatch. And you still haven't answered, chicklet.

Buh bye,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3536. Re: Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1rts@ns.net
Message-ID: <3591ee8a.46131807@news.ns.net>
Source record: 155446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

CB antennas always seemed to work pretty good for me, esp. for
California CHP, which is on freqs very close to the CB band...
On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 11:43:03 -0400, abc <abc@def.com> wrote:

>Hi there,
>
>I have a Radio Shack mobile magnetic mount celluar-lookalike antenna in
>my car. I just got a PRO-91 handheld scanner as a gift. Assuming I
>obtain the correct adapter, would I be able to get decent (that is,
>better than the stock handheld antenna) reception using the mobile CB
>antenna for the scanner? I'm assuming that the CB antenna is tuned for
>transmitting on the CB frequencies, but will this really matter when I
>am attempting to scan other frequency ranges?
>
>Thanks in advance for your help.
>

3537. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359449b0.1007003@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155447

Just as long as your signal doesn't peak too soon.

3538. PL Numbers, what are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bruste35@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062513082400.JAA25321@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155448

What are the PL numbers that I see in frequency directories and how do you use them?

3539. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: klaro21266@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062513300400.JAA26838@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155449

CAN'T YOU GUYS KNOCK THIS CRAP OFF. MOST OF US DID NOT PUT DOWN THOUSANDS OF
DOLLARS FOR A COMPUTER TO LISTEN TO YOUR FOUR LETTER WORDS.
CANDLEMAKING,
ALT.FIREFIGHTE
SCANNER FREQUENCIES
WEATHER FORECASTING

TORNADO'S
SEVERE STORMS
WEATHER NEWS GROUPS
ALT. MED. EMS
AMUSEMENT PARKS
misc.emerg-services
fire.firefighters
FIRE.EMS
FIRE.BINARIES
ALT.EMERGENCY.SERVICES.DISPATCH

3540. Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <359251BE.83913859@nospam.com>
Source record: 155450

Hi there,

I have a Radio Shack mobile magnetic mount celluar-lookalike CB antenna
in
my car. I just got a PRO-91 handheld scanner as a gift. Assuming I
obtain the correct adapter, would I be able to get decent (that is,
better than the stock handheld antenna) reception using the mobile CB
antenna for the scanner? I'm assuming that the CB antenna is tuned for
transmitting on the CB frequencies, but will this really matter when I
am attempting to scan other frequency ranges?

Thanks in advance for your help.

3541. Re: Funkscanner-verst&#65533;rker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christoph Bauer <Christoph_Bauer@bigfoot.de>
Message-ID: <3592520c.0@news.ka.choin.net>
Source record: 155451
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.markt.comp.hardware,maus.biete,maus.elektronik,maus.hardware,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,z-netz.fundgrube.biete.allgemein,z-netz.fundgrube.biete.elektronik

Gandie@t-online.de schrieb in Nachricht <6mr4tmjuq1@news01.btx.dtag.de>...
>Hallo Leute,
>
>ich Verkaufe ein Funkscanner-verst&#65533;rker
>des Typs "Scanmaster SP-55.
>aktueller Neupreis : 189 DM
>Verkauf gegen Gebot.

```
zehn Mark, wer bietet mehr ? :)
```

3542. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062514023700.KAA03699@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155452

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> writes:

>Congratulations to Ryan Faricy for obtaining his AMATEUR RADIO
>license form the FCC on June 19, 1998!! (just a few days ago)

Quick, everyone! Link arms and form a circle around Ryan so Makcey can't get to him! Here, kid! Here's a life preserver! Okay, now swim as far from Mackey as you can, as fast as you can! Atta boy!!

Only John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> would take something really significant and belittle it:

>Ryan, I encourage you to keep on going, don't stop at
>code-less tech. Keep going to extra.

1.) There is no Amateur license class known as code-less tech. I would have thought that if you're such an active Volunteer Examiner, you would know that. Ryan earned a Technician Class License, and has every right to be very proud of that.

2.) The guy hasn't even had his license a week. Let him relax and enjoy it for a while before you start cramming all your pompous upgrade rantings down his throat.

3.) If he wants to upgrade, he will. If he doesn't, he won't. Either way, he'll still be twice the man you are since he has demonstrated that he's not afraid to admit when he's made a mistake. Of course, you wouldn't know about that, since you don't make mistakes, right?

Fondly,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3543. FS:ICOM R9000,COLLINS 75S3C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Akio Fujikawa <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <3592592E.D3A84B70@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 155453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

ï¿½@
For sale;
1) ICOM IC-R9000 exc.condition with BOX,JA_manual.
400,000yen(about US$2,900-)+shipping
2) COLLINS 75S3-C R/E exc.conditon with manual
230,000yen(about US$1,650-)+shipping
3) COLLINS CP-1 with case
23,000yen(about US$165-)+shipping
4) COLLINS 455FA05 CW-FILTER for 75S3-B/C
26,000yen(about US$190-)+shipping

1)+2)+3)+4)...discount 270,000yen(about US$1,920-)+shipping

I live in kyoto,JAPAN.

Best regards,
73
akio fujikawa
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp
ï¿½@

3544. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359359b2.304807863@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155454

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

klaro21266@aol.com (KLaro21266) wrote:

> CAN'T YOU GUYS KNOCK THIS CRAP OFF. MOST OF US DID NOT PUT DOWN THOUSANDS OF
> DOLLARS FOR A COMPUTER TO LISTEN TO YOUR FOUR LETTER WORDS.

Here is what you do then. Go get a baseball
bat or a stout cudgel or even a plain billy club,
and beat the living snot outa that mongrel
computer of yours. Beat it like you're a
Dutch Uncle......

And then lecture the hell out of it on its
misdeeds for forcing you to read all the stuff
that you dislike. Lecture it on human and
civil rights, including all the basic freedoms.

Lastly, let it KNOW that you are the boss from
here on out and that you will force IT to do
your bidding, and that you will not become a
slave to that wretched machine.

In other words, DON'T read what you dislike!
Use automation and the power of your computer
and software to reject or kill the kinds of
posts that you find offensive. No one "out there"
can possibly to anything to you or your computer
that you don't want done.

Learn how to harness the power of your computer.
Make it do what you want it to do. Stop being
a victim.

Unless you enjoy being victimized........

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

3545. Houston PD Encryption?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-fhJKUi3dZfyn@localhost>
Source record: 155455
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John,

&#65533; Lately I
&#65533; have heard a lot interference that I believe to be "Digital Encryption."

&#65533; Can someone help me identify this? Thanks.

I'm game. How can I help?

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

3546. Re: F@@K YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <35926C3E.C7948702@icdc.com>
Source record: 155456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> The "Clark" system I have seen you all talking about is in a different
>
> city & different state than me! So, I very much encourage the
> "investigation" you speak of!

didnt think that would matter

> I use USA.NET for my mail service. Works great no matter what ISP
> I am on! I have NOT had any involvement with mail bombs like
> you speak of so perhaps peopel should consider it.

well you know that some ISPs have mail services, and some other ISPs
allow you to relay mail, i.e. use their smtp to mail even if you are not
signed on using their access numbers and a valid account on their
servers! Trust me, i have used my school district's mail service to
forge mails to my friends on aol using the from field
'TOSGeneral '
with a few character 160's after it, looks real and did not come through
my isp's mail service. So he could of used the college's mail service,
most schools dont know what they are doing. (my school district's
computers were fixed since i was relaying mail).

3547. allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-LFLiRI1QNS5r@localhost>
Source record: 155457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill,

• The moderator should be Bob Parnass.

Nope.

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

3548. RS 2006 info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfritts@usit.net
Message-ID: <35926a3c.1174516@NEWS.USIT.NET>
Source record: 155458

Hi,

I need the pick up point for the disc in the 2006 and the mod for
using the disc for output to decoder. Bill Cheek posted it but can not
remember the cap size.
Thanks,

Steve

3549. RS 2006 info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfritts@usit.net
Message-ID: <35926a96.1263879@NEWS.USIT.NET>
Source record: 155459

Hi,

I need the pick up point for the disc in the 2006 and the mod for
using the disc for output to decoder. Bill Cheek posted it but can not
remember the cap size.
Thanks,

Steve

3550. Icom IC-R10 or AOR8000: WHAT to Buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: sjd@iname.com
Message-ID: <3592975c.44315522@news.netrover.com>
Source record: 155460
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm deciding between the IC-R10 and the AOR8000 for a portable multiband scanner. Any comments or experiences or advice on either model? Specifically:

- Sensitivity
- Selectivity
- Ease of use/programming
- Interfacing with PC
- Durability
- Ability to scan trunked systems
- General satisfaction with either.

The AOR covers 0.5-1900 Mhz, while the Icom only covers 0.5-1300 Mhz. Is this a selling point? Is there much activity above 1300 Mhz?

The Icom machine is almost 2/3 the cost of the AOR, so THAT is a selling point, but obviously, but price is only one feature.

Or - am I missing some other portable unit with equal or more capabilities/performance?

Thanks!

/Steve

3551. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <3592720B.E848E04C@icdc.com>
Source record: 155461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

i know! i just bought the last pro-67 for $100, normally $270!!! they want to get rid of all the scanners that dont have the TrunkTrakker built in... All are on clearance. But this scanners for $11 is unheard of... cant be true. Radio hovel is standard, every store has the same prices. I bought this one at my local radio hovel but there are 3 others i have to look in for $11 scanners... hope i find one. Amazing for Radio shack whos retail is twice the wholesale... they make a lot! but they pay their employees nil. where is all the $$$ going to?

Jack wrote:

> If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
>
> time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
> marked at $100, the pro-67 was at like $60 and my favorite was the
> pro-508 for $10.99! Been wanting to dedicate a scanner to my favorite
> mdt, and for under $12 it can't be beat!!!!

3552. John Sanders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: *dbenson@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6mtrmc$a8j$1@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155462

John,

I kept getting an invalid DOMAIN name when trying to respond to your post. Is your email jdsand@flash.net?

Doug Benson

3553. FS: MASSACHUSETTS SCANNER MASTER 5th EDITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mc@shore.net
Message-ID: <6mttb4$3ce@northshore.shore.net>
Source record: 155463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have a copy of the 5th Edition of the MA Scanner Master guide that I really don't need up here in Vermont. I'll sell it for $15.00 plus postage. Any takers?

Michael Crestohl
mc@shore.net

3554. FS: Pro-2006 w/opto and more!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: SWW <sww@connect.net>
Message-ID: <35926EE8.7F41BEE1@connect.net>
Source record: 155464
Cross-posted to: dfw.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

The buyer I had fell through, so I'm still selling the following:

Mmint Realistic Pro 2006 (with box) which has a nice bright
display (the backlight is rarely turned on), cell mod, speed mod, and
the following add ons:

Installed Opto 456 (full dfmf and pl decode)
Programmit Interface (installed and mounted on top
of unit)
Discriminator tap and mini plug output on the backof
unit.
Probe 4.0 Software (the best and fastest)

This unit works perfectly an can scan with Probe upwards of 80 cps.
Plugged in to your computer's sound card it can do everything to decode
posag to provide great sound through your computer speakers. It really
is an incredible setup. I purchased it off this group about 2 months
ago and it has worked beautifully. The only reason I'm selling it is
because I picked up an R9000 very cheap.

$500 firm!

3555. Re: F@@K YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rickl@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6ms6jn$41j$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3590B304.1E69@parg.org>,
KA0SSF@parg.org wrote:
>
> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
QSR Bill Cheek @twit.filter.com
> > The problem with this picture is Mackey is being too cool compared to
> > other times he has been accused of things.
> > I really do appreciate the reduction of the flaming on here and I would
> > like to think John has some sense not to be doing such a thing.
QSR
> Remember, 2 days ago I suggested a 7 day "STAY" on all flaming & similar
> activities. I'm sticking to it even if no one else is! (HINT, HINT)
QSR
> > The only way we will know for sure is after Clark finishes their
> > investigation , the person forgot that Clark will be able to track
> > things back through teleports system as all messages going through have
> > an ID number with their source .
QSR
> The "Clark" system I have seen you all talking about is in a different
> city & different state than me! So, I very much encourage the
> "investigation" you speak of!
QSR
> > I am lucky so far have not had the pleasure of a mail bomb like you or
> > any of the others.
>
> I use USA.NET for my mail service. Works great no matter what ISP
> I am on! I have NOT had any involvement with mail bombs like
> you speak of so perhaps peopel should consider it.
>
> Now, to be on topic, does anybody have any info on modifying a Pro-2009
> to receive SSB slow scan TV signals on 20 meters?
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3556. AOR 5000 BRAND NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: daniel.ebberup@snet.net
Message-ID: <6msb9q$b4u$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155470

BRAND NEW AOR 5000 SCANNER/RECEIVER SHORTWAVE TO 2600MHZ
NO BLOCKS 1,395 FIRM PLEASE CALL 860-376-0240

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3557. BC 890XLT For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: BCreasey@juno.com
Message-ID: <3591a59c.1290712@news>
Source record: 155471

A friend made me a great deal on a Realistic Pro-2006 so now I need to sell my Bearcat BC 890XLT scanner. For those of you not familiar with this base/mobile scanner, it has the following features:

1. Turbo Scan - Scan and searches up to 100 channels per second.
2. VFO Control - Turn the variable frequency oscillator tuner to select the desired frequency or channel.
3. 200 Channels - You can program up to 200 frequencies.
4. 10 Banks - Each bank contains 20 channels.
5. 29 - 956 MHz - Frequency range that can be searched (not totally continuous from 29 - 956 MHz).
6. 10 Priority Channels - You can assign one priority channel from each of the 10 banks.
7. Weather Alert - Lets your scanner function as a severe weather warning radio.
8. Auto Store - Automatically stores all active frequencies within the specified bank(s).
9. Auto Sorting - Programmed frequencies are automatically sorted within each bank for faster scanning.
10. Auto Recording - You can record from the scanner onto a tape recorder.

The scanner includes the power supply, original telescoping antenna, and operating manual. This scanner currently sales on the Internet for $259.00. The scanner is in great condition and I am asking $175.00 or best offer.
If you are interested, please e-mail me at the address below.

Bert Creasey
Idaho Falls, ID 83402
E-Mail BCreasey@JUNO.COM

3558. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35919b53.16662053@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155472

On Tue, 23 Jun 1998 23:42:29 -0500, "Dean, Greg" <N9NWO@GTE.net>
wrote:

>>
>>>>I have been told it's possible to use a cell phone as a scanner for the
>>>>800mhz band. I was led to believe it was quite simple on most popular
>>>>cell phones. Can anyone shed some light on this for me? Thank you in
>>>>advance.
>>>
>>>That is the rumour. It sounds logical, because the phone would HAVE to be
>>>able to scan the frequencies to find the cell and/or a clear channel.
>I've
>>>also heard that some of them can be used as two-way units at close range,
>but
>>>haven't been able to find out anything more about it. That one sounds a
>>>little more far-fetched.
>>
>>
>>Sure you can. Its more like a receiver, not a scanner since you have
>>to key in the channel # you want to listen too.
>>A simple web search will tell you how.
>
>I have tried to search the web for this info. Maybe you would show us
>how.
>
>

Why don't you start here.

http://av.yahoo.com/bin/query?p=%22cell+phone%22+%26+%22hack%22&hc=0&hs=0

or..

http://www3.l0pht.com/~oblivion/blkcrwl/cell/

Easy.

3559. Re: Any MODS for the Bearcat SC150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott D Kimes <kimes@hrfn.net>
Message-ID: <35919D4A.36C22C1E@hrfn.net>
Source record: 155473

I just bought one of these scanners also, and would be very greatful for any mod information or uses for this particular scanner. thanks for any help

Ryan wrote:

> Any MODS for the Bearcat SC150?

3560. Re: Need case for Pro-90/BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Fairleigh <mikef@sky.net>
Message-ID: <bjhk1.15$GM4.1047847@alpha.sky.net>
Source record: 155474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Whoever you talked to at Uniden is, as usual, full of it. They sell a very nice glove leather case for about $25 including shipping. I've got one on my 235 sitting next to me right now; it's an absolutely perfect fit. The first case I got for it from another vendor, I didn't like at all and returned.

The correct phone number is not on my invoice, but you can get the phone number at their web site. Follow the links to "Product Support Services" and call that number. You want product code LC120. The price is $19.59 + $5 S&H. Note that their description for this case is for the BC120/220XLT; don't worry, it's the same case.
--
Mike Fairleigh
mikef@sky.net

Ron wrote in message <6mpfjj$1c6$1@suriname.it.earthlink.net>...
>I am looking, with very little luck, for a leather case to fit a Radio Shack
>Pro-90 or BC235XLT (basically same radio). Radio Shack has discontinued this
>item and I have been unable to locate one sitting in a stock room throughout
>4 states so far. I have tried Uniden but they link me to another vendor for
>accessories and they do not carry this item. I have tried various
>combinations of wording through search engines and tried a large number of
>sites that came up with no result.

3561. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062500453000.UAA28096@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155475

ed094@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Robert Edmunds) was left off my twit list long enough to read this garbage:

> It's time you and everyone else on this NG who decide to take it upon
>themselves to contribute absolutely nothing but useless brain diarreaha,
>get lost.

I guess I was absent the day you were appointed high holy guardian of the newsgroup. How'd you manage to beat out Mackey? Guess he must have been busy forging posts.

>Sorry, Bill - you HAVE lost something. You've lost any respect that
>anybody might have had for you on this newsgroup.

Speak for yourself, oh high and mighty one. Don't EVER take it upon yourself to pretend that you speak for me.

>With your radio knowledge, you could have been an asset to this NG.
>Too bad.

And you have contributed .. what? .. to this NG? Other than your pompous crap?

As always,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3562. Expanded HS200 & UBC235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russell Bryant <rusty@hermes.net.au>
Message-ID: <35926DA0.189E235C@hermes.net.au>
Source record: 155476

Can anyone assist with a mod to restore the missing 800 MHz frequencies to the Relm HS200 and the UBC235XLT. As I am in Australia the law regarding this in the US doesn't apply. I need it so I can listen to our trunking band 865-870 MHz.

Thanks

RB

3563. Frequencies for hampton roads area va

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott D Kimes <kimes@hrfn.net>
Message-ID: <35919F9C.4A320EDE@hrfn.net>
Source record: 155477

Does anyone have the frequencies for hampton roads area or in the york county area any info would be helpful.

3564. Re: allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: narc@pacifier.com
Message-ID: <3591a20d.0@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 155478

GEEEZZZZZZZZZZ!

Fucking cry its!

Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:
: No John, lets not change this newsgroup. If you want a moderated NG, start
: one, but leave this one alone.

: Just my 2 cents ...

: Mike

: --
: Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

: michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article
: <358F4D4E.57D9@parg.org>...
:> allsafe wrote:
:>
:> > Nope. You go start your own *moderated* scanner NG. Tell ya what:
: I'll even
:> > nominate you for moderator.
:>
:> allsafe-
:>
:> Usually you only post stupid crap on here only meant to start trouble.
:> But, this time is different!!!! You are probably on to something!
:>
:> Now just last night I proposed a 7 day "stay" on all falming & other
:> type
:> of messages. Most have chosen to ignore the "stay". :(I am going to
:> honor it, so this is NOT a flame. (although none of my messages are
:> flames anyway)
:>
:> Your suggestion of a moderated NG is good! However, rather than start
:> *ANOTHER* newsgroup to be moderated, why not just moderate THIS
:> NG! We could all elect to appoint a moderator!
:>
:> THIS would be the answer to many of the problems. A moderator would
:> help ensure that only on-topic posts are presented & those individuals
:> who post off-topic would be dealt with by the moderator!
:>
:> Even Bill Cheek would support a moderator as indicated by his rein
:> as moderator of scanradio in Fidonet.

:>
:> LETS GO TO A MODERATED SYSTEM ON THIS NEWSGROUP!
:>
:>
:>
:>
:> >
:> > It's won't be difficult for you. In fact, I can only think of a
: couple posts
:> > you'll have to make to keep "law and order":
:> >
:> > 1) Is anybody out there?
:> >
:> > 2) Someone post anything........PLEASE!!!
:> >
:> > Or.........you can hang out in the *unmoderated* side of the
: recreational (rec)
:> > hierarchy, learn to use your filters, and enjoy a location where
: scanner users
:> > regularly post.
:> >
:> > I hope this didn't make you mad.
:> >
:> > Did it?
:> >
:> > Go on;. ....you can speak freely here.
:>

--

3565. Re: FS: Pro-64 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <BFhk1.24$u05.106154@news3.voicenet.com>
Source record: 155479
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.scanner Jack T.Dragon <tdragonn@blarg.net> wrote:

this scanner does not receive 820 to 850 so how can you say it does even
with 800 mod that only fixes cellular

> Recieves on:
> 29- 54mhz FM
> 66- 88mhz FM (after keypad trick)
> 108-137mhz AM
> 137-174mhz FM
> 406-512mhz FM
> 806-956mhz FM (unblocked)

> Has 100 memories in 10 banks. Runs off of 4 AA batteries.
> Included are the AC adaptor / charger, and instruction manual.
> The restoration trick involves soldering SMT components,
> I've allready done that for you. Plus, you can undo the hardware
> mod and then do the keypad mod. "Oops, sorry about resetting it
> like that officer, but as you can see, this can't do cell..." :)

> It works just fine and has no problems.

> Asking $140, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
> to negotiation.

> I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't
> use this at all anymore. I need the money more than I need the
> hobby.

> Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
> end of tdragon in my address to respond,
> tdragonn@blarg.net

3566. Need meters for the following...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062500584600.UAA25889@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155480

I am looking for the following for a Pyramid Phase 111 Model PS-25 power supply.
I need the DC amp meter & DC volts meter for this unit.
If you know of a place to order them or you know of a site of the place that makes these units,
Please e-mail the link to me.
Thank you in advance...
TRAMD300@aol.com

3567. Scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: eb795@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <6ms8dc$g04$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 155481

Hello.

I have a nice Radio Shack PRO-2040 base scanner for sale.

It has 100 channels, 800mHz reception.

In box with manual, antenna, and power supply.

Best offer.

3568. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3592a28f.2492704@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 155482

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 09:53:35 -0500, "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ"
<jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>A repeater transmits ONE signal to MANY receivers, also known as a
>semi-duplex transceiver (you can only receive when you're not transmitting,
>but it uses two frequencies, an input and an output). Amateur radio
>repeaters are examples of this. You don't hear a full-duplex conversation.
>You only hear one side at a time, and when the other is transmitting, he
>cannot receive audio (unless he has a dual-mode transceiver, explained
>below).
>
>You are thinking of a full-duplex (also known as dual-mode) transceiver (you
>can TX and rx at the same time on two frequencies). Cellular and cordless
>phones are perfect examples (very much alike). You don't call a cordless
>phone's base a repeater, nor would you call a cell tower a repeater. It
>doesn't repeat - it only transmits!
>
>There is a difference. But, if you want to get technical and name the person
>calling the cell phone user part of the cellular system, the cell tower
>still cannot be called a repeater, but it can be called a relay transmitter,
>since it relays the calling conversation to the cell phone.

Arrgh.... this is just a matter of semantics. In reality a cell
tower is a repeater, except it's just in phone patch all the time, and
the radio on the tower end is a complex trunked repeater unit instead
of a regular two frequency repeater.

-Mike

3569. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3591a104.2097936@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 155483

On 23 Jun 1998 23:42:28 GMT, cbake777@aol.com (Cbake777) wrote:

>I have an RS PRO60 and have had it for almost 2 years. At first I was trying
>like crazy to find out if it could be modified to receive cellular telephone
>transmissions. Thanks to this newsgroup, I have learned a great deal concerning
>the laws governing the interception of cellular and cordless phone
>conversations. (ECPA). My question (to those of you that have scanners with
>cell capabilities) is: Does the fact that a scanner can receive cell
>transmissions justify the cost of these used scanners? What are the
>interceptions like (broken conversations? One sided?)? Do you have to be near a
>cell site? I figure they are as hard to track as a trunked system...is this
>correct? Just curious.......

Recieving them is easy, you can do it with the duck on your
portable scanner---- BUT...

...unless the guy is sitting in one spot (which does happen, but not
every time) You'll almost never get an entire conversation.

I would agree that they are hard to track, because I think the
"trunking pattern" is determined in an on-the-fly manner.... With
some fancy smancy software, one could probably decode the digital
signal that tells the phone where its going to turn to next.... you
could probably intercept that signal too... but... its not a
simple/cheap matter to do any of the advanced stuff.

-Mike

3570. Re: Positioning of RS antenna # 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062501562000.VAA04731@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155484
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>HTML>
Subject: Positioning of RS antenna # 20-014
>From: chuckiex@ibm.net (Charles McCallister)
>Date: Wed, Jun 24, 1998 11:21 EDT
>Message-id:
>
>Having just exchanged my RS discone for their All-band Monitor #
>20-014, I can discern a distinct advantage of the All-band Monitor.
>Plus, that thing is one large dude!
>
>Anyway, I had a question concerning the positioning of the #20-014 and
>wanted to understand how best to place it for maximum reception. Being
>that the upright portion has three different lengths but runs in a
>parallel manner, I thought it best to place these at right angles to
>the direction I wanted to receive rather than inline. Is this correct
>thinking?
>
>Thanks!
>
>Charles
>
>To reply, remove the "x" in my address
>
>

Charles, I know exactly what you mean. I had one above the TV antenna and rotor & did some checking on this. Not a LOT of effect on high band, but the shadowed direction (looking through the center low band element) was a bit weaker. More effect was obvious on UHF, about a 25% range reduction in the worst (shadowed) direction. Of course the low band was truly omnidirectional. Aim those elements accordingly.
If it's any consolation, even the worst directions are still a bunch stronger than the RS discone I tried on the same pole!
Neutrodyne

3571. ICOM R10-15 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: marcsatan@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062502244400.WAA08944@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155485

ICOM COMMUNICATIONS RECIEVER R10-15 EUROPEAN MODEL NO
GAPS .5-1300mhz BRAND NEW CONDITION BOX,LEATHER CASE,NEW
NEVER USED NI-CADS,BELT CLIP 2 SPARE ANTENNAS,MANUAL,
SELL $$400.00 I'LL PAY SHIP ANYWHERE!! IF INTERESTED PSE E-MAIL

TNX..MARC.

3572. Re: Mobile CB antenna for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6msdc1$26p@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 17:18:32 GMT, dhoy@SpaMOUTnmstc.ca (Doug Hoy)
wrote:

>On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 11:43:03 -0400, abc <abc@def.com> wrote:
>
>>Hi there,
>>
>>I have a Radio Shack mobile magnetic mount celluar-lookalike antenna in
>>my car. I just got a PRO-91 handheld scanner as a gift. Assuming I
>>obtain the correct adapter, would I be able to get decent (that is,
>>better than the stock handheld antenna) reception using the mobile CB
>>antenna for the scanner? I'm assuming that the CB antenna is tuned for
>>transmitting on the CB frequencies, but will this really matter when I
>>am attempting to scan other frequency ranges?
>
>You'll get very good reception on lower frequencies, where CB is, but
>not much on the higher ones. I've tried it.
>

I have to with the same results as this gentleman has......

3573. Central Florida Listeners Groups Changes Repeaters!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Kuziv <kuziv@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <3591BD90.2ADC@magicnet.net>
Source record: 155487

Untill further notice our Nets on Sunday eves have been moved to the
145.110MHZ repeater down 600 due to hardware problems with the 146.820
repeater here in Orlando.If you're in the area check us out or our
website at http://www.swl.net/cflg/
--
****
Mark Kuziv / KC4ZVK
Net Manager C.F.L.G.
Central Florida Listeners Group
http://www.swl.net/cflg/
****

3574. Re: Discriminator squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3592bdb3.25463639@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155488

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 01:02:35 GMT, aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
(Andrew Porrett) wrote:

>
>"Matt Fuller" <fee@global2000.net> wrote:
>
>>Right now my discriminator outputs sound all the time.. Is there a
>>relatively simple way to have it work with the squelch? FWIW its a
>>Pro-2030.
>
>I'd run the discriminator audio through a 4066 analog switch,
>and drive the switch from the scan control line. About as simple
>as it gets.

I'd bet that at the very least, the first part of the digital signal
would get screwed up when the squelch opened.
Some programs that use discriminator output need that static
I understand.

3575. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3597bfa4.25960145@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155489
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.hardware,comp.hardware,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 04:06:43 +0200, "JeAn" <jan@most-wanted.com>
wrote:

>>16 meg 72 pin EDO simms are going for less than that.

Just to contribute to this off topic thread, I just got 2 new 32meg
72pin edo's for $30 a piece with a $15 rebate each!!!!!!!!!!!!

3576. Re: FS: Pro-64 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3598c080.26180147@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155490
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 00:00:48 +0100, "Jack T.Dragon"
<tdragonn@blarg.net> wrote:

>Recieves on:
> 29- 54mhz FM
> 66- 88mhz FM (after keypad trick)
>108-137mhz AM
>137-174mhz FM
>406-512mhz FM
>806-956mhz FM (unblocked)
>
> Has 100 memories in 10 banks. Runs off of 4 AA batteries.
>Included are the AC adaptor / charger, and instruction manual.
> The restoration trick involves soldering SMT components,
>I've allready done that for you. Plus, you can undo the hardware
>mod and then do the keypad mod. "Oops, sorry about resetting it
>like that officer, but as you can see, this can't do cell..." :)
>
> It works just fine and has no problems.
>
> Asking $140, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
>to negotiation.
>
> I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't
>use this at all anymore. I need the money more than I need the
>hobby.
>

I thought it was impossible to mod these scanners, what's the deal it
a VD mod or is it really full coverage?

3577. Re: FS motorola maxtrac 32 ch 800mhz conv. scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3596bee0.25764161@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155491

On 23 Jun 1998 10:24:26 GMT, "J" <ishyguy@idirect.com> wrote:

>
>--
>ishyguy@idirect.com
>
>forsale: a motorola maxtrac conventional 800mhz two-way radio
>has 32 channels. presently being used a high-end scanner
>for the Toronto police. no microphone.
>
>US 300.0 or 410.0 canadian
>
>please e-mail me if your interested

Just saw one of these at the pawn shop for $50. How do you use it as a scanner, can you put the control channels and the talkgroups in the codeplug and use it like a trunktracker?

3578. Weatheradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Wenger <Dwenger@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6msfu1$nvp@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155492

Can anyone tell me who else makes any brand of Tone Alerting Weather Radios, which are designed for residential use, OTHER than Radio Crap ? My old 22 year old Bearcat crapped out and I need to replace it, but I'd rather NOT replace it with RS, if I can help it. Uniden apparently stopped making them, except for built into Radar Detectors, and I don't need to find out if the cops are clocking my house moving.
-- All serious help appreciated
D. Wenger
zzTopDwenger@worldnet.att.net
(you know the drill,delete the band (spammbuster))

3579. FS: 800 mhz scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gr33g@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062503250400.XAA22205@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155493

Realistic pro-34 200 channel handheld, full 800, manual, good condition
$100

Realistic pro-39 200 channel handheld, full 800, manual, nice $130

Terms: Cashiers check or U.S. postal money order prepaid. Prices
include
shipping.

Greg

3580. Re: New POCSAG baud rate?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3599c330.26868124@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155494

On Tue, 23 Jun 1998 10:29:29 -0400, Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
wrote:

>Poc32 just wont decode some of the frequencies. There are low 512 baud
>freqs, twice that for 1200, and twice that frequency for 2400. But there
>seems to be a new baud because the freqs run between 1200 and 2400 bps.
>Anyone have a pocsag decoder that decodes not by assuming baud, but by
>using the beginning tone to decode the rest?
>here are the beginning frequencies, that tone, that begins pager
>signals:
>baud / Hz (about)
>512 / 256
>1200 / 600
>???? / 800 <--- the one i picked up
>2400 / 1200
>
>logically, the freq of 1200 baud (600hz) should be one half of 2400 baud
>(1200hz), and 512baud (256 hz) is proportional- seems that the hertz is
>half the baud. but 800 x 2=1600 whoever heard of this baud as standard?
>but i know that it is the same protocol. i attatched a small sample of
>the frequency. My brothers pager frequency uses that odd baud for all of
>their transmissions. In the sound, first comes a 2400bps, then 1200bps,
>then static, then the baud that i need to know about.

The section at the end sounds like golay, but it could be flex.

3581. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6msg73$n6i$0@207.18.224.45>
Source record: 155495

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 19:15:13 -0700, txjonesy@tranzsport.com (Tom Jones) wrote:

>supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:
>
><Oops - It all got snipped!> Hmmm. Can you spell "Purile"?
>
>Let's see - this bit of wasted bandwith coming from teleport in Portland,
>I'd be tempted to bet that this supton is really jmackie in thin
>disguise...

Chuckle.

When you look at the fact that Stuart Upton is the same name that was "voting" for John as the esteemed moderator of the CB echo on FIDO, when he had never been on FIDO before the day he voted....

And you look that ole Stuart is no where to be found in Dejanews.....

Then you compare Johns posts, with those of the recent upstart Clarence Green, where the prose is identical,

And ole Clarence has never been heard of before, till his posts blasting Bill.

And others, over the months...

It is pretty obvious what John is up to. His reputation precedes him...

3582. Re: Anyone Have Experience With Any of These Dealers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: 207Lillypads@Juno.com
Message-ID: <6msgjvrun3@news.ncal.verio.com>
Source record: 155496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6mpq9k$7vt$1@news-1.news.gte.net>, Lane Gustafson <lg5163@gte.net> wrote:
>Hello,
>I want to buy a BC235XLT scanner. These dealers were found to have the
>best prices on the internet.
>Does anyone have anything good (or bad) to say about them. By the way,
>access the respective BBB's (Better Business Bureau) and was unable to
>find these dealers names in their listings, hence my resorting to asking
>those that monitor these NG's.

<<SNIP>>

HAve you tried Amateur Radio Electronics 800 558 0411 ?

Ed WB6SAT

REPLY INFO:
==
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "lillypads" from my return address.
==

3583. Re: IC-Q7A Scan Speed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alf Sauve' <alf.sauve@mci.com>
Message-ID: <Vzjk1.1213$Ux2.89028@pm01nn>
Source record: 155497

Ken, I don't think anyone (maybe a privileged few) have the "A" yet.
I just got word from ICOM it'll be shipping in a few more days. FCC
approval was 6/10. But maybe one of our European or Australian
brethren can tell you. There've been several posts by people with Q7s
in those countries.

Alf

Kenneth wrote in message <35918fa6.0@news3.ibm.net>...
>Icom's web site does not include this info. Does anyone know this
>information on their new wide band dual bander handheld? Thanks in
advance,
>Kenneth.
>
>

3584. IC-Q7A update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alf Sauve' <alf.sauve@mci.com>
Message-ID: <qBjk1.1268$Ux2.89974@pm01nn>
Source record: 155498

The "A" American model will be shipping in just a few more days. The FCC approval was issued on 6/10 and ICOMs tech support confirmed that they just needed a few more days before they started shipping.

Alf

--
==========
Alf Sauve - W4ADS
==========

3585. Re: Scanner listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <359ac9ae.28530973@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155499
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 24 Jun 1998 15:21:22 GMT, chuckiex@ibm.net (Charles McCallister)
wrote:

>John H.,
>
>I am contemplating purchasing the last two PRO-508s for use in tapping
>the discriminators hoping to be able to utilize the trunking software
>and these two scanners to accomplish the same thing as using a
>BC235XLT or BC895XLT. However, I now realize that these PRO-508 models
>can receive no signal above 512MHz and had second thoughts.
>
>Would you know if I can do what I envision with these PRO-508s or not?

Nope, I'm using mine for my local pd's mdt which is on 470mhz.

3586. CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3591CC96.6722@parg.org>
Source record: 155500

Congratulations to Ryan Faricy for obtaining his AMATEUR RADIO
license form the FCC on June 19, 1998!! (just a few days ago)

Ryan, that is a task that many people desire, but far fewer
obtain!

Ryan, I encourage you to keep on going, don't stop at
code-less tech. Keep going to extra. I am a VERY
active VE for amateur radio test under both the ARRL
& W5YI, & I have seen MANY people in my years of testing
having to start over because they progressed part way up
the license ladder & stopped. Don't let that happen to you.
I am probably one of the most active VE's in the United States
& enjoy being a VE, so once you get a higher class
license you can do it too!

3587. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3591C940.7D14@parg.org>
Source record: 155501

Blake Bowers wrote:

> Stuart Upton is the same name, as the forged
> posts back in FIDO, that voted for John for
> Moderator of the CB radio echo.
>
> One of the many reasons John left Fido.

No, Blake, I name "Stuart Upton" never appeared
on the echo you mention in the time of the elections.

The only thing I could find that comes even close
is during that election you made several posts
that caused trouble which caused the RC
to have to intervene & provide actions to cause you
to cease! You were told that if you ever did it
again you would be kicked off.

After all the trouble you caused, I pulled from
the election. giving the moderatorship to Jerry
by default. He then made me assistant moderator
& you never caused problems in that echo again!
(Infact, you were hardly on the echo)

I remained as the assistant moderator of that
echo for about a year & 1/2. Then I left
my position & retired from Fidonet to take
on bigger & better things on the Internet, as many
have done! (Including your hero Bill Cheek!)

Now lets get back on topic!

Does anyone have any ideas about converting a Bearcat 250
to receive WWV signals?

3588. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3591C9CD.2F3E@parg.org>
Source record: 155502

Phil Smalley wrote:

> K4CC wrote:
SNIP

> I can't believe the guy is an actual ham - has anyone ever verified
> that? With all the lies he spews I wouldn't be surprised in the least if
> he was no ham at all.

No, but someone should. K4CC has demonstrated that all he
wants to do is cause problems here. His advertising of his
alliance with MARS only makes someone want to AVOID MARS.

He is probably the type that thinks AOL is an ISP!!

3589. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3591CDFC.765C@parg.org>
Source record: 155503

Blake Bowers wrote:

> When you look at the fact that Stuart Upton is the same name that was
> "voting" for John as the esteemed moderator of the CB echo on FIDO,
> when he had never been on FIDO before the day he voted....

> It is pretty obvious what John is up to. His reputation precedes
> him...

No, Blake, I name "Stuart Upton" never appeared
on the echo you mention in the time of the elections.
(I guess YOUR reputation precedes YOU!)

The only thing I could find that comes even close
is during that election you made several posts
that caused trouble which caused the RC
to have to intervene & provide actions to cause you
to cease! You were told that if you ever did it
again you would be kicked off.

After all the trouble you caused, I pulled from
the election. giving the moderatorship to Jerry
by default. He then made me assistant moderator
& you never caused problems in that echo again!
(Infact, you were hardly on the echo)

I remained as the assistant moderator of that
echo for about a year & 1/2. Then I left
my position & retired from Fidonet to take
on bigger & better things on the Internet, as many
have done! (Including your hero Bill Cheek!)

Now lets get back on topic!

Does anyone have any ideas about converting a Bearcat 250
to receive WWV signals?

3590. Re: FS: 2 8Meg, 72pin, EDO RAM $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: root@localhost.com
Message-ID: <3591d252.0@calwebnnrp>
Source record: 155504
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.hardware,comp.hardware,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3597bfa4.25960145@news.mia.bellsouth.net>, kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net wrote:
>On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 04:06:43 +0200, "JeAn" <jan@most-wanted.com>
>wrote:
>
>>>16 meg 72 pin EDO simms are going for less than that.
>
>Just to contribute to this off topic thread, I just got 2 new 32meg
>72pin edo's for $30 a piece with a $15 rebate each!!!!!!!!!!!!

where from ???

3591. Re: More attempted Rogue Cancels in rec.radio.scanner originating from Chemeketa Community College

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jack@dharma.gov
Message-ID: <3598d101.49186463@news.alt.net>
Source record: 155505
Cross-posted to: news.admin.censorship,rec.radio.nudism,rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,alt.xcom.sucks,alt.fan.karl-malden.nose,demon.local,alt.forgery

```
All cancels except those issued by the
original author are 'Rogue'.

Steve
news.admin.censorship
```

3592. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc5egg@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <6msipp$6jd@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In <3591BC8F.40A2@efn.org> "David G. Hunt KB6JAW" <dhunt@efn.org>
writes:
>
>Mr. Clem wrote:
>
><snip!>
>
>> Just because you are able to dispatch aid to someone who may or may
not
>> need it does not negate the fact you came about this information
while
>> breaking a federal law. (IE - listening to cordless phones)
>
>Cordless phones are specifically exempted.
>
>Have a nice day.

Not since the modifications to the ECPA in the last congress. All
cordless phone are now covered.

3593. For Sale: Alinco DJ-X10 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KreageR <kreager@usa.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9ff1$6b3aeb00$3aa92581@sadf.sdfasd>
Source record: 155507

I am selling my 3 month old Alinco DJ-X10 wideband receiver.

Mint condition, many features.

email me if interested or want more info.

Price range about US$300, negotiable.

Thanks

--
kreager@usa.net

3594. Re: FS motorola maxtrac 32 ch 800mhz conv. scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6msjr8$24v$0@207.18.224.136>
Source record: 155508

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 03:10:28 GMT, kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net (Jack)
wrote:

>On 23 Jun 1998 10:24:26 GMT, "J" <ishyguy@idirect.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>--
>>ishyguy@idirect.com
>>
>>forsale: a motorola maxtrac conventional 800mhz two-way radio
>>has 32 channels. presently being used a high-end scanner
>>for the Toronto police. no microphone.
>
>Just saw one of these at the pawn shop for $50. How do you use it as a
>scanner, can you put the control channels and the talkgroups in the
>codeplug and use it like a trunktracker?

Notice, he said it was a CONVENTIONAL 800 Mhz two way. Not a
trunking radio.

3595. Re: Weatheradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Brkk1.41$KS4.323713@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 155509

I know you dont want to know about Radio Crap, but the Pro-2045 has a weather alert mode and if I am not mistaken, it is a Uniden in sheep's clothing, although I cant remember which model it is similar to. It might also be a GRE but I think the last time I opened it up, it had Uniden markings on it. I also understand that Radio Shack is dropping the prices on these units. You also get CTCSS and a triple conversion scanner out of it. It might be worth a look despite its legacy of being from Radio Shack.

Dennis Wenger wrote in message <6msfu1$nvp@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>...
>Can anyone tell me who else makes any brand of Tone Alerting Weather
>Radios, which are designed for residential use, OTHER than Radio Crap ? My
>old 22 year old Bearcat crapped out and I need to replace it, but I'd
>rather NOT replace it with RS, if I can help it. Uniden apparently stopped
>making them, except for built into Radar Detectors, and I don't need to
>find out if the cops are clocking my house moving.
>-- All serious help appreciated
>D. Wenger
>zzTopDwenger@worldnet.att.net
>(you know the drill,delete the band (spammbuster))

3596. Re: Steps and Cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven Peck <steven.peck1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <oykk1.367$av5.354881@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 155510

Gordon Shumway wrote in message <6msg79$68o$6@news.bconnex.net>...
On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 18:14:00 -0600, Ryan wasted 22 lines babbling
about Steps and Cordless phones:

>Also, if a scanner has 50khz steps, and a frequency is at say
>901.0501mgz will my scanner pick it up?

Very few will tune that finely. You may get 901.050 though, no problem
if your scanner covers 900 Mhz. As for "steps" you seem to have missed
the concept. That's usually for searches. No scanner manufacturer
should ever make steps so wide though. It should go as low as 5 khz.
If you have finer tuning than that, then you're really at an
advantage. One scanner/shortwave (it has a WIDE coverage) can tune as
fine as several HERTZ, not kilohertz.But they're asking many thousands
of dollars, U.S. so it's out of my reach :(

Not sure if you know this but PCR-1000 can do 1hertz increments, and I
think WinRadio can do it as well. They are priced under $1000.

3597. PRO-2066

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdeviney@ipa.net
Message-ID: <3591d2de.8460101@news2.ipa.net>
Source record: 155511

Anybody know if this is fact or fiction?

>From a note on Compuserve...

"The RS PRO-2066 TrunkTracker mobile (due in Oct) will retail at only $219.95. Eventually, when it goes on sale, it'll only be around 10% off. This is a new RS policy of more reasonable pricing to move more product when stuff isn't on sale. The TT will have the regular Service
Scans PLUS Public Service, DOT and CB. The channel AND freq will be displayed while in the CB Service Scan. No word yet whether this will happen during the Marine Service Scan."

CATHY

3598. Trunk tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ Freeman <jrf9949@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>
Message-ID: <3591D365.5526C6E7@garnet.acns.fsu.edu>
Source record: 155512

My local PD has recently switched to an 800mHz trunked system. Are there mods or add-ons for a trunk-tracking system or do I have to drop a couple hundred bucks on a new Bearcrap scanner?

3599. Re: cellular, all it's cracked up to be??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdeviney@ipa.net
Message-ID: <3591d6b8.9445396@news2.ipa.net>
Source record: 155513

I have a BC890XLT and BC200XLT...cellular images come in loud and
clear, sometimes one side, sometimes both sides. And as the cell
phone travels, it is handed off to other towers, so the conversation
is lost. If someone wants to listen to cellular, seems like they
should just get a double conversion scanner, and listen to images.

My question (to those of you that have scanners with
>cell capabilities) is: Does the fact that a scanner can receive cell
>transmissions justify the cost of these used scanners? What are the
>interceptions like (broken conversations? One sided?)? Do you have to be near a
>cell site? I figure they are as hard to track as a trunked system...is this
>correct? Just curious.......

3600. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <3593b2cb.4449337@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 155216
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"hapticz" <hapticz@email.msn.com> wrote:

:why dont people just SHUT uP and listen !

I don't know. What's your excuse?

:kinda like the navy forces, then we could put tha kazoo to most of the fools
:and febrile minded authoritarians. ooooohh!!! the polititians will love
:people that only listen and do their bidding. i love public service too, it
:always revealed to me the true character of a larger segment of the
:observable community at least !!
:
:best regards !!

What happened in your life to cause you to be unable to write properly?
What the hell does "febrile" mean?

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

3601. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n0cbu$pkk@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155217

(Please read in full before responding.)

Ah, but AOL DOES have NNTP news servers. That is how all of the message boards are handled. It also has SMTP (you can send mail through AOL's server as long as you're a member). POP3 is going to be installed within the months. You are correct in one area, however. You cannot use an external application to dial in to AOL to get full access. AOL does however, let you connect to another online service (say, CompuServe) and it can use the connection to access AOL.

AOL does provide an IP address because you can use external Internet browsers, such as Netscape, Internet Explorer, Opera, etc. to browse outside/external of AOL.

You are wrong about CompuServe, though. CompuServe DOES CREATE a Winsock Dial-Up connection in the "Dial Up Networking Folder". Also, CompuServe does have FULL POP3, SMTP, and NNTP access. CompuServe IS an Internet Service Provider. It is NOT AOL.

I've been a member of CompuServe for MANY YEARS, and I've got POP3, SMTP, and NNTP all set up with Netscape and Outlook.

Please take a look at the facts before you post erroneous information about these two online services with which I've been a member for several years.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote in message <3592B226.24D9@parg.org>...
>Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>
>> Ah, but AOL IS an ISP. It is a service, it provides, and it provides
>> Internet.
>
>No, not true, sorry!
>
>An ISP is a service provicer that offers internet service following
>standard internet protocols (TCP/IP connection via SLIP or PPP,
>and usually offers the following of POP3 and SMTP mail service,
>and NNTP news service.
>
>AOL is their own SEPERATE online service that offers a gateway to
>the internet, but they are NOT the internet themselves!

>
>To test this, try using a standard "trumpet dialer" or the
>"dial up networking" to access AOL. You can't do it! AOL, since
>they are NOT an ISP, uses their own seperate connection method which
>specifically requires you to use THEIR software to access their network.
>Once you use the AOL dialer to accesse AOL, you can't use a regular
>internet mail program to pull or send your AOL mail, & you can't
>use a internet news program to view newgroups thru AOL. Again, this
>is because they are their own seperate online service (like Fidonet or
>Compuserve) that does not follow Internet protocols.
>
>
>
>
>
>>
>> So simple, yet not quite for some...

3602. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n0cbv$pkk@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155218

A point well-made!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Mike Derryberry wrote in message <01bda0e1$65042c60$a41637a6@default>...
>But they still provide internet access, hence indirectly they are an ISP
>
>Mike
>--
>Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!
>
>michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com
>
>John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article
><3592B226.24D9@parg.org>...
>> Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>>
>> > Ah, but AOL IS an ISP. It is a service, it provides, and it provides
>> > Internet.
>>
>> No, not true, sorry!
>>
>> An ISP is a service provicer that offers internet service following
>> standard internet protocols (TCP/IP connection via SLIP or PPP,
>> and usually offers the following of POP3 and SMTP mail service,
>> and NNTP news service.
>>
>> AOL is their own SEPERATE online service that offers a gateway to
>> the internet, but they are NOT the internet themselves!
>>
>> To test this, try using a standard "trumpet dialer" or the
>> "dial up networking" to access AOL. You can't do it! AOL, since
>> they are NOT an ISP, uses their own seperate connection method which
>> specifically requires you to use THEIR software to access their network.
>> Once you use the AOL dialer to accesse AOL, you can't use a regular
>> internet mail program to pull or send your AOL mail, & you can't
>> use a internet news program to view newgroups thru AOL. Again, this
>> is because they are their own seperate online service (like Fidonet or
>> Compuserve) that does not follow Internet protocols.
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>> >
>> > So simple, yet not quite for some...
>>

3603. Re: Ant. for aircraft freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3594b49d.4024162@news.erols.com>
Source record: 155219

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 11:20:18 -0400, C Riecke <criecke@charleston.net>
wrote:

>Anyone know of a compact beam cut for the 108-137 Mhz aero band?

I don't know how critical your needs are, however, if you're looking
for a cheap and dirty solution, try a TV antenna mounted vertically.
You'd be surprised how well it works.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3604. Atlanta Trunking page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6n0dbu$o8e$2@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155220

For Metro Atlanta area trunking, check out my page at
www.mindspring.com/~mm505

/\/\|k.e

3605. Re: transmitting on police band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24337-3593B104-1@newsd-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155221

Dave,
Now I'm confused. When I was studying for the Technican test I
was using Gordon West's books. He said in one of the answers, in an
emergency anything goes. But transmitting on the Police Band with your
modified HT is "taboo". Whatever that means.
73 de KC2BTP

3606. Re: LIVE NOAA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6n0er5$2uf6$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 155222

mitbr wrote in message <6mufq4bum1@news-1.news.gte.net>...
>Hi!! I now have three new live noaa weather radio broadcasts on the
net via
>real audio please goto my web page click on the real audio page and
radio
>page and enjoy!! http://home1.gte.net/mitbr/mitbr.htm

How many days in a row are you going to plug your website?

3607. Re: transmitting on police band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <nf2g@ggw.org>
Message-ID: <6n0er7$2uf6$2@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 155223

>Stephen Goeller Jr wrote in message
<24337-3593B104-1@newsd-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
>Dave,
> Now I'm confused. When I was studying for the Technican test
I
>was using Gordon West's books. He said in one of the answers, in an
>emergency anything goes. But transmitting on the Police Band with
your
>modified HT is "taboo". Whatever that means.
>73 de KC2BTP

It means that some people are not competent to decide what is truly an "emergency", so we tell everyone that they should NEVER do certain things. Then the smart ones know when NEVER happens and will do what is lawful and necessary under the circumstances.

73 de Dave, NF2G

3608. FOR SALE: ALINCO DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KreageR <lug3n@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <01bda11e$30dca7e0$f5a22581@asdf.asdf>
Source record: 155224

I am selling my 3 month old Alinco DJ-X10 wideband receiver.

Mint condition, many features.

email me if interested or want more info.

Price range about US$300, negotiable.

Thanks

--
kreager@usa.net

3609. FS: BEARCAT 142XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mozilla2@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n0nm6$ih9$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155225

For Sale: BearCat 142XL Scanner, New in box, modified for Satellite WX reception by SSC, $155

Sue N6HIM
sue@kmat.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3610. FS: PRO-2030 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mozilla2@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n0nshirc1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155226

For Sale: Radio Shack PRO-2030 80 channel scanner, New in box, $155

Sue N6HIM
sue@kmat.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3611. Re: PL Numbers, what are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wells@ralvm.rtp.com>
Message-ID: <35937BF6.A91A766D@ralvm.rtp.com>
Source record: 155227

BRUSTE35 wrote:
> What are the PL numbers that I see in frequency directories and how do you use
> them?

See the section titled 'CTCSS & DCS' in the FAQ listed below.

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Users FAQ : http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA columnist

3612. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3593B102.8505B983@netwit.com>
Source record: 155228

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> Oh to stay on topic, do you think if a radio shack discone were shoved
>
> up mackeys butt we would be able to receive satellite signals?

It would work, but your data stream would be nonsense. I wouldn't
trust it.

3613. BETHLEHEM, PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: e002@Lehigh.EDU
Message-ID: <6n0m69$12q2@ns3-1.CC.Lehigh.EDU>
Source record: 155229

I am looking for the frequencies and trunk tracking ID codes for the City of Bethlehem, PA system.

3614. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35940315.C42D3F07@swbell.net>
Source record: 155230

Same here , wouldn't use less than 7/8 inch for a 450 where a long run was required.
I don't know of many repeaters that are mounted close enough to the antenna system where you could get away with using 1/2 inch.
The price diference is not all that great and it does not pay to cut corners when installing a repeater.

Some will spend $100.00 to $200.00 for a 9db gain antenna and then go the cheap route on the cable not realizing that at uhf frequencies there is a significant signal loss and the 9db gain antenna is now only a 5db gain antenna.

I have been looking at LMR COAX, basic information and pricing can be found here http://www.texastowers.com/lmr.htm
I am not completely sure about it being able to replace hardline and yet be flexible , I have to do some more research on it as I don't know anyone that is currently using it.

The price of the cable starts at .95 Per foot and goes up to 4.00 per foot and the connector pricing is within the range you would normally pay for hardline connectors .

3615. Re: Uniden's Web Page (Where is it?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3594085A.B0EAAEAD@swbell.net>
Source record: 155231

Here is the url for Uniden http://www.uniden.com/

3616. Re: BETHLEHEM, PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marty(Smiley) Schuylkill Co. Pa." <martyrip@ptdprolog.net>
Message-ID: <3593E8AC.704E@ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 155232

```
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
\___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

Northampton County PA frequencies

From: pompeii@epix.net
Newsgroups: phl.scanner
Subject: Re: Northampton County Pa. 911
Date: Mon, 01 Sep 1997 15:35:14 GMT

Northampton County is currently using the assignments for Blue
Mountain Control (aka Wind Gap Borough).

Frequencies:
--------------------
F1 - 154.860R/158.970 PL186.2 - Police
F2 - 154.055R/158.895 PL186.2 - Ambulance and Fire - North - 500.7375
F3 - 154.025R/158.940 PL186.2 - Road Crews
F4 - ???.???
154.385 - Fireground
F6 - 155.475 C.SQ - National
154.3400 136.5 - Fire & Ambulance - Northampton County South
154.3850 - Fire Ground
500.6875 - Fire & Ambulance
500.7375 - Fire & Ambulance
500.7375R/503.7375 203.5 - Fire & Ambulance - North - 154.055
501.4375R/504.4375 192.8 - Fire & Ambulance - South - 154.340
504.4125 203.5 - Fire & Ambulance
155.1000 110.9?- Fire & Police -Wilston
154.9800 - Fire & Police - Palmer Township
158.8200 - Fire & Ambulance - Easton - Station 20
500.3875R/503.3875 203.5 - Police
500.4350 - Police
500.5125R/503.5125 203.5 - Police - 154.860
500.5375 - Police
504.6650 203.5 - Police
155.4750 C SQ. - Police - National
155.6100R/158.910 173.8 - Police - Bethlehm Township
158.7300R/???.??? - Sheriff
460.0500R/465.0500 - Sheriff
460.0875 - Shefiff

Police Unit Numbers:
---------------------
1 - Wind Gap
```

2 - Plainfield Twp
3 - Bushkill Twp
4 - Pen Argyl
5 - Nazareth
6 - Bangor
7 - East Bangor
8 - Moore Twp.
9 - Forks Twp
50 - Bushkill
100 - Tatamy
200 - Upper Mt Bethel Twp
300 - Washington Twp
500 - Upper Nazareth
600 - Roseto
700 - Portland
800 - Lower Mt Bethel
900 - Colonial Regional PD
G - Game Comm.

Fire Unit Numbers:
---
17 - Bethlehm Twp.
27 - Palmer
52 - Bushkill Twp.
53 - Hecktown
Hope this helps!

BTW, I'm working on a scanning page for the Lehigh Valley area and have been looking for other scanner listeners in the area with information to share. If you're interested in helping, please let me know via e-mail.

pompeii@epix.net
==========
System: Bethlehem, City of
County: Northampton
Type: II
Use: Bethlehem Police, Fire, EMS & Public Works Departments
Site 1
Freq Voice Control
855.9625 X X
854.9875 X X
854.6625 X X
852.5875
852.1375

Site 2
Freq Voice Control
868.9125 x x
868.4250 x x
867.9000 x x

867.4375
866.8750
866.1875

Bethlehem City 800 Mhz National Conventional Frequencies
Freq Chan Use Tone
866.0125 R CALLING National Calling Repeater ?
866.5125 R TAC-1 National TAC-1 Repeater ?
867.0125 R TAC-2 National TAC-2 Repeater ?
867.5125 R TAC-3 National TAC-3 Repeater ?
868.0125 R TAC-4 National TAC-4 Repeater ?

TRUNKTRACKER CODES
UNIDEN User
ID
624 Paramedics
816 1200 units
880 Police TAC
976 Fire
1232 Police Dispatch
1424 Police
1744 Lehigh University Campus Security
??? Bethlehem Area School District Security
??? Moravian College Campus Security

What to listen for
Here is some information that might be useful while listing to
Bethlehem's trunked radio system.

The fire department and EMS are dispatched on either an
alpha, bravo,
charlie, or delta response to a call. A delta response
is the most rapid
response, an alpha response is the most cautious.

Moravian College campus security, Lehigh University
campus security,
and Bethlehem Area School District security all use
Bethlehem's trunked
radio system.

Here is a list of unit numbers you will hear, and what
department uses
them:

100's and 200's - Police Department (Unit 200 is theComm. Center)
300's - Fire Department (?)
500's - Moravian College Campus Security
700's - Paramedics
800's - Lehigh University Campus Security
900's - Bethlehem Area School District Security

Bethlehem's Radio Codes
Here is a list of radio codes used in Bethlehem.

10-1 - End of shift/Out of service
10-2 - Clear
10-3 - On scene
10-4 - Message recieved
10-6 - Responding (fire dept.)
10-7 - On scene (fire dept.)
10-9 - Enroute to base
10-10 - Repeat last transmission
10-11 - License plate check
10-12 - Call _______ by phone
10-13 - Call is clear (all units clear from scene)*
10-20 - Give current location
10-39 - Cancel response
10-42 - Prepare to copy information on wanted person

Code 19 - Domestic Disturbance
28 - Fall Victim
33 - Disturbing the peace
36 - Parking Violation
48 - Disabled Vehicle
56 - Accident without injures
57 - Accident with injuries
302 - Mental patient

* The fire department uses "10-13" to indicate that
they have completed
their taskes on scene and all units have cleared
from the scene and are
returning to quarters.

Send addition or changes
To N3PTU@WB3FYL.EPA.PA.USA.NA
OR
martyrip@prolog.net

Frequency City Se Dba Callsign
00.00000000 HACKETS PARK TR TWIN COUNTY TRANS VIDEO INC WHZ315
00.00000000 NEAR NORTHAMPTON TR TWIN COUNTY TRANS VIDEO INC WAJ965
00.00000000 NORTHAMPTON TR TWIN COUNTY TRANS VIDEO INC WAJ965
00.00000000 WIND GAP TR SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV WGK599
30.76000000 EASTON IB MAMMANO, THOMAS KWD486
33.38000000 BETHLEHEM IP COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION KGC208
33.9800 WYDNOR PF LOWER SAUCON, TOWNSHIP OF KUQ786
35.06000000 BETHLEHEM IB A J TRUNZO INC KFT691
35.18000000 BETHLEHEM IB INTERNATIONAL MILL SERVICE WNRZ391
35.34000000 EASTON CD LEHIGH COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KPD831
35.34000000 EASTON CD LVMT ACQUISITION KNKO225
35.34000000 PENN ARGYL CD LEHIGH COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KWU325

35.34000000 PENN ARGYL CD LVMT AQUISITION CORPORATION KNKO266
35.48000000 PEN ARGYL IS SKUBA, KEN WNUE666
35.74000000 NAZARETH IS AGWAY INC KNBJ960
35.85000000 PEN ARGYL IB PANUCCIO CONSTRUCTION INC KGO558
35.90000000 BETHLEHEM IB WAYLITE CORP WNRZ392
35.92000000 BETHLEHEM IB BROWN, DARREYL D WNLI227
35.96000000 BATH IB KISTLER, GEORGE H WNDZ722
35.96000000 EASTON IB CHRIN, CHARLES:CHRIN, KGI713
37.54000000 EASTON IW METROPOLITAN EDISON CO KGA604
37.54000000 PORTLAND IW METROPOLITAN EDISON CO KGG625
37.54000000 STROUDSBURG IW METROPOLITAN EDISON CO KGA312
37.56000000 EASTON IW METROPOLITAN EDISON CO KGA604
37.56000000 PORTLAND IW METROPOLITAN EDISON CO KGG625
37.56000000 STROUDSBURG IW METROPOLITAN EDISON CO KGA312
37.62000000 EASTON IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KJS590
37.70000000 EASTON IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KJS590
37.74000000 EASTON IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KYA327
37.86000000 EASTON IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KJS590
38.85000000 PEN ARGYL IB PANUCCIO CONSTRUCTION INC KGO558
42.60000000 BETHLEHEM PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH326
43.06000000 FLICKSVILLE IS TOLINOS FUEL SERVICE INC KLG749
43.22000000 EASTON CD METROMEDIA PAGING SERVICES, KGC223
45.9200 EASTON PS RIEGELSVILLE EMERGENCY KSP246
47.04000000 NEWBURG PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGB676
47.16000000 NEWBURG PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGB676
47.28000000 NEWBURG PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGB676
47.30000000 NEWBURG PH PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KGB676
47.4200 BETHLEHEM PS AMERICAN NATIONAL RED CROSS KOB870
47.4200 EASTON PS AMERICAN NATIONAL RED CROSS KUS573
47.5000 ACKERMANVILLE PS ACKERMANVILLE VETERINARY KNAD749
47.60000000 EASTON IS BEAN INC KGC653
47.64000000 PEN ARGYL IS J H BEERS INC KGC627
48.36000000 NORTHAMPTON IW NORTHAMPTON BOROUGH KAZ274
48.80000000 HELLERTOWN IP INTERSTATE ENERGY COMPANY KYX800
48.80000000 MARTINS CREEK IP INTERSTATE ENERGY COMPANY KYX800
49.50000000 HELLERTOWN IP INTERSTATE ENERGY COMPANY KYX800
49.50000000 MARTINS CREEK IP INTERSTATE ENERGY COMPANY KYX800
72.02000000 BANGOR IB TEASDALE, RICHARD W WNXC489
72.04000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB PAGECAST WNXY939
72.18000000 BUTLER IX HARSCO CORPORATION KB92849
72.22000000 BETHLEHEM IX BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KB82778
72.54000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC WNJG987
72.78000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC WNMY895
72.80000000 EASTON IB MADSEN, PETER J WNZL709
75.42000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB TEASDALE, RICHARD W WNWF500
75.58000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC WNJG987

75.58000000 UPPER MT BETHEL TWP IB ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC WNLM486
75.58000000 UPPER MT. BETHEL TWP CD ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS COMPANY, KNKD990
75.64000000 UPPER MT BETHEL TWP IB ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC WNLM486

75.80000000 EASTON IS WORTHY BROS PIPELINE CORP WRQ47
75.84000000 EASTON IB MADSEN, PETER J WNZL709
75.90000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC WNMY895
75.96000000 UPPER MT BETHEL TWP IB ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC WNLM486
75.96000000 UPPER MT. BETHEL CD ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO., KDS328
123.00000000 EASTON AF BRADENS FLYING SERVICE INC KRQ2
129.22500000 ALLENTOWN AF AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC KLT3
150.83000000 EASTON LA DALRYMPLE, ELROYDE WQL975
150.9950 BETHLEHEM PH HANOVER, TOWNSHIP OF WZX570
151.02500000 WIND GAP PH WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF WPEN808
151.16000000 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KMA508
151.17500000 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KMA508
151.29500000 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KMA508
151.3400 NAZARETH PL LOWER NAZARETH, TOWNSHIP OF WMWV784
151.38500000 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KMA508
151.40000000 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KMA508
151.44500000 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KMA508
151.53500000 NAZARETH IS LONE STAR INDUSTRIES INC WNSM532
151.65500000 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY WNFB779
151.65500000 EASTON IB PALMER PARK MALL KNCK966
151.65500000 EASTON IB TAMBORELLI, KEITH P W KNJS861
151.68500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM CATHOLIC HIGH WNSU740
151.68500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM MUSIKFEST WPBF349
151.68500000 BETHLEHEM IB SAUCON VALLEY COUNTRY CLUB WNYI680
151.68500000 EASTON IB SAMMONS COMMUNICATIONS OF KDM226
151.71500000 BETHLEHEM IB PAUL, CHAD WNXK862
151.71500000 BETHLEHEM IB SAVRON INC WZA373
151.71500000 NORTHAMPTON IB NORTHAMPTON AREA SCHOOL WPDS800
151.71500000 NORTHAMPTON IB TWIN COUNTY TRANS VIDEO INC WNED320
151.74500000 BANGOR IB PALMERI, JAMES KNGR231
151.74500000 BETHLEHEM IB MORAVIAN COLLEGE KNDR681
151.74500000 NORTHAMPTON IB NORTHAMPTON AREA SCHOOL WPDS800
151.77500000 BATH IB BETHLEHEM CONTRACTING CO KJX936
151.77500000 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY KUH779
151.77500000 BETHLEHEM IB PAUL, CHAD WNXK862
151.7750 BETHLEHEM IB SAINT LUKES HOSPITAL WNCV998
151.80500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM CATHOLIC HIGH WNSU740
151.80500000 EAST ALLEN TOWNSHIP IB TWIN COUNTY TRANSVIDEO INC KVU535
151.80500000 HANOVER TOWNSHIP IB TWIN COUNTY TRANS VIDEO INC KLQ415
151.83500000 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY WNFG811
151.83500000 BETHLEHEM IB SAUCON VALLEY COUNTRY CLUB WNYI680
151.86500000 BETHLEHEM IB PAUL, CHAD WNXK862
151.86500000 EASTON IB LAFAYETTE COLLEGE KRE846
151.89500000 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY KD50412
151.89500000 BETHLEHEM IB PAUL, CHAD WNXK862
151.89500000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV KJ3133
151.92500000 BATH IB FREDERICK H KOEHLER INC WXG566
151.95500000 NAZARETH IB C F MARTIN & CO INC WPFJ849
152.00750000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL PS ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC KTN404
152.42000000 EASTON LX EASTON TAXI INC KBN573

152.48000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB MESSAGE CENTER BEEPERS INC WNZT559
152.48000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL T IB ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC KNFV365
152.48000000 UPPER MT BETHEL TWP IB ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC WNYL361
152.84000000 BETHLEHEM CD BELL ATLANTIC-PENNSYLVANIA, KGC226
152.84000000 EASTON CD BELL ATLANTIC-PENNSYLVANIA, KGC226
152.88500000 NAZARETH IS LONE STAR INDUSTRIES INC WNSM533
152.94500000 EASTON IS HOYER, ROBERT J:HOYER, WNZN527
152.99000000 BETHLEHEM IS TRANS MIX INC KBQ581
153.02000000 BETHLEHEM IS FRANK CASILIO & SONS INC KGD961

153.0800 BETHLEHEM IX BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KGC490
153.1100 BATH IX KEYSTONE PORTLAND CEMENT CO WPDK776
153.1400 BETHLEHEM IX BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KGE218
153.1700 NAZARETH IX ESSROC MATERIALS INC KJF351
153.2150 BATH IX KEYSTONE PORTLAND CEMENT CO WPDK776
153.2450 STOCKERTOWN IX AMERICAN CEMENT CORPORATION WPEC758
153.2600 BETHLEHEM IX BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KFH417
153.3200 EASTON IX HARCROS PIGMENTS INC KNFF722
153.3200 PALMER PS SUBURBAN EMERGENCY MEDICAL WNKA557
153.3200 PALMER TWP PS SUBURBAN EMERGENCY MEDICAL WNKA557
153.3350 EASTON IX VICTAULIC COMPANY OF KNCY856
153.4550 EASTON IW EASTON SUBURBAN WATER KNGE466
153.6350 PEN ARGYL IW BLUE MOUNTAIN CONSOLIDATED KYH889
153.6800 BANGOR IW PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER KUK921
153.7400 WALNUTPORT PL LEHIGH, TOWNSHIP OF KB44230
153.8150 EASTON PL EASTON, CITY OF KTI58
153.8900 BATH PF BATH FIRE FIGHTERS WPET223
153.9050 NAZARETH PL LOWER NAZARETH, TOWNSHIP OF WMWV784
153.9200 EASTON PL EASTON, CITY OF WPDC736
154.0100 STOCKERTOWN PF LIBERTY HOSE CO 1 WNYU721
154.0250R/158.940 186.2 NAZARETH PL WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF KFB870
154.0250R/158.940 186.2 PEN ARGYL PL WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF KFB870
154.0400 NORTHAMPTON PL NORTHAMPTON, BOROUGH OF KJI471
154.0550R/158.895 186.2 NAZARETH PL WIND GAP, BORO F2 FIRE KFB870
154.0550R/158.895 186.2 PEN ARGYL PL WIND GAP, BORO F2 FIRE KFB870
154.0850 BETHLEHEM PL BETHLEHEM, TOWNSHIP OF KQT892
154.0850 NAZARETH PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WNFB578
154.1150 EASTON PL WILLIAMS, TOWNSHIP OF KNAA932
154.1600 PF BUSHKILL TWP. VOL FIRE
154.1900 BETHLEHEM PF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF KGC619
154.1900 NAZARETH PF WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF WPDJ205
154.2350 PALMER PF PALMER FIRE DISTRICT
154.3400 ALLEN TOWNSHIP PF ALLEN TOWNSHIP VOLUNTEER KQT912
154.3400 BETHLEHEM PF BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP KGH278
154.3400 BETHLEHEM PF HECKTOWN VOL FIRE CO 1 KBD217
154.3400 BETHLEHEM PF LOWER SAUCON, TOWNSHIP OF KNGW670
154.3400 BETHLEHEM PF LOWER SAUCON, TOWNSHIP OF KUQ785
154.3400 BUTZTOWN PF NANCY RUN FIRE CO INC KCL237
154.3400 EASTON PF NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WSW720
154.3400 EASTON PF WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP FIRE CO 1 KBS992

154.3400 FASTON PF PALMER, TOWNSHIP OF KDC923
154.3400 HELLERTOWN PF DEWEY FIRE CO NO 1 KIU848
154.3400 HELLERTOWN PF LEITHSVILLE VFD KCQ293
154.3400 L MOUNT BETHEL PF SANDTES EDDY FIRE CO KIK703
154.3400 MOUNT BETHEL PF UPPER MOUNT BETHEL, KNAJ255
154.3400 NAZARETH PF NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KNGQ560
154.3400 NORTHAMPTON PF EAST ALLEN TWP VOL FIRE KFP826
154.3400 PORTLAND PF PORTLAND HOOK & LADDER CO KEL459
154.3400 PORTLAND PF PORTLAND HOOK & LADDER CO KJG204
154.3700 HELLERTOWN PF LEITHSVILLE FIRE CO WNXK948
154.3850 186.2 NAZARETH PF NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KNGQ560
154.3850 186.2 NAZARETH PF WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF WNJB855
154.3850 PORTLAND PF PORTLAND HOOK & LADDER CO KEL459
154.3850 PORTLAND PF PORTLAND HOOK & LADDER CO KJG204
154.4150 BATH PF KLECKNERSVILLE RANGERS FIRE WNDV379
154.47125 BETHLEHEM IW BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPET653
154.5150 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM AREA SCHOOL KXW471
154.5150 NORTHAMPTON IB NORTHAMPTON AREA SCHOOL WPDS800
154.5400 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY WNME517
154.5400 NORTHAMPTON IB NORTHAMPTON AREA SCHOOL WPDS800
154.5400 STOCKERTOWN IB AMERICAN CEMENT CORPORATION WNDH616
154.5700 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV KJ3133
154.5700 NAZARETH IB C F MARTIN & CO INC WPFJ849
154.5700 PEN ARGYL IB INTERGY INC KB72882

154.6000 BETHLEHAM IB GREEN POND GOLF COURSE INC WPFK703
154.6000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNSU214
154.6000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV KJ3133
154.6000 MOUNT BETHEL IB TUSCARORA INN WNUN201
154.6000 NAZARETH IB C F MARTIN & CO INC WPFJ849
154.6000 WALNUTPORT IB BLUE MOUNTAIN QUARTER WPDF520
154.6500 BETHLEHEM PP LEHIGH UNIVERSITY KD35201
154.6500 136.5 NORTHAMPTON PP NORTHAMPTON, BOROUGH OF KGA948
154.6500 WALNUTPORT PP LEHIGH, TOWNSHIP OF KNHY400
154.7100 BETHLEHEM PP LOWER SAUCON, TOWNSHIP OF KUQ784
154.7550 186.2 BETHLEHEM PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH326
154.7850 HELLERTOWN PP HELLERTOWN, BOROUGH OF KNCX321
154.8600R/158.970 186.2 NAZARETH PP WIND GAP, BOROUGH F1 PD KVP486
154.8600R/158.970 186.2 PEN ARGYL PP WIND GAP, BOROUGH F1 PD KVP486
154.9650 NORTHAMPTON PL ALLEN, TOWNSHIP OF WNAS201
154.9800 PALMER PL PALMER, TOWNSHIP OF KDG244
155.0550 EASTON PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KA4072
155.0550 NAZARETH PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KFR507
155.0850 BETHLEHEM PL BETHLEHEM
155.1000 EASTON PL WILSON, BOROUGH OF KEY920
155.1150 NORTHAMPTON PL EAST ALLEN, TOWNSHIP OF KNFU694
155.1450 NAZARETH PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KA49739
155.1600 BETHLEHEM PS HECKTOWN VOLUNTEER FIRE CO WNUN593
155.1600 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.1000 EASTON PS EASTON AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT WNMV730

155.1600 EASTON PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.1750 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.1750 EASTON PS EASTON AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT KXB730
155.2050 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.2200 BETHLEHEM PS BETHLEHEM AREA SCHOOL KXX582
155.2200 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.2200 HELLERTOWN PS METRO AMBULANCE SERVICE INC WQU309
155.2350 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.2350 NAZARETH PM NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KXL362
155.2650 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.2800 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.2950 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.2950 EASTON PS EASTON EMERGENCY SQUAD INC KGH772
155.2950 EASTON PS FORKS TOWNSHIP EMERGENCY KNGT238
155.2950 EASTON PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.3250 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.3400 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.3400 NAZARETH PM NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KXL362
155.3550 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.3850 BETHLEHEM PS CITY OF BETHLEHEM E M S WNRG714
155.3850 BETHLEHEM PS MUHLENBERG HOSPITAL CENTER WXT704
155.3850 BETHLEHEM PS ST LUKES HOSPITAL WXT694
155.3850 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.4000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
155.4750 BETHLEHEM PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH326
155.4750 C.SQ BETHLEHAM PP WIND GAP, BOROUGH F6 WPEH268
155.4900 CHERRYVILLE PP NORTHAMPTON, BOROUGH OF KGA948
155.5200 NAZARETH PL BUSHKILL TWP KNNM249
155.5800 BETHLEHEM PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH326
155.6100 BETHLEHAM PP WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF WPEH268
155.6100R/158.910 173.8 BETHLEHEM PP BETHLEHEM CITY PD KGB386
155.6100 BETHLEHEM PP HELLERTOWN, BOROUGH OF KGR250
155.6700 BETHLEHEM PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH KXH326
155.6850 EASTON PL EASTON, CITY OF WPDC736
155.7150 NORTHAMPTON PL NORTHAMPTON, BOROUGH OF KJI471
155.7450 BETHLEHEM PL LOWER SAUCON, TOWNSHIP OF KUV571
155.7450 HELLERTOWN PL HELLERTOWN, BOROUGH OF WSB919
155.8650 NAZARETH PF NORTHAMPTON CO FIRE POLICE KB53133
155.9250 BATH PL MOORE, TOWNSHIP OF KEP851
155.9250 BETHLEHEM PL BETHLEHEM, CITY OF KDN552

155.9850 BATH PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WCG532
155.9850 BETHLEHEM PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WCG533
155.9850 BETHLEHEM TWP PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WCG534
155.9850 EASTON PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KA4072
155.9850 EASTON PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WCG535
155.9850 EASTON PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WCG536
155.9850 EASTON PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WCG539
155.9850 EASTON PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WGJ870
155.9850 NAZARETH PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WCG537

155.9850 PEN ARGYL PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WCG538
156.1200 BETHAL TWP PH DELAWARE RIVER JOINT TOLL WNWZ312
156.1350 EASTON PH DELAWARE RIVER JOINT TOLL WNWZ312
156.1500 EASTON PP WILSON, BOROUGH OF KB48008
157.6800 EASTON LX EASTON TAXI INC KBN573
157.7400 BETHLEHEM IB TEASDALE, RICHARD W WNYE327
157.7400 EASTON IB MADSEN, PETER J WNPO852
157.7400 PEN ARGYL IB MADSEN, PETER J WNPO852
157.7400 U. MOUNT BETHELIB TEASDALE, RICHARD W WNYE327
158.1450 EASTON IW EASTON SUBURBAN WATER KNGE466
158.2800 EASTON IX ASHLAND CHEMICAL CO WNLL832
158.3850 EASTON IS KEPLERS FUEL COMPANY KYG305
158.4600 EASTON IB HARCROS PIGMENTS INC WNIY416
158.7000 EASTON CD MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, KWU290
158.7300 BETHLEHEM PP NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KGA937
158.7300 EASTON PP NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KGA937
158.7600 BETHLEHEM PL BETHLEHEM, CITY OF KDN552
158.7600 BETHLEHEM PL PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WYF428
158.8050 BETHLEHEM PL BETHLEHEM, TOWNSHIP OF KYQ528
158.8050 NAZARETH PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WNFB578
158.8200 EASTON PL EASTON, CITY OF KGH769
158.8650 PALMER PL PALMER, TOWNSHIP OF KDG244
158.8800 EASTON PL WILSON, BOROUGH OF KEY920
158.8800 PALMER PL PALMER, TOWNSHIP OF KDG244
158.8950 EASTON PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KNG50
158.8950 EASTON PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WJB39
158.8950 PALMER PL PALMER, TOWNSHIP OF KDG244
158.8950 PEN ARGYL PL WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF KFB870
158.8950 WIND GAP PL WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF KFB870
158.9100 BETHLEHAM PP WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF WPEH268
158.9100 BETHLEHEM PP BETHLEHEM, TOWNSHIP OF WGJ864
158.9400 PEN ARGYL PL WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF KFB870
158.9400 WIND GAP PL WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF KFB870
158.9700 PEN ARGYL PP WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF KVP486
158.9700 WIND GAP PP WIND GAP, BOROUGH OF KVP486
159.1650 EASTON PH FORKS, TOWNSHIP OF WNUB924
159.2550 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WCW615
159.3300 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WCW615
159.3450 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WCW615
159.3600 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WCW615
159.4200 NAZARETH PO PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WCW615
159.7950 BETHLEHEM IB VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WNCH469
159.7950 EASTON IB VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WNCH469
159.9900 EASTON LJ LORICCHIO, JOHN A WNUF228
160.2150 BETHLEHEM LR PHILADELPHIA BETHLEHEM & KRO509
160.2150 BETHLEHEM LR PHILADELPHIA BETHLEHEM & WNDC558
160.5750 BETHLEHEM LR PHILADELPHIA BETHLEHEM & KRO509
160.5750 BETHLEHEM LR PHILADELPHIA BETHLEHEM & WNDC558
161.2800 BETHLEHEM LR PHILADELPHIA BETHLEHEM & KRO509
161.2800 BETHLEHEM LR PHILADELPHIA BETHLEHEM & WNDC558

161.5650 BETHLEHEM LR PHILADELPHIA BETHLEHEM & KRO509
161.5650 BETHLEHEM LR PHILADELPHIA BETHLEHEM & WNDC558
163.2000R/163. 136.5 EASTON GV US MARSHALL
163.2500 EASTON PS EASTON HOSPITAL PAGING WZJ562

163.2500 PORTLAND PS LEHIGH VALLEY HOSPITAL WNHK437
163.2500 PORTLAND PS LEHIGH VALLEY HOSPITAL WRA530
167.1750R/162.025 167.9 BETHEHAM GV FBI KEX645
173.2875 SLATINTON IS NEWBURG WALKER & ROGERS WNRU840
173.3625 SLATINTON IS NEWBURG WALKER & ROGERS WNRU840
447.1750R/441.962 103.5 WIND GAP HA N3DZM CLOSED REPEATER N3DZM
449.6250R/444.625 131.8 ROSETO HA KE3DP KE3DP
450.0100 BETHLEHEM BA HOLT CORPORATION OF KPJ754
450.7000 ALLENTOWN BA LEHIGH VALLEY COMMUNITY KC27747
450.7000 BETHLEHEM BA LEHIGH VALLEY COUMMUNITY KPM613
450.8500 ALLENTOWN BA LEHIGH VALLEY COMMUNITY KC27747
450.8500 BETHLEHEM BA LEHIGH VALLEY COUMMUNITY KPM613
451.0875 HAZLETON IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KH4991
451.1750 PORTLAND IW METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNFP534
451.2000 EASTON IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT KUO820
451.5000 BANGOR IT COMMONWEALTH TELEPHONE CO KNCC221
451.9000 SLATINGTON IS NEWBURG WALKER & ROGERS WNRU840
451.9000 SLATINTON IS NEWBURG WALKER & ROGERS WNRU840
452.0375 PEN ARGYL IS SKUBA, KEN WNUE666
452.1250 U BETHAL MOUNTAIS VIDEO TRAX CORPORATION WNDB569
452.6000 WIND GAP LA ENGLER AUTOMOTIVE WPEX316
452.6250 PEN ARGYL LJ CONSOLIDATED FREIGHTWAYS WSX277
452.9250 BETHLEHEM LR PHILADELPHIA BETHLHM & NEW KB45536
453.0875 NAZARETH PL LOWER NAZARETH, TOWNSHIP OF WMWV784
453.1750 U MOUNT BETHEL PS ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC KKC900
453.2750 PL NORTHAMPTON CO TRANSPORT
453.4000 EASTON PL EASTON, CITY OF WNJA946
453.7750 EASTON PL EASTON, CITY OF WNQC362
00000454.07500000 UPPER MT. BETHEL CD ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO., KDS328
00000454.55000000 STROUDSBURG CD ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO., KNKJ286
00000454.65000000 EASTON CD PAGING PLUS, INC. KNKI704
00000455.70000000 ALLENTOWN BA LEHIGH VALLEY COMMUNITY KC27747
00000455.70000000 BETHLEHEM BA LEHIGH VALLEY COUMMUNITY KPM613
00000455.85000000 ALLENTOWN BA LEHIGH VALLEY COMMUNITY KC27747
00000455.85000000 BETHLEHEM BA LEHIGH VALLEY COUMMUNITY KPM613
00000456.17500000 PORTLAND IW METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNFP534
00000456.50000000 BANGOR IT COMMONWEALTH TELEPHONE CO KNCC221
00000456.66250000 CHARLESTON IP COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION WPDN648
00000456.90000000 SLATINTON IS NEWBURG WALKER & ROGERS WNRU840
00000457.03750000 PEN ARGYL IS SKUBA, KEN WNUE666
00000457.12500000 UPPER BETHAL MOUNTAI IS VIDEO TRAX CORPORATION WNDB569
00000457.57500000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV KJ3133
00000457.60000000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV KJ3133
00000457.62500000 PEN ARGYL LJ CONSOLIDATED FREIGHTWAYS WSX277
00000457.92500000 BETHLEHEM LR PHILADELPHIA BETHLHM & NEW KB45536

458.0500 BETHLEHEM PL BETHLEHEM, CITY OF KD35352
00000458.08750000 NAZARETH PL LOWER NAZARETH, TOWNSHIP OF WMWV784
00000458.27500000 WILSON PL LEHIGH & NORTHAMPTON COUNTY WAU710
00000458.40000000 EASTON PL EASTON, CITY OF WNJA946
00000458.77500000 EASTON PL EASTON, CITY OF WNQC362
00000459.07500000 UPPER MT. BETHEL TWP CD ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO., KDS328
460.0250 NAZARETH PP NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WPIA226
460.0500 EASTON PP NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KA21074
460.0875 EASTON PP NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KA21074
460.1250 UPPER MT BETHELPL ELDRED, TOWNSHIP OF WNMN250
460.1375 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
460.1625 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
460.1875 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
460.2125 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
460.2625 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
460.2875 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
460.3250 BETHLEHEM PP BETHLEHEM CITY PD KGB386
460.3500 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KTX792
00000460.40000000 PEN ARGYL PP MONROE, COUNTY OF WNXC575
460.4250 BETHLEHEM PP BETHLEHEM CITY PD KGB386
460.4500 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KTX792
460.5875 BETHLEHEM PF BETHLEHEM TWP VOLUNTEER WNUX674
460.6250 BETHLEHEM PF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF KAG519

06/23/96 Page 600000460.77500000 ALLENTOWN IB AMERICAN AIRLINES INC WNYQ856
00000460.88750000 STOCKERTOWN IB UNIVERSAL FOREST PRODUCTS WPFE365
00000460.98750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KO4084
00000461.03750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.08750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.10000000 PENARGYL IB RENOLDOS SERVICE &
00000461.10000000 PENARGYL IB TEASDALE, RICK WNYG696
00000461.12500000 PEN ARGYL IB SNYDER, CHRIS P WNDR980
00000461.13750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.17500000 UPPER MT BETHEL IB TEASDALE, RICHARD W WNYD753
00000461.18750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.23750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.28750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.30000000 FOX GAP IB KERCHNER ASSOCIATES INC WNCZ284
00000461.33750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.35000000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000461.35000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000461.36250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.37500000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000461.37500000 EASTON IB LAFAYETTE COLLEGE SECURITY KNIS534
00000461.37500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000461.38750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.41250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.43750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.46250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.47500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KCA480

00000461.47500000 PEN ARGYL IB TU WAY TOWER COMPANY WNVU967
00000461.48750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.52500000 PEN ARGYL IB COLLINS AND MAXWELL KKN633
00000461.52500000 PEN ARGYL IB EASTER SEAL SOCIETY INC WRW357
00000461.52500000 PEN ARGYL IB NAZERETH FENCING WQW683
00000461.53750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.58750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.58750000 PALMER IB LANECO WPBP730
00000461.60000000 PEN ARGYL IB DALCIN, MICHAEL WNJX542
00000461.60000000 PEN ARGYL IB HAWK, WAYNE WNLQ319
00000461.60000000 PEN ARGYL IB HUGHES JR, COPELAND C WNJX544
00000461.60000000 PEN ARGYL IB MACK, ROBERT WNKP758
00000461.60000000 PEN ARGYL IB PAPILLON CONTRACTING INC WNJX543
00000461.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB ANTHONY DALLY AND SONS INC WNPH672
00000461.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB ELIO CONSTRUCTION INC WNQB820
00000461.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB ESR CRANE COMPANY WNRU918
00000461.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB HUGHES, COPELAND WNHH723
00000461.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB KROBOTH, ROBERT WNMY623
00000461.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB L A CONSTRUCTION WNQC685
00000461.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB MAKATURA CONSTRUCTION INC WNMM668
00000461.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB SCHMAUDER EXCAVATING WNVB726
00000461.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB STENLAKE, CHARLES WNQU685
00000461.63750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.65000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB RENALDOS SERVICE AND WNWJ802
00000461.65000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB TEASDALE, RICHARD W WNNY526
00000461.66250000 EASTON IB VICTAULIC COMPANY OF WPCS726
00000461.66250000 YORK IB MC CRORY STORE 36 WPBD288
00000461.66250000 YORK IB MC CRORY STORE 3904 WPBD969
00000461.68750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.68750000 STOCKERTOWN IB UNIVERSAL FOREST PRODUCTS WPFE365
00000461.72500000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000461.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000461.73750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.76250000 BETHLEHEM IB SCHOENENS SUPER MARKET INC KD39726
00000461.76250000 EASTON IB VICTAULIC COMPANY OF WPCS726
00000461.76250000 YORK IB MC CRORY STORE 36 WPBD288

06/23/96 Page 700000461.76250000 YORK IB MC CRORY STORE 3904 WPBD969
00000461.78750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.80000000 BETHELEM IB WALGREEN COMPANY WNWS601
00000461.82500000 PEN ARGYL IB HOWER, LARRY C KYM691
00000461.82500000 PEN ARGYL IB KROMER, RAYMOND WNDH828
00000461.82500000 PEN AROYL IB DYN AIR FUELING INC WNRX794
00000461.82500000 PENARGYL IB DALE WOOD CO KZP776
00000461.83750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.87500000 BETHELEM IB WALGREEN COMPANY WNWS601
00000461.87500000 PEN ARGYL IB PAPILLON CONTRACTING INC WNAR235
00000461.88750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.93750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211
00000461.98750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA57211

00000462.00000000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000462.00000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000462.03750000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000462.05000000 PEN ARGYL IB ALBARELL ELECTRIC INC KNDH760
00000462.05000000 PEN ARGYL IB KIEFER JR, ARLING J KNGQ912
00000462.05000000 PEN ARGYL IB SUPREME AUTOBODY WORKS INC WNYY701
00000462.06250000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000462.11250000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000462.13750000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000462.22500000 BETHLEHEM IX BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KLZ938
00000462.25000000 BATH IX KEYSTONE CEMENT COMPANY WNWC825
00000462.27500000 EASTON IX BINNEY & SMITH INC WRB330
00000462.27500000 EASTON IX BINNEY & SMITH INC WRB331
00000462.32500000 BATH IX KEYSTONE CEMENT COMPANY WNWC825
00000462.33750000 PITTSBURGH IX PPG INDUSTRIES INC WNYC211
00000462.35000000 BETHLEHEM IX BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KGS937
00000462.36250000 STOCKERTOWN IX LIQUID CARBONIC INDUSTRIAL KB93921
00000462.40000000 BATH IX KEYSTONE CEMENT COMPANY WNWC825
00000462.41250000 STOCKERTOWN IX LIQUID CARBONIC INDUSTRIAL KB93921
00000462.42500000 BETHLEHEM IX BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WRW564
00000462.45000000 BATH IX KEYSTONE CEMENT COMPANY WNWC825
00000462.55000000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000462.55000000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000462.55000000 NAZARETH ZA BENDER SR, THOMAS E KAE7480
00000462.55000000 NAZARETH ZA GREENWOOD, ANDREW B KAE5825
00000462.55000000 NAZARETH ZA KOSIK, STEPHEN D KAE7478
00000462.55000000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000462.55000000 NAZARETH ZA TITMAN, STEVEN W KAE7481
00000462.55000000 NAZARETH ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000462.55000000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000462.56250000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402
00000462.56250000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000462.56250000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000462.56250000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000462.56250000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.56250000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.56250000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.56250000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000462.56250000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000462.56250000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000462.56250000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000462.56250000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000462.56250000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000462.56250000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000462.56250000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000462.56250000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558
00000462.56250000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.56250000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000462.56250000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559

06/23/96 Page 800000462.56250000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483
00000462.56250000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000462.56250000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000462.56250000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000462.56250000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000462.56250000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000462.56250000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000462.57500000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402
00000462.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000462.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000462.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, ROBERT E KAE5263
00000462.57500000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000462.57500000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.57500000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000462.58750000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402
00000462.58750000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000462.58750000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000462.58750000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000462.58750000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.58750000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.58750000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.58750000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000462.58750000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000462.58750000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000462.58750000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000462.58750000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000462.58750000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000462.58750000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000462.58750000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000462.58750000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558
00000462.58750000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.58750000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000462.58750000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559
00000462.58750000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483
00000462.58750000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000462.58750000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000462.58750000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000462.58750000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000462.58750000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000462.58750000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000462.60000000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000462.60000000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000462.60000000 BETHLEHEM ZA ALLMAN, JUNE L KAE2109
00000462.60000000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000462.60000000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000462.60000000 NAZARETH ZA ALLMAN, JUNE L KAE2109
00000462.60000000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000462.60000000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258

00000462.61250000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402
00000462.61250000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000462.61250000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000462.61250000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000462.61250000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.61250000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.61250000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.61250000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000462.61250000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000462.61250000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000462.61250000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216

06/23/96 Page 900000462.61250000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000462.61250000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000462.61250000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000462.61250000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000462.61250000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558
00000462.61250000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.61250000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000462.61250000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559
00000462.61250000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483
00000462.61250000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000462.61250000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000462.61250000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000462.61250000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000462.61250000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000462.61250000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000462.62500000 BETHLEHEM ZA ALLMAN, JUNE L KAE2109
00000462.62500000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000462.62500000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000462.62500000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558
00000462.62500000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559
00000462.62500000 NAZARETH ZA ALLMAN, JUNE L KAE2109
00000462.62500000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000462.63750000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402
00000462.63750000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000462.63750000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000462.63750000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000462.63750000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.63750000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.63750000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.63750000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000462.63750000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000462.63750000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000462.63750000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000462.63750000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000462.63750000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000462.63750000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000462.63750000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000462.63750000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558

00000462.63750000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.63750000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000462.63750000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559
00000462.63750000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483
00000462.63750000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000462.63750000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000462.63750000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000462.63750000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000462.63750000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000462.63750000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000462.65000000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.65000000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.65000000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.65000000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000462.65000000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, ROBERT E KAE5263
00000462.65000000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000462.65000000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000462.65000000 EASTON ZA DETWEILER, SCOTT R KAE7717
00000462.65000000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.65000000 EASTON ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.65000000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000462.65000000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483
00000462.65000000 EASTON ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.65000000 EASTON ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398

06/23/96 Page 1000000462.65000000 EASTON ZA WODZICKI, ROBERT E KAE5263
00000462.65000000 NAZARETH ZA DICKINSON, DOUGLAS R KAE1015
00000462.65000000 WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP ZA DICKINSON, DOUGLAS R KAE1015
00000462.65000000 WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.65000000 WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.66250000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402
00000462.66250000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000462.66250000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000462.66250000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000462.66250000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.66250000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.66250000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.66250000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000462.66250000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000462.66250000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000462.66250000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000462.66250000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000462.66250000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000462.66250000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000462.66250000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000462.66250000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558
00000462.66250000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.66250000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000462.66250000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559
00000462.66250000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483

00000462.66250000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000462.66250000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000462.66250000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000462.66250000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000462.66250000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000462.66250000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000462.67500000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402
00000462.67500000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000462.67500000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000462.67500000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000462.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000462.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000462.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000462.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000462.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000462.67500000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000462.67500000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000462.67500000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000462.67500000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558
00000462.67500000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.67500000 EASTON ZA GREENWOOD, ANDREW B KAE5825
00000462.67500000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000462.67500000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559
00000462.67500000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483
00000462.67500000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000462.67500000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000462.67500000 NAZARETH ZA BENDER SR, THOMAS E KAE7480
00000462.67500000 NAZARETH ZA GREENWOOD, ANDREW B KAE5825
00000462.67500000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000462.67500000 NAZARETH ZA KOSIK, STEPHEN D KAE7478
00000462.67500000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000462.67500000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000462.67500000 NAZARETH ZA TITMAN, STEVEN W KAE7481
00000462.67500000 NAZARETH ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216

06/23/96 Page 1100000462.67500000 NORTHAMPTON ZA GAFFNEY, JOHN KAE8012
00000462.67500000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000462.68750000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402
00000462.68750000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000462.68750000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000462.68750000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000462.68750000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.68750000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.68750000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.68750000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000462.68750000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000462.68750000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398

00000462.68750000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000462.68750000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000462.68750000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000462.68750000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000462.68750000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000462.68750000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558
00000462.68750000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.68750000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000462.68750000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559
00000462.68750000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483
00000462.68750000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000462.68750000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000462.68750000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000462.68750000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000462.68750000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000462.68750000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000462.71250000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402
00000462.71250000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000462.71250000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000462.71250000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000462.71250000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000462.71250000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000462.71250000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000462.71250000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000462.71250000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000462.71250000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000462.71250000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000462.71250000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000462.71250000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000462.71250000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000462.71250000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000462.71250000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558
00000462.71250000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000462.71250000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000462.71250000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559
00000462.71250000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483
00000462.71250000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000462.71250000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000462.71250000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000462.71250000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000462.71250000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000462.71250000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000462.72500000 NAZARETH ZA DICKINSON, DOUGLAS R KAE1015
00000462.76250000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000462.77500000 BETHAL IB DIGITAL PAGING WNUY580
00000462.77500000 EASTON IB MADSEN, PETER J WNPO852
00000462.78750000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000462.80000000 KITATINY MOUNTAINS IB MAGUIRE, ROBERT J WNSB810
00000462.82500000 UPPER MOUNTAIN BETHE IB ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CO INC WNBP634
00000462.83750000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996

06/23/96 Page 1200000462.83750000 EASTON IB LAFAYETTE COLLEGE WPAG343
00000462.85000000 PEN ARGLE IB POTTS, JIM:SOKOL, WNJK468
00000462.86250000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000462.88750000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000462.90000000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WZL357
00000462.90000000 BETHLEHEM IB TEASDALE, RICHARD W WNUD855
00000462.90000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL T IB TEASDALE, RICHARD W WNUD855
00000462.91250000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
462.9500 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA EMS COUNCIL WNXJ929
462.9500 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
462.9625 EASTON PS VALLEY MEDICIAL SERVICES KB84121
462.9750 ALLEN TOWNSHIP PS ALLEN TOWNSHIP AMBULANCE WNCV586
462.9750 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA EMS COUNCIL WNXJ928
462.9750 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
462.9750 UPPER MT BETHELPS EASTERN PA EMS COUNCIL WNXJ928
462.9750 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PA EMS COUNCIL WNXJ928
462.9875 EASTON PS AMERICAN NATIONAL RED CROSS KUS573
462.9875 EASTON PS VALLEY MEDICIAL SERVICES KB84121
463.00000000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.00000000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNEG634
463.00000000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
463.00000000 EASTON PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.00000000 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.02500000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.02500000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNEG634
463.02500000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
463.02500000 EASTON PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.02500000 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.05000000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.05000000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNEG634
463.05000000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
463.05000000 EASTON PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.05000000 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.07500000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.07500000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNEG634
463.07500000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
463.07500000 EASTON PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.07500000 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.10000000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.10000000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNEG634
463.10000000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
463.10000000 EASTON PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.10000000 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.12500000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.12500000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNEG634
463.12500000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
463.12500000 EASTON PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.12500000 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.15000000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.15000000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNEG634
463.15000000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746

463.15000000 EASTON PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.15000000 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.17500000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.17500000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNEG634
463.17500000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
463.17500000 EASTON PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
463.17500000 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PA E M S COUNCIL WNXE300
00000463.26250000 EASTON IB VICTAULIC COMPANY OF WPCS726
00000463.28750000 STOCKERTOWN IB UNIVERSAL FOREST PRODUCTS WPFE365
00000463.33750000 EASTON IB STATE THEATER WNVE805
00000463.35000000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNAF645

06/23/96 Page 1300000463.37500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM AREA SCHOOL WNJU339
00000463.42500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNAY273
00000463.43750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KO4084
00000463.46250000 BETHLEHEM IB SCHOENENS SUPER MARKET INC KD39726
00000463.57500000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB BITTNER, A J WNIY294
00000463.57500000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB KRECKMAN, HERBERT WNJV820
00000463.57500000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB PACK SHACK ADVENTURES INC WNMJ783
00000463.57500000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB SEESE, LARRY WNLS725
00000463.61250000 EASTON IB CHUBBYS FOOD AND BEER 2 WNVV295
00000463.70000000 PEN ARGYL IB JOHN F SIMOCK INC KNIT694
00000463.70000000 PEN ARGYL IB MODERN HANDLING EQUIPMENT KNJT468
00000463.71250000 EASTON IB CHUBBYS FOOD & BEER 2 WNWG819
00000463.71250000 EASTON IB CHUBBYS FOOD AND BEER 1 WNVV294
00000463.75000000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000463.75000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000463.85000000 BETHLEHEM IB MORAVIAN COLLEGE SECURITY WNFC316
00000463.88750000 EASTON IB STATE THEATER WNVE805
00000463.90000000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000463.90000000 EASTON IB KUMMER, RON WNSL317
00000463.90000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000464.02500000 PEN ARGYL IB PEN ARGYL AREA SCHOOL WNCP958
00000464.05000000 BANGOR IB HORN, ANDY S WNYF325
00000464.12500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNBG930
00000464.12500000 WIND GAP IB ENGLER AUTOMOTIVES INC WNDX530
00000464.12500000 WIND GAP IB NORTH EAST GENERAL WNSG580
00000464.12500000 WIND GAP IB RENOLDOS SERVICE & WNIN214
00000464.17500000 EASTON IB HARCROS PIGMENTS INC WNIY416
00000464.21250000 MARTINS CREEK IB CONAGRA WPDU604
00000464.25000000 EASTON IB HARCROS PIGMENTS INC WNIY416
00000464.32500000 PEN ARGYL IB GRAND CENTRAL SANITATION WNVQ523
00000464.42500000 NORTHAMPTON IB COLLIS TRUCK PARTS INC WNMM576
00000464.50000000 ROSETO IB MSG ASSOCIATES
00000464.51250000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV WPAB556
464.5250 BETHLEHEM IB MUHLENBERG HOSPITAL CENTER KB35824
00000464.52500000 NORTHAMPTON IB TWIN COUNTY TRANSVIDEO INC WPEJ607
00000464.53750000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV WPAB556
00000464.55000000 ROSETO IB MSG ASSOCIATES
00000464.56250000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV WPAB556

00000464.57500000 BETHLEHEM IB WALGREEN EASTERN CO INC WNVM911
00000464.57500000 NAZARETH IB ALERT SECURITY SERVICE INC WNLJ496
00000464.61250000 PEN ARGYL IB GRAND CENTRAL SANITATION WNUR406
00000464.66250000 PEN ARGYL IB GRAND CENTRAL SANITATION WNUR406
00000464.67500000 BETHLEHEM IB NORTHAMPTON COUNTY AREA WNMJ956
00000464.68750000 PHILADELPHIA IB CLOVER KD51719
00000464.77500000 BETHLEHEM IB DURKEE FRENCH FOODS INC WNWU268
00000464.77500000 NORTHAMPTON IB TWIN COUNTY TRANSVIDEO INC WPEJ607
00000464.78750000 PEN ARGYL IB GRAND CENTRAL SANITATION WNUR406
00000464.80000000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNAF645
00000464.81250000 PEN ARGYL IB GRAND CENTRAL SANITATION WNUR406
00000464.82500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM AREA SCHOOL WPEA525
00000464.82500000 BETHLEHEM IB DURKEE FRENCH FOODS INC WNWU268
00000464.82500000 BETHLEHEM IB THE GUARDIAN WPEY744
00000464.85000000 PEN ARGYL IB CROWDER JR COMPANY KNBC223
00000464.85000000 PEN ARGYL IB M & B HAULING INC KNHN672
464.9250 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WSF649
00000465.08750000 EASTON PP NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KA21074
00000465.13750000 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
00000465.16250000 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
00000465.18750000 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
00000465.21250000 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
465.2500 PALMER PP PALMER, TOWNSHIP OF KD37922
00000465.26250000 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736

06/23/96 Page 1400000465.28750000 EASTON PP EASTON, CITY OF KD43736
465.6000 BETHLEHEM PF SE WY CO FIRE CO KD50633
00000465.77500000 ALLENTOWN IB AMERICAN AIRLINES INC WNYQ856
00000465.88750000 STOCKERTOWN IB UNIVERSAL FOREST PRODUCTS WPFE365
00000465.98750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KO4084
00000466.01250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.03750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
466.0375 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000466.08750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
466.0875 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000466.10000000 PENARGYL IB TEASDALE, RICK WNYG696
00000466.12500000 PEN ARGYL IB SNYDER, CHRIS P WNDR980
00000466.13750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.17500000 UPPER MT BETHEL IB TEASDALE, RICHARD W WNYD753
00000466.18750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
466.1875 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000466.23750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.28750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.30000000 FOX GAP IB KERCHNER ASSOCIATES INC WNCZ284
00000466.33750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.35000000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000466.35000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000466.36250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.37500000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000466.37500000 EASTON IB LAFAYETTE COLLEGE SECURITY KNIS534

00000466.37500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000466.38750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.41250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.43750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.46250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.47500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KCA480
00000466.47500000 PEN ARGYL IB TU WAY TOWER COMPANY WNVU967
00000466.48750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.52500000 PEN ARGYL IB COLLINS AND MAXWELL KKN633
00000466.52500000 PEN ARGYL IB EASTER SEAL SOCIETY INC WRW357
00000466.53750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.58750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.60000000 PEN ARGYL IB DALCIN, MICHAEL WNJX542
00000466.60000000 PEN ARGYL IB HAWK, WAYNE WNLQ319
00000466.60000000 PEN ARGYL IB HUGHES JR, COPELAND C WNJX544
00000466.60000000 PEN ARGYL IB MACK, ROBERT WNKP758
00000466.60000000 PEN ARGYL IB PAPILLON CONTRACTING INC WNJX543
00000466.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB ANTHONY DALLY AND SONS INC WNPH672
00000466.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB ELIO CONSTRUCTION INC WNQB820
00000466.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB ESR CRANE COMPANY WNRU918
00000466.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB HUGHES, COPELAND WNHH723
00000466.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB KROBOTH, ROBERT WNMY623
00000466.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB L A CONSTRUCTION WNQC685
00000466.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB MAKATURA CONSTRUCTION INC WNMM668
00000466.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB SCHMAUDER EXCAVATING WNVB726
00000466.62500000 PEN ARGYL IB STENLAKE, CHARLES WNQU685
00000466.63750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
466.6375 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000466.65000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB RENALDOS SERVICE AND WNWJ802
00000466.65000000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB TEASDALE, RICHARD W WNNY526
00000466.68750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.68750000 STOCKERTOWN IB UNIVERSAL FOREST PRODUCTS WPFE365
00000466.72500000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000466.72500000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000466.73750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.78750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.80000000 BETHELEM IB WALGREEN COMPANY WNWS601
466.8125 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259

06/23/96 Page 1500000466.81250000 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000466.82500000 PEN AROYL IB DYN AIR FUELING INC WNRX794
00000466.82500000 PENARGYL IB DALE WOOD CO KZP776
00000466.83750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.87500000 BETHELEM IB WALGREEN COMPANY WNWS601
00000466.87500000 PEN ARGYL IB PAPILLON CONTRACTING INC WNAR235
00000466.88750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.93750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.96250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000466.98750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000467.00000000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908

00000467.00000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000467.03750000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000467.05000000 PEN ARGYL IB ALBARELL ELECTRIC INC KNDH760
00000467.05000000 PEN ARGYL IB KIEFER JR, ARLING J KNGQ912
00000467.05000000 PEN ARGYL IB SUPREME AUTOBODY WORKS INC WNYY701
00000467.06250000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000467.11250000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000467.13750000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000467.16250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000467.35000000 BETHLEHEM IX BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KGS937
00000467.42500000 BETHLEHEM IX BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WRW564
00000467.45000000 BATH IX KEYSTONE CEMENT COMPANY WNWC825
00000467.55000000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000467.55000000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000467.55000000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000467.55000000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000467.57500000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402
00000467.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000467.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000467.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000467.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000467.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000467.57500000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, ROBERT E KAE5263
00000467.57500000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000467.57500000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000467.57500000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000467.60000000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000467.60000000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000467.60000000 BETHLEHEM ZA ALLMAN, JUNE L KAE2109
00000467.60000000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000467.60000000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000467.60000000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000467.60000000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000467.62500000 BETHLEHEM ZA ALLMAN, JUNE L KAE2109
00000467.62500000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000467.62500000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000467.62500000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558
00000467.62500000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559
00000467.62500000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000467.65000000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000467.65000000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000467.65000000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000467.65000000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000467.65000000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, ROBERT E KAE5263
00000467.65000000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000467.65000000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000467.65000000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000467.65000000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000467.65000000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483
00000467.65000000 NAZARETH ZA DICKINSON, DOUGLAS R KAE1015

00000467.67500000 ALLENTOWN ZA HERB, DONALD N KAE6402

06/23/96 Page 1600000467.67500000 BATH ZA SILFIES, RONALD F KAE8086
00000467.67500000 BETHELEHEM ZA ARGEROS, WILLIAM N KAF1317
00000467.67500000 BETHELEHEM ZA JUDD, CARL W KAF1319
00000467.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA HECKLER, GREGORY KAE8630
00000467.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA KEELER, MARK D KAE5521
00000467.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA NORDER JR, DONALD F KAE7610
00000467.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA SEEDS, RICHARD J KAE9589
00000467.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA STOFANAK, WILLIAM P KAD3953
00000467.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA UNANGST, RICHARD A KAE6398
00000467.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA WITHERS, MARK D KAD3216
00000467.67500000 BETHLEHEM ZA WODZICKI, EDWARD W KAE6137
00000467.67500000 BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP ZA PITOSKY, EDWARD KAE6531
00000467.67500000 EASTON ZA ALESSI, ERIC S KAE7482
00000467.67500000 EASTON ZA AMATO, VINCENT J KAE9560
00000467.67500000 EASTON ZA DAVIS, HARRY KAE9558
00000467.67500000 EASTON ZA FORSTOFFER, MICHAEL J KAE6514
00000467.67500000 EASTON ZA GREENWOOD, ANDREW B KAE5825
00000467.67500000 EASTON ZA HENRY, MARTIN G KAE8031
00000467.67500000 EASTON ZA MAKKAS, NICK KAE9559
00000467.67500000 EASTON ZA MARTIN, ROBERT P KAE7483
00000467.67500000 HELLERTOWN ZA BARTAKOVITS, STEPHEN J KAF1365
00000467.67500000 HELLERTOWN ZA WARGO, ANDREW G KAE6268
00000467.67500000 NAZARETH ZA INHOFF, JOSEPH M KAE9557
00000467.67500000 NAZARETH ZA SMITH JR, STANLEY S KAF1318
00000467.67500000 NAZARETH ZA TAMBORELLI, KEITH KAE7479
00000467.67500000 WEST EASTON ZA SCHAFFER, SCOTT S KAF1258
00000467.72500000 NAZARETH ZA DICKINSON, DOUGLAS R KAE1015
00000467.75000000 BEHTLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNUF622
00000467.76250000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000467.77500000 BATH IB KEPPELS MARKETS INC WNSS780
00000467.77500000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV KJ3133
00000467.77500000 EASTON IB MADSEN, PETER J WNPO852
00000467.77500000 PEN ARGYL IB MADSEN, PETER J WNPO852
00000467.78750000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000467.80000000 BEHTLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNUF622
00000467.80000000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV KJ3133
00000467.80000000 MARTINS CREEK IB CONAGRA INC WNYC608
00000467.83750000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000467.83750000 EASTON IB LAFAYETTE COLLEGE WPAG343
00000467.83750000 YORK IB MC CRORY STORE 36 WPBD288
00000467.83750000 YORK IB MC CRORY STORE 3904 WPBD969
00000467.86250000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000467.87500000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV KJ3133
00000467.88750000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000467.91250000 EASTON IB FOX, TOM:FOX, CRAIG KB52996
00000467.92500000 BATH IB KEPPELS MARKETS INC WNSS780
00000467.92500000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV KJ3133
00000467.92500000 YORK IB MC CRORY STORE 36 WPBD288

00000467.92500000 YORK IB MC CRORY STORE 3904 WPBD969
00000467.95000000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA EMS COUNCIL WNXJ929
00000467.95000000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
00000467.96250000 EASTON PS VALLEY MEDICIAL SERVICES KB84121
00000467.97500000 ALLEN TOWNSHIP PS ALLEN TOWNSHIP AMBULANCE WNCV586
00000467.97500000 BETHLEHEM PS EASTERN PA EMS COUNCIL WNXJ928
00000467.97500000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
00000467.98750000 EASTON PS AMERICAN NATIONAL RED CROSS KUS573
00000467.98750000 EASTON PS VALLEY MEDICIAL SERVICES KB84121
00000468.00000000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
00000468.02500000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
00000468.05000000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
00000468.07500000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
00000468.10000000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746

06/23/96 Page 1700000468.12500000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
00000468.15000000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
00000468.17500000 BETHLEHEM PS VALLEY MEDICAL SERVICES INC WXK746
00000468.21250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000468.21250000 BETHLEHEM IB RHONE POULENC INC WPBA649
00000468.26250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000468.28750000 STOCKERTOWN IB UNIVERSAL FOREST PRODUCTS WPFE365
00000468.31250000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000468.33750000 EASTON IB STATE THEATER WNVE805
00000468.35000000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNAF645
00000468.37500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM AREA SCHOOL WNJU339
468.4125 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000468.42500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNAY273
00000468.43750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KO4084
468.4625 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000468.48750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000468.57500000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB BITTNER, A J WNIY294
00000468.57500000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB KRECKMAN, HERBERT WNJV820
00000468.57500000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB PACK SHACK ADVENTURES INC WNMJ783
00000468.57500000 UPPER MOUNT BETHEL IB SEESE, LARRY WNLS725
00000468.58750000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION KA41397
00000468.70000000 PEN ARGYL IB JOHN F SIMOCK INC KNIT694
00000468.70000000 PEN ARGYL IB MODERN HANDLING EQUIPMENT KNJT468
468.7375 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000468.75000000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000468.75000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
468.7875 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000468.85000000 BETHLEHEM IB MORAVIAN COLLEGE SECURITY WNFC316
468.8625 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000468.88750000 EASTON IB STATE THEATER WNVE805
00000468.90000000 BETHLEHEM IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
00000468.90000000 EASTON IB KUMMER, RON WNSL317
00000468.90000000 SALISBURY TOWNSHIP IB WITHERS, MARK D WSR908
468.9125 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000469.02500000 PEN ARGYL IB PEN ARGYL AREA SCHOOL WNCP958

00000469.05000000 BANGOR IB HORN, ANDY S WNYF325
469.0625 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000469.12500000 BANGOR IB RENOLDOS SERVICE & WNIN214
00000469.12500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNBG930
00000469.12500000 WIND GAP IB ENGLER AUTOMOTIVES INC WNDX530
00000469.12500000 WIND GAP IB NORTH EAST GENERAL WNSG580
00000469.12500000 WIND GAP IB RENOLDOS SERVICE & WNIN214
00000469.17500000 EASTON IB HARCROS PIGMENTS INC WNIY416
00000469.20000000 BEHTLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNUF622
00000469.21250000 BETHLEHEM IB RHONE POULENC INC WPBA649
469.2375 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000469.32500000 PEN ARGYL IB GRAND CENTRAL SANITATION WNVQ523
00000469.40000000 HANOVER TOWNSHIP IB POCONO MOBILE RADIO WNCA488
00000469.42500000 NORTHAMPTON IB COLLIS TRUCK PARTS INC WNMM576
00000469.51250000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV WPAB556
00000469.52500000 NORTHAMPTON IB TWIN COUNTY TRANSVIDEO INC WPEJ607
00000469.53750000 BETHLEHEM IB SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV WPAB556
00000469.57500000 NAZARETH IB ALERT SECURITY SERVICE INC WNLJ496
00000469.61250000 PEN ARGYL IB GRAND CENTRAL SANITATION WNUR406
00000469.65000000 BEHTLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNUF622
00000469.66250000 PEN ARGYL IB GRAND CENTRAL SANITATION WNUR406
00000469.67500000 BETHLEHEM IB NORTHAMPTON COUNTY AREA WNMJ956
00000469.77500000 NORTHAMPTON IB TWIN COUNTY TRANSVIDEO INC WPEJ607
00000469.78750000 PEN ARGYL IB GRAND CENTRAL SANITATION WNUR406
00000469.80000000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION WNAF645
00000469.81250000 PEN ARGYL IB GRAND CENTRAL SANITATION WNUR406
00000469.82500000 BETHLEHEM IB BETHLEHEM AREA SCHOOL WPEA525

06/23/96 Page 1800000469.85000000 PEN ARGYL IB CROWDER JR COMPANY KNBC223
00000469.85000000 PEN ARGYL IB M & B HAULING INC KNHN672
469.9375 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
469.9625 EASTON IB EASTON HOSPITAL WPBJ259
00000500.58750000 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY WIL782
00000500.86250000 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY WIL782
00000501.01250000 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY WIL782
00000502.01250000 WYDMOOR IB MODERN HANDLING EQUIPMENT KZW984
00000503.58750000 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY WIL782
00000503.86250000 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY WIL782
00000504.01250000 BETHLEHEM IB LEHIGH UNIVERSITY WIL782
00000505.18750000 BETHLEHEM IB KIDS PEACE WIF299
00000505.21250000 NORTHAMTON IB LEIBENSPERGER SCHOOL WIL266
00000806.00000000 BETHLEHEM GX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WNZX389
00000806.00000000 EASTON GX INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS WPCT380
00000806.00000000 MIDDLEBURG YB FAMILY PRACTICE ASSOCIATION WNSE314
00000806.00000000 WALNUT PORT GX BRANSON, LESLIE WPFT910
00000806.61250000 WIND GAP GP WIND GAP, BORO OF WNQK993
00000807.13750000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000807.13750000 BETHLEHEM YP BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPFA301
00000807.58750000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000807.58750000 BETHLEHEM YP BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPFA301

00000808.68750000 HELLERTOWN GX WALTERS, WILLIAM D WPFG642
00000809.66250000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000809.66250000 BETHLEHEM YP BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPFA301
00000809.98750000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000809.98750000 BETHLEHEM YP BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPFA301
00000810.96250000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000810.96250000 BETHLEHEM YP BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPFA301
00000815.86250000 STROUDSBERG GB FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION WNKB910
00000821.01250000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000821.18750000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000821.51250000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000821.87500000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000822.01250000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000822.43750000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000822.51250000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000822.90000000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000823.01250000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000823.42500000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000823.91250000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000825.03000000 BETHLEHEM CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA314
00000825.03000000 COPLAY CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA314
00000825.03000000 EASTON CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA314
00000825.03000000 NAZARETH CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA314
00000835.02000000 BANGOR CL ALLENTOWN SMSA LIMITED KNKA290
00000835.02000000 BETHLEHEM CL ALLENTOWN SMSA LIMITED KNKA290
00000835.02000000 TOWNSHIP OF FORKS CL ALLENTOWN SMSA LIMITED KNKA290
00000835.02000000 WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP CL ALLENTOWN SMSA LIMITED KNKA290
00000851.13750000 BETHLEHEM GX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WNZX389
00000851.41250000 WALNUT PORT GX BRANSON, LESLIE WPFT910
851.6125 WIND GAP GP WIND GAP, BORO OF WNQK993
00000852.13750000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000852.13750000 BETHLEHEM YP BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPFA301
00000852.36250000 BETHLEHEM GX DISPATCH COMMUNICATIONS OF WPBC692
00000852.43750000 EASTON GX INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS WPCT380
00000852.58750000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000852.58750000 BETHLEHEM YP BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPFA301
00000853.68750000 HELLERTOWN GX WALTERS, WILLIAM D WPFG642
00000854.66250000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000854.66250000 BETHLEHEM YP BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPFA301
00000854.98750000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636

06/23/96 Page 1900000854.98750000 BETHLEHEM YP BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPFA301
00000855.53750000 EASTON YX INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATIONS WYY814
00000855.83750000 EASTON GB ARDIS COMPANY WNAU538
00000855.96250000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000855.96250000 BETHLEHEM YP BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WPFA301
00000856.76250000 STROUDSBURG YO METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WPDC922
00000856.76250000 WIND GAP YO METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WPDC922
00000857.76250000 STROUDSBURG YO METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WPDC922
00000857.76250000 WIND GAP YO METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WPDC922

00000858.76250000 STROUDSBURG YO METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WPDC922
00000858.76250000 WIND GAP YO METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WPDC922
00000859.76250000 STROUDSBURG YO METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WPDC922
00000859.76250000 WIND GAP YO METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WPDC922
00000860.76250000 STROUDSBURG YO METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WPDC922
00000860.76250000 WIND GAP YO METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WPDC922
00000860.86250000 STROUDSBERG GB FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION WNKB910
00000861.18750000 PEN ARGYL YX MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS KNER557
00000862.18750000 PEN ARGYL YX MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS KNER557
00000863.18750000 PEN ARGYL YX MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS KNER557
00000864.18750000 PEN ARGYL YX MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS KNER557
00000865.18750000 PEN ARGYL YX MOBILEMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS KNER557
866.0125 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
866.1875 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000866.43750000 BETHLEHEM GF PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNYR437
866.5125 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
866.8750 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
867.0125 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
867.4375 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
867.5125 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000867.62500000 BETHLEHEM GF PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WNYR437
867.9000 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
868.0125 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
868.4250 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
868.9125 BETHLEHEM YF BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNWQ636
00000870.03000000 BETHLEHEM CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA314
00000870.03000000 COPLAY CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA314
00000870.03000000 EASTON CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA314
00000870.03000000 NAZARETH CL PENNSYLVANIA CELLULAR KNKA314
00000880.02000000 BANGOR CL ALLENTOWN SMSA LIMITED KNKA290
00000880.02000000 BETHLEHEM CL ALLENTOWN SMSA LIMITED KNKA290
00000880.02000000 TOWNSHIP OF FORKS CL ALLENTOWN SMSA LIMITED KNKA290
00000880.02000000 WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP CL ALLENTOWN SMSA LIMITED KNKA290
00000898.75000000 PEN ARGYL GU EDELEN & BOYER CO WNMQ966
00000904.37500000 PEN ARGYL RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.37500000 STRAUSBURG RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.40000000 PEN ARGYL RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.40000000 STRAUSBURG RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.42500000 PEN ARGYL RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.42500000 STRAUSBURG RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.45000000 PEN ARGYL RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.45000000 STRAUSBURG RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.47500000 PEN ARGYL RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.47500000 STRAUSBURG RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.50000000 PEN ARGYL RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.50000000 STRAUSBURG RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.52500000 PEN ARGYL RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.52500000 STRAUSBURG RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.55000000 PEN ARGYL RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.55000000 STRAUSBURG RS METS INC WNZD545

00000904.57500000 PEN ARGYL RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.57500000 STRAUSBURG RS METS INC WNZD545
00000904.60000000 PEN ARGYL RS METS INC WNZD545

06/23/96 Page 2000000904.60000000 STRAUSBURG RS METS INC WNZD545
00000928.51875000 EASTON IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM568
00000928.69375000 NAZARETH IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM510
00000928.83125000 FOUNTAIN HILL IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM570
00000929.01250000 EASTON GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDS583
00000929.28750000 EASTON GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDH771
00000929.46250000 EASTON GS HENNE, DELORES WPEE625
00000929.51250000 MOUNT BETHEL GS METROCALL OF DELAWARE INC WPAR438
00000929.66250000 EASTON GS PAGEMART II INC WNYL919
00000929.66250000 NAZARETH GS PAGEMART II INC WNYL919
00000929.71250000 EASTON GS PAGEMART II INC WNYL925
00000929.71250000 NAZARETH GS PAGEMART II INC WNYL925
00000929.91250000 NAZERATH GS TRI STATE RADIO CO INC WPBF669
00000929.96250000 EASTON GS PAGING NETWORK OF WPDH783
00000931.06250000 EASTON CD PAGE AMERICA OF NEW YORK, KNKO508
00000931.36250000 EASTON CD FIRSTPAGE USA OF VIRGINIA, KNKM928
00000931.36250000 NAZARETH CD FIRSTPAGE USA OF VIRGINIA, KNKM928
00000931.38750000 EASTON CD PAGING NETWORK OF KNKE392
00000931.48750000 NAZARETH CD TRI-STATE RADIO CO. KNKP205
00000931.58750000 EASTON CD PAGE AMERICA OF KNKF655
00000931.61250000 EASTON CD PAGE AMERICA OF NEW YORK, KNKG616
00000931.61250000 EASTON CD PAGING NETWORK OF NEW YORK, KNKO334
00000931.68750000 EASTON CD PAGING NETWORK OF KNKE392
00000931.78750000 MOUNT BETHEL CD PAGING PARTNERS, L.P. KNKK653
00000931.88750000 BETHLEHEM CD MOBILECOMM NATIONWIDE KNKO383
00000931.98750000 STROUDSBURG CD MOBILECOMM OF THE KNKK853
00000935.88750000 BETHLEHEM GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY614
00000935.93750000 BETHLEHEM GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY614
00000935.98750000 BETHLEHEM GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY614
00000936.00000000 EASTON YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000936.00000000 STROUDSBURG YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000936.88750000 BETHLEHEM GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY614
00000936.90000000 EASTON YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000936.90000000 STROUDSBURG YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000936.93750000 BETHLEHEM GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY614
00000936.98750000 BETHLEHEM GI ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN WNKY614
00000937.75000000 PEN ARGYL GU EDELEN & BOYER CO WNMQ966
00000937.88750000 EASTON YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000937.88750000 STROUDSBURG YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000937.90000000 EASTON YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000937.90000000 STROUDSBURG YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000937.93750000 EASTON YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000937.93750000 STROUDSBURG YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000937.95000000 EASTON YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000937.95000000 STROUDSBURG YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000937.96250000 EASTON YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313

00000937.96250000 STROUDSBURG YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000937.97500000 EASTON YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000937.97500000 STROUDSBURG YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000939.95000000 EASTON YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000939.95000000 STROUDSBURG YI METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WNWX313
00000944.37500000 EASTON BA TELE-MEDIA BROADCASTING WLF955
00000944.62500000 EASTON BA TELE-MEDIA BROADCASTING WLF955
00000947.50000000 WHITEHALL BA HOLT CORPORATION OF WRN70
00000948.00000000 EASTON BA LEHIGH VALLEY BROADCASTING KGK38
00000951.00000000 EASTON BA SOUND COMM CORP WHQ419
00000952.51875000 EASTON IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM568
00000952.69375000 NAZARETH IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM510
00000952.83125000 FOUNTAIN HILL IB FOREMOST DATA WNTM570
953.0000 NAZARETH PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF KGM65
00000954.60000000 BETHLEHEM PL BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNTK579
956.6000 NAZARETH PL NORTHAMPTON, COUNTY OF WHI646

06/23/96 Page 2100001905.00000000 EASTON IW PENNSYLVANIA POWER AND KGL42
00001905.00000000 STROUDSBURG IW METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WIW91
00001955.00000000 PORTLAND IW METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WIW92
00002059.00000000 LOWER SAUCON TWP BA LEHIGH VALLEY EDUCATIONAL WAF271
00002134.80000000 BETHLEHEM PL BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNTK579
00002138.00000000 EASTON PL DELAWARE RIVER JOINT TOLL WNTR314
00002138.80000000 WIND GAP PS WIND GAP, BORO OF WNTT763
00002142.80000000 NAZARETH PP PENNSYLVANIA, COMMONWEALTH WAV704
00002146.00000000 EASTON PL DELAWARE RIVER JOINT TOLL WNTR314
00002183.20000000 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA E.M.S. WNEX649
00002184.00000000 STROUDSBURG IW METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WIW91
00002184.80000000 BETHLEHEM PL BETHLEHEM, CITY OF WNTK578
00002186.40000000 WIND GAP PS EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA E.M.S. WNEX649
00002188.00000000 STROUDSBURG PL DELAWARE RIVER JOINT TOLL WNTR313
00002188.80000000 NAZARETH PS WIND GAP, BORO OF WNTT764
00002189.60000000 PENN ARGYLE IP TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE KFV47
00002194.40000000 EASTON PS EASTON HOSPITAL WNTA376
00002194.40000000 PENN ARGYLE IP TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE KFV47
00002665.00000000 EASTON IB IVAN NACHMAN WNTI262
00002677.00000000 EASTON IB BLAKE TWEDT WNTH972
00003700.00000000 BANGOR CX CLAR-O-VIEW COMMUNITY TV, E2368
00003700.00000000 BANGOR CX SERVICE ELECTRIC E920432
00003700.00000000 BETHLEHAM CX LEHIGH VALLEY EDUCATIONAL WD77
00003700.00000000 BETHLEHEM CX THE ASSOCIATED PRESS E6484
00003710.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00003730.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00003790.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00003810.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00003870.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00003890.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00003950.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00003970.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00004030.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41

00004050.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00004110.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00004130.00000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006009.90000000 NAZARETH CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATION E900234
00006010.10000000 NAZARETH CX EQUATORIAL COMMUNICATION E900234
00006177.50000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006197.20000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006226.90000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006256.50000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006286.20000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006315.90000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006345.50000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006375.20000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006404.80000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006424.50000000 DELAWARE WATER GAP CF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND KGH41
00006645.00000000 STROUDSBURG IW METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WIW91
00006685.00000000 STROUDSBURG IW METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WIW91
00006815.00000000 EASTON IW METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WIZ58
00006845.00000000 EASTON IW METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY WIZ58
00011700.00000000 BETHLEHEM CX LEHIGH UNIVERSITY E900035
00014000.00000000 BETHLEHEM CX LEHIGH UNIVERSITY E900035

06/23/96 Page 22
Send addition or changes
To N3PTU@WB3FYL.EPA.PA.USA.NA
OR
martyrip@prolog.net

3617. FS: Icom PCR1000 Wide Band Receiver (unblocked)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cgt01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062620561500.QAA04523@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155233

FS: Icom PCR1000 wide band receiver (unblocked) - 100kc to 1300 Mhz -- immaculate -- used briefly to verify software -- $480 ono - I ship -- Email reply or phone 978-635-9663

3618. Re: Time for a NEW flame topic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35940c54.6760443@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155234

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 08:24:23 GMT, fred@banana.net (fred) wrote:

>Sombody missed the 8-) in the original "flame"...! Oh well, my
>attempt at humor.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Heavy emphasis on "attempt."

I agree it's time for a new flame topic. I nominate *you*.

Drop Dead Fred! You are a clueless lackwit.

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

3619. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J.M." <wnjl@gbsias.com>
Message-ID: <35941BB3.411@gbsias.com>
Source record: 155235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Derek Yerger wrote:
>
> i know! i just bought the last pro-67 for $100, normally $270!!! they
> want to get rid of all the scanners that dont have the TrunkTrakker
> built in... All are on clearance. But this scanners for $11 is unheard
> of... cant be true. Radio hovel is standard, every store has the same
> prices. I bought this one at my local radio hovel but there are 3 others
> i have to look in for $11 scanners... hope i find one. Amazing for Radio
> shack whos retail is twice the wholesale... they make a lot! but they
> pay their employees nil. where is all the $$$ going to?
>
> Jack wrote:
>
> > If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
> >
> > time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
> > marked at $100, the pro-67 was at like $60 and my favorite was the
> > pro-508 for $10.99! Been wanting to dedicate a scanner to my favorite
> > mdt, and for under $12 it can't be beat!!!!

There IS A PRO-508 BASE SCanner Folks!

3620. Seek recommendations on handheld scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <lewjw@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <6n15c3$t4g$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 155236

Hi, I'm interested to buy a scanner, and I know extremely little about it, hope the group can help. I try to shop for one with nice features, but mainly I'll use it to lisent to police, aircraft, ambulance, weather ... and may be trucker.

I've read some info about TrunckTracking, I wonder what's its advantage. I also like to have quite a lot of channels, if its important at all (?). Seems like its only Pro-90 & its Unident version fits my expectation, however, if TrunckTracking isn't really that useful, then I've heard Pro-64 is rather nice.

Local RS quoted me $130 for Pro-64, but I read from other posts (Ryan Faricy & other's) mentioned about $100. Which RS is selling at $100? (Ryan?) The same RS shop has a Pro-90 at $299 but I hadn't yet found a Uniden (BC235???) version yet, what's the right price for Uniden?

These are all I know, is there any other similar model meets my requirement? Will they be cheaper?

What will you recommend? Where will you recommend me to buy?

Tks for the help.

John (lewjw@sprintmail.com)

3621. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3593fc29.5600545@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 155237

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>A point well-made!

[52 lines of quoting and sig file snipped]

So the question is, do I waste my time trying to educate this kid on
UseNet quoting protocols, or do I just go ahead and dump him in the
killfile?
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3622. For Sale: BC895XLT mint condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Guttman <kguttman@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35941F24.CD69B81D@ibm.net>
Source record: 155238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am selling my BC895XLT. It was bought 2 months ago, is in mint condition, and has been used less than 25 hours. There is not one scratch on it. I am asking US$200 o.b.o. plus shipping from North Carolina. Email me if interested. After Friday night Iï¿½ll be out of town until Monday evening but will answer email then.
--Ken

3623. Scanners for sale (Realistic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ab812@freenet.akron.oh.us
Message-ID: <Ev6Jto.FoM@freenet.akron.oh.us>
Source record: 155239

All:
I have two scanners for sale:
1. Realistic Pro2023, 20 channel, table model $35
2. Realistic Pro38, 10 channel, portable $75
(shipping cost not included)

C.Richards
Akron, OH
ab812@acorn.net

3624. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <359425A5.92D17F06@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 155240

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>
> (Please read in full before responding.)

Toilet Boy *never* reads in full anything anyone else has to say. It would disturb his carefully constructed (and drug induced) perception of reality he has constructed in that vast crevasse formerly known as a mind. His dementia can't tolerate reality checks.

> Please take a look at the facts before you post erroneous information
> about these two online services with which I've been a member for
> several years.

You were right all along about AOL being an ISP. Toilet Boy will staunchly deny that because some time ago Bill Cheek referred to AOL as an ISP. Macktard has to deny it because Cheek said it. Look to the past postings and you see that if Cheek says black, Toilet Boy says white.

3625. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <35942AB3.9D52CD02@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 155241

John "I'm gonna hijack that K-Y delivery truck because the IRS won't
let me deduct lubricants as a work related expense" Mackey.KA0SSF
tried vainly to think:

> > >(Your suggestion of Heliax was hardly practical since that runs
> > >around $5+ a foot plus $50+ per connector)
> > >
> > That depends John, but it was not a suggestion, merely a point.
>
> Certainly an impractical suggestion, regardless.

Not at all an impractical suggestion. In the Phoenix area (and elsewhere
around the country) surplus hard-line can be had for prices ranging
from free to $0.10 to $0.50 a foot. In the interest of high quality
and inexpensive cable, this is a viable alternative. Once again, for
receive purposes the 75 ohm to 50 ohm impedance mismatch is irrelevant
and in a hobby application will not noticeably degrade performance.

> > If you had some experience in the field, you would see sites
> > routinely using up to 3 inch. ALL of my 800 Mhz systems have a
> > minimum of 1 5/8 inch.
>
> Certainly if you are working on 800 Mhz gear you see that often. But
> not on low-band, hig-band, or 450 bands.

Not true. When I worked at Kwajalein Base Radio Station (ABK), our HF
transmitters, AN/FRT-39 and AN/FRT-40 made by Technical Materials Corp.,
(look them up in Jane's Book of Communications, Toilet Boy) used 1-5/8
inch and 3 inch heliax feed line pressurized at 3 psi with nitrogen. The
pressurization improved the dielectric properties of the line and kept
small insects out of the line.

The FRT-39s put out 10 kW RMS (a pair of PL-172/8259s in the finals)
with 100 per cent duty cycle and the FRT-40s put out 40 kW with 100
per cent duty cycle, hence the need for large line. We also put two
and sometimes three transmitters on one antenna with special line
couplers. We had fixed direction log periodic antennas that were used
for military long haul short-wave data links. Putting the same data on
three non harmonically related frequencies compensated for the Earth's
rotation and changing propagation.

> You can not apply the standards & characteristics of one band an all
> frequency bands Blake. There are substancial differences in the
> frequecy characteristics which drive different methods of equipment.

That depends on what you are comparing. If you're talking about
propagation
of two widely separated frequency ranges, then the comparison is faulty.
However, if you are comparing antennas, you are wrong. Many antenna
engineers build and test a small model of the design antenna at
microwave
frequencies because of the small physical size. It's just much easier to
work with. Then they scale it to the desired frequency and verify the
test results.

> If you would like, I could suggest some books you might read to learn
> about this.

Try getting some real world experience before you spout off with your
lame advice, Toilet Boy. Book learning only allows you to pass a few
tests. Now you need to face the real world, you friggin' loon!

3626. Re: transmitting on police band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26015-35941BA1-16@newsd-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 155242

Thanks for the input Dave. Why did he use the word Taboo anyway? I don't have to worry pn this subject because I can legally transmit on the PD band. Anyway I'm off to field day.
73 de KC2BTP

3627. Civil Aviation Logs - New York, Gander, Shanwick, Santa Maria

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Brandao <l42303@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.3.96.980626204553.11708F-100000@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Source record: 155243

Hello

Here are some logs for the North Atlatinc airspace.
Hope you enjoy them, there is a complete route clearance for
Alitalia 664 (Rome-Caracas) and also many selcal information.
You can dwonload a 'radar-view' of the airspace at the time the
logs were done at:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Lakes/9420/shotex7.gif

Best regards, Andre Brandao

```
------------------------------------------------------
__
/ /\ AirNav 2.10 - http://fly.to/airnav
\__/ File generated by the Aircraft Tracking Software
------------------------------------------------------

LOG FILE STARTING AT 0132 25 JUN 98 UTC

UTC Freq(KHz) Callsign Report
----- --------- ---------- ---------------------------------------
0142Z 5616 DAL96 54N40W/0134 F330 55N30W/0215 54N20W/ U59.9
0143Z 5616 CDN856 SJKAH
0144Z 5616 UAL952 SAGBS
0145Z 5616 UAL914 SASCK
0146Z 5616 AAL80 61N60W/0144 F370 64N50W/0226 66N40W/ SJKHL
U67.1
0148Z 5616 AAL146 51N40W/0145 F330 52N30W/0229 51N20W/ U62.2
0150Z 6628 BWA900 18N6115W/0148 F330 20N60W/0205 27N55W/ SHMFK
0151Z 5598 TWA882 48N20W/0149 F350 47N15W/0213 U77.3
0152Z 5616 RCH4724 54N15W/0149 F280 54N20W/0212 53N30W/ U121.0
SBJHK
0152Z 5616 TWA904 SAEGH
0153Z 6628 SAA202 30N45W/0150 F310 28N40W/0223 25N35W/
0155Z 5616 DAL84 49N40W/0153 F310 50N30W/0239 49N20W/ U67.1
0156Z 5616 TOW802 62N40W/0156 F310 60N50W/0236 U166.4
0157Z 5616 AAL100 52N40W/0154 F340 53N30W/0238 52N20W/ SJLPS
0159Z 5616 TWA902 48N40W/0159 F370 48N30W/0246 47N20W/ U34.1
0202Z 6628 AZA664 SEKJL LUTAK/0232 F340 36N20W/ 32N30W/ 28N40W/
27N42W/ 22N50W/ 18N5554W/ 15N60W/ FOF/
0203Z 5616 UAL002 SAGHS
0205Z 5616 TWA840 49N40W/0203 F370 50N30W/0252 49N20W/
W-54.291.58+48N3745W.-49.259.52
0206Z 5649 CFG233 48N30W/0203 F330 49N20W/0250 49N15W/
W-46.315.41
0207Z 5649 IBE6250 47N40W/0204 F360 47N30W/0251 46N20W/
```

W-51.290.54 SAGBC
0208Z 5616 COA36 54N30W/0206 F290 53N20W/0247 52N15W/ U77.4
SEFAC
0210Z 5616 TWA842 49N40W/0205 F360 50N30W/0252 49N20W/
W-52.289.52 U57.9
0212Z 5616 USA98 54N30W/0210 F370 53N20W/0251 52N15W/ U43.1
SBFMP
0213Z 5616 DAL30 60N40W/0209 F330 63N30W/0252 65N20W/
W290.34.-52+5813N45W.246.40.-51
0214Z 5616 N525JT RN525JT 53N30W/0209 F410 53N20W/0251 53N15W/
SCEFL
0216Z 5616 UAL970 52N30W/0213 F360 51N20W/0256 50N15W/ U56.3
SPGKR
0217Z 6628 LIB800 33N40W/0216 F330 39N30W/0332 44N20W/
W-44.150.15 SBEAK
0218Z 5616 AAL120 54N30W/0216 F310 53N20W/0256 52N15W/ U43.8
SBPFL
0220Z 5616 DAL44 SPQCF
0224Z 5616 UAL914 52N40W/0222 F370 53N30W/0304 52N20W/ U65.4
0225Z 5616 DAL124 SMSFQ

Report: F+Flight_Level S+SELCAL M+Mach U+Fuel W+Weather Q+Frequency
R+Registration T+Aircraft_Type A+Airports C+Company

3628. Re: Atlanta Trunking page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccreeper@inetnow.net
Message-ID: <35962283.81954775@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 155244

mm505@mindspring.com (505) wrote:

>For Metro Atlanta area trunking, check out my page at
>www.mindspring.com/~mm505
>
>/\/\|k.e
>
>

http://www.inetnow.net/~creeper/scanner.htm will also work quite
nicely

Creeper
--
Take off one of the "C's" in ccreeper@inetnow.net when sending personal replies via email.

3629. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n1c8r$9aq$0@207.18.224.22>
Source record: 155245

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 13:22:45 -0700, dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

>I have been looking at LMR COAX, basic information and pricing can be

Yep, I have been using a LOT of the Times product, from LMR400 up to
LMR 1700. Great stuff!

3630. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n1cc4$9aq$1@207.18.224.22>
Source record: 155246

On 26 Jun 1998 11:51:47 GMT, k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

> "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> writes, in his doctoral thesis:
>
>>You really are the clueless group idiot aren't you!
>
>See how well John is abiding by his self-imposed ban on "falming and other type
>of posts" ??
>
Just another example of his lies, and flames. John
must be going through some severe psychological problems.

3631. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n1ch6$9aq$2@207.18.224.22>
Source record: 155247

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 09:27:02 -0500, "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ"
<jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Yes, I am upgrading to General sometime soon! I've heard the test is rather
>easy (mostly questions on FCC rules and regs.), and I think I can learn 13
>wpm CW by August (When I will take it).

Great. I could tell that you were intelligent enough to make
your own, informed opinion, without some people trying
to belittle you when they talk about "codeless tech"

Those people that do belittle someone, normally turn
out to be CLUELESS EXTRAS!

Good luck!

3632. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35943578.5821363@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155248

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

> Now that I've quoted your own words for you twice now, have you done enough
> dodging or are you prepared to admit that any resulting signal loss would be
> negligible and wouldn't affect reception?? I didn't think so.

ESPECIALLY considering the GAINS achievable by
going to the lower loss coax. In essence it
amounts to trading a pile of losses for another
pile, but with lower losses....for a net GAIN.

The guy is an idiot-savant. You'll never
get though to him. The lights are out and
he's running on auto-pilot.

So friggin sad.....

3633. Re: Richmond / Central Virginia frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darrell <drayf@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <35943E1B.90698473@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 155249
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

O.K., You are in luck. John Mccolman has a page on richmond
area. He also has a part in a scanner club for that area. I hear
they have very interesting meetings. I plan to go to one myself
soon. I`m in the roanoke area and also have a scanner club.
Darrell--- Roanoke, Virginia
http://mailhost.infi.net/~jmccolma/

nospam wrote:

> Hi there,
>
> I'm new to scanning and I would appreciate any information about active
> frequencies used in the Richmond / Central Virginia area. I purchased a
> copy of Police Call from Radio Shack and I have gotten some good
> information from various internet searches, however, I am hoping that
> someone could help me save some time if they happen to have a more
> concise list of good active frequencies than what I have found already.
> I got a trunk scanner recently, so any trunking frequency information is
> especially appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance for your help.

3634. relm MS200 programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pinmedic <thomas824@jps.net>
Message-ID: <359447c3.0@blushng.jps.net>
Source record: 155250

Has anyone had any trouble programming their RELM MS200 with the computer? I have installed and configured everything correctly, but no matter what I do, I can not program it with the computer. Any suggestions? Also, does RELM have a website?

Thanks,

JT

3635. Re: Buying from this newsgroup's ads -what's the best procedure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer <jerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6n1hi6$kf3$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 155251

VE7SEZ wrote:
>
> #1 with a money order instead of a cheque. Seller contacts you by e-mail on
> receipt of funds, and upon shipping the item. Look the seller up on deja
> news, see what kind of person they seem to be by reading their previous
> posts. Frequent posters will value their reputation! I always check for a
> ham callsign; for some reason I trust hams more than average people. You
> know where they live, hehehe. If you are uneasy you can use COD, but it has
> it's drawbacks; you can easily receive a brick in the package! There are
> internet escrow services that seem to have reasonable charges. I've never
> used them, but on a high value item I think I would if I was unsure of the
> other party.
>
> Remember that most people are honest, and problems in internet swap
> transactions are very rare, and often related to poor packaging more than
> any other factor. (freight damage!) I have bought and sold about 10 items on
> the net, always received what I paid for, but not always in the same
> condition as it was promised, due to poor packaging. In both cases I was
> able to fix the rigs myself, but if not then this could have been a major
> transaction problem!
>
> Tim
>
> Dave Weber wrote in message <358dd3cb.7035025@news.wwa.com>...
> >I've seen some equipment ads on this newsgroup that seem very
> >interesting. If I decide to buy the equipment from an e-mail message,
> >whats the usual procedure to provide some safety to both sides ? I
> >assume I can't go see the seller or the equipment., but that seller
> >ans me agree to a price and who pays shipping.
> >
> >1. I get mailing address (no P.O. boxes), phone number and I mail
> >personal check to seller. Seller sends me equipment after the check
> >clears. (This seems to add some safety since I know the seller's
> >name, phone, address, and e-mail address)
> >
> >2. Seller sends me equipment, I send cash or check after it arrives
> >and fits seller's description (I would like this, seller may not)
> >
> >3. Some other method
> >
> >Any thoughts would be appreciated
I have bought several radios from this group and have been very
satisfied with the results. There are a lot of fine people on here.Jerr

3636. WTB : Pro-46 Leather Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <35945E40.ECFAEE5C@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If anyone out there has a "fitted" (not generic) leather case for the
Radio Shack Pro-46 portable scanner they will part with, please email me
with price & terms.

Thanks All !

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

3637. Re: F**K YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <35945F64.57313D2A@iname.com>
Source record: 155253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

IT all depends...why post from dejanews if you want to get along?
Why not just post from SAVVIS Communications (NETBLK-SAVVIS4) SAVVIS4 ??

Oh well...probably another MACKEY attempt at fostering support for
himself.

arise@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Cant we all just get along?
>
> In article <35947de7.182979898@news2.cts.com>,
> bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
> >
> > allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Pretty good chance you're right CB Guy. The forger probably works for the
> > > school; it's not likely this clown is enrolled. If it is a student; the
> > > lad has a tough semester ahead of him.
> >
> > Just betwixt thee, me, and the doorpost, I think
> > it's Mackey. Here's why: Use your Telnet client
> > and log onto: news.ctc.edu at Port 119 and you'll
> > get the following response:
> >
> > 200 news.ctc.edu Netscape-News/2.01 25500 NNRP ready (posting ok).
> >
> > Seems this site accepts IHAVE injections, meaning most
> > anyone can employ it if they know a trifle of what they're
> > doing. No, that doesn't prove it's Mackey, but the next
> > little tidbit sure lights up his nose!
> >

3638. Re: Time for a NEW flame topic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3594641F.A8511774@netwit.com>
Source record: 155254

fred wrote:

> Radio Shack scanners are for schmucks! Come on, the same people who
> buy these toys probably spend top-dollar for their Realistic stereos!
> And they're proud of it!
>
> On the other hand, Uniden scanners rule. Not like that inferior Shack
> crap.
>
> 8-)
>
> <---- public service announcement ---->
> Bill, you still suck. I only wish I knew you were such a useless
> troll when I was in Chula Vista.
>
> Go away. Usenet is not anarchy by nature. 10 years ago it used to be
> civil and informative. Even 4 years ago it was OK. Now morons like
> you & your clueless lemming followers have really screwed it up. You
> are definitely educated beyond your intelligence. You "technician".
> <---- end service announcement ---->
>
> Now, back to scanning. Does anyone know if POC32 supports FLEX yet?
> There seems to be a menu option for FLEX that is grayed out. 8-(
>
> -Fred

Hi FredCompaniaRadioFanReallyBigGuyJohn Mackey!

So what happens? You get in the habit of tacking on some useless scanner reference at the end of your posts, and then you carry it over when you wear your funny nose and glasses? Real stealthy.

You friggin' loon.

3639. FS: ICOM R7000 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobhome@postoffice.pacbell.net
Message-ID: <3594601A.1B9D8724@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 155255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

ICOM R7000 receiver
AM/FM/FMw/SSB 25MHz-1000MHz (no block)
AM/FM/FMw/SSB 1025MHz-1300MHz
$700 (firm)

This receiver is in near mint condition and includes the 12V DC option. All original boxes and manuals are included. I've also just installed a fresh internal lithium memory backup battery.

Price includes shipping by UPS ground within the continental US.

Bob
WO6W

3640. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3594903E.6260EB30@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155256

Before you embark on something as ambitious as starting you're our newsgroup,
you might want to learn how to use a "real" news reader, get a "real" email
account and heaven forbid, learn to cruise the internet without a browser.

Think you got the "brains" to do all that Elvis ?

Maybe Toilet Boy (Hint Hint) could help you ...

reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:

> How would I go about starting a new scanner newsgroup? I propose that I or
> someone else create this new ng and let ButtCHEEK and Smacksie have this one
> all to themselves. We could call it rec.radio.scanner.nocheek.nomackey. I
> say we go with it. These two idiots waste more time trying to outwit each
> other than anything else. They think they're so damn intelligent, instead
> they're two little children trying to get the last word. This goes for all
> their little no-brain followers as well. If one of the two morons never
> responded to the other, then the other would just go away. Anyway, can this
> new ng be fired up or what?
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

--

_____
There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

_____
While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

3641. Ericsson Trunked sys.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: X <fillip@banet.net>
Message-ID: <359402A1.5417FF8F@banet.net>
Source record: 155257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In order to decode Ericsson Trunked system .we use
Etrunk.
the EDACS system is a single frequency trunking sys.
So is there still needed second scanner for the monitoring of Data
channel ?
Or single scanner can do the job ?

Thanx for hints

Mike

3642. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359c18e1.353758658@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155265

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> about hardline or heliax which is NOT coax.

......"not coax"? Liken unto a semi-truck
isn't an "automobile"?

Ummmmmm, Smacksie.....get a clue. Start with
the definition of "cable". Once we get past
that one, which might be too much for you,
then we work on the definition of "coaxial".

When we're done, you'll see that hardline and
heliax are just types of coaxial cables.

Are you a stupid gay blade or what?

3643. Time for a NEW flame topic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <3592e46d.7021525@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 155266

Radio Shack scanners are for schmucks! Come on, the same people who buy these toys probably spend top-dollar for their Realistic stereos! And they're proud of it!

On the other hand, Uniden scanners rule. Not like that inferior Shack crap.

8-)

<---- public service announcement ---->
Bill, you still suck. I only wish I knew you were such a useless troll when I was in Chula Vista.

Go away. Usenet is not anarchy by nature. 10 years ago it used to be civil and informative. Even 4 years ago it was OK. Now morons like you & your clueless lemming followers have really screwed it up. You are definitely educated beyond your intelligence. You "technician".
<---- end service announcement ---->

Now, back to scanning. Does anyone know if POC32 supports FLEX yet? There seems to be a menu option for FLEX that is grayed out. 8-(

-Fred

3644. Re: Time for a NEW flame topic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062604172600.AAA13882@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155267

You know what they say about people who have to brag about something? As you see, there are no morons out there that have to wholeheartedly 'brag' about RS scanners. In other words, their reputaion needs to help. They are and always will be #1 !!
Any reply from you will only strengthen my point!! Have a nice day!!

3645. discriminator interface help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mvdmh$p8g$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155268

Having problems with discriminator interface. I'm eventually looking to decode Motorola and EDACS trunked control channels and Mobitex MDT systems. I am using a Pro-2045 with discriminator tapped at the jumpers for the optional CTCSS board. I have constructed the interface and the computer has failed to receive any data . . . I have tried various programs. I've measured the VAC from the discriminator to be close to 4mv. I have insured all grounding points are connected. I have also tested for voltage at other points on the 741 and on my RS232 cable and it seems everything is powered. Has anyone had luck with the Pro-2045 CTCSS jumper discriminator connection, and is the center pin on the lower three pin jumper the correct one to use? I am using the second 9way male com port next to the mouse com port. Is it possible the computer is not enabled to use that one . . . should I try using the com port the mouse is on? How do I go about assigning what com port is where, etc? Does anyone have a fool proof schematic to use . . . maybe the ones I have tried aren't optimal for my situation. I would appreciate a response, especially from those who are using the Pro-2045 in this matter, and those who are decoding EDACS. Also, If anyone has knowledge of specific accepted voltage values at specific points, that would help greatly in trouble shooting. And finally, does anyone know of a program to use to monitor com port activity/data for trouble shooting and other investigative purposes . . . Thanks alot . . . .

Jeff

wwwww RS Pro-67, RS Pro-2045, Uniden BC895xlt
g(o o)g http://eng.utoledo.edu/~jpryor/scanner/main.html
--oOO--(_)---OOo----------
The Preceding was transmitted by JEFFREY M. PRYOR

.ooo0 0ooo. jpryor@eng.utoledo.edu UIN@ICQ=1944152
( ) ( ) jpryor@my-dejanews.com
----\ (---) /----------
_) (_/ STOP the SPAM - Keep an Eye on our Government

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3646. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <35930B15.1817@usa.net>
Source record: 155269

K4CC wrote:

> John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> writes:
> >Congratulations to Ryan Faricy for obtaining his AMATEUR RADIO
> >license form the FCC on June 19, 1998!! (just a few days ago)

> Quick, everyone! Link arms and form a circle around Ryan so Makcey can't get to
> him! Here, kid! Here's a life preserver! Okay, now swim as far from Mackey as
> you can, as fast as you can! Atta boy!!

You are making a big deal becaues I congratulate him on getting
a amateur radio license? Should I condemn him instead?

> Only John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> would take something really
> significant and belittle it:
>
> >Ryan, I encourage you to keep on going, don't stop at
> >code-less tech. Keep going to extra.

I didn't belittle him Look at the subject, the first word is
"congrats". YOU are trying to belittle him by DISCOURAGING him
from upgrading.

> 1.) There is no Amateur license class known as code-less tech. I would have

The OFFICAL term is "technican" as opposed to "technican plus" which
indicates 5 WPM morse code abilities. Your arguement is stupid, like
is the glass half empty or half full.

> Ryan earned a Technician Class License, and has every right to be very proud of
> that.

Then why are you trying to belittle him & discourage him from upgrading
which might make him wonder, since you are not encouraging him to
upgrade, that perhaps you think he is incapable. PLEASE encourage
this young man to continue to acheive rather than stating the things you
have.

> he has demonstrated that he's not afraid
> to admit when he's made a mistake.

how do you justify that Ryan's upgrade was a mistake?

3647. Re: Time for a NEW flame topic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjmierrn@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062604573200.AAA18786@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155270

>Radio Shack scanners are for schmucks! Come on, the same people who
>buy these toys probably spend top-dollar for their Realistic stereos!
>And they're proud of it!
>
>On the other hand, Uniden scanners rule. Not like that inferior Shack
>crap.
>
>8-)
>
><---- public service announcement ---->
>Bill, you still suck. I only wish I knew you were such a useless
>troll when I was in Chula Vista.
>
>Go away. Usenet is not anarchy by nature. 10 years ago it used to be
>civil and informative. Even 4 years ago it was OK. Now morons like
>you & your clueless lemming followers have really screwed it up. You
>are definitely educated beyond your intelligence. You "technician".
><---- end service announcement ---->
>
>Now, back to scanning. Does anyone know if POC32 supports FLEX yet?
>There seems to be a menu option for FLEX that is grayed out. 8-(
>
>-Fred
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>red@banana.net (fred

Duh, New Radio Shack scanners and Unidens
come out of the same factory now, get a clue

3648. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3593281B.307F@parg.org>
Source record: 155271

Blake-

With the numerous times you were disiplined by the powers
that be on Fidonet, it's hard to remember exactly which times
you were warned & which times you were kicked off of an echo.

I do remember that after your trouble making during the election
on the CB radio echo you were not seen again on that echo until
after (if memory serves me right) about 90 days!

3649. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35932C78.3AEC@parg.org>
Source record: 155272

Bill Cheek wrote:
flaming snipped

Bill, Last sunday I presented a 7 day "stay" of flaming on this NG. I intend to follow that even if others don't (HINT, HINT).

If you care to restate your previous post without flames/immature insults & act like a gentleman then I will respond. Other wise, "To bad, you're sooooo SAD!"

3650. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35932F68.3AA5@parg.org>
Source record: 155273

Bill Cheek wrote:
Flaming attacks deleted

Bill -

Last sunday I proposed to this NG a 7 day stay on the flaming
on this NG. I intend to uphold that even if YOU or others don't!
(HINT, HINT)

If you would like to restate your questions again in a decent,
mature manner free of flames then I will answer you. If not then
I won't respond.

"To bad, you're soooooo SAD!"

3651. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35933036.AA6@parg.org>
Source record: 155274

D. Holford wrote:
> Isn't it amazing how he continues to try to make it sound like he understands

So far, you have demonstrated your only knowledge is to flame
& cause trouble.

Let's get on topic, has anyone tried to mod a Bearcat 300 to receive
PCS telephones?

3652. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35933270.DD0@parg.org>
Source record: 155275

Bill Cheek wrote:
Flames deleted

Bill,

Last sunday I proposed a 7 day "stay" on flaming in this NG.
I am upholding even if you & other are not! (HINT, HINT)

If you care to restate your post in a decent, mature manner
free of flames then I will answer. If not then:

"To bad, you're SOOOOOO SAD!"

3653. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35933534.BB3@parg.org>
Source record: 155276

Blake Bowers wrote:

> John. You should be ashamed!
> And you "SAY" you want to stop the flames, yet you
> use this language?

What is wrong with my language Blake?

> My first recommendation would be for you to stop cussing,
> and flaming.

Yes, please do that Blake!

> >(Your suggestion of Heliax was hardly practical since that runs
> >around $5+ a foot plus $50+ per connector)
> >
> That depends John, but it was not a suggestion, merely a point.

Certainly an impractical suggestion, regardless.

> Well, understanding that you have never worked in the two way field,

I have for several years Blake. Infact you have been jealous because
YOU have never been a technical person in the radio field. You are
an administrator in an office, which is why you have to hire all your
technical work to be done by qualified & capable technicans.

how sad that you want to bring up the blown radio again, making fun
of teen age kids that wired a radio backwards & didn't protect
their powersupply with a fused output. People like you picking on
them is why kids shy away from learning.

> If you had some experience in the field, you would see sites routinely
>
> using up to 3 inch. ALL of my 800 Mhz systems have a minimum of
> 1 5/8 inch.

Certainly if you are working on 800 Mhz gear you see that often. But
not on low-band, hig-band, or 450 bands. You can not apply the
standards
& characteristics of one band an all frequency bands Blake. There
are substancial differences in the frequecy characteristics which
drive different methods of equipment. If you would like, I could
suggest some books you might read to learn about this.

Can you stop the flames Blake? Last sunday evening I suggested
a 7 say "stay" on the flaming. I have been following that
even if others have not (HINT, HINT).

> This is another example of your lies John. Even in this message to
> me, you cussed at me! You Lie John!

Where did I cuss at you Blake? What did I say?

3654. update Scanner Page Breda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ab <bousche@concepts.nl>
Message-ID: <6mvdkl$e5g@news.euro.net>
Source record: 155277

Hello

only in dutch!!

a nice scanner page whit stuff for the scaner mp3 pop music whit hacking
stuff please visit my Scanner Page!!

--
please visit Scanner Site Breda
http://members.xoom.com/ScannerBreda

--
please visit Scanner Site Breda
http://members.xoom.com/ScannerBreda

3655. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35932a01.10324856@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155278

On 25 Jun 1998 13:30:04 GMT, klaro21266@aol.com (KLaro21266) wrote:

>CAN'T YOU GUYS KNOCK THIS CRAP OFF. MOST OF US DID NOT PUT DOWN THOUSANDS OF
>DOLLARS FOR A COMPUTER TO LISTEN TO YOUR FOUR LETTER WORDS.
>CANDLEMAKING,
>ALT.FIREFIGHTE
>SCANNER FREQUENCIES
>WEATHER FORECASTING
>
>TORNADO'S
>SEVERE STORMS
>WEATHER NEWS GROUPS
>ALT. MED. EMS
>AMUSEMENT PARKS
>misc.emerg-services
>fire.firefighters
>FIRE.EMS
>FIRE.BINARIES
>ALT.EMERGENCY.SERVICES.DISPATCH
>

If you spent thousands of dollars on your computer,
you should have spent a few more and got a keyboard
with a Caps Lock key. Keep that in mind when you decide to
upgrade. HTH

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

3656. Re: Time for a NEW flame topic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35933fbf.363710920@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155279

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

fred@banana.net (fred) wrote:

> Bill, you still suck. I only wish I knew you were such a useless
> troll when I was in Chula Vista.

I *wish* you thought that WHEN you were
in Chula-juana....

> Go away. Usenet is not anarchy by nature.

Well, you are fuller of shit than a Christmas Goose, too.

> 10 years ago it used to be civil

Hogwash. S.O.S.

> and informative.

True. Then as now. Trouble is, NOW you got
your head up your sorry patooty and broke wind
and blew your wretched brains all over creation.

> Even 4 years ago it was OK.

Yup. It was okay then, and it's okay now. You
just can't see it because your optic nerves are
crossed up with your rectal nerves which gives
you a shitty outlook.

> Now morons like you & your clueless lemming followers
> have really screwed it up.

Wellllll, YOU came to ME, numbnuts. I dern sure
didn't go to you. Looks clear enough that you
are making your contribution

> You are definitely educated beyond your intelligence.
> You "technician". <---- end service announcement ---->

Little jealous, are you?

> Now, back to scanning. Does anyone know if POC32 supports FLEX yet?
> There seems to be a menu option for FLEX that is grayed out. 8-(

If you weren't such a halfwit, you'd KNOW......

3657. Re: discriminator interface help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359441ad.364204797@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155280

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

jpryor@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Having problems with discriminator interface. I'm eventually looking to
> decode Motorola and EDACS trunked control channels and Mobitex MDT systems.
> I am using a Pro-2045 with discriminator tapped at the jumpers for the
> optional CTCSS board. I have constructed the interface and the computer has
> failed to receive any data . . . I have tried various programs. I've
> measured the VAC from the discriminator to be close to 4mv.

There's your problem....or one of 'em anyway.
Proper output of the NFM discriminator is at least
100-mV and preferably 400-mV or so. Also, which
decoder circuit did you build? See the one in my
recently posted Scanner Data FAQ.

> I have insured
> all grounding points are connected. I have also tested for voltage at other
> points on the 741 and on my RS232 cable and it seems everything is powered.

Disconnect anything connected to the Discriminator output
and measure it again. Could be there's a bad part or
an error that's loading down the signal.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

3658. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359644d2.365010053@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155281

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> Let's get on topic, has anyone tried to mod a Bearcat 300 to receive
> PCS telephones?

That's illegal, you dumbshit.

3659. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35954338.364599142@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155282

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> You are making a big deal becaues I congratulate him on getting
> a amateur radio license? Should I condemn him instead?

No, just leave 'im the hell alone. Quit trying
to suck up to him and lure 'im into your boudoire.
Congratulate him after he gets his PROFESSIONAL
radio license.

> I didn't belittle him Look at the subject, the first word is
> "congrats".

Coming from the likes of YOU, that is tantamount
to pure belittlement. Leave 'im alone, la cucaracha.

3660. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35974515.365076672@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155283

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> Last sunday I proposed a 7 day "stay" on flaming in this NG.
> I am upholding even if you & other are not! (HINT, HINT)

I made you an ironclad offer weeks ago, and
weekly since, and you've declined it. (HINT HINT)

> If you care to restate your post in a decent, mature manner
> free of flames then I will answer.

I don't give a flying hermaphrodite whether you
answer or not. For one thing, you CAN'T answer
because your statements were utterly stupid to
begin with. For another thing, you CAN'T answer
because you can't run a snow job on me.

I'll smack you upside the chops so hard, your
lips will flap and make a sound like:

"blippety blippety blippety, blippety blip...."

BTW, I'll be coming for your liver soon.....

3661. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35984682.365441242@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155284

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

BTW....are you some kind of a imbecilic gay blade?

3662. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35994721.365600252@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155285

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> Oh to stay on topic, do you think if a radio shack discone were shoved
> up mackeys butt we would be able to receive satellite signals?

Of course not, silly. There is a direct
short circuit bewixt his brain and his anus.
The signal would be shorted to *ground*.

:-)

3663. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359a477a.365689803@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155286

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> If you would like to restate your questions again in a decent,

You got ALL the decency from me you're
ever gonna get, you anal-retentive gay blade.

> mature manner free of flames

It's not possible to undeservedly flame you.

> then I will answer you. If not then I won't respond.

I don't give a flying hermaphrodite if you
ever respond, you dadgum Oscar Meyer Weeny Washer.

BTW: I'll be coming for your liver soon......

3664. Re: NOAA on UHF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Murphy <zosoiv@jps.net>
Message-ID: <35935AE5.5540B834@jps.net>
Source record: 155287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Guys, it's called a "link." It's a fixed point to point UHF transmission from the NWS office/studio to the Mountaintop or Tower they use to rebroadcast on the standard NOAA VHF frequencies. NWS/NOAA is not the only agency to use UHF links. Many radio, t.v. and public service stuff is linked, up/down.

Regards,
Sean

3665. Re: Later

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda0e0$0b2c1a00$a41637a6@default>
Source record: 155288

Bye ...

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Doug <goodall@alaska.net> wrote in article
<3592811C.CFFE6845@alaska.net>...
> Well I've been lurking and attempting to learn a few things in this
> news group for about a year now. Other then a few things I probably
> could have found by doing a good search of the web there really isn't
> much worth reading around here. Yes I could spend time browsing through
> the headers attempting to avoid the flaming. Course then comes the
> english lessons because heaven forbid someone misspelled a word.
> Instead I'm leaving. I know this will cause none here any great harm or
> dread but consider this if you will. This news group alone has
> persuaded me to forego testing for my no code license. Not really
> caring much at all for radios of any kind seeing the mail that comes
> through here. So enjoy your flame fest news group. And thanks to the
> few folks that have actually said something about radios.
>
>
>
> --
> Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227,
> any and all non solicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
> is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500.00 US.
> E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
> --
>

3666. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda0e1$12308f60$a41637a6@default>
Source record: 155289

John, you are missing a few thigs here also ... like a brain, maybe ...
Good luck finding one ...

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article
<3592AFDA.2020@parg.org>...
> Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
> > WOW! All the way up there at 1.5:1 !!!
> > OOOOHHHH! That's so high!! One only truly cares about SWR when they're
VERY
> > serious about a hobby or when they're transmitting (so as not do damage
the
>
> Ryan, you are missing a few things here.
>
> 1. While you are correct that a high SWR can damage a transmitter &
> NOT a receiver, it will still represent a degree of signal loss
> in BOTH.
>
> The example we ahve been following will (in theory) represent
> a SWR of 1.5 to 1. Next you need to add the mismatch
> of the antenna . Most scanner antennas have (overall) quite
> a bit of mismatch, 4 to 1 is not uncommon. This is because
> a scanner antenna is (for example) taking a antenna designed to
> resonate at 150-156 Mhz & try to use it from 138-174 MHz. At those
> band edges you will likely get a very high SWR, which would then
> be multiplied by the SWR of the feedline.
>
> 2. Next, when you take a single band antenna & try to make it
> a multi band antenna, you always end up with a narrower Q (bandwidth)
> of the antenna than before (as a single band). For example,
> you can take a 10 meter antenna & use it for 2 meters (5th harmonic =
> 29 Mhz x 5 = 145 Mhz) but the usable bandwidth of that antenna will
> be very narrow.
>
> 3. Usually, when using an antenna for scanning you must accept these
> facts as a part of life because it simply is not practicle to
> change an antenna every time the scanner changes frequencies. There
> are a FEW radio (expensive) that AUTOMATICALLY change antennas as
> scanning frequencies the Icom 706 & the Icom 575 are examples of

> this as they have an option of automatically changing antennas
> between 10 meters & 6 meters.
>
> Again, the mismatch of the feedline (say 1.5 to 1) BY ITSELF
> is a minor issue, UNTIL you multiply that by the mismatch of the
> antenna. (and the lack of a ground plane on hand held scanners).
> To clearly see this in action, try monitoring a 30-50 Mhz signal
> with a normal scanning antenna, then try using a six meter or
> 10 meter antenna to monitor them. There will be a BIG difference!
> Note: Don't try this monitoring a paging frequency, you don't want
> the signal to overload the front end, you want to be able to hear
> the improvement. Find a weak signal to experiment with.
>

3667. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda0e2$55c57960$a41637a6@default>
Source record: 155290

Another lame try to "stay on topic"

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article
<3592B3ED.C93@parg.org>...
> Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>
> > Interesting, John. I constantly list my callsign and you say nothing.
You
> > even LIST YOUR OWN, so your "philosophy" even applies towards yourself.
>
> That is because there has never been any question about you!
>
>
> > Have you ever thought that one should be proud being an amateur
operator?
>
> Yes I have. Have YOU?
>
> That is why I sent you a congrats last night on getting your
> tech license, & encouraged you to keep climing the ladder of
> the incentive licensing program of the FCC. There are many
> who have tried to do what you did & failed! Be proud
> of what you have done!
>
> Now to get on topic, has anyone ever experimentated with using
> a Bearcat 300 to receive satellite signals?
>
>
>

3668. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda0e1$65042c60$a41637a6@default>
Source record: 155291

But they still provide internet access, hence indirectly they are an ISP

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article
<3592B226.24D9@parg.org>...
> Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>
> > Ah, but AOL IS an ISP. It is a service, it provides, and it provides
> > Internet.
>
> No, not true, sorry!
>
> An ISP is a service provicer that offers internet service following
> standard internet protocols (TCP/IP connection via SLIP or PPP,
> and usually offers the following of POP3 and SMTP mail service,
> and NNTP news service.
>
> AOL is their own SEPERATE online service that offers a gateway to
> the internet, but they are NOT the internet themselves!
>
> To test this, try using a standard "trumpet dialer" or the
> "dial up networking" to access AOL. You can't do it! AOL, since
> they are NOT an ISP, uses their own seperate connection method which
> specifically requires you to use THEIR software to access their network.
> Once you use the AOL dialer to accesse AOL, you can't use a regular
> internet mail program to pull or send your AOL mail, & you can't
> use a internet news program to view newgroups thru AOL. Again, this
> is because they are their own seperate online service (like Fidonet or
> Compuserve) that does not follow Internet protocols.
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> > So simple, yet not quite for some...
>

3669. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda0e1$d59a8000$a41637a6@default>
Source record: 155292

Sorry John, your attempts to "stay on topic" are pretty lame, at best.

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> babbled aimlessly again in article
<3592B2BB.2CD6@parg.org>...
> The CB Guy wrote:
>
> > This is what you consider stopping the flames MACKEY?ALL the flames you
cause
> > and the idiotic arguments you come up with for maintaining your
presence here
> > are reason enough for anyone to denounce ham, scanners and ng's.
>
> I am not flaming, & YOU are still trying to cause problems! You need to
> look at he id's of the participants of the flames at this time.
>
> I proposed a 7 day "STAY" last sunday on all flaming & I intend to
> follow
> it even if others don't (HINT, HINT).
>
> Now to get on topic. Has anyone experimentated with using a Bearcat 250
> to receive FM stereo?
>

3670. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda0e3$7c94f880$a41637a6@default>
Source record: 155293

Yes, John, I like 9913 better than RG214. I base my statement on 9913 from experience and personnal preferances. It is my opinion only. Don't like it .. move on

Also John, I never claimed to be the god of scanners, maybe you didn't claim to be, but you sure try to act like it.

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote in article <3592C0BA.17AE@parg.org>...
> Mike Derryberry wrote:
>
> > The best test of all, everyday use. I set 2 identical scanners side by side
> > same antennas just different coax. RG6 out performed RG58 by a mile but was
> > comperable to RG213. The advantage to RG6 is size much easier to work with
> > and cheaper last time I checked.
>

If a person has the money and smaller coax is not required Belden 9913 is
> > by far the best, but not everyone can afford it.
>
> How do you justify that 9913 could be "by far the best" even
> compared to RG-214?
>
>
> > John, like it or not, you are not the god of scanners.
>
> I never claimed to be. Certainly you are not either!
>
>

3671. Re: Time for a NEW flame topic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda0e4$7623fea0$a41637a6@default>
Source record: 155294

I happen to own both Uniden and Radio Shack scanners and they are both good scanners. Both Radio Shack and Uniden make some junk, but they both also have some very good scanners. Try telling the owner of a PRO2006 that it's junk and see what answer you get.

BTW I do not own a Radio Shack stereo.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

fred <fred@banana.net> wrote in article
<3592e46d.7021525@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>...
> Radio Shack scanners are for schmucks! Come on, the same people who
> buy these toys probably spend top-dollar for their Realistic stereos!
> And they're proud of it!
>
> On the other hand, Uniden scanners rule. Not like that inferior Shack
> crap.
>
> 8-)
>
> <---- public service announcement ---->
> Bill, you still suck. I only wish I knew you were such a useless
> troll when I was in Chula Vista.
>
> Go away. Usenet is not anarchy by nature. 10 years ago it used to be
> civil and informative. Even 4 years ago it was OK. Now morons like
> you & your clueless lemming followers have really screwed it up. You
> are definitely educated beyond your intelligence. You "technician".
> <---- end service announcement ---->
>
> Now, back to scanning. Does anyone know if POC32 supports FLEX yet?
> There seems to be a menu option for FLEX that is grayed out. 8-(
>
> -Fred
>

3672. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda0e8$aca20cc0$a41637a6@default>
Source record: 155295

John, you say that 1/2 inch heliax is typical for 450??? Then why do all the radio shops here in town use 7/8 inch minimum??? We have probably 15 450 repeaters including our own in our building at work and only 1 (count them 1) repeater is using 1/2 inch heliax and they now wish they had spent the extra money on something larger.

Research before you open mouth and insert foot, please...

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John.Mackey.KA0SSF <jmackey@parg.org> wrote in article
<35933534.BB3@parg.org>...
> Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> > If you had some experience in the field, you would see sites routinely
> >
> > using up to 3 inch. ALL of my 800 Mhz systems have a minimum of
> > 1 5/8 inch.
>
> Certainly if you are working on 800 Mhz gear you see that often. But
> not on low-band, hig-band, or 450 bands. You can not apply the
> standards
> & characteristics of one band an all frequency bands Blake. There
> are substancial differences in the frequecy characteristics which
> drive different methods of equipment. If you would like, I could
> suggest some books you might read to learn about this.
>

3673. Re: Stupidity (was Re:Base antenna sensitivity)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <35937A0C.E572354D@uswest.net>
Source record: 155296

John,
Get a real life (and a job to go with it)!

After 2 weeks, 196 posting by you, and various inane or irrelevant statements on your part, I have grown tired. You insist on having others hear (or see) your point of view, regardless of whether they want to or not.

Haven't you gotten it yet (probably didn't considering his head is filled with metal of atomic weight 98)? You are not wanted, not needed and basically obsolete.

Say goodbye now john.

John.Mackey.KA0SSF wrote:

> Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> > John. You should be ashamed!
> > And you "SAY" you want to stop the flames, yet you
> > use this language?
>
> What is wrong with my language Blake?
>
> > My first recommendation would be for you to stop cussing,
> > and flaming.
>
> Yes, please do that Blake!
>
> > >(Your suggestion of Heliax was hardly practical since that runs
> > >around $5+ a foot plus $50+ per connector)
> > >
> > That depends John, but it was not a suggestion, merely a point.
>
> Certainly an impractical suggestion, regardless.
>
> > Well, understanding that you have never worked in the two way field,
>
> I have for several years Blake. Infact you have been jealous because
> YOU have never been a technical person in the radio field. You are
> an administrator in an office, which is why you have to hire all your
> technical work to be done by qualified & capable technicans.
>
> how sad that you want to bring up the blown radio again, making fun
> of teen age kids that wired a radio backwards & didn't protect
> their powersupply with a fused output. People like you picking on
> them is why kids shy away from learning.

>
> > If you had some experience in the field, you would see sites routinely
> >
> > using up to 3 inch. ALL of my 800 Mhz systems have a minimum of
> > 1 5/8 inch.
>
> Certainly if you are working on 800 Mhz gear you see that often. But
> not on low-band, hig-band, or 450 bands. You can not apply the
> standards
> & characteristics of one band an all frequency bands Blake. There
> are substancial differences in the frequecy characteristics which
> drive different methods of equipment. If you would like, I could
> suggest some books you might read to learn about this.
>
> Can you stop the flames Blake? Last sunday evening I suggested
> a 7 say "stay" on the flaming. I have been following that
> even if others have not (HINT, HINT).
>
> > This is another example of your lies John. Even in this message to
> > me, you cussed at me! You Lie John!
>
> Where did I cuss at you Blake? What did I say?

3674. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062611514501.HAA09470@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155297

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> writes another of his many technical articles:

>OK, what is the EXACT impedence of the feedline you are referring to?

You tell me, skeezix. You're the one who said, and I quote:

>They will impose an impedence mis-match (75 Ohms VS 50 Ohms) &
>you will lose signal because of that mismatch.

Now that I've quoted your own words for you twice now, have you done enough dodging or are you prepared to admit that any resulting signal loss would be negligible and wouldn't affect reception?? I didn't think so.

As ever,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3675. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980626115147O1.HAA13521@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155298

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> writes, in his doctoral thesis:

>You really are the clueless group idiot aren't you!

See how well John is abiding by his self-imposed ban on "falming and other type of posts" ??

Bye now,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3676. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062611514800.HAA09473@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155299

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>'s diaper is bunching up again:

>it often is called "codeless technician" as opposed to the
>"Technician plus" which includes morse code knowledge.

It may be called that by you, Jello brain, but by the FCC it's called Technician. And those who DO include "codeless" in the title usually do so to try and belittle the holders of that particular license class in order to make themselves seem superior. I'm surprised that a VE would stoop to that sort of shabby crap.

Sheesh,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3677. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062611515100.HAA13523@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155300

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> writes:

>You are making a big deal becaues I congratulate him on getting
>a amateur radio license? Should I condemn him instead?

Gee, I don't know if I'm taling to John. or John- or Stuart. Whichever it is,
what I suggested was that you just leave the guy alone.

>I didn't belittle him Look at the subject, the first word is
>"congrats".

And then you immediately start in with that "code-less" tech crap.

>since you are not encouraging him to
>upgrade, that perhaps you think he is incapable.

Ryan knows where I stand, and since the issue doesn't involve you in the least,
I feel no compunction whatsoever to share it with you.

Steady now,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3678. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062611515200.HAA09475@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155301

ed094@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Robert Edmunds) "speeks":

>> I don't pretend to speek for you.

Then I suggest that when you want to "speek" for yourself, that you not include others in your invective, as you did, and I quote:

>>You've lost any respect that anybody might have had for you on this newsgroup.

That "anybody" is pretty sweeping. If you're only speaking for yourself, don't pretend that you speak for "anybody" on this newsgroup.

As ever,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3679. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n021nllp0@207.18.224.148>
Source record: 155302

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 05:32:09 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Last sunday I proposed a 7 day "stay" on flaming in this NG.
>I am upholding even if you & other are not! (HINT, HINT)
>
>If you care to restate your post in a decent, mature manner
>free of flames then I will answer. If not then:

Hah! You have violated your own truce, when you flamed me,
and cussed at me! Yet, you have the gall to write this?

Good try to cover up your failings!

3680. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n02o7$na1$0@207.18.224.148>
Source record: 155303

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 05:43:57 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> John. You should be ashamed!
>> And you "SAY" you want to stop the flames, yet you
>> use this language?
>
>What is wrong with my language Blake?
>
You forgot already? Look back in the thread
John, as I will not re-type it.

You evidently have a serious physchological problem, if you
can cuss at me in one message, then forget it in the next
message.

GET HELP JOHN! For your sake, GET HELP!

>> My first recommendation would be for you to stop cussing,
>> and flaming.
>
>Yes, please do that Blake!
>
Maybe transferance? I have not been cussing. You have.

Please John, GET HELP!

>
>
>> >(Your suggestion of Heliax was hardly practical since that runs
>> >around $5+ a foot plus $50+ per connector)
>> >
>> That depends John, but it was not a suggestion, merely a point.
>
>Certainly an impractical suggestion, regardless.
>
Again, slowly, I t w a s n o t a s u g g e s t i o n,
merely a point!

And, BTW, I have a number of heliax runs that I use for
scanners.

>
>> Well, understanding that you have never worked in the two way field,
>

>I have for several years Blake. Infact you have been jealous because
>YOU have never been a technical person in the radio field. You are
>an administrator in an office, which is why you have to hire all your
>technical work to be done by qualified & capable technicans.
>
John, as I verified with the two way shops, in your area, you have
never worked in the two way field.

Insofar as me being technical, hell, I have posted some of my training
here, verifiable, and have been working with commercial two way since
the early 80's, off and on. I have challenged you to do
the same, and you refuse. Yes, I do not spend all of my time in
technical duties, as people progress, get promoted, take on
additional duties, etc. At least, competant people do, which may
be why the concept is foreign to you.

>> If you had some experience in the field, you would see sites routinely
>>
>> using up to 3 inch. ALL of my 800 Mhz systems have a minimum of
>> 1 5/8 inch.
>
>Certainly if you are working on 800 Mhz gear you see that often. But
>not on low-band, hig-band, or 450 bands. You can not apply the
>standards
>& characteristics of one band an all frequency bands Blake. There
>are substancial differences in the frequecy characteristics which
>drive different methods of equipment. If you would like, I could
>suggest some books you might read to learn about this.
>
You, son, are the one who made a broad statement, that did
not hold up. I have 450 systems that routinely use 1 5/8 inch
heliax also.

A lot depends on the length of the cable, ie on a 400 foot run, I use
a larger heliax.

It is a concept John, and if you would only stop cussing people,
flaming them, and possibly accept Bills truce offer, I would be
more than happy to teach you some about it.

>Where did I cuss at you Blake? What did I say?

Get help John. Soon.

3681. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n033b$na1$3@207.18.224.148>
Source record: 155304

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 05:06:41 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Bill Cheek wrote:
>flaming snipped
>
>Bill, Last sunday I presented a 7 day "stay" of flaming on this
>NG. I intend to follow that even if others don't (HINT, HINT).
>
LIAR!

If you intended to follow it, you would not be cussing at people!

LIAR!

3682. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n02st$na1$1@207.18.224.148>
Source record: 155305

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 09:55:35 GMT, "Mike Derryberry"
<michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

>
>Research before you open mouth and insert foot, please...
>
John likes for people to think that he has experience in
the two way field, he thinks that this will make someone,
somewhere like him. Too bad, he has so little knowledge
of the field.

We routinely use over 1 inch coax runs on UHF. Depends on
the length of the run, etc.

Have a great day.

3683. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n031f$na1$2@207.18.224.148>
Source record: 155306

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 05:19:13 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>If you would like to restate your questions again in a decent,
>mature manner free of flames then I will answer you. If not then
>I won't respond.

Then don't respond. Why did you post this?

Backfield in motion....

If John can't answer the question posed, this is his stock reply.

John, Bill has proposed an ironclad truce. Why will you not accept,
for the good of the newsgroup?

3684. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n03ai$na1$4@207.18.224.148>
Source record: 155307

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 04:48:11 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Blake-
>
>With the numerous times you were disiplined by the powers
>that be on Fidonet, it's hard to remember exactly which times
>you were warned & which times you were kicked off of an echo.
>
Another lie. You should be ashamed of yourself.

You were the one run off. Come back, to the SCANRADIO echo. Oh, I
forgot, you can't do that.

Ok, how about the Amateur_radio echo. Oh, that's right, you can't go
there also.

Maybe the HAM_SALE echo, oh, that's right, banned from there.

Cellular? Nah, you are banned. EMS? Banned. Heck, maybe
you just can't go back!

3685. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n03cp$na1$5@207.18.224.148>
Source record: 155308

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 03:14:38 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> Yep, you made a simple typo.
>
>You made a spelling error too Blake. You spelled
>"I'm a spamming clueless fool" as "Blake Bowers".
>
Here you go again, proof positive that you are a liar.

You said you were following your truce on the echo,
even if no one else did. Yet, you post this
flame. You need help John. Soon.

3686. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n03jm$na1$6@207.18.224.148>
Source record: 155309

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 02:58:28 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>to not be aware that the EXACT title of the license is
>"technician". Since it does NOT represent morse code knowledge,

My point John. Why can't you call him with the license class
that he has earned?

>> John, stop being so pompous. If Ryan has any desire to
>> upgrade, then I believe he is intelligent and industrious
>> enough to know the path. But, he might be happy right
>> at Technician level, with the privelages allowed there.
>
>
>Blake, just becaus YOU have never been able to upgrade past

Lies again John. You should get help.

It is not that I have not been able to upgrade, it is that I have
no desire to upgrade. Why should I bother? I can play
with electronics years advanced from what I can use
with, say extra.

The mere fact that you have upgraded, proves that anyone
can.

3687. FS: ICOM IC-R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Akio Fujikawa <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <35939641.4AD2F318@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 155310
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

For sale;

ICOM IC-R9000 exc.condition with BOX,JA_manual.
Total price: 400,000yen(about US$2,788-)[including UPS shupping fee
from JAPAN to US e.t.c]

I live in kyoto,JAPAN.
If you are intereted in it, please send e-mail for me.
Please write your country.

Best regards,
73
akio fujikawa
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp

3688. Re: Time for a NEW flame topic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <35935a62.1993957@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 155311

Sombody missed the 8-) in the original "flame"...! Oh well, my attempt at humor.

Except for the Bill part.

3689. Richmond / Central Virginia frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3593A8DA.6AA1EE5E@nospam.com>
Source record: 155312
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi there,

I'm new to scanning and I would appreciate any information about active frequencies used in the Richmond / Central Virginia area. I purchased a copy of Police Call from Radio Shack and I have gotten some good information from various internet searches, however, I am hoping that someone could help me save some time if they happen to have a more concise list of good active frequencies than what I have found already. I got a trunk scanner recently, so any trunking frequency information is especially appreciated.

Thanks in advance for your help.

3690. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n0atg$jqm@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155313

Don't worry, I will be upgrading to General class this summer.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Bill Cheek wrote in message <35954338.364599142@news2.cts.com>...
>"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>
>> You are making a big deal becaues I congratulate him on getting
>> a amateur radio license? Should I condemn him instead?
>
>No, just leave 'im the hell alone. Quit trying
>to suck up to him and lure 'im into your boudoire.
>Congratulate him after he gets his PROFESSIONAL
>radio license.
>
>> I didn't belittle him Look at the subject, the first word is
>> "congrats".
>
>Coming from the likes of YOU, that is tantamount
>to pure belittlement. Leave 'im alone, la cucaracha.
>
>

3691. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n0b0s$k9n@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155314

Yes, I am upgrading to General sometime soon! I've heard the test is rather
easy (mostly questions on FCC rules and regs.), and I think I can learn 13
wpm CW by August (When I will take it).
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Blake Bowers wrote in message <6mutnm$fi7$0@207.18.224.82>...
>On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 04:05:23 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
>wrote:
>
>>Congratulations to Ryan Faricy for obtaining his AMATEUR RADIO
>>license form the FCC on June 19, 1998!! (just a few days ago)
>>
>Agreed. Congratulations are in order!
>
>>Ryan, I encourage you to keep on going, don't stop at
>>code-less tech. Keep going to extra. I am a VERY
>
>Huh? John, as a world famous VE, you should quit your lies,
>or at least admit you have no clue! There is no such class of
>license as code-less tech!
>
>Or is code-less tech the same as clue-less extra?
>
>
>>having to start over because they progressed part way up
>>the license ladder & stopped. Don't let that happen to you.
>>I am probably one of the most active VE's in the United States
>>& enjoy being a VE, so once you get a higher class
>>license you can do it too!
>
>John, stop being so pompous. If Ryan has any desire to
>upgrade, then I believe he is intelligent and industrious
>enough to know the path. But, he might be happy right
>at Technician level, with the privelages allowed there.
>

3692. Re: IF output on PRO-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062600504700.UAA16860@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155336
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: IF output on PRO-2035
>From: "Millimeter Wave Report"
>Date: Thu, Jun 25, 1998 20:07 EDT
>Message-id:
>
>Greetings,
>
>A long, long time ago on this group, someone posted some simple instructions
>for installing a 455 kHz IF output on the PRO-2035. Basically, all you need
>to know is what point on the board is a good "tap" point for the IF. Can
>someone fill me in? Has anyone tried this mod? I would like to be able to
>feed the IF to my HF receiver, to tune SSB signals from amateur satellites.
>
>Thanks!
>
>Andrew Clegg,

Wide FM Discriminator Out: TP1, IC1-10 (10.7 MHZ) last raw IF point before the detector is IC1-6

Narrow FM Discriminator out: TP2, IC2-9 (455KHZ)last raw IF point before detector is IC2-5 (or Q16, Q17 collectors in the AM 455KHZ path).

I think most of these are marked on the board, I just looked at the schematic. Isolate with a proper capacitor, a 100pf or less should do & be sure it isn't loading the circuit too much.

Neutrodyne

3693. Re: Discriminator squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <35930cb0.1970983@news>
Source record: 155337

kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net (Jack) wrote:

>>I'd run the discriminator audio through a 4066 analog switch,
>>and drive the switch from the scan control line. About as simple
>>as it gets.
>
>I'd bet that at the very least, the first part of the digital signal
>would get screwed up when the squelch opened.

I assume you're referring to non-constant signals (ACARS,
paging, etc.) rather than trunk data channels. The squelch
(and volume) can just be turned down on those channels.

>Some programs that use discriminator output need that static
>I understand.

They may complain if they don't see any slicer activity for a while.

The original poster has his own reasons for wanting the mod;
Installing the switch will not impair his ability to decode
digital data, as he will be able to defeat the switch at any time.

...Andy

*** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

3694. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mut15djk0@207.18.224.82>
Source record: 155338

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 21:58:49 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>> BTW: The original post never asked for what was best, only what was better
>> than what he was using. Best is not best. Best is expensive.
>
>Thanks for bringing us back to perspective Ryan!

But John, you stated in your post that he said he wanted what was
best!

So, finally, you admit your lies!

3695. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: holford@cnwl.igs.net.nospam
Message-ID: <6muqk6$83m$1@news.igs.net>
Source record: 155339

In article <3592C0BA.17AE@parg.org>, KA0SSF@parg.org says...
>
>Mike Derryberry wrote:
>
>> The best test of all, everyday use. I set 2 identical scanners side by side
>> same antennas just different coax. RG6 out performed RG58 by a mile but was
>> comperable to RG213. The advantage to RG6 is size much easier to work with
>> and cheaper last time I checked.
>
>By what standard of judge?
>
>
>There will be serious holes in your "best test of all" unless you
>can correctly answer all the following!
>
>Did these scanners have s-meters? Or did you use calibrated readings
>of the limiter outputs?
>
>If you used limiter outputs what assurances did you use that you
>would load down the circuit with your metering equipment & affect
>readings?
>
>What standard were the s-meters or calibrated readings calibrated to?
> (and when was the last time the standard was calibrated?)
>
>What was receiver sensitivity of each scanner? (Please give in uV)
>
>What were the lengths of the two pieces of coax you used?
>
>Were you using the proper connectors or did you
>have to use adaptors?
>
>If adapters were used what was the insertion loss of the adaptors?
>
>
>> If a person has the money and smaller coax is not required Belden 9913 is
>> by far the best, but not everyone can afford it.
>
>How do you justify that 9913 could be "by far the best" even
>compared to RG-214?
>
>
>> John, like it or not, you are not the god of scanners.
>

>I never claimed to be. Certainly you are not either!
>
>
>> We are all here
>> trying to help eachother and most help is from experience.
>
>I agree, your experience appears to be limited to using 2 scanners
>& then taking that data as the final! Please, base your assistance
>on valid data provided from true specifications!
>
>
>> Just because YOU
>> don't like something is no reason to tell people it doesn't work.
>
>I never said it doesn't work, I simply stated what would work better.
>
>
>> It's too
>> bad you can't respect other people's opinions
>
>Likewise, in an effort to flame me you used some pretty shabby examples.
>Why couldn't you simply accept my data & look into it rather than
>immediately try to prove me wrong?
>

Isn't it amazing how he continues to try to make it sound like he understands electronics. Must have read a book about it once. That SSF must stand for something - I'll leave it up to the reader to figure it out!

--
Dave Holford.

3696. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mutdpdjk1@207.18.224.82>
Source record: 155340

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 21:53:29 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>Stop putting words in that I didn't. Obviously I made a typo,
>you have to use a simple mistake to support your cause.
>
Yep, you made a simple typo. You spelled

"John Mackey"
by typing
"Stuart Upton"

3697. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mutnm$fi7$0@207.18.224.82>
Source record: 155341

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 04:05:23 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>Congratulations to Ryan Faricy for obtaining his AMATEUR RADIO
>license form the FCC on June 19, 1998!! (just a few days ago)
>
Agreed. Congratulations are in order!

>Ryan, I encourage you to keep on going, don't stop at
>code-less tech. Keep going to extra. I am a VERY

Huh? John, as a world famous VE, you should quit your lies,
or at least admit you have no clue! There is no such class of
license as code-less tech!

Or is code-less tech the same as clue-less extra?

>having to start over because they progressed part way up
>the license ladder & stopped. Don't let that happen to you.
>I am probably one of the most active VE's in the United States
>& enjoy being a VE, so once you get a higher class
>license you can do it too!

John, stop being so pompous. If Ryan has any desire to
upgrade, then I believe he is intelligent and industrious
enough to know the path. But, he might be happy right
at Technician level, with the privelages allowed there.

3698. Are there any more freq's that have traffic in my area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wakko Warner <wakko9@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <35930111.4A6907D@mediaone.net>
Source record: 155342
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: vcard.vcf

I live in Elmhurst, IL

Here is what I have right now

471.0125 This covers Elmhurst, Lombard, Villa Park, and more.
470.8875 Same as above
473.3875 Same as above
407.3875 this is fire emergancy I think
154.310 this is downers grove I think
155.010 this is amother town that is near by
470.9125 same as above
470.512 same as above

I am looking for more, diffrent , and interesting freqs in my home town,

and sourounding area.

if you live near by and know some give me a shout..

Eric

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3699. Re: Nashville Speedway Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kplotkin@access2.digex.net
Message-ID: <6muvmn$8jd@access2.digex.net>
Source record: 155343

In article <3592897B.5064@bellsouth.net>,
Randall Olshove <rolshove@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>Anyone have some good freq. for Nashville Speedway? If so e-mail me at
>above

469.8125

3700. Re: RS 2006 info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35930110.347661317@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155344

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

sfritts@usit.net (Steven Fritts) wrote:

> I need the pick up point for the disc in the 2006 and the mod for
> using the disc for output to decoder. Bill Cheek posted it but can not
> remember the cap size.

TP-2 is the point (or IC-2, Pin 9), and solder
the (+) leg of a 2.2-uF (or larger) tantalum capacitor
to that point. Take the output off the (-) leg.

Go for it.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

3701. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3592FF14.3342@usa.net>
Source record: 155345

Blake Bowers wrote:

> H o w a b o u t i f I t y p e s l o w e r f o r
> y o u J o h n ?

How about if you stop being a sarcastic flamming ass & simply
state what ever it is you are trying to Blake?

> And you think that 213 is the absolute best coax available?

It is cheaper & easier to work with than RG-214, yet is UV resistant
where 8214, 9913, RG-8, etc are not!

Blake, if you have another PRACTICAL recommendation, then lets
hear it!! I'm certainly open to any good suggestions you have.
(Your suggestion of Heliax was hardly practical since that runs
around $5+ a foot plus $50+ per connector)

> Well, Gosh, Gee, it can run much more than that John. If you would
> like, I could send you a catalogue from a two way supplier, that will
> give you some knowledge. Can you find someone to read it to you?

Perhaps you should find some one to read it to YOU Blake! There
are several different manufacturers of Hardline & several different
types. For example, in typical land mobile applications for
base/repeater
service you usually see 1/2 inch or 7/8 inch. Yet 1 5/8 inch or 3 inch
is occasionally seen. I am not even going to explain connectors to
you as there are FAR more options with them. If you would like,
I could refer you to some good web sites where you could learn about
the various forms of hardline?

> Even better, how about trying to be on-topic?

Can you stop the flames Blake? Last sunday evening I suggested
a 7 say "stay" on the flaming. I have been following that
even if others have not (HINT, HINT).

3702. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3593001E.586C@usa.net>
Source record: 155346

K4CC wrote:

> Assuming that you were actually referring to impedance, I then asked you how
> much signal you would lose because of that mismatch. You still haven't answered
> that question.

OK, what is the EXACT impedence of the feedline you are referring to?
(For example 70, 72, 75 Ohm)

Now, you could be honest and say you don't know the answer.
Regardless, please let me know.

> It's obvious that if you consider 1.5:1 to be a high SWR you have absolutely no
> screaming clue what you're talking about,

I never said it was a high SWR. I simply said it would cause a
mismatch.
PLEASE pay attention.

3703. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <359300AB.387D@usa.net>
Source record: 155347

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
> RG-59 is "cable cable" <g>.

Originally, RG-59 is actually TV antenna cable! Then cable TV systems started using it & had a foil jacket in the RG-59.

3704. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <359303C8.6E94@usa.net>
Source record: 155348

Charles Morrison wrote:

> Just out of curiosity, exactly how is sunlight going to affect the line loss
> of the coax anyway? Other then the possible degradation of the pvc jacket,
> I don't see how it would affect the containment of the signal.

UV from the sunlight causes a break down in the dielectric insulation
between the inner & outter conductors in coax, thus causing a
loss of signal. It takes 3 to 10 years for this effect to occur,
depending on the coax, amount of sunlight, & other factors.

> And if so, how would sitting in a display window expose the coax
> to the weather?

It isn't so much the exposure to weather as it is the exposure to
UV from sunlight. This is only if sunlight is able to get at the
coax.

3705. Re: Dear Bill & John. ENOUGH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed094@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <6muv1e$484@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 155349

K4CC (k4cc@aol.com) writes:
> ed094@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Robert Edmunds) was left off my twit list long
> enough to read this garbage:
>
>> It's time you and everyone else on this NG who decide to take it upon
>>themselves to contribute absolutely nothing but useless brain diarreaha,
>>get lost.
>
> I guess I was absent the day you were appointed high holy guardian of the
> newsgroup. How'd you manage to beat out Mackey? Guess he must have been busy
> forging posts.
>

Oh, no. I would never think of trying to take that position from Mr.
Cheek.

>>Sorry, Bill - you HAVE lost something. You've lost any respect that
>>anybody might have had for you on this newsgroup.
>
> Speak for yourself, oh high and mighty one. Don't EVER take it upon yourself to
> pretend that you speak for me.
>

I don't pretend to speek for you.

>>With your radio knowledge, you could have been an asset to this NG.
>>Too bad.
>
> And you have contributed .. what? .. to this NG? Other than your pompous crap?
>

Pompous?

> As always,
>
>
> Dave, K4CC
> USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
> Nashville TN

--
~~~~~~
" Robert Edmunds - ed094@Freenet.Carleton.Ca "
" The views expressed here are not necesarily those of the author "
~~~~~~

3706. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359402ea.348134609@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155350

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> By what standard of judge?

I take you off my Idiot List for a minute and lookee
what I see here? Dumbass, illiterate's English....

> There will be serious holes in your "best test of all" unless you
> can correctly answer all the following!

Ohhhhhh nooooooo there won't.... and damn sure not
to your stupid ass...

> Did these scanners have s-meters? Or did you use calibrated readings
> of the limiter outputs?

Did you walk to work today or take your lunch? What
a dumbass pair of questions in the same breath.....

> If you used limiter outputs what assurances did you use that you
> would load down the circuit with your metering equipment & affect
> readings?

Who in their right mind would use the Limiter output?
You must be some kind of a gay blade dipshit. You
ain't impressing anyone.

> What standard were the s-meters or calibrated readings calibrated to?
> (and when was the last time the standard was calibrated?)

Who gives a flying hermaphrodite? Nothing, bar
nothing, shy of a REAL field strength meter requires
any calibration at all. Where do you think the term
"RSL" comes from? (Relative signal level). What do
you think is meant by "relative signal meter"?

> What was receiver sensitivity of each scanner? (Please give in uV)

Geez, how utterly stupid. So long as both receivers
are factory spec, it really doesn't matter. So long
as you use one receiver in two instances of a test,
it doesn't even matter if the receiver has a blown
front end.

> What were the lengths of the two pieces of coax you used?

That doesn't factor in, either, shy of absurd differences,
you warped halfwit.

> Were you using the proper connectors or did you
> have to use adaptors?

> If adapters were used what was the insertion loss of the adaptors?

Who cares, Ignats? They weren't talking about a laboratory
measurement. Adapters typically have a 0.1-dB insertion
loss.....which will NOT be seen on an S-meter. Adapters
can be declared as "lumped constants", and put into a
reasonable margin of error. It won't affect the confidence
interval anyway.......

> How do you justify that 9913 could be "by far the best" even
> compared to RG-214?

THAT, you dung-eating ignoramus, is exactly like the
game of poker where "the cards speak for themselves".

(If you got a full house, but declare it as two-pair,
you STILL got a full house, and the table has to
acknowledge it....the table cannot take advantage of
that kind of nescience.)

Likewise, you friggin idiot, the published specs of
Belden 9913 and RG-214 speak for themselves and there
is no need for justification. RG-214's claim to fame
is SHIELDING, not "low loss". In fact, the double
shielding of RG-214 makes it a trifle more lossy,
you spastic liver-lipped con-artist.

YOU, however, need to justify *your existence* and that
piece of trash you call a "ham ticket".

> > John, like it or not, you are not the god of scanners.

> I never claimed to be.

You ain't anything except maybe a halfwitted nincompoop.

> I agree, your experience appears to be limited to using 2 scanners
> & then taking that data as the final! Please, base your assistance
> on valid data provided from true specifications!

What an idiotic statement! There is no such thing as
"true specifications", you nitwit. Furthermore, you
have no clue to the guy's experience, nor what he did
with the two scanners.

And, YOU, of all people, wouldn't have the foggiest
idea of an engineering test protocol.

> I never said it doesn't work, I simply stated what would work better.

One thing that would work better is if you would
apologize to the FCC for making them look so friggin
idiotic and brain-dead by issuing you a ham license.

> Likewise, in an effort to flame me you used some pretty shabby examples.
> Why couldn't you simply accept my data & look into it rather than
> immediately try to prove me wrong?

You don't HAVE any data, you stinking harelip. You
were born from the wrong canal.....the alimentary canal.
You never stood a chance from the git-go.

Now go do some more forgeries, you scumsnake! I'm
just about ready to nail your sorry ass in more ways
than one. Keep it up......if you dare. Keep it up.

3707. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35950970.349805323@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155351

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> > Just use ladder-line, man!
>
> VERY TRUE!!! Simple open ladder line is lower loss than most anything.
> But, it must be correctly tuned for the freq .....

"Tuned ladder line"? Ummmmm, say, Smacksie, just
how the hell would YOU tune ladder line, for 500 MHz?
Whup it out, greaseball. Lay it on me. And whilst
you're at it, tell me the difference betwixt "ladder line"
and TV-type twin-lead. And then tell me how "they" tune
that stuff for TV frequencies. Ha ha ha ha ha ha.....

> or have a balun which is also freq sensitive.

Are you stupid or just give off that appearance?

(Psssst, hey Hermie, baluns do not have to be
"frequency sensitive". Check out the characteristics
of a TV matching transformer sometime....those are
4 : 1 baluns. And they're good for several octaves.

Are you a nincompoop gay blade or what?

3708. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <35930FA6.48F3@usa.net>
Source record: 155352

K4CC wrote:
> Dear Mr. Mackey,
> How does it feel knowing that a 15-year-old who's had his ham license less than
> a week knows more than you do?

You really are the clueless group idiot aren't you!

See my response to Ryan's message then find someone to explain
it to you.

3709. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <35930E6A.10D9@usa.net>
Source record: 155353

Blake Bowers wrote:

> >Ryan, I encourage you to keep on going, don't stop at
> >code-less tech. Keep going to extra. I am a VERY
>
> Huh? John, as a world famous VE, you should quit your lies,
> or at least admit you have no clue! There is no such class of
> license as code-less tech!

Apparently your lack of experience in amateur radio causes you to not be aware that the EXACT title of the license is "technician". Since it does NOT represent morse code knowledge, it often is called "codeless technician" as opposed to the "Technician plus" which includes morse code knowledge. Again, Blake, in the radio electronics field any type of technician is commonly called "tech". If you would like, I could refer you to some books where you can learn about radio electronics?

> John, stop being so pompous. If Ryan has any desire to
> upgrade, then I believe he is intelligent and industrious
> enough to know the path. But, he might be happy right
> at Technician level, with the privelages allowed there.

Blake, just becaus YOU have never been able to upgrade past technician is NO reason to call upgrading "pompous". There are plenty of classes & books to assist those that need help in upgrading.

3710. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mv37nqbe0@207.18.224.111>
Source record: 155354

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 02:13:20 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>How about if you stop being a sarcastic flamming ass & simply
>state what ever it is you are trying to Blake?

John. You should be ashamed!

And you "SAY" you want to stop the flames, yet you
use this language?

>Blake, if you have another PRACTICAL recommendation, then lets
>hear it!! I'm certainly open to any good suggestions you have.

My first recommendation would be for you to stop cussing,
and flaming.

>(Your suggestion of Heliax was hardly practical since that runs
>around $5+ a foot plus $50+ per connector)
>
That depends John, but it was not a suggestion, merely a point.

>> Well, Gosh, Gee, it can run much more than that John. If you would
>> like, I could send you a catalogue from a two way supplier, that will
>> give you some knowledge. Can you find someone to read it to you?
>
>Perhaps you should find some one to read it to YOU Blake! There
>are several different manufacturers of Hardline & several different
>types. For example, in typical land mobile applications for
>base/repeater
>service you usually see 1/2 inch or 7/8 inch. Yet 1 5/8 inch or 3 inch

Well, understanding that you have never worked in the two way field,
and blown up numerous repeaters, it is understandable that you
feel that in "typical" land mobile installations only 1/2 or 7/8 inch
is used.

If you had some experience in the field, you would see sites routinely

using up to 3 inch. ALL of my 800 Mhz systems have a minimum of
1 5/8 inch.

>> Even better, how about trying to be on-topic?
>
>Can you stop the flames Blake? Last sunday evening I suggested
>a 7 say "stay" on the flaming. I have been following that
>even if others have not (HINT, HINT).
>

This is another example of your lies John. Even in this message to me, you cussed at me! You Lie John!

3711. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6mv3a1qbe1@207.18.224.111>
Source record: 155355

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 02:13:22 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>K4CC wrote:
>
>> Assuming that you were actually referring to impedance, I then asked you how
>> much signal you would lose because of that mismatch. You still haven't answered
>> that question.
>
>OK, what is the EXACT impedence of the feedline you are referring to?
>(For example 70, 72, 75 Ohm)
>
John,

He is refering to YOUR post, so YOU supply the EXACT impedance.

You are the one that cannot back up your statement!

3712. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35960b6e.350315863@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155356

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> wrote:

> It is not uncommon for RS coax to sit on the hook in display next to a
> window a year or two.

So what? Are you aware that plate glass *is* a UV filter?
....and a very good one, too.

> Notice I started saying "IF the coax has been.......". Regardless,
> once he installs it, that clock will start ticking unless he
> puts it in conduit.

Yeah, meatball, *your* clock is ticking down, too.
But the facts of the matter are....by the time anyone's
coax becomes altered by UV enough to affect its
characteristic impedance, velocity of propagation;
capacitive loading; and loss, your clock will have
run out and you'll be pushing up daisies in a
Size #13EE pattern that resembles the footprint
I left on your ass before and am fixing to leave
on it again..

Look, you friggin lunatic, UV is easily stopped
by almost anything, including glass, BUT the first
few molecular layers of the polyethylene outer
insulation of modern coaxial cables absolutely
blocks any further penetration of UV. And while
that outer layer certainly CAN get pretty grungy
and nasty, the center conductor, the dielectric,
and the shielding remain UNAFFECTED......and viable
for years, and years, and years.

> They will impose an impedence mis-match (75 Ohms VS 50 Ohms) &
> you will lose signal because of that mismatch.

You are so stupid. Look, a 1.5:1 mismatch causes a
4% loss. Now take the logarithm of 0.96 (the ratio);
and multiply that by 10 to get DECIBELS loss.....here,
you idiot, I'll do it for you:

10Log(0.96) = 0.18 dB loss. This just isn't significant.
It doesn't count, especially when swapping RG-58 for RG-6
where 0.18 dB loss is offset by 2 to 4 dB of LESS loss in

the RG-6.

> > Oh really, Einstein? Just how much signal would you lose??

> You claim to haved passed an extra class amateur test, you should
> know yourself!

Well, you should have known enough to have performed
the elementary math and explained it.

You some kind of an idiot gay blade or what?

3713. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <359311BF.53AB@usa.net>
Source record: 155357

Blake Bowers wrote:

> But John, you stated in your post that he said he wanted what was
> best!

True! He was asking about "COAX". You responded with a buch of garbage
about hardline or heliax which is NOT coax.

Why can't you simply admit you were wrong Blake?

3714. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359711dc.351961813@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155358

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

> Dear Mr. Mackey,
> How does it feel knowing that a 15-year-old who's had his ham license less than
> a week knows more than you do?

Considering the vacuum between that idiot's
ears, it is unlikely he feels anything...
except pressure, maybe.

3715. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3598130c.352265343@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155359

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> just becaus YOU have never been able to upgrade past
> technician is NO reason to call upgrading "pompous".

You might consider upgrading, Smacksie. That is,
upgrading to the human race.

3716. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359913c4.352450079@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155360

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> Originally, RG-59 is actually TV antenna cable!

Actually, it was introduced as VIDEO cable, you idiot.

3717. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <35931234.3CE1@usa.net>
Source record: 155361

Blake Bowers wrote:

> Yep, you made a simple typo.

You made a spelling error too Blake. You spelled
"I'm a spamming clueless fool" as "Blake Bowers".

Now lets get on topic.

Has anyone tried modifying a aircraft receiver to ACSSB?

3718. Re: Best Mobile scanner antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: edscma@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062603174800.XAA05526@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155362

>Subject: Best Mobile scanner antenna?

The Austin Spectra.

3719. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359a141d.352538603@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155363

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> UV from the sunlight causes a break down in the dielectric insulation
> between the inner & outter conductors in coax, thus causing a
> loss of signal.

Ahem....HEY YOU NITWIT! How the hell does UV
get through the outer jacket and then the shield
to degrade the dielectric?

> It takes 3 to 10 years for this effect to occur,
> depending on the coax, amount of sunlight, & other factors.

....and other factors, for sure, you nincompoop.
Like someone holding the end of a piece of cut
coax toward the sun for 10 years....which MIGHT
degrade a few molecules of the dielectric.

Are you stupid, or do you just play stupid on
the newsgroups?

> > And if so, how would sitting in a display window expose the coax
> > to the weather?

> It isn't so much the exposure to weather as it is the exposure to
> UV from sunlight. This is only if sunlight is able to get at the
> coax.

It ain't "sunlight", you imbecile. It's UV, and
common plate glass filters UV pretty well. Besides,
what Radio Schloque in their right mind would put
coax in a display window?

Ahem.....hey, Hermie....I've got me some Belden
8214 that has been outdoors continuously since
1976.....if the math is right, that comes to 22
years. It's still good. Likewise, I got some
RG-8M and some RG-8X that's been out almost as
long. It's still good. And, I've got some RG-6
that's been out for 13 years. It's still good.
Oh, and lest I forget....I've got some RG-214
from....ummmmmm.....1968. Now that stuff is
plumb nasty to hold and mess with. But the

silver-plated shielding is STILL bright and shiny
and the outer insulation is still intact.

Are you a hermaphroditic gay blade or what?

3720. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359b16e5.353250379@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155364

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> OK, what is the EXACT impedence of the feedline you are referring to?
> (For example 70, 72, 75 Ohm)

He's referring to what YOU were talking
about, you friggin idiot.

> > It's obvious that if you consider 1.5:1 to be a high SWR you have absolutely no
> > screaming clue what you're talking about,
>
> I never said it was a high SWR. I simply said it would cause a
> mismatch. PLEASE pay attention.

Horsefeathers! A 1.001:1 VSWR is also a mismatch
by what you said. Get real, and put that "radio amateur's
handbook" aside. You can't read, for one, and two, you
don't understand anything that does come through.

A 1.5:1 VSWR is not a "real" mismatch.

The only real mismatch around here is YOU, you
friggin lunatic. The characteristic impedance
between your vacuous skull cavity and that
cavernous poop chute of yours is a dead short
circuit, zero ohms.

You some kind of a zero gay blade or what?

3721. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35957C2D.71C7D8B3@swbell.net>
Source record: 155017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is the place where this forged post came from.

AUSNet Services, Inc. (NETBLK-AUSNET2)
Suite 601
400 SW Sixth Avenue
Portland, OR 97204

Netname: NETBLK-AUSNET2
Netblock: 198.145.0.0 - 198.145.255.255
Maintainer: AUS

Coordinator:
Registry, AUSNet Domain (ADR5-ARIN) registry@US.WORLD.NET
+1 503 242-1400 (FAX) +1 503 242-1375

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

NS.WORLD.NET 192.243.32.10
NS1.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.117.214.10
NS2.SPRINTLINK.NET 199.2.252.10
NS3.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.97.212.10

Record last updated on 09-Jun-98.
Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.

The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

3722. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35957C92.2766A954@swbell.net>
Source record: 155018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This also came from here

AUSNet Services, Inc. (NETBLK-AUSNET2)
Suite 601
400 SW Sixth Avenue
Portland, OR 97204

Netname: NETBLK-AUSNET2
Netblock: 198.145.0.0 - 198.145.255.255
Maintainer: AUS

Coordinator:
Registry, AUSNet Domain (ADR5-ARIN) registry@US.WORLD.NET
+1 503 242-1400 (FAX) +1 503 242-1375

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

NS.WORLD.NET 192.243.32.10
NS1.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.117.214.10
NS2.SPRINTLINK.NET 199.2.252.10
NS3.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.97.212.10

Record last updated on 09-Jun-98.
Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.

The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

3723. Re: A Deal for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35957CD2.57953D5@swbell.net>
Source record: 155019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Another forged post

AUSNet Services, Inc. (NETBLK-AUSNET2)
Suite 601
400 SW Sixth Avenue
Portland, OR 97204

Netname: NETBLK-AUSNET2
Netblock: 198.145.0.0 - 198.145.255.255
Maintainer: AUS

Coordinator:
Registry, AUSNet Domain (ADR5-ARIN) registry@US.WORLD.NET
+1 503 242-1400 (FAX) +1 503 242-1375

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

NS.WORLD.NET 192.243.32.10
NS1.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.117.214.10
NS2.SPRINTLINK.NET 199.2.252.10
NS3.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.97.212.10

Record last updated on 09-Jun-98.
Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.

The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

3724. BC235XLT and Poughkeepsie NY trunk freqs and cellular question.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ROBERT J FORLINI <FORLINI@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6n3nh6$97ra$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 155020

I'm wondering if I'm doing something wrong in trying to program these trunk freqs into my new 235xlt. They range from 852.6875 to 865.2375. It never seems to find the data channel. I don't even know if it's a Motorola type system. After each transmission ends, I hear a " beep....beep...beep" before it starts to scan again. I was told that it might be a GE type system. Is there a way I can find out for sure? Does the beeping tell anyone anything? Also, how come I could get cellular with the SC150 that I sent back but not with this 235?

Bob

3725. FS: Uniden BC 860XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: neilgould@usa.net
Message-ID: <36BB295902A7AB7C.EEBB6BC22E0086E1.0F49C60F3F68D577@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 155021

FS: Uniden BC 860XLT Scanner

$150 or best offer plus shipping.

100 Channel Capacity -- You can program each of these memory channels
to store one frequency.

12 Bands, 10 Banks -- Includes 12 bands with Aircraft and 800 MHz. Ten banks of
ten channels are useful for grouping similar frequencies and selectively
canning these groups.

Full Frequency LCD Display -- Shows the channels being scanned and the
frequency entered into each channel with backlit display.

Automatic Squelch -- A preset squelch position is provided for normal use and
allows for manual settings.

One-Touch Weather -- Automatically scans all national weather service
frequencies with just the touch of a button.

Channel Lockout -- Lock out unused or busy channels to concentrate on specific
channels.

Memory Backup -- Retains your frequency programming for 3 days without AC
power.

Programmable Delay -- When programmed on a channel, it allows time for a reply
on the same channel before resuming to scan.

Manual Channel Access -- Go directly to any channel without stepping through
other channels.

10 Priority Channels -- You can assign one Priority channel for each of the 10
banks. This allows you to keep track of activity on your most important
channels while monitoring other channels for transmissions.

Track Tuning -- This feature gives you perfect tuning on every channel
for crystal clear reception.

Twin Turbo (tm); allows you to scan up to 100 channels per second and
TurboSearchtm lets you select either 100 or 300 steps per second when searching
frequencies.

Unique Data Skip -- This feature allows the scanner to skip over unwanted data
transmissions and substantially reduces birdies.

29 to 956 Megahertz
12 Bands Including Aircraft and 800 MHz
100 Channels, 10 Banks

Full Frequency LCD
Twin Turbotm Scan and Search Modes
Automatic Squelch
Manual Channel Access Key
Priority Mode
Unique Data Skip
Memory Backup

--
Neil Gould
Plano Tx
neilgould@usa.net
>>>>> Be Your Own Online PC Store <<<<<
>>>>> Order Online and have it delivered to you FREE <<<<<
http://www.handtech.com/tcweb/neilgould

3726. FS: Uniden BC 860XLT Scanner - NEW in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: neilgould@usa.net
Message-ID: <D05DC4B6350CEA93.900E40058046CD20.C901C25EEC07AD70@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 155022

FS: Uniden BC 860XLT Scanner, NEW in the box

$150 or best offer plus shipping.

100 Channel Capacity -- You can program each of these memory channels
to store one frequency.

12 Bands, 10 Banks -- Includes 12 bands with Aircraft and 800 MHz. Ten banks of
ten channels are useful for grouping similar frequencies and selectively
canning these groups.

Full Frequency LCD Display -- Shows the channels being scanned and the
frequency entered into each channel with backlit display.

Automatic Squelch -- A preset squelch position is provided for normal use and
allows for manual settings.

One-Touch Weather -- Automatically scans all national weather service
frequencies with just the touch of a button.

Channel Lockout -- Lock out unused or busy channels to concentrate on specific
channels.

Memory Backup -- Retains your frequency programming for 3 days without AC
power.

Programmable Delay -- When programmed on a channel, it allows time for a reply
on the same channel before resuming to scan.

Manual Channel Access -- Go directly to any channel without stepping through
other channels.

10 Priority Channels -- You can assign one Priority channel for each of the 10
banks. This allows you to keep track of activity on your most important
channels while monitoring other channels for transmissions.

Track Tuning -- This feature gives you perfect tuning on every channel
for crystal clear reception.

Twin Turbo (tm); allows you to scan up to 100 channels per second and
TurboSearchtm lets you select either 100 or 300 steps per second when searching
frequencies.

Unique Data Skip -- This feature allows the scanner to skip over unwanted data
transmissions and substantially reduces birdies.

29 to 956 Megahertz
12 Bands Including Aircraft and 800 MHz
100 Channels, 10 Banks

Full Frequency LCD
Twin Turbotm Scan and Search Modes
Automatic Squelch
Manual Channel Access Key
Priority Mode
Unique Data Skip
Memory Backup

--
Neil Gould
Plano Tx
neilgould@usa.net
>>>>> Be Your Own Online PC Store <<<<<
>>>>> Order Online and have it delivered to you FREE <<<<<
http://www.handtech.com/tcweb/neilgould

3727. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062721341600.RAA16939@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155023

In article <6n3lkm$hco@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>, "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ"
<jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>MPERSONATOR! Compare the Path headers.
>--
>73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
>RySoft Corporation
>http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/
>
>If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?
>
>Bill Cheek wrote in message <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>...
>>bill cheeks is a fag
>>

Well, no kidding it's an impersonator. I doubt Bill would call HIMSELF a
"fag!"

3728. Who is selling the new Sony SC-1's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: lstrain@email.msn.com
Message-ID: <6n3sa3$43d$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155024

Anyone know of some dealers that are selling the new Sony SC-1 scanners?

Thanks,
Shaun

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3729. FS-All 800 MHZ scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062721522100.RAA18819@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155025

I'm selling the following scanners: Uniden 2500xlt, Yaesu FRG-96000, AOR-3000A, Icom R-7000. Please see my list at "rec.radio.swap" Thank you for reading!

3730. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980627215511100.RAA22608@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155026

Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com> is a dreamer:

>but, if bill cheek / everyone in this ng, could help by
>ignoring mackey, then it would be ok. get it? if mackey has nobody to
>talk to he will shut up. or at least post with messages that are related
>to the topic: rec.radio.scanner.

Derek:
Suppose I posted a message on this newsgroup calling you an ignorant, flaming SOB. Would you be able to ignore that?
What if I posted information that you knew to be false, misleading or innacurate. Would you just ignore it?
What you propose is pie in the sky. Mackey is not going to shut up, and he's incapable of posting anything that relates to topic.
Nice thought, though.
Regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3731. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062721551400.RAA19116@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155027

arise@my-dejanews.com toots:

>And then you all wonder
>why they keep trying to take away our rights to do these things.

Wrong, cubby. You have absolutely no right, power or authority to represent "all" -- on this newsgroup or anywhere else.
Been paranoid long? Who are "they", pray tell, and what "rights" are "they" trying to take away?

Curiously,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3732. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062721551800.RAA19122@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155028

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) writes:

>Now, Dave, you know better than to bring facts into a discussion with John!

Of course you're right, Blake. I was briefly overcome for a second there. I can't imagine what I was thinking. Thanks for the reality check.

Best,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3733. Re: Help on Motorola Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n3pp6$4lr$0@207.18.224.27>
Source record: 155029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 13:31:34 GMT, dmurman@mcit.com (David Murman)
wrote:

>Terry, I wounder if you can help me in programming an MTX8000. I have tried
>to get this radio to work on a type II system but it will not transmit. The
>radio tries to access the control channel, I can see it transmitting on the
>input to the control channel, but all I get is a steady tone out of the
>speaker. I can transmit on a type I system OK. I have the correct connect
>tone, system id and system key. What could I be doing wrong?
>
>If you respond via E-Mail send to 7371774@mcimail.com.
More than likely, you are trying to access a system that has the SAC
enabled in the central controller. If the SAC sees a radio ID that it
does not have in its database, it will not recognize that radio, not
allowing it access.

I run my 800 sites with SAC enabled, too many people out there with
system key generators.

3734. Re: Help on Motorola Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n3pte$5n3$0@207.18.224.27>
Source record: 155030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 13:31:34 GMT, dmurman@mcit.com (David Murman)
wrote:

>Terry, I wounder if you can help me in programming an MTX8000. I have tried
>to get this radio to work on a type II system but it will not transmit. The
>radio tries to access the control channel, I can see it transmitting on the
>input to the control channel, but all I get is a steady tone out of the
>speaker. I can transmit on a type I system OK. I have the correct connect
>tone, system id and system key. What could I be doing wrong?
>
>If you respond via E-Mail send to 7371774@mcimail.com.
More than likely, you are trying to access a system that has the SAC
enabled in the central controller. If the SAC sees a radio ID that it
does not have in its database, it will not recognize that radio, not
allowing it access.

I run my 800 sites with SAC enabled, too many people out there with
system key generators.

Or, you could ask John Mackey, there is not
a radio question he cannot answer. The thing
is, take his answer, and do the exact opposite.

3735. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062722321700.SAA26676@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155031

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> accidentally answers a nagging question:

>>As a VE, it is better & SOP for MOST quality VE teams to speak of each
>>(technician level) candidate as "codeless" or "coded" tech to prevent
>>accidentally passing someone to a different license than intended.

I just figured out how Mackey wound up with an Extra class Amateur license! It was an accident!!
One "quality" VE says to the other, "Boy this ACNE is really starting to FESTER!", but the other "quality" VE thought he said, "That boy MACKEY is really an EXTRA!" Another mystery solved!
Love,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3736. AR5000 muting problems??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mycom@xtra.co.nz
Message-ID: <6n40i9aec1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155032

I have a AR5000 scanner and just recently am experancing problems with it the fault is on AM and FM 110khz and 220khz the radio sometimes mutes on audio as though the sq is wound up, this does not do it all the time but when it does it is a nusance!! I checked with the local inporters regarding problem, they inturn checked with AOR Japan, they say that this fault is known and to fix it will have to return radio to Japan for repair (I don't want to do this) can anyone help with this problem?? regards Mike ZL3TIC

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3737. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <3595786F.9F0E4812@icdc.com>
Source record: 155033

really? no duh. but if you know its not true and you know that people
will be pissed at you if you keep the argument going, then what is the
point? who cares what an insignificant mackey thinks. its not true.

K4CC wrote:

> Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com> is a dreamer:
>
> >but, if bill cheek / everyone in this ng, could help by
> >ignoring mackey, then it would be ok. get it? if mackey has nobody to
>
> >talk to he will shut up. or at least post with messages that are
> related
> >to the topic: rec.radio.scanner.
>
> Derek:
> Suppose I posted a message on this newsgroup calling you an ignorant,
> flaming
> SOB. Would you be able to ignore that?
> What if I posted information that you knew to be false, misleading or
> innacurate. Would you just ignore it?
> What you propose is pie in the sky. Mackey is not going to shut up,
> and he's
> incapable of posting anything that relates to topic.
> Nice thought, though.
> Regards,
> Dave, K4CC
> USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
> Nashville TN

3738. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <359578DF.2E311552@icdc.com>
Source record: 155034

wow. what an annoyance. i say lets hold a massive
complaint-to-makey's-isp expo. Then if his isp gets too many complaints,
he will be off. or do same for whoever runs this ng. who runs this ng,
really?

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> That has been tried already , I personally had Mackey filtered out ,
> but
> he kept changing subject and idenity headers.
> By the time I got through I had over 200 filters that did not work.
>
> Derek Yerger wrote:
> >
> > not calling myself a follower, could be concidered a mediator.
> Sounds to
> > me that mackey is starting all this trouble... suspected for
> forging.
> > and flaming. but, if bill cheek / everyone in this ng, could help by
>
> > ignoring mackey, then it would be ok. get it? if mackey has nobody
> to
> > talk to he will shut up. or at least post with messages that are
> related
> > to the topic: rec.radio.scanner. hope this helps.
> >

3739. Re: Retry - Hope You Have Room For 346 Scanner Mods..... (0/5)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <35957963.65E1D2B5@icdc.com>
Source record: 155035

what?

ZeroLight was not thinking when he wrote:

> Home These Help Someone !!!!

3740. Bearcat Scanner for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <tomichael@usa.net>
Message-ID: <35957c47.0@tornado.tcccom.net>
Source record: 155036

UNIDEN Bearcat BC 145XL - 16 Channel Scanning Radio Bought several years ago, but used approximately 50 hours. [Owner was transferred overseas.] FEATURES: * 16 Channels, 10 Bands * One touch Weather * 2 Digit LED Display * Channel Lockout * Frequency Review * Priority Feature * Manual Channel Access * Squelch and Volume Controls * Jack for external speaker, if desired Tune into police, fire weather, emergency, military, government and more. I do not have the instruction manual, but I will provide tuning instructions, if desired.

http://www.haggle.com/cgi/getitem.cgi?id=201652646

3741. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <35957DC7.9D1B0C0F@icdc.com>
Source record: 155037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

hmmm... forged? yes... again... see below... did the same thing to the
whois server-
NNTP-Posting-Host:
198.145.199.102
Host whois.arin.net port 43 (whois)
whois 198.145.199.102
AUSNet Services, Inc. (NETBLK-AUSNET2)
Suite 601
400 SW Sixth Avenue
Portland, OR 97204

Netname: NETBLK-AUSNET2
Netblock: 198.145.0.0 - 198.145.255.255
Maintainer: AUS

Coordinator:
Registry, AUSNet Domain (ADR5-ARIN) registry@US.WORLD.NET
+1 503 242-1400 (FAX) +1 503 242-1375

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

NS.WORLD.NET 192.243.32.10
NS1.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.117.214.10
NS2.SPRINTLINK.NET 199.2.252.10
NS3.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.97.212.10

Record last updated on 09-Jun-98.
Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.

The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > Those are bad memories of a time when I was going thru a crisis in
> my
> > life. I was cought in a love triangle between my wife and a man I
> was
> > having
> > an affair with. Sort of a personal crisis I had at that time.
> >
> > I would rather not discuss the incident where I blew up the

> satellite
> > dish with the HV wires.
> >
> > Your understanding is appreciated.
> >
> > Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> > >
> > > hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a
> satellite
> > > dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
> > > hospital!
> > >
> > > -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion
> ==-----
> > > http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based
> newsreading

Robert H. Eisner wrote:

> The CB Guy wrote:
> >
> > Gee...at least the 3rd forged post from this entity, perhaps the
> sysop
> > at ctcadmin@ctc.edu should be notified?
> > I'm sending him a copy with all headers attached....
> > No doubt some janitor who found a terminal on..
> >
>
> Actually, the Forgeries were perform through Open NNTP Server
> news.ctc.edu and originated from Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK
> -NET)CLARK-NET
>
> All complaints should be sent to abuse@verio.net and
> abuse@clark.net.
>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41
>
> whois 198.104.135.41@whois.arin.net [rs.arin.net]
> NorthWestNet (NETBLK-NWNET-BLK198) NWNET-BLK2
> 198.104.0.0 - 198.104.255.255
> Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)CLARK-NET
> 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0
>
> > Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> > > Jeff Ekblad wrote:
> > > >
> > >
> > > F*** YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
> > > thankful
> > > I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.

3742. AR8200 Initial Impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3594FF08.A1B6C02F@ibm.net>
Source record: 155038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All Hobbyists Interested In The New AR8200,
Glenn Cohen of Scanners Unlimited has just submitted
his initial impressions of the new AOR AR8200 to the
Strong Signals site.
If interested in reading his comments, please stop
by my News Flash page :

http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEWSFLSH.HTM

Thank you for your attention and happy monitoring!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

3743. Re: bill cheeks [sic]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Ulrich <ulrich@null.net>
Message-ID: <6n406l$lpg@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155039

TheLibNet wrote in message
<19980627213416OO.RAA16939@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>
>Well, no kidding it's an impersonator. I doubt Bill would call HIMSELF a
>"fag!"

... Or refer to himself in the third person.

Andy Ulrich

3744. FS: Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: RHUNT <RHUNT@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <359588AC.77DF@prodigy.net>
Source record: 155040

I have a Radio Shack Pro-43 for sale. Unit is in excellent condition (9
on a scale of 1 to 10). Date code is 9A3 S/N is 0700xx. Unit will accept
the cellular mod. Comes with antenna and cigarette lighter power cord.
Price is $ 200.00 + shipping from Seattle (98056) E-mail if interested.
Richard
rhunt@prodigy.net

3745. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3595950A.3D74531@earthlink.net>
Source record: 155041

Bill Cheek wrote:

> bill cheeks is a fag

Hey man, Cut it out ok? If you don't like him thats all right but at least have the balls not to forge your email address.. You are a spineless turd. Throwing your crap out there and not having to answer for it.. Bill Cheek and John Mackey at least stand behind their words. Take them on directly if you have the nuts. Crawl back into your hole you douche bag. Mike Burch K8MB

3746. Re: 900 MHZ cordless telephones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millimeter Wave Report <mmwr@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#tBpu2jo9GA.258@upnetnews05>
Source record: 155042

Jeri--

The 902-928 MHz band is allocated to unlicensed devices, including cordless phones.

Hope this helps.

Andrew Clegg, PhD
Editor
Millimeter Wave Report
http://www.mmwrpt.com
mmwr@msn.com

Jeri Stevens wrote in message <3595423E.76F1@aol.com>...
>What basically are the frequency ranges of transmission for
>these "900 mhz" phones? For instance, I find that the older
>cordless phones generally transmitted in the 45-48MHZ area.
>Thanks, anyone!

3747. Help,...I WAS BURNED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Chyzy <chyzy@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3595AE91.9BC0E0DC@megsinet.net>
Source record: 155043

I Sent someone a check for a scanner,.....never received it,.....it was 100dollars, but still,.....what can be done about it?,....thanks rick......

3748. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3595B258.CAC38FEA@netwit.com>
Source record: 155044

Derek Yerger wrote:

> wow. what an annoyance. i say lets hold a massive
> complaint-to-makey's-isp expo. Then if his isp gets too many complaints,
> he will be off. or do same for whoever runs this ng. who runs this ng,
> really?
>

Happens all the time. The friggin' loon stays a few hairs within the boundries of criminal behaviour when he posts under a variation of his anti-filter Mackey handle.

But once you whack him into submission; out pops a fleet of bogus posts, forgeries, and rogue cancels.

3749. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3595B4A9.BDBD447B@netwit.com>
Source record: 155045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John.Mackey.KA0SSF wrote:

> If it is related to scanning then tell it yourself, other wise
> leave Cheek along so it will die!

Hey dirtbag: I notice all these rougue cancels and forgedc posts orginating from around the Portland Oregon area. Who do you think is running around your stomping grounds worming onto any open terminal to forge Bill Cheek posts and cancel Bill Cheek posts?

Why don't you take a drive around and see if you can find the one as loony as you.

You friggin' loon.

3750. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n4db0$ijt@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155046

That's true, however I was only pointing it out. I wasn't surprised or
anything!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

TheLibNet wrote in message
<19980627213416000.RAA16939@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>In article <6n3lkm$hco@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>, "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ"
><jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>MPERSONATOR! Compare the Path headers.
>>--
>>73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
>>RySoft Corporation
>>http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/
>>
>>If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?
>>
>>Bill Cheek wrote in message <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>...
>>>bill cheeks is a fag
>>>
>
>Well, no kidding it's an impersonator. I doubt Bill would call HIMSELF a
>"fag!"
>

3751. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n4dkf$k6v@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155047

So, really, you are calling your*self* a fag? How surreal... (!)
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Bill Cheek wrote in message <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>...
>bill cheeks is a fag
>

3752. Re: FS RADIO SHACK PRO34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark, MT" <fumes@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <3595CEB6.6BF27F36@mcn.net>
Source record: 155048

> I also have a pro-34 scanner for sale but without cellular mod. It's in very
> good shape. I have rechargeables in it now and a charger. My price is also $85
> or best offer. Thanks

Mark Rogstad
fumes@mcn.net

--

"My life is an endless purgatory, interrupted by profound moments of misery."
-- Richard Karinsky, Caroline in the City

3753. More Rogue Cancels in rec.radio.scanner originating from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n4ku8$s40@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

It appears that a someone from AUSNet Sercvies, Inc in
Portland, OR has issued a few Rogue Cancels against posts
that were made by Bill Cheek.

These are the headers that I came across this evening:

Date: Sat, 27 Jun 1998 12:48:14 -0700
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35992873.68038788@news2.cts.com>
References: <35992873.68038788@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35992873.68038788@news2.cts.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.145.199.102
Message-ID: <35954913.0@194.250.238.3>
Lines: 2
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!newshub.northeast.verio.net!newspeer.monmo
uth.com!rain.fr!194.250.238.3!198.145.199.102

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Date: Sat, 27 Jun 1998 12:47:56 -0700
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <359b743f.21894103@news2.cts.com>
References: <359b743f.21894103@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <359b743f.21894103@news2.cts.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.145.199.102
Message-ID: <35954900.0@194.250.238.3>
Lines: 2
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!newshub.northeast.verio.net!sunqbc.risq.qc
.ca!news.dotcom.fr!rain.fr!194.250.238.3!198.145.199.102

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

---

whois 198.145.199.102@whois.arin.net
[rs.arin.net]
AUSNet Services, Inc. (NETBLK-AUSNET2)
Suite 601
400 SW Sixth Avenue
Portland, OR 97204

Netname: NETBLK-AUSNET2
Netblock: 198.145.0.0 - 198.145.255.255
Maintainer: AUS

Coordinator:
Registry, AUSNet Domain (ADR5-ARIN) registry@US.WORLD.NET
+1 503 242-1400 (FAX) +1 503 242-1375

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

NS.WORLD.NET 192.243.32.10
NS1.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.117.214.10
NS2.SPRINTLINK.NET 199.2.252.10
NS3.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.97.212.10

Record last updated on
09-Jun-98.
Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.

The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

3754. Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n4mp4$9nu@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155050

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> bill cheeks is a fag

The above post was forged from US.WORLD.NET via Open NNTP
Server news.campbellhall.org.

Path:
wn6!attworldnet!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!206.214.99.1!ix.netcom.com!news.campbellhall.org!
not-for-mail
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: bill cheeks is a fag
Date: Sat, 27 Jun 1998 12:12:08 -0700
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Lines: 2
Message-ID: <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: p102.ezpool.us.world.net <=======
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)

whois 198.145.199.102@whois.arin.net
[rs.arin.net]
AUSNet Services, Inc. (NETBLK-AUSNET2)
Suite 601
400 SW Sixth Avenue
Portland, OR 97204

Netname: NETBLK-AUSNET2
Netblock: 198.145.0.0 - 198.145.255.255
Maintainer: AUS

Coordinator:
Registry, AUSNet Domain (ADR5-ARIN) registry@US.WORLD.NET
+1 503 242-1400 (FAX) +1 503 242-1375

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

NS.WORLD.NET 192.243.32.10
NS1.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.117.214.10
NS2.SPRINTLINK.NET 199.2.252.10
NS3.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.97.212.10

Record last updated on
09-Jun-98.
Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.

The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

3755. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <35954ed9.5900109@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 155051

geovet@ix.netcom.com (George Vetterle) wrote:

>On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 22:17:39 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen
>Quarnstrom) wrote:
>
>>"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>>So the question is, do I waste my time trying to educate this kid on
>>UseNet quoting protocols, or do I just go ahead and dump him in the
>>killfile?
>
>He's only 15 but I think he's been around long enough to know the
>ropes and he's not real receptive to advice. Plonk the little rascal!

I got e-mail from him indicating he's going to try to do better, so
we'll give him one more chance.

As for you, how the hell did YOU escape from my killfile, anyway?
Next pointless Cheek/Mackey raving I see from you -- back you go.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3756. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <359e69c1.12788505@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 155052

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> But John, you stated in your post that he said he wanted what was
>> best!
>
>True! He was asking about "COAX". You responded with a buch of garbage
>about hardline or heliax which is NOT coax.

Of course it is. "Coax" is short for co-axial. Look up co-axial and
see if it doesn't apply to any transmission line with inner and outer
conductors that are, well, co-axial.

Hardline just uses different configurations or materials for the
inner, outer, and dielectric components.

>Why can't you simply admit you were wrong Blake?

Same reason you can't.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3757. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@nospam.com
Message-ID: <6n2n1e$36q$1@news3.microserve.net>
Source record: 155117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <35903672.16C3@san.rr.com>, bcheek@san.rr.com says...
>
>Jeff Ekblad wrote:
>>
>
>FUCK YOU I am the best this newsgroup has ever had you better be
>thankful
>I am here you lowlife scum sucking asshole.

Why don't you two meet somewhere and duke it out?

3758. Re: Garage Door Openers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tyler@nospam.com
Message-ID: <6n2nf8$36q$2@news3.microserve.net>
Source record: 155118

In article <358FBDC8.4CDFD827@icdc.com>, DYerger@icdc.com says...
>
>Dave Comer wrote:
>
>> Anyone know what band garage door openers operate
>> at? No, I don't want to listen to them, just wonder what
>> their modulation content is.
>>
>> Dave Comer
>> dcomer@rt66.com
>
> also- the x10 (sold as plug n' power at radio hovel) keychain remote-
>i cant seem to find out the frequency for that, even using a frequency
>counter. Ill try to use a frequency counter to get a garage door opener.
>We know what youre up to, record and play back to your neighbor's
>garage, borrow their bmw.
>

There is an FCC web page that you can go to and input the FCC ID # of the transmitter (usually listed on the transmitter) and the page will come up with the transmitting frequency. I used to have this page address and would VERY much appreciate it if anyone has it and could pass the address around.

3759. RS Weather Alert Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougd@lrbcg.com
Message-ID: <6n2tlj$qs3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155119

Anyone know the model no. of the latest Radio Shack Weather Alert radio that you can program in just the counties you want? How about the price?

They never have any catalogs.

Doug

--
CB Radio Story Ezine
For Radio Operators Everywhere.
Subscribe:
http://www.lrbcg.com/dougd/cb.htm

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3760. Speech Inversion kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062713190200.JAA28461@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155120

Speech Inversion Descramblers in kits, assembled, and self contained
stand alone box units. Signal Meters, Service Manuals.
<A HREF="http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html">CTP Speech Inversion
Descramblers</A>
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

3761. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062713215500.JAA28655@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155121

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> can't remember which class is which:

>As a VE, it is better & SOP for MOST quality VE teams to speak of each
>(technician level) candidate as "codeless" or "coded" tech to prevent
>accidentally passing someone to a different license than intended.

SOP for the two "quality" VE teams I've worked with is to call the license classes what they are, and to pay attention to what we're doing so that stupid mistakes like that can't happen.

VE#11942 (W5YI VEC),

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3762. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062713215700.JAA02455@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155122

I have absolutely no idea what "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>is
blithering about:

>Then WHY are you refering to his license as "crap"?
>
>Get off Ryan's back & stop bothering him!

Does anyone have software that converts Mackey into English?

Thanks in advance,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3763. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062713215800.JAA28661@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155123

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> must have lost the thread:

>Perhaps, but this is hardly a "typcal installation" as compared to
>land mobile as we were discussing, wouldn't you have to admit?

Land mobile? I thought we were talking about base antenna sensitivity.

Yours truly,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3764. Re: Macaroon, what was your point? <<not the one on your head!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35951C77.272A9971@swbell.net>
Source record: 155124
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This forged post came from the college below.

Chemeketa Community College (NETBLK-CHEMEK)
4000 Lancaster Dr NE
P.O. Box 14007
Salem, OR 97309-7070

Netname: NETBLK-CHEMEK
Netblock: 199.101.8.0 - 199.101.15.0

Coordinator:
Halleen, Gary R (GRH2-ARIN) gary@CHEMEK.CC.OR.US
503-399-8669 (FAX) 503-399-4898

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

CHEMEK.CHEMEK.CC.OR.US 199.101.8.2

Record last updated on 14-Jan-96.
Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.

The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

3765. Re: Who are "Bill" and "John"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35951CBC.213CF3EC@swbell.net>
Source record: 155125

This forged post came from the college below.
Time for another mass complaint to the college.

Chemeketa Community College (NETBLK-CHEMEK)
4000 Lancaster Dr NE
P.O. Box 14007
Salem, OR 97309-7070

Netname: NETBLK-CHEMEK
Netblock: 199.101.8.0 - 199.101.15.0

Coordinator:
Halleen, Gary R (GRH2-ARIN) gary@CHEMEK.CC.OR.US
503-399-8669 (FAX) 503-399-4898

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

CHEMEK.CHEMEK.CC.OR.US 199.101.8.2

Record last updated on 14-Jan-96.
Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.

The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

3766. Re: RS Weather Alert Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: foresterNOSPAM@usit.net
Message-ID: <359500c8.97266291@news.usit.net>
Source record: 155126

>Anyone know the model no. of the latest Radio Shack Weather Alert radio that
>you can program in just the counties you want? How about the price?
>
>They never have any catalogs.
>
>Doug

Model 12-249 has the SAME technology. It is about $80.

Jack

3767. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <359502DC.5885B748@iname.com>
Source record: 155127

This is perhaps the most humorous post on the ng this morning.
You want to killfile him because of his posting habits.....yet you have
that silly...long, sig file at the end?
::Plonk::

George Vetterle wrote:
>
> On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 22:17:39 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen
> Quarnstrom) wrote:
>
> >"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> >>A point well-made!
> >
> >
> >[52 lines of quoting and sig file snipped]
> >
> >
> >
> >So the question is, do I waste my time trying to educate this kid on
> >UseNet quoting protocols, or do I just go ahead and dump him in the
> >killfile?
>
> He's only 15 but I think he's been around long enough to know the
> ropes and he's not real receptive to advice. Plonk the little rascal!
>
> George L. Vetterle
> geovet@ix.netcom.com
> geovet@worldnet.att.net
>
> "Badges? We ain't got no badges!
> We don't need no badges! I don't
> have to show you any stinking badges!"-
> Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
> OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

3768. Re: Macaroon, what was your point? <<not the one on your head!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <35950368.8C983224@iname.com>
Source record: 155128
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Oh, he's getting trickier...
This time posted from
news.ncn.com

Well..another letter is on the way.....

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> I AM SORRY JOHN MACKEY I OWE YOU MY GRATITUDE. YOU ARE
> TRULY AN EXPERT IN THE FIELD, I SIMPLY FOOL ALL THOSE THAT I
> COULD INTO BEING AGAINST YOUTO MAKE MYSELF FEEL BETTER. YOU SEE
> I LOST MY BOYFRIEND TO ANOTHER MAN & I AM HAVING A REALLY HARD
> TIME WITH THIS. PLEASE FORGIVE ME!

3769. Re: Macaroon, what was your point? <<not the one on your head!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n30rv$k9b@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155129
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The CB Guy wrote:
>
> Oh, he's getting trickier...
> This time posted from
> news.ncn.com
>
> Well..another letter is on the way.....
>

It originated from Chemeketa Community College via Open NNTP
Server news.ncn.com, which seems to be secure now.

Send your complaints to gary@CHEMEK.CC.OR.US

Chemeketa Community College (NETBLK-CHEMEK)
4000 Lancaster Dr NE
P.O. Box 14007
Salem, OR 97309-7070

Netname: NETBLK-CHEMEK
Netblock: 199.101.8.0 - 199.101.15.0

Coordinator:
Halleen, Gary R (GRH2-ARIN) gary@CHEMEK.CC.OR.US
503-399-8669 (FAX) 503-399-4898

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

CHEMEK.CHEMEK.CC.OR.US 199.101.8.2

Record last updated on 14-Jan-96.
Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.

The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

This same person also attempted to Rogue Cancels several posts
from Bill Cheek via Open NNTP server moon.pepperdine.edu on
the same day.

Path: moon.pepperdine.edu!usenet
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <35940e4f.154407291@news2.cts.com>

Control: cancel <35940e4f.154407291@news2.cts.com>
Date: Wed, 24 Jun 1998 19:23:04 -0700
Organization: Pepperdine University
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <3591B488.1AAA@san.rr.com>
References: <35940e4f.154407291@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ccc-14-81.chemek.cc.or.us <=======
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (Win16; I)

There were also several Forgeries and Rogue Cancels from
Clark College via Open NNTP Server news.ctc.edu

Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!new
s-peer.sprintlink.net!news-backup-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!205.175.105.36!n
ews.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 15:48:21 -0700
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <359030B5.16A9@san.rr.com>
References: <358F4369.40C8@parg.org>
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41 <=======
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

Soneone sure has alot of time on their hands to be driving around
to local Community Colleges in OR and WA just forged posts.

Bob

3770. Re: Who are "Bill" and "John"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n30kk$k76@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155130

It should also be noted that it was also performed through
Open NNTP Server news.ncn.com, which seems to be secured now.

This same person also attempted to Rogue Cancels several posts
from Bill Cheek via Open NNTP server moon.pepperdine.edu on
the same day.

```
Path: moon.pepperdine.edu!usenet
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg cancel <35940e4f.154407291@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35940e4f.154407291@news2.cts.com>
Date: Wed, 24 Jun 1998 19:23:04 -0700
Organization: Pepperdine University
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <3591B488.1AAA@san.rr.com>
References: <35940e4f.154407291@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ccc-14-81.chemek.cc.or.us <=======
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (Win16; I)
```

There were also several Forgeries and Rogue Cancels from
Clark College via Open NNTP Server news.ctc.edu

```
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!new
s-peer.sprintlink.net!news-backup-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!205.175.105.36!n
ews.wa-k20.net!news.ctc.edu!ctcadmin@ctc.edu
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: JOHN MACKEY THE ASSHOLE STRIKES AGAIN
Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 15:48:21 -0700
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <359030B5.16A9@san.rr.com>
References: <358F4369.40C8@parg.org>
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.135.41 <=======
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)

Soneone sure has alot of time on their hands to be driving around
to local Community Colleges in OR and WA just forged posts.

Bob

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
> This forged post came from the college below.
> Time for another mass complaint to the college.
>
> Chemeketa Community College (NETBLK-CHEMEK)
> 4000 Lancaster Dr NE
> P.O. Box 14007
> Salem, OR 97309-7070
>
> Netname: NETBLK-CHEMEK
> Netblock: 199.101.8.0 - 199.101.15.0
>
> Coordinator:
> Halleen, Gary R (GRH2-ARIN) gary@CHEMEK.CC.OR.US
> 503-399-8669 (FAX) 503-399-4898
>
> Domain System inverse mapping provided by:
>
> CHEMEK.CHEMEK.CC.OR.US 199.101.8.2
>
> Record last updated on 14-Jan-96.
> Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.
>
> The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
> Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
> Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
> Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

3771. Searching for French Scanners NewsGroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyril andrieu <cyril.andrieu@hol.fr>
Message-ID: <6n30ri$1mh@irit1.irit.fr>
Source record: 155131

Hi, i'm french & i'm searching for newsgroup, websites or more about scanner
in FRANCE ?
Would you like to answer me at :
.ancyrildrieu@hol.fr
Thanks

3772. FS:Opto Scout Like New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron M <monfeli@telis.org>
Message-ID: <359506DB.DBE7482D@telis.org>
Source record: 155132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Like brand new, used little. Has box, manual and AC wall adapter. Will include the DB-32 antenna which is a $30 value. $300.00 including shipping in US only.
Ron

3773. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: reallybigguy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6n36ab$51g$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155133

In article <35999425.30061617@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > How would I go about starting a new scanner newsgroup?
>
> Before you get an answer to THAT question, you
> should ask, "What if I start a newsgroup and no one
> participates?" Get that one answered fist.
>
> > I propose that I or someone else create this new ng
>
> Good. I vote for you. Now beat it and go start it.
>
> > I say we go with it.
>
> Good idea. Go for it.
>
> > These two idiots waste more time trying to outwit each
> > other than anything else.
>
> Looks like YOU just traipsed along and fell
> into it, huh? Are you stupid or just act that way?
>
> > They think they're so damn intelligent,
>
> When was that ever mentioned? In fact, it is
> well known that your nephew Mackey isn't
> intelligent. Judging from your statement,
> neither are you.....
>
> > instead they're two little children trying to
> > get the last word.
>
> Soooooo, you just puffed up your chest for
> the LAST last word, huh? Hope you didn't have
> any money down on it.
>
> > This goes for all their little no-brain followers as well.
>
> Obviously, you are at the head of that list.
>
> > If one of the two morons never responded to the other,

> > then the other would just go away.
>
> No, Mackey's too stupid to go anywhere.
> And you're even more stupid for violating
> your own rule.....and YOU expect to start a
> popular newsgroup? Okaaaaay, consider it to
> have my blessing. Smacksie's, too. He wants
> me to speak for him on these matters.
>
> > Anyway, can this new ng be fired up or what?
>
> Sure, wingnut. Now get yourself to work and don't
> let the door smack you in the arse on the way out.
>
>

Hey ButtCHEEK, I bought one of your stupid books once. I returned it after I copied all the mods I needed. No royalties for you tough-guy.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3774. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: reallybigguy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6n36ps$5qs$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155134

In article <3594903E.6260EB30@pacbell.net>,
wirehead@pacbell.net wrote:
>
> Before you embark on something as ambitious as starting you're our newsgroup,
> you might want to learn how to use a "real" news reader, get a "real" email
> account and heaven forbid, learn to cruise the internet without a browser.
>
> Think you got the "brains" to do all that Elvis ?
>
> Maybe Toilet Boy (Hint Hint) could help you ...
>

My dear Mr. Wiredick, I'm not sure what your reference to "Toilet Boy" is
about. Is is perhaps your own fascination with scatology. If so, then you
will enjoy this. EAT SHIT AND DIE!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3775. WTT BCT-10 4 BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: BJ <bp1993@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <35950B35.B854ED87@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 155135

Want to trade my BCT-10 for a BCT-7 Plus $$$

Like new, used very little, have box and manual and all.

Bob

3776. Michigan Scanner Freqs for Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Susan Misener <skam@tir.com>
Message-ID: <3595180E.75D3B3F3@tir.com>
Source record: 155136

I'm looking for police, fire, ect. radio freqs in the Northern Oakland co area of Holly, MI. Any dirrection given other than buying the books sold at Rat Shack would be appriciated. Thanks , Marc.

3777. FS: ICOM IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bat22@iquest.net
Message-ID: <6n365g$j86$1@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 155137

ICOM IC-PCR1000 in mint condition. One month old and includes original box, manuals and software. I will provide my receipt to new owner for warrany purposes. Selling for $425 which includes UPS ground shipping to you. I will accept and prefer pre-payment in the form of a cashiers check or money order. I will ship COD via FEDEX for secured funds for $435. This radio is a US vesion and has not been modified for full 800Mhz reception. I will perform this mod for the new owner or I can provide the necessary "0" ohm resistors - your choice....

Michael Fry N9LFN
Noblesville, IN.USA

*Reference available upon request!

3778. Re: Wanted to buy: Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980627162334400.MAA18066@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155138

i know that there is no scanner on the market that can track a trunked system and receive cell.

3779. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35953AC1.6BBB03F3@swbell.net>
Source record: 155139

Don't you like it when adults are intimidated by a 15 year old who knows more then they do...:)

3780. Re: Boston, Ma area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: zoe205@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980627164908800.MAA20520@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155140

go to www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Massachusetts/boston.html
ton of boston stuff

3781. ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35971792.63717332@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155141

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

One and the same, of course, but anyway, I
hope you know that I laugh my butt off watching
the energy and resources that are being expended
in this mental fixation you have on me.

Please understand,(if you can), that your paltry
3 MB e-mail bombs arrive in seconds and are
automatically deleted in a heartbeat. Please
understand that I don't use modems anymore. My
full-time connection to the Internet is faster
than six T-1 lines put together. That means
your paltry 3MB junk files come in and are wiped
out in a span of under 5-seconds. <blink>

Your hundreds of 1-k empty e-mails are treated
in the same fashion. <blink>

So please understand the grand entertainment you
are providing me and which I now share with the
newsgroup....because you must be consuming gobs
of time and money in your obsession with me. Let's
see, at 56-kbps, it takes a minimim of ten minutes
to send those 3 MB files. Most connections aren't
near 56-k, though, and on a v.34 modem, you're
eating up 20-mins of on-line time to send ONE.

Add to that all the time it takes to create
your silly forgeries, rogue cancels, and bogus
e-mails, and WOW! You must be spending entire
DAYS with ME in your mind.

I take that as a compliment. But before you
continue complimenting and entertaining me, YOU
need to come to grips with the fact that my
computer system and Internet connection has a
titanium steel condom wrapped around it, and if
that's not enough, there's also a plasma energy
force field around it as well.

Your antics are harmless and at worst, cause YOU
a lot more investment of time, money, and resources
compared to the few moments it takes me to read and
laugh at the entries in the system logs.

Your antics have been very funny, to say the least.
And I take great pleasure in having cost you lots
of time and money to play your silly games. However,
there are lots of ISP's and Internet professionals
out there who aren't so amused.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

3782. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35992873.68038788@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155142

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

arise@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> > > Cant we all just get along?

> > Sure.
> >
> > What exactly makes you think we're not?

> Never mind.I never said that.

Sure. It just takes a little time to figure
stuff out here. You've probably got it by now.

:-)
```

3783. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359b29ef.68419122@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155143

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:

> Hey ButtCHEEK, I bought one of your stupid books once. I returned it after I
> copied all the mods I needed. No royalties for you tough-guy.

I'm pleased to see you admitting to a criminal
act. Now folks can see more clearly why they
have to pay higher prices for stuff. Thanks
to nincompoops like you.

But at least you were stupid enough to admit
it and make a good textbook lesson for everyone
else.

Every newsgroup needs a friggin nincompoop. Thanks
to you and Mackey, we have two! Yaaaaaahoooooo!

When are you going to start your own newsgroup?
I need to know so I can help you publicize it.

3784. FS PRO-2026 Full 800mhz.EXCELLENT CONDITION!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ginny@brads.net
Message-ID: <6n3iankuo1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Excellent working condition and appearance.
Small size, easy to program. 1 owner, non smoker.
Comes with all original material. box, manuals, etc...
Also includes power supply. No scratches or dings.
Can be used mobile or in the home. {selling to buy a FT-50RD}
Asking $150.00. This includes s&h. Will take money order, or check.
Will wait for check to clear.
More info :

The PRO-2026 gives you access to more than
30,000 frequencies used by police departments, fire departments,
ambulances, aircraft, ham radio operators, and transportation services.
You can store up to 100 frequencies into your scanner's channels, and
you can scan and change your channel selection at any time.

Service Search-lets your scanner scan the frequencies allocated to the
police, fire, air, weather, or marine services so you can listen to the
services you prefer, even if you do not know the frequencies.

Two-Second Scan Delay-helps you keep from missing replies on a channel
while you are scanning.

Lock-Out Function-limits the scan by skipping over a specified channel
or group of channels.

Five Memory Banks-let you group channels so that you can easily scan
related frequencies, such as the various frequencies used by services
in different cities.

Priority Channel-instantly tunes the scanner to a selected channel
whenever there is a transmission on the frequency.

Monitor Memory-lets you save a frequency located during a frequency
search so you can easily store it in a channel.

29-29.7 MHz (10-Meter Ham Radio)
29.7-50 MHz (VHF Lo)
50-54 MHz (6-Meter Ham Radio)
108-136.975 MHz (Aircraft)
137-144 MHz (Government)
144--148 MHz (2-Meter Ham Radio)
148-174 MHz (VHF Hi)
406-420 MHz (Government)
420-450 MHz (Ham Radio)
50-470 MHz (UHF-Lo)
470-512 MHz (UHF TV)

Mod has been done to receive all of the 800 mhz. band

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3785. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n3edr$o93@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155145

Bill Cheek wrote in message <359a6fda.20769856@news2.cts.com>...
>> On the other hand, I'm heartened when I see people like
>> the Faricy kid who see right through him in a heartbeat!
>
>Well, that doode got off to a bad start, but he's kind
>of starting to show some promise, I guess. A lot
>depends on if he buys into the blubbering sweet nothings
>that ol' Smacksie is trying to lay on 'im.

Thanks, Bill! I admit, I was being/acting pretty stupid when I first started in this newsgroup.

I'm not a newsgroup cop, nor do I now wish to become one... I've decided I don't mind you or Mackey, but I hope you guys can resolve whatever the conflict is, at least someday. You both are pretty nice and helpful guys. I like Bill's page, too. I learned some (a lot of) stuff there!

Mackey's an interesting case, but I don't mind 'im.

Maybe I can get off your twit list? I promise I won't be a twit any longer.

>Time will tell, I guess. <sigh> One thing for
>sure....we're eyewitnesses to history. ;-)

I agree completely. This is an interesting newsgroup, no doubt, but there's more than meets the eye.

73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ

3786. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n3ek2$p63@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155146

No, and I apologize for doing that. It must've been a lazy day for me <g>. I will remember to do that (remove unnecessary text) more often.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ

Glen Quarnstrom wrote in message <3593fc29.5600545@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>So the question is, do I waste my time trying to educate this kid on
>UseNet quoting protocols, or do I just go ahead and dump him in the
>killfile?

3787. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <35953F05.C9B34509@pacbell.net>
Source record: 155147

Elvis -

Is that the best retort you can come up with ? Seems you're vocabulary will unlikely exceed you're technical prowess. If you spent anytime whatsoever on this news group, you would be intimately familiar with you're buddy/alter ego - "Toilet Boy".

You've mentioned butts, doo doo, penis - Beavis is that you ? Maybe you ought to go over to the alt.sex.* newsgroups and play there - or never mind, I doubt you can find it anyway.

Keep flapping you're gums Elvis, you're prose cannot surpass what little you know regarding navigation of the internet.

reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:

> My dear Mr. Wiredick, I'm not sure what your reference to "Toilet Boy" is
> about. Is is perhaps your own fascination with scatology. If so, then you
> will enjoy this. EAT SHIT AND DIE!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

--

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

3788. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n3girhle0@207.18.224.80>
Source record: 155148

On 27 Jun 1998 13:21:58 GMT, k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

>"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> must have lost the thread:
>
>>Perhaps, but this is hardly a "typcal installation" as compared to
>>land mobile as we were discussing, wouldn't you have to admit?
>
>Land mobile? I thought we were talking about base antenna sensitivity.
>
Now, Dave, you know better than to bring facts into
a discussion with John!

3789. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n3gmbhle1@207.18.224.80>
Source record: 155149

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 03:56:20 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>> John
>> must be going through some severe psychological problems.
>
>Then why do you keep going with the lies & flames Blake?

Sigh. Just another example of your transference of your problems.

Have a nice day John. Seek help, soon!

3790. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n3gvhhle2@207.18.224.80>
Source record: 155150

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 03:43:38 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> Another lie. You should be ashamed of yourself.
>
>Are you now denying that people in positions of leadership
>had to take disiplinary actions on you in more than one occasion?
>
Chuckle, yes, as a matter of fact, I am.

>> Ok, how about the Amateur_radio echo. Oh, that's right, you can't go
>> there also.
>> Maybe the HAM_SALE echo, oh, that's right, banned from there.
>> Cellular? Nah, you are banned.
>
>Nope, I have never been banned from any echo other than SCANRADIO
>when Bill Cheek was kicking 2-3 people off a day if he felt they
>threatened his control of the group.
>
You are banned from all of those echos, and that could be easily
verified. To say that you are not, is to lie.

>perhaps we should talk about the echo(s) YOU were banned from!

Yes, please do. Bear in mind that all is easily verifiable, and I
will post email from the moderators of any echo that you state
I have been banned from, therefore catching you in MORE LIES.

3791. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n3h1phle3@207.18.224.80>
Source record: 155151

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 03:36:49 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> You said you were following your truce on the echo,
>
>What "echo" are you talking about?
>
>Perhaps YOU need help since you think we are on an "echo"?

I made an error, when I called the newsgroup an echo. The
fact remains, that you are caught in yet another lie, and you
are trying to hide that issue.

Get help John, soon!

3792. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n3h5shle4@207.18.224.80>
Source record: 155152

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 03:32:30 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Then WHY are you refering to his license as "crap"?
>
NO one, is refereing to Ryan's license as crap, they are
refereing to you starting your tirade against no-coders
as crap however, Get help John, Soon!

3793. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n3hdfhle5@207.18.224.80>
Source record: 155153

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 03:26:47 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>

>> >Blake, just becaus YOU have never been able to upgrade past tech
>>
>> Lies again John. You should get help.
>
>OH really!! According to the FCC database, you are STILL
>a technician after all these years!
>
Now, John, at least have the common sense to
quote the pertinant parts of the reply, where I
stated that "I have no desire to upgrade".

Failure to quote the pertinant parts, therefore
twisting the message to your ends, is the
same as lies, which you are so
proficient at.

>> The mere fact that you have upgraded, proves that anyone
>> can.
>
>Except YOU!
>
Again, John, I have no desire to upgrade. My commercial licenses
and certifications are far and above Extra, or Advanced. I can
play with systems that do far more than what is being done for
the most part on HAM, that there is no reason!

3794. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: arise@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n3jj1$n1l$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155154

Ive seen Daycare kids more mature than some of the ppl on this newsgroup.Ppl like you give the Internet and the Hobby a bad name.And then you all wonder why they keep trying to take away our rights to do these things.

In article <35999425.30061617@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > How would I go about starting a new scanner newsgroup?
>
> Before you get an answer to THAT question, you
> should ask, "What if I start a newsgroup and no one
> participates?" Get that one answered fist.
>
> > I propose that I or someone else create this new ng
>
> Good. I vote for you. Now beat it and go start it.
>
> > I say we go with it.
>
> Good idea. Go for it.
>
> > These two idiots waste more time trying to outwit each
> > other than anything else.
>
> Looks like YOU just traipsed along and fell
> into it, huh? Are you stupid or just act that way?
>
> > They think they're so damn intelligent,
>
> When was that ever mentioned? In fact, it is
> well known that your nephew Mackey isn't
> intelligent. Judging from your statement,
> neither are you.....
>
> > instead they're two little children trying to
> > get the last word.
>
> Soooooo, you just puffed up your chest for
> the LAST last word, huh? Hope you didn't have
> any money down on it.
>
> > This goes for all their little no-brain followers as well.

>
> Obviously, you are at the head of that list.
>
> > If one of the two morons never responded to the other,
> > then the other would just go away.
>
> No, Mackey's too stupid to go anywhere.
> And you're even more stupid for violating
> your own rule.....and YOU expect to start a
> popular newsgroup? Okaaaaay, consider it to
> have my blessing. Smacksie's, too. He wants
> me to speak for him on these matters.
>
> > Anyway, can this new ng be fired up or what?
>
> Sure, wingnut. Now get yourself to work and don't
> let the door smack you in the arse on the way out.
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3795. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: arise@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n3lnlqdn1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I sure hope so.

In article <35992873.68038788@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> arise@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> > > > Cant we all just get along?
>
> > > Sure.
> > >
> > > What exactly makes you think we're not?
>
> > Never mind.I never said that.
>
> Sure. It just takes a little time to figure
> stuff out here. You've probably got it by now.
>
> :-)
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3796. 900 MHZ cordless telephones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeri Stevens <goshcowy@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3595423E.76F1@aol.com>
Source record: 155156

What basically are the frequency ranges of transmission for these "900 mhz" phones? For instance, I find that the older cordless phones generally transmitted in the 45-48MHZ area. Thanks, anyone!

3797. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <35954B67.39A331C2@icdc.com>
Source record: 155157

not calling myself a follower, could be concidered a mediator. Sounds to me that mackey is starting all this trouble... suspected for forging. and flaming. but, if bill cheek / everyone in this ng, could help by ignoring mackey, then it would be ok. get it? if mackey has nobody to talk to he will shut up. or at least post with messages that are related to the topic: rec.radio.scanner. hope this helps.

Mr. WireHead wrote:

> Before you embark on something as ambitious as starting you're our
> newsgroup,
> you might want to learn how to use a "real" news reader, get a "real"
> email
> account and heaven forbid, learn to cruise the internet without a
> browser.
>
> Think you got the "brains" to do all that Elvis ?
>
> Maybe Toilet Boy (Hint Hint) could help you ...
>
> reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > How would I go about starting a new scanner newsgroup? I propose
> that I or
> > someone else create this new ng and let ButtCHEEK and Smacksie have
> this one
> > all to themselves. We could call it
> rec.radio.scanner.nocheek.nomackey. I
> > say we go with it. These two idiots waste more time trying to outwit
> each
> > other than anything else. They think they're so damn intelligent,
> instead
> > they're two little children trying to get the last word. This goes
> for all
> > their little no-brain followers as well. If one of the two morons
> never
> > responded to the other, then the other would just go away. Anyway,
> can this
> > new ng be fired up or what?
> >
> > -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion
> ==-----
> > http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member
> Forum
>

> --
> ____
> There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
> We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....
> ____
> While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:
>
> admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
> $USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
> Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
> Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
> Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
> Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
> US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
> Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
> Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
> Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
> net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

3798. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <35954E2A.D7456CE6@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
> dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
> hospital!
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3799. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <35954E02.16D3A34D@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

> Those are bad memories of a time when I was going thru a crisis in my
> life. I was cought in a love triangle between my wife and a man I was
> having
> an affair with. Sort of a personal crisis I had at that time.
>
> I would rather not discuss the incident where I blew up the satellite
> dish with the HV wires.
>
> Your understanding is appreciated.
>
> Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> >
> > hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
> > dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
> > hospital!
> >
> > -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

3800. Re: A Deal for Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <35954E8D.716105FC@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mackey, i agree to your deal and all conditions.

lets call for the end

John-Mackey-KA0SSF wrote:

> Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
> > Better yet, why don't you adopt it unilaterally? This would soon
> > expose who's the good guy and who's the flamer, right?
> >
> > (Any bets on Cheek actually doing this?)
>
> There is NO way his ego could do it in MY opinion!
>
> x

3801. bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>
Source record: 155161

bill cheeks is a fag

3802. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n3jck$n9g$0@207.18.224.27>
Source record: 155162

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 05:43:57 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> John. You should be ashamed!
>> And you "SAY" you want to stop the flames, yet you
>> use this language?
>
>What is wrong with my language Blake?
>
You forgot already? Look back in the thread
John, as I will not re-type it.

You evidently have a serious physchological problem, if you
can cuss at me in one message, then forget it in the next
message.

GET HELP JOHN! For your sake, GET HELP!

>> My first recommendation would be for you to stop cussing,
>> and flaming.
>
>Yes, please do that Blake!
>
Maybe transferance? I have not been cussing. You have.

Please John, GET HELP!

>
>
>> >(Your suggestion of Heliax was hardly practical since that runs
>> >around $5+ a foot plus $50+ per connector)
>> >
>> That depends John, but it was not a suggestion, merely a point.
>
>Certainly an impractical suggestion, regardless.
>
Again, slowly, I t w a s n o t a s u g g e s t i o n,
merely a point!

And, BTW, I have a number of heliax runs that I use for
scanners.

>
>> Well, understanding that you have never worked in the two way field,
>

>I have for several years Blake. Infact you have been jealous because
>YOU have never been a technical person in the radio field. You are
>an administrator in an office, which is why you have to hire all your
>technical work to be done by qualified & capable technicans.
>
John, as I verified with the two way shops, in your area, you have
never worked in the two way field.

Insofar as me being technical, hell, I have posted some of my training
here, verifiable, and have been working with commercial two way since
the early 80's, off and on. I have challenged you to do
the same, and you refuse. Yes, I do not spend all of my time in
technical duties, as people progress, get promoted, take on
additional duties, etc. At least, competant people do, which may
be why the concept is foreign to you.

>> If you had some experience in the field, you would see sites routinely
>>
>> using up to 3 inch. ALL of my 800 Mhz systems have a minimum of
>> 1 5/8 inch.
>
>Certainly if you are working on 800 Mhz gear you see that often. But
>not on low-band, hig-band, or 450 bands. You can not apply the
>standards
>& characteristics of one band an all frequency bands Blake. There
>are substancial differences in the frequecy characteristics which
>drive different methods of equipment. If you would like, I could
>suggest some books you might read to learn about this.
>
You, son, are the one who made a broad statement, that did
not hold up. I have 450 systems that routinely use 1 5/8 inch
heliax also.

A lot depends on the length of the cable, ie on a 400 foot run, I use
a larger heliax.

It is a concept John, and if you would only stop cussing people,
flaming them, and possibly accept Bills truce offer, I would be
more than happy to teach you some about it.

>Where did I cuss at you Blake? What did I say?

Get help John. Soon.

3803. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <359573C6.6E7F792B@swbell.net>
Source record: 155163

That has been tried already , I personally had Mackey filtered out , but
he kept changing subject and idenity headers.
By the time I got through I had over 200 filters that did not work.

Derek Yerger wrote:
>
> not calling myself a follower, could be concidered a mediator. Sounds to
> me that mackey is starting all this trouble... suspected for forging.
> and flaming. but, if bill cheek / everyone in this ng, could help by
> ignoring mackey, then it would be ok. get it? if mackey has nobody to
> talk to he will shut up. or at least post with messages that are related
> to the topic: rec.radio.scanner. hope this helps.
>

3804. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n3lkm$hco@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155164

IMPERSONATOR! Compare the Path headers.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Bill Cheek wrote in message <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>...
>bill cheeks is a fag
>

3805. Positioning of RS antenna # 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-mdOluweq7D6o@localhost>
Source record: 155165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Neutrodyne,

> Charles, I know exactly what you mean. I had one above the TV antenna and rotor
> & did some checking on this. Not a LOT of effect on high band, but the shadowed

I think I've asked this question before but don't remember the answer
or didn't understand enough of the answer to have the "light" go on.
<g>

Anyway, there is an old TV antenna just below my new All-Band 20-014.
It isn't hooked up at all and just resides there. I was wondering if I
should go ahead and remove it completely, or what?

> direction (looking through the center low band element) was a bit weaker. More
> effect was obvious on UHF, about a 25% range reduction in the worst (shadowed)
> direction. Of course the low band was truly omnidirectional. Aim those elements
> accordingly.

"Shadowed" direction? Which direction is that, vertical or horizontal?
Most of my listening is UHF 460MHz range.

> If it's any consolation, even the worst directions are still a bunch
> stronger than the RS discone I tried on the same pole!

That's what it appears but it'll take me a while to know for sure.

Thanks for the post!

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

3806. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n3lrb$ide@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155166

Just to let everyone know, I forwarded this message to Campbell Hall's postmaster and abuse addresses. I hope whoever is doing this realizes that impersonation is a felony with heavy punishments. The FBI doesn't care if it is a joke...
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

Bill Cheek wrote in message <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>...
>bill cheeks is a fag
>

3807. Re: transmitting on police band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062700575600.UAA08110@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155167

KC2BTP@webtv.net (Stephen Goeller Jr) writes:

>Thanks for the input Dave. Why did he use the word Taboo anyway?

Steve,
Because Gordon likes to be "cute" in his effort to relate to the common man.
And I don't mean that as a flame, the guy's put out a lot of very useful
material. It's just his style.
Have a great Field Day,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3808. Re: relm MS200 programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ffdoug@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062701204100.VAA07252@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155168

The only problem i ever had programming mine was when i tried to use teh computer at work and hooked up the cable to the comm port that the mouse had been using...and never changed the system settings so it didnt reconize the mouse anymore...and the programming never reconized the scanner

Doug

3809. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062701433900.VAA14022@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155169

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) makes the light come on:

>Come back, to the SCANRADIO echo. Oh, I forgot, you can't do that.
>Ok, how about the Amateur_radio echo. Oh, that's right, you can't go
>there also. Maybe the HAM_SALE echo, oh, that's right, banned from there.
>Cellular? Nah, you are banned. EMS? Banned.

Blake,
I have to thank you for answering a question that has been nagging at me since subscribing to this newsgroup. That is, why the heck is (John-, John., Stuart, Clarence) Mackey here?
He obviously has no interest in, or knowledge of, scanners. He mocks people who have legitimate questions with his stupid little "how can I receive 160 meter cw on my Bearcat 250" blabberings (not to mention his belittling of Technician class hams), spews out nonsensical answers and takes issue with legitimate ones.
Thanks to your post, now I know! It's because he's not allowed anywhere else!! Of course, that makes one wonder why he hasn't been banned from this group, but, with any luck, that will happen soon.
Geez, the guy even makes me almost ashamed to admit that I have a ham license. I can only hope that intelligent folk realize that he is NOT representative of the majority of amateur operators, and that EVERY barrel has a few bad apples.
Anyway, thanks again for clearing up a bit of the mystery.

Very best regards,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3810. allsafe's suggestion of moderating NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-gZShyuyix9i6@slip-32-100-175-70.tx.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 155170
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tom,

&#65533; Howsabout co-moderators?
&#65533;
&#65533; Like Bill n' John?

Nope, too! <g>

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

3811. Positioning of RS antenna # 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-s8vRQzOnWMB1@slip-32-100-175-70.tx.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 155171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dave,

Was that what you were asking???

Exactly. Thank!

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

3812. Positioning of RS antenna # 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckiex@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-VtdjHDgjdwe6@slip-32-100-175-70.tx.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 155172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Neutrodyne,

&#65533; Charles, I know exactly what you mean. I had one above the TV antenna and rotor
& did some checking on this. Not a LOT of effect on high band, but
the shadowed
&#65533; direction (looking through the center low band element) was a bit weaker. More
&#65533; effect was obvious on UHF, about a 25% range reduction in the worst (shadowed)
&#65533; direction. Of course the low band was truly omnidirectional. Aim those elements
&#65533; accordingly.
&#65533; If it's any consolation, even the worst directions are still a bunch

Yep, I know for a fact that reception changes as I rotate it.

&#65533; stronger than the RS discone I tried on the same pole!
&#65533; Neutrodyne

Same here.

Thanks!

Charles

To reply, remove the "x" in my address

3813. trunker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062703250000.XAA27231@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155173

i need advice as to what could be wrong i have a pro2006 with opto os456 board
when i run the trunk program i use my pro26 for data channel and pro2006to
follow voice but i don't hear no voice coming out it works fine with edacs it
follows the voice channels ok but not with trunker i have the trkenv.bat file
set trackscan=opto but no luck thanks jose

3814. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35946457.6233@parg.org>
Source record: 155174

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
> Yes, I am upgrading to General sometime soon! I've heard the test is rather
> easy (mostly questions on FCC rules and regs.), and I think I can learn 13
> wpm CW by August (When I will take it).

Way to go Ryan!

Just one thing, be advised that effective July 1 of this year the
general
test (element 3B) will be revised to a completely new question pool & 5
additional questions added. If you are up to it, you might want to
hurry
up & get that general theory (element 3B) done before July 1. On the
other hand, after just passing the elements 2 & 3A for your tech, I
certainly could understand if you wanted to take a break for a while!

3815. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35946524.2C1@parg.org>
Source record: 155175

K4CC wrote:

> Technician. And those who DO include "codeless" in the title usually do so to
> try and belittle the holders of that particular license class in order to make
> themselves seem superior. I'm surprised that a VE would stoop to that sort of
> shabby crap.

No, you certainly are showing your lack of knowledge & experience here!

As a VE, it is better & SOP for MOST quality VE teams to speak of each
(technician level) candidate as "codeless" or "coded" tech to prevent
accidentally passing someone to a different license than intended.

3816. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35946631.4B86@parg.org>
Source record: 155176

Blake Bowers wrote:

> My point John. Why can't you call him with the license class
> that he has earned?

You certainly are showing your lack of knowledge & experience here!

As a VE, it is better & SOP for MOST quality VE teams to speak of each
(technician level) candidate as "codeless" or "coded" tech to prevent
accidentally passing someone to a different license than intended.

> >Blake, just becaus YOU have never been able to upgrade past tech
>
> Lies again John. You should get help.

OH really!! According to the FCC database, you are STILL
a technician after all these years!

> The mere fact that you have upgraded, proves that anyone
> can.

Except YOU!

3817. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <359467E4.58E0@parg.org>
Source record: 155177

K4CC wrote:

> And then you immediately start in with that "code-less" tech crap.

> Ryan knows where I stand, and since the issue doesn't involve you in the least,
> I feel no compunction whatsoever to share it with you.

Then WHY are you refering to his license as "crap"?

Get off Ryan's back & stop bothering him!

3818. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <359468E7.506@parg.org>
Source record: 155178

Blake Bowers wrote:

> You said you were following your truce on the echo,

What "echo" are you talking about?

Perhaps YOU need help since you think we are on an "echo"?

3819. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: arise@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n1kmuteg1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Cant we all just get along?

In article <35947de7.182979898@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com> wrote:
>
> > Pretty good chance you're right CB Guy. The forger probably works for the
> > school; it's not likely this clown is enrolled. If it is a student; the
> > lad has a tough semester ahead of him.
>
> Just betwixt thee, me, and the doorpost, I think
> it's Mackey. Here's why: Use your Telnet client
> and log onto: news.ctc.edu at Port 119 and you'll
> get the following response:
>
> 200 news.ctc.edu Netscape-News/2.01 25500 NNRP ready (posting ok).
>
> Seems this site accepts IHAVE injections, meaning most
> anyone can employ it if they know a trifle of what they're
> doing. No, that doesn't prove it's Mackey, but the next
> little tidbit sure lights up his nose!
>
> Seems someone (Mackey?) also sent an e-mail bomb to
> me, "Wirehead" and PJSmalley with 3-MB of attachments.
> They used MY e-mail address as the supposed sender,
> but take a look at the header:
>
> ==
> HEADER FROM A FORGED E-MAIL
> ==
> Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for bcheek
> (with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) Tue Jun 23 16:28:48 1998)
> X-From_: bcheek@san.rr.com Tue Jun 23 16:27 PDT 1998
> Received: from mail1.teleport.com (mail1.teleport.com [192.108.254.26])
> by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id QAA14537
> for <bcheek@san.rr.com>; Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:27:14 -0700 (PDT)
> Received: from [198.104.135.41] ([198.104.135.41]) by mail1.teleport.com
> (8.8.7/8.7.3) with SMTP id QAA06623; Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:25:32 -0700 (PDT)
> X-Authentication-Warning: mail1.teleport.com: [198.104.135.41] didn't use
> HELO protocol
> Message-ID: <35903945.436@san.rr.com>
> Date: Tue, 23 Jun 1998 16:24:53 -0700

> From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
> Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
> X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0Gold (Win16; I)
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> To: bcheek@san.rr.com
> CC: wirehead@pacbell.net, pjsmalley@hacgate.com, bcheek@cts.com
> Subject: what do you think of this?
> References: <358DBEF1.35AF@parg.org> <358dd023.7386579@news2.cts.com>
> Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="------------13F8312030D5"
> Content-Length: 4985389
> ==
>
> Well, regardless of the REPLY-TO and FROM lines,
> which are easily forged, the sending server was
> TELEPORT.COM, the host for PARG.ORG. Guess WHO
> plays from PARG.ORG? Heh heh heh heh heh......
> Other characteristics of the above kind of match
> Smacksie's legit headers, too.
>
> Three guesses and the first two wrong ones don't
> count. Now a simple TRACERT shows one server
> to be none other than: xyw-r2-adm.clark.edu,
> the same source as ctc.edu where the Usenet forgeries
> were posted. Well, Clark is located right up there
> in good ol' Smacksie country.
>
> Lastly, didja notice how this crap started AFTER
> I twitted Smacksie out? It happens every time....
>
> Ummmm....errrr.....heh heh, the bloodhounds are
> getting close. They've got the scent, and it's got
> Smacksie all over it.
>
> <giggling & salivating profusely>
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3820. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35946A80.2266@parg.org>
Source record: 155180

Blake Bowers wrote:

> Another lie. You should be ashamed of yourself.

Are you now denying that people in positions of leadership
had to take disiplinary actions on you in more than one occasion?

> You were the one run off. Come back, to the SCANRADIO echo. Oh, I

I've been on SCANRADIO several times since, it is nearly dead!

> Ok, how about the Amateur_radio echo. Oh, that's right, you can't go
> there also.
> Maybe the HAM_SALE echo, oh, that's right, banned from there.
> Cellular? Nah, you are banned.

Nope, I have never been banned from any echo other than SCANRADIO
when Bill Cheek was kicking 2-3 people off a day if he felt they
threatened his control of the group.

EMS? Banned. Heck, maybe

Again, not banned from that echo either. Infact, I have never been
on that echo in my life!

Perhaps we should talk about the echo(s) YOU were banned from!

3821. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35946B1D.4980@parg.org>
Source record: 155181

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Ahem....HEY YOU NITWIT! How the hell does UV

Bill, I stated a week ago that I would NOT respond to
your flames. If you would like your answer then restate
you question in a decent mature manner & I will answer
your questions.

3822. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjb@thornyvinemusic.com
Message-ID: <35946a30.10224819@elscintcorp.co.il>
Source record: 155182

```
__ __ _
| \/ |___ _____ __ _| |
| |\/| / -_) _ \ V V /_|
|_| |_\___\___/\_/\_/(_)

MMMM. .:MMMM
MMMMMMMM .:MMMMMMM
:MMHHHMMMMHMM. .:MMMMMMMMM:. .:MMHHMHMM:
:MMHHIIIHMMMM.:MMHHHHIIIHHMMMM. .:MMHIHIIHHM:
MMMHIIIIHHMMMIIHHMHHIIIIIHHMMMMMMHHHIIIIHHM:
:MMHIIIIIHMMMMMMMHHIIIIIIHHHMMMMMHHII:::IHM.
MH:I:::IHHMMMMMHHII:::IIHHMMMHHHMMM:I:IHMM
:MHI:HHIHMMHHIIHII::.::IIHMMHHIHHMMM::HMM:
MI::HHMMIIM:IIHII::..::HM:MHHII:::IHHMM:
MMMHII::..:::IHMMHHHMHHMMI:::...::IHM:
:MHHI::....::::HMMMMMMHHI::.. ..:::HM:
:MI:.:MH:.....:HMMMMHHMIHMMHHI:HH.:M
M:.I..MHHHHHMMMIHMMMMHMMHHHHHMMH:.:M.
M:.H..H I:HM:MHMHI:IM:I:MM:: MMM:M:
:M:HM:.M I:MHMIIMMIIHM I:MM::.:MMI:M.
'M::MM:IMH:MMII MMHIMHI :M::IIHMM:MM
MH:HMMHIHMMMMMMHMMIMHIIHHHHIMMHHMM
MI:MMMMHI:::::IMM:MHI:::IMMMMHIM
:IMHIHMMMMMM:MMMMMHHHHMMMHI:M
HI:IMIHMMMM:MMMMMMHHHMI:.:M .....
............M::..:HMMMMIMHIIHMMMMHII:M:::''''
....:::MHI:.:HMMMMMMMMHHHMHHI::M:::::::''''''
'' ...:MHI:.::MMHHHMHMIHMMMMHH.MI..........
'' ...MHI::.::MHHHHIHHMM:::IHM '''
' IMH.::..::HMMHMMMH::..:HM:
:M:.H.IHMIIII::IIMHMMM:H.MH
IMMMH:HI:MMIMI:IHI:HIMIHM:
.MMI:.HIHMIMI:IHIHMMHIHI:MIM.
.MHI:::HHIIIIIHHI:IIII::::M:IM.
.MMHII:::IHIII:::::IIIIIIHMHIIM
MHHHI::.:IHHII:::.:::IIIIHMHIIHM:
MHHHII::..::MII::.. ..:IIIHHHII:IM.
.MHHII::....:MHII::. .:IHHHI::IIHMM.
MMHHII::.....:IHMI:. ..:IHII::..:HHMM
MHHII::::....:IIHI...:IHI::.....::HM:
:MMH::::..... ...::..::... ...:IHMM
IMHIII::::..... ........::IHMM.
:MHIII::::.... .......::IHMM:
MHHIII::::... ......::IHMM:
```



```
IMHHIII:::... .....::IIHMM,
:MHHIII:::I:::... ....:::I:::IIHMM
MMHHIII::IHI:::.............:::IIH:IHMM
:MMHHII:IIHHI::::::.....:::::IH:IHMIM
MMMHHII:IIHHI:::::::::::::IHI:IIHM:M.
MMMHHIII::IHHII:::::::::IHI:IIIHMM:M:
:MMHHHIII::IIIHHII::::IHI..IIIHHM:MHM
:MMMHHII:..:::IHHMMHHHHI:IIIIHHMM:MIM
.MMMMHHII::.:IHHMM:::IIIIIIHHHMM:MI.M
.MMMMHHII::.:IHHMM:::IIIIIIHHHMM:MI.M
.MMMMHHMHHII:::IHHMM:::IIIIIHHHHMM:MI.IM.
.MMHMMMHHHII::::IHHMM::I&&&IHHHHMM:MMH::IM.
.MMHHMHMHHII:::.::IHMM::IIIIHHHMMMM:MMH::IHM
:MHIIIHMMHHHII:::IIHMM::IIIHHMMMMM::MMMMHHHMM.
MMHI:IIHMMHHHI::::IHMM:IIIIHHHMMMM:MMMHI::IHMM.
MMH:::IHMMHHHHI:::IHMM:IIIHHHHMMMM:MMHI:.:IHHMM.
:MHI:::IHMHMHHII::IHMM:IIIHHHMMMMM:MHH::.::IHHM:
'MHHI::IHMMHMHHII:IHMM:IIHHHHMMMM:MMHI:...:IHHMM.
:MHII:IIHMHIHHIIIIHMM:IIHHHHMMMM:MHHI:...:IIHMM:
'MHIII:IHHMIHHHIIHHHMM:IHHHMMMMM:MHHI:..::IIHHM:
:MHHIIIHHMIIHHHIHHHMM:HHHHMMMMM:MHII::::IIIHHMM
MHHIIIIHMMIHHHIIHHMM:HHHHMMMM:MMHHIIHIIIIIHHMM.
'MHHIIIHHMIIHHIIIHMM:HHHMMMMH:MHHMHII:IIIHHHMM:
'MHHIIIHMMIHHHIHHMM:HHHMMMHH:MMIMMMHHHIIIHHMM:
'MHHIHHMIHHHHHMMM:HHHMMMH:MIMMMMMMMMMHIHHM:
'MHIIIHMMIHHHHHMM:HHHMMMH:IMMMMMHHIHHHMMHHM'
:MHHIIHMIHHHHHMM:HHHMMMM:MMHMMHIHMHI:IHHHM
MHHIIHM:HHHHHMM:HHHMMMM:MMMHIHHIHMM:HHIHM
MHHIHM:IHHHHMM:HHHHMM:MMHMIIHMIMMMHMHIM:
:MHIHMH:HHHHMM:HHHHMM:MMHIIHMIIHHMMHIHM:
MMHHMH:HHHHMM:HHHHMM:MHHIHMMIIIMMMIIHM'
'MMMMH:HHHHMM:HHHMM:MHHHIMMHIIII::IHM:
:MMHM:HHHHMM:HHHMM:MHIHIMMHHIIIIIHM:
MMMM:HHHHMM:HHHHM:MHHMIMMMHHHIHHM:MMMM.
:MMM:IHHHMM:HHHMM:MHHMIIMMMHHMM:MMMMMM:
:MMM:IHHHM:HHHHMM:MMHHHIHHMMM:MMMMMMMMM
MHM:IHHHM:HHHMMM:MMHHHHIIIMMIIMMMMMMMM
MHM:HHHHM:HHHMMM:HMMHHHHHHHHHHMMMMMMMM:
.MI:MM:MHHMM:MHMMHMHHMMMMHHHHHHHHMMMMMMMM'
:IM:MMIM:M:MM:MH:MM:MH:MMMMHHHHHHMMMMMMM'
:IM:M:IM:M:HM:IMIHM:IMI:MMMMHHHHMMMMM:'
'M:MHM:HM:MN:HMIHM::M' '::MMMMMM:'
'M'HMM'M''M''HM'I'
```

```
__ __ _
| \/ |___ _____ __ _| |
| |\/| / -_) _ \ V V /_|
|_| |_\___\___/\_/\_/(_)
```

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 04:24:08 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>K4CC wrote:
>
>> John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> writes:
>> >Congratulations to Ryan Faricy for obtaining his AMATEUR RADIO
>> >license form the FCC on June 19, 1998!! (just a few days ago)
>
>> Quick, everyone! Link arms and form a circle around Ryan so Makcey can't get to
>> him! Here, kid! Here's a life preserver! Okay, now swim as far from Mackey as
>> you can, as fast as you can! Atta boy!!
>
>You are making a big deal becaues I congratulate him on getting
>a amateur radio license? Should I condemn him instead?
>
>
>
>
>> Only John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org> would take something really
>> significant and belittle it:
>>
>> >Ryan, I encourage you to keep on going, don't stop at
>> >code-less tech. Keep going to extra.
>
>I didn't belittle him Look at the subject, the first word is
>"congrats". YOU are trying to belittle him by DISCOURAGING him
>from upgrading.
>
>
>> 1.) There is no Amateur license class known as code-less tech. I would have
>
>The OFFICAL term is "technican" as opposed to "technican plus" which
>indicates 5 WPM morse code abilities. Your arguement is stupid, like
>is the glass half empty or half full.
>
>
>> Ryan earned a Technician Class License, and has every right to be very proud of
>> that.
>
>
>Then why are you trying to belittle him & discourage him from upgrading
>which might make him wonder, since you are not encouraging him to
>upgrade, that perhaps you think he is incapable. PLEASE encourage
>this young man to continue to acheive rather than stating the things you
>have.
>
>
>> he has demonstrated that he's not afraid
>> to admit when he's made a mistake.
>
>how do you justify that Ryan's upgrade was a mistake?
>

3823. Re: F@@K YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjb@thornyvinemusic.com
Message-ID: <35946abc.10364380@elscintcorp.co.il>
Source record: 155183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
__ __ _
| \/ |___ _____ __ _| |
| |\/| / -_) _ \ V V /_|
|_| |_\___\___/\_/\_/(_)

MMMM. .:MMMM
MMMMMMMM .:MMMMMMM
:MMHHHMMMMHMM. .:MMMMMMMMM:. .:MMHHMHMM:
:MMHHIIIHMMMM.:MMHHHHIIIHHMMMM. .:MMHIHIIHHM:
MMMHIIIIHHMMMIIHHMHHIIIIIHHMMMMMMHHHIIIIHHM:
:MMHIIIIIHMMMMMMMHHIIIIIIHHHMMMMMHHII:::IHM.
MH:I:::IHHMMMMMHHII:::IIHHMMMHHHMMM:I:IHMM
:MHI:HHIHMMHHIIHII::.::IIHMMHHIHHMMM::HMM:
MI::HHMMIIM:IIHII::..::HM:MHHII:::IHHMM:
MMMHII::..:::IHMMHHHMHHMI:::...::IHM:
:MHHI::....::::HMMMMMHHI::.. ..:::HM:
:MI:.:MH:.....:HMMMMHHMIHMMHHI:HH.:M
M:.I..MHHHHHMMMIHMMMMHMMHHHHHMMH:.:M.
M:.H..H I:HM:MHMHI:IM:I:MM:: MMM:M:
:M:HM:.M I:MHMIIMMIIHM I:MM::.:MMI:M.
'M::MM:IMH:MMII MMHIMHI :M::IIHMM:MM
MH:HMMHIHMMMMMHMMIMHIIHHHHIMMHHMM
MI:MMMMHI:::::IMM:MHI:::IMMMMHIM
:IMHIHMMMMMM:MMMMMHHHHMMMHI:M
HI:IMIHMMMM:MMMMMMHHHMI:.:M .....
............M::..:HMMMMIMHIIHMMMMHII:M:::''''
....:::MHI:.:HMMMMMMMMHHHMHHI::M:::::::''''''
'' ...:MHI:.::MMHHHMHMIHMMMMHH.MI.........
'' ...MHI::.::MHHHHIHHMM:::IHM '''
' IMH.:::.::HMMHMMMH::..:HM:
:M:.H.IHMIIII::IIMHMMM:H.MH
IMMMH:HI:MMIMI:IHI:HIMIHM:
.MMI:.HIHMIMI:IHIHMMHIHI:MIM.
.MHI:::HHIIIIIHHI:IIII::::M:IM.
.MMHII:::IHIII::::::IIIIIIHMHIIM
MHHHI::.:IHHII:::.:::IIIIHMHIIHM:
MHHHII::..::MII::.. ..:IIIHHHII:IM.
.MHHII::....:MHII::. .:IHHHI::IIHMM.
MMHHII::.....:IHMI:. ..:IHII::..:HHMM
MHHII::::.....:IIHI...:IHI::.....::HM:
:MMH:::........ ...::..::... ...:IHMM
IMHIII:::......... ........::IHMM.
:MHIII::::..... .......::IHMM:
```

MHHIII:::::.. ......::IHMM:
IMHHIII:::... .....::IIHMM,
:MHHIII:::I:::... ....:::I:::IIHMM
MMHHIII::IHI:::.....:::IIH:IHMM
:MMHHII:IIHHI::::::.....:::::IH:IHMIM
MMMHHII:IIHHI:::::::::::::IHI:IIHM:M.
MMMHHIII::IHHII:::::::::IHI:IIIHMM:M:
:MMHHHIII::IIIHHII::::IHI..IIIHHM:MHM
:MMMHHII:..:::IHHMMHHHHI:IIIIHHMM:MIM
.MMMMHHII::.:IHHMM:::IIIIIIHHHMM:MI.M
.MMMMHHII::.:IHHMM:::IIIIIIHHHMM:MI.M
.MMMMHHMHHII:::IHHMM:::IIIIIHHHHMM:MI.IM.
.MMHMMMHHHII::::IHHMM::I&&&IHHHHMM:MMH::IM.
.MMHHMHMHHII:::.::IHMM::IIIIHHHMMMM:MMH::IHM
:MHIIIHMMHHHII:::IIHMM::IIIHHMMMMM::MMMMHHHMM.
MMHI:IIHMMHHHI::::IHMM:IIIIHHHMMMM:MMMHI::IHMM.
MMH:::IHMMHHHHI:::IHMM:IIIHHHHMMMM:MMHI:.:IHHMM.
:MHI:::IHMHMHHII::IHMM:IIIHHHMMMMM:MHH::.::IHHM:
'MHHI::IHMMHMHHII:IHMM:IIHHHHMMMM:MMHI:...:IHHMM.
:MHII:IIHMHIHHIIIIHMM:IIHHHHMMMM:MHHI:...:IIHMM:
'MHIII:IHHMIHHHIIHHHMM:IHHHMMMM:MHHI:..::IIHHM:
:MHHIIIHHMIIHHHIHHHMM:HHHHMMMMM:MHII::::IIIHHMM
MHHIIIIHMMIHHHIIHHMM:HHHHMMMM:MMHHIIHIIIIIHHMM.
'MHHIIIHHMIIHHIIIHMM:HHHMMMMH:MHHMHII:IIIHHHMM:
'MHHIIIHMMIHHHIHHMM:HHHMMMH:MMIMMMHHHIIIHHMM:
'MHHIIHHMIHHHHHMMM:HHHMMMH:MIMMMMMMMMMHIHHM:
'MHIIIHMMIHHHHHMM:HHHMMMH:IMMMMHHIHHHMMHHM'
:MHHIIHMIHHHHHMM:HHHMMMM:MMHMMHIHMHI:IHHHM
MHHIIHM:HHHHHMM:HHHMMMM:MMMHIHHIHMM:HHIHM
MHHIHM:IHHHHMM:HHHHMM:MMHMIIHMIMMMHMHIM:
:MHIHMH:HHHHMM:HHHHMM:MMHIIHMIIHHMMHIHM:
MMHHMH:HHHHMM:HHHHMM:MHHIHMMIIIMMMIIHM'
'MMMMH:HHHHMM:HHHMM:MHHHIMMHIIII::IHM:
:MMHM:HHHHMM:HHHMM:MHIHIMMHHIIIIIHM:
MMMM:HHHHMM:HHHHM:MHHMIMMMHHHIHHM:MMMM.
:MMM:IHHHMM:HHHMM:MHHMIIMMMHHMM:MMMMMMM:
:MMM:IHHHM:HHHHMM:MMHHHIHHMMM:MMMMMMMMM
MHM:IHHHM:HHHMMM:MMHHHHIIIMMIIMMMMMMMMM
MHM:HHHHM:HHHMMM:HMMHHHHHHHHHHMMMMMMMM:
.MI:MM:MHHMM:MHMMHMHHMMMMHHHHHHHHMMMMMMMM'
:IM:MMIM:M:MM:MH:MM:MH:MMMMMHHHHHMMMMMMM'
:IM:M:IM:M:HM:IMIHM:IMI:MMMMMHHHMMMMM:'
'M:MHM:HM:MN:HMIHM::M' '::MMMMMM:'
'M'HMM'M''M''HM'I'
__ __ _
| \/ |___ _____ __ _| |
| |\/| / -_) _ \ V V /_|
|_| |_______/_/_/(_)

On Wed, 24 Jun 1998 08:04:04 GMT, John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
wrote:

>dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
>> The problem with this picture is Mackey is being too cool compared to
>> other times he has been accused of things.
>> I really do appreciate the reduction of the flaming on here and I would
>> like to think John has some sense not to be doing such a thing.
>
>Remember, 2 days ago I suggested a 7 day "STAY" on all flaming & similar
>activities. I'm sticking to it even if no one else is! (HINT, HINT)
>
>
>> The only way we will know for sure is after Clark finishes their
>> investigation , the person forgot that Clark will be able to track
>> things back through teleports system as all messages going through have
>> an ID number with their source .
>
>The "Clark" system I have seen you all talking about is in a different
>city & different state than me! So, I very much encourage the
>"investigation" you speak of!
>
>
>> I am lucky so far have not had the pleasure of a mail bomb like you or
>> any of the others.
>
>I use USA.NET for my mail service. Works great no matter what ISP
>I am on! I have NOT had any involvement with mail bombs like
>you speak of so perhaps peopel should consider it.
>
>
>
>Now, to be on topic, does anybody have any info on modifying a Pro-2009
>to receive SSB slow scan TV signals on 20 meters?

3824. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjb@thornyvinemusic.com
Message-ID: <35946b00.10433067@elscintcorp.co.il>
Source record: 155184

```
__ __ _
| \/ |___ _____ __ _| |
| |\/| / -_) _ \ V V /_|
|_| |_\___\___/\_/\_/(_)

MMMM. .:MMMM
MMMMMMMM .:MMMMMMM
:MMHHHMMMMHMM. .:MMMMMMMMM:. .:MMHHMHMM:
:MMHHIIIHMMMM.:MMHHHHIIIHHMMMM. .:MMHIHIIHHM:
MMMHIIIIHHMMMIIHHMHHIIIIIHHMMMMMMHHHIIIIHHM:
:MMHIIIIIHMMMMMMMHHIIIIIIHHHMMMMMHHII:::IHM.
MH:I:::IHHMMMMMHHII:::IIHHMMMHHHMMM:I:IHMM
:MHI:HHIHMMHHIIHII::.::IIHMMHHIHHMMM::HMM:
MI::HHMMIIM:IIHII::..::HM:MHHII:::IHHMM:
MMMHII::..:::IHMMHHHMHHMMI:::...::IHM:
:MHHI::....::::HMMMMMMHHI::.. ..:::HM:
:MI:.:MH:.....:HMMMMHHMIHMMHHI:HH.:M
M:.I..MHHHHHMMMIHMMMMHMMHHHHHMMH:.:M.
M:.H..H I:HM:MHMHI:IM:I:MM:: MMM:M:
:M:HM:.M I:MHMIIMMIIHM I:MM::.:MMI:M.
'M::MM:IMH:MMII MMHIMHI :M::IIHMM:MM
MH:HMMHIHMMMMMMHMMIMHIIHHHHIMMHHMM
MI:MMMMHI:::::IMM:MHI:::IMMMMHIM
:IMHIHMMMMMM:MMMMMHHHHMMMHI:M
HI:IMIHMMMM:MMMMMMHHHMI:.:M .....
............M::..:HMMMMIMHIIHMMMMHII:M:::''''
....:::MHI:.:HMMMMMMMMHHHMHHI::M:::::::''''''
'' ...:MHI:.::MMHHHMHMIHMMMMHH.MI..........
'' ...MHI::.::MHHHHIHHMM:::IHM '''
' IMH.::..::HMMHMMMH::..:HM:
:M:.H.IHMIIII::IIMHMMM:H.MH
IMMMH:HI:MMIMI:IHI:HIMIHM:
.MMI:.HIHMIMI:IHIHMMHIHI:MIM.
.MHI:::HHIIIIIHHI:IIII::::M:IM.
.MMHII:::IHIII:::::IIIIIIHMHIIM
MHHHI::.:IHHII:::.:::IIIIHMHIIHM:
MHHHII::..::MII::.. ..:IIIHHHII:IM.
.MHHII::....:MHII::. .:IHHHI::IIHMM.
MMHHII::.....:IHMI:. ..:IHII::..:HHMM
MHHII::::....:IIHI...:IHI::.....::HM:
:MMH::::..... ...::..::... ...:IHMM
IMHIII::::..... ........::IHMM.
:MHIII::::.... .......::IHMM:
MHHIII::::... ......::IHMM:
```



```
IMHHIII:::... .....::IIHMM,
:MHHIII:::I:::... ....:::I:::IIHMM
MMHHIII::IHI:::.............:::IIH:IHMM
:MMHHII:IIHHI::::::.....:::::IH:IHMIM
MMMHHII:IIHHI:::::::::::::IHI:IIHM:M.
MMMHHIII::IHHII:::::::::IHI:IIIHMM:M:
:MMHHHIII::IIIHHII::::IHI..IIIHHM:MHM
:MMMHHII:..:::IHHMMHHHHI:IIIIHHMM:MIM
.MMMMHHII::.:IHHMM:::IIIIIIHHHMM:MI.M
.MMMMHHII::.:IHHMM:::IIIIIIHHHMM:MI.M
.MMMMHHMHHII:::IHHMM:::IIIIIHHHHMM:MI.IM.
.MMHMMMHHHII::::IHHMM::I&&&IHHHHMM:MMH::IM.
.MMHHMHMHHII:::.::IHMM::IIIIHHHMMMM:MMH::IHM
:MHIIIHMMHHHII:::IIHMM::IIIHHMMMMM::MMMMHHHMM.
MMHI:IIHMMHHHI::::IHMM:IIIIHHHMMMM:MMMHI::IHMM.
MMH:::IHMMHHHHI:::IHMM:IIIHHHHMMMM:MMHI:.:IHHMM.
:MHI:::IHMHMHHII::IHMM:IIIHHHMMMMM:MHH::.::IHHM:
'MHHI::IHMMHMHHII:IHMM:IIHHHHMMMM:MMHI:...:IHHMM.
:MHII:IIHMHIHHIIIIHMM:IIHHHHMMMM:MHHI:...:IIHMM:
'MHIII:IHHMIHHHIIHHHMM:IHHHMMMMM:MHHI:..::IIHHM:
:MHHIIIHHMIIHHHIHHHMM:HHHHMMMMM:MHII::::IIIHHMM
MHHIIIIHMMIHHHIIHHMM:HHHHMMMM:MMHHIIHIIIIIHHMM.
'MHHIIIHHMIIHHIIIHMM:HHHMMMMH:MHHMHII:IIIHHHMM:
'MHHIIIHMMIHHHIHHMM:HHHMMMHH:MMIMMMHHHIIIHHMM:
'MHHIHHMIHHHHHMMM:HHHMMMH:MIMMMMMMMMMHIHHM:
'MHIIIHMMIHHHHHMM:HHHMMMH:IMMMMMHHIHHHMMHHM'
:MHHIIHMIHHHHHMM:HHHMMMM:MMHMMHIHMHI:IHHHM
MHHIIHM:HHHHHMM:HHHMMMM:MMMHIHHIHMM:HHIHM
MHHIHM:IHHHHMM:HHHHMM:MMHMIIHMIMMMHMHIM:
:MHIHMH:HHHHMM:HHHHMM:MMHIIHMIIHHMMHIHM:
MMHHMH:HHHHMM:HHHHMM:MHHIHMMIIIMMMIIHM'
'MMMMH:HHHHMM:HHHMM:MHHHIMMHIIII::IHM:
:MMHM:HHHHMM:HHHMM:MHIHIMMHHIIIIIHM:
MMMM:HHHHMM:HHHHM:MHHMIMMMHHHIHHM:MMMM.
:MMM:IHHHMM:HHHMM:MHHMIIMMMHHMM:MMMMMM:
:MMM:IHHHM:HHHHMM:MMHHHIHHMMM:MMMMMMMMM
MHM:IHHHM:HHHMMM:MMHHHHIIIMMIIMMMMMMMM
MHM:HHHHM:HHHMMM:HMMHHHHHHHHHHMMMMMMMM:
.MI:MM:MHHMM:MHMMHMHHMMMMHHHHHHHHMMMMMMMM'
:IM:MMIM:M:MM:MH:MM:MH:MMMMHHHHHHMMMMMMM'
:IM:M:IM:M:HM:IMIHM:IMI:MMMMHHHHMMMMM:'
'M:MHM:HM:MN:HMIHM::M' '::MMMMMM:'
'M'HMM'M''M''HM'I'
```

```
__ __ _
| \/ |___ _____ __ _| |
| |\/| / -_) _ \ V V /_|
|_| |_\___\___/\_/\_/(_)
```

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 04:48:11 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Blake-
>
>With the numerous times you were disiplined by the powers
>that be on Fidonet, it's hard to remember exactly which times
>you were warned & which times you were kicked off of an echo.
>
>I do remember that after your trouble making during the election
>on the CB radio echo you were not seen again on that echo until
>after (if memory serves me right) about 90 days!

3825. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3594697b.19138110@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155185

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> K4CC wrote:
>
> > And then you immediately start in with that "code-less" tech crap.
>
> > Ryan knows where I stand, and since the issue doesn't involve you in the least,
> > I feel no compunction whatsoever to share it with you.
>
> Then WHY are you refering to his license as "crap"?

He didn't. He referred to you as "crap".
Me, I'd have found a slightly more descriptive
term for you than "crap", though they mean
the same thing.

> Get off Ryan's back & stop bothering him!

He's not on Ryan's back bothering him. You're
just too stupid to see that he's on YOUR back,
bothering YOU. He's smacking you smartly on the
arse, yelling "giddyup, giddyup" as the crowd
cheers, "Ride 'im cowboy, ride 'im!" And you're
a buckin' and a shuckin' like "The Strawberry Roan".

And is he ever getting a ride! Whooooooey!

Hey, Smacksie, if I had a mongrel cur dog as
dumb and ugly as you, I'd shave 'is arse and
teach 'im to walk bass-ackwards when folks were
around. Know what I mean?

3826. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35956b08.19535180@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155186

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> as "codeless" or "coded"

Liken unto clueless and clued, huh? You know,
Smacksie, you're like a turd floating in the
punchbowl of Life.

Why don't you get a clue and at least SINK?

3827. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35966ba8.19694791@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155187

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> > The mere fact that you have upgraded, proves that anyone
> > can.

> Except YOU!

Ahem....'er, ummmm Smacksie? Psssst, most people
would not *want* to go where you've been.

You have just degraded all of Amateur Radio, you
friggin halfwit.

3828. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35946D7A.7D98@parg.org>
Source record: 155188

Blake Bowers wrote:
> John
> must be going through some severe psychological problems.

Then why do you keep going with the lies & flames Blake?

3829. Trade an Icom R-10 for Sony 2010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokedtofu@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980627040118800.AAA27999@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155189

I would like to trade an ICOM R-10 (cell unblocked) with Diamond antenna, ac power supply, box, and manual in excellent condition for a Sony 2010 shortwave and possibly an active antenna.

Icom and antenna were approx 425.00 and mod was 35.00. I see Universal Radio has the 2010 for 349.00. This would probably be an even trade. I live in the Cincinatti area and would be willing to drive a radius of 100 miles. If not then other shipping can be discussed. Thanks

Monty

SSG Thomas A. Montgomery
558th Signal Company

3830. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35946EA1.5EAA@parg.org>
Source record: 155190

Mike Derryberry wrote:
> John, you are missing a few thigs here

What is a "thig"?

You should feel embarrased for yourself now!

3831. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35976c5b.19873773@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155191

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> Way to go Ryan!

Stop trying to lure him in. And have you told
him you're a registered, certified pedo yet?

No? You better!
```

3832. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35986ce3.20009801@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155192

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> What "echo" are you talking about?
>
> Perhaps YOU need help since you think we are on an "echo"?

He's talking about what goes on inside
your skull cavity, you friggin imbecile.
```

3833. Re: F**K YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35996d60.20135612@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155193

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com> wrote:

> Oh well...probably another MACKEY attempt at fostering support for
> himself.

He is kind of proud of himself, I've noticed.

I just got a tape recording back from where
it was taken from his hovel. He's standing
in front of the bathroom mirror, muttering
sweet nothings to himself, thusly:

"Ohhhh Thmackthie, you are jutht the cooletht
dude I ever thaw in all my life. You are
one handthom fella. Well, it'th time to
take a thit and a thower, tho I'll thee
you later, you thweet, theckthy, thon-of-a-gun.

<gack>

3834. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359a6fda.20769856@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155194

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) writes:
> >The guy is an idiot-savant. You'll never
> >get though to him. The lights are out and
> >he's running on auto-pilot.
> >
> >So friggin sad.....

> I know, Bill, I know. <sigh> I just hate to think that there are people who
> come to the newsgroup to learn something or to share their experiences with
> others (as Mike Derryberry so eloquently discussed) who might not know enough
> yet to realize that the stuff the guy pukes out is pure garbage.

<Groan> <Siiiiiiigh> Yes, that is the gravest
concern of them all....how a newcomer to the
newsgroup might think John Mackey walks on
water and jumps into his skivvies both legs
at a time.

They just have no way of knowing that Mackey
is a wretch among wretches; vile among the vilified;
and not even accepted by his fellow men's room
attendants. Hell, even the MRA Association labeled
Smacksie "Degenerate of the Year".

> On the other hand, I'm heartened when I see people like
> the Faricy kid who see right through him in a heartbeat!

Well, that doode got off to a bad start, but he's kind
of starting to show some promise, I guess. A lot
depends on if he buys into the blubbering sweet nothings
that ol' Smacksie is trying to lay on 'im.

Time will tell, I guess. <sigh> One thing for
sure....we're eyewitnesses to history. ;-)
```

3835. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359b743f.21894103@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155195

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

arise@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Cant we all just get along?

Sure.

What exactly makes you think we're not?

3836. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <359466ae.3294071@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155196

On Fri, 26 Jun 1998 22:17:39 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen
Quarnstrom) wrote:

>"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>A point well-made!
>
>
>[52 lines of quoting and sig file snipped]
>
>
>
>So the question is, do I waste my time trying to educate this kid on
>UseNet quoting protocols, or do I just go ahead and dump him in the
>killfile?

He's only 15 but I think he's been around long enough to know the
ropes and he's not real receptive to advice. Plonk the little rascal!

George L. Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com
geovet@worldnet.att.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

3837. Re: discriminator interface help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <359481d7.7366321@news>
Source record: 155197

jpryor@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>I've measured the VAC from the discriminator to be close to 4mv.

As Bill Cheek has already stated, this is far too low. Although
the op-amp circuit can be adjusted to handle a variety of signal
levels, 4 mv won't cut it. Perhaps you should try to get the
audio directly from the source, rather than at the CTCSS board
connection.

>I am using the second 9way male com port next to the mouse com port.
>Is it possible the computer is not enabled to use that one . . .
>should I try using the com port the mouse is on?

No harm in trying it. It's a sensible troubleshooting technique.
I assume it isn't a PS/2 type (bus mouse) connection.

>I would appreciate a response, especially from those who are using
>the Pro-2045 in this matter, and those who are decoding EDACS.

Bear in mind that a fairly clean signal is required to decode the
9600 bps EDACS data stream.

>Also, If anyone has knowledge of specific accepted voltage values at
>specific points, that would help greatly in trouble shooting.

That's easy enough; With the decoder of your choice running and
the audio source disconnected,

+12 volts on the + supply pin of the op-amp
-12 volts on the - supply pin of the op-amp

(note that some PC's, mainly older laptops, provide only +/- 5 volts
to the serial port, but the operation of the slicer will be
unaffected)

~0V on the + signal pin of the op-amp
~0V on the - signal pin of the op-amp

(all voltages are referenced to signal ground)

Temporarily connect a high-value resistor (100K to a few megs)
from the op-amp side of your input capacitor to the +12V supply
point, then to the -12V supply point; The output pin should flip
between +12V and -12V as you switch between supply points.

These tests will not detect insufficient conditioning of the
supply voltages. You must use 0.5 uF or better caps on the
power leads.

>And finally, does anyone know of a program to use to monitor com
>port activity/data for trouble shooting and other investigative
>purposes

If you'd like, I can email you a utility specifically designed to
test data slicers. It requires a CGA or better display and handles
COM1 through COM4.

...Andy

*** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

3838. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <359498F6.CE36F13@swbell.net>
Source record: 155198

There you go again John twisting what someone else said so you can start some more trouble on the newsgroup.

The only one on Ryans back is you , no one said Ryans license was crap, what actually was said is you don't need to start your code-less tech crap and now your trying to twist it around to make it look like Dave is calling code-less techs crap.

Do you really have to sink so low as to drag Ryan into this, what has he done to you except show that the garbage your were trying to pass off on here as being the only factual infromation was not so.

Just because a 15 year old has more smarts then you is no reason for you to create problems for him on the newsgroup by your twisting of others statements.

Grow up John and see the reality of life , the world does not revolve around you , your not the smartest person in the world , and that your lower than pond scum for trying to take away from Ryans accomplishments.

Just goes to show that jealousy will make John sink to new levels in his flaming on here .

To stay on topic , a friend has a 2006 and the back light has gone out, does the 2006 use the mini light bulbs and are they easy to replace ? My Icom V-100 uses light bulbs for it's back light and was hoping the 2006 does also.

3839. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35957eae.6081397@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 155199

On 25 Jun 1998 13:30:04 GMT, klaro21266@aol.com (KLaro21266) wrote:

>CAN'T YOU GUYS KNOCK THIS CRAP OFF. MOST OF US DID NOT PUT DOWN THOUSANDS OF
>DOLLARS FOR A COMPUTER TO LISTEN TO YOUR FOUR LETTER WORDS.
>CANDLEMAKING,
>ALT.FIREFIGHTE
>SCANNER FREQUENCIES
>WEATHER FORECASTING
>
>TORNADO'S
>SEVERE STORMS
>WEATHER NEWS GROUPS
>ALT. MED. EMS
>AMUSEMENT PARKS
>misc.emerg-services
>fire.firefighters
>FIRE.EMS
>FIRE.BINARIES
>ALT.EMERGENCY.SERVICES.DISPATCH

You spent thousands of dollars for a computer with a broken keyboard?

3840. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <359485B9.34A5@parg.org>
Source record: 155200

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> Not at all an impractical suggestion. In the Phoenix area (and elsewhere
> around the country) surplus hard-line can be had for prices ranging
> from free to $0.10 to $0.50 a foot. In the interest of high quality

Good for you Richard! You should try to market it on rec.radio.swap
as you could make a profit ! The prices you mention are rare!

> > Certainly if you are working on 800 Mhz gear you see that often. But
> > not on low-band, hig-band, or 450 bands.
>
> Not true. When I worked at Kwajalein Base Radio Station (ABK), our HF
SNIP
> inch and 3 inch heliax feed line pressurized at 3 psi with nitrogen. The
> pressurization improved the dielectric properties of the line and kept
> small insects out of the line.
snip
> The FRT-39s put out 10 kW RMS (a pair of PL-172/8259s in the finals)
> with 100 per cent duty cycle and the FRT-40s put out 40 kW with 100
> per cent duty cycle, hence the need for large line. We also put two
SNIP
Perhaps, but this is hardly a "typcal installation" as compared to
land mobile as we were discussing, wouldn't you have to admit?

3841. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3594A17E.1B7C3EBF@swbell.net>
Source record: 155201

John your a mental midget, you have to complicate something so simple.
There is a Technician class and a Technician Plus , if you can't
differentiate between the two classes then maybe you shouldn't be giving
exams .
Most VE's I know double check their paperwork so mistakes like you
describe don't happen.
But if your involved it must be a slipshod operation to begin with.

3842. Re: Radio shack is clearing them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhurst@vt.edu
Message-ID: <jhurst.48.00019607@vt.edu>
Source record: 155202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> If you haven't visited your local radio shack store lately, now is the
> time to go. Tons of scanners are on clearance now. The PRO-64's were
> marked at $100, the pro-67 was at like $60 and my favorite was the
> pro-508 for $10.99! Been wanting to dedicate a scanner to my favorite
> mdt, and for under $12 it can't be beat!!!!

Where are you all? I went to my local Shack and guess what.....no sales. All scanners were the same price as they have been. Don't all the stores run the same sales?

Jamie

3843. New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: reallybigguy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6n1v4hdpo1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155203

How would I go about starting a new scanner newsgroup? I propose that I or someone else create this new ng and let ButtCHEEK and Smacksie have this one all to themselves. We could call it rec.radio.scanner.nocheek.nomackey. I say we go with it. These two idiots waste more time trying to outwit each other than anything else. They think they're so damn intelligent, instead they're two little children trying to get the last word. This goes for all their little no-brain followers as well. If one of the two morons never responded to the other, then the other would just go away. Anyway, can this new ng be fired up or what?

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3844. Retry - Hope You Have Room For 346 Scanner Mods..... (0/5)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerolight@removethis.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <35948e51.9354577@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155204

Home These Help Someone !!!!

3845. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35948a1e.27494623@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155205

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> Nope, I have never been banned from any echo other than SCANRADIO
> when Bill Cheek was kicking 2-3 people off a day if he felt they
> threatened his control of the group.

Three lies in one sentence, Smacksie-poo!
Man, you YOU ARE getting good at it, too.

Ummmm, Smacks, you had your sorry arse booted
out of at least five FidoNet forums that I know
of, including MINE..... And the truth of the
matter is that I booted 2-3 people (like you)
a week for maybe 2-3 weeks running on account
of the ruckus you stirred up.

And no one can threaten control of a FidoNet
forum. Each forum is the personal property of
the Moderator. You are REQUIRED to abide the
rules. Those forums are not cradles of "free
speech" or "Democracies" as you seem to still
think. You ARE a guest there, same as if you
walk into someone's home.

You've violated all the laws of Nature, Man,
and Cosmos, Smacksie. It's no wonder your tail
feathers have been singed and clipped everywhere
you go. What is a wonder is how your PARG
goooooooodbuddies have tolerated you for so
long.....but I think I have that one figured
out now.

It's a good bet that the Phairies Asinine
Radio Group is a group of one: YOU. Sheesh,
you have a monstrous identity crisis as it is,
with a couple dozen known AKA's.

You lying, wretched, degenerate nincompoop.....

3846. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35958e56.28574138@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155206

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> Good for you Richard! You should try to market it on rec.radio.swap
> as you could make a profit ! The prices you mention are rare!

No, YOU are rare, a walking, spewing violation of
the laws of Nature.

> Perhaps, but this is hardly a "typcal installation"

You're not a typical "installation", Smacksie.
You are merely a life support system for an
empty skull cavity and a cavernous rectal orifice.

3847. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35968f7a.28867077@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155207

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> Mike Derryberry wrote:
> > John, you are missing a few thigs here
>
> What is a "thig"?

Ahem....I'll tell you. A "thig" is an ancient
Eritrean word meaning "essential savvy" or
basic cognitive powers. So yes, you are
definitely missing a few thigs.

> You should feel embarrased for yourself now!

He is. He's very embarrassed that he knows you.
Everyone's embarrassed that you lay claim to
being a member of the human race.

You're a walking violation of the laws of Nature,
Smacksaroon.

You phriggin phetid phairy.....

3848. FireFighter Home Alert/Monitor FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <359491AC.32A1319F@alaska.net>
Source record: 155208

Electrosonics EC-22 (1989 vintage) 154.430 mhz
single channel. Will alert on 2 stations, (4
tones) Motorola quick-call II system. Perfect one
owner non-smoker.
Makes a perfect intermod resistant monitor !

$35 shipped

3849. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3597916e.29366467@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155209

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> Blake Bowers wrote:
> > John
> > must be going through some severe psychological problems.
>
> Then why do you keep going with the lies & flames Blake?

Everyone has to go with YOU, oh mindless one.
You can't be kicked off this newsgroup like all
the FidoNet forums that gave you the boot.

You are the epitome of lies and flames,
you phoul, phriggin, phetid, phairy......

3850. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35989243.29579467@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155210

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Ahem....HEY YOU NITWIT! How the hell does UV
>
> Bill, I stated a week ago that I would NOT respond to
> your flames.

They're not flames, you nincompoop. Try
TRUTH. You *are* a nitwit, you idiot.

> If you would like your answer then restate
> you question in a decent mature manner & I will answer
> your questions.

You ain't got a hair in your hiney to answer
my questions, you low-life degenerate reprobate.
You've done enough "answering" anyway; it's
time for you to slap a lock on your chops and
just listen.

Never mind the daylight that can be seen when
looking into your left ear out the right one.

3851. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35999425.30061617@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155211

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:

> How would I go about starting a new scanner newsgroup?

Before you get an answer to THAT question, you
should ask, "What if I start a newsgroup and no one
participates?" Get that one answered fist.

> I propose that I or someone else create this new ng

Good. I vote for you. Now beat it and go start it.

> I say we go with it.

Good idea. Go for it.

> These two idiots waste more time trying to outwit each
> other than anything else.

Looks like YOU just traipsed along and fell
into it, huh? Are you stupid or just act that way?

> They think they're so damn intelligent,

When was that ever mentioned? In fact, it is
well known that your nephew Mackey isn't
intelligent. Judging from your statement,
neither are you.....

> instead they're two little children trying to
> get the last word.

Soooooo, you just puffed up your chest for
the LAST last word, huh? Hope you didn't have
any money down on it.

> This goes for all their little no-brain followers as well.

Obviously, you are at the head of that list.

> If one of the two morons never responded to the other,
> then the other would just go away.

No, Mackey's too stupid to go anywhere.
And you're even more stupid for violating

your own rule.....and YOU expect to start a
popular newsgroup? Okaaaaay, consider it to
have my blessing. Smacksie's, too. He wants
me to speak for him on these matters.

> Anyway, can this new ng be fired up or what?

Sure, wingnut. Now get yourself to work and don't
let the door smack you in the arse on the way out.

3852. grand prix minnesota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: danmason@visi.com
Message-ID: <3c1l1.1790$P8.5865855@ptah.visi.com>
Source record: 155212

anybody have this years frequencies for the grand prix minnesota? or even last years? Please respond via emmail if possible.

Dan
--
dan mason - vector internet - danmason@visi.com
72 1D 5A D6 5F F3 6C F0 27 FD 1C BA 97 38 38 3D
"fear is your only god" -rage against the machine

3853. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: arise@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n2bni$3c8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Never mind.I never said that.

In article <359b743f.21894103@news2.cts.com>,
bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> arise@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> > Cant we all just get along?
>
> Sure.
>
> What exactly makes you think we're not?
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3854. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobby Woolard <cheeetah@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <01bda1ae$f96e9fc0$aa77d6d1@ogleboog>
Source record: 155214

--
You know that I know that you know!

3855. Re: Funkscanner-verst&#65533;rker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sven Steinorth <ssteinorth@vossnet.de>
Message-ID: <6n2ffp$c54$1@news.vossnet.de>
Source record: 155215
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,de.markt.comp.hardware,maus.biete,maus.elektronik,maus.hardware,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,z-netz.fundgrube.biete.allgemein,z-netz.fundgrube.biete.elektronik

M.P.S. schrieb in Nachricht <3592ABDC.19F8@wxs.nl>...
Christoph Bauer wrote:
>
> Gandie@t-online.de schrieb in Nachricht
<6mr4tmjuq1@news01.btx.dtag.de>...
> >Hallo Leute,
> >
> >ich Verkaufe ein Funkscanner-verst&#65533;rker
> >des Typs "Scanmaster SP-55.
> >aktueller Neupreis : 189 DM
> >Verkauf gegen Gebot.
>
> zehn Mark, wer bietet mehr ? :)
10.01 DM :-)))))))))
10.02 DM

3856. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359736bb.2201367@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155258

I don't know, but this topic is a bitter pill to swallow.

3857. Re: Why the scanning hobby is getting a bad name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359836f9.2263370@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>What happened in your life to cause you to be unable to write properly?
>What the hell does "febrile" mean?

He used it in the context of politicians (authoritarians), pro-government extremists, etc.

The definition springs immediately to mind. I think I'll submit the word over there ta' Funk & Wagner's Dicshuwinery.

3858. Re: Time for a NEW flame topic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3599375c.2362807@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155260

>have some very good scanners. Try telling the owner of a PRO2006 that it's
>junk and see what answer you get.

I have two PRO-2006 scanners and they ARE junk -- compared to my PRO-2004!

The PRO-2006 is just a kissin' cousin to the DX-394 shortwave receiver,
'ceptin' they get the different frequencies 'n' such.

3859. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062700190600.UAA03418@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155261

glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) writes:

>So the question is, do I waste my time trying to educate this kid on
>UseNet quoting protocols, or do I just go ahead and dump him in the
>killfile?

Glen,
He's a quick study and willing to learn. I'd give him a chance.
Best,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3860. Re: transmitting on police band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980627001904.00.UAA29430@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155262

KC2BTP@webtv.net (Stephen Goeller Jr) writes:

> Now I'm confused. When I was studying for the Technican test I
>was using Gordon West's books. He said in one of the answers, in an
>emergency anything goes. But transmitting on the Police Band with your
>modified HT is "taboo". Whatever that means.

Steve,
I would guess that he is making a distinction between transmitting out-of-band during an emergency, or just doing it casually or maliciously.
The authorization to transmit out-of-band is very definitely only applicable to certain narrowly defined emergency situations - when no other communication is possible AND life or property are threatened.
To transmit on a police frequency to report a flat tire, or to stage a hoax, or to intentionally interefere with police communications is CLEARLY illegal (taboo) by anyone's definition.
I think the original thread had to do with a situation in which a ham transmitted on a sheriff's frequency to report that his hiking companion had been injured, after he was unable to get a response on any amateur repeaters.
Fortunately, most of us will never encounter a situation where he have to make that judgement call -- if we happened to have equipment that had been modified to transmit outy of band.
It's no wonder it's confusing, Steve .. it's government regulations!
vy 73,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3861. Re: BETHLEHEM, PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <gary0136@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <UhXk1.386$ho4.945308@nnrp2.ptd.net>
Source record: 155263

Check out my web page at: http://members.tripod.com/~Scanning_Bethlehem/
It's got all the info your looking for.
e002@Lehigh.EDU wrote in message <6n0m69$12q2@ns3-1.CC.Lehigh.EDU>...
>I am looking for the frequencies and trunk tracking ID codes for the City
of
>Bethlehem, PA system.

3862. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062700575500.UAA04282@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155264

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) writes:
>The guy is an idiot-savant. You'll never
>get though to him. The lights are out and
>he's running on auto-pilot.
>
>So friggin sad.....
I know, Bill, I know. <sigh> I just hate to think that there are people who come to the newsgroup to learn something or to share their experiences with others (as Mike Derryberry so eloquently discussed) who might not know enough yet to realize that the stuff the guy pukes out is pure garbage. On the other hand, I'm heartened when I see people like the Faricy kid who see right through him in a heartbeat!
Best regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3863. Garage Door Openers with Rolling Codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Jacoby <"Billy Jacoby"@mediaone.com>
Message-ID: <3596DD81.D8F2E5FB@mediaone.com>
Source record: 154917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.tv.cbc.ca/market/files/home/codegrab.html

Bob Parnass, AJ9S wrote:

> In article <358F2A62.13881C4C@dmcmicro.com>,
> Dave Comer <dcomer@dmcmicro.com> wrote:
>
> >Anyone know what band garage door openers operate
> >at? No, I don't want to listen to them, just wonder what
> >their modulation content is.
> >
> >Dave Comer dcomer@rt66.com
>
> It's best to use a spectrum analyzer to observe them.
> The digital modulation produces a wide footprint and
> an ordinary frequency counter won't have a clue.
>
> garage door opener, Genie, pcm
> [AT-85]_____________ 390.0000_____- (B Parnass)
> garage door opener, Heath, believed to made by Linear Electronics, pcm
> [GDP3309-A]_________ 310.0000_____- (magazines)
> garage door opener, Linear Electronics, used with Raynor openers, pcm
> [DT]_________________ 310.0440_____ch A (B Parnass)
> garage door opener, Sears, made by Chamberlain, pcm
> [139.664030]________ 389.0003_____- (others)
> --
> ==
> Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
>
> Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3864. Code Grabbing Secrets Revealed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Jacoby <"Billy Jacoby"@mediaone.com>
Message-ID: <3596E24D.47999C7D@mediaone.com>
Source record: 154918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

go to http://home.netscape.com
then search for "garage door openers"
and "code grabbers" in the several search engines.

http://www.carsound.com/daily/june_26_1996.html

3865. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n6pnl$341@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154919

Thank you very much!

I didn't know I would generate so many threads, though... <g>
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

Charlie Dwenger wrote in message <01bda303$4c34ccc0$LocalHost@default>...
>Ryan,
>
> Good Job on the ham ticket.
>
>Lots of luck with your new hobbie,
>Charlie

3866. Re: bill cheeks [sic]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n6q1f$4db@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154920

Yes!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

Bill Funk wrote in message ...
>Or misspell his own name.

3867. Re: BC235XLT and Poughkeepsie NY trunk freqs and cellular question.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ROBERT J FORLINI <FORLINI@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6n6q7l$8usk$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 154921

Nick, thanks for the reply. I'm thinking of sending back the 235 and picking up the 150 again. Since the trunking doesn't work around here and I don't think I need 300 channels. I'd rather have the cellular ability. Does anyone know of any other advantages that the 235 has over the 150?
I'm looking for someone to convince me to keep it.
Bob
Nick wrote in message ...
>ROBERT J FORLINI wrote in message
><6n3nh6$97ra$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>...
>
>>After each transmission ends, I hear a " beep....beep...beep" before
>>it starts to scan again.
>
>What your describing is probably a GE system. The beeps are meant to thwart
>scanner listeners like yourself from monitoring the system.
>
>> Also, how come I could get cellular with the SC150 that I sent back >
but
>not with this 235?

> I think the main problem might be that the SC150 uses double conversion while the
>235xlt uses triple conversion.
>
>
>
>

3868. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n6q3f$4mv@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154922

HI HI

Oops!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

K4CC wrote in message <1998062900352800.UAA20696@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>>End of lessong #1 for K4CC.
>
>Uh, sorry, John. Thought you might have a tiny sense of humour. Excuse my
>presumption.
>
>Seriously,
>Dave, K4CC

3869. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n6q93$5g8@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154923

HI HI - and the same for myself!

How's my new quote?
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

~~> Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes <~~

Charlie Dwenger wrote in message <01bda304$578b8540$LocalHost@default>...
>> If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?
> I couldn`t be happier even with my right mind. 80}

3870. Loving This...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n6qe0$682@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154924

Sorry to be off-topic, but:

It is funny how even a little post that says "Hi" can be turned into a huge thread of name-calling and flame-fests...

A very surreal but interesting group, no doubt!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

3871. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3596EDB0.F4DB9ACF@netwit.com>
Source record: 154925

John.Mackey.KA0SSF wrote:

>Don't try to blame the forgeries, cancels, & bogus posts on me!
>Simply by looking at the IP address of the computers anyone can

> see that it NOT coming from ME. Perhaps you should look at your
> hero BILL CHEEK, as in the past bogus posts have been seen coming
> from the SAME IP ADDRESS as his computer!
>
> Remember the "Billy Thunder" & the "WinRadio" posts? Proof positive!

Another little minor change for your header? Still carrying on
research "for the good of all people someday?"

Another tidbit: I accuse you of slinking into community colleges and
libraries in the Portland, Oregon area and issuing Bill Cheek forgeries
and rogue cancels of Bill Cheek's posts from unattended terminals at
those locations.

Just so we're clear. I believe you bear responsibility for those
shenanigans. I don't care what your current lie is.

Back to your most recent header change: I think I see that herculean
zit coming back on your mug. Oooooh. Here it comes!:

REPOST:
I know it's not my turn yet,....I simply can't stop now.

Okay, let's get this straight:

Everybody's grumpy in the tree-house except Mackey, because..well...he's
being
Mackey!

1) The 'DOKTOR' reaches over and firmly, but gently "Whacks" Mackey
about
changing his message header in tiny ways to worm past filters erected to
keep
him out.

2) Mackey rubs the back of his head and squawks "THAT'S A BOLD FACED
LIE!".

3) Whereupon Richard Kendrick immediately produces two different headers

(case change of the letter "k") from a couple of fresh Mackey missives.

(sssssssssssssWHHAAAACKKK!!!) Big group giggle. My ears are ringing,
but

I'm still giggling.

4) Then Phil Smalley (still giggling) asks "I'm going to regret asking,
(giggle-giggle) but if you didn't change the header to bypass killfiles
then
what's the real reason Mr. "MacKey"?? (giggle) Name change or something?
(hoo-ho) This oughtta be good.
(giggle) Do tell.

5) And Mackey, not even attempting to deny the changed headers, starts
playing philanthropists and actually claims to be experimenting "for the
good
of all people someday!" with his own prototypes of "next generation of
tools
for e-mail."!!!

BawHAAhaHAAAAWHAHA! Whooooohoo! Mackey the Scientist! Are you a
"Secret
Agent" too? Oh..hold it, ...you couldn't tell me if you were!...Right?
RIGHT?

Aw jeez. You're friggin' unbelievable Mackey. Oh, Richard and Phil,
fantastic follow through, first rate work. Sorry about the liberties I
took
on your text Phil, but that's what I heard when I read it. Maybe I
projected
my own glee into it.

As for you loony boy:

I can already hear ya squawkin'
"IsupposeyouhavesomePROOFofyour..nyanya.."

I copied the whole thread into my most treasured archive. If you insist
on
proof I can post.......

Naaw, screw that. I'm gonna post it now. And you're gonna see it again
Mackey; kinda like a Herculean Zit that returns again and again to plant
its
puss-filled mass on whatever unscarred skin you've got left on your face.

Roast in sweat.

You friggin' loon.

Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
Date: 15 Jun 1998 18:00:01 -0700
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Reply-To: wa7twi@primenet.com
Organization: Primenet Services for the Internet
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John "I'm such a shameless liar" MacKey - KA0SSF slithered:

> Doktor Tripp wrote:
> > one participant of this ego war namely John Mackey has
> > already changed his posting name to avoid the filters of those that
> > wish not to read him but wish to read this group.
>
> THAT is a BOLD FACED LIE!

Actually, it is the truth, something you know very little about.
Examine the From headers shown below. The first one is for the above
message and the second is from a few days ago. As usual Toilet Boy,
you are caught in ANOTHER lie. FOOL!

From: John MacKey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Reply-To: ka0ssf@parg.org
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
References: <6lv9pr$r0l@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
<1998061412163000.IAA18716@ladder01.news.aol.com>
<3583e9eb.8309888@news.alt.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jun 1998 20:28:55 GMT

From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <ka0ssf@parg.org>
Reply-To: ka0ssf@parg.org
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I; 16bit)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: TO THE FLAMERS was:Re: Off Topic Messages
References: <6lanm2$55h@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
<3579A5CB.12DF@parg.org> <Eu5FAH.C4n@freenet.buffalo.edu>
<3579C99E.6722@parg.org> <Eu5nox.E8K@freenet.buffalo.edu>
<3579F295.BF9@parg.org>
<MPG.fe46f2c686394af989686@enews.newsguy.com>
<357b5f01.211188340@news2.cts.com>
<357B8134.7CCE@parg.org> <357C2F2F.218E@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Jun 1998 03:08:40 GMT

**********NEW MESSAGE**********

Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
Date: Tue, 16 Jun 1998 13:32:34 -0700
From: Phil Smalley <pjsmalley@hacgate.com>
Organization: Hughes Space and Communications
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John MacKey - KA0SSF wrote:
> Why is it that just because I make a small adjustment
> in my header info it becomes interpretated as an
> attempt to bypass kill files.
>
> Talk about pole vaulting over cracks in the side-walks!
>

> You are make a lot of something about absolutely NOTHING!

Oh AbSoLuTeLy! YoU wOuLd NeVeR dO sUcH a ThInG.....

What a god damned attenion starved pathetic liar... OK smart-ass, I know
I'm going to regret asking, but if you didn't change the header to
bypass killfiles then what's the real reason Mr. "MacKey"?? Name change
or something? This oughtta be good. Do tell.

-Phil

**********NEW MESSAGE**********

Subject: Re: Bill Cheek
Date: Wed, 17 Jun 1998 00:15:07 GMT
From: John-Mackey-KA0SSF <KA0SSF@parg.org>
Organization: http:\\www.parg.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Oh AbSoLuTeLy! YoU wOuLd NeVeR dO sUcH a ThInG.....

Thank you for your support, Phil!

:)

But your next statement appears contradictory. :(

> What a god damned attenion starved pathetic liar... OK smart-ass, I
know
> I'm going to regret asking, but if you didn't change the header to
> bypass killfiles then what's the real reason Mr. "MacKey"?? Name change

> or something? This oughtta be good. Do tell.

I have been experimenting off & on with slightly different ID's
to experiment with filters designed to prevent robots from pulling
ID's for spam e-mail.

THAT is why.

It's sorta poineering the next generation of tools for e-mail for the
good of all people someday!

3872. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3596EE57.254FBEE6@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 154926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Salvatore Gambino wrote:
>
> Somebody trying to look like Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> >
> >> hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a
> >> satellite dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very
> >> long in the hospital!
>
> I'd recommend C4 but you could probably do a dandy job with just
> Det cord alone.

You're absolutely right, Sal. Strategically placed det cord would take out a small dish quite nicely. Something akin to a "surgical strike."

> If you really wanted to go nuts, you could use a whole TNT block but
> that would be overkill and you'd probably get a lot of collateral
> damage from the shock wave. HTH

True, that would be consistent with the more is better method. I prefer just the right amount of a shaped charge in the right spot. I love the expressions on that kind of a wake up call.

Also remember, there is nothing that can't be solved by a suitable application of high explosive. Except for, maybe, a dose of the clap.

3873. SKIP all day; more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: DA <mapman@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <3596F178.7BCD0D0@nh.ultranet.com>
Source record: 154927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Skip just became more quiet about an hour ago. We ended up with clear,
sunny skies this afternoon.

The big capture was on 39.58 around 15:47 EST. "Dennesey county? to 11A,

possible mva with pi at Lindsey and Weldon road"; '21A, have a report of

4 injured'; '21A, request your assistance in 11As Territory, 2nd tone
15:58'

42.56: some comms, couldn't id (around 16:25)

42.38: 'East bound on 70 from mile mark 260'(bolo on car)

42.56: 'Oaks rd approaching Marine rd' (male dispatcher at 17:45)

460.6: various fire units checking in with dispatch; couldn't id

42.06 is still active...
--
^^
DA ---NH
F1 Fan PSR Fan
70.56.55w, 43.04.10n
-----------------------------ooooooo--------------------------

3874. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35970EB8.6D478BA1@swbell.net>
Source record: 154928

Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.

3875. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35970F32.A21409BD@swbell.net>
Source record: 154929

Mackey did it again , changed his header to get by the filters

3876. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3596F4D0.EFC17E53@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 154930

John "I can service more men in any bathroom in one hour than can fit in the bathroom" Mackey.KA0SSF tried to act as if he had a clue to the real technical world:

> Good for you Richard! You should try to market it on rec.radio.swap
> as you could make a profit ! The prices you mention are rare!

You must not get out of the bathroom stalls much. Surplus hard-line is available all over the country at reasonable prices. The skill is in finding it. All you can find is your hands and knees in some dark public bathroom waiting for your next "john".

> Perhaps, but this is hardly a "typcal installation" as compared to
> land mobile as we were discussing, wouldn't you have to admit?

I was talking about a typical installation, for a professional installation that is. A professional installation is something completely alien to you. That is unless you've been entertaining visitors from foreign countries in the bathroom again.

3877. Cordless Phone Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard <Howard*V@Bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3596F481.D92095E2@Bigfoot.com>
Source record: 154931

I know there are many types of cordless phones (10 channel, 25 channel, 900mhz, etc.). For this example, lets talk about a basic 10 channel cordless phone which can be scanned in the 46.5 to 47.0 mhz area. Let's also assume two neighbors are using identical Sony phones.

If both neighbors are using the same channel (lets assume channel 2), how come the phones don't intercept each other? For example, I may be listening to a certain phone conversation on 46.83mhz. All of a sudden, another conversation (slightly stronger signal) takes over and now I'm listening to the neighbor closer to me. The neighbors never hear each other, even though according to my scanner, they are using the same frequency. Why is this?

I hope I've made the question clear enough so you can understand what I'm trying to say! Thanks for the help.

3878. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3596F83C.F4532C84@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 154932

John "I'm such a douche bag and I can't stop crying because I can't find shoes to match the bag" MacXkey.KA0XSSF left his bathroom stall long enough to grunt:

> Likely Bill Cheek! He often brags about his knowledge of how
> to do things on this computer that other can't do.

Hmmm, writing a monthly column in Monitoring Times (not to mention three books) would expose the author if he knew nothing about computers and tried to show he did. Since running a magazine is really about making money, I think Bob Grove wouldn't keep a column or it's author if that individual wasn't helping the magazine to make money. It would stand to reason that Cheek might know a thing or three about computers by now. Since you don't write a column and have never published one book, let alone three, it appears that Cheek does know more about computers than you do, Toilet Boy. No surprise there.

> He has been caught red handed doing exactly these things in the past.
> (Ref: Billy Thunder or WinRadio posts)

And I forged your identity some months back just to show how easy it is to accomplish. All it proves is *anybody* can do it. Since I've forged your identity, in your twisted logic, that must make me guilty of the recent rash of forgeries and rogue cancels. It you think that is true, let's see you prove it FOOL!.

> From a personal prespective, Cheek likes to attack me what ever way
> he can. This goes back to the fact that about 3 years ago I uncovered
> him to a group of users who then realized that he was causing trouble.

Another one of your petty lies, FOOL. Here's one of your favorite phrases
for you. If you don't believe it, look it up in DejaNews.

> He has done everything he can to attack me since. At this time you
> are seeing a perfect example as I have done nothing to attack or
> flame him this week yet he has attacked me every day of this week.

You've earned the wrath from everyone that has flamed you to date, Toilet Boy. You're too much of a moron to recognize that your Pavlovian fixation on Cheek is the cause of ALL the problems in the scanner groups today. You are one sick puppy and really need help. Perhaps if you take one 9 mm in the head and call us in the morning.

> (I expect this post to be flamed by Cheek and/or his mesmerized
> supporters!)

You are the only one here that is mesmerized by Cheek. You are so
totally
fixated on him that if he says black you'll say white. Not because it
may be correct, but because Cheek said it. Allsafe is still right,
you are a friggn' loon.

3879. Re: bill cheek's accident, blowing up a sat dish!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <359714C0.B0AACC4C@swbell.net>
Source record: 154933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Look at the posting host for this message.
I wonder who has the same host ?

Official name: usr28-dialup49.mix1.Sacramento.mci.net

Addresses: 166.55.9.241

Whois for usr28-dialup49.mix1.sacramento.mci.net

.net is the global domain of Network services

(Whois queries for .net domains can be performed at
http://rs.internic.net/cgi-bin/whois)

whois -h whois.internic.net mci.net

Registrant:
MCI Telecommunications Communications (MCI2-DOM)
InternetMCI Provisioning
100 Perimeter Park Drive, Suite 1
Morrisville, NC 27560
US

Domain Name: MCI.NET

Administrative Contact, Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
MCI Internet Services (MCI-IS) hostmaster@mci.net
800-977-iNOC

Billing Contact:
MCI Internet Services (MCI-IS) hostmaster@mci.net
800-977-iNOC

Record last updated on 18-Feb-98.
Record created on 19-Apr-94.
Database last updated on 28-Jun-98 07:04:24 EDT.

Domain servers in listed order:

NS.MCI.NET 204.70.128.1
NS2.MCI.NET 204.70.57.242
NS3.MCI.NET 204.70.25.234
NS4.MCI.NET 204.70.49.234

3880. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3596F90B.7A7A96D3@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 154934

K4CC wrote:
>
> "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org> changes masks again:
>
> >Dave, "if the shoe fits...."!
>
> Oh, geez. Now it's MacXkey.KA0XSSF.
> WXell, JoXhn, i guesXs you'rXe doing soXme more eXxperimenting for the beXnifit
> of allX mankind.

> When did we start talking about shoes?

Toilet Boy is still smarting because he got fired from his job selling
woman's shoes at Wal-Mart. He was fired because he kept trying all the
shoes on and kept trying to get the woman customers to let him try
their
shoes on.

3881. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3596F8A5.5EDB11E5@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 154935

John "Can I see something in a pump that won't break off when I kneel in
the bathroom? MacXkey.KA0XSSF pouted:
>
> K4CC wrote:
>
> > "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> writes,
> > >Yes, that is a large part of the problem we have had with Dave
> > >on this NG.
> >
> > Excuse me? You quote from two two totally unrelated messages and come up wth
> > this?
>
> Dave, "if the shoe fits...."!

A typical sixth grade response from the resident illiterate moron.

3882. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3596F9F6.51BC0CC6@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 154936

John "Two holes, no waiting" Mackey.KA0SSF tried to get free
advertising:

> Sounds like Peter Boe to me.

Are the two of you doing doubles in the stalls now?

> I'm probably located closer to Portland than anyone else, so if
> there are any new bathrooms in Portland that needs to be checked out
> I would be willing to do so in an effort to END the CRAP on here!

Look above you, Toilet Boy. The paper is on the wall just above your
head. You should get off your hands and knees more often.

3883. Re: Cordless Phone Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Legion_IV <spam-death-tully.s@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n6vcs$q4s@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154937

Howard--
Imagine a line drawn thru the locations of your neighbors. Construct
the center point, on the line, between the two neighbors. Pretend that's
you. The neighbors will always be twice the distance from each other that
they are from you, and an additional 3db down. Obviously your situation is
not as geometrically pretty as I've hypothesized.
The point is that the handset is usually much closer to the base than
you (or the potentially interfering neighbor). Probably as much as 9-15db
up. If one of them moves away from the base (goes into the yard) the AGC
will bring up the gain and they will get interference. I know I do.
I've observed the same effect as you. If the power (at my antenna) from
two transmitters is about equal I get the howling-type interference. If one
is marginally greater than the other it heterodynes more strongly in my
front end.
You can, with practice, use it to very roughly locate units on the same
channel.
Hint, the Radio Amateurs Handbook gives a lot of info about things like
this.
HTH
--Legion

Howard wrote in message <3596F481.D92095E2@Bigfoot.com>...
>I know there are many types of cordless phones (10 channel, 25 channel,
>900mhz, etc.). For this example, lets talk about a basic 10 channel
>cordless phone which can be scanned in the 46.5 to 47.0 mhz area. Let's
>also assume two neighbors are using identical Sony phones.
>
>If both neighbors are using the same channel (lets assume channel 2),
>how come the phones don't intercept each other? For example, I may be
>listening to a certain phone conversation on 46.83mhz. All of a sudden,
>another conversation (slightly stronger signal) takes over and now I'm
>listening to the neighbor closer to me. The neighbors never hear each
>other, even though according to my scanner, they are using the same
>frequency. Why is this?
>
>I hope I've made the question clear enough so you can understand what
>I'm trying to say! Thanks for the help.
>

3884. Re: Comments on Icom R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jungle jim <junglejim@delta.com>
Message-ID: <3596FD98.38C1F426@delta.com>
Source record: 154938

If you decide you don't like it I'd be happy to take it off your hands.

Jungle Jim

Manuel W4SSB wrote:

> I just purchased an Icom R9000. While I'm waiting to receive it does anyone
> have any positive or negative opinions about it?
>
> Manuel W4SSB

3885. Re: Loving This...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Cb Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <359705A9.EBDADDFE@iname.com>
Source record: 154939

I see you've made yet another change to your signature, just to through off the killfiles...
Well....:::PLONK:::
(or in your case ::plink::)

John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF wrote:

> Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
> > Sorry to be off-topic, but:
> >
> > It is funny how even a little post that says "Hi" can be turned into a huge
> > thread of name-calling and flame-fests...
>
> Sad isn't it? Sorta like when I gave you congrats on your
> new ham license & people turned it into a flamefest. :(

3886. $$$ Bounty Offered For Canceling Toilet Boy's Posts $$$

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3597071B.A6738050@pacbell.net>
Source record: 154940

A benevolent group of participants within this news group is looking for
brave souls, disgusted participants and all those who are tired of
seeing Toilet Boy's drivel, lies & trouble making.

We will pay for each post canceled that are made by that author (or any
of that individual's alias's) at an amount to be negotiated off-line.

Also, looking for contributions for this noble cause. Corporate
sponsorship encouraged and anonymous donations gladly accepted.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

3887. VHF Airband Steps 8.33KHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "P. Kineke" <scouse@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <6n7388$2sh$1@nnrp2.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 154941

Hi,

The ICAO in Europe is going to a 8.33 KHz stepping for the VHF Airband.
Does anyone know if the FAA/FCC is planning to do the same here in the US?

Paul

3888. Re: Border patrol-FBI freq need.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hector Reyes <scape2000@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <359716AA.688597DC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 154942

Rmlac123 wrote:

> No Kidding. The last thing you want is some
> Coyote monitoring you working his group
> so he can put a bullet in your head, and collect the Mexican lottery.....
> Sorry Charlie.....

THANK YOU tracey levin , rmlac123.
But i don't need you'r help.
THANK YOU BUT NO THANK YOU. ;^)

3889. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John E. Grimestad" <grimmyman@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3597091C.D0030A9D@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 154943

Thank You I appreciate the info.

--
John ._______|_______.
\(*)/
o/ \o

3890. Exhebitions in Russia ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: TELCOM-GmbH.HV@t-online.de
Message-ID: <6n684otna1@news01.btx.dtag.de>
Source record: 154944

Hello, does anybody know about scanner, security or ham shows in russia
or other eastern country.
Please send me a mail if you have any informatiosn about the date and
place.
vy 73
Hanno

3891. FS: BC-350a mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <359618AA.7C44@blarg.net>
Source record: 154967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Recieves on:
29- 54mhz FM
108-137mhz AM
137-174mhz FM
406-512mhz FM

Has 50 memories in three banks. "Private" bank holds 20 user selectable freqs, "Police" bank holds 20 user selectable freqs and has a bunch of pre-set freqs that can be locked out, "Fire/Emg" bank holds 10 user selectable freqs and has has a bunch of pre-set freqs that can be locked out, "WX" bank does all weather freqs, and "Air" scans the entire aero band. Unit uses a mounting bracket, which was lost by the previous owner. Not included. No manual, but I can instruct on how to program it. Runs off of 12v DC, power supply not included. Basically, just the unit itself. But it'd be a great little thing to keep out in the car or truck.

It works just fine and has no problems.

Asking $70, or best offer. Remember, the price is open to negotiation.

I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't use this at all anymore. I picked it up to put it in my car, but never got around to doing it. I need the money more than I need the hobby.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the end of tdragon in my address to respond, tdragonn@blarg.net

3892. Regency ACTE16HLU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmaxon@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062818321600.OAA04929@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154968

Hello. I am looking for copy of manual and schematic for this model scanner.
Thanks for reading the post.

Howard

3893. Re: Tennelec MS 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmaxon@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062818342600.OAA05193@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154969

I had one of those once. Every frequency has a binary code for entry. 0s and 1s. For each channel you work the channel toggle switches to the proper setting and then enter. I dont remember anything else. Good luck on finding more info.

Howard

3894. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35968DDE.7570@parg.org>
Source record: 154970

George Vetterle wrote:

> Bob,
> I'd like to personally thank you for your ongoing efforts in tracking
> rogue cancellers and forgers. I know from following n.a.n.a.u.,

I would like to echo that! It would be nice if the crap on here would
simply STOP. Of course, as long as a certain someone acts in such
a way to invite trouble from his So.California location, things probably
won't change. :(

> "Proof? I ain't got no proof!
> I don't need no proof! I don't
> got to show you any stinking
> proof!"-J. Mackey, Net Cop

I LIKE THIS!!!!!!

3895. Re: Filter out mackey successfully!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35967bc9.41279986@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154971

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com> wrote:

> No, this is not a gimmick. FREE! Filter out unwanted John Mackeys by
> filtering out his signature! He has never changed them (i think)! There
> are no strings attatched!
>
> (this was meant to sound like a tv commercial, the subject influenced me
> to do that)

You can (and should) also twit him out by
his callsign, KA0SSF, as well as his mail
domain, @parg.org Also filter by various
other combinations:

Mackey
Mackie
John_Mackey
John-Mackey
Smacksie
Hermaphrodite
Idiot

And....well, you know. :-)
```

3896. Re: Comments on Icom R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35978db6.45869061@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154972

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Manuel W4SSB" <mmaseda@gte.net> wrote:

> I just purchased an Icom R9000. While I'm waiting to receive it does anyone
> have any positive or negative opinions about it?

Negative? Maybe the cost. Maybe the bulk
and weight. That's about it unless you don't
like the colors and such immaterial aspects.

Positive? Everything. Awesome radio machine!

---------------------------------------------- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
---------------------------------------------- ---
```

3897. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35968EB8.4B93@parg.org>
Source record: 154973

allsafe wrote:
> Man, that WHOIS stuff is neat. I havn't had a chance to put my client software to work much; you guys
> are already all over that stuff before I even think to leave my reader. Thanks for the post. (All around
> Portland Oregon?, gee.....I wonder who *that* could be?)

Sounds like Peter Boe to me. I'm probably located closer to Portland
than anyone else, so if there in anything in Portland that needs to be
checked out I would be willing to do so in an effort to END the CRAP
on here!

3898. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3596900E.7487@parg.org>
Source record: 154974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Scot White wrote:
> I was considering buying a scanner, thought I'd check out
> the newsgroups for good info. You people do not have a
> scanner newsgroup here, its just a big flame war. Evidently
> people who are into scanners tend to be big mouthed idiots.

> K4CC wrote toots:
> >Wrong, cubby. You have absolutely no right, power or authority to represent
SNIP
> >Dave, K4CC
> >USAF MARS - AFA2OQ

Scot -

Yes, that is a large part of the problem we have had with Dave
on this NG. He arrived here a few weeks ago thinking he was
god's gift to the internet. Hang in there, these types come &
go & DON'T represent the radio hobby as a whole!

x

3899. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35969195.723E@parg.org>
Source record: 154975

allsafe wrote:
> out pops a fleet of bogus posts,
> forgeries, and rogue cancels.

Don't try to blame the forgeries, cancels, & bogus posts on me!

Simply by looking at the IP address of the computers anyone can
see that it NOT coming from ME. Perhaps you should look at your
hero BILL CHEEK, as in the past bogus posts have been seen coming
from the SAME IP ADDRESS as his computer!

Remember the "Billy Thunder" & the "WinRadio" posts? Proof positive!

3900. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: sjd@iname.com
Message-ID: <3596bca4.315978636@news.netrover.com>
Source record: 154976

Post your queries or comments to this group (or alt.radio.scanner),
and you'll find plenty of helpful people to respond in kind. And
ignore the other nonesense; you'll find that on any newsgroup.

Also - check out Rich Wells' Strong Signal HQ page - it has a wealth
of info on scanning, radio reviews, and other pertinent topics.

On Sun, 28 Jun 1998 03:00:12 -0500, "Scot White"
<opnwyder@NOSPAMiquest.net> wrote:

>I was considering buying a scanner, thought I'd check out
>the newsgroups for good info. You people do not have a
>scanner newsgroup here, its just a big flame war. Evidently
>people who are into scanners tend to be big mouthed idiots.
>At least thats what your silly newsgroup implies.
>You should rename the group alt.bitter.annoying. At least
>you wouldnt trick people interested in learning about scanners
>into coming in here.
>

3901. Re: BC3000XLT BATTERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062819210300.PAA07811@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154977

Ive been working on modifying a bad rechargable pack into a non rechargable AA pack. Ive got a working prototype but it needs work. You can open the Uniden packs and gut the interior. Chack it out you may get some ideas. I will try to keep posting my results. Ira Cooper

3902. Re: BC3000XLT BATTERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6n64ju$vb@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 154978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <01bda2c3$3aaa3e80$8860aacf@garyburchett>,
GARY BURCHETT <gsburchett@cooke.net> wrote:

>Does anyone know a good(inexpensive) source for a
>replacement battery-pack for the BC3000XLT.
>Uniden has decided to charge $52.95 for a replacement pack...

You can buy the replacement NiCd insert for about $15 - $16 plus
shipping from:

Battery - Tech Central
2818 Southland St. SW
Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141
ph. (319)364-0855
fax (319)366-7294
email rlassoc@inav.net

They attend hamfests in the Midwest, too.

The Japanese-made insert is equipped with solder tabs
and bears a W&W label.

I (carefully) opened the BP2500 pack, removed the original
insert, and installed the replacement without a problem.
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3903. Odessa Tx Hamfest Nov 7th & 8th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: n5rkn <n5rkn@apex2000.net>
Message-ID: <35969B48.DAE05C35@apex2000.net>
Source record: 154979

Please check out our webpage at:
http://www.apex2000.net/personal/wd5cwj/main2.htm
If you haven't been to this West Texas hamfest, maybe now's the time!
This is our 15th year of CONTINUED GROWTH! While other fests have
dropped in attendance, we have grown. AND, this year we have ONLINE
registration! You can register for the major prizes via internet. You
don't have to be present to win the Grand Prizes. You do, however, have
to be present to win Door Prizes...of course.
If you would like to be on our September flyer mailout, please send
your mailing address to me before August 1st and I will insure that you
are included in our regular mailing for the November 7th & 8th Hamfest.

--

73' Robert N5RKN ICQ #1097464
mailto:n5rkn@apex2000.net
Odessa Hamfest: http://www.apex2000.net/personal/wd5cwj/main2.htm

==========

"If you dig a hole that's deep enough,
everyone will want to jump into it."
Firesign Theatre

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, ï¿½227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500.00 US.
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms

3904. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <35969F56.2394EF02@icdc.com>
Source record: 154980

um well i know it could be done by one person, but the forged posts were using mozilla, and bill wouldnt think to use something different... no offense... and mackey you used mozilla but not exactly the same version. I could be concluding that neither of you have been forging these... probably some idiot that is looking to start a flaming war, or someone that is sick of it. but then of course mackey... he is using mozilla. can you change version numbers easily?

John.Mackey.KA0SSF wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
> > out pops a fleet of bogus posts,
> > forgeries, and rogue cancels.
>
> Don't try to blame the forgeries, cancels, & bogus posts on me!
>
> Simply by looking at the IP address of the computers anyone can
> see that it NOT coming from ME. Perhaps you should look at your
> hero BILL CHEEK, as in the past bogus posts have been seen coming
> from the SAME IP ADDRESS as his computer!
>
> Remember the "Billy Thunder" & the "WinRadio" posts? Proof positive!

3905. Battery for 200xlt in DFW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: WhoDaMan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4241-35969875-18@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 154981

Does anyone know where I can obtain a new battery pack for my Uniden BC200xlt in the DFW area? It would also be nice if they could install it. I'm not a real whiz with a soldering iron. I can not mail off for it, because I'm giving it to someone for their B-day in four days. Your comments are appreciated.

3906. Re: Remote access to frequency counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: oceans1@ibm.net
Message-ID: <3596A5D2.4891@ibm.net>
Source record: 154982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What womens locker room? The FCC's?
Disguise it as a giant bar of Ivory.

Emilio Goldstien wrote:
>
> I want to hide my frequency counter in the womens locker room, but I need to
> be able to read the data from a remote location.
> Any suggestions?

3907. PLECTRON TONE ALERT RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <6n68uo$ji3$1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 154983
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,alt,ham-radio.fm,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

I have a Plectron Tone Alert Receiver that is set on 154.190 with two-tone sequential format on 384.6-473.2. I would like to change this to 154.175 with a single tone format. Any ideas if this is possible and where I might obtain parts. Thank you in advance. Jim AA0FJ.

3908. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n68vl$pj@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154984

If you mean ME, then no, you are incorrect.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

TheLibNet wrote in message
<19980628043350000.AAA07201@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>>Don't you think the FBI has better things to do than worry about some
>>silly backwater newsgroup feud?
>
>(blah, blah, blah)
>
>Looks like we just figured out who the mystery forger is. Figures.
>

3909. Re: Interesting Columbus Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldhamk@juno.com
Message-ID: <3596ab8d.1280426@news-server>
Source record: 154985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A good place to start is, Central Ohio Scanning at:

http://www.qsl.net/wa8pyr/index.html

Let me know if you find anything good!

-Keith

On Tue, 24 Feb 1998 23:40:11 -0500, "Andrew Lindell"
<lindell.2@osu.edu> wrote:

>I am an OSU student and not very familiar with the area. Does anyone have
>any basic Columbus area freqs such as police, fire. rescue, or aircraft? My
>scanner does not have 800 range unfortunantly. Thanks in advance.
>
>
>

3910. Radio Shack Closeout Store Near Toronto....Where Is It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@localnet.com
Message-ID: <6n6af3$r88$1@news6.ispnews.com>
Source record: 154986
Cross-posted to: alt.travel.usa-canada,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I heard there is a Radio Shack Closeout item store neat Toronto or St. Catherines. It is located in a mall and right next to the QEW. Can some kind individual either give me directions to it and / or possibly look it up in a phone book so I can call them? I'm looking for something and they may be my last opportunity. If not, the ride is nice as those in St Catherines already know, there is a Perkins rest. there that has an outstanding fish fry that is worth the trip alone! Thanks, and sorry for cross posting but I am getting desperate

3911. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062821173500.RAA24659@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154987

Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com> writes:

>what? i put it in the form of a question and you chose to flame- thought
>you were against this.

Excuse me? What is it I'm supposed to be against?

Inquiringly,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3912. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062821173800.RAA24667@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154988

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> writes, between bites of paste:

>Yes, that is a large part of the problem we have had with Dave
>on this NG.

Excuse me? You quote from two two totally unrelated messages and come up wth this? Your writing and editing skills are right up there with your scanner expertise.

As ever,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3913. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062821173700.RAA21711@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154989

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> writes:

>Now we see the cause of the problems!

Excuse me? What problems?

Curiously,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3914. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980628211740000.RAA21717@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154990

sjd@iname.com (Steve D.) writes:

>Post your queries or comments to this group (or alt.radio.scanner),
>and you'll find plenty of helpful people to respond in kind. And
>ignore the other nonesense; you'll find that on any newsgroup.

Steve,
A voice of reason! How refreshing! Thank you for putting it so well.
Very best regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3915. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980628211741 00.RAA24671@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154991

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> creates and opening large enough to accommodate a large truck:

>if there in anything in Portland that needs to be
>checked out I would be willing to do so in an effort to END the CRAP
>on here!

Great! Check yourself out of this newsgroup! That'll do it!

Fondly,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3916. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980628211742 00.RAA21726@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154992

"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> continues to practice his remedial writing course:

>I would like to echo that!

Excuse me? I thought you said this wasn't an echo.

Obtusely,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3917. alt.radio.scanner, phl.scanner, rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.info, rec.radio.cb, soc.penpals, soc.culture.usa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: POLSKI PAN <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3596BD76.60CD@ameritech.net>
Source record: 154993

We must be in for some real nasty weather, 'cause my little weather (wx
) radio keeps going off every 5 minutes or so.
I just hope that it is nothing to bad!!!!

3918. keypad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ron huy <norby@village.uunet.be>
Message-ID: <01bda2e1$1d6f0bc0$4af407c2@be010455>
Source record: 154994

ik zoek een toetsenbord (keypad) voor motorola GP900, zelfde als GP1200
trunking,
MT2100.

3919. Re: alt.radio.scanner, phl.scanner, rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.info, rec.radio.cb, soc.penpals, soc.culture.usa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: POLSKI PAN <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3596BFE8.64B0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 154995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,soc.culture.usa

POLSKI PAN wrote:
>
> We must be in for some real nasty weather, 'cause my little weather (wx
>) radio keeps going off every 5 minutes or so.
> I just hope that it is nothing to bad!!!!

3920. Here's Help Uniden Sportcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4241-3596B09B-40@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 154996

Actually I think Uniden Scanners are the easiest to program. You bring up the channel number by pressing the channel # and the hold key. Just enter in the frequency and press the "E" key. Its now programmed. Just do that for as many channels you want and there you have it. If you have any more Q's email me. Good Luck with the programming

3921. RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4241-3596B2A1-41@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 154997

Rat Shack's catalog is avaiable ONLY from their store which makes them
even more of a pain.
Best Regards,
Steve

3922. Re: PL Numbers, what are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4242-3596B3C7-34@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 154998

One thing about CTCSS which occurs on my ambulance corp frequency is there's a bus company on the same frequency. Since the bus company puts out more power it overrides the dispatch even with the CTCSS. But Tone Squelch can also improve your range of recieving because you can open your squelch all the way without the snow sound. Only transmissions with that PL would open the squelch. ANd its great not to hear "base to bus 101 Donald, did LuLu leave her bookbag on the bus" and so forth.
Best Regards,
Steve

3923. Re: PLECTRON TONE ALERT RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: smakky@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <6n6fgp$dr6$1@camel25.mindspring.com>
Source record: 154999
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,alt,ham-radio.fm,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

"James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net> wrote:

>I have a Plectron Tone Alert Receiver that is set on 154.190 with two-tone
>sequential format on 384.6-473.2. I would like to change this to 154.175
>with a single tone format. Any ideas if this is possible and where I might
>obtain parts. Thank you in advance. Jim AA0FJ.

Order a 154.175 crystal (Bomar, ICM, etc). You should not have to
realigh the receiver, just net the crystal on freq.

If your single tone is 384.6 or 473.2 (or +/- 2%) you don't need to
modify the tap on the inductor or change any caps. You may have to
change the inductor and capacitors on the tone filter boards to make
it respond to the new tone. There is a chart for closer freqs. in the
manual. The inductor is not modifyable.

What's the single tone freq? (I'll tell you if you can use the boards
you have right now based on the tone freq. You will end up using only
one of them strapped for the "A" tone (the cathode of CR1 goes to EA,
not EB). After modifying the board to the appropriate tone freq range,
cut the wires going through to the B board then use a 'scope or a true
RMS ACVM to tune the core of L1 and/ or C5 to max VAC output from the
network (varies for older and newer filter boards).

The dou-tone decoder board is similar to the single tone board, but
there is an additional section for the B tone detection.

Here's how to convert the 58-6026 board to a single tone board. Save
the parts and go in reverse to un-do the mod:

1) Remove CR-9, R44, C15, Q5, C14, R36 and CR10.
2) Change polarity of CR-8.
3) jumper across where R44 used to be.
4) Change R10 to 3.3M (for timing- leave it alone for quicker
detection but that could false the decoder), R9 to 390K

Parts are available through DigiKey or the Shack if you re-use the
boards or you can cheat and use the existing receiver with a new
Selectone ST120 board strapped for maximum detection delay to decode
the single tone.

I may have some junk around from other receivers. Contact me via
e-mail if I can be of further assistance.

73 & Good luck,

Steve
KA2AYR(/0)

3924. bill cheek's accident, blowing up a sat dish!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n6lcm$92l$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>In article you wrote:
>> hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
>> dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
>> hospital!
>
>Well, I don't want any part of this flame war, but you've been hinting
>at stuff close to home for me -- where can I find out more on this?
>Sounds humerous, whoever it happened to!

cheeks used to work on mounting sat dishes when he lived here in Littleton, colorado in the early 1980's. he was mounting a sat dish up on top of a pole. he had it mounted to a pole, then was going to use a block/pully arrangement to lift the pole up to the necessary position. the pole went up, but he use so much force that it went right back over & landed on some high power wires on the other side. the electrical charge blew up and melted the dish, and cheek spent about a month in the hospital recovering. he had to be air lifted via lifeflight to the university of colorado medical center. i heard from his x-wife that he had amnesia for a while plus some other mental problems. she said he never fully recovered from this and they later divorced as he had turned bi-sexual after the experience. he attempted to get counseling at the VA (since was in the army) but no recovery was seen. this caused him several problems!

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3925. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062822510300.SAA07070@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155001
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
Subject: RS Catalog
>From: KC2BTP@webtv.net (Stephen Goeller Jr)
>Date: Sun, Jun 28, 1998 17:16 EDT
>Message-id: <4241-3596B2A1-41@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>
>
>Rat Shack's catalog is avaiable ONLY from their store which makes them
>even more of a pain.
>Best Regards,
>Steve
>
>

Start haunting them in late July, to get one. Since they quit charging for it, the stores get an even smaller allotment of them now than they did before. It's a pain, but if you wait for September you may not get one.
Neutrodyne

3926. bc560xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michelle Bittner <cicici@gate.net>
Message-ID: <01bda2b7$ad3098c0$040324cf@default>
Source record: 155002

are there any mods to add more channels?

3927. S-meter on PRO-2045 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuckie@ibm.net
Message-ID: <Loyunz0gstF5-pn2-804kD89FFdRJ@localhost>
Source record: 155003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What is the best S-meter and how should I go about installing it
considering I was to install the CTCSS board, too?

Thanks!

Charles

To reply, change the "e" in my address to "3"

3928. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n6ihmbnn0@207.18.224.95>
Source record: 155004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 28 Jun 1998 18:48:21 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Yes, that is a large part of the problem we have had with Dave

But of course, the largest problem is the problem
with John Mackey. Hold on a little while, as I
hear most of the ISP's in the Beaverton area
are about fed up with him.

Welcome to the newsgroup, and if you have questions, please post them,
as most of us will try to help.

3929. Re: Attention Clueless Bill Cheek Imposter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3596b497.4464743@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155005

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 21:58:14 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:

>I got e-mail from him indicating he's going to try to do better, so
>we'll give him one more chance.
>
I have done likewise. The kid's got a lot of potential, I think.

>As for you, how the hell did YOU escape from my killfile, anyway?
>Next pointless Cheek/Mackey raving I see from you -- back you go

You probably just forgot to put me back. What are you talking about? I've been reasonably quiet lately.

--

George Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com

"Proof? I ain't got no proof!
I don't need no proof! I don't
got to show you any stinking
proof!"-J. Mackey, Net Cop

3930. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3596b8ba.5523532@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155006

On Sun, 28 Jun 1998 18:54:51 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
<jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>allsafe wrote:
>> out pops a fleet of bogus posts,
>> forgeries, and rogue cancels.
>
>Don't try to blame the forgeries, cancels, & bogus posts on me!
>
>Simply by looking at the IP address of the computers anyone can
>see that it NOT coming from ME.

They show no such thing. All they show is that the posts were
inserted via an open server which does happen to be in your neck
of the woods. They neither prove nor *disprove* that you did it.

> Perhaps you should look at your
>hero BILL CHEEK, as in the past bogus posts have been seen coming
>from the SAME IP ADDRESS as his computer!

There is *nothing* in the path header or NNTP-Posting Host header that
in any way indicates Bill was responsible. Again, it neither *proves*
nor *disproves* that Bill did it.

>Remember the "Billy Thunder" & the "WinRadio" posts? Proof positive!

Why not "Remember the Alamo" and "Remember the Maine?" They have as
much relevance to the subject at hand. Proof positive of what? Your

I.Q.? You don't have to prove that to me. Heh, Heh, Heh!

--

George Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com

"Proof? I ain't got no proof!
I don't need no proof! I don't
got to show you any stinking
proof!"-J. Mackey, Net Cop

3931. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <3596B7E7.3FB3@parXg.org>
Source record: 155007

Derek Yerger wrote:

> um well i know it could be done by one person, but the forged posts were
> using mozilla, and bill wouldnt think to use something different...

Of course, "mozilla" is simply the identifier of "Netscape". But how
do you know that Bill wouldn't think to use something different? Infact,
if he was attempting to cover his tracks he probably WOULD use something
different.

> and mackey you used mozilla but not exactly the same version.
snip
> but then of course mackey... he is using mozilla.
> can you change version numbers easily?

No, there is no way to chaning the identification
of Netscape/Mozilla. I confirmed this by speaking to Netscape tech
support, and reading the "Un-Official Netscape" tech support
web page at the University of Florida.

> I could be concluding that neither of you have been forging these...
> probably some idiot that is looking to start a flaming war, or someone

Likely Bill Cheek! He often brags about his knowledge of how
to do things on this computer that other can't do. He states
to have internet access similar to that of a T-1 or T-3 (I
don't remember exactly which he claims). In theory, he could
simply use that bandwidth ability he was to use RAS (remote access),
telnet, PCanywhere, or other similar software to remotely
forge such messages in his effort to cause mayhem on this NG in
a desire to attract attention to himself. He has been caught red handed
doing exactly these things in the past. (Ref: Billy Thunder or WinRadio
posts)

>From a personal prespective, Cheek likes to attack me what ever way
he can. This goes back to the fact that about 3 years ago I uncovered
him to a group of users who then realized that he was causing trouble.
He has done everything he can to attack me since. At this time you
are seeing a perfect example as I have done nothing to attack or
flame him this week yet he has attacked me every day of this week.

So, the real Bill Cheek shows his true persona!

(I expect this post to be flamed by Cheek and/or his mesmerized
supporters!)

3932. Cherry Festival Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: freema22@nospam.pilot.msu.edu
Message-ID: <3596d03e.61524497@news.msu.edu>
Source record: 155008

Does anyone know the freqs for the 1998 Cherry Festival Airshow? It is this Saturday, and it is always nice to listen to see what they try to suprise us with. They tried to suprise the crowd a couple of years ago with an F-117 fly-by, but we knew it was coming way ahead of time.

3933. is Madison Wisconsin on a trunked system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JC Woodard <j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3596D5BC.E97B169D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Will be traveling there in a week or so, wondering if anyone knows if
Madison is using a trunked system for police and/or fire?

Any thoughts on where to look fro frequencies?

Thanks

Macus

3934. Want MIL-AIR over NH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Frenette <frenette@ncia.net>
Message-ID: <01bda2f7$06e47820$6e088ccf@default>
Source record: 155010

Looking for any Military Air Freq's for Northern New Hampshire/White Mtns.
I work atop 4000' Wildcat Mtn. and would like to listen to something new.

3935. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <3596D822.4AF4@parXg.org>
Source record: 155011

K4CC wrote:
> Excuse me? I thought you said this wasn't an echo.

English lesson #1 for K4CC!

An "echo" (noun) is a forum place for making discussions on Fidonet.
A "newsgroup" is a forum place for making discussions on Internet (like
HERE).

An "echo" (verb) is to repeat with support a previous said statement.

End of lessong #1 for K4CC.

3936. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert.Wiseman@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <d7d_9806281700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 155012

On 28 Jun 98 11:17:02, "John.Mackey.Ka0Ssf" <jmackey@parg.org> drooled:

> Now we see the cause of the problems!

NOW???? We've seen the problem for a year, from the first time your
name appeared in this NG.

--
|WarpGate: Robert Wiseman 52:1000/211
|Internet: Robert.Wiseman@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

3937. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert.Wiseman@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
Message-ID: <d7e_9806281700@warpgate.com>
Source record: 155013

On 28 Jun 98 11:48:21, "John.Mackey.Ka0Ssf" <jmackey@parg.org> blabbered:

> god's gift to the internet. Hang in there, these types come &
> go & DON'T represent the radio hobby as a whole!

And when are you going? You sure as hell don't represent anything human.

--
|WarpGate: Robert Wiseman 52:1000/211
|Internet: Robert.Wiseman@f211.n1000.z52.warpgate.com
| The WarpGate Network Internet Gateway El Cajon, CA.

3938. Code Grabbers are Burglar's tools

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Jacoby <"Billy Jacoby"@mediaone.com>
Message-ID: <3596DC6E.40D67683@mediaone.com>
Source record: 155014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.directed.ca/Python_e.htm

Bob Parnass, AJ9S wrote:

> In article <358F2A62.13881C4C@dmcmicro.com>,
> Dave Comer <dcomer@dmcmicro.com> wrote:
>
> >Anyone know what band garage door openers operate
> >at? No, I don't want to listen to them, just wonder what
> >their modulation content is.
> >
> >Dave Comer dcomer@rt66.com
>
> It's best to use a spectrum analyzer to observe them.
> The digital modulation produces a wide footprint and
> an ordinary frequency counter won't have a clue.
>
> garage door opener, Genie, pcm
> [AT-85]_____________ 390.0000_____- (B Parnass)
> garage door opener, Heath, believed to made by Linear Electronics, pcm
> [GDP3309-A]_________ 310.0000_____- (magazines)
> garage door opener, Linear Electronics, used with Raynor openers, pcm
> [DT]_________________ 310.0440_____ch A (B Parnass)
> garage door opener, Sears, made by Chamberlain, pcm
> [139.664030]________ 389.0003_____- (others)
> --
> ==
> Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
>
> Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

3939. Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bda303$4c34ccc0$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 155015

Ryan,

Good Job on the ham ticket.

Lots of luck with your new hobbie,
Charlie

3940. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Dwenger <cdwenger@hsonline.net>
Message-ID: <01bda304$578b8540$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 155016

Ryan,
I couldn`t be happier even with my right mind. 80}

Later,
Charlie

> If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?

3941. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <359587BE.DFF@parg.org>
Source record: 155053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
> dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the hospital!

If it is related to scanning then tell it yourself, other wise
leave Cheek along so it will die!

3942. Need an Owner's Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: warn@ridgecrest.ca.us
Message-ID: <6n4474kjv1@ash.ridgecrest.ca.us>
Source record: 155054

Greetings, I'm looking for an Owner's Manual or at least programming instructions for an older model scanner: J.I.L Model SX 200, FM/AM made by Nissan Denshi, Ltd., scans 3 bands-26-88 MHz, 108-180 and 380-514.I will gladly pay for repro and mailing costs, etc.
Thanks, Gene Warner

3943. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062801035900.VAA09286@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155055

Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com> queries:

> runs this ng, really?

I thought it was you, from the smug tone of your posts.
Fondly,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

3944. Re: PL Numbers, what are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dpems500@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062801451200.VAA13784@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155056

>What are the PL numbers that I see in frequency directories and how do you
>use
>them?

If you get a scanner with CTCSS (same thing as PL...PL is the Motorola trade name for CTCSS), the PL tones listed in the frequency directory will allow you to eliminate all traffic on that frequency other than the agency you're trying to monitor; basically, it helps eliminate a LOT of garbage. It's VERY nice.

Most of the higher-end scanners support CTCSS. I have a RS PRO-2036, with a CTCSS board installed. My technical ability is such that I can barely screw a lightbulb into a light socket, but I installed the board (which I ordered from Radio Shack when I bought the scanner) with no problem. You then enter the CTCSS tone into the memory along with the frequency.

A very helpful little tool, even for minimal scannerists, such as myself.

Good luck,
David
David Phillips, B.S., EMT-P
EMS Manager
City of Beaumont, Texas

3945. Derek Yerger --- You 'D Man .... Check Your Messages Again !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: zerolight@removethis.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <35cb9e18.78939320@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 155057

I Wasnt Thanking ... Gee, Thought I Would Help Some People Out By Posting Some Scanner/Radio Mods, And You Sit There And Say I Wasnt Thanking, Gee... Sorry.

Why Dont You Check Again, I See xx/51 Messages Here On My End, And I Would Like To Know If Anyone Else Doesnt See Them, If They Dont, I Will Be More Then Happy To Repost Them.

Next Time, Why Dont You Just Chill, And Stop Jumping The Gun When Someone Has A Life With Other Things To Do Besides Just Constant Get New Messages And Post Flames As Soon As They Can.

Oh, I Hope Any Scanner Mods That You MIGHT Like Are In Here Too !!!!

Peace.

3946. And now, time out for a SERIOUS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: A J O <ajo55@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3595A338.C2E906F4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 155058

I have recently purchased a Pro 90, which I love.
Are there any mods that have been made available
for this model ?
Has anyone found a way to restore the audio output
via the earphone
jack to a normal level which can be heard. I
think I may have stumbled
upon the answer to this one, but im not sure how
to fix it. In my car,
I have an external antenna with an elbow BNC
conector, and a modified
Motorola Public Safety Speaker Mike with a metal
mini plug. One day I
noticed that the audio was getting louder, and
when I looked at the
radio, I noticed that the BNC and mini plug were
touching each other.
It seems that the radio has been produced, unlike
earlier models, without a built in ground between
the antenna and earphone jacks. Is it possible
that this is the true cause for the diminished
volume from the Pro90 earphone jack, and if so,
how can this be corrected.

A J Oles
EMT-P
Baltimore County MD Fire Dept.
Previously - Fire Dispatcher for 12 years
and staff member/designer of the 1990 800 MHz
conversion project.

3947. Re: BC235XLT and Poughkeepsie NY trunk freqs and cellular question.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <gary0136@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <GGhl1.561$ho4.1520709@nnrp2.ptd.net>
Source record: 155059

ROBERT J FORLINI wrote in message
<6n3nh6$97ra$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>...

>After each transmission ends, I hear a " beep....beep...beep" before
>it starts to scan again.

What your describing is probably a GE system. The beeps are meant to thwart scanner listeners like yourself from monitoring the system. While your scanner is holding on the frequency with the beeps, the rest of the conversation is already taking place on another frequency. It would also explain why your 235xlt wont "find" the control channel.

> Also, how come I could get cellular with the SC150 that I sent back > but not with this 235?

It mainly deals with IF frequencies and conversion types, which someone else with a little more knowledge about scanners might be able to answer. I think the main problem might be that the SC150 uses double conversion while the 235xlt uses triple conversion.

3948. AR8200 Initial Impressions after one day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerunl@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062802342000.WAA23061@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155060

After having received a US model AR8200 for evaluation fro EDCO,
I have written my initial impressions and posted them on Rich
Wells' web site "Radio Monitoring". The address is:
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/radio.htm/

Glenn Cohen, Scanners Unlimited
rec.radio.scanner

3949. Trade or Sell Tektronix Phaser 220i /for short-wave and or GR300/500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: EyeSpy <deltax2x@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bda240$cec0f240$baae20cc@turnerbl>
Source record: 155061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking to trade or sell my Tektronix Phaser 220i Color printer
14mb's onboard (Max), PC/Macintosh compatible (win 3.1-98+, Mac OS
7.0-8.0+). 200 Sheets A4 matt finish paper (for best graphic results).
100 sheets of overhead transparencies. Additional integrated paper
storage(I use for overheads). 2 Additional Color Rolls
Less than 100 sheets printed (can be verified by a status check on
printer.)
Each Color roll does 345 Prints

Will take $1,000.00 Postal Money Order OR I will trade this unit for a
GR300 / GR500 Series Repeater in UHF. The unit needs to be 25 watts or
greater, with 2 channels. These units must be in good working order I will
verify with my Radio Tech to the condition of unit. Original Boxes not
important, but would be useful to have manuals and all misc items that came
with them.

I will also consider trades for Short-wave units, please send unit specs.

All Reasonable Offers will be considered

deltax2x@hotmail.com

3950. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35959715.5379574@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155062

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 13:43:40 -0500, "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ"
<jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Bill Cheek wrote in message <359a6fda.20769856@news2.cts.com>...
>>> On the other hand, I'm heartened when I see people like
>>> the Faricy kid who see right through him in a heartbeat!

>Thanks, Bill! I admit, I was being/acting pretty stupid when I first started
>in this newsgroup.

I thought you were kind of irritating myself but this zoo here isn't
the friendliest place to step into. You can't really be faulted for
being a little defensive. I gotta give you credit for what you've
accomplished. Thinking back to what I was doing at your age,
you're probably not such a bad kid as I thought.

--

George Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com

"Proof? I ain't got no proof!
I don't need no proof! I don't
got to show you any stinking
proof!"-J. Mackey, Net Cop

3951. FS RADIO SHACK PRO34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tal35@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062802552700.WAA22344@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155063

I have a radio shack pro34 with cell mods done,and a faster cpu crystal for sale. Price is 85.00 firm plus shipping. The front of the case is new, the back has some scratches, and the back bottom clips on the inside that holds the front and rear cases together are broken. I have epoxied the bottom of the case together. The scanner works fine, but I don't need another one. Email me if interested at Tal35@aol.com or Tal35@favmail.com Thanks, Todd

3952. Re: Help,...I WAS BURNED! (FRAUD BY WIRE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jklein1050@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062803000200.XAA22957@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155064

>Subject: Help,...I WAS BURNED!
>From: Rick Chyzy <chyzy@megsinet.net>
>Date: 6/27/98 9:46 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3595AE91.9BC0E0DC@megsinet.net>
>
>I Sent someone a check for a scanner,.....never received it,.....it was
>100dollars, but still,........what can be done about it?,....thanks
>rick......
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Rick the US Postal Inspection service will be happy to file charges for you for "FRAUD BY WIRE" also a good dose of EXPOSURE will usually do the trick... good luck...
Jklein1050@aol.com

3953. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <35af80f3.18727684@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 155065

"Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ" <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Just to let everyone know, I forwarded this message to Campbell Hall's
>postmaster and abuse addresses. I hope whoever is doing this realizes that
>impersonation is a felony with heavy punishments. The FBI doesn't care if it
>is a joke...

Don't you think the FBI has better things to do than worry about some
silly backwater newsgroup feud? If you think this is bad, you should
see what goes on in some of the other newsgroups.

Now, if you REALLY want to get the Feds on your case, make a threat on
the President's life. DON'T try this at home, kiddies!
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3954. Re: discriminator interface help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpryor@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n4hp6$3be$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155066

I managed to get it working with a little luck . . . . after fixing the main problems, I just had to tweak a couple resistors . . .

> >I've measured the VAC from the discriminator to be close to 4mv.
>
> As Bill Cheek has already stated, this is far too low. Although
> the op-amp circuit can be adjusted to handle a variety of signal
> levels, 4 mv won't cut it. Perhaps you should try to get the
> audio directly from the source, rather than at the CTCSS board
> connection.

Ok . . . first I should figure out how to read my meter . . . . it was around 400 millivolts . . . the CTCSS jumper pin connection worked fine . . .

> >I am using the second 9way male com port next to the mouse com port.
> >Is it possible the computer is not enabled to use that one . . .
> >should I try using the com port the mouse is on?
>
> No harm in trying it. It's a sensible troubleshooting technique.
> I assume it isn't a PS/2 type (bus mouse) connection.

That seemed to be the main problem, I found out that the port I was using did not supply any positive voltage . . . switching to the mouse port helped by powering the cicuit correctly . . . .

> >I would appreciate a response, especially from those who are using
> >the Pro-2045 in this matter, and those who are decoding EDACS.
>
> Bear in mind that a fairly clean signal is required to decode the
> 9600 bps EDACS data stream.

I seemed to have pretty good results . . . the EDACS system I monitored is probably around 5 miles away, once my city gets theirs up and operating, the transmitter is less than a mile away . . . should have even better results . . .

Thanks alot to all those who helped and to all those who provided the resources and software on the net . . .

Jeff

wwwww RS Pro-67, RS Pro-2045, Uniden BC895xlt
g(o o)g http://eng.utoledo.edu/~jpryor/scanner/main.html
--oOO--(_)---OOo----------
The Preceding was transmitted by JEFFREY M. PRYOR

.ooo0 0ooo. jpryor@eng.utoledo.edu UIN@ICQ=1944152
( ) ( ) jpryor@my-dejanews.com
----\ (---) /----------
_) (_/ STOP the SPAM - Keep an Eye on our Government

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3955. FS: Yupi 9000M2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Savastano <Savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bda248$90430be0$224306d1@savy>
Source record: 155067

This radio has been used less than 1 hour, batteries have never even
been put into the radio for charging, still packed. Comes with car adapter,
strap,
ni-cad batteries, belt clip and I will throw in the 12v AC adapter that I
purchased
at radio shack.
The M2 is a modified version of the regular 9000
What are the differences:

30% faster search speed

10.8 intermodulation problem is 40-70% smaller than the 9000 and the other
similar radios (I have no idea what this means)

RX-Light gives you visible indicator of squelch status, can also see status
of battery
save function.

I have the certificate that came with the radio on these mods and the
speed test performed before and after.

Why am selling?

To powerful of a radio for me, I just got into scanning and this radio
quite frankly is to complicated
for me I am going to start out with something much simpler. If you are new
to scanning like
me this radio probably is NOT for you. You can read all about it on Rich
Wells web site

http://ns1.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIO.HTM (main page)
http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/RADIOS.HTM (scanner reviews)

Sell for what I paid minus the adapter $550

Please e-mail if interested

3956. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062804335000.AAA07201@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155068

>Don't you think the FBI has better things to do than worry about some
>silly backwater newsgroup feud?

(blah, blah, blah)

Looks like we just figured out who the mystery forger is. Figures.

3957. Re: Help,...I WAS BURNED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062804350800.AAA07333@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155069

>I Sent someone a check for a scanner,.....never received it,.....it was
>100dollars, but still,.....what can be done about it?,....thanks

Get a lawyer. It will only cost you about $1,000 to get your $100 back.

3958. FS: ICOM R10 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <3595CCC9.8A59B829@home.com>
Source record: 155070

FOR SALE:

Icom R10 Scanner, like new, with original box, manual, etc.

Includes the following options...

Icom CS-R10 PC Software
RS232/DB9 to R10 PC Interface Cable
Icom CP-12 Cigarette Lighter Plug, with Noise Filter
Heavy Duty DURUS belt case, from Grove
Motorola Ear Bud Earphone

All for only: $350.00 firm

I'll pay UPS (Insured), within the U.S.

Bill Crocker
Warren, MI.

3959. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark J. Hritz" <safetnet@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <3595D185.C6F38C42@voicenet.com>
Source record: 155071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Does it really matter if it's illegal? Sheesh! All you have to do is say
you were listening to your scanner and the conversation bled over to one
of the frequencies programmed into your scanner...happens all the time for
me.

Gerald Schmitt wrote:

> In <3591BC8F.40A2@efn.org> "David G. Hunt KB6JAW" <dhunt@efn.org>
> writes:
> >
> >Mr. Clem wrote:
> >
> ><snip!>
> >
> >> Just because you are able to dispatch aid to someone who may or may
> not
> >> need it does not negate the fact you came about this information
> while
> >> breaking a federal law. (IE - listening to cordless phones)
> >
> >Cordless phones are specifically exempted.
> >
> >Have a nice day.
>
> Not since the modifications to the ECPA in the last congress. All
> cordless phone are now covered.

--
Mark J. Hritz
President & Owner
Alphanet & SafetyNET Public Safety News
610-409-8312 * http://www.geocities.com/~safetynetnews
File attachment, if any, is a security certificate.

3960. Comments on Icom R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Manuel W4SSB <mmaseda@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6n4lc0hoq1@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 155072

I just purchased an Icom R9000. While I'm waiting to receive it does anyone have any positive or negative opinions about it?

Manuel W4SSB

3961. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3595dd92.763232@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155073

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

> You forgot already? Look back in the thread
> John, as I will not re-type it.

Blake.....he will do a rogue cancel on your
post and then claim "proof" because nothing
can be found.

3962. Re: Help on Motorola Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: syskey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6n4l8o$7a6$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6n3pp6$4lr$0@207.18.224.27>,
bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>
> On Thu, 25 Jun 1998 13:31:34 GMT, dmurman@mcit.com (David Murman)
> wrote:
>
> >Terry, I wounder if you can help me in programming an MTX8000. I have tried
> >to get this radio to work on a type II system but it will not transmit. The
> >radio tries to access the control channel, I can see it transmitting on the
> >input to the control channel, but all I get is a steady tone out of the
> >speaker. I can transmit on a type I system OK. I have the correct connect
> >tone, system id and system key. What could I be doing wrong?
> >
> >If you respond via E-Mail send to 7371774@mcimail.com.
> More than likely, you are trying to access a system that has the SAC
> enabled in the central controller. If the SAC sees a radio ID that it
> does not have in its database, it will not recognize that radio, not
> allowing it access.
>
> I run my 800 sites with SAC enabled, too many people out there with
> system key generators.

Oh yea! SAC enabled will stop'em cold. Shhheeeiiit!

Just fire up trusty trunker.exe, and let all those "valid" radio IDs populate
the window......you will have your pick of the mill.

Do you Motorola Systems Administrators really think that SAC will stop them
cold? You folks must be dreaming if you think that Motorola Systems are
secure. Its a wonder folks haven't started using these systems for their own
benifit. Good job Motorola!!! You've got a REAL security issue on your hands
now!

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3963. Re: RS Weather Alert Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: grohe@galaxy.nsc.com
Message-ID: <3595e38a.4468144@139.187.81.1>
Source record: 155075

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 13:56:02 GMT,
in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
dougd@lrbcg.com
from Deja News - The Leader in Internet Discussion thoughtfully
posted:

> Anyone know the model no. of the latest Radio Shack Weather Alert radio that
> you can program in just the counties you want? How about the price?
>
> They never have any catalogs.

But they do have a page for them on their website:

http://support.tandy.com/support_audio/40465.htm

Cat# 12-249 $80

Cheers,
Paul Grohe

--

Paul Grohe National Semiconductor Corp.
Assoc. Analog Apps Engineer 2900 Semiconductor Drive
Standard Analog Apps Group Mail Stop C2693
mailto:grohe@galaxy.nsc.com Santa Clara, CA. 95052-8090 USA
Usenet Disclaimer: Any opinions expressed are mine, not NSC's
---

3964. For Sale: BC 200 w/Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062806542000.CAA15019@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155076

I have a Bearcat 200 that I have modified to receive the cellular band. I also did the battery mod. Email me at SAScheffey@aol.com. Best price get's it. Remember, you can't get one of these with the cellular mod capabilities any longer.

3965. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3595EB42.959D4CC2@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 155077

Bill Cheek wrote:
> What is a wonder is how your PARG
> goooooooodbuddies have tolerated you for so
> long.....but I think I have that one figured
> out now.

Toilet Boy swallows and brings his own lubricant.

3966. Re: RS Weather Alert Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6n4o70$34$1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 155078

Yes, but you will have to wait awhile. The publicity of El Nino has created more demand for the product than RadioShack anticipated. It could be some time before another batch makes its way across the Pacific. It is a great weather radio though. Shows a read out of exactly what the statement, watch or warning is for. Of course it has a battery backup and even an external antenna connection if you need it.

Jack O wrote in message <359500c8.97266291@news.usit.net>...
>>Anyone know the model no. of the latest Radio Shack Weather Alert radio that
>>you can program in just the counties you want? How about the price?
>>They never have any catalogs.
>>Doug
>Model 12-249 has the SAME technology. It is about $80.
>Jack

3967. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scot White <opnwyder@NOSPAMiquest.net>
Message-ID: <6n4ta4$9fr$1@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 155079

I was considering buying a scanner, thought I'd check out
the newsgroups for good info. You people do not have a
scanner newsgroup here, its just a big flame war. Evidently
people who are into scanners tend to be big mouthed idiots.
At least thats what your silly newsgroup implies.
You should rename the group alt.bitter.annoying. At least
you wouldnt trick people interested in learning about scanners
into coming in here.

Dispicable.

Good bye.

K4CC wrote in message <19980627215514400.RAA19116@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>arise@my-dejanews.com toots:
>
>>And then you all wonder
>>why they keep trying to take away our rights to do these things.
>
>Wrong, cubby. You have absolutely no right, power or authority to represent
>"all" -- on this newsgroup or anywhere else.
>Been paranoid long? Who are "they", pray tell, and what "rights" are "they"
>trying to take away?
>
>Curiously,
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

3968. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062808163000.EAA18544@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155080

leave a question or whatever. There are people who monitor this newsgroup with the intent on helping others. Most everyone ignores the fueding posted here anyways.

3969. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6n52a0$j0b@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155081

reallybigguy@hotmail.com wrote:
^^^^^^^^^^
>In article <3594903E.6260EB30@pacbell.net>,
> wirehead@pacbell.net wrote:
>>
>> Before you embark on something as ambitious as starting you're our newsgroup,
>> you might want to learn how to use a "real" news reader, get a "real" email
>> account and heaven forbid, learn to cruise the internet without a browser.
>>
>> Think you got the "brains" to do all that Elvis ?
>>
>> Maybe Toilet Boy (Hint Hint) could help you ...
>>
>
>My dear Mr. Wiredick, I'm not sure what your reference to "Toilet Boy" is
>about. Is is perhaps your own fascination with scatology. If so, then you
>will enjoy this. EAT SHIT AND DIE!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
^^^^^^^^^
>http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

Really Big Guys shouldn't have to post anonymously.

--

/Sal

3970. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6n52a1$j0b@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155082

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>"John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>
>> Good for you Richard! You should try to market it on rec.radio.swap
>> as you could make a profit ! The prices you mention are rare!
>
>No, YOU are rare, a walking, spewing violation of
^^^^
>the laws of Nature.

Mackey is a rara avis, sorta like a cross between a shit bird
and a pelican.

--

/Sal

3971. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6n52a2$j0b@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Somebody trying to look like Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote:

>Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
>> hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
>> dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
>> hospital!

I'd recommend C4 but you could probably do a dandy job with just
Det cord alone. If you really wanted to go nuts, you could use a
whole TNT block but that would be overkill and you'd probably get
a lot of collateral damage from the shock wave. HTH

--

/Sal

3972. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <359602be.32933249@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 155084

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 23:11:34 -0700, "Robert H. Eisner"
<rheisner@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Bill Cheek wrote:
>>
>> bill cheeks is a fag
>
>The above post was forged from US.WORLD.NET via Open NNTP
>Server news.campbellhall.org.
>
>Path:
>wn6!attworldnet!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!206.214.99.1!ix.netcom.com!news.campbellhall.org
!not-for-mail
>From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Subject: bill cheeks is a fag
>Date: Sat, 27 Jun 1998 12:12:08 -0700
>Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
>Lines: 2
>Message-ID: <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>
>Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
>NNTP-Posting-Host: p102.ezpool.us.world.net <=======
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
>
>
>
>
>whois 198.145.199.102@whois.arin.net
>[rs.arin.net]
>AUSNet Services, Inc. (NETBLK-AUSNET2)
> Suite 601
> 400 SW Sixth Avenue
> Portland, OR 97204
>
> Netname: NETBLK-AUSNET2
> Netblock: 198.145.0.0 - 198.145.255.255
> Maintainer: AUS
>
> Coordinator:
> Registry, AUSNet Domain (ADR5-ARIN) registry@US.WORLD.NET
> +1 503 242-1400 (FAX) +1 503 242-1375

>
> Domain System inverse mapping provided by:
>
> NS.WORLD.NET 192.243.32.10
> NS1.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.117.214.10
> NS2.SPRINTLINK.NET 199.2.252.10
> NS3.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.97.212.10
>
> Record last updated on
>09-Jun-98.
> Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.
>
>The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
>Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
>Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
>Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

Bob,

I'd like to personally thank you for your ongoing efforts in tracking
rogue cancellers and forgers. I know from following n.a.n.a.u.,
that you've been working on bigger things so be aware that your
efforts in this news group are not unnoticed and are appreciated.

--

George Vetterle
geovet@ix.netcom.com

"Proof? I ain't got no proof!
I don't need no proof! I don't
got to show you any stinking
proof!"-J. Mackey, Net Cop

3973. need help modifying BCT7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markus Geiger <geig@mtco.com>
Message-ID: <01bda28b$e14521c0$9b229bd0@geig274>
Source record: 155085

can some one help me make my bct7 so that i can get all the cell phones in the 800mhz to 900mhz range????

3974. FS-Unblocked AOR-3000a cheap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980628121718O1.IAA25833@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155086

I sold the first one I posted yesterday fro $700. I have a second one for sale. This one is also in MINT condition with no problems or scratches whatsoever. The radio comes with AOR software, computer cable, ac adapter and manual. The radio was very seldom used. I need the money more than the radio right now.

Sorry, this one does not have the box, I'll take $50 off for the missing box. Will ship for $650 + $10 shipping within continental US.

By the way, this radio has a very helpful mod if you are into decoding. A second earphone jack (wired in parallel) was added in the back of the unit. This will enable the user to have a decoder hooked up to the earphone jack, while still able to monitor any audio tones. Some people like this the ability to be able to listen to the tones as they fine tune a freq.

3975. good all band scanner antena for base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: stephen <bucko@hdc.com.au>
Message-ID: <6n5evq$c2m$1@nswpull.telstra.net>
Source record: 155087

if you have any ideas let me know my email is bucko@hdc.com.au thanks bye.

3976. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.com
Message-ID: <359741af.3508695@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 155088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 17:16:03 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
wrote:

>arise@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
>> > > Cant we all just get along?
>
>> > Sure.
>> >
>> > What exactly makes you think we're not?
>
>
>> Never mind.I never said that.
>
>Sure. It just takes a little time to figure
>stuff out here. You've probably got it by now.
>
>:-)
Besides if it wasn't for all this stuff going on, on here what would
everyone have to complain about?

3977. Re: F**K YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.com
Message-ID: <35984207.3596791@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 155089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 04:04:39 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
wrote:

>The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com> wrote:
>
>> Oh well...probably another MACKEY attempt at fostering support for
>> himself.
>
>He is kind of proud of himself, I've noticed.
>
>I just got a tape recording back from where
>it was taken from his hovel. He's standing
>in front of the bathroom mirror, muttering
>sweet nothings to himself, thusly:
>
>"Ohhhh Thmackthie, you are jutht the cooletht
>dude I ever thaw in all my life. You are
>one handthom fella. Well, it'th time to
>take a thit and a thower, tho I'll thee
>you later, you thweet, theckthy, thon-of-a-gun.
>
><gack>
HAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHA
ROTFLOL

3978. Yo!: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35964eae.29723952@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155090

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

One and the same, of course, but anyway, I
hope you know that I laugh my butt off watching
the energy and resources that are being expended
in this mental fixation you have on me.

Please understand,(if you can), that your paltry
3 MB e-mail bombs arrive in seconds and are
automatically deleted in a heartbeat. Please
understand that I don't use modems anymore. My
full-time connection to the Internet is faster
than six T-1 lines put together. That means
your paltry 3MB junk files come in and are wiped
out in a span of under 5-seconds. <blink>

Your hundreds of 1-k empty e-mails are treated
in the same fashion. <blink>

So please understand the grand entertainment you
are providing me and which I now share with the
newsgroup....because you must be consuming gobs
of time and money in your obsession with me. Let's
see, at 56-kbps, it takes a minimum of ten minutes
to send those 3 MB files. Most connections aren't
near 56-k, though, and on a v.34 modem, you're
eating up 20-mins of on-line time to send ONE.

Add to that all the time it takes to create
your silly forgeries, rogue cancels, and bogus
e-mails, and WOW! You must be spending entire
DAYS with ME in your mind.

I take that as a compliment. But before you
continue complimenting and entertaining me, YOU
need to come to grips with the fact that my
computer system and Internet connection has a
titanium steel condom wrapped around it, and if
that's not enough, there's also a plasma energy
force field around it as well.

Your antics are harmless and at worst, cause YOU
a lot more investment of time, money, and resources
compared to the few moments it takes me to read and
laugh at the entries in the system logs.

Your antics have been very funny, to say the least.
And I take great pleasure in having cost you lots
of time and money to play your silly games. However,
there are lots of ISP's and Internet professionals
out there who aren't so amused.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

3979. For Sale: BC 100 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <Bolt@pe.net>
Message-ID: <359650A4.14E0@pe.net>
Source record: 155091

I just bought a new BC 235 XLT and am selling my 100 XLT.

11 Bands
100 channels
A/C adapter
Leather Case
Manual
Great condition

$150 0r best offer takes it.....reply to charger55.usa.net

3980. Re: need help modifying BCT7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35975083.30193261@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 155092

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Markus Geiger" <geig@mtco.com> wrote:

> can some one help me make my bct7 so that i can get all the cell phones in
> the 800mhz to 900mhz range????

Scanners have not been modifiable for cellular
since April, 1994, thanks to an Act of Congress.

See my SCANNER MODIFICATION FAQ recently posted
to this newsgroup.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

3981. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: wgewald@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <6n5ng9$9bq$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 155093
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6mmcgc$25c$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
Clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> i've sat by watching all this lunacy and said nothing. now i want to talk.
> the man called bill cheeks is a dick. he is an old worn out has been who
> tries to prey on those he can fool. he will never agree to any type of truce
> or plan to end the problems because he loves to cause problems and that is
> all he lives for.
>
> i know bill cheeks from about 10 or 15 years ago in colorado, back when
> he started to modify scanners and cb radios. a two way shop in denver used to
> laugh at all the radios they had to fix after he had tried to work on them.
>
> why he had to leave the colorado area is another story and why he had to live
> outside the Denver city limits is yet another story.
>
> cheeks has no electronics knowledge other than what he could learn from
> the magazine popular electronics which he had subscribed to for
> many years. when I knew him in colorado he was taking a correspondence
> course to get his electronics degree from one of the mail order schools
> that advertise in popular electronics.
>
> Then his wife left him, he had some legal problems arise in colorado,
> and he soon left the state.
>
> The stories go on and on. Some one should ask cheeks about the
> satellite dish he blew up!
>
> cheeks deserves everything he gets on here and more. the only ones
> who support him are the ones he can fool.
>
> ------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading
>

Blew up a satellite dish? I didn't know they were inflatable.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

3982. FS or trade : MVT-7000(Yupiteru scanner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Akio Fujikawa <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <359657A0.686AE89D@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 155094
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Hello!
I have Yupiteru MVT-7000(made in japan).
MVT-7000 is popular scanner in Japan.
It is exc.-mint. condition.

For sale or trade.
I hope trade, Sony radio ICF-2010 or SW77.

Best regards,
akio fujikawa
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp

3983. Re: 900 MHZ cordless telephones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeri Stevens <goshcowy@aol.com>
Message-ID: <35965633.4CDD@aol.com>
Source record: 155095

Thanks a lot. I'll start scanning in that range and
see what I come up with. Thanks, again!

3984. Need Help Uniden Sportcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rand E. Tomcala" <rtomcala@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <35968CFC.1F32@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 155096
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just purchased a Uniden Bearcat "Twin Turbo Sportcat" and am in dire need of some assistance on how to operate it. A copy of an owners manual would be great ($), email on topic would also be welcome. I can't even figure out how to program this thing.
Thank You,
Rand E.

3985. Re: Scanner in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <DanR@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <359666B1.C7F29378@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 155097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

TraceyLevin wrote:

> Mark, you bet it matters! If Billy The Jerk Tauzins bill passes the
> Senate, you may become a felon for having a scanner that will pick up
> 800 Mhz! Any freedom that is taken away from you is very very hard to
> regain. Tauzin will be back soon to outlaw more frequencies if we let
> him.
> WE ALL CAN MAKE A DIFFERENCE! There are only 8 weeks left in this
> session of congress. Find out who your two Senators are and call them
> or fax them a note telling them that you will not support them in
> upcoming elections if they vote to pass HR2369 which is the bill that
> will outlaw many many frequenices that scanners can now legally receive!
> And, it is possible that anyone who owns a scanner that can receive
> those frequncies could be fined, or imprisoned for owning such eqpt.
> HR2369 is a bill that can be interpreted many ways by various law
> enforcement agencies.
> Please write, or call your two Senate members quickly! There are only
> 8 weeks left! And they may vote on this really soon! Call them today
> if you can!

You about four months late on this! On Mar5, the House Of Representatives voted 414-1 to PASS HR2369. It is expected to be up in the Senate soon, and is expected to receive absolutley NO opposition. You have your work cut out for you. BTW, all the bill does is say over again that modifiying radios for cellular, or listening to cellular is illegal, and adds that PCS is also illegal to monitor. Despite the change from "OR" to "AND" in "receive and divulge", after all our faxes, e-mails, and phone calls, Tauzin's office added specific exemptions. The bill could NOT be used to stop us from monitoring public safety or other analog two way radio. Check out http://www.popcomm.com for more info.

Dan
removes all 4 "x"'s in my address to reply.

3986. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35968A06.190CA110@swbell.net>
Source record: 155098

He doesn't want anyone know he is using webtv so they can't complain
about him .
Darn , I wasn't suppose to mention webtv.

3987. Re: Derek Yerger --- You 'D Man .... Check Your Messages Again !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <35966ECA.21455D96@icdc.com>
Source record: 155099

what? to tell the truth, no i dont see them. no i am not trying to raise
a subject to flame about either.

ZeroLight wrote:

> I Wasnt Thanking ... Gee, Thought I Would Help Some People Out By
> Posting Some Scanner/Radio Mods, And You Sit There And Say I Wasnt
> Thanking, Gee... Sorry.
>
> Why Dont You Check Again, I See xx/51 Messages Here On My End,
> And I Would Like To Know If Anyone Else Doesnt See Them, If They Dont,
>
> I Will Be More Then Happy To Repost Them.
>
> Next Time, Why Dont You Just Chill, And Stop Jumping The Gun When
> Someone
> Has A Life With Other Things To Do Besides Just Constant Get New
> Messages
> And Post Flames As Soon As They Can.
>
> Oh, I Hope Any Scanner Mods That You MIGHT Like Are In Here Too !!!!
>
> Peace.

3988. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <35966FB8.F4A9647E@icdc.com>
Source record: 155100

what? i put it in the form of a question and you chose to flame- thought
you were against this.
K4CC wrote:

> Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com> queries:
>
> > runs this ng, really?
>
> I thought it was you, from the smug tone of your posts.
> Fondly,
>
> Dave, K4CC
> USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
> Nashville TN

3989. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35968B95.3216F145@swbell.net>
Source record: 155101

You have to remember John got his license from a cracker jack box.

3990. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <3596706D.7E9D5C50@icdc.com>
Source record: 155102

you know, you are right. he just has to be heard, has to have something
to do.

allsafe wrote:

> Derek Yerger wrote:
>
> > wow. what an annoyance. i say lets hold a massive
> > complaint-to-makey's-isp expo. Then if his isp gets too many
> complaints,
> > he will be off. or do same for whoever runs this ng. who runs this
> ng,
> > really?
> >
>
> Happens all the time. The friggin' loon stays a few hairs within the
> boundries of criminal behaviour when he posts under a variation of his
>
> anti-filter Mackey handle.
>
> But once you whack him into submission; out pops a fleet of bogus
> posts,
> forgeries, and rogue cancels.

3991. Re: Comments on Icom R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: skypilot@starlink.com
Message-ID: <MPG.10001c52d71bc63d98986d@news.starlink.com>
Source record: 155103

In article <6n4lc0hoq1@news-1.news.gte.net>,
mmaseda@gte.net says...
> I just purchased an Icom R9000. While I'm waiting to receive it does anyone
> have any positive or negative opinions about it?
>
> Manuel W4SSB
>
>
>
Isn't it a little late to ask?
--
Bill Funk

"All I ask is an opportunity to prove that money
doesn't buy happiness."

3992. Re: bill cheeks [sic]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: skypilot@starlink.com
Message-ID: <MPG.10001cb39ba84f4f98986e@news.starlink.com>
Source record: 155104

In article <6n406l$lpg@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>,
ulrich@null.net says...
>
> TheLibNet wrote in message
> <1998062721341600.RAA16939@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
> >
> >Well, no kidding it's an impersonator. I doubt Bill would call HIMSELF a
> >"fag!"
>
> ... Or refer to himself in the third person.
>
> Andy Ulrich
>
>
>
Or misspell his own name.
--
Bill Funk

"All I ask is an opportunity to prove that money
doesn't buy happiness."

3993. DFW Area Scan Radio ICQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry.adair@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n5s0d$mi3@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 155105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

DFW Area Scan Radio ICQ list will have a general discussion tonight Sunday June 28, 1998 on IRC, EFnet #dfwscan, everyone is invited come join us.

3994. Skip alive in NH today 6/28 ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: DA <mapman@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <35966F89.5A6B21A0@nh.ultranet.com>
Source record: 155106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello from New Hampshire!

Skip is working well this morning. Have heard comms on many frequencies.

I've monitored the following: 42.06, 42.60, 42.12, and 42.38. Since 10 am. EST.

42.06: dispatcher(female) " '805' it just won't run??"; "put in neutral,

and won't start"; "we'll call someone and get back to you"; "10-4, 389" code used was 10-17; "(male dispatcher) "1154, do you copy?"; "805 on eastbound I-44"; possibly Lawrence County; "805, will ride in with Hilliard's, does not need assistance";

42.38: KAA203 call sign used; "subject has negative 29, out of Fairview Heights, Ill."

Other comms not very readable to get confirmation.
Happy listening!

P.S. still getting all these, and 42.56 at 12:25 EST.
--
^^
DA ---NH
F1 Fan PSCR Fan
70.56.55w, 43.04.10n
----------------------------ooooooo--------------------------

3995. 900 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: BOSOX <savy@ma.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <01bda2b4$5423fea0$8c4106d1@savy1>
Source record: 155107

Can you pick up 900mhz cordless phones?? Not that I would listen just curious.

TIA

3996. Re: Garage Door Openers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevver@mcs.com
Message-ID: <359672f7.152479241@news.mcs.net>
Source record: 155108

>There is an FCC web page that you can go to and input the FCC ID # of the
>transmitter (usually listed on the transmitter) and the page will come up with
>the transmitting frequency. I used to have this page address and would VERY
>much appreciate it if anyone has it and could pass the address around.
>

I think this is it (recently changed) ...
http://www.fcc.gov/oet/fccid/

kevver

3997. Filter out mackey successfully!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <35967518.10BF7C15@icdc.com>
Source record: 155109

No, this is not a gimmick. FREE! Filter out unwanted John Mackeys by filtering out his signature! He has never changed them (i think)! There are no strings attatched!

(this was meant to sound like a tv commercial, the subject influenced me to do that)

3998. Re: Skip alive in NH today 6/28 ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35a47ac5.11824610@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 155110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>42.06: dispatcher(female) " '805' it just won't run??"; "put in neutral,
>
>and won't start"; "we'll call someone and get back to you"; "10-4, 389"
>code used was 10-17; "(male dispatcher) "1154, do you copy?"; "805 on
>eastbound I-44"; possibly Lawrence County; "805, will ride in with
>Hilliard's, does not need assistance";

To me, this sounds like the Missouri Highway Patrol, operating on 42.06 and 42.12 MHz. There is a Lawrence County in Southwest Missouri, and I-44 runs from Springfield to St. Louis.

3999. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <359689A0.774CB009@netwit.com>
Source record: 155111

Robert H. Eisner wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> >
> > bill cheeks is a fag
>
> The above post was forged from US.WORLD.NET via Open NNTP
> Server news.campbellhall.org.
>
> Path:
> wn6!attworldnet!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!206.214.99.1!ix.netcom.com!news.campbellhall.org!not-for-mail
> From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Subject: bill cheeks is a fag
> Date: Sat, 27 Jun 1998 12:12:08 -0700
> Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
> Lines: 2
> Message-ID: <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>
> Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
> NNTP-Posting-Host: p102.ezpool.us.world.net <=======
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
>
> whois 198.145.199.102@whois.arin.net
> [rs.arin.net]
> AUSNet Services, Inc. (NETBLK-AUSNET2)
> Suite 601
> 400 SW Sixth Avenue
> Portland, OR 97204
>
> Netname: NETBLK-AUSNET2
> Netblock: 198.145.0.0 - 198.145.255.255
> Maintainer: AUS
>
> Coordinator:
> Registry, AUSNet Domain (ADR5-ARIN) registry@US.WORLD.NET
> +1 503 242-1400 (FAX) +1 503 242-1375
>
> Domain System inverse mapping provided by:
>
> NS.WORLD.NET 192.243.32.10

> NS1.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.117.214.10
> NS2.SPRINTLINK.NET 199.2.252.10
> NS3.SPRINTLINK.NET 204.97.212.10
>
> Record last updated on
> 09-Jun-98.
> Database last updated on 26-Jun-98 16:16:39 EDT.
>
> The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
> Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
> Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
> Information and nic.ddn.mil for MILNET Information.

Man, that WHOIS stuff is neat. I havn't had a chance to put my client software to work much; you guys
are already all over that stuff before I even think to leave my reader. Thanks for the post. (All around
Portland Oregon?, gee.....I wonder who *that* could be?)

4000. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3595D927.2A79@parg.org>
Source record: 155112

Bill Cheek wrote:

> I'm pleased to see you admitting to a criminal
> act. Now folks can see more clearly why they
> have to pay higher prices for stuff. Thanks
SNIP
> But at least you were stupid enough to admit
SNIP
> Every newsgroup needs a friggin nincompoop. Thanks
> to you

Now we see the cause of the problems!

4001. Re: Skip alive in NH today 6/28 ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: teenham@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062818210400.OAA00464@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155113

>Hello from New Hampshire!
>
>Skip is working well this morning. Have heard comms on many frequencies.
>
>I've monitored the following: 42.06, 42.60, 42.12, and 42.38. Since 10
>am. EST.
>
>42.06: dispatcher(female) " '805' it just won't run??"; "put in neutral,
>
>and won't start"; "we'll call someone and get back to you"; "10-4, 389"
>code used was 10-17; "(male dispatcher) "1154, do you copy?"; "805 on
>eastbound I-44"; possibly Lawrence County; "805, will ride in with
>Hilliard's, does not need assistance";
>
>42.38: KAA203 call sign used; "subject has negative 29, out of Fairview
>Heights, Ill."
>
>Other comms not very readable to get confirmation.

I have heard the same thing on those freqs this morning also, in Southeastern, PA. They were coming in louder than the local police dispatch freqs! Skip is such a wonderfull and interesting thing!

73

4002. ***FS: RS PRO-39 SCANNER (GAPLESS) W/ACCESSORIES***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: teenham@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062818232200.OAA03851@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 155114

Hello there...

I have for sale a Radio Shack PRO-39 portable scanner. Has 200 memory channels (well, it's actually 210 if you count the 10 monitor channels also,) Hyperscan mode (blazing 25 channels per second scanning and 50 steps per second searching rate.) The scanner covers the following frequency bands: 30-50, 50-54, 108-136.975, 137-144, 144-148, 148-174, 380-450, 470-512, and GAPLESS 806-960 MHz (with proper 30 kHz spacing in proper portions of the 800 MHz band, and normal 12.5 kHz spacing elsewhere.) (Mod was professionally done.) The scanner is in MINT condition (I hate to use the word "mint," but in this case, it truely DOES apply!!!) There are NO SCRATCHES anywhere on the scanner. Scanner comes with the following accessories: "Rubber Duck" type antenna, six Radio Shack Rechargeable AA NiCADs (1.25V, 650 mAh) catalog # 23-125, Radio Shack Home AC adapter/charger (9V DC 300mA output) catalog # 20-188, Radio Shack Mobile DC adapter/charger (Catalog # 270-1562.) Plus I have the original box, packaging, and manual. Date code 8A3. Made in Japan. $200 for everything. Willing to ship COD (cash only, no checks!) or postal money order. Thanks for reading.

73
Eugene D.
N3ZVM
TeenHam@aol.com
Near Philadelphia, PA.

4003. BC3000XLT BATTERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARY BURCHETT <gsburchett@cooke.net>
Message-ID: <01bda2c3$3aaa3e80$8860aacf@garyburchett>
Source record: 155115

Does anyone know a good(inexpensive) source for a replacement battery-pack
for the BC3000XLT. Uniden has decided to charge $52.95 for a replacement
pack.
A 'AA' pack would be nice also.

Any help is Appreciated.

Gary Burchett

4004. FS: Pro-46 handheld scanner with extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <3596187E.48BF@blarg.net>
Source record: 155116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Recieves on:
29- 54mhz FM
66- 88mhz FM (after keypad trick)
108-137mhz AM
137-174mhz FM
406-512mhz FM
806-956mhz FM (unblocked)

Has 100 memories in 10 banks. Runs off of 4 AA batteries.
Included are the AC adaptor / charger, and instruction manual.
The restoration trick involves soldering SMT components,
I've allready done that for you. Plus, you can undo the hardware
mod and then do the keypad mod. "Oops, sorry about resetting it
like that officer, but as you can see, this can't do cell..." :)

It works just fine and has no problems.

Asking $140, or best offer. Remember, the price is open
to negotiation.

I'm selling because I'm currently between jobs and don't
use this at all anymore. I need the money more than I need the
hobby.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

4005. FS: Optoelectronics Scout and ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bnb@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3598f923.34092084@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 154717

Optoelectronics Scout 40, version 3.1 w/manual, charger, CC30 case and Optoelectronics N100 FM Broadcast Band Notch Filter. Current Opto price = $457.00 plus shipping. Will sell for $325.00, free shipping in US.

Unit is like new and works fine. It's about 2 years young.

Computer Aided Technologies Cat-Whisker - 2 swivel base scanner antenna $12.00

GRE Super Converter II 810-912 MHz range $100.00 like new

RS 20-006 telescoping antenna $10.00

Thanks,

Bob Good, W4XYZ

4006. FS : Secret CB - complete set

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mcleod <jmcleod@pacific.net>
Message-ID: <3597FE2A.9F2660DE@pacific.net>
Source record: 154718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I have the complete collection of "Secret cb" books vols 1 thru 29, modifications, extra channels, power mods, the works. The classic collection ! Excellent condition.

$80 + shipping

John

4007. F.S. PRO-2046 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.Smith" <rusty@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <3597FFE0.DB0796A9@direct.ca>
Source record: 154719

```
Selling PRO-2046 MOBILE SCANNER
100 CHANNELS,800 MHZ,POLICE,FIRE,WEATHER,CORDLESS PHONE ETC,
1 YEAR OLD,SELLS FOR $229 IN CATALOG,COMES WITH AC,DC ADAPTER.
ASKING $135
```

4008. Re: florida highway patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062921001500.RAA09159@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154720

Bill,

FHP, along with most other state law enforcement agencies (marine patrol, etc.) have switched to an all-digital Motorola Astro system from Brevard County south, and west to Orlando. (The switchover isn't quite complete, but should be within a few weeks.)
Anyway, since it's a digital system all you'll hear if you try to monitor the frequencies is a digital data stream. Not having heard it myself yet I don't know if it sounds like static hiss, ala DVP encryption, or like a fax machine or modem. In any even, you're not going to hear any normal voice. Sorry I don't have better news.

John Ward

4009. Re: florida highway patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <orlscan@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <8kTl1.72$si5.291533@news3.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154721

Bill,

FHP In Brevard,Deland, and Orlando Have Made the Switch Over to Motorola Astro Which is Digital,

No More listening to FHP and all other state Agency's for us on the Scanner for now.

They Made the Switch on thursday Between 8PM and 10PM

Jason

>Does anyone have information on the trunked system the Florida Highway patrol
>switched to? They are off their old VHF freq. in South and Central Florida.
>I programmed the trunked freq in my scanner but can;t find them..
>
>Appreciate any info.....Bill Cross

Jason Webb EMT/EMD
The Orlando TrunkTracking Page
http://www.swl.net/orlandotrunking/
E-Mail : orlscan@bellsouth.net

Confidence is high Repeat Confidence is high
Commander Insert your key

4010. Re: florida highway patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062921094900.RAA08042@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154722

In article <8kTl1.72$si5.291533@news3.mco.bellsouth.net>, "Jason Webb"
<orlscan(idonotwantspam)@bellsouth.net> writes:

>
>FHP In Brevard,Deland, and Orlando Have Made the Switch Over to Motorola
>Astro Which is Digital,
>
>No More listening to FHP and all other state Agency's for us on the Scanner
>for now.

Ain't it great to know your tax dollars have been used to fund a multi-million
dollar radio system so that the public servants who draw their salaries from
your tax dollars can now do as they please without you being able to monitor
their actions?

4011. Ambulance Dispatch Signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: lfdgajr@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062921221500.RAA09690@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154723

We have just had a new 911 system emerge in my area. The Chattooga County Georgia 911, has now taken over dispatch for all emergency services in Chattooga County. Among these is the Chattooga County Ambulance Service. They have started using Dispatch Signals instead of plain english. Does anyone know what these Chattooga County Ambulance Dispatch Signals are? or have any lists of Ambulance Dispatch signals that might be used generic1y? The only other signals I could find from agencies in the area are obviously not the same because today they dispatched a Signal 10 for a 60 y/o female and the only list of Signals I could find has a Signal 10 as a female in labor.
Firefighter\BLS Certified
Walker County Emergency Services Station 13

Supervisor
Walker County Sheriff's Office Explorer Division

4012. Re: florida highway patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Webb <orlscan@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6qTl1.73$si5.295579@news3.mco.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154724

JT and the Gang,

The Switch Over is about 99% Complete here as per FHP Comm Center

FHP Orlando
FHP Brevard
FHP Deland
FHP Turnpike

Are Now on the System and have been Advised that their VHF Radios are 10-7
(Out of service).

FHP Has Moved their Comm Center in Orlando to a New location on HWY 436
(Semoran BLVD) and the Old VHF Equipment is not there

Trunker WILL Monitor the System But ID'ing the Talkgroups is a pain

Hope This Helps,
Jason

Jason Webb EMT/EMD
The Orlando TrunkTracking Page
http://www.swl.net/orlandotrunking/

Confidence is high Repeat Confidence is high
Commander Insert your key

4013. Re: 900 MHZ cordless telephones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: admin404@mailexcite.com
Message-ID: <35981346.3356466@news.greatbasin.net>
Source record: 154725

Go check out phonelosers.org for more scanner info, I saw a whole list
of the cordless phone frequencies, I am working to get my scanner now.
404

4014. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvBxy6.D0K@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154726

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998, stuart upton wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes In article<3598c33e.61810882
> <3598c33e.61810882@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> > Just use wildcards in your twit filter.
> > Like this:
> >
> > J?hn?Mackey John??ackey
> > ?ohn?Mackey John?M?ckey
> > Jo?n?Mackey John?Ma?key
> > Joh??Mackey John?Mac?ey
> > etc. etc.
>
> Yes, I'm sure it works great, as evidenced by the fact that I still see
> 3600 LBS OF __YOUR__BULLSHIT EVERYTIME I OPEN THIS GROUP.

Geez, "Stuart"... And Bill only uses ONE header. Don't you know how to
type <bcheek> into your filter, dimwit??

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

4015. FOR SALE OR TRADE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: JEFFREY <jeffrey@globalbiz.net>
Message-ID: <01bda3ad$ed59d8c0$5ea73ece@jeffrey.globalbiz.nei>
Source record: 154727

FOR SALE OR TRADE BC9000XLT...NEW IN THE BOX....BEST OFFER OF LOOKING FOR A KENWOOD TH G71A DUAL BANDER HANDIE TALKIE

JEFFREY
KB8YFZ
313 522 1230

4016. Re: 900 MHZ cordless telephones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <3597A011.F5EFCC09@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 154728

Here is how the 900 MHz cordless frequencies are arranged you'll need to fill in the channels from 4-37 just remember they are all equally-spaced at 128 kHz intervals:

Channel 1 2 3.....
38 39 40
Tx frequency (MHz) 902.144 902.272 902.400.....906.880
907.008 907.136
Rx frequency (MHz) 922.880 923.008 923.136.....927.616
927.744 927.872

Mike
WB8VLC

4017. My 200XLT died with a "snap"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: apple2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062922390600.SAA19855@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154729

While powering up my trusty 200XLT, it gave a "snap" or
small "pop" and went dead. The only functioning portion is the display... it
scans 200 channels endlessly (correct frequencies) but never captures
one...zero audio output with squelch and volume completely inoperative through
the internal speaker or earphone.

The dial light doesn't function either.

Anyone know of any likely component culprits?
Short of that, how about a reputable repair facility? It's been a great radio
since 1991, and I'd hate to scrap it now.

Thanks in advance,

Apple2000@aol.com

4018. Re: Cordless Phone Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <3597A532.2A6559BA@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 154730

Some of the older phones use a dip switch to set a unique code in the handset that matches that in the base, these are similar to those used in garage door openers. The newer 900 MHz phones receive the handset initilization code when placed in the base charger/cradle. So you should be able to use a similar Mfg. phone if you can confirm that they use dip switches. It's worth a try if you can find a used one at a swap meet or a garage sale for a good price.

Mike

4019. Re: florida highway patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: charington@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6n95dk$jkh@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154731

Greetings..
You said that "Trunker WILL monitor the system"
Are you saying that the trunking radios (BC895XLT WILL pick up the
voice on the FHP system?
Thanks
John (On the verge of buying a BC895XLT)

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 21:11:30 GMT, "Jason Webb"
<orlscan(idonotwantspam)@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>JT and the Gang,
>
>The Switch Over is about 99% Complete here as per FHP Comm Center
>
>FHP Orlando
>FHP Brevard
>FHP Deland
>FHP Turnpike
>
>Are Now on the System and have been Advised that their VHF Radios are 10-7
>(Out of service).
>
>FHP Has Moved their Comm Center in Orlando to a New location on HWY 436
>(Semoran BLVD) and the Old VHF Equipment is not there
>
>Trunker WILL Monitor the System But ID'ing the Talkgroups is a pain
>
>Hope This Helps,
>Jason
>
>Jason Webb EMT/EMD
>The Orlando TrunkTracking Page
>http://www.swl.net/orlandotrunking/
>---
>Confidence is high Repeat Confidence is high
>Commander Insert your key
>
>

4020. Re: florida highway patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccreeper@inetnow.net
Message-ID: <35980f5a.66287185@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 154732

thelibnet@aol.com (TheLibNet) wrote:

>In article <8kTl1.72$si5.291533@news3.mco.bellsouth.net>, "Jason Webb"
><orlscan(idonotwantspam)@bellsouth.net> writes:
>
>>
>>FHP In Brevard,Deland, and Orlando Have Made the Switch Over to Motorola
>>Astro Which is Digital,
>>
>>No More listening to FHP and all other state Agency's for us on the Scanner
>>for now.
>
>Ain't it great to know your tax dollars have been used to fund a multi-million
>dollar radio system so that the public servants who draw their salaries from
>your tax dollars can now do as they please without you being able to monitor
>their actions?

The good news is, quite frankly, the future. Demand is building for a
digital trunktracker, we just have to keep bugging Uniden or some
other scanner manufacturer. We've conquered analog trunking (motorola
anyways), and it's stupid to think consumers won't be able to monitor
this technology in the not too distant future, as long as it is a
public digital system, not IDEN, it is lawful to monitor (depending
upon what state you might live in, of course :)

Creeper
--
Take off one of the "C's" in ccreeper@inetnow.net when sending personal replies via email.

4021. WY Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C.J. LEE" <lml@cstonedesign.com>
Message-ID: <35981B84.230B2CF0@cstonedesign.com>
Source record: 154733

Hey guys, i'm going to WY next week and i need
freqs for same. Anyone?
There probably isn't much to listen to out there
but i'd like to try just the same. ;-{)
Thanks
CJ LEE

4022. Scanner Web Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: scotmockup@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062923303200.TAA26576@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154734

Heres a good web site that has Descramblers,
Signal Meters, Service Manuals and tons of scanner links..
Check it out...

<A HREF="http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html">
Scanner Web Site</A>
http://members.aol.com/scotmockup/indexgames.html

4023. Re: My 200XLT died with a "snap"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: deforger@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062923440300.TAA00764@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154735

Yes i have the same problem.The q201 transitor let go because of a shorted capacitor.
I will send you a file that i recieved from Bob Parness.You might have seen his postings on fixing Bearcat scanners

Good luck
Robert

4024. Re: SKIP all day; more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DA <mapman@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <359827E5.490EAD46@nh.ultranet.com>
Source record: 154736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Arise wrote:

Hello!

Ive been Dxing the lowband for a while but havnt had much success.How do
you
look for an opening? I have only heard skip twice this year.I have a
nice
random wire outside so I know its not the antenna.And what are the best
times
to do this?

I only scan 2 banks of lowband. These are ones from scanner book with my BC3000xlt. I just put them in for the various states. I had heard some of these last summer too.

We've also had quite a few storms, which may effect the listening.
--
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
DA ---NH
F1 Fan PSR Fan
70.56.55w, 43.04.10n
------------ooooooo------------

4025. SKIP very busy now ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DA <mapman@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <359829FE.D47A555D@nh.ultranet.com>
Source record: 154737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

154.98: 'Cumberland Tank 2 off 1...' @19:27 EST
'Cumberland Engine 5, helping another company get H20
from their Water department'
Windham(ME?)

42.5: Lincolnville, others ... @19:30 EST
couple minutes later, 'Jasper, Effingham, others, Nat.Weather
service just issued a tornado warning until 7:45'; male dispatcher,
repeated message, mentioning: sw Illinois, 'Boggs cty'??, carbonville;
giving other town names.

also 39.22 active
--
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
DA ---NH
F1 Fan PSR Fan
70.56.55w, 43.04.10n
----------ooooooo-------------

4026. In Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Catherine <blanch@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <01bda2e5$0deba740$22bc73d1@blanch>
Source record: 154738

I would like to no if there is a place in Canada that sells these descamblers. Thankyou Regards Catherine

4027. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: The CB Guy <thecbguy@iname.com>
Message-ID: <35982B02.D27CFB1C@iname.com>
Source record: 154739

Gee Stuart, you wouldn't see the crap if you used your filter.

stuart upton wrote:
>

>
> Not the point, you flap-eared ass-kisser. If bill ckeeks filters work so
> good, why does he fill the group with shit? You condone his behaviour?
> Then why are you sticking up for him like a school-yard punk? Hmmm?

--

The Cb Guy

Back again at http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Ridge/8467/cbradio.htm
Please update any links to my past page address.

4028. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35984157.90A59ED0@netwit.com>
Source record: 154740

John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF wrote:

> Doktor Tripp wrote:
> > Damn Mackey,, trying to get around my kill filter ? What a loser you
> > are to change posting names just to avoid being filtered.
>
> WRONG! I am attempting to prevent receiving advertising SPAM!

Yo! Loony Boy! Common sense checking in with a little tidbit for ya:
Stick with the "experiments" for "the good of all people someday" bit.
You'll get a little more mileage out of that lie.

This lie here:

>WRONG! I am attempting to prevent receiving advertising SPAM!

Is a real boner. Once you change your header to an Invalid ID, people
can't reply to your posted address. Duh. Exchanging one invalid ID for
another invalid ID is pointless. Duh.

You see what I mean? This one sucks. You gotta go back to the other
lie. You know, that "Mackey the Scientist" bit. I always liked that one.

G'waaaaan: You *know* you want to tell it.

you friggin' loon.

4029. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35985C15.C06B2290@swbell.net>
Source record: 154741

And why is Stewie using a forged from header in his postings ,what does he have to hide?

4030. Washington DC Frequecies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Schmidt <bryschmidt@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3598492A.334A472B@mindspring.com>
Source record: 154742

I'm going to be in washington DC and would like some freqs for the area

Local fire dept's
dulles airport
military/navel/coast gaurd

thanks
Bryan

4031. Additional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7660-35983EDA-2@newsd-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 154743

Also Howard how would you know the two neighbors weren't interfering if you can only hear the one closet to you. And if everything else was equal their distances from you and so forth one of the phone would have changed to a clear channel.

Best Regards,
Steve Goeller

4032. Re: Cordless Phone Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC2BTP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7663-35983E3C-1@newsd-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 154744

One Reason why the cordless phones on the same freq never hear each other because all and most of the older cordless phones have auto scanning of the frequencies. In other words my phone is on two and so is my neighbors. I want to use mine and my neighbor's phone is in use. when I push the talk button on the phone is automatically changes the freq to a clear channel. I even tried doing it manually but it wouldn't work. Not that I listen to cordless phones on my scanner but my neighbor was on channel 3. I picked up my phone and pushed the channel button on the phone to change it. It would not go to channel 3 it just skipped over that, On some of the older cordless phones there is interference. And of course one phone doesn't ring the other and can't dial out because each different phone and phone manufactor has different security codes.

Best Regards,
Steve Goeller

4033. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35984AF3.35834E20@netwit.com>
Source record: 154745

John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
>
> > Another little minor change for your header? Still carrying on
> > research "for the good of all people someday?"
>
> Correct!
>
>
> >When using computers at colleges you must prove
> enrollment as a student or faculty member.

No you don't. You walk into the college library or computer center and sit your butt down in front of an empty terminal. I'm a professional student. I've been taking one night class a semester since the dawn of time. Hell, the the computer labs have "open hours" where the only scrutiny you receive is a clipboard by the door where you write down your name and class.

> I deny your accusation
> above & you can prove this by simply calling the colleges in question
> & asking if I am on their roster as staff or student.

Staff or Student? Of course not, I'd start in the janitorial and maintenance departments.

> I accuse YOU of taking actions to attempt to start or further a flame
> war on this NG. Your excuses of your actions are things you either
> know, or should know, better of.
>

mmmmm I dunno. I'm pretty consistent. It's obvious I'm here for amusement and you're about those most amusing loon I've ever encountered. You know: I was reading your posts where you were getting taken apart on your techno-babble. I couldn't get in on that session of "Whack the Mackey". Not my area of expertise. I have to wait 'til those guys get done with ya and you wander back down here to Stupidsville so's I can get a whack at ya.

But ya know: You made a valiant effort to try to sound academic up there in Tech Town (I mean, if you put aside that "Not knowing what you're talking about" thingy).

I would read those posts and think "Wow, this guy *almost* sounds normal." But something still wasn't quite right. It's that "arrogant prick" tone. I swear to God, it was there as clear as day in middle of all that.....technical stuff. You must be plagued with that. I dunno how to get that "arrogant prick" tone out of your writing. I'll be it even comes through on your

Christmas cards.

So I dunno: You've got this despicable presence on the USENET, but deep down inside: you're just an arrogant prick.

I feel like I exerted myself opening and extremely ugly package only to find a "real-life" turd.

you friggin' loon.

4034. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35984DDA.29FD0343@netwit.com>
Source record: 154746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

D. P. Roberts wrote:

> Bill, Bill.
>
> You know I love you.
>
> I have all of your scanner books and I subscribed to the scanner newsletter
> (until it got really deep into the computer aspect, and I'm all thumbs with a
> soldiering iron), and even got a whole bunch of back copies of EMTJ, although
> under a different name. Yes, either D.P. Roberts or the name I used is not
> quite the real thing.
> Yes, Mackaroooooon is just as blustery as Slick Willy. They both talk a good
> game, but their words are empty. Both as windy as a sack full of farts.

There's something screwy about this. I remember seeing a D.P. Roberts post
with a sig file announcing Chicago University Alumini. Is this Glenn?

4035. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <35985126.BED48772@uswest.net>
Source record: 154747

Its a real funny thing, John,
You are responsible for some of the forging that goes on here (regardless of whether you did it personally or not). The logic behind this is simple: You goad others into doing your dirty work for you (or against you). Your actions and statements in group are designed (by intent or otherwise) to piss people off.

So, when you go away, so will most of the problems.

Hawke

John.Mackey.KA0SSF wrote:

> George Vetterle wrote:
>
> > Bob,
> > I'd like to personally thank you for your ongoing efforts in tracking
> > rogue cancellers and forgers. I know from following n.a.n.a.u.,
>
> I would like to echo that! It would be nice if the crap on here would
> simply STOP. Of course, as long as a certain someone acts in such
> a way to invite trouble from his So.California location, things probably
> won't change. :(
>
> > "Proof? I ain't got no proof!
> > I don't need no proof! I don't
> > got to show you any stinking
> > proof!"-J. Mackey, Net Cop
>
> I LIKE THIS!!!!!!

4036. Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <359860DA.6E1D@usa.net>
Source record: 154748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

George Vetterle wrote:
> Posted by John Mackey to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet (nanau) via MCI
> and inserted into open server news.campbellhall.org (same server used
> for recent forges and rogue cancels).

that's right George!

I heard everyone talking about this server being open, so I tried
it myself & on a friend's ISP. Worked for both! So it is indeed
wide open! (Infact, I am posting from it right now!)

However, I did not make any forgeries or rogue cancels from
that, or any other, server!

4037. Re: FS:BC-200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Delgadillo <avenger-es@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <35985DCA.58D2@rocketmail.com>
Source record: 154749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

kgirard@mindex.com wrote:
>
> I have forsale a Bearcat 200xlt scanner. I am asking $150.00 for it.
> thanks

Does it have 800 Mhz?

4038. Bugging frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Highcove Family <Highcove-family@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n9prs$qcs@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I was wondering, does anyone have any experience finding bugging freqs, and if so, are there some specific frequencies (not ranges) that have proved consistently successful? Please do not refer me to any web pages, as I have seen them all, and they are mostly filled with ranges to search (and one has a list of wireless mic freqs, not exactly what I am looking for). I do not have time to search ranges, I just wanted 50 or so freqs to plug in (if possible). Maybe I'm wrong on all of this, and maybe there are no set freqs per se, but if there are, I'd appreciate any help I can get. Thanks in advance to any responses.

4039. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <35987012.36E8CA12@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 154751
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

George Vetterle wrote:

> I have been a witness to it all. I was monitoring rec.radio.scanner
> when John Mackey first appeared. The whole story is archived in
> Dejanews for anyone that has a lot of time. To say the least,
> Mackey has a credibility problem.

I, too, have been here since the beginning. I also have a very
complete archive of (in)famous Toilet Boy posts, including *all*
of his other personnas from the early days. It's amazing that I'm
not the only one with such a delicious library.

4040. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3598655B.5043@usa.net>
Source record: 154752
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

George Vetterle wrote:
> I have been a witness to it all. I was monitoring rec.radio.scanner
> when John Mackey first appeared. The whole story is archived in
> Dejanews for anyone that has a lot of time. To say the least,
> Mackey has a credibility problem.

Yea right, I have a credibility problem!!!!! THAT IS A LAUGH!!

Anyone can review dejanews & see where myself & others were
aking Bill Cheek (bcheek@ssan.rr.com) to stop, we even offered
his various truce options but he denied all of them. Anyone who wants
to see for themselves can go the "rec.radio.scanner" right now
& see the proof! Bill Cheek & his gang of thugs are harrassing that
entire NG & raising hell!

4041. Re: Additional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard <Howard*V@Bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <35987687.B3DA9319@Bigfoot.com>
Source record: 154753

Stephen Goeller Jr wrote:

> Also Howard how would you know the two neighbors weren't interfering if
> you can only hear the one closet to you.

Let's say I'm listening to one coversation, and all of a sudden, it cuts out and I hear my other neighbor making a phone call. The neighbor who just made the call is all you hear now (the two signals haven't mixed), and just by hearing the conversation, I can tell they aren't bothered by any other cross-talk from any other phones). From previous posts, I learned that since each person is closer to their base, then they'll only pick up their own base and not anyone elses who is potentially further away. That made sense.

As another test, I had a strong siganl from a neighbor on Channel 3. I picked up my own Sony 10 channel cordless (about 4 years old) and I kept hitting channel and it actually landed on Channel 3! However, I couldn't hear anyone other than my own dial tone. My scanner also immediately picked up my phone (because I was so close to my phone and scanner at the same time) and I couldn't hear any cross talk.

I'm actually gonna try a new test tomorow. I'm gonna take the handset to my cordless phone on the road and see if my phone will work with other bases. I really don't think it'll work, but I'll give it a shot anyways.

Thanks to everyone for their responses - Howard

4042. HillsboroughCounty. Fl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: T&T Monroe <monroe@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6n9fu3oal1@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 154754

Can anyone tell me what the freqs for the western half of Hillsborough county, Fl are for the Sheriff. They used to use 155.130 and have recently gone with trunked. Can I use a regular 50 or 100 channel scanner to listen to trunked?
Thanks in advance.
Tom

4043. Re: For Sale: RS Pro-2006 Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "LeRoy J. Grider" <ljg17@csuresno.edu>
Message-ID: <6n9u90$sfj2@hendrix.csufresno.edu>
Source record: 154755

>> 2006's came in black?
>
>
>Looking at mine and it's dark gray.
>
>Sam

The European models from OEM in Japan came with black cases. I cant remember the brand name.

LG

4044. REPOST: Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <REPOST-29127.1110839844.35978E78.32CA9DE@swbell.net>
Source record: 154756
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

This to let you know that John Mackey is telling you a tall tale when he states Bill Cheek is the flamer of the newsgroup, John has been flaming the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup for three years now.
What is going is a personal vendetta by John Mackey against Bill Cheek , this all started when John Mackey was kicked off a fido net scanner group.
Then one day 3 years ago John found Bill on the internet and has done his best to try and destroy Bill.
He has even gone as far as making accusations that Bill had a warrant out for his arrest for deviant behavior , when John was asked to prove this he was not able to.
Also John was shown the door by sprint as they caught him forging post and making rouge cancels.
Something he neglected to tell you and something he is going to deny to his dying days .
So when little Johnny boy cries wolf just ignore him , also the majority of the forged post and rouge cancels are from community colleges in Portland Oregon, another item he neglected to mention since he lives within driving distance.
So as you can see John is not the innocent victim he wants you to beleive and is just trying to rally support for himself that he can't get on the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup.

Below is only a partial grouping of his flame war post from a search on dejanews.

Matches 1-25 of about 2200 for search:

Help
Quick Search
Interest Finder
Browse Groups

Date Scr Subject Newsgroup
Author

1. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
2. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
3. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
4. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
5. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner

John.Mackey.KA0
6. 98/06/26 043 Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY! rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
7. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
8. 98/06/26 043 Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill.. rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
9. 98/06/26 043 Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY! rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
10. 98/06/25 043 Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill.. rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
11. 98/06/25 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
12. 98/04/19 039 Re: Low Audio On Realistic S rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
13. 98/04/19 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
14. 98/04/19 039 Re: Mackey Gets Spanked (Aga rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
15. 98/04/19 039 Re: Proof that BCHEEK is THE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
16. 98/04/19 039 Re: Who is John Mackey rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
17. 98/04/19 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
18. 98/04/19 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
19. 98/04/19 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
20. 98/04/19 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
21. 98/04/19 039 Re: Open Letter to John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
22. 98/04/19 039 Re: John Mackey Afraid of Hi rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
23. 98/04/19 039 Re: Peter J. Boe Forges as B rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
24. 98/04/12 039 Re: SPRINT Pulled Mackey's a rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
25. 98/04/12 039 A new POSITIVE thread! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
26. 98/04/22 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
27. 98/04/22 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
28. 98/04/21 039 Re: Grounding Antenna rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
29. 98/04/21 039 cmsg cancel <353AEE7D.839@us news.test_messages
-=KA0SSF=-
30. 98/04/21 039 cmsg cancel <353AEED5.2E1F@u news.test_messages

-=KA0SSF=-
31. 98/04/21 039 cmsg cancel <353A6B61.7FD2@u news.test_messages
-=KA0SSF=-
32. 98/04/21 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
33. 98/04/21 039 Re: Grounding Antenna rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
34. 98/04/21 039 Re: a warning to Cheek was:R rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
35. 98/04/20 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
36. 98/04/20 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
37. 98/04/20 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
38. 98/04/20 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
39. 98/04/20 039 Making a deal with th DEVIL! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
40. 98/04/20 039 a warning to Cheek was:Re: M rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
41. 98/04/20 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
42. 98/04/20 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
43. 98/04/20 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
44. 98/04/20 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
45. 98/04/19 039 TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
46. 98/04/19 039 Top Ten Reasons Why I'm The rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
47. 98/04/19 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
48. 98/04/19 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
49. 98/04/19 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
50. 98/04/19 039 Re: Proof that BCHEEK is THE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
51. 98/04/26 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
52. 98/04/26 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
53. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
54. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
55. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner

-=KA0SSF=-
56. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
57. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
58. 98/04/26 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
59. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
60. 98/04/26 039 Re: a warning to Cheek was:R rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
61. 98/04/26 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
62. 98/04/25 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
63. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
64. 98/04/25 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
65. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
66. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
67. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
68. 98/04/23 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
69. 98/04/23 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
70. 98/04/23 039 Re: Who is John Mackey rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
71. 98/04/23 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
72. 98/04/23 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
73. 98/04/23 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
74. 98/04/23 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
75. 98/04/23 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-

========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
Path: ...!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.internetmci.com!206.172.150.11!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!news.iswest.net!cyberspam!not-for-mail
From: howardk@iswest.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: cmsg cancel <35978E78.32CA9DE@swbell.net>
Control: cancel <35978E78.32CA9DE@swbell.net>
Date: 2 Jul 1998 23:20:48 GMT

Organization: Internet Specialties West, Inc.
Lines: 2
Approved: y
Message-ID: <yhvkboavdt.cancel.35978E78.32CA9DE@swbell.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
X-No-Archive: Yes

Spam cancelled. Autocancel spam type: N.A.N-A.U

4045. REPOST: Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <REPOST-20479.375.35978E78.32CA9DE@swbell.net>
Source record: 154757
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

This to let you know that John Mackey is telling you a tall tale when he states Bill Cheek is the flamer of the newsgroup, John has been flaming the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup for three years now.
What is going is a personal vendetta by John Mackey against Bill Cheek , this all started when John Mackey was kicked off a fido net scanner group.
Then one day 3 years ago John found Bill on the internet and has done his best to try and destroy Bill.
He has even gone as far as making accusations that Bill had a warrant out for his arrest for deviant behavior , when John was asked to prove this he was not able to.
Also John was shown the door by sprint as they caught him forging post and making rouge cancels.
Something he neglected to tell you and something he is going to deny to his dying days .
So when little Johnny boy cries wolf just ignore him , also the majority of the forged post and rouge cancels are from community colleges in Portland Oregon, another item he neglected to mention since he lives within driving distance.
So as you can see John is not the innocent victim he wants you to beleive and is just trying to rally support for himself that he can't get on the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup.

Below is only a partial grouping of his flame war post from a search on dejanews.

Matches 1-25 of about 2200 for search:

Help
Quick Search
Interest Finder
Browse Groups

Date Scr Subject Newsgroup
Author

1. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
2. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
3. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
4. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
5. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner

John.Mackey.KA0
6. 98/06/26 043 Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY! rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
7. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
8. 98/06/26 043 Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill.. rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
9. 98/06/26 043 Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY! rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
10. 98/06/25 043 Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill.. rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
11. 98/06/25 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
12. 98/04/19 039 Re: Low Audio On Realistic S rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
13. 98/04/19 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
14. 98/04/19 039 Re: Mackey Gets Spanked (Aga rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
15. 98/04/19 039 Re: Proof that BCHEEK is THE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
16. 98/04/19 039 Re: Who is John Mackey rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
17. 98/04/19 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
18. 98/04/19 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
19. 98/04/19 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
20. 98/04/19 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
21. 98/04/19 039 Re: Open Letter to John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
22. 98/04/19 039 Re: John Mackey Afraid of Hi rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
23. 98/04/19 039 Re: Peter J. Boe Forges as B rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
24. 98/04/12 039 Re: SPRINT Pulled Mackey's a rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
25. 98/04/12 039 A new POSITIVE thread! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
26. 98/04/22 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
27. 98/04/22 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
28. 98/04/21 039 Re: Grounding Antenna rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
29. 98/04/21 039 cmsg cancel <353AEE7D.839@us news.test_messages
-=KA0SSF=-
30. 98/04/21 039 cmsg cancel <353AEED5.2E1F@u news.test_messages

-=KA0SSF=-
31. 98/04/21 039 cmsg cancel <353A6B61.7FD2@u news.test_messages
-=KA0SSF=-
32. 98/04/21 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
33. 98/04/21 039 Re: Grounding Antenna rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
34. 98/04/21 039 Re: a warning to Cheek was:R rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
35. 98/04/20 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
36. 98/04/20 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
37. 98/04/20 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
38. 98/04/20 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
39. 98/04/20 039 Making a deal with th DEVIL! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
40. 98/04/20 039 a warning to Cheek was:Re: M rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
41. 98/04/20 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
42. 98/04/20 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
43. 98/04/20 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
44. 98/04/20 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
45. 98/04/19 039 TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
46. 98/04/19 039 Top Ten Reasons Why I'm The rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
47. 98/04/19 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
48. 98/04/19 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
49. 98/04/19 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
50. 98/04/19 039 Re: Proof that BCHEEK is THE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
51. 98/04/26 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
52. 98/04/26 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
53. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
54. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
55. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner

-=KA0SSF=-
56. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
57. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
58. 98/04/26 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
59. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
60. 98/04/26 039 Re: a warning to Cheek was:R rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
61. 98/04/26 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
62. 98/04/25 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
63. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
64. 98/04/25 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
65. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
66. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
67. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
68. 98/04/23 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
69. 98/04/23 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
70. 98/04/23 039 Re: Who is John Mackey rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
71. 98/04/23 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
72. 98/04/23 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
73. 98/04/23 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
74. 98/04/23 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
75. 98/04/23 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-

========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
Path: ...!newsxfer3.itd.umich.edu!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!howland.erols.net
!news3.bellglobal.com!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!news.iswest.net!cybe
rspam!not-for-mail
From: howardk@iswest.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: cmsg cancel <35978E78.32CA9DE@swbell.net>
Control: cancel <35978E78.32CA9DE@swbell.net>

Date: 3 Jul 1998 00:15:13 GMT
Organization: Internet Specialties West, Inc.
Lines: 2
Approved: y
Message-ID: <fdxkmcmrtf.cancel.35978E78.32CA9DE@swbell.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
X-No-Archive: Yes

Spam cancelled. Autocancel spam type: N.A.N-A.U

4046. need pro 2006 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "LeRoy J. Grider" <ljg17@csuresno.edu>
Message-ID: <6nei6b$bai1@hendrix.csufresno.edu>
Source record: 154758

would someone point me to an online source for the pro 2006 owners manual.

thanks

ljg

4047. Re: WTB Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062903315800.XAA14225@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154817

A friend of mine had two Pro-26s for sale the other day. E-mail me your name and a telephone number and if he still has them I'll have him give you a call.

John Ward
Brandon, Florida

4048. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda310$65e73f60$c11937a6@default>
Source record: 154818

Very well said !!! Thank you !!!

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Mike Burch <libertybell7@earthlink.net> wrote in article
<3595950A.3D74531@earthlink.net>...
>
>
> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> > bill cheeks is a fag
>
> Hey man, Cut it out ok? If you don't like him thats all right but at
> least have the balls not to forge your email address.. You are a
> spineless turd. Throwing your crap out there and not having to answer
> for it.. Bill Cheek and John Mackey at least stand behind their words.
> Take them on directly if you have the nuts. Crawl back into your hole
> you douche bag. Mike Burch K8MB
>
>

4049. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda311$92140900$c11937a6@default>
Source record: 154819

Would that lubticant be WD-40 since he likes it soooooo well ?????

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com> wrote in article
<3595EB42.959D4CC2@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>...
> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > What is a wonder is how your PARG
> > goooooooodbuddies have tolerated you for so
> > long.....but I think I have that one figured
> > out now.
>
> Toilet Boy swallows and brings his own lubricant.
>

4050. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda318$bdf785e0$ea1537a6@default>
Source record: 154820

A change in Mackey's name. My, my, I wonder, is he trying to get around everyone's twit filters ??? Looks like it ... He must be a glutten for punishment ...

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF <jmackey@parXg.org> wrote in article <3596B7E7.3FB3@parXg.org>...
> Derek Yerger wrote:
>
> > um well i know it could be done by one person, but the forged posts were
> > using mozilla, and bill wouldnt think to use something different...
>
> Of course, "mozilla" is simply the identifier of "Netscape". But how
> do you know that Bill wouldn't think to use something different? Infact,
> if he was attempting to cover his tracks he probably WOULD use something
> different.
>
>
> > and mackey you used mozilla but not exactly the same version.
> snip
> > but then of course mackey... he is using mozilla.
> > can you change version numbers easily?
>
> No, there is no way to chaning the identification
> of Netscape/Mozilla. I confirmed this by speaking to Netscape tech
> support, and reading the "Un-Official Netscape" tech support
> web page at the University of Florida.
>
>
> > I could be concluding that neither of you have been forging these...
> > probably some idiot that is looking to start a flaming war, or someone
>
> Likely Bill Cheek! He often brags about his knowledge of how
> to do things on this computer that other can't do. He states
> to have internet access similar to that of a T-1 or T-3 (I
> don't remember exactly which he claims). In theory, he could
> simply use that bandwidth ability he was to use RAS (remote access),
> telnet, PCanywhere, or other similar software to remotely
> forge such messages in his effort to cause mayhem on this NG in

> a desire to attract attention to himself. He has been caught red handed
> doing exactly these things in the past. (Ref: Billy Thunder or WinRadio
> posts)
>
> >From a personal prespective, Cheek likes to attack me what ever way
> he can. This goes back to the fact that about 3 years ago I uncovered
> him to a group of users who then realized that he was causing trouble.
> He has done everything he can to attack me since. At this time you
> are seeing a perfect example as I have done nothing to attack or
> flame him this week yet he has attacked me every day of this week.
>
> So, the real Bill Cheek shows his true persona!
>
> (I expect this post to be flamed by Cheek and/or his mesmerized
> supporters!)
>
>

4051. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda319$1a5aa560$ea1537a6@default>
Source record: 154821

An echo ... is that the sound we hear when someone speaks to you and the sound bounces around in your empty head before coming out the ear ????

Is this possible, John ???

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF <jmackey@parXg.org> wrote in article <3596D822.4AF4@parXg.org>...
> K4CC wrote:
> > Excuse me? I thought you said this wasn't an echo.
>
> English lesson #1 for K4CC!
>
> An "echo" (noun) is a forum place for making discussions on Fidonet.
> A "newsgroup" is a forum place for making discussions on Internet (like
> HERE).
>
> An "echo" (verb) is to repeat with support a previous said statement.
>
> End of lessong #1 for K4CC.
>

4052. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35981a72.18589053@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154822

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> Thanks Bill, I've been out of town for the weekend and so I'm kinda' slow
> in my replys.

Yeah, I figgered you went fishing or something,
and heck, it was EASY to answer the questions
on your behalf. I thought expediency to be
more important, what with his attention span
about as short as his whatchamacallit.

> The longer I read this NG, the dumber Mackey gets.

Well, you know how stuff can be injected into
a municipal water supply to dose up a population?
Well, I developed a special "dumb pill" that I
injected here into the newsgroup....with ol'
Smacksie's DNA....so it is very specific and
affects only him and members of his immediate family.

But yes, Smacksie is being dumbed down, in a
manner of speaking.

> What a waste of a good human body.

I'm glad you didn't say "mind". The boys
around the lab here refer to him as......
"The Mindless One".

4053. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359719e1.18443561@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154823

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> Would that lubticant be WD-40 since he likes it soooooo well ?????

Of course! He didn't want it denigrated
to mere electronic applications!

4054. Re: Loving This...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda31b$7c0097a0$ea1537a6@default>
Source record: 154824

John, quit trying to suck-up to Ryan. He's smart enough to know you are
full of crap. And john, he's a whole lot smarter than you could ever hope
to be !!!

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF <jmackey@parXg.org> wrote in article
<3596FC2C.72B9@parXg.org>...
> Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
> > Sorry to be off-topic, but:
> >
> > It is funny how even a little post that says "Hi" can be turned into a
huge
> > thread of name-calling and flame-fests...
>
> Sad isn't it? Sorta like when I gave you congrats on your
> new ham license & people turned it into a flamefest. :(
>

4055. Re: This Bill Cheeks thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154825

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com> wrote:

> Also remember, there is nothing that can't be solved by a suitable
> application of high explosive. Except for, maybe, a dose of the clap.

Well, I dunno......I saw some monstrous
clappes that came out of Southeast Asia
in the early 70's.....those pretty near
required a bit of a "charge" to clear the
waterways, in a manner of speaking.

You could tell easy enough when the feller
ahead of you at the urinals let out a
shriek and left fingerprints permanently
etched into the chrome plated plumbing.

4056. Re: Loving This...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359a20ce.20217093@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154826

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:

> Sad isn't it? Sorta like when I gave you congrats on your
> new ham license & people turned it into a flamefest. :(

Noooo, Stinkball, YOU turned it into a flame
fest by putting down his accomplishment. At
least he worked for his. You got yours out
of a 1943 Crackerjack Box.

4057. Re: Loving This...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359b2134.20318743@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154827

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

The Cb Guy <thecbguy@iname.com> wrote:

> I see you've made yet another change to your
> signature, just to through off the killfiles...

Heh heh heh....not if you use wildcards!!!!

4058. Re: bill cheek's accident, blowing up a sat dish!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359c2289.20659628@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154828

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> cheeks used to work on mounting sat dishes when he lived here in Littleton,
> colorado in the early 1980's.

You imbecile, I never lived in Littleton in
any part of the 80's. And I never installed
or handled a satellite dish until 1984, and
then it was for commercial earth stations
that I contracted to have installed. I never
even touched a satellite dish unil maybe 1995
or so. Get your signals straight and your
head outa your arse before you break wind
and blow out your belly button.

At least use some Windex on that glass plane
over your navel.

4059. Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359d243d.21096353@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154829

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is the launch of the hot new
riddle of the month! This month's
riddle is really tough, so check it out:

What do Mackey and a $3 bill have in common?

4060. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda31e$c3363d20$ea1537a6@default>
Source record: 154830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Good question ... I've heard the saying "queer as a 3 dollar bill" ... so
maybe ... they're both queer???

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<359d243d.21096353@news2.cts.com>...
> This is the launch of the hot new
> riddle of the month! This month's
> riddle is really tough, so check it out:
>
> What do Mackey and a $3 bill have in common?
>
>

4061. Re: Skip alive in NH today 6/28 ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Ebers WA0I <ebersr@rollanet.org>
Message-ID: <01bda2f5$50ef7700$ca7237c0@EBERSR.ROLLANET.ORG>
Source record: 154831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello from a radio operator of the signals you received on 42MHz.
I work for the Missouri State Highway Patrol in Rolla MO.
Here is some info of our operating frequencies and stations:

There are 9 troops throughout the state with 9 base stations operating on
330ft towers
at 1500w at their primary location and approx 8 base stations operating at
a remote location on shorter towers usually at 300w. I'll try to put it in
a table:

Troop A Lees Summit (Kansas City) 42.38MHz 1500w 42.06MHz 300w both
KAA202
Troop B Macon (Northeast) 42.06MHz 1500w
KAA201
Troop C Kirkwood (St Louis) 42.38MHz 1500w 42.06MHz 300w both
KAA203
Troop D Springfield (Southwest) 42.06MHz 1500w 42.12 and 42.58 300w all
KAA243
Troop E PoplarBluff (Southeast) 42.06MHz 1500w 42.12 300w both
KAA270
Troop F JeffersonCity (Central) 42.12MHz 1500w
KAB302
Troop G Willow Springs (South) 42.38MHz 1500w and a 300w remote both
KAA522
Troop H StJoseph (Northwest) 42.58MHz 1500w and a 300w remote both
KAA204
Troop I Rolla (SouthCentral) 42.58MHz 1500w
KAA958

The cars calling in are on another frequency but the cars talk to each
other on the
base frequency. With skip, sometimes you will hear the cars over the base
even though
the cars are 100w. I'd be interested to receive reports of which troops
"cover"
the others consistantly while you are listening. Our base stations alway
use a
four bay, folded dipole array but some are arranged differently than
others.
Ours in Rolla is omnidirectional. That is, our four legged tower has a bay
on
each leg, one below the other starting at the top, but the one in Kansas
City are
all on the east leg...

If you would like more info I'll try to help.

-Randy Ebers Radio Telecommunications Technician First Class of Mo State Highway Patrol

DA <mapman@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in article <35966F89.5A6B21A0@nh.ultranet.com>...
> Hello from New Hampshire!
>
> Skip is working well this morning. Have heard comms on many frequencies.
>
> I've monitored the following: 42.06, 42.60, 42.12, and 42.38. Since 10
> am. EST.
>
> 42.06: dispatcher(female) " '805' it just won't run??"; "put in neutral,
>
> and won't start"; "we'll call someone and get back to you"; "10-4, 389"
> code used was 10-17; "(male dispatcher) "1154, do you copy?"; "805 on
> eastbound I-44"; possibly Lawrence County; "805, will ride in with
> Hilliard's, does not need assistance";
>
> 42.38: KAA203 call sign used; "subject has negative 29, out of Fairview
> Heights, Ill."
>
> Other comms not very readable to get confirmation.
> Happy listening!
>
> P.S. still getting all these, and 42.56 at 12:25 EST.
> --
> ^^
> DA ---NH
> F1 Fan PSCR Fan
> 70.56.55w, 43.04.10n
> ----------oooooo----------
>
>
>

4062. Re: SKIP all day; more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: arise@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n79crttm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello!

Ive been Dxing the lowband for a while but havnt had much success.How do you look for an opening? I have only heard skip twice this year.I have a nice random wire outside so I know its not the antenna.And what are the best times to do this?

Thanks
Arise

In article <3596F178.7BCD0D0@nh.ultranet.com>,
DA <mapman@nh.ultranet.com> wrote:
>
> Skip just became more quiet about an hour ago. We ended up with clear,
> sunny skies this afternoon.
>
> The big capture was on 39.58 around 15:47 EST. "Dennesey county? to 11A,
>
> possible mva with pi at Lindsey and Weldon road"; '21A, have a report of
>
> 4 injured'; '21A, request your assistance in 11As Territory, 2nd tone
> 15:58'
>
> 42.56: some comms, couldn't id (around 16:25)
>
> 42.38: 'East bound on 70 from mile mark 260'(bolo on car)
>
> 42.56: 'Oaks rd approaching Marine rd' (male dispatcher at 17:45)
>
> 460.6: various fire units checking in with dispatch; couldn't id
>
> 42.06 is still active...
> --
> ^^
> DA ---NH
> F1 Fan PSR Fan
> 70.56.55w, 43.04.10n
> ------------------------------ooooooo--------------------------
>
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4063. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <35970540.73EC@parXg.org>
Source record: 154833

allsafe wrote:

> Another little minor change for your header? Still carrying on
> research "for the good of all people someday?"

Correct!

> Another tidbit: I accuse you of slinking into community colleges and
> libraries in the Portland, Oregon area and issuing Bill Cheek forgeries
> and rogue cancels of Bill Cheek's posts from unattended terminals at
> those locations.

I have not seen any list of libraries in those posts you mention,
only colleges. When using computers at colleges you must prove
enrollment as a student or faculty member. I deny your accusation
above & you can prove this by simply calling the colleges in question
& asking if I am on their roster as staff or student.

I accuse YOU of taking actions to attempt to start or further a flame
war on this NG. Your excuses of your actions are things you either
know, or should know, better of.

> Just so we're clear. I believe you bear responsibility for those
> shenanigans. I don't care what your current lie is.

Then make the necessary calls I mention above & either prove your
statement or drop it as un-supported & un-based accusations. (In other
words, PUT UP OR SHUT UP!) If indeed *I* am doing the posts you accuse
from a local college then you should have no problem getting access cut!

4064. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3597258f.8795359@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

On Sun, 28 Jun 1998 23:23:36 GMT, "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF"
<jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:

>Derek Yerger wrote:
>
>> um well i know it could be done by one person, but the forged posts were
>> using mozilla, and bill wouldnt think to use something different...
>
>Of course, "mozilla" is simply the identifier of "Netscape". But how
>do you know that Bill wouldn't think to use something different? Infact,
>if he was attempting to cover his tracks he probably WOULD use something
>different.
>
>
>> and mackey you used mozilla but not exactly the same version.
> snip
>> but then of course mackey... he is using mozilla.
>> can you change version numbers easily?
>
>No, there is no way to chaning the identification
>of Netscape/Mozilla. I confirmed this by speaking to Netscape tech
>support, and reading the "Un-Official Netscape" tech support
>web page at the University of Florida.
>
>
>> I could be concluding that neither of you have been forging these...
>> probably some idiot that is looking to start a flaming war, or someone
>
>Likely Bill Cheek! He often brags about his knowledge of how
>to do things on this computer that other can't do. He states
>to have internet access similar to that of a T-1 or T-3 (I
>don't remember exactly which he claims). In theory, he could
>simply use that bandwidth ability he was to use RAS (remote access),
>telnet, PCanywhere, or other similar software to remotely
>forge such messages in his effort to cause mayhem on this NG in
>a desire to attract attention to himself. He has been caught red handed
>doing exactly these things in the past. (Ref: Billy Thunder or WinRadio
>posts)
>
>>From a personal prespective, Cheek likes to attack me what ever way
>he can. This goes back to the fact that about 3 years ago I uncovered
>him to a group of users who then realized that he was causing trouble.
>He has done everything he can to attack me since. At this time you

>are seeing a perfect example as I have done nothing to attack or
>flame him this week yet he has attacked me every day of this week.
>
>So, the real Bill Cheek shows his true persona!
>
>(I expect this post to be flamed by Cheek and/or his mesmerized
>supporters!)
>

I'll raise you one and call you, John. Below in its entirety,
with full, unedited headers as posted, is a post to
news.admin.net-abuse.usenet. I have sent copies to other
people for their opinions on its authenticity so I won't make
any accusations *yet*. Either you are the victim of a most
elaborate forge *or* you are the biggest bonehead that ever
walked upright. And don't start pointing fingers at me, if it's a
forge, it's way beyond my abilities.

George L. Vetterle

==========

Path: ix.netcom.com!news.campbellhall.org!not-for-mail
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: Persistant flamer, what to do?
Date: Sun, 28 Jun 1998 17:51:00 -0700
Organization: Society for Spam Prevention
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3596E4F4.6C11@parXg.org>
Reply-To: jmackey@parXg.org
NNTP-Posting-Host: usr28-dialup49.mix1.sacramento.mci.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
Xref: ix.netcom.com news.admin.net-abuse.usenet:129855

We are having a problems with a user under the ID of
bcheek@san.rr.com on the NG of rec.radio.scanner. This guy
should get a trophy for causing trouble! He is constantly
flaming & causing trouble & making bogus posts under fake
id's.

Any suggestions of how to deal with him?

thanks!

4065. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35972d45.10768101@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154835

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 02:44:01 GMT, "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF"
<jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:

>dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>> Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.
>
>That is NOT very easly or simple to do!

Balderdash! I have two versions on this computer now and have had as
many as three. It's not rocket science.

4066. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3597303c.11527164@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154836

On Sun, 28 Jun 1998 23:55:52 GMT, "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF"
<jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:

>K4CC wrote:
>> Excuse me? I thought you said this wasn't an echo.
>
>English lesson #1 for K4CC!
>
>An "echo" (noun) is a forum place for making discussions on Fidonet.
>A "newsgroup" is a forum place for making discussions on Internet (like
>HERE).
>
>An "echo" (verb) is to repeat with support a previous said statement.
>
>End of lessong #1 for K4CC.
^^^^^^

I certainly hope you don't start giving spelling "lessongs." Heh,
Heh, Heh!

4067. Re: Radio Shack Closeout Store Near Toronto....Where Is It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <359759ff.1921660@news>
Source record: 154837
Cross-posted to: alt.travel.usa-canada,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

radioman@localnet.com (Raymond A. Miller) wrote:

>I heard there is a Radio Shack Closeout item store neat Toronto or
>St. Catherines. It is located in a mall and right next to the QEW.

Sounds like you want this one:

RADIO SHACK
DIXIE VALUE MALL
1250 SOUTH SERVICE ROAD
MISSISSAUGA, ON
L5E 1V4

905-271-2160

The mall is on the southwest corner of the QEW and Dixie Rd.

IIRC, the store is in the east end of the mall.

...Andy

*** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

4068. Re: Cordless Phone Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <35975a78.2042825@news>
Source record: 154838

hmslists@aol.com (HMs Lists) wrote:

>Two possibilities. Either or both phones could have some sort of
>"PL" tones, such that only the matching base and handset will
>receive/relay that phone's transmissions.

PL circuitry controls receiver squelch. Cordless phones don't
need squelch circuitry. Try removing power from the base in the
middle of a call and see if the handset squelches. Besides, what
good are PL tones when the receivers would be unsquelched for the
duration of a call anyway?

>Make sense?

Nope! (But your second scenario does... :)

...Andy

*** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

4069. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <35975ad9.2139658@news>
Source record: 154839

"John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:

>dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.
>
>That is NOT very easly or simple to do!

It is quite simple to do. I installed Netscape 1.1N and
Netscape 3.0 on an old 386 running Windows 3.1 in order
to test web pages on older browsers.

I encountered no problems. Was quite easly to do.

...Andy

4070. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: meowing@deathsdoor.com
Message-ID: <3499F0AD.2E123456@deathsdoor.com>
Source record: 154840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

George Vetterle <geovet@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> >No, there is no way to chaning the identification
> >of Netscape/Mozilla. I confirmed this by speaking to Netscape tech
> >support, and reading the "Un-Official Netscape" tech support
> >web page at the University of Florida.

Oh, now that's silly. Netscape headers can easily be munged or faked.

4071. SA Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew Bonser <mb@dove.mtx.net.au>
Message-ID: <3596EB9E.3528@dove.mtx.net.au>
Source record: 154841
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone interested in frequencies and information from SA should check out http://dove.net.au/~mb/scan/scanner.html you will find information on freqs, callsigns, codes, and details on how to decode pager messages.

4072. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <35974712.7085@usa.net>
Source record: 154842

John T. Arthur wrote:
> Why not? YOU are responsible for them!

How do you figure that? Or is this yet anothor of the
John T. Arthor tale of lies?

4073. I LOVE you guys! Don't stop!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan <alan@xmission.com>
Message-ID: <6n7hfvlnb1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 154843

I have to come clean on something.

I primarily cruise this NG for scanner and radio info. But also, to be completely honest, I must admit that I flat-out LOVE reading all the flame wars!!!

Few things make me laugh so much! I get some good ideas for insults and jokes, I love the personal rivalries, and I am always especially amused at those who take it all so seriously.

Maybe I'm just a nut, but- I love it! I'm serious! Don't stop (but don't take each other too seriously, either!).

Always smiling- Alan

4074. Re: Radio Shack Closeout Store Near Toronto....Where Is It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@localnet.com
Message-ID: <6n7jdu$2sl$1@news6.ispnews.com>
Source record: 154844

Thank you very kindly to all who posted both public and e-mail. This is (I'm certain) the one I am looking for.

One thought, I think most will agree, the internet is a wonderful tool for helping to locate information with a minimum of effort, time and resourses. Thnaks again to all.
Ray Miller N2NJK

4075. VHF Tropo open on the Gulf Coast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: seven@datasync.com
Message-ID: <3597544e.290955039@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 154845

Just turned the scanner on after a morning of reading and discovered
the police band just hopping with signals. Even getting sone Digital
encrypting in the milband and one voice inversion scrambling on the
police band.
The 450 section is also sporting some good signals from New Orleans
and as far north as the state capitol.
Start your engines Early Birds!

Eric
Biloxi

4076. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35977450.57D50B41@swbell.net>
Source record: 154846

John your so full it , I have netscape navigator and communicator
running on this computer , so now tell me another lie scumbag.

4077. Re: bill cheek's accident, blowing up a sat dish!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062909394601.FAA09840@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154847

In article <6n6qgt$e85$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, arise@my-dejanews.com writes:

>let it die.so this stupid flame
>war will end.

Golly, Mrs. Cleaver. Young Theodore certainly is a cockeyed optimist, isn't he?

Gee, Wally!

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4078. [Fwd: Persistant flamer, what to do?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35978813.DB55561C@swbell.net>
Source record: 154848

As you can see John is trying to get another newsgroup involved in his vendetta.

We are having a problems with a user under the ID of bcheek@san.rr.com on the NG of rec.radio.scanner. This guy should get a trophy for causing trouble! He is constantly flaming & causing trouble & making bogus posts under fake id's.

Any suggestions of how to deal with him?

thanks!

4079. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980629104251 00.GAA11461@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154849

In article <359d243d.21096353@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) writes:

>What do Mackey and a $3 bill have in common?

Isn't that Mackey's face on the $3 bill? Oops, sorry .. that's not his face. Sure is hard to tell the difference.

Riddled,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4080. Re: I LOVE you guys! Don't stop!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062910425201.GAA14062@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154850

"Alan" <alan@xmission.com> writes:

>Few things make me laugh so much! I get some good ideas for insults and
>jokes, I love the personal rivalries, and I am always especially amused at
>those who take it all so seriously.

Alan,
You make a very good point about those who take it all so seriously.
Periodically they need to go to their rooms, stand in the corner, and repeat,
"It's only a newsgroup. It's only a newsgroup. It's only......"
Keep smiling,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4081. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062910425300.GAA11464@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154851

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> writes:

>can't find anything better to do than complain about my spelling???

Well, Mike, since he doesn't know anything about scanners, John has to try and
come up with some excuse for being here.

Best regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4082. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062910425500.GAA14064@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154852

Ever curious, "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org> wriXtes:

>How do you figure that?

Well, first you have to have an organ known as a brain.

Biologically,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4083. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062910425600.GAA11465@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154853

Once again, "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>'s fingers dannce on the keys:

>You're welcome Dave, anything I can do to help!

Really? Promise?
(Anyone want to field this one? Is it just me, or is this one just TOO easy???)

SiXncereXly,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4084. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062910425700.GAA14065@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154854

Spelling bee champion and scanner wunderkind "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" concludes another in a long series of technical articles:

>>End of lessong #1 for K4CC.

Spelling lessong #1 for John.MacXkey:
There is no X in Mackey.

Yers turly,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4085. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: sgambino@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6n7r9k$h21@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154855

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

>Would that lubticant be WD-40 since he likes it soooooo well ?????
>

Would you believe Duralube?

--

/Sal

4086. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35978E78.32CA9DE@swbell.net>
Source record: 154856
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

This to let you know that John Mackey is telling you a tall tale when he states Bill Cheek is the flamer of the newsgroup, John has been flaming the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup for three years now.
What is going is a personal vendetta by John Mackey against Bill Cheek , this all started when John Mackey was kicked off a fido net scanner group.
Then one day 3 years ago John found Bill on the internet and has done his best to try and destroy Bill.
He has even gone as far as making accusations that Bill had a warrant out for his arrest for deviant behavior , when John was asked to prove this he was not able to.
Also John was shown the door by sprint as they caught him forging post and making rouge cancels.
Something he neglected to tell you and something he is going to deny to his dying days .
So when little Johnny boy cries wolf just ignore him , also the majority of the forged post and rouge cancels are from community colleges in Portland Oregon, another item he neglected to mention since he lives within driving distance.
So as you can see John is not the innocent victim he wants you to beleive and is just trying to rally support for himself that he can't get on the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup.

Below is only a partial grouping of his flame war post from a search on dejanews.

Matches 1-25 of about 2200 for search:

Help
Quick Search
Interest Finder
Browse Groups

Date Scr Subject Newsgroup
Author

1. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
2. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
3. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
4. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
5. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner

John.Mackey.KA0
6. 98/06/26 043 Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY! rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
7. 98/06/26 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
8. 98/06/26 043 Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill.. rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
9. 98/06/26 043 Re: CONGRATS to RYAN FARICY! rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
10. 98/06/25 043 Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill.. rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
11. 98/06/25 043 Re: Base antenna sensitivity rec.radio.scanner
John.Mackey.KA0
12. 98/04/19 039 Re: Low Audio On Realistic S rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
13. 98/04/19 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
14. 98/04/19 039 Re: Mackey Gets Spanked (Aga rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
15. 98/04/19 039 Re: Proof that BCHEEK is THE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
16. 98/04/19 039 Re: Who is John Mackey rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
17. 98/04/19 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
18. 98/04/19 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
19. 98/04/19 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
20. 98/04/19 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
21. 98/04/19 039 Re: Open Letter to John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
22. 98/04/19 039 Re: John Mackey Afraid of Hi rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
23. 98/04/19 039 Re: Peter J. Boe Forges as B rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
24. 98/04/12 039 Re: SPRINT Pulled Mackey's a rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
25. 98/04/12 039 A new POSITIVE thread! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
26. 98/04/22 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
27. 98/04/22 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
28. 98/04/21 039 Re: Grounding Antenna rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
29. 98/04/21 039 cmsg cancel <353AEE7D.839@us news.test_messages
-=KA0SSF=-
30. 98/04/21 039 cmsg cancel <353AEED5.2E1F@u news.test_messages

-=KA0SSF=-
31. 98/04/21 039 cmsg cancel <353A6B61.7FD2@u news.test_messages
-=KA0SSF=-
32. 98/04/21 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
33. 98/04/21 039 Re: Grounding Antenna rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
34. 98/04/21 039 Re: a warning to Cheek was:R rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
35. 98/04/20 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
36. 98/04/20 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
37. 98/04/20 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
38. 98/04/20 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
39. 98/04/20 039 Making a deal with th DEVIL! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
40. 98/04/20 039 a warning to Cheek was:Re: M rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
41. 98/04/20 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
42. 98/04/20 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
43. 98/04/20 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
44. 98/04/20 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
45. 98/04/19 039 TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
46. 98/04/19 039 Top Ten Reasons Why I'm The rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
47. 98/04/19 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
48. 98/04/19 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
49. 98/04/19 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
50. 98/04/19 039 Re: Proof that BCHEEK is THE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
51. 98/04/26 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
52. 98/04/26 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
53. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
54. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
55. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner

-=KA0SSF=-
56. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
57. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
58. 98/04/26 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
59. 98/04/26 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
60. 98/04/26 039 Re: a warning to Cheek was:R rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
61. 98/04/26 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
62. 98/04/25 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
63. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
64. 98/04/25 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
65. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
66. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
67. 98/04/25 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
68. 98/04/23 039 Re: We've all been DUPED! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
69. 98/04/23 039 Re: Making a deal with th DE rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
70. 98/04/23 039 Re: Who is John Mackey rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
71. 98/04/23 039 Re: Bill Cheek and John Mack rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
72. 98/04/23 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
73. 98/04/23 039 Re: An observation rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
74. 98/04/23 039 Re: Cheeck .VS. Mackey BS!!! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-
75. 98/04/23 039 Re: TROUBLE MAKERS! rec.radio.scanner
-=KA0SSF=-

4087. Re: House wiring antenna (fwd)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <iss@ripco.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.SV4.3.91.980629060004.7375A-100000@golden.ripco.com>
Source record: 154857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

finally tried this... it works exactly as I had hoped..!!...
I bought the (overpriced) gizmo at Radio Shack for $13... it worked
SO well, that I had to attenuate the signal 60 db. just to eliminate
all neighborhood phones... but this is now the only signal strong
enough to pass to the scanner, and it is still strong enough to
provide clear reception. For low-band VHF scanning... these things
appear to work *VERY* well.

thankx to everybody that provided tips.... Rob

> I have not tried this and so I do not know how well it works.
> What people are doing, when they do this, is a trick of
> capacitance. They do not physically connect into the power
> lines! You can buy a gizmo at the store to do this. I think
> I have seen them for around $5 to $15 or so. It plugs into
> the wall socket. It is about the size and shape of your fist
> or probably somewhat smaller. There is an antenna
> connection on it. I am thinking that this connection is the
> 75 Ohm looking type that we see used in association with
> cable TV. You hook a radio to it as though it was an
> antenna. Between this connection and the power wiring
> in your house, there is a capacitance. The capacitance is
> of a magnitude that will in effect completely block 60 Hz.
> Much higher frequencies can come accross the capacitance
> from the power lines and into your radio. I would guess
> that an AM radio would get so much noise from such a
> set up that you would go insane from listening to it.
> However, FM might sound okay. It is an interesting
> idea. If anybody has used and liked such an antenna,
> I hope they post here to tell about it.
>
>
> Will White wrote:
>
> > Don't do it. You run the risk of frying your equipment and yourself. I think it
> > may actually be illegal in some places to load up house wiring, which is after
> > all connected to the power company's lines. Can you be more descriptive of what
> > you are trying to do? You are trying to monitor a cordless phone base with
> > what? A handset? From where?
> >
> > Robert wrote:
> >
> > > remember the ads for the device: "turn your house wiring into a giant TV

> > > antenna" ..?? Anybody know how to build one of these..??.. or if they are
> > > still sold, anywhere.??
> > >
> > > I want to monitor a cordless phone (~44MHz) base within my own house... this
> > > by itself is no problem, but I want to reject the neighbor's phone, which is
> > > nearly as close as the target phone... the signal will be strong enough, I
> > > thought the house wiring idea may just work..???
> > >
> > > Any comments are appreciated...
> > >
> > > Rob.
> >
> > --
> > Will White, KD7BFX
> >
> > **
> > "I make coffee nervous" --Nora Ephron
> > --
> > Remove "lunchmeat" from my email address to reply
> > **
>
>
>
> --
> Bob Selfinger
> selfinger@ee.net
> ***
> Views expressed here may not actually be views.
> ***
>
>
>
>

4088. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3597901B.7A633C58@swbell.net>
Source record: 154858

Sounds like he's trying to be your little buddy .

4089. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n7u00$34l$2@207.18.224.78>
Source record: 154859
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 05:54:17 -0700, dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

>This to let you know that John Mackey is telling you a tall tale when he
>states Bill Cheek is the flamer of the newsgroup, John has been flaming
>the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup for three years now.

AMEN, and Dittos!

4090. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n7tnl$34l$0@207.18.224.78>
Source record: 154860

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 02:43:31 GMT, "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF"
<jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:

>K4CC wrote:
>> Um, okay. Thanks for clearing that right up, doc.
>
>You're welcome Dave, anything I can do to help!

Great, seeing as you are willing to do "anything"
to help, I am sure that Dave would really see it
as a help if you would cease posting on the
newsgroup!

In fact, I would see it as a real help also!

4091. Re: Loving This...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6n7tsg$34l$1@207.18.224.78>
Source record: 154861

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 02:29:37 GMT, "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF"
<jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:

>Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>> Sorry to be off-topic, but:
>>
>> It is funny how even a little post that says "Hi" can be turned into a huge
>> thread of name-calling and flame-fests...
>
>Sad isn't it? Sorta like when I gave you congrats on your
>new ham license & people turned it into a flamefest. :(

What really is sad, is the hate and venom that your
little clubs web page puts out to technican class
licenses. Your entire group of Phairies, I mean PARG
should be ashamed!

4092. Re: Tennelec MS 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dhcook@rev.net
Message-ID: <35977a0a.29088499@news.rev.net>
Source record: 154862

On 28 Jun 1998 18:34:26 GMT, hmaxon@aol.com (HMaxon) wrote:

>I had one of those once. Every frequency has a binary code for entry. 0s and
>1s. For each channel you work the channel toggle switches to the proper
>setting and then enter. I dont remember anything else. Good luck on finding
>more info.

Howard -

I had the almost identical MS-1 (same service manual) and it was a
bear to program.

- Dale
C.E., WDRL-TV, Roanoke/Lynchburg VA

4093. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980629121946O1.IAA17890@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154863

bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) writes:

>Great, seeing as you are willing to do "anything"
>to help, I am sure that Dave would really see it
>as a help if you would cease posting on the
>newsgroup!

Thanks, Blake. It was just too easy. I couldn't believe he left an opening that huge .. thought surely it must have been a mistake!
So, do you really think he'll do it???? Naaaaaah.
Dreaming,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4094. Battery pack for xl200 ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Keene <jxkeene@keenetech.com>
Message-ID: <01bda354$e6110f60$2ec5afce@default>
Source record: 154864

Someone point me to a good mail order outfit that might have a BP200 battery pack for my old XL200 Bearcat.

Replaced the batterys once, but now I'm lazy and just want to but the thing complete.

Thanks in advace.

John

Replace the 'x' with 'f' to email ...

4095. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@aol.com
Message-ID: <35987faf.3186436@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 154865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

>My
>full-time connection to the Internet is faster
>than six T-1 lines put together.

So, you spend about $17,000 a month for internet access?

Are you wishing or showing your lack of putur knowledge agin ?

4096. Re: Loving This...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n82rv$c2s@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154866

That is not the reason I change my signature. I only change it because I want to. If I am in your killfile, I don't know why. I've vowed to no more trying to stop the flaming (I don't like it), and flaming itself (yes, I've flamed a few times), and I apologize for it.

I didn't even know it is possible for a killfile to go by the signature, but now I do!
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

The Cb Guy wrote in message <359705A9.EBDADDFE@iname.com>...
>I see you've made yet another change to your signature, just to through off the
>killfiles...
>Well....:::PLONK:::
>(or in your case ::plink::)
>
>John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF wrote:
>
>> Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
>> > Sorry to be off-topic, but:
>> >
>> > It is funny how even a little post that says "Hi" can be turned into a huge
>> > thread of name-calling and flame-fests...
>>
>> Sad isn't it? Sorta like when I gave you congrats on your
>> new ham license & people turned it into a flamefest. :(
>
>
>

4097. Re: Skip alive in NH today 6/28 ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35988e72.1258405@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 154867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>The cars calling in are on another frequency but the cars talk to each
>other on the base frequency. With skip, sometimes you will hear the

Just as a point of interest, the first scanner I ever used was one of those Tennelec binary coded things. The day it came, we fired it up. The only frequency I knew was 42.06, so we punched in that one. From Urbana, Missouri using just the supplied wire whip antenna, we could hear Troop A base and cars. Later on I heard some utility company in New England. I sent them a prepared QSL card with return postage, but never heard back from them.

4098. **NEED 4 RS MIC #19-310**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: blade1@home.com
Message-ID: <35978df5.126330860@news>
Source record: 154868

I need 4 external speaker mics cat # 19-310. There are none in my area.....to those of you that visit Radio Shacks throughout the country, are there any out there?

Thanks

Robert

4099. Re: Searching for French Scanners NewsGroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n83ip$elp@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154869

Malheureuxment, quand je cherche une groupe, je ne trouve rien pour les radios! Vous pourriez essayer a faire une group toi-meme.

Pardon mon francais, et I hope everything works out for you.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

cyril andrieu wrote in message <6n30ri$1mh@irit1.irit.fr>...
>Hi, i'm french & i'm searching for newsgroup, websites or more about scanner
>in FRANCE ?
>Would you like to answer me at :
>.ancyrildrieu@hol.fr
>Thanks
>
>

4100. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n83qv$fmt@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154870

```
... alt.sex.fetish.bathroom.stalls.richard-kendrick ...

You are a sick little creature...
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

Richard Kendrick wrote in message
<3596F4D0.EFC17E53@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>...
>John "I can service more men in any bathroom in one hour than can fit
>in the bathroom" Mackey.KA0SSF tried to act as if he had a clue to the
>real technical world:
>
>> Good for you Richard! You should try to market it on rec.radio.swap
>> as you could make a profit ! The prices you mention are rare!
>
>You must not get out of the bathroom stalls much. Surplus hard-line is
>available all over the country at reasonable prices. The skill is in
>finding it. All you can find is your hands and knees in some dark
>public bathroom waiting for your next "john".
>
>> Perhaps, but this is hardly a "typcal installation" as compared to
>> land mobile as we were discussing, wouldn't you have to admit?
>
>I was talking about a typical installation, for a professional
>installation that is. A professional installation is something
>completely alien to you. That is unless you've been entertaining
>visitors from foreign countries in the bathroom again.
```

4101. Re: I LOVE you guys! Don't stop!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359c92d9.2385996@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 154871

>I primarily cruise this NG for scanner and radio info. But also, to be
>completely honest, I must admit that I flat-out LOVE reading all the flame
>wars!!!

The Germans have a word for it. It's "delicious pain" or something like
that, though I don't know the German word. It's used to describe something
that is horribly painful, but you keep doing it anyway. Sort of like putting
your tongue to a 9V battery or smelling a carton of sour milk.

The Germans also have a wonderful, very long word that means "Sunday
afternoon stroll" but I don't know that one either.

But then there is "schiest und hingefallen" which I DO know.

4102. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35976AE0.7D57A3E1@netwit.com>
Source record: 154872

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> This to let you know that John Mackey is telling you a tall tale when
> he
> states Bill Cheek is the flamer of the newsgroup, John has been
> flaming
> the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup for three years now.
> What is going is a personal vendetta by John Mackey against Bill Cheek
> ,
> this all started when John Mackey was kicked off a fido net scanner
> group.

Nice touch listing all those Mackey posts. Way to go. Thanks.

4103. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359d9384.2557029@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 154873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill, Bill.

You know I love you.

I have all of your scanner books and I subscribed to the scanner newsletter (until it got really deep into the computer aspect, and I'm all thumbs with a soldiering iron), and even got a whole bunch of back copies of EMTJ, although under a different name. Yes, either D.P. Roberts or the name I used is not quite the real thing.

But are you really fanning this thing with such a simple question? Or maybe there is something deeper, something that has to do with the Slick Willy and Hillary Rodman three dollar bills I've seen floating around.

Yes, Mackaroooooon is just as blustery as Slick Willy. They both talk a good game, but their words are empty. Both as windy as a sack full of farts.

4104. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvBCLJ.7tr@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154874

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998, John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF wrote:

> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> > Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.
>
> That is NOT very easly or simple to do!

Showing your abysmal ignorance again, hey Mack-hole? It IS quite easy to
do exactly that - all one has to do is put the different browsers into
different directories and call up the one he wants.

Exactly what you've been doing, right??

You friggin' loon...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

4105. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvBD1D.7xx@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154875

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998, John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF wrote:

> John T. Arthur wrote:
> > Why not? YOU are responsible for them!
>
> How do you figure that? Or is this yet anothor of the
> John T. Arthor tale of lies?

All you have to do, Mack-hole, is look at the unedited header that was posted here just a few messages ago. It clearly identified JOHN MACKEY as the originator of the forged post. Ya gotta be a little smarter than your computer, Johnnie-boy.

Get a clue, you friggin' moron...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

4106. Re: SKIP all day; more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359e9656.3278560@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 154876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>Ive been Dxing the lowband for a while but havnt had much success.How do you
>look for an opening? I have only heard skip twice this year.I have a nice
>random wire outside so I know its not the antenna.And what are the best times
>to do this?

This is kind of unscientific, and a lot of people are going to laugh, but I look for a bright, warm, blustery day. Not just breezy, but blustery. A front may be moving in, and with it, some skip. I sat in the kitchen one day when it was so windy I thought the tree was going to break through the window. I don't have an external antenna, but instead use the $19 K Mart wabbit ears, extended all the way out and held so they're vertical. I heard about 50 skip stations and 3 or 4 second images from 15 MHz shortwave broadcast stations.

A few months ago, from Kansas City, using just my portable TV with its whip, I was able to log EVERY television station from Springfield, Missouri, maybe 90 miles away. Not just log them, but sit and watch them. They were all crystal clear, studio quality, even up into the UHF channels. Unfortunately, I didn't have the presence of mind to try the FM band or scanner frequencies. In fact, I just now realized I could have probably heard something.

I've heard that a good barometer is to watch your TV on channel 2, 3 or 4. When you start seeing those fuzzy lines forming, and it's a local station, skip will start coming in. Often the news anchors will mention that their signal is looking strange. When that happens, start looking for skip. I was once able to log 60 television stations in one day, including three on channel 13 from New York state.

Unfortunately, if you don't have an outdoor antenna, that sort of skip becomes somewhat infrequent. There is one scanner listener who writes magazine articles who has a tower with multiple antennas, and he gets that sort of reception quite frequently.

4107. ***IF FILTER on my 2006****

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jwschn@ntr.net>
Message-ID: <35979A5A.306D908E@ntr.net>
Source record: 154877

How hard is it to change my IF filter on the 2006, or maybe add a
circuit for switchable filters??
TIA

4108. IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jwschn@ntr.net>
Message-ID: <359799E0.54B86CFC@ntr.net>
Source record: 154878

I'm considering a purchase of the PCR1000 .How does it compare in
overall performance with my AR8k and 2006.??
Also, is there a mod for the US version, or should I go ahead with an
'export' unit.

TIA

4109. f/s Icom desk charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcam <dcam@ricochet.net>
Message-ID: <3597A651.7B595AC3@ricochet.net>
Source record: 154879

Hi Everyone
I've an Icom desk charger BC-79A box ,manual,like new sell for $60.
Thank you.
Doug

4110. F.S. Icom R-7000 Rec.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kcoin@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062914480600.KAA25839@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154880

Icom R-7000 Receiver No marks or scratches excell. cond.
with RC-12 remote control / Manual /Full Rec.
$950. + Shp.
This Equipment is Guarantee as Described or your Money Back Minus Shipping
Ken (WN9N) 815-478-5109 kcoin@aol.com

4111. Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: p_houser@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n8fir$e33$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154881

I need to know what is a good scanner for rural area where there are a few frequencies but not a lot of frequencies like a big city.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4112. Re: Skip alive in NH today 6/28 ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35a8acc0.9017662@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 154882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Regarding the "Dennesy" county, I am unable to locate anything like that. There are a few hits, but they don't seem to fit. There is a "Geneseo" in Kansas, which is about 15-20 miles south of I-70 (which you heard mentioned), kind of in the middle in a triangle formed by Salina, Hutchinson and Great Bend. It's just south of Kanopolis Lake.

I've been looking for a list of counties by state. I'm sure some amateur radio page has such a list, and maybe I've got one in a DOS text file stored somewhere, but you'd think something like that would be easy to find. I've about struck out.

4113. Re: Scanners for sale (Realistic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: eb795@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <6n8arddne1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 154883

What great bargains!

4114. Re: SKIP all day; more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35a9b0b5.10031137@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 154884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>The big capture was on 39.58 around 15:47 EST. "Dennesey county? to 11A,

As I continue looking for this one, I'm getting a little more convinced that what you heard was in or near Geneseo, Kansas, which kinda sorta sounds like "Dennesey County" I guess. Anyway, Kansas uses 39.58 MHz.

I just gotta get me a copy of Delorme's Street Atlas!

4115. Re: Cordless Phone Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard <Howard*V@Bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3597B50E.7FEAEE26@Bigfoot.com>
Source record: 154885

Thanks for the answers. However, when I had damaged my handset for my cordless phone, Sony told me that I had to purchase a new phone with the base. They told me a replacement handset would not work with my base, but the salesperson couldn't give me a reason why.

If they are all on the same frequency, why wouldn't another Sony's handset work with my base?

Andrew Porrett wrote:

> hmslists@aol.com (HMs Lists) wrote:
>
> >Two possibilities. Either or both phones could have some sort of
> >"PL" tones, such that only the matching base and handset will
> >receive/relay that phone's transmissions.
>
> PL circuitry controls receiver squelch. Cordless phones don't
> need squelch circuitry. Try removing power from the base in the
> middle of a call and see if the handset squelches. Besides, what
> good are PL tones when the receivers would be unsquelched for the
> duration of a call anyway?
>
> >Make sense?
>
> Nope! (But your second scenario does... :)
>
> ...Andy
>
> *** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

4116. Re: IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John McDermon <mcdermon@usa.net>
Message-ID: <nBOl1.573$Ki5.484701@pm01nn>
Source record: 154886

John wrote in message <359799E0.54B86CFC@ntr.net>...
>I'm considering a purchase of the PCR1000 .How does it compare in
>overall performance with my AR8k and 2006.??
>Also, is there a mod for the US version, or should I go ahead with an
>'export' unit.
>
>
> TIA
>

John, I sold my AR8000 early this year and bought a PCR-1000. And I
have
owned a 2006 a few years ago so maybe I can be of some help.

The PCR-1000 is a neat package. Its perfect for me as I seldom ever
took
the AR8000 out of my office. I am a programmer and although I had
purchased
the RS232 interface module for the AR8000, I never really did much
with it.

The greatest appeal to me of the PCR-1000 has also been the source of
greatest
disappointment. I wanted a radio intended purely for "program
control" and the
PCR-1000 appeared to satisfy that requirement totally. I bought it
with the idea I
could write some software of my own to "do it my way" so to speak.
Its just a hobby
with me but I figured I could put my programming skills to work and
blend a couple
of activities I take great pleasure in.

Ahhhh, but I had not counted on Icom to throw a monkey wrench into the
mix. Turns out
they ABSOLUTELY REFUSE to release ANY documentation on how to control
the radio
via software. Not to be deterred, I surfed the internet, and sure
enough, I found software
from third party vendors which stated support for the PCR-1000. I
bought one and downloaded
another neat package (PCRScope) which seemed to work well. And I
found some "unofficial"
documentation on the command protocol for the radio. The sky began to
lighten up and I

thought maybe I could "do my own thing" with this radio after all.

But alas, Icom threw another wrench into the pot. My radio got sick. Turns out there is an
EEPROM (only 128 bytes) in the radio which got corrupted somehow. I don't know what did
it or exactly when. It did not disable the radio totally, just made the AFC inoperable, and caused
the received signal to appear to be quite attenuated. At first I attributed this attenatuion to a
change in my outdoor antenna or something.... BUT NO!!!! I read on this use net group
where others had experienced EXACTLY THE SAME SYMPTOMS I had. So I sent my radio
back to Icom for repair. They did repair it, and I am grateful for that.

BUT! (There's always a BUT ain't there?). Icom indicated in their correspondence with me that
they think my EEPROM corruption occurred because I was using software other than that supplied
with the radio (a fact I admited up front when I reported the problem to them in the first place). Now
they say they are going to treat any future EEPROM corruption as non-warranty because in their
opinion, it only occurs when someone uses software not supplied by Icom. So in effect they are
saying that I am S.O.L. when it comes to wanting to write my own software. I'm a bit PO'd about
this as you might imagine. My radio has been returned (got it last week) and it works great. But,
I'm unable to use the software I bought ($150 worth) and it bugs the hell out of me...

The tech said he spent 4 hours on the test bench "realligning" my radio. Said all the settings that
were saved in the EEPROM had been cleared by something - most likely third party software.
I know for fact that many others have used third party software w/o suffering this fate. So I guess
its "let the buyer beware!" as far as Icom is concerned. Even more aggregious is the fact that
Icom puts links to "third party software developers" (including the one I bought from) on their web
site. Yet after you buy a PCR-1000 you will learn that THEY PROHIBIT YOU from using such
software if you wish to maintain warranty on your equipment. Seems like they're trying to have it
both ways - Put on a show of third party support for the radio, and yet will not support your use of
it if anything goes wrong with the radio hardware itself.

From the various posts and what little I have been able to learn, it appears a big part of the problem
is related to the fact that Icom failed to provide any sort of "write protection" for the EEPROM in the
radio. Thus, if the data comm gets off-track in any way, it "might" inadvertently interpret a command
as a write command to the EEPROM data. The EEPROM in use is a Xicor X24C01A, which is a
serial programmable EEPROM.

The fix needed is for Icom to be forthcoming in releasing ALL the command protocols for the radio
so that third party developers and DIY people like me can do it RIGHT! And they also ought to
write and release a BACKUP/RESTORE utility to allow users to save the precious alignment contents
of the EEPROM and be able to restore it if things do get corrupted. So far, Icom has been absolutely
silent to requests for such a utility.

So, "Caveat Empteur" and enjoy the PCR-1000 if thats the route you go. As I said, I like the radio.
Reception in HF,VHF and UHF is great. Probably better than either the AR8000 or Pro2006 was. But
if you intend to write software for it or use one of the nice third party packages, then forget this radio. Or
better yet, call Icom and complain about this policy - maybe they will listen better to a "prospective" buyer
than they do to people who's money they've already pocketed.

John

4117. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <3597C135.5B8487EE@icdc.com>
Source record: 154887

John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF wrote:

> > I could be concluding that neither of you have been forging these...
>
> > probably some idiot that is looking to start a flaming war, or
> someone
>
> Likely Bill Cheek! He often brags about his knowledge of how

i said NEITHER OF YOU- Mackey or Cheek. But you choose to respond. I
think what everyone else thinks- shut up, will ya?

4118. Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Houser <ch42958@navix.net>
Message-ID: <3597C0C4.7F28@navix.net>
Source record: 154888

What would be a good scanner to get if you live in a rural area were there are a few frequencies but not a lot of frequencies like in a big city?

4119. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <3597C309.8DBA291B@icdc.com>
Source record: 154889

forged posts- both cheek and mackey are suspects. mackey because the
forged posts are against cheek. cheek because he seems to want to keep
this flaming going.

George Vetterle wrote:

> On Sun, 28 Jun 1998 18:54:51 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF"
> <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >allsafe wrote:
> >> out pops a fleet of bogus posts,
> >> forgeries, and rogue cancels.
> >>
> >Don't try to blame the forgeries, cancels, & bogus posts on me!
> >>
> >Simply by looking at the IP address of the computers anyone can
> >see that it NOT coming from ME.
>
> They show no such thing. All they show is that the posts were
> inserted via an open server which does happen to be in your neck
> of the woods. They neither prove nor *disprove* that you did it.
>
> > Perhaps you should look at your
> >hero BILL CHEEK, as in the past bogus posts have been seen coming
> >from the SAME IP ADDRESS as his computer!
>
> There is *nothing* in the path header or NNTP-Posting Host header that
>
> in any way indicates Bill was responsible. Again, it neither *proves*
>
> nor *disproves* that Bill did it.
>
> >Remember the "Billy Thunder" & the "WinRadio" posts? Proof positive!
>
> Why not "Remember the Alamo" and "Remember the Maine?" They have as
> much relevance to the subject at hand. Proof positive of what? Your
>
> I.Q.? You don't have to prove that to me. Heh, Heh, Heh!
>
> --
>
> George Vetterle
> geovet@ix.netcom.com
>
> "Proof? I ain't got no proof!

> I don't need no proof! I don't
> got to show you any stinking
> proof!"-J. Mackey, Net Cop

4120. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <3597C1F5.6F755E0A@icdc.com>
Source record: 154890

everyone should realize- mackey never posts scanner-related things. all he is here for is to flame. get to the topic mackey.

4121. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com>
Message-ID: <3597C382.312E7C62@icdc.com>
Source record: 154891

yea, where is the "to reply, remove the 'x' in my email address?" Dont see it. And one change should be enough, shouldnt it? you dont need to change it every day!!! Hell, i dont even change my email address and i barely get any spam

John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF wrote:

> Doktor Tripp wrote:
> > Damn Mackey,, trying to get around my kill filter ? What a loser you
>
> > are to change posting names just to avoid being filtered.
>
> WRONG! I am attempting to prevent receiving advertising SPAM!

4122. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3597c1f7.61484282@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154892

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

nomail@aol.com (James Donlan) wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> >My full-time connection to the Internet is faster
> >than six T-1 lines put together.
>
> So, you spend about $17,000 a month for internet access?

Nope. Not even close.

> Are you wishing or showing your lack of putur knowledge agin ?

Are you calling me a liar? And if so,
how fat of a wallet would you like to
wager on the truth and facts of what
I said above?

Money talks and bullshit walks, fella.
Let's see what you are made out of?

Let's put it this way....I might be able to
give you a slick little computer lesson that
will remain with you for the rest of your
life. So how much hair and lugnuts do you
have to put up to finding out?

I'll be eagerly waiting for your reply.
(On my 10-Mbps 24/7/365 Internet connection.)

Fair enough?

4123. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3598c33e.61810882@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154893

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> A change in Mackey's name. My, my, I wonder, is he trying to get around
> everyone's twit filters ??? Looks like it ... He must be a glutten for
> punishment ...

Just use wildcards in your twit filter.
Like this:

J?hn?Mackey John??ackey
?ohn?Mackey John?M?ckey
Jo?n?Mackey John?Ma?key
Joh??Mackey John?Mac?ey
etc. etc.

You can construct the table in no time to
cover anything he can think of other than a
whole new AKA.

heh heh heh....

4124. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3599c46a.62111542@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154894

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:

> dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> > Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.
>
> That is NOT very easly or simple to do!

No wonder you are known as a hermaphroditic
imbecilic idiot....as well as one who has
no veracity or candor.

4125. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359ac518.62285327@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154895

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> Sounds like he's trying to be your little buddy .

Ummmmhummmm, "gooooooooodbuddy", that is.

ROTFFLMFAO
```

4126. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359bc59c.62417262@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154896

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com (Andrew Porrett) wrote:

> "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:
>
> >dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> > Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.
> >
> >That is NOT very easly or simple to do!
>
> It is quite simple to do. I installed Netscape 1.1N and
> Netscape 3.0 on an old 386 running Windows 3.1 in order
> to test web pages on older browsers.
>
> I encountered no problems. Was quite easly to do.

He knows that. But he's pretending to be
more stupid than we think to throw off
suspicion that he's the forger and rogue
canceler.

Trying to cover his tracks, in a manner of
speaking....

:-)

4127. Fs CELLWAVE Transmitter Combininers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "j.kyong" <j.kyong@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6n8gqu$ll@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154897

Hi all
I have for sale.
CELLWAVE Transmitter Combininers.
4 Channel Cavity. 870MHz combiners will turn down to 860MHz.
Cellwave Model No : 505980
" " : C50598
" " : 505970
Dimensions : 13 X 18 X 13 inches.
Weight : 50 LBS
$ 475.00 Plus Shipping.
Cellwave Power Monitor ZRB101 with DSG-2 seneor $ 275.00 Plus shipping.
Tel: 714-778-5151
Thanks

4128. VHF Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin Rosendorff <boxtrish@global.co.za>
Message-ID: <3597C5B2.5CB07EF5@global.co.za>
Source record: 154898

Does anyone have a quick and simple circuit for a handheld broadband
VHF receiver to operate on approx.100 - 145Mhz ?
Thanks
Martin

4129. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359cc6ea.62751347@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154899

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:

> Good question ... I've heard the saying "queer as a 3 dollar bill" ... so
> maybe ... they're both queer???

Bong...bong....bong....<fireworks>
<laser lights> <drum rolls>
<Star Spangled Banner playing.....>

Ladies and gentlemen, we have a winnah!
Indeed, the legendary Mistah Mackey and
the $3 bill are both just a trifle queer!

And the winnah step up and take a bow!
Huzzah! Hurrah! Huzzah!

<applause>

4130. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359dc7c2.62966877@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154900

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

inigo@montoya.net (D. P. Roberts) wrote:

> Bill, Bill.
>
> You know I love you.

Yes, of course. :-) And I cherish you as well. ;-)

> I have all of your scanner books and I subscribed to the scanner newsletter
> (until it got really deep into the computer aspect, and I'm all thumbs with a
> soldiering iron), and even got a whole bunch of back copies of EMTJ, although
> under a different name. Yes, either D.P. Roberts or the name I used is not
> quite the real thing.

Naturally. In this day and age....

> But are you really fanning this thing with such a simple question?

Just Mackey's odor is all......

> Or maybe there is something deeper, something that has to do
> with the Slick Willy and Hillary Rodman three dollar bills
> I've seen floating around.

I've no use for the Komrads Klintax. so I dunno what
they've released lately.
>
> Yes, Mackaroooooon is just as blustery as Slick Willy.
> They both talk a good game, but their words are empty.
> Both as windy as a sack full of farts.

And about as odiferous, too.

But fan something? Nooooo. Good ol' Smacksie
jumped on this newsgroup a few years ago and
started in on me and hasn't let up since. So,
by eminent domain now, I possess him. I own him.
He's mine, like a mangy cur, to do with as I please.

The real funny thing is....every time I make
a sharp right or left turn without telling
him first, Mackey's nose breaks. That's what

has him frosted and chapped more than anything else.

4131. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359ec994.63433772@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154901

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

> In article <359d243d.21096353@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
> writes:
>
> >What do Mackey and a $3 bill have in common?
>
> Isn't that Mackey's face on the $3 bill? Oops, sorry .. that's not his face.
> Sure is hard to tell the difference.

Like I've said before, if I had a dog
that looked like Mackey, I'd shave his
ass and teach 'im to walk backwards when
folks were around.
```

4132. XLT-120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew H Barth <ahbarth@acsu.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <3597F861.7D50@acsu.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154902

I have an Bearcat/Uniden XLT-120. Is there any mods to eliminate the
"holes" between bands ????

4133. Re: bill cheek's accident, blowing up a sat dish!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@banana.net
Message-ID: <35979848.21315464@nntp.a001.sprintmail.com>
Source record: 154903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is freakin' hilarious!

4134. Re: Cordless Phone Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: danmason@visi.com
Message-ID: <LzQI1.2089$P8.7446360@ptah.visi.com>
Source record: 154904

In article <3597B50E.7FEAEE26@Bigfoot.com>,
Howard <Howard*V@Bigfoot.com> wrote:
>If they are all on the same frequency, why wouldn't another Sony's
>handset work with my base?

Your neighbors might not have sony phones. Just because they use the same frequency doesn't mean they are the same brand much less model. As far as replacing your handset, maybe some sony phones vary slightly, or maybe he would like to see you purchase a new phone. And some digital phones use codes to authenticate between base and handset. Maybe yours would not authenticate with a new handset.

I'm probably wrong though, in which case I'm sure someone will happily correct me :).

Dan Mason

--
dan mason - vector internet - danmason@visi.com
72 1D 5A D6 5F F3 6C F0 27 FD 1C BA 97 38 38 3D
"fear is your only god" -rage against the machine

4135. florida highway patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcrosspac@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n8sosuco1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154905

Does anyone have information on the trunked system the Florida Highway patrol switched to? They are off their old VHF freq. in South and Central Florida. I programmed the trunked freq in my scanner but can;t find them..

Appreciate any info.....Bill Cross

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4136. Need RS-2006 or Icom 7100 discriminator Out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mmjjmm@post.its.mcw.edu
Message-ID: <6n8j9c$cv2@wiscnews.wiscnet.net>
Source record: 154906

I am looking for help in finding the dicriminator output on the RS-2006 or the Icom 7100 I would be most greateful. Want to do a mod but bo not have the schematics. Send e-mail to malloy@execpc.com

--

Michael J. Malloy Amateur Radio: N9WJV
Medical College of Wisconsin Voice: 414-384-2000 Ext.1679
Milwaukee, Wisconsin Email: mmjjmm@post.its.mcw.edu

4137. Hey Smacksie! You owe me now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3597e0a9.69343692@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154907

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yo Smacksie! Say, you owe me one now,
pal. See, there's this private mail
list where the boys have been telling
stories about you.

And they've been saying you're gay in
the *worst* sort of way. Well, you know....

Anyhow, I told them that you absolutely
did not do THAT kind of thing; that it
just wasn't true.

And then I told 'em to just never mind
what ELSE you'd do.

4138. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3598e36a.70048237@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154908

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Derek Yerger <DYerger@icdc.com> wrote:

> forged posts- both cheek and mackey are suspects. mackey because the
> forged posts are against cheek. cheek because he seems to want to keep
> this flaming going.

Not me, pal. But Mackey wants to play,
and he doesn't want me to ignore him. He
throws tantrums when I ignore him. He
runs amok and goes berserk when I don't
poke 'im a little.

Tell him to accept my proposal and then see
what happens.

4139. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@aol.com
Message-ID: <3598ddf8.27327432@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 154909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 16:39:04 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>nomail@aol.com (James Donlan) wrote:
>
>> Bill Cheek wrote:
>>
>> >My full-time connection to the Internet is faster
>> >than six T-1 lines put together.
>>
>> So, you spend about $17,000 a month for internet access?
>
>Nope. Not even close.
>
>> Are you wishing or showing your lack of putur knowledge agin ?
>
>Are you calling me a liar?

Yes. You've lied numerous times here before. Facts are facts. Even if you're
not lying this time - yes, you are still a liar.

>And if so, how fat of a wallet would you like to
>wager on the truth and facts of what
>I said above?

I don't know bill, I can't weave my way thought all your bullshit to know what's
fact and fiction anymore.

Guess you are on your own. Enjoy your fat wallet.

>Let's put it this way....I might be able to
>give you a slick little computer lesson that
>will remain with you for the rest of your
>life.

Oh, I don't think so. But, hey, if you believe that, life's a party at the old
age home.

Pop a Geritol for me tonight, will ya ?

4140. Monitoring Computer Monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcomer@rt66.com
Message-ID: <6n8ob7kqq1@news.rt66.com>
Source record: 154910

Is anyone involved in monitoring computer monitors? I seem to remember seeing information in the back of Monitoring Times, but the topic disappeared. I know it can be done, just curious to know if anyone has information on this topic.

Thanks

4141. Re: prescott arizona frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank <frankjg@ctaz.com>
Message-ID: <3597EFC4.6814@ctaz.com>
Source record: 154911

Listings for most US areas

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

Dan Rollman wrote:
>
> Lloyd Armstrong wrote:
>
> > need help with prescott az /yavapai county freqs, plice, fire, s.o etc.
> > thinking of sending an inexp, scanner as a gift and nedd to be sure
> > they're not 800 or trunked. thanks in advance!!!
> >
> > lfa2
>
> Lloyd, check out Southwest Frequency Directory's webpage at
> http://www.azstarnet.com/~danr for a 300+ page Arizona frequency book. It's
> made locally.
>
> Dan

4142. MonitoringCompMonitors B.Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: X <fillip@banet.net>
Message-ID: <359749E7.93C4023B@banet.net>
Source record: 154912

I've heared of it too in the very same magazine.
Hey Bill Cheek , you know anything about this ?

David M. Comer wrote:

> Is anyone involved in monitoring computer monitors?
> I seem to remember seeing information in the back
> of Monitoring Times, but the topic disappeared. I
> know it can be done, just curious to know if anyone
> has information on this topic.
>
> Thanks

4143. 854.9875 Staten Isl. NY !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: X <fillip@banet.net>
Message-ID: <35974928.A11698EC@banet.net>
Source record: 154913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What happend to the 854.9875 that was shared between
Brooklyn and Staten Island EMS ???
Now I only hear Brooklyn's weak singals here on Staten Island.
Any ideas What happend to SI's EMS service ?
trunked maybe ?

Thanx
Mike

4144. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3597e808.5959362@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154914
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

On 29 Jun 1998 11:32:16 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

>On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 05:54:17 -0700, dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
>>This to let you know that John Mackey is telling you a tall tale when he
>>states Bill Cheek is the flamer of the newsgroup, John has been flaming
>>the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup for three years now.
>
>
>
>AMEN, and Dittos!
>

I have been a witness to it all. I was monitoring rec.radio.scanner
when John Mackey first appeared. The whole story is archived in
Dejanews for anyone that has a lot of time. To say the least,
Mackey has a credibility problem.

George Vetterle

4145. Re: Need RS-2006 or Icom 7100 discriminator Out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <6n8raf$b3c@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 154915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <6n8j9c$cv2@wiscnews.wiscnet.net>,
Michael J. Malloy <mmjjmm@post.its.mcw.edu> wrote:

>I am looking for help in finding the dicriminator output on the
>RS-2006 or the Icom 7100 I would be most greateful....

ICOM IC-R7100A

If I recall, I tapped into the junction of R228 and R230.
R228 and R230 are located next to each other
on the "Main" printed ckt board, next to crystal discriminator X3.

I used RG174/U miniature coax cable to connect
the junction of the resistors to an RCA jack added
on the rear apron.

Consider buying an R7100A service manual from ICOM.
The current price is about $46 plus s&h. It contains
a wealth of info, including board layouts, theory, etc.

Good luck and happy monitoring.
--
==========
Copyright 1998, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

4146. Re: SKIP all day; more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: arise@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n93f8$7au$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey,If it works thers nothin wrong with it!.:).Today I heard some skip on the Army aircraft freq of 41.50 MHz.unid Clg "26" With traffic.Then it faded into nothing.I also heard some police Depts scatterd all over the band,I know this is skip b/c no one uses the lowband for police comms in this area any more.Ill keep up the skip watch tommorow and Ill watch the skys for the next front too and let ya know if that brings any skip along with it.

Thanks
Mike

In article <359e9656.3278560@news.swbell.net>,
inigo@montoya.net (D. P. Roberts) wrote:
>
> >Ive been Dxing the lowband for a while but havnt had much success.How do you
> >look for an opening? I have only heard skip twice this year.I have a nice
> >random wire outside so I know its not the antenna.And what are the best times
> >to do this?
>
> This is kind of unscientific, and a lot of people are going to laugh, but I
> look for a bright, warm, blustery day. Not just breezy, but blustery. A
> front may be moving in, and with it, some skip. I sat in the kitchen one day
> when it was so windy I thought the tree was going to break through the
> window. I don't have an external antenna, but instead use the $19 K Mart
> wabbit ears, extended all the way out and held so they're vertical. I heard
> about 50 skip stations and 3 or 4 second images from 15 MHz shortwave
> broadcast stations.
>
> A few months ago, from Kansas City, using just my portable TV with its whip,
> I was able to log EVERY television station from Springfield, Missouri, maybe
> 90 miles away. Not just log them, but sit and watch them. They were all
> crystal clear, studio quality, even up into the UHF channels. Unfortunately,
> I didn't have the presence of mind to try the FM band or scanner frequencies.
> In fact, I just now realized I could have probably heard something.
>
> I've heard that a good barometer is to watch your TV on channel 2, 3 or 4.
> When you start seeing those fuzzy lines forming, and it's a local station,
> skip will start coming in. Often the news anchors will mention that their
> signal is looking strange. When that happens, start looking for skip. I was
> once able to log 60 television stations in one day, including three on
> channel 13 from New York state.
>
> Unfortunately, if you don't have an outdoor antenna, that sort of skip
> becomes somewhat infrequent. There is one scanner listener who writes
> magazine articles who has a tower with multiple antennas, and he gets that

> sort of reception quite frequently.
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4147. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <3596DA8F.7453@parXg.org>
Source record: 154945

K4CC wrote:

> "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> writes,
> >Yes, that is a large part of the problem we have had with Dave
> >on this NG.
>
> Excuse me? You quote from two two totally unrelated messages and come up wth
> this?

Dave, "if the shoe fits...."!

4148. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <3596DAEC.6FC3@parXg.org>
Source record: 154946

K4CC wrote:

> "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> writes:
> >Now we see the cause of the problems!
>
> Excuse me? What problems?

Dave, if you read your posts & can't see problems, then that certainly shows the problem!

4149. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <3596DC19.4C00@parXg.org>
Source record: 154947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

George Vetterle wrote:

> On Sun, 28 Jun 1998 18:54:51 GMT, "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" wrote:
> >Simply by looking at the IP address of the computers anyone can
> >see that it NOT coming from ME.
>
> They show no such thing. All they show is that the posts were
> inserted via an open server which does happen to be in your neck

No George. Look at the posts, the "path" statement shows which
server was used to post the messages. The "nntp posting host"
shows the IP address of the computer making the post.

Try it!

> There is *nothing* in the path header or NNTP-Posting Host header that
> in any way indicates Bill was responsible. Again, it neither *proves*
> nor *disproves* that Bill did it.

Ok, sometimes that happens. Then you would also have to say that there
is no indication that proves or dis-proves I made the posts!

So we could only go on previous record & history. Remember
the "Billy Thunder" & the "WinRadio" posts Bill Cheek was
caught making on this NG only a few months ago? Proof positive!

4150. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062900352600.UAA20690@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154948

"John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org> changes masks again:

>Dave, "if the shoe fits...."!

Oh, geez. Now it's MacXkey.KA0XSSF.
WXell, JoXhn, i guesXs you'rXe doing soXme more eXxperimenting for the beXnifit of allX mankind.
When did we start talking about shoes?
YouXrs trXuly,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4151. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062900352700.UAA17848@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154949

"Dr. John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF., PhXD" <jmackey@parXg.org> hypothesizes:

>Dave, if you read your posts & can't see problems, then that certainly
>shows the problem!

Um, okay. Thanks for clearing that right up, doc.

Bye for now,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4152. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062900352800.UAA20696@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154950

"John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org> parses:

>End of lessong #1 for K4CC.

Uh, sorry, John. Thought you might have a tiny sense of humour. Excuse my presumption.

Seriously,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4153. WTB Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: secretservices@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n6s80$g9h$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154951

Looking for a Radio Shack Pro-26 scanner. Does not need to have any modifications performed on it. Thanks

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4154. Re: bill cheek's accident, blowing up a sat dish!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: arise@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6n6qgt$e85$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Why are you people digging up this guys past? let it die.so this stupid flame war will end.

In article <6n6lcm$92l$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, clarencegreen@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
>
> >In article you wrote:
> >> hey cheeks,, why don't you tell the story about how you blew up a satellite
> >> dish over near parker? you didn't have to spend very long in the
> >> hospital!
> >
> >Well, I don't want any part of this flame war, but you've been hinting
> >at stuff close to home for me -- where can I find out more on this?
> >Sounds humerous, whoever it happened to!
>
> cheeks used to work on mounting sat dishes when he lived here in Littleton,
> colorado in the early 1980's. he was mounting a sat dish up on top of a
> pole. he had it mounted to a pole, then was going to use a block/pully
> arrangement to lift the pole up to the necessary position. the pole went up,
> but he use so much force that it went right back over & landed on some high
> power wires on the other side. the electrical charge blew up and melted the
> dish, and cheek spent about a month in the hospital recovering. he had to be
> air lifted via lifeflight to the university of colorado medical center. i
> heard from his x-wife that he had amnesia for a while plus some other mental
> problems. she said he never fully recovered from this and they later divorced
> as he had turned bi-sexual after the experience. he attempted to get
> counseling at the VA (since was in the army) but no recovery was seen. this
> caused him several problems!
>
> -----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
> http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4155. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: EyeSpy <deltax2x@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bda2fc$dbef6700$95b2bacd@turnerbl>
Source record: 154953

Get an old Motorola Brick Phone the 8000's they have a few jumpers on the back of the phone up by where the antenna connects on and the two far right connectors need to be jumped this will allow the phone to scan, this however is illegal and sucks down the batteries very quickly. I'll look up the specs and try to post all the particulars but as always be careful. I may have other phones listed somewhere. There is also a digital 8000's that very few people know about that I have the spec's on, this allows for some scanning on digital lines but only on some of the old digital phones, not that great if you ask me.

4156. Re: FUCK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: EyeSpy <deltax2x@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bda2ff$c2913ba0$95b2bacd@turnerbl>
Source record: 154954

Correction, the keyboard upgrade you mentioned will not be released with
Windows98, you will have to wait for the fix to come out from Microsoft.
This is expected to be released with Windows 2001 due out May 2005

"Help us from Microsoft"

George Vetterle <geovet@ix.netcom.com> wrote in article
<35932a01.10324856@nntp.ix.netcom.com>...
> On 25 Jun 1998 13:30:04 GMT, klaro21266@aol.com (KLaro21266) wrote:
>
> >CAN'T YOU GUYS KNOCK THIS CRAP OFF. MOST OF US DID NOT PUT DOWN
THOUSANDS OF
> >DOLLARS FOR A COMPUTER TO LISTEN TO YOUR FOUR LETTER WORDS.
> >CANDLEMAKING,
> >ALT.FIREFIGHTE
> >SCANNER FREQUENCIES
> >WEATHER FORECASTING
> >
> >TORNADO'S
> >SEVERE STORMS
> >WEATHER NEWS GROUPS
> >ALT. MED. EMS
> >AMUSEMENT PARKS
> >misc.emerg-services
> >fire.firefighters
> >FIRE.EMS
> >FIRE.BINARIES
> >ALT.EMERGENCY.SERVICES.DISPATCH
> >
>
> If you spent thousands of dollars on your computer,
> you should have spent a few more and got a keyboard
> with a Caps Lock key. Keep that in mind when you decide to
> upgrade. HTH
>
>
> George L. Vetterle
> geovet@ix.netcom.com
> geovet@worldnet.att.net
>
> "Badges? We ain't got no badges!
> We don't need no badges! I don't
> have to show you any stinking badges!"-
> Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
> OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

>

4157. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvAJIt.257@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154955

On Sun, 28 Jun 1998, John.Mackey.KA0SSF wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
> > out pops a fleet of bogus posts,
> > forgeries, and rogue cancels.
>
> Don't try to blame the forgeries, cancels, & bogus posts on me!

Why not? YOU are responsible for them!

> Simply by looking at the IP address of the computers anyone can
> see that it NOT coming from ME. Perhaps you should look at your
> hero BILL CHEEK, as in the past bogus posts have been seen coming
> from the SAME IP ADDRESS as his computer!

Exceot that the forgeries are coming from PORTLAND, not San Diego, you
friggin moron!!!

> Remember the "Billy Thunder" & the "WinRadio" posts? Proof positive!

We also remember all of YOUR alter-egos, nitwit.

All this crap would end if you'd just use that shotgun in the closet...
Listen to the voices in your head!! PLEASE!!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

4158. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvAJnK.27w@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154956

On 28 Jun 1998, K4CC wrote:

> "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> writes:
>
> >Now we see the cause of the problems!
>
> Excuse me? What problems?
>
> Curiously,
> Dave, K4CC
> USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
> Nashville TN

There's only ONE problem in this newsgroup. I won't mention his name, but
his initials are John Mackey...

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

4159. Re: Loving This...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <3596FC2C.72B9@parXg.org>
Source record: 154957

Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ wrote:
> Sorry to be off-topic, but:
>
> It is funny how even a little post that says "Hi" can be turned into a huge
> thread of name-calling and flame-fests...

Sad isn't it? Sorta like when I gave you congrats on your
new ham license & people turned it into a flamefest. :(

4160. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <3596FF4D.7A0@parXg.org>
Source record: 154958

Doktor Tripp wrote:
> Damn Mackey,, trying to get around my kill filter ? What a loser you
> are to change posting names just to avoid being filtered.

WRONG! I am attempting to prevent receiving advertising SPAM!

4161. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <3596FF6E.7B97@parXg.org>
Source record: 154959

K4CC wrote:
> Um, okay. Thanks for clearing that right up, doc.

You're welcome Dave, anything I can do to help!

4162. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Message-ID: <3596FF8C.669F@parXg.org>
Source record: 154960

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.

That is NOT very easly or simple to do!

4163. Re: Cordless Phone Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmslists@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062903052000.XAA10427@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154961

Two possibilities. Either or both phones could have some sort of "PL" tones, such that only the matching base and handset will receive/relay that phone's transmissions.

Also, you'd probably have to assume that even if YOUR reception of both is about the same, each of the two users is probably closer to his OWN base; therefore the other user's phone's signals would be weaker.

In other words, one user may be three houses WEST of you, the other three houses EAST of you. They're equal distance from YOU, but 7 houses apart from EACH OTHER, and may not even notice any interference from each other.

Make sense?
Harry Marnell, N6URU
HMsLists@aol.com
http://www.ccrane.com/scanners.htm

4164. Re: Radio Shack Closeout Store Near Toronto....Where Is It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.com
Message-ID: <359703ae.18906260@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 154962
Cross-posted to: alt.travel.usa-canada,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well the store is in the Toronto area, not St Kitts so directions will do you no good as no one knows where you are comming from and besides all as I know is what you know it is along the QEW, I have been by it but never had the chance to stop.

On Sun, 28 Jun 1998 20:55:51 GMT, radioman@localnet.com (Raymond A. Miller) wrote:

>I heard there is a Radio Shack Closeout item store neat Toronto or St. >Catherines. It is located in a mall and right next to the QEW. Can >some kind individual either give me directions to it and / or possibly >look it up in a phone book so I can call them? I'm looking for >something and they may be my last opportunity. If not, the ride is >nice as those in St Catherines already know, there is a Perkins rest. >there that has an outstanding fish fry that is worth the trip alone! >Thanks, and sorry for cross posting but I am getting desperate

4165. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda30c$8e9c87c0$c11937a6@default>
Source record: 154963

HEY JOHN, WHY DON'T BLOW IT OUT YOUR LEFT EAR. My spelling is a lot better than yours, can't find anything better to do than complain about my spelling???

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John.Mackey.KA0SSF <jmackey@parg.org> wrote in article <35946EA1.5EAA@parg.org>...
> Mike Derryberry wrote:
> > John, you are missing a few thigs here
>
> What is a "thig"?
>
> You should feel embarrased for yourself now!
>

4166. Re: relm MS200 programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: EyeSpy <deltax2x@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bda30d$27cb9e40$95b2bacd@turnerbl>
Source record: 154964

First don't try to program your radios in anything but dos, and don't try running the programming software from windows 95 or 98. Only run them in dos, this will allow for problem free programming. You can program using 95 and 98 but it's a hassle to configure. Enjoy and happy programming

Pinmedic <thomas824@jps.net> wrote in article
<359447c3.0@blushng.jps.net>...
> Has anyone had any trouble programming their RELM MS200 with the
computer? I
> have installed and configured everything correctly, but no matter what I
do,
> I can not program it with the computer. Any suggestions? Also, does RELM
> have a website?
>
> Thanks,
>
> JT
>
>
>

4167. BC60XLT-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mhayworth4@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998062903235300.XAA15771@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154965

Does anyone have a manual for the BC60XLT-1 that I could get a xerox copy of??

Tks,
Marc

4168. Re: Base antenna sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda30d$dd755b00$c11937a6@default>
Source record: 154966

Thanks Bill, I've been out of town for the weekend and so I'm kinda' slow in my replys. The longer I read this NG, the dumber Mackey gets. What a waste of a good human body.

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com> wrote in article
<35968f7a.28867077@news2.cts.com>...
> "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>
> > Mike Derryberry wrote:
> > > John, you are missing a few thigs here
> > >
> > What is a "thig"?
>
> Ahem....I'll tell you. A "thig" is an ancient
> Eritrean word meaning "essential savvy" or
> basic cognitive powers. So yes, you are
> definitely missing a few thigs.
>
> > You should feel embarrased for yourself now!
>
> He is. He's very embarrassed that he knows you.
> Everyone's embarrassed that you lay claim to
> being a member of the human race.
>
> You're a walking violation of the laws of Nature,
> Smacksaroon.
>
> You phriggin phetid phairy.......
>
>

4169. Re: Uniden Bearcat 220XLT "Battery Life Extension" Modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ultron@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6nbquqaeb1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can anyone help me, during replacement of the Ni-Cad battery pack, on my UBC220XLT (1994/95) UK Version. I managed to cause a short (due to worn wiring), which burnt out the negative power track on the main circuit board. I found a way of remedying this buy achieving a new negative connection via the case earth plate (attached to the lower right screw). This makes the scanner operate in all modes.

Whilst this works, the scanner will now only operate for 15 seconds at a time before prematurely activating the low battery warning and triggering the low battery power cut off! I have a feeling I have 'fried' the voltage regulator on the underside of the main board, as it has 'bulged' somewhat.

Therefore as the unit is well out of guarantee period, I would like to modify it by bypassing the low battery power warning/cut-off completely so that the scanner will operate continuously until the battery pack is totally exhausted. I have obtained the following article outlining this procedure for the UBC200XLT but it does not relate well to the UBC220XLT circuity, does anyone have this MOD for the UBC220XLT, or can offer some relevant advice.

=-=-=-

At the bottom of the remaining board--to the left of the speaker magnet--are two blue electrolytic capacitors; just above them are two striped components-- one resistor and one diode. Using the soldering iron and braid, carefully remove the two components without overheating the board or damaging the copper traces.

When you reassemble the radio, be very careful to note the insertion of the front panel into the slot at the base of the volume/squelch panel. Be especially careful to align the screw holes perfectly, allowing the two rows of fine pin contacts to mate correctly with the socket. If this is not done correctly (and it probably won't be the first time!) no damage will occur, but the scanner display will be blank.

Recharge your batteries after 6-8 hours of heavy use. If the scanner dies, recharge it as soon as possible to prevent loss of your memorized frequencies.





capacitors (2, round) Speaker Magnet

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4170. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <35995B13.740A0849@uswest.net>
Source record: 154468
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

Same here!
You would not believe just how handy all that information has been! (it is primarily why John has been removed from so many ISP's over the last 3 years).

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> George Vetterle wrote:
>
> > I have been a witness to it all. I was monitoring rec.radio.scanner
> > when John Mackey first appeared. The whole story is archived in
> > Dejanews for anyone that has a lot of time. To say the least,
> > Mackey has a credibility problem.
>
> I, too, have been here since the beginning. I also have a very
> complete archive of (in)famous Toilet Boy posts, including *all*
> of his other personnas from the early days. It's amazing that I'm
> not the only one with such a delicious library.

4171. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35aa5afd.76911359@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154469
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 21:11:07 -0700, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> I have been a witness to it all. I was monitoring rec.radio.scanner
>> when John Mackey first appeared. The whole story is archived in
>> Dejanews for anyone that has a lot of time. To say the least,
>> Mackey has a credibility problem.
>
>Yea right, I have a credibility problem!!!!! THAT IS A LAUGH!!
>
>Anyone can review dejanews & see where myself & others were
>aking Bill Cheek (bcheek@ssan.rr.com) to stop, we even offered
>his various truce options but he denied all of them. Anyone who wants
>to see for themselves can go the "rec.radio.scanner" right now
>& see the proof! Bill Cheek & his gang of thugs are harrassing that
>entire NG & raising hell!

Tehehehehe, LMFAO--good one John--hahahaHAHAHAHHAH

4172. New newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lughi <lughi@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6nblqe$4dr$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 154470

Could we start a newsgroup Alt. unfan Bill Cheek Moderated so all the abuse is off this group. I don't know the guy or care about what he does. This group has to do about scanners, perhaps we should try to stick to the subject. End of Sermon.
Lewis Carroll

4173. Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <35995A6C.240BBEB5@uswest.net>
Source record: 154471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

John,
the more you deny, the more poepl will tend not to believe you (past actions and all that).. The only way people are going to believe you is if you can show irrefutable proof of your innocence that can be verified and checked out by any (or all) interested parties.

However, since you seem to hell bent to harrass others in here, I seriously doubt that anyone will be giving you any credibility anytime soon.

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> George Vetterle wrote:
> > Posted by John Mackey to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet (nanau) via MCI
> > and inserted into open server news.campbellhall.org (same server used
> > for recent forges and rogue cancels).
>
> that's right George!
>
> I heard everyone talking about this server being open, so I tried
> it myself & on a friend's ISP. Worked for both! So it is indeed
> wide open! (Infact, I am posting from it right now!)
>
> However, I did not make any forgeries or rogue cancels from
> that, or any other, server!

4174. Re: FS : Secret CB - SOLD !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mcleod <jmcleod@pacific.net>
Message-ID: <35995DFE.27373F3F@pacific.net>
Source record: 154472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>

After approximately 50 replies, I have to say that these are sold, sold sold !

Thanks !

John

4175. Re: Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6nbnau$sul@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154473

The scanner will "think" you've got one antenna, so you may want to try an antenna switch.

What happens if you directly connect two antennas? It is somewhat like two pools of mercury (or water) - if you stick 'em together, they just come out to be one object.

One vertical antenna tuned for ~460 MHz and another tuned for ~160MHz connected together will work better on the 60-80MHz range (just above 6m amateur radio band).
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

Markus Orchowski wrote in message <6nb512$a52$1@nnrp1.ni.net>...
>They say the shorter the antenna the higher frequencies will come in better
>then the opposite would be that the taller the antenna would pick up lower
>frequencies. Could you attach two antenna to the same scanner?
>
>

4176. Re: Comments on Icom R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zook Music <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <6nbngutbh1@trellis.wwnet.net>
Source record: 154474

May run a little hot..be sure its well ventilated.

Luck Guy!

4177. Re: what this crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6nbnil$s5@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154475

What makes me angry (and almost 99% of everyone else) would be your posting
off-topic and bandwidth-wasting messages.

By the way, I don't, well yes I do (for those who know what I'm implying
<g>), get why people call it America**N** Online...

It's AMERICA Online! No "N" on "America" !
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

Monkey4152 wrote in message
<1998063012294300.IAA04854@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
>>Monkey4152 wrote in message
>><1998063011413800.HAA04209@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>>>what this crap is?
>>...If you choose not to, you will just look more stupid than already
>>established.
>
>i dont undrstand why you are being so mean to me i was thinking that we wer
e
>all having a fun time good times. an please let me no what i did to make
yo
>angry and i will not do it any more..
>
>thank you !!
> (is you''re name ryan? your on american online to??!)
>
>
>--
>"don't monkey with me" get it? hi hi

4178. forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <35993DDA.891@san.rr.com>
Source record: 154476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please forgive me for all the trouble I have caused here.

4179. Re: A Day in the Life of the Scarlet Mackaroon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Teacher <onines@jps.net>
Message-ID: <01bda49b$e5448100$903f19d0@why.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154477

Good writing, you could do this kind of stuff for a living!

Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com> wrote in article
<35995B15.61BC@mail.hac.com>...
> An excerpt from my next book, "Diary of a Loon - The John Mackey Story"
>
> 6/30/98, 3:21 AM
> Dear diary,
> Hello, it's me again. I just got done posting to rec.radio.scanner. Yup,
> it was the voices again. Those pills aren't helping me anymore, but
> flaming that jerk Bill Cheek sure helps. Uh, oh, they're calling me
> again. Be right back.
>
> OK, I'm back. Boy those rec.radio.scanner guys sure are nasty. There was
> 30 replies to my last post, titled "I cannot post a forge." Why doesn't
> anyone believe me? I mean, I don't lie very often. I tell the truth all
> the time. I only lied when I had to do it. So what if that means all
> lies all the time. I mean, I tell people my name is John, which has to
> count for at least a half truth...
>
> 6/30/98, 5:21 AM
> Dear diary,
> Still can't sleep. Think I'll go post some more.
>
> 6/30/98, 7:32 AM
> Dear diary,
> Ooooooh that BILL CHEEK!!!! Called me a hermie again. We can't have
> that!! I want the flames to end! I do! Really! (Nooo not really!) Hey
> who wrote that?! I didn't! IT'S A FORGE!!!! A FORGE BY BILL CHEEEEEEEK!!
> BILL CHEEEEK IS FORGING POSTS IN MY DIARY!!!! LOOK, LOOK!!!!
> ---
> Want more? Reserve your copy of "Diary of a Loon" today!
>
> -Phil
>

4180. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: G' Moignin' Capn <plate@head.com>
Message-ID: <35996683.0@news.one.net>
Source record: 154478

Hey, what a super clever reply! And _every time_, there's a funny little comment before the signature, such as "Yeeeehah". Now I believe that if something isn't funny at first, it will be eventually if you ... do ... the ... same ... stupid ... thing ... every ... time.

Loser. You are just like the waste of carbon that you seek to flame.

Cap'n Redeye

K4CC wrote in message <19980630131002O0.JAA07513@ladder03.news.aol.com>...
> John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>just rode into town:
>
>>Bill Cheek & his gang of thugs are harrassing that entire NG & raising hell!
>
>Quick, Mister DIllon, tell Miss Kitty to hide behind the bar and let's go give
>that Cheek gang "what fer"!
>
>Yeeeehah,
>Dave, K4CC
>USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
>Nashville TN

4181. Best antenna for BC235XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: JC Woodard <j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3599636E.3DB4942C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,

Just got a BC235XLT, works great, sometimes get a lot of static - can anyone tell me what a good antenna would be to put on this radio, so I can hear everytihg as clearly as possible - or any other ideas how to improve clear reception?

Thanks!!

Jeff

4182. Re: forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35996404.4E32@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 154480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> Please forgive me for all the trouble I have caused here.

Oh alright John, I forgive you.....

HAAAA HA HA HA HA HA!!!!

-Phil

4183. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: G' Moignin' Capn <plate@head.com>
Message-ID: <35996908.0@news.one.net>
Source record: 154481

Bill "the _only_ thing I know how to do is modify scanners" Cheek wrote in
message <359afe4b.142481487@news2.cts.com>...
>
>You are a laughingstock, Smacksie. Yup, you
>ARE a laugh and good for one every time you
>post, you friggin nincompoop.

You're as big a dope as the vile piece of shit that you're flaming. Your
web site proves it. I'm still having trouble reconciling the fact that you
can make a scanner masturbate you housepets but you can't build a web site
that's worth a shit. I struggle with people who can be somewhat intelligent
in one area yet show their complete lack of how you might reuse the same
concepts in other areas. My two year old understands hierarchical
organization and bandwidth concepts better than you. You only serve to make
yourself look very stupid when it's clear you are not that bright yourself.

Cap'n Redeye

4184. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6nboq5$787@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry guys, couldn't resist...

James Donlan perorated in message <359f3afb.15963578@news.newsguy.com>...

<pompous hell snipped>

>>Your thinking. I thought I made it clear
>>where you were wrong. Something wrong with
>>the vacuum betwixt your ears Jamie-baby?
>>
>Just snip my comments again, old man. You're looking more and more like a
fool
>ever minute! Keep up the great work!

Maybe to you, but you would be the fool here. Maybe everyone should start calling you a liar for no reason?

There's no comments of yours to snip. They have no content, no meaning, no intelligence whatsoever. Why do you even exist? Don't you know that you are pathetic? I'll bet you couldn't pour piss out of a boot if the instructions
were on the heel. You are a canker. A sore that won't go away. I feel debased
just for knowing you exist. I despise everything about you. You are a newbie twit protohominid chromosomally aberrant caricature of a coprophagous cloacal parasitic pond scum. And I wish you would go away.

I will never get over the embarrassment of belonging to the same species as you. You are a monster, an ogre, a malformation. I barf at the very thought of you. You have all the appeal of a paper cut. Lepers avoid you. You are vile, worthless, less than nothing. You are a weed, a fungus, a ferment, the dregs of this earth. And did I mention you smell?

If you aren't an idiot, you made a world-class effort at simulating one. Try to edit your writing of unnecessary material before attempting to impress us with your insights. The evidence that you are a nincompoop will still be available to readers, but they will be able to access it more rapidly.

You snail-skulled little twit. Would that a hawk pick you up, drive its beak into your brain, and upon finding it rancid set you loose to fly briefly before spattering the ocean rocks with the frothy pink shame of your ignoble blood. May you choke on the queasy, convulsing nausea of your own trite, foolish beliefs.

And what meaning do you expect your delusional self-important statements of unknowing, inexperienced opinion to have with us? What fantasy do you hold that you would believe that your tiny-fisted tantrums would have more weight than that of a leprous desert rat, spinning rabidly in a circle, waiting for the bite of the snake?

You are a waste of flesh. You have no rhythm. You are ridiculous and obnoxious. You are the moral equivalent of a leech. You are a living emptiness, a meaningless void. You are sour and senile. You are a disease, you puerile one-handed slack-jawed drooling meat slapper.

Your writing has to be a troll. Nothing in our universe can really be this stupid.

Perhaps this is some primordial fragment from the original big bang of stupid. Some pure essence of a stupid so uncontaminated by anything else as to be beyond the laws of physics that we know.

Stick your head in your floppy drive and type "Format a:".
Your intelligence is a Kronecker delta function which spikes at the value "moron". Go down to the glue factory, where they dispose of old horses, and volunteer to be disposed of.

Did I mention you have no grasp of grammar or spelling?

>>> You knock AOL so much

<Stupid stuff snipped>

AOL isn't an ISP, either. They don't even have POP3 or SMTP.

>Uh, yea they are, moron. I'm not even going to debate that assinine comment
>with you any farther. You've demonstrated your putur knowledge 100% with that
>stupid statement.

BTW: That would be "asinine"

>[meaningless bullshit snipped]
>
>billie - you are still pathetic and a liar. You can't face the fact that you
>were caught in a lie and a rather deceptive one at that.
>
>All you can do is twist words and play games like a little child. Too bad you
>still don't have the sack to deal with it like a man.
>
>Have a good one, yo-yo.

You just became extinct.

73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+

All or none of the opinions not expressed here are not
necessarily those of my own or of anybody else that I
don't know or haven't not yet met.

4185. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35996741.74B4@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 154483

G' Moignin' Capn wrote:
>
> Hey, what a super clever reply! And _every time_, there's a funny little
> comment before the signature, such as "Yeeeehah". Now I believe that if
> something isn't funny at first, it will be eventually if you ... do ... the
> ... same ... stupid ... thing ... every ... time.
>
> Loser. You are just like the waste of carbon that you seek to flame.
>
> Cap'n Redeye
>

And you are no better because you think you can make people stop flaming each other by... simply... posting... the.... same.... blathering.... incoherent.... hypocritical..... bullshit..... over.... and... over..... again.

Get lost Cap'n Browneye. It was like this long before you arrived and it will be like this long after you leave. If you want to join the weenie roast, by all means, toss another log on the fire. But if you just want to complain about the rest of us, you can, as Bill often says, pack sand.

-Phil

4186. Re: New newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35994AAB.6B4A@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 154484

Lughi wrote:
>
> Could we start a newsgroup Alt. unfan Bill Cheek Moderated so all the abuse
> is off this group. I don't know the guy or care about what he does. This
> group has to do about scanners, perhaps we should try to stick to the
> subject. End of Sermon.
> Lewis Carroll

i'm going to get you back for saying that about bill cheeks i like him.

4187. Re: test Slurry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Unit #204 <Unit204@freq.net>
Message-ID: <35996877.D01322AD@freq.net>
Source record: 154485

Brrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrp!

Unit #204 wrote:

> This is a test

4188. Re: A Day in the Life of the Scarlet Mackaroon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: G' Moignin' Capn <plate@head.com>
Message-ID: <35996b85.0@news.one.net>
Source record: 154486

Oh yeah, that's real clever stuff. Calling the loser a loon is as funny the hundredth time as it was the first. He should do that for a living, I'm sure there's a new show at Comedy Central looking for new writers that keep writing the exact same thing over and over. Funny stuff...

Cap'n Redeye

Teacher wrote in message
<01bda49b$e5448100$903f19d0@why.worldnet.att.net>...
>Good writing, you could do this kind of stuff for a living!
>
>Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com> wrote in article
><35995B15.61BC@mail.hac.com>...
>> An excerpt from my next book, "Diary of a Loon - The John Mackey Story"
>>
>> 6/30/98, 3:21 AM
>> Dear diary,
>> Hello, it's me again. I just got done posting to rec.radio.scanner. Yup,
>> it was the voices again. Those pills aren't helping me anymore, but
>> flaming that jerk Bill Cheek sure helps. Uh, oh, they're calling me
>> again. Be right back.
>>
>> OK, I'm back. Boy those rec.radio.scanner guys sure are nasty. There was
>> 30 replies to my last post, titled "I cannot post a forge." Why doesn't
>> anyone believe me? I mean, I don't lie very often. I tell the truth all
>> the time. I only lied when I had to do it. So what if that means all
>> lies all the time. I mean, I tell people my name is John, which has to
>> count for at least a half truth...
>>
>> 6/30/98, 5:21 AM
>> Dear diary,
>> Still can't sleep. Think I'll go post some more.
>>
>> 6/30/98, 7:32 AM
>> Dear diary,
>> Ooooooh that BILL CHEEK!!!! Called me a hermie again. We can't have
>> that!! I want the flames to end! I do! Really! (Nooo not really!) Hey
>> who wrote that?! I didn't! IT'S A FORGE!!!! A FORGE BY BILL CHEEEEEEEK!!
>> BILL CHEEEEK IS FORGING POSTS IN MY DIARY!!!! LOOK, LOOK!!!!
>> ---
>> Want more? Reserve your copy of "Diary of a Loon" today!
>>
>> -Phil
>>

4189. Re: A Day in the Life of the Scarlet Mackaroon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35996B89.4938@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 154487

G' Moignin' Capn wrote:
>
> Oh yeah, that's real clever stuff. Calling the loser a loon is as funny the
> hundredth time as it was the first. He should do that for a living, I'm
> sure there's a new show at Comedy Central looking for new writers that keep
> writing the exact same thing over and over. Funny stuff...
>
> Cap'n Redeye
>

Yeah, I'm gonna write one for kids called Cap'n Browneye Learns to
Flame. Or maybe: Cap'n RedNeck and the Hypocritical Hippo.

Keep trying Browneye, you're getting better....

-Phil

4190. Re: New newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35996A73.4FE0@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 154488

Phil Smalley's new pet project just wrote:
> i'm going to get you back for saying that about bill cheeks i like him.

I really don't mind these stupid trolling forges as everyone knows what an ignorant sack of beetle dung you really are... but you canceled my post about John Mackey's Diary, which I take a little personally. Hey wait... how'd you know about that, you ask? Ha ha ha, little man...

You think you are anonymous.

You think I do not know who you are.

You think you can get away with this.

You just thought wrong.

As stated above, I am now making you my pet project. Consider yourself warned.
-Phil

4191. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: G' Moignin' Capn <plate@head.com>
Message-ID: <35997072.0@news.one.net>
Source record: 154489

I'm not complaining... READ:

- I posted to this group long before you had probably heard of the Internet. I have only revisited recently. Like it or not you are a newbie, my friend. And not a very bright one. Simply put, I was here first. And I'm sure you're right that it will be like this for a long time to come. The statistics would support that since most of the world is just not that bright, steeped in low IQ.

- I'm not trying to get anyone to stop, I could give a fuck less. I told you how to make people stop in a previous post. I am simply suggesting that you and your friends lack much wit, so it's no longer funny. You wouldn't call it complaining if I weren't lumping you in with the rest of the losers like cheek and mackey.

You, and especially the dumbshit who can't seem to build a web site and spring for anything other than an antiquated compuserve account and an ip number, are simply not that bright.

These posts are only funny to people who need things to be explained to them over and over and that's all I was pointing out. Simply put, you are all a bunch of relative newbies to the web and Usenet and you try and play it as though you are old hats at this game. Monkey see, monkey do. One flings their shit, and the rest follow suit.

And Browneye? Carlin did that years ago.

CR

Phil Smalley wrote in message <35996741.74B4@mail.hac.com>...
>G' Moignin' Capn wrote:
>>
>> Hey, what a super clever reply! And _every time_, there's a funny little
>> comment before the signature, such as "Yeeeehah". Now I believe that if
>> something isn't funny at first, it will be eventually if you ... do ...
the
>> ... same ... stupid ... thing ... every ... time.
>>
>> Loser. You are just like the waste of carbon that you seek to flame.
>>
>> Cap'n Redeye
>>
>
>And you are no better because you think you can make people stop flaming
>each other by... simply... posting... the.... same.... blathering....
>incoherent.... hypocritical..... bullshit..... over.... and...

>over..... again.
>
>Get lost Cap'n Browneye. It was like this long before you arrived and it
>will be like this long after you leave. If you want to join the weenie
>roast, by all means, toss another log on the fire. But if you just want
>to complain about the rest of us, you can, as Bill often says, pack
>sand.
>
>-Phil

4192. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: G' Moignin' Capn <plate@head.com>
Message-ID: <359972ed.0@news.one.net>
Source record: 154490

James Donlan wrote in message <359f3afb.15963578@news.newsguy.com>...
>On Tue, 30 Jun 1998 17:48:17 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:
>>> >Have you ever considered HIRING someone to do your
>>> >thinking for you? You might fare better, you
>>> >AOL dungbag.

Mayhaps the great bill should consider hiring someone to overhaul his scatterbrained no-creative-or-organizational talent website. Anyone who puts a business on the web looking like this can't possibly know much about the Internet in general. Somebody said he originally came from fido net. That pretty much explains it.

Cap'n Redeye

4193. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <35997322.2AC0@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 154491

G' Moignin' Capn wrote:
>
> I'm not complaining... READ:
>
> - I posted to this group long before you had probably heard of the Internet.
> I have only revisited recently. Like it or not you are a newbie, my friend.
> And not a very bright one. Simply put, I was here first. And I'm sure
> you're right that it will be like this for a long time to come. The
> statistics would support that since most of the world is just not that
> bright, steeped in low IQ.
Oh and you of course are such a genius for pointing this out. Would you
like a cookie, or just a pat on the head?

>
> - I'm not trying to get anyone to stop, I could give a fuck less.
Really? Prove it. Shut up.

> I told you how to make people stop in a previous post.
Oh? Lemme guess - the ignore approach? If it works so well then why
don't you practice what you preach?

I am simply suggesting that
> you and your friends lack much wit, so it's no longer funny.
Oh, but you're so incredibly funny the Surgeon General requires you to
wear a warning, huh? Somebody gimme a shovel, quick...

> You wouldn't call it complaining if I weren't lumping you in with the rest of the losers
like cheek and mackey.
Close. I wouldn't call it complaining if that wasn't what you were
doing. Of course, you're so smart, you already knew that one didn't you?

> You, and especially the dumbshit who can't seem to build a web site and spring for
anything other than an antiquated compuserve account and an ip number, are simply not that
bright.
Yes, not all of us can be the shining example of excellence and
ingenuity that you are, now can we Cap'n Crunch? (Cough, choke, puke.)

>
> These posts are only funny to people who need things to be explained to them
> over and over and that's all I was pointing out. Simply put, you are all a
> bunch of relative newbies to the web and Usenet and you try and play it as
> though you are old hats at this game. Monkey see, monkey do. One flings
> their shit, and the rest follow suit.
And you are whom? God of the internet I suppose? Interestingly enough, I
noticed that you popped in here to talk, not about scanners, but about
flames and bullshit like the rest of us "monkeys". Am I the only one

sensing some hypocrisy here?

>
> And Browneye? Carlin did that years ago.
So the hell what? Why do you care? Did I somehow bruise that obviously meglomaniacal ego of yours? Do you honestly think us "monkeys" give two triangular turds about another "monkey" who can come to terms with the fact that he is a "monkey" too? Nobody asked you for your two friggin' cents and yet here you are! That in itself makes you more like Mackey than anyone else! Can I get an amen?!

-Phil

P.S. Yes, you too are friggin' loon. Thank you and goodnight.

>
> CR
>
> Phil Smalley wrote in message <35996741.74B4@mail.hac.com>...
> >G' Moignin' Capn wrote:
> >>
> >> Hey, what a super clever reply! And _every time_, there's a funny little
> >> comment before the signature, such as "Yeeeehah". Now I believe that if
> >> something isn't funny at first, it will be eventually if you ... do ...
> the
> >> ... same ... stupid ... thing ... every ... time.
> >>
> >> Loser. You are just like the waste of carbon that you seek to flame.
> >>
> >> Cap'n Redeye
> >>
> >>
> >And you are no better because you think you can make people stop flaming
> >each other by... simply... posting... the.... same.... blathering....
> >incoherent.... hypocritical...... bullshit....... over.... and...
> >over..... again.
> >
> >Get lost Cap'n Browneye. It was like this long before you arrived and it
> >will be like this long after you leave. If you want to join the weenie
> >roast, by all means, toss another log on the fire. But if you just want
> >to complain about the rest of us, you can, as Bill often says, pack
> >sand.
> >
> >-Phil

4194. Re: New newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35999100.7E417BCE@swbell.net>
Source record: 154492

Looks like clark-net still has a back door open .

4195. Re: FS:BC-200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <simmont@extremezone.com>
Message-ID: <3599172E.65F8@extremezone.com>
Source record: 154493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jason Delgadillo wrote:
>
> kgirard@mindex.com wrote:
> >
> > I have forsale a Bearcat 200xlt scanner. I am asking $150.00 for it.
> > thanks
>
> Does it have 800 Mhz?

200xlt scanners are 200Ch Handhelds with 800Mhz coverage. Some made
before the ban have cellular coverage and some of the others can be
modified (for those that care).

--
Charles Simmont - ICP509 Dispatcher & National Coordinator
Incident Command Page of Arizona
"Arizona's Public Saftey Notification Network"
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html

Other incident paging groups
http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/other.html

*** The views expressed here are my own and not that of ICP unless
otherwise stated.***

4196. Re: A Day in the Life of the Scarlet Mackaroon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35999950.347F3EDE@swbell.net>
Source record: 154494

Hey Brad McConahay, N8QQ is that you ?

4197. Alkaline battery recharger.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "S.M." <sal1969@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <35997D6B.77CE1F94@hotmail.com>
Source record: 154495

A few years ago I saw a late night infomercial about a battery charger designed to recharge regular alkaline batteries. It was invented by an electrical engineering university professor from a school in eastern Canada. The product I saw on TV is different from the "Pure Energy Envirocharger" alkaline battery charger made by Eveready for their expensive rechargeable alkaline batteries in that you can use any duracell or energizer 1.5 or 9 volt. Since my PRO-46 chews up batteries

pretty quickly and I am not happy with the charge life of Nickel Cadmium

batteries, I would like to buy the external charger designed for regular

alkaline batteries. Does anyone know if it still available? How about a used one? Does it live up to its claims of recharging regular alkalines?

My paranoid, conspiracy minded nature tells me that Eveready probably bought the original patents, modified them to only recharge their special alkaline batteries, renamed the product the envirocharger, all in order to keep their sales of regular alkaline's high. Any chance that my suspicions are correct?

4198. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <35997F9A.D1EC254E@primenet.SCREWSPAM.com>
Source record: 154496

John "I'm so sad that my dearest Richard Simmonds left me. You just
can't meet a decent man in the bathrooms anymore <sniff sniff>"
Mackey - KA0SSF projected more Pavlovian angst:

> Yes you, Mr. Kendrick, certainly have been here since ALMOST the
> beginning.

Since before you were on the Internet at least, Toilet Boy.

> I have a complete archive of all the trouble you cause by your
> inciteful posts & stories you make up.

Why how flattering that you liked my satire enough to archive all
of them. Now you be a good boy and pay attention to the copyrights.

> You are one of the people who teams up with Cheek to cause problems
> on the newsgroup!

You mean like tag team wrestling on television? But, gee, that's fake
isn't it? Yeah, just like you, Toilet Boy, a liar and a fraud.

4199. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Redeye <w8xyz@w8xyz.com>
Message-ID: <35998453.0@news.one.net>
Source record: 154497

Phil Smalley wrote in message <35997322.2AC0@mail.hac.com>...
>Oh? Lemme guess - the ignore approach? If it works so well then why
>don't you practice what you preach?

But AGAIN, I'm not trying to stop you. If I were, you would know it in no uncertain terms.

>Oh, but you're so incredibly funny the Surgeon General requires you to
>wear a warning, huh? Somebody gimme a shovel, quick...

I'm not trying to be funny, just observing and commenting. There shouldn't be a problem with that. (?)

>Of course, you're so smart, you already knew that one didn't you?

Yes, I did.

>Yes, not all of us can be the shining example of excellence and
>ingenuity that you are, now can we Cap'n Crunch? (Cough, choke, puke.)

Such a pity, but so true.

>And you are whom? God of the internet I suppose? Interestingly enough, I
>noticed that you popped in here to talk, not about scanners, but about
>flames and bullshit like the rest of us "monkeys". Am I the only one
>sensing some hypocrisy here?

No, everything anyone does can be construed as hypocrisy in one sense or another. You just happen to be following the group of monkeys that uses an excuse to pick on one single mackey monkey who seems to be weaker and more stupid than the rest of the pack. Like the big fat loser everyone knew in high school who couldn't assimilate by any other means than joining the crowd who torments the runt. And the runt takes it because it's a way to get attention. Pathetic. And have I become a part of this pathetic situation? If by pointing out the true nature of the situation I have become a part of it, then so be it. It doesn't really matter.

The Cap'n

4200. Re: A Day in the Life of the Scarlet Mackaroon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Redeye <w8xyz@w8xyz.com>
Message-ID: <35998629.0@news.one.net>
Source record: 154498

It might be more fun to say, "whois" you. Or I "nslookedup you up". Or maybe not.

dmi853@swbell.net wrote in message <35999950.347F3EDE@swbell.net>...
>Hey Brad McConahay, N8QQ is that you ?

4201. Re: PRO-43 Battery Drain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35998667.3293B41B@ibm.net>
Source record: 154499

David Beck wrote:
> After 6 years of great service, my PRo-43 has developed a problem in that
> it will drain the batteries after 24 hours of sitting on the shelf with
> the power off.
> Anyone have any ideas what could be causing this? Thinking about sending
> it to Radio Shack for rrepair but wonder if they will fix the problem and
> ignore the cellular mod? Heard someone say RadioShack would restore the
> cellular block if they worked on it.

Since you probably not worried about warranty work, why not
try G & G Communications : http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm or
716-768-8151

Good luck!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/
New Scanner User FAQ http://www.qsl.net/n2mca/NEW_USER.HTM
Scanning USA Columnist

4202. FS : Radio Shack pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@carroll.com
Message-ID: <35997315.2814027@news>
Source record: 154500
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack Pro-2004 base scanner for sale. Scanner in MINT condition, with 400 channel, and cell mods, Bright backight with dimmer, and revised 400 channel keypad, manual.

$225.00 shipped to 49 pre-paid. COD is $5.00 extra.

Please E-Mail if interested, NO TRADES.

Dan

4203. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <35999076.C1C091E5@netwit.com>
Source record: 154501
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> I have NO vendetta against Bill other than a wish that he
> would stop making trouble on the NG! Bill has been offered many
> different truce options yet he refuses all of them.
>
> One only need to read the NG of rec.radio.scanner to see the
> flames Bill Cheek sends & problems he causes!

You're really psychologically disturbed. I mean it. No disrespect, or flame intended. You have some sort of mental deficiency. Do you really believe the lies you write? What a pitiful life you must lead.

That shotgun in your closet. Have you learned how to fire it with your big toe yet? Can ya try? Please?

4204. Re: PRO-43 Battery Drain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6nc2b7cfo1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 154502

My experience with RadioShack repair and the Pro-43 is that they used to ignore the modification and go ahead and fix the problem it was sent in for. However, the federal government has been monitoring the practices of RadioShack as they relate to scanners and now the repair centers WILL restore the radio back to its original specifications. The place that modified my scanner said that if RadioShack "fixed" their mod, that they would modify it again for free. However, that was about 4 or 5 years ago and I don't think that company is still doing cellular mods on scanners anymore. Fortunately my scanner still works great.
Good Luck.

David Beck wrote in message
<6nbuht$20l8$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>...
>After 6 years of great service, my PRo-43 has developed a problem in that
>it will drain the batteries after 24 hours of sitting on the shelf with
>the power off.
>Anyone have any ideas what could be causing this? Thinking about sending
>it to Radio Shack for rrepair but wonder if they will fix the problem and
>ignore the cellular mod? Heard someone say RadioShack would restore the
>cellular block if they worked on it.
>Thanks,
>Dave K4PBN
>

4205. Re: A Day in the Life of the Scarlet Mackaroon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <allsafe@netwit.com>
Message-ID: <3599939B.3706EFEB@netwit.com>
Source record: 154503

G' Moignin' Capn wrote:

> Oh yeah, that's real clever stuff. Calling the loser a loon is as funny the
> hundredth time as it was the first. He should do that for a living, I'm
> sure there's a new show at Comedy Central looking for new writers that keep
> writing the exact same thing over and over. Funny stuff...
>
>

You're not funny.

You friggin' loon.

4206. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
Message-ID: <359991BD.2A94@mail.hac.com>
Source record: 154504

Cap'n Redeye wrote:
>
> But AGAIN, I'm not trying to stop you. If I were, you would know it in no
> uncertain terms.
So what's your point Beavis? No wait, lemme guess, you're:
> not trying to be funny, just observing and commenting. There shouldn't
> be a problem with that. (?)
No, I don't have a problem with it. I have a problem with you, coming in here, acting all high and mighty, Mr. "I've been on the internet before you even knew what it was", sitting up in your ivory tower pointing fingers and naming names, when you are just as guilty of flinging the shit as all the people you so arrogantly referred to as monkeys.

> No, everything anyone does can be construed as hypocrisy in one sense or
> another. You just happen to be following the group of monkeys that uses an
> excuse to pick on one single mackey monkey who seems to be weaker and more
> stupid than the rest of the pack. Like the big fat loser everyone knew in
> high school who couldn't assimilate by any other means than joining the
> crowd who torments the runt. And the runt takes it because it's a way to
> get attention. Pathetic. And have I become a part of this pathetic
> situation? If by pointing out the true nature of the situation I have
> become a part of it, then so be it. It doesn't really matter.

You are right, of course. It doesn't matter what you say, because your actions, in fact your very continuance of this thread, shows you to be the biggest "monkey" of all. Here, have a banana, and fling some more shit, monkey. It's what you do best (in fact, it's ALL you do), whether you care to admit it or not.

-Phil

4207. FS: RADIOS/Military & Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDS <skynite@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <Oey3ESFp9GA.197@upnetnews05>
Source record: 154505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Have the following for sale:

1. PRO-2056 Mobile/Home 50 Channel Scanner, new in box, this radio was not used more than 3 hours, all original packing and accessories, asking $125,

2. Icom ICR-1 Handheld Scanner, unblocked, very nice condition, complete with AC Adapter, antenna, belt clip & manual, covers 100kHz to 1300 MHz, asking $300,

3. Military URR-35C, tunable UHF receiver (220-400 MHz), purchased new from government surplus, excellent condition, asking $325,

4. Military Motorola CM520B-1, UHF (220-399.95 MHz) Transceiver, very good condition, rack mount unit, can preset 24 channels or manually tune by way of thumbwheel switches, asking $375,

5. Military PRC-6 Walkie-Talkie, very nice condition, complete with antenna and handset, have 2 will sell both for $75,

6. Military PRC-41, UHF (225-399.9 MHz), backpack radio, have 3 all non-working (needs tubes), comes with all accessories, will sell all for $250,

7. Military ARC-51BX, UHF Transceiver, (225-399.95), aircraft radio, very nice condition, complete with control box, cables and spare speaker, asking $350

All equipment works well (unless noted otherwise) and prices do not include shipping.
Thanks for reading this

4208. Re: Comments on Icom R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@popd.Accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <3599A42A.10C2@popd.Accesscom.com>
Source record: 154506

Mike Puchol wrote:
>
> Be sure not to have problems with it, as ICOM seems not to listen to their
> costumers...
>
> Mike
>
> Manuel W4SSB escribiï¿½ en mensaje <6n4lc0hoq1@news-1.news.gte.net>...
> >I just purchased an Icom R9000. While I'm waiting to receive it does
> anyone
> >have any positive or negative opinions about it?
> >
> >Manuel W4SSB
> >
> >

Do ICOM employees have a problem dressing correctly?

Think you mean customers.

Regards

Ed

4209. BC235 now has attenuator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millimeter Wave Report <mmwr@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#ixQ$wJp9GA.264@upnetnews05>
Source record: 154507

Gang--

I just received my BC235 today, and noticed that they have now added an attenuator option to the keypad. It does double-duty with the "." key, and the label "ATT" appears above this key. This feature does not appear in the picture on the box, and Rich Wells' excellent review of the BC235 (http://osha.igs.net/~durhamradio/bc235r.htm) specifically mentions that there is no attenuator capability on the first release of this radio. Also, there was no mention of, or instructions for, this button in my instruction manual. The serial number of my scanner is 75027749, and is was delivered via mail directly from Uniden (it was a result of that Holiday Inn promotion I posted earlier!)

Anyway, the new feature is not programmable by channel. It is a simple "on-off" toggle that turns the attenuation (probably 10 dB or so) on or off with each press. It works in conventional, trunk, and manual modes. When attenuation is on, the decimal point in the display blinks. This feature would be useful in areas where intermod and other non-linear interference problems are encountered.

By the way, I have used my scanner for a whole 2 hours now (I just opened the box this evening), and it seems to work very well. A funny thing happened, though, when I first turned it on. My first action was to scan the weather band, where the local 500 watt NOAA weather station is always a good reception test. Well, I scanned the wx channels, and---nothing! Not a peep. Then I entered the weather frequency directly, and nothing. I re-oriented the antenna, moved closer to the window, removed and re-attached the antenna, jiggled the radio a little, adjusted the squelch, and absolutely nothing but hiss came out of the radio. My heart sank thinking I would have to return this dud and wait two weeks or more for its repair or replacement. As a last check, I turned my upstairs base scanner on and checked the weather station and, you guessed it, not a peep! For the first time I can remember, the local NOAA weather radio was knocked off the air, and it happened exactly on the evening I tried to use it to check reception on my new scanner. I quickly hit the service search button on the BC235, and discovered that the scanner is receiving just fine. Was this a cruel joke or what?

Happy scanning!

Andrew Clegg, PhD
Editor
Millimeter Wave Report
http://www.mmwrpt.com
mwmr@msn.com

4210. Re: Alkaline battery recharger.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millimeter Wave Report <mmwr@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <efM0$4Jp9GA.263@upnetnews05>
Source record: 154508

Regarding Renewal batteries, I discovered (well, it also says so in their instructions) that if you discharge them completely, they're ruined. I left mine in a portable SW radio that also had a clock display when the radio was not on, so it drained batteries constantly. After a couple of months, the batteries were drained completely, and now they will not recharge. So if you are like me, and tend to forget to turn things off, then Renewals are not a good battery choice. Under those circumstances, nicads have served me well.

Andrew Clegg, PhD
Editor
Millimeter Wave Report
http://www.mmwrpt.com
mmwr@msn.com

4211. European scanners(unblocked)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: CasinoMaster@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11143-3599A6D7-22@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 154509

Can any of you pros list some url's of companies on the net,obviously
non local,who
sell european versions of scanners?????
Thanks.

4212. More Rogue Cancels in rec.radio.scanner from Clark College

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6ncd73$96v@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Hi All,

It appears that a subscriber of Clark College has issued several
more Rogue Cancels (91 to be exact) in the rec.radio.scanner
News group this afternoon. All of the cancels were issued
through Open NNTP Server 207.34.97.3. The IP Address in the
Path indicates that the cancels all originated from

198.104.131.85 which belongs to Clark College

Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)
1800 E McLoughlin Blvd.
Vancouver, WA 98663

Netname: CLARK-NET
Netblock: 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

Coordinator:
Coleman, Jim (JC153-ARIN) jcoleman@CLARK.EDU
(206)750-3803

These are some of the headers I came across this evening:

Message-ID: <3599534F.1BA5@san.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 16:06:23 -0500
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35931b21.92138866@news2.cts.com>
References: <35931b21.92138866@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35931b21.92138866@news2.cts.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.131.85
X-Trace: 1 Jul 1998 08:12:51 -0800, 198.104.131.85
Lines: 2
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!xfe
r.kren.ne.kr!xfer.kren.nm.kr!newsfeed.kornet.nm.kr!news.isdmc.co.kr!198.104.131.85

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news-ana-7.sprintlink.net!207.13.232.24!news-ana-24.spr
intlink.net!news-west.sprintlink.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-backup-east.sprintlink.

net!news.sprintlink.net!206.172.150.11!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!198
.104.131.85
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <3598679d.103897021@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <3598679d.103897021@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 14:35:41 -0500
Organization: Telus Advanced Communications
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <35993E0D.5158@san.rr.com>
References: <3598679d.103897021@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

4213. Re: Canada does Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Carter <jcarter@connect.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <3599B9E0.BAB75B73@connect.ab.ca>
Source record: 154511

Try Alberta Electronics in Edmonton, they do most mods on Radio Shack scanners and selected others also. e-mail at winchester@connect.ab.ca

Catherine wrote:

> Looking for someone who does mods in Canada or someone who sells scanning
> parts and scanners Thanks Catherine

4214. More Rogue Cancels in rec.radio.scanner from Clark College via Multiple Open NNTP Servers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6nceib$gnn@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

It appears that a someone at Clark College has issued several
more Rogue Cancels (91 to be exact) in the rec.radio.scanner
News group this afternoon. All of the Cancels were issued
through Open NNTP Servers 207.34.97.3 and news.isdmc.co.kr.

All of the cancels originated from IP address 198.104.131.85
which belongs to Clark College

Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)
1800 E McLoughlin Blvd.
Vancouver, WA 98663

Netname: CLARK-NET
Netblock: 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

Coordinator:
Coleman, Jim (JC153-ARIN) jcoleman@CLARK.EDU
(206)750-3803

These are some of the headers I came across this evening:

Rogue Cancels performed on Open NNTP Server news.isdmc.co.kr

Message-ID: <35995227.1E2C@san.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 16:01:27 -0500
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
References: <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.131.85
X-Trace: 1 Jul 1998 08:07:55 -0800, 198.104.131.85
Lines: 2
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!newshub.northeast.verio.net!nntp.kreonet.re.kr!newsfeed.kornet.nm.kr!news.isdmc.co.kr!198.104.131.85

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Rogue Cancels performed on Open NNTP Server 207.34.97.3

Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news-ana-7.sprintlink.net!207.13.232.24!news-ana-24.sprintlink.net!news-west.sprintlink.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-backup-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!206.172.150.11!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!198.104.131.85
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 14:41:05 -0500
Organization: Telus Advanced Communications
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <35993F51.6A32@san.rr.com>
References: <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

4215. Forgery from Clark College was: Re: forgive me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6ncjae$ell@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> Please forgive me for all the trouble I have caused here.

The above post was forged by someone at Clark College via
Open NNTP Server 207.34.97.3.

Originating IP Address 198.104.131.85

Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)
1800 E McLoughlin Blvd.
Vancouver, WA 98663

Netname: CLARK-NET
Netblock: 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

Coordinator:
Coleman, Jim (JC153-ARIN) jcoleman@CLARK.EDU
(206)750-3803

Path:
wn6!attworldnet!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.bbnpla
net.com!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!198.104.131.85
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: forgive me
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 14:34:50 -0500
Organization: COMMtrinics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <35993DDA.891@san.rr.com>
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
Xref: wn6 rec.radio.scanner:99275 alt.radio.scanner:61919

4216. Re: E-mail BOMB Victims - contact me!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6nciva$ctc@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:
>
> =======FORGED E-MAIL BOMB=======================================
> Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for bcheek
> (with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) Tue Jun 30 19:55:11 1998)
> X-From_: psmalley@mail.hac.com Tue Jun 30 14:56 PDT 1998 <-------!!!!
> Received: from nd.edu (nd.edu [129.74.250.101]) <-------!!!!
> by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with SMTP id OAA06923
> for <bcheek@san.rr.com>; Tue, 30 Jun 1998 14:56:26 -0700 (PDT)
> Received: from liblab-users.clark.edu (actually 198.104.131.85) by nd.edu
> with SMTP (PP); Tue, 30 Jun 1998 16:54:00 -0500
> Message-ID: <35994254.40C6@mail.hac.com>
> Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 14:53:56 -0500
> From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com> <------!!!!
> Reply-To: psmalley@mail.hac.com
> Organization: Hughes Space adn Communications
> X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> To: lkjl@lkj.com
> Subject: antenna
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
> Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="Acvisex.exe"
> Content-Type: application/octet-stream; name="Acvisex.exe"
> Content-Length: 1600209
> ==
>
> It takes no effort at all to see that Phil Smalley
> did not send the above bomb. It's a forgery, injected
> at the open servers of CLARK.EDU or ND.EDU.
>

Hi Bill,

the e-mail Bombs most likely originated from CLARK.EDU again!

IP Address liblab-users.clark.edu (actually 198.104.131.85) is the
same IP address that the 91 Rogue Cancels originated from this
afternoon. The nd.edu is probably an SMTP Server with Relay turned
on.

Both of these cancels originated from 198.104.131.85 via two Open
NNTP Servers:

Rogue Cancels performed on Open NNTP Server news.isdmc.co.kr

Message-ID: <35995227.1E2C@san.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 16:01:27 -0500
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
References: <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.131.85
X-Trace: 1 Jul 1998 08:07:55 -0800, 198.104.131.85
Lines: 2
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!newshub.northeast.verio.net!nntp.kreonet.re.kr!newsfeed.kornet.nm.kr!news.isdmc.co.kr!198.104.131.85

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Rogue Cancels performed on Open NNTP Server 207.34.97.3

Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news-ana-7.sprintlink.net!207.13.232.24!news-ana-24.sprintlink.net!news-west.sprintlink.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-backup-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!206.172.150.11!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!198.104.131.85
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 14:41:05 -0500
Organization: Telus Advanced Communications
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <35993F51.6A32@san.rr.com>
References: <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Bob

4217. Re: More Rogue Cancels in rec.radio.scanner from Clark College via Multiple Open NNTP Servers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6ncko3$9cb@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Just came across 3 additional Rogue Cancels in rec.radio.scanner.

That comes to a total of 94 Rogue Cancels for today!

91 of the posts belong to Bill Cheek
3 of the posts belong to Phil Smalley

These are some of the headers I came across this evening:

Message-ID: <35994AF6.25DB@mail.hac.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 15:30:46 -0500
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35995C22.4DD1@mail.hac.com>
References: <35995C22.4DD1@mail.hac.com>
Control: cancel <35995C22.4DD1@mail.hac.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.131.85
X-Trace: 1 Jul 1998 07:37:13 -0800, 198.104.131.85
Lines: 2
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news-ana-7.sprintlink.net!207.13.232.24!news-ana-24.sprintlink.net!news-west.sprintlink.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!xfer.kren.ne.kr!xfer.kren.nm.kr!newsfeed.kornet.nm.kr!news.isdmc.co.kr!198.104.131.85

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Message-ID: <35994AB7.275A@mail.hac.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 15:29:43 -0500
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35995F77.7408@mail.hac.com>
References: <35995F77.7408@mail.hac.com>
Control: cancel <35995F77.7408@mail.hac.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.131.85
X-Trace: 1 Jul 1998 07:36:10 -0800, 198.104.131.85
Lines: 2
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!xfe
r.kren.ne.kr!xfer.kren.nm.kr!newsfeed.kornet.nm.kr!news.isdmc.co.kr!198.104.131.85

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Message-ID: <359944B6.4328@mail.hac.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 15:04:06 -0500
From: Phil Smalley <psmalley@mail.hac.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35995B15.61BC@mail.hac.com>
References: <35995B15.61BC@mail.hac.com>
Control: cancel <35995B15.61BC@mail.hac.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.131.85
X-Trace: 1 Jul 1998 07:10:33 -0800, 198.104.131.85
Lines: 2
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!xfe
r.kren.ne.kr!xfer.kren.nm.kr!newsfeed.kornet.nm.kr!news.isdmc.co.kr!198.104.131.85

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Robert H. Eisner wrote:
>
> It appears that a someone at Clark College has issued several
> more Rogue Cancels (91 to be exact) in the rec.radio.scanner
> News group this afternoon. All of the Cancels were issued
> through Open NNTP Servers 207.34.97.3 and news.isdmc.co.kr.
>
> All of the cancels originated from IP address 198.104.131.85
> which belongs to Clark College
>
> Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)
> 1800 E McLoughlin Blvd.
> Vancouver, WA 98663
>
> Netname: CLARK-NET
> Netblock: 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0
>

> Coordinator:
> Coleman, Jim (JC153-ARIN) jcoleman@CLARK.EDU
> (206)750-3803
>

4218. Re: BC3000XLT BATTERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Lassman <"kbkgx@kb6kgx"@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6ncm8k$7ct$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 154516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Charles McCallister wrote:
>
> Gary,
>
> ¯ Does anyone know a good(inexpensive) source for a replacement battery-pack
> ¯ for the BC3000XLT.
>
> E. H. Yost & Co.
> 608-831-3443
>
> Charles
>
> To reply, change the "e" in my address to "3"

There is a mail order outfit called "MetroWest", I believe. They have
such batteries available. Don't have their contact info handy, though I
believe they have a website.

4219. Re: PRO-43 Battery Drain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: yosfrqdave@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20177-3599DDE6-19@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 154567

David
Have you tryed fresh batt.?
NiCad batt. do ware out.
Just a thought.

DAVE

4220. Gander/Shanwick HF Logs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Brandao <l42303@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.3.96.980630130538.28819H-100000@alfa.ist.utl.pt>
Source record: 154568

Hello

Another log for Gander/Shanwick Airspace that were very very
busy...50 different flights reporting positions in just one hour.
Many new registrations for me including a CSA A310 which Ive never
heard before.
If you want you can take a look on the airspace at that time by
downloading:
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Lakes/9420/shotex8.gif

You will find a super busy North Atlantic Sky! Interesting was to
see how they organize westbound traffic when more than 95% is eastbound.
They put Tower flight (westbound) almost overflying Greenland.

All the best, Andre Brandao

```
------------------------------------------------------
--
/ /\ AirNav 2.10 - http://fly.to/airnav
\__/ File generated by the Aircraft Tracking Software
------------------------------------------------------

LOG FILE STARTING AT 0108 28 JUN 98 UTC

UTC Freq(KHz) Callsign Report
----- --------- ---------- --------------------------------------
0124Z 5649 GAF38 52N30W/0121 F390 53N20W/0210 53N15W/ SCJGM
(German AirForce)
0125Z 5649 DLH419 50N40W/0122 F370 54N30W/0205 52N20W/
0126Z 5649 AFR6440 SALCJ RN600CJ
0127Z 5649 CSA053 49N40W/0124 F330 50N30W/0209 51N20W/ SCKBQ
0127Z 5649 DLH463 49N40W/0127 F360 50N30W/0210 51N20W/
0127Z 5649 BAW1502 SEKGQ
0127Z 5649 AIH068 SFPER
0128Z 5649 KLM0672 SAFHR
0129Z 5649 AEF6521 SBEFJ
0130Z 5649 BAW9638 52N40W/0129 F350 53N30W/0211 54N20W/
0131Z 5649 AFR003 49N40W/0129 F340 50N30W/0214 51N20W/
0132Z 5649 EIN104 SJSAP
0133Z 5649 BAL552B 52N20W/0129 F370 52N15W/0151 DOLIP/ U12.8
W-57.275.55
0134Z 5649 BAW2236 48N50W/0131 F390 49N40W/0214 50N30W/ SBGKQ
0134Z 5649 SAB540 SJQCP
0135Z 5649 BAL559B SCJBF
0136Z 5649 DLH411 52N20W/0134 F360 52N15W/0155 DOLIP/
0136Z 5649 DLH401 52N20W/0135 F340 52N15W/0156 DOLIP/
0137Z 5649 CKT144 SRSDK
```

0138Z 5649 KLM0692 53N40W/0136 F350 54N30W/0217 55N20W/
0139Z 5649 DLH423 52N20W/0138 F350 52N15W/0158 DOLIP/
0140Z 5649 LOT008 52N30W/0138 F370 54N20W/0222 54N15W/ SBQCL
0144Z 5649 AFR345 52N30W/0142 F350 53N30W/0226 53N15W/ SBMHS
0145Z 5616 USA782 51N30W/0143 F370 52N20W/0229 52N15W/ U50.6
0146Z 5616 UAL930 59N50W/0145 F370 59N40W/0223 59N30W/ U68.5
W-44.160.13+59N55W.-44.60.10
0146Z 5649 AFR345 52N30W/0142 F350 53N20W/0226 53N15W/ SBMHS
0148Z 5616 NWA36 51N50W/0137 F340 52N40W/0217 53N30W/
W-48.245.95 U108.0 SCHAL
0149Z 5649 ICE566 61N01234W/0134 F310 60N10W/0146
0149Z 5649 DHL516 52N40W/0146 F290 54N30W/0228 55N20W/
0150Z 5649 ROY262 53N40W/0158 F310 54N30W/0229 55N20W/
0151Z 5616 DHL012 52N40W/0148 F310 52N30W/0231 52N20W/
W-46.265.55
0153Z 5649 KLM0684 SDKBL
0156Z 5649 AIH68 50N40W/0149 F340 51N30W/0232 52N20W/ SFPER
0200Z 5616 AAL108 51N40W/0158 F340 52N30W/0242 53N20W/
0201Z 5649 DLH431 50N40W/0152 F360 51N30W/0234 52N20W/ SMRDH
0203Z 5649 THY1492 49N40W/0151 F350 50N30W/0238 51N20W/ SERCJ
0207Z 5649 SWR127 50N40W/0205 F340 51N30W/0249 52N20W/
0208Z 5649 SAS942 F350
0209Z 5649 DLH419 54N30W/0206 F370 52N20W/0249 52N15W/
0210Z 5649 GAF38 53N20W/0209 F390 53N15W/0231
0210Z 5649 KLM0672 52N40W/0206 F340 53N30W/0248 54N20W/
0213Z 5649 SAB540 51N40W/0212 52N30W/0253 53N20W/ F340
0213Z 5649 DLH463 50N30W/0210 F360 51N20W/0254 51N15W/ SCGFL
0214Z 5649 BAW9638 53N30W/0213 F350 54N20W/0256 54N15W/
0215Z 5649 BAW9638 SEKJQ
0216Z 5649 BAW2236 49N40W/0215 F390 50N30W/0300 51N20W/
0217Z 5616 UAL908 SAQGK
0217Z 5616 DAL148 51N30W/0215 F330 52N20W/0300 52N15W/ U65.6
SDGQR
0217Z 5649 CSA53 F330 51N20W/0255 51N15W/
0218Z 5616 USA14 51N30W/0217 F330 52N20W/0303 52N15W/ U54.3
0220Z 5649 SAB582 51N40W/0212 F370 52N30W/0245 53N20W/
0220Z 5616 TOW31 58N20W/0217 F340 58N30W/0259 58N40W/
0221Z 5649 FIN4 59N30W/0216 F330 61N20W/0255 62N10W/ SDECH
0223Z 5616 VP-CWI 51N40W/0213 F290 52N30W/0300 53N20W/
0223Z 5616 AAL70 50N30W/0220 F350 51N20W/0306 51N15W/ U49.6
0224Z 5616 AAL70 SCLJP
0224Z 5616 DAL84 50N40W/0220 F360 51N30W/0305 52N20W/ U68.3
0225Z 5616 VP-CWI 51N40W/0213 F290 52N30W/0300 53N20W/ RVP-CWI
0225Z 5616 AAL146 53N20W/0223 F340 53N15W/0243 U37.0
0226Z 5616 UAL930 59N40W/0223 F370 59N30W/0301 58N20W/ U58.9
W-50.180.15+59N45W.-47.170.30
0227Z 5616 UAL946 52N30W/0224 F370 53N20W/0307 53N15W/ U54.4
0227Z 5616 UAL946 SARBC
0228Z 5616 NWA36 52N40W/0217 F340 53N30W/0257 54N20W/
W-50.270.80

```
0229Z 5649 AFR345 53N20W/0226 F350 53N15W/0247
0230Z 5616 NWA50 53N40W/0228 F350 54N30W/0306 55N20W/
W-52.270.80+52N45W.-51.255.85 U87.8 SCJAF
0232Z 5616 DHL516 54N30W/0230 F290 55N20W/0311 56N10W/
0233Z 5649 KLM0664 55N20W/0231 F370 55N10W/0309
0234Z 5616 ACA866 52N40W/0228 F360 53N30W/0311 54N20W/ U105.1
0236Z 5616 UAL916 52N20W/0234 F360 52N15W/0254 DOLIP/ U47.8
0238Z 5649 FIN22 59N30W/0232 F330 61N20W/0312 62N10W/

Report: F+Flight_Level S+SELCAL M+Mach U+Fuel W+Weather Q+Frequency
R+Registration T+Aircraft_Type A+Airports C+Company

------------------------------------------------------------
```

4221. Loop antenna - Gamma match

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nacho Barrientos <takeoffthispart.nacho.barrientos@apdo.com>
Message-ID: <6na5jidng1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 154569

How can i made a 'easy' gamma match for a loop antenna ?

There is a better solution for feed a loop for high freqs (2 meter) ?

Thanks in advance.

4222. Re: IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6na63p$e5j$1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 154570

John

Read also John McDermon's reply, to which I also agree, as I have had those same problems, and we are not alone, several other people have had this. The main aspects of this are that the supplied software is crap (although iCOM says it's great and that everyone is really happy with it), would you be happy now if you knew that:

1. They advertise the radio as a 1000-channel scanner, and this is probably what you believe now. Note that you will only be able to scan 50 channels at any one time (i.e. one bank) as there is NO bank or channel linking at all. There is a 'select channel' and 'skip channel' setting, but only works for the current bank, there is no way scan frequencies from different banks at the same time.

2. You cannot leave the software running unnatended, as it will invariably stop scanning or searching after some time, in my case it has been between every 5 seconds to a few minutes, others have reported longer times. This is due to the software, when it looses contact with the radio momentarily, it quits scanning, and stops. There is a feature that lets you scan a range of frequencies, and store each busy channel it finds into a memory in a pre-defined bank. This is useless if the radio stops scanning for no reason after a few seconds, as every time you press the "Auto" button, or the "Prog" button, the search starts at the bottom end of the range again, so you may not get to scan some frequencies EVER.

3. There is no VFO scan feature. All scanning has to be done through pre-programmed search ranges, of which there are only 20. There is no way to just type in a frequency and have the radio scan up or down from it.

4. Download the software from the iCOM web site, and see for yourself. Play with it for a while, and then let me know what you think about it. Specially what you think about how easy, fast and user-friendly the system for wrtiting frequencies to memory channels is...

If you really want a computer-controlled scanner, like the PCR-1000, buy the Optoelectronics OptoCom, which will be out on August. It has many more features than the PCR, and will have support from any 3rd party developer that wants to support it (which should be all of them).
If you want a handheld, wait for the AR82000, which is like the AR8000 but with MANY improvements, one of them includes direct RS-232 connection for direct PC control.
Visit
http://www.optoelectronics.com

Mike

John escribi&#65533; en mensaje <359799E0.54B86CFC@ntr.net>...
>I'm considering a purchase of the PCR1000 .How does it compare in
>overall performance with my AR8k and 2006.??
>Also, is there a mod for the US version, or should I go ahead with an
>'export' unit.
>
>
> TIA
>

4223. Re: Monitoring Computer Monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Puchol <mpuchol@nospamm.eurohubner.com>
Message-ID: <6na64u$e6f$1@talia.mad.ibernet.es>
Source record: 154571

Search for TEMPEST on the net.

Mike

David M. Comer escribi&#65533; en mensaje <6n8ob7kqq1@news.rt66.com>...
>Is anyone involved in monitoring computer monitors?
>I seem to remember seeing information in the back
>of Monitoring Times, but the topic disappeared. I
>know it can be done, just curious to know if anyone
>has information on this topic.
>
>Thanks

4224. Re: NEED PHOENIX, SCOTTSDALE AZ,FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <DanR@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <359976CD.C7270418@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 154572

Rippy1962 wrote:

> DOES ANYBODY KNOW IF THERE IS A WEBSITE THAT LISTS FREQUENCIES? ANY HELP WOULD
> BE GREATLY APPRECIATED.PLEASE E-MAIL ME AT
> RIPPY1962@AOL.COM
> THANK YOU...

Take a look at the Southwest Frequency Directory website at
http://www.azstarnet.com/~danr
The site includes frequencies for the area, as well as information on purchasing a copy of the 300-page Southwest Frequency Directory for Arizona.

Dan

4225. Re: PCR-1000 radio concern & solution.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C. R" <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <359afa07.0@news2.ibm.net>
Source record: 154573

At one time I was considering buying one of these radios but not any more. If ICOM doesn't want to lose all potential customers they had better start paying attention to all owner feedback. As for my new radio I am now considering an AOR!

Carl Roth

4226. Skip on 463.175 in San Diego ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6nf69o$mth@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154574

I'm sitting here at my listening post in Ramona, CA (central San Diego County) hearing Mission Hospital in Mission Viejo talking to OrCo Medic 24 on 463.175 in repeater mode. Anyone got a clue on how that can be???

4227. REPOST: Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <REPOST-8707.73425292969.35988725.5FC7@usa.net>
Source record: 154575
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> There you go again Mackey , Lying and saying things that are not true,

What did I say that was not true?

> your
> constant flaming and personal vendetta against Bill.

I have NO vendetta against Bill other than a wish that he
would stop making trouble on the NG! Bill has been offered many
different truce options yet he refuses all of them.

One only need to read the NG of rec.radio.scanner to see the
flames Bill Cheek sends & problems he causes!
========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
From: howardk@iswest.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: cmsg cancel <35988725.5FC7@usa.net>
Control: cancel <35988725.5FC7@usa.net>
Date: 2 Jul 1998 23:14:36 GMT
Organization: Internet Specialties West, Inc.
Lines: 2
Approved: y
Message-ID: <wdxofaxpdg.cancel.35988725.5FC7@usa.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
X-No-Archive: Yes
Path: ...!newsfeed.direct.ca!feed.nntp.acc.ca!island.idirect.com!news.oanet.com!news.agtac
.net!207.34.97.3!news.iswest.net!cyberspam!not-for-mail

Spam cancelled. Autocancel spam type: N.A.N-A.U

4228. REPOST: Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <REPOST-24734.2451477051.35987ed2.8058376@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 20:51:55 -0700, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> Posted by John Mackey to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet (nanau) via MCI
>> and inserted into open server news.campbellhall.org (same server used
>> for recent forges and rogue cancels).
>
>that's right George!
>
>I heard everyone talking about this server being open, so I tried
>it myself & on a friend's ISP. Worked for both! So it is indeed
>wide open! (Infact, I am posting from it right now!)
>
>
>However, I did not make any forgeries or rogue cancels from
>that, or any other, server!

You conveniently ignored my question regarding your alleged status
as a system administrator. How about it? Are you or are you *not*, a
system administrator and if so, what site? I really think you should
clear this up.

========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
From: howardk@iswest.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: cmsg cancel <35987ed2.8058376@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Control: cancel <35987ed2.8058376@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Date: 2 Jul 1998 23:13:45 GMT
Organization: Internet Specialties West, Inc.
Lines: 2
Approved: y
Message-ID: <ytchkgxtsw.cancel.35987ed2.8058376@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
X-No-Archive: Yes
Path: ...!cyclone.mbnet.mb.ca!island.idirect.com!news.oanet.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3
!news.iswest.net!cyberspam!not-for-mail

Spam cancelled. Autocancel spam type: N.A.N-A.U

4229. REPOST: More Rogue Cancels in rec.radio.scanner from Clark College via Multiple Open NNTP Servers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <REPOST-11085.6616821289.6nceib$gnn@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

It appears that a someone at Clark College has issued several
more Rogue Cancels (91 to be exact) in the rec.radio.scanner
News group this afternoon. All of the Cancels were issued
through Open NNTP Servers 207.34.97.3 and news.isdmc.co.kr.

All of the cancels originated from IP address 198.104.131.85
which belongs to Clark College

Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)
1800 E McLoughlin Blvd.
Vancouver, WA 98663

Netname: CLARK-NET
Netblock: 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

Coordinator:
Coleman, Jim (JC153-ARIN) jcoleman@CLARK.EDU
(206)750-3803

These are some of the headers I came across this evening:

Rogue Cancels performed on Open NNTP Server news.isdmc.co.kr

Message-ID: <35995227.1E2C@san.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 16:01:27 -0500
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
References: <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.131.85
X-Trace: 1 Jul 1998 08:07:55 -0800, 198.104.131.85
Lines: 2
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!newshub.northeast.verio.net!nntp.kreonet.re.kr!newsfeed.kornet.nm.kr!news.isdmc.co.kr!198.104.131.85

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Rogue Cancels performed on Open NNTP Server 207.34.97.3

Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news-ana-7.sprintlink.net!207.13.232.24!news-ana-24.sprintlink.net!news-west.sprintlink.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-backup-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!206.172.150.11!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!198.104.131.85
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 14:41:05 -0500
Organization: Telus Advanced Communications
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <35993F51.6A32@san.rr.com>
References: <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
Path: ...!feed2.news.erols.com!erols!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.bbnplanet.com!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!news.iswest.net!cyberspam!not-for-mail
From: howardk@iswest.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: cmsg cancel <6nceib$gnn@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Control: cancel <6nceib$gnn@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Date: 2 Jul 1998 23:12:42 GMT
Organization: Internet Specialties West, Inc.
Lines: 2
Approved: y
Message-ID: <mbemehmlev.cancel.6nceib$gnn@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
X-No-Archive: Yes

Spam cancelled. Autocancel spam type: N.A.N-A.U

4230. REPOST: Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <REPOST-29216.1083679199.01bda3f6$524e7e00$d51537a6@default>
Source record: 154578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Sure John, we beleive you ... HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Mike

Still laughing HAHAHAHAHAHAHA

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<359860DA.6E1D@usa.net>...
> George Vetterle wrote:
> > Posted by John Mackey to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet (nanau) via MCI
> > and inserted into open server news.campbellhall.org (same server used
> > for recent forges and rogue cancels).
>
> that's right George!
>
> I heard everyone talking about this server being open, so I tried
> it myself & on a friend's ISP. Worked for both! So it is indeed
> wide open! (Infact, I am posting from it right now!)
>
>
> However, I did not make any forgeries or rogue cancels from
> that, or any other, server!
>
========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
From: howardk@iswest.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: cmsg cancel <01bda3f6$524e7e00$d51537a6@default>
Control: cancel <01bda3f6$524e7e00$d51537a6@default>
Date: 2 Jul 1998 23:14:17 GMT
Organization: Internet Specialties West, Inc.
Lines: 2
Approved: y
Message-ID: <pxnjxbxngj.cancel.01bda3f6$524e7e00$d51537a6@default>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
X-No-Archive: Yes
Path: ...!cyclone.mbnet.mb.ca!feed.nntp.acc.ca!island.idirect.com!news.oanet.com!news.agta
c.net!207.34.97.3!news.iswest.net!cyberspam!not-for-mail

Spam cancelled. Autocancel spam type: N.A.N-A.U

4231. REPOST: Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <REPOST-14542.5561828613.01bda3f6$524e7e00$d51537a6@default>
Source record: 154579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Sure John, we beleive you ... HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Mike

Still laughing HAHAHAHAHAHAHA

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<359860DA.6E1D@usa.net>...
> George Vetterle wrote:
> > Posted by John Mackey to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet (nanau) via MCI
> > and inserted into open server news.campbellhall.org (same server used
> > for recent forges and rogue cancels).
>
> that's right George!
>
> I heard everyone talking about this server being open, so I tried
> it myself & on a friend's ISP. Worked for both! So it is indeed
> wide open! (Infact, I am posting from it right now!)
>
>
> However, I did not make any forgeries or rogue cancels from
> that, or any other, server!
>
========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
Path: ...!newsxfer3.itd.umich.edu!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!newsfeed.internet
mci.com!206.172.150.11!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!news.iswest.net!cyb
erspam!not-for-mail
From: howardk@iswest.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: cmsg cancel <01bda3f6$524e7e00$d51537a6@default>
Control: cancel <01bda3f6$524e7e00$d51537a6@default>
Date: 3 Jul 1998 00:08:53 GMT
Organization: Internet Specialties West, Inc.
Lines: 2
Approved: y
Message-ID: <spsleolgim.cancel.01bda3f6$524e7e00$d51537a6@default>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
X-No-Archive: Yes

Spam cancelled. Autocancel spam type: N.A.N-A.U

4232. REPOST: Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <REPOST-4039.87670898438.35987ed2.8058376@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 20:51:55 -0700, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> Posted by John Mackey to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet (nanau) via MCI
>> and inserted into open server news.campbellhall.org (same server used
>> for recent forges and rogue cancels).
>
>that's right George!
>
>I heard everyone talking about this server being open, so I tried
>it myself & on a friend's ISP. Worked for both! So it is indeed
>wide open! (Infact, I am posting from it right now!)
>
>
>However, I did not make any forgeries or rogue cancels from
>that, or any other, server!

You conveniently ignored my question regarding your alleged status
as a system administrator. How about it? Are you or are you *not*, a
system administrator and if so, what site? I really think you should
clear this up.

========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
Path: ...!newshub.northeast.verio.net!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!206.172.1
50.11!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!news.iswest.net!cyberspam!not-for-ma
il
From: howardk@iswest.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: cmsg cancel <35987ed2.8058376@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Control: cancel <35987ed2.8058376@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Date: 3 Jul 1998 00:08:35 GMT
Organization: Internet Specialties West, Inc.
Lines: 2
Approved: y
Message-ID: <gfjulgmqkt.cancel.35987ed2.8058376@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
X-No-Archive: Yes

Spam cancelled. Autocancel spam type: N.A.N-A.U

4233. REPOST: More Rogue Cancels in rec.radio.scanner from Clark College via Multiple Open NNTP Servers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <REPOST-24756.2444763184.6nceib$gnn@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

It appears that a someone at Clark College has issued several
more Rogue Cancels (91 to be exact) in the rec.radio.scanner
News group this afternoon. All of the Cancels were issued
through Open NNTP Servers 207.34.97.3 and news.isdmc.co.kr.

All of the cancels originated from IP address 198.104.131.85
which belongs to Clark College

Clark College (NETBLK-CLARK-NET)
1800 E McLoughlin Blvd.
Vancouver, WA 98663

Netname: CLARK-NET
Netblock: 198.104.128.0 - 198.104.143.0

Coordinator:
Coleman, Jim (JC153-ARIN) jcoleman@CLARK.EDU
(206)750-3803

These are some of the headers I came across this evening:

Rogue Cancels performed on Open NNTP Server news.isdmc.co.kr

Message-ID: <35995227.1E2C@san.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 16:01:27 -0500
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
References: <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.104.131.85
X-Trace: 1 Jul 1998 08:07:55 -0800, 198.104.131.85
Lines: 2
Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news.idt.net!newshub.northeast.verio.net!nntp.kreonet.re.kr!newsfeed.kornet.nm.kr!news.isdmc.co.kr!198.104.131.85

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Rogue Cancels performed on Open NNTP Server 207.34.97.3

Path:
moon.pepperdine.edu!nntp2.cerf.net!news-ana-7.sprintlink.net!207.13.232.24!news-ana-24.sprintlink.net!news-west.sprintlink.net!news-peer.sprintlink.net!news-backup-east.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!206.172.150.11!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!198.104.131.85
From: Bill Cheek <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Control: cancel <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jun 1998 14:41:05 -0500
Organization: Telus Advanced Communications
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <35993F51.6A32@san.rr.com>
References: <35991d63.19341653@news2.cts.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)

This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
Path: ...!news.sgi.com!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!206.172.150.11!news1.bellglobal.com!news.agtac.net!207.34.97.3!news.iswest.net!cyberspam!not-for-mail
From: howardk@iswest.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: cmsg cancel <6nceib$gnn@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Control: cancel <6nceib$gnn@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Date: 3 Jul 1998 00:07:27 GMT
Organization: Internet Specialties West, Inc.
Lines: 2
Approved: y
Message-ID: <ittgagppgs.cancel.6nceib$gnn@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.34.97.3
X-No-Archive: Yes

Spam cancelled. Autocancel spam type: N.A.N-A.U

4234. ICOM Q7A in STOCK (6/29/98)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Goldman <75162.2371@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <uB9dM7Fp9GA.302@ntdwwaaw.compuserve.com>
Source record: 154582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The ICOM Q7A has arrived at the first dealer in the country. It is HRO Portland.Price is $199.95. It arrived yesterday, Monday June 29. HRO doesn't have the LC-146 carry case yet, but you can get one directly from ICOM at 425/454-7619 for $38 plus shipping and handling. HRO Portland's phone number is 503\598-0555.

Jeff

4235. Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3599fdca.142351982@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154617

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

geovet@ix.netcom.com (George Vetterle) wrote:

> You conveniently ignored my question regarding your alleged status
> as a system administrator. How about it? Are you or are you *not*, a
> system administrator and if so, what site? I really think you should
> clear this up.

Of course he's a system administrator. You knew that.
He administers the men's room system down at the Portland
bus station.
```

4236. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359d0042.142984592@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154618

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes In article <359a6d73.105390952@news2.cts.com>,
> bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> > How easy to spew from the safety and comfort
> > of your keyboard, huh?
>
> What an incredibly illogical statement. Where do you 'spew' from, Cheek?

For you, Mackey, it's the Good Word from
the Good Book.
```

4237. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359f00dc.143138047@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154619

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

> John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>, or somebody, writes:
>
> >Clarence who?
>
> Funny, my post was in response to a message from Stuart Upton. Wonder why
> Mackey responded to it???????

Ummmmm, they're joined at the hip, in a manner
of speaking. The only way you can separate
them two is.......with a crowbar.

4238. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359e008c.143058293@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154620

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

> bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) writes:
>
> >Geez, Smacksie, play like you're a horse-turd
>
> Not much pretending needed there, Bill.

Dang, I'm sorry. Please forgive me...I should
have been clearer.
```

4239. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35a00173.143289332@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154621

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> Problem is John has no class .

Ummmm, I think Smacksie *reeks* of class.....

<g>
```

4240. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvDCI3.qM@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154622

On Tue, 30 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Derek Yerger wrote:
> > forged posts- both cheek and mackey are suspects. mackey because the
> > forged posts are against cheek. cheek because he seems to want to keep
> > this flaming going.>
>
> I have nothing against Cheek so I would not be flaming him.

Now that flies in the face of history and reality. Do you even know what DejaNews is? Have you ever tried to use it to see the threads you have started? Your vendetta against Bill is obvious to any semi-intelligent SANE person...

You friggin loon! PLEASE use that shotgun... PLEASE!!!

4241. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvDCKA.s1@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154623

On Tue, 30 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> John T. Arthur wrote:
> > Showing your abysmal ignorance again, hey Mack-hole? It IS quite easy to
> > do exactly that - all one has to do is put the different browsers into
> > different directories and call up the one he wants.
>
> Sorry, I would not know how to mis-lead people as you are suggesting
> because I do not proctice to committ such acts. I do find it pecular
> that you know exactly the stpes to do this!

Stpes to do this?? Have you EVER installed any software on your computer?
If so, you know all you need to know.

You friggin loon!!

4242. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvDCnq.tu@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154624

On Tue, 30 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Tell him to accept my proposal and then see
> > what happens.
>
> Bill I have asked you accept several proposals of
> truce & you simple continue the flames with flimsy
> excuses for why you can't agree to the truce.

Truce, hey?? I thought you wanted an END to this crap.

And I never saw where you even bothered to respond to Bill's proposal. Why should HE accept YOUR proposal if you won't even consider his proposal?

BECAUSE YOU DO NOT WANT THIS TO END!!!

You friggin loon!!

4243. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvDCpL.vM@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154625

On Tue, 30 Jun 1998, John Mackey - KA0SSF wrote:

> Derek Yerger wrote:
> > everyone should realize- mackey never posts scanner-related things.
>
> Then you don't read many of the posts here! Even George Vetterle
> will admit that I do post on-topic.

LIAR! George admitted no such thing. And you have NEVER posted a message containing one iota of information about scanners or scanning!

4244. Re: SKIP very busy now ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35a0e70f.5114952@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 154626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> couple minutes later, 'Jasper, Effingham, others, Nat.Weather
>service just issued a tornado warning until 7:45'; male dispatcher,
>repeated message, mentioning: sw Illinois, 'Boggs cty'??, carbonville;
>giving other town names.

Effingham is in central Illinois. There is also a Carbondale as well.

I was about 40 miles north of Effingham a couple of months ago. During the week I was there, it rained literally EVERY day. During one four hour period, the city of Decatur had five tornado warnings. The small town I was in set off their sireen when a funnel was spotted south of town. Apparently there were more funnel clouds than flashes of lightning. Amazing area. The land is so flat you can see for about 35 miles, and it's impressive to watch storms build up.

4245. AR8000 Service Manual WTB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: chiblet@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063015572000.LAA22364@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154627

I'm looking for an AR8K service manual to buy.
Please Email me if you can help.
Thanks, Chiblet@aol.com

4246. XLT-120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew H Barth <ahbarth@acsu.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <35993682.AF9@acsu.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154628

Can any mods be done to open up the frequency hole between bands on a bearcat XLT-120 ??

4247. Re: SKIP all day; more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjdurkin@mmm.com
Message-ID: <35990DA3.DB09CD17@mmm.com>
Source record: 154629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

DA wrote:

> Arise wrote:
> ----------
> Hello!
>
> Ive been Dxing the lowband for a while but havnt had much success.How do
> you
> look for an opening? I have only heard skip twice this year.I have a
> nice
> random wire outside so I know its not the antenna.And what are the best
> times
> to do this?
> ----------
>
> I only scan 2 banks of lowband. These are ones from scanner book with my
> BC3000xlt. I just put them in for the various states. I had heard some
> of these last summer too.
>
> We've also had quite a few storms, which may effect the listening.
> --
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> DA ---NH
> F1 Fan PSR Fan
> 70.56.55w, 43.04.10n
> ------------ooooooo------------

Here in Minnesota, hardly anyone uses the VHF-Lo Band. When E-skip hit the TV and FM band yesterday (where I usually DX), I hooked up a pair of rabbit ears to the scanner and searched 39-40 and 42-43 MHz. Both were loaded with signals from the southeast US, heard lots of Southern accents. Apparently the Lo band is still used quite a bit down there. Didn't nail down any specific locations, but it was fun to hear distant comms on frequencies that are usually dead here.

If you want more details on how and when to look for skip signals, E-mail me.

Pat

Opinions expressed herein are my own and may not represent those of my employer.

4248. Re: florida highway patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kent W. Smith" <kents@nortel.com>
Message-ID: <3598C464.369E@nortel.com>
Source record: 154630

Interesting: Last night in Kansas City, we had severe weather. The Olathe (a town near KC) dispatch center was knocked off the air, and all of Johnson County (on a Motorola trunked system) were ordered to go to nationwide tactical channels, presumably in order to facilitate car-to-car communication with Olathe units which would otherwise be stranded without their central system online. I quickly located the nationwide frequencies (some 46, 15x, and 800 mhz frequencies) in Police Call, and added those freqs into the (normally trunked) B band of my BC895XLT. I went into scan mode versus trunktracking, and picked up residual communications on the JoCo trunked system, plus the emergency traffic on the nationwide channels.

The point I'm making is that - in this instance - having a trunked system was of NO VALUE during a disaster, and all area units, even those with a working trunked system, had to revert to the old style of frequency sharing. Dispatch moved as well.

Having my 895 was fantastic last night, as our area was being overtaken by inclement weather. I had the weather updates from around the county before TV and NOAA in most cases. By switching to scan mode in my trunk bank, I was able to follow the evenings events seamlessly. Scanning on a motorola trunked system is quite reasonable and easy, as modulation does not include the GE/Ericcson trailing beeps - which can only be designed to thwart scanners. Those trailing beeps must surely make the entire system MUCH less efficient, as most responses are short, but the overall frequency usage goes double what's needed. I hope buyers of these systems realize this inefficiency and shop Motorola.

BTW, there were reports that interagency 800 mhz radios in Olathe were having compatibility problems with other systems. I suspect that if JoCo had digital units, they would have to have reverted back to analog last night.

During disasters, expect the unexpected.

Kent

JTWard01 wrote:

> No, but it is nice to know that a trooper or state wildlife officer - both
> who often work many miles from back-up - will be able to talk to other agencies
> if they need help in a hurry.
> It's also nice to know that following a hurricane or other natural

> disaster the various agencies will be able to communicate with each other with
> playing and electronic version of the old "telephone game."
> I was in Homestead shortly after it was hit by Hurricane Andrew in 1992.
> One of the major problems they faced was inter-agency communication.
> Sure it'll be a pain in the ass once the switch over here in my area, at
> least until Uniden or one of the other mfgs. comes through with a
> digitally-compatible TrunkTracker.
>
> John Ward

4249. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35992EE0.47F49D87@swbell.net>
Source record: 154631

Mackey is never going to stop.

4250. Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markus Orchowski <morchow@illgen.com>
Message-ID: <6nb512$a52$1@nnrp1.ni.net>
Source record: 154632

They say the shorter the antenna the higher frequencies will come in better then the opposite would be that the taller the antenna would pick up lower frequencies. Could you attach two antenna to the same scanner?

4251. Look out for n0mbw

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannist@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <35990ccf.11706769@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 154633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

IF YOU DEAL WITH HIM, , , , , , ,
BE SURE YOU GET THE MONEY BEFORE YOU SHIP HIM ANYTHING.
He has lied to me and ripped me off for forty bucks.
Don't let him do this to you.

For detailed proof, e-mail DrPepper@iwvisp.com

4252. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <3598ABC0.143F@blarg.net>
Source record: 154634

George Vetterle wrote:
>
> On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 02:44:01 GMT, "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF"
> <jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:
>
> >dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> >> Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.
> > >
> > >That is NOT very easly or simple to do!
>
> Balderdash! I have two versions on this computer now and have had as
> many as three. It's not rocket science.

I agree and support how easy it is. Upon installation, just tell
it to install to the directory "NETSCAPE.303" instead of "NETSCAPE". This
way, your versions can be easily distinguished by yourself.
Because of my web page design, I chose to install as many web
browsers as is possible. I have NS 1.22, 2.03, 3.03, and 4.0 all running
in teh same system. I can only have one executed at a time, but they're
all there, and they're all even set up to use the same .ini file, the
same bookmarks file, and even the same cache and news directories.
I have yet to do that with MSIE, but I have all of the various
versions of it installed too.
It may not be practical, but it is very possible, and it works
quite well when set up properly.

Remove the second, anti-spamming N from the
end of tdragon in my address to respond,
tdragonn@blarg.net

4253. Re: RESETTING SCANNER??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke6zgp@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063017122500.NAA00596@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154635

Try holding down 9, 2, and Manual while turning it on it worked with the
BC100/200xlt's
Bryan Herbert
BLH Communications-owner
Magic Mountain-Parking Lot Attendant / Tram Operator
Amateur Radio-KE6ZGP
LA Co. Disaster Communications Service-F-150
Civil Air Patrol-YOSEMITE 845

4254. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@aol.com
Message-ID: <359b0d86.4324810@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

>nomail@aol.com (James Donlan) wrote:
>
>
>> >Are you calling me a liar?
>
>> Yes. You've lied numerous times here before.
>
>Hooooo boy. Then surely you must be willing
>to put something of substance down to back
>up what is soooooo easy to spew?

I'll make it simple for ya. Winradio sales. Member dat vun ?

You lose. Don't pass go. Don't collect $200. Go directly to jail.

Lie again about it if it makes you feel good. You were out to deceive and you lied your ass of to do it. I predict you are about to do it again to try and save face with that stupid stunt you pulled.

>
>> Facts are facts. Even if you're
>> not lying this time - yes, you are still a liar.
>
>How easy to spew from the safety and comfort
>of your keyboard, huh?

Are you making threats, old man ? I hope so. You don't have the sack to live up to them. Guess you have nothing else to do when you're in a corner and exposed as being a LIAR, eh ?

>> I don't know bill, I can't weave my way thought all your
>> bullshit to know what'sfact and fiction anymore.
>
>Well, then obviously you don't know shit from Shinola.
>ahhhhh, now I understand....you're on AOL, huh?

No facts to back up your drivel, I see. Just make personal attacks when you can't face the music. I understand.

>> Guess you are on your own. Enjoy your fat wallet.
>
>I'd prefer to enjoy yours, and if you had any
>lugnuts to back up what spews off your keyboard
>so easily, then I'd have me a real good chance

>to help myself to that wallet of yours.

Sorry. I don't make bets with habitual LIARS.

>
>> >Let's put it this way....I might be able to
>> >give you a slick little computer lesson that
>> >will remain with you for the rest of your
>> >life.
>
>> Oh, I don't think so.
>
>Have you ever considered HIRING someone to do your
>thinking for you? You might fare better, you
>AOL dungbag.

What's wrong, billie. You snipped the rest of my response. Why? Are you getting doubtful of your putur knowledge? You knock AOL so much but don't seem to understand that they are, by far, the largest and fastest growing ISP in the world.

Actually, you knock AOL because that's all you know how to do. You were called a liar and the truth hurts. My factual statement remains. You ARE a liar and that's a fact. Your pouts and tantrums don't mean squat.

I've read some of your assinine suggestions and they demonstrate someone who WANTS to know what the hell they are doing with PC's. You try real hard, but have a mediocre knowledge at best. I won't even waste my time listening to you on this subject anymore.

Once again you resort to personal attacks when you can't face reality. It's ok. Whatever makes you feel better. You're showing your real side to everyone and that's refreshing for a change.

You are getting pathetic now.

4255. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@aol.com
Message-ID: <359c10b2.5137088@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

stuart upton wrote:

>x-no-archive: yes In article <359a6d73.105390952@news2.cts.com>,
>bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
>> How easy to spew from the safety and comfort
>> of your keyboard, huh?
>
>What an incredibly illogical statement. Where do you 'spew' from, Cheek?
>On a podium at Super Bowl half-time?

No. He's a big man with EEEEEEEE shoes. Remember? He'll sure tootin put em
across yer behind if'n you don't watch out !

Bill is the big man on campus. The head honcho. The big cheese. El numero
uno. Don't mess with his orthopedic shoes or you'll be sorry!

*chuckle*snort*choke*

4256. Re: Hey Smacksie! You owe me now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@aol.com
Message-ID: <359d114a.5289351@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And some people say you weren't instigating. Gee. I wonder where they got that idea?

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 18:53:19 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>Yo Smacksie! Say, you owe me one now,
>pal. See, there's this private mail
>list where the boys have been telling
>stories about you.
>
>And they've been saying you're gay in
>the *worst* sort of way. Well, you know....
>
>Anyhow, I told them that you absolutely
>did not do THAT kind of thing; that it
>just wasn't true.
>
>And then I told 'em to just never mind
>what ELSE you'd do.

4257. Re: Hey Smacksie! You owe me now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35991fe2.151081677@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154639

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

nomail@aol.com (James Donlan) wrote:

> And some people say you weren't instigating. Gee.
> I wonder where they got that idea?

Are you an idiot or what? Can't you keep up
with the flow over the last three years here?
Or is there an asymptote in your time-space
continuum? Something is amiss there, my dear
Jamie. You seem disconnected from....well,
your cognitive abilities.....or are they
diconnected from you, dear fellow?

Go snivel to your Mammy....if she has any
use for you....which I suppose is subject
to controversy.

Go pack sand, what say?

4258. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359a20f8.151359687@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154640

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

nomail@aol.com (James Donlan) wrote:

> stuart upton wrote:
>
> >x-no-archive: yes In article <359a6d73.105390952@news2.cts.com>,
> >bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
> >
> >> How easy to spew from the safety and comfort
> >> of your keyboard, huh?
> >
> >What an incredibly illogical statement. Where do you 'spew' from, Cheek?
> >On a podium at Super Bowl half-time?
>
> No. He's a big man with EEEEEEEE shoes. Remember?

And they fit quite comfortably up your
cavernous patooty, too, huh?

(And I use the term 'cavernous' a bit *loosely*,
too, I might add. You know what they say..."If
the shoe fits, wear it.)

<giggling and tittering>

4259. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359b21c7.151566993@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154641

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

nomail@aol.com (James Donlan) wrote:

> I'll make it simple for ya. Winradio sales. Member dat vun ?

Sure. Do YOU?

> You lose.

Ha ha ha ha ha......really now?

> Lie again about it if it makes you feel good.

Nothing to lie about then or now, cornflake.

> ou were out to deceive and you
> lied your ass of to do it.

Nope. Not even close. But would you like
to eat my shorts? Will BVD's do? Or do you
prefer Fruits of the Loom?

> I predict you are about to do it again to try and
> save face with that stupid stunt you pulled.

You lose. But what say we get back to my
24/7/365 connection to the Internet at 10 Mbps.
You seem desperate to gloss that one over.
So let's get back to your wallet and how much of
it says I'm lying? Eh?

> Are you making threats, old man ? I hope so.

Nope. Just inviting you to observe the mistletoe
attached to my shirttail. And offering you a pair
of my shorts to eat.

> No facts to back up your drivel, I see. Just make
> personal attacks when you can't face the music. I understand.

Get out your wallet, turdbreath. Let's get right
down to the nitty gritty.

> Sorry. I don't make bets with habitual LIARS.

Ummmm hummmm. Nice easy 3rd-grader out, zitface.

> >Have you ever considered HIRING someone to do your
> >thinking for you? You might fare better, you
> >AOL dungbag.
>
> What's wrong, billie.

Your thinking. I thought I made it clear
where you were wrong. Something wrong with
the vacuum betwixt your ears Jamie-baby?

> You knock AOL so much

So much? Much? How? The fact that you
are an AOL'er is plenty enough reason to
knock it once or twice. But that hardly
constitutes "much".

> but don't seem to understand that they are,
> by far, the largest and fastest growing ISP in the
> world.

AOL isn't an ISP. They don't even claim to be.
But they are a haven for weeny washers like you
from which to hurl insults and epithets. Kind
of like a Mommy's skirts, in a manner of speaking.

> Actually, you knock AOL because

....because vermin like you are on it and use
it for abuse and clandestine operations.

> I've read some of your assinine suggestions

.....and interpreted them with your cavernous poopy.

> I won't even waste my time listening to you
> on this subject anymore.

Good. Now go back and whine to your Mommy for how
you were so mistreated and misunderstood.

> Once again you resort to personal attacks

YOU opened up the personal attacks, wingnut.

> when you can't face reality.

Your brand of "reality" is flushed down my toilet, Zit.
Amazing the double-standard you play, harelip.

Now, do you prefer BVD's or Fruits of the Loom?

4260. Re: what this crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359c2596.152542478@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154642

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

monkey4152@aol.com (Monkey4152) wrote:

> what this crap is?

What "crap" is that of which you speak?

Mackey? Mackey and "crap" are synonymous.
Same thing.
```

4261. Re: SA Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6nb9ktcu1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 154643
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Dang, I thought it was San Antonio.
Ken Harvey wrote in message <35982333.AB5947E@dsto.defence.gov.au>...
Should be http://dove.net.au/~mb/scan/scanner.htm
SA is South Australia, BTW. Not South Africa.
Ken
Matthew Bonser wrote:

4262. Re: *FS BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6nb9pk$c97@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154644

On Tue, 30 Jun 1998 06:38:39 GMT, kc6sde@pipeline.com (Stephen Wharton) wrote:

>BC200xlt 200 ch scanner
>cellular capable, nicad pack
>price includes insured shipping
>$200.00
>Steve
>kc6sde@pipeline.com

He He He He He He Ha Ha ha Ha H a Ha ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HeHe He He He Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha h a uhhhh uhh uhh uhhh ha ha aha ha heeee heeeeeee Har Har He Ha Har Har.....!!!!!You have got to be kidding!!!!

4263. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35994D21.F7BCE8C6@swbell.net>
Source record: 154645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And why are you still using paranet as your from address, it is a forged header .

4264. PCR-1000 radio concern & solution.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John McDermon <mcdermon@usa.net>
Message-ID: <xlam1.4047$P06.653263@pm01nn>
Source record: 154646

A little background first.

This is addressed to one and all who share concern about the easily corrupted EEPROM settings in otherwise fine radio - the PCR-1000. Icom says that you must use ONLY their supplied software, and never any third party or self-written software. (NOTE that they say this AFTER you buy one - their web site contains numerous links to third party software vendor sites - implying that they condone support for their radios. Certainly untrue in the case of the PCR-1000.) They take the position that the EEPROM data can ONLY be corrupted by using software written using "unofficial command protocols" that are widely available on the internet or via reverse-engineering techniques. I doubt their claim is really true, but it is their standard response. There is no data comm handshaking (hardware OR software) going on between the radio and the computer, and it seems plausible (even likely) to me that even a temporary mismatch in baud rate between the radio and computer (such as during a reboot or power/down/up of the radio itself) could send enough "randomly interpreted" commands to possibly corrupt things. I don't know what really corrupted mine. But what I do know is that Icom says they are considering treating future EEPROM corruption in this radio the same as a "hardware modification", thus voiding the warranty on the hardware. YES! If you use software outside the scope of their supplied software, they say that is equivalent to doing a hardware mod! As the tech indicated he took 4 hours to realign mine, I don't look foward to having to pay to get it done again. But if I use the radio, it seems plausible that I might be faced with this. So far since the radio came back from being serviced, I've only turned it on briefly to verify they had fixed the problems.

I bought my PCR-1000 radio early this year thinking I was investing in a quality radio that would satisfy my desire to write some custom radio control software. It was after all, advertised as a totally "computer controlled radio". I had steered clear of the Winradio simply because I didn't want an ISA card inside my computer - requiring me to always keep an ISA computer bus around just for the radio, AND I wanted it more portable than that. I was half right in my choice of radio. It IS a quality piece of hardware. BUT it does suffer an obvious DESIGN FLAW that allows all too easy corruption of the EEPROM data that contains the radio alignment settings. Mine became corrupted after a couple of months of light use - due to who knows what. I did experiment with two third party software packages - both of which are said to be in use by numerous other users without such problems. So who knows what caused the corruption? This remains a mystery.

What I've requested Icom to do is provide a software utility to BACKUP the EEPROM data to a disk file, and a similar utility to RESTORE the disk file to the onboard EEPROM when needed. We are only talking 128 bytes of data here. This would allow the owner to at least safeguard his investment to a reasonable degree. And it seems a far better solution than expecting Icom to recall all these radios to install a "write protect" switch option that they should have had in the first place. So far, Icom seems reluctant to say anything about this proposal. The ONLY response I have received was something along the lines of (I paraphrase here), We are unaware of the need for such a utility. Most of our customers are completely satisfied with the provided software.

I won't at this time belittle their provided software. Suffice it to say that it could be improved upon greatly - and indeed would be if they would release the control protocol documentation. But let's not quibble over their right to be stubborn about this issue.

The real issue for me at this juncture is that they HAVE NOT and seemingly WILL NOT provide ANY MEANS for me to safeguard the EEPROM contents of my radio. This leaves me owning a radio which I CANNOT WRITE SOFTWARE for and cannot even use the third party software I have purchased specifically for this radio. I'm a little PO'd about it all and so far the only thing that seems to ever get Icom's attention is to publicize their policies. Its a curiousity that they are so sensitive about their none support of this radio that these postings do sometimes elicit a response from someone at Icom. I plan to ramain a thorn in their side on this usenet group and the ICOM.qth.net and PCR-1000.qth.net mail lists until I grow too old to care or they come forward and resolve this issue satisfactory.

I'm not talking about then doing anything more than posting a suitable BACKUP/RESTORE utility on their web site - along side their downloadable version 1.3 software (which BTW is a version older than what is shipping with the radios now.)

Any help in identifying someone at Icom who might care would be appreciated. An email address would be nice... I promise to remain civil - yet persistent...

John

4265. Re: Slurry bomber freqs ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Fisher <jfisher1@home.com>
Message-ID: <35993392.63F0475@home.com>
Source record: 154647

Off the top of my head, 170.000 mhz is the national Air-to-ground tac
net. Other than that, the lead planes and air attack usually
communicate on a AM air freq.....

DXer wrote:
>
> Does anyone know what the frequencies are for the slurry bombers used in
> fighting forest fires. Would they be the same everywhere or different for each
> state.
> I am interested in the freqs used in the forest fires in Colorado and the
> planes fighthing those fires.
>
> Thank you
> DXER@aol.com

--
John Fisher
Poway, CA
ICQ 5265372
http://members.home.net/jfisher1/
Only the mediocre are always at their best!

4266. Re: CDMA digital cellular phone scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Legion_IV <tully.s@SPAM-DEATH-worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6nbc7b$g02@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154648

Hi--
It's extremely unlikely that you'll ever be able to monitor that type of service. They use digitally synthesized sub-carriers with individual keys for each mobile unit. As a practical matter, that means a pretty strongly encrypted signal at around 2 GHz. If you get a different, more optimistic, answer from anyone else let me know.
--Legion

cheerful@dava.kepco.co.kr wrote in message
<3598967b.6379391@news.nuri.net>...
>Hi,
>
>Is there anyone who knows how to scan CDMA digital cellphone or PCS by
>a AR8000 scanner(unblocked) and pentium2 PC with a soundcard ?
>

4267. Re: LIVE NOAA WEATHER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <Funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6nbd4c$6j2$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 154649

I have this too, I just hit WX on my scanner.
>
>

4268. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda45d$da30ffc0$451b37a6@default>
Source record: 154650

I was simply showing how stupid his attempts to post on topic were. You
sir, need to learn to read things in the light they were ment.

Thanks

Mike
--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

Doktor Tripp <Gur_Znthf@gurcragntba.pbz> wrote in article
<3598f142.4031927@news.alt.net>...
> On Tue, 30 Jun 1998 07:08:04 GMT, "Mike Derryberry"
> <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> pedantically proclaimed in the
> following unperspicuous peroration to all:
>
> >Those "questions" are a pretty sorry way to say you posting on topic.
> >
> >Now to stay on topic, does anyone know how to modify a Pro-508 to
receive
> >satellite TV.
> >
> >About as stupid as your attempts to stay on topic.
> >
> >Wake-up and smell something ... anything
> >
> >Mike
>
> Talk about calling the kettle black <shaking my head in disbelief>
>
>
> DT
>

4269. BC895/MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ag6d@garlic.com
Message-ID: <6nbekg$g1k$1@bulb.garlic.com>
Source record: 154651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Been trying to get my 895 working with MDT without success. Mostly I get garbage on the computer screen with an occasional plain language message. Below are listed the pertinent facts:

1: Computer 386 40 Mhz with 4 external comm ports. 2 with 8250 UART, and 2 with 16550 UART.

2. Interface is the "standard" Hamcomm circuit using a 741 device and configured with pin 3 grounded, 100k feedback resistor (pin 3-6).

3. Have tried Com1/Com2 which use the 8250 and Com3 which uses the 16550. Com4 is tied up with an external modem.

4. Have tried CTS and DSR outputs on the interface.

5. Using the discriminator output of the 895 (Pin 9 of the MC3371). Have used both a 2.2 uf tant in series with the output jack and a direct wire connection.

6. Used both MDTSTUFF and MDTW1234 software in various configurations. I.e. various com ports, normal/inverted etc.

7. The signals are fairly strong (2 bars on the 895 S-meter) and I am quite certain they are mdt 4800 baud, since I do occasionally get some clear copy.

8. Tried 2 different 741 devices.

Ideas? Help?
Thanks....Al

4270. Re: MonitoringCompMonitors B.Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbake777@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063019423300.PAA17893@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154652

Regarding computer monitoring:

It is generally known as "passive data eavesdropping" or in the electronic world as "Van Eck freaking". This information is given in more detail in a book published by ISECO, Inc. and written by Lee Lapin (How to get anything on anybody).....btw, Bill Cheek was a contributor to the book

4271. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35a63fd4.69958160@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154653

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 02:42:58 GMT, "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF"
<jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:

>Doktor Tripp wrote:
>> Damn Mackey,, trying to get around my kill filter ? What a loser you
>> are to change posting names just to avoid being filtered.
>
>WRONG! I am attempting to prevent receiving advertising SPAM!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHA,
I'll bet...

4272. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35a73fff.70000871@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154654

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 02:44:01 GMT, "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF"
<jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:

>dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>> Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.
>
>That is NOT very easly or simple to do!

For some one like with you with a 20 meg h/d maybe...hahaha

4273. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35a8435b.70861149@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 05:22:56 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
wrote:

>This is the launch of the hot new
>riddle of the month! This month's
>riddle is really tough, so check it out:
>
>What do Mackey and a $3 bill have in common?

They are both off-topic?

Now, what's my prize? :)

4274. Re: CDMA digital cellular phone scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <359a4542.3168992@news.erols.com>
Source record: 154656

On Tue, 30 Jun 1998 13:50:18 -0500, "Legion_IV"
<tully.s@SPAM-DEATH-worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Hi--
> It's extremely unlikely that you'll ever be able to monitor that type of
>service. They use digitally synthesized sub-carriers with individual keys
>for each mobile unit. As a practical matter, that means a pretty strongly
>encrypted signal at around 2 GHz. If you get a different, more optimistic,
>answer from anyone else let me know.

>
>cheerful@dava.kepco.co.kr wrote in message
><3598967b.6379391@news.nuri.net>...
>>Hi,
>>
>>Is there anyone who knows how to scan CDMA digital cellphone or PCS by
>>a AR8000 scanner(unblocked) and pentium2 PC with a soundcard ?
>>
>

Maybe not today. However, I recently received an advertisement from
Hewlett Packard for a CDMA test set. It generates all the standard
CDMA signals which one can use to repair CDMA phones.

Yes, this test set is in the tens of kilobucks range. However, it is
also the first generation. Anyone who cares to learn about Walsh
codes could probably attempt generating these codes too. Ultimately,
there is a standard for receiving and allocating CDMA channels. The
standard is published. It is in the public domain. How long before
some group of tekkies decide to take on the challenge?

Never is a very long time...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4275. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@aol.com
Message-ID: <359e3ab9.15897370@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 154657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

>nomail@aol.com (James Donlan) wrote:
>
>> stuart upton wrote:
>>
>> >x-no-archive: yes In article <359a6d73.105390952@news2.cts.com>,
>> >bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>> >
>> >> How easy to spew from the safety and comfort
>> >> of your keyboard, huh?
>> >
>> >What an incredibly illogical statement. Where do you 'spew' from, Cheek?
>> >On a podium at Super Bowl half-time?
>>
>> No. He's a big man with EEEEEEEE shoes. Remember?
>
>And they fit quite comfortably up your
>cavernous patooty, too, huh?

Whatever you say, old man. Whatever you say. *yawn*

As long as it keeps you regular, congrats!

4276. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@aol.com
Message-ID: <359f3afb.15963578@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 154658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 30 Jun 1998 17:48:17 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>nomail@aol.com (James Donlan) wrote:
>
>> ou were out to deceive and you
>> lied your ass of to do it.
>
>Nope. Not even close. But would you like
>to eat my shorts? Will BVD's do? Or do you
>prefer Fruits of the Loom?

Lying again, I see. You put on the big lie act only after people pointed out
the fact that winradiosales@whatever.stupid.com was posting from san.rr.com

Deny it all you want. It doesn't mean squat. The facts speak for themselves.
You lied and you were caught. You are now in denial and always will be.
Simple. So simple, in fact, that even YOU should understand.

>> I predict you are about to do it again to try and
>> save face with that stupid stunt you pulled.
>
>You lose. But what say we get back to my
>24/7/365 connection to the Internet at 10 Mbps.

Sure.....

>You seem desperate to gloss that one over.

Desperate? I don't think so. Sorry, I got side tracked trying to figure out if
you were on one of your bullshit runs again. I still don't know.

>So let's get back to your wallet and how much of
>it says I'm lying? Eh?

I'll say it again for you. I'll even type slow so you can read it.

"I don't make bets with habitual LIARS."

That ain't changin', old man. Get used to it.

>> Are you making threats, old man ? I hope so.
>
>Nope. Just inviting you to observe the mistletoe
>attached to my shirttail.

Nice of you to back down on that threat. Smart move.

>> No facts to back up your drivel, I see. Just make
>> personal attacks when you can't face the music. I understand.
>
>Get out your wallet, turdbreath. Let's get right
>down to the nitty gritty.

Not with a liar. Sorry.

>> Sorry. I don't make bets with habitual LIARS.
>
>Ummmm hummmm. Nice easy 3rd-grader out, zitface.

Typical personal attack from someone who has been proven to be a liar and can't
deal with it.

>> >Have you ever considered HIRING someone to do your
>> >thinking for you? You might fare better, you
>> >AOL dungbag.
>>
>> What's wrong, billie.
>
>Your thinking. I thought I made it clear
>where you were wrong. Something wrong with
>the vacuum betwixt your ears Jamie-baby?
>

Just snip my comments again, old man. You're looking more and more like a fool
ever minute! Keep up the great work!

>> You knock AOL so much
>
>So much? Much? How? The fact that you
>are an AOL'er is plenty enough reason to
>knock it once or twice. But that hardly
>constitutes "much".

Once again, all relevance removed to suit your inabilities to deal with the hard
cold fact that you are a goddamn LIAR !

You haven't even tried to refute that fact with "facts" of your own. Guess
there aren't any.

>> but don't seem to understand that they are,
>> by far, the largest and fastest growing ISP in the
>> world.
>
>AOL isn't an ISP. They don't even claim to be.

Uh, yea they are, moron. I'm not even going to debate that assinine comment
with you any farther. You've demonstrated your putur knowledge 100% with that
stupid statement.

[meaningless bullshit snipped]

billie - you are still pathetic and a liar. You can't face the fact that you were caught in a lie and a rather deceptive one at that.

All you can do is twist words and play games like a little child. Too bad you still don't have the sack to deal with it like a man.

Have a good one, yo-yo.

4277. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@aol.com
Message-ID: <35a03de4.16709065@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 154659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 30 Jun 1998 18:11:51 GMT, supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

>x-no-archive: yes In article <359a20f8.151359687@news2.cts.com>,
>bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
>> <giggling and tittering>
>
>Cheek, don't embarrass yourself. Little girls giggle and titter.

....your point being.....what? Guess the facts speak for themselves, now, don't they.....

4278. Re: Hey Smacksie! You owe me now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@aol.com
Message-ID: <35a13e18.16761301@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 154660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:

>nomail@aol.com (James Donlan) wrote:
>
>> And some people say you weren't instigating. Gee.
>> I wonder where they got that idea?
>
>Are you an idiot or what?

Nope. No instigation meant there at all, right billie?

>Can't you keep up with the flow over the last three years here?

Sure I can. You and Mackey act like 2 year olds in a sandbox. You fight and piss over who's throwing the most sand at each other. Mental Midget Mackey has always been the one to tire first and ignore you in the end of each argument.

You get bored, in your old age, and play on his mental instabilities by calling him names or starting up pre-pubescent threads about him.

What's not to get ?

4279. Re: PCR-1000 radio concern & solution.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Knut Otterbeck <otterbec@online.no>
Message-ID: <35994E30.27841CD3@online.no>
Source record: 154661

John,

Thanks alot your to-the-point report and thoughts on the
PCR-1000 v/s the untimely defuncts of the EEPROM ..

Used to loud-mouth on the nature of this setup, and guess
what!? I am no longer a list-member of the PCR-1000 list !

Maybe just a coincidence ,but I don't feel there is much
more to be done here before ICOM starts _listening_ to
the all-important customers! A fast buck is what they're
after ,and it will start backfiring something terrible at
their goodselves if they don't stop and listen to you!

Love to see that you don't waste your talent ,John ,like
some of our friends in this newsgroup has taken to lately :)

Best Regards,
Knut Otterbeck
Oslo - Norway

John McDermon wrote:
>
> A little background first.
>
> This is addressed to one and all who share concern about the easily
> corrupted EEPROM settings in otherwise fine radio - the PCR-1000.
> Icom says that you must use ONLY their supplied software, and never
> any third party or self-written software. (NOTE that they say this
> AFTER you buy one - their web site contains numerous links to third
> party software vendor sites - implying that they condone support for
> their radios. Certainly untrue in the case of the PCR-1000.) They
> take the position that the EEPROM data can ONLY be corrupted by using
> software written using "unofficial command protocols" that are widely
> available on the internet or via reverse-engineering techniques. I
> doubt their claim is really true, but it is their standard response.
> There is no data comm handshaking (hardware OR software) going on
> between the radio and the computer, and it seems plausible (even
> likely) to me that even a temporary mismatch in baud rate between the
> radio and computer (such as during a reboot or power/down/up of the
> radio itself) could send enough "randomly interpreted" commands to
> possibly corrupt things. I don't know what really corrupted mine.
> But what I do know is that Icom says they are considering treating
> future EEPROM corruption in this radio the same as a "hardware
> modification", thus voiding the warranty on the hardware. YES! If
> you use software outside the scope of their supplied software, they

> say that is equivalent to doing a hardware mod! As the tech indicated
> he took 4 hours to realign mine, I don't look foward to having to pay
> to get it done again. But if I use the radio, it seems plausible that
> I might be faced with this. So far since the radio came back from
> being serviced, I've only turned it on briefly to verify they had
> fixed the problems.
>
> I bought my PCR-1000 radio early this year thinking I was investing in
> a quality radio that would satisfy my desire to write some custom
> radio control software. It was after all, advertised as a totally
> "computer controlled radio". I had steered clear of the Winradio
> simply because I didn't want an ISA card inside my computer -
> requiring me to always keep an ISA computer bus around just for the
> radio, AND I wanted it more portable than that. I was half right in
> my choice of radio. It IS a quality piece of hardware. BUT it does
> suffer an obvious DESIGN FLAW that allows all too easy corruption of
> the EEPROM data that contains the radio alignment settings. Mine
> became corrupted after a couple of months of light use - due to who
> knows what. I did experiment with two third party software packages -
> both of which are said to be in use by numerous other users without
> such problems. So who knows what caused the corruption? This remains
> a mystery.
>
> What I've requested Icom to do is provide a software utility to BACKUP
> the EEPROM data to a disk file, and a similar utility to RESTORE the
> disk file to the onboard EEPROM when needed. We are only talking 128
> bytes of data here. This would allow the owner to at least safeguard
> his investment to a reasonable degree. And it seems a far better
> solution than expecting Icom to recall all these radios to install a
> "write protect" switch option that they should have had in the first
> place. So far, Icom seems reluctant to say anything about this
> proposal. The ONLY response I have received was something along the
> lines of (I paraphrase here), We are unaware of the need for such a
> utility. Most of our customers are completely satisfied with the
> provided software.
>
> I won't at this time belittle their provided software. Suffice it to
> say that it could be improved upon greatly - and indeed would be if
> they would release the control protocol documentation. But let's not
> quibble over their right to be stubborn about this issue.
>
> The real issue for me at this juncture is that they HAVE NOT and
> seemingly WILL NOT provide ANY MEANS for me to safeguard the EEPROM
> contents of my radio. This leaves me owning a radio which I CANNOT
> WRITE SOFTWARE for and cannot even use the third party software I have
> purchased specifically for this radio. I'm a little PO'd about it all
> and so far the only thing that seems to ever get Icom's attention is
> to publicize their policies. Its a curiousity that they are so
> sensitive about their none support of this radio that these postings
> do sometimes elicit a response from someone at Icom. I plan to ramain

> a thorn in their side on this usenet group and the ICOM.qth.net and
> PCR-1000.qth.net mail lists until I grow too old to care or they come
> forward and resolve this issue satisfactory.
>
> I'm not talking about then doing anything more than posting a suitable
> BACKUP/RESTORE utility on their web site - along side their
> downloadable version 1.3 software (which BTW is a version older than
> what is shipping with the radios now.)
>
> Any help in identifying someone at Icom who might care would be
> appreciated. An email address would be nice... I promise to remain
> civil - yet persistent...
>
> John

4280. Re: Mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: musicman@showme.net
Message-ID: <35994D72.333@showme.net>
Source record: 154662

Curlinob wrote:
>
> >I would like to put a scanner in my car and am looking for a relatively
> >cheap (20-30 bucks) but decent antenna. After searching on the internet,
> >the only ones that I have found are:
> >
> >a) Scanner World's SCAN1-MOT
> >b) Scanner World's SCAN11-MOT
> >c) Hustler Mobile
> >
> >Can anyone recommend which one of these might be the best one for me? or
> >for that matter any other one?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> I have tried different antennas and found that the hustler mobile worked the
> best for me. I bought and permanently installed it on my car five years ago,
> and it is still going strong.

I have a Radio Shack Glass Mount Antenna on my car. It looks like a
cellular antenna and works good.

Dan

4281. My bad behavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John.Mackey.KA0SSF" <jmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <35994DEF.2F59@parg.org>
Source record: 154663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Look everyone, I'm really sorry for everything I've done and said in the past three years on these newsgroups. The problem's originally started when I was unable to perform in bed with my many gay lovers. You can imagine how frustrated I must have become, when everyone in town was pumping my ass full of cum and I could not recropicate, so I just channeled my anger to the newsgroup and Bill Cheek in particular..since after seeing his picture on a book jacket I was smitten with the love bug.

Times have changed now, I just got a perscription for viagra and am in heaven now! I'm tearing up assholes in every public restroom in the Portland area!! This will leave me with very little time for the group, Anyone who wishes to continue talking with me can e-mail me or post a note to alt.homosexual.restroom.sex and I'll get back to you soon.

bye-bye for now, talk to you later!! John Mackey

4282. Re: Secret CB - complete set

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: MICHALE EDMOUNDS <WILDCATRADIOS@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6nbkti$cre@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

can you send them to me c.o.d. reply to wildcat170@juno.com

John Mcleod <jmcleod@pacific.net> wrote in article
<3597FE2A.9F2660DE@pacific.net>...
> I have the complete collection of "Secret cb" books vols 1 thru 29,
> modifications, extra channels, power mods, the works. The classic
> collection ! Excellent condition.
>
> $80 + shipping
>
> John
>
>
>

4283. Re: Cordless Phone Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: aporrettcutthispart@interlog.com
Message-ID: <35996e3e.56957@news>
Source record: 154665

Howard <Howard*V@Bigfoot.com> wrote:

>Thanks for the answers. However, when I had damaged my handset for
>my cordless phone, Sony told me that I had to purchase a new phone
>with the base. They told me a replacement handset would not work
>with my base, but the salesperson couldn't give me a reason why.
>
>If they are all on the same frequency, why wouldn't another Sony's
>handset work with my base?

Most cordless handsets and bases perform a digital handshake when
establishing a connection. This stops your handset from ringing
when a nearby base on the same frequency has an incoming call.
It also prevents unauthorized outgoing calls on your line.

If this ID has been hardwired into your equipment at the factory,
then yes, you'll need to replace both halves.

...Andy

*** Remove anti-spam text to reply directly ***

4284. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <359a44f2.6198865@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 154666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) wrote:

>This is the launch of the hot new
>riddle of the month! This month's
>riddle is really tough, so check it out:
>
>What do Mackey and a $3 bill have in common?

They're both worth more than a two-bit Bill.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

4285. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <35988725.5FC7@usa.net>
Source record: 154667
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

> There you go again Mackey , Lying and saying things that are not true,

What did I say that was not true?

> your
> constant flaming and personal vendetta against Bill.

I have NO vendetta against Bill other than a wish that he
would stop making trouble on the NG! Bill has been offered many
different truce options yet he refuses all of them.

One only need to read the NG of rec.radio.scanner to see the
flames Bill Cheek sends & problems he causes!

4286. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <35988891.50E8@usa.net>
Source record: 154668
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

Richard Kendrick wrote:
> I, too, have been here since the beginning. I also have a very

Yes you, Mr. Kendrick, certainly have been here since ALMOST the beginning. I have a complete archive of all the trouble you cause by your inciteful posts & stories you make up. You are one of the people who teams up with Cheek to cause problems on the newsgroup!

4287. Re: Positioning of RS antenna # 20-014

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <359d74ae.231125641@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 154669

On 27 Jun 1998 20:46:55 GMT, chuckiex@ibm.net (Charles McCallister)
wrote:

>Neutrodyne,
>
>ï¿½ Charles, I know exactly what you mean. I had one above the TV antenna and rotor
>ï¿½ & did some checking on this. Not a LOT of effect on high band, but the shadowed
>
>I think I've asked this question before but don't remember the answer
>or didn't understand enough of the answer to have the "light" go on.
><g>
>
>Anyway, there is an old TV antenna just below my new All-Band 20-014.
>It isn't hooked up at all and just resides there. I was wondering if I
>should go ahead and remove it completely, or what?
>
>ï¿½ direction (looking through the center low band element) was a bit weaker. More
>ï¿½ effect was obvious on UHF, about a 25% range reduction in the worst (shadowed)
>ï¿½ direction. Of course the low band was truly omnidirectional. Aim those elements
>ï¿½ accordingly.
>
>"Shadowed" direction? Which direction is that, vertical or horizontal?
>Most of my listening is UHF 460MHz range.
>
>ï¿½ If it's any consolation, even the worst directions are still a bunch
>ï¿½ stronger than the RS discone I tried on the same pole!
>
>That's what it appears but it'll take me a while to know for sure.
>
>Thanks for the post!
>
>Charles
>
Charles- I will post my results once I get mine up in the air, right
now its on a test jig out in the yard about 2 feet off the ground, it
is scheduled to go up in a tree about 30 feet or so. so far where it
is now, it appears deaf on VHF-LOW compared to my CB antenna, but then
again, the CB antenna is 35 feet above ground at its feedpoint.

-Mike

4288. Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3598850B.4D4E@usa.net>
Source record: 154670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> John you just proved that your forger by your own admission , how stupid
> can you get ?

Yea right, I forged MY OWN MESSAGE!

talk about stupid! Give it a break!

4289. Re: Hey Smacksie! You owe me now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3598859E.2F8@usa.net>
Source record: 154671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Dang me! They oughta take a rope and hang me.....

Bill, for once you & I agree!

4290. *FS BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc6sde@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <359887b2.949297190@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 154672

```
BC200xlt 200 ch scanner
cellular capable, nicad pack
price includes insured shipping
$200.00
Steve
kc6sde@pipeline.com
```

4291. *FS 1000 ch scanner $225.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc6sde@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <359887f1.949360227@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 154673

```
RS PRO 2035 1000 ch scanner
no cell mods
$225.00
Price includes insured shipping
steve
kc6sde@pipeline.com
```

4292. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda3f5$270b2a00$d51537a6@default>
Source record: 154674

And you have the balls to complain about my typing/spelling. People who live in glass houses shouldn't throw stones. (2 mistakes in one post ... my, my)

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article <359856E9.574C@usa.net>...
>
> because I do not proctice to committ such acts. I do find it pecular
> that you know exactly the stpes to do this!
>

4293. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda3f4$80cce020$d51537a6@default>
Source record: 154675

John, Bill has offered several proposals for a truce, the entire newsgroup read them, and you wouldn't accept them. You had to modify them so you could look good in refusing his offers.

Just accept one of his proposals and SHUT-UP so the rest of us can enjoy the newsgroup again. With you quiet and not bothering everyone here things just wouldn't be the same, but I'm sure we would get used to the peace and quiet, very quickly.

So just to re-cap (since you are a little slow sometimes) accept one of Bill's proposals and SHUT-UP !!!!!

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<3598563C.1A91@usa.net>...
> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Tell him to accept my proposal and then see
> > what happens.
>
> Bill I have asked you accept several proposals of
> truce & you simple continue the flames with flimsy
> excuses for why you can't agree to the truce.
>
>
>

4294. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda3f5$74a30e40$d51537a6@default>
Source record: 154676

Not everyone would know that John. There are new people here everyday just getting there feet wet. Not everyone is a know-it-all like you (think you are)

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article <35985728.2654@usa.net>...
> Derek Yerger wrote:
> > yea, where is the "to reply, remove the 'x' in my email address?" Dont
>
> I haven't bothered to put it in because anyone who knows the internet
> would know to remove the "x" without needing to be told.
>
>

4295. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda3f5$8fb59900$d51537a6@default>
Source record: 154677

Your alter ego, you dummy ...

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<3598595B.360@usa.net>...
> K4CC wrote:
> > Hi, John. How's Clarence?
>
> Clarence who?
>

4296. Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda3f6$524e7e00$d51537a6@default>
Source record: 154678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Sure John, we beleive you ... HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Mike

Still laughing HAHAHAHAHAHAHA

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<359860DA.6E1D@usa.net>...
> George Vetterle wrote:
> > Posted by John Mackey to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet (nanau) via MCI
> > and inserted into open server news.campbellhall.org (same server used
> > for recent forges and rogue cancels).
>
> that's right George!
>
> I heard everyone talking about this server being open, so I tried
> it myself & on a friend's ISP. Worked for both! So it is indeed
> wide open! (Infact, I am posting from it right now!)
>
>
> However, I did not make any forgeries or rogue cancels from
> that, or any other, server!
>

4297. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com>
Message-ID: <01bda3f6$0b977700$d51537a6@default>
Source record: 154679

Those "questions" are a pretty sorry way to say you posting on topic.

Now to stay on topic, does anyone know how to modify a Pro-508 to receive
satellite TV.

About as stupid as your attempts to stay on topic.

Wake-up and smell something ... anything

Mike

--
Happy Scanning ... Don't let the government take our scanners !!!

michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in article
<35985B08.13FF@usa.net>...
> Derek Yerger wrote:
> > everyone should realize- mackey never posts scanner-related things.
>
> Then you don't read many of the posts here! Even George Vetterle
> will admit that I do post on-topic!
>

4298. Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35987ed2.8058376@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 20:51:55 -0700, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>George Vetterle wrote:
>> Posted by John Mackey to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet (nanau) via MCI
>> and inserted into open server news.campbellhall.org (same server used
>> for recent forges and rogue cancels).
>
>that's right George!
>
>I heard everyone talking about this server being open, so I tried
>it myself & on a friend's ISP. Worked for both! So it is indeed
>wide open! (Infact, I am posting from it right now!)
>
>
>However, I did not make any forgeries or rogue cancels from
>that, or any other, server!

You conveniently ignored my question regarding your alleged status
as a system administrator. How about it? Are you or are you *not*, a
system administrator and if so, what site? I really think you should
clear this up.

4299. CDMA digital cellular phone scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cheerful@dava.kepco.co.kr
Message-ID: <3598967b.6379391@news.nuri.net>
Source record: 154681

Hi,

Is there anyone who knows how to scan CDMA digital cellphone or PCS by
a AR8000 scanner(unblocked) and pentium2 PC with a soundcard ?

4300. CDMA digital cellular phone scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cheerful@dava.kepco.co.kr
Message-ID: <35989502.6002253@news.nuri.net>
Source record: 154682

Hi,

I have a AR8000 scanner (non-blocked) and a pentium2 PC with soundcard. Is there anyone who know how to scan digital CDMA cellphones or PCS with a scanner and PC ?

4301. Help with 205XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: news wserv <kidkane@wserv.com>
Message-ID: <35989a74.0@news.dca.net>
Source record: 154683

I'm buying a 205XLT. I am told this is a good scanner to mod for cell. Is this an easy mod? New to scanning...any help apreciated!!!!

4302. Re: SA Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Harvey <ken.harvey@dsto.defence.gov.au>
Message-ID: <35982333.AB5947E@dsto.defence.gov.au>
Source record: 154684
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Should be http://dove.net.au/~mb/scan/scanner.htm
SA is South Australia, BTW. Not South Africa.
Ken
Matthew Bonser wrote:

> Anyone interested in frequencies and information from SA should check
> out http://dove.net.au/~mb/scan/scanner.html you will find
> information on freqs, callsigns, codes, and details on how to decode
> pager messages.

4303. Re: Help on Motorola Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: billn@peak.org
Message-ID: <6na67i$nr4$5@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 154685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Blake Bowers (bbowers@townsqr.com) wrote:
:
: >Terry, I wounder if you can help me in programming an MTX8000. I have tried
: >to get this radio to work on a type II system but it will not transmit. The
: >radio tries to access the control channel, I can see it transmitting on the
: >input to the control channel, but all I get is a steady tone out of the
: >speaker. I can transmit on a type I system OK. I have the correct connect
: >tone, system id and system key. What could I be doing wrong?
: >
: >If you respond via E-Mail send to 7371774@mcimail.com.

: More than likely, you are trying to access a system that has the SAC
: enabled in the central controller. If the SAC sees a radio ID that it
: does not have in its database, it will not recognize that radio, not
: allowing it access.

Either that, or he does not have the correct talkgroup IDs installed.

: I run my 800 sites with SAC enabled, too many people out there with
: system key generators.

Yep. I use explicit authorize, as well. So far, it has kept the riff-raff
off my 900 MHz system.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4304. IC-Q7 earphone problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: IW2KWC <iw2kwc@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <35989F9C.6B433C14@geocities.com>
Source record: 154686

I tried to connect a stereo Headphone (walkman type) via a stereo/mono
adapter and via the adapter that doubles the jacks from the one built in

the IC-Q7 but the audio level is low even with the volume control on the

max.
Is there someone who got the same problem? How did he solve it?
Thank you
73 de Claudio IW2KWC

4305. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jwschn@ntr.net>
Message-ID: <3598B5E2.996B266E@ntr.net>
Source record: 154687

This is for a CT500
Power on phone
While holding 'FCN' key enter 904059
Display will show "TEST INPUT"
Hit 'SEND'
Display will show "0400" lower right corner.
Thats the channel number
Use 'up/down' arrows to move between chnls or enter manually(hit '#'
after entering manually.
Get the program "CM" for finding your channel/freq relationships.
I've got that program as a FTP on my web pages(look around yule find it)

http://www.ntr.net/~jwschn/index.html

Michael May wrote:

> The GE cell phones have a service mode whereby you can press a sequence
> of keys and the radio goes into a manual service mode. You need to press
> a second key to manually step up to the next channel increment. I don't
> remember the exact key sequence; however, it should be known by any
> Authorized Ge service center.
>
> Mike

4306. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Redeye <stoked@toke.com>
Message-ID: <3598be61.0@news.one.net>
Source record: 154688

Doesn't matter who wrote this because it applies equally to anyone on this thread...
>
>This to let you know that John Mackey is telling you a tall tale when he
>states Bill Cheek is the flamer of the newsgroup, John has been flaming
>the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup for three years now.
>What is going is a personal vendetta by John Mackey against Bill Cheek ,
>this all started when John Mackey was kicked off a fido net scanner
>group.

Who cares ?! <rhetorical>

This is how I see it. People on the pre-commercial Internet saw the lackwit mentality of local BBS's (probably you) that propagated onto fido net during that period and cringed, knowing that Usenet would eventually become just like it. Cheek, Mackey, whoever, (probably you): all equally lame. No problem that the content exists, but it's just the ... same ... drooling ... nitwit ... stuff ... over ... and ... over ... again. _Exactly_ like a room of two year olds who think the same joke is funny after saying it a hundred times.

You idiots that keep it going, you could at least keep it fresh. Funny that you blame it on one person, no matter how much of a broke dick he may be. One monkey throws his shit... the rest of the monkeys gotta throw their shit too.

On a 2m repeater, there is one sure-fire way to get a jammer to stop, ignore him. It works within ten minutes 99% of the time. You are dealing with a child-like mentality and the psyche is not that difficult to figure out. Same for the Usenet flamefucks who have no life of which to speak (probably you). I would never beg for a freeze-flame like the poor naive people who think this is an actual, human situation that should (or could) be resolved. That's fine if this is really how you want to spend your time, but there was another post somewhere around here that mentioned that it was all so funny. I thought so too for the first eleven or thirteen posts. But there's not much that's real clever. Same shit, over and over and over. It's incredible, most of you people just aren't that bright. Even the engineer who can make a Pro 2004 wipe his ass, but can't seem to build a web site that even fucking Creskin could find anything in very quickly ... just not real bright that I can tell. (read: people who can only do one or two things very well because connecting abstract concepts is something that generally eludes them)

I'm not complaining, just trying to present the situation a little more accurately than anyone admits to. Carry on, dipshits.

Love,

Cap'n Redeye

4307. Free: Custom Made Radio Desk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: aweiss@csdi.qualcomm.com
Message-ID: <6nalfujnj1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I'm very sorry for the wide distribution here.

My father, an avid ham listener for years, is finally moving into a condo and selling his house, at my urging. He's got this immense wooden radio desk which was custom made for him by a carpenter friend of his who was also into ham radio. It's gotta be about 20 years old, but it is still in great shape due to the heavy wood construction. It also has a locking cabinet beneath.

The condo doesn't have room for this desk, so the desk is now up for grabs. Take it off my hands and you can have it for free. I'll even help you lift it into your vehicle (which, by the way, better be a truck or van). If absolutely necessary I can take a snapshot of it and send it to you. If I get no replies it will have to go out with the trash.

We live in South Eastern Pennsylvania, about 30 minutes outside of Philadelphia. Email me with all replies, I am not a regular reader of this newsgroup!

Andy Weiss
aweiss@csdi.qualcomm.com

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4308. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <6najgj$d2h$0@207.18.224.84>
Source record: 154690
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 30 Jun 1998 06:34:49 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

>dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
>
>> There you go again Mackey , Lying and saying things that are not true,
>
>What did I say that was not true?
>
It would be a much shorter list, and easier
to track down, if we kept track of what you
say that IS true.

In fact, we would not even need a list, as
just about every word out of you is a lie.

4309. what this crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: monkey4152@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063011413800.HAA04209@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154691

what this crap is?

4310. Re: Hey Smacksie! You owe me now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: monkey4152@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980630120413 00.IAA03475@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154692
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
jabba@iaw.com (Jabba T. Hutt) wrote:
>
>> SSSSSSSSSSSSSSHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHSS Don't tell him!
>
>Dang me! They oughta take a rope and hang me.....

{rolling on the floor lauging)

hi hi! you guys are funny an im glad i found this group i can tell it is going
to be som fun!

thanks for the good times.
]

--
"don't monkey with me" get it? hi hi

4311. Slurry bomber freqs ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dxer@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063012143800.IAA04027@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154693

Does anyone know what the frequencies are for the slurry bombers used in fighting forest fires. Would they be the same everywhere or different for each state.
I am interested in the freqs used in the forest fires in Colorado and the planes fighthing those fires.

Thank you
DXER@aol.com

4312. Re: what this crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6nal1g$iuv@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154694

yes, to you, what this crap is? why you this post? you post is no necessary.
please stop.

...If you choose not to, you will just look more stupid than already
established.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

Monkey4152 wrote in message
<1998063011413800.HAA04209@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>what this crap is?

4313. Re: what this crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6nal1h$iuv@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154695

Oh, never mind, you're an AOL user. That explains everything.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

Monkey4152 wrote in message
<19980630114138OO.HAA04209@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>what this crap is?

4314. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ <jtonsa@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6nalh8$khs@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 154696

HI HI, yeah, things are not looking very good here... It *is* sad.
--
73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ - 147.21+
RySoft Corporation
http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/

Life is nasty, brutish, and short - Thomas Hobbes

Jack wrote in message <359d54b6.9869037@news.mia.bellsouth.net>...
>On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 22:37:23 -0500, "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ"
><jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>So, really, you are calling your*self* a fag? How surreal... (!)
>>--
>>73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
>>RySoft Corporation
>>http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/
>>
>>If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?
>>
>>Bill Cheek wrote in message <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>...
>>>bill cheeks is a fag
>>>
>
>
>How quickly they are corrupted on this newsgroup...sad..

4315. Re: what this crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: monkey4152@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063012294300.IAA04854@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154697

>Monkey4152 wrote in message
><1998063011413800.HAA04209@ladder01.news.aol.com>...
>>what this crap is?
>...If you choose not to, you will just look more stupid than already
>established.

i dont undrstand why you are being so mean to me i was thinking that we wer e
all having a fun time good times. an please let me no what i did to make yo
angry and i will not do it any more..

thank you !!
(is you''re name ryan? your on american online to??!)

--
"don't monkey with me" get it? hi hi

4316. Re: Bob N8MDV Where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <3598DF6C.B021EE88@mich.com>
Source record: 154698
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Charles wrote:

> Hi All,
>
> I've been looking for Bobs number and I can't find it ANYWHERE! and it
> ain't listed! I already know his callbook info. Does anyone in the
> S.E. michigan area know his whereabouts? I posted this here, cause I
> knew he was a scanner nut. Is he still alive?
>
> Where you is bob!
>
> If you know him, tell him to call me at the number listed on my
> website!
>
> (that is if he ain't on the net...)
>
> 73's
>
> N8QXP (former N8MCY)
>
> He put up my tower! and I haven't been able to find him sense!
>
> -Chuck
> ---
> Chuck's 2 Way Radio Service and CB Radio Sales
> Http://www.chucks2way.com
> MailTo:chuck@chucks2way.com
> ICQ #5769937

He's in hiding because hams all over SE Michigan hold him in great contempt for helping the much despised lid N8QXP (formerly N8MCY, but he changed his callsign because of the great & well-deserved notariety the N8MCY callsign received at ham swaps & on repeaters) improve his signal!

Face it, Chuckles -- you know why he's blowing you off.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

4317. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3598FA67.ABED570C@swbell.net>
Source record: 154699
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

John all one has to do is a search on dejanews and they can see who the
real flamer is and who is the problem on the newsgroup.
It all leads back to one person and that is John Mackey which happens to
be you.

4318. Re: RS Weather Alert Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: hmaxon@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063012463200.IAA07732@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154700

I dont know the model number but it sells for about 70 bux.

Howard

4319. [Fwd: Persistant flamer, what to do?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <3598FCE6.3046EE1B@swbell.net>
Source record: 154701

I found this post to be interesting since it fits Mackey so well.
Of course he was chaging his headers for the betterment of man kind and
the world order.

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 08:11:54 GMT, see_address@my-sig.com (rrevved)
wrote:

>On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 08:05:23 GMT, John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>
>>The Sonny Bono Internet Tribute Committee wrote:
>>> What exactly do you mean by "making bogus posts under fake IDs?" Is
>>> he forging the e-mail addresses of other posters in the group?
>>
>>Some, but primarily making new id's to use for causing trouble.
>
>1) If he uses other users' *real* email addresses in posts (forges),
>he can lose his access. The forged user should contact his provider.
>
>2) If he posts with a 'false' email address, well, that's just the
>way Usenet works.

Not exactly. Mungery can cross over into forgery if the poster
continually changes his email address to avoid filters. In extreme
cases where a forger targets an individual or group of regular posters
it can be considered cyberstalking and may be actionable.

Most providers consider this sort of activity net abuse and will
sanction the abuser. You need to establish a clear pattern of abuse
over several days or weeks to present to the abuser's ISP for action.
--
Friends are important. Having friends means you don't have
to randomly pick names out of the phone book to fill in the
"Personal References" line on the loan ap.

4320. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063013095900.JAA07506@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154702

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>, or somebody, writes:

>Clarence who?

Funny, my post was in response to a message from Stuart Upton. Wonder why Mackey responded to it???????

See ya,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4321. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19980630131001000.JAA07510@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154703

bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek) writes:

>Geez, Smacksie, play like you're a horse-turd

Not much pretending needed there, Bill.

Later,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4322. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063013100200.JAA07513@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154704

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>just rode into town:

>Bill Cheek & his gang of thugs are harrassing that entire NG & raising hell!

Quick, Mister DIllon, tell Miss Kitty to hide behind the bar and let's go give
that Cheek gang "what fer"!

Yeeeehah,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4323. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063013100300.JAA07514@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154705

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>gets confused again:

>One only need to read the NG of rec.radio.scanner to see the
>flames Bill Cheek sends & problems he causes!

Gee, John, just the other day you said I was causing all the problems on the newsgroup. Now, I've fallen out of favor. Have I offended you somehow?

Unhorsed,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4324. Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063013100300.JAA07516@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154706

geovet@ix.netcom.com (George Vetterle) writes:

>Posted by John Mackey to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet (nanau) via MCI
>and inserted into open server news.campbellhall.org (same server used
>for recent forges and rogue cancels).

Good work, George.

Regards,
Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4325. Re: Help on Motorola Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: david.murman@email.net
Message-ID: <hu5m1.1041$P06.394688@pm01nn>
Source record: 154707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6na67i$nr4$5@bashir.peak.org>, billn@peak.org (Bill Nelson) wrote:
>Blake Bowers (bbowers@townsqr.com) wrote:
>:
>: >Terry, I wounder if you can help me in programming an MTX8000. I have tried
>
>: >to get this radio to work on a type II system but it will not transmit. The
>: >radio tries to access the control channel, I can see it transmitting on the
>: >input to the control channel, but all I get is a steady tone out of the
>: >speaker. I can transmit on a type I system OK. I have the correct connect
>: >tone, system id and system key. What could I be doing wrong?
>: >
>: >If you respond via E-Mail send to 7371774@mcimail.com.
>
>: More than likely, you are trying to access a system that has the SAC
>: enabled in the central controller. If the SAC sees a radio ID that it
>: does not have in its database, it will not recognize that radio, not
>: allowing it access.
>
>Either that, or he does not have the correct talkgroup IDs installed.
>
>: I run my 800 sites with SAC enabled, too many people out there with
>: system key generators.
>
>Yep. I use explicit authorize, as well. So far, it has kept the riff-raff
>off my 900 MHz system.
>
Like I said in the above mail I do have correct individual ID, system key and connect tone. Also the radio receives fine and have all the correct talk groups. If you are saying that I am rill-raff then you better reconsider as I would not post here with my name and e-mail address if I wanted to access any system without the system operators permission.

I work as a reserve deputy sheriff and have access to four motorola trunking systems here in the county. The MTS2000 I have works fine. Just have a problem with the MTX8000.

David Murman

4326. Re: will Viagra help fix broke antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359ee63c.4903659@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 154708

It's not going to convert your pipsqueak QRP into a kilowatt.

4327. Re: My 200XLT died with a "snap"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <359fe697.4994805@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 154709

>While powering up my trusty 200XLT, it gave a "snap" or
>small "pop" and went dead. The only functioning portion is the display... it
>scans 200 channels endlessly (correct frequencies) but never captures

I had that happen once to a small mixer. There was a bit of smoke. Upon opening it, the problem was obvious. A capacitor had 'sploded. There was this huge furry thing in the middle of the circuit board and little bits of paper flew everywhere.

4328. Re: BC3000xlt Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@XfastlinkX.com.au
Message-ID: <3598f210.874065@news.fastlink.com.au>
Source record: 154710

p35hp@aol.com (P35HP) wrote:

:In article <6m5plp$14c$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, wplanck@netusa1.net writes:
:
:> how can I keep from knocking the radio over? Is there a stand I can buy
:> of some type?
:
:This works for me: My ubc 3000 came with the leather case. I use a small
:speaker in a plastic enclosure. Attached a 1 1/2' x 3" strip of velcro
:between the two. Fuzzy strip on the leather case. You can even adjust the
:tilt angle to aid in viewing the display as needed. Jim

It's only a leather look case. I thought it was leather until I read the
instruction book.

Barry

==========
To reply via email please remove the Xs from my
return address.

4329. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <35a4f300.8172001@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 154711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> There's something screwy about this. I remember seeing a D.P. Roberts post
>with a sig file announcing Chicago University Alumini. Is this Glenn?

No, it must be a different D.P. Roberts. The closest I've been to Chicago is Decatur. Unless you count listening to WLS and that evening guy followed by John "Records" Landecker, the late Yvonne Daniels, and Charlie Van Dyke in the morning -- until I could barely keep my eyes open. I was very sorry to hear about Yvonne Daniels. WLS and local KUDL AM (1380, long gone and towers down) practically raised me on music, and I've lost track of all my favorite announcers. One local announcer, Bob Cole, passed away back in Feburary. He still lived in the area and I never knew it until I saw the obit.

4330. FREQUENCIES NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <Funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6naslb$q3j$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 154712

Hello,
What frequencies would be worth using in Fairfax County VA??
(Reston, Mclean, Great Falls area as well as others) I have a rather large book but I just want to knon what freqs (any type) would be worth while.
Thanks!!!!

--

Does this work?: (probably not)
Pursuant to 47USC227, any unsolicited commercial email sent after 72 hours from the time of this reply will be subject to the following fees: 1. Each message will be subject to a $500 (five hundred dollar) downloading and archiving fee. Terms are NET 10 days from date of downloading, 18% APR after 10 days. 2. Each message older than 30 days will be charge $1 (one dollar) per day for each kiliobyte of disk space used until the downloading and archiving fee is paid. 3. Each meassage will be erased when all fees are paid.

4331. RESETTING SCANNER??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reddog <Funkychicken86@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6nasn0$qa9$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 154713

Is it possible to reset a Uniden SC-150 scanner by entering a code?? If so what is the code?? Thanks!!

--

Does this work?: (probably not)
Pursuant to 47USC227, any unsolicited commercial email sent after 72 hours from the time of this reply will be subject to the following fees: 1. Each message will be subject to a $500 (five hundred dollar) downloading and archiving fee. Terms are NET 10 days from date of downloading, 18% APR after 10 days. 2. Each message older than 30 days will be charge $1 (one dollar) per day for each kiliobyte of disk space used until the downloading and archiving fee is paid. 3. Each meassage will be erased when all fees are paid.

4332. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359afe4b.142481487@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154714

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> Yea right, I have a credibility problem!!!!! THAT IS A LAUGH!!

Who thinks you are credible? Name them.

> Anyone can review dejanews & see where myself & others were
> aking Bill Cheek (bcheek@ssan.rr.com) to stop, we even offered
> his various truce options but he denied all of them.

Who's this "we", Hermie? You and your AKA's?

> Anyone who wants
> to see for themselves can go the "rec.radio.scanner" right now
> & see the proof! Bill Cheek & his gang of thugs are harrassing that
> entire NG & raising hell!

Nope. It's just you, Hermie. You lack the
basic mental and social skills of the common
urinary ant. You're a turd floating around
in the Punch Bowl of Life.

You are a laughingstock, Smacksie. Yup, you
ARE a laugh and good for one every time you
post, you friggin nincompoop.

4333. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359bff1c.142690712@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154715

```
@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> I have NO vendetta against Bill

How about that Size #13EE boot print across
the seat of your wormy britches?

> other than a wish that he would stop making
> trouble on the NG!

I don't make trouble, Hermie. I turn and
face it, squarely.

> Bill has been offered many
> different truce options yet he refuses all of them.

You are in no position to offer anything.
I have offered YOU a perfect, ironclad
agreement that you disdained.......

> One only need to read the NG of rec.radio.scanner to see the
> flames Bill Cheek sends & problems he causes!

You are being very funny again, Hermie.
You friggin imbecile.
```

4334. Re: Hey Smacksie! You owe me now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3598fcd1.142102905@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154716

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: bcheek@san.rr.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Dang me! They oughta take a rope and hang me.....
>
> Bill, for once you & I agree!

No we don't, you friggin lunatic. You have
no idea of what I meant. You, without cognitive
powers of the common pissant, have no business
agreeing or disagreeing with anyone.

You need to be seen and not heard. And
preferably not even seen. And I'll allow
for the fact that we can't avoid smelling
you, no matter where the hell you are. But
to see and hear you is out of the question.

Beat it, Hermie.

4335. Radio Shack Pro 2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Paul E. Bornemann" <pbornemannn@ewingtwp.com>
Message-ID: <35987DDC.BE5E2DBE@ewingtwp.com>
Source record: 154759

Does the RS Pro 2037 have capabilities to receive the cell phone
frequencies?

4336. Canada does Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Catherine <blanch@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <01bda3bb$c29505c0$95bc73d1@blanch>
Source record: 154760

Looking for someone who does mods in Canada or someone who sells scanning parts and scanners Thanks Catherine

4337. cordless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Catherine <blanch@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <01bda3ba$f39c6740$95bc73d1@blanch>
Source record: 154761

I have a cordless phone an older GE and when I have it plugged in I get calls from lots of persons who are not calling me for some reason it intercepts and I also get pepole saying I phoned them when I didn't any feed back on this just woundering why it does it even intercepted a fax one time. Catherine

4338. Police Scanner for sale Pro 2005 and pro 60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: tglunz@#cybernw.com
Message-ID: <359d2cb5.6058564@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 154762

A Pro 2005 base set, 400 channels, good shape, full capabilities, only problem is the back light is very dim. I don't use it any more, I've got enough radios already so $250 or best offer takes it. low ball offers will be ignored, if you know what this is you know what it's worth. I also have a friends pro 60 now, he'd like $150 and its in good shape.
I would prefer a local sale to avoid all the hassles of shipping and so you'll actually see before you buy
The Pro 43 is sold,thanks.
Terry tglunz@cnnw.net
Portland Oregon
To reply remove the # from my address

4339. NEED PHOENIX, SCOTTSDALE AZ,FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: rippy1962@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063000380800.UAA06056@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154763

DOES ANYBODY KNOW IF THERE IS A WEBSITE THAT LISTS FREQUENCIES? ANY HELP WOULD
BE GREATLY APPRECIATED.PLEASE E-MAIL ME AT
RIPPY1962@AOL.COM
THANK YOU...

4340. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4cc@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063000505400.UAA07855@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154764

One of the voices in JoXhXn MaXckeXy's head, supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) writes:

>Yes, I'm sure it works great,

Hi, John. How's Clarence?

Write soon,

Dave, K4CC
USAF MARS - AFA2OQ
Nashville TN

4341. PCR1000 help file in Word6 format

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arthur Squires <arthur@netcity.force9.co.uk>
Message-ID: <35983B5A.52F3@netcity.force9.co.uk>
Source record: 154765
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Have just "modified" Icom's PCR1000's Help file into a convenient A5
size Manual-type Word 6 doc format file.
Anybody wanting a copy in zip format, can pick one up from my web-site
at:
http://members.xoom.com/net_city/index.html
or I could send one as an E-Mail zip attachment if you contact me at:
asquires@mailzone.com
No need for everybody to have to do the same work, so I am pleased to
make a copy available to you.
Arthur (of N. Ireland)

4342. Re: SA Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Schick <tschick@xxnospamxxavk.com.au>
Message-ID: <35983c86.0@duster.adelaide.on.net>
Source record: 154766
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Something's wrong here Matthew. The link doesn't work for me.

I just played around with the URL. The file "scanner.html" should be
"scanner.htm" (without the "L")

Tony

Matthew Bonser wrote in message <3596EB9E.3528@dove.mtx.net.au>...
>Anyone interested in frequencies and information from SA should check
>out http://dove.net.au/~mb/scan/scanner.html you will find
>information on freqs, callsigns, codes, and details on how to decode
>pager messages.

4343. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvCAAq.G0u@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154767
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998, George Vetterle wrote:

> On 29 Jun 1998 11:32:16 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 05:54:17 -0700, dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> >
> >>This to let you know that John Mackey is telling you a tall tale when he
> >>states Bill Cheek is the flamer of the newsgroup, John has been flaming
> >>the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup for three years now.
> >
> >
> >
> >AMEN, and Dittos!
> >
>
> I have been a witness to it all. I was monitoring rec.radio.scanner
> when John Mackey first appeared. The whole story is archived in
> Dejanews for anyone that has a lot of time. To say the least,
> Mackey has a credibility problem.
>
> George Vetterle

John Mackey has a BBIIGG problem, and credibility is just a small part of
it!!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

4344. Re: [Fwd: Persistant flamer, what to do?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3599382c.2561630@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154768

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 05:27:00 -0700, dmi853@swbell.net wrote:

>As you can see John is trying to get another newsgroup involved in his
>vendetta.

BAhahaahahahahhhaha

4345. freq. for Vancouver, WA. & Clark Co.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: hpokus@mail.pacifier.com
Message-ID: <35983b5e.0@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 154769

--

Pacifier Online Data Service Dialup SLIP/PPP User
To register: (360) 693-0325 or telnet pods.pacifier.com

I was wondering if anyone has frequencies for Clark County-Vancouver in
Washington State. I've programmed the obvious ones out of "Police Call",
but was looking for unlisted ones such as police tactical, etc.

My e-mail address is hpokus@pacifier.com

4346. F/S AR8000 and AR900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jboresta@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063001251500.VAA14847@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154770

AR8000 Wide Range receiver. 1,000 channel Hand Held scanner. European version. All accessories:`Antenna, manual, and charger. A couple of months old. Excellent condition. $ 525 plus shipping U.P.S.C.O.D.

AR900 100 Channel hand held scanner. Pre cellular ban. 27 to 54, 108 to 174, 406 to 512 and 830 to 950. Lower cellular 25 Khz. Upper 30 Khz. Antenna, AC Adapter, instructions, and leather case. $ 100 plus shipping U.P.S..C.O.D.

If intersted e mail me at JBORESTA@AOL.COM

4347. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John T. Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <EvCAIn.G3v@freenet.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 154771

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998, stuart upton wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes In article <EvBxy6.D0K@freenet.buffalo.edu>, "John T.
> Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu> wrote:
>
> > Geez, "Stuart"... And Bill only uses ONE header. Don't you know how to
> > type <bcheek> into your filter, dimwit??
>
> Not the point, you flap-eared ass-kisser. If bill ckeeks filters work so
> good, why does he fill the group with shit? You condone his behaviour?
> Then why are you sticking up for him like a school-yard punk? Hmmm?

Not the point, you jackass... I merely pointed out that Bill only has one header, versus the hundreds that Mackey inflicts on everyone to get around their filters. And also pointed out that if you don't know how to enter "bcheek" in your browser you have a MAJOR problem.

BTW: when are YOU going to post something ON topic?? HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

Some assembly required.
Batteries not included.
Void where prohibited.

4348. Re: need help modifying BCT7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: lfdgajr@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063001301800.VAA15573@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154772

My BCT7 does a good job of picking up cellular conversations and its not suppose to. It can get anoying though when you are trying to listen to Public Safety Syetems on 800 Mhz.

Christian Bryannt
LFDGAJR@aol.com
Firefighter\BLS Certified
Walker County Emergency Services Station 13

Supervisor
Walker County Sheriff's Office Explorer Division

4349. Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <35982c1a.3764614@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Posted by John Mackey to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet (nanau) via MCI
and inserted into open server news.campbellhall.org (same server used
for recent forges and rogue cancels).

==========

Path: ix.netcom.com!news.campbellhall.org!not-for-mail
From: "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Subject: Re: Open server info
Date: Sun, 28 Jun 1998 18:12:24 -0700
Organization: Society for Spam Prevention
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3596E9F8.4C35@parXg.org>
References: <MPG.fff36ea2cef0e0a989684@news.pacbell.net>
Reply-To: jmackey@parXg.org
NNTP-Posting-Host: usr33-dialup41.mix1.sacramento.mci.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04Gold (Win95; I)
Xref: ix.netcom.com news.admin.net-abuse.usenet:129879

Doug Mackall wrote:
> Ok, for those that want to raise hell about open servers, I'm providing
> all the info available to me.
> At the end of this post is a breakdown of all the open servers I'm
> currently working on, along with some headers from the more industrious
> spammers.
>
> This is how I deal with these things when time permits,
> although I don't bother with the originating site usually:
SNIP
> If that gets no response I get on the phone and try to talk to an actual
> person.
>
> Repeat this process twenty or so times a week while tracking down new
> open servers, keeping the bots running, keeping track of the status of
snip

Hey Doug-

No wonder you don't have enough time! The internet and the network
adminsitrators are not in need of someone calling them "twenty or so
times a week while tracking down new open servers" attmepting to play

net cop.

Get a life guy, if you called me about the system I administrate I would hang up on you & if you called again I would report you for abuse & harassment.

==========

John,

I'm curious as to just what site you adminiister. Why don't you enlighten everybody. You aren't the site administrator for parg.org are you? Heh, Heh, Heh! Just when I think I've heard everything, you open your mouth and amaze me.

4350. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3598376e.6663940@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 154774

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 23:43:01 GMT, supton@paranet.com (stuart upton)
wrote:

>Not the point, you flap-eared ass-kisser. If bill ckeeks filters work so
>good, why does he fill the group with shit? You condone his behaviour?
>Then why are you sticking up for him like a school-yard punk? Hmmm?

Now, now, Stuart. Control yourself lest you break out in a rash.
Can't you express yourself without cursing?

4351. Re: Mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: curlinob@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063002550200.WAA26069@ladder03.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154775

>I would like to put a scanner in my car and am looking for a relatively
>cheap (20-30 bucks) but decent antenna. After searching on the internet,
>the only ones that I have found are:
>
>a) Scanner World's SCAN1-MOT
>b) Scanner World's SCAN11-MOT
>c) Hustler Mobile
>
>Can anyone recommend which one of these might be the best one for me? or
>for that matter any other one?
>
>
>
>
>
>

I have tried different antennas and found that the hustler mobile worked the best for me. I bought and permanently installed it on my car five years ago, and it is still going strong.

4352. FS:BC-200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kgirard@mindex.com
Message-ID: <3598532c.3286210@news.mindex.com>
Source record: 154776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have forsale a Bearcat 200xlt scanner. I am asking $150.00 for it.
thanks

4353. Re: Hey Smacksie! You owe me now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.com
Message-ID: <359a54c0.3103706@news.iaw.on.ca>
Source record: 154777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

SSSSSSSSSSSSSSHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHSS Don't tell him!

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 18:53:19 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
wrote:

>Yo Smacksie! Say, you owe me one now,
>pal. See, there's this private mail
>list where the boys have been telling
>stories about you.
>
>And they've been saying you're gay in
>the *worst* sort of way. Well, you know....
>
>Anyhow, I told them that you absolutely
>did not do THAT kind of thing; that it
>just wasn't true.
>
>And then I told 'em to just never mind
>what ELSE you'd do.

4354. Re: bill cheeks is a fag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <359d54b6.9869037@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154778

On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 22:37:23 -0500, "Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ"
<jtonsa@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>So, really, you are calling your*self* a fag? How surreal... (!)
>--
>73 de Ryan Faricy KC0DSZ
>RySoft Corporation
>http://home.att.net/~jtonsa/
>
>If ignorance is bliss, why aren't more people happy?
>
>Bill Cheek wrote in message <359543FE.A53C24A6@san.rr.com>...
>>bill cheeks is a fag
>>

How quickly they are corrupted on this newsgroup...sad..

4355. Re: RS Weather Alert Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: alferg@interpath.com
Message-ID: <6n9kgm$3mh$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154779

In article <3595e38a.4468144@139.187.81.1>,
grohe@galaxy.nsc.com wrote:
>
> On Sat, 27 Jun 1998 13:56:02 GMT,
> in the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner,
> dougd@lrbcg.com
> from Deja News - The Leader in Internet Discussion thoughtfully
> posted:
>
> > Anyone know the model no. of the latest Radio Shack Weather Alert radio that
> > you can program in just the counties you want? How about the price?
> >
> > They never have any catalogs.
>
> But they do have a page for them on their website:
>
> http://support.tandy.com/support_audio/40465.htm
>
> Cat# 12-249 $80
>
> Cheers,
> Paul Grohe
>
> --
> --
> Paul Grohe National Semiconductor Corp.
> Assoc. Analog Apps Engineer 2900 Semiconductor Drive
> Standard Analog Apps Group Mail Stop C2693
> mailto:grohe@galaxy.nsc.com Santa Clara, CA. 95052-8090 USA
> Usenet Disclaimer: Any opinions expressed are mine, not NSC's
> --
> I can't say anything about the Radio Shack brand, however,
I am a distributor of the Midland WeatherMax Plus Weather/All Hazard
VHF Radio with Alert. It is set to your local tower, and
will sound a loud alarm (90 decibal) when the NWS
or N.O.A.A. sends out an alert. It does cover more than one county,
but, storms move from county to county and sometimes pretty quickly.
It would be a great comfort to be warned in plenty of time when foul
weather is heading your way. The cost of this unit is 59.00 + 5.00 s&h.
For more info, just e-mail me. Andy Ferguson alferg@interpath.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==------
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4356. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougd@lrbcg.com
Message-ID: <6n9n4d$85q$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154780
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <19980628225103 00.SAA07070@ladder01.news.aol.com>,
neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne) wrote:
>
> >
Subject: RS Catalog
> >From: KC2BTP@webtv.net (Stephen Goeller Jr)
> >Date: Sun, Jun 28, 1998 17:16 EDT
> >Message-id: <4241-3596B2A1-41@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
> >
> >
> >Rat Shack's catalog is avaiable ONLY from their store which makes them
> >even more of a pain.
> >Best Regards,
> >Steve
> >
> >

>
> Start haunting them in late July, to get one. Since they quit charging for it,
> the stores get an even smaller allotment of them now than they did before.
It's
> a pain, but if you wait for September you may not get one.
> Neutrodyne

I wrote the main HQ a letter in April. They told me to try again in August
when the next batch would be available. Business sure has changed...

Doug

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4357. Re: Bill,Bill the NG Shill...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <359f55c3.10137274@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154781

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 04:37:22 GMT, bcheek@san.rr.com (Bill Cheek)
wrote:

>"Mike Derryberry" <michael.derryberry@MCIONE.com> wrote:
>
>> Would that lubticant be WD-40 since he likes it soooooo well ?????
>
>Of course! He didn't want it denigrated
>to mere electronic applications!

HAHAHA

4358. Re: Cell Phones as scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35a05624.10234630@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154782

On 29 Jun 1998 01:20:07 GMT, "EyeSpy" <deltax2x@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Get an old Motorola Brick Phone the 8000's they have a few jumpers on the
>back of the phone up by where the antenna connects on and the two far right
>connectors need to be jumped this will allow the phone to scan, this
>however is illegal and sucks down the batteries very quickly. I'll look up
>the specs and try to post all the particulars but as always be careful. I
>may have other phones listed somewhere. There is also a digital 8000's that
Are these gray? How can you distinguish one from a regular brick?^^^
>very few people know about that I have the spec's on, this allows for some
>scanning on digital lines but only on some of the old digital phones, not
>that great if you ask me.

Well, post it!!!

4359. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <359854F6.4D80@usa.net>
Source record: 154783

John T. Arthur wrote:
> All you have to do, Mack-hole, is look at the unedited header that was
> posted here just a few messages ago. It clearly identified JOHN MACKEY as
> the originator of the forged post. Ya gotta be a little smarter than your

Funny, I looked at the unedited header as you instructed, & I didn't
see any place where it clearly identified JOHN MACKEY as the
originator".

More of your lies, again. Please stop!

4360. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <35985557.7FF6@usa.net>
Source record: 154784

Derek Yerger wrote:
> forged posts- both cheek and mackey are suspects. mackey because the
> forged posts are against cheek. cheek because he seems to want to keep
> this flaming going.>

I have nothing against Cheek so I would not be flaming him.

4361. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3598559E.6726@usa.net>
Source record: 154785

Bill Cheek wrote:
> "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:
> > dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> > > Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.
> >
> > That is NOT very easly or simple to do!
>
> No wonder you are known as a hermaphroditic
> imbecilic idiot....as well as one who has
> no veracity or candor.

No Bill, I don't practice to cheat others so I would not know the
tricks like you refer & are aware of.

4362. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3598563C.1A91@usa.net>
Source record: 154786

Bill Cheek wrote:
> Tell him to accept my proposal and then see
> what happens.

Bill I have asked you accept several proposals of truce & you simple continue the flames with flimsy excuses for why you can't agree to the truce.

4363. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <359856E9.574C@usa.net>
Source record: 154787

John T. Arthur wrote:
> Showing your abysmal ignorance again, hey Mack-hole? It IS quite easy to
> do exactly that - all one has to do is put the different browsers into
> different directories and call up the one he wants.

Sorry, I would not know how to mis-lead people as you are suggesting
because I do not proctice to committ such acts. I do find it pecular
that you know exactly the stpes to do this!

4364. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <35985728.2654@usa.net>
Source record: 154788

Derek Yerger wrote:
> yea, where is the "to reply, remove the 'x' in my email address?" Dont

I haven't bothered to put it in because anyone who knows the internet
would know to remove the "x" without needing to be told.

4365. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3598595B.360@usa.net>
Source record: 154789

K4CC wrote:
> Hi, John. How's Clarence?

Clarence who?

4366. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <35985B08.13FF@usa.net>
Source record: 154790

Derek Yerger wrote:
> everyone should realize- mackey never posts scanner-related things.

Then you don't read many of the posts here! Even George Vetterle
will admit that I do post on-topic!

4367. ***FS: RS PRO-39 (GAPLESS) SCANNER W/ACCESSORIES***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: teenham@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063003280000.XAA03190@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154791

Hello there...

I have for sale a Radio Shack PRO-39 portable scanner. Has 200 memory channels (well, it's actually 210 if you count the 10 monitor channels also,) Hyperscan mode (blazing 25 channels per second scanning and 50 steps per second searching rate.) The scanner covers the following frequency bands: 30-50, 50-54, 108-136.975, 137-144, 144-148, 148-174, 380-450, 470-512, and GAPLESS 806-960 MHz (with proper 30 kHz spacing in proper portions of the 800 MHz band, and normal 12.5 kHz spacing elsewhere.) (Mod was professionally done.) The scanner is in MINT condition (I hate to use the word "mint," but in this case, it truely DOES apply!!!) There are NO SCRATCHES anywhere on the scanner. Scanner comes with the following accessories: "Rubber Duck" type antenna, six Radio Shack Rechargeable AA NiCADs (1.25V, 650 mAh) catalog # 23-125, Radio Shack Home AC adapter/charger (9V DC 300mA output) catalog # 20-188, Radio Shack Mobile DC adapter/charger (Catalog # 270-1562.) Plus I have the original box, packaging, and manual. Date code 8A3. Made in Japan. $200 for everything. Willing to ship COD (cash only, no checks!) or postal money order. Thanks for reading.

73
Eugene D.
N3ZVM
TeenHam@aol.com
Near Philadelphia, PA.

4368. Re: florida highway patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063004145800.AAA09761@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154792

>From: thelibnet@aol.com (TheLibNet)
>Date: Mon, Jun 29, 1998 17:09 EDT
>
>Ain't it great to know your tax dollars have been used to fund a
>multi-million
>dollar radio system so that the public servants who draw their salaries from
>your tax dollars can now do as they please without you being able to monitor
>their actions?

No, but it is nice to know that a trooper or state wildlife officer - both
who often work many miles from back-up - will be able to talk to other agencies
if they need help in a hurry.
It's also nice to know that following a hurricane or other natural
disaster the various agencies will be able to communicate with each other with
playing and electronic version of the old "telephone game."
I was in Homestead shortly after it was hit by Hurricane Andrew in 1992.
One of the major problems they faced was inter-agency communication.
Sure it'll be a pain in the ass once the switch over here in my area, at
least until Uniden or one of the other mfgs. comes through with a
digitally-compatible TrunkTracker.

John Ward

4369. Re: Hey Smacksie! You owe me now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <3598679d.103897021@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154793

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jabba@iaw.com (Jabba T. Hutt) wrote:

> SSSSSSSSSSSSSSHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHSS Don't tell him!

Dang me! They oughta take a rope and hang me.....

4370. Re: MonitoringCompMonitors B.Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359967f7.103986087@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154794

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

X <fillip@banet.net> wrote:

> I've heared of it too in the very same magazine.
> Hey Bill Cheek , you know anything about this ?

Know, as in present tense? No.

As inpast tense? Yes. And with more than
just computer monitors, too. Monitors are the
least of your worries, though, because it takes
some sophisticated stuff to "copy the mail" off
someone's monitor.

However......if you have a CB radio on in
your house, it is fairly simple to detect
it from off-premises, and only slightly
less simple to actually pull "intelligence"
off the emission.

What I mean by that is if you are in the same
room as your CB sitting there in the receive mode
(and I presume this could also be true of ham
radios, and other passive receivers), then as
you speak or make other sounds in the area,
those sound waves penetrate the receiver and
the PLL and local oscillators thereby imparting
a low level modulation to the circuit.

If that circuit radiates, and most do, then it
is conceivable someone nearby can intercept
that emission; tape it; and run it through a
DSP or other noise processor, and determine
what was spoken.

It's more or less the same principle as bouncing
a laser light off a window and detecting it down-
range. Sounds within the room vibrate the window
which modulates the down-range part of the beam.

I've not had any real success with detecting
and decoding monitor emissions, but I'm certain
that it can be done, given an unlimited budget
and lots of expertise and manpower.

It is fairly easy to test for receiver emissions
using a scanner or spectrum analyzer. Once
you find an "airborne emission", tape record
it at a high level, almost to the point of
clipping and distortion. Then thump emitting
receiver with a screwdriver handle so as to make
as loud of a local noise as possible.

If that thump is detectable on the remote recording,
then it is eminently possible for more sophisticated
techniques to pull room sounds off the signal!

I mentioned CB radios because I tested some a
few years ago. Wow! But there is nothing special
about a CB radio's PLL and local oscillators; all
receivers have them, including TV's, scanners,
tabletop and pocket radios, etc.

In conclusion, I do have to say there is little
cause for panic down here at the hobby level.
However....governments and larger corporations
that stand to lose or win based on security....
and where cost and sophistication are no object;
had better sit up straight and take countermeasures.

That most assurredly would apply to computer monitors.

4371. Re: ATTN: Forgers, Bombers, and Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359a6d73.105390952@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154795

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

nomail@aol.com (James Donlan) wrote:

> >Are you calling me a liar?

> Yes. You've lied numerous times here before.

Hooooo boy. Then surely you must be willing
to put something of substance down to back
up what is soooooo easy to spew?

> Facts are facts. Even if you're
> not lying this time - yes, you are still a liar.

How easy to spew from the safety and comfort
of your keyboard, huh?

> >And if so, how fat of a wallet would you like to
> >wager on the truth and facts of what I said above?

> I don't know bill, I can't weave my way thought all your
> bullshit to know what'sfact and fiction anymore.

Well, then obviously you don't know shit from Shinola.
ahhhhh, now I understand....you're on AOL, huh?

> Guess you are on your own. Enjoy your fat wallet.

I'd prefer to enjoy yours, and if you had any
lugnuts to back up what spews off your keyboard
so easily, then I'd have me a real good chance
to help myself to that wallet of yours.

> >Let's put it this way....I might be able to
> >give you a slick little computer lesson that
> >will remain with you for the rest of your
> >life.

> Oh, I don't think so.

Have you ever considered HIRING someone to do your
thinking for you? You might fare better, you
AOL dungbag.

> Pop a Geritol for me tonight, will ya ?

Sure....lots of iron in it. Now you go pop
a VIAGRA and see if you can resolve your personal
problems.

4372. Re: RS Weather Alert Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <6n9s47eiq1@news4.wt.net>
Source record: 154796

But I live in Northwest Houston and don't want to hear the numberous "High Tide" alerts for Galveston. And if I come home five minutes after an alert has sounded, then how will I know that? And what if I need to attach an external antenna because of my distance to the NWS transmitter? And where do I go if I have a problem with it?

alferg@interpath.com wrote in message <6n9kgm$3mh$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...

>> I can't say anything about the Radio Shack brand, however,
>I am a distributor of the Midland WeatherMax Plus Weather/All Hazard
>VHF Radio with Alert. It is set to your local tower, and
>will sound a loud alarm (90 decibal) when the NWS
>or N.O.A.A. sends out an alert. It does cover more than one county,
>but, storms move from county to county and sometimes pretty quickly.
>It would be a great comfort to be warned in plenty of time when foul
>weather is heading your way. The cost of this unit is 59.00 + 5.00 s&h.
>For more info, just e-mail me. Andy Ferguson alferg@interpath.com
>
>
>-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
>http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4373. Re: florida highway patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35a26f0e.16503280@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154797

On Mon, 29 Jun 1998 21:11:30 GMT, "Jason Webb"
<orlscan(idonotwantspam)@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>JT and the Gang,
>
>The Switch Over is about 99% Complete here as per FHP Comm Center
>
>FHP Orlando
>FHP Brevard
>FHP Deland
>FHP Turnpike
>
>Are Now on the System and have been Advised that their VHF Radios are 10-7
>(Out of service).
>
>FHP Has Moved their Comm Center in Orlando to a New location on HWY 436
>(Semoran BLVD) and the Old VHF Equipment is not there
>
>Trunker WILL Monitor the System But ID'ing the Talkgroups is a pain

Is it 2d3d up there also? trunker has identified it as networkable
with a [6] next to it here in Fort Lauderdale, it's all connected?

FWIW...the talkgroups and some other info are listed here, now all we
need is a d/a converter...

http://www.laker.net/mikef/flastro.txt

If I understand right, the transmissions are not encrypted, just
digital...so if you had a compatible radio perhaps the discriminator
output from the tracking scanner could be fed into it to demodulate
it? I would guess the radios they are using now do cost a pretty penny
so I doubt anyone will be trying this anytime soon.
The trunker.exe program tracks it great, you can tell who's talking
anyway...although listening to the tracked digital will quickly drive
you insane...I was trying to see if any of the com's would come
through analog..guess not..I wonder if the system is capable of
non-digital operation. Enough rambling for me...

4374. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359b71bf.106490842@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154798

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> Derek Yerger wrote:
> > everyone should realize- mackey never posts scanner-related things.
>
> Then you don't read many of the posts here! Even George Vetterle
> will admit that I do post on-topic!

You scurvy pustule, no one will admit
anything of the sort....least of all HIM.
Anyone with any cognitive power at all,
and that includes all life forms equal
to or above the common pissant, knows
good and well that you don't know a
scanner from a gay-lib banner.

Geez, Smacksie, play like you're a horse-turd
and hit the trail, will ya. Beat it.
Scram. And don't let the door hit ya
in the arse on the way out.

4375. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359c72be.106746367@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154799

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes In article<3598c33e.61810882
> <3598c33e.61810882@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> > Just use wildcards in your twit filter.
> > Like this:
> >
> > J?hn?Mackey John??ackey
> > ?ohn?Mackey John?M?ckey
> > Jo?n?Mackey John?Ma?key
> > Joh??Mackey John?Mac?ey
> > etc. etc.
>
> Yes, I'm sure it works great, as evidenced by the fact that I still see
> 3600 LBS OF __YOUR__BULLSHIT EVERYTIME I OPEN THIS GROUP.

So don't open it, Mackey, and then you'll
see it work at its best. Otherwise, stick
one finger in your mouth and one up your
grump stump and wait for somebody to holler
"Switch!", at which time I'll have your
alter-ego jammed back on my Twit List.

Understand?

4376. Re: Forgery from US.WORLD.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy_nospam@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <35a37582.18155866@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 154800

On 29 Jun 1998 10:42:57 GMT, k4cc@aol.com (K4CC) wrote:

>Spelling bee champion and scanner wunderkind "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" concludes
>another in a long series of technical articles:
>
>>>End of lessong #1 for K4CC.
>
>Spelling lessong #1 for John.MacXkey:
>There is no X in Mackey.
>

There is in my killfile...haah

4377. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359d735f.106906633@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154801

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

supton@paranet.com (stuart upton) wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes In article <EvBxy6.D0K@freenet.buffalo.edu>, "John T.
> Arthur" <cc732@freenet.buffalo.edu> wrote:
>
> > Geez, "Stuart"... And Bill only uses ONE header. Don't you know how to
> > type <bcheek> into your filter, dimwit??
>
> Not the point, you flap-eared ass-kisser. If bill ckeeks filters work so
> good, why does he fill the group with shit? You condone his behaviour?
> Then why are you sticking up for him like a school-yard punk? Hmmm?

It's YOUR behavior, wingnut, that attracts
attention. Mine would be impeccable if
syphiletic sphincters like you were to
play like a tree and *leave*.

4378. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359e74ab.107239467@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154802

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> K4CC wrote:
> > Hi, John. How's Clarence?
>
> Clarence who?

Your alter-ego....or one of the several, anyway.

4379. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <359f74f3.107311107@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154803

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> because I do not proctice

Is that something like proctology?

> to committ such acts.

I'm sure you don't practice anymore. By
now, you're an expert at proctological
matters.......

> I do find it pecular

Pecular?

> that you know exactly the stpes to do this!

Stpes?

You're not expressing yourself very well
tonight, Smacksie. What does "stpes" mean?
What kind of drugs are you taking tonight?

4380. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35a075ff.107579447@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154804

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > "John.MacXkey.KA0XSSF" <jmackey@parXg.org> wrote:
> > > dmi853@swbell.net wrote:
> > > > Thats simple run two versions of netscape on the same computer.
> > > >
> > > That is NOT very easly or simple to do!
> > >
> > No wonder you are known as a hermaphroditic
> > imbecilic idiot....as well as one who has
> > no veracity or candor.
>
>
> No Bill, I don't practice to cheat others

I didn't say anything about "cheating", you
friggin halfwit.

> so I would not know the
> tricks like you refer & are aware of.

But you are an expert at lack of candor and
a dimunitive veracity. Geez, Smacksie, everything
about you is dimunitive. Not only that, but
you are a peckerwood imbecilic hermaphroditic idiot.

You KNOW what I mean, Stink!

4381. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35a17735.107889258@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154805

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> Bill Cheek wrote:
> > Tell him to accept my proposal and then see
> > what happens.
>
> Bill I have asked you accept several proposals of
> truce & you simple continue the flames with flimsy
> excuses for why you can't agree to the truce.

Hey, you syphiletic chancroid, I don't DO or
consider anything you ask. You don't ask me
shit, smegma breath. Just accept the proposal
I laid on you or not. That's as good as it gets.

4382. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35a277c1.108029327@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154806

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> Derek Yerger wrote:
> > forged posts- both cheek and mackey are suspects. mackey because the
> > forged posts are against cheek. cheek because he seems to want to keep
> > this flaming going.>
>
> I have nothing against Cheek so I would not be flaming him.

Sure you do. Remember that Size #13EE I
planted on your arse so hard that you and
me had to go to the hospital on three legs
to get removed from sorry patooty?

4383. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35a3784a.108166538@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154807

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

John Mackey - KA0SSF <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:

> More of your lies, again. Please stop!

Funny how everyone else is a liar.

You're projecting again, clappe-face.

4384. SCANNER COLLECTION 4 SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <1998063005502500.BAA20094@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Source record: 154808

ALL THE BELOW SCANNER CAN GO TO THE BEST OFFER THANKS

3-PRO2037 /200 CH BASE NEW IN BOX
3-PRO2041/400 CH BASE NEW IN BOX
1-PRO2045 200 CH " " " " " " "
1PRO26 HANDHELD NEW

PRO46 USED
BC800XLT USED
BC855 USED

WOULD LIKE TO SELL THE COMPLETE PKG OR WILL SELL BY PC'S

EMAIL
CWEST69521@AOL.COM

THANKS

4385. Weather Alert Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: alferg@interpath.com
Message-ID: <6n9sjt$i7h$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 154809

We are distributing the WeatherMax Plus Severe Weather/All Hazard VHF Radio With Alert. Manufactured by Midland. Emits 90 decibal siren when automatically prompted by N.O.A.A. alert. Receives signal on all seven Nationwide channels operated by NWS and N.O.A.A. Cost of unit is 59.00 + 5.00 s&h. If interested, send check or money order to Alex/Tyler Dist. C/O Andy Ferguson 305 Friddle Dr. High Point, NC 27260 Supplies are getting shorter due to overwhelming response.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

4386. Re: Persistant flamer, what to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35989A94.19918C59@swbell.net>
Source record: 154810
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.scanner

There you go again Mackey , Lying and saying things that are not true, you don't speak for the people on the newsgroup , in fact the majority of the group want to see you leave the newsgroup because of your constant flaming and personal vendetta against Bill.
Bill has already offered you many deals , you go your way and not talk to him or speak of him and he will go his way .
But you don't what things to stop as you keep trying to place extra conditions on the deal that does not have anything to do with the deal offered .
All you want is Bill off of the newsgroup, well that is not going to happen John so you better get use to it and stop your lying saying Bill is the flamer because he is not , You are the flamer John and there is proof of that.
John your trying to play the victim but it is not going to work as anyone with a lick of sense can do a a search on dejanews and see that you are the flamer and cause of all the problems on the newsgroup..

4387. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35a47b24.108896602@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154811

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

txjonesy@tranzsport.com (Tom Jones) wrote:

> In article <359d243d.21096353@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> > This is the launch of the hot new
> > riddle of the month! This month's
> > riddle is really tough, so check it out:
> >
> > What do Mackey and a $3 bill have in common?
>
> Well - other than the obvious...
>
> You probably couldn't GIVE one away.

Damn! Another GREAT answer. I didn't think of
that one. But it sure qualifies. Step right up,
we got us anudder winnah!

4388. Re: I LOVE you guys! Don't stop!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35a67bec.109096592@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154812

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

"Alan" <alan@xmission.com> wrote:

> I have to come clean on something.
>
> I primarily cruise this NG for scanner and radio info. But also, to be
> completely honest, I must admit that I flat-out LOVE reading all the flame
> wars!!!

Oh hell yes! So does everyone else who
pipes in to comment, one way or another.

> Few things make me laugh so much! I get some good ideas for insults and
> jokes, I love the personal rivalries, and I am always especially amused at
> those who take it all so seriously.

Me, too. Here we are in a virtual reality and
some people gotta act like it's a church or
maybe a city council meeting.

> Maybe I'm just a nut, but- I love it! I'm serious!

So's everyone else; just that dang few 'fess up.

> Don't stop (but don't
> take each other too seriously, either!).

It's not possible to take the hermaphrodite very
seriously.

> Always smiling- Alan

Me, too. Usually laughing, especially *at* Smacksie.

4389. Re: Riddle of the month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcheek@san.rr.com
Message-ID: <35a57b7d.108985572@news2.cts.com>
Source record: 154813

@cts.com
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering & World Scanner Report
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

txjonesy@tranzsport.com (Tom Jones) wrote:

> In article <359d243d.21096353@news2.cts.com>, bcheek@san.rr.com wrote:
>
> > This is the launch of the hot new
> > riddle of the month! This month's
> > riddle is really tough, so check it out:
> >
> > What do Mackey and a $3 bill have in common?
>
> And d'ya know what the DIFFERENCE is between johnnieboy and a 3 buck bill?
>
> The three dollar bill isn't in my killfile.

<groan> Anudder winnah! NO WAIT A MINUTE!
That one is one of their differences! Not
how they're alike. Bzzzzzt! Next please.

<g>

4390. Re: New Scanner NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <359896AC.C1B7AB55@swbell.net>
Source record: 154814

Problem is John has no class .

4391. Re: Mackey claims to be system administrator in nanau post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmi853@swbell.net
Message-ID: <35989B4F.802178D9@swbell.net>
Source record: 154815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

John you just proved that your forger by your own admission , how stupid
can you get ?

4392. Bob N8MDV Where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1998-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@chucks2way.com
Message-ID: <359882ca.8037862@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 154816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All,

I've been looking for Bobs number and I can't find it ANYWHERE! and it ain't listed! I already know his callbook info. Does anyone in the S.E. michigan area know his whereabouts? I posted this here, cause I knew he was a scanner nut. Is he still alive?

Where you is bob!

If you know him, tell him to call me at the number listed on my website!

(that is if he ain't on the net...)

73's

N8QXP (former N8MCY)

He put up my tower! and I haven't been able to find him sense!

-Chuck

Chuck's 2 Way Radio Service and CB Radio Sales
Http://www.chucks2way.com
MailTo:chuck@chucks2way.com
ICQ #5769937